

# Exact Screening-Ranged Expansions for Many-Body Electrostatics

Sergii V. Siryk\* and Walter Rocchia†

CONCEPT Lab, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Via E. Melen 83, 16152, Genova, Italy

We present an exact many-body framework for electrostatic interactions among  $N$  arbitrarily charged spheres in an electrolyte, modeled by the linearized Poisson–Boltzmann equation. Building on a spectral analysis of non-standard Neumann–Poincaré-type operators introduced in a companion mathematical work [1], we construct convergent screening-ranged series for the potential, interaction energy, and forces, where each term is associated with a well-defined Debye–Hückel screening order and can be obtained evaluating an analytical expression rather than numerically solving an infinitely dimensional linear system. This formulation unifies and extends classical and recent approaches, providing a rigorous basis for electrostatic interactions among heterogeneously charged particles (including Janus colloids) and yielding many-body generalizations of analytical closed-form results previously available only for two-body systems. The framework captures and clarifies complex effects such as asymmetric dielectric screening, opposite-charge repulsion, and like-charge attraction, which remain largely analytically elusive in existing treatments. Beyond its fundamental significance, the method leads to numerically efficient schemes, offering a versatile tool for modeling colloids and soft/biological matter in electrolytic solution.

**Introduction.** Electrostatic interactions control processes ranging from biomolecular recognition and binding to colloidal stability and self-assembly [2–7]. In soft and biological matter, they are often described within continuum electrostatics, where solutes and solvent are modeled as linear dielectrics [8]. A central workhorse is the mean-field Poisson–Boltzmann equation (PBE) [3, 9]; its linearization (LPBE) underlies Debye–Hückel (DH) theory and is widely used to describe weakly charged systems and small electrostatic potentials [4, 5, 10–12]. Despite its formal linearity, LPBE-based descriptions remain informative even for highly charged solutes at separations larger than the Debye length, especially when charge renormalization or effective screening parameters are introduced [4, 5, 13–24]. As a result, LPBE continues to play a central role in coarse-grained modeling and motivates ongoing analytical and computational work [4, 5, 8, 10–12, 19, 24–50].

For a single charged dielectric sphere in an electrolyte (the classical Kirkwood sphere [51]) the LPBE admits a closed-form solution, but already for two interacting spheres ( $N = 2$ ) with different dielectric constants mutual polarization makes the problem highly nontrivial [4, 8, 10, 22, 26, 52, 53]. Already in the Poisson limit of zero ionic strength, coupled multipolar expansions subject to infinitely many boundary constraints on the coefficients severely hinder analytical progress [5, 52, 54–57]. As emphasized in Ref. [55], “An implicit series expansion is known for the system of two dielectric spheres. For more than two dielectric spheres, numerical treatment is required.” Since then, image-based multiple-scattering formalisms [58–61], Wigner-matrix-free approaches [24, 26, 56], and hybrid analytical-numerical schemes [30, 41, 54, 57, 62–66] have substantially extended what can be done for two spheres, but a general explicit many-body ( $N > 2$ ) treatment at finite ionic strength is still lacking. Ionic screening further increases the technical complexity [22, 26, 30, 67, 68], limits the applicability of image-charge constructions [68], and leaves key questions on like-charge attraction (LCA), opposite-charge repulsion (OCR), and asymmet-

ric dielectric screening (ADS) largely unresolved [10, 24, 52].

In this Letter, we address this gap within the LPBE/DH framework by developing an exact and explicit many-body formalism for  $N$  arbitrarily charged dielectric spheres in an electrolyte. Using the spectral properties of a nonstandard Neumann–Poincaré-type operator introduced in the companion mathematical work [1], we construct a convergent Neumann-type expansion for the multipole coefficients of the DH potentials and thereby obtain screening-ranged series for the potentials, interaction energies, and forces. Each term in these series is associated with a definite “screening order” and contains products of Debye–Hückel factors  $e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}/R_{ij}$  along interaction paths, providing a systematic and controllable many-body extension of the familiar DLVO and unscreened Coulomb descriptions. The formalism applies to general fixed charge distributions, including heterogeneously charged and Janus-like particles, and yields explicit expressions that are straightforward to evaluate numerically.

We illustrate the scope of our formalism by (i) deriving exact higher-order screened corrections for charged spheres, clarifying the conditions for LCA, OCR, and ADS, and (ii) constructing compact DLVO-like interaction expressions for Janus particles with controlled many-body and higher-multipole corrections. Then, we highlight its value through two representative applications. For monopolar charged spheres, we recover the standard DLVO interaction as the leading screening order and obtain exact higher-order many-body corrections that generalize two-sphere Fisher–Levin–Li, McClurg–Zukoski, and related energy and force expressions [4, 8, 10, 11, 22, 26, 28, 37, 53, 67]. Even in the long-studied two-sphere problem, this framework yields new analytical insight: it provides explicit conditions for the (non)occurrence of LCA and OCR, clarifies the role of dielectric contrast and ionic strength in ADS, and recovers recent image-charge-based polarization-energy results as a particular instance of the double-screened contribution [57, 68–70]. For Janus-type particles with bipatchy surface charge, we derive compact DLVO-like expressions for

effective monopole-monopole, monopole-dipole, and dipole-dipole interactions [71, 72], and show how higher screening orders systematically generate many-body and higher-multipole corrections. Taken together, these examples demonstrate that the proposed screening-ranged expansions provide a versatile analytical framework for electrostatic interactions in model colloids and coarse-grained biomolecular systems, directly amenable to efficient numerical implementation. Beyond the specific cases discussed here, the framework offers a controlled many-body expansion for screened electrostatics, organized in a compact, rapidly convergent series that can underpin effective interaction models in charged soft-matter systems, from patchy colloids to biomolecular assemblies; further examples, including particles with clouds of internal point charges, higher-order multipoles, or inhomogeneous free surface distributions, are developed in the joint papers [73, 74].

**Problem statement.** We consider a general system of  $N$  non-overlapping spherical dielectric particles ( $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ) immersed in an electrolytic solvent (e.g. water with mobile ions) with dielectric constant  $\epsilon_{\text{sol}}$  and Debye screening length  $\kappa^{-1}$ . Each particle  $\Omega_i$  is centered at  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and is characterized by its dielectric constant  $\epsilon_i$  and radius  $a_i$ ;  $\rho_i^f(\mathbf{r})$  denotes the free (fixed) charge density inside  $\Omega_i$ . For any  $i$ , the electrostatic potential  $\Phi_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r})$  inside  $\Omega_i$  (i.e. for  $r_i < a_i$ , where  $r_i = \|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{x}_i\|$ ) satisfies the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \Phi_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r}) = -\rho_i^f(\mathbf{r})/(\epsilon_i \epsilon_0),$$

while the corresponding potential  $\Phi_{\text{out},i}$  in the solvent domain  $\Omega_{\text{sol}}$  obeys the LPBE [4, 5]

$$\Delta \Phi_{\text{out},i}(\mathbf{r}) - \kappa^2 \Phi_{\text{out},i}(\mathbf{r}) = 0.$$

By the superposition principle inherent in the DH description [10, 22], the total electrostatic potential is

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r}), & \mathbf{r} \in \Omega_i, \\ \Phi_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{r}) := \sum_{i=1}^N \Phi_{\text{out},i}(\mathbf{r}), & \mathbf{r} \in \Omega_{\text{sol}}. \end{cases}$$

At each sphere-solvent interface,  $\Phi$  satisfies transmission-type boundary conditions (BCs):

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_i(\mathbf{n}_i \cdot \nabla \Phi_{\text{in},i})|_{r_i \rightarrow a_i^-} - \epsilon_{\text{sol}}(\mathbf{n}_i \cdot \nabla \Phi_{\text{out}})|_{r_i \rightarrow a_i^+} &= \sigma_i^f/\epsilon_0, \\ \Phi_{\text{in},i}|_{r_i \rightarrow a_i^-} &= \Phi_{\text{out}}|_{r_i \rightarrow a_i^+}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_i$  is the outer unit normal and  $\sigma_i^f$  is any free charge density on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_i$  ( $r_i = a_i$ ), with  $r_i \rightarrow a_i^\pm$  denoting approach from the interior (−) or exterior (+) side. While other types of BCs are also possible [73], here we focus on transmission BCs [75], which represent a situation of primary interest in biomolecular electrostatics. We also impose the usual condition that the potential vanishes at infinity.

**Screening-ranged expansions for potentials.** Within each particle  $\Omega_i$ , the potential is decomposed into a known Coulomb contribution generated by the fixed charge density and an induced harmonic correction, while in the solvent

domain the homogeneous LPBE is solved. Using complex spherical harmonics  $Y_n^m$  in local spherical coordinates centered at each sphere, we represent both the interior correction and the exterior DH potential as multipole expansions with unknown coefficients. Mutual polarization between particles is encoded by exact re-expansions for screened solid harmonics (of the type (8)), which express the field generated by sphere  $j$  in the local frame of sphere  $i$ . Imposing the transmission BCs at all interfaces then leads to a coupled global linear system for the unknown exterior multipole coefficients. In block form, this system can be written as

$$(\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{K})\vec{\mathbb{G}} = \vec{\mathbb{S}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{\mathbb{G}}$  collects all exterior multipole coefficients,  $\vec{\mathbb{S}}$  gathers the source terms due to fixed charges,  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity and  $\mathbb{K}$  is a compact operator that couples different particles and multipoles through screened propagators proportional to  $e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}/R_{ij}$  ( $R_{ij}$  is the magnitude of vector  $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i$  connecting sphere centers). A detailed construction of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\vec{\mathbb{S}}$  is given in [1, 73] (see End Matter). The spectral analysis in [1] shows that the spectral radius of  $\mathbb{K}$  considered in the relevant Hilbert space of multipole coefficients is strictly less than 1. This guarantees that the inverse of  $(\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{K})$  admits a convergent Neumann-type operator series representation,

$$\vec{\mathbb{G}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^\ell \mathbb{K}^\ell \vec{\mathbb{S}}, \quad (2)$$

and allows us to decompose the solution for the multipole coefficients into screening orders. Each power  $\mathbb{K}^\ell$  corresponds to sequences of  $\ell$  screened couplings between particles and generates contributions proportional to products of DH screening factors  $e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}/R_{ij}$  along paths of length  $\ell$  in the interaction network. Projecting (2) componentwise leads to the expansions

$$\vec{\mathbb{G}}_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \vec{\mathbb{G}}_i^{(\ell)}, \quad \mathbf{L}_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{L}_i^{(\ell)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\vec{\mathbb{G}}_i^{(\ell)}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_i^{(\ell)}$  are the  $\ell$ -th order screened contributions to the exterior and interior multipole coefficients of particle  $i$ , respectively (explicit formulas are collected in End Matter and in [1, 73]). In particular,  $\ell = 0$  terms describe local solvation response (Born/Kirkwood) that is independent of interparticle distances  $R_{ij}$ ,  $\ell = 1$  corresponds to pairwise (DLVO-like) interactions, while  $\ell \geq 2$  terms incorporate many-body screened interactions in a systematic and absolutely convergent series ordered by the screening range. This ordering in terms of path length and screening strength provides a natural small parameter and a controllable truncation scheme for practical implementations.

**Screening-ranged expansions for energy and force.** The above screening-ranged relations (3) in turn give rise to the explicit construction of the corresponding screening-ranged expansions for the total electrostatic energy  $\mathcal{E}$  and force  $\mathbf{F}_i$  acting on an arbitrary particle  $i$ :

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{E}^{(\ell)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_i = \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)} \quad (5)$$

(note that  $\mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)}$  is always zero at  $\ell = 0$ , see [74], so the sum in (5) starts at  $\ell = 1$ ). A general explicit construction of the addends  $\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)}$  and  $\mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)}$  is provided in End Matter (see (11), (12)) and in [73, 74] for further technical details.

For instance, if the particles' free distributions are modeled by multipolar moments  $\{\hat{L}_{nm,i}\}$  co-centered with the particles, then, with the exclusion of self-energy terms (to prevent the divergence of  $\mathcal{E}$ , see e.g. [4, 76]), the non-screened ( $\mathbf{R}_{ij}$ -free) addend  $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$  corresponds to the sum of one-body solvation ("Born/Kirkwood") energies. Meanwhile,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{Int}} := \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}^{(0)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{E}^{(\ell)} \quad (6)$$

represents the full interparticle interaction energy.

In the particular case of monopolar charged spheres (e.g. either fixed point charges in their centers or uniform surface charge densities), the first interaction addends, namely  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  of (6) and  $\mathbf{F}_i^{(1)}$  of (5), reproduce the familiar pairwise electrostatic DLVO expressions. Higher-order ( $\ell \geq 2$ ) screened addends have previously been explicitly derived only for two-sphere ( $N = 2$ ) systems and in some approximate/special settings (see below and Refs. [73, 74] for details).

The accurate quantification of ( $\ell \geq 2$ )-screened terms is important because they reveal many-body and mutual polarization effects, significantly impacting the overall interaction landscape beyond leading pairwise DLVO and Coulombic contributions (see [4, 22, 37, 67]). Thus, the many-body analytical formalism proposed here provides, to our knowledge, the first exact framework that quantifies all screening-ranged contributions ( $\forall \ell \geq 0$ ) and derives expressions for their terms in explicit form, which can be evaluated with arbitrary precision and without explicitly solving the system of equations for potential coefficients or surface polarization charges beforehand. Energies and forces are obtained on the same footing, which is essential for consistent dynamical and simulation studies. Remarkably, this formalism applies both to monopolar spheres and to arbitrary fixed charge distributions  $\rho_i^f$  and  $\sigma_i^f$ .

Concerning electrostatic forces, explicit and exact analytical expressions for force components in the LPBE framework were previously known only for specific two-sphere systems [74]. Here, based on the Maxwell stress tensor components derived in [74], we obtain general analytical expressions for the total interaction force  $\mathbf{F}_i$  on any particle  $i$  (yielding, to our knowledge, the first analytical and explicit many-body force expressions for LPBE electrostatics of spheres beyond two-body geometries, see [74] for an overview). Combining these force relations with expansions (9) provides the explicit construction (see (12)) of the desired screening-ranged force expansion (5) and exact quantification of all addends  $\mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)}$ .

The resulting screening-ranged many-body energy and force expansions (4)-(5) converge rapidly with  $\ell$  [73, 74] and are easy to implement numerically. Thus, the first few addends are typically sufficient to accurately approximate the to-

tal energy  $\mathcal{E}$  and force  $\mathbf{F}_i$ , and avoid the need for conventional approaches that require solving laborious systems of equations for potential coefficients or surface polarization charges. As documented in the companion works [73, 74], this rapid convergence holds for representative colloidal and biomolecular parameter sets. Since the most computationally demanding step in harmonic-expansion-based approaches is solving the linear system / inverting the corresponding matrix [77], the identification of easy-to-calculate first addends of (4)-(5) already constitutes a major computational simplification. In the companion papers [73, 74], we present several examples and show that including up to the double-screened addends ( $\ell = 2$ ) already captures the key features of the full energy and force profiles, including LCA and OCR.

As meaningful examples, we apply our formalism to generalized Janus particles and to many interacting dielectric monopolar spheres. Already in the two-sphere case, the method provides new insight into ADS, OCR and LCA phenomena, yielding exact analytical results that improve upon known approximate or limited-case results.

While the theory has been developed for dielectric spheres in an electrolytic solution, it can be shown that, by substituting the coefficients from (3) into (4)-(5), one obtains well-posed addends and correctly reproduces the corresponding energy and force terms also in the  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  limit. Similarly, the limit to infinity for the dielectric constants of some (or all) of the spheres will yield the behavior of conducting particles in the quasi-static regime.

**Application 1: particles with centrally-located point charges.** Consider  $N$  spheres with point charges  $q_i$  in their centers. For clarity, we detail here the energy and force addends of (4)-(5) up to  $\ell = 2$ . Higher orders can be treated in the same systematic way within our framework [73]. In this case,  $\hat{L}_{nm,i} = \kappa q_i \delta_{n,0} / (\sqrt{4\pi} \epsilon_0 \epsilon_i)$  ( $\delta_{\cdot,\cdot}$  is the Kronecker delta). Substituting these  $\hat{L}_{nm,i}$  into (10) and (11a), we recover the well-known expressions  $\mathcal{E}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{8\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{q_i^2}{a_i} \left( \frac{1}{(1+\kappa a_i)\epsilon_{\text{sol}}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_i} \right)$ , corresponding to the sum of single-sphere solvation ("Born/Kirkwood") energies [4, 22, 78], and  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{q_i q_j e^{\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_j - \tilde{R}_{ij}} \kappa}{(1+\tilde{a}_i)(1+\tilde{a}_j)\tilde{R}_{ij}}$ , which is the pairwise DLVO interaction energy.

At  $\ell = 2$  our formalism yields the first many-body relation (13), which, to our knowledge, was previously available only in the special two-sphere ( $N = 2$ ) case. Indeed, the leading term of  $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}|_{N=2}$  was derived by McClurg & Zukoski [53] (MZ approximation), while Fisher, Levin & Li [22] obtained a simplified two-leading-terms form valid for small screened radii (FLL approximation, (14))<sup>1</sup>. Only recently the exact two-sphere result was obtained, in [4]. None of these approaches, however, provides an exact analytical expression in the  $N > 2$  case.

<sup>1</sup> The key result of FLL theory, summarized in Eq. (14), is of particular importance in colloidal science, as for instance the modern vision of the non-additivity effects in binary mixtures is based on it [37].

For  $\ell > 2$ , even less was known: e.g. the leading-term approximation to  $\mathcal{E}^{(3)}|_{N=2}$  was recently obtained in [26] via an energy reciprocity argument, and its full explicit form later in [4]. Again, many-body generalizations remained missing. The present framework closes this gap: higher-order screened addends  $\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)}$  follow directly from (10) and (11a) using the above  $\hat{L}_{nm,i}$ . Fully expanded forms are given in the companion paper [73].

Importantly, this framework allows identifying the specific conditions for the (non)occurrence of less obvious phenomena, such as OCR and LCA. Indeed, in the particular case of two spheres less polarizable than the surrounding medium ( $\epsilon_i \leq \epsilon_{\text{sol}}$ ) and arbitrary  $q_i$ , it turns out that odd- $\ell$  addends  $\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)}|_{N=2}(R)$ , such as DLVO ( $\ell = 1$ ), have the usual Coulombic behavior w.r.t.  $R$ , showing opposite-charge attraction and like-charge repulsion, while even- $\ell$  addends with  $\ell \geq 2$  are repulsive irrespective of the charge. This structure permits OCR (through the competition between attractive odd- $\ell$  and repulsive even- $\ell$  contributions)<sup>2</sup> but forbids LCA. However, this is not the case when particles are more polarizable than the medium ( $\epsilon_i > \epsilon_{\text{sol}}$ ) and  $\kappa > 0$ , where the ionic strength can play a decisive role on the occurrence of OCR and LCA. Despite the existing recent DH-level treatments on the topic (see e.g. [24] and references therein), to our knowledge, OCR in this regime has not been explicitly characterized before in the literature (see [73] for technical details).

In panels **a**, **b** and **c** of Figure 1 the description of OCR on systems with two and three spheres with parameters typical of biophysical settings is presented. According to the DLVO approximation, both identical negative spheres in panel **a** are attracted by the central one. In contrast, the full formalism predicts that spheres 2 and 1 repel each other, due to the local effect of polarization charges which exceeds the Coulombic contribution. Similarly, in the two sphere case of panel **c**, one can see that while the DLVO energy  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ , as well as the MZ and FLL approximations fail at short range, the inclusion of  $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}$  is sufficient to capture the actual interaction profile.

In the  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  limit, the relation (15) is obtained. In the particular two-sphere case with  $a_2 \rightarrow 0$ , it reduces to the three-point image formula derived in the recent work [68]. Similarly, taking  $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $a_i \rightarrow 0$  for  $i > 1$  (i.e. a cloud of point charges scattered around a charged conducting sphere), we reproduce the recent results of [69]. Unlike the formalism presented here, these image-charge-based approaches cannot be generalized to many dielectric spheres in electrolytic solution. Furthermore, asymptotic analysis of Eqs. (4) and (10) in the  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  two-sphere case recovers the same behavior already described (namely all odd- $\ell$  energy addends behave like the Coulombic term, while all even- $\ell$  addends behave like  $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ ), when both spheres are either strictly less or more polarizable

than the surrounding medium. This proves analytically that OCR cannot occur when  $\epsilon_i > \epsilon_{\text{sol}}$  and LCA cannot occur when  $\epsilon_i < \epsilon_{\text{sol}}$ , rigorously answering the questions posed in the very recent (2025) work [57]. These results are consistent with recent effective-dipole-based computational studies [57] and empirical polarizability-volume arguments [70].

As per the force expansion (5), the  $\ell = 1$  contribution (12) reproduces the DLVO force, while already at  $\ell = 2$  we obtain genuinely many-body terms (16) with nontrivial angular couplings. These results were unknown except for the two-sphere case, where (16a) yields (17), reducing further to (18) under very restrictive assumptions: this last form overlaps with the approximate ion-molecule interaction result obtained in [10].

**Application II: Janus particles.** Our second example addresses the interaction of  $N$  (generalized) bipatchy Janus particles. Here the fixed charge is located on the surface (see panel **d** of Fig. 1; each particle has individual  $\sigma_i^f$ ). Employing the Janus-specific densities  $\sigma_i^f$  (see End Matter for details) in (11b) and (12) directly generates the screening-ranged addends of the energy (4) and force (5) expansions. For concreteness we show in (19) the explicit form of the starting energy addends at  $\ell = 0, 1$  in terms of the surface net free charge  $q_i := q_{i,1} + q_{i,2}$  and the vector

$$\mathbf{p}_i := \frac{1}{2}(q_{i,1}(1 + \cos \theta_{i,1}) - q_{i,2}(1 + \cos \theta_{i,2}))a_i \mathbf{z}'_i$$

(noting that one would get  $\mathbf{p}_i = (2a_i)q_{i,1}\mathbf{z}'_i$  if  $q_{i,2} = -q_{i,1}$  and  $\theta_{i,1}, \theta_{i,2} \rightarrow 0$ , so that it is natural to interpret  $\mathbf{p}_i$  as an effective physical dipole moment of the  $i$ -th Janus particle [73]). By analogy with the pairwise interactions of monopolar spheres considered above, the terms made explicit in  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  of (19) may be identified as effective monopole-monopole, monopole-dipole, and dipole-dipole interactions, respectively. Exact analytical quantification of higher-order terms (which correspond to effective quadrupolar, octupolar, and higher multipolar interactions) can likewise be systematically obtained through the same expansion procedure. In practice, this hierarchy allows one to keep only the leading monopolar and dipolar terms when appropriate, while systematically incorporating higher multipoles when anisotropy plays a dominant role.

In recent years, there has been an increased interest in constructing anisotropic DLVO-like interaction descriptions for inhomogeneously/patchy charged particles, reflecting their growing importance in modern colloid and protein science – see e.g. the very recent (2025) work [72] and references therein. A well-known extension of DLVO theory to describe the electrostatic pair interaction of dielectric particles with a substantial dipolar contribution to the surface charge, such as Janus particles, was proposed in 2012, [71], where equal-sized Janus particles consisting of (generally unequally charged) hemispheres were considered. In this particular situation, one sees that our relation  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  of (19) recovers and slightly extends the corresponding result of [71] (the only difference being that [71, Eq. (19b)] approximates our exact factor  $\frac{e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}}{R_{ij}}(\kappa + \frac{1}{R_{ij}})$  by  $\frac{e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}}{R_{ij}^2}$  in monopole-dipole interactions).

<sup>2</sup> Indeed, when  $q_1 q_2 < 0$ , competition between attractive odd- $\ell$  and repulsive even- $\ell$  addends can produce short-range OCR [73]. This corresponds to the asymmetric dielectric screening effect observed in numerical modelling in [24, 77].






FIG. 1. **a)** Electrostatic potential and iso-potential contour lines obtained for a three-sphere system.  $\epsilon_{1-3} = 2$ ,  $\epsilon_{\text{sol}} = 80$ ,  $q_1 = 3e$ ,  $q_{2,3} = -2e$ ,  $\kappa^{-1} = 8.07 \text{ \AA}$ . The arrows represent the forces acting on the spheres. Spheres 2 and 3 are identical, but located at a different distance from sphere 1. The most significant correction introduced by the inclusion of higher order terms consists in an excess negative potential located inside sphere 3. This potential is more pronounced in the region facing sphere 1. This induces a difference in the particle polarization, reflected by the difference in surface charge distribution shown in panel **b)**. **c)** Two spheres interaction energy w.r.t.  $R = R_{12}$ ,  $R \geq 36 \text{ \AA}$ ;  $a_1 + a_2 = 35 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $a_1 = 10a_2$ ,  $\epsilon_1 = 2$ ,  $\epsilon_2 = 3$ ,  $q_1 = 3e$ ,  $q_2 = -2e$ ,  $\epsilon_{\text{sol}} = 80$ ,  $\kappa^{-1} = 40 \text{ \AA}$ . Lines F, 1 and 2 depict the full  $\mathcal{E}^{\text{Int}}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}$  (i.e. DLVO) and  $\mathcal{E}^{(1)} + \mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ , respectively. Lines 2.0, 2.1 and 2.1' correspond to one-term (i.e. MZ), two-term and simplified two-term (i.e. FLL) approximations of  $\mathcal{E}^{(2)}$ , respectively. (Embedded inset shows a close-up view). **d)** Example of  $\sigma_i^f$  for a generalized Janus particle: free charges  $q_{i,1}$  and  $q_{i,2}$  are uniformly distributed over spherical caps of polar angles  $[0, \theta_{i,1}]$  and  $[\pi - \theta_{i,2}, \pi]$ , respectively, while the intermediate surface (i.e.  $(\theta_{i,1}, \pi - \theta_{i,2})$ ) has no fixed charge.

However, and importantly, unlike the theory described in [71], our framework naturally goes beyond pairwise DLVO-like approximations: by setting  $\ell > 1$  in (11b), we obtain exact explicit quantifications of higher-order screened and many-body contributions (detailed in [73]). These contributions demonstrate that the proposed screening-ranged expansion formalism provides a rigorous and systematic route to explicitly and analytically capture anisotropic and collective electrostatic effects in patchy charged particles within the LPBE description.

In summary, we have introduced an exact many-body framework for electrostatics of dielectric spheres in electrolytic solution within the LPBE/DH description. By ex-

ploiting the spectral properties of a Neumann–Poincaré-type operator introduced in [1], we obtain convergent screening-ranged expansions for potentials, energies, and forces, organized by products of DH screening factors and directly applicable to arbitrary fixed charge distributions. The formalism recovers familiar DLVO interactions at leading order and provides explicit higher-order corrections that quantify many-body and mutual-polarization effects, clarify the conditions for ADS and LCA/OCR, and connect to recent image-charge and effective-dipole results [4, 22, 37, 57–61, 67–70]. Our treatment of Janus-type particles further shows how anisotropic and patchy interactions can be captured analytically and extended beyond pairwise DLVO-like descrip-

tions [71, 72]. These results supply a compact, systematically improvable building block for modeling electrostatic interactions in colloidal and soft/biological systems, and they suggest natural extensions to more complex geometries and beyond-linear electrostatic theories. Because the expansions are ordered by screening range and interaction path length, they can be truncated with a clear physical error control, making them particularly suitable as analytical input for coarse-grained models, simulations, and the interpretation of non-additive electrostatic effects. We anticipate that the resulting screening-ranged expansions for potentials, energies, and forces will provide a useful analytical backbone for reduced models and simulations, and for interpreting nonadditive interactions, OCR/LCA, and anisotropic colloids in experiment.

*Data availability.* Codes/data can be found in [79].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the financial support from the European Union - NextGenerationEU and the Ministry of University and Research (MUR), National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP): Research program CN00000013 “National Centre for HPC, Big Data and Quantum Computing”, CUP: J33C22001180001, funded by the D.D. n.1031, 17.06.2022 and Mission 4, Component 2, Investment 1.4 - Avviso “Centri Nazionali” - D.D. n. 3138, 16 December 2021.

## END MATTER

### Appendix: Technical details and notation

We use the standard modified spherical Bessel functions  $k_n(x) := \sqrt{2/\pi} K_{n+1/2}(x)/\sqrt{x}$ ,  $i_n(x) := \sqrt{\pi/2} I_{n+1/2}(x)/\sqrt{x}$ .

#### Derivation of screening-ranged expansions for potentials.

$\Phi_{\text{in},i}$  admits [4, 5] decomposition  $\Phi_{\text{in},i} = \hat{\Phi}_{\text{in},i} + \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}$ , where  $\hat{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r})$  is the Coulombic potential for  $\rho_i^f$ , while  $\Delta\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i} = 0$ . We express the unknown  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}$  and  $\Phi_{\text{out},i}$  (where  $|\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}| < \infty$  as  $r_i \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{out},i} \rightarrow 0$  as  $r_i \rightarrow +\infty$ ) via spherical harmonics  $Y_n^m$ :

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n,m} L_{nm,i} \tilde{r}_i^n Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i), \quad (7a)$$

$$\Phi_{\text{out},i}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n,m} \tilde{G}_{nm,i} Y_{n,i} k_n(\tilde{r}_i) Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i), \quad (7b)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{x}_i$ ,  $\tilde{r}_i := \kappa r_i$ ,  $\tilde{a}_i := \kappa a_i$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i := \mathbf{r}_i/r_i$ ,  $\sum_{n,m} := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n$ , scaling  $Y_{n,i} := ((2n+1)k_n(\tilde{a}_i)a_i)^{-1}$ ; angles of  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i$  are measured in the parallel local coordinate frames of particles [73]. We expand  $\hat{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r})$  (as  $r_i \rightarrow a_i^-$ ) and  $\sigma_i^f$  via  $\hat{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n,m} \hat{L}_{nm,i} \tilde{r}_i^{n-1} Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$ ,  $\sigma_i^f(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i) = \sum_{n,m} \sigma_{nm,i}^f Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)$ , where  $\hat{L}_{nm,i} = \frac{\kappa}{(2n+1)\epsilon_i\epsilon_0} \int_{\Omega_i} \rho_i^f(\mathbf{r}_i) \tilde{r}_i^n Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)^* d\mathbf{r}_i$ ,  $\sigma_{nm,i}^f = a_i^{-2} \oint_{\partial\Omega_i} \sigma_i^f(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i) Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i)^* ds_i$ . Unknown coefficients  $L_{nm,i}$  and  $\tilde{G}_{nm,i}$  of (7) are determined from BCs. To represent all quantities via the same set  $\{Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i)\}$  (for ensuring self-consistent treatment of mutual polarization), we treat  $k_n(\tilde{r}_j)Y_n^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_j)$  of (7b) for  $j \neq i$  by employing fully-analytical re-expansions advanced in the recent Wigner-matrix-free formalism [24, 26]:

$$k_L(\tilde{r}_j)Y_L^M(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_j) = \sum_{l_1,m_1} \mathcal{H}_{l_1m_1}^{LM}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) i_{l_1}(\tilde{r}_i) Y_{l_1}^{m_1}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i), \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{l_1m_1}^{LM}$  handles re-expansion between local frames<sup>3</sup> [1]. Applying (7)-(8) to BCs and eliminating the internal coefficients  $L_{nm,i}$  (see [1, 73] for details), we obtain (1) w.r.t. the global block “vector”  $\vec{\mathbf{G}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_N)^T$  consisting of (infinite-size) vectors  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i := \{\tilde{G}_{nm,i}\}_{0 \leq |m| \leq n}$ . Inverting  $\mathbb{I} + \mathbb{K}$  via an operator Neumann-type series<sup>4</sup> gives (2). Thanks to the structure of  $\mathbb{K}$ , each  $\vec{\mathbf{G}}^{(\ell)}$  corresponds to screening order  $\ell$ , proportional to products of DH screening factors  $e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}/R_{ij}$ , and this entails relations  $\forall i$ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(\ell)}, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(0)} &= \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_i, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(1)} = -\sum_{j \neq i}^N \mathbf{K}_{ij} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_j, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k \neq i,j}^N \mathbf{K}_{ik} \mathbf{K}_{kj} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_j, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(\ell)} &= (-1)^\ell \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k_{\ell-1} \neq i}^N \mathbf{K}_{ik_{\ell-1}} \sum_{k_{\ell-2} \neq k_{\ell-1}}^N \mathbf{K}_{k_{\ell-2} k_{\ell-1}} \\ &\quad \times \dots \times \sum_{k_1 \neq k_2, j}^N \mathbf{K}_{k_2 k_1} \mathbf{K}_{k_1 j} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_j, \end{aligned}$$

where matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{ij}$  and vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_i$  are block components of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{(1)}$ ;  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(0)}$  is independent of any  $R_{ij}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(1)}$  contains elements proportional to factors  $\frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{\tilde{R}_{ij}}$  ( $\tilde{R}_{ij} := \kappa R_{ij}$ ,  $j \neq i$ ),  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(2)}$  — to  $\frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{ik}}}{\tilde{R}_{ik}} \frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{kj}}}{\tilde{R}_{kj}}$  ( $k \neq i, j$ ), and so forth [73]. Similarly, for the vector of internal coefficients  $\mathbf{L}_i = \{L_{nm,i}\}_{0 \leq |m| \leq n}$  we build

$$\mathbf{L}_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{L}_i^{(\ell)}, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_i^{(0)} = \mathbf{C}_i \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{E}_i, \quad \mathbf{L}_i^{(\ell)} = \sum_{j \neq i}^N \mathbf{P}_{ij} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_j^{(\ell-1)}, \quad \ell \geq 1,$$

with  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(\ell)}$  from (9); specific matrices  $\mathbf{P}_{ij}$  ( $\propto e^{-\kappa R_{ij}}/R_{ij}$ ),  $\mathbf{C}_i$ , and vector  $\mathbf{E}_i$  are derived and recorded in detail in [73]. The elements of  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}_i^{(\ell)}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_i^{(\ell)}$  are detailed in [73], with rigorous element-wise absolute convergence proved in [1].

**Spectral properties of  $\mathbb{K}$ .** Coefficients  $\tilde{G}_{nm,i}$  of the DH potentials form infinite square-summable sequences belonging to the  $l^2$  Hilbert space [1]. We require that spheres are non-overlapping, but do not make any further additional assumption on  $\kappa$ ,  $a_i$ ,  $R_{ij}$ , or on their ratios. We then prove in [1] that operator  $\mathbb{K}: l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ , where  $l^2 := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N l^2(\{\tilde{G}_{nm,i}\}_{0 \leq |m| \leq n})$ , is compact and discuss the spectral radius bound  $r(\mathbb{K}) < 1$  to prove that the operator series in (2) converges.

**General construction of the screened energy addends in (4).** First assuming for simplicity  $\forall \sigma_i^f = 0$  we have  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^{\text{fixed}}(\mathbf{r}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_i} \rho_i^f(\mathbf{r}) \Phi_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$ . The predetermined non-screened ( $\mathbf{R}_{ij}$ -free)  $\hat{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}$ -contributions (if any) are to be ascribed to  $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$ , while for  $\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}$ -contributions

<sup>3</sup> Other treatments of offside ( $j \neq i$ ) contributions exist (Wigner rotation matrices, numerical iterative re-expansion, Lebedev quadratures, etc. — see [73]), but they hinder a rigorous analytical study and are irrelevant for building an exact fully-analytical solution.

<sup>4</sup> This inversion is justified by spectral properties of  $\mathbb{K}$  proved in [1] (note that traditional spectra-localization-based methods fail here due to row/column norms exceeding 1, in general, and the fact that  $\mathbb{K}$  consists of infinite-sized blocks).

we have  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_i} \rho_i^f(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{in},i}(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_0}{\kappa} \sum_{n,m} (2n+1) \hat{L}_{nm,i}^* L_{nm,i}$ ; using  $L_{nm,i} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{+\infty} L_{nm,i}^{(\ell)}$  yielded by (10) we get

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_0}{\kappa} \sum_{n,m} (2n+1) \hat{L}_{nm,i}^* L_{nm,i}^{(\ell)}. \quad (11a)$$

Likewise in the case of  $\sigma_i^f \neq 0$  but  $\forall \rho_i^f = 0$ , from  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \oint_{\partial\Omega_i} \sigma_i^f(\hat{\mathbf{s}}) \Phi(\hat{\mathbf{s}}) ds$  we obtain addends

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\ell)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^2 \sum_{n,m} \sigma_{nm,i}^* \tilde{a}_i^n L_{nm,i}^{(\ell)}. \quad (11b)$$

Finally, the case of both nonzero  $\rho_i^f$  and  $\sigma_i^f$  can be handled using the ones discussed above.

**General construction of the screened force addends in (5).** We have (for derivation details see [74])

$$(\mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)})_x = \text{Re } \mathfrak{F}_i^{(\ell)}, \quad (\mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)})_y = \text{Im } \mathfrak{F}_i^{(\ell)}, \quad (\mathbf{F}_i^{(\ell)})_z = \mathfrak{Z}_i^{(\ell)} \quad (12)$$

where the corresponding  $\ell$ -screened quantities  $\mathfrak{F}_i^{(\ell)}, \mathfrak{Z}_i^{(\ell)}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_i^{(\ell)} &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} \sum_{n,m} \sqrt{\frac{(n-m+1)(n-m+2)}{(2n+1)(2n+3)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (B_{n+1,m-1;i}^{(k)} A_{n,m;i}^{(\ell-k)*} \\ &\quad - \tilde{a}_i^2 \Psi_{n+1,m-1;i}^{(k)} \Psi_{n,m;i}^{(\ell-k)*}) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{Z}_i^{(\ell)} &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} \sum_{n,m} \sqrt{\frac{(n-m+1)(n-m+1)}{(2n+1)(2n+3)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (B_{n+1,m;i}^{(k)} A_{n,m;i}^{(\ell-k)*} \\ &\quad - \tilde{a}_i^2 \Psi_{n+1,m;i}^{(k)} \Psi_{n,m;i}^{(\ell-k)*}), \quad \text{where } \forall k = \overline{0, \dots, \ell} \text{ it is defined} \\ A_{n,m;i}^{(k)} &= \Xi_{n,m;i}^{(k)} - n \Psi_{n,m;i}^{(k)}, \quad B_{n,m;i}^{(k)} = \Xi_{n,m;i}^{(k)} + (n+1) \Psi_{n,m;i}^{(k)}, \\ \Psi_{n,m;i}^{(k)} &= k_n(\tilde{a}_i) G_{nm,i}^{(k)} + i_n(\tilde{a}_i) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{L,M} \mathcal{H}_{nm}^{LM}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) G_{LM,j}^{(k-1)}, \\ \Xi_{n,m;i}^{(k)} &= (nk_n(\tilde{a}_i) - \tilde{a}_i k_{n+1}(\tilde{a}_i)) G_{nm,i}^{(k)} + (ni_n(\tilde{a}_i) + \tilde{a}_i i_{n+1}(\tilde{a}_i)) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{L,M} \mathcal{H}_{nm}^{LM}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) G_{LM,j}^{(k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

(sums involving  $G_{LM,j}^{(k-1)}$  in  $\Psi_{n,m;i}^{(k)}$  and  $\Xi_{n,m;i}^{(k)}$  are omitted at  $k = 0$ , and  $G_{nm,i}^{(k)} = \tilde{G}_{nm,i}^{(k)} \Upsilon_{n,i}$  due to the scale  $\Upsilon_{n,i}$  defined above). Total force  $\mathbf{F}_i$  admits decomposition  $\mathbf{F}_i = \check{\mathbf{F}}_i + \mathring{\mathbf{F}}_i$ , where  $\check{\mathbf{F}}_i$  and  $\mathring{\mathbf{F}}_i$  represent the conventional electrostatic and the osmotic parts of Maxwell stress tensor [74]; in the expressions above the  $\mathring{\mathbf{F}}_i$ -components are determined by the  $\Psi\Psi^*$ -type products.

**Spheres with centrally-located point charges  $q_i$ .** Here  $\hat{L}_{nm,i} = \kappa q_i \delta_{n,0} / (\sqrt{4\pi} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_i)$ , so we obtain elaborating on (11a):

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2)} = \frac{-\kappa}{8\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{q_i e^{\tilde{a}_i}}{1 + \tilde{a}_i} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N \frac{q_p e^{\tilde{a}_p}}{1 + \tilde{a}_p} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}) l \tilde{a}_j^{-1} i_l(\tilde{a}_j) - \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} i_{l+1}(\tilde{a}_j)}{(\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}) l \tilde{a}_j^{-1} k_l(\tilde{a}_j) + \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} k_{l+1}(\tilde{a}_j)} (2l+1) k_l(\tilde{R}_{ij}) k_l(\tilde{R}_{jp}) P_l(\cos \gamma_{ji,jp}) \quad (13a)$$

$$= \frac{\kappa}{16\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{q_i e^{\tilde{a}_i}}{1 + \tilde{a}_i} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N \frac{q_p e^{\tilde{a}_p}}{1 + \tilde{a}_p} \frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} \frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{jp}}}{\tilde{R}_{jp}} \left( d_{0,j} - 3d_{2,j} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{jp}}\right) \cos \gamma_{ji,jp} \right) + / \geq 2 \text{ terms} / , \quad (13b)$$

where (13b) merely shows an expanded form of the  $l = 0, 1$  addends of (13a) after explicit substitution of the Bessel functions expressions into them,  $\gamma_{ji,jp}$  is the angle between  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ji}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{jp}$ , and  $d_{0,i} := e^{2\tilde{a}_i} \frac{\tilde{a}_i - 1}{\tilde{a}_i + 1} + 1$ ,  $d_{2,i} := e^{2\tilde{a}_i} \frac{(\varepsilon_i + 2\varepsilon_{\text{sol}})(\tilde{a}_i - 1) - \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} \tilde{a}_i^2}{(\varepsilon_i + 2\varepsilon_{\text{sol}})(1 + \tilde{a}_i) + \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} \tilde{a}_i^2} + 1$ . If  $N = 2$  and  $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2$  are small enough (small/weakly-screened particles) so that  $d_{0,i} \approx \frac{2}{3} \tilde{a}_i^3$ ,  $d_{2,i} \approx \frac{2(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{\text{sol}})}{3(\varepsilon_i + 2\varepsilon_{\text{sol}})} \tilde{a}_i^3$ , then (13b) yields

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2)}|_{N=2} \approx \frac{\kappa e^{-2\tilde{R}_{12}}}{8\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} \tilde{R}_{12}^2} \left[ \frac{q_1^2 e^{2\tilde{a}_1} \tilde{a}_2^3}{(1 + \tilde{a}_1)^2} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{12}}\right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{sol}} - \varepsilon_2}{2\varepsilon_{\text{sol}} + \varepsilon_2} \right) + \frac{q_2^2 e^{2\tilde{a}_2} \tilde{a}_1^3}{(1 + \tilde{a}_2)^2} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{12}}\right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{sol}} - \varepsilon_1}{2\varepsilon_{\text{sol}} + \varepsilon_1} \right) \right]. \quad (14)$$

The right-hand side of (13a) boils down to the following many-body expression in the  $\kappa \rightarrow 0$  limit:

$$\mathcal{E}^{(2)}|_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} = \frac{-1}{8\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N q_p (\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}) \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} \frac{l a_j^{2l+1}}{(l \varepsilon_j + (l+1) \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}) R_{ij}^{l+1} R_{jp}^{l+1}} P_l(\cos \gamma_{ji,jp}). \quad (15)$$

For the  $\ell = 2$ -screened force we arrive at  $\mathbf{F}_i^{(2)} = \check{\mathbf{F}}_i^{(2)} + \mathring{\mathbf{F}}_i^{(2)}$  in (12) with leading terms (see [74] for derivation / further details)

$$\check{\mathbf{F}}_i^{(2)} = \frac{d_{3,i} d_{4,i} \kappa^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N \frac{q_j q_p e^{\tilde{a}_j + \tilde{a}_p} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ip}}}{(1 + \tilde{a}_j)(1 + \tilde{a}_p) \tilde{R}_{ij} \tilde{R}_{ip}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ip}}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{ijip} - \frac{q_i e^{\tilde{a}_i} d_{1,i} \kappa^2}{8\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{sol}}} \quad (16a)$$

$$\times \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N \frac{q_p e^{\tilde{a}_p} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}} e^{-\tilde{R}_{jp}}}{(1 + \tilde{a}_p) \tilde{R}_{ij} \tilde{R}_{jp}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}}\right) d_{0,j} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} + \{ \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{jp} + \mathfrak{F}_{ijjp} \} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{jp}}\right) d_{2,j} \right] + \dots,$$

$$\mathring{\mathbf{F}}_i^{(2)} = \frac{-\kappa^2 \tilde{a}_i^2}{4\pi \varepsilon_0} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N \frac{q_j q_p e^{\tilde{a}_j + \tilde{a}_p} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ip}}}{(1 + \tilde{a}_j)(1 + \tilde{a}_p) \tilde{R}_{ij} \tilde{R}_{ip}} \left[ \frac{e^{2\tilde{a}_i} \tilde{a}_i d'_{1,i}}{1 + \tilde{a}_i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}}\right) \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{\text{sol}} d'_{3,i} d'_{4,i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ip}}\right) \mathfrak{F}_{ijip} \right] \quad (16b)$$

$$-\frac{q_i e^{\tilde{a}_i} d'_{1,i} \kappa^2 \tilde{a}_i^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0(1+\tilde{a}_i)} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \sum_{p=1, p \neq j}^N \frac{q_p e^{\tilde{a}_p}}{1+\tilde{a}_p} \frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} \frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{jp}}}{\tilde{R}_{jp}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}}\right) d_{0,j} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} + \{ \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{jp} + \mathfrak{F}_{ijjp} \} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{jp}}\right) d_{2,j} \right] + \dots,$$

where vector  $\mathfrak{F}_{ijkp} := (1 + 3\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-1} + 3\tilde{R}_{ij}^{-2})(3(\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{kp})\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{kp})$ ,  $\iota$  is a complex unit,  $d'_{1,i} := ((\epsilon_i + 2\epsilon_{\text{sol}})(1 + \tilde{a}_i) + \epsilon_{\text{sol}}\tilde{a}_i^2)^{-1}$ ,  $d_{1,i} := (\epsilon_i + 2\epsilon_{\text{sol}})d'_{1,i}$ ,  $d'_{3,i} := e^{2\tilde{a}_i}\tilde{a}_i^3 d'_{1,i}$ ,  $d'_{4,i} := ((2\epsilon_i + 3\epsilon_{\text{sol}})(\tilde{a}_i^2 + 3\tilde{a}_i + 3) + \epsilon_{\text{sol}}\tilde{a}_i^2(1 + \tilde{a}_i))^{-1}$ ,  $d_{3,i} := (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{\text{sol}})d'_{3,i}$ ,  $d_{4,i} := (2\epsilon_i + 3\epsilon_{\text{sol}})d'_{4,i}$ . In the particular case of two ( $N = 2$ )  $z$ -aligned spheres and  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ , relation (16a) boils down to

$$(\check{\mathbf{F}}_i^{(2)})_z = \frac{q_i^2 \kappa^2 e^{2\tilde{a}_i - 2\tilde{R}} d_{1,i}}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{\text{sol}}(1+\tilde{a}_i)\tilde{R}^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}}\right) \left( \left(3 + \frac{6}{\tilde{R}} + \frac{6}{\tilde{R}^2}\right) d_{2,j} - d_{0,j} \right) + \frac{q_j^2 \kappa^2 e^{2\tilde{a}_j - 2\tilde{R}} d_{3,i} d_{4,i}}{2\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{\text{sol}}(1+\tilde{a}_j)^2 \tilde{R}^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{3}{\tilde{R}} + \frac{3}{\tilde{R}^2}\right) + \dots \quad (17)$$

If in (17) one assumes  $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{\text{sol}}$  (sphere  $i$  is non-polarizable),  $q_j = 0$  (sphere  $j$  is polarizable with zero charge),  $\tilde{a}_i \ll \tilde{R} \ll 1$  and  $\tilde{a}_j \ll \tilde{R} \ll 1$  (weak screening and large inter-particle separation of small particles), and expands factors  $d_{0,j}$  and  $d_{2,j}$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{a}_j$  (similarly to the derivation of the FLL energy relation (14) above), then (17) yields relation derived in 2016, in [10, Eq. (34)]:

$$(\check{\mathbf{F}}_i^{(2)})_z \approx \frac{q_i^2 a_j^3 e^{-2\kappa R} (1 + \kappa R)}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{\text{sol}}R^5} \left( \frac{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_{\text{sol}}}{\epsilon_j + 2\epsilon_{\text{sol}}} (2 + 2\kappa R + \kappa^2 R^2) - \frac{1}{3} \kappa^2 R^2 \right). \quad (18)$$

**Janus particles.** For Janus particles we have  $\sigma_{nm,i}^f = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{\sqrt{2n+1}} (-1)^m Y_n^{-m}(\theta_i^r, \varphi_i^r) \sigma_{n0,i}^{f,\text{can}}$ , where angles  $(\theta_i^r, \varphi_i^r)$  define the orientation of axis  $\mathbf{z}_i^r$  (see panel **d** of Fig. 1) and  $\sigma_{00,i}^{f,\text{can}} = \frac{q_{i,1} + q_{i,2}}{\sqrt{4\pi a_i^2}}$ ,  $\sigma_{nm,i}^{f,\text{can}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2n+1}} ((P_{n-1}(\cos \theta_{i,1}) - P_{n+1}(\cos \theta_{i,1})) \zeta_{i,1} + (-1)^n (P_{n-1}(\cos \theta_{i,2}) - P_{n+1}(\cos \theta_{i,2})) \zeta_{i,2}) \delta_{m,0}$  (as  $n > 0$ ),  $\zeta_{i,1(2)} := \frac{q_{i,1(2)}}{2\pi a_i^2 (1 - \cos \theta_{i,1(2)})}$ ,  $P_n$  are the Legendre polynomials. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{q_i^2}{(1+\tilde{a}_i)a_i} + \frac{3}{8\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1+\tilde{a}_i)d'_{1,i}}{a_i^3} \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_i + \dots; \quad \mathcal{E}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{8\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_{\text{sol}}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{q_i q_j e^{\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_j} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{(1+\tilde{a}_i)(1+\tilde{a}_j)\tilde{R}_{ij}} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{8\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{q_i e^{\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_j} d'_{1,i} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{(1+\tilde{a}_i)\tilde{R}_{ij}} \left( \kappa + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} \right) \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} + \frac{3}{8\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{q_j e^{\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_j} d'_{1,i} e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{(1+\tilde{a}_j)\tilde{R}_{ij}} \left( \kappa + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} \right) \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij} \quad (19) \\ &\quad + \frac{9\epsilon_{\text{sol}}}{8\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N e^{\tilde{a}_i + \tilde{a}_j} d'_{1,i} d'_{1,j} \left[ \left( \frac{\kappa}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\tilde{R}_{ij}^2} \right) \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j - \left( \kappa^2 + \frac{3\kappa}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} + \frac{3}{\tilde{R}_{ij}^2} \right) (\mathbf{p}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij})(\mathbf{p}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ij}) \right] \frac{e^{-\tilde{R}_{ij}}}{\tilde{R}_{ij}} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

\* accandar@gmail.com

† W. Rocchia (*corresponding co-author*): walter.rocchia@iit.it

- [1] S. V. Siryk and W. Rocchia, Many interacting particles in solution. III. Spectral analysis of the associated Neumann–Poincaré-type operators, arXiv preprint (2025), arXiv:2512.08684.
- [2] F. B. Sheinerman, R. Norel, and B. Honig, Electrostatic aspects of protein protein interactions, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **10**, 153159 (2000).
- [3] R. Blossey, *The Poisson-Boltzmann Equation* (Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, 2023).
- [4] S. Siryk, A. Bendandi, A. Diaspro, and W. Rocchia, Charged dielectric spheres interacting in electrolytic solution: a linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation model, *J. Chem. Phys.* **155**, 114114 (2021).
- [5] S. Siryk and W. Rocchia, Arbitrary-shape dielectric particles interacting in the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann framework: An analytical treatment, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **126**, 10400 (2022).
- [6] K. Barros and E. Luijten, Dielectric effects in the self-assembly of binary colloidal aggregates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 017801 (2014).
- [7] W. Yang and W. Rocchia, Biomolecular electrostatic phenomena: An evergreen field, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **127**, 3979 (2023).
- [8] E. Besley, Recent developments in the methods and applications of electrostatic theory, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **56**, 2267 (2023).
- [9] G. A. Cisneros, M. Karttunen, P. Ren, and C. Sagui, Classical electrostatics for biomolecular simulations, *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 779 (2014).
- [10] I. N. Derbenev, A. V. Filippov, A. J. Stace, and E. Besley, Electrostatic interactions between charged dielectric particles in an electrolyte solution, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**, 084103 (2016).
- [11] I. N. Derbenev, A. V. Filippov, A. J. Stace, and E. Besley, Electrostatic interactions between charged dielectric particles in an electrolyte solution: constant potential boundary conditions, *Soft Matter* **14**, 5480 (2018).
- [12] C. Chen, B. Yu, R. Yousefi, J. Iwahara, and B. Pettitt, Assessment of the components of the electrostatic potential of proteins in solution: comparing experiment and theory, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **126**, 4543 (2022).
- [13] E. Trizac, L. Bocquet, and M. Aubouy, Simple approach for charge renormalization in highly charged macroions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 248301 (2002).
- [14] E. Trizac, L. Bocquet, M. Aubouy, and H. H. von Grunberg, Alexander's prescription for colloidal charge renormalization, *Langmuir* **19**, 4027 (2003).



- [15] L. Samaj and E. Trizac, Effective charge of cylindrical and spherical colloids immersed in an electrolyte: the quasi-planar limit, *J. Phys. A* **48**, 265003 (2015).
- [16] N. Boon, G. I. Guerrero-Garcia, R. van Roij, and M. O. de la Cruz, Effective charges and virial pressure of concentrated macroion solutions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 9242 (2015).
- [17] M. Krishnan, A simple model for electrical charge in globular macromolecules and linear polyelectrolytes in solution, *J. Chem. Phys.* **146**, 205101 (2017).
- [18] A. Schlaich, S. Tyagi, S. Kesselheim, M. Sega, and C. Holm, Renormalized charge and dielectric effects in colloidal interactions: a numerical solution of the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann equation for unknown boundary conditions, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **46**, 80 (2023).
- [19] M. E. Brito, G. Nägele, and A. R. Denton, Effective interactions, structure, and pressure in charge-stabilized colloidal suspensions: Critical assessment of charge renormalization methods, *J. Chem. Phys.* **159**, 204904 (2023).
- [20] N. Boon, E. C. Gallardo, S. Zheng, E. Eggen, M. Dijkstra, and R. van Roij, Screening of heterogeneous surfaces: charge renormalization of Janus particles, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **22**, 104104 (2010).
- [21] S. Alexander, P. M. Chaikin, P. Grant, G. J. Morales, P. Pincus, and D. J. Hone, Charge renormalization, osmotic pressure, and bulk modulus of colloidal crystals: Theory, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **80**, 5776 (1984).
- [22] M. E. Fisher, Y. Levin, and X. Li, The interaction of ions in an ionic medium, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101**, 2273 (1994).
- [23] J. Janacek and R. R. Netz, Effective screening length and quasi-universality for the restricted primitive model of an electrolyte solution, *J. Chem. Phys.* **130**, 074502 (2009).
- [24] O. I. Obolensky, T. P. Doerr, and Y.-K. Yu, Rigorous treatment of pairwise and many-body electrostatic interactions among dielectric spheres at the Debye-Hückel level, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **44**, 129 (2021).
- [25] G. C. Ponce de Leon and G. Palasantzas, Effects of patch potentials in electrostatic double-layer forces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 246202 (2024).
- [26] Y.-K. Yu, Electrostatics of charged dielectric spheres with application to biological systems. III. Rigorous ionic screening at the Debye-Hückel level, *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 052404 (2020).
- [27] A. M. Tabrizi, S. Goossens, A. M. Rahimi, C. D. Cooper, M. G. Knepley, and J. P. Bardhan, Extending the solvation-layer interface condition continuum electrostatic model to a linearized Poisson-Boltzmann solvent, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **13**, 2897 (2017).
- [28] A. Filippov and V. Starov, Interaction of nanoparticles in electrolyte solutions, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **127**, 6562 (2023).
- [29] A. V. Filippov, I. N. Derbenev, A. A. Pautov, and M. M. Rodin, Electrostatic interaction of macroparticles in a plasma in the strong screening regime, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **125**, 518 (2017).
- [30] E. Lindgren, C. Quan, and B. Stamm, Theoretical analysis of screened many-body electrostatic interactions between charged polarizable particles, *J. Chem. Phys.* **150**, 044901 (2019).
- [31] A. Jha, M. Nottoli, A. Mikhalev, C. Quan, and B. Stamm, Linear scaling computation of forces for the domain-decomposition linear Poisson-Boltzmann method, *J. Chem. Phys.* **158**, 104105 (2023).
- [32] I. Addison-Smith, H. V. Guzman, and C. D. Cooper, Accurate boundary integral formulations for the calculation of electrostatic forces with an implicit-solvent model, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **19**, 2996 (2023).
- [33] G. M. Silva, X. Liang, and G. M. Kontogeorgis, Investigation of the limits of the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **126**, 4112 (2022).
- [34] S. D. Search, C. D. Cooper, and E. van't Wout, Towards optimal boundary integral formulations of the Poisson-Boltzmann equation for molecular electrostatics, *J. Comput. Chem.* **43**, 674 (2022).
- [35] B. Wang, W. Zhang, and W. Cai, Fast multipole method for 3-D Poisson-Boltzmann equation in layered electrolyte-dielectric media, *J. Comp. Phys.* **439**, 110379 (2021).
- [36] H. Ruixuan, A. Majee, J. Dobnikar, and R. Podgornik, Electrostatic interactions between charge regulated spherical macroions, *The European Physical Journal E* **46**, 115 (2023).
- [37] S. Finlayson and P. Bartlett, Non-additivity of pair interactions in charged colloids, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**, 034905 (2016).
- [38] T. Markovich, D. Andelman, and R. Podgornik, Charged membranes: Poisson-Boltzmann theory, the DLVO paradigm, and beyond, in *Handbook of lipid membranes*, edited by C. R. Safinya and J. O. Rädler (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2021) Chap. 6, pp. 99–128.
- [39] P. Khunpetch, A. Majee, H. Ruixuan, and R. Podgornik, Curvature effects in interfacial acidity of amphiphilic vesicles, *Phys. Rev. E* **108**, 024402 (2023).
- [40] M. Bosy, M. W. Scroggs, T. Betcke, E. Burman, and C. D. Cooper, Coupling finite and boundary element methods to solve the Poisson-Boltzmann equation for electrostatics in molecular solvation, *J. Comput. Chem.* **45**, 787 (2024).
- [41] L. E. Felberg, D. H. Brookes, E.-H. Yap, E. Jurrus, N. A. Baker, and T. Head-Gordon, PB-AM: An open-source, fully analytical linear Poisson-Boltzmann solver, *J. Comput. Chem.* **38**, 1275 (2017).
- [42] D. S. Ether, F. S. S. Rosa, D. M. Tibaduiza, L. B. Pires, R. S. Decca, and P. A. M. Neto, Double-layer force suppression between charged microspheres, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 022611 (2018).
- [43] D. E. Folescu and A. V. Onufriev, A closed-form, analytical approximation for apparent surface charge and electric field of molecules, *ACS Omega* **7**, 26123 (2022).
- [44] S. Nakov, E. Sobakinskaya, T. Renger, and J. Kraus, ARGOS: An adaptive refinement goal-oriented solver for the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation, *J. Comput. Chem.* **42**, 1832 (2021).
- [45] P. S. Majee and H. Ohshima, Analytical study on diffusiphoresis of soft particles: Role of surface-charge-mobility-dependent hydrophobic core, *Phys. Rev. E* **111**, 055407 (2025).
- [46] K. Coshic, C. Maffeo, D. Winogradoff, and A. Aksimentiev, The structure and physical properties of a packaged bacteriophage particle, *Nature* **627**, 905 (2024).
- [47] M. Domingo, H. V. Guzman, M. Kanduc, and J. Faraudo, Electrostatic interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and charged surfaces: Spike protein evolution changed the game, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **65**, 240 (2025).
- [48] V. Di Florio, P. Ansalone, S. V. Stryk, S. Decherchi, C. de Falco, and W. Rocchia, NextGenPB: An analytically-enabled super resolution tool for solving the Poisson-Boltzmann equation featuring local (de)refinement, *Computer Physics Communications* **317**, 109816 (2025).
- [49] M. Guerrero-Montero, M. Bosy, and C. D. Cooper, Some challenges of diffused interfaces in implicit-solvent models, *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **46**, e70036 (2025).
- [50] L. Wilson, W. Geng, and R. Krasny, TABI-PB 2.0: An improved version of the treecode-accelerated boundary integral Poisson-Boltzmann solver, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **126**, 7104 (2022).
- [51] J. G. Kirkwood, Theory of solutions of molecules containing widely separated charges with special application to zwitterions, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2**, 351 (1934).

- [52] E. B. Lindgren, H.-K. Chan, A. J. Stace, and E. Besley, Progress in the theory of electrostatic interactions between charged particles, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 5883 (2016).
- [53] R. B. McClurg and C. F. Zukoski, The electrostatic interaction of rigid, globular proteins with arbitrary charge distributions, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **208**, 529 (1998).
- [54] Z. Gan, Z. Wang, S. Jiang, Z. Xu, and E. Luijten, Efficient dynamic simulations of charged dielectric colloids through a novel hybrid method, *J. Chem. Phys.* **151**, 024112 (2019).
- [55] K. Barros, D. Sinkovits, and E. Luijten, Efficient and accurate simulation of dynamic dielectric objects, *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 064903 (2014).
- [56] Y.-K. Yu, Electrostatics of charged dielectric spheres with application to biological systems. II. A formalism bypassing Wigner rotation matrices, *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 012401 (2019).
- [57] Y. Duan, Z. Gan, and H.-K. Chan, Mechanisms of electrostatic interactions between two charged dielectric spheres inside a polarizable medium: an effective-dipole analysis, *Soft Matter* **21**, 1860 (2025).
- [58] J. Qin, Charge polarization near dielectric interfaces and the multiple-scattering formalism, *Soft Matter* **15**, 2125 (2019).
- [59] K. S. Gustafson, G. Xu, K. F. Freed, and J. Qin, Image method for electrostatic energy of polarizable dipolar spheres, *J. Chem. Phys.* **147**, 064908 (2017).
- [60] J. Qin, J. de Pablo, and K. F. Freed, Image method for induced surface charge from many-body system of dielectric spheres, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**, 124903 (2016).
- [61] K. F. Freed, Perturbative many-body expansion for electrostatic energy and field for system of polarizable charged spherical ions in a dielectric medium, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 034115 (2014).
- [62] Z. Gan, S. Jiang, E. Luijten, and Z. Xu, A hybrid method for systems of closely spaced dielectric spheres and ions, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **38**, B375 (2016).
- [63] E. B. Lindgren, A. J. Stace, E. Polack, Y. Maday, B. Stamm, and E. Besley, An integral equation approach to calculate electrostatic interactions in many-body dielectric systems, *Journal of Computational Physics* **371**, 712 (2018).
- [64] M. Hassan and B. Stamm, An integral equation formulation of the N-body dielectric spheres problem. part I: numerical analysis, *ESAIM: M2AN* **55**, S65 (2021).
- [65] M. Hassan, C. Williamson, J. Baptiste, S. Braun, A. Stace, E. Besley, and B. Stamm, Manipulating interactions between dielectric particles with electric fields: A general electrostatic many-body framework, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **18**, 6281 (2022).
- [66] E. B. Lindgren, H. Avis, A. Miller, B. Stamm, E. Besley, and A. J. Stace, The significance of multipole interactions for the stability of regular structures composed from charged particles, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **663**, 458 (2024).
- [67] X. Li, Y. Levin, and M. Fisher, Cavity forces and criticality in electrolytes, *Europhys. Lett.* **26**, 683 (1994).
- [68] Y. Duan and Z. Gan, Quantitative theory for critical conditions of like-charge attraction between polarizable spheres, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **21**, 2822 (2025).
- [69] J. M. Randazzo, R. D. Picca, A. J. Sarsa, and L. U. Ancarani, Spherical image potentials for an N-charged particle system, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **480**, 20240357 (2024).
- [70] H.-K. Chan, A theory for like-charge attraction of polarizable ions, *Journal of Electrostatics* **105**, 103435 (2020).
- [71] J. de Graaf, N. Boon, M. Dijkstra, and R. van Roij, Electrostatic interactions between Janus particles, *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 104910 (2012).
- [72] A. Gnidovec, E. Locatelli, S. Copar, A. Bozic, and E. Bianchi, Anisotropic DLVO-like interaction for charge patchiness in colloids and proteins, *Nature Communications* **16**, 4277 (2025).
- [73] S. V. Sirk and W. Rocchia, Many interacting particles in solution. I. Screening-ranged expansions of electrostatic potential and energy, *arXiv preprint* (2025), [arXiv:2512.08407](https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.08407).
- [74] S. V. Sirk and W. Rocchia, Many interacting particles in solution. II. Screening-ranged expansion of electrostatic forces, *arXiv preprint* (2025), [arXiv:2512.08682](https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.08682).
- [75] T. Curk and E. Luijten, Charge regulation effects in nanoparticle self-assembly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 138003 (2021).
- [76] W. Rocchia, E. Alexov, and B. Honig, Extending the applicability of the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann equation: multiple dielectric constants and multivalent ions, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **105**, 6507 (2001).
- [77] T. Doerr and Y.-K. Yu, Electrostatics of charged dielectric spheres with application to biological systems, *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 061902 (2006).
- [78] J. Che, J. Dzubiella, B. Li, and J. A. McCammon, Electrostatic free energy and its variations in implicit solvent models, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **112**, 3058 (2008).
- [79] GitHub repository, [https://github.com/concept-lab/Analytical\\_Electrostatics](https://github.com/concept-lab/Analytical_Electrostatics) (2025).