

Detection and Localization of Subdural Hematoma Using Deep Learning on Computed Tomography

Vasiliki Stoumpou, BSc¹; Rohan Kumar, BSc^{1,2}; Bernard Burman, BSc³;
Diego Ojeda, MD⁴; Tapan Mehta, MD, MPH^{4,5}; Dimitris Bertsimas, PhD^{1,6}

¹Operations Research Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

²Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

³Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁴University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT, USA

⁵Hartford HealthCare, Hartford, CT, USA

⁶Sloan School of Management, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

*Corresponding author: Dimitris Bertsimas

Sloan School of Management, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA, 02142

dbertsim@mit.edu

Abstract

Background. Subdural hematoma (SDH) is a common neurosurgical emergency, with increasing incidence in aging populations. Rapid and accurate identification is essential to guide timely intervention, yet existing automated tools focus primarily on detection and provide limited interpretability or spatial localization. There remains a need for transparent, high-performing systems that integrate multimodal clinical and imaging information to support real-time decision-making.

Methods. We developed a multimodal deep-learning framework that integrates structured clinical variables, a 3D convolutional neural network trained on CT volumes, and a transformer-enhanced 2D segmentation model for SDH detection and localization. Using 25,315 head CT studies from Hartford HealthCare (2015–2024), of which 3,774 (14.9%) contained clinician-confirmed SDH, tabular models were trained on demographics, comorbidities, medications, and laboratory results; imaging models were trained to detect SDH and generate voxel-level probability maps. A greedy ensemble strategy combined complementary predictors. The primary outcome was area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) for SDH detection; secondary outcomes included Dice coefficient and qualitative interpretability of localization outputs.

Findings. Clinical variables alone provided modest discriminatory power (AUC 0.75). Convolutional models trained on CT volumes and segmentation-derived maps achieved substantially higher accuracy (AUCs 0.922 and 0.926). The multimodal ensemble integrating all components achieved the best overall performance (AUC 0.9407; 95% CI, 0.930–0.951) and produced anatomically meaningful localization maps consistent with known SDH patterns.

Interpretation. This multimodal, interpretable framework provides rapid and accurate SDH detection and localization, achieving high detection performance and offering transparent, anatomically grounded outputs. Integration into radiology workflows could streamline triage, reduce time to intervention, and improve consistency in SDH management.

Funding. The work was supported by institutional resources from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Hartford HealthCare.

Keywords: Subdural hematoma, Detection, Localization, Medical image segmentation, Computed Tomography, Deep Learning

1. Introduction

A subdural hematoma (SDH) is an intracranial bleed occurring in the subdural space, between the skull and the brain, and can cause mass effect and neurological deterioration. SDHs are broadly classified by acuity into acute, subacute, and chronic types; acute SDH often results from traumatic injury, whereas chronic SDH may evolve slowly over weeks or months. Risk factors include increasing age, head trauma (even mild), anticoagulant or antiplatelet use, coagulopathies, and alcohol use.

The incidence of SDH and chronic SDH is increasing; estimates suggest that over 90,000 hospitalizations per year in the

U.S. are attributed to SDH,¹ and approximately 60,000 Americans annually may develop chronic SDH alone.² Chronic SDH incidence rates in the literature range from around 1.7 to 20.6 per 100,000 persons per year, with much higher rates in the elderly population.^{3,4} Mortality for acute traumatic SDH remains significant; acute SDH mortality remains high (14–50% across cohorts),^{5,6} whereas chronic SDH carries lower mortality but up to 20% recurrence after surgical evacuation.^{7,8,9}

Diagnostic inaccuracy or delayed intervention can worsen neurological outcomes of SDH. Several studies have demonstrated that delayed surgical intervention is associated with poorer

neurological recovery and longer hospitalization durations; for example, patients undergoing surgery within 3 days after admission achieve significantly better postoperative outcomes and shorter stays compared to those treated later,¹⁰ while timely evacuation in traumatic SDH has been historically linked to mortality reductions when performed within hours of injury.^{11,12} In parallel, recent trials of MMA embolization as adjunctive therapy have shown reduced recurrence rates and reinterventions in chronic SDH patients, highlighting its growing role in SDH management.^{13,14,15,16}

Early and accurate detection and localization of SDH is thus a critical first step—not only to guide surgical timing and intervention choice (e.g. burr-hole, craniotomy, or MMA embolization) but to institutionalize consistent triage practices and documentation workflows across imaging and electronic health record systems.

In this context, AI and deep learning have become integral to medical imaging workflows, enabling automated detection, segmentation, and quantification of pathologies across multiple organ systems.^{17,18} In parallel, the increase in data availability has led to the leverage of multiple modalities for a variety of predictive tasks, with works such as the HAIM framework¹⁹ reporting the benefits of multimodal fusion. In the domain of intracranial hemorrhage, many works focus on parenchymal bleeds (ICH),^{20,21,22} but comparatively fewer address extra-axial bleeding such as subdural hematoma (SDH).

Several FDA-cleared AI systems have recently been introduced for automated subdural hematoma (SDH) detection on head CT, most notably *Rapid SDH* (RapidAI) and *Viz Subdural* (Viz.ai). These commercial platforms identify suspected SDH and generate volumetric estimates within minutes of image acquisition.²³ Rapid SDH is reported by RapidAI to achieve 92 % sensitivity for SDH detection, while Viz Subdural has shown similar diagnostic accuracy and high agreement with clinician measurements of hematoma volume and midline shift.²³

However, commercial tools like Rapid SDH face limitations and lack transparency. Their published performance is often constrained to detection (presence/absence) rather than pixel-level segmentation or volumetric localization. Even when a suspected hematoma region is highlighted, quantitative evaluation of segmentation accuracy is not reported. They tend to focus on SDH above a certain volume threshold (e.g. $> 1\text{mL}$), potentially missing small or subtle bleeds. Moreover, many commercial systems do not expose internal model details, training datasets, or strategies for combining multimodal clinical data.

Colasurdo et al.²³ validated the Viz.ai SDH platform on a small external dataset. While demonstrating strong concordance with manual measurements, their study was limited by a small, single-center retrospective cohort of a few hundred patients and excluded technically inadequate or severely deformed cases with large midline shifts.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

Existing commercial tools for subdural hematoma (SDH) analysis focus primarily on detection and typically emphasize larger-volume bleeds, with limited transparency regarding model behavior, training data, or performance for small or subtle hematomas. Prior academic work on intracranial hemorrhage detection has shown promising results using deep-learning models applied directly to head CT, but these approaches generally rely on imaging alone and are often evaluated on relatively small sample sizes. As a result, little evidence exists on multimodal pipelines that integrate clinical features with both detection and localization to support real-time SDH assessment, while also having been evaluated on thousands of studies.

Added value of this study

This study introduces a multimodal deep-learning system that integrates structured clinical data, 3D CT-based classification, and transformer-enhanced 2D segmentation to detect and localize SDH. By combining complementary information from tabular data, volumetric image representations, and pixel-level segmentation maps, the framework provides high diagnostic accuracy while producing clinically interpretable localization outputs. To our knowledge, this is the first SDH pipeline to unify tabular clinical features with two distinct imaging-based models and to evaluate such an approach at the scale of more than 25,000 CT studies.

Implications of all the available evidence

Taken together, existing work suggests substantial room for improvement in automated SDH diagnosis, particularly regarding interpretability and multimodal fusion. Our findings show that integrating clinical and imaging modalities can yield accurate, transparent predictions with detailed spatial maps that may support emergency triage and radiology workflows. These results motivate future prospective validation and exploration of real-time deployment in clinical settings.

In the research front, Kaya et al.²⁴ proposed a two-tiered system combining Mask R-CNN segmentation and SVM classification for acute SDH detection, achieving high slice-level accuracy, but restricted to 2D images and acute presentations only. For segmentation, Petrov et al.²⁵ and Farzaneh et al.²⁶ used U-Net–based architectures on limited datasets (less than 110 scans); the former only focuses on chronic cases, while the latter relies on extensive preprocessing or handcrafted features. Collectively, these works underscore the promise of deep learning for SDH detection and localization but remain constrained by single-modality designs, small sample sizes, and potentially limited generalizability.

Beyond SDH-specific studies, broader work in intracranial hemorrhage segmentation has underscored the value of deep learning for spatially precise localization. Zarei et al.²⁷ demonstrated accurate ICH segmentation on noncontrast CT, and recent multitask models, such as SwinTransformer–Swin-Unet hybrids, have shown improved robustness across imaging do-

mains.²⁸ Meanwhile, general-purpose vision-language models like ChatGPT-4V achieve only modest performance (around 60% accuracy for SDH detection) even with guided prompts.²⁹ These findings highlight that domain-specific, clinically trained architectures remain essential for reliable radiologic interpretation.

Building on these findings, we propose a novel multimodal pipeline that integrates routinely available clinical information with head CT imaging from more than 25,000 studies. The framework combines volumetric classification with transformer-based segmentation to detect and localize subdural hematomas with high accuracy while providing interpretable SDH probability maps of the brain that are crucial for clinical decision-making. This approach addresses the lack of transparency and the limited detection of smaller hematoma regions which characterize existing solutions, while bridging the performance - interpretability gap often seen in fully black-box systems. By enabling early and explainable detection without reliance on manual measurements, this approach has the potential to reduce delays in treatment, improve the consistency of triage practices, and ultimately enhance neurological outcomes for patients with subdural hematoma. A full schematic of our pipeline is presented in Figure 1.

2. Methods

This Section presents the methodology we have developed for performing detection and localization of subdural hematomas. Section 2.1 discusses the cohort creation and data description, whereas Section 2.2 presents the model architectures used for detection and localization of the hematomas.

2.1. Data

We utilized data from Hartford Healthcare, one of the largest hospital systems in Connecticut, comprising information from 15,183 adult patients between 2016 and 2023. Each observation corresponds to one non-contrast head computed tomography (CT) study, while individual patients may contribute multiple studies.

Patients younger than 18 years at the time of imaging, those with a documented history of brain tumor, and those who had undergone craniectomy for reasons other than subdural hematoma are excluded from the analysis. After applying these criteria, the final dataset includes 25,316 imaging studies, of which 3,774 are associated with a confirmed diagnosis of subdural hematoma based on radiology reports and clinical documentation.

Compared with prior studies on subdural hematoma, this dataset represents one of the largest cohorts reported to date, supporting the potential generalizability of our approach.^{23,24,25,26} Adopting a multimodal perspective, we integrate both imaging and tabular clinical information for model development.

Data are partitioned into training (64%), validation (16%), and test (20%) sets on the patient level to prevent data leakage across splits.

2.1.1. Tabular Data

Tabular features include demographic variables (age, sex) and clinical characteristics reflecting patients' general medical condition. Specifically, we incorporate information on anticoagulant and antiplatelet use (e.g. heparin sodium, aspirin), relevant laboratory values (activated partial thromboplastin time [aPTT], international normalized ratio [INR], platelet count), and the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) components, which capture long-term mortality risk based on comorbid diagnoses such as cancer and diabetes.

For laboratory values, we include both the most recent measurement prior to imaging and an exponentially weighted average of the preceding two years to approximate the temporal evolution of these variables.

2.1.2. Image Data

All imaging studies consist of three-dimensional axial CT volumes reconstructed from multiple slices with pixel dimensions 512×512 across the axial plane. CT scans are converted to Hounsfield Units (HU), and windowed to a soft-tissue range (0–130 HU, centered at 65 HU) to enhance subdural contrast.³⁰ The skull is isolated using intensity thresholding and connected-component analysis, and its inverse defines the intracranial region. Slice-level refinement retains the second-largest component, which corresponds to brain tissue.

Because the number of valid slices vary across studies, we standardize all inputs to 15 slices per study by uniformly down-sampling scans with more slices and duplicating central slices for scans with fewer than 15. The choice of 15 slices balances information retention and computational feasibility.

2.1.3. Cohort Selection

The final cohort includes both case (patients with subdural hematoma) and control (patients without subdural hematoma) imaging studies, as determined by clinical diagnosis and radiology report review. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are applied as described above to ensure a well-defined population for the classification task. More details on the cohort definition can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

2.2. Model Architecture

We developed and evaluated several models to detect the presence of subdural hematoma (SDH) from multimodal data, including tabular clinical information, 3D brain CT scans, and segmentation-derived masks. Model performance was assessed on a held-out test set using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) to account for class imbalance.

2.2.1. Tabular Model

The first component of our pipeline is an XGBoost classifier trained using only tabular features to predict SDH presence. XGBoost was selected for its computational efficiency and strong performance with structured data.³¹ Hyperparameters were optimized through cross-validation, and the model was evaluated with AUC. This model served as a baseline to assess the predictive value of non-imaging variables.




Figure 1: Overview of the proposed multimodal pipeline for subdural hematoma (SDH) detection and localization. The system integrates three complementary components: a tabular XGBoost model using clinical variables, an ensemble of 3D convolutional neural networks (CNNs) applied to CT scans, and a 2D Swin-CNN hybrid segmentation model for hematoma localization. Probabilities from each component are combined into a final ensemble output indicating the likelihood of SDH presence.

2.2.2. Convolutional Neural Network

The second component of the framework is a three-dimensional convolutional neural network (3D CNN) that classifies CT volumes as containing or not containing a subdural hematoma. By extending conventional 2D models to volumetric space, the network captures both within-slice and between-slice spatial patterns that reflect the true three-dimensional nature of hemorrhage. The architecture employs hierarchical feature extraction with residual skip connections to preserve fine anatomical details while learning broader contextual information. To address randomness inherent in training deep architectures, multiple independently initialized networks were ensembled to enhance robustness. More information about the architecture, as well as a detailed layer-wise schematic are provided in Supplementary Section 2 and Supplementary Figure 1, respectively.

2.2.3. Segmentation Model

The detection of a subdural hematoma, while clinically useful, lacks the spatial localization needed for comprehensive evaluation. Hematoma location, size, and morphology are critical for treatment planning and can be inferred from segmentation models that output probability maps indicating, for each pixel, the likelihood of hemorrhage.

To enable this, we manually annotated 335 head CT studies under physician supervision, generating binary masks at 256×256 resolution. Two random spatial augmentations were applied per image to increase variability, yielding over 12,000 slices for training (80% used for model fitting). An additional 100 control studies with all-zero masks were included to improve discrimination and reduce false positives.

We developed a two-dimensional hybrid segmentation model

integrating convolutional and transformer-based feature extraction. The convolutional path, a U-Net variant with spatial and channel attention, captures fine-grained local features, while the Swin Transformer branch models global context such as mid-line shift or hemispheric asymmetry. Multi-scale features from both branches are fused during decoding to enhance localization accuracy. Compared to a 3D segmentation alternative, this approach achieved similar performance with lower computational cost. More details on the model architecture and training, as well as a detailed architectural schematic, are provided in Supplementary Section 3 and Supplementary Figure 2, respectively.

2.2.4. CNN on Segmentation Masks

The probability maps produced by the segmentation model were subsequently used as inputs to a separate 3D CNN (with the same architecture as described in Section 2.2.2) trained to predict whether a given scan contained a hematoma. This setup allowed the model to learn discriminative patterns from the segmentation - derived representations.

2.2.5. Model Ensembling

The final ensemble combined eight models: one tabular XGBoost model, five CNNs trained on CT scans, and four CNNs trained on segmentation masks. Adding more models did not have further benefits on the validation set, and was thus omitted. Final predictions were obtained by averaging predicted probabilities across all models to maximize robustness and generalization.

3. Results

In this Section, we present the Results of our analysis. Section 3.1 discusses cohort statistics, and Sections 3.2 and 3.3 discuss the performance of our approach in the classification and segmentation tasks. Finally, Section 3.4 briefly discusses the construction of the ensemble model.

3.1. Cohort statistics

Supplementary Table 1 summarizes cohort characteristics stratified by the presence of subdural hematoma. Patients with subdural hematoma were older on average and more frequently male, consistent with the known epidemiology of the condition. They also exhibited slightly lower platelet counts and coagulation times, and higher rates of anticoagulant or antiplatelet medication use, including aspirin, warfarin, and heparin (all $p < 0.001$). Most comorbidities from the Charlson Index showed comparable prevalence between groups, indicating that overall health status was similar apart from coagulation-related factors.

3.2. Classification Performance

Table 1 summarizes the performance of all models on the test set. The tabular XGBoost model achieved an AUC of 0.75, confirming that clinical variables alone provide limited, but not trivial, discriminatory power for SDH detection. In contrast, the convolutional models, both those trained directly on CT volumes (CNNs) and those trained on segmentation-derived probability maps (Seg-CNNs), achieved substantially higher performance, with individual AUCs ranging between 0.90 and 0.93. Ensembling improved overall robustness and accuracy: the CNN ensemble reached an AUC of 0.922 (95% CI: 0.909–0.934), while the Seg-CNN ensemble achieved 0.926 (95% CI: 0.912–0.938). Combining all ten models (tabular, CNN, and Seg-CNN) into a single multimodal ensemble yielded the best performance, with an AUC of 0.9407 (95% CI: 0.930–0.951), exceeding the best individual model by approximately 2%.

Figure 2 summarizes model discrimination and calibration on the test set. All deep learning models achieved AUCs above 0.90, with the full ensemble reaching 0.94. The calibration curves, along with the Brier score of 0.05, demonstrate that predicted probabilities of the ensemble model closely match observed SDH prevalence, indicating good reliability and potential for clinical decision support.

3.3. Segmentation Performance

Our segmentation model aimed to accurately segment subdural hematomas (SDH) within individual CT slices. Beyond improving detection accuracy, precise segmentation enhances model interpretability and provides a foundation for future work in hematoma quantification and progression tracking.

On the internal validation set, the proposed hybrid 2D segmentation model achieved a Dice score of 0.77, which demonstrates a strong spatial overlap between predicted and ground-truth hematoma regions (1 indicates perfect agreement, 0 indicates no overlap). Despite being trained specifically for SDH, the model generalized effectively to other medical segmentation

domains. When retrained and evaluated on the CVC-ClinicDB polyp dataset, it achieved Dice scores between 0.92–0.93, depending on data split. Similarly, on the 2D Brain Tumor Segmentation (Kaggle) dataset,³² the model obtained a Dice score of 0.83, outperforming the vanilla U-Net baseline (Dice = 0.76).

These results suggest that the hybrid design, combining Swin Transformer global attention with CNN-based local feature extraction, enables robust segmentation performance and generalization across imaging tasks. Representative examples of correctly segmented hematoma slices are shown in Figure 3, and out-of-sample quantitative comparisons with baseline models trained on the same training set as our proposed architecture are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Segmentation model performance on the validation set. Results are reported as Dice scores.

Model	SDH (Validation)
Hybrid (proposed, multi-output fusion)	0.768
Vanilla Swin U-Net	0.692
Vanilla Swin U-Net w/ Frozen Layers	0.720
Attention U-Net w/ spatial & channel attention	0.741
Hybrid (single-output fusion)	0.754

3.4. Ensemble Selection Procedure

The ensemble was constructed using a greedy forward-selection procedure applied to the validation set. Rather than initializing the search from only the single best model, we began the greedy selection process from each of the top-performing individual models to reduce sensitivity to the initial one. For each initialization, models were iteratively added if their inclusion improved the ensemble’s mean validation AUC. After no further improvement was obtainable, the resulting ensembles were compared, and the best-performing subset was selected and subsequently evaluated on the independent test set. This approach ensures that performance gains arise from complementary model diversity rather than overfitting. Full per-model metrics and selection order are reported in Supplementary Section 4.


4. Discussion

In this study, we developed a multimodal framework for detection and localization of subdural hematomas (SDH) that integrates tabular, imaging, and segmentation-based models into a unified predictive pipeline. Our approach combines a 3D convolutional neural network (CNN) for volumetric hematoma detection with a custom 2D hybrid segmentation model that merges convolutional and transformer-based components. This dual-path architecture enables both high-level classification across CT volumes and fine-grained localization within individual slices, bridging the gap between interpretability and diagnostic accuracy.

A major contribution of our work lies in integrating multiple specialized models (tabular, 3D CNN, and segmentation-based CNN) into a flexible ensemble that enhances robustness and

Table 1: Performance of individual and ensemble models on the test set. Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity and F1-score were calculated using the optimal threshold as calculated by Youden’s J statistic on the validation set.

Model	AUC (95% CI)	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	F1-score
Overall Ensemble (all 9)	0.9407 [0.930–0.951]	0.927	0.815	0.947	0.774
CNNs (4-model ensemble)	0.922 [0.909–0.934]	0.917	0.781	0.941	0.742
Seg-CNNs (4-model ensemble)	0.926 [0.912–0.938]	0.921	0.798	0.943	0.756
Tabular (XGBoost)	0.751 [0.732–0.770]	0.675	0.671	0.675	0.388



(a) Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves for the Tabular, CNN, Seg-CNN, and Ensemble models. (b) Calibration curves showing agreement between predicted and observed probabilities. Figure 2: Model evaluation curves on the test set. (a) ROC curves demonstrate strong discrimination (AUCs above 0.90 across all deep learning model groups). (b) Calibration curves show well-aligned predicted and observed probabilities, supporting reliability for clinical use.

performance. The ensemble design leverages complementary information from different data modalities, improving overall discrimination power compared with each single model. The custom segmentation network, which combines a U-Net-like encoder-decoder with Swin Transformer attention modules, produces anatomically precise probability maps, offering both clinical interpretability and high quantitative performance.

In contrast to existing commercial solutions, our framework offers full methodological transparency and comprehensive performance reporting across both detection and localization tasks. Unlike proprietary systems that restrict evaluation to hematomas above predefined size thresholds, our models are validated across the entire spectrum of hematoma presentations, highlighting the robustness and generalizability of our multimodal pipeline.

Our primary limitation is that the segmentation model was trained on a limited number of manually annotated scans, which may constrain generalizability. Expanding the pool of annotated images, particularly across diverse scanners and patient populations, will be essential for improving robustness. Leveraging semi-supervised or weakly supervised learning could further reduce dependence on manual labeling, making future iterations of the model more scalable. Additionally, external validation across institutions and imaging protocols will be critical for assessing real-world performance.

We plan to deploy this pipeline as a clinical decision support

tool for prospective data analysis and real-time use. The framework is designed for seamless integration into electronic medical record (EMR) systems such as EPIC, providing automated, interpretable, and scalable SDH detection. By assisting clinicians in identifying and localizing subdural hematomas of any size without manual measurement constraints, our work moves toward practical, clinically deployable AI systems that enhance diagnostic accuracy and support timely, informed decision-making in neuroimaging workflows. With this system in place, we can begin to reduce the “time to surgery” penalty, improve consistency in management, and ultimately enhance patient outcomes in SDH care.

Contributors

VS, RK and BB had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Concept and design: VS, RK, BB, DO, TM, DB. Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: VS, RK, BB. Drafting of the manuscript: VS, RK, BB. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors. Statistical analysis: VS, RK, BB. Administrative, technical, or clinical support: DO, TM. Supervision: TM, DB.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data sharing

The data used in this study originate from the Hartford Health-Care system and are subject to institutional data use agreements and patient privacy protections. All analyses were performed within the Hartford HealthCare secure computing environment. Per institutional policy and regulatory requirements, these data cannot be transferred or shared outside the health system.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Hartford Hospital clinical teams for providing access to the patient data used in this study, and Hartford HealthCare for computational resources. The authors are also grateful to the clinicians (Diego Ojeda and Tapan Mehta) who offered domain feedback during model interpretation and validation and helped significantly with hematoma annotations. The authors would like to warmly thank Stephanie Tran at MIT for her invaluable support and continuous assistance in the administration of this project.

Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the manuscript preparation process

During the preparation of this work the author(s) used ChatGPT in order to fix syntax and grammar errors. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the published article.

References

- [1] J. A. Frontera, N. Egorova, A. J. Moskowitz, National trend in prevalence, cost, and discharge disposition after subdural hematoma from 1998–2007, *Critical care medicine* 39 (7) (2011) 1619–1625.
- [2] D. Balser, S. Farooq, T. Mehmood, M. Reyes, U. Samadani, Actual and projected incidence rates for chronic subdural hematomas in united states veterans administration and civilian populations, *Journal of neurosurgery* 123 (5) (2015) 1209–1215.
- [3] M. Rauhala, T. M. Luoto, H. Huhtala, G. L. Iverson, T. Niskakangas, J. Öhman, P. Helén, The incidence of chronic subdural hematomas from 1990 to 2015 in a defined finnish population, *Journal of neurosurgery* 132 (4) (2019) 1147–1157.
- [4] H. Chen, M. Colasurdo, A. Malhotra, D. Gandhi, U. K. Bodanapally, Advances in chronic subdural hematoma and membrane imaging, *Frontiers in Neurology* 15 (2024) 1366238.
- [5] C. G. Ryan, R. E. Thompson, N. R. Temkin, P. K. Crane, R. G. Ellenbogen, J. G. Elmore, Acute traumatic subdural hematoma: current mortality and functional outcomes in adult patients at a level i trauma center, *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* 73 (5) (2012) 1348–1354.
- [6] R. Fluss, J. Ryvlin, S. Lam, M. Abdullah, D. J. Altschul, Deadliness of traumatic subdural hematomas in the first quarter of the year: A measurement by the american college of surgeons-national surgical quality improvement program (acs-nsqip), *Cureus* 15 (12) (2023).
- [7] R. Ramachandran, T. Hegde, Chronic subdural hematomas—causes of morbidity and mortality, *Surgical neurology* 67 (4) (2007) 367–372.
- [8] I. P. Miah, Y. Tank, F. R. Rosendaal, W. C. Peul, R. Dammers, H. F. Lingsma, H. M. den Hertog, K. Jellema, N. A. van der Gaag, D. C. S. H. R. Group, Radiological prognostic factors of chronic subdural hematoma recurrence: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Neuroradiology* 63 (1) (2021) 27–40.
- [9] H.-J. Oh, K.-S. Lee, J.-J. Shim, S.-M. Yoon, I.-G. Yun, H.-G. Bae, Postoperative course and recurrence of chronic subdural hematoma, *Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society* 48 (6) (2010) 518.
- [10] S. Colonna, E. Lo Bue, A. Pesaresi, L. Dolci, A. Gatto, L. Ceroni, A. Pesce, M. Salvati, D. Armocida, A. Frati, et al., Impact of surgical timing on chronic subdural hematoma outcomes: novel insights from a multicenter study, *Neurosurgical Review* 48 (1) (2025) 1–8.
- [11] H. C. Tien, V. Jung, R. Pinto, T. Mainprize, D. C. Scales, S. B. Rizoli, Reducing time-to-treatment decreases mortality of trauma patients with acute subdural hematoma, *Annals of surgery* 253 (6) (2011) 1178–1183.
- [12] S.-H. Chen, J.-M. Sun, W.-K. Fang, The impact of time from injury to surgery in functional recovery of traumatic acute subdural hematoma, *BMC neurology* 20 (1) (2020) 226.
- [13] J. M. Davies, J. Knopman, M. Mokin, A. E. Hassan, R. E. Harbaugh, A. Khalessi, J. Fiehler, B. A. Gross, R. Grandhi, J. Tarpley, et al., Adjunctive middle meningeal artery embolization for subdural hematoma, *New England Journal of Medicine* 391 (20) (2024) 1890–1900.
- [14] M. Salih, M. Shutran, M. Young, R. A. Vega, M. Stippler, E. Papavassiliou, R. L. Alterman, A. Thomas, P. Tauscky, J. Moore, et al., Reduced recurrence of chronic subdural hematomas treated with open surgery followed by middle meningeal artery embolization compared to open surgery alone: a propensity score-matched analysis, *Journal of neurosurgery* 139 (1) (2022) 124–130.

- [15] L. H. Debs, F. L. Vale, S. Walker, D. Toro, S. Mansouri, S. D. Macomson, S. Y. Rahimi, Middle meningeal artery embolization following surgical evacuation of symptomatic chronic subdural hematoma improves outcomes, interim results of a prospective randomized trial, *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 128 (2024) 110783.
- [16] P. Moshayedi, D. S. Liebeskind, Middle meningeal artery embolization in chronic subdural hematoma: implications of pathophysiology in trial design, *Frontiers in Neurology* 11 (2020) 923.
- [17] L. Pinto-Coelho, How artificial intelligence is shaping medical imaging technology: a survey of innovations and applications, *Bioengineering* 10 (12) (2023) 1435.
- [18] M. Khalifa, M. Albadawy, Ai in diagnostic imaging: revolutionising accuracy and efficiency, *Computer Methods and programs in biomedicine update* 5 (2024) 100146.
- [19] L. R. Soenksen, Y. Ma, C. Zeng, L. Boussieux, K. Vilalobos Carballo, L. Na, H. M. Wiberg, M. L. Li, I. Fuentes, D. Bertsimas, Integrated multimodal artificial intelligence framework for healthcare applications, *NPI digital medicine* 5 (1) (2022) 149.
- [20] M. Seyam, T. Weikert, A. Sauter, A. Brehm, M.-N. Psychogios, K. A. Blackham, Utilization of artificial intelligence-based intracranial hemorrhage detection on emergent noncontrast ct images in clinical workflow, *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence* 4 (2) (2022) e210168.
- [21] S. Abed, K. Hergan, J. Pfaff, J. Dörrenberg, L. Brandstetter, J. Gradl, Artificial intelligence for detecting traumatic intracranial haemorrhage with ct: A workflow-oriented implementation, *The Neuroradiology Journal* (2025) 19714009251346477.
- [22] E. Rohren, M. Ahmadzade, S. Colella, N. Kottler, S. Krishnan, J. Poff, N. Rastogi, W. Wiggins, J. Yee, C. Zuluaga, et al., Post-deployment monitoring of ai performance in intracranial hemorrhage detection by chatgpt, *Academic Radiology* (2025).
- [23] M. Colasurdo, N. Leibushor, A. Robledo, V. Vasandani, Z. A. Luna, A. S. Rao, R. Garcia, V. M. Srinivasan, S. A. Sheth, N. Avni, et al., Automated detection and analysis of subdural hematomas using a machine learning algorithm, *Journal of neurosurgery* 138 (4) (2022) 1077–1084.
- [24] İ. Kaya, T. H. Gençtürk, F. K. Gülağız, A revolutionary acute subdural hematoma detection based on two-tiered artificial intelligence model, *Turkish Journal of Trauma & Emergency Surgery* 29 (8) (2023) 858.
- [25] A. Petrov, A. Kashevnik, M. Haleev, A. Ali, A. Ivanov, K. Samochernykh, L. Rozhchenko, V. Bobinov, Ai-based approach to one-click chronic subdural hematoma segmentation using computed tomography images, *Sensors* 24 (3) (2024) 721.
- [26] N. Farzaneh, C. Williamson, C. Jiang, A. Srinivasan, J. Bapuraj, J. Gryak, K. Najarian, S. Soroushmehr, Automated segmentation and severity analysis of subdural hematoma for patients with traumatic brain injuries, *Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland)* 10 (09 2020). doi:10.3390/diagnostics10100773.
- [27] D. Zarei, M. Issaiy, S. Kolahi, D. S. Liebeskind, Do deep learning algorithms accurately segment intracerebral hemorrhages on noncontrast computed tomography? a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Stroke: Vascular and Interventional Neurology* 4 (4) (2024) e001314.
- [28] K. Hirata, T. Okita, Brain hematoma marker recognition using multitask learning: Swintransformer and swin-unet, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.06185* (2025).
- [29] O. Bayar-Kapıcı, E. Altunışık, F. Musabeyoğlu, Ş. Dev, Ö. Kaya, Artificial intelligence in radiology: diagnostic sensitivity of chatgpt for detecting hemorrhages in cranial computed tomography scans, *Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology* (2025).
- [30] M. Lev, R. Gonzalez, 17 - ct angiography and ct perfusion imaging, in: A. W. Toga, J. C. Mazziotta (Eds.), *Brain Mapping: The Methods* (Second Edition), second edition Edition, Academic Press, San Diego, 2002, pp. 427–484. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012693019-1/50019-8. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780126930191500198>
- [31] T. Chen, C. Guestrin, Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system, in: *Proceedings of the 22nd acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2016, pp. 785–794.
- [32] M. Buda, Lgg mri segmentation dataset, <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/mateuszbeda/lgg-mri-segmentation> (2018).




Figure 3: Examples of subdural hematoma (SDH) localization test set results from the proposed segmentation model.