Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar Reconstruction from Monocular Video

Seonghwa Choi Yonsei University Seoul, South Korea csh0772@yonsei.ac.kr

Jaekyung Kim Yonsei University Seoul, South Korea jkkproject@yonsei.ac.kr Moonkyeong Choi Yonsei University Seoul, South Korea bryan1302@yonsei.ac.kr

Jianfei Cai Monash University Melbourne, Australia Jianfei.Cai@monash.edu

> Sanghoon Lee* Yonsei University Seoul, South Korea slee@yonsei.ac.kr

Mingyu Jang Yonsei University Seoul, South Korea jmg1002@yonsei.ac.kr

Wen-Huang Cheng National Taiwan University Taipei, Taiwan wenhuang@csie.ntu.edu.tw




Figure 1: We present a method to reconstruct human avatar from monocular video. Our method decomposes the geometry and appearance attributes of the avatar and can render novel pose/view images under arbitrary lighting conditions.

Abstract

Modeling relightable and animatable human avatars from monocular video is a long-standing and challenging task. Recently, Neural Radiance Field (NeRF) and 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS) methods have been employed to reconstruct the avatars. However, they often produce unsatisfactory photo-realistic results because of insufficient geometrical details related to body motion, such as clothing

*Corresponding author



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

MM '25, Dublin, Ireland

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-2035-2/2025/10 https://doi.org/10.1145/3746027.3754851 wrinkles. In this paper, we propose a 3DGS-based human avatar modeling framework, termed as Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar (RnD-Avatar), that presents accurate pose-variant deformation for high-fidelity geometrical details. To achieve this, we introduce dynamic skinning weights that define the human avatar's articulation based on pose while also learning additional deformations induced by body motion. We also introduce a novel regularization to capture fine geometric details under sparse visual cues. Furthermore, we present a new multi-view dataset with varied lighting conditions to evaluate relight. Our framework enables realistic rendering of novel poses and views while supporting photo-realistic lighting effects under arbitrary lighting conditions. Our method achieves state-of-the-art performance in novel view synthesis, novel pose rendering, and relighting.

CCS Concepts

• Computing methodologies → Appearance and texture representations.

Keywords

3D Gaussian Splatting, 3D human avatar, novel view/pose synthesis, relighting

ACM Reference Format:

Seonghwa Choi, Moonkyeong Choi, Mingyu Jang, Jaekyung Kim, Jianfei Cai, Wen-Huang Cheng, and Sanghoon Lee. 2025. Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar Reconstruction from Monocular Video. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM International Conference on Multimedia (MM '25), October 27–31, 2025, Dublin, Ireland.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3746027.3754851

1 Introduction

Modeling a human avatar from monocular video has attracted significant attention due to its potential for many multimedia applications, including Metaverse, VR/AR, gaming, movies, and virtual tryons. Achieving a photo-realistic avatar requires detailed geometry and appearance modeling to render realistic lighting effects under various environmental conditions. Traditional approaches usually employ specialized equipment, for capturing avatar geometry and appearance, such as well-structured camera systems [2, 6, 9, 44, 49–51] or light stages [1, 8, 39, 54, 56], which require either professional skills and are labor-intensive work. To alleviate this, in this paper, we focus on modeling human avatars that are both relightable and animatable, using only monocular video input.

Recent works have attempted to represent human avatars by leveraging Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) [29] or 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS) [18], from monocular or multiview videos. NeRF-based approaches [4, 5, 7, 12, 14, 15, 21, 22, 24, 26, 34, 35, 37, 43, 45, 46, 48, 53, 58] model the human avatar as implicit representations. Specifically, these methods infer the geometry and appearance of the avatar by learning inverse mapping correspondences between the canonical and observation spaces using Linear Blend Skinning (LBS). However, this approach often leads to suboptimal rendering results due to ambiguous correspondences between the two spaces. Moreover, it demands extensive computational resources, leading to slow rendering performance. In contrast, 3DGSbased [10, 11, 16, 19, 20, 23, 30, 32, 33, 36, 38, 40, 41, 47] explicitly model avatars with the set of 3D Gaussians. 3DGS-based methods typically leverage a forward LBS to articulate the avatar, following the mechanism of traditional mesh deformation. While 3DGS-based methods enable accurate detail rendering with lightweight rendering process compared to NeRF-based methods, there are two main limitations to represent fine-detailed geometry of the human avatar: (1) the skinning weight lacks considering complex deformation caused by body motion, such as local clothing deformations, and (2) modeling human avatars from monocular video remains challenging due to limited visual cues that leads to suboptimal optimization of depth-related geometry, such as normal estimation.

To address these limitations, we propose a Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar (RnD-Avatar) that models a human avatar with fine-detailed geometry from a monocular video, leading high quality rendering results with realistic lighting effects. For modeling




Figure 2: Conceptual comparison between (a) existing 3DGS-based a vatar modeling and (b) our approach, where x represents the position of Gaussian, W denotes skinning weights, Θ_t is a pose sequence at frame t, and $\Delta x(\theta_t)$ represents posedependent offset from θ_t . Unlike existing methods, we articulate the human a vatar by computing the skinning weights W_{Θ_t} conditioned on Θ_t . For brevity, other attributes of 3DGS are omitted.

a fine-grained avatar, we introduce dynamic skinning weights that enable pose-variant deformation, which is adaptively computed based on motion-dependent conditions, such as body movement. Fig. 2 illustrates the architectural difference between existing 3DGSbased methods and our approach. As shown in the figure, unlike previous works, we obtain skinning weights W_{Θ_t} conditioned on both the position of the 3D Gaussian x and pose Θ_t , and then articulate the avatar through LBS. Finally, we optimize RnD-Avatar through a Physically-Based Rendering (PBR) process to optimize geometric attributes (i.e., position and normal) and appearance attributes (i.e., albedo, roughness, and visibility). Additionally, we introduce a novel regularization term that facilitates geometry learning from limited visual cues, thereby enhancing depth-related structure for more accurate normal estimation. This enables realistic lighting effects on the avatar under diverse environmental lighting conditions.

Current the modeling relightable human avatar approaches usually rely only on qualitative performance due to the absence of available datasets that enable quantitative evaluation of relighting performance. To address this gap, we have constructed a dedicated database for relightable human avatar modeling. Unlike existing datasets, our database provides multi-view sequences under various color lighting conditions. Based on our proposed dataset, we demonstrate that our method achieves state-of-the-art performance in novel pose and view synthesis as well as in relighting. In summary, our contributions in this work include:

- We propose a 3DGS-based human avatar modeling framework, termed Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar (RnD-Avatar), which reconstructs the animatable and relightable human avatar from a monocular video.
- We introduce the dynamic skinning weight to model posevariant deformations conditioned on body motion. Furthermore, we propose a regularization term to enhance the geometric consistency.




Figure 3: The overall architecture of the proposed method. We initialize the position x of 3D Gaussian using SMPL vertices. Our method produces the dynamic skinning weight W_{Θ_t} , which deforms the 3D Gaussians via Linear Blend Skinning (LBS) transformations. To enable relightability, the method learns both geometry and appearance attributes. Finally, given an environmental light, our method renders photorealistic images through a Physically-Based Rendering (PBR) process.

We construct a database for both modeling relightable human avatars and enabling qualitative and quantitative comparisons. The experiments demonstrate the state-of-the-art performance in various tasks of our method, including novel view, novel pose, and relighting.

2 Related work

Modeling Animatable Human Avatar. To model human avatars, some previous methods [2, 6, 9, 44, 49-51] have utilized well-structured camera systems that obtain of high-fidelity details of human avatars. However, these setups are often impractical for real-world applications as they require both professional skills and specialized environments. Therefore, numerous works [14, 22, 34, 35, 46, 48, 58] have explored Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) [29], which models human avatars as an implicit representation. These approaches typically employ Linear Blend Skinning (LBS) [25] to articulate avatars in implicit neural representations and define an inverse LBS to extract color and density. Although they can produce visually appealing rendered avatars, they struggle to capture fine-grained details because indirectly modeling (e.g., density) through MLP can lead to suboptimal results and also makes slow inference time. Some methods [7, 12, 15] have attempted to enhance training efficiency by leveraging multi-hashing encoding, others [4, 24, 37] used neural volumetric primitives for faster rendering; however, achieving both high speed and quality remains a challenge.

To alleviate this, 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS) [18] is an alternative way to address the limitation of NeRF-based methods. 3DGS-based methods [10, 11, 16, 19, 20, 23, 30, 32, 33, 36, 40, 41, 47] explicitly represent human avatars as a set of 3D Gaussians, achieving high fidelity rendering results with low computational cost. Specifically, 3DGS-based methods articulate the human avatar from canonical space to posed space using a forward LBS, which leverages

either pre-defined or regressed skinning weights under static conditions (*e.g.*, the position of 3D Gaussian in the canonical space). The fixed skinning weights struggle to capture complex pose-variant deformations, such as clothing wrinkles. To overcome these limitations, we introduce the dynamic skinning weights for complex geometric deformation.

Modeling Relightable Human Avatar. To model relightable human avatars, NeRF-based methods [5, 21, 26, 43, 52] reconstruct accurate pose-dependent geometry alongside disentangled appearance properties in canonical space by modeling pose-dependent deformation. To improve the relighting performance, some prior works [21, 43] aim to capture fine-grained details by learning the relationship between canonical and observation spaces. On the other hand, some methods [45, 53] have attempted to improve rendering quality by introducing ray tracing [45] within the neural representation for secondary shading effects or a hierarchical distance query algorithm [53] for generalizing inverse LBS. However, as mentioned above, due to the limitations of NeRFs with inverse LBS mechanisms, recent 3DGS-based methods for relightable human avatars [20, 38] have shown promising results. Nevertheless, they typically require multi-view input videos or a pre-refined mesh from the first frame. In contrast, our method relies solely on a monocular video, enabling a more practical setup for real-world scenarios. Moreover, existing datasets for avatar modeling are limited to evaluate relighting performance due to the lack of ground-truth. To address this, we construct a novel dataset containing multi-view sequences captured under various colored lighting conditions.

3 Proposed Method

3.1 Overview

In this section, we describe a Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar (RnD-Avatar) that models high-quality human avatars using 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS). Preliminary details on animatable avatar modeling and 3DGS are provided in the supplementary material. Given a predefined mesh, such as SMPL [25], we first process its vertices as Gaussian positions to obtain Gaussian attributes, which are categorized into geometry and appearance attributes for modeling a relightable avatar. We introduce dynamic skinning weights that learn pose-variant deformations conditioned on body motion, allowing to articulate the avatar with fine-detailed geometry representation. We render the human avatar through a Physically-Based Rendering (PBR) process and optimize RnD-Avatar with a novel regularization that supplements the sparse visual cues from monocular videos. The detail of our proposed method is shown in Fig 3.

3.2 Relightable and Dynamic Gaussian Avatar

Gaussian Attributes Encoder $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$. We initially set 3D Gaussian attributes based on SMPL vertices $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N_g \times 3}$, where N_g denotes the total number of vertices. The kth Gaussian attributes in X is defined by the position of Gaussian $x_{c,k}$, opacity o_k , rotation quaternion $r_{c,k}$, scaling factor s_k , normal vector $n_{c,k}$, the Spherical Harmonics (SH) coefficient for RGB color $c_{k,s}$, albedo color $c_{k,a}$, and roughness γ_k . We categorize these Gaussian attributes into geometric attributes $(o_k, r_k, s_k,$ and $n_k)$ and appearance attributes $(c_{k,s}, c_{k,a},$ and $\gamma_k)$. Specifically, to obtain the attributes, we design $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$, which consists of two sub-encoders: \mathcal{D}_g and \mathcal{D}_a . Both encoders are implemented as multi-layer perceptrons (MLPs) that take the Gaussian position x as input and output the corresponding geometric and appearance attributes, respectively.

Dynamic Skinning Weights Encoder \mathcal{D}_{W} . An intuitive way to articulate the human avatar is to apply Linear Blend Skinning (LBS) transformation by using skinning weights which are pre-defined or regressed from static conditions, such as the position of Gaussians in canonical space. However, these static skinning weights struggle to capture pose-related deformations, such as clothing wrinkles. To address this, we design \mathcal{D}_{W} to dynamically compute the skinning weights conditioned on the input pose sequence. We deform the human avatar through blending skinning transformation computed from dynamic skinning weight $W_{\Theta_{t}}$. Since $W_{\Theta_{t}}$ is influenced by the body motion, RnD-Avatar can model pose-variant deformation.

Given a *d*-length pose sequence at frame t, $\Theta_t \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times J \times 3} =$ $\{\theta_{t-d}, \dots, \theta_t\}$, we encode the input motion to temporal feature f_t and spatial feature f_s respectively. Subsequently, we encode the position feature f_x through MLPs. To obtain f_t , we first reshape the input motion as $f_p \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times (d \times z)}$, representing the movement of each joint, representing global motion dynamics. This reshaped motion is then fed into a temporal attention layer. Next, we apply a crossattention mechanism, where the key and value are derived from the output of the temporal attention layer, while f_x serves as the query. Similarly, to obtain f_s , we embed the spatial attention output along with f_x . Specifically, we compute the joint difference between θ_t and θ_{t-1} , which is then fed into a spatial attention layer to capture local motion dynamics. Finally, we concatenate the two features and feed them into several layers of MLPs to compute the dynamic skinning weight W_{Θ_t} . The details of \mathcal{D}_W are shown in Fig 3. Based on \mathcal{D}_W , we obtain the pose-driven transformation matrices \mathcal{A} which comprises rotation $\mathcal{A}_R(\Theta_t)$ and translation $\mathcal{A}_T(\Theta_t)$, given Θ_t as similar to Eq. ??: $\mathcal{A}_T(\Theta_t) = \left[\mathcal{A}_R(\Theta_t); \mathcal{A}_T(\Theta_t)\right]$. The position




Figure 4: Conceptual visualization of geometric consistency loss. Given two feature maps of rendered images, feature vectors are sampled within the intersection area. Subsequently, our method aims to increase the similarity between positive pairs while reducing the similarity between negative pairs.

x, rotation r, and normal n of each 3D Gaussian are dynamically transformed as follows:

$$x_{o} = \mathcal{A}_{R}(\Theta_{t}) \cdot (x_{c} + \Delta x(\theta_{t})) + \mathcal{A}_{T}(\Theta_{t}),$$

$$r_{o} = \mathcal{A}_{R}(\Theta_{t}) \cdot (r_{c} + \Delta r(\theta_{t})),$$

$$\hat{n}_{o} = \mathcal{A}_{R}(\Theta_{t}) \cdot n_{c},$$
(1)

We compute two offset $\Delta x(\theta_t)$ and $\Delta r(\theta_t)$ to account for non-rigid deformations through MLPs conditioned on x and θ_t . In addition, we also transform the normal vector n_c in the cannonical space into the observation space.

Physically-Based Rendering (PBR). We utilize the rendering equation [17] to simulate the human avatar under a lighting condition. The Gaussian attributes are applied to the rendering equation (Eq. 2) as follows:

$$L_o(x,\omega_o) = \sum_{\omega_i} L_i(x,\omega) f(\omega_i,\omega_o) (\omega_i \cdot \hat{n}(x)) \Delta \omega_i, \qquad (2)$$

where $L_i(x,\omega_i)$ and $L_o(x,\omega_o)$ are the incident and outgoing radiance at a position x along direction ω_i and ω_o , respectively. $L_i(x,\omega_i)$ is computed by the visibility v and a global light $L(\omega_i)$ at each Gaussian: $L_i(x,\omega_i)=v(x,\omega_i)L(\omega_i)$. We parameterize the visibility $v(x,\omega_i)$ by 3-degree SH coefficients to present a mono channel. Specifically, we implement view $(\omega_i^c=c-x)$ -dependent visibility, modeled as a SH function: $v(x,\omega_i^c)=\sum_j v_j Y_j(\omega_i^c)$. It is computed in canonical space via a lightweight GCN using x_c and \hat{n}_o to handle pose variation. $L(\omega_i)$ is an environment light as a learnable light probe in latitude-longitude format v0 employ the Disney Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) [3] $f(\cdot)$, influenced by the albedo c_a , normal n, roughness γ , and metallic. We manually set the metallic value to zero to simplify the modeling of geometry and appearance attributes. Thus, the PBR can be defined as follows:

$$f(\omega_i, \omega_o) = \frac{c_a}{\pi} + \frac{D \cdot F \cdot G}{4(n \cdot \omega_i)(n \cdot \omega_o)}.$$
 (3)

where microfacet distribution function D, Fresnel reflection F, and geometric shadowing factor G. D and G are influenced by the roughness γ . We shade the avatar's color through Eq. 2, and render

the posed human avatar with geometry and appearance attributes through 3DGS rasterization process.

3.3 Training

We train our proposed method in two stages to effectively learn the geometric and appearance properties.

First Stage. We train the geometry attributes (*i.e.*, o, s, r and n) by optimizing \mathcal{D}_W and \mathcal{D}_g . We set the objective function \mathcal{L}_{stage1} consists of the reconstruction loss \mathcal{L}_{rec} , and normal reconstruction loss $\mathcal{L}_{n_{rec}}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{stage1} = \mathcal{L}_{rec} + \lambda_{n_{rec}} \mathcal{L}_{n_{rec}}, \tag{4}$$

Reconstruction loss \mathcal{L}_{rec} . Training only with normal vectors often results in low quality, as the lack of visual information makes the training process highly under-constrained. We set the additional color attributes $c_s \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to guide training the geometry. We note that I_{c_s} is the rendered image from c_s . We employ L1 loss and LPIPS loss [55] as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \mathcal{L}_1(I_{rab}^{gt}, I_{c_s}) + \lambda_{lpips} \mathcal{L}_{lpips}(I_{rab}^{gt}, I_{c_s}), \tag{5}$$

Normal reconstruction loss $\mathcal{L}_{n_{rec}}$. We utilize an off-the-shelf normal estimation network to guide the deformed normal. Let I_n^{gt} is a predicted normal from the network, and $I_{\hat{n}}$ is rasterized image using \hat{n} . We compute L1 loss between I_n^{gt} and $I_{\hat{n}}$ them as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{n_{rec}} = \mathcal{L}_1(I_n^{gt}, I_{\hat{n}}), \tag{6}$$

Second Stage. The PBR-related optimizable parameters (*i.e.*, c_a , v, γ , and L) are jointly trained with the geometry attributes. We remove c_s during this stage, which also eliminates \mathcal{L}_{rec} . Hence, we set the objective function by incorporating the normal loss \mathcal{L}_{nrec} , PBR loss \mathcal{L}_{pbr} , and geometric consistency loss \mathcal{L}_{qc} .

$$\mathcal{L}_{stage2} = \mathcal{L}_{pbr} + \lambda_{nrec} \mathcal{L}_{nrec} + \lambda_{gs} \mathcal{L}_{gs}, \tag{7}$$

PBR loss \mathcal{L}_{pbr} . We minimize the difference between the ground-truth RGB I_{rab}^{gt} and a rendered image $I_{c_{pbr}}$ as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{pbr} = \mathcal{L}_{1}(I_{rgb}^{gt}, I_{c_{pbr}}) + \lambda_{lpips} \mathcal{L}_{lpips}(I_{rgb}^{gt}, I_{c_{pbr}}), \tag{8}$$

Geometric consistency loss \mathcal{L}_{gc} . Modeling a human avatar from monocular video input often results in suboptimal quality due to depth ambiguity caused by the sparsity of visual information. To address this, we design geometric consistency loss \mathcal{L}_{gc} , which maximizes the similarity between the training viewpoint and a randomly generated virtual viewpoint.

Specifically, we begin by rendering an additional image with a randomly augmented virtual camera, $I_{c_s}^r$, and extract deep representations of both images using a pre-trained network, Φ , such as VGG-16 [42]. We then randomly sample N feature vectors, $\mathbb{Y}^l = \{y_0, \ldots, y_N\}^i$ and $\mathbb{Y}'^l = \{y_0', \ldots, y_N'\}^i$, from the ith layers of features for $I_{c_{pbr}}$ and $I_{c_{pbr}}^r$, respectively. These feature vectors are sampled within commonly visible regions, allowing us to compare corresponding points between the two sets. Our network is trained to increase the similarity of matching points (*i.e.*, (y_i, y_j') , where, i = j) while decreasing the similarity of contrasting points (*i.e.*, (y_i, y_j') , where, $i \neq j$). To achieve this, we formulate \mathcal{L}_{gc} using InfoNCE loss [31] as follows:

Table 1: Comparison of multi-view human datasets.

Dataset	#ID	#View	#Light Color	#Frames	Resolution
Human3.6M [13]	11	4	1	3.6M	1000P
MPI-INF-3DHP [28]	8	14	1	1.3M	2048P
ZJU-MoCap [35]	10	24	1	180K	1024P
THuman 4.0 [57]	3	24	1	10K	1150P
RDA [27]	4	8 - 100	1	90K	1024P
Ours	20	30	8	11.5M	4096P

$$\mathcal{L}_{gc} = \sum_{i=1}^{S_I} \sum_{j=1}^{S_p} -\log \left(\frac{\exp\left(y_j^i \cdot \mathbb{Y}^{\prime i}\right)}{\exp\left(y_j^i \cdot \mathbb{Y}^{\prime i}\right) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N} \exp\left(y_k^i \cdot \mathbb{Y}^{\prime i}\right)} \right). \tag{9}$$

where S_l is the set of layers selected from Φ , and S_p is the index set of generated points.

4 Experiments

4.1 Multi-illuminated Dynamic Human Dataset

Existing human performance datasets [13, 27, 28, 35, 57] for modeling human avatars typically capture subjects under white lighting, making it challenging to evaluate relighting accuracy by comparing it with real ground truth. While synthetic datasets offer an alternative, they often suffer from geometric and appearance artifacts that affect relighting evaluation. To address this limitation, Luvizon *et al.* [27] introduced a dataset that captures the human under six indoor and one outdoor lighting conditions. The dataset primarily varies lighting direction, but it still retains a restricted color range, making it challenging to comprehensively evaluate relighting performance. To bridge this gap, we present a novel dataset comprising eight subjects performing various actions under a diverse range of lighting colors. The detail of proposed dataset is described in the supplementary material.

4.2 Qualitative Results

Comparison Methods. We compare with state-of-the-art methods for both human avatar reconstruction (3DGS-Avatar [36], Gauhuman [11], GomAvatar [47], iHuman [33], ExAvatar [30], and EVA [10]) and relightable avatar modeling (RelightableAvatar [21], NECA [52], and IntrinsicAvatar [45]). The existing methods take a monocular video and a 3D pose as input and produce a human avatar capable of novel pose and novel view rendering. We train these methods according to their original training procedures, adapted to our training dataset setup. The implementation detail is described in the supplementary material.

Human Avatar Reconstruction. We conduct a qualitative comparison to assess the reconstruction performance against the human avatar reconstruction [10, 11, 30, 33, 36, 47]. We trained both the benchmark methods and our approach on our proposed dataset as well as the ZJU-Mocap dataset [35]. The results are shown in Fig. 5. We render the human avatar in both novel poses and views under white environmental lighting. Specifically, GoMAvatar [47] and iHuman [33] can render the normal of avatar, so we also compare the quality of the rendered normal maps. As shown in the figure, they struggle to preserve the geometric coherency when the avatar




Figure 5: Qualitative results of human avatar reconstruction (novel pose and view rendering under white environmental light).

is animated, and show insufficient local details and visually unpleasing deformations, such as clothing wrinkles. In contrast, our method demonstrates superior detailed results with consistency. Relightable Avatar Modeling. For qualitative evaluation of relighting, we compared our method with relightable avatar modeling methods [21, 45, 52]. Both our method and the relighting methods were trained on our proposed dataset as well as the ZJU-Mocap dataset [35]. Fig. 6 shows estimated albedo, normal and relighting results to compare the relighting performance. Additionally, Relighting 1 represents the rendered result with a novel pose, while Relighting 2 depicts the rendered avatar under arbitrary lighting with a novel view. Our proposed dataset allows us to directly compare the result (Relighting 1) with the ground truth. Additional results on the ZJU-Mocap dataset are provided in the supplementary material. We observed that NECA [52] and RelightingAvatar [21] produce visually appealing results; however, the geometrical details appear inaccurate. Furthermore, as shown in the figure, IntrinsicAvatar [45] struggles to reconstruct detailed avatars due to the wide range of body pose variations in our proposed dataset. This

limitation arises from inferring geometry based on density by an implicit manner. Furthermore, we present an additional comparison of relighting performance by rendering human avatars under dynamic lighting in Fig. 7. Thanks to modeling the accurate normal details, RnD-Avatar demonstrates smooth variations in lighting effects compared to existing methods.

4.3 Quantitative Results

For a quantitative evaluation, we compared PSNR, SSIM, and LPIPS to evaluate the fidelity of rendered results across novel pose, novel view, and relighting tasks. Furthermore, to evaluate geometry quality, we compute the fidelity of rendered normal results using PSNR and SSIM, denoted as $PSNR_n$ and $PSNR_n$, respectively. Additionally, we report the training time (TR) required to optimize a human avatar. Tab. 2 reports the quantitative comparison of human avatar reconstruction, while Tab. 3 presents the performance of relighting human avatar. As shown, our method achieves higher fidelity in

Table 2: Quantitative results of human avatar reconstruction on our database. " \uparrow " indicates higher is better. " \downarrow " indicates the opposition.

Method	Novel view			Novel pose				TD			
	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$PSNR_n\uparrow$	$SSIM_n\uparrow$	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$PSNR_n\uparrow$	$SSIM_n\uparrow$	TR
3DGS-Avatar[36]	30.85	0.9476	0.0266	-	-	29.12	0.9376	0.0316	-	-	2h
GauHuman[11]	29.34	0.9386	0.0239	-	-	27.26	0.9021	0.0389	-	-	1h
GoMAvatar[47]	31.13	0.9490	0.0191	18.35	0.7614	29.73	0.9328	0.0291	17.15	0.7172	12h
iHuman[33]	26.87	0.8848	0.0342	16.42	0.6248	24.84	0.8691	0.0453	13.15	0.5894	2h
ExAvatar[30]	30.28	0.9457	0.0171	-	-	30.17	0.9344	0.0301	-	-	2h
EVA[10]	30.42	0.9346	0.0267	-	-	28.61	0.9217	0.0332	-	-	6h
Ours	31.92	0.9621	0.0150	26.94	0.9509	30.19	0.9427	0.0270	26.48	0.9487	6h




Figure 6: Qualitative results of human avatar relighting.

Table 3: Quantitative results of relighting human avatar under color environmental light on our database. "↑" indicates higher is better. "↓" indicates the opposition.

Method	Novel view						
Method	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$PSNR_n\uparrow$	$SSIM_n\uparrow$	TR	
NECA [52]	30.52	0.9198	0.0416	17.87	0.6492	4h	
RelightableAvatar [21]	28.26	0.8956	0.0589	17.95	0.6541	8h	
IntrinsicAvatar [45]	28.26	0.8956	0.0589	15.14	0.5475	12h	
Ours	30.78	0.9231	0.0363	26.94	0.9509	6h	

both reconstruction, relighting, and geometrical detail (normal quality) compared to existing approaches. These results indicate that our proposed method performs effective human avatar articulation and achieves photorealistic rendering quality.

4.4 Ablation Study

We additionally conducted ablation experiments to verify the contributions of our proposed framework. To this end, we established




Figure 7: Qualitative results of human avatar relighting.

a *Baseline* architecture consisting of an MLP and static skinning weights for articulation.

Effectiveness of Dynamic skinning weight. We conduct an ablation study to show the effectiveness of the proposed dynamic skinning weights. Specifically, we compared the performance between Baseline and Baseline+ W_{Θ_t} . The qualitative and quantitative results are shown in Fig. 8 and Tab. 4. As shown in the results, we can evidence that W_{Θ_t} enhance the geometry details.

Effectiveness of Geometric Consistency Loss. An ablation study was conducted to verify the effectiveness of \mathcal{L}_{gc} . Qualitative comparisons are shown in Fig. 8, where we focus on relighting results to illustrate the influence of geometry quality. Specifically, we observe that the human avatar without \mathcal{L}_{gc} results in an inaccurate surface representation of the human avatar. Furthermore, as shown in Tab. 4, using \mathcal{L}_{gc} results in higher fidelity outputs compared to when it is not applied. This suggests that our regularization significantly improves the geometry.




Figure 8: Ablation study of W_{Θ_t} and \mathcal{L}_{qc} .




Figure 9: Ablation study of the skinning weight encoder.

Skinning Weight Encoder Variants. To compute W_{Θ_t} , we leverage the pose sequence to capture both global and local motion dynamics. We conducted an ablation study to validate the encoder's capability in capturing both global and local motion dynamics (f_t and f_s) for accurate skinning weight estimation. We design an encoder $\mathcal{D}_{\Theta_t}^S$ that generates the skinning weights solely from f_s . Fig. 9 shows the estimated normals at two consecutive frames (t_1 and t_2). As shown in the figure, although the body rotation is small, Fig. 9 (a) exhibits a noticeable change in the overall orientation of the normal vectors, particularly in the chest region, while Fig. 9 (b) can address this limitation while achieves higher normal fidelity as reported in

Table 4: Quantitative result of ablation study. " \uparrow " indicates higher is better. " \downarrow " indicates the opposition.

method	Novel view							
	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$PSNR_n\uparrow$	$SSIM_n \uparrow$			
baseline	29.73	0.9311	0.0251	24.83	0.9413			
$+W_{\Theta_t}$	30.96	0.9456	0.0189	25.51	0.9456			
$+\mathcal{L}_{gc}$ (Full)	31.92	0.9621	0.0150	26.48	0.9487			

Table 5: Quantitative result of ablation study. " \uparrow " indicates higher is better. " \downarrow " indicates the opposition.

method	Novel view				
	$PSNR_n\uparrow$	$SSIM_n \uparrow$			
$\mathcal{D}_{\Theta_t}^{\mathcal{S}}$	26.48	0.9487			
Full	26.94	0.9509			

Table 6: Quantitative novel-view synthesis results based on varying pose sequence length d. " \uparrow " indicates higher is better. " \downarrow " indicates the opposition.

d	2	5	10	20	30
PNSR↑	29.32		31.92		
SSIM ↑	0.9387	0.948	0.962	0.965	0.951
$FLOPs(G)\downarrow$	0.016	0.035	0.054	0.102	0.149

Table. 5. This suggests that computing skinning weights requires consideration of both global and local motion dynamics.

Influence of Pose Sequence d. We further explored the influence of the pose sequence d used to compute f_m . We report the quantitative performance of novel-view synthesis while varying the sequence length. Additionally, we compute the floating point operations (FLOPs) of the pose encoder based on the sequence length. As shown, the performance with a sequence length of 10 and 20 is comparable; however, a length of 20 yields slightly more optimal results. Nevertheless, the computational cost increases significantly with longer sequences. Therefore, we set d=10 in our experiments to balance performance and efficiency.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose RnD-Avatar, a method designed to model detailed human avatars for rendering novel poses/views and enabling relighting under arbitrary environmental light. The core of our approach is to learn the pose-variant deformation for the fine-grained geometric details of human avatar from monocular videos. To achieve this, we introduce a dynamic skinning weight that leverages input body motion (dynamic) to compute pose-variant transformation matrices. This is used for skeleton-driven deformation and modeling fine-grained geometry for avatar articulation. Furthermore, to address the sparsity of monocular videos, we introduce a novel regularization that enhances geometric consistency. Additionally, we construct a database that captures human motion videos under diverse lighting conditions. Leveraging this database, our method demonstrates state-of-the-art performance in tasks such as novel view synthesis, novel pose rendering, and relighting.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Culture, Sports and Tourism R&D Program through the Korea Creative Content Agency grant funded by the Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism in 2024 (RS-2024-00398413, Contribution Rate: 85%), and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (RS-2025-02216328, Contribution Rate: 15%).

References

- Sai Bi, Stephen Lombardi, Shunsuke Saito, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, Kevyn Mcphail, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Yaser Sheikh, and Jason Saragih. 2021. Deep relightable appearance models for animatable faces. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG) 40, 4 (2021), 1–15.
- [2] Federica Bogo, Michael J Black, Matthew Loper, and Javier Romero. 2015. Detailed full-body reconstructions of moving people from monocular RGB-D sequences. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2300–2308.
- [3] Brent Burley and Walt Disney Animation Studios. 2012. Physically-based shading at disney. In Acm Siggraph, Vol. 2012. vol. 2012, 1–7.
- [4] Zhaoxi Chen, Fangzhou Hong, Haiyi Mei, Guangcong Wang, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. 2023. Primdiffusion: Volumetric primitives diffusion for 3d human generation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36 (2023), 13664–13677.
- [5] Zhaoxi Chen and Ziwei Liu. 2022. Relighting4d: Neural relightable human from videos. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer. 606–623.
- [6] Alvaro Collet, Ming Chuang, Pat Sweeney, Don Gillett, Dennis Evseev, David Calabrese, Hugues Hoppe, Adam Kirk, and Steve Sullivan. 2015. High-quality streamable free-viewpoint video. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG) 34, 4 (2015), 1–13.
- [7] Chen Geng, Sida Peng, Zhen Xu, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou. 2023. Learning neural volumetric representations of dynamic humans in minutes. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 8759– 8770
- [8] Kaiwen Guo, Peter Lincoln, Philip Davidson, Jay Busch, Xueming Yu, Matt Whalen, Geoff Harvey, Sergio Orts-Escolano, Rohit Pandey, Jason Dourgarian, et al. 2019. The relightables: Volumetric performance capture of humans with realistic relighting. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG) 38, 6 (2019), 1–19.
- [9] Marc Habermann, Weipeng Xu, Michael Zollhoefer, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Christian Theobalt. 2019. Livecap: Real-time human performance capture from monocular video. ACM Transactions On Graphics (TOG) 38, 2 (2019), 1–17.
- [10] Hezhen Hu, Zhiwen Fan, Tianhao Wu, Yihan Xi, Seoyoung Lee, Georgios Pavlakos, and Zhangyang Wang. 2024. Expressive Gaussian Human Avatars from Monocular RGB Video. In NeurIPS.
- [11] Shoukang Hu, Tao Hu, and Ziwei Liu. 2024. Gauhuman: Articulated gaussian splatting from monocular human videos. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 20418–20431.
- [12] Zexu Huang, Sarah Monazam Erfani, Siying Lu, and Mingming Gong. 2024. Efficient neural implicit representation for 3D human reconstruction. *Pattern Recognition* 156 (2024), 110758.
- [13] Catalin Ionescu, Dragos Papava, Vlad Olaru, and Cristian Sminchisescu. 2013. Human3. 6m: Large scale datasets and predictive methods for 3d human sensing in natural environments. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 36, 7 (2013), 1325–1339.
- [14] Boyi Jiang, Yang Hong, Hujun Bao, and Juyong Zhang. 2022. Selfrecon: Self reconstruction your digital avatar from monocular video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5605–5615.
- [15] Tianjian Jiang, Xu Chen, Jie Song, and Otmar Hilliges. 2023. Instantavatar: Learning avatars from monocular video in 60 seconds. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 16922–16932.
- [16] Yujiao Jiang, Qingmin Liao, Xiaoyu Li, Li Ma, Qi Zhang, Chaopeng Zhang, Zongqing Lu, and Ying Shan. 2024. UV Gaussians: Joint Learning of Mesh Deformation and Gaussian Textures for Human Avatar Modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11589 (2024).
- [17] James T Kajiya. 1986. The rendering equation. In Proceedings of the 13th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques. 143–150.
- [18] Bernhard Kerbl, Georgios Kopanas, Thomas Leimkühler, and George Drettakis. 2023. 3D Gaussian Splatting for Real-Time Radiance Field Rendering. ACM Trans. Graph. 42, 4 (2023), 139–1.
- [19] Muhammed Kocabas, Jen-Hao Rick Chang, James Gabriel, Oncel Tuzel, and Anurag Ranjan. 2024. Hugs: Human gaussian splats. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 505–515.
- [20] Zhe Li, Zerong Zheng, Lizhen Wang, and Yebin Liu. 2024. Animatable gaussians: Learning pose-dependent gaussian maps for high-fidelity human avatar modeling. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 19711–19722.

- [21] Wenbin Lin, Chengwei Zheng, Jun-Hai Yong, and Feng Xu. 2024. Relightable and animatable neural avatars from videos. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 38. 3486–3494.
- [22] Lingjie Liu, Marc Habermann, Viktor Rudnev, Kripasindhu Sarkar, Jiatao Gu, and Christian Theobalt. 2021. Neural actor: Neural free-view synthesis of human actors with pose control. ACM transactions on graphics (TOG) 40, 6 (2021), 1–16.
- [23] Xinqi Liu, Chenming Wu, Xing Liu, Jialun Liu, Jinbo Wu, Chen Zhao, Haocheng Feng, Errui Ding, and Jingdong Wang. 2024. GEA: Reconstructing Expressive 3D Gaussian Avatar from Monocular Video. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16607 (2024).
- [24] Stephen Lombardi, Tomas Simon, Gabriel Schwartz, Michael Zollhoefer, Yaser Sheikh, and Jason Saragih. 2021. Mixture of volumetric primitives for efficient neural rendering. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG) 40, 4 (2021), 1–13.
- [25] Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black. 2015. SMPL: a skinned multi-person linear model. ACM Trans. Graph. 34, 6, Article 248 (Oct. 2015), 16 pages. doi:10.1145/2816795.2818013
- [26] Diogo Luvizon, Vladislav Golyanik, Adam Kortylewski, Marc Habermann, and Christian Theobalt. 2023. Relightable Neural Actor with Intrinsic Decomposition and Pose Control. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11587 (2023).
- [27] Diogo Luvizon, Vladislav Golyanik, Adam Kortylewski, Marc Habermann, and Christian Theobalt. 2024. Relightable neural actor with intrinsic decomposition and pose control. In European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV).
- [28] Dushyant Mehta, Helge Rhodin, Dan Casas, Pascal Fua, Oleksandr Sotnychenko, Weipeng Xu, and Christian Theobalt. 2017. Monocular 3d human pose estimation in the wild using improved cnn supervision. In 2017 international conference on 3D vision (3DV). IEEE, 506-516.
- [29] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. 2021. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. Commun. ACM 65, 1 (2021), 99–106.
- [30] Gyeongsik Moon, Takaaki Shiratori, and Shunsuke Saito. 2024. Expressive wholebody 3d gaussian avatar. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 19–35.
- [31] Aaron van den Oord, Yazhe Li, and Oriol Vinyals. 2018. Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03748 (2018).
- [32] Haokai Pang, Heming Zhu, Adam Kortylewski, Christian Theobalt, and Marc Habermann. 2024. Ash: Animatable gaussian splats for efficient and photoreal human rendering. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 1165–1175.
- [33] Pramish Paudel, Anubhav Khanal, Danda Pani Paudel, Jyoti Tandukar, and Ajad Chhatkuli. 2024. ihuman: Instant animatable digital humans from monocular videos. In European Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 304–323.
- [34] Sida Peng, Junting Dong, Qianqian Wang, Shangzhan Zhang, Qing Shuai, Xiaowei Zhou, and Hujun Bao. 2021. Animatable neural radiance fields for modeling dynamic human bodies. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 14314–14323.
- [35] Sida Peng, Yuanqing Zhang, Yinghao Xu, Qianqian Wang, Qing Shuai, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou. 2021. Neural body: Implicit neural representations with structured latent codes for novel view synthesis of dynamic humans. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 9054–9063.
- [36] Zhiyin Qian, Shaofei Wang, Marko Mihajlovic, Andreas Geiger, and Siyu Tang. 2024. 3dgs-avatar: Animatable avatars via deformable 3d gaussian splatting. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 5020–5030.
- [37] Edoardo Remelli, Timur Bagautdinov, Shunsuke Saito, Chenglei Wu, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, Kaiwen Guo, Zhe Cao, Fabian Prada, Jason Saragih, et al. 2022. Drivable volumetric avatars using texel-aligned features. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Conference Proceedings. 1–9.
- [38] Shunsuke Saito, Gabriel Schwartz, Tomas Simon, Junxuan Li, and Giljoo Nam. 2024. Relightable gaussian codec avatars. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 130–141.
- [39] Kripasindhu Sarkar, Marcel C Bühler, Gengyan Li, Daoye Wang, Delio Vicini, Jérémy Riviere, Yinda Zhang, Sergio Orts-Escolano, Paulo Gotardo, Thabo Beeler, et al. 2023. LitNeRF: Intrinsic Radiance Decomposition for High-Quality View Synthesis and Relighting of Faces. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers. 1–11
- [40] Zhijing Shao, Duotun Wang, Qing-Yao Tian, Yao-Dong Yang, Hengyu Meng, Zeyu Cai, Bo Dong, Yu Zhang, Kang Zhang, and Zeyu Wang. 2024. DEGAS: Detailed Expressions on Full-Body Gaussian Avatars. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.10588 (2024).
- [41] Zhijing Shao, Zhaolong Wang, Zhuang Li, Duotun Wang, Xiangru Lin, Yu Zhang, Mingming Fan, and Zeyu Wang. 2024. Splattingavatar: Realistic real-time human avatars with mesh-embedded gaussian splatting. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 1606–1616.
- [42] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556 (2014).
- [43] Wenzhang Sun, Yunlong Che, Han Huang, and Yandong Guo. 2023. Neural reconstruction of relightable human model from monocular video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 397–407.

- [44] Jing Tong, Jin Zhou, Ligang Liu, Zhigeng Pan, and Hao Yan. 2012. Scanning 3d full human bodies using kinects. IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics 18, 4 (2012), 643–650.
- graphics 18, 4 (2012), 643–650.

 [45] Shaofei Wang, Bozidar Antic, Andreas Geiger, and Siyu Tang. 2024. IntrinsicAvatar: Physically Based Inverse Rendering of Dynamic Humans from Monocular Videos via Explicit Ray Tracing. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 1877–1888.
- [46] Shaofei Wang, Katja Schwarz, Andreas Geiger, and Siyu Tang. 2022. Arah: Animatable volume rendering of articulated human sdfs. In European conference on computer vision. Springer, 1–19.
- [47] Jing Wen, Xiaoming Zhao, Zhongzheng Ren, Alexander G Schwing, and Shenlong Wang. 2024. Gomavatar: Efficient animatable human modeling from monocular video using gaussians-on-mesh. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2059–2069.
- [48] Chung-Yi Weng, Brian Curless, Pratul P Srinivasan, Jonathan T Barron, and Ira Kemelmacher-Shlizerman. 2022. Humannerf: Free-viewpoint rendering of moving people from monocular video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern Recognition. 16210–16220.
- [49] Donglai Xiang, Timur Bagautdinov, Tuur Stuyck, Fabian Prada, Javier Romero, Weipeng Xu, Shunsuke Saito, Jingfan Guo, Breannan Smith, Takaaki Shiratori, et al. 2022. Dressing avatars: Deep photorealistic appearance for physically simulated clothing. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 41, 6 (2022), 1–15.
- [50] Donglai Xiang, Fabian Prada, Timur Bagautdinov, Weipeng Xu, Yuan Dong, He Wen, Jessica Hodgins, and Chenglei Wu. 2021. Modeling clothing as a separate layer for an animatable human avatar. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 40, 6 (2021), 1–15.
- [51] Donglai Xiang, Fabian Prada, Zhe Cao, Kaiwen Guo, Chenglei Wu, Jessica Hodgins, and Timur Bagautdinov. 2023. Drivable avatar clothing: Faithful full-body

- telepresence with dynamic clothing driven by sparse rgb-d input. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers. 1–11.
- [52] Junjin Xiao, Qing Zhang, Zhan Xu, and Wei-Shi Zheng. 2024. NECA: Neural customizable human avatar. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 20091–20101.
- [53] Zhen Xu, Sida Peng, Chen Geng, Linzhan Mou, Zihan Yan, Jiaming Sun, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou. 2024. Relightable and animatable neural avatar from sparse-view video. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 990–1000.
- [54] Haotian Yang, Mingwu Zheng, Wanquan Feng, Haibin Huang, Yu-Kun Lai, Pengfei Wan, Zhongyuan Wang, and Chongyang Ma. 2023. Towards practical capture of high-fidelity relightable avatars. In SIGGRAPH Asia 2023 Conference Papers. 1–11.
- [55] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. 2018. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 586–595.
- [56] Xiuming Zhang, Sean Fanello, Yun-Ta Tsai, Tiancheng Sun, Tianfan Xue, Rohit Pandey, Sergio Orts-Escolano, Philip Davidson, Christoph Rhemann, Paul Debevec, et al. 2021. Neural light transport for relighting and view synthesis. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 40, 1 (2021), 1–17.
- [57] Zerong Zheng, Han Huang, Tao Yu, Hongwen Zhang, Yandong Guo, and Yebin Liu. 2022. Structured local radiance fields for human avatar modeling. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 15893– 15003
- [58] Yihao Zhi, Shenhan Qian, Xinhao Yan, and Shenghua Gao. 2022. Dual-space nerf: Learning animatable avatars and scene lighting in separate spaces. In 2022 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV). IEEE, 1–10.