

# MIXED MOMENTS OF TWISTED $L$ -FUNCTIONS

ZHENPENG TANG AND XIAOSHENG WU

**ABSTRACT.** We establish an asymptotic formula with a power-saving error term for the twisted mixed moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions and automorphic  $L$ -functions twisted by all primitive characters modulo  $q$ , valid for all admissible moduli. As a special case, this extends the asymptotic result of Blomer, Fouvry, Kowalski, Michel, and Milićević to general moduli, achieving an error term as sharp as the best bound recently proved by Khan and Zhang for prime moduli.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Moments of twisted  $L$ -functions.** The study of moments in families of  $L$ -functions constitutes a central problem in modern number theory. As emphasized in the elegant work of Young [32], these moments are not only pivotal for their wide-ranging applications but also serve as fundamental objects that unveil deep structural properties and inherent symmetries within the family.

Asymptotic formulae for moments of  $L$ -function with a power-saving error term are particularly crucial, as they play vital roles in amplification techniques, mollification methods, and resonance techniques. The complexity of such moment calculations can be quantified by the ratio  $r = \log C / \log |\mathcal{F}|$ , where  $|\mathcal{F}|$  denotes the size of the family and  $C$  its analytic conductor. Notably, computational difficulty increases with growing complexity. The threshold  $r = 4$  is the precise boundary, where most current analytic techniques fall just short of producing an asymptotic formula. In the few successful cases, some deep input is typically indispensable; see [6] [7] [17] [20] [22] [27] for example.

Let  $f$  and  $g$  denote two fixed (holomorphic or non-holomorphic) Hecke eigenforms of level 1, not necessarily cuspidal. The following second moment is defined in the context  $r = 4$ : for  $q \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad M_{f,g}(q) = \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right) \overline{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes \chi\right)},$$

where the sum runs over all primitive characters modulo  $q$ , and  $\varphi^*(q)$  denotes the number of such characters. Asymptotics for the moment (1.1) with a power-saving error term are crucial to amplification and related analytic techniques in problems such as subconvexity, nonvanishing, and extreme values of  $L$ -functions; see [3] for a rich sample of applications.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M36, 11M06, 11L05, 11F72.

*Key words and phrases.* asymptotic formula, moments of  $L$ -functions, Kloosterman sum, bilinear form.

The authors are supported in part by the NSFC Grant 12271135, Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation Grant 2508085J005 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities Grant JZ2025HGTG0254.

When both  $f$  and  $g$  correspond to non-cuspidal Eisenstein series, the moment (1.1) reduces to

$$M_{E,E}(q) = \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi\right) \right|^4,$$

the fourth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, which has a very long history and has been extensively studied; see [1] [13] [28] [31] [32] for example. The first asymptotic formula with a power-savings error term was established by Young [32] for prime moduli. Wu [31] proved the asymptotic formula for all admissible moduli, and the best-known error term to date is  $O\left(q^{-\frac{1}{20}+\varepsilon}\right)$ ; see [2] [11].

When both  $f$  and  $g$  are cuspidal (holomorphic or Maaß) in (1.1), some special cases (ie.  $f = g$ ) were studied earlier by Stefanicki [30] and Gao, Khan, and Ricotta [10]. Blomer and Milićević [5] established a power-saving asymptotic for most moduli, specifically when  $q$  is not close to a prime or to a product of two primes of comparable size. The main obstacle in these cases has been the lack of power-saving estimates for bilinear forms involving Kloosterman sums in the Pólya-Vinogradov range. The case of prime moduli was later addressed by Kowalski, Michel, and Sawin [21], who proved the asymptotic with a power-saving error term  $O\left(p^{-\frac{1}{144}+\varepsilon}\right)$ . The remaining case was recently resolved by Milićević, Qin, and Wu [25] and independently by Pascadi [26]. Both works [25] and [26] established a power-saving asymptotic for all admissible moduli  $q$ , with the former also removing the dependence on the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture and achieving a sharper error term  $O\left(q^{-\frac{1}{216}+\varepsilon}\right)$ .

These are termed mixed moments when one eigenform is cuspidal while the other corresponds to a non-cuspidal Eisenstein series. The first power-saving asymptotic formula for this case was established by Blomer, Fouvry, Kowalski, Michel, and Milićević [1], who proved that for prime moduli  $p$

$$M_{f,E}(p) = \frac{1}{\varphi^*(p)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right) \overline{L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi\right)}^2 = \frac{L(1, f)^2}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(p^{-\frac{1}{68}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

The error term was subsequently improved to  $O\left(p^{-\frac{1}{64}+\varepsilon}\right)$  by Shparlinski [29], and more recently to  $O\left(p^{-\frac{1}{22}+\varepsilon}\right)$  for holomorphic  $f$  and to  $O\left(p^{-\frac{5}{132}+\varepsilon}\right)$  for Maaß forms  $f$  by Khan and Zhang [24].

In this paper, we investigate the mixed moment for arbitrary moduli. More precisely, we study the following twisted mixed moment

$$M_{f,E}(q; a, b) = \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bar{\chi}\right)^2 \chi(\bar{a}b),$$

for any integers  $a, b \leq q$  satisfying  $(a, b) = (ab, q) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f$  be a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of level 1 with Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda(n) \ll \tau(n)n^{\theta_f}$ . If  $f$  is Maaß form we further assume that its root number satisfies*

$\varepsilon(f) = 1$ . For  $q \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $1 \leq a, b \leq q$  satisfying  $(a, b) = (ab, q) = 1$ , we have

$$M_{f,E}(q; a, b) = c_f \prod_{p|qab} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-2} \\ \times \frac{c_{a,b} + c_{b,a}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{L(1, f)^2}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(q^{-\frac{1}{20} + \varepsilon} a^{\frac{3}{10}} + q^{-\frac{1-2\theta_f}{22+16\theta_f} + \varepsilon} b^{\frac{3+2\theta_f}{11+8\theta_f}}\right),$$

where the coefficients  $c_f$ ,  $c_{a,b}$  are defined by

$$(1.2) \quad c_f = \begin{cases} 1/2, & \text{if } f \text{ is holomorphic,} \\ 1, & \text{if } f \text{ is a Maa}\beta \text{ form,} \end{cases} \quad c_{a,b} = \sum_{a_1|a^\infty} \sum_{b_1|b^\infty} \frac{\lambda(aa_1b_1)\tau(ba_1b_1)}{a_1b_1}.$$

**Remark 1.1.** The dependence on  $\theta_f$  in the error term of Theorem 1.1 arises from the trivial estimate (3.3b), which constitutes the sole component requiring an upper bound for the Hecke eigenvalues of  $f$ . The assumption  $\varepsilon(f) = 1$  for a Maa $\beta$  form is natural, as otherwise  $M_{f,E}(q; a, b) = 0$  for parity reasons (see Remark 2.1). In the Maa $\beta$  case, the coefficient  $c_f$  addresses a literature discrepancy originating from a typographical oversight in [1, Theorem 1.2] and was subsequently replicated in [24, Theorem 1.1].

Setting  $a = b = 1$  in Theorem 1.1 results in a power-saving asymptotic for  $M_{f,E}(q)$  across all admissible moduli  $q$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $f$  be a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of level 1 with Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda(n) \ll \tau(n)n^{\theta_f}$ . If  $f$  is Maa $\beta$  form we further assume that its root number satisfies  $\varepsilon(f) = 1$ . For  $q \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , we have

$$M_{f,E}(q) = c_f \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-2} \frac{L(1, f)^2}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(q^{-\frac{1-2\theta_f}{22+16\theta_f} + \varepsilon}\right),$$

where  $c_f$  is the same constant as previously defined.

For the holomorphic case, Deligne's well-known work [8] gives  $\theta_f = 0$ , whereas for the Maa $\beta$  form we may take  $\theta_f = 7/64$  due to Kim–Sarnak [19]. This yields an error term as sharp as the best bound [24] known for prime moduli.

Through the functional equations of  $L$ -functions and the orthogonality of characters, the evaluation of the moment  $M_{f,E}(q, a, b)$  reduces to analyzing the shifted convolution sum

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv \pm an \pmod{d} \\ (mn, q) = 1}} \lambda(m)\tau(n)W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right),$$

where  $a, b, M, N \geq 1$  and  $W$  is smooth test functions. The classical approach to such shifted convolution sum involves either the  $\delta$ -method or Jutila's circle method to eliminate the congruence condition (see [5]). For (1.3), a more efficient approach is to expand the divisor function using the multiplicative decomposition  $\tau(n) = \sum_{n_1 n_2 = n} 1$ , which decomposes the  $n$ -sum into two sums with smooth coefficients, making them amenable to Fourier-analytic techniques.

The diagonal terms (where  $bm = an$ ) in (1.3) contribute to the main term, which can be evaluated explicitly using standard techniques. The primary challenge arise from the off-diagonal terms, which we classify into two distinct cases based on the relative magnitudes of  $bM$  and  $aN$ : the balanced case and the unbalanced case. These two cases demand fundamentally different approaches.

In the balanced case where  $bM$  and  $aN$  are of comparable size, we first remove the coprimality condition and reduce the problem to estimating the sum

$$(1.4) \quad A_q(a, b, M, N) = \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv \pm an \pmod{q} \\ bm \neq an}} \lambda(m) \tau(n) W\left(\frac{bm}{M}\right) W\left(\frac{an}{N}\right),$$

where  $W$  are some smooth functions. For  $M \geq N$ , we establish the bound

$$A_q(a, b, M, N) \ll q^\varepsilon \left( \frac{M}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{\frac{5}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q} + \frac{M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right)$$

with an analogous result holding for  $N \geq M$ . Compared to the bound in [5, (8.13)], our result achieves two key improvements: reducing the influence of the factor  $(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and recovering a saving  $(ab)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$  in the third term. This improvements stem from two innovation: the elimination of dependence on the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture and the minimization of the impact of  $a, b$  through new upper bounds for sums involving Kloosterman sums, as established in the recent work of Gao, Wu, and Zhao [11].

For the unbalanced case where  $BM$  and  $AN$  differ significantly in size, the theory of algebraic trace functions becomes inapplicable due to its restriction to prime moduli. To address this, we employ the multiplicative decomposition of the divisor function  $\tau(n)$ , which allows us to reformulate the problem as analyzing a bilinear sum involving incomplete Kloosterman sum. The estimate of this bilinear form relies primarily on a recent result by Kerr, Shparlinski, Wu, and Xi [23] concerning arbitrary moduli. The approach also requires the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums and several Fourier-analytic tools, including the Poisson summation formula and the Voronoi summation formula.

**1.2. Notation.** We adopt the standard convention that  $\varepsilon$  denotes an arbitrarily small positive constant whose values may vary from one occurrence to another. All implied constants in our estimates may depend on  $\varepsilon$  as well as on the fixed cusp form  $f$ . Throughout this paper,  $W(x)$  denotes a smooth complex-valued function with compact support in  $[1/2, 3]$ , satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad W^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1,$$

for all integers  $j \geq 0$ . The function  $W(x)$  may also vary at each occurrence. We call a modulus  $q$  admissible if  $q \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , which is a natural assumption since no primitive characters exist modulo  $q$  otherwise.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND AUXILIARY LEMMAS

**2.1. Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.** Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $q$ . For  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ , its associated Dirichlet  $L$ -function is defined by

$$L(s, \chi) := \sum_n \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

We denote the completed  $L$ -function by

$$\Lambda(s, \chi) = q^{-\frac{s}{2}} L_\infty(s, \chi) L(s, \chi),$$

where

$$L_\infty(s, \chi) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \mathfrak{a}}{2}\right), \quad \mathfrak{a} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \chi(-1) = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Through analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ , this completed  $L$ -function satisfies the functional equation:

$$\Lambda(s, \chi) = \varepsilon(\chi) \Lambda(1 - s, \bar{\chi})$$

with

$$\varepsilon(\chi) = i^{-\mathfrak{a}} \varepsilon_\chi, \quad \varepsilon_\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{x \pmod{q}} \chi(x) e\left(\frac{x}{q}\right).$$

**2.2. Automorphic preliminaries.** We outline some fundamental facts about automorphic forms, mainly following [5], [9], and [11]. Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be a cusp of  $\Gamma_0(Q)$  with scaling matrix  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$ . For a holomorphic modular form  $f$  of level  $Q$  and weight  $k$ , its Fourier expansion around  $\mathfrak{b}$  takes the form

$$f(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, n) (4\pi n)^{\frac{k}{2}} e(nz).$$

For a Maaß form  $f$  with spectral parameter  $t$ , the expansion becomes

$$f(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, n) W_{0, it}(4\pi |n| y) e(nx),$$

where  $W_{0, it}(y) = (y/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{it}(y/2)$  is a Whittaker function. Each cusp  $\mathfrak{c}$  of  $\Gamma_0(Q)$  has an Eisenstein series  $E_{\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s)$  with Fourier expansion at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ :

$$E_{\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, \tfrac{1}{2} + it) = \delta_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}} y^{\frac{1}{2} + it} + \varphi_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}}(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) y^{\frac{1}{2} - it} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}}(n, t) W_{0, it}(4\pi |n| y) e(nx).$$

When  $\mathfrak{b} = \infty$ , we simplify notation by writing  $\rho_f(n)$  and  $\rho_{\mathfrak{c}}(n, t)$  for the Fourier coefficients.

For a cuspidal newform  $f$  with normalized Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda(n)$ , the scaling relation

$$\lambda(n) \rho_f(1) = \sqrt{n} \rho_f(n)$$

and the multiplicative formula

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda(mn) = \sum_{d \mid (m, n)} \mu(d) \chi_0(d) \lambda\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \lambda\left(\frac{n}{d}\right), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

hold, where  $\chi_0$  is the trivial character modulo  $Q$ . The Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture states that

$$|\lambda(n)| \leq \tau(n).$$

Deligne [8] proved this for holomorphic newforms, whereas for Maaß newform, Kim–Sarnak [19] established the weaker bound

$$|\lambda(n)| \leq \tau(n)n^\theta \quad \text{with } \theta = \frac{7}{64}.$$

The Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture holds on average:

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} |\lambda(n)|^2 \ll_f x.$$

For non-newforms, the exact multiplicativity of their Fourier coefficients in (2.1) no longer holds, but effective averaged substitutes are available, particularly for specially constructed bases. As in [11, Section 11], we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_k(Q)$  the special bases for the space of holomorphic cusp forms of level  $Q$  and weight  $k$ , and by  $\mathcal{B}(Q)$  the bases for the space of Maaß cusp forms of level  $Q$ . The explicit construction of these bases is detailed in a work by Blomer, Harcos, and Michel [4, Section 3.1].

**2.3. Twisted  $L$ -functions.** We review some basic facts on twisted  $L$ -functions in this section; for further details, see [1, Section 2.3]. Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $q$  and let  $f$  be a cuspidal Hecke eigenform with Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda(n)$ . The twisted form  $f \otimes \chi$  remains cuspidal for the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(q^2)$  with nebentypus  $\chi^2$  (see e.g [16, Propositions 14.19 & 14.20]). Its  $L$ -function is given by

$$L(s, f \otimes \chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda(p)\chi(p)}{p^s} + \frac{\chi^2(p)}{p^{2s}} \right)^{-1}, \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1,$$

where the product is over primes  $p$ . The completed  $L$ -function is defined by

$$\Lambda(s, f \otimes \chi) = q^s L_\infty(s, f \otimes \chi) L(s, f \otimes \chi),$$

with

$$L_\infty(s, f \otimes \chi) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-\frac{k-1}{2}-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{k-1}{2} + s\right), & \text{if } f \text{ is holomorphic of weight } k, \\ \pi^{-s-a} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+i\kappa+a}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-i\kappa+a}{2}\right), & \text{if } f \text{ is a Maaß form with eigenvalue } \frac{1}{4} + \kappa^2. \end{cases}$$

This completed  $L$ -function admits analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$  and satisfies the functional equation (see e.g [16, Theorem 14.17, Proposition 14.20]):

$$\Lambda(s, f \otimes \chi) = \varepsilon(f \otimes \chi) \Lambda(1-s, f \otimes \bar{\chi}),$$

where the root number is given by

$$\varepsilon(f \otimes \chi) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(f) \varepsilon_\chi^2, & \text{if } f \text{ is holomorphic,} \\ \chi(-1) \varepsilon(f) \varepsilon_\chi^2, & \text{if } f \text{ is a Maaß form,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\varepsilon(f) = \pm 1$  denotes the root number of  $L(s, f)$ .

**2.4. Approximate functional equation.** Combining the functional equations of both  $L(s, \chi)$  and  $L(s, f \otimes \chi)$  results in the following functional equation:

$$(2.3) \quad \Lambda(s, f \otimes \chi) \Lambda(s, \bar{\chi})^2 = \varepsilon(f, \chi) \Lambda(1-s, f \otimes \bar{\chi}) \Lambda(1-s, \chi)^2,$$

where

$$\varepsilon(f, \chi) = \begin{cases} \chi(-1)\varepsilon(f), & \text{if } f \text{ is holomorphic,} \\ \varepsilon(f), & \text{if } f \text{ is a Maaß form.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.1.** Observe that  $\varepsilon(f, \chi)$  is independent of  $\chi$  when  $f$  is a Maaß form. When  $\varepsilon(f, \chi) = \varepsilon(f) = -1$ , summing both sides of equation (2.3) over all primitive characters leads to the vanishing of  $M_{f,E}(q; a, b)$  by symmetry. For holomorphic  $f$ , the root number  $\varepsilon(f, \chi)$  depends on  $\chi$  at most through its parity  $\chi(-1)$ . The symmetry also implies that the total contribution of such characters  $\chi$  satisfying  $\varepsilon(f, \chi) = -1$  to  $M_{f,E}(q; a, b)$  is zero. Therefore, we restrict our consideration to the following cases:

- for a Maaß form  $f$ , we take  $\varepsilon(f) = 1$ ,
- for a holomorphic  $f$ , we consider only characters with  $\chi(-1) = \varepsilon(f)$ .

In both cases, it holds that

$$\varepsilon(f, \chi) = 1,$$

which we assume henceforth.

Given that  $\varepsilon(f, \chi) = 1$ , standard techniques [16, Theorem 5.3] yield the following approximate functional equation (see also [1, (2.6)]):

$$(2.4) \quad L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bar{\chi}\right)^2 = \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(m)\tau(n)}{(mn)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \chi(m)\bar{\chi}(n) V_{f,a}\left(\frac{mn}{q^2}\right) \\ + \sum_{m,n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)\tau(m)}{(mn)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \chi(m)\bar{\chi}(n) V_{f,a}\left(\frac{mn}{q^2}\right),$$

where the weight function takes the form

$$(2.5) \quad V_{f,a}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{L_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} + s, f \otimes \chi\right) L_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} + s, \bar{\chi}\right)^2}{L_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right) L_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bar{\chi}\right)^2} x^{-s} \frac{ds}{s},$$

which depends on  $\chi(-1)$  only through its parity and is otherwise independent of  $\chi$ . The function  $V_{f,a}(x)$  is smooth, decays rapidly for  $x \gg q^{\varepsilon}$  and is approximately 1 for small  $x$ .

**2.5. The orthogonality formula.** The orthogonality relation for averages over primitive Dirichlet characters is given by the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $(mn, q) = 1$ , then for  $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$*

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1) = \sigma}}^* \chi(m)\bar{\chi}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{d|(q, m-n)} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) + \sigma \sum_{d|(q, m+n)} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \right).$$

*Proof.* This identity follows from standard techniques. For detailed proofs, we refer to [13] and [28]. □

**2.6. Averages of Hecke eigenvalues with coprimality.** Let  $\lambda(n)$  denote the Hecke eigenvalues of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform  $f$  (holomorphic or Maaß) and define the arithmetic functions

$$\varpi_\lambda(\delta, q) = \sum_{\substack{kl^2=\delta \\ kl|q}} \mu(l)\mu(kl)\lambda(k), \quad \varpi_\tau(\delta, q) = \sum_{\substack{kl^2=\delta \\ kl|q}} \mu(l)\mu(kl)\tau(k).$$

These functions satisfy the uniform bounds

$$(2.7) \quad \varpi_\lambda(\delta, q) \ll q^\varepsilon(\delta, q)^{\theta_f}, \quad \varpi_\tau(\delta, q) \ll q^\varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any arithmetic function  $F(n)$ , we have*

$$\sum_{(n,q)=1} \lambda(n)F(n) = \sum_{\delta} \varpi_\lambda(\delta, q) \sum_n \lambda(n)F(\delta n),$$

where the sum over  $\delta$  contains  $\ll q^\varepsilon$  terms. The same identity holds when replacing  $\lambda(n)$  with the divisor function  $\tau(n)$ .

*Proof.* Applying Möbius inversion and the multiplicativity relations (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(n,q)=1} \lambda(n)F(n) &= \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_n \sum_{l|(d,n)} \mu(l) \lambda\left(\frac{d}{l}\right) \lambda\left(\frac{n}{l}\right) F(dn) \\ &= \sum_{\delta} \varpi_\lambda(\delta, q) \sum_n \lambda(n)F(\delta n) \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $\delta = dl$ . The identical argument applies to  $\tau(n)$ .  $\square$

We now present a refined Voronoi formula incorporating a coprimality constraint.

**Lemma 2.3** (Voronoi formula). *Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d, q \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $(b, d) = 1$ , and let  $V$  be a smooth function compactly supported in  $(0, \infty)$ . Then*

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{(n,q)=1} \lambda(n)V(n)e\left(\frac{bn}{d}\right) = \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\delta} \frac{\varpi_\lambda(\delta, q)}{\delta d'} \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(n) \mathring{V}_{\pm}\left(\frac{n}{\delta d'^2}\right) e\left(\pm \frac{\delta' bn}{d'}\right),$$

where  $\delta' = \delta/(\delta, d)$  and  $d' = d/(\delta, d)$ . The Hankel-type transforms  $\mathring{V}_{\pm} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are defined by

$$\mathring{V}_{\pm}(y) = \int_0^\infty V(x) \mathcal{J}_{\pm}(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx$$

with

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{J}_+(x) = 2\pi i^k J_{k-1}(x), \quad \mathcal{J}_-(x) = 0$$

when  $f$  is a holomorphic form of weight  $k$ , and

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{J}_+(x) = \frac{\pi i}{\sinh(\pi t)} (J_{2ik}(x) - J_{-2ik}(x)), \quad \mathcal{J}_-(x) = 4 \cosh(\pi \kappa) K_{2ik}(x)$$

when  $f$  is a non-holomorphic form with spectral parameter  $\kappa$ .



*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we write

$$\sum_{(n,q)=1} \lambda(n) V(n) e\left(\frac{bn}{d}\right) = \sum_{\delta} \varpi_{\lambda}(\delta, q) \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(n) V(\delta n) e\left(\frac{\delta bn}{d}\right).$$

Canceling the common factor  $(ab, d)$  in the exponential and applying the Voronoi summation formula (refer to [1, Lemma 2.3]) to the  $n$ -sum yields the result.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Since the Selberg eigenvalue conjecture has been proved for the whole modulus group, the spectral parameter  $\kappa$  in (2.10) is real when  $f$  is a cuspidal Hecke eigenforms of level 1.

**2.7. Kuznetsov formula and spectral large sieve.** If  $Q = uv$  with  $(u, v) = 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{b} = 1/u$  is a cusp of the Hecke congruence group  $\Gamma_0(Q)$ , and its associated Kloosterman sum  $S_{\infty, \mathfrak{b}}(m, n; \gamma)$ , with scaling matrix  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{v} & 0 \\ u\sqrt{v} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \end{pmatrix}$ , is defined precisely when  $\gamma = u\sqrt{v}w$  for some integer  $w$  coprime to  $v$ . In particular, it holds

$$(2.11) \quad S_{\infty, \mathfrak{b}}(m, n; \gamma) = e\left(n \frac{\bar{u}}{v}\right) S(m\bar{v}, n; uw),$$

where  $\bar{u}$  satisfies  $u\bar{u} \equiv 1 \pmod{v}$  and  $\bar{v}$  satisfies  $v\bar{v} \equiv 1 \pmod{uw}$  (see [9, formula (1.6)]).

We state the Kuznetsov trace formula from [14, Theorems 9.4, 9.5, 9.7]:

**Lemma 2.4** (Kuznetsov formula). *Let  $\phi(x)$  be a function on  $[0, \infty)$ , satisfying  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi^{(j)}(x) \ll (1+x)^{-2-\varepsilon}$  for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Let  $Q = uv$  with  $(u, v) = 1$ , and denote by  $\mathfrak{b} = 1/u$ , a cusp of  $\Gamma_0(Q)$ . For any positive integers  $m, n$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} S_{\infty, \mathfrak{b}}(m, n; \gamma) \phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{\gamma}\right) &= \sum_{2 \leq k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k(Q)} \Gamma(k) \tilde{\phi}(k) \sqrt{mn} \bar{\rho}_f(m) \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, n) \\ &+ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q)} \hat{\phi}(\kappa_f) \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{\cosh(\pi\kappa_f)} \bar{\rho}_f(m) \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(\kappa) \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{\cosh(\pi\kappa)} \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{c}}(m, \kappa) \rho_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}}(n, \kappa) d\kappa \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} S_{\infty, \mathfrak{b}}(m, -n; \gamma) \phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{\gamma}\right) &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q)} \check{\phi}(\kappa_f) \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{\cosh(\pi\kappa_f)} \bar{\rho}_f(m) \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, -n) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \check{\phi}(\kappa) \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{\cosh(\pi\kappa)} \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{c}}(m, \kappa) \rho_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}}(-n, \kappa) d\kappa, \end{aligned}$$

where the Bessel transforms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}(k) &= 4i^k \int_0^\infty \phi(x) J_{k-1}(x) \frac{dx}{x}, \\ \hat{\phi}(\kappa) &= 2\pi i \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \frac{J_{2i\kappa}(x) - J_{-2i\kappa}(x)}{\sinh(\pi\kappa)} \frac{dx}{x}, \\ \check{\phi}(\kappa) &= 8 \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \cosh(\pi\kappa) K_{2i\kappa}(x) \frac{dx}{x}.\end{aligned}$$

The Kuznetsov formula is often used together with the spectral large sieve inequalities; see Deshouillers and Iwaniec [9, Theorem 2].

**Lemma 2.5** (Spectral large sieve). *Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be a cusp of  $\Gamma_0(Q)$  equivalent to  $\frac{u}{w}$  with  $(u, w) = 1$  and  $w \mid Q$ . For  $K \geq 1$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , and complex coefficients  $(a_n)_{n \in [N, 2N]}$ , all three quantities*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq K \\ k \text{ even}}} \Gamma(k) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k(Q)} \left| \sum_n a(n) \sqrt{n} \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, n) \right|^2, \quad \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q) \\ |\kappa_f| \leq K}} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi\kappa_f)} \left| \sum_n a_n \sqrt{n} \rho_f(\mathfrak{b}, \pm n) \right|^2, \\ \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \int_{-K}^K \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi\kappa)} \left| \sum_n a_n \sqrt{n} \rho_{\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}}(\pm n, \kappa) \right|^2 d\kappa\end{aligned}$$

are bounded by

$$\ll (K^2 + \mu(\mathfrak{b})N^{1+\varepsilon}) \|a_n\|_2^2,$$

where  $\mu(\mathfrak{b}) = Q^{-1}$  for  $\mathfrak{b} = \infty$  and  $\mu(\mathfrak{b}) = \left(w, \frac{Q}{w}\right) Q^{-1}$  otherwise.

**2.8. Bessel function and the Hankel-type transform.** For a given complex number  $\nu$ , the Bessel functions satisfy the following well-known bounds (see [15, Appendix B.4]):

$$J_\nu(x) \ll_\nu \begin{cases} x^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & x \geq 1, \\ x^{\operatorname{Re}(\nu)}, & x < 1, \end{cases} \quad Y_\nu(x), K_\nu(x) \ll_\nu \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 1, \\ (1 + |\log |x||) x^{-|\operatorname{Re}(\nu)|}, & x < 1. \end{cases}$$

For convenience in presentation, we uniformly express these bounds as

$$(2.12) \quad J_\nu, Y_\nu, K_\nu \ll_\nu 1 + x^{-\vartheta - \varepsilon}$$

with the parameter  $\vartheta$  taking the values  $-\operatorname{Re}(\nu)$  for  $J_\nu$  and  $|\operatorname{Re}(\nu)|$  for  $Y_\nu, K_\nu$ . In particular,  $K_\nu$  exhibits rapid decay:

$$(2.13) \quad K_\nu(x) \ll_\nu x^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x} \quad \text{for } x \geq 1 + |\nu|^2.$$

Let  $F(x)$  be a smooth function with compact support in  $[X, X + X_1]$ , where  $0 < X_1 \ll X$ , such that

$$(2.14) \quad F^{(j)}(x) \ll_j X_2^{-j}$$

for  $X_2 > 0$ . We consider its Hankel-type transform defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu(y) = \int_0^\infty F(x) \mathcal{I}_\nu(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_\nu$  denotes any of the Bessel functions ( $J_\nu, Y_\nu$ , or  $K_\nu$ ).

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $F$  be a smooth function as defined above, and let  $\mathcal{I}_\nu$  denote a Bessel function satisfying (2.12). For given  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ , the Hankel-type transform satisfies*

$$(2.15) \quad y^j \mathcal{F}_\nu^{(j)}(y) \ll_{\nu,i,j} X_1 (1 + Xy)^{\frac{j}{2}} (1 + (Xy)^{-\theta-\varepsilon}) \left( (1 + Xy)^{-\frac{j}{2}} + (1 + X_2^2 X^{-1} y)^{-\frac{j}{2}} \right)$$

for any  $i, j \geq 0$  and  $y > 0$ . In particular, the function  $\mathcal{F}_\nu(y)$  decays rapidly when  $y \gg \frac{X^\varepsilon}{\min\{X, X_2^2/X\}}$ .

*Proof.* The trivial bound

$$\mathcal{F}_\nu(y) \ll X_1 (1 + (Xy)^{-\theta-\varepsilon})$$

follows directly from (2.12). Applying the Bessel recursion formula [12, 8.472.1]

$$x \mathcal{I}_\nu(x) = (\nu + 1) \mathcal{I}_{\nu+1}(x) + x \mathcal{I}'_{\nu+1}(x)$$

and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \int_0^\infty F(x) \mathcal{I}_\nu(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\nu+1}{4\pi\sqrt{xy}} F(x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{x}{y}} F'(x) \right) \mathcal{I}_{\nu+1}(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx.$$

Repeated applying this formula  $i$  times yields

$$\int_0^\infty F(x) \mathcal{I}_\nu(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx \ll_{\nu,i} X_1 (1 + (Xy)^{-\theta-\varepsilon}) \left( (1 + Xy)^{-\frac{i}{2}} + (1 + X_2^2 X^{-1} y)^{-\frac{i}{2}} \right).$$

For derivatives, we differentiate  $j$  times under the integral sign, followed by  $i$  times applications of (2.16). Then a trivially estimate with  $\mathcal{I}'_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\pm \mathcal{I}_{\nu-1}(x) - \mathcal{I}_{\nu+1}(x))$  and the bounds in (2.12) establishes the estimate (2.15).  $\square$

To handle the Hankel-type transform arising from the Voronoi summation formula, we require its decay properties and smooth asymptotics, established in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.7** (Decay properties). *Let  $W$  be a smooth function compactly supported in  $[1/2, 3]$  and satisfying (1.5). For given parameters  $b, q, M, N \geq 1$ , define*

$$(2.17) \quad \mathring{V}_\pm(y, h) = \int_0^\infty W\left(\frac{bx - hq}{N}\right) W\left(\frac{bx}{M}\right) \mathcal{J}_\pm(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx,$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_\pm$  are as defined in (2.9)-(2.10), for a cuspidal Hecke eigenform  $f$  of level 1. We have the following uniform estimate

$$(2.18) \quad y^j h^l \frac{\partial^{j+l}}{\partial y^j \partial h^l} \mathring{V}_\pm(y, h) \ll_{i,j} \frac{\min\{M, N\}}{b} \left( \left(1 + \frac{M}{b} y\right)^{-\frac{j}{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{\min\{M, N\}^2}{bM} y\right)^{-\frac{j}{2}} \right),$$

valid for any  $i, j, l \geq 0$ . In particular, the transform decays rapidly for  $y \gg \max\left\{\frac{b}{M}, \frac{bM}{N^2}\right\}$ .

*Proof.* Since the spectral parameter  $\kappa$  in (2.10) is real (see Remark 2.2), we may take  $\theta = 0$  in the uniform bound (2.12). The conclusion then follows from Lemma 2.6 by setting  $X = M/b$  and  $X_1 = X_2 = \min\{M, N\}/b$ .  $\square$

Next lemma provides a smooth asymptotic formula for the Hankel-type transform with respect to  $J_\nu$ , whose proof one may find in [5, Lemma 17].

**Lemma 2.8** (Smooth asymptotics). *Let  $W(x)$  be a smooth function with compact support in  $[1/2, 3]$  and satisfying (1.5). Let  $v \in \mathbb{C}$  be a fixed number with  $\operatorname{Re}(v) \geq 0$ . For  $y, z > 0$ , define*

$$W^*(y, z) = \int_0^\infty W(x) J_v(\sqrt{y^2 + xz^2}) dx.$$

Fix  $C \geq 1$  and  $A, \varepsilon > 0$ , when  $y \gg z$  we have

$$(2.19) \quad W^*(y, z) = W_+(y, z)e^{iy} + W_-(y, z)e^{-iy} + O_A(C^{-A}),$$

where  $W_\pm$  (depending on  $v$ ) satisfy

$$y^i z^j \frac{\partial^i}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial z^j} W_\pm(y, z) \begin{cases} = 0, & y/z^2 \leq C^{-\varepsilon}, \\ \ll_{i,j,v} C^{\varepsilon(i+j)} \min\{y^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 1\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

After applying the smooth asymptotic formula (2.19), the corresponding Bessel transform arising from the Kuznetsov formula satisfies the following upper bound.

**Lemma 2.9.** *For  $X \geq 1$ , let*

$$\phi(x) = W\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) \exp(\pm ix),$$

where  $W$  is a smooth function of fixed compact support satisfying (1.5). Then for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R} \cup (-\frac{i}{2}, \frac{i}{2})$ , we have

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{\phi}(k), \hat{\phi}(\kappa) \ll X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

and also

$$(2.21) \quad \tilde{\phi}(k) \ll |k|^{-A}, \hat{\phi}(\kappa) \ll |\kappa|^{-A}, \text{ for } k, |\kappa| \geq \left(1 + X^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}$$

for any given  $A > 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , see [18, Corollary & Remark 1]. For bounded  $\kappa \in (-\frac{i}{2}, \frac{i}{2})$ , the estimate (2.20) follows from (2.12).  $\square$

### 3. SKETCH OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We decompose the moment into contributions from even and odd characters separately. For  $\sigma \in \{1, -1\}$ , we define

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; a, b) = \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi(-1) = \sigma}}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes \chi\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bar{\chi}\right)^2 \chi(a\bar{b}).$$

Then, as discussed in Remark 2.1, we have

$$M_{f,E}(q; a, b) = \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon(f)}(q; a, b)$$

when  $f$  is holomorphic, and

$$M_{f,E}(q; a, b) = \sum_{\sigma \in \{1, -1\}} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; a, b)$$

when  $f$  is a Maaß form with root number  $\varepsilon(f) = 1$ .

Substituting the approximate functional equation (2.4) into (3.1) and applying character orthogonality (2.6), we eliminate the averaging to obtain

$$2\mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; a, b) = \mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; a, b) + \mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; b, a),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; a, b) &= \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv an \pmod{d} \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\lambda(m)\tau(n)}{(mn)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{f, \sigma}\left(\frac{mn}{q^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv -an \pmod{d} \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\lambda(m)\tau(n)}{(mn)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{f, \sigma}\left(\frac{mn}{q^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The main term of  $\mathcal{M}_\sigma(q; a, b)$  arises from the diagonal terms  $am = bn$ , which are explicitly computed as

$$\begin{aligned} MT_\sigma^d(q; a, b) &= \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{bm=an \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\lambda(m)\tau(n)}{(mn)^{\frac{1}{2}}} V_{f, \sigma}\left(\frac{mn}{q^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{(n, q)=1} \frac{\lambda(an)\tau(bn)}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}}n} V_{f, \sigma}\left(\frac{abn^2}{q^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{a_1|a^\infty} \sum_{b_1|b^\infty} \frac{\lambda(aa_1b_1)\tau(ba_1b_1)}{a_1b_1} \sum_{(n, abq)=1} \frac{\lambda(n)\tau(n)}{n} V_{f, \sigma}\left(\frac{ab(a_1b_1n)^2}{q^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the sum over  $n$  using the definition of  $V$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(n, abq)=1} \frac{\lambda(n)\tau(n)}{n} V_{f, \sigma}\left(\frac{ab(a_1b_1n)^2}{q^2}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p|abq} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-2} \frac{L(1, f)^2}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(\left(\frac{ab(a_1b_1)^2}{q^{2+\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

by moving the contour of the integral in  $V$  to  $\text{Re}(s) = -\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon$ , with the main term arising from the residue at  $s = 0$ . The total contribution to  $MT_\sigma^d(q; a, b)$  of the error term is

$$\ll q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} (ab)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{a_1|a^\infty} \sum_{b_1|b^\infty} \frac{\lambda(aa_1b_1)\tau(ba_1b_1)}{(a_1b_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} a^{-\frac{1}{4}} b^{\theta_f-\frac{1}{4}},$$

an acceptable error for  $\theta_f < 1/2$ . Based the multiplicativity property of  $\lambda$  and  $\tau$ , an easy calculation then yields the main term of Theorem 1.1.

All off-diagonal terms contribute to the error term. Through a dyadic partition of the unity, we reduce the problem to bounding bilinear expressions of the type

$$(3.2) \quad E_{M,N} = \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{MN}} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv \pm an \pmod{d} \\ bm \neq an \\ (mn,q)=1}} \lambda(m) \tau(n) W_1\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) W_2\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)$$

for  $M, N \geq 1$ ,  $MN \leq q^{2+\varepsilon}$ . The analysis of  $E_{M,N}$  requires different techniques depending on the relative sizes of  $M$  and  $N$ . Additionally, we need the following trivial bound, applicable when  $M$  and  $N$  differ significantly in size.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $E_{M,N}$  be defined as above. We have the following bounds:*

$$(3.3a) \quad E_{M,N} \ll q^{-1+\varepsilon} (MN)^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^\varepsilon (M/N)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(3.3b) \quad E_{M,N} \ll M^{\theta_f} \left( q^{-1+\varepsilon} (MN)^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^\varepsilon (N/M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the sum over  $m$  yields

$$E_{M,N} \ll \frac{1}{q^{1-\varepsilon}} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \frac{1}{\sqrt{MN}} \left( \sum_{m \asymp M} |\lambda(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{m \asymp M} \left( \sum_{\substack{an \ll AN \\ an \equiv bm \pmod{d} \\ an \neq bm}} \tau(n) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Handling the first  $m$ -sum via (2.2) and estimating other sums trivially shows (3.3a).

The argument for (3.3b) follows a similar approach, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the sum over  $n$  rather than  $m$ . The factor  $M^{\theta_f}$  arises from applying the pointwise bound  $\lambda(m) \ll m^{\theta_f+\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$

For balanced terms, we reduce the problem to analyzing the twisted convolution sum  $A_q(a, b, M, N)$ , as defined in (1.4).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\lambda(m)$  denote the Hecke eigenvalues of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of level 1. For  $a, b, q \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $M \geq N$ , we have*

$$A_q(a, b, M, N) \ll q^\varepsilon \left( \frac{M}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{\frac{5}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q} + \frac{M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} MN^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right).$$

The same bound holds with  $M$  and  $N$  interchanged when  $N \geq M$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will be presented in Section 4. Building upon this result, we establish the following bound for balanced terms.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\lambda(m)$  denote the Hecke eigenvalues of a cuspidal Hecke eigenform of level 1. For  $MN \ll q^{2+\varepsilon}$  with  $bM \geq aN$ , we have*

$$(3.4) \quad E_{M,N} \ll q^\varepsilon \left( \frac{ab}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{bM}{aN} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + q^\varepsilon \left( \frac{ab}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{bM}{aN} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The same bound holds when  $aN \geq bM$ , with  $aN$  and  $bM$  interchanged.

*Proof.* We focus on the case  $bM \geq aN$ , as the alternative case follows identically. Let  $q_d$  denote the maximal factor of  $q$  such that  $(q_d, d) = 1$ . The condition  $(mn, q) = 1$  in (3.2) is equivalent to  $(m, q_d) = 1$  and  $(n, q) = 1$ , both removable via Lemma 2.2. Then, the inner sum in (3.2) evolves into

$$\begin{aligned} IS &= \sum_{l_1} \sum_{l_2} \varpi_\tau(l_1, q) \varpi_\lambda(l_2, q_d) \sum_{\substack{bl_2m \equiv \pm al_1n \pmod{d} \\ bl_2m \neq al_1n}} \lambda(m) \tau(n) W_1\left(\frac{l_2m}{M}\right) W_2\left(\frac{l_1n}{N}\right) \\ &= \sum_{l_1} \sum_{l_2} \varpi_\tau(l_1, q) \varpi_\lambda(l_2, q_d) A_d(al_1, bl_2, bM, aN). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.2 and the bound  $\varpi_\tau(l_1, q) \varpi_\lambda(l_2, q_d) \ll q^\varepsilon (l_2, q_d)^{\theta_f}$ , we obtain

$$IS \ll q^\varepsilon \sum_{l_1 | q^2} \sum_{l_2 | q_d^2} (l_2, q_d)^{\theta_f} \left( \frac{bM}{d^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(l_1, d)^{\frac{1}{4}} bM^{\frac{5}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(l_1 l_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} d} + \frac{b^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(l_1 l_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} d^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{(l_1, d)^{\frac{1}{4}} b^{\frac{1}{2}} MN^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(l_1 l_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} d^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right),$$

since  $(abl_2, d) = 1$ . Substituting this into (3.2) and directly calculating the sum with  $\theta_f \leq 1/4$ ,  $dq_d \leq q$ , and  $MN \ll q^{2+\varepsilon}$  shows

$$\begin{aligned} E_{M,N} &= \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{MN}} IS \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon \left(\frac{ab}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{bM}{aN}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} + q^\varepsilon \left(\frac{ab}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{bM}{aN}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We will complete the the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the final section by analyzing the unbalanced terms, where the sizes of  $M$  and  $N$  differ significantly. The analysis of these unbalanced terms builds upon an estimate for bilinear sum of incomplete Kloosterman sums, which is established especially for general moduli in the work of Kerr, Shparlinski, Wu, and Xi [23].

#### 4. BALANCED TERMS AND TWISTED CONVOLUTION SUMS

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 3.2.

**4.1. Initial treatment.** Recall that

$$A_q(a, b, M, N) = \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv \pm an \pmod{q} \\ bm \neq an}} \lambda(m) \tau(n) W\left(\frac{bm}{M}\right) W\left(\frac{an}{N}\right),$$

where we open the divisor function by writing  $n = n_1 n_2$  with  $n_1 \leq n_2$ . To localize the variables  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , we apply a dyadic partition of unity to both  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , ensuring  $n_1 \asymp N_1$  and  $n_2 \asymp N_2$ , where  $N_1 N_2 \asymp N/a$  and  $N_1 \ll \sqrt{N/a}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{A}_q(a, b, M, N, N_1) = \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv \pm an_1 n_2 \pmod{q} \\ bm \neq an_1 n_2 \\ (n_1, b) = 1}} \lambda(m) W\left(\frac{bm}{M}\right) W\left(\frac{an_1 n_2}{N}\right) W\left(\frac{n_1}{N_1}\right).$$

By canceling out  $g = (b, n_1)$  in the congruence, we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad A_q(a, b, M, N) = \sum_{g|b} \mathcal{A}_q(a, b/g, M/g, N/g, N_1/g) \ll q^\varepsilon |\mathcal{A}_q(a, b, M, N, N_1)|,$$

where the final follows since our bound for  $\mathcal{A}_q$  decreases with  $g$ . We focus on the treatment of  $\mathcal{A}_q$  for the case  $bm \equiv an_1 n_2 \pmod{q}$ , as the other case follows identically.

Rewriting the congruence as  $bm - an_1 n_2 = hq$  and rearranging the summation yields

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_q = \sum_{h \neq 0} \sum_{(n_1, b)=1} W\left(\frac{n_1}{N_1}\right) \sum_{bm \equiv hq \pmod{an_1}} \lambda(m) W\left(\frac{bm}{M}\right) W\left(\frac{bm - hq}{N}\right),$$

where the summation over  $h$  satisfies

$$0 \neq |h| \ll \frac{\max\{M, N\}}{q}.$$

We remove the congruence condition using primitive additive characters modulo  $a_1 k$  for  $a_1 | a$  and  $k | n_1$ , obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{bm \equiv hq \pmod{an_1}} &= \frac{1}{an_1} \sum_{t \pmod{an_1}} e\left(\frac{bmt - hqt}{an_1}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{an_1} \sum_{a_1 a_2 = a} \sum_{kr = n_1} \sum_{\substack{t \pmod{a_1 k} \\ (r, a_1) = 1}}^* e\left(\frac{bmt - hqt}{a_1 k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the unique factorization  $d = a_1 k$  for divisors  $d$  of  $an_1$ , with  $k = (d, n_1)$  and  $a_1 | a$ . Applying the Voronoi summation formula (Lemma 2.3), the innermost sum of  $\mathcal{A}_q$  transforms into

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{\pm} \sum_{a_1 a_2 = a} \sum_{\substack{kr = n_1 \\ (r, a_1) = 1}} \frac{1}{an_1} \frac{1}{a_1 k} \sum_m \lambda(m) S(hq\bar{b}, \pm m; a_1 k) \hat{V}_{\pm}\left(\frac{m}{a_1^2 k^2}, h\right),$$

where by Lemma 2.7, the Hankel-type transform

$$(4.4) \quad \hat{V}_{\pm}(y, h) = \int_0^\infty W\left(\frac{bx - hq}{N}\right) W\left(\frac{bx}{M}\right) \mathcal{J}_{\pm}(4\pi\sqrt{xy}) dx,$$

decays rapidly when  $y \gg \max\left\{\frac{b}{M}, \frac{bM}{N^2}\right\}$ . Substituting (4.3) into (4.2) and applying a dyadic partition of unity to both  $h$  and  $m$ , we conclude that

$$(4.5) \quad |\mathcal{A}_q| \ll q^\varepsilon \sum_{a_1 a_2 = a} \sum_r |\mathcal{S}(a_1, r)|,$$

where the function  $\mathcal{S}(a_1, r)$  is defined by

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{S}(a_1, r) = \frac{1}{aN_1} \sum_{|h| \sim H} \sum_{m \sim M^*} \lambda(m) \sum_{(k, b)=1} \frac{1}{a_1 k} W\left(\frac{rk}{N_1}\right) \hat{V}_{\pm}\left(\frac{m}{a_1^2 k^2}, h\right) S(hq\bar{b}, \pm m; a_1 k),$$

and the parameters satisfy

$$(4.7) \quad H \ll \frac{\max\{M, N\}}{q}, \quad M^* \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{a_1^2 N_1^2}{r^2} \max\left\{\frac{b}{M}, \frac{bM}{N^2}\right\}.$$



4.2. **The case for small  $M^*$ .** This section is devote to estimating  $\mathcal{S}(a_1, r)$  for the case where  $M^*$  is bounded by

$$(4.8) \quad M^* \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{a_1^2 N_1^2}{r^2} \frac{b}{M}.$$

Our analysis relies on the following estimate for sums involving Kloosterman sums, established in [11, Theorem 1.4].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q = uv$  with  $(u, v) = 1$ ,  $M, N \geq 1$ , and denote by  $C = M + N + Q + L + s$ . Suppose that  $g(m, n, l)$  is a real-valued function of  $\mathcal{C}^6$  class, having compact support in  $[M, 2M] \times [N, 2N] \times [L, 2L]$  and satisfying*

$$l^{j_1} m^{j_2} n^{j_3} \frac{\partial^{j_1+j_2+j_3}}{\partial l^{j_1} \partial m^{j_2} \partial n^{j_3}} g(m, n, l) \ll C^\varepsilon \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \leq 2.$$

For any complex sequences  $a_m, b_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(l,v)=1} \sum_m a_m \sum_n b_n g(m, n, l) S(sm\bar{v}, \pm n; lu) &\ll C^\varepsilon \frac{1 + |\log Y| + Y^{-\vartheta_Q}}{1 + Y} uv^{\frac{1}{2}} L \\ &\times \left(1 + Y + \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + Y + \frac{(s, Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} M}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + Y + \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) M^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_m\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|b_n\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vartheta_Q$  (currently known  $\vartheta_Q \leq 1/2$ ) denotes Selberg's spectral gap parameter and

$$Y = \frac{(sMN)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{uv^{\frac{1}{2}} L}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.7 yields

$$h^i k^j m^l \frac{\partial^{i+j+l}}{\partial h^i \partial k^j \partial m^l} \hat{V}_\pm \left( \frac{m}{a_1^2 k^2}, h \right) \ll_{i,j,l} \frac{\min\{M, N\}}{b}.$$

Hence, we can express  $\mathcal{S}(a_r, r)$  as the required form of Lemma 4.1:

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{S}(a_1, r) = \frac{\min\{M, N\}}{aa_1 b} \frac{r}{N_1^2} \sum_{|h| \sim H} \sum_{m \sim M^*} \lambda(m) \sum_{(k,b)=1} g(h, m, k) S(hq\bar{b}, \pm m; a_1 k),$$

where  $g(h, m, k)$  is a smooth function satisfying the condition in Lemma 4.1. Applying Lemma 4.1 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(a_1, r) &\ll \frac{\min\{M, N\}}{a_2 r q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1 + |\log Y| + Y^{-\vartheta_{a_1 b}}}{1 + Y} Y \\ &\left(1 + Y + \left(\frac{q}{a_1 b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + Y + \frac{(a_1 b, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} H}{a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + Y + \left(\frac{M^*}{a_1 b}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$Y = \frac{r \sqrt{HqM^*}}{a_1 \sqrt{b} N_1}.$$

Since the bound grows as  $H$  or  $M^*$  increase, we take

$$H = \frac{\max\{M, N\}}{q}, \quad M^* = q^\varepsilon \frac{a_1^2 N_1^2}{r^2} \frac{b}{M},$$

leading to

$$Y = q^\varepsilon \left( \frac{\max\{M, N\}}{M} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gg 1,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(a_1, r) &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{\min\{M, N\}}{a_2 r \sqrt{q}} \left( \frac{\max\{M, N\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \left( \frac{q}{a_1 b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{\max\{M, N\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(a_1 b, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \max\{M, N\}}{a_1^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{1}{2}} q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\max\{M, N\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} N_1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (4.5) and applying the facts

$$\frac{a^{\frac{1}{2}} N_1}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll \frac{\max\{M, N\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (\text{since } N_1 \leq \sqrt{N/a}), \quad \min\{M, N\} \max\{M, N\} = MN,$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_q \ll q^\varepsilon \left( \frac{N}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} (M^{\frac{5}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} + M^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{5}{4}})}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q} + \frac{M^{\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{3}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} N}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right).$$

Applying this bound to (4.1) establishes the claimed estimate in Theorem 3.2.

**4.3. The case for large  $M^*$ .** In this section, we focus on the case where  $M^*$  is large, satisfying

$$(4.10) \quad q^\varepsilon \frac{a_1^2 N_1^2}{r^2} \frac{b}{M} \ll M^* \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{a_1^2 N_1^2}{r^2} \frac{bM}{N^2},$$

which occurs only when  $M \gg N^{1+\varepsilon}$ . A larger  $M^*$  also leads to a larger  $Y$ , and a direct application of Lemma 4.1 fails to provide a necessary estimate for  $\mathcal{S}(a_1, r)$ . To overcome this, we leverage the special Bessel transform estimate established in Lemma 2.9.

By the support of  $W_2\left(\frac{bm-hq}{N}\right)$ , the summation over  $h$  in  $\mathcal{S}(a_1, r)$  is restricted to the range

$$H \leq h \leq 2H \quad \text{with} \quad H \asymp \frac{M}{q}.$$

Based on (2.13), the rapid decay property of  $\mathcal{J}_-\left(x \frac{m}{a_1^2 k^2}\right)$  in (4.4) yields the bound

$$\mathring{V}_-\left(\frac{m}{a_1^2 k^2}, h\right) \ll_j (MN)^{-j}$$

for any integer  $j \geq 1$ . This follows because

$$x \frac{m}{a_1^2 k^2} \gg \frac{M}{b} \frac{r^2 m}{a_1^2 N_1^2} \gg \frac{M}{b} \frac{r^2}{a_1^2 N_1^2} M^* \gg q^\varepsilon$$

by virtue of (4.10). Consequently, it only remains to treat the sum involving  $\mathring{V}_+$ .

Using the identity

$$S(hq\bar{b}, m; a_1 k) = e\left(-m\frac{\bar{a}_1}{b}\right) S_{\infty, 1/a_1}(hq, m; \gamma),$$

where  $\gamma = a_1 \sqrt{b}k$  and  $Q = a_1 b$ , we derive from (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(a_1, r) &= \frac{\sqrt{b}}{aN_1} \sum_{h \sim H} \sum_{m \sim M^*} \lambda(m) e\left(-m\frac{\bar{a}_1}{b}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} S_{\infty, 1/a_1}(hq, m; \gamma) W\left(\frac{r\gamma}{a_1 \sqrt{b}N_1}\right) \mathring{V}_+\left(\frac{bm}{\gamma^2}, h\right). \end{aligned}$$

Performing the variable substitution  $(bx - hq)/N \rightarrow x$  in (4.4) yields

$$\mathring{V}_+\left(\frac{bm}{\gamma^2}, h\right) = \frac{N}{b} \Omega\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{hqm}}{\gamma}, \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mN}}{\gamma}, h, m\right),$$

where

$$\Omega(y, z, h, m) = \int_0^\infty W(x) W\left(\frac{hq + xN}{M}\right) \mathcal{J}_+(\sqrt{y^2 + xz^2}) dx$$

with

$$(4.11) \quad y \asymp Y := \frac{r\sqrt{MM^*}}{a_1 \sqrt{b}N_1} \gg q^\varepsilon, \quad z \asymp \frac{r\sqrt{M^*N}}{a_1 \sqrt{b}N_1}, \quad h \asymp H, \quad m \asymp M^*.$$

For  $M \gg q^\varepsilon N$ , it is easy to see from (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$y \gg z \quad \text{and} \quad y \gg z^2 q^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Then Lemma 2.8 yields the decomposition

$$\Omega(y, z, h, m) = \Omega_+(y, z, h, m) e^{iy} + \Omega_-(y, z, h, m) e^{-iy} + O_A(q^{-A}),$$

where the functions  $\Omega_\pm$  satisfy

$$(4.12) \quad y^{j_1} z^{j_2} h^{j_3} m^{j_4} \frac{\partial^{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4}}{\partial y^{j_1} \partial z^{j_2} \partial h^{j_3} \partial m^{j_4}} \Omega_\pm(y, z, h, m) \ll_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4} q^{(j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4)\varepsilon} Y^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

After separating variables in  $\Omega_\pm$  via the Mellin transform, we bound  $\mathcal{S}(a_1, r)$  by sums of the form

$$\mathcal{S}(a_1, r) \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{NY^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{a\sqrt{b}N_1} \left| \sum_{H < h \leq 2H} \alpha_h \sum_{M^* < m \leq 2M^*} \beta_m \lambda(m) \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma} S_{\infty, 1/a_1}(hq, m; \gamma) \Theta\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{hqm}}{\gamma}\right) \right|$$

for some coefficients satisfying  $\alpha_h \ll h^\varepsilon, \beta_m \ll m^\varepsilon$  and a test function

$$\Theta(y) = W\left(\frac{y}{Y}\right) \exp(\pm iy) y^{-u}$$

with  $\text{Re}(u) = \varepsilon$ .

An application of the Kuznetsov formula (Lemma 2.4) followed by spectral truncation at parameter  $Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  (Lemma 2.9) produces

$$\mathcal{S}(a_1, r) \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{NY^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{a\sqrt{b}N_1} \left| \sum_{H < h \leq 2H} \alpha_h \sum_{M^* < m \leq 2M^*} \beta_m \lambda(m) (\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{E}) \right|,$$

where the spectral contributions decompose as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \\ k \text{ even}}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k(Q)} \tilde{\Theta}(k) \sqrt{hqm} \bar{\rho}_f(hq) \rho_f(a_1^{-1}, m),$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q) \\ \kappa_f \ll Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \hat{\Theta}(\kappa_f) \frac{\sqrt{hqm} \bar{\rho}_f(hq) \rho_f(a_1^{-1}, m)}{\cosh(\pi \kappa_f)},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_c \int_{|k| \ll Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \hat{\Theta}(\kappa) \sqrt{hqm} \frac{\bar{\rho}_c(hq, \kappa) \rho_{1/a_1, c}(m, \kappa)}{\cosh(\pi \kappa)} d\kappa,$$

representing the holomorphic cusp forms, Maaß cusp forms, and the Eisenstein series respectively. We focus our detailed analysis on the Maaß case (the holomorphic and Eisenstein cases are identical). Since  $\hat{\Theta}(\kappa_f) \ll Y^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  (see (2.20)), the contribution of the Maaß case is bounded by

$$\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{NY^{-1}}{a\sqrt{b}N_1} \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q) \\ \kappa_f \ll Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \left| \sum_{H < h \leq 2H} \alpha_h \sum_{M^* < m \leq 2M^*} \beta_m \lambda(m) \frac{\sqrt{hqm} \bar{\rho}_f(hq) \rho_f(a_1^{-1}, m)}{\cosh(\pi \kappa_f)} \right|,$$

which, after applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{NY^{-1}}{a\sqrt{b}N_1} \left( \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q) \\ \kappa_f \ll Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi \kappa_f)} \right) \left| \sum_{H < h \leq 2H} \alpha_h \sqrt{hq} \rho_f(hq) \right|^2^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q) \\ \kappa_f \ll Y^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi \kappa_f)} \right) \left| \sum_{M^* < m \leq 2M^*} \beta_m \lambda(m) \sqrt{m} \rho_f(a_1^{-1}, m) \right|^2^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We may handle the second spectral sum via Lemma 2.5, but for the first spectral sum, an application of Lemma 2.5 leads to an extra factor of  $q$  to the final estimate. To address this issue, we apply the following estimate, established in [11, Theorems 11.3-11.6].

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $Q, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K, N \geq 1$ , and let  $(a_n)_{n \in [N, 2N]}$  be complex coefficients. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{2 \leq k \leq K \\ k \text{ even}}} \Gamma(k) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k(Q)} \left| \sum_n a_n \sqrt{sn} \rho_f(sn) \right|^2, \quad \sum_c \int_{-K}^K \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi \kappa)} \left| \sum_n a_n \sqrt{sn} \rho_c(\pm sn, \kappa) \right|^2 d\kappa,$$

$$\sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(Q) \\ |\kappa_f| \leq K}} \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi \kappa_f)} \left| \sum_n a_n \sqrt{sn} \rho_f(sn) \right|^2$$

are bounded by

$$\ll (sQKN)^\varepsilon \left( K + \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \left( K + \frac{(s, Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} N}{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) N \|a_n\|_\infty.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 to the first spectral sum and Lemma 2.5 to the second one reduces the Maaß contribution to

$$\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{NY^{-1}}{a\sqrt{b}N_1} \left( H^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{*\frac{1}{2}} \left( Y + \frac{q}{a_1 b} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( Y + \frac{(a_1 b, q) H^2}{a_1 b} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( Y + \frac{M^*}{a_1 b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Taking

$$H = \frac{M}{q}, \quad M^* = q^\varepsilon \frac{a_1^2 N_1^2}{r^2} \frac{bM}{N^2}, \quad Y = \frac{r\sqrt{MM^*}}{a_1 \sqrt{b} N_1} = \frac{M}{N},$$

and observing that  $\frac{M^*}{a_1 b} \ll Y$  (since  $N_1^2 \ll N/a$ ), we obtain for the Maaß contribution

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll q^\varepsilon \frac{N}{r\sqrt{q}} \left( Y + \frac{q}{ab} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( Y + \frac{(ab, q) H^2}{ab} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} Y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll q^\varepsilon r^{-1} \left( \frac{M}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{\frac{5}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q} + \frac{M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The same bounds are established by identical arguments for the holomorphic and Eisenstein cases. We therefore conclude with the final estimate

$$\mathcal{S}(a_1, r) \ll q^\varepsilon r^{-1} \left( \frac{M}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M^{\frac{5}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q} + \frac{M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}}} + \frac{(ab, q)^{\frac{1}{4}} M N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(ab)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right),$$

which, substituted into (4.5) and then into (4.1), establishes the required bound in Theorem 3.2.

## 5. UNBALANCED TERMS

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by bounding the unbalanced terms. Since our arguments proceed identically for both cases  $bm \equiv an \pmod{d}$  and  $bm \equiv -an \pmod{d}$  in (3.2), we focus on the former one, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad E_{M,N} &= \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \varphi(d) \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{MN}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{bm \equiv an \pmod{d} \\ bm \neq an \\ (mn, q) = 1}} \lambda(m) \tau(n) W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $bM$  and  $aN$  are of significantly different sizes. This is analogous to  $\mathcal{B}_{h,k}(M, N)$  in [11, (9.2)], but the presence of Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda(m)$  breaks the symmetry between  $m$  and  $n$  in the current setting.

When  $bM \ll aN$ , the Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda(m)$  introduce no essential difference due to the average validity of the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture. Consequently, an analogous argument to that in [11, Section 10.1] yields

$$(5.2) \quad E_{M,N} \ll q^{-\frac{1}{20}+\varepsilon} a^{\frac{3}{10}}.$$

We now consider the case where  $bM \gg aN$ . If  $q$  is a prime modulus, the most efficient approach is to apply the Voronoi summation formula to both variables  $m$  and  $n$ , effectively swapping  $M$  and  $N$ . This reduces the problem to the  $bM \ll aN$  case, albeit with a slightly longer sum (cf. [1, Section 6.4.2]). For composite moduli, however, the coprime condition  $(mn, q) = 1$  introduces complications in applying the Voronoi formula (Lemma 2.3), potentially leading to very long exponential sums even when  $M$  and  $N$  are large. Consequently, we derive sharp estimates directly for this case, leveraging Weil’s bound and the following specialized estimate for bilinear forms of incomplete Kloosterman sums.

**Lemma 5.1** ([23, Theorem 2.4]). *Let  $q$  be a positive integer and  $\alpha_a, \beta_b$  be sequences of complex numbers. For any  $A, B \geq 1$ , the estimate*

$$\sum_{a \leq A} \alpha_a \left| \sum_{\substack{b \leq B \\ (b,q)=1}} \beta_b e\left(\frac{cab}{q}\right) \right| \ll |\alpha|_2 \|\beta\|_\infty A^{\frac{1}{2}} B q^\varepsilon \left( A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} + A^{-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

holds uniformly in  $c$  with  $(c, q) = 1$ .

We detect the congruence condition in (5.1) using primitive additive characters modulo  $c \mid d$  and apply the Voronoi formula (Lemma 2.3) to the  $m$ -sum. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_{M,N} &= \frac{1}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{c \mid d \mid q} \frac{\varphi(d)}{d} \mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{MN}} \sum_{\delta} \varpi_\lambda(\delta, q) \frac{M}{\delta c'} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{(n,q)=1} \sum_m \lambda(m) \mathring{W}_\pm\left(\frac{mM}{\delta c'^2}\right) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) S(\overline{a\delta'}m, \pm bn; c') \end{aligned}$$

with  $\delta' = \delta/(\delta, c)$  and  $c' = c/(\delta, c)$ . Bounding  $\varpi_\lambda(\delta, q)$  via the estimate

$$\varpi_\lambda(\delta, q) \ll (\delta, q)^{\theta_f} \leq ((\delta, c)q_c)^{\theta_f} = (cq_c/c')^{\theta_f} \leq (q/c')^{\theta_f}$$

and applying Weil’s bound to the Kloosterman sum, we evaluate all the sums trivially, obtaining

$$(5.3) \quad E_{M,N} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{c' \mid q} \left(\frac{q}{c'}\right)^{\theta_f} \frac{c'^{\frac{3}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \ll q^\varepsilon \left(\frac{qN}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

valid for  $\theta_f < 1/2$ .

We now expand the divisor function  $\tau(n)$  by writing  $n = n_1 n_2$ , where  $n_1 \asymp N_1, n_2 \asymp N_2, N_1 N_2 \asymp N$ , and  $N_1 \leq N_2$ . After removing  $(n_2, q_d) = 1$  using the Möbius function, we apply the Poisson summation formula to the  $n_2$ -sum. An identical argument to that in [11,

Section 9] then efficiently reduces  $E_{M,N}$  to the following structured form

$$(5.4) \quad E_{M,N} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \sum_{g|q_d} \frac{\varphi(d)}{dg} \frac{N_2}{\sqrt{MN}} \\ \times \left| \sum_{h \neq 0} \sum_{(m,q)=1} \sum_{(n_1,q)=1} \lambda(m) e\left(\frac{bh m \overline{a g n_1}}{d}\right) W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) W\left(\frac{n_1}{N_1}\right) \widehat{W}\left(\frac{h N_2}{g d}\right) \right|,$$

which is an analogy of [11, (9.3)] but with  $\tau(m)$  replaced by  $\lambda(m)$ .

We cannot directly apply Lemma 5.1 to (5.4) because the  $m$ -sum is long. To shorten the sum, we apply the Voronoi summation formula (Lemma 2.3) to the variable  $m$ , which yields

$$E_{M,N} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \frac{N_2}{\sqrt{MN}} \sum_{\delta} |\varpi_{\lambda}(\delta, q)| \frac{M}{\delta d'} \\ \times \left| \sum_{(h,q)=1} \sum_{(n_1,q)=1} \sum_m \lambda(m) e\left(\pm \frac{a m n_1 \overline{\delta' b h}}{d'}\right) \widehat{W}_{\pm}\left(\frac{m}{M^*}\right) W\left(\frac{n_1}{N_1}\right) \widehat{W}\left(\frac{h}{H}\right) \right|,$$

where

$$\delta' = \delta/(\delta, d), \quad d' = d/(\delta, d), \quad 1 \ll H \ll d/N_2, \quad 1 \ll M^* \ll \delta d'^2/M.$$

Here, we have removed the  $g$ -sum and added the condition  $(h, q) = 1$  to the  $h$ -sum at no additional cost. Setting  $l = m n_1$  and  $k = h$ , we apply Lemma 5.1 with

$$L = \frac{\delta d'^{2+\varepsilon} N_1}{M} \gg \frac{d d' N_1}{M} \quad \text{and} \quad K = H,$$

obtaining

$$(5.5) \quad E_{M,N} \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon}{\varphi^*(q)} \sum_{d'|d|q} \left(\frac{q}{d'}\right)^{\theta_f} \frac{d' H N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{d'^{\frac{3}{4}} H^{\frac{1}{4}} N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{M^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(d d' N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{d'^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ \ll q^\varepsilon N_2^{-\frac{1}{4}} + q^\varepsilon N_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{q N}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

valid for  $\theta_f < 1/4$ .

Let  $\eta = \frac{1-2\theta_f}{12+12\theta_f}$  (to be specified later) and set

$$a = q^\alpha, \quad b = q^\beta, \quad M = q^\mu, \quad N = q^\nu, \quad N_2 = q^{\nu_2}.$$

By (3.3b), (3.4), and (5.3), it suffices to prove  $E_{M,N} \ll q^{-\eta+\varepsilon}$  for the parameters satisfying

$$(5.6) \quad 2 - 2\eta \leq (1 + 2\theta_f)\mu + \nu, \quad 1 - 2\beta - 4\eta \leq \mu - \nu \leq 1 + 2\eta, \quad \nu_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\nu.$$

The estimate (5.5) then yields

$$E_{M,N} \ll q^{-\frac{1}{4}\nu_2+\varepsilon} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}(\nu_2+\mu-\nu-1)+\varepsilon},$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}v_2 &\leq -\frac{((1+2\theta_f)u+v)-(1+2\theta_f)(u-v)}{16+16\theta_f} \\ &\leq -\frac{(2-2\eta)-(1+2\theta_f)(1+2\eta)}{16+16\theta_f} \leq -\eta \end{aligned}$$

for  $\eta \leq \frac{1-2\theta_f}{12+12\theta_f}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}(v_2 + \mu - v - 1) &\leq -\frac{((1+2\theta_f)u+v)-(1+2\theta_f)(u-v)}{8+8\theta_f} - \frac{1}{2}(\mu - v - 1) \\ &\leq -\frac{2-2\eta-(1+2\theta_f)(1-2\beta-4\eta)}{8+8\theta_f} + \beta + 2\eta \leq -\eta \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 < \eta \leq \frac{1-2\theta_f-(6+4\theta_f)\beta}{22+16\theta_f}$ , subject to the condition given in (5.6). This yields

$$E_{M,N} \ll q^{-\frac{1-2\theta_f}{22+16\theta_f} + \varepsilon} b^{\frac{3+2\theta_f}{11+8\theta_f}},$$

which, combining with (5.2), completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Blomer, É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, Ph. Michel, and D. Milićević, On moments of twisted  $L$ -functions, *Amer. J. Math.* 139 (2017), 707–768. [2](#), [3](#), [6](#), [7](#), [9](#), [22](#)
- [2] V. Blomer, É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, Ph. Michel, and D. Milićević, Some applications of smooth bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 296 (2017), 24–35 (Russian). English transl. in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 296 (2017), 18–29. [2](#)
- [3] V. Blomer, É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, Ph. Michel, D. Milićević, and W. Sawin, The Second Moment Theory of Families of  $L$ -Functions — The Case of Twisted Hecke  $L$ -Functions, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 282 (2023), v+148 pp. [1](#)
- [4] V. Blomer, G. Harcos, and P. Michel, A Burgess-like subconvex bound for twisted  $L$ -functions (with appendix 2 by Z. Mao), *Forum Math.* 19 (2007), 61–105. [6](#)
- [5] V. Blomer and D. Milićević, The second moment of twisted modular  $L$ -functions, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 25 (2015), 453–516. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [11](#)
- [6] V. Chandee, X. Li, K. Matomäki, and M. Radziwiłł, The eighth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions II, *Duke Math. J.* 173 (2024), 3453–3493. [1](#)
- [7] J. B. Conrey, H. Iwaniec, and K. Soundararajan, The sixth power moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 22 (2012), 1257–1288. [1](#)
- [8] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil, I, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 43 (1974), 273–307. [3](#), [6](#)
- [9] J. M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, Kloosterman sums and fourier coefficients of cusp forms, *Invent. Math.* 70 (1982), 219–288. [5](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [10] P. Gao, R. Khan, and G. Ricotta, The second moment of Dirichlet twists of Hecke  $L$ -functions, *Acta Arith.* 140 (2009), 57–65. [2](#)
- [11] P. Gao, X. Wu, and L. Zhao, The mollified fourth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, *arXiv*: 2509.24690. [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [17](#), [20](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#)
- [12] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, seventh ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007, Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger. [11](#)
- [13] D. R. Heath-Brown, The fourth power mean of Dirichlet’s  $L$ -functions, *Analysis* 1 (1981), 25–32. [2](#), [7](#)
- [14] H. Iwaniec, *Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Automorphic Forms*, *Bibl. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, Madrid (1995). [9](#)



- [15] H. Iwaniec, Spectral methods of automorphic forms, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002. [10](#)
- [16] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. [6](#), [7](#)
- [17] H. Iwaniec and P. Sarnak, The non-vanishing of central values of automorphic  $L$ -functions and Landau-Siegel zeros, Israel J. Math. 120 (2000), part A, 155–177. [1](#)
- [18] M. Jutila, Convolutions of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), 65(79) (1999), 31–51. [12](#)
- [19] H. H. Kim, Functoriality for the exterior square of  $GL_4$  and the symmetric fourth of  $GL_2$ , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 139–183, with Appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and Appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak. [3](#), [6](#)
- [20] R. Khan, Simultaneous non-vanishing of  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$  and  $GL(2)$   $L$ -functions, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 152 (2012), 535–553. [1](#)
- [21] E. Kowalski, Ph. Michel, and W. Sawin, Bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums and applications, Ann. of Math. (2) 186 (2017), 413–500. [2](#)
- [22] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and J. VanderKam, Mollification of the fourth moment of automorphic  $L$ -functions and arithmetic applications, Invent. Math. 142 (2000), 95–151. [1](#)
- [23] B. Kerr, I. Shparlinski, X. Wu, and P. Xi, Bounds on bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 108 (2023), 578–621. [4](#), [15](#), [22](#)
- [24] R. Khan and Z. Zhang, On the error term in a mixed moment of  $L$ -functions, Mathematika 69 (2023), 573–583. [2](#), [3](#)
- [25] D. Milićević, X. Qin, and X. Wu, Bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums and moments of twisted  $L$ -functions, arXiv:2511.07550. [2](#)
- [26] A. Pascadi, Non-abelian amplification and bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums, arXiv:2511.08445. [2](#)
- [27] X. Li, Moments of quadratic twists of modular  $L$ -functions, Invent. Math. 237 (2024), 697–733. [1](#)
- [28] K. Soundararajan, The fourth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, in Analytic Number Theory, Clay Math. Proc. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, 239–246. [2](#), [7](#)
- [29] I. E. Shparlinski, On sums of Kloosterman and Gauss sums, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371 (2019), 8679–8697. [2](#)
- [30] T. Stefanicki, Non-vanishing of  $L$ -functions attached to automorphic representations of  $GL_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , J. Reine Angew. Math. 474 (1996), 1–24. [2](#)
- [31] X. Wu, The fourth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions at the central value, Math. Ann. 387 (2023), 1199–1248. [2](#)
- [32] M. P. Young, The fourth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions, Ann. of Math. 173 (2011), 1–50. [1](#), [2](#)

ZT: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HEFEI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, HEFEI 230009, P.R. CHINA  
*Email address:* [zptang@mail.hfut.edu.cn](mailto:zptang@mail.hfut.edu.cn)

XW: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HEFEI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, HEFEI 230009, P.R. CHINA  
*Email address:* [xswu@amss.ac.cn](mailto:xswu@amss.ac.cn)