

# Magnetochiral eigenstate of the Heisenberg chain with spontaneous symmetry breaking

Tigran A. Sedrakyan,<sup>1,2</sup> Junjun Pang,<sup>1,3</sup> Chenan Wei,<sup>1,2</sup> and Baigeng Wang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*A. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory, Br. Alikhanian 2, Yerevan 0036, Armenia*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

We propose a protocol to construct atypical high-energy eigenstates in quantum systems by using ground states of Hamiltonians deformed by conserved charges. For the spin-1/2 Heisenberg XXX chain we study a chiral Hamiltonian built from the scalar-chirality charge and total magnetization and solve it exactly by Bethe ansatz. Its ground state is a magnetized, current-carrying XXX eigenstate that breaks SU(2), time-reversal, and parity yet stays critical. This zero-entropy macrostate shows ballistic spin and chirality transport and admits realistic cold-atom and Rydberg platforms.

**Introduction.** The unitary dynamics of isolated quantum many-body systems is governed by highly excited eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. For generic nonintegrable models, the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) asserts that almost all eigenstates at fixed energy density are locally indistinguishable from thermal ensembles of the same Hamiltonian, so eigenstates that carry macroscopic currents or support large fluctuations are exponentially rare in the spectrum [1–4]. Known exceptions, such as many-body scars and many-body localized states, show that nonthermal eigenstates can exist but typically rely on strong disorder or fine-tuned kinetic constraints [5–10]. In clean, interacting systems there is still no systematic and broadly applicable procedure to construct and characterize individual high-energy eigenstates with prescribed macroscopic currents, chiral order, or other conserved quantities.

Integrable quantum chains provide a natural arena in which to address this problem. They possess an extensive set of mutually commuting local or quasilocal charges  $\{Q_i\}$  that commute with the Hamiltonian  $H_0$  and with each other [11–13], preventing relaxation to a conventional Gibbs ensemble and leading instead to generalized Gibbs ensembles after quenches [14, 15]. In such models every eigenstate is exactly stationary, and the spectrum contains dense families of states that carry extensive currents and other conserved quantities. However, these nonequilibrium-like sectors are still exponentially suppressed in the unbiased equilibrium measure, and most analytical and numerical tools - Bethe ansatz, tensor networks, Monte Carlo - are optimized for ground states and low-lying excitations. As a result, even in integrable systems explicit control over specific atypical high-energy eigenstates of  $H_0$  remains limited.

In this Letter we turn this limitation into a constructive principle. Starting from a many-body Hamiltonian  $H_0$  and its conserved charges  $\{Q_i\}$ , we introduce an auxiliary commuting family of Hamiltonians

$$H(\alpha) = H_0 - \alpha \cdot \mathbf{Q}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$  are fields conjugate to the con-

served charges  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_m)$ . At finite temperature this construction implements a large-deviation “tilting” of charge sectors in a generalized Gibbs ensemble, while in the zero-temperature limit the ground state of  $H(\alpha)$  selects, via a Legendre transform, a particular eigenstate of  $H_0$  with fixed charge densities. Crucially, this eigenstate can often be accessed using standard equilibrium methods applied to  $H(\alpha)$ , even when it lies at high energy density with respect to  $H_0$ . This provides a general nonequilibrium-from-equilibrium protocol for designing and characterizing stationary, current-carrying eigenstates of  $H_0$  using only equilibrium tools.

We implement this protocol in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  Heisenberg XXX chain. Taking  $H_0$  to be the usual exchange Hamiltonian and elevating the third conserved charge  $Q_3$ , a three-site operator closely related to scalar spin chirality and energy current, along with the total magnetization  $S^z$ , to the role of Hamiltonian, we study the integrable magnetochiral model

$$H_{\chi h} = \alpha Q_3 - h S^z \quad (2)$$

in the limit where the conventional Heisenberg exchange  $J \rightarrow 0$  (see Fig. 1). The ground state of  $H_{\chi h}$  is simultaneously an eigenstate of the undeformed XXX Hamiltonian and of the full commuting hierarchy, and from the XXX perspective it is a highly excited eigenstate at finite energy density that carries a finite energy current. Using thermodynamic Bethe ansatz we solve  $H_{\chi h}$  exactly at zero temperature, obtain closed expressions for its magnetization and scalar chirality as functions of  $\alpha$  and  $h$ , and identify a magnetochiral phase with spontaneous breaking of SU(2), time-reversal, and parity while remaining critical with central charge  $c = 1$ . This zero-entropy Bethe macrostate exhibits subthermal (logarithmic) entanglement and may be viewed as an integrable, symmetry-broken analogue of a many-body scar. It provides a simple, analytically controlled background for generalized hydrodynamics and anomalous spin and chirality transport, and we outline realistic cold-atom and Rydberg-atom implementations of  $H_{\chi h}$  in which this magnetochiral eigenstate should be experimentally acces-




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the Hamiltonian in Eq. 2 illustrating the interplay between the Zeeman term and the third conserved charge of the Heisenberg model,  $Q_3$ .

sible.

*Nonequilibrium-from-equilibrium protocol.* Our viewpoint is to use  $H(\alpha)$  as an *auxiliary* Hamiltonian whose equilibrium properties select atypical eigenstates of the original  $H_0$ .

At finite temperature  $T$  with  $\beta = 1/T$ , the partition function

$$Z(\beta, \alpha) = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta(H_0 - \alpha \cdot \mathbf{Q})}, \quad F(\beta, \alpha) = -\beta^{-1} \ln Z \quad (3)$$

implements an equilibrium “tilting” of charge sectors: the weight of an eigenstate with energy  $E_n^{(0)}$  and conserved charges  $\mathbf{q}_n$  is  $\propto \exp[-\beta(E_n^{(0)} - \alpha \cdot \mathbf{q}_n)]$ , i.e., a large-deviation bias in charge space familiar from statistical mechanics. Differentiating the free energy gives

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_i} = -\langle Q_i \rangle_{\beta, \alpha}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \langle Q_i \rangle_{\beta, \alpha}}{\partial \alpha_j} = \beta \text{Cov}_{\beta, \alpha}(Q_i, Q_j), \quad (5)$$

where  $\text{Cov}_{\beta, \alpha}(A, B) \equiv \langle AB \rangle_{\beta, \alpha} - \langle A \rangle_{\beta, \alpha} \langle B \rangle_{\beta, \alpha}$  denotes the covariance in the tilted Gibbs ensemble.

In the zero-temperature limit, this biased ensemble sharpens onto the ground state of  $H(\alpha)$ . Denoting by  $E_n^{(0)}$  and  $\mathbf{q}_n$  the eigenvalues of  $H_0$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  in a common eigenbasis, the ground-state energy density of  $H(\alpha)$  is the lower Legendre–Fenchel envelope

$$E_0(\alpha) = \min_n \{E_n^{(0)} - \alpha \cdot \mathbf{q}_n\}, \quad (6)$$

which is just the usual Legendre transform between the energy density and the conserved-charge densities. The Hellmann–Feynman theorem gives

$$\frac{\partial E_0}{\partial \alpha_i} = -\langle Q_i \rangle_{\text{GS}(\alpha)}. \quad (7)$$

Crucially, the ground state  $|\text{GS}(\alpha)\rangle$  can often be characterized much more easily than a generic highly excited eigenstate of  $H_0$ , using equilibrium tools such as Bethe ansatz, tensor networks, Monte Carlo, or conformal field theory.




FIG. 2. Magnetization and scalar chirality densities plotted from Eqs. (14), (15) versus magnetic field,  $h$ . Both exponents near full saturation are 1/2. Finite magnetization at  $h = 0$  indicates spontaneous breaking of the  $SU(2)$  symmetry.

From an experimental viewpoint, the fields  $\alpha$  can be realized as static couplings to the corresponding local densities, generating long-lived prethermal states of an experimentally accessible Hamiltonian. Closely related charge-generated deformations that preserve integrability have been developed in the context of long-range spin chains and  $T\bar{T}$ -like flows [16–18]. In those works  $H(\alpha)$  itself is regarded as the physical Hamiltonian and is deformed along directions in charge space while keeping integrability intact. Large-deviation tilting of conserved charges and atypical generalized ensembles in integrable chains have been also explored in Refs. [19, 20]. By contrast, in the present work, we keep  $H_0$  fixed and use  $H(\alpha)$  purely as a selector of eigenstates of  $H_0$ : the structure is that of a large-deviation tilt in the conserved-charge sector rather than a deformation of the microscopic dynamics. In the rest of the Letter we implement this protocol in an integrable spin chain to prepare and analyze a magnetochiral high-energy eigenstate of the XXX Hamiltonian.

*Chiral deformation of the Heisenberg chain.* In the Heisenberg XXX model, the infinite tower of local conserved charges  $\{Q_n\}$  can be constructed explicitly either from the transfer matrix or via boost techniques.[21] Among these, the third charge  $Q_3$  is a three-site operator that is closely related to scalar spin chirality and, in the XYZ/XXX family, can be identified with the energy-current operator up to normalization.[22–24] In most applications, such higher charges enter in two conceptually distinct ways: either as additional terms in a “parent” Hamiltonian (producing integrable deformations[25–29]), or as constraints in generalized Gibbs ensembles (GGEs) of the form  $\rho_{\text{GGE}} \propto \exp(-\sum_n \lambda_n Q_n)$ , where the Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_n$  encode the effective “Boltzmann weights” of the conserved quantities.[14, 15, 30–32] In both settings, the primary focus has been on regimes where a small subset of charges, typically the Hamiltonian and possibly one or two additional low-order  $Q_n$ , carry parametrically large or experimentally engineered weights, while the microscopic structure of the underlying

ing eigenstates is analyzed only indirectly via ensemble averages.

In this work, we adopt a complementary perspective and elevate the conserved quantities themselves to the role of Hamiltonian, thereby investigating the properties of eigenstates that are “atypical” with respect to standard Gibbs or generalized Gibbs sampling. Specifically, we focus on the third conserved quantity of the XXX hierarchy, the scalar chirality, alongside the first conserved charge, the total magnetization, and study the integrable Hamiltonian Eq. 2 in the limit where the usual Heisenberg exchange  $J \rightarrow 0$ . Here,  $Q_3 = \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{j+1} \times \mathbf{S}_{j+2})$  generates a finite energy current in the XXX chain, while  $S^z$  is the standard magnetization. The model  $H_{\chi h}$  is thus a strongly correlated,  $U(1)$ -symmetric ( $SU(2)$  at  $h = 0$ ) spin Hamiltonian in its own right, whose ground state is simultaneously an eigenstate of the undeformed XXX Hamiltonian and of the full commuting hierarchy. From the point of view of the XXX chain, this magnetochiral ground state is a high-energy eigenstate, singled out not by a large Boltzmann weight in a GGE but by the choice of which conserved charge is promoted to the Hamiltonian.

Exploiting the fact that  $H_{\chi h}$  is a linear combination of conserved XXX charges, we can analyze its zero-temperature thermodynamics using a thermodynamic Bethe-ansatz (TBA) approach in the  $J \rightarrow 0$  limit. This allows us to derive fully analytical expressions for the ground-state magnetization and scalar chirality as functions of the chiral coupling  $\alpha$  and the field  $h$ , and to identify the corresponding macrostate as a magnetochiral eigenstate carrying a finite energy current. This macrostate offers a concrete realization of an “atypical” eigenstate in the sense of generalized thermalization: it is highly constrained by the complete set of charges, yet generally not sampled by a canonical GGE with modest Lagrange multipliers.[15, 30, 31] Building on this, we use the magnetochiral macrostate as a homogeneous reference state in the nonequilibrium-from-equilibrium framework of generalized hydrodynamics,[33, 34], and analyze its entanglement and transport properties as those of a stationary, current-carrying Bethe macrostate rather than a conventional thermal equilibrium phase.

At zero temperature, we solve the TBA for  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$  exactly and obtain closed expressions for its order parameters. We briefly sketch the TBA solution. At the isotropic point the elementary excitations are parameterized by rapidities  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , organized into  $n$ -string bound states labeled by  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  [11]. The XXX TBA is governed by the universal kernel

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{4 \cosh(\pi x/2)}, \quad (8)$$

and its derivatives. For  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$ , the zero-temperature TBA simplifies drastically: only 1-strings contribute, and

their dressed energy reads

$$\varepsilon_1(x) = h + 2\pi\alpha s'(x). \quad (9)$$

Equations (8) and (9) can be viewed as the  $J \rightarrow 0$  and  $T \rightarrow 0$  case of the TBA equations derived in Ref. [29] for the chiral Heisenberg chain; in the pure-chirality limit, the string content reduces to 1-strings and the driving term takes the simple linear form displayed above. For  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $s'(x)$  is an odd function, negative in  $x > 0$  and positive in  $x < 0$ , so that  $\varepsilon_1(x)$  describes an asymmetric Fermi sea. The ground-state energy density of  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$  is

$$f(\alpha, h) = -\frac{h}{2} + \int_{\varepsilon_1(x) < 0} dx s(x) \varepsilon_1(x), \quad (10)$$

where the constant term is the energy density of the fully polarized ferromagnetic state of  $H_{\text{XXX}}$ . The occupied region is the interval  $x \in [a_-, a_+]$  on which  $\varepsilon_1(x) < 0$ , with endpoints fixed by  $\varepsilon_1(a_{\pm}) = 0$ . Introducing  $u = \pi x/2$  gives the compact Fermi-point condition

$$\text{sech } u \tanh u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{h_c}, \quad h_c = \frac{\pi^2 \alpha}{8}, \quad (11)$$

valid for  $|h| < h_c$ , while for  $|h| \geq h_c$  the Fermi sea collapses and the ground state is fully polarized. Evaluating Eq. (10) over this interval yields a closed form

$$f(\alpha, h) = -\frac{|h|}{4} - \frac{h}{2\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{h}{h_c}\right) - \frac{\pi\alpha}{16} \sqrt{1 - \frac{h^2}{h_c^2}}, \quad |h| < h_c, \quad (12)$$

whereas for  $|h| \geq h_c$  the window closes and  $f(\alpha, h) = -|h|/2$ .

The zero-temperature order parameters follow from the derivatives of  $f(\alpha, h)$ :

$$M(\alpha, h) = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial h}, \quad \chi(\alpha, h) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha}, \quad (13)$$

where  $M$  is the magnetization density and  $\chi$  the scalar chirality density. Carrying out the integrals yields closed forms

$$M(\alpha, h) = \frac{1}{4} \text{sign}(h) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{h}{h_c}\right), \quad (14)$$

$$\chi(\alpha, h) = -\frac{\pi}{16} \sqrt{1 - \frac{h^2}{h_c^2}} \quad (15)$$

for  $|h| \leq h_c$ , and  $M(\alpha, h) = \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(h)$ ,  $\chi(\alpha, h) = 0$  for  $|h| \geq h_c$  (see Fig. 2). In particular, at zero field, we find  $f(\alpha, 0) = -\frac{\pi\alpha}{16}$ , and the zero-field order parameters

$$M(\alpha, 0^{\pm}) = \pm \frac{1}{4}, \quad \chi(\alpha, 0) = -\frac{\pi}{16}, \quad (16)$$

showing that the ground state is magnetochiral already at zero field.

It is important to stress that  $H_{\chi 0} = \alpha Q_3$  is fully SU(2) invariant: it commutes with the total spin  $\mathbf{S}_{\text{tot}}^2$  and with  $S^z$ . For any finite chain the zero-field ground state is therefore an SU(2) multiplet with some total spin  $S > 0$ , and all  $2S + 1$  components with  $S^z = -S, \dots, +S$  are exactly degenerate. The finite magnetization  $|M(\alpha, 0)| = 1/4$  extracted from Eqs. (14) and (16) should thus be understood in the standard sense of spontaneous symmetry breaking: we first take the thermodynamic limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  and only then send  $h \rightarrow 0^\pm$ , which selects the fully polarized  $S^z = \pm S$  component within the multiplet. Our zero-field results correspond precisely to this symmetry-broken state, i.e., to the state adiabatically connected to the ground state of  $H_{\chi h}$  in the presence of an infinitesimal longitudinal field.

#### *Entanglement, generalized equilibrium, and transport*

The ground state of the chiral Hamiltonian  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$  defines a nontrivial eigenstate of the XXX hierarchy that is magnetized and chiral, yet remains critical. Our Bethe-ansatz solution shows that this state is described by a single partially filled 1-string Fermi sea with vanishing Yang–Yang entropy density, and the bipartite entanglement entropy of an interval of length  $\ell$  obeys the Calabrese–Cardy scaling  $S_\ell \simeq \frac{c}{3} \log \ell + \text{const}$  with central charge  $c = 1$  [35]. This identifies the magnetochiral phase as a zero-entropy Bethe macrostate governed at long distances by a single gapless bosonic mode, i.e., a Luttinger liquid in a current-carrying, magnetized background.

In this context, it is useful to distinguish carefully between “thermal behavior” and the generalized equilibrium realized here. By thermal behavior, we mean that, in the thermodynamic limit, local observables in a pure eigenstate  $|\psi\rangle$  of some microscopic Hamiltonian  $H$  coincide with those in a canonical Gibbs ensemble of  $H$ . By canonical thermalization, we mean the dynamical statement that, under unitary time evolution generated by  $H$ , local reduced density matrices of a generic initial state relax to those of such a Gibbs ensemble; this is the content of the ETH in nonintegrable systems [4]. In integrable chains, ETH fails in this strong form: steady states and typical eigenstates are instead characterized by a continuum of mutually commuting conserved charges  $\{Q_n\}$ , and local observables are described by a GGE,  $\rho_{\text{GGE}} \propto \exp\left(-\sum_n \lambda_n Q_n\right)$ , with  $\lambda_n$  fixed by the macrostate or by initial conditions [14, 36]. In our case, the magnetochiral state is precisely the zero-“temperature” GGE selected by nontrivial couplings to  $S^z$  and  $Q_3$ : it is the ground state of  $H_{\chi h}$ , but in general a finite-energy, nonthermal eigenstate of the standard Heisenberg Hamiltonian  $Q_2$ . No choice of  $(\beta, h)$  reproduces its simultaneous finite magnetization and finite scalar chirality in a canonical Gibbs ensemble of  $Q_2$ .

The entanglement result  $c = 1$  therefore does not indi-

cate canonical thermalization; rather, it shows that the magnetochiral state is a zero-entropy Bethe macrostate with a single massless mode. Its Bethe root density is a sharp Fermi sea,  $n(x) = \theta[-\varepsilon_1(x)]$ , so the Yang–Yang entropy functional vanishes, and the reduced density matrix of a finite interval is indistinguishable, at long distances, from that of the ground state of a  $c = 1$  conformal field theory (CFT). From the XXX point of view this state is a highly atypical finite-energy eigenstate with subthermal (logarithmic) entanglement, the integrable analogue of a low-entanglement “scar”, stabilized here by the commuting family of charges  $\{Q_n\}$  rather than by fine-tuned nonintegrability.[37]

Spontaneous symmetry breaking coexists naturally with this generalized equilibrium. At  $h = 0$ , the Hamiltonian  $H_{\chi 0} = \alpha Q_3$  is SU(2) invariant, but the Bethe macrostate selected by the  $h \rightarrow 0^\pm$  prescription has finite magnetization and finite scalar chirality, thus breaking SU(2), time-reversal (T), and parity (P) while preserving PT and integrability. The order parameter  $S^z$  is itself a conserved charge, so the associated Goldstone modes are type-B (quadratic magnons), and the no-go theorem against spontaneous breaking of continuous symmetries in  $1 + 1$  dimensions, based on infrared-divergent fluctuations of linearly dispersing bosons, does not apply. The low-energy sector around the magnetochiral state is still described by a single free boson (a  $c = 1$  CFT), but now propagating on a symmetry-broken, current-carrying background fixed by the conserved charges.

Transport in this phase is most naturally discussed within generalized hydrodynamics (GHD) [33, 34, 38]. In integrable spin chains, GHD predicts ballistic propagation of quasiparticles, with finite Drude weights for conserved charges, coexisting with diffusive or superdiffusive broadening of generic spin fluctuations at finite entropy density. For the XXZ/XXX chain at nonzero temperature, spin transport can be diffusive or even superdiffusive in the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang universality class despite ballistic quasiparticle motion; this anomalous behavior arises from hydrodynamic fluctuations of quasiparticle charges in a thermal ensemble and is particularly pronounced at the SU(2) point and half filling [39–41]. Similar anomalous transport and nonergodic dynamics in specially prepared or engineered many-body states have recently been analyzed in Refs. [42, 43]. In contrast, the magnetochiral state is a zero-entropy GGE: quasiparticle occupancies are step functions, and there are no thermal fluctuations of the root density. Consequently, we expect spin and chirality transport in the ground state of  $H_{\chi h}$  to be purely ballistic in linear response, with finite spin and chirality Drude weights but no diffusive or superdiffusive broadening at  $T = 0$ . In particular, both the spin current associated with  $S^z$  and the “chirality current” associated with  $Q_3$  are ballistic modes in the GHD sense, and the magnetochiral background implies nonvanishing mixed Drude weights coupling spin and chirality.



The connection to the anomalous transport scenarios of Refs. [39, 40] becomes apparent when one considers either finite generalized temperature or weak integrability breaking. At finite entropy density, starting from a background macrostate close to our magnetochiral one, GHD with noise predicts diffusive corrections to spin and chirality transport analogous to those discussed for hot quasiparticles in the XXZ chain [39]. Adding weak integrability-breaking perturbations to  $H_{\chi h}$  should lead, over parametrically long timescales, to a crossover from ballistic to diffusive hydrodynamics governed by the same relaxation-time mechanisms in nearly integrable chains [39, 40]. In this sense, the magnetochiral ground state provides a particularly clean zero-entropy, symmetry-broken background on top of which the interplay between integrability, generalized thermalization, and anomalous spin and chirality transport can be explored within the GHD framework.

*Experimental realization of  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$ .* We now outline a realistic route toward realizing the effective magnetochiral Hamiltonian  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$  in a quantum simulator. A natural starting point is a two-component Fermi–Hubbard chain with spin-dependent tunneling and Peierls phases,

$$H_{\text{Hub}} = - \sum_{j,\sigma} t_{\sigma} \left( e^{i\phi_{\sigma}} c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right) + U \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow} - h \sum_j S_j^z, \quad (17)$$

realized with fermionic atoms in a one-dimensional optical lattice at half filling. The last term describes a uniform longitudinal Zeeman field (equivalently, a spin-dependent chemical potential) coupled to the local spin density:  $-h \sum_j S_j^z = -\frac{h}{2} \sum_j (n_{j,\uparrow} - n_{j,\downarrow}) = -\frac{h}{2} (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})$ . The term shifts the on-site energies of  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$  fermions by  $\pm h/2$ . In cold-atom implementations,  $h$  is set by the differential Zeeman or AC Stark shift between the two hyperfine states encoding the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ . Complex, spin-resolved tunneling amplitudes  $t_{\sigma} e^{i\phi_{\sigma}}$  can be engineered using Raman-assisted hopping and synthetic gauge fields, with essentially independent control over  $t_{\sigma}$  and  $\phi_{\sigma}$  [44, 45]. In the Mott regime  $U \gg t_{\sigma}$ , a Schrieffer–Wolff expansion generates an effective spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  chain: at second order one obtains an (anisotropic) Heisenberg exchange  $J \sim t_{\uparrow} t_{\downarrow} / U$ , while at third order virtual ring-exchange processes around three-site loops produce a scalar chirality term  $K_{\chi} \sum_j \mathbf{S}_j \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{j+1} \times \mathbf{S}_{j+2})$ , with  $K_{\chi} \propto t_{\uparrow} t_{\downarrow} (t_{\uparrow} - t_{\downarrow}) \sin \Phi / U^2$  set by the spin-dependent Peierls flux  $\Phi$ . This is the same mechanism responsible for chiral three-spin interactions discussed in Refs. [46, 47] and in earlier optical-lattice proposals for effective three-spin couplings on triangular geometries [48]. Identifying  $\alpha \simeq K_{\chi}$ , the low-energy spin Hamiltonian contains precisely the operator  $Q_3$  appearing in  $H_{\chi h}$ , while the Zeeman term  $-h \sum_j S_j^z$  directly maps to  $-h S^z$ . To approx-

imate the pure-chiral  $H_{\chi h}(\alpha, h)$  on experimentally relevant time scales, the goal is to enhance  $|K_{\chi}|$  relative to the residual two-spin exchanges. This can be facilitated by choosing a zigzag or triangular-ladder geometry in which three-site loops are elementary plaquettes and the chirality term is maximized, while nearest-neighbour superexchange is partially frustrated. In addition, periodic driving of the lattice depth or interaction strength can be used to Floquet-engineer the effective couplings: high-frequency expansions of driven Hubbard models show that properly chosen drives can strongly renormalize two-spin exchange while leaving higher-order processes comparatively less affected [49]. In practice one targets a hierarchy  $|K_{\chi}| \gg |J| \rightarrow 0$  over the accessible evolution times, so that the dynamics is governed by  $H_{\chi h}$  within a broad prethermal window. The parameter  $h$  is simply the controllable splitting between the two hyperfine states defining the spin, generated by magnetic fields or microwave/optical dressing, and can be tuned essentially independently of  $\alpha$ . The resulting magnetochiral state can be prepared either by a quasi-adiabatic ramp of the synthetic flux and drive parameters, starting from a simple Mott product state, or by a quench into the chiral regime followed by prethermal relaxation. Its properties are directly accessible with present quantum-gas-microscope technology [50]. Single-site, spin-resolved imaging of fermions has been demonstrated in one-dimensional and frustrated geometries, including triangular optical lattices [51], enabling direct measurement of local magnetization profiles, spin-spin correlators, and, via measurements in several spin bases, the three-spin correlators entering the scalar chirality. This allows experimental reconstruction of the order parameters  $M(\alpha, h)$  and  $\chi(\alpha, h)$ , as well as the real-space current patterns associated with  $Q_3$ . Complementary realizations are available in programmable Rydberg-atom and trapped-ion platforms, where multispin interactions are synthesized directly at the level of effective spin models. Proposals for engineering chiral and Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya-type interactions with Rydberg arrays [52, 53] provide an alternative route to Hamiltonians closely related to  $H_{\chi h}$ , with independent tunability of  $\alpha$  and  $h$  and native access to out-of-equilibrium magnetochiral dynamics.

*Acknowledgments.* This work has been supported by the Armenian Higher Education and Science Committee under the ARPI Remote Laboratory program grant 24RL-1C024, research projects 21AG-1C024 and 25Post-Doc1C003, and by the National R&D Program of China grant 2022YFA1403601 and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province grant BK20233001.

- 
- [1] J. M. Deutsch, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2046 (1991).
  - [2] M. Srednicki, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 888 (1994).

- [3] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, *Nature* **452**, 854 (2008).
- [4] L. D'Alessio, Y. Kafri, A. Polkovnikov, and M. Rigol, *Adv. Phys.* **65**, 239 (2016).
- [5] H. Bernien, S. Schwartz, A. Keesling, *et al.*, *Nature* **551**, 579 (2017).
- [6] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 745 (2018).
- [7] M. Serbyn, D. A. Abanin, and Z. Papić, *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 675 (2021).
- [8] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **6**, 15 (2015).
- [9] D. A. Abanin, E. Altman, I. Bloch, and M. Serbyn, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 021001 (2019).
- [10] R. Vosk and E. Altman, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 023617 (2015).
- [11] M. Takahashi, *Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [12] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and A. G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [13] F. H. L. Essler, H. Frahm, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and V. E. Korepin, *The One-Dimensional Hubbard Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
- [14] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 050405 (2007).
- [15] L. Vidmar and M. Rigol, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2016**, 064007 (2016).
- [16] T. Bargheer, N. Beisert, and F. Loebbert, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 285205 (2009).
- [17] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **915**, 363 (2017).
- [18] B. Pozsgay, Y. Jiang, and G. Takács, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020** (3), 092.
- [19] G. Cecile, J. De Nardis, and E. Ilievski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 130401 (2024).
- [20] i. c. v. Krajnik, J. Schmidt, E. Ilievski, and T. c. v. Prosen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 017101 (2024).
- [21] M. P. Grabowski and P. Mathieu, *Modern Physics Letters A* **9**, 2197 (1994).
- [22] V. Subrahmanyam, *Physical Review B* **50**, 6468 (1994).
- [23] X. Zotos, F. Naef, and P. Prelovšek, *Physical Review B* **55**, 11029 (1997).
- [24] R. G. Pereira, V. Pasquier, J. Sirker, and I. Affleck, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2014**, P09037 (2014).
- [25] A. M. Tsvelik, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 779 (1990).
- [26] H. Frahm, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **25**, 1417 (1992).
- [27] V. V. Mkhitarian and A. G. Sedrakyan, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 035111 (2008).
- [28] V. V. Mkhitarian and T. A. Sedrakyan, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **7**, 1579 (2006).
- [29] C. Wei, V. V. Mkhitarian, and T. A. Sedrakyan, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2024** (125).
- [30] A. C. Cassidy, C. W. Clark, and M. Rigol, *Physical Review Letters* **106**, 140405 (2011).
- [31] E. A. Yuzbashyan, *Annals of Physics* **367**, 288 (2016).
- [32] F. Reiter, F. Lange, S. Jain, M. Grau, J. P. Home, and Z. Lenarčič, *Physical Review Research* **3**, 033142 (2021).
- [33] O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, B. Doyon, and T. Yoshimura, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041065 (2016).
- [34] B. Bertini, M. Collura, J. De Nardis, and M. Fagotti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 207201 (2016).
- [35] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2004**, P06002 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0405152.
- [36] E. Ilievski, J. De Nardis, B. Wouters, J.-S. Caux, F. H. L. Essler, and T. Prosen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 157201 (2015).
- [37] N. O'Dea and A. Sriram, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134**, 210402 (2025).
- [38] V. Alba, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 245135 (2018).
- [39] S. Gopalakrishnan and R. Vasseur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 127202 (2019).
- [40] S. Gopalakrishnan, D. A. Huse, V. Khemani, and R. Vasseur, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 220303 (2018).
- [41] V. B. Bulchandani, S. Gopalakrishnan, and E. Ilievski, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2021**, 084001 (2021).
- [42] H. Singh, M. H. Kolodrubetz, S. Gopalakrishnan, and R. Vasseur, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 176303 (2024).
- [43] I. Ulčakar and Z. Lenarčič, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 230402 (2024).
- [44] K. Jiménez-García, L. J. LeBlanc, R. A. Williams, M. C. Beeler, A. R. Perry, and I. B. Spielman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 225303 (2012).
- [45] N. Goldman, G. Juzeliūnas, P. Öhberg, and I. B. Spielman, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **77**, 126401 (2014).
- [46] O. I. Motrunich, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 155115 (2006).
- [47] M. Greiter, D. Schnells, and R. Thomale, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 165125 (2014).
- [48] J. K. Pachos and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 056402 (2004).
- [49] S. Chaudhary, D. Hsieh, and G. Refael, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 220403 (2019).
- [50] S. Kuhr, *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **3**, 170 (2016).
- [51] J. Yang, L. Liu, J. Mongkolkeha, and P. Schauss, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 020344 (2021).
- [52] E. Kuznetsova, S. I. Mistakidis, S. T. Rittenhouse, S. F. Yelin, and H. R. Sadeghpour, arXiv preprint (2023), arXiv:2309.08795 [physics.atom-ph].
- [53] R. J. Valencia-Tortora, N. Pancotti, M. Fleischhauer, H. Bernien, and J. Marino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 223201 (2024).