

Explainable Anomaly Detection for Industrial IoT Data Streams

Ana Rita Paupério, Diogo Risca, Afonso
 Lourenço, Goretí Marreiros
 GECAD/LASI, Polytechnic of Porto
 Porto, 4249-015, Portugal
 {armpo,difri,fonso,mgt}@isep.ipp.pt

Ricardo Martins
 SISTRADE Software Consulting, S.A.
 Porto, 4250-380, Portugal
 ricardo.martins@sistrade.com

Abstract

Industrial maintenance is being transformed by the Internet of Things and edge computing, generating continuous data streams that demand real-time, adaptive decision-making under limited computational resources. While data stream mining (DSM) addresses this challenge, most methods assume fully supervised settings, yet in practice, ground-truth labels are often delayed or unavailable. This paper presents a collaborative DSM framework that integrates unsupervised anomaly detection with interactive, human-in-the-loop learning to support maintenance decisions. We employ an online Isolation Forest and enhance interpretability using incremental Partial Dependence Plots and a feature importance score, derived from deviations of Individual Conditional Expectation curves from a fading average, enabling users to dynamically reassess feature relevance and adjust anomaly thresholds. We describe the real-time implementation and provide initial results for fault detection in a Jacquard loom unit. Ongoing work targets continuous monitoring to predict and explain imminent bearing failures.

CCS Concepts

• **Computing methodologies** → **Online learning settings**; **Learning under covariate shift**; **Learning latent representations**.

Keywords

Explainable AI (XAI), Edge Computing, Online Anomaly Detection

ACM Reference Format:

Ana Rita Paupério, Diogo Risca, Afonso Lourenço, Goretí Marreiros and Ricardo Martins. 2026. Explainable Anomaly Detection for Industrial IoT Data Streams. In *The 41st ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC '26)*, March 23–27, 2026, Thessaloniki, Greece. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3748522.3780009>

1 Introduction

Industrial maintenance has evolved rapidly as IoT, edge computing, and autonomous systems produce continuous, high-speed data streams [3]. These require machine learning models to operate in real time, adapt to concept drifts, and handle resource constraints [8]. To address this, data stream mining (DSM) methods have been proposed, though most rely on fully supervised adaptation [7, 4].

However, in real-world scenarios, it is unrealistic to provide ground-truth labels immediately upon prediction. We argue that in

most high-latency and high-drifting scenarios, it might be better to assume that no labels will ever be available. In this case, framing a classification problem as **novelty detection (ND)**, e.g. with MINAS [1], can be advantageous if one can frame events of interest as a cohesive agglomeration of anomalies over time. Alternatively, if one can frame events of interest as *few* and *different*, framing a classification problem as **anomaly detection (AD)**, e.g. with an Online Isolation Forest (Onl-iForest) [2], can be advantageous. However, since these unsupervised methods rely solely on statistical properties, both detected novelties and anomalies may not always correspond to semantically relevant events for the operator using the system. To address this, one needs to guide the user to intentionally act on the DSM system, providing richer information for learning. In this regard, selecting an appropriate strategy is necessarily dependent on whether the DSM system automates or collaborates [6]:

- **DSM automation requires machine teaching (MT).** In a manufacturing plant, a DSM system can predict the remaining useful life (RUL) of a component to automatically schedule maintenance and order materials, while the labeling naturally arises from the actual lifespan. When RUL spans hours or days, supervised DSM is feasible. For longer periods, delayed labels make full supervision impractical. In such cases, it is key to engage humans not only as oracles to label uncertain samples, but also as teachers, providing advice such as feature selection, inductive biases, or constraints on model structure to guide learning. For example, an engineer might be prompted to highlight subtle degradation patterns in sensor data that the model would otherwise misinterpret, accelerating learning and reducing prediction errors in RUL.
- **DSM collaboration requires interactive learning (IL).** Here, the DSM system recommends actions that are accepted based on human judgment. When an engineer inspects and confirms wear or normal operation, the result becomes the label. Labeling delay thus depends on inspection frequency and fault occurrence. In such cases, as the user is in control and unlikely to trust misaligned recommendations, the model must continuously justify its suggestions, presenting uncertainty estimates (“I know what I don’t know”) and explaining its reasoning (“I know why I decided this”).

With these notions in mind, we propose a **collaborative DSM system** that recommends whether a Jacquard loom machine requires inspection, via an **AD** method, highlighting **IL** components. Specifically, we employ incremental Partial Dependence Plots (iPDPs) to examine how the Onl-iForest’s anomaly scores change when observations are forced to take fixed values for individual features.




This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. *SAC '26, Thessaloniki, Greece*


© 2026 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-2294-3/2026/03

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3748522.3780009>



(a) Each Onl-iTree performs random splits that are pruned over time based on region bin counts. In iTree-1, for example, outdated points (blue) decrease counts and trigger a merge under a recent split (turquoise). The anomaly score is computed from the average path lengths given the trees structure at the instance's arrival time.



(b) For each feature, a new ICE curve is computed by evaluating the Onl-iForest depth over 20 evenly spaced points between the current minimum and maximum values, keeping all other features fixed at the incoming instance. The iPDP is the exponential moving average of these ICE curves.

Figure 1: Online iForest with adaptive pruning (left) and incremental marginal feature effects via streaming ICE curves (right).

2 Anomaly detection

For AD, we adopt Onl-iForest [2]. Unlike the batch-mode iForest, Onl-iForest maintains tree structures incrementally through a sliding window, adapting to concept drift in streaming environments. This allows for fast and efficient anomaly detection with constrained memory while preserving modeling fidelity.

Onl-iForest maintains a forest $\mathcal{F} = \{T_1, \dots, T_\tau\}$ of trees updated in real time through a sliding window W of the ω most recent points. Each tree partitions \mathbb{R}^d into axis-aligned bins. The nodes are defined by their count h and region \mathcal{R} . If h exceeds a threshold (increasing with depth), the node splits along a randomly chosen feature and value. As new samples arrive, bin counts are updated; with outdated points decrementing counts, potentially triggering merges of underpopulated subtrees. Figure 1a illustrates this: the child nodes of the turquoise split are pruned and merged into a parent node. To compute an anomaly score for a new instance, each tree reports the depth of the leaf that contains the point. The final score is calculated using Equation 1, where $E(\mathcal{D})$ is the average depth and η is a constant that regulates the normalization of the tree depth, typically set relative to the size of the sliding window, to maintain consistent scores [2].

$$s_t = 2^{-E(\mathcal{D})/\log_2(\omega/\eta)} \quad (1)$$

This scoring mechanism relies on the principle that anomalies are isolated more quickly and appear in shallower nodes.

3 Interactive learning

IL enables greater human involvement and shared control in the learning process [6]. In AD, it is essential to continuously redefine what constitutes an “anomalous” threshold, to update assumptions about the “normal” data-generating process, and to reassess which features should drive predictions. However, many state-of-the-art AD methods, such as Onl-iForest, are too complex to be interpreted in a way that allows humans to effectively understand and influence the behavior of the model. To address this limitation, we employ iPDPs, which examine the response of the model when all observations are forced to take a fixed value for a given feature [5].

To handle the streaming setting, iPDP updates feature effect estimates as new data arrives by combining the current model evaluations with previous estimates using an exponential moving average of Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) curves. Figure 1b illustrates this: we evaluate the ICE of each feature at 20 points, forming a smooth adaptive grid whose locations follow the evolving feature distribution. When the range of the feature changes significantly, the method dynamically computes the minimum and maximum using a sampling procedure, ensuring that the evaluation points remain evenly distributed.

Beyond visual analysis of the marginal feature effect in PDPs (e.g. whether it is monotonic, or non-linear), the ICE curves can also be aggregated into a Feature Importance (FI) score by quantifying how individual effects deviate from the corresponding average curve.




Figure 2: Anomaly and FI scores. Horizontal marks indicate production runs. Points A, B, C, D indicate events of interest.

4 Discussion

This case study presents preliminary results for predictive maintenance of a Jacquard loom, a weaving machine that produces complex patterns by individually controlling warp threads. While the loom detects electrical faults, mechanical issues, such as bearing degradation, often remain unnoticed until they become critical. To identify emerging faults, the DSM system monitors a set of features: *Phase Current Balance*, *Phase Voltage Stability*, *Phase Power Balance*, *Thermal Stress*, *Current THD Spread*, *Voltage Quality*, *Phase Reactive Flow*, *Phase Efficiency Ratio*, *Phase Apparent Power*.

Figure 2 shows how the FI and anomaly scores evolve over the monitoring period. As anticipated, inspecting the anomaly scores alone provides little actionable insight. In contrast, the FI of the top three variables (*Phase Current Balance*, *Phase Voltage Stability*, and *Phase Power Balance*) correlate well not only with the abrupt shifts caused by changes in raw materials across between production runs (horizontal marks), but also with four additional spikes (A, B, C, D). Interpreting these requires examining not only the magnitude but also the patterns of feature contributions. Figure 3 presents snapshot PDPs and fading ICE curves at these anomaly times. Anomalies A and C show rising *Phase Current Balance Index* and *Phase Apparent Power Index*, alongside sudden drops in *Phase Voltage Stability Index* and *Phase Power Balance Index*, suggesting motor stress and voltage imbalance. Both also display sharp increases in *Phase Reactive Flow Index*, indicating transient reactive power fluctuations. A further exhibits increases in *Current THD Spread* and *Voltage Quality Score*, pointing to harmonic disturbances and declining power quality. In contrast, B remains largely flat across features, reflecting a stable but potentially latent state with low mechanical stress. D shows mixed behavior, with sudden decreases in *Phase Voltage Stability Index*, *Phase Power Balance Index*, and *Phase Efficiency Ratio*, coupled with spikes in *Phase Reactive Flow Index* and *Phase Apparent Power Index*, signaling abrupt load changes and efficiency loss.

It is important to note that data sparsity in certain feature regions can bias these PDP interpretations. Ongoing work focuses on imminent bearing failures, anticipating costly downtime.

Acknowledgments

Funded by the Portuguese Republic’s Recovery and Resilience Plan, under PRODUTECH R3, and FCT, under project UIDP/00760/2020, and EIT Manufacturing Doctoral School, KAVA 23362.




Figure 3: Partial dependence plots for the nine features (rows) across four anomalies A, B, C, D (columns).

References

- [1] Elaine Ribeiro de Faria, João Gama, and André Carlos Ponce de Leon Ferreira Carvalho. 2016. Minas: multiclass learning algorithm for novelty detection in data streams. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 30, 3, 640–680.
- [2] Filippo Leveni, Guilherme Weigert Cassales, Bernhard Pfahringer, Albert Bifet, and Giacomo Boracchi. 2024. Online isolation forest. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, 27288–27298.
- [3] Afonso Lourenço, João Rodrigo, João Gama, and Goretí Marreiros. 2025. Dfdt: dynamic fast decision tree for iot data stream mining on edge devices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.14011*.
- [4] Afonso Lourenço, João Rodrigo, João Gama, and Goretí Marreiros. 2025. On-device edge learning for iot data streams: a survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.17788*.
- [5] Maximilian Muschalik, Fabian Fumagalli, Rohit Jagtani, Barbara Hammer, and Eyke Hüllermeier. 2023. Ipd: on partial dependence plots in dynamic modeling scenarios. In *Explainable Artificial Intelligence*. Springer, 177–194.
- [6] Sriraam Natarajan, Saurabh Mathur, Sahil Sidheekh, Wolfgang Stammer, and Kristian Kersting. 2025. Human-in-the-loop or ai-in-the-loop? automate or collaborate? In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference*. Vol. 39, 28594–28600.
- [7] Lara Neves, Afonso Lourenço, Alberto Cano, and Goretí Marreiros. 2025. Online hierarchical partitioning of the output space in extreme multi-label data stream. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv–2507.
- [8] Diogo Risca, Afonso Lourenço, and Goretí Marreiros. 2025. Continual learning for rotating machinery fault diagnosis with cross-domain environmental and operational variations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.10151*.