

# LINEARITY AND VIRTUAL POLY-FREENESS OF THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF PLANE CURVES OF DEGREE AT MOST FIVE

SHENGKUI YE AND KEJIA ZHU

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that for any algebraic plane curve  $C$  of degree at most 5, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear and virtually polyfree. As a consequence, we answer positively the open question on the residual finiteness of these groups for all plane curves of degree at most 5.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C$  be an algebraic curve in the projective plane  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ . We consider the following question.

**Question 1.1.** Is the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  of an algebraic plane curve residually finite?

This question has been open for a long time. Zariski had already posed the question of the existence of non-residually finite groups, cf. § 1 and Appendix 1) of Chapter VIII of [Zar71] (see also Libgober [Lib07, Problem 3.1]). Even in the low-degree cases (degree up to five), such a question was still unknown (see the survey article of Artal Bartolo-Cogolludo Agustín-Tokunaga [BCT08], page 3). Toledo [To193] constructed a smooth projective variety with a non-residually finite fundamental group, answering in the negative a question of Serre. However, it is not clear whether one can choose the example as the complement of an algebraic curve with a non-residually finite fundamental group. Koberda and Suciu [KS20, Problem 1.9] asked Question 1.1 for affine line arrangements.

The purpose of this article is to answer Question 1.1 positively for algebraic curves of degree at most five. Recall that a group  $G$  is linear if it can be embedded into a general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$  for some field  $F$  and positive integer  $n$ . A linear group is residually finite. A group  $G$  is called poly-free if there is a finite subnormal sequence

$$1 < G_1 \trianglelefteq G_2 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_n = G$$

such that the quotient  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is free for each  $i$ . Polyfree groups have many nice properties, like left-orderable, having Tits alternative, and so on. We say a group  $G$  virtually has property P, if there is a finite-index subgroup  $H < G$  that has the property P. The following is our main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  be an algebraic plane curve of degree at most five. Then the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear and virtually polyfree. In particular,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is residually finite.

It is well-known (cf. [CA11, 2.3.2]) that for any plane curve  $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  of degree  $\leq 3$ , the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is either finitely generated abelian or a

free group  $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear. For degree-4 curves, we applied the results due to Nori [Nor83] and Cogolludo-Agustin and Elduque [CAE25] to conclude that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  must be one of the following groups: a (virtually) finitely generated abelian group, a finite group, the braid group on the sphere  $B_3(S^2)$ , the free group  $F_3$ , the braid group  $B_3$ , the free product  $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , or the semi-direct product  $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , (see Subsection 3.5 for details), which are all virtually poly-free and linear. For degree-5 curves, Degtyarev [Deg87] obtained presentations of all the  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  when  $\deg C = 5$ . In Section 4, we will review the presentations of these groups from Degtyarev and prove the virtual polyfreeness and linearity of these fundamental groups. Our key observation is that most of the non-abelian groups are virtual surface groups or (triangle or right-angled) Artin groups.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Anatoly Libgober, Jose Ignacio Cogolludo, Corey Bregman for useful discussions and Alex Degtyarev for pointing us the results from his thesis and book.

## 2. BASIC NOTATIONS AND FACTS

We introduce some basic notations and facts that will be used in the subsequent sections.

**2.1. Poly-free groups.** Recall that a group  $G$  is called poly-free if there is a finite subnormal sequence

$$1 < G_1 \trianglelefteq G_2 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_n = G$$

such that the quotient  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is free for each  $i$ . Here the rank of  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is not required to be finite. When each quotient  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is cyclic (or infinite cyclic), the group  $G$  is called polycyclic (resp. poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ ). The group  $G$  is called normally poly-free if additionally each  $G_i$  is normal in  $G$ .

Poly-free groups have many nice properties. For example, they are torsion-free, locally indicable, having finite asymptotic dimension, satisfying the Baum–Connes Conjecture with coefficients, satisfying the Farrell–Jones Conjecture if they are normally poly-free. Furthermore, a poly-free group satisfies the Tits alternative, i.e. any nontrivial subgroup either is polycyclic or contains a nonabelian free subgroup. For more details, see [WY25].

**2.2. Artin groups.** Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a complete graph with a vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ , and each edge  $e$  is labelled by an integer  $m_e \in \{2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$ . The Artin group  $\text{Art}_\Gamma$  is given by the presentation

$$\langle a, b \in V \mid \{a, b\}^{m_{ab}} = \{b, a\}^{m_{ab}}, [a, b] \in E \rangle,$$

where  $\{a, b\}^m = ababa\dots$ , a word of length  $m$ . When  $m = \infty$ , we assume that there is no such relator. Similarly, the Coxeter group  $\text{Cox}_\Gamma$  is defined by the presentation

$$\langle a, b \in V \mid a^2 = 1, \{a, b\}^{m_{ab}} = \{b, a\}^{m_{ab}}, [a, b] \in E \rangle.$$

There is an obvious epimorphism  $\phi : \text{Art}_\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Cox}_\Gamma$  mapping generators to the corresponding generators. An Artin group  $\text{Art}_\Gamma$  is of finite type, if the corresponding Coxeter group  $\text{Cox}_\Gamma$  is finite.

When each  $m_e = \infty$ , the group  $\text{Art}_\Gamma$  is free. When each  $m_e = 2$ , the group  $\text{Art}_\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$ . When each  $m_e \in \{2, \infty\}$ ,  $\text{Art}_\Gamma$  is a Right-Angled Artin group (RAAG). It is well-known that an RAAG is isomorphic to a subgroup of the special linear group  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ . Hermiller–Šunić [HŠ07] proved that a right-angled Artin

group is always poly-free. When  $\Gamma$  is a complete graph on  $n$  vertices with a spanning path having each edge labeled by 3 and all other edges are labeled by 2, the  $\text{Art}_\Gamma$  is the braid group  $B_{n+1}$  of  $n+1$  strings. If  $\Gamma$  is a triangle with three vertices and three edges labeled by  $M, N, P$ , we will use the  $\text{Art}_{MNP}$  to denote  $\text{Art}_\Gamma$ . Bigelow [Big01] and Krammer [Kra02] have proved that all braid groups  $B_n$  are linear. It is also known that any finite-type Artin group is linear (see [CW23]). An Artin group  $\text{Art}_{B_n}$  of type  $B_n$  is isomorphic to the semi-direct product  $\text{Art}_{\tilde{A}_{n-1}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\text{Art}_{\tilde{A}_{n-1}}$  is the Artin group of affine type (cf. Charney-Crisp [CC, page 6]). Therefore, the Artin group  $\text{Art}_{\tilde{A}_{n-1}}$  of affine type is linear. Squier [Squ87] proved that the affine triangle Artin group  $\text{Art}_{333}, \text{Art}_{244}, \text{Art}_{236}$  are polyfree.

**2.3. Algebraic curves and blow up.** The following are some basic notations and facts from algebraic geometry.

**Definition 2.1.** A plane curve in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  is given by the zero locus of a homogeneous polynomial  $f(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ , denoted by  $V(f(x, y, z))$ .

- A curve  $V(f)$  is reducible, if  $f = f_1 \cdot f_2$ , so that  $V(f) = V(f_1) \cup V(f_2)$ .
- A curve  $V(f)$  is reduced, if  $f$  doesn't have repeated factors.
- A curve in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  is conic, if its degree is 2; A curve in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  is cubic, if its degree is 3; A curve in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  is quartic, if its degree is 4; A curve in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  is quintic, if its degree is 5.

**Remark 2.2.** For a conic curve, it's irreducible if and only if it's smooth. For a cubic curve, there are exactly 3 cases: (1) it's smooth; (2) it has a node as the unique singularity; (3) it has a cusp as the unique singularity. Here, a node means it's locally given by the equation  $y^2 - x^2$  (in an affine chart), a cusp means it's locally given by the equation  $y^2 - x^3$  (in an affine chart).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $Y$  be a complex surface and  $p \in Y$  a smooth point of  $Y$ . Choose a coordinate neighborhood  $(U, z)$  centered at  $p$  such that  $z(p) = 0$  and  $z(U) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . Define:

$$U' = \{(x, \ell) \in U \times \mathbb{CP}^1 : x \in \ell\},$$

where  $\ell$  is a line through the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (under the identification  $z : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ). Let  $\pi : U' \rightarrow U$  be the projection  $(x, \ell) \mapsto x$ . Then:

- $\pi$  restricts to a biholomorphism  $U' \setminus \pi^{-1}(p) \rightarrow U \setminus \{p\}$ .
- The *blow-up of  $Y$  at  $p$*  is defined as:

$$\text{Bl}_p(Y) = (Y \setminus \{p\}) \cup U',$$

where the union is taken by identifying each  $(x, \ell) \in U' \setminus \pi^{-1}(p)$  with  $x \in U \setminus \{p\}$ .

- The *blow-up projection*  $\pi : \text{Bl}_p(Y) \rightarrow Y$  is defined as:  $\pi([y]) = y$  for  $y \in Y \setminus \{p\}$ ;  $\pi([(x, \ell)]) = x$  for  $(x, \ell) \in U'$ .

The preimage  $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$  is called an exceptional divisor.

**Remark 2.4.** Topologically, the  $\text{Bl}_p(Y)$  above is diffeomorphic to  $Y \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ , thus blowing up at a point will not change the fundamental group. When we blow up at a node, then the exceptional divisor and the 2 branches are transverse with 2 intersections; when we blow up at a cusp, the 2 branches merge into one smooth branch and tangent to the exceptional divisor, forming a tacnode, locally given by  $y^2 - x^4$ . See Figure 1. For more details, see [Har13a].




FIGURE 1. The blowup of a cusp and a node.

**Definition 2.5.** Given a blow up  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  at a point  $p$  contained in a curve  $C \subset Y$ , the strict transform of  $C$ , is defined to be  $\tilde{C} := \overline{\pi^{-1}(C \setminus \{p\})}$ . The total transform of  $C$  is  $\pi^*(C) := \pi^{-1}(C) \subset X$ .

**Remark 2.6.** If we blow up at a point  $P$  of a curve  $C$ , then  $\pi^*(C) = \tilde{C} + mE$ , where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor and  $m$  is the multiplicity of  $C$  at  $P$ . In particular, if  $C$  is smooth at  $P$ , then  $m = 1$ ; if  $P$  is a node or a cusp, then  $m = 2$ . See [Bea96, Lemma II.2] for details.

**Definition 2.7.** Given two curves  $C, D$  in a complex surface  $X$ , the intersection number  $C \cdot D$  is defined as follows: choose a nonsingular curve  $C'$  linear equivalent to  $C$ , and a nonsingular curve  $D'$  linear equivalent to  $D$  and transversal to  $C'$ . Define  $C \cdot D$  to be the number of intersections of  $C'$  and  $D'$ .

In particular,  $C \cdot C$  is the self-intersection number of  $C$  when  $D = C$ . Given a plane curve  $C \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  of degree  $d$ ,  $C \cdot C = d^2$  (counting multiplicity) (c.f. [Har13b, V.1.4.2, page 360]). Furthermore, when we blow up at a singular point of a cubic/conic curve  $C$ , we have the following lemma following from [Bea96, Proposition I.8]. We will use it for self-intersections in Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 2.8.** The self-intersection number of the strict transform  $\tilde{C}$  will have the relation: if we blow at a cusp or node,  $\tilde{C} \cdot \tilde{C} = C \cdot C - 4$ , while if we blow up at a smooth point,  $\tilde{C} \cdot \tilde{C} = C \cdot C - 1$ .

*Proof.* By [Bea96, Proposition I.8], we have

$$\pi^*(C) \cdot \pi^*(C) = C \cdot C.$$

By  $\pi^*(C) = \tilde{C} + mE$  (see Remark 2.6), we have

$$\tilde{C}^2 + 2m(\tilde{C} \cdot E) + m^2 E^2.$$

Since  $\tilde{C} \cdot E = m$  and  $E^2 = -1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}^2 + 2m^2 + m^2(-1) &= C \cdot C, \\ \tilde{C} \cdot \tilde{C} &= C \cdot C - m^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.6, the result follows.  $\square$

**Definition 2.9.** [BCT08, see Remark 3 for more details] The combinatorial type of a curve  $C$  is given by a 7-tuple:

$$\left( \text{Irr}(C), \deg, \text{Sing}(C), \Sigma_{\text{top}}(C), \sigma_{\text{top}}, \{C(P)\}_{P \in \text{Sing}(C)}, \{\beta_P\}_{P \in \text{Sing}(C)} \right),$$

where:

- $\text{Irr}(C)$  is the set of irreducible components of  $C$  and  $\deg: \text{Irr}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  assigns to each irreducible component its degree.
- $\text{Sing}(C)$  is the set of singular points of  $C$ ,  $\Sigma_{\text{top}}(C)$  is the set of topological types of  $\text{Sing}(C)$ , where the topological type of a singularity is the homeomorphism type of its link, and  $\sigma_{\text{top}}: \text{Sing}(C) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\text{top}}(C)$  assigns to each singular point its topological type.
- $C(P)$  is the set of local branches of  $C$  at  $P \in \text{Sing}(C)$  and  $\beta_P: C(P) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(C)$  assigns to each local branch the global irreducible component containing it.

**Remark 2.10.** It is well known that, for the curves of degree  $\leq 5$ , if two curves  $C_a, C_b$  have the same combinatorial type, then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_a) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_b)$  (it is recorded in Degtyarev's thesis [Deg87]). As a consequence, for a specific combinatorial type, it suffices to consider the calculation via a specific equation whose zero locus satisfies the given combinatorial type.

**2.4. Presentation of subgroups: Reidemeister-Schreier method.** Let  $G = \langle a_i, i \in I | r_i, i \in J \rangle$  be a presentation of a group, where  $I, J$  are two index sets. For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , a system  $R$  of words in the generators  $a_i, i \in I$ , is called a Schreier system for  $G$  modulo  $H$  if

- (i) every right coset of  $H$  in  $G$  contains exactly one word of  $R$  (i.e.  $R$  forms a system of right coset representatives);
- (ii) for each word in  $R$  any initial segment is also in  $R$  (i.e. initial segments of right coset representatives in  $R$  are again right coset representatives).
- (iii) the empty word  $\emptyset \in R$ .

For any  $g \in G$ , let  $\bar{g}$  be the unique element in  $R$  such that  $Hg = H\bar{g}$ . For each  $K \in R, a = a_i$ , let  $s_{K,a} = Ka\bar{K}a^{-1} \in H$ . For more details on the Schreier system, see [WMS76, Section 2.3]. The following Reidemeister theorem gives a presentation of the subgroup  $H$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** [WMS76, Corollary 2.7.2 + Theorem 2.8, Theorem 2.9] The subgroup  $H$  has a presentation

$$\langle s_{K,a}, K \in R, a \in \{a_i, i \in I\} \mid s_{K,a} = 1, K \in R, a \in \{a_i, i \in I\}, \text{ if } Ka \equiv \bar{Ka}, \tau(Kr_i K^{-1}) = 1, i \in J, K \in R \rangle,$$

where  $Ka \equiv \bar{Ka}$  means that the two words are equivalent in the free group  $F(\{a_i, i \in I\})$ , and  $\tau$  is the Reidemeister-Schreier rewriting function defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &: F(\{a_i, i \in I\}) \rightarrow F(\{s_{K,a}, K \in R, a \in \{a_i, i \in I\}\}), \\ a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} a_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots a_{i_m}^{\varepsilon_m} &\mapsto s_{K_{i_1}, a_{i_1}}^{\varepsilon_1} s_{K_{i_2}, a_{i_2}}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots s_{K_{i_m}, a_{i_m}}^{\varepsilon_m} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$K_{i_j} = \begin{cases} \overline{a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} a_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots a_{i_{j-1}}^{\varepsilon_{j-1}}}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_j = 1, \\ \overline{a_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} a_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots a_{i_j}^{\varepsilon_j}}, & \text{if } \varepsilon_j = -1. \end{cases}$$

### 3. CURVES OF DEGREE $\leq 4$

In this section, we will show that given any plane curve  $C$  of degree  $\leq 4$ ,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear, thus residually finite. We only consider the case of reduced curves,

since if there are double curves  $V(f^2(x, y, z))$ , topologically it reduces to the lower degree case.

### 3.1. Curves of degree $\leq 3$ .

It is well-known (cf. [CA11, 2.3.2]) that for any plane curve  $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  of degree  $\leq 3$ ,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is either finitely generated abelian or a free group  $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear and virtually polyfree.

### 3.2. Quartic curves.

If  $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$  is an irreducible quartic curve that is not a 3-cuspidal quartic, then the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is abelian (cf. [Dim12, p.130, 4.3]). When  $C$  is a 3-cuspidal quartic  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C) \cong B_3(S^2)$ , the sphere braid group (cf. [Zar36]), a finite group of order 12 and thus linear (see also [Dim12, 4.8, page 131]). Since any finitely generated abelian group is linear, we know that for any irreducible quartic curve  $C$ ,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear. Also, these groups are known to be virtually polyfree.

For the reducible quartic curve  $C$ , although people have the techniques to calculate the fundamental groups, unfortunately, the comprehensive results have not been recorded anywhere. In this subsection, we will list all the cases with proofs.

We will apply the result from Nori to show that some  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$ 's are abelian.

**Proposition 3.1.** [Nor83, Proposition 3.27] Let  $D$  and  $E$  be curves on a non-singular surface  $X$ . Assume that  $D$  has nodes as the only singularities, that  $D$  and  $E$  intersect transversally and that for every irreducible component  $C$  of  $D$  one has  $C \cdot C > 2r(C)$  where  $C \cdot C$  is the self-intersection of  $C$  and  $r(C)$  is the number of nodes on  $C$ . Then  $N = \text{Ker}(\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \setminus E))$  is finitely generated abelian. Moreover, the centralizer of  $N$  in  $\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))$  is of finite index.

**Corollary 3.2.** Under the condition of Proposition 3.1, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))$  is virtually abelian if  $\pi_1(X \setminus E)$  is cyclic.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\pi_1(X \setminus E)$  is generated by an element  $a$ . Choose a preimage  $t \in \pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))$  of  $a$ . Proposition 3.1 implies that the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))$  is isomorphic to the semi-direct product  $N \ltimes \langle t \rangle$ . Since the centralizer of  $N$  is of finite index, there is an integer  $n$  such that  $t^n$  lies in the centralizer. This implies that  $N \times \langle t^n \rangle$  is an abelian subgroup of finite index.  $\square$

In the following, we will give a rough idea of our strategy to apply Proposition 3.1:

When we have an irreducible curve  $C$  and a curve  $L$ , which is not necessarily irreducible. Suppose they don't transverse with each other. Our strategy will be taking a resolution consisting of a series of blow-ups  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$ , at non-transversal intersections and at the singularities of the union of the curves (it will generate a series of exceptional divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ ), so that the strict transform under  $\pi$ ,  $D := \tilde{C}$  is smooth and transverses the union of the strict transform of  $L$ , say  $E_0$  and exceptional divisors,  $E := \cup_{i=0}^n E_i$ . Observe that  $X \setminus E$  is equal to removing  $L$  and a finite union of (singular) points from  $C$ . Moreover, since we will only blow up at the  $C, L$  and their strict transforms,  $X \setminus (D \cup E) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C \cup L)$ .

In particular, if  $L$  is a line, then we have  $X \setminus E = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ , which is simply connected. Now by Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C \cup L)) = \pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))$  is abelian.

Now we give a concrete example for this process:

**Example 3.3.** When  $C$  is a cuspidal cubic curve (with a cusp at  $q \in C$ ),  $L$  is a line tangent (with multiplicity 2) to  $C$  at a smooth point  $p$  of  $C$ . We need to blow up three times to get all curves transverse, see Figure 2.

For the first step, we need to first blow up at  $p$  and  $q$ . In this step, we get two exceptional divisors  $E_1, E_2$ . The strict transforms  $\tilde{L}, \tilde{C}$  and the exceptional divisor  $E_1$  form a triple point  $\tilde{p}$  at their intersection.  $E_2$  is tangent to  $\tilde{C}$  at  $q$  (the tangent point  $q$  is a singularity of the union of the curves, i.e., a tacnode).

For the second step, we need to blow up at  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$ . Thus, we get two exceptional divisors  $E_3, E_4$  and a triple point  $\tilde{q}'$ , which is the only singularity.

For the third step, we blow up at  $\tilde{q}'$ , to get one more exceptional divisor  $E_5$ . Now all the curves are transverse to each other.

Now  $X$  is the total transform of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  after the three steps and  $D := \tilde{C}, E := \tilde{L} \cup_{i=1}^5 E_i$ . Observe  $D, E$  intersects transversely,  $D$  has only one irreducible component, and is smooth.  $D \cdot D = C \cdot C - 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 = 1 > 2r(D) = 0$  (recall blow up at a singularity (resp. smooth) point of a cubic/conic curve, the self-intersection number would decrease 4 (resp. 1)). So we can apply Proposition 3.1. There are two blowup points from  $C$ , i.e.,  $p$  and  $q$ . Note  $p \in L$ , So  $X \setminus E = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (L \cup \{q\}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{q\}$ , which is simply-connected. Thus Proposition 3.1 tells us that  $\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))$  is abelian. Observe  $X \setminus (D \cup E) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C \cup L)$ , so  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C \cup L))$  is abelian.




FIGURE 2. The blowup process of Example 3.3.

Moreover, if we know a fundamental group is abelian, we can easily get the fundamental group by the following well-known fact (cf. [CA11, Corollary 2.8]):

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_r$  be the decomposition of  $C$  into its irreducible components. The abelianization  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)_{ab} = \mathbb{Z}^{r-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\tau\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\tau$  is the greatest common divisor of  $\deg(C_1), \dots, \deg(C_r)$ .

In the following, we list the fundamental groups of all combinatorial types with proofs. We will frequently use the basic fact that a degree- $d$  algebraic curve  $C$  has at most  $\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$  singular points. This follows from the Noether's degree-genus formula  $g = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} - \sum \delta_p \geq 0$  (cf. [Kir92][Theorem 7.37, page 217]). In particular, a degree-2 (irreducible) algebraic curve has no singularity. A degree-3 algebraic curve has at most one singularity, while a degree-4 curve has at most 3 singular points.

(1)  $C = 4C_1$  (a union of 4 lines).

(1.1) If 4 lines are in general position (i.e., all lines transverse, no triple point), then it's a nodal curve. It's well-known that the fundamental group of a nodal plane curve is abelian (cf. [Ful80]). Now by Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^4 L_i) = \mathbb{Z}^3$ .

(1.2) If there is one triple point (i.e. 3 lines are concurrent),  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^4 L_i) = F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$  (if we project at the triple point, then we get a trivial fibration over  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  with 3 punctures (3 punctures correspond to the 3 concurrent lines) and the fibers are  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  with 2 punctures (2 punctures correspond to the intersection with the concurrent intersection and the intersection with the rest line)).

(1.3) If 4 lines are concurrent,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^4 L_i) = F_3$ . Indeed, projecting from a point outside the lines, we see that there is only one singular fiber. So there is no monodromy relation, as there is only one singular fiber. Then by Zariski-Van Kampen theorem (cf. [CA11, Theorem 2.6]),  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \cup_{i=1}^4 L_i) = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 | g_4 g_3 g_2 g_1 = 1 \rangle = F_3$ .

(2)  $C = C_3 \cup C_1$  (a union of a cubic curve and a line). Singularity type of  $C_3$  is either  $A_1$  (node) or  $A_2$  (cusp). We consider the position of  $C_3$  and  $C_1$ . The Bezout theorem implies that the intersection number of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  is three, counting multiplicity.

(2.1)  $C_3$  is smooth.

(2.1.1) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  are transverse, the union  $C_3 \cup C_1$  a nodal curve, implying that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian (cf. [CA11, Theorem 2.15]). It follows from Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_3 \cup C_1) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.1.2) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  have a tangency at multiplicity 3 (inflectional tangency), then by a similar analysis as the Example 3.3, we blow up at the tangent point of  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  3 times to make the total transform a nodal curve. Observe that  $\tilde{C}_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_3 = 9 - 1 - 1 - 1 = 6 > 0$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian, and Proposition 3.4 implies  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.1.3) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  have two intersection points, then by a similar analysis as the Example 3.3, we blow up at the tangent point of  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  twice to make the total transform a nodal curve. Observe that  $\tilde{C}_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_3 = 9 - 1 - 1 = 7 > 0$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian, and Proposition 3.4 implies  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.2)  $C_3$  is nodal.

(2.2.1) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  intersect transversely, by a similar analysis using Proposition 3.4 as the smooth case, we have  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_3) = \mathbb{Z}$ .



(2.2.2) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  intersect tangentially at a smooth point  $p$  of  $C_3$  and transversely at another point, we blow up at  $p$  twice. After we blow up  $C := C_3 \cup C_1$  at the tangent point  $p$  twice, we get that the strict transform of  $C_3$  (saying  $\tilde{C}_3$ ), the strict transform of  $C_1$  (saying  $E_0$ ) and the 2 exceptional divisors  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^2$ , intersect transversely to each other (normal crossing). Moreover, if we define  $X$  to be the resulting manifold obtained from  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  by the 2 times blow ups, then  $X \setminus (\cup_{i=0}^2 E_i \cup \tilde{C}_3) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)$  and  $X \setminus (\cup_{i=0}^2 E_i) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_1$ , which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , thus simply-connected. Now we define  $D$  to be  $\tilde{C}_3$ , which has only one irreducible component and has a unique singularity, i.e., the node, and define  $E$  to be  $\cup_{i=0}^2 E_i$ . Observe that  $\tilde{C}_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_3 = 7 > 2r(\tilde{C}_3) = 2$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian. By Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.2.3) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  have a tangency (at a smooth point of  $C_3$ ) with multiplicity 3 (inflectional tangency), we can apply the same method as the last case to blow up  $C := C_3 \cup C_1$  at the tangent point  $p$  (which is smooth at  $C_1$  and  $C_3$ ) three times to make the total transform to have normal crossings. Using the same notation, we have  $X \setminus (\cup_{i=0}^3 E_i) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_1$ , which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , thus simply-connected. Observe that  $\tilde{C}_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_3 = 6 > 2r(\tilde{C}_3) = 2$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian. By Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.2.4) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  intersect at the node with multiplicity 2, we can blow up at the node once so that all the curves are transverse to each other. The strict transform  $\tilde{C}_3$  of  $C_3$  is irreducible and smooth and has self-intersection  $3^2 - 4 = 5 > 0$ . We apply the method from Example 3.3,  $D := \tilde{C}_3$ ,  $E$  is defined to be the union of the strict transform of  $C_1$  and the exceptional divisor. By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, it follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.2.5) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  intersect at the node with multiplicity 3, it means  $C_1$  is tangent to one branch of  $C_3$  at the node. In this case, we need to blow up at the node twice to get the normal crossing condition (unlike the last case, after the first blow up, we have a triple point, so we need to blow up again). Then the strict transform of  $C_3$ ,  $\tilde{C}_3$  is irreducible and smooth and has self-intersection  $3^2 - 4 - 1 = 4 > 0$ . We apply the method from Example 3.3, with  $D := \tilde{C}_3$ ,  $E$  is defined to be the union of the strict transform of  $C_1$  and exceptional divisors. By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, it follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.3)  $C_3$  is cuspidal.

(2.3.1) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  are transversal, then by a similar analysis as the Example 3.3, we blow up at the cusp twice to make the total transform a nodal curve. Observe that  $\tilde{C}_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_3 = 9 - 4 - 1 = 4 > 0$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian, and Proposition 3.4 implies  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.3.2) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  have one tangency of multiplicity 2 at a smooth point of  $C_3$  and transverse at a different point, we blow up  $C := C_3 \cup C_1$  at the tangent point  $p$  twice, and at the cusp three times, then we get the strict transform of  $C_3$ , say  $\tilde{C}_3$ , the strict transform of  $C_1$ , say  $E_0$  and five exceptional divisors  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^5$ , which are transversal to each other. Moreover, if we define  $X$  to be the  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  after the five times blow ups, then  $X \setminus (\cup_{i=0}^5 E_i \cup \tilde{C}_3) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)$  and  $X \setminus (\cup_{i=0}^5 E_i) = \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_1$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  minus 3 points, thus simply-connected. Now we define  $D$  to be  $\tilde{C}_3$ , which only has one irreducible component and is smooth, and define  $E$  to be  $\cup_{i=0}^5 E_i$ . Observe that  $\tilde{C}_3 \cdot \tilde{C}_3 = 1 > 2r(\tilde{C}_3) = 0$  then it follows from Proposition 3.1,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian. So by Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.3.3) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  have a tangency at multiplicity 3 (inflectional tangency) at a smooth point of  $C_3$ , then we can consider  $C_1$  as the line at infinity (e.g. see  $C_1 = V(z), C_3 = V(x^2z - y^3)$ ),  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus C'_3$ , where  $C'_3$  is an affine cuspidal cubic, thus by Zariski-Van Kampen theorem  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus C'_3) = B_3$ .

(2.3.4)  $C_1$  is a line tangent (with multiplicity 2) to  $C_3$  at a smooth point  $p \in C_3$ . By Example 3.3,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1))$  is abelian, and it follows from Proposition 3.4, that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(2.3.5) If  $C_3$  and  $C_1$  intersect at the cusp with multiplicity 3, it means  $C_1$  is tangent to the 2 branches of  $C_3$  at the cusp. In this case, we need to blow up at the cusp 3 times so that the divisors from the total transform are with normal crossings (unlike the nodal case, after the first blow-up, the strict transform  $\tilde{C}_3$  and the exceptional divisor  $E$  are tangent, but  $\tilde{C}_1$  and  $\tilde{C}_3$  are transverse). Then the strict transform of  $C_3$ ,  $\tilde{C}_3$  is irreducible and smooth and has self-intersection  $3^2 - 4 - 1 - 1 = 3 > 0$ . We apply the method from Example 3.3,  $D := \tilde{C}_3$ ,  $E$  is defined to be the union of the strict transform of  $C_1$  and exceptional divisors. By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4, it follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_3 \cup C_1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3)  $C = 2C_2$  (a union of two smooth conic curves). Note that a conic curve is irreducible if and only if it's smooth.

(3.1) If the two conics have an intersection of multiplicity 4, then by [CA11, Example 2.20],  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C) = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

(3.2) If the two conics  $C_2^1, C_2^2$  have a tangent point with intersection number 3, we blow up at the intersection point with intersection number 3 three times, to make the normal crossings. By a similar analysis as the case Example 3.3 (since we blow up at smooth points three times, the self-intersection number of the strict transform  $D$  of  $C_2^1$  becomes  $4 - 3 = 1 > 0$  and we take  $E$  to be the union of the strict transform of  $C_2^1$  and the 3 exceptional divisors. We also denote the simply-connected surface after blow-ups of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  to be  $X$ ), we have the  $\text{Ker}((\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E)) = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2^1 \cup C_2^2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \setminus E) = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C_2^2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is abelian. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2^1 \cup C_2^2))$  is virtually abelian.

(3.3) If the two conics have a unique intersection of multiplicity 2, with a similar analysis as the last case,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is virtually abelian.

(3.4) If the two conics have two intersections of multiplicity 2 (i.e., two  $A_3$ -type singularities in Arnold's notation), we apply [CAE25, Theorem 5.2]. The theorem implies that if there is a pencil given by  $af + bg^2$ , where  $[a : b] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $f$  is a smooth conic and  $g$  is a line, and if we have two smooth conics  $V_1 := V(f), V_2 := V(a_2f + b_2g^2)$ , then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)) = \mathbb{Z} * (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . Recall that for curves of degree  $< 6$ , the fundamental groups are fully determined by the combinatorial type. Therefore, without losing generality, we may consider a specific case that  $f = x^2 + y^2 - z^2, g = y$ , and we consider two smooth conics:  $x^2 + y^2 - z^2$  (corresponding to  $[a : b] = [1 : 0]$ ) and  $x^2 + 2y^2 - z^2$  (corresponding to  $[a : b] = [1 : 1]$ ). Observe that they are smooth, tangent to each other exactly at two points  $[1 : 0 : 1]$  and  $[-1 : 0 : 1]$ , and they are both from the pencil  $af + bg^2$ . By Theorem 5.1 of [CAE25],  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)) = \mathbb{Z} * (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, it implies that if we have two smooth conics,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , with 2 tangent points, then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)) = \mathbb{Z} * (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

(3.5) If the two conics  $C_2^1, C_2^2$  have 4 different intersections, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  (indeed, we can deduce that it is abelian for the

same reason as case (1.1)). By Proposition 3.4, it follows that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2^1 \cup C_2^2)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

(4)  $C = C_2 \cup 2C_1$  (a union of a (smooth) conic and two lines).

We denote the intersection of the 2 lines  $L_1, L_2$  by  $P$ .

(4.1) If the 2 lines and the conic are transversal and  $P$  is not the intersection with the conic, the curve  $C$  is nodal. By Proposition 3.4,  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2 \cup L_1 \cup L_2)) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(\deg(C_2), \deg(L_1), \deg(L_2))\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

(4.2) If the 2 lines and the conic are transversal and  $P$  is the intersection with the conic, we blow up at  $P$  once, to make the curves have normal crossings. Denote the simply-connected surface after blow-up of  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  to be  $X$ , define  $D$  to be the strict transform of the conic, and define  $E$  to be the union of the (strict transforms of the) 2 lines and the exceptional divisor. Observe that  $D^2 = 3 > 0 = 2r(D)$ , where  $r(D)$  is the number of nodes on  $D$  (note here  $D$  is irreducible). Then we can apply Nori's result (Proposition 3.1), to get the  $\text{Ker}(\pi_1(X \setminus (D \cup E))) = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2 \cup L_1 \cup L_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X \setminus E) = \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2)) = \mathbb{Z}$  is abelian. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2 \cup L_1 \cup L_2))$  is virtually abelian.

(4.3) If one line is tangent to the conic, the other transverse, and  $P$  is not on the conic, we apply the same method as the last case to blow up at the tangent point twice to make the curves have normal crossings. With the same notations, we have  $D^2 = 2 > 0 = 2r(D)$ , implying that  $\text{Ker}(\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2 \cup L_1 \cup L_2)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2)) = \mathbb{Z})$  is abelian. It follows from Corollary 3.2 again that  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2 \cup L_1 \cup L_2))$  is virtually abelian.

(4.4) If one line is tangent to the conic, the other transverse, and  $P$  is on the conic, as in the last case, we just need to blow up at  $P$  twice to get the normal crossings condition. For the same reason, the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus (C_2 \cup L_1 \cup L_2))$  is virtually abelian.

(4.5) If the 2 lines are tangent to the conic and  $P$  is not on the conic: In  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , consider all lines through the point  $P$ . By the fact that the lines through  $P$  are parametrized by  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  (Reason: Choose coordinates so that  $P = [0 : 0 : 1]$  (since  $\text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ ), any line  $ax + by + cz = 0$  through  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  would be actually given by  $ax + by = 0$ . It is easy to see that these lines are parametrized by  $[a : b]$ ), so we get a fibration

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{P\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \{P\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1,$$

sending the line each line  $V(ax + by)$  to  $[a : b]$ . Observe that most of the lines in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  through  $P$  intersect  $C$  with 3 points (one at  $p$  and two with the conic  $K$ ), except the two tangent lines  $C_1, C'_1$  of the conic passing through  $P$ . So if we remove  $C$  from  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , it induces a fibration

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2, P\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{y_1, y_2\},$$

where  $x_1, x_2$  are corresponding to the intersection of each line with the conic and  $y_1, y_2$  are corresponding to the  $C_1, C'_1$ . Therefore, the fundamental group of  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C$  is the semi-direct product  $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , which is known to be linear (actually every  $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  group can be represented as a non-positively curved punctured torus bundle over the circle, implying that every  $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  group is CAT(0) and virtually special by the work of Liu [Liu13][Theorem 1.1]).

We summarize the results discussed above as the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** For the reducible quartic case, the only non-abelian cases are  $F_3, B_3, \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ , and virtually abelian.

Since each of the groups in Theorem 3.5 is linear and virtually polyfree, we conclude that:

**Corollary 3.6.** If  $C$  is a plane curve of degree  $\leq 4$ , then  $\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C)$  is linear and virtually polyfree.

#### 4. QUINTIC

The presentation of fundamental groups of  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus C$  for degree-5 algebraic curves  $C$  has been classified by Degtyarev [Deg95]. Based on this classification, we will prove Theorem 1.2 for these curves by studying the presentations.

**4.1. Presentation of fundamental groups.** In this subsection, we will review the results proved by Degtyarev [Deg95]. Before introducing the list of Degtyarev, we need some notations.

- (1)  $F_n$  is the free group of rank  $n$ , and  $B_n$  is the braid group with  $n$ -strands.
- (2)  $T_{p,q}$  is the fundamental group of a toric link of type  $(p, q)$ . In particular, if  $p = 2$ , then  $T_{2,2r} = \langle a, b | (ab)^r = (ba)^r \rangle$ ; if  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  then  $T_{p,q} = \langle a, b | a^p = b^q \rangle$ .
- (3)  $G(T)$  and  $G_p(T)$ , where  $T \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  is an integral polynomial, are the extensions

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t]/T \rightarrow G(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[t]/T \rightarrow G(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1,$$

where the conjugation action of the generator of the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}$  on the kernel is the multiplication by  $t$ .

- (4)  $\text{Gr}\langle p, q, r \rangle := \langle a, b, c | a^p = b^q = c^r = abc \rangle$ .

Following from Degtyarev's convention, we use Arnol'd's notation for the types of singular points (see [AGZV12]):

- (1) A curve is said to be type  $aC_p \cup bC_q \dots$  if it has  $a$  irreducible components of degree  $p$ ,  $b$  irreducible of components of degree  $q$  and so on.
- (2) Let  $A_p$  denote a singularity given locally by  $x^2 + y^{p+1} = 0$  and a set of singularities is denoted like  $3A_4 \cup 4A_3 \cup \dots$ .
- (3) A curve  $C$  is said of type  $n_1C_p \cup n_2C_q \cup \dots$  if it has  $n_1$  irreducible components of degree  $p$ ,  $n_2$  irreducible components of degree  $q$ , etc.
- (4) Let  $C_d(\Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a list of singularities, denote an irreducible curve of degree  $d$  whose set of singular points is  $\Sigma$ .
- (5) The mutual position of an irreducible curve  $C$  and a line  $L$  is denoted by  $\times d$ , if  $L$  meets  $C$  with multiplicity  $d$  at a non-singular point of  $C$ . Denoted by  $A_p$  if  $L$  intersects  $C$  transversely at a singular point of  $C$  of type  $A_p$ . Denoted by  $A_p^*$  if  $L$  is tangent to  $C$  at a singular point of  $C$  of type  $A_p$ .

**4.2. Linearity and polyfreeness.** In this subsection, we will prove that the fundamental group of a quintic curve is virtually polyfree and linear. Our key observation is that most of the non-abelian presentations are either surface groups or triangle Artin groups.

Here we list the quintics with nonabelian fundamental groups from [Deg95, Section 3.3]:

- (1) Irreducible quintics of type  $C_5(3A_4)$ :  $\Pi = \langle a, b | b = ab^4a, a^2 = b^2a^3b^2 \rangle$  which is finite with order 320, of type  $C_5(A_6 \sqcup 3A_2)$ :  $\Pi = \langle u, v | u^3 = v^7 = (uv^2)^2 \rangle$ .
- (2) The quintics of type  $C_4 \sqcup C_1$ :  
 $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | aba = bab, bcb = cbc, abcb^{-1}a = bcb^{-1}abcb^{-1} \rangle$  (type  $C_4(3A_2) \sqcup \{\times 2, \times 2\}$ ),  $B_m$  ( $m = 3, 4$ ),  $G_n(t+1)$  ( $n = 3, 5$ ),  $\text{Gr}\langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $T_{3,4}$ .
- (3) The quintics of type  $C_3 \sqcup C_2$ :  
 $\Pi = \langle a, b | [a^3, b] = 1, ab^2 = ba^2 \rangle$ .
- (4) The quintics of type  $C_3 \sqcup 2C_1$ :  
 $\mathbb{Z} \times B_3$ ;  $G(t^n - 1)$ , ( $n = 2, 3$ );  $T_{2,4}, T_{2,6}$ ;  $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | aca = cac, [b, c] = 1, (ab)^2 = (ba)^2 \rangle$  (type  $C_3(A_2) \sqcup \{\times 3\} \sqcup \{\times 2, \times 1\}$ ).
- (5) The quintics of type  $2C_2 \sqcup C_1$ :  
 $F_2; T_{2,4}; \mathbb{Z} \times B_3$ .
- (6) The quintics of type  $C_2 \sqcup 3C_1$ :  
 $\mathbb{Z} \times F_2; \mathbb{Z} \times T_{2,4}$ ;  $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | [a, b] = [a, c^{-1}bc] = 1, (bc)^2 = (cb)^2 \rangle$ ;  $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | (ac)^2 = (ca)^2, (ab)^2 = (ba)^2, [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ .
- (7) The quintics of type  $5C_1$ .  
 $F_4; \mathbb{Z} \times F_3; F_2 \times F_2; \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times F_2$ .

It's well-known that finite groups, free groups, braid groups, the direct product of two linear (resp. polyfree) groups, and virtually linear (resp. polyfree) groups are linear (resp. virtually polyfree). Therefore, it remains to check the linearity and virtual polyfreeness of the following groups.

- (1) Type  $C_5(A_6 \sqcup 3A_2)$ , whose proof follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.
- (2) Type  $C_4(3A_2) \sqcup \{\times 2, \times 2\}$ , whose proof follows from Lemma 4.3.
- (3)  $G_n(t+1)$  ( $n = 3, 5$ ). This is a finite-by- $\mathbb{Z}$  group, which is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$  and thus linear, and virtually polyfree.
- (4)  $\text{Gr}\langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle$ , whose proof follows from Lemma 4.4.
- (5)  $T_{3,4}$ ,  $T_{2,4}$ , and  $T_{2,6}$ , whose proof follows from Lemma 4.5.
- (6) Type  $C_3 \sqcup C_2$ , whose proof follows from Lemma 4.6.
- (7) Type  $C_3 \sqcup 2C_1$ . Observe that  $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | aca = cac, [b, c] = 1, (ab)^2 = (ba)^2 \rangle$  is indeed the triangle Artin group  $\text{Art}_{234}$ , which is also the Artin group of (finite) type  $B_3$ . It is known that any finite-type Artin group is linear (see [CW23]). The group  $\text{Art}_{234}$  is isomorphic to the semi-direct product  $\text{Art}_{333} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. Charney-Crisp [CC, page 6]). Squier [Squ87] proved that the affine triangle Artin group  $\text{Art}_{333}$  is polyfree, implying that  $\text{Art}_{234}$  is polyfree.
- (8)  $G(t^n - 1)$ , ( $n = 2, 3$ ). This is a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -by- $\mathbb{Z}$  group. Note that  $G(t^n - 1)$  is linear since it is polycyclic (cf. Segal [Seg05, Theorem 5, page 92]).
- (9)  $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | [a, b] = [a, c^{-1}bc] = 1, (bc)^2 = (cb)^2 \rangle$ , whose proof follows from Lemma 4.7.
- (10)  $\Pi = \langle a, b, c | (ac)^2 = (ca)^2, (ab)^2 = (ba)^2, [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ . This is the Artin group  $\text{Art}_{244}$ , which is of affine type  $\tilde{C}_2$ . It is known to be linear and polyfree as explained in Section 2.2.

**Lemma 4.1.** [type  $C_5(A_6 \sqcup 3A_2)$ ] The group

$$\Pi = \langle u, v | u^3 = v^7 = (uv^2)^2 \rangle$$

is virtually a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of the surface group  $\pi_1(S_3)$  for the orientable closed surface  $S_3$  of genus 3.

*Proof.* Observe that  $\Pi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of

$$\langle u, v | u^3 = v^7 = (uv^2)^2 = 1 \rangle,$$

where the center is generated by  $\langle u^3 \rangle \subset \Pi$ . Note that  $\langle u, v | u^3 = v^7 = (uv^2)^2 = 1 \rangle$  is indeed the triangle group  $\Delta_{(2,3,7)} := \langle a, b | a^2 = b^3 = (ab)^7 = 1 \rangle$  (by the isomorphism  $\phi : \Delta_{(2,3,7)} \rightarrow \Pi, a \mapsto uv^2, b \mapsto u$ ). By [BM68],  $\Delta_{(2,3,7)}$  admits a surjection onto the finite group  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})$  with kernel  $\pi_1(S_3)$ . So  $\Delta_{(2,3,7)}$  is virtually a surface group. It follows that  $\Pi$  is virtually a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of  $\pi_1(S_3)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $S_g$  be the closed orientable surface of genus  $g$ . Any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of the surface group  $\pi_1(S_g)$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle \rightarrow G \rightarrow \langle a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g | \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] = 1 \rangle \rightarrow 1$$

be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -central extension of  $\pi_1(S_g)$ . Then  $G$  has a presentation

$$\langle A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g, t | \prod_{i=1}^n [A_i, B_i] = t^p \rangle,$$

for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $A_i$  (resp.  $B_i$ ) is representative of  $a_i$  (resp.  $b_i$ ) in  $G$ . Observe that the quotient

$$\begin{aligned} & G / \langle \langle A_2, \dots, A_g, B_2, \dots, B_g \rangle \rangle \\ & \cong \langle A_1, B_1, t | [A_1, B_1] = t^p, [A_1, t] = [B_1, t] = 1, [A_i, t] = [B_i, t] = 1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is nilpotent and thus linear. We consider two quotient maps

$$f_1 : G \rightarrow \pi_1(S_g), f_2 : G \rightarrow G / \langle \langle A_2, \dots, A_g, B_2, \dots, B_g \rangle \rangle.$$

Note that  $\ker f_1$  is the center  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is non-trivially mapped by  $f_2$ . Therefore, the product map

$$f_1 \times f_2 : G \rightarrow \pi_1(S_g) \times G / \langle \langle A_2, \dots, A_g, B_2, \dots, B_g \rangle \rangle$$

is injective into a product of linear groups, and the result follows.  $\square$

Note that a surface group is polyfree, implying that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -extension of a surface group is polyfree.

**Lemma 4.3.** [type  $C_4(3A_2) \sqcup \{ \times 2, \times 2 \}$ ] The group

$$\Pi = \langle a, b, c | aba = bab, bcb = cbc, abcb^{-1}a = bcb^{-1}abcb^{-1} \rangle$$

is isomorphic to the Artin group  $\text{Art}_{333}$ , thus linear and polyfree.

*Proof.* Let  $x = bcb^{-1}$ . Rewrite the presentation of  $\Pi$  with generators  $a, b, x$ . Then  $c = b^{-1}xb$ . The relator  $abcb^{-1}a = bcb^{-1}abcb^{-1}$  becomes  $axa = xax$ . The relator  $bcb = cbc$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} bb^{-1}xbb &= b^{-1}xbbb^{-1}xb, \\ xbb &= b^{-1}xbxb, \\ bxb &= xbx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the group  $\Pi$  is isomorphic to

$$\langle a, b, x | aba = bab, bxb = xbx, axa = xax \rangle,$$

which is the Artin group  $Art_{333}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.**  $\text{Gr}\langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle = \langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^3 = c^5 = abc \rangle$  is virtually free and linear.

*Proof.* Observe that  $\langle a^2 \rangle \subset \text{Gr}\langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle$  is a central cyclic subgroup. Thus, we have the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \langle a^2 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Gr}\langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle \rightarrow G := \langle a, b, c | a^2 = b^3 = c^5 = abc = 1 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Observe that  $G = \langle b, c | b^3 = c^5 = (bc)^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \langle x, y | x^2 = y^3 = (xy)^5 = 1 \rangle$ , where  $x = bc, y = b$ . Therefore, the group  $G$  is actually the alternating group  $A_5$ , implying that  $\text{Gr}\langle 2, 3, 5 \rangle$  is virtually free and linear.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** The toric link groups  $T_{p,q} = \langle a, b | a^p = b^q \rangle$ , where  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  and  $T_{2,2r} = \langle a, b | (ab)^r = (ba)^r \rangle$  are linear and virtually polyfree.

*Proof.* For  $T_{p,q}$ , observe that  $\langle a^p \rangle \subset T_{p,q}$  is a central cyclic subgroup. Therefore, we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \langle a^p \rangle \rightarrow T_{p,q} \rightarrow G := \langle a, b | a^p = b^q = 1 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that the quotient group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ , is virtually free. This implies that  $T_{p,q}$  is virtually a central cyclic extension of a free group  $F$ . Note that for a central cyclic extension of  $F$ , the extensions are classified by the second cohomology group  $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ , which is trivial since  $F$  is free. Therefore, the group  $T_{p,q}$  is virtually a direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $F$ , hence linear.

For  $T_{2,2r}$ , similarly we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \langle (ab)^r \rangle \rightarrow T_{2,2r} \rightarrow H := \langle a, b | (ab)^r = (ba)^r = 1 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Observe that  $(ab)^r = 1$  implies  $(ba)^r = a^{-1}a(ba)^r = a^{-1}(ab)^ra = 1$ . Therefore, we have an isomorphism  $H \cong \langle a, c | c^r = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $c := ab$ . The group  $H$  is virtually free. With a similar argument as  $T_{p,q}$ , the group  $T_{2,2r}$  is linear. The same exact sequences show that the groups are virtually polyfree.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** [type  $C_3 \sqcup C_2$ ] The group

$$\Pi = \langle a, b | [a^3, b] = 1, ab^2 = ba^2 \rangle$$

is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $a^3$  lies in the center of  $\Pi$ . Since  $a^3b = ba^3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^3b &= ba^2a = ab^2a, \\ a^2b &= b^2a, \\ a^2ba^{-1} &= b^2, \\ (ab)a^2ba^{-1} &= (ab)b^2, \\ a(ba^2)ba^{-1} &= ab^3, \\ a(ab^2)ba^{-1} &= ab^3a^{-1}(a), \\ a(ab^3a^{-1}) &= (ab^3a^{-1})a, \\ ab^3a^{-1} &= b^3, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $b^3$  also lies in the center of  $\Pi$ . In the quotient group  $\Pi/\langle a^3, b^3 \rangle$ , the relator  $ab^2 = ba^2$  implies that  $ab^{-1} = ba^{-1}$  and  $(ab^{-1})^2 = 1$ . This shows that

the natural homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y | x^3 = 1, y^3 = 1, (xy)^2 = 1 \rangle &\rightarrow \Pi / \langle a^3, b^3 \rangle \\ x &\mapsto a, \\ y &\mapsto b^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. Since  $\langle x, y | x^3 = 1, y^3 = 1, (xy)^2 = 1 \rangle$  is an index-2 subgroup of the finite Coxeter group  $\text{Cox}_{233}$ , we know that  $\Pi / \langle a^3, b^3 \rangle$  is finite.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** [type  $C_2 \sqcup 3C_1$ ] The group

$$\Pi = \langle a, b, c | [a, b] = [a, c^{-1}bc] = 1, (bc)^2 = (cb)^2 \rangle$$

has an index-2 right-angled Artin subgroup.

*Proof.* Let  $x = bc$ . Then  $c = b^{-1}x$ . The group  $\Pi$  has a presentation with generators  $a, b, x$ . The relator  $[a, b] = 1$  is equivalent to

$$bab^{-1} = a.$$

The relator  $[a, c^{-1}bc] = 1$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ac^{-1}bc &= c^{-1}bca, \\ ax^{-1}bx &= x^{-1}bxa, \\ xax^{-1} &= b(xax^{-1})b^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The relator  $(bc)^2 = (cb)^2$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= b^{-1}(x^2)b, \\ bx^2b^{-1} &= x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\Pi$  has a new presentation

$$\langle a, x, b | bab^{-1} = a, b(xax^{-1})b^{-1} = xax^{-1}, bx^2b^{-1} = x^2 \rangle,$$

which is an HNN extension of free group  $\langle a, x \rangle$  by the stable letter  $b$  along the subgroup  $\langle a, xax^{-1}, x^2 \rangle$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &: \langle a, x, b | bab^{-1} = a, b(xax^{-1})b^{-1} = xax^{-1}, bx^2b^{-1} = x^2 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \\ a, b &\mapsto 0, \\ x &\mapsto 1. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $R = \{1, x\}$  as the representative of left cosets for  $\ker \phi < \Pi$ . The Reidemeister-Schreier method gives a presentation of  $\ker \phi$  with generators

$$a, b, a' := xax^{-1}, b' := xbx^{-1}, t = x^2$$

and relators

$$\begin{aligned} bab^{-1} &= a, ba'b^{-1} = a', btb^{-1} = t, \\ b'a'b'^{-1} &= a', b'tb'^{-1} = t, b'ab'^{-1} = a, \end{aligned}$$

where the last relator comes from the  $x$ -conjugate to  $b(xax^{-1})b^{-1} = xax^{-1}$  (i.e.  $b'(xxax^{-1}x^{-1})b'^{-1} = x^2ax^{-2}$ , which is equivalent to  $b'ab'^{-1} = a$  using  $b'tb'^{-1} = t$ ). It is direct that the presentation shows that  $\ker \phi$  is a right-angled Artin group.  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [AGZV12] Elionora Arnold, Sabir Medzhidovich Gusein-Zade, and Alexander N Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps, volume 2: monodromy and asymptotics of integrals*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. (Cited p. 12).
- [BCT08] Enrique Artal Bartolo, José Ignacio Cogolludo, and Hiro-o Tokunaga. A survey on zariski pairs. In *Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005*, volume 50, pages 1–101. Mathematical Society of Japan, 2008. (Cited pp. 1 and 4).
- [Bea96] Arnaud Beauville. *Complex algebraic surfaces*. Number 34. Cambridge University Press, 1996. (Cited p. 4).
- [Big01] Stephen Bigelow. Braid groups are linear. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 14(2):471–486, 2001. (Cited p. 3).
- [BM68] Helmut Behr and Jens Mennicke. A presentation of the groups  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p)$ . *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 20:1432–1438, 1968. (Cited p. 14).
- [CA11] José Ignacio Cogolludo-Agustín. Braid monodromy of algebraic curves. In *Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, volume 18, pages 141–209, 2011. (Cited pp. 1, 6, 7, 8, and 10).
- [CAE25] José I Cogolludo-Agustín and Eva Elduque. Quasi-projective varieties whose fundamental group is a free product of cyclic groups. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 2025. (Cited pp. 2 and 10).
- [CC] Ruth Charney and John Crisp. Automorphism groups of some affine and finite type artin groups. *Mathe*, page 12:321–333. (Cited pp. 3 and 13).
- [CW23] Cohen and D. Wales. Linearity of artin groups of finite type. *Israel J. of Math.*, 131 (2002), 101–123. (Cited pp. 3 and 13).
- [Deg87] Alexander Degtyarev. *Topology of complex plane projective algebraic curves*. PhD thesis, Ph. D. thesis, Leningrad State University, 1987. (Cited pp. 2 and 5).
- [Deg95] Alexander Degtyarev. Quintics in  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  with nonabelian fundamental group. *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 11(5):809–826, 1995. (Cited p. 12).
- [Dim12] Alexandru Dimca. *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. (Cited p. 6).
- [Ful80] William Fulton. On the fundamental group of the complement of a node curve. *Annals of Mathematics*, 111(2):407–409, 1980. (Cited p. 8).
- [Har13a] Joe Harris. *Algebraic geometry: a first course*, volume 133. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. (Cited p. 3).
- [Har13b] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013. (Cited p. 4).
- [HŠ07] Susan Hermiller and Zoran Šiunić. Poly-free constructions for right-angled artin groups. *Journal of Group Theory*, 10(1), 2007. (Cited p. 2).
- [Kir92] Frances Clare Kirwan. *Complex algebraic curves*. Number 23. Cambridge University Press, 1992. (Cited p. 8).
- [Kra02] Daan Krammer. Braid groups are linear. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 131–156, 2002. (Cited p. 3).
- [KS20] Thomas Koberda and Alexander I Suciu. Residually finite rationally p groups. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 22(03):1950016, 2020. (Cited p. 1).
- [Lib07] Anatoly Libgober. Problems in topology of the complements to plane singular curves. In *Singularities in geometry and topology*, pages 370–387. World Scientific, 2007. (Cited p. 1).
- [Liu13] Yi Liu. Virtual cubulation of nonpositively curved graph manifolds. *Journal of Topology*, 6(4):793–822, 2013. (Cited p. 11).
- [Nor83] Madhav V Nori. Zariski’s conjecture and related problems. In *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*, volume 16, pages 305–344, 1983. (Cited pp. 2 and 6).
- [Seg05] Daniel Segal. *Polycyclic groups*. Number 82. Cambridge University Press, 2005. (Cited p. 13).
- [Squ87] C. C. Squier. On certain 3-generator artin groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 302, no. 1, 117–124., 1987. (Cited pp. 3 and 13).
- [Tol93] Domingo Toledo. Projective varieties with non-residually finite fundamental group. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 77:103–119, 1993. (Cited p. 1).

- [WMS76] A. Karrass W. Magnus and D. Solitar. Combinatorial group theory. *Dover Publications Inc., New York, revised edition*, 1976. (Cited p. 5).
- [WY25] Xiaolei Wu and Shengkui Ye. Splittings and poly-freeness of triangle artin groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 378(07):4707–4737, 2025. (Cited p. 2).
- [Zar36] Oscar Zariski. On the poincaré group of rational plane curves. *American Journal of Mathematics*, pages 607–619, 1936. (Cited p. 6).
- [Zar71] Oscar Zariski. *Algebraic surfaces, supplemented edition*. Springer, 1971. (Cited p. 1).

NYU SHANGHAI, NO.567 YANGSI WEST RD, PUDONG NEW AREA, SHANGHAI, 200124, P.R. CHINA, NYU-ECNU INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AT NYU SHANGHAI, 3663 ZHONGSHAN ROAD NORTH, SHANGHAI, 200062, CHINA

*Email address:* sy55@nyu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT RIVERSIDE

*Email address:* kzhumath@gmail.com