

Comparison of canonical periods under base change

Qingshen Lv,

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin

qingshen.lv@ucdconnect.ie

Bingyong Xie *

School of Mathematical Sciences, & Key Laboratory of MEA (Ministry of Education)

& Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University

byxie@math.ecnu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

1.1 Special value formula, Gross period and Hida canonical period

In [8] Gross provided a formula expressing the special values of L -functions via Heegner points. To describe his result, let f be a newform on $\Gamma_0(N)$ of even weight k , and let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant D such that D is prime to N .

Assume $k = 2$ and N is a prime inert in K . Let B be the definite quaternion algebra that is ramified exactly at N . Fix a prime number p . Let H_n be the ring class field of K of conductor p^n . When χ is a character of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(H_n/K)$, one forms the Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(f, \chi, s)$. Gross [8] showed that there exists a period $\Omega_{f,K}$ depending on f and K but independent of n and χ , called Gross period, such that $\frac{L(f, \chi, 1)}{\Omega_{f,K}}$ are algebraic and they satisfy

$$\frac{L(f, \chi, 1)}{\Omega_{f,K}} \cdot \sqrt{D} p^n = \left| \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H_n/K)} \chi(\sigma) \psi(P^\sigma) \right|^2,$$

where P is the Heegner point on the Shimura set attached to B .

Gross formula is generalized by Shouwu Zhang [29, Theorem 7.1] for $k = 2$ and general N , and by Haiping Yuan [28] for $k > 2$.

In [1] Bertolini and Darmon used Gross' special value formula to construct anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions, i.e. p -adic L -functions for anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension instead of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. In the same paper they proved one divisibility for Iwasawa main conjecture for elliptic curves in the setting of anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension ([1, Theorem 1]), and also gave new evidence for Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture ([1, Corollary 4]).

Gross period serves as a bridge between the complex L -function and the p -adic L -function. But it depends on the choice of an imaginary quadratic extension K .

*The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 12231001), and by Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (no. 22DZ2229014).

Hida [9, 10] introduced a period that is independent of K and called the *canonical period*. Attached to f there exists a homomorphism $\lambda_f : T \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f$ from the Hecke algebra T of level N to a discrete valuation ring \mathcal{O}_f that is finite over \mathbb{Z}_p . Let η be the congruence number for λ_f defined by

$$\eta = \lambda_f(\text{Ann}(\text{Ker}(\lambda_f))).$$

Then the canonical period is defined by

$$\Omega_f^{\text{can}} = \frac{\langle f, f \rangle_{\text{Pet}}}{\eta}.$$

The reader may consult [21, Section 2] about more knowledge on Gross period and the canonical period.

Vatsal [21] showed that $\frac{\Omega_{f,K}}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}}$ lies in \mathcal{O}_f . Pollack and Weston [14] pointed out that $\frac{\Omega_{f,K}}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}} \in \mathcal{O}_f^\times$ is equivalent to the freeness of spaces of modular forms on B over the associated Hecke algebra and the vanishing of certain local Tamagawa components. In [3] Chida and Hsieh actually proved that $\frac{\Omega_{f,K}}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}}$ is a p -adic unit, i.e. $\frac{\Omega_{f,K}}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}} \in \mathcal{O}_f^\times$ under a condition (CR^+) . Wang [23] generalized Chida and Hsieh's result to the setting of Hilbert modular forms under similar hypothesis.

There are two normalizations of anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions depending on which period one uses. However, in the present paper we assume those hypothesis in [23] in order that there is essentially no difference between these two normalizations.

1.2 Comparison of periods under base change

The goal of the present paper is to compare the canonical period of a Hilbert modular form and that of its base change to a (totally) real quadratic extension.

To make it precise, let F be a totally real number field, and f be a Hilbert newform of parallel even weight over F . Let F' be a totally real quadratic extension of F , and f' be the base change of f to F' . One may expect the following holds.

Conjecture 1.1. *We have*

$$\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}} = (\Omega_f^{\text{can}})^2$$

up to a p -adic unit.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem. Let ρ_f be p -adic Galois representation attached to f (see [24, 19]), and let $\bar{\rho}_f$ denote the residue representation of ρ_f .

Theorem 1.2. *Let F be a totally real field, and F' be a real quadratic extension of F . Assume that p is unramified in both F and F' . Let f be a Hilbert newform of parallel even weight k over F with trivial central character and level \mathfrak{n}_f . Let f' be the base change of f to F' . Let $\mathfrak{n}_{f'}$ be the level of f' .*

Let p be a prime number satisfying $p \geq \max(k+2, 7)$. We assume that \mathfrak{n}_f is prime to p and f is ordinary at each prime above p . When $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, we assume that there exists at least one prime \mathfrak{q} such that $\mathfrak{q} \parallel \mathfrak{n}_f$, and \mathfrak{q} is split in F' .

Suppose the following conditions hold.

- (a) *The restrictions of $\bar{\rho}_f$ to $G_{F'(\xi_p)}$ and $G_{F'(\sqrt{p^*})}$ are absolutely irreducible, where $p^* = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p$.*

- (b) In the case of $k = 2$, for each place v of F above p we have $a_v^2(f) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$; similarly, for each place v' of F' above p we have $a_{v'}^2(f') \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- (c) For each $\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}$, if $\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}}}$ is absolutely irreducible, then $N(\mathfrak{l}) \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Similarly, for each $\mathfrak{l}'|\mathfrak{n}'$, if $\bar{\rho}_{f'}|_{I_{F'_{\mathfrak{l}'}}}$ is absolutely irreducible, then $N(\mathfrak{l}') \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.
- (d) ρ_f is a minimal modular lifting of $\bar{\rho}_f$.

Then

$$\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}} = (\Omega_f^{\text{can}})^2$$

up to a p -adic unit.

We choose an imaginary quadratic extension K of F that is inertia at \mathfrak{q} when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd. Denote KF' by K' . Fix a place \mathfrak{p} of F above p . Let K_{∞} be the \mathfrak{p} -anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K .

Using the canonical periods one attaches to f , $f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}$ and f' the p -adic L -functions

$$L_p(K_{\infty}, f), L_p(K_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}) \text{ and } L_p(K'K_{\infty}, f').$$

All of them can be considered as elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)]]$. The ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}} \supset \mathcal{O}_f$ is some coefficient ring that is clearly defined in our context. To prove Theorem 1.2 we only need to show

$$(L_p(K'K_{\infty}, f')) = (L_p(K_{\infty}, f)) \cdot (L_p(K_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) \quad (1.1)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)]]$.

Our strategy is to use Iwasawa main conjecture that provides an equality between a quantity measuring Selmer groups and p -adic L -functions. Actually what we need is Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms in the anticyclotomic setting.

Its proof is divided into two parts, one part proving one divisibility by Ribet's method, and the other proving the converse divisibility by Euler systems. The former divisibility was proved by Skinner and Urban [18] for elliptic modular forms, and was proved by Wan [22] for Hilbert modular forms. When $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, Wan needs the condition that Ihara Lemma for Shimura curves holds. The latter divisibility was proved by Bertolini and Darmon [1] for elliptic curves, and by Chida and Hsieh [2] for elliptic modular forms. It was proved by Longo [13] and Wang [23] for Hilbert modular forms assuming Ihara Lemma for Shimura curves. This condition was removed by the second author [27].

Combining results in [22] and [27] we obtain the following theorem. Remark that we do not need Ihara Lemma for Shimura curves even when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, though such a condition is needed in [22].

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that f satisfies conditions (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+\text{-DT})$, (PO) and (Fuji1-4). Then we have*

$$(L_p(K_{\infty}, f)) = \text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee}.$$

See our context for Conditions (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+\text{-DT})$, (PO) and (Fuji1-4).

By Theorem 1.3 we have

$$(L_p(K_{\infty}, f)) = \text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee}$$

and

$$(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) = \text{char Sel}(K_\infty, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^\vee.$$

Using the relation

$$\text{Sel}(K'K_\infty, A_\rho) = \text{Sel}(K_\infty, A_\rho) \bigoplus \text{Sel}(K_\infty, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})$$

we obtain

$$(L_p(K_\infty, f) \cdot L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) = \text{char Sel}(K'K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee. \quad (1.2)$$

Let \mathfrak{p}' be a place of F' above \mathfrak{p} . Let K'_∞ be the \mathfrak{p}' -anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K' . With K'_∞ instead of K_∞ we also have

$$(L_p(K'_\infty, f')) = \text{char Sel}(K'_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee,$$

from which we deduce that

$$(L_p(K'K_\infty, f')) \supseteq \text{char Sel}(K'K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee. \quad (1.3)$$

Combining (1.2) and (1.3) we obtain

$$(L_p(K'K_\infty, f')) \supseteq (L_p(K_\infty, f) \cdot L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})). \quad (1.4)$$

As (1.1) holds in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)]]\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$, and as the μ -invariants of $L_p(K_\infty, f)$ and $L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})$ are zero, (1.4) implies (1.1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some basic facts about automorphic forms on definite quaternion algebras. In Section 3 we recall the construction of anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions via Theta elements. In Section 4, we combine Wan's result in [22] with the result in [27] to prove Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular form in the anticyclotomic setting (under certain conditions). Finally we prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

In Sections 2-4, the imaginary quadratic extension K of F is fixed. In Section 5, we will choose a suitable K .

The authors thank B.H. Gross for his helpful advice.

Notations

We fix a totally real number field F over \mathbb{Q} . Let Σ_F be the set of all real embeddings of F and let Σ_p be the set of all places of F above p .

For a number field L , and each place v of L above a prime number l , let $|\cdot|_v$ or $|\cdot|_{L_v}$ be the absolute value on L_v defined by $|x|_v = |N_{L_v/\mathbb{Q}_l}(x)|_l$, and let ϖ_v be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_{L_v} .

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} , \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers in E and \mathfrak{P} be a prime of \mathcal{O} above p such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ contains \mathcal{O}_f . Let ω be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}$. For each positive integer n we put $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\omega^n$. We consider $E_{\mathfrak{P}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and \mathcal{O}_n as coefficient rings, and let $G_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ act trivially on them.

Let ϵ be the p -adic cyclotomic character of G_F .

2 Automorphic representations on definite quaternion algebras

Let f be a Hilbert cusp newform of even parallel weight k and trivial central character. Let $\{\mathbf{a}_v\}_v$ be the system of Hecke eigenvalues attached to f .

Let π be the unitary irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_F)$ corresponding to f . We write the conductor of π in the form

$$\mathbf{n} = \prod \mathbf{n}^+ \mathbf{n}^-,$$

where \mathbf{n}^+ (resp. \mathbf{n}^-) is only divisible by primes that are split (resp. inert or ramified) in K . We assume the following conditions hold.

- $(\mathbf{n}, p) = 1$.
- \mathbf{n}^- is square-free, and the number of prime factors of \mathbf{n}^- has the same parity as $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$.
- If $v \mid \mathbf{n}^-$, π_v is a special representation $\sigma(\mu_v, \mu_v \cdot |\cdot|_v^{-1})$ with unramified character μ_v .

Let B be the definite quaternion algebra over F with discriminant \mathbf{n}^- . Let G be the algebraic group $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} B^\times$. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_F$, the isomorphism

$$(B \otimes_{\sigma(F)} \mathbb{R}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

induces an embedding

$$\iota_\sigma : G(\mathbb{R}_\sigma) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Here, the index σ in \mathbb{R}_σ indicates that F is embedded into \mathbb{R} via σ .

Let $\pi' = \otimes_v \pi'_v$ be the unitary irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation on $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ with trivial central character attached to π via Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Then the archimedean constituent of π' is isomorphic to $(\rho_{k,\infty}, V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C}))$. We describe $(\rho_{k,\infty}, V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C}))$ as follows.

For a commutative ring A , we denote by $V_k(A) = \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2}(A^2)$ the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree $k-2$ with coefficients in A . Write

$$V_k(A) = \bigoplus_{-\frac{k}{2} < m < \frac{k}{2}} A \cdot \mathbf{v}_m \quad (\mathbf{v}_m := X^{\frac{k-2}{2}-m} Y^{\frac{k-2}{2}+m}).$$

Let $\rho_k : \mathrm{GL}_2(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} V_k(A)$ be the unitary representation defined by

$$\rho_k(g)P(X, Y) = \det(g)^{-\frac{k-2}{2}} \cdot P((X, Y)g) \quad (P(X, Y) \in V_k(A)).$$

If A is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra with $p > k-2$, we define a perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k : V_k(A) \times V_k(A) \longrightarrow A$$

by

$$\left\langle \sum_{-\frac{k}{2} < i < \frac{k}{2}} a_i \mathbf{v}_i, \sum_{-\frac{k}{2} < j < \frac{k}{2}} b_j \mathbf{v}_j \right\rangle_k = \sum_{-\frac{k}{2} < m < \frac{k}{2}} a_m b_{-m} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{k-2}{2}+m} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + m) \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} - m)}{\Gamma(k-1)}.$$

This pairing is $\mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ -invariant, which means

$$\langle \rho_k(g)P, \rho_k(g)P' \rangle_k = \langle P, P' \rangle_k \quad (\forall P, P' \in V_k(A)).$$

For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_F$ put $k_\sigma = k$. Put $V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C}) = \otimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_F} V_{k_\sigma}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\rho_{k,\infty}$ be the representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$\rho_{k,\infty} : G(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\Pi_\sigma \iota_\sigma} \prod_\sigma \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\Pi_\sigma \rho_{k_\sigma}} \mathrm{Aut} V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C}).$$

For $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_F} m_\sigma \sigma$ with $-\frac{k}{2} < m_\sigma < \frac{k}{2}$, let $\mathbf{v}_\mathbf{m}$ denote the element $\otimes_\sigma \mathbf{v}_{m_\sigma}$ in $V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$. We define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{k,\infty}$ to be the pairing induced from $\{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{k_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_F}$.

Definition 2.1. If A is a K -algebra and $U \subset G(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)$ is an open compact subgroup. A A -valued modular form on $G(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)$ of trivial central character, parallel weight k and level U is a function

$$h : G(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty) \longrightarrow V_{k,\infty}(A)$$

that satisfies

$$h(z\gamma bu) = \rho_{k,\infty}(\gamma)h(b)$$

for all $\gamma \in B^\times$, $u \in U$, $b \in \widehat{B}^\times$ and $z \in \widehat{F}^\times$. We denote by $M_k^B(U, A)$ the space of such forms. The right translation makes $M_k^B(A) := \varinjlim_U M_k^B(U, A)$ an admissible $G(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)$ -representation.

For $\mathbf{v} \in V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ and $h \in M_k^B(\mathbb{C})$, we can attach to $\mathbf{v} \otimes h$ an automorphic form $\Psi(\mathbf{v} \otimes h)$ on $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ by

$$\Psi(\mathbf{v} \otimes h)(g) := \langle \rho_{k,\infty}(g_\infty)\mathbf{v}, h(g^\infty) \rangle_{k,\infty}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_k^B(U, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of automorphic forms on $G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ consisting of functions $\Psi(\mathbf{v} \otimes h)$ for $h \in M_k^B(U, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V_{k,\infty}(\mathbb{C})$.

Realize π'^∞ in $M_k^B(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\varphi^\infty := \bigotimes_{v:\text{finite}} \varphi_v$ is an element of $M_k^B(\mathbb{C})$. Let J be a nonempty subset of Σ_p . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in J$, let $\alpha_\mathfrak{p}$ be the unit root of $X^2 - \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}X + N(\mathfrak{p})^{k-1}$. We put

$$\varphi^{\infty, \dagger J} = \varphi^\infty - \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \frac{1}{\alpha_\mathfrak{p}} \pi'_\mathfrak{p} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_\mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \varphi^\infty$$

and

$$\varphi_{\pi'}^{\dagger J} = \Psi(\mathbf{v}_0 \otimes \varphi^{\infty, \dagger J}).$$

3 Anticyclotomic p -adic L -functions

3.1 Optimal embeddings and Gross points

Write $B = K \oplus KI$ with $I^2 = \beta \in F^\times$. We may take I satisfies the following conditions.

- $\sigma(\beta) < 0$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_F$.
- $\beta \in (\mathcal{O}_{F_v})^2$ for all $v \mid p\mathfrak{n}^+$, and $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times$ for all $v \mid N_{K/F} D_K$.

Fix a square root $\sqrt{\beta} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ of β . We fix an isomorphism $i = \prod i_v : \widehat{B}^{(\mathfrak{n}^-)} \simeq M_2(\mathbf{A}_{F,f}^{(\mathfrak{n}^-)})$ as follows. Fix an element $\theta \in K$ such that $\{1, \theta\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{O}_{K_v} over \mathcal{O}_{F_v} for all $v \mid p\mathfrak{n}^+$. For each $v \mid p\mathfrak{n}^+$, we define the isomorphism $i_v : B_v := B \otimes_F F_v \simeq M_2(F_v)$ by

$$i_v(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} T(\theta) & -N(\theta) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i_v(I) = \sqrt{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & T(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, we write T and N for the reduced trace and norm of B . For each finite place $v \nmid p\mathfrak{n}$, we fix an isomorphism $i_v : B_v \simeq M_2(F_v)$ such that

$$i_v(\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \subset M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}).$$

For $\sigma \in \Sigma_F$, we define $i_\sigma : B \hookrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$a + bI \mapsto i_\sigma(a + bI) := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(a) & \sigma(b\beta) \\ \sigma(\bar{b}) & \sigma(\bar{a}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (a, b \in K).$$

We fix a decomposition $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \mathfrak{N}^+ \overline{\mathfrak{N}^+}$ once and for all, we define $\varsigma_v \in G(F_v)$ for $v \notin J$ as follows.

- $\varsigma_v = 1$ if $v \notin J$ and $v \nmid \mathfrak{n}^+$.
- $\varsigma_v = \delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \theta & \bar{\theta} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ if $v \notin J$ and $v = \varpi \bar{\varpi}$ with $\varpi \mid \mathfrak{N}^+$.

For each non-negative integer n and for each $\mathfrak{p} \in J$, we define $\varsigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n_{\mathfrak{p}})} \in G(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ as follows.

- $\varsigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n_{\mathfrak{p}})} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in J$ split in K .
- $\varsigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n_{\mathfrak{p}})} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in J$ inert or ramified in K .

Define $x_{\vec{n}} : \mathbf{A}_K^\times \longrightarrow G(\mathbf{A}_F)$ by

$$x_{\vec{n}}(a) := a \cdot \varsigma_J^{(\vec{n})} \quad (\varsigma_J^{(\vec{n})} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \varsigma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(n_{\mathfrak{p}})} \prod_{v \notin J} \varsigma_v).$$

One calls $\{x_{\vec{n}}(a)\}_{a \in \mathbf{A}_K^\times}$ Gross points of conductor $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

3.2 Theta elements and p -adic L -functions

Let J be a nonempty subset of Σ_p . For each J -tuple of nonnegative integers $\vec{n} = (n_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in J}$, let

$$\mathcal{O}_{\vec{n}} := \mathcal{O}_F + \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathcal{O}_K$$

be the order of K of conductor $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Let $\tilde{K}_{J, \vec{n}}^-$ be the ring class field of K of conductor $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \mathfrak{p}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{\vec{n}}^-$ be its Galois group.

Put $\tilde{K}_{J, \infty}^- = \bigcup_{\vec{n}} \tilde{K}_{J, \vec{n}}^-$, where \vec{n} runs over all J -tuples. Let $\mathcal{G}_J^- = \mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{K}_{J, \infty}^-/K)$ be its Galois group (the complex conjugation acting by -1 on the Galois group). Let $K_{J, \infty}^-$ be the subfield of $\tilde{K}_{J, \infty}^-$ such that $\Gamma_J^- := \mathrm{Gal}(K_{J, \infty}^-/K)$ is the maximal \mathbb{Z}_p -free quotient of \mathcal{G}_J^- .

We are particularly interested in two cases, the case of $J = \Sigma_p$ and the case of $J = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ a single set. When $J = \Sigma_p$, we write \mathcal{K}_∞^- for $K_{\Sigma_p, \infty}^-$. Then \mathcal{K}_∞^- is the maximal abelian anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K unramified outside p with Galois group denoted as Γ_K^- , which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$. When $J = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, Γ_J^- is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$. We write $\tilde{K}_n = \tilde{K}_{\{\mathfrak{p}\}, n}^-$, $\tilde{K}_\infty = \tilde{K}_{\{\mathfrak{p}\}, \infty}^-$, $K_n = K_{\{\mathfrak{p}\}, n}^-$ and $K_\infty = K_{\{\mathfrak{p}\}, \infty}^-$.

Let F_∞ be the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of F and put $\mathcal{K}_\infty^+ = F_\infty K$. The Galois group $\Gamma_K^+ := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty^+/K)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p . Put $\mathcal{K}_\infty = \mathcal{K}_\infty^+ \mathcal{K}_\infty^-$ and $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty/K)$. Then $\Gamma_K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]+1}$.

Let $\phi \in \pi'$ be a normalized new eigenform. See [26, Section 4] for the definition of ϕ . The function

$$a \mapsto \phi'^{\dagger J}(x_{\vec{n}}(a)) := \Psi(\mathbf{v}_0 \otimes \phi'^{\dagger J}(a\zeta_J^{(\vec{n})}))$$

on \mathbf{A}_K^\times is $\mathbf{A}_F^\times K^\times K_\infty^\times \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\vec{n}}^\times$ -invariant. For each J -tuple $\vec{n} = (n_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in J}$, let $[\]_{\vec{n}}$ be the composition map

$$\mathbf{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / K^\times \xrightarrow{\text{rec}_K} \text{Gal}(\bar{K}|K)^{\text{ab}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\vec{n}}.$$

The map $[\]_{\vec{n}}$ factors through $X_{\vec{n}} := \mathbf{A}_F^{\infty, \times} \backslash \mathbf{A}_K^{\infty, \times} / K^\times \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\vec{n}}^\times$.

We define the theta element $\Theta_{\vec{n}}$ by

$$\Theta_{\vec{n}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in J} \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \cdot \sum_{a \in X_{\vec{n}}} \phi'^{\dagger J}(x_{\vec{n}}(a)) [a]_{\vec{n}}.$$

If $n'_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in J$, we write $\vec{n}' \geq \vec{n}$. When $\vec{n}' \geq \vec{n}$, we have a natural quotient map

$$\text{pr}_{\vec{n}', \vec{n}} : \mathcal{G}_{\vec{n}'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\vec{n}}.$$

Proposition 3.1. [26] *If $\vec{n}' \geq \vec{n}$, then*

$$\text{pr}_{\vec{n}', \vec{n}}(\Theta_{\vec{n}'}) = \Theta_{\vec{n}}.$$

Put $\hat{\Theta}_{\vec{n}} = j(\Theta_{\vec{n}})$. We define

$$\hat{\Theta}_J := \varprojlim_{\vec{n}} \hat{\Theta}_{\vec{n}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\mathcal{G}_J]].$$

By abuse of notation the image of $\hat{\Theta}_J$ by the projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\mathcal{G}_J]] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\Gamma_J^-]]$ is also denoted as $\hat{\Theta}_J$. We define the p -adic L -function of π for the extension \mathcal{K}_∞^- by

$$L_p(\mathcal{K}_\infty^-, f) = \hat{\Theta}_J^2.$$

For a closed subextension \mathcal{L} of K contained in \mathcal{K}_∞^- , we define

$$L_p(\mathcal{L}, f) = \text{pr}_{\mathcal{L}}(L_p(\mathcal{K}_\infty^-, f))$$

where $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the projection map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_\infty^-/K)]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)]]$. In [26] the second author gave an interpolation formula for these p -adic L -functions. When $J = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is single, $L_p(K_\infty, f)$ coincides with the p -adic L -function used in [27].

4 Selmer groups and Iwasawa main conjecture

Let f be a Hilbert newform of parallel even weight k . We need the conditions (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+\text{-DT})$ and (PO) used in [27].

- (CR^+) 1. $p > k + 1$ and $(\#(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^\times)^{k-1} > 5$.
- 2. The restriction of $\bar{\rho}_f$ to $G_{F(\sqrt{p^*})}$ is absolutely irreducible, where $p^* = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p$.
- 3. If $\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^-$, then $\bar{\rho}_f$ is ramified at \mathfrak{l} .
- 4. If $\mathfrak{n}_{\bar{\rho}}$ denotes the Artin conductor of $\bar{\rho}_f$, then $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}_{\bar{\rho}}$ is coprime to $\mathfrak{n}_{\bar{\rho}}$.

(PO) If $k = 2$, then $a_v^2(f) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $v|p$.

$(\mathfrak{n}^+\text{-DT})$ If $\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^+$ and $N(\mathfrak{l}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $\bar{\rho}_f$ is ramified at \mathfrak{l} .

For the purpose of applying Wan's result [22, Theorem 3], we also need the following conditions:

(Fuji1) For each $\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}$, if $\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}}}$ is absolutely irreducible, then $N(\mathfrak{l}) \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

(Fuji2) There is a minimal modular lifting of $\bar{\rho}_f$.

(Fuji3) When $p = 5$, the following case is excluded: the projective image \bar{G} of $\bar{\rho}_f$ is isomorphic to $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and the mod p cyclotomic character $\bar{\chi}$ factors through $\bar{G}^{\text{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

(Fuji4) $\bar{\rho}_f|_{F(\xi_p)}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Condition (CR^+3) is slightly stronger than that in [27]. Such a stronger version is needed in [22, Theorem 3]. Conditions in [22, Theorem 3] that already overlap with (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+\text{-DT})$ and (PO) are not included in (Fuji1-4).

4.1 Selmer groups

Let L be a number field. For a finite place v of L and a discrete G_L -module M , define the finite part of $H^1(L_v, M)$ by

$$H_{\text{fin}}^1(L_v, M) := M^{I_{L_v}} / (\text{Frob}_v - 1) \cong \ker(H^1(L_v, M) \rightarrow H^1(I_{L_v}, M)),$$

and define the singular quotient of $H^1(L_v, M)$ by

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(L_v, M) := H^1(L_v, M) / H_{\text{fin}}^1(L_v, M).$$

Let $\rho = \rho_f^* = \rho_f \otimes \epsilon^{(2-k)/2}$ be the self-dual twist of ρ_f , V_ρ the underlying representation space of ρ . Let T_ρ be a G_F -invariant lattice in V_ρ , and put $A_\rho = V_\rho/T_\rho$. For each positive integer n , put $T_n := T_\rho/\varpi^n T_\rho$ and $A_n := \varpi^{-n} T_\rho/T_\rho$. Then $T_\rho = \varprojlim_n T_n$ and $A_\rho = \varinjlim_n A_n$.

When \mathfrak{l} is above an n -admissible prime, or when $\mathfrak{l}|p\mathfrak{n}^-$, A_n sits in a $G_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}}$ -equivariant short exact sequence of free \mathcal{O}_n -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{l}}^+ A_n \longrightarrow A_n \longrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{l}}^- A_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $G_{F_{\mathfrak{l}}}$ acts on $F_{\mathfrak{l}}^+ A_n$ (resp. $F_{\mathfrak{l}}^- A_n$) via $\pm\epsilon$ (resp. ± 1). One defines the ordinary part of $H^1(L_{\mathfrak{l}}, A_n)$ to be the image of

$$H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^+ A_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{l}}}, A_n).$$

One defines the ordinary part of $H^1(L_{\mathfrak{l}}, T_n)$ similarly [13, 23, 27].

When \mathfrak{l} is a finite place of F , M is a G_F -module, and L is a finite extension of F , for $? = \emptyset, \text{fin}, \text{sing}$ or ord we put

$$H^1_?(L_{\mathfrak{l}}, M) = \bigoplus_{v|\mathfrak{l}} H^1_?(L_v, M),$$

where v runs over places of L above \mathfrak{l} .

Let res_v denote the restriction map $H^1(L, M) \rightarrow H^1(L_v, M)$ at v .

Definition 4.1. ([27, Definition 3.1]) Let S be a finite (maybe empty) set of finite places of F that are coprime to $p\mathfrak{n}$. For $M = A_n$ or T_n we define the Selmer group $\text{Sel}^S(G_L, M)$ to be the group of elements $s \in H^1(G_L, M)$ such that

- $\text{res}_v(s)$ is arbitrary if v is above S .
- $\text{res}_v(s) \in H^1_{\text{fin}}(L_v, M)$ if $v \nmid p\mathfrak{n}^-$ and v is not above S ;
- $\text{res}_v(s) \in H^1_{\text{ord}}(L_v, M)$ if $v|p\mathfrak{n}^-$ and v is not above S .

For $M = A_\rho$ or T_ρ , we define $\text{Sel}^S(G_L, M)$ in the same way.

By Lemma 4.2 below, if we take S to contain all primes dividing \mathfrak{n} , then $\text{Sel}^S(G_L, M)$ defined above coincides with the Selmer group defined in [22].

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that L is a finite extension of F contained in \mathcal{K}_∞ . Then the ordinary part of $H^1(L_p, A_n)$ coincides with that defined in [22].*

Proof. The ordinary part of $H^1(L_p, A_n)$ defined above coincides with the kernel of the map

$$H^1(L_p, A_n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|p} H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n).$$

In [22] the ordinary part of $H^1(L_p, A_n)$ is defined to be the kernel of the map

$$H^1(L_p, A_n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|p} H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n).$$

The modules $H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n)$ and $H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n)$ sit in the following exact sequence

$$H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n)^{I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}}) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n) \longrightarrow H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n).$$

Condition (PO) ensures $H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n)^{I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}}) = 0$. Thus we have an inclusion

$$H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n) \hookrightarrow H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}}, F_{\mathfrak{q}}^- A_n).$$

Our lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.3. ([23, Proposition 2.10(1)] and [27, Lemma 3.5 (b)]) *Assume (CR^+) holds. Let L/K be a finite extension contained in \mathcal{K}_∞ .*

(a) *The restriction maps*

$$\text{Sel}^S(K, A_n) \rightarrow \text{Sel}^S(L, A_n)^{\text{Gal}(L/K)}$$

is an isomorphism.

(b) If S contains all primes $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{n}^+$ with $\bar{\rho}_{f,\mathfrak{q}}$ unramified, then

$$\mathrm{Sel}^S(L, A_n) = \mathrm{Sel}^S(L, A)[\varpi^n].$$

Proof. Proposition 2.10(1) in [23] and Lemma 3.5 (b) in [27] are stated under the condition that L/K is a finite extension contained in K_∞ . But the proofs work in our situation. \square

Let \mathcal{L} be \mathcal{K}_∞ , \mathcal{K}_∞^- , K_J^- or K_∞ . Write $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathcal{L}, A_n) &= \varinjlim_L H^1(L, A_n), & \widehat{H}^1(\mathcal{L}, T_n) &= \varprojlim_L H^1(L, T_n) \\ H^1(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{l}, A_n) &= \varinjlim_L H^1(L_\mathfrak{l}, A_n) & \text{and} & \quad \widehat{H}^1(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{l}, T_n) = \varprojlim_L H^1(L_\mathfrak{l}, T_n), \end{aligned}$$

where L runs through all finite extensions of K contained in \mathcal{L} . The finite parts and the singular quotients $H^1_\mathfrak{l}(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{l}, A_n)$ and $\widehat{H}^1_\mathfrak{l}(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{l}, T_n)$ for $\mathfrak{l} \in \{\mathrm{fin}, \mathrm{sing}\}$ are defined similarly. We define

$$\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_n) = \varinjlim_L \mathrm{Sel}^S(L, A_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Sel}}^S(\mathcal{L}, T_n) = \varprojlim_L \mathrm{Sel}^S(L, T_n).$$

We define $\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)$ similarly. If S is empty, we drop S from the above notations. For $M = \mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)$ or $\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_n)$, we write M^\vee for the Pontrjagin dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of M . Then $\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)^\vee$ and $\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_n)^\vee$ are $\mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]]$ -modules.

For $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K^-]]$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_J^-]]$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{P}}^-]]$, and for a Λ -module M , we write $\mathrm{char}_\Lambda M$ for the characteristic ideal of M . The reader may consult [18, Section 3.1.5] for the definition of characteristic ideals. When Λ is clear to us, we also write $\mathrm{char} M$ for $\mathrm{char}_\Lambda M$. If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of Λ , we write M/\mathfrak{a} for the Λ/\mathfrak{a} -module $M/\mathfrak{a}M$. We write Λ_E for $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}} E_{\mathfrak{P}}$.

We have the following direct consequences of Lemma 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. *For \mathcal{L} and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)$ as above, we have*

$$\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho) = \mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\Gamma$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_n) = \mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_n)^\Gamma.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)]].$$

Let $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{P}}^-]].$$

Corollary 4.5. *For \mathcal{L} and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)$ as above, we have*

$$\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)^\vee = \mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee / \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{L}, A_n)^\vee = \mathrm{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_n)^\vee / \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Proposition 4.6. *For \mathcal{L} as above, we have*

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)^\vee \subseteq \text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \pmod{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}}}$$

and

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \subseteq \text{char Sel}(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)^\vee \pmod{\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.5 and [18, Corollary 3.8(ii)]. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)]]$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ are unique factorization domains. \square

4.2 Iwasawa main conjecture

One attaches to f and the extension \mathcal{K}_∞ a p -adic L -function

$$(L_p^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, f)) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$$

(see [22, Theorem 82]). For $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K}_\infty^-$, K_J^- or K_∞ , by specializing we has $(L_p^S(\mathcal{L}, f)) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}_{\mathcal{L}/K}]]$. In fact, the definition of $(L_p^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, f)) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ needs a choice of the period of f . As we will only use Wan's \mathbb{Q} -version result and need the integral version result in [27], we can choose the period such that $(L_p(\mathcal{K}_\infty, f))$ coincides with p -adic L -function for the K_∞ -extension used in [27].

For two ideals I and J of $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$, if $\Lambda_E \cdot I = \Lambda_E \cdot J$, we write $I \doteq J$.

Theorem 4.7. ([22, Theorem 3]) *Suppose $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. If S is a finite set of finite places of F that contains all primes dividing \mathfrak{n} , then $\text{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)$ is a cofinitely generated cotorsion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ -module and*

$$\text{char Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \subseteq (L_p^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, f)) \quad (4.1)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]_E$.

Remark 4.8. In the case when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, [22, Theorem 3] needs the condition that Ihara Lemma for Shimura curves holds.

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Then $\text{Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)$ is a cofinitely generated cotorsion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ -module and*

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \subseteq (L_p(\mathcal{K}_\infty, f)) \quad (4.2)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]_E$.

Proof. We take S to be the set of primes dividing \mathfrak{n}^- . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^-} H^1((\mathcal{K}_\infty)_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^- A_\rho).$$

Being a submodule of a cofinitely generated cotorsion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ -module, $\text{Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)$ is a cofinitely generated cotorsion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ -module itself.

Applying [12, Chapter XIX Proposition 2.7] we obtain

$$\text{Fitt}(\text{Sel}(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee) \cdot \text{Fitt}\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^-} H^1((\mathcal{K}_\infty)_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^- A_\rho)^\vee\right) \subseteq \text{Fitt}(\text{Sel}^S(\mathcal{K}_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee). \quad (4.3)$$

The reader may compare it with [18, Corollary 3.12]. Here, for a finite generated $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]$ -module M , we use $\text{Fitt}(M)$ to denote its Fitting ideal. Combining Lemma 4.10 below and Theorem 4.7 we obtain

$$\text{Fitt Sel}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \subseteq (L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)). \quad (4.4)$$

As the characteristic ideal is the smallest divisorial ideal containing the Fitting ideal, (4.3) and (4.4) yield the conclusion. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Let S be the set of primes dividing \mathfrak{n}^- . Then*

$$\text{Fitt}\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^-} H^1((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{\vee}\right) = \left(\frac{L_p^S(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)}{L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)}\right).$$

This should be well known. However, the authors do not have a reference about it.

Proof. By definition (see Section 4.1) $H^1((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})$ is the inductive limit $\varinjlim_L H^1(L_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})$, where L runs through all finite extensions of K contained in \mathcal{K}_{∞} . For each L , $H^1(L_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\mathfrak{l}} H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})$ where \mathfrak{L} runs over all places of L above \mathfrak{l} , and sits in the following exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\mathfrak{l}} H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\mathfrak{l}} H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}|\mathfrak{l}} H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}.$$

As $G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ acts trivially on $F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}$, we have

$$H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}) \cong F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}.$$

If L' is a finite extension of L contained in \mathcal{K}_{∞} , and \mathfrak{L}' is a place of L' above \mathfrak{L} , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \times [G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}} : G_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}/I_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}] \\ H^1(G_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}/I_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}), (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{I_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrow is the restriction map. Since both $L_{\mathfrak{L}}$ and $L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}$ are unramified over $K_{\mathfrak{l}}$,

$$[G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}} : G_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}/I_{L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}}] = |\text{Gal}(L'_{\mathfrak{L}'}/L_{\mathfrak{L}})| = [L'_{\mathfrak{L}'} : L_{\mathfrak{L}}]$$

is a power of p . Thus taking limit we have

$$\varinjlim_L \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}:\text{places of } L \text{ above } \mathfrak{l}} H^1(G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}) = 0.$$

Hence, we have an isomorphism

$$H^1((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \cong \varinjlim_L \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}:\text{places of } L \text{ above } \mathfrak{l}} H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}.$$

As a $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -module,

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}:\text{places of } L \text{ above } \mathfrak{l}} H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}$$

is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{L}}/K_{\mathfrak{l}})}^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}$$

the $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -module induced from the $\text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{L}}/K_{\mathfrak{l}})$ -module $H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{l}}$ be a place of \mathcal{K}_{∞} above \mathfrak{l} . As a $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}/K)$ -module, the inductive limit

$$\varinjlim_L \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{L}:\text{places of } L \text{ above } \mathfrak{l}} H^1(I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}/I_{L_{\mathfrak{L}}}}$$

is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/K_{\mathfrak{l}})}^{\text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}/K)} H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{(\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}/I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}.$$

where $I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}$ is the inertia subgroup of $G_{(\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}$.

The group $I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}$ has a unique subgroup $J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}$ such that $I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ with respect to the action of $\text{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\text{un}}|K_{\mathfrak{l}})$. We have the inflation-restriction sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}) \longrightarrow H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \longrightarrow H^1(J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}.$$

As $J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}$ has profinite order prime to p and acts trivially on $F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}$, we have

$$H^1(J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \cong \text{Hom}(J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{(\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}/I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}} &= H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \cong H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/J_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{Z}_p(1), F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho}) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the action of $\text{Gal}((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/K_{\mathfrak{l}})$. So $\text{Gal}((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/K_{\mathfrak{l}})$ acts on

$$H^1(I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{G_{(\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}}/I_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}} \cong E_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}$$

via ϵ^{-1} . Hence

$$H^1((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]/(1 - N(\mathfrak{l})^{-1} \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}})$$

and

$$\text{Fitt}(H^1((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\mathfrak{l}}, F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-} A_{\rho})^{\vee}) = (1 - N(\mathfrak{l})^{-1} \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}),$$

where $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ denotes the Frobenius element in $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}/K)_{\mathfrak{l}} \cong \text{Gal}((\mathcal{K}_{\infty})_{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}}/K_{\mathfrak{l}})$ that satisfies $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}(x) \equiv x^{N(\mathfrak{l})} \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$. One should note that $\epsilon(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}) = N(\mathfrak{l})$.

For each Hecke character χ of $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}/K)$, we have

$$L(f, \chi, s) = L^S(f, \chi, s) \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^{-}} (1 - \chi(\mathfrak{l})N(\mathfrak{l})^{1-s})^{-1}.$$

By the interpolation formula of p -adic L -functions, we have

$$\frac{L_p^S(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)}{L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)} = \prod_{\mathfrak{l}|\mathfrak{n}^{-}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{l})^{-1} \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{l}}),$$

as desired. □

Theorem 4.11. ([27, Theorem 1.2]) $\text{Sel}(K_\infty, A_\rho)$ is a cofinitely generated cotorsion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{P}}^-]]$ -module and

$$\text{char Sel}(K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \supseteq (L_p(K_\infty, f)).$$

Lemma 4.12. *There exists a totally real quadratic extension F' of F , such that f' , the base change of f to F' , again satisfies (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+ \text{-DT})$, (PO) and (Fuji1-4).*

Here, the meaning of our notation F' is different from that in the introduction. This makes no confusion, because in this section we will not deal with F' in our introduction.

Proof. The representation $\bar{\rho}_f$ factors through $\text{Gal}(F_1/F)$ for a finite Galois extension F_1 of F . Take a finite place $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p\mathfrak{n}$. For each $\mathfrak{l} \mid p\mathfrak{n}$ take a unit $u_{\mathfrak{l}}$ of \mathcal{O}_{F_1} such that the image $u_{\mathfrak{l}}$ in $k_{\mathfrak{l}}$ by the natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{F_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F_1}/\mathfrak{l} \cong k_{\mathfrak{l}}$$

generates the multiplicative group $k_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times$. Take a uniformizing element $\omega_{\mathfrak{q}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}$. By the weak approximation theorem there exists a totally positive element a of F such that $a_{\mathfrak{l}} - u_{\mathfrak{l}} \in \mathfrak{l}\mathcal{O}_{F_1}$ for each $\mathfrak{l} \mid p\mathfrak{n}$, and $a_{\mathfrak{q}} - \omega_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \mathfrak{q}^2\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathfrak{q}}}$. Put $F' = F(\sqrt{a})$. Then each place dividing $p\mathfrak{n}$ splits in F' . As a is totally positive, F' is totally real. Let f' be the base change of f to F' .

Since F_1 is unramified at \mathfrak{q} and F' is ramified at \mathfrak{q} , as extensions of F , F' and F_1 are disjoint from each other. As a consequence, $\bar{\rho}_{f'}$ and $\bar{\rho}_f$ have the same image. So we have (Fuji3) for f' . Similarly, $\bar{\rho}_f|_{G_{F(\xi_p)}}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{f'}|_{G_{F'(\xi_p)}}$ have the same image, so (Fuji4) holds for f' . By the same reason we have (CR^+2) for f' .

Put $K' = KF'$. Let \mathfrak{n}' be the conductor of f' . With K' in place of K , we have $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{n}'^+ \mathfrak{n}'^-$, where \mathfrak{n}'^+ (resp. \mathfrak{n}'^-) is only divisible by primes that are split (resp. inert or ramified) in K' . As each place dividing \mathfrak{n} splits in F' , we have $\mathfrak{n}'^+ = \mathfrak{n}^+ \mathcal{O}_{F'}$ and $\mathfrak{n}'^- = \mathfrak{n}^- \mathcal{O}_{F'}$. Now it is easy to check that f' satisfies the remaining conditions. \square

Theorem 4.13. *Assume f satisfies (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+ \text{-DT})$, (PO) and (Fuji1-4).*

(a) *We have*

$$\text{char Sel}(K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee = (L_p(K_\infty, f)). \quad (4.5)$$

(b) *Let \mathcal{L} be $\mathcal{K}_\infty, \mathcal{K}_\infty^-$ or K_J^- . If $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, then*

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{L}, A_\rho)^\vee = (L_p(\mathcal{L}, f))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)]]_E$.

Proof. Let F' be a totally real quadratic extension of F , as in Lemma 4.12. Then $[F' : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Let $\chi_{F'/F}$ be the quadratic character corresponding to the extension F'/F . Put $K' = F'K$. Let \mathcal{K}'_∞ be the maximal abelian anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K' unramified outside p . Put $\mathcal{K}'_\infty^+ = F_\infty K'$, $\mathcal{K}'_\infty = \mathcal{K}'_\infty^+ \mathcal{K}'_\infty^-$ and $\Gamma_{K'} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}'_\infty/K')$. Then $K' \mathcal{K}_\infty = F' \mathcal{K}_\infty$ is contained in \mathcal{K}'_∞ .

Let f' be the base change of f to F' . Let ρ' be the Galois representation attached to f' and we have $A_{\rho'} = A_\rho|_{G_{F'}}$ according to base change. By Theorem 4.7,

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}'_\infty, A_{\rho'})^\vee \subseteq (L_p(\mathcal{K}'_\infty, f'))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{K'}]]_E$. So by Proposition 4.6,

$$\text{char Sel}(K' \mathcal{K}_\infty, A_{\rho'})^\vee \subseteq (L_p(K' \mathcal{K}_\infty, f')).$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(K'\mathcal{K}_{\infty}/K')]]_E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]_E$. But we have

$$\text{Sel}(K'\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho'}) = \text{Sel}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho}) \bigoplus \text{Sel}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})$$

and

$$L_p(K'\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f') \doteq L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}).$$

Then

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \cdot \text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^{\vee} \subseteq (L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f)L_p(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_K]]_E$. Hence, by Proposition 4.6,

$$\text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \cdot \text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^{\vee} \subseteq (L_p(K_{\infty}, f)L_p(K_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) \quad (4.6)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]_E$.

By Theorem 4.11

$$\text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \supseteq (L_p(K_{\infty}, f)).$$

Note that $\rho_{f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}$ again satisfies (CR^+) , $(\mathfrak{n}^+ \text{-DT})$, (PO) and (Fujil-4) . By Theorem 4.11 we also have

$$\text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^{\vee} \supseteq (L_p(K_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})).$$

In particular, these relations hold in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]_E$. If either

$$\text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \supsetneq (L_p(K_{\infty}, f))$$

or

$$\text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^{\vee} \supsetneq (L_p(K_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]_E$, then we have

$$\text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \cdot \text{char Sel}(K_{\infty}, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^{\vee} \supsetneq (L_p(K_{\infty}, f)L_p(K_{\infty}, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]_E$, which contradicts (4.6). Hence (4.5) holds in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]_E$. According to [11, Theorem 6.9], the (analytic) μ -invariant of $L_p(K_{\infty}, f)$ is zero. So by Theorem 4.11, (4.5) already holds in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]$. This proves (a).

For (b) without loss of generality we may assume $\mathfrak{p} \in J$. By Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 we obtain

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{L}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \subseteq \text{char Sel}(\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \bmod \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq (L_p(\mathcal{L}, f))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)]]_E$. By (a) and Proposition 4.6 we have

$$\text{char Sel}(\mathcal{L}, A_{\rho})^{\vee} \bmod \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}} = (L_p(\mathcal{L}, f)) \bmod \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}} = (L_p(K_{\infty}, f))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}]]_E$. Now (b) follows from [18, Lemma 3.2]. \square

5 Period and μ -invariant

Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let F be a totally real field, and F' be a real quadratic extension of F . Assume that p is unramified in both F and F' . Let f be a Hilbert newform of parallel even weight k over F with trivial central character and level \mathfrak{n}_f . Let f' be the base change of f to F' . Let $\mathfrak{n}_{f'}$ be the level of f' .*

We assume that \mathfrak{n}_f is prime to p and f is ordinary at each place above p . When $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, we assume that there exists at least one prime \mathfrak{q} such that $\mathfrak{q} \parallel \mathfrak{n}_f$, \mathfrak{q} is split in F' , and $\bar{\rho}_f$ is ramified at \mathfrak{q} . Suppose that, if $\mathfrak{l}' \parallel \mathfrak{n}_{f'}$ and $N(\mathfrak{l}') \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $\bar{\rho}_{f'}$ is ramified at \mathfrak{l}' .

Further suppose that $(\text{CR}^+1, 2, 4)$, (PO) and (Fuji1-4) hold for f and f' . Then

$$\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}} = (\Omega_f^{\text{can}})^2$$

up to a p -adic unit.

Fix a place \mathfrak{p} of F above p . We choose an imaginary quadratic extension K of F that is split at \mathfrak{p} and each place dividing \mathfrak{n} in the case when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, and that is split at \mathfrak{p} and at each place dividing $\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{\mathfrak{q}}$ and is inertia at \mathfrak{q} when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd. Then $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathcal{O}_F$ in the case when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even, and $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{q}$ in the case when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd. Thus (CR^+3) and $(\mathfrak{n}^+ \text{-DT})$ hold for f and f' .

Denote KF' by K' .

By [23, Theorem 9.1 and Lemma 9.4] under (CR^+) and $(\mathfrak{n}^+ \text{-DT})$, Gross period and the canonical period only differ a p -adic unit¹. So the two kinds of p -adic L -functions in [22] and [27] coincide.

Theorem 5.2. *We have*

$$(L_p(K'K_\infty, f')) = (L_p(K_\infty, f))(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^-]]$.

Proof. We fix a place \mathfrak{p}' of F' above \mathfrak{p} , and write K'_∞ for $K'_{\{\mathfrak{p}'\}, \infty}$. We have

$$L_p(K'K_\infty, f') \doteq L_p(K_\infty, f)L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})$$

and

$$\text{Sel}(K'K_\infty, A_\rho) = \text{Sel}(K_\infty, A_\rho) \bigoplus \text{Sel}(K_\infty, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}).$$

By Theorem 4.13 (a) we have

$$(L_p(K_\infty, f)) = \text{char Sel}(K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee,$$

$$(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) = \text{char Sel}(K_\infty, A_{\rho \otimes \chi_{F'/F}})^\vee$$

and

$$(L_p(K'_\infty, f')) = \text{char Sel}(K'_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee.$$

¹Though this conclusion is not stated in [23], repeating the argument in [2, Section 6], one can deduce it from [23, Theorem 9.1 and Lemma 9.4].

Since $K'K_\infty$ is a subextension of K' contained in K'_∞ , by the same method as in the proof of Proposition 4.6, we obtain

$$\text{char Sel}(K'K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \subseteq \text{char Sel}(K'_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee \bmod \mathfrak{c}$$

where \mathfrak{c} is the kernel of

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(K'_\infty/K')]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\text{Gal}(K'K_\infty/K')]] \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^-]].$$

Thus we have

$$\text{char Sel}(K'K_\infty, A_\rho)^\vee = (L_p(K_\infty, f)) \cdot (L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) \subseteq (L_p(K'K_\infty, f'))$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{P}}[[\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}^-]]$. According to [11, Theorem 6.9], $\mu(L_p(K_\infty, f)) = 0$ and $\mu(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) = 0$. So we deduce that

$$(L_p(K'K_\infty, f')) = (L_p(K_\infty, f))(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})),$$

as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Write $\mathfrak{n}^+ \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{N}^+ \overline{\mathfrak{N}^+}$. We fix a place \mathfrak{p} of F above p and a place \mathfrak{p}' of F' above \mathfrak{p} . For the p -adic anticyclotomic L -function $L_p(K_\infty, f)$, one has the interpolation formula in [11, Theorem 5.6]. For each p -adic character $\widehat{\nu}$ of $\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ of conductor \mathfrak{p}^s , we have

$$\widehat{\nu}(L_p(K_\infty, f)) = \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \nu)}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}} \cdot \nu(\mathfrak{N}^+) \cdot (N_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{p})^{sk} \cdot e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \nu)^{2-\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{n}} \cdot C$$

where C is a p -adic unit and Ω_f^{can} is the canonical period of f . We refer to [11, Section 5] for the relation between ν and $\widehat{\nu}$. Here the branch character χ_t in [11, Theorem 5.6] is take to be the trivial one.

Let $\widehat{\nu}'$ denote the base change of Hecke character $\widehat{\nu}$ over K' .

Case 1. \mathfrak{p} is split in F' . Write $\mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_{F'} = \mathfrak{p}' \overline{\mathfrak{p}'}$. As K is split at \mathfrak{p} , we have $\mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{P} \overline{\mathfrak{P}}$. Similarly, we have $\mathfrak{p}' \mathcal{O}_{K'} = \mathfrak{P}' \overline{\mathfrak{P}'}$. According to the definition of $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \nu)$ in [11, Theorem 5.6], if $s = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \nu) &= (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \nu(\mathfrak{P}))(1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \nu(\overline{\mathfrak{P}})), \\ e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}, \nu) &= (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \chi_{F'/F}(\mathfrak{p})^{-1} \nu(\mathfrak{P}))(1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \chi_{F'/F}(\mathfrak{p})^{-1} \nu(\overline{\mathfrak{P}})), \\ e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f', \nu') &= (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{-1} \nu'(N_{K'/K} \mathfrak{P}'))(1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}'}^{-1} \nu'(N_{K'/K} \overline{\mathfrak{P}'})) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = a_{\mathfrak{p}} N \mathfrak{p}^{\frac{2-k}{2}}$ (resp. $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}'} = a_{\mathfrak{p}'} N \mathfrak{p}'^{\frac{2-k}{2}}$) and $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $a_{\mathfrak{p}'}$) is a root of the Hecke polynomial of f (resp. f') and is a p -adic unit. Since \mathfrak{p} is split in F' , we have $\chi_{F'/F}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}'}$. So we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \nu) = e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}, \nu) = e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f', \nu).$$

Now we select an unramified character $\widehat{\nu}$ such that $\nu(\mathfrak{N}^+)$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \nu)$ are both p -adic units. Then we have

$$\widehat{\nu}(L_p(K_\infty, f)) = \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \nu)}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}} \cdot C_1,$$

$$\widehat{\nu}(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) = \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \nu \otimes \chi_{F'/F})}{\Omega_{f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}^{\text{can}}} \cdot C_2$$

and

$$\widehat{\nu}'(L_p(K'K_\infty, f')) = \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{K'} \otimes \nu')}{\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}}} \cdot C_3$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3 are all p -adic units. Using Theorem 5.2 and the relation

$$L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{K'} \otimes \nu') = L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \nu) \cdot L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \nu \otimes \chi_{F'/F}),$$

we obtain that $\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}}$ and $\Omega_f^{\text{can}} \cdot \Omega_{f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}^{\text{can}}$ differ a p -adic unit.

Case 2. \mathfrak{p} is inert in F' . Write $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{O}_{F'}} = \mathfrak{p}'$. We select $\widehat{\nu}$ which satisfy $s > 0$ and such that $\nu(\mathfrak{N}^+)$ is a p -adic unit. When $s > 0$, by definition we have

$$e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, \nu) = e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}, \nu) = e_{\mathfrak{p}}(f', \nu) = 1.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\nu}(L_p(K_\infty, f)) &= \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \nu)}{\Omega_f^{\text{can}}} \cdot (N_{F/\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{p}})^{sk} \cdot C'_1, \\ \widehat{\nu}(L_p(K_\infty, f \otimes \chi_{F'/F})) &= \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_K \otimes \chi_{F'/F} \otimes \nu)}{\Omega_{f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}^{\text{can}}} \cdot (N_{F/\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{p}})^{sk} \cdot C'_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{\nu}'(L_p(K'K_\infty, f')) = \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{K'} \otimes \nu')}{\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}}} \cdot (N_{F'/\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{p}'})^{sk} \cdot C'_3$$

where C'_1, C'_2, C'_3 are all p -adic units. Using the same method as in Case 1, we derive that $\Omega_{f'}^{\text{can}}$ and $\Omega_f^{\text{can}} \cdot \Omega_{f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}^{\text{can}}$ differ a p -adic unit.

According to [5], the congruence number for λ_f and Petersson inner product $\langle f, f \rangle_{\text{Pet}}$ remain the same if f is twisted by a character, so we have

$$\Omega_f^{\text{can}} = \Omega_{f \otimes \chi_{F'/F}}^{\text{can}}.$$

Thus the canonical period of f' is a p -adic unit times the square of the canonical period of f . \square

Corollary 5.3. *We have*

$$\mu(L_p(F'K_\infty, f')) = 0.$$

This is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.2 and [11, Theorem 6.9]. Note that this result cannot be derived directly from $\mu(L_p(K'_\infty, f')) = 0$.

We end this section with the following conjecture closely related to Corollary 5.3.

Conjecture 5.4. *Let F be a totally real number field, K a quadratic imaginary extension of F . Let F' be a finite totally real extension of F , and $f_{F'}$ be a Hilbert modular form over F' that is ordinary at each place of F' above p . Then*

$$\mu(L_p(F'K_\infty, f_{F'})) = 0.$$

Corollary 5.3 says that Conjecture 5.4 holds when F' is a quadratic real extension of F and $f_{F'}$ is the base change of a Hilbert modular form over F (that satisfies some conditions). It seems impossible to use the method in [3, 11] to prove Conjecture 5.4, though it works when $F' = F$.

References

- [1] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon: *Iwasawa's Main Conjecture for elliptic curves over anticyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions*. Annals of Mathematics 162 (2005), 1-64.
- [2] M. Chida, M.-L. Hsieh: *On the Iwasawa main conjecture for modular forms*. Compos. Math. 151 (2015), 863-897.
- [3] M. Chida, M.-L. Hsieh: *Special values of anticyclotomic L -functions for modular forms*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 741 (2018), 87-131.
- [4] C. Cornut, V. Vatsal: *CM Points and Quaternion Algebras*. Documenta Mathematica.10.10.4171/dm/189 (2005).
- [5] F. Diamond: *Congruences between modular forms: raising the level and dropping Euler factors*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1997 Oct; 94(21):11143-11146.
- [6] K. Fujiwara, *Deformation rings and Hecke algebras in the totally real case*, Preprint, 2006, arXiv:math/0602606.
- [7] R. Greenberg, V. Vinayak: *On the Iwasawa invariants of elliptic curves*. Invent. Math. 142(1) (2000), 17-63.
- [8] B.H. Gross: *Heights and the special values of L -series*. Number Theory (H.Kisilevsky and J. Labute, eds.), CMS Conference Proceedings, vol. 7, Amer.Math.Soc. (1987), 115-187.
- [9] H. Hida: *Congruence of cusp forms and special values of their zeta functions*. Invent. Math. 63 (1981), no. 2, 225-261.
- [10] H. Hida: *On congruence divisors of cusp forms as factors of the special values of their zeta functions*. Invent. Math. 64 (1981), no. 2, 221-262.
- [11] P. C. Hung: *On the non-vanishing mod ℓ of central L -values with anticyclotomic twists for Hilbert modular forms*. Journal of Number Theory. 173 (2017), 170-209.
- [12] S. Lang: *Algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, MA, 1965, xvii+508 pp.
- [13] M. Longo: *Anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms*. Comment. Math. Helv. 87 (2012), 303-353.
- [14] R. Pollack, T. Weston: *On anticyclotomic-invariants of modular forms*. Compos. Math. 147 (2011), no. 5, 1353-1381.
- [15] K. Ribet: *Congruence relations between modular forms*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (1983), 503-514.
- [16] K. Ribet: *Raising the levels of modular representations*. Seminaire de Theorie des Nombres, Paris 1987-88, 259-271.
- [17] K. Ribet: *On modular representations of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}|\mathbb{Q})$ arising from modular forms*. Invent. Math. 100 (1990), no. 2, 431-476.

- [18] C. Skinner, E. Urban: *The Iwasawa main conjectures for GL_2* . Invent. Math. 195 (2014), no. 1, 1-277.
- [19] R. Taylor: *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*. Invent. Math. 98 (1989), 265-280.
- [20] V. Vatsal: *Uniform distribution of Heegner points*. Inventiones Mathematicae 148 (2002), 1-46.
- [21] V. Vatsal: *Special values of anticyclotomic L -functions*. Duke Mathematical Journal 116 (2003), 219-261.
- [22] X. Wan: *The Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms*. Forum Math. Sigma 3 (2015), Paper No. e18, 95 pp.
- [23] H. Wang: *On the anticyclotomic Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms of parallel weights*. Ann. Math. Qué. 47 (2023), no. 1, 195-248.
- [24] A. Wiles: *On ordinary λ -adic representations associated to modular forms*. Invent. Math. 94 (3), 1988, 529-573.
- [25] A. Wiles: *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's last theorem*. Ann. of Math. (2) 141 (1995), no. 3, 443-551.
- [26] B. Xie: *Anticyclotomic exceptional zero phenomenon for Hilbert modular forms*. Preprint, arXiv.2101.00766.
- [27] B. Xie: *Iwasawa theory of Hilbert modular forms for anticyclotomic extensions without Ihara's lemma*. Publ. Mat. 68 (2024), no.1, 41-71.
- [28] H. Yuan: *Special Value Formulae of Rankin-Selberg L -functions*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2005.
- [29] S. Zhang: *Gross-Zagier formula for $GL(2)$. II, Heegner points and Rankin L -series*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 49, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 191-214.