

SMALL TIME ASYMPTOTICS OF SPECTRAL HEAT CONTENT OF ISOTROPIC PROCESSES

ROHAN SARKAR[†]

ABSTRACT. We provide a general approach for proving small time asymptotic of spectral heat content for any translation invariant isotropic process satisfying negligible tail probability condition. As a consequence, we recover several existing results in the context of Lévy processes and Gaussian processes, and provide spectral heat content asymptotic for a class of time-changed Brownian motions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main result	1
2. Examples	4
2.1. Isotropic Lévy processes	4
2.2. Gaussian processes	4
2.3. Time changed Brownian motion	5
3. Preliminaries: Perimeter and regularity of the boundary	6
4. The minimum functional	6
5. Proof of the lower bound	10
6. Proof of Theorem 1.1	11
Concluding proof of Theorem 1.1	15
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic process on \mathbb{R}^d with càdlàg paths and \mathbb{P}_x denotes the probability measure when $X_0 = x$. For any bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the *spectral heat content* of X in the domain Ω is defined as

$$Q_\Omega^X(t) = \int_\Omega \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Omega^X > t) dx,$$

where $\tau_\Omega^X = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin \Omega\}$. Since X has càdlàg paths, it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} Q_\Omega^X(t) = \text{Vol}(\Omega),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60J45; 60G51; 60G15.

Key words and phrases. spectral heat content; isotropic processes; asymptotic behavior.

where $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ denotes the volume of Ω . In this article, we consider translation invariant isotropic processes $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d , that is, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in A) &= \mathbb{P}_0(X_t + x \in A), \\ \mathbb{P}_0(X_t \in A) &= \mathbb{P}_0(UX_t \in A)\end{aligned}$$

for all $d \times d$ orthogonal matrices U . Writing $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(d)})$, for any $t > 0$, we define

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(t) = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \wedge 1 \right].$$

From right continuity of the sample paths we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu(t) = 0.$$

Throughout the article, we assume the negligible tail probability condition.

Assumption 1.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon \right) = 0.$$

The goal of this article is to prove the following asymptotic of the spectral heat content of X .

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary, and $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a translation invariant isotropic stochastic process satisfying Assumption 1.1. Then,*

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} = \text{Per}(\Omega),$$

where $\text{Per}(\Omega)$ denotes the perimeter of Ω .

Remark 1.2. The $C^{1,1}$ regularity condition on the boundary of Ω inherits from the differentiability of the signed distance function from the boundary, see Remark 6.6 for details.

Corollary 1.3. *Let Ω be a bounded, open set with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary and $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a translation invariant isotropic process such that*

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} X_s^{(1)} \right)^\alpha \right] < \infty$$

for some $T > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right)^\alpha \right]}{\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right]} = 0.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{m(t)} = \text{Per}(\Omega),$$

where $m(t) = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right]$.

When $X = B$, the standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^d , the spectral heat content can be realized in terms of the Dirichlet heat equation. More precisely, if $u(t, x)$ solves the PDE

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) &= 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

then the spectral heat content on Ω for B is given by

$$Q_\Omega^B(t) = \int_\Omega u(x, t) dx.$$

Small time asymptotic of $Q_\Omega^B(t)$ was first proved by Berg, see [12, Theorem 6.2], for domains with R -smooth boundary, and subsequently, a second order expansion of $Q_\Omega^B(t)$ near zero was proved in [14, Theorem 1.2] for domains with C^3 -boundary. We also refer to [13] for small time asymptotic of Q_Ω^B when B is the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold and Ω has smooth boundary. The spectral heat content asymptotic for symmetric α -stable was obtained in [9], and it was later generalized to isotropic Lévy processes in [4], and to symmetric Lévy processes in a recent article [6]. We also refer to [5] for the spectral heat content asymptotic of Gaussian processes in \mathbb{R}^d .

In the present article, we provide a general approach for spectral heat content asymptotic that works for any translation invariant isotropic process satisfying Assumption 1.1. This assumption holds true for any isotropic Lévy process with regularly varying Lévy-Khintchine exponent, and Gaussian processes. Moreover, in Section 2.3, we provide examples of isotropic processes which are neither Markov nor Gaussian and Theorem 1.1 holds for such processes.

Our method also extends to bounded domains with boundary being level sets of a $C^{1,\kappa}$ functions for $0 < \kappa < 1$. In all the aforementioned references [4, 5, 9, 12–14], the assumption of $C^{1,1}$ -regularity is crucial as the proofs rely heavily on the uniform interior and exterior ball condition of the domain. In fact, from [7, Corollary 2], the uniform interior and exterior ball condition is equivalent to the $C^{1,1}$ smoothness of the boundary. For $C^{1,\kappa}$ -boundary with $0 < \kappa < 1$, the uniform ball condition does not hold. Theorem 6.1 provides upper and lower bound of the spectral heat content for domains with boundaries given by level sets of $C^{1,\kappa}$ functions with $0 < \kappa < 1$. The question of validity of (1.2) for such domains still remains open.

We propose a novel approach by considering a functional version of spectral heat content. For any nonnegative integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$(1.3) \quad Q_f^X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right] dx,$$

In particular, when $f = \mathbb{1}_\Omega$, $Q_f^X(t) = Q_\Omega^X(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. First, we obtain asymptotic formula for Q_f^X when f is a compactly supported smooth function, and the lower bound of the limit in (1.2) is proved using an approximation argument. It turns out that the lower bound of (1.2) holds for any bounded, open subset with finite perimeter. The main idea behind proving the upper bound of the limit in (1.2) stems from the observation that any bounded domain with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary can be expressed as $\phi^{-1}(0, \infty)$ for some bounded $C^{1,1}$ function ϕ with $|\nabla \phi|$ being constant on the boundary.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we provide examples including isotropic Lévy processes, Gaussian processes, and a class of time-changed Brownian motions for which spectral heat content asymptotic follow directly from our main result. Section 3 provides some preliminaries about functions of bounded variation and regularity of boundary. In Section 4, we prove the asymptotic of the functional of the spectral heat content defined in (1.3). Finally, Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 6.

2. EXAMPLES

2.1. Isotropic Lévy processes. We consider the class of Lévy processes studied in [4]. Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an isotropic Lévy process with Lévy-Khintchine exponent $\Psi(\xi) = \psi(|\xi|)$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and assume that $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is regularly varying with exponent $\beta \in [1, 2]$. Then, by [10, Equation (3.2)], for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon \right) \leq c_\varepsilon t$$

for some constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$. On the other hand, using the bounds in [6, Equation (3.8)], we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{\mu(t)} = 0$, which shows that Assumption 1.1 holds. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 holds for X , and we recover [6, Theorem 2.1(1)] for isotropic Lévy processes. In particular, when X_t is the symmetric α -stable process, as $t \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\mu(t) \sim \begin{cases} t^{1/\alpha} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} X_s^{(1)}] & \text{if } 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \\ \frac{1}{\pi} t \log(1/t) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, the asymptotic behavior of $\mu(t)$ above follows directly from the self-similarity of α -stable process, and for $\alpha = 1$, we refer to [2, Proposition 4.3(i)]. This recovers [9, Theorem 1.1] when $\alpha \geq 1$.

2.2. Gaussian processes. Consider a translation invariant Gaussian process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d with independent and identically distributed components and assume that X has càdlàg sample paths. For any $t > 0$, let

$$m(t) = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right], \quad \sigma^2(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \text{Var} \left[(X_s^{(1)})^2 \right].$$

It is known that $m(t) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$. By Borell-TIS inequality, see [3, Theorem 2.1.1], for any $x > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\left| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} - m(t) \right| > x \right) \leq 2e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2(t)},$$

and hence

$$\text{Var} \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right] \leq \int_0^\infty 4xe^{-x^2/2\sigma^2(t)} = 4\sigma^2(t).$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right)^2 \right] \leq m(t)^2 + 4\sigma^2(t)$. From [4, Equation (29)] it is known that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sigma^2(t)/m(t) = 0$. Applying Corollary 1.3, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *If Ω is a bounded open set with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary then,*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{m(t)} = \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

Remark 2.2. In [4, Theorem 4.4] under the condition that the rescaled process $Y_u^{(t)} = \mu_t^{-1} X_{tu}^{(1)}$ converges weakly to a Gaussian process $(Y_u)_{u \geq 0}$ as $t \downarrow 0$ in Skorokhod J_1 topology, it is proved that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{m(t)} = \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1} Y_u \right] \text{Per}(\Omega),$$

with $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1} Y_u] \leq 1$. Proposition 2.1 strengthens [4, Theorem 4.4].

2.3. Time changed Brownian motion. Consider the d -dimensional standard Brownian motion $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and a nonnegative, increasing continuous process $U = (U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which is independent of B and $U_0 = 0$. Define $X_t = B_{U_t}$. Then, $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is translation invariant and isotropic. Let us assume that for some $\alpha > 1/2$,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}[U_t^\alpha] < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[U_t^\alpha]}{\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{U_t}]} = 0.$$

Then we have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *For any bounded open set Ω with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{U_t}]} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Since U_t is increasing and continuous, for any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_{U_t}^{(1)} \right] = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq U_t} B_s^{(1)} \right] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq s} B_u^{(1)} \right] \mathbb{P}(U_t \in ds).$$

Recalling that for any $p > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s^{(1)} \right)^p \right] = \frac{2^{p/2} t^{p/2} \Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}$$

we get

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_{U_s}^{(1)} \right)^p \right] = \frac{2^{p/2} \Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbb{E}[U_t^{p/2}].$$

Therefore, (2.3) implies that the conditions of Corollary 1.3 hold. This concludes the proof. \square

As an example of U_t , let us consider an n -dimensional standard Brownian motion $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ independent of B and define the integrated squared radial process

$$R_t = \int_0^t |W_s|^2 ds.$$

Then, the one-dimensional marginal distributions of $X_t = B_{R_t}$ coincide with the Lévy stochastic area, see [11, Equation (3.5)]. Also, X is neither Markov nor Gaussian. For any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[R_t] = \int_0^t n s ds = \frac{nt^2}{2},$$

and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{R_t}] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[R_t]} < \infty$. On the other hand, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{R_t}{t}} \geq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |W_s| ds,$$

which shows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{R_t}] \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |W_s| ds \right] \geq ct$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Therefore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[R_t]/\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{R_t}] = 0$, and hence the conditions in (2.3) are satisfied by R_t , which implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let the processes B, R be defined as above and $X_t = B_{R_t}$. Then, for any bounded, open set Ω with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{R_t}]} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

3. PRELIMINARIES: PERIMETER AND REGULARITY OF THE BOUNDARY

A function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has bounded variation if

$$\|Df\| := \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \sum_{i=1}^d D_i \varphi_i : \varphi_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \sum_{i=1}^d \varphi_i^2 \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

In this case, $\|Df\|$ is called the variation of f , and the space of all functions with bounded variation is denoted by $\text{BV}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to have *finite perimeter* if $\mathbb{1}_\Omega \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and we write

$$\text{Per}(\Omega) = \|D\mathbb{1}_\Omega\|.$$

Next, for $\kappa > 0$, a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{1,\kappa}$ if f is C^1 and ∇f is uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent κ , that is, there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)| \leq L|x - y|^\kappa$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has $C^{1,\kappa}$ -boundary if for every $x \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a neighborhood V_x and a $C^{1,\kappa}$ function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Omega \cap V_x = \{(y_1, \dots, y_d) : y_d > \gamma(y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})\} \cap V_x.$$

If Ω has C^1 -boundary, from Stoke's theorem it follows that $\text{Per}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega)$, the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\partial\Omega$.

4. THE MINIMUM FUNCTIONAL

Consider a nonnegative measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^d . For any $u \geq 0$, let us define

$$E_u^f = \{x : f(x) > u\}.$$

We consider the following functional version of the spectral heat content: for any f as above, we define

$$Q_f^X(t) = \int_0^\infty Q_{E_u^f}^X(t) du = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{E_u^f}^X > t) dx du.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For any nonnegative measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^d one has*

$$Q_f^X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right] dx.$$

In particular, when $f = \mathbb{1}_\Omega$, $Q_f^X(t) = Q_\Omega^X(t)$.

Proof. We note that for any fixed $u \geq 0$,

$$\left\{ u < \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right\} \subseteq \{ \tau_{E_u^f} > t \} = \left\{ \inf_{s \geq 0} \{ s : f(X_s) \leq u \} > t \right\} \subseteq \left\{ u \leq \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right\}$$

Applying Fubini's theorem,

$$Q_f^X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \geq u \right) du dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right] dx.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

In what follows, we will study the asymptotic behavior of the quantity

$$\mathcal{R}_f^X(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx - Q_f^X(t).$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Trivially, when $f = \mathbb{1}_\Omega$, $\mathcal{R}_f^X(t) = \text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a translation invariant, isotropic stochastic process on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying Assumption 1.1. Then for any nonnegative $f \in C_c^{1,\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{R}_f^X(t)}{\mu(t)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(x)| dx,$$

where $\mu(t)$ is defined in (1.1). In particular, the above limit holds for all compactly supported smooth functions.

We require the following lemmas to prove the above theorem.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \wedge \varepsilon \right] = 1$$

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] = 1$$

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] = 1$$

$$(4.4) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \leq 2d$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we note that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \wedge \varepsilon \right] - \mu(t) \right| \leq \max\{\varepsilon, 1\} \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon \wedge 1 \right).$$

Therefore, (4.1) follows as $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon \wedge 1 \right) / \mu(t) = 0$. Next, for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \wedge \varepsilon \right] - \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon \right), \end{aligned}$$

and hence, (4.2) follows from (4.1) and Assumption 1.1. To prove (4.3), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] - \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \leq \varepsilon, \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| > \varepsilon \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \varepsilon \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| > \varepsilon \right) \leq 2d\varepsilon \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon / \sqrt{d} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the isotropy of X_t . Again by Assumption 1.1 and (4.2), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad &\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the symmetry of the random variables $X_t^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^{(i)}| \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^{(i)}| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] &\leq \int_0^\varepsilon \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^{(i)}| > u \right) du \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\varepsilon \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(i)} > u \right) = 2 \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(i)} \wedge \varepsilon \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|X_s| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |X_s^{(i)}|$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^{(i)}| \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^{(i)}| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right].$$

Therefore, (4.4) follows from (4.5) and (4.3). \square

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $f \in C^{1,\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)| \leq L|x - y|^\kappa$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $t > 0$. Then,*

$$\left| \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) - f(x) - \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \right| \leq \frac{L}{1 + \kappa} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa}$$

Proof. Since $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, using Taylor expansion around x , we obtain,

$$(4.6) \quad f(X_s) = f(x) + \langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle + \omega_f(x, X_s)$$

where $\omega_f(x, x') = \int_0^1 \langle (x' - x), (\nabla f(x + u(x' - x)) - \nabla f(x)) \rangle du$. Using the κ -Hölder continuity of ∇f , it follows that

$$(4.7) \quad |\omega_f(x, x')| \leq \frac{L}{1 + \kappa} |x - x'|^{1+\kappa}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let us write $S_f = \text{supp}(f)$. Then we observe that

$$f(x) - \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right] = 0$$

whenever $x \notin S_f$. As a result, using (4.6), $\mathcal{R}_f^X(t)$ reduces to

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{R}_f^X(t) = \int_{S_f} \mathbb{E}_x \left[- \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} (\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle + \omega_f(X_s, x)) \mathbb{1}\{X_s \in S_f, 0 \leq s \leq t\} \right] dx$$

Assuming that $S_f \subset B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$, (4.8) implies

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{R}_f^X(t) - \int_{S_f} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1}\{X_s \in S_f, 0 \leq s \leq t\} \right] dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{S_f} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\omega_f(X_s, x)| \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq 2R \right\} \right] dx \\ & \leq \frac{L}{1 + \kappa} \int_{S_f} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq 2R \right\} \right] dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the bound in (4.7). For $\varepsilon > 0$, the translation invariance and isotropy of X leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq 2R \right\} \right] \\ & \leq \varepsilon^\kappa \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \right] + 2^{1+\kappa} R^{1+\kappa} \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| > \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows with Assumption 1.1 and (4.4) that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{S_f} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq 2R \right\} \right] dx \leq 2d\varepsilon^\kappa \text{Vol}(S_f).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the above inequality combined with (4.9) yields

$$(4.10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left| \mathcal{R}_f^X(t) - \int_{S_f} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1}\{X_s \in S_f, 0 \leq s \leq t\} \right] dx \right| = 0.$$

Let us denote

$$\delta(x) = \inf\{|x - y| : y \in \partial S_f\}.$$

Then, any $x \in S_f$ and $t \geq 0$ we have

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq \delta(x) \right\} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1}\{X_s \in S_f, 0 \leq s \leq t\} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq 2R \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the isotropy and translation invariance of X , for any $r > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| \leq r \right\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \leq r \right\}} \right] |\nabla f(x)| =: \mu(t, r) |\nabla f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, (4.11) implies the following upper and lower bound:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(t, \delta(x)) |\nabla f(x)| \\ (4.12) \quad & \leq \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} -\langle X_s - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{X_s, x \in S_f, 0 \leq s \leq t\}} \right] \\ & \leq \mu(t, 2R) |\nabla f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.13) \quad & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{R}_f^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(t, 2R)}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(x)| dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (4.2) in Lemma 4.3. On the other hand, using (4.10) and (4.12) once again we get

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{R}_f^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_f} |\nabla f(x)| \frac{\mu(t, \delta(x))}{\mu(t)} dx.$$

Since by (4.2) in Lemma 4.3, $\frac{\mu(t, \delta(x))}{\mu(t)} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, applying Fatou's lemma we conclude that

$$(4.14) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{R}_f^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \geq \int_{S_f} |\nabla f(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(x)| dx.$$

Combining (4.13) and (4.14), we conclude the proof of the theorem. \square

5. PROOF OF THE LOWER BOUND

Proposition 5.1. *Let Ω be a bounded, open set in \mathbb{R}^d with finite perimeter. Then,*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_{\Omega}^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \geq \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

Remark 5.2. When Ω has $C^{1,1}$ -boundary, the above proposition can be proved using a half-space argument similar to the proof of [9, Lemma 3.1]. However, the argument in the aforementioned paper fails when $\partial\Omega$ is not regular.

The above result is proved using approximation argument using mollifiers. Fix a collection of mollifiers $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon > 0} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = 1$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define the convolution

$$f_{\varepsilon} = f * \rho_{\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{R}_{f_\varepsilon}^X(t) \leq \mathcal{R}_f^X(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $f_\varepsilon = f * \rho_\varepsilon$.

Proof. We note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Also, by translation invariance of X_t and Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f_\varepsilon(X_s) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f_\varepsilon(X_s + x) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(X_s + x - y) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s + x - y) \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_{x-y} \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right] \rho_\varepsilon(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f_\varepsilon(X_s) \right] dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} f(X_s) \right] dx.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Let us consider $f = \mathbb{1}_\Omega$. By Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 4.2, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{f_\varepsilon}^X(t)}{\mu(t)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f_\varepsilon(x)| dx.$$

As $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f_\varepsilon - \mathbb{1}_\Omega\|_{L^1} = 0$, by lower semi-continuity of the variation it follows that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f_\varepsilon(x)| \mu(dx) \geq \|D\mathbb{1}_\Omega\| = \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We prove a stronger version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1,\kappa}$ function with $0 < \kappa < 1$ and assume that*

- (i) $\Omega = \phi^{-1}(0, \infty)$ is pre-compact,
- (ii) $|\nabla \phi|$ is bounded away from 0 in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$.

Then for any translation invariant isotropic process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying Assumption 1.1 we have

$$\text{Per}(\Omega) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \leq \frac{\sup_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \phi|}{\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \phi|} \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

The theorem is proved in several intermediate steps. First, we note the following result about continuity of the perimeters of level sets.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function such that*

- (i) $\Omega = \phi^{-1}(0, \infty)$ is pre-compact,

(ii) $|\nabla\phi|$ is bounded away from 0 in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$.

For any $r > 0$, define $\Omega_r = \phi^{-1}(r, \infty)$. Then,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega_r) = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega)$$

Notation 6.1. For the rest of the article, we denote

$$\Omega'_r = \{x : 0 < \phi(x) < r\}, \quad \Omega_r = \{x : \phi(x) > r\}, \quad r > 0.$$

Then, $\partial\Omega_r = \phi^{-1}(r)$.

For a proof of the above lemma, we refer the reader to the Mathoverflow post [1] and answer by Mathoverflow user Kostya.I, where the lemma has been proved for smooth functions ϕ , but the same argument works for C^1 functions as the proof only relies on inverse function theorem. For the sake of completeness, we provide the proof below with some minor modifications.

Proof. We claim that every point $x \in \phi^{-1}(0)$ has a neighborhood V_x that is a diffeomorphic image $\varphi_x(Q_{r_x})$ of a box $Q_{r_x} = \{|y_i| \leq r_x, 1 \leq i \leq d\}$, such that $\phi(\varphi(y)) = |\nabla\phi(x)| \cdot y_1$, and such that $\varphi_x(0) = x$ and $D\varphi_x(0)$ is a rotation. Indeed, without loss of generality we may assume that $x = 0$, and, by rotating, that $\nabla\phi(0)/|\nabla\phi(0)| = e_1$, the first basis vector. Consider the function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ defined by $g(x) = (\phi(x)/|\nabla\phi(0)|, x_2, \dots, x_d)$. Then $Dg(0)$ is the identity, and φ_x is the inverse g^{-1} provided by the inverse function theorem. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, by choosing smaller r_x if necessary, we can ensure that the restriction of φ_x to the leaves $\{y_1 = r\}$ distorts the $(d-1)$ -area by no more than $1 + \varepsilon$, that is, in Q_{δ_x} ,

$$(6.1) \quad 1 - \varepsilon < \left(\det_{2 \leq i, j \leq d} (\partial_{y_i} \varphi \cdot \partial_{y_j} \varphi) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

By compactness, we can choose a finite cover $V_i = V_{x_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ of $\phi^{-1}(0)$. Then, for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough, we have $\overline{\Omega'_{\varepsilon_0}} := \{x : 0 \leq \phi(x) \leq \varepsilon_0\} \subset \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$. Let V_1, \dots, V_n be a finite cover of Ω'_{ε_0} , and we can pick a partition of unity f_0, \dots, f_n subordinate to that cover. We have for $0 < r < \varepsilon_0$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi^{-1}(r)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\phi^{-1}(r)} f_i(w) \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(dw).$$

Hence, by changing the variable and (6.1),

$$(6.2) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_i^r} f_i \circ \varphi_i dy_2 \dots dy_d \leq \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi^{-1}(r)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q_i^r} f_i \circ \varphi_i dy_2 \dots dy_d,$$

where $Q_i^r = Q_i \cap \{y_1 = r\}$. As $r \rightarrow 0$, the terms in the right-hand side tend to

$$(1 + \varepsilon) \int_{Q_i^0} f_i \circ \varphi_i dy_2 \dots dy_d \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \int_{\phi^{-1}(0)} f_i(w) \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(dw),$$

and similarly for the left hand side of (6.2). This means that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^2 \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi^{-1}(0)) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi^{-1}(r)),$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi^{-1}(r)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\phi^{-1}(0)),$$

and since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and $\phi^{-1}(r) = \partial\Omega_r$ for any $r \geq 0$, the proof the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 6.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) dx = 0$$

Proof. Invoking Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \geq \phi(x) + \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla \phi(x) \rangle - \frac{L}{1 + \kappa} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla \phi(x) \rangle + \frac{L}{1 + \kappa} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} > \phi(x) \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| > \frac{\phi(x)}{2M} \right) + \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x| > \left(\frac{\phi(x)}{L'} \right)^{1/(1+\kappa)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \|\nabla \phi\|_\infty$ and $L' = L/(1 + \kappa)$. As a result, for any $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, Assumption 1.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) dx \\ & \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \left(\mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \right) + \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{L'} \right)^{1/(1+\kappa)} \right) \right) \text{Vol}(\Omega) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.4. *For any $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) dx \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{M_\varepsilon}{\eta m_\varepsilon} + 2 \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{(1 - \eta)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}}{m_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_\varepsilon = \sup_{x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon} |\nabla \phi(x)|$ and $m_\varepsilon = \inf_{x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon} |\nabla \phi(x)|$.

Proof. Fix any $\eta \in (0, 1)$. For any $x \in \Omega'_\varepsilon$, using Lemma 4.4 again we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) \\ (6.3) \quad & \leq \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla \phi(x) \rangle > \eta \phi(x) \right) + \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} > (1 - \eta) \phi(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using translation invariance and isotropy of X , and the co-area formula, integral of the first term on Ω'_ε reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla \phi(x) \rangle > \eta \phi(x) \right) dx \\ (6.4) \quad & = \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\partial \Omega_v} \mathbb{P}_0 \left(|\nabla \phi(x)| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \eta v \right) \frac{\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(dx)}{|\nabla \phi(x)|} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Then, (6.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla \phi(x) \rangle > \eta \phi(x) \right) dx \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{1}{m_\varepsilon} \int_0^\varepsilon \mathbb{P}_0 \left(M_\varepsilon \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \eta v \right) dv \\
& = \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{M_\varepsilon}{\eta m_\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \wedge \frac{\eta \varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) in Lemma 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.5) \quad & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \langle X_s - x, \nabla \phi(x) \rangle > \eta \phi(x) \right) dx \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{M_\varepsilon}{\eta m_\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the integral of the second term in (6.3), we again use co-area formula as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} > (1-\eta)\phi(x) \right) dx \\
& = \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^{1+\kappa} > (1-\eta)\phi(x) \right) dx \\
& = \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{\partial \Omega_v} \frac{\mathbb{P}_0(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^{1+\kappa} > (1-\eta)v)}{|\nabla \phi(x)|} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(dx) dv \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{1}{(1-\eta)m_\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^{1+\kappa} \wedge (1-\eta)\varepsilon \right] \\
(6.6) \quad & \leq 2 \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{(1-\eta)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}}{m_\varepsilon} \mu(t) \varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^{1+\kappa} \wedge (1-\eta)\varepsilon \right] = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \wedge (1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{1+\kappa}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1+\kappa}} \right)^{\kappa+1} \right] \\
& \leq ((1-\eta)\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{\kappa+1}} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \wedge (1-\eta)^{\frac{1}{1+\kappa}} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{1+\kappa}} \right] \leq 2\mu(t)((1-\eta)\varepsilon)^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since m_ε is bounded away from 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, (6.6) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.7) \quad & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s - x|^{1+\kappa} > (1-\eta)\phi(x) \right) dx \\
& \leq 2 \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial \Omega_v) \frac{(1-\eta)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}}{m_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof of the lemma follows after combining (6.5) and (6.7). \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. By Proposition 5.1, it suffices to prove that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \leq \frac{\sup_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla \phi|}{\inf_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla \phi|} \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.8) \quad & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} = \int_\Omega \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_\Omega^X \leq t) dx \\
 & \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) dx \\
 & + \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_{\Omega'_\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_x \left(\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} \phi(X_s) \leq 0 \right) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.3, the first term on the right hand side of (6.8) is zero. Recalling Lemma 6.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \\
 & \leq 2 \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega_v) \frac{M_\varepsilon}{\eta m_\varepsilon} + 2 \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega_v) \frac{(1-\eta)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}}{m_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $|\nabla\phi|$ is bounded in Ω'_ε for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, using Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega_v) \frac{M_\varepsilon}{\eta m_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\sup_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|}{\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega), \\
 & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq v \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega_v) \frac{(1-\eta)^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}}}{m_\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+1}} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, letting $\eta \rightarrow 1$, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega) - Q_\Omega^X(t)}{\mu(t)} \leq \frac{\sup_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|}{\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\partial\Omega) = \frac{\sup_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|}{\inf_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla\phi|} \text{Per}(\Omega).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Concluding proof of Theorem 1.1. The final step of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to construct a function ϕ satisfying conditions of Theorem 6.1 for domains with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded open set with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary. Then there exists a bounded $C^{1,1}$ function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- (1) $\Omega = \{x : \phi(x) > 0\}$,
- (2) $|\nabla\phi(x)| = 1$ in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Consider the signed distance function

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} d(x, \partial\Omega) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ -d(x, \partial\Omega) & \text{if } x \in \Omega^c. \end{cases}$$

Since Ω has $C^{1,1}$ -boundary, there exists $r > 0$ such that δ is $C^{1,1}$ in $\Omega'_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\delta(x)| < r\}$ and $|\nabla\delta| = 1$ in Ω'_r . Define a $C^{1,1}$ function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(x) = x$ when $|x| < r/2$ and equals r when $|x| > r$ and consider $\phi = \varphi \circ \delta$. Clearly, ϕ is $C^{1,1}$ and $\phi(x) > 0$ if and only if $x \in \Omega$. Also, for any $x \in \Omega'_{r/2}$, $|\nabla\phi(x)| = |\varphi'(\delta(x))\nabla\delta(x)| = 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 6.6. The $C^{1,1}$ -regularity assumption on $\partial\Omega$ cannot be weakened. From [8, Corollary 6] it is known that the differentiability of δ in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ implies that $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,1}$.

We note that ϕ in Lemma 6.5 satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.1 with $\kappa = 1$, and $\Omega = \phi^{-1}(0, \infty)$. Also, $|\nabla\phi| = 1$ on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 6.1. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, using Markov inequality we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > \varepsilon \right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right)^\alpha \right]}{\varepsilon^\alpha}.$$

Also, from the given conditions and noting that $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \geq 0$ if $X_0 = 0$, we have

$$0 \leq 1 - \frac{\mu(t)}{m(t)} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} > 1 \right\}} \right]}{m(t)} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_0 \left[\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s^{(1)} \right)^\alpha \right]}{m(t)},$$

and the right hand side goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\mu(t)/m(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and Assumption 1.1 is satisfied. The proof is concluded by applying Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] *Continuity of hausdorff measure on level sets*, MathOverflow, URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/398254> (version: 2021-08-01).
- [2] Luis Acuña Valverde, *On the one dimensional spectral heat content for stable processes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **441** (2016), no. 1, 11–24. MR 3488045
- [3] Robert J. Adler and Jonathan E. Taylor, *Random fields and geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007. MR 2319516
- [4] Kei Kobayashi and Hyunchul Park, *A unified approach to the small-time behavior of the spectral heat content for isotropic Lévy processes*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **171** (2024), Paper No. 104331, 11. MR 4712387
- [5] ———, *Heat content for Gaussian processes: small-time asymptotic analysis*, Bernoulli **31** (2025), no. 2, 1606–1632. MR 4863089
- [6] Jaehun Lee and Hyunchul Park, *Dichotomy in the small-time asymptotics of spectral heat content for lévy processes*, (2025).
- [7] Marta Lewicka and Yuval Peres, *Which domains have two-sided supporting unit spheres at every boundary point?*, Expo. Math. **38** (2020), no. 4, 548–558. MR 4177956
- [8] Nikolai Nikolov and Pascal J. Thomas, *Boundary regularity for the distance functions, and the eikonal equation*, J. Geom. Anal. **35** (2025), no. 8, Paper No. 230, 8. MR 4920776
- [9] Hyunchul Park and Renming Song, *Spectral heat content for α -stable processes in $C^{1,1}$ open sets*, Electron. J. Probab. **27** (2022), Paper No. 22, 19. MR 4379201
- [10] William E. Pruitt, *The growth of random walks and Lévy processes*, Ann. Probab. **9** (1981), no. 6, 948–956. MR 632968
- [11] Z. Shi, *Liminf behaviours of the windings and Lévy’s stochastic areas of planar Brownian motion*, **1583** (1994), 122–137. MR 1329108
- [12] M. van den Berg and E. B. Davies, *Heat flow out of regions in \mathbf{R}^m* , Math. Z. **202** (1989), no. 4, 463–482. MR 1022816
- [13] M. van den Berg and Peter B. Gilkey, *Heat content asymptotics of a Riemannian manifold with boundary*, J. Funct. Anal. **120** (1994), no. 1, 48–71. MR 1262245
- [14] M. van den Berg and J.-F. Le Gall, *Mean curvature and the heat equation*, Math. Z. **215** (1994), no. 3, 437–464. MR 1262526

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY, BINGHAMTON, NY 13902, U.S.A.

Email address: rsarkar2@binghamton.edu