

ON ESSENTIAL SIMPLICIAL MAPS $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$

MIKHAIL BLUDOV, SERGEI VAD. FOMIN, OLEG R. MUSIN

ABSTRACT. A fiber-uniform bound on the complexity of an essential simplicial map $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ is proven, and the tightness of the bound is investigated. It follows that the triangulation of the Hopf map constructed by Madahar and Sarkaria in [MS00] is minimal in its homotopy class in terms of the number of 3-simplices in the triangulation of S^3 .

1. INTRODUCTION

It goes back to S. Eilenberg that a continuous map $f: S^{4n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n}$ with non-zero Hopf invariant $H(f) \neq 0$ has complicated fibers. More concretely, in [Eil40, Theorem II] the following theorem is proven^{1,2}:

Theorem 1.1. Let $f: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be a simplicial map, where $|K_1| \cong M$ is a triangulation of an oriented closed $(2m+1)$ -manifold and $|K_2| \cong S^{m+1}$ ($m > 0$) is a triangulation of the $(m+1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{m+1} . The following properties are equivalent:

- (1) $H(f) = 0$;
- (2) there exists a map g homotopic to f such that for some $p \in S^{m+1}$ the preimage $g^{-1}(p)$ is an $(m-1)$ -dimensional polyhedron.

In [MS00], K. V. Madahar and K. S. Sarkaria constructed a triangulation of the Hopf fibration. It is a simplicial map $\eta: S_{12}^3 \rightarrow S_4^2$ between a 12-vertex triangulation of the 3-sphere and the 4-vertex triangulation of the 2-sphere. The map was later³ [Mad02] observed by Madahar to be minimal in terms of the number of vertices of the 3-sphere among the simplicial maps homotopic to the Hopf fibration. This is a consequence of the Eilenberg's theorem for $m = 1$, as it is easy to deduce from it that the preimage of any vertex of the triangulation S^2 must contain at least 3 vertices of the triangulation of S^3 .

Definition 1.2. Let K_1, K_2 be pure simplicial complexes of dimensions d_1 and d_2 respectively. Let $\text{Max}(K_i)$ be sets of maximal faces of the complexes. For $s \in \text{Max}(K_2)$ and a simplicial map $f: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$, define

$$\mu(f, s) := |\{\sigma \in \text{Max}(K_1) | f(\sigma) = s\}|.$$

Equivalently, if you take an internal point p of $|s|$, $\mu(f, s)$ is the number of maximal simplices of K intersecting $f^{-1}(p)$.

Date: December 10, 2025.

¹Beware that further in this paper the author provides a fallacious assertion, which contradicts Hopf invariant one. See [May12] for context. On the other hand, the correctness of this particular statement in the case $m = 1$ can easily be checked. It also follows from the main theorem of this paper.

²A reincarnation of this statement is known as the exactness of a term in the EHP sequence, or as the interpretation of Hopf invariant as the obstruction to desuspension of a homotopy class in $\pi_{4n-1}(S^{2n})$. See e.g. [Put].

³In the original paper [MS00], a fallacious argument for minimality is provided.

Originally, μ was defined in [Mus25] in the case of simplicial maps between triangulated spheres with the goal of generalizing the Sperner's lemma.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.3. Let K_1, K_2 be simplicial complexes such that $|K_1| \cong M$ for an oriented closed 3-manifold M and $|K_2| \cong S^2$. Let s be a triangle of K_2 and $f: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be a simplicial map. If $H(f) \neq 0$, then $\mu(f, s) \geq 9$.

For each $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ a simplicial map $f: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ is constructed, such that $H(f) = d$ and $\mu(f, s) = 9$.⁴

The main theorem can be interpreted as a statement on the complexity of the preimage $f^{-1}(p)$ of an internal point p of a triangle $|s|$ in S^2 , which is analogous to Eilenberg's theorem.

It turns out that the map $\eta: S_{12}^3 \rightarrow S_4^2$ constructed by Madahar and Sarkaria has $\mu(\eta, s) = 9$ for all $s \in \text{Max}(S_4^2)$, and S_{12}^3 consists of $9 \cdot 4 = 36$ tetrahedra.

Corollary 1.3. The map $\eta: S_{12}^3 \rightarrow S_4^2$ is minimal among simplicial maps homotopic to the Hopf fibration not only in terms of the number of vertices in the triangulation of S^3 but also in terms of the number of tetrahedra.

The examples for which the bound is sharp are slight modifications of the maps constructed by Madahar in [Mad02].

It is worth noting that the minimality of Madahar and Sarkaria's map η in terms of the number of tetrahedra *in the class of (semi)-simplicial triangulations of circle bundles* was already observed in [Mn 20], [PT24].

2. MAIN THEOREM

2.1. The lower bound. Consider simplicial complexes K_1 and K_2 such that $|K_1| \cong M$ for some oriented closed 3-dimensional manifold M and $|K_2| \cong S^2$. Let $s = ABC$ be a 2-simplex in $|K_2|$. Let $f: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be a simplicial map between complexes K_1 and K_2 . Fix $p \in \text{int}(s)$. The 1-cycle $f^{-1}(p)$ is a union of oriented circles C_1, \dots, C_k . By a **segment** $c_i(\sigma)$ of C_i we mean its intersection with a 3-simplex $|\sigma|$, which is a segment in $|\sigma|$ (if it is not empty).

Let $S_i = \{\sigma \in \text{Max}(K_1) \mid |\sigma| \cap C_i \neq \emptyset\}$, $V_i = \{v \in \text{Vert}(K_1) \mid \exists \sigma \in S_i: v \in \sigma\}$. Evidently, all S_i 's are disjoint. Orientation on C_i induces a cyclic ordering on S_i . For $\sigma \in S_i$, denote the next simplex after σ with respect to that ordering by $\text{Next}(\sigma)$ and denote the previous simplex by $\text{Prev}(\sigma)$.

Only one edge of any 3-simplex σ in K has both its vertices sent by f to the same vertex of K_2 . We will call such an edge the **pivot edge** of σ . If $\sigma \in S_i$, then its pivot edge is parallel to the segment of C_i that lies in σ , and the pivot edge inherits the orientation from the orientation of the segment. If the pivot edge $[v, w]$ of σ is oriented from v to w , we call the vertices the **initial** and the **terminal** vertices of σ , respectively. Therefore, we can define the function $\text{in}_i: S_i \rightarrow V_i$ (resp., $\text{ter}_i: S_i \rightarrow V_i$) which maps σ to the initial (resp., terminal) vertex of σ . The following identity evidently holds for $\sigma \in S_i$:

$$(*) \quad \sigma = (\sigma \cap \text{Next}(\sigma)) \sqcup \text{in}_i(\sigma) = (\sigma \cap \text{Prev}(\sigma)) \sqcup \text{ter}_i(\sigma).$$

⁴This claim may look counterintuitive, because it follows that the same 9-tetrahedral triangulated solid torus may be embedded in S^3 (or \mathbb{R}^3), such that two "horizontal" circles in the solid torus have an arbitrary linking number. The explanation is that the triangulation of the torus does not have to be rectilinear in any possible kind of sense, so there is no upper bound on the linking number in terms of the number of tetrahedra in the triangulation of the solid torus. One can imagine a three component $(3k, 3)$ -torus link being a part of the 1-skeleton of a 9-tetrahedral triangulation of the solid torus, which realizes the linking number k .

For $\sigma \in S_i$, let $a_i(\sigma)$ and $b_i(\sigma)$ be the intersection points of $f^{-1}(p)$ with the triangles $\sigma \cap \text{Prev}(\sigma)$ and $\sigma \cap \text{Next}(\sigma)$ respectively. Then $c_i(\sigma) = [a_i(\sigma), b_i(\sigma)]$.

Let $p \in \text{int}(s)$ be the barycenter of s and $q \in \text{int}(s)$ be a point which does not lie on the medians of s . We are ready to formulate and prove the preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. If $v \in V_i$ does not lie in the image of in_i , then it is the common vertex of all simplices of S_i . Moreover, there exists a 2-chain D_i such that $D_i \cap f^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$, $\partial D_i = C_i$.

Proof. As $v \in V_i$, $v \in \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in S_i$. As $\forall \tau \in S_i: v \neq \text{in}_i(\tau)$, by $(*)$ we have $\forall \tau \in S_i: (v \in \tau \implies v \in \text{Next}(\tau))$. Therefore $\forall \sigma \in S_i: v \in V_i$.

If $v = \text{ter}_i(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in S_i$, then by $(*)$ we have $v \notin \text{Prev}(\sigma)$, which contradicts the previous paragraph. As v does not lie in the images of in_i and ter_i , it does not lie in the pivot edge of any σ . Therefore, v is the only vertex of V_i sent by f to $f(v)$.

For every $\sigma \in S_i$ consider the triangle $d_i(\sigma) = \text{conv}(c_i(\sigma), v)$. Orient it so that the orientation on $c_i(\sigma)$ coincides with the orientation induced from $d_i(\sigma)$. Consider the 2-chain $D_i = \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} d_i(\sigma)$. As support of D_i is a subset of $f^{-1}([p, f(v)])$, we have that $D_i \cap f^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$. We also have

$$(**) \quad \partial D_i = \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} \partial d_i(\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} [v, a_i(\sigma)] + c_i(\sigma) + [b_i(\sigma), v].$$

As $[v, a_i(\sigma)] = [v, b_i(\text{Prev}(\sigma))] = -[b_i(\text{Prev}(\sigma)), v]$ and $[b_i(\sigma), v] = [a_i(\text{Next}(\sigma)), v] = -[v, a_i(\text{Next}(\sigma))]$, the first and the third terms of the expression under the sum sign in $(**)$ cancel out when summed over $\sigma \in S_i$. Then we have $\partial D_i = \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} c_i(\sigma) = C_i$. \square

The proof of the next lemma is similar to the proof of the previous one, but we nevertheless describe it in detail for clarity.

Lemma 2.2. Let α be a vertex of s , i.e. $\alpha = A, B, C$. If no more than two vertices of V_i are sent by f to α , then there exists a 2-chain D_i such that $D_i \cap f^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$, $\partial D_i = C_i$.

Proof. Any $\sigma \in S_i$ is sent by f to s surjectively, so for some $v \in V_i$ $f(v) = \alpha$. WLOG the conditions of the previous lemma do not hold, so $v = \text{in}_i(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in S_i$. Then for $u = \text{ter}_i(\sigma) \neq v$ we also have $f(u) = \alpha$. Thus we have exactly two vertices v and u in V_i which are sent by f to α . Subdivide S_i into four subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} S_i &= U \sqcup V \sqcup R_u \sqcup R_v, \\ U &= \{\sigma \in S_i \mid u \in \sigma, v \notin \sigma\}, \\ V &= \{\sigma \in S_i \mid v \in \sigma, u \notin \sigma\}, \\ R_u &= \{\sigma \in S_i \mid \{v, u\} \subset \sigma, \text{in}_i(\sigma) = u\}, \\ R_v &= \{\sigma \in S_i \mid \{v, u\} \subset \sigma, \text{in}_i(\sigma) = v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \in U &\implies \text{Next}(\sigma) \in U \sqcup R_u, \\ \sigma \in V &\implies \text{Next}(\sigma) \in V \sqcup R_v, \\ \sigma \in R_u &\implies \text{Next}(\sigma) \in V, \\ \sigma \in R_v &\implies \text{Next}(\sigma) \in U. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $|R_u| = |R_v|$. For each $\sigma \in S_i$ consider $d_i(\sigma) = \text{conv}(c_i(\sigma), f^{-1}(\alpha))$. If $\sigma \in U \sqcup V$ then $d_i(\sigma)$ is a triangle, otherwise it is a trapezoid, which we arbitrarily subdivide into

two triangles. We orient $d_i(\sigma)$ so that the orientation on $c_i(\sigma)$ coincides with the orientation induced from $d_i(\sigma)$.

Take $D_i = \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} d_i(\sigma)$. As support of D_i is a subset of $f^{-1}([p, \alpha])$ ⁵, we have that $D_i \cap f^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\ast \ast \ast) \\
 \partial D_i &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} \partial d_i(\sigma) \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in U} ([u, a_i(\sigma)] + c_i(\sigma) + [b_i(\sigma), u]) + \sum_{\sigma \in V} ([v, a_i(\sigma)] + c_i(\sigma) + [b_i(\sigma), v]) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in R_u} ([u, a_i(\sigma)] + c_i(\sigma) + [b_i(\sigma), v] + [v, u]) + \sum_{\sigma \in R_v} ([v, a_i(\sigma)] + c_i(\sigma) + [b_i(\sigma), u] + [u, v]).
 \end{aligned}$$

As $[w, a_i(\sigma)] = [w, b_i(\text{Prev}(\sigma))] = -[b_i(\text{Prev}(\sigma)), w]$ and $[b_i(\sigma), w] = [a_i(\text{Next}(\sigma)), w] = -[w, a_i(\text{Next}(\sigma))]$ ($w \in \{u, v\}$), the first and the third terms of the expressions under the sum signs in $(\ast \ast \ast)$ cancel out. As $|R_u| = |R_v|$, the fourth terms of the expressions in the last two sums also cancel out. So $\partial D_i = \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} c_i(\sigma) = C_i$. \square

Let us formulate and prove the main theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let K_1, K_2 be simplicial complexes such that $|K_1| \cong M$ for an oriented closed 3-manifold M and $|K_2| \cong S^2$. Let s be a triangle of K_2 and $f: K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ be a simplicial map. If $H(f) \neq 0$, then $\mu(f, s) \geq 9$.

Proof. Assume that all C_i 's satisfy the conditions of either lemma 2.1 or lemma 2.2. Then for any i there is a 2-chain D_i such that $\partial D_i = C_i$, $D_i \cap f^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$. Consider $D = \sum_i D_i$, then $H(f) = \text{lk}(f^{-1}(p), f^{-1}(q)) = \gamma(D, f^{-1}(q)) = 0$.

So for some C_i neither lemmas apply. For such i we have $|S_i| \geq |\text{Im}(\text{in}_i)| = |V_i| \geq 3 \cdot 3 = 9$. \square

2.2. Exactness of the bound. Recall that *Seifert fiber spaces* are a particular type of (not necessarily locally trivial) fiber spaces with the total space a 3-manifold and the base a 2-manifold, such that the local triviality condition is violated in a finite number of *exceptional fibers*. S^3 can be realized as a Seifert fiber space over S^2 in many different ways. For definitions, context and the full classification of Seifert fiber space structures on S^3 , see [Sei33], [BE80], [FM97].

In particular, represent S^3 as a union of two solid tori M and N glued by their common boundary $\partial M = \partial N = T$ so that their meridians intersect on the boundary torus T by a single point. Foliate T by $(n, 1)$ -torus knots ($n \geq 1$). This fibering can be naturally continued into the interiors of the solid tori, so that one of the tori (say, M) is fibered locally trivially, the other has (no more than) one exceptional fiber, and the fiber space is S^2 . The second solid torus is fibered locally trivially if and only if $k = 1$, and in this case the projection map is, in fact, the Hopf fibration. All Seifert fiber space structures on S^3 with (no more than) one exceptional fiber arise this way.

As we already noted, an example of a simplicial map $\eta: S_9^3 \rightarrow S_4^2$ with $H(f) = 1$ and $\mu(f, s) = 9$ was already constructed in [MS00], and the map is a triangulation of the Hopf fibration.

⁵The support of D_i is $f^{-1}([p, \alpha])$ intersected with the union of simplices $|\sigma|$ of S_i . One could call it the *regular preimage*.

The maps $\xi = \xi_n: S_{6n}^3 \rightarrow S_4^2 = ABCD$, $n \geq 2$ constructed by Madahar in [Mad02] are the triangulations of the projection maps of the Seifert fiberings considered above. In all the maps ξ_n , the single exceptional fiber is the preimage of the vertex D , and the solid torus M , which is fibered locally trivially, is the preimage of the triangle ABC . To construct the maps $\zeta_n: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ with $H(\zeta_n) = n$, $\mu(\zeta_n, s) = 9$, we will subdivide S_{6n}^3 and further define the simplicial map on the newly introduced vertices.

The solid torus M of S_{6n}^3 consists of $2n - 1$ triangular prisms cyclically glued by their base triangles. We only change the triangulation of M by introducing new vertices in the interior of S_{6n}^3 , so that the triangulation on the boundary $T = \partial M$ does not change. We introduce $2n - 4$ nested triangulated solid tori $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_{2n-4}$, so that M_i consists of $2n - 1 - i$ triangular prisms glued together cyclically⁶. Each vertex v of M_i lies on the segment between a vertex u of M and the barycenter of the only base triangle containing u . Define $\zeta_n(v) = \xi_n(u)$. One can also triangulate the spaces between the consecutive nested tori without introducing any more vertices.

It is easy to see that $\mu(\zeta_n, ABC) = 9$ and $[\zeta_n] = [\xi_n] \in \pi_3(S^2)$, so $H(\zeta_n) = H(\xi_n) = n$.

Acknowledgements. The work of Sergei Vad. Fomin on the lower bound theorem was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement 075-15-2025-344 dated 29/04/2025 for Saint Petersburg Leonhard Euler International Mathematical Institute at PDMI RAS). The work of Mikhail Bludov on the upper bound example is supported by the "Priority 2030" strategic academic leadership program. The authors thank Timur Shamazov for fruitful discussions. The authors thank the Summer Research Programme at MIPT – LIPS-25 for the opportunity to work on this and other problems.

REFERENCES

- [BE80] J.S. Birman and J. Eisner. *Seifert and Threlfall, A Textbook of Topology*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, 1980. URL: <https://books.google.ru/books?id=rsb8zjPOXHoC>.
- [Eil40] S. Eilenberg. On Continuous Mappings of Manifolds into Spheres. *Annals of Mathematics*, 41(3):662–673, 1940. URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1968739>, doi:10.2307/1968739.
- [FM97] A. T. Fomenko and S. V. Matveev. *Algorithmic and Computer Methods for Three-Manifolds*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1997. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-017-0699-5>, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-0699-5.
- [Mad02] K.V. Madahar. Simplicial maps from the 3-sphere to the 2-sphere. *Adv. Geom*, January 2002. URL: https://www.academia.edu/566039/Simplicial_maps_from_the_3_sphere_to_the_2_sphere.
- [May12] J. Peter May. An Appreciation of the Work of Samuel Eilenberg (1913-1998). *Wiadomości Matematyczne*, 48(2):185–197, June 2012. URL: <https://wydawnictwa.ptm.org.pl/index.php/wiadomosci-matematyczne/article/viewArticle/329>, doi:10.14708/wm.v48i2.329.
- [Mnë20] N. Mnëv. Minimal Triangulations of Circle Bundles, Circular Permutations, and the Binary Chern Cocycle. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 247(5):696–710, June 2020. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10958-020-04832-y>, doi:10.1007/s10958-020-04832-y.
- [MS00] K.V. Madahar and K.S. Sarkaria. A Minimal Triangulation of the Hopf Map and its Application. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 82(1):105–114, October 2000. doi:10.1023/A:1005102800486.
- [Mus25] O.R. Musin. Homotopy groups and quantitative Sperner-type lemma, March 2025. arXiv:2007.08715 [math]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.08715>, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2007.08715.
- [PT24] G. Panina and M. Turevskii. Minimal triangulations of circle bundles, August 2024. arXiv:2311.04214 [math]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.04214>, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2311.04214.
- [Put] A. Putman. Homotopy groups of spheres and low-dimensional topology. Unpublished notes. URL: <https://academicweb.nd.edu/~andyp/notes/>.

⁶We cannot introduce yet another torus in a similar pattern because it would be composed of two prisms with the same sets of vertices, which would contradict the condition that S^3 is triangulated as a simplicial complex.

- [Sei33] H. Seifert. Topologie Dreidimensionaler Gefaseter Räume. *Acta Mathematica*, 60(1):147–238, March 1933. doi:[10.1007/BF02398271](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02398271).

MIKHAIL V. BLUDOV: MOSCOW INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY, 9 INSTITUTSKIY PER., DOLGOPRUDNY, MOSCOW REGION, 141701, RUSSIA

Email address: bludov.mv@phystech.edu

SERGEI VAD. FOMIN: SAINT PETERSBURG LEONHARD EULER INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE AT PDMI RAS, 10 PESOCHNAYA NAB., ST. PETERSBURG, 197022, RUSSIA

Email address: sergei.vadimovich.fomin@gmail.com

O. R. MUSIN: UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS RIO GRANDE VALLEY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ONE WEST UNIVERSITY BOULEVARD, BROWNSVILLE, TX, 78520, USA

Email address: oleg.musin@utrgv.edu