

MIXED HESSIAN INEQUALITIES ON HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS AND APPLICATIONS

HAOYUAN SUN

ABSTRACT. Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of complex dimension n . In this paper we establish a Kołodziej-Nguyen type weak convergence theorem of complex Hessian operators. Utilizing this result, we prove a general mixed Hessian inequality with respect to a background Hermitian metric, covering both local and global case. As an application, we prove the existence of bounded solutions of complex Hessian equations where the right-hand side measure is well dominated by capacities.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	4
2. preliminaries	4
3. Weak convergence of complex hessian operators	7
4. Hessian equations and Mixed type inequalities	10
5. Solving Hessian equations for measures dominated by capacity	16
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

Since Yau's landmark resolution of the Calabi conjecture, the complex Monge-Ampère equation has become a central topic at the intersection of partial differential equations and complex geometry. A vast body of profound results has since been established; see, for instance, [Yau78, BT82, Kol98, TW10, EGZ09, BEGZ10, Ngu16, GL23, LWZ24] and references therein.

Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension n , and fix an integer $1 \leq m < n$. We are interested in the complex Hessian equation, a natural generalization of the complex Monge-Ampère equation, which takes the form:

$$(\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = f dV_X.$$

This equation has been a subject of extensive research over the past two decades. A primary motivation for its study stems from the well-developed theory of its real counterpart, see for

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32W20, 32U05, 32U40, 53C55.

Key words and phrases. weak continuity of complex Hessian operator, convergence in capacity, mixed type inequality, complex Hessian equation.

example [CNS85, CW01, TW97, TW99, TW02, Wang09]. Furthermore, various Hessian-type nonlinear equations, such as the J-equation and the deformed Hermitian Yang-Mills equation, have yielded profound applications in differential geometry and physics, such as [CJY20, DP21, Song20, Chen21, Chen22].

In [Blocki05], Blocki observed that the methods of pluripotential theory developed by Bedford and Taylor [BT82] can be applied to define the m -Hessian measure of bounded m -subharmonic functions. This was later generalized to compact Kähler manifolds by [Lu13], [Lu15] and subsequently to Hermitian manifolds by [GN18], [KN25b]. Smooth solutions of Complex Hessian equations on compact Kähler manifolds were obtained by [DK17] and on Hermitian manifolds by [Zhang15] and [Szé18]. Correspondingly, theory of weak solutions has also been successfully developed by [Lu15, KN16, LeV23, Sun24, GL25, CX25, KN25b, Fang25b, PSWZ25], to name a few. In these proofs, the mixed Hessian inequalities appeared to be particularly useful in controlling the twist constants that appear on the right-hand side of the equation. The uniqueness of bounded solutions also relies heavily on this inequality, as noted in [KN16, Proposition 3.16].

Mixed Hessian inequalities with respect to a background Kähler metric have been established in [DL15] using a clever regularization process. In their proofs, they solved a special class of complex Hessian equations (see Theorem 4.3 below) using the variational method developed by [BBGZ13]. However, this approach cannot be directly extended to Hermitian manifolds due to the nonclosedness of the Hermitian form. In this article, we overcome this difficulty by proving a criterion for weak continuity of Complex Hessian measures analogous to the Monge-Ampère case in [KN25a], as follows.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_m(\omega)$ be an (ω, m) -positive form on X . The complex Hessian measure of a bounded potential $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ is defined by

$$H_m(u) := (\gamma + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m}.$$

For any Borel subset E of X , the m -capacity of E is defined as

$$\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(E) := \sup \left\{ \int_E H_m(u) \mid u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X), -1 \leq u \leq 0 \right\}.$$

A sequence of Borel functions f_j is said to converge to f in capacity on X if for each fixed $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(\{|f_j - f| > \delta\}) = 0.$$

In the first section, we will prove the following weak convergence Theorem of Hessian operators analogous to [KN25a, Theorem 1.1]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{u_j\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions. Assume that $H_m(u_j) \leq C_1 H_m(\varphi_j) + C_2 H_m(\psi_j)$ for some uniformly bounded sequence $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ and $\psi_j \rightarrow \psi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ in capacity. If $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(X)$, then a subsequence of u_j converges in capacity to u . In particular, a subsequence of $H_m(u_j)$ converges weakly to $H_m(u)$.*

Theorem 1.1 can be used to solve a particular class of complex Hessian equations (see Theorem 4.3), which is crucial in the proof of mixed Hessian inequalities. In Section 4, we adapt the approach of [DL15] to establish the mixed Hessian inequalities with respect to a general Hermitian form. As in [DL15], we first work on compact complex manifolds, the local version is derived from the global version via an embedding and extension technique. A key feature of our strategy is a localization argument. Instead of the torus embedding used in the Kähler case ([DL15]), we regard the local Euclidean ball as an open submanifold of the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^n . The main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (B, ω) be a small ball in \mathbb{C}^n equipped with a Hermitian metric ω and let μ be a positive Radon measure on B , which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Hessian measure $H_m(\varphi) := (dd^c\varphi)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ for some bounded (ω, m) -subharmonic function φ (see Theorem 2.2 below). Let u_1, \dots, u_m be bounded (ω, m) -subharmonic functions such that $(dd^c u_j)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq f_j \mu$ for some $0 \leq f_j \in L^1(\mu)$. Then*

$$dd^c u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq (f_1 \dots f_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \mu.$$

In the last section, we show that the mixed type inequality combined with the weak convergence theorem can be used to derive bounded solutions of complex Hessian equations with right-hand-side measures dominated by capacity, a result parallel to [KN21]:

Theorem 1.3. *Let μ be a positive Radon measure on X such that $\mu \leq A \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$ for some $A > 0$ and $1 < \tau < \frac{n}{n-m}$. Moreover, assume that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $H_m(\varphi) = (\gamma + dd^c\varphi)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ for some $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Then, there exist a unique constant $c > 0$ and a function $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that*

$$H_m(u) = c\mu.$$

To prove Theorem 1.3, we start from the resolution of the special Hessian equation (Theorem 4.3) and proceeding approximations time by times to get the final solution.

Remark 1.4. *In the special case where the metric ω is locally conformal Kähler and μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on X , continuous solutions were obtained by [LeV23]. Our approach primarily employs a monotone approximation and relies heavily on the explicit Cegrell-type decomposition. It is therefore desirable to remove the assumption $\mu \ll H_m(\varphi)$ in Theorem 1.3. Moreover, it is possible to obtain the continuity of the solution in Theorem 1.3 by establishing a stability result, as illustrated in the Monge-Ampère case by [KN21].*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly recall some basic definitions and properties of (ω, m) -subharmonic functions, including the comparison principle, envelope construction and the domination principle. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1, using corresponding techniques of Kołodziej in the local setting. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2 and we finally give the resolution of Theorem 1.3 in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Zhiwei Wang (his advisor) and Professor Ngoc Cuong Nguyen for their helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first recall some basic facts about (ω, m) -subharmonic functions. Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension n , we also use the notation (Ω, ω) to denote a domain equipped with a Hermitian form ω in \mathbb{C}^n . Fix an integer m such that $1 \leq m \leq n$. Throughout this paper, we denote by $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ to be the usual exterior derivative and $d^c := \frac{1}{2i}(\partial - \bar{\partial})$ to be a real operator such that $dd^c = i\partial\bar{\partial}$.

Definition 2.1. A smooth real $(1, 1)$ -form α is called (ω, m) -positive (m -positive for short if there is no confusion with ω) in Ω if the following inequalities hold pointwise in the sense of smooth forms:

$$\alpha^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} > 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

We will use the notation $\Gamma_m(\omega)$ to denote the open convex cone of all (ω, m) -positive $(1, 1)$ -forms and $\overline{\Gamma_m(\omega)}$ its closure. At any fixed point of X , we can diagonalize ω with respect to α and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of α with respect to ω . Then, the above condition reads

$$S_{k,\omega}(\alpha) > 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

where $S_{k,\omega}(\alpha) := \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_k}$ is the k -th symmetric polynomial of the eigenvalues of α with respect to ω .

A function $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ is called (ω, m) -subharmonic, denoted $u \in \text{SH}_m(\Omega, \omega)$, if $dd^c u$ lies in $\overline{\Gamma_m(\omega)}$ at all points of X . It is called strictly (ω, m) -subharmonic if $dd^c u$ lies in $\Gamma_m(\omega)$ pointwise on X .

Definition 2.2. A function $L_{loc}^1(\Omega, \omega^n) \ni u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is called (ω, m) -subharmonic if it is strongly upper semi-continuous and such that $dd^c u$ is an (ω, m) -positive current, i.e., for arbitrary m -positive $(1, 1)$ -forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$ on Ω , the following inequality holds in the weak sense of currents:

$$dd^c u \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq 0.$$

Here we say u is strongly upper semi-continuous if $\forall x \in \Omega$, $u(x) = \text{ess} \limsup_{\Omega \ni y \rightarrow x} u(y) := \lim_{r \searrow 0} \text{ess} \sup_{B_r(x)} u$.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and let $\gamma \in \Gamma_m(\omega)$ be an (ω, m) -positive form. A function u on X is called (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic, denoted $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$, if it can be locally written as a sum of a smooth function and an (ω, m) -subharmonic function, and globally for any $(m-1)$ -tuple of forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$ lie in $\Gamma_m(\omega)$,

$$(\gamma + dd^c u) \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq 0 \quad \text{on } X.$$

For other equivalent definitions, see [PSWZ25] and [GN18, section 9]. We next review the definition of Hessian measures with respect a background Hermitian form from [KN25b]:

Definition 2.4. Let ω be a Hermitian metric on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and u_1, \dots, u_m be (ω, m) -subharmonic functions on Ω . We can inductively define

$$dd^c u_{p+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_1 := dd^c(u_{p+1} dd^c u_p \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_1)$$

as closed real currents of order 0 on Ω . Then

$$H_p(u_1, \dots, u_p) := dd^c u_p \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_1 \wedge \omega^{n-m}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq m.$$

is a well-defined closed positive current (positive Radon measure when $p = m$) on Ω . When $u_1 = \dots = u_m = u$, we write

$$H_p(u) := (dd^c u)^p \wedge \omega^{n-m}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq m.$$

In the sequel of this paper, unless otherwise stated, we will always use the letter γ to denote a smooth strictly (ω, m) -positive $(1, 1)$ -form on X . For each $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$, choose a coordinate ball $B \subset X$ and $\phi \in \text{PSH}(B) \cap C^\infty(X)$ such that $dd^c \phi \geq \gamma$, we can define on B the associated Hessian measure of u by

$$\begin{aligned} H_m(u) &:= (\gamma + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = [dd^c(u + \phi) + (\gamma - dd^c \phi)]^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m C_m^k [dd^c(u + \phi)]^k \wedge (\gamma - dd^c \phi)^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^m C_m^k H_k(u + \phi) \wedge (\gamma - dd^c \phi)^{m-k}, \end{aligned}$$

This is a well-defined positive Radon measure on B and is clearly independent of the choice of ϕ . Now, proceeding a partition of unity, we can glue these local measures globally on X .

As illustrated in the main theorem of [Fang25a], (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions have nice integrability properties:

Proposition 2.5. For each $1 < p < \frac{n}{n-m}$, we have $\text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \subset L^p(X, \omega^n)$.

We record the following basic compactness result:

Proposition 2.6. [KN16, Lemma 3.3] [PSWZ25, Proposition 2.15] There is a uniform constant $C = C(X, \gamma, \omega)$, such that for any $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$, $\sup_X \varphi = 0$, we have

$$\int_X |\varphi| \omega^n \leq C.$$

In particular, the family $\{\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega), \sup_X \varphi = 0\}$ is relatively compact with respect to the $L^1(\omega^n)$ -topology in $\text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$.

We record the domination principles proved in [PSWZ25], which will be useful later:

Proposition 2.7. [PSWZ25, Proposition 5.2] Fix a constant $0 \leq c < 1$. Assume $u, v \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ satisfies $\mathbb{1}_{\{u < v\}} H_m(u) \leq c \mathbb{1}_{\{u < v\}} H_m(v)$, then $u \geq v$.

Corollary 2.8. [PSWZ25, Corollary 5.3] *Notations as Theorem 2.7, if*

$$e^{-\lambda u} H_m(u) \leq e^{-\lambda v} H_m(v),$$

then $u \geq v$.

Corollary 2.9. [PSWZ25, Corollary 5.4] *Notations as Theorem 2.7, if*

$$H_m(u) \leq c H_m(v)$$

for some constant $c > 0$, then $c \geq 1$.

Definition 2.10. *Let E be a Borel subset of X . We define*

$$\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(E) := \sup \left\{ \int_E H_m(u) | u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X), -1 \leq u \leq 0 \right\},$$

Note that the set $\{u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X), -1 \leq u \leq 0\}$ is non-empty. A Borel set $E \subset X$ is said to be m -polar if it is locally contained in the polar set of an (ω, m) - subharmonic function. A measure μ on X is called non- m -polar if it does not charge any m -polar sets. We refer the reader to the recent paper [KN25c] for some characterizations of m -polar sets.

Theorem 2.11. [KN25b, Theorem 4.9][KN25c, Proposition 5.5] *Every $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ is quasi-continuous with respect to $\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(\cdot)$, i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $U \subset X$ such that $\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U) < \varepsilon$ and φ is continuous on $X - U$.*

Definition 2.12. *A sequence of Borel functions f_j is said to converge to f in capacity on X if for each fixed $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(\{|f_j - f| > \delta\}) = 0.$$

The following convergence lemma established in [PSWZ25] will be crucial in the sequel:

Theorem 2.13. [PSWZ25, Theorem 3.13] *Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open set. Suppose $\{f_j\}_j$ are uniformly bounded quasi-continuous functions which converge in capacity to another quasi-continuous function f on U . Let $\{u_1^j\}_j, \{u_2^j\}_j, \dots, \{u_m^j\}_j$ be uniformly bounded (ω, m) - subharmonic functions on Ω , converging in capacity to bounded (ω, m) - subharmonic functions u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m respectively. Then we have the following weak convergence of measures:*

$$f_j dd^c u_1^j \wedge dd^c u_2^j \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_m^j \wedge \omega^{n-m} \rightarrow f dd^c u_1 \wedge dd^c u_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dd^c u_m \wedge \omega^{n-m}.$$

Next, we recall the theory of Perron envelopes for (γ, ω, m) - subharmonic functions developed in [PSWZ25]:

Definition 2.14. *Let $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function, we set*

$$P_{\gamma, m}(h) := \sup\{u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega), u \leq h \text{ quasi-everywhere}\}^*,$$

here "quasi-everywhere" means outside a m -polar set.

The most important properties of envelopes are the following two:

Theorem 2.15. [PSWZ25, Theorem 2.7] *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_m(\omega)$ be an (ω, m) -positive form. If h is quasi-continuous bounded function, then the complex Hessian measure $H_m(P_{\gamma,m}(h)) = (\gamma + dd^c P_{\gamma,m}(h))^m \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ is concentrated on the contact set $\mathcal{C}_m = \{P_{\gamma,m}(h) = h\}$.*

Corollary 2.16. [PSWZ25, Corollary 2.2] *Let γ be as in Theorem 2.15, u, v be bounded (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions and let $w := P_{\gamma,m}(u, v) = P_{\gamma,m}(\min(u, v))$ be the rooftop envelope of u, v . Then*

$$H_m(w) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\{w=u\}} H_m(u) + \mathbf{1}_{\{w=v\}} H_m(v).$$

For further properties of capacities and envelopes, we refer to [KN25c] and [PSWZ25].

3. WEAK CONVERGENCE OF COMPLEX HESSIAN OPERATORS

In this section, fix a strictly (ω, m) -positive form $\gamma \in \Gamma_m(\omega)$. Motivated by [KN25a], the main result in this section is as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{u_j\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions. Assume that $H_m(u_j) \leq C_1 H_m(\varphi_j) + C_2 H_m(\psi_j)$ for some uniformly bounded sequence $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ and $\psi_j \rightarrow \psi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ in capacity. If $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(X)$, then a subsequence of u_j converges in capacity to u . In particular, a subsequence of $H_m(u_j)$ converges weakly to $H_m(u)$.*

We first establish a couple of lemmas analogous to those in [KN25a], the local versions are essentially contained in [KN25b]. In the sequel, let

$$P_{\gamma,0} := \left\{ v \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X) \mid \sup_X v = 0 \right\}$$

be a relatively compact subset in $\text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$. Following the proof in [KN25a], we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ be a non- m -polar Radon measure on X . Suppose $\{u_j\} \subset P_{\gamma,0}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence converging almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure to $u \in P_{\gamma,0}$. Then there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_j\}$, such that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |u_j - u| d\mu = 0.$$

PROOF. The proof is a trivial adaptation of [KN25b, Lemma 8.3] and [KN25b, Corollary 8.4]. \square

The next lemma is a global version of [KN25b, Lemma 8.5], the arguments there are readily applicable, we give a sketch of proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{u_j\}$ be as in Theorem 3.1, and let $\{w_j\} \subset P_{\gamma,0}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence that converges in capacity to $w \in P_{\gamma,0}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |u - u_j| H_m(w_j) = 0.$$

PROOF. Observe that

$$|u_j - u| = (\max\{u_j, u\} - u_j) + (\max\{u_j, u\} - u).$$

Let $\phi_j := \max\{u_j, u\}$ and $v_j := (\sup_{k \geq j} \phi_k)^*$. We have $\phi_j \geq u$ and $\{v_j\}_j$ decreases to u pointwise. By [KN25b, Proposition 4.3] for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\text{Cap}_{\omega, m}(\{|\phi_j - u| > \delta\}) = \text{Cap}_{\omega, m}(\{\phi_j > u + \delta\}) \leq \text{Cap}_{\omega, m}(\{v_j > u + \delta\}) \rightarrow 0,$$

hence $\phi_j \rightarrow u$ in capacity and it follows from Theorem 2.13 that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (\phi_j - u) H_m(w_j) = 0.$$

We next turn to the estimate of the term $\int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_j)$. For $j > k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_j) - \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_k) \\ &= \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) dd^c(w_j - w_k) \wedge T \\ &= \int_X (w_j - w_k) dd^c[(\phi_j - u_j) \wedge T] \end{aligned}$$

where $T = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (\gamma + dd^c w_j)^s \wedge (\gamma + dd^c w_k)^{m-1-s} \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ is a positive current. Set $h_j := \phi_j - u_j$, we have

$$dd^c(h_j T) = dd^c h_j \wedge T + dh_j \wedge d^c T - d^c h_j \wedge dT + h_j dd^c T.$$

We will deal with the four terms above respectively. For the first term, we can write

$$\int_X (w_j - w_k) dd^c h_j \wedge T \leq \int_X |w_j - w_k| (\gamma_{w_j} + \gamma_{w_k}) \wedge T \rightarrow 0$$

as $j, k \rightarrow \infty$. Where the convergence follows from Theorem 2.13 and the assumption that $w_j \rightarrow w$ in capacity.

Since the second term and the third term are mutually conjugate, we will only deal with the second term. We may rewrite the second term as $dh_j \wedge d^c T = dh_j \wedge d^c \gamma \wedge T' + dh_j \wedge d^c \omega \wedge T''$, where T' is a $(n-2, n-2)$ -positive current of type $\gamma_{w_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{w_{m-2}} \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ and T'' looks like $\gamma_{w_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{w_{m-1}} \wedge \omega^{n-m-1}$, which is a well defined current of order zero thanks to [KN25b]. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality [KN25b, Lemma 2.3] and [KN25b, Corollary 2.5], we deduce

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X (w_j - w_k) dh_j \wedge d^c T \\
&= \int_X (w_j - w_k) dh_j \wedge d^c \omega \wedge T'' + \int_X (w_j - w_k) dh_j \wedge d^c \gamma \wedge T' \\
&\leq C \int_X |w_j - w_k| dh_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega \wedge T' \int_X |w_j - w_k| \omega^2 \wedge T' \\
&\quad + C \int_X |w_j - w_k| dh_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{w_j} \right)^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \int_X |w_j - w_k| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{w_j} \right)^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that [KN25b, Lemma 2.3] and [KN25b, Corollary 2.5] were stated for smooth forms, the general case follows easily by an approximation argument using [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.4] (note that here we need to use [KN25b, Proposition 3.20] for convergence of real currents of order zero). We remark that here the constant C in [KN25b, Lemma 2.3] and [KN25b, Corollary 2.5] depends only on n, m, ω but not γ (and of course γ_{w_j}), thus the limiting process does not cause any problems. For example, we know that the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_X (w_j - w_k) dh_j \wedge d^c \omega \wedge T'' \\
&\leq C \int_X |w_j - w_k| dh_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{w_j} \right)^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \int_X |w_j - w_k| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{w_j} \right)^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m+1},
\end{aligned}$$

is valid for smooth data (h_j, w_j) . Now we assume that each w_j is smooth, since h_j can be written as the difference of two (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions, by approximating and using [KN25b, Proposition 3.20] together with Theorem 2.13, we get the desired inequality. Finally, when w_j is merely bounded, we may as well proceed an approximation to conclude it.

Since h_j are uniformly bounded and $w_j \rightarrow w$ in capacity, it is easy to see from Theorem 2.13 that the second term converges to 0.

Thanks to [KN16, Corollary 2.4], the last term can be handled similarly as the previous terms, as described in [KN25b, Lemma 8.5]. Overall, we have proved that $|\int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_j) - \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_k)| \rightarrow 0$ as $j, k \rightarrow \infty$. Now for each $\epsilon > 0$, fix k_0 such that $|\int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_j) - \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_k)| < \epsilon$ for any $j, k \geq k_0$ and finally we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_j) &\leq \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_{k_0}) \\
&\quad + \left| \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_j) - \int_X (\phi_j - u_j) H_m(w_{k_0}) \right| \\
&\leq \int_X |u - u_j| H_m(w_{k_0}) + \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.2, we conclude the proof. \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 3.1, it remains to show the following criterion of convergence in capacity, which simultaneously generalize [KN25a, Lemma 2.4] and [KN25b, Proposition 2.5]:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{u_j\}_j$ be a uniformly bounded sequence in $\text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that $u_j \rightarrow u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ in $L^1(X)$. Then, a subsequence of $\{u_j\}_j$ converges to u in capacity if and only if*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X |u - u_j| H_m(u_j) = 0.$$

PROOF. We will use the technique of envelope theory developed by [PSWZ25], following the idea of [ALS24, Theorem 3.1]. If u_j converges to u in capacity, then Theorem 2.13 directly implies that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X |u - u_j| H_m(u_j) = 0.$$

We now prove the reverse direction. Up to extracting a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\int_X |u - u_j| H_m(u_j) < 2^{-j}.$$

Set $v_{j,k} := P_{\gamma,m}(\min(u_j, \dots, u_k))$ for each $k \geq j$. It is clear that $v_{j,k}$ decreases to $v_j := P_{\gamma,m}(\inf_{k \geq j} u_k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each j and we can further assume $v_j \nearrow v \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ almost everywhere. It follows easily from Theorem 2.16 that

$$\int_X |v_{j,k} - u| H_m(v_{j,k}) \leq \sum_{l=j}^k \int_X |u_l - u| H_m(u_l) < 2^{-j+1}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and using the decreasing convergence theorem [KN25b, Lemma 5.1] we obtain

$$\int_X |v_j - u| H_m(v_j) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X |v_{j,k} - u| H_m(v_{j,k}) \leq \sum_{l=j}^{+\infty} \int_X |u_l - u| H_m(u_l) < 2^{-j+1}$$

Then, the increasing convergence theorem [KN25b, Lemma 5.4] yields that

$$\int_X |v - u| H_m(v) = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X |v_j - u| H_m(v_j) = 0.$$

The domination principle (with $c = 0$) Theorem 2.7 tells us that $v \geq u$ and we also have $v \leq u$ by the definition of v , hence $v = u$. Since $v_j \nearrow u$ and $v_j \leq u_j$ by definition, it follows from [KN25b, Corollary 4.11] that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in capacity. \square

4. HESSIAN EQUATIONS AND MIXED TYPE INEQUALITIES

As applications of the weak convergence Theorem 3.1 we solve some types of degenerate complex Hessian equations and using them to establish the mixed Hessian inequalities on compact Hermitian manifolds.

We first give an alternative proof of bounded solutions in [KN16, Theorem 3.14], [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.1].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $0 \leq f \in L^p(X)$ with $p > \frac{n}{m}$ and $\int_X f \omega^n > 0$. Then there exists a unique constant $c > 0$ and a function $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that*

$$H_m(u) = (\gamma + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = cf \omega^n.$$

PROOF. Choose a sequence of smooth positive functions $0 < f_j \in C^\infty(X)$ converges in $L^p(\omega^n)$ to f . By [Sze18, Proposition 21], there exist constants $c_j > 0$ and $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap C^\infty(X)$ such that

$$(1) \quad H_m(u_j) = c_j f_j \omega^n, \quad \sup_X u_j = 0.$$

As illustrated in [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.1] and [KN16, Lemma 3.13], Garding's inequality yields an uniform upper bound C for c_j , while the subsolution theorem [PSWZ25, Lemma 11.2] and the domination principle Theorem 2.9 gives the lower bound of $c_j > 0$. We can thus assume that $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_j = c > 0$. The L^∞ -estimate [PSWZ25, Theorem 7.7] implies that u_j is uniformly bounded. We can furthermore write

$$\int_X |u_j - u| H_m(u_j) = \int_X |u - u_j| c_j f_j \omega^n \leq C \|u_j - u\|_{L^q(X)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we note that u_j is uniformly bounded and hence L^1 convergence implies L^q convergence, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Theorem 3.4 yields that a subsequence of u_j converges in capacity to u and hence the weak convergence $H_m(u_j) \rightarrow H_m(u)$. \square

Almost the same argument as above we can derive bounded solutions of [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.3] and, in particular, [KN16, Lemma 3.19]:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 \leq f \in L^p(X)$ with $p > \frac{n}{m}$ and $\int_X f \omega^n > 0$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \omega, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that*

$$H_m(u) = (\omega + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} = e^u f \omega^n.$$

PROOF. The argument is exactly the same as Theorem 4.1 except that we have to use the arguments of the proof in [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.3] to derive the uniform bound of u_j . \square

We move on to solve a special type of Hessian equations, which will be crucial in the sequel:

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mu = f H_m(\varphi) + g H_m(\psi)$ for two nonnegative functions $0 \leq f, g \in L^\infty(X)$ and two bounded potentials $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Moreover, assume that there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that $f \geq \delta > 0$. Then, we can find a bounded solution $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ solving the following equation:*

$$H_m(u) = e^u \mu.$$

PROOF. It follows from [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.4] that we can find decreasing sequences of smooth (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions $\varphi_j \searrow \varphi$ and $\psi_j \searrow \psi$. Since $H_m(\varphi_j)$ and $H_m(\psi_j)$

are smooth volume forms, we can invoke Theorem 4.2 to find $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ solving

$$H_m(u_j) = e^{u_j}(fH_m(\varphi_j) + gH_m(\psi_j))$$

Since φ_j, ψ_j, f, g are all uniformly bounded, we can write

$$e^{-u_j}H_m(u_j) \leq Ce^{-\frac{\varphi_j + \psi_j}{2}}H_m\left(\frac{\varphi_j + \psi_j}{2}\right) = e^{-(\frac{\varphi_j + \psi_j}{2} - \log C)}H_m\left(\frac{\varphi_j + \psi_j}{2} - \log C\right).$$

The domination principle Theorem 2.8 yields that $u_j \geq \frac{\varphi_j + \psi_j}{2} - \log C$. Since we have assumed that $f \geq \delta > 0$, we can also write

$$e^{-u_j}H_m(u_j) \geq \delta H_m(\varphi_j) \geq C\delta e^{-\varphi_j}H_m(\varphi_j) = e^{-(\varphi_j - \log C\delta)}H_m(\varphi_j - \log C\delta).$$

This implies that $u_j \leq \varphi_j - \log C\delta$ and hence u_j is uniformly bounded. Assume $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(X)$, then Theorem 3.1 (applied for $\gamma = \gamma = \omega$) gives that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in capacity and hence we conclude by Theorem 2.13. \square

We are now in a position to prove the mixed type inequalities on compact Hermitian manifolds, we will mainly follow the ideas in [DL15].

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u, v, \varphi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and $0 \leq f, g, h_1, h_2 \in L^\infty(X)$ be non-negative bounded functions on X such that*

$$H_m(u) = fH_m(\varphi) + h_1H_m(\psi_1), \quad H_m(v) = gH_m(\varphi) + h_2H_m(\psi_2).$$

Then for each $1 \leq k \leq m-1$,

$$\gamma_u^k \wedge \gamma_v^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq f^{\frac{k}{m}} g^{\frac{m-k}{m}} H_m(\varphi).$$

PROOF. Step 1. We first assume that $\min(f, g) \geq \delta > 0$ and that φ, ψ_1, ψ_2 are smooth strictly (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic. Let f_j, g_j, h_1^j, h_2^j be smooth positive approximations of f, g, h_1, h_2 in $L^p(X)$ respectively for some $p < \frac{n}{m}$. Applying [Szé18, Proposition 3.21] we can find $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap C^\infty(X)$ with $\sup_X u_j = \sup_X u$ and $c_j > 0$ solving

$$H_m(u_j) = c_j f_j H_m(\varphi) + c_j h_1^j H_m(\psi_1).$$

A standard argument using Garding's inequality and the uniform estimates for Hessian equations (see [PSWZ25, Theorem 9.1]) gives that $c_j \rightarrow c > 0$ and that $\{u_j\}_j$ is uniformly bounded. Since $\sup_X u_j = \sup_X u$, we can extract a subsequence of u_j such that $u_j \rightarrow \tilde{u} \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ in $L^1(X)$. Theorem 3.1 yields that $u_j \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in capacity and hence

$$H_m(\tilde{u}) = cfH_m(\varphi) + ch_1H_m(\psi_1).$$

Combined with the equation for u , we must have $c = 1$ by the domination principle Theorem 2.9 and hence $\tilde{u} = u$ by the uniqueness of the solution (see [PSWZ25, Theorem 15.1]), note that we can indeed apply that uniqueness result since we have assumed that $f \geq \delta > 0$. Do the same thing for v we will obtain a smooth sequence of functions v_j converges in capacity to v satisfying

$$H_m(v_j) = b_j f_j H_m(\varphi) + b_j h_2^j H_m(\psi_2).$$

with $b_j > 0$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} b_j = 1$. Finally, Garding's inequality implies that

$$\gamma_{u_j}^k \wedge \gamma_{v_j}^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq \min(c_j, b_j) f_j^{\frac{k}{m}} g_j^{\frac{m-k}{m}} H_m(\varphi).$$

Letting $j \rightarrow +\infty$ we get the desired inequality.

Step 2. Assume that $\min(f, g) \geq \delta > 0$ and that f, g are quasi-continuous (with respect to $\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}$) on X . As in Theorem 4.3, we choose sequences of smooth and strictly (γ, ω, m) -subharmonic functions $\varphi_j, \psi_1^j, \psi_2^j$ decreasing to φ, ψ_1, ψ_2 respectively. We may then use Theorem 4.2 to find $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ solving

$$H_m(u_j) = e^{u_j - u} [f H_m(\varphi_j) + h_1 H_m(\psi_1^j)].$$

Since φ_j is uniformly bounded and $\delta \leq f \leq C$, exactly the same argument as in Theorem 4.3 shows that u_j is uniformly bounded and hence converges in capacity to a function $u_\infty \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ by Theorem 3.1. Theorem 2.13 yields that

$$e^{-u_\infty} H_m(u_\infty) = e^{-u} H_m(u).$$

Hence $u_\infty = u$ by the domination principle Theorem 2.8. Do the same thing for v we obtain a sequence v_j converges in capacity to v . Applying Step 1 for u_j, v_j we get

$$\gamma_{u_j}^k \wedge \gamma_{v_j}^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq e^{\frac{k(u_j - u)}{m}} e^{\frac{(m-k)(v_j - v)}{m}} f^{\frac{k}{m}} g^{\frac{m-k}{m}} H_m(\varphi_j).$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude the proof of this step by using Theorem 2.13.

Step 3. Assume only $\min(f, g) \geq \delta > 0$. Choosing two continuous uniformly bounded sequences f_j, g_j such that $\min(f_j, g_j) \geq \delta$ and that f_j, g_j converge in $L^1(X, H_m(\varphi))$ to f, g respectively. Thanks to Theorem 4.3, we can find u_j solving

$$H_m(u_j) = e^{u_j - u} [f_j H_m(\varphi) + h_1 H_m(\psi_1)].$$

The same argument as in Step 2 gives that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in capacity and the result in this step follows easily from Step 2.

Step 4. In general, set $f_j := \max(f, \frac{1}{j})$ and $g_j := \max(g, \frac{1}{j})$ and use Theorem 4.3 to solve

$$H_m(u_j) = e^{u_j - u} [f_j H_m(\varphi) + h_1 H_m(\psi_1)].$$

Then

$$e^{-u_j} H_m(u_j) \geq e^{-u} [f H_m(\varphi) + h_1 H_m(\psi_1)] = e^{-u} H_m(u)$$

and hence $u_j \leq u$ by Theorem 2.8. On the other hand, the same argument as in Theorem 4.3 shows that $u_j \geq \frac{\varphi + \psi_1}{2} - C$ and hence u_j is uniformly bounded. We do the same thing for v and applying Theorem 3.1 to get $u_j \rightarrow u$ and $v_j \rightarrow v$ in capacity. The result follows from Step 3 and a limiting process easily. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let μ be a positive Radon measure on X such that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Hessian measure $H_m(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Let $u, v \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ be such that

$$H_m(u) \geq f\mu, \quad H_m(v) \geq g\mu,$$

where $0 \leq f, g \in L^1(\mu)$. Then, for each $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, we have

$$\gamma_u^k \wedge \gamma_v^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq f^{\frac{k}{m}} g^{\frac{m-k}{m}} \mu.$$

PROOF. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem we can write $\mu = hH_m(\varphi)$ for some $h \in L^1(H_m(\varphi))$. We can thus assume without loss of generality that $\mu = H_m(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. We first treat the case where f, g are bounded. Fix $\delta > 0$, for each $j \geq 1$, we use Theorem 4.3 to solve

$$H_m(u_j) = e^{j(u_j - u)} [\delta H_m(u) + (1 - \delta)f\mu].$$

The domination principle Theorem 2.8 easily gives that $u \leq u_j \leq u - j^{-1} \log \delta$ and hence u_j converges uniformly to u on X . Do the same thing for v to get a sequence v_j converges uniformly to v . Applying Theorem 4.4 we can write

$$\gamma_{u_j}^k \wedge \gamma_{v_j}^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq e^{\frac{kj(u_j - u)}{m}} e^{\frac{(m-k)j(v_j - v)}{m}} (1 - \delta) f^{\frac{k}{m}} g^{\frac{m-k}{m}} \mu \geq (1 - \delta) f^{\frac{k}{m}} g^{\frac{m-k}{m}} \mu,$$

where the second inequality is because $u_j \geq u$ and $v_j \geq v$. Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\gamma_u^k \wedge \gamma_v^{m-k} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq (1 - \delta) f^{\frac{k}{m}} g^{\frac{m-k}{m}} \mu.$$

We can then let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ to conclude the proof.

Finally, when $f, g \in L^1(H_m(\varphi))$, setting $f_j := \min(f, j)$ and $g_j := \min(g, j)$. Applying the last step and letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ we get the desired result. \square

As in [DL15], we illustrate that the local version of mixed Hessian inequalities can be derived from its global version. We first give an extension lemma of local plurisubharmonic functions, which is probably well-known:

Lemma 4.6. *Let B be an open subset in X which is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Given a function $\rho \in \text{PSH}(B) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(B \setminus \{0\})$, there is a large constant $A > 0$, a smaller ball $B_1 \subset\subset B$ and a function $\hat{\rho} \in \text{PSH}(X, A\omega) \cap L_{loc}^\infty(X \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\hat{\rho}|_{B_1} = \rho|_{B_1}$.*

PROOF. By shrinking B and adding a constant, we can assume without loss of generality that $\rho < -c < 0$ in B , where $c > 0$ is a positive constant. Choose a smooth plurisubharmonic exhaustion function τ of the ball B (which is defined in a neighborhood of \bar{B}), that is, $B = \{\tau < 0\}$. We first choose a ball $B_1 \subset\subset B$ and a large constant $C > 0$ such that $u \geq C\tau$ on ∂B_1 and then a ball B_2 such that $B_1 \subset\subset B_2 \subset\subset B$ and $\rho \leq C\tau$ on ∂B_2 . This is possible since we have assumed that $u < -c < 0$ in B . We then let

$$\hat{\rho}(z) = \begin{cases} \rho(z), & z \in B_1 \\ \max(u(z), C\tau(z)), & z \in B_2 \setminus B_1 \\ C\tau(z), & z \in B \setminus B_2 \end{cases}.$$

We have thus get a plurisubharmonic function $\hat{\rho} \in \text{PSH}(B)$ such that $\hat{\rho}|_{B_1} = \rho$ and $\hat{\rho}$ is smooth in $B \setminus B_2$.

Next, we choose a cutoff function $\chi \in C^\infty(X)$ such that $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{B_2}$ and $\text{Supp } \chi \subset B$. It follows easily that there is a large constant $A > 0$ such that $\chi \cdot u$ satisfies our conditions. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let (B, ω) be a small ball in \mathbb{C}^n equipped with a Hermitian metric ω and let χ_1, \dots, χ_m be smooth $(1, 1)$ -forms in B . Let u_j be bounded (χ_j, ω, m) -subharmonic functions such that $(\chi_j + dd^c u_j)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq f_j \mu$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Where $0 \leq f_j \in L^1(\mu)$ and μ is a positive Radon measure absolutely continuous with respect to the Hessian measure $(dd^c \varphi)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m}$ for some $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(B, \omega) \cap L^\infty(B)$. Then*

$$(\chi_1 + dd^c u_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\chi_m + dd^c u_m) \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq (f_1 \dots f_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \mu.$$

PROOF. We may assume without loss of generality that $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \dots = \chi_m = 0$, the proof of the general case proceeds exactly the same. View B as an open submanifold in the projective space $M := \mathbb{CP}^n$ and let g be the standard Fubini-Study metric on M . We also choose a smooth plurisubharmonic defining function ρ of B and write $\omega_1 := dd^c \rho$, which is a Kähler form near \overline{B} . Since the problem is local, we may work on a smaller ball $B_1 \subset\subset B$. Select a cutoff function $\chi \in C^\infty(X)$ such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi|_{\overline{B_1}} \equiv 1$ and $\text{supp } \chi \subset\subset B$. Set $\omega'_1 := \chi \omega_1 + (1 - \chi)g$, which is clearly a Hermitian metric on M such that $\omega'_1|_{B_1} = \omega_1$. Similarly, one can construct a Hermitian metric ω' on M such that $\omega'|_{B_1} = \omega$.

Using Theorem 4.6, one can easily paste a log function with ρ to construct a quasi-plurisubharmonic function $v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega'_1) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(X - \{0\}) \subset \text{SH}_m(X, \omega'_1, \omega')$ with an isolated singularity at 0. Here $0 \in B_1 \subset B$ is the origin. Up to adding a constant, we may assume that v is larger than $u_j - \rho, \varphi - \rho$ for each j near ∂B_1 . Set now

$$u'_j(z) = \begin{cases} \max(u_j(z) - \rho(z), v(z)), & z \in B_1 \\ v(z), & z \in X \setminus B_1 \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that $u'_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \omega'_1, \omega') \cap L^\infty(X)$ and u'_j coincides with $u_j - \rho$ in B_1 (up to shrinking B_1 slightly). Similarly, we also glue $\varphi - \rho$ with v to get $\varphi' \in \text{SH}_m(X, \omega'_1, \omega') \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that $\varphi - \rho = \varphi'$ in B_1 . Shrinking B_1 if necessary and extending μ and f'_j by zero, we get a Radon measure μ' on X , which is absolutely continuous with respect to $H_m(\varphi') = (\omega'_1 + dd^c \varphi')^m \wedge (\omega')^{n-m}$ and satisfying $\mu'|_{B_1} = \mu$. The global mixed type inequality Theorem 4.5 yields that

$$(\omega'_1 + dd^c u'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\omega'_1 + dd^c u'_m) \wedge (\omega')^{n-m} \geq (f'_1 \dots f'_m)^{\frac{1}{m}} \mu'$$

on X . Note that $\omega'_1 = \omega_1 = dd^c \rho$ and $u'_j - \rho = u_j$ on B_1 , we conclude the proof by restricting the above inequality to B_1 . \square

5. SOLVING HESSIAN EQUATIONS FOR MEASURES DOMINATED BY CAPACITY

As an application of mixed type inequalities, we solve complex Hessian equations with the right-hand side well dominated by capacities and moreover absolutely continuous with respect to some Hessian measures, establishing a result analogous to [KN21].

Theorem 5.1. *Let μ be a positive Radon measure on X such that $\mu \leq A \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$ for some $A > 0$ and $1 < \tau < \frac{n}{n-m}$, assume moreover that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $H_m(\varphi)$ for some $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Then, there is a constant $c > 0$ and a function $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ such that*

$$H_m(u) = c\mu.$$

Before going to prove Theorem 5.1, we first make some preparations. The following version of Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequalities established in [KN25c] will be needed (in [KN25c, Theorem 4.1] it was stated for γ positive, but their argument is certainly valid when γ is just m -positive):

Lemma 5.2. [KN25c, Theorem 4.1] *Let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ be such that $-1 \leq \varphi \leq 0$ and $\sup_X \psi = 0$. Then, there exists a uniform constant $C = C(m, n, \gamma, \omega)$ such that for each $1 \leq k \leq m$,*

$$\int_X (-\psi) \gamma_\varphi^k \wedge \omega^{n-k} \leq C.$$

As a consequence of the above version of Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequality, we have the following sharp estimates for the capacity of sublevel sets, due to [KN25c]:

Corollary 5.3. [KN25c, Theorem 1.1] *There is a uniform constant C depending only on m, n, γ, ω such that for any $\psi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ and $\sup_X \psi = -1$, we have for every $t > 0$,*

$$\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(\psi < -t) \leq \frac{C}{t}.$$

PROOF. Fix $\varphi \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega)$ such that $-1 \leq \varphi \leq 0$. We can write

$$t \int_{\{\psi < -t\}} \gamma_\varphi^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \leq \int_{\{\psi < -t\}} (-\psi) \gamma_\varphi^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \leq C,$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 5.2. Taking supreme with respect to φ we get the desired estimate. \square

We recall the following lemma established in [KN16] and [PSWZ25]:

Lemma 5.4. [KN16, Lemma 3.8] [PSWZ25, Corollary 7.4] *Fix $0 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{4}$. Consider $u, v \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ with $u \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq v \leq 0$. Set $S(\epsilon) := \inf_X [u - (1 - \epsilon)v]$ and $U(\epsilon, s) := \{u < (1 - \epsilon)v + S(\epsilon) + s\}$. Then, there exists $C_1 = C_1(m, n, \gamma, \omega)$ such that for any $0 < s, t < \frac{(\lambda\epsilon)^3}{10C_1}$, we have*

$$t^m \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s)) \leq C \int_{U(\epsilon, s+t)} (\gamma + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m}.$$

Where $C > 0$ is a uniform constant depending only on m .

We can now proceed to state the following version of L^∞ - estimate:

Theorem 5.5. *Fix $0 < \epsilon < \frac{3}{4}$. Consider $u, v \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ with $u \leq 0$ and $-1 \leq v \leq 0$. Assume furthermore that*

$$(\gamma + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \leq \mu, \quad \sup_X u = 0$$

for some positive Radon measure μ satisfying $\mu \leq A \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$, where $A > 0, 1 < \tau < \frac{n}{n-m}$ are constants. Put $U(\epsilon, s) := \{u < (1-\epsilon)v + S(\epsilon) + s\}$ for $s > 0$. Then, for any $0 < s < \frac{(\lambda\epsilon)^3}{10C_1}$ we have

$$s \leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s))^{\frac{\tau-1}{m}}.$$

Where C is a constant depending on n, m, γ, X, ω . In particular, we have a uniform estimate

$$|\inf_X u| \leq C.$$

PROOF. Using Theorem 5.4 we can write for each $0 < s, t < \frac{(\lambda\epsilon)^3}{10C_1}$

$$\begin{aligned} t^m \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s)) &\leq C \int_{U(\epsilon, s+t)} (\gamma + dd^c u)^m \wedge \omega^{n-m} \leq C \int_{U(\epsilon, s+t)} \mu \\ &\leq CA \cdot \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s+t))^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Set $a(s) := \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s))^{\frac{1}{m}}$, then we have

$$ta(s) \leq Ca(s+t)^\tau.$$

Now, exactly the same argument as [KN16, Theorem 3.10] yields that

$$s \leq Ca(s)^{\tau-1}.$$

Taking $v = 0$ and using Theorem 5.3 we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} s &\leq C \cdot \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s))^{\frac{\tau-1}{m}} = C \cdot \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(u < \inf_X u + s)^{\frac{\tau-1}{m}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\inf_X u + s|^{\frac{\tau-1}{m}}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality we get easily the uniform estimate of u . □

We will also need the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 5.6. *Let μ be a positive Radon measure μ satisfying $\mu \leq A \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$, where $A > 0, 1 < \tau < \frac{n}{n-m}$ are constants. Then there exists a uniform constant $c_0 > 0$ depending on $A, \gamma, \omega, m, n, \tau$ such that for any $u \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and $c > 0$ solving*

$$H_m(u) = c\mu,$$

we have $c \geq c_0$.

PROOF. Write $S := \inf_X u$ and $U(\epsilon, s) := \{u < S + s\}$, Theorem 5.4 implies that

$$t^m \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s)) \leq C \int_{U(\epsilon, s+t)} H_m(u) = Cc\mu(X) \leq C' \cdot c,$$

for each $0 < s < \frac{(\lambda\epsilon)^3}{10C_1}$. It suffices to establish a lower bound for $\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(U(\epsilon, s))$, but this follows immediately from Theorem 5.5. \square

We now return to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $H_m(\varphi)$, the Radon-Nikodym theorem implies that there is $0 \leq f \in L^1(H_m(\varphi))$ such that $\mu = fH_m(\varphi)$.

Step 1. We first assume that f is bounded and that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f \geq \delta > 0$. In this case, we can invoke Theorem 4.3 to solve

$$H_m(u_j) = e^{\frac{u_j}{j}} \mu,$$

for each $j \geq 1$ and $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Set $v_j := u_j - \sup_X u_j$, then the above equation can be rewritten as

$$(2) \quad H_m(v_j) = c_j e^{\frac{v_j}{j}} \mu$$

where $c_j = e^{\frac{\sup_X u_j}{j}}$. We first claim that c_j is uniformly bounded away from zero. Indeed, since $f \geq \delta > 0$, we can write

$$e^{-\frac{u_j}{j}} H_m(u_j) \geq \delta H_m(\varphi) \geq \delta_0 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{j}} H_m(\varphi) = e^{-\frac{\varphi - j \log \delta_0}{j}} H_m(\varphi - j \log \delta_0).$$

The domination principle Theorem 2.8 yields that $u_j \leq \varphi - j \log \delta_0$ and hence $\frac{\sup_X u_j}{j}$ is uniformly bounded from above. Similarly one can show that $\frac{u_j}{j}$ is bounded below. Consequently, we can assume $c_j \rightarrow c > 0$ up to extracting a subsequence.

Since $\sup_X v_j = 0$ and $c_j \rightarrow c$, we have $c_j e^{\frac{v_j}{j}} \mu \leq A' \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$ for some uniform constant A' , Theorem 5.5 yields that $\{v_j\}_j$ is uniformly bounded. Let $v_j \rightarrow v$ in $L^1(X)$, we claim that $e^{\frac{v_j}{j}} \rightarrow 1$ in capacity. In fact, we have

$$\text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(e^{\frac{v_j}{j}} < 1 - \epsilon) = \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}(v_j < j \log(1 - \epsilon)) \leq \frac{C}{-j \log(1 - \epsilon)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Where in the last inequality we have used Theorem 5.3. Recall that in this case $\mu = fH_m(\varphi)$ with f bounded, it follows from (2) and Theorem 3.1 that $v_j \rightarrow v$ in capacity. Taking the limit in both sides of (2) we arrive at

$$H_m(v) = c\mu.$$

Step 2. In this step we assume only $f \geq \delta > 0$. Set $f_j := \min(f, j)$, it follows from Step 1 that there exist $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and $c_j > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad H_m(u_j) = c_j f_j H_m(\varphi), \quad \sup_X u_j = 0.$$

Since f_j increases to f , the domination principle Theorem 2.9 yields that c_j is decreasing. Moreover, since $f_j H_m(\varphi) \leq f H_m(\varphi) = \mu \leq A \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$, Theorem 5.6 implies that c_j is

uniformly bounded below and away from zero. Consequently, we can write $c_j \searrow c > 0$. Again using Theorem 5.5 it is easy to see that u_j is uniformly bounded. Moreover, if we set $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(X)$, then

$$\int_X |u - u_j| H_m(u_j) \leq c_1 \int_X |u - u_j| f H_m(\varphi) = c_1 \int_X |u - u_j| d\mu \rightarrow 0,$$

where in the last convergence we have used Theorem 3.2. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that a subsequence of u_j converges in capacity to u , whence our desired equation

$$H_m(u) = c\mu.$$

Step 3. We finally remove the assumption $f \geq \delta > 0$. Set $g_j := \max(f, \frac{1}{j})$. We can then apply the previous step to obtain $u_j \in \text{SH}_m(X, \gamma, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and $b_j > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad H_m(u_j) = b_j g_j H_m(\varphi), \quad \sup_X u_j = 0.$$

Since $g_j \searrow g$, the domination principle Theorem 2.9 yields that b_j is increasing. We claim that b_j is uniformly bounded above. Indeed, using the mix type inequality Theorem 4.5 we can write

$$\gamma_{u_j} \wedge \gamma_\varphi^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} \geq (b_j g_j)^{\frac{1}{m}} H_m(\varphi).$$

Integrating both sides we have that

$$b_j^{\frac{1}{m}} \int_X g_j^{\frac{1}{m}} H_m(\varphi) \leq \int_X \gamma \wedge \gamma_\varphi^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m} + \int_X u_j dd^c(\gamma_\varphi^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m}).$$

Since $g_j \searrow f$ and $\int_X f H_m(\varphi) > 0$, we have that $\int_X g_j^{\frac{1}{m}} H_m(\varphi)$ is bounded below. For the right-hand-side, an easy computation taking into account [KN16, Lemma 2.4] shows that there is a uniform constant C such that

$$\int_X u_j dd^c(\gamma_\varphi^{m-1} \wedge \omega^{n-m}) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_X u_j \gamma_\varphi^{m-j} \wedge \omega^{n-m+j} \leq C_1.$$

Where the last inequality is due to Theorem 5.2. We have therefore obtained an upper bound for b_j . The a priori estimates Theorem 5.5 yields the uniform boundedness of u_j and hence we can proceed the same arguments as in Step 2 to finish the proof. \square

Remark 5.7. *The solution in Theorem 5.1 is likely to be continuous, as the Monge-Ampère case in [KN21], we will not pursue it now since our main tool is the convergence theorem Theorem 3.1 and the mixed type inequality Theorem 4.5. It will be very desirable to remove the assumption " $\mu \ll H_m(\varphi)$ " and just to assume that μ is non- m -polar in Theorem 5.1. In the Monge-Ampère setting [KN21], this is achieved by approximating μ with regular measures that maintain the capacity domination condition. However, in the Hessian setting, standard local regularizations (e.g., convolution) do not easily preserve the uniform capacity bound $\mu \leq A \text{Cap}_{\gamma, \omega, m}^\tau$ due to the presence of torsion terms and the lack of global potentials. Establishing such an approximation result or a stability estimate for general non- m -polar measures remains an open problem.*

REFERENCES

- [ALS24] O. Alehyane, C. Lu, and M. Salouf, Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations on some compact Hermitian manifolds. *J Geom Anal* **34**, 320 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-024-01772-w>.
- [Blocki05] Z. Blocki, Weak solutions to the complex hessian equation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 55(1):1735–1756, 2005.
- [BT82] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), no. 1-2, 1–40; MR0674165
- [BT87] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, Fine topology, Šilov boundary, and $(dd^c)^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **72** (1987), no. 2, 225–251; MR0886812
- [BBGZ13] S. Boucksom, R. Berman, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, A variational approach to complex Monge-Ampère equations, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 117 (2013), 179–245.
- [BEGZ10] S. Boucksom, P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes, *Acta Math.* **205** (2010), no. 2, 199–262; MR2746347
- [CNS85] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations. III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, *Acta Math.* 155 (1985), no. 3-4, 261–301.
- [Chen21] G. Chen, The J-equation and the supercritical deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation, *Invent. Math.* **225** (2021), no. 2, 529–602; MR4285141
- [Chen22] G. Chen, Lecture notes on generalized Monge-Ampère equations and subvarieties, [arxiv:2210.01345](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.01345).
- [CJY20] T. C. Collins, A. J. Jacob and S.-T. Yau, $(1, 1)$ forms with specified Lagrangian phase: a priori estimates and algebraic obstructions, *Camb. J. Math.* **8** (2020), no. 2, 407–452; MR4091029
- [CX25] J. Cheng and Y. Xu, Viscosity solution to complex Hessian equations on compact Hermitian manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.* 289 (2025), no. 5, Paper No. 110936, 52 pp.
- [CW01] K. Chou. and X. Wang, Variational theory for Hessian equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 54, 1029–1064 (2001).
- [DK17] S. Dinew and S. Kołodziej, Liouville and Calabi-Yau type theorems for complex Hessian equations, *Amer. J. Math.* 139 (2017), no. 2, 403–415.
- [DL15] S. Dinew and C. H. Lu, Mixed Hessian inequalities and uniqueness in the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega, m)$, *Math. Z.* 279 2015, no. 3-4, 753–766.
- [DP21] V. Datar and V. Pingali A numerical criterion for generalised Monge-Ampère equations on projective manifolds, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 31 (2021), no. 4, 767–814.
- [EGZ09] P. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), no. 3, 607–639; MR2505296
- [Fang25a] Y. Fang, Integrability of (ω, m) -subharmonic functions on compact Hermitian manifolds, [arXiv:2503.19678](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.19678).
- [Fang25b] Y. Fang, Continuity of solutions to complex Hessian equations on compact Hermitian manifolds, [arXiv: 2510.14690](https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.14690).
- [GL23] V. Guedj and C. H. Lu, Quasi-plurisubharmonic envelopes 3: Solving Monge-Ampère equations on hermitian manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **800** (2023), 259–298; MR4609828
- [GL25] V. Guedj and C. H. Lu, Degenerate complex Hessian equations on compact Hermitian manifolds, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 21 (2025), no. 3, 1171–1194.
- [GN18] D. Gu and N.C. Nguyen. The Dirichlet problem for a complex hessian equation on compact hermitian manifolds with boundary. *Ann.Sc.Norm.Sup.Pisa*, 18(5):1189–1248, 2018.
- [GZ17] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 26, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2017; MR3617346

- [Kol98] S. Kołodziej, The complex Monge-Ampère equation, *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no. 1, 69–117; MR1618325
- [KN16] S. Kołodziej and N. C. Nguyen, Weak solutions of complex Hessian equations on compact Hermitian manifolds. *Compos. Math.* 152 (2016), no. 11, 2221–2248.
- [KN21] S. Kołodziej and N. C. Nguyen, Continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations on Hermitian manifolds for measures dominated by capacity. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 93, 18 pp.
- [KN25a] S. Kołodziej and N. C. Nguyen, Weak convergence of Monge-Ampère measures on compact Hermitian manifolds, 113–123. *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, 481, 2025.
- [KN25b] S. Kołodziej and N.C. Nguyen, Complex Hessian measures with respect to a background Hermitian form, arXiv:2308.10405, to appear in *APDE*.
- [KN25c] S. Kołodziej and N.C. Nguyen, Polar sets for m -subharmonic functions on compact Hermitian manifolds, arXiv:2511.01159.
- [LeV23] Hai, Le Mau and Van Quan, Vu, Continuous solutions to complex Hessian equations on Hermitian manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* 33 (2023), no. 12, Paper No. 368, 29 pp
- [LWZ24] Y. Li, Z. Wang and X. Zhou, Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère type equations on compact Hermitian manifolds and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **377** (2024), no. 8, 5947–5992; MR4771241
- [Lu13] C. H. Lu, Solutions to degenerate complex Hessian equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 100 (2013), no. 6, 785–805.
- [Lu15] C. H. Lu, Degenerate complex Hessian equations on compact Kähler manifolds, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 64 (2015), no. 6, 1721–1745.
- [Ngu16] N.C.Nguyen, The complex Monge-Ampère type equation on compact Hermitian manifolds and applications. *Adv. Math.* 286 (2016), 250–285.
- [PSW25] K. Pang, H. Sun and Z. Wang, Weak continuity of complex Monge-Ampère operators on compact Hermitian manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.* 35 (2025), no. 7, Paper No. 213, 42 pp.
- [PSWZ25] K. Pang, H. Sun, Z. Wang and X. Zhou, Degenerate Complex Hessian type equations and Applications, arXiv:2512.07084.
- [Song20] J. Song, Nakai-Moishezon criteria for complex Hessian equations. arXiv:2012.07956.
- [Sun24] W. Sun, The weak solutions to complex Hessian equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 63 (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 57, 35 pp.
- [Szé18] G. Székelyhidi, Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* 109 (2018), no. 2, 337–378.
- [TW97] N. S. Trudinger and X. Wang, Hessian measures I, *Topolo. Methods Nonlin. Anal.* 10 (1997), 225–239.
- [TW99] N. S. Trudinger and X. Wang, Hessian measures II, *Ann. of Math.* 150 (1999), 579–604.
- [TW02] N. S. Trudinger and X. Wang, Hessian measures III, *J. Funct. Anal.* 193 (2002), 1–23.
- [TW10] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 4, 1187–1195; MR2669712
- [Wang09] X. Wang, The k -Hessian equation, *Lect. Not. Math.* 1977 (2009).
- [Yau78] S.-T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), no. 3, 339–411; MR0480350
- [Zhang15] D. Zhang, Hessian equations on closed Hermitian manifolds. Preprint (2015), arXiv:1501.03553.

HAOYUAN SUN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, P. R. CHINA

Email address: 202531130037@mail.bnu.edu.cn