

Weighted projective lines and Hochschild cohomology

Felix Schremmer

27 August 2019

We describe the dimensions of Hochschild (co)homology groups of weighted projective curves over complex numbers. Surprisingly, all but one of those numbers depend only on the genus of the underlying non-weighted curve and the number of exceptional points. Our proof involves revising a classical representation-theoretic argument of Happel together with more recent results of Lenzing and Arinkin, Căldăraru and Hablicsek. We give concrete realizations of a large class of weighted projective lines as quotient stacks. This paper coincides with the author's master's thesis submitted to the University of Bonn in 2019.

1. Introduction

The Hochschild homology and cohomology groups for an algebra over a field (as they were originally defined [Hoc45]) give an easily definable yet crucial invariant, that e.g. controls the deformation theory of such an algebra. They have been generalized to algebras over commutative rings, schemes, stacks and differential graded categories. In this thesis, we will focus in the Hochschild (co)homology of a special family of stacks, namely *weighted projective lines*. Discussing the various definitions of Hochschild (co)homology in different contexts will constitute the first chapter of this thesis. The main result of this thesis is the following description of the dimensions of Hochschild (co)homology groups of weighted projective curves.

Theorem A (Cf. Theorem 5.3.6). *Let X be a smooth irreducible projective curve, $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ be distinct points and $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Let \mathcal{X} be the iterated root stack obtained from X by attaching the weight e_i to x_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Denote by g the genus of X and define

$$e := e_1 + \dots + e_m, \quad a := \begin{cases} 3, & g = 0 \\ 1, & g = 1 \\ 0, & g \geq 2 \end{cases}, \quad r := \min\{a, m\}, \quad d := \begin{cases} 0, & g = 0 \\ 1, & g = 1 \\ 3g - 3, & g \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

The Hochschild (co)homology of \mathcal{X} in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ has dimension

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_i(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 2 + e - m, & i = 0 \\ g, & i = \pm 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^i(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \\ g + a - r, & i = 1 \\ d + m - r, & i = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We remark that d denotes the dimension of the coarse moduli space of curves of genus g and a is the dimension of the automorphism group of a generic curve in it.

A weighted projective line can be understood as a geometric object similar to the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 with a finite number of *exceptional points*, which come with a *weight* > 1 each. There is a direct construction of weighted projective lines and their module category in [GL87]. An alternative approach is the *iterated root stack construction*, which can be used to iteratively attach some weight > 1 to a point of \mathbb{P}^1 or a weighted projective line. We will discuss both constructions and some properties of weighted projective lines in the second chapter.

The category of coherent sheaves of a weighted projective line is intimately linked (namely derived equivalent) to the representation theory of a certain finite-dimensional algebra, a so-called *canonical algebra*. Since the Hochschild (co)homology groups are derived invariants, one approach to compute the Hochschild (co)homology groups of

weighted projective lines is to do this for the corresponding canonical algebras. This has been done by Happel in [Hap98]. In the third chapter, we will describe Happel's computation of the Hochschild (co)homology groups of canonical algebras. We also present a slightly modified version, in order to shed some light on the question *why does the number of exceptional points alone determine the Hochschild cohomology?*

The Hochschild (Co)homology groups of smooth projective schemes can be described in terms of their differential forms resp. polyvector fields, this is the famous *Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg decomposition* [HKR62]. This has been generalized to a certain class of stacks, namely orbifold quotients of smooth projective varieties by a finite group action, by Arinkin, Căldăraru and Hablicsek [ACH14]. In the fourth chapter, we recall this result and use it to calculate the Hochschild (co)homology of quotient stacks of curves by finite group actions. There is a description due to Lenzing [Len16], which weighted projective curves can be realized as the orbifold quotient of a projective curve by a finite group. He gives no explicit procedure how the curve and the group may be chosen, but plenty of examples. Both results combined with Happel's result of the third chapter will entail a full description of the Hochschild (co)homology of weighted projective curves. Moreover, we discuss how to apply the result of [ACH14] to some of Lenzing's examples. Particularly, we study a class of weighted projective *lines*.

Theorem B (Cf. Corollary 5.4.21). *Let x_1, \dots, x_m be distinct points in \mathbb{P}^1 and $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Assume for $i = 1, \dots, m$ that*

$$a_i \text{ divides } \text{lcm}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m).$$

Let X denote the weighted projective line obtained from \mathbb{P}^1 by attaching the weight e_i to the point x_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then we can give an explicit curve C together with an action of a finite abelian group G acting on C such that $X \cong [C/G]$.

We classify which weighted projective lines have positive resp. zero Euler characteristic in Sections 5.5. Note that all cases of Euler characteristic zero can be realized as in Theorem B.

The subsequent pages of this paper are taken with very minor changes from an unpublished thesis written in 2019 for the sole purpose of supporting the my graduation. To my great pleasure, I was informed six years later that its contents might be of interest beyond this single occasion. With this new purpose of my old thesis and hopefully grown maturity in mathematical writing, I have slightly reworked the introduction in 2025.

Contents

Abstract	2
1. Introduction	3
2. Hochschild (co)homology groups	6
2.1. Towards Hochschild (co)homology of algebras	6
2.2. Hochschild (co)homology of differential graded categories	8
2.3. Hochschild (co)homology of abelian categories	11
2.4. Hochschild (co)homology of schemes	13
3. Weighted projective lines	17
3.1. The approach of Geigle and Lenzing	17
3.2. Weighted projective lines as iterated root stacks	21
4. Happel's method	23
4.1. The minimal resolution	23
4.2. One-point extensions	28
4.3. Alternative proof	31
5. Quotient stacks	38
5.1. The decomposition of Arinkin-Căldăraru-Hablicsek	38
5.2. Quotients of curves	39
5.3. Iterated root stacks	45
5.4. Realizing a class of weighted projective lines	57
5.4.1. Points of C	59
5.4.2. Differentials of C	62
5.4.3. The group action on C	68
5.4.4. Calculating Hochschild (co)homology	72
5.5. Weighted projective lines of non-negative Euler characteristic	76
5.5.1. Weighted projective lines of positive Euler characteristic	76
5.5.2. Weighted projective lines of Euler characteristic zero	77
Conclusion and Outlook	80
Bibliography	82
A. GAP routines	84

2. Hochschild (co)homology groups

Hochschild (co)homology is a homological invariant, which can be associated to a number of objects: Associative algebras, schemes, stacks, differential graded categories. . . In this chapter, we collect and compare the various definitions.

2.1. Towards Hochschild (co)homology of algebras

For this section, let k denote a commutative ring and A a k -algebra. Throughout this thesis, algebras and rings are understood to be unital and associative, but not necessarily commutative. If not denoted otherwise, all modules occuring will be left modules.

Definition 2.1.1. (a) The *opposite algebra* of A , denoted A^{op} , has the same k -module structure but swapped multiplication:

$$\forall a, b \in A : \quad a \cdot_{A^{\text{op}}} b = b \cdot_A a.$$

(b) The *enveloping algebra* of A is $A^e := A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$, i.e. as k -vector space, it is $A \otimes_k A$ with multiplication

$$\forall a, b, c, d \in A : \quad (a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (ac) \otimes (db).$$

Remark 2.1.2. • An A^e -module is the same as an A -bimodule. For us, the most important example is A itself, via $(a \otimes b)x = axb$. The structure of this module is closely related to the structure of the algebra; e.g., submodules of A (as bimodule) correspond to two-sided ideals in A and direct summands of A as bimodule are direct factors of A as algebra.

- Hochschild (co)homology is a homological property of the A^e -module A . It is, e.g., important for studying *deformations* of A (see [GS88]).

Definition 2.1.3. Let M be an A -bimodule and $n \geq 0$.

(a) The n -th *Hochschild cohomology group* of A with coefficients in M is

$$\text{HH}^n(A, M) := \text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, M).$$

If $A = M$, we speak of the n -th *Hochschild cohomology group* of A , $\text{HH}^n(A) = \text{HH}^n(A, A)$.

(b) The n -th *Hochschild homology group* of A with coefficients in M is

$$\text{HH}_n(A, M) := \text{Tor}_n^{A^e}(A, M).$$

If $A = M$, we speak of the n -th *Hochschild homology group* of A , $\text{HH}_n(A) = \text{HH}_n(A, A)$.

Remark 2.1.4. • Hochschild (co)homology is a derived invariant of A , although it might not appear so from the definition given here.

- Hochschild (co)homology groups are (at least in the cases interesting to us) not just a sequence of k -modules, they come with various algebraic structures (e.g. $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A)$ is a graded-commutative k -algebra). The structures won't play an important role for us, so we won't explain them.
- There is a 'canonical' resolution of A as A^e -module, which is important when working abstractly with Hochschild (co)homology (it is less important for computations due to its enormous size):

Lemma 2.1.5 (Bar complex). *(a) There exists a complex of A^e -modules $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_\bullet$ defined as follows:*

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n = 0 \text{ if } n < -1$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n = A^{\otimes(n+2)} \text{ if } n \geq -1$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n-1} : a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes a_{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}.$$

(b) $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_\bullet$ is, as complex of A -modules, contractible with contraction

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{s_n} \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n : a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n.$$

(c) If \mathbb{B}_\bullet denotes the truncation $(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_\bullet)_{\geq 0}$, \mathbb{B}_\bullet together with $d_0 : \mathbb{B}_\bullet \rightarrow A$ is a resolution of A as A^e -module. \mathbb{B}_\bullet is a free/projective/flat resolution of A if A is free/projective/flat as k -module.

Proof. A simple inductive proof checks (a) and (b) together; then (c) follows easily (use that $\mathbb{B}_n = A^e \otimes_k A^{\otimes n}$). \square

Remark 2.1.6. When computing $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A)$, we thus have to take the cohomology of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}_\bullet, A)$, where $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}_n, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, A)$ and the differential becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, A) &\xrightarrow{\partial^n} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes(n+1)}, A), \quad f \mapsto \partial^n(f) \\ \partial^n(f)(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) &= a_0 f(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} f(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) a_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $A = k$, the Hochschild cohomology is the cohomology of the cochain complex

$$0 \rightarrow k \xrightarrow{0} k \xrightarrow{1} k \xrightarrow{0} k \xrightarrow{1} k \rightarrow \cdots,$$

so $\mathrm{HH}^0(k) = k$ and $\mathrm{HH}^n(k) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.

Moreover, $\mathrm{HH}^0(A) = \ker(\mathrm{Hom}_k(k, A) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A, A))$ consists of all $f : k \rightarrow A$ such that $af(1) - f(1)a = 0$ for all $a \in A$, i.e. $\mathrm{HH}^0(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(k, Z(A)) \cong Z(A)$ is the center of A .

We will come back to more efficient calculations of Hochschild cohomology of algebras in the third chapter.

2.2. Hochschild (co)homology of differential graded categories

Hochschild (co)homology was historically first defined for algebras. It is possible to extend the definition to a much broader class of objects, namely *differential graded categories*. We explain the theory of Hochschild (co)homology of differential graded categories, mostly following [Kel03].

Throughout this section, let k be a commutative ring. Recall the definition of a differential graded category:

Definition 2.2.1. • A k -linear category is a category \mathcal{C} such that, for any two objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is a k -module and the composition maps

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z)$$

are k -bilinear.

- If \mathcal{C} is a k -linear category and moreover for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is a cochain complex over k such that the composition maps

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z)$$

are morphisms of cochain complexes (for the usual tensor product of cochain complexes) and such that $\text{id}_X \in Z^0(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X))$ for all objects $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we say \mathcal{C} is a differential graded category.

Example 2.2.2. The following are examples of dg categories:

- If A is a k -algebra (i.e. a k -linear category with one object), it defines a dg category with one object by setting the differential to be zero. A dg category with one object is called *dg algebra*.
- Recall that for a k -linear category \mathcal{C} , we may define the dg category of cochain complexes $\text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{C})$, whose objects are cochain complexes in \mathcal{C} . For cochain complexes C^\bullet, D^\bullet over \mathcal{C} , we set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)$ to be the cochain complex where $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)^n$ consists of all families f^\bullet of morphisms $(f^m : C^m \rightarrow D^{n+m})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The differential of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)$ sends $f^\bullet \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C^\bullet, D^\bullet)^n$ to

$$d_D \circ f + (-1)^{n+1} f \circ d_C.$$

The last example is important for defining the module category of a dg category:

Definition 2.2.3. For a dg category \mathcal{C} , we define its *module category* $\text{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to be the category of dg functors $\text{Fun}^{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)^{\text{op}})$. It is a dg category where the morphisms of degree n are those natural transformations which are pointwise of degree n , and sums and differentials are computed pointwise.

Example 2.2.4. (a) If A is a k -algebra, interpreted as dg category with one object $*$ and $\text{Hom}(*, *) = A$, concentrated in degree zero, a functor $F : A \rightarrow \text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)^{\text{op}}$ consists of a choice of object $C^\bullet := F*$ in $\text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)$ and a k -algebra homomorphism $A \rightarrow Z^0(\text{End}_{\text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)}(C^\bullet))$. i.e. to each $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we associate a k -linear endomorphism $F(a) : C^n \rightarrow C^n$ that is compatible with the differentials of C^\bullet . This precisely describes C^\bullet as a chain complex of A -modules. We see that $\text{dgMod}_A \cong \text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(A\text{-Mod})$ as dg categories.

(b) If $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object, the functor

$$\mathcal{C}(-, c) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)^{\text{op}}$$

is a dg module over \mathcal{C} . The induced functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is called the *Yoneda embedding*. The dg modules in its image are called the *representable* dg modules.

In order to define the Hochschild homology and cohomology of a dg category, we introduce its derived category:

Definition 2.2.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category.

- The *underlying category* $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$ is the category with the same objects as \mathcal{C} , where for $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$,

$$\text{Hom}_{Z^0(\mathcal{C})}(X, Y) = Z^0(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)).$$

Composition is defined by restricting the composition of \mathcal{C} . $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$ is k -linear. One similarly defines $H^0(\mathcal{C})$.

- Consider the category $Z^0(\text{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}})$. A morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{Z^0(\text{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}})}(M, N)$ is called *quasi-isomorphism* if, for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$, the induced morphism

$$M(x) \xrightarrow{f(x)} N(x)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism in $\text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)$. The *derived category* of \mathcal{C} , denoted $D(\mathcal{C})$, is the localization of $Z^0(\text{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}})$ at the quasi-isomorphisms.

Example 2.2.6. By Example 2.2.2, $Z^0(\text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)) \cong \text{Ch}(k)$.

Let A be a k -algebra, interpreted as dg category. Since $\text{dgMod}_A \cong \text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(A\text{-Mod})$, we see $Z^0(\text{dgMod}_A) \cong Z^0(\text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(A\text{-Mod})) \cong \text{Ch}(A\text{-Mod})$ and hence the derived category of A as dg category and the derived category of A as algebra coincide.

Remark 2.2.7. The derived category of a dg category is triangulated (shifts and cones of modules are defined by taking shifts and cones in $\text{Ch}(k)$). Similarly, the homotopy category $H^0(\text{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is triangulated.

Remark 2.2.8. For a k -algebra A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HH}^{\bullet}(A) &\cong \text{Ext}_{A^e}^{\bullet}(A, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{D(A^e)}(A, A[\bullet]) \cong H_{\bullet}(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A)). \\ \text{HH}_{\bullet}(A) &\cong \text{Tor}_{\bullet}^{A^e}(A, A) \cong H_{\bullet}(A \otimes_{A^e}^{\mathbf{L}} A). \end{aligned}$$

We want to generalise the latter expressions to dg categories in order to define their Hochschild (co)homology.

Definition 2.2.9. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be dg categories over k .

- We define the dg category \mathcal{C}^{op} by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$ as cochain complexes over k , composition defined as in \mathcal{C} .
- We define the dg category $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} := \mathcal{C} \otimes_k \mathcal{D}$ by $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}) = \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \times \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$ and for $X, X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), Y, Y' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D})$, we define $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}}((X, Y), (X', Y'))$ to be the cochain complex tensor product $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X') \otimes_k \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, Y')$. Composition of morphisms and identity morphisms are calculated for each tensor factor individually.

2.2. HOCHSCHILD (CO)HOMOLOGY OF DIFFERENTIAL GRADED CATEGORIES

- A \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} dg bimodule is, by definition, a dg module over $\mathcal{C} \otimes_k \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$.

Remark 2.2.10. Given a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} dg bimodule M and a \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{E} dg bimodule N , we can define the tensor product $M \otimes N$ similar to the construction for algebras, cf. [Kel94, section 6.1].

The tensor product and the Hom-functor can be derived as for algebras, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} - \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} - &: D(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}) \times D(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}). \\ \mathbf{R}\text{Hom} &: D(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \times D(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2.11 (Hochschild (co)homology of dg categories). Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category over the commutative ring k .

- The *diagonal bimodule* is the \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} -bimodule, denoted (by abuse of notation) by \mathcal{C} , is defined by

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ch}^{\text{dg}}(k)^{\text{op}}, (c, d) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(c, d) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, d).$$

- The *Hochschild cohomology* of \mathcal{C} in degree $n \geq 0$, $\text{HH}^n(\mathcal{C}) \in k\text{-Mod}$, is defined to be the n -th cohomology group of

$$\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \in D(k).$$

- The *Hochschild homology* of \mathcal{C} in degree $n \geq 0$, $\text{HH}_n(\mathcal{C}) \in k\text{-Mod}$, is defined to be the n -th homology group of

$$\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{C} \in D(k).$$

Example 2.2.12. If A is a k -algebra, we can interpret it as dg category over k and get the same notion of Hochschild (co)homology.

Remark 2.2.13. Hochschild (co)homology of differential graded categories is a derived invariant: Suppose \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are dg categories and M is a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule such that $M \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} - : D(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence of categories. Then M induces an isomorphism of Hochschild (co)homology groups of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} (follows from [Kel03]).

Such equivalences e.g. arise from tilting objects:

Definition 2.2.14. Let \mathcal{T} be a k -linear triangulated category allowing arbitrary direct sums.

- (a) An object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is called *compact* if the functor

$$\mathcal{T}(X, -) : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

commutes with arbitrary direct sums.

- (b) A class of objects $C \subseteq \text{Ob}(\mathcal{T})$ is called *generating* if the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T} closed under arbitrary direct sums, direct summands and isomorphisms containing C is equal to \mathcal{T} .

- (c) An object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is called *tilting* if X is compact, $\{X\}$ is generating and $\mathcal{T}(X, X[n]) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Tilting objects give derived equivalences:

Proposition 2.2.15. *Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category over the field k and let X be a dg module over \mathcal{C} :*

(a) *Suppose that X is compact in $D(\mathcal{C})$ and that $\{X\}$ is generating in $D(\mathcal{C})$. Let A be the dg algebra $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}(X, X)$.*

There exists a A - \mathcal{C} -bimodule M such that $D(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{M \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} -} D(A)$ is an equivalence of categories.

(b) *Suppose that X is tilting in $D(\mathcal{C})$ and let $A := \mathrm{End}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X)$. There exists an A - \mathcal{C} -bimodule M such that $D(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{M \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} -} D(A)$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. (a) follows from [Kel94, Example 6.6]. Using (a), (b) follows since $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}(X, X)$ is concentrated in degree 0, where it is $\mathrm{End}_{D(\mathcal{C})}(X)$. \square

Compact objects can moreover be described as follows:

Proposition 2.2.16. *Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category. The full subcategory of compact objects in $D(\mathcal{C})$ equals the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $D(\mathcal{C})$ closed under direct summands and isomorphisms which contains all representable dg modules over \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. This follows from [Kel94, Section 5.3]. \square

We will apply these results when dealing with dg enhancements.

2.3. Hochschild (co)homology of abelian categories

Throughout this section, let k be a commutative ring and \mathcal{A} be an abelian k -linear category. We would like to define the notion of Hochschild (co)homology of \mathcal{A} . We do this by replacing \mathcal{A} by a suitable dg category.

Definition 2.3.1. (a) A dg category \mathcal{C} over k is called *pretriangulated* if the image of $H^0(\mathcal{C})$ in $H^0(\mathrm{dgMod}_{\mathcal{C}})$ (under the Yoneda embedding) is a triangulated subcategory.

(b) A *dg enhancement* of $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ consists of a pretriangulated dg category \mathcal{C} together with an equivalence of triangulated categories $D^b(\mathcal{A}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{C})$.

(c) A cochain complex I^\bullet over \mathcal{A} is called *homotopy injective* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(C^\bullet, I^\bullet) = 0$ for every acyclic complex C^\bullet over \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 2.3.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is Grothendieck abelian. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the full subcategory consisting of those homotopy injective complexes which have bounded cohomology. Then \mathcal{C} gives rise to a dg enhancement of $H^0(\mathcal{C}) \cong D^b(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. This follows from the existence of homotopy injective resolutions, cf. [Stacks, 079P] using a proof similar to [Zim14, Proposition 3.5.43]. \square

We use this dg enhancement to define Hochschild (co)homology of the abelian category \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.3.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{C} be the dg category from Proposition 2.3.2. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is Grothendieck abelian. We define the n -th Hochschild (co)homology group of \mathcal{A} to be the n -th Hochschild (co)homology group of \mathcal{C} .

This definition satisfies the following derived invariance property:

Proposition 2.3.4. *Suppose that k is a field and \mathcal{A} Grothendieck abelian. Let $T \in \mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ be an object such that*

- *$\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ closed under direct summands and isomorphisms that contains T .*
- *$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.*

$\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathrm{D}^b(A)$ are equivalent as triangulated categories. The Hochschild (co)homology of the abelian category \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to the Hochschild (co)homology of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})}(T)$.

Proof. Observe that the image of T in $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{C})$ is tilting with endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{D}^b(\mathcal{A})}(T)$, apply Proposition 2.2.15 and Remark 2.2.13. \square

Example 2.3.5. If A is a k -algebra (k again a field) and $\mathcal{A} = A\text{-Mod}$, A is a tilting object in \mathcal{A} , so that $A\text{-Mod}$ and A^{op} have the same Hochschild (co)homology. It is simple to see (from the definition) that the Hochschild (co)homology groups of A and A^{op} are isomorphic. Hence the Hochschild (co)homology groups of A and $A\text{-Mod}$ are isomorphic.

Example 2.3.6. Let k be a field and consider the path algebras for the quiver

$$Q : 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

Let, for $v \in Q_0 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $P(v) := kQ \cdot v$ denote the projective module for the vertex v , and $S(v) = P(v)/\mathrm{rad} P(v)$ the simple module. The projective modules have composition series given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(1) & P(2) & P(3) \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} S(1) \\ 0 \end{array} \right. & \left| \begin{array}{c} S(2) \\ P(1) \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} S(1) \\ 0 \end{array} \right. & \left| \begin{array}{c} S(3) \\ P(2) \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} S(2) \\ P(1) \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} S(1) \\ 0 \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Let $T := S(2) \oplus P(2) \oplus P(3)$.

- We claim that $\mathrm{Ext}_{kQ}^1(T, T) = 0$: We only have to show that $\mathrm{Ext}_{kQ}^1(S(2), M) = 0$ for $M \in \{S(2), P(2), P(3)\}$. Note that a projective resolution of $S(2)$ is given by

$$0 \rightarrow P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \rightarrow S(2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence for any kQ -module M ,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{kQ}^1(S(2), M) \cong \mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{Hom}_{kQ}(P(2), M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{kQ}(P(1), M)).$$

Now for each $M \in \{S(2), P(2), P(3)\}$, one quickly checks that every morphism $P(1) \rightarrow M$ factors over $P(1) \rightarrow P(2)$, showing that $\mathrm{Ext}_{kQ}^1(S(2), M) = 0$.

- We claim that the image of T in $D^b(kQ)$ is tilting: By the previous point, we have $\text{Hom}_{D^b(kQ)}(T, T[n]) = \text{Ext}_A^n(T, T) = 0$ for $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the projective modules $P(1)$, $P(2)$ and $P(3)$ are direct summands of A , hence all of them are compact (using Proposition 2.2.16). As the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \rightarrow S(2) \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to a distinguished triangle in $D^b(kQ)$, also $S(2)$ is compact. We conclude that T is compact.

We still have to show that T generates $D^b(kQ)$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq D^b(kQ)$ be the smallest full triangulated subcategory that contains T and is closed under isomorphisms and direct summands. It suffices to show that $A \in \mathcal{C}$. Certainly $S(2)$, $P(2)$ and $P(3)$ are in \mathcal{C} . By the distinguished triangle $P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \rightarrow S(2) \rightarrow P(1)[1]$ considered earlier, also $P(1) \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus $kQ = P(1) \oplus P(2) \oplus P(3)$ is in \mathcal{C} .

- We are interested in the endomorphism ring of T (in kQ -mod or $D^b(kQ)$, they are the same): We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{kQ}(S(2), S(2)) &= k \text{ id} & \text{Hom}_{kQ}(S(2), P(2)) &= 0 & \text{Hom}_{kQ}(S(2), P(3)) &= 0 \\ \dim \text{Hom}_{kQ}(P(2), S(2)) &= 1 & \text{Hom}_{kQ}(P(2), P(2)) &= k \text{ id} & \dim \text{Hom}_{kQ}(P(2), P(3)) &= 1 \\ \text{Hom}_{kQ}(P(3), S(2)) &= 0 & \text{Hom}_{kQ}(P(3), P(2)) &= 0 & \text{Hom}_{kQ}(P(3), P(3)) &= k \text{ id} \end{aligned}$$

From this description, it is easy to see that the endomorphism algebra of T is isomorphic to the path algebra over the quiver

$$\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet.$$

We see that kQ is derived equivalent to the path algebra $k(\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet)$, but rather obviously not isomorphic and thus not Morita equivalent (as both are basic algebras). Moreover, we conclude that the Hochschild (co)homology of these algebras will coincide, although we do not yet have the tools to compute them for any of the two algebras.

2.4. Hochschild (co)homology of schemes

Let k be a commutative ring. An affine k -scheme is the same as a commutative k -algebra, hence comes with a notion of Hochschild (co)homology groups. We can expand this definition to arbitrary k -schemes X , where we assume for simplicity that k is a field and X noetherian.

Definition 2.4.1. Let X be a noetherian k -scheme. Let $\text{coh } X$ denote the category of coherent sheaves on X . We define the Hochschild cohomology and Hochschild homology groups of X to be the Hochschild (co)homology groups of this abelian category.

Remark 2.4.2. This definition has the advantage that it allows us to use the results on Hochschild (co)homology of abelian categories from the previous section. However, it may seem unnatural to define the Hochschild (co)homology of a scheme X by referring to the entire category $\text{coh } X$, just like we defined the Hochschild (co)homology of an algebra without referring to referring to the entire module category.

There are other ways of defining Hochschild (co)homology of schemes, which do not refer to such huge objects. e.g. [Kuz09] uses

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^\bullet(X) &= \mathrm{Ext}_{X \times X}^\bullet(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X), \\ \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X) &= \mathrm{Ext}_{X \times X}^\bullet(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X, \Delta_* \omega_X[\dim X]), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the embedding of the diagonal. This has its advantages (e.g. it is easier to prove finiteness results from this definition than from the one used here).

We chose to define the Hochschild (co)homology using the detour of dg categories and abelian categories in order to show how tilting invariance is achieved.

Example 2.4.3. If $X = \mathrm{Spec} k$ for a field k , $\mathrm{coh} X \cong k\text{-mod}$, so the object k is tilting in $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathrm{coh} X) =: \mathrm{D}^b(X)$. We conclude $\mathrm{HH}^n(X) \cong \mathrm{HH}^n(k)$ and $\mathrm{HH}_n(X) \cong \mathrm{HH}_n(k)$ are isomorphic to k for $n = 0$ and 0 for $n \neq 0$.

Definition 2.4.4 (Exceptional sequence, [Huy06, Definition 1.57]). Let \mathcal{D} be a k -linear triangulated category.

(a) An object $E \in \mathcal{D}$ is called *exceptional* if

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E, E[\ell]) \cong \begin{cases} k, & \ell = 0 \\ 0, & \ell \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) A sequence E_1, \dots, E_n of objects in \mathcal{D} is called *exceptional* if each E_i is exceptional and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(E_i, E_j[\ell]) = 0$ if $i < j$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(c) An exceptional sequence E_1, \dots, E_n is called *full* if the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} closed under direct summands and isomorphisms containing E_1, \dots, E_n is \mathcal{D} .

Proposition 2.4.5. Let E_1, \dots, E_n be a full exceptional sequence in $\mathrm{D}^b(X)$. Then X is derived equivalent to the algebra

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{D}^b(X)}(E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n) \cong \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(X)}(E_2, E_1) & k & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(X)}(E_n, E_1) & \dots & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(X)}(E_n, E_{n-1}) & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Hochschild (co)homology groups of X and this algebra are isomorphic.

Proof. Observe that $E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.3.4. For the last isomorphism, use that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{D}^b(X)} \cong \left(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}^b(X)}(E_i, E_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^n$ and simplify using the definition of an exceptional sequence. \square

Example 2.4.6. Let k be algebraically closed and $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Beilinson proved in [Bei78] that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n)$$

is a full exceptional sequence in $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

Since the homomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(s)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with homogeneous polynomials in $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ of degree $s - r$, one easily calculates that the algebra A of Proposition 2.4.5 is isomorphic to the bound quiver algebra defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & \xrightarrow{X_0} & & \xrightarrow{X_0} & & \xrightarrow{X_0} & & \xrightarrow{X_0} & & \xrightarrow{X_0} \\
 & \xrightarrow{X_1} & & \xrightarrow{X_1} & & \xrightarrow{X_1} & & \xrightarrow{X_1} & & \xrightarrow{X_1} \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 0 & \xrightarrow{X_{n-1}} & 1 & \xrightarrow{X_{n-1}} & 2 & \xrightarrow{X_{n-1}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{X_{n-1}} & n-1 & \xrightarrow{X_{n-1}} & n \\
 & \xrightarrow{X_n} & & \xrightarrow{X_n} & & \xrightarrow{X_n} & & \xrightarrow{X_n} & & \xrightarrow{X_n}
 \end{array}$$

(so it has $(n+1)$ vertices and $n(n+1)$ arrows), modulo the relations that

$$\left(a \xrightarrow{X_i} (a+1) \xrightarrow{X_j} (a+2) \right) = \left(a \xrightarrow{X_j} (a+1) \xrightarrow{X_i} (a+2) \right)$$

for all vertices $a \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$ and $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

Hence $D^b(X) \cong D^b(A^{\text{op}}) \cong D^b(A)$.

For $n = 1$, we see that the category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^1 is derived equivalent to the Kronecker algebra $A = k \left(\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet \right)$.

To finish this chapter, we present one of the gems of the theory of Hochschild (co)homology for schemes: The *Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg decomposition*.

Theorem 2.4.7 (Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg, [HKR62]). *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and X a smooth projective k -scheme. Denote by T_X the tangent bundle of X and by Ω^q the q -th exterior power of the cotangent bundle. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{HH}^i(X) &\cong \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \mathrm{H}^p(X, \bigwedge^q T_X) \\
 \mathrm{HH}_i(X) &\cong \bigoplus_{q-p=i} \mathrm{H}^p(X, \Omega_X^q).
 \end{aligned}$$

We give two applications of this theorem:

Corollary 2.4.8. *If k, X are as above and $\dim X = n$, we have*

- $\mathrm{HH}^i(X) = 0$ if $i \notin [0, 2n]$.
- $\mathrm{HH}_i(X) = \mathrm{HH}_{-i}(X)$, and if $i \notin [-n, n]$, this is moreover 0.

Proof. Recall that $\Omega^q = 0 = \bigwedge^q T_X$ for $q \notin [0, n]$, and $\mathrm{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \notin [0, n]$ and \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent, this yields the vanishing assertions.

Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ be the decomposition of X into irreducible components (since X is smooth, these are also the connected components). Let $n_i := \dim X_i$. By Serre duality, $\mathrm{H}^p(X_i, \Omega_{X_i}^q) = \mathrm{H}^{n_i-p}(X_i, \Omega_{X_i}^{n_i-q})$ (using the perfect pairing $\Omega_{X_i}^{n_i-q} \otimes \Omega_{X_i}^q \rightarrow \omega_{X_i}, (u \otimes v) \mapsto u \wedge v$). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X) &\cong \bigoplus_{q-p=\bullet} \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathrm{H}^p(X_i, \Omega_{X_i}^q) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{q-p=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^{n_i-p}(X_i, \Omega_{X_i}^{n_i-q}) \\
 &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{p'-q'=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^{p'}(X_i, \Omega_{X_i}^{q'}) \cong \mathrm{HH}_{-\bullet}(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □


Example 2.4.9. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. We would like to describe $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(X)$ and $\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X)$. Recall that if $p \neq 0, 1$, $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^0(X) &= H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong k \oplus 0 = k \\ \mathrm{HH}^1(X) &\cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^0(X, T_X) \cong 0 \oplus k^3 \cong k^3 \\ \mathrm{HH}^2(X) &\cong H^1(X, T_X) = 0. \\ \mathrm{HH}_{-1}(X) &\cong \mathrm{HH}_1(X) \cong H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \cong 0 \\ \mathrm{HH}_0(X) &\cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \cong k \oplus k^3 \cong k^4. \end{aligned}$$

3. Weighted projective lines

Throughout this chapter, we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, which we usually will omit from our notation.

In this chapter, we introduce weighted projective lines. A weighted projective line roughly looks like the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 where each point gets attached a *weight*, i.e. an integer ≥ 1 , such all but finitely many points have weight 1.



We fix finitely many distinct closed points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and attach to each x_i a weight $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

The points of weight > 1 are called *exceptional*, whereas the points of weight 1 are called *ordinary*. We denote this weighted projective line by $\mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$. This is not a scheme (unless $n = 0$), but an *algebraic stack*. There are several ways of approaching this stack, of which we will present two.

Since the weighted projective line $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is a (sufficiently well-behaved) algebraic stack, it comes with a category of coherent sheaves of modules, $\text{coh } X$. This is an abelian category, so we define the Hochschild cohomology and Hochschild homology of X to be the Hochschild (co)homology of the abelian category $\text{coh } X$.

There are several occasions in mathematics where weighted projective lines appear naturally (for a nice collection, see [Len16]). We only mention the following one:

Theorem 3.0.1 ([Hap01]). *Let \mathcal{H} be an abelian k -linear category such that*

- \mathcal{H} is hereditary, i.e. $\text{Ext}^2(-, -)$, defined as Yoneda-Ext, vanishes.
- $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ and $\text{Ext}^1(X, Y)$ are finite-dimensional for all objects X, Y of \mathcal{H} .
- \mathcal{H} is connected, i.e. it is not possible to decompose $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ for non-zero abelian categories $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$.
- \mathcal{H} contains a tilting object, i.e. some $T \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\text{Ext}^1(T, T) = 0$ and for all $X \in \mathcal{H}$, $\text{Hom}(T, X) = 0 = \text{Ext}^1(T, X)$ implies $X = 0$.

Then \mathcal{H} is derived equivalent to $H\text{-mod}$ for some hereditary algebra H or to the category of coherent sheaves $\text{coh } X$ for some weighted projective line X .

3.1. The approach of Geigle and Lenzing

Weighted projective lines were first defined in [GL87]. Their description does not use the terminology of algebraic stacks and many steps appear to be rather ad-hoc, but this is sufficient for our purposes. In this section, we summarize the (for our purposes) most important steps of [GL87], omitting most of the proofs.

Fix distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and integers $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Put $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

In order to define the weighted projective line with weight a_i at the point x_i , we may freely add or remove points with weight 1 to or from our list. We therefore assume $n \geq 2$. Moreover, in case $n > 2$, we remove points of weight 1. In other words, we may assume the following convention:

Convention 3.1.1. We assume that one of the following holds:

- $n = 2$
- $n > 2$ and $a_i > 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$

Since PGL_2 acts triply transitive on \mathbb{P}^1 , we may moreover choose coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $x_1 = \infty$, $x_2 = 0$ and, in case $n \geq 3$, $x_3 = 1$.

Definition 3.1.2. The weighted projective line X can be described as follows:

- (i) The group $L(\mathbf{a})$ is defined as the free abelian group in generators ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n modulo the relations

$$a_1 \ell_1 = a_2 \ell_2 = \dots = a_n \ell_n.$$

The subset of elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \ell_i$ with all $\lambda_i \geq 0$ is denoted $L_+(\mathbf{a})$. We turn $L(\mathbf{a})$ into an ordered group by saying $\ell \geq 0$ iff $\ell \in L_+(\mathbf{a})$ for all $\ell \in L(\mathbf{a})$.

- (ii) We denote by

$$S(\mathbf{a}) = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

the $L(\mathbf{a})$ -graded algebra with $\deg X_i = \ell_i$. The quotient

$$S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) := S(\mathbf{a})/I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}), \quad I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) = (X_i^{a_i} - X_2^{a_2} + x_i X_1^{a_1} \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, n)$$

is also $L(\mathbf{a})$ -graded.

- (iii) Denote

$$G(\mathbf{a}) := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (k^\times)^n \mid t_1^{a_1} = \dots = t_n^{a_n}\}.$$

$$F(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid z_i^{a_i} = z_2^{a_2} - x_i z_1^{a_1} \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, n\}.$$

$G(\mathbf{a})$ acts on $F(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$ by $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) := (t_1 z_1, \dots, t_n z_n)$. Denote the (set-theoretic) quotient $F(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})/G(\mathbf{a})$ by X .

- (iv) For an $L(\mathbf{a})$ -homogeneous element $f \in S(\mathbf{a})$, denote by

$$V(f) := \{[x] \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

the vanishing set of f . As each element of $I(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$ vanishes on X , we have the same definition of $f \in S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$. We equip X with a topology where the closed subsets are those of the form $V(f)$ for some $L(\mathbf{a})$ -homogeneous element $f \in S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$.

- (v) For an $L(\mathbf{a})$ -homogeneous element $f \in S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$, denote by $S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})_f$ the localization, which is again $L(\mathbf{a})$ -graded. Denote by \mathcal{O}_X the sheaf on X attached to the presheaf

$$(X \setminus V(f)) \mapsto S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})_f$$

of $L(\mathbf{a})$ -graded k -algebras.

- (vi) Without changing the situation much, we may as well add a *generic point* ξ to the topological space X . The stalk of \mathcal{O}_X is the localization of $S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$ at all $L(\mathbf{a})$ -homogeneous nonzerodivisors of $S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x})$. The resulting space is called *weighted projective line* with weights \mathbf{a} at the points \mathbf{x} .
- (vii) Denote by $\text{Mod}_X^{L(\mathbf{a})}$ the category of $L(\mathbf{a})$ -graded sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X . Each $\ell \in L(\mathbf{a})$ induces a shift on $\text{Mod}_X^{L(\mathbf{a})}$, where for $M \in \text{Mod}_X^{L(\mathbf{a})}$, the *shifted module* $M(\ell)$ is given by $M(\ell)_\mu = M_{\ell+\mu}$ for all $\mu \in L(\mathbf{a})$.
- (viii) A sheaf of modules $M \in \text{Mod}_X^{L(\mathbf{a})}$ is called *quasi-coherent* if X can be covered by open subsets U such that there exist families $(l_i)_{i \in I}, (l_j)_{j \in J}$ of elements in $L(\mathbf{p})$ and an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(l_i)|_U \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_X(l_j)|_U \rightarrow M|_U \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves of modules on U . M is called *coherent* if X can be covered by such open subsets U such that a presentation as above exists with I and J being finite sets. We denote by $\text{coh } X$ the category of coherent sheaves on X .

It turns out that $\text{coh } X$ satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 3.0.1. In particular $\text{coh } X$ is an abelian hereditary category. It satisfies a version of Serre duality [GL87, Prop. 2.2]. However, most crucial for us is the existence of a tilting object.

Proposition 3.1.3 ([GL87, Proposition 4.1]). *Denote $c := a_1 \ell_1 = \dots = a_n \ell_n \in L(\mathbf{a})$. Then¹*

$$\{\mathcal{O}_X(\ell) : 0 \leq \ell \leq c\}$$

is an exceptional collection of $\text{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$. In particular,

$$T := \bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell \leq c} \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)$$

is a tilting object in $\text{D}^b(\text{coh } X)$. □

Put $A := \text{End}_{\text{coh } X}(T)$. By Proposition 2.3.4, the Hochschild (co)homology of the weighted projective line X is the Hochschild (co)homology of the finite-dimensional algebra A . Moreover, we can describe A explicitly:

Proposition 3.1.4. *A is isomorphic to the bound quiver algebra kQ/I , where the quiver Q and the ideal I are defined as follows:*

Q has as set of vertices

$$Q_0 = \{m\ell_i : 0 \leq m \leq a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

(recall $0\ell_i = 0\ell_j$ and $a_i\ell_i = a_j\ell_j$ for all i, j).


For vertices $v, w \in Q_0$, there is

- *An arrow from v to w labelled X_i in case $w = v + \ell_i$ (for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$).*

¹In Definition 2.4.4, we required the elements of the exceptional collection to be totally ordered. In this situation, \leq defines only a partial order. The proposition holds for *any* total order on $\{\mathcal{O}_X(\ell) : 0 \leq \ell \leq c\}$ refining \leq .

- No arrow from v to w otherwise.

Q can be depicted as follows:



It is for pictorial reasons called hammock quiver.

In case $n \leq 2$, the ideal $I \subseteq kQ$ is defined to be zero, so $A \cong kQ$. Otherwise, we denote by $X_i^{a_i}$ the path in Q obtained by composing all the a_i arrows with label X_i (this is a path starting at 0 and ending in c). Then I is generated by the elements

$$X_i^{a_i} - X_2^{a_2} + x_i X_1^{a_1}$$

for $i = 3, \dots, n$.

Definition 3.1.5. The canonical algebra associated to the points \mathbf{x} and the weights \mathbf{a} is by definition kQ/I , where Q and I are defined as in Proposition 3.1.4.

Corollary 3.1.6. The weighted projective line and the canonical algebra associated to the points \mathbf{x} and weights \mathbf{a} have isomorphic Hochschild homology and cohomology groups. \square


Example 3.1.7. Consider the case $n = 2$ and $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. We would expect to get the usual \mathbb{P}^1 back.

Indeed, $L(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbb{Z}\ell_1 = \mathbb{Z}\ell_2$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , so that $S(\mathbf{a}) \cong k[X, Y]$ with the normal monomial grading. Our construction above yields exactly \mathbb{P}^1 and $\text{Qcoh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ resp. $\text{coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$. The hammock quiver is given by

$$\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$$


and there are no relations for the canonical algebra. We recognize this from Example 2.4.6.

If we have precisely one exceptional point, i.e. $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \langle \infty; a_1 \rangle$, the corresponding canonical algebra has the quiver



and no relations.

As a final example, if $X = \mathbb{P}^1\langle\infty, 0, 1; 2, 2, 3\rangle$, its canonical algebra is defined by the quiver




modulo the relation

$$X_3^3 - X_2^2 + X_1^2.$$

While the approach of Geigle and Lenzen allows to easily write down what a weighted projective line ‘is’, its nature is rather ad-hoc and allows little geometric intuition. In the following section, we sketch how one can construct weighted projective lines using the language of stacks.

3.2. Weighted projective lines as iterated root stacks

The idea of root stacks is that given a stack \mathcal{X} (e.g. a scheme) and an effective Cartier divisor $D \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, we want to add an automorphism group cyclic of order $r \geq 1$ to D and not change \mathcal{X} outside of D .



If \mathcal{X} is one-dimensional, this means that we may attach the weight $r \geq 1$ to some point $D \in \mathcal{X}$ and leave the other points of \mathcal{X} the same. Starting with $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^1$, one can get any weighted projective line by iterating this construction.

It is beyond the scope of this thesis to give a detailed account to the notion of algebraic stacks; we refer the reader to [Ols16] for a good introduction.

Root stacks and iterated root stacks were introduced in [Cad07]. We won’t repeat his definition and the necessary prerequisites here for space reasons. Instead, we will illustrate some properties of this construction. Namely, a root stack comes with an interesting semi-orthogonal decomposition.

Definition 3.2.1 ([Huy06, Def. 1.42 and 1.57]). Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category.

- (a) A full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ is called *admissible* if the inclusion functor $\mathcal{D}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ has a right adjoint.
- (b) A sequence $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n$ of admissible subcategories of \mathcal{D} is called *semi-orthogonal* if for all $i < j$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}_i, Y \in \mathcal{D}_j$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, X) = 0$.
- (c) A semi-orthogonal sequence $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n$ is called *semi-orthogonal decomposition* of \mathcal{D} if the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} containing all \mathcal{D}_i is \mathcal{D} .

In this case we write

$$\mathcal{D} \cong \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n \rangle.$$

Remark 3.2.2. Full exceptional sequences (cf. Definition 2.4.4) give semi-orthogonal decompositions by [Huy06, Example 1.60].

Proposition 3.2.3 ([BLS16, Theorem 4.7]). *Let X be a stack, $D \subseteq X$ an effective Cartier divisor, $r \geq 1$ an integer and \tilde{X} be the root stack.*

The derived category $D^b(\tilde{X})$ allows a semi-orthogonal decomposition of the form

$$D^b(\tilde{X}) = \left\langle D^b(X), \underbrace{D^b(D), \dots, D^b(D)}_{r-1 \text{ times}} \right\rangle.$$


(i.e. a semi-orthogonal decomposition into subcategories equivalent to $D^b(X)$ resp. $D^b(D)$). \square

Example 3.2.4. Consider the case $X = \mathbb{P}^1$. \mathbb{P}^1 is derived equivalent to the Kronecker algebra $k \left(\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet \right)$. If E is the Cartier divisor associated to a closed point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the resulting root stack (a weighted projective line where x has weight r and all other points have weight 1) is derived equivalent to the path algebra over the quiver

$$\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet \xrightarrow{x_1} \bullet \quad \dots \quad \bullet \xrightarrow{x_{r-1}} \bullet.$$

We identify the left end as $D^b(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and the $r - 1$ following points each as $D^b(E)$.

Proposition 3.2.5. *Let X be the weighted projective line associated to the points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and the weights $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then X is derived equivalent to the algebra $A = kQ/I$ defined as follows: The quiver Q is given by*



The ideal I is generated by

$$ax_{1,1}, \quad bx_{2,1}, \quad (x_i a - b)x_{i,1} \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, n.$$

Proof. This can be shown as in Example 3.2.4 by iterating the root stack construction, or a tilting approach similar to Proposition 3.1.3. The latter is explained e.g. in [PS16, Example 3.2]. \square

For pictorial reasons, the algebra A in Proposition 3.2.5 is called *squid algebra*. The leftmost ‘head’ of the squid $\bullet \xrightarrow[a]{a} \bullet$ comes from $D^b(\mathbb{P}^1)$, and the other vertices correspond to the exceptional points.

4. Happel's method

By Corollary 3.1.6, the Hochschild (co)homology of a weighted projective line can be calculated by calculating the Hochschild (co)homology of the associated canonical algebra¹.

In [Hap89], Happel proves important facts on the Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional associative algebras, which are very useful in practical computations. However, it is not a full procedure to compute the Hochschild cohomology. It can easily be adapted for Hochschild homology.

In the sequel [Hap98], Happel gives a calculation of the Hochschild cohomology of canonical algebras.

In this chapter, we reproduce Happel's work and give a procedure to compute the Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras of global dimension ≤ 2 .

Throughout this chapter, let k be any field.

4.1. The minimal resolution

In this section, we fix a finite quiver Q and an admissible ideal $I \subseteq kQ$. Denote by $A := kQ/I$ the bound quiver algebra². Recall that finite-dimensional algebra is Morita equivalent to a bound quiver algebra where the quiver Q and the ideal I are almost uniquely determined [ASS06, Section II.3].

Enumerate the vertices of Q by e_1, \dots, e_n . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $P(i) := Ae_i$ be the associated projective and $S(i) = P(i)/\text{rad}(P(i))$ the associated simple module.

Denote the set of arrows in Q by Q_1 . For each path p in Q , let $s(p)$ resp. $e(p)$ denote the starting resp. end vertex of p .

By definition, $\text{HH}^\bullet(A) = \text{Ext}_{A^e}^\bullet(A, A)$. We want to compute this by using a projective resolution of A as A^e -module. Recall that by [ASS06, Corollary I.5.10], A allows a minimal projective resolution, unique up to isomorphism³. We can describe it as follows:

Proposition 4.1.1 ([Hap89, Lemma 1.5]). *A allows a minimal projective resolution as A^e -module*

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_m \xrightarrow{d_m} P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where for $n \geq 0$,

$$P_m = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n (Ae_j \otimes_k e_i A)^{\dim \text{Ext}_A^m(S(i), S(j))}.$$

$Ae_i \otimes_k e_j A$ becomes an A -bimodule by multiplication from the left resp. right.

¹Of course, one can equivalently study squid algebras. The methods described in this chapter work similarly for squid algebras.

²Our conventions are the following: All modules are, unless stated otherwise, left modules. If p and q are paths in kQ , we denote by pq the path described by first following p and then q ; it is zero if the end vertex of p is distinct from the starting vertex of q . Note that this is the opposite composition from [ASS06], so we translate the results cited from there accordingly.

³Uniqueness is not stated explicitly there, but follows using [ASS06, Theorem I.5.8].

This describes precisely the objects in the projective resolution using only the Ext-groups of the simple modules. However, there is no description of the differentials.

Before we use this to compute Hochschild cohomology groups, let us note the following consequence:

Corollary 4.1.2. *If A has finite global dimension g , and $i > g$, then $\mathrm{HH}^i(A) = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1.1, the projective dimension of A as A^e -module is $\leq g$, so in particular $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A) = 0$. \square

We can find a description of the differentials in small degrees as follows: We will construct an exact sequence of A^e -modules

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{c_0} A \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_1 \cong P_1$, $C_0 \cong P_0$ and an explicit description of c_0, c_1 . By uniqueness of the minimal projective resolutions, we find a minimal projective resolution as in Proposition 4.1.1 with $P_0 = C_0$, $P_1 = C_1$, $\varepsilon = c_0$ and $d_1 = c_1$.

First, we recall some technical preliminaries.

Lemma 4.1.3. *Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

(a) *For any A -bimodule M , there is an isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(Ae_i \otimes_k e_j A, M) &\xrightarrow{\cong} e_i M e_j, \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi(e_i \otimes e_j) \\ [ae_i \otimes e_j b \mapsto amb] &\mapsto m \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (Ae_i \otimes_k e_j A) \otimes_{A^e} M &\xrightarrow{\cong} e_j M e_i \\ (a \otimes b) \otimes m &\mapsto bma \\ (e_i \otimes e_j) \otimes m &\mapsto m. \end{aligned}$$

(b) *It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Ext}_A^0(S(i), S(j)) &= \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases} \\ \dim \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(S(i), S(j)) &= \#\{\alpha \in Q_1 \mid s(\alpha) = e_j, e(\alpha) = e_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) *Denote by Q_0 the subquiver of Q which contains all vertices but no arrows. Then the canonical projection $kQ \rightarrow A$ has a kQ_0 -bilinear section.*

Proof. (a) is trivial. (b) follows from Schur's lemma [ASS06, Lemma I.3.1] and [ASS06, Lemma III.2.12].

(c) follows since kQ_0 and therefore $(kQ_0)^e$ is semi-simple [ASS06, Proposition II.1.10]. \square

Proposition 4.1.4. *Suppose that the quiver Q is acyclic⁴. The Hochschild homology of A in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by*

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_i(A) = \begin{cases} n, & i = 0 \\ 0, & i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

⁴Which is equivalent to A having finite global dimension, but we won't use this fact.

Proof. Consider the projective resolution of A as A^e -module specified in Proposition 4.1.1. We claim that $P_m \otimes_{A^e} A = 0$ for $m > 0$:

Consider a simple module $S(i)$ and a minimal projective resolution as left A -module

$$\cdots \rightarrow T_s \rightarrow T_{s-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow S(i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let V be the set of vertices $v \in Q_0$ such that there exists a path from v to e_i in Q . By induction, using minimality of the projective resolution, one shows that each T_s has the form $T_s \cong \bigoplus_{v \in V} (Av)^{a_{s,v}}$.

In particular, if $e_j \notin V$, we have $\text{Hom}_A(T_s, S(j)) = 0$. Hence $\text{Ext}_A^s(S(i), S(j)) = 0$ unless there is a path from j to i . Moreover, if $s > 0$, we also have $\text{Ext}_A^s(S(i), S(i)) = 0$.

By Lemma 4.1.3,

$$P_m \otimes_{A^e} A \cong \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n (e_i A e_j)^{\dim \text{Ext}_A^m(S(i), S(j))}.$$

Note that if $m > 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^m(S(i), S(j)) \neq 0$, there exists a path from i to j in Q which has positive length. By assumption, there will be no path from i to j in Q , so $e_i A e_j = 0$.

This shows $P_m \otimes_{A^e} A = 0$ for $m > 0$. Moreover,

$$P_0 \otimes_{A^e} A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i A e_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k e_i,$$

which has dimension n . □

Definition 4.1.5. Define C_0 and C_1 to be the A^e -modules

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &:= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A e_i \otimes_k e_i A, \\ C_1 &:= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1} A s(\alpha) \otimes_k e(\alpha) A. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\text{incl}_{e_i} : A e_i \otimes_k e_i A \hookrightarrow C_0$ be the canonical inclusion. Similarly for $\alpha \in Q_1$, let $\text{incl}_\alpha : A s(\alpha) \otimes_k e(\alpha) A \hookrightarrow C_1$ be the canonical inclusion.

We define the A^e -linear maps

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{c_0} A$$

as follows: For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $a, b \in A$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\text{incl}_{e_i}(a \otimes b)) &:= ab \\ c_1(\text{incl}_\alpha(a \otimes b)) &:= \text{incl}_{s(\alpha)}(a \otimes \alpha b) - \text{incl}_{e(\alpha)}(a \alpha \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Lemma 4.1.3, $C_0 \cong P_0$ and $C_1 \cong P_1$.

Lemma 4.1.6.

(a) *The k -linear map*

$$\partial : kQ \rightarrow C_1,$$

sending a path $p = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r$ to

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \text{incl}_{\alpha_i}(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{i-1} s(\alpha_i) \otimes e(\alpha_i) \alpha_{i+1} \cdots \alpha_n)$$

satisfies the Leibnitz rule

$$\forall p, q \in kQ : \partial(pq) = p\partial(q) + q\partial(p).$$

(b) For a path p in Q , we have

$$c_1 \partial(p) = \text{incl}_{s(p)}(s(p) \otimes p) - \text{incl}_{e(p)}(p \otimes e(p)).$$

(c) The morphism of left A -modules $s_{-1} : A \rightarrow C_0$, defined by $s_{-1}(e_i) := e_i \otimes e_i$ and A -linear continuation, is a splitting of c_0 .

(d) Fix a kQ_0 -linear splitting $\varphi : A \rightarrow kQ$ as in Lemma 4.1.3 and define the morphism of left A -modules

$$s_0 : C_0 \rightarrow C_1$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $a \in Ae_i$ and $b \in e_i A$ by

$$s_0(\text{incl}_{e_i}(a \otimes b)) := a \cdot \partial(\varphi(b)).$$

Then the sequence of left A -modules

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{c_0} A \rightarrow 0$$

is contractible via the homotopy s_{-1}, s_0 .

Proof. For (a) and (b), one uses a simple induction on path lengths. (c) is trivial.

For (d), we have to show $s_{-1}c_0 + c_1s_0 = \text{id}_{C_0}$. Since both sides are morphisms of left A -modules, we may check equality on elements of the form $\text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes b)$ for $b \in e_i A$.

Write $\varphi(b) := \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i p_i$ for paths p_1, \dots, p_r in Q (all of them starting in e_i). Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 s_0(\text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes b)) &= c_1(\partial(\varphi(b))) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i c_1(\partial(p_i)) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \left(\text{incl}_{s(p_i)}(s(p_i) \otimes p_i) - \text{incl}_{e(p_i)}(p_i \otimes e(p_i)) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{incl}_\bullet \text{ is } A^e\text{-linear}}{=} \text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i p_i) - \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i p \text{incl}_{e(p)}(e(p) \otimes e(p)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. } s_{-1}}{=} \text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes b) - \sum_{i=1}^r s_{-1}(\lambda_i p_i) \\ &= \text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes b) - s_{-1}(b) = \text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes b) - s_{-1}c_0(\text{incl}_{e_i}(e_i \otimes b)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.1.7. A has a minimal projective resolution as A^e -module

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_m \xrightarrow{d_m} P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{c_0} A \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.1.1, Lemma 4.1.6 and uniqueness of minimal projective resolutions. \square

Corollary 4.1.8 ([Hap89, Proposition 1.6]).

(a) $\dim \text{HH}^0(A)$ is the number of path-connected components of Q .

(b) For each arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, let $\nu(\alpha) := \dim_k s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha)$. Then

$$\dim \text{HH}^1(A) \leq \dim \text{HH}^0(A) - n + \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} \nu(\alpha).$$

If A has global dimension ≤ 1 , we have equality.

Proof. Apply $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(-, A)$ to the minimal projective resolution from Corollary 4.1.7. We get the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(C_0, A) \xrightarrow{c_1^*} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(C_1, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P_2, A).$$

By Lemma 4.1.3, we can identify this with the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i A e_i \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1} s(\alpha) A e(\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P_2, A).$$

Note that $e_i A e_i = k e_i$. Here, ϕ is the map which sends e_i to

$$\sum_{s(\alpha)=e_i} \alpha - \sum_{e(\alpha)=e_i} \alpha.$$

Thus if $Q = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ is the decomposition of Q into its path-connected components, a basis of $\ker(\phi)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ e_i \in V_j}}^n e_i \mid j = 1, \dots, r \right\}.$$

Thus $\dim \text{HH}^0(A) = \dim \ker(\phi) = r$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{HH}^1(A) &= \dim \ker(\text{Hom}_{A^e}(C_1, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P_2, A)) - \dim \text{im}(\phi) \\ &\leq \dim \text{Hom}_{A^e}(C_1, A) - (\dim \text{Hom}_{A^e}(C_0, A) - \dim \ker(\phi)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} \nu(\alpha) \right) - n + \dim \text{HH}^0(A). \end{aligned}$$


If A has global dimension ≤ 2 , $P_2 = 0$ and equality holds. \square

We can use this in the case of canonical algebras for 2 points.

Proposition 4.1.9. *Let A be the canonical algebra associated to the points $\mathbf{x} = (\infty, 0)$ and the weights $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$. The Hochschild (co)homology of A in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{HH}_i(A) &= \begin{cases} a_1 + a_2, & i = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \dim \text{HH}^0(A) &= 1 \\ \dim \text{HH}^1(A) &= \begin{cases} 3, & a_1 = a_2 = 1 \\ 2, & a_1 = 1 \neq a_2 \text{ or } a_1 \neq 1 = a_2 \\ 1, & a_1 \neq 1 \neq a_2 \end{cases} \\ \dim \text{HH}^i(A) &= 0 \text{ for } i \notin \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that with the given assumptions, A is the path algebra over the quiver



where the top string contains a_1 arrows and the bottom one a_2 arrows. In particular, A has global dimension equal to 1.

The claim on $\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(A)$ follows immediately from Proposition 4.1.4 and simple counting. The claim on $\mathrm{HH}^0(A)$ follows immediately from Corollary 4.1.8. Vanishing of $\mathrm{HH}^{\geq 2}(A)$ follows from Corollary 4.1.2.

By Corollary 4.1.8, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 1 - (a_1 + a_2) + \sum_{i=1}^{a_1} \nu(\alpha_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{a_2} \nu(\beta_i).$$

If $a_i > 1$, we have $\nu(\alpha_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, a_1$, so that $-a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{a_1} \nu(\alpha_i) = 0$. If $a_i = 1$, we have $\nu(\alpha_1) = 2$ so that $-a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{a_1} \nu(\alpha_i) = 1$.

The same argument shows

$$-a_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{a_2} \nu(\beta_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & a_2 = 1 \\ 0, & a_2 > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the claim on $\mathrm{HH}^1(A)$ follows. \square

This method works only for hereditary algebras. The canonical algebra associated to a weighted projective line with at least three exceptional points will not be hereditary, so this method needs a refinement in order to deal with these cases. We present two solutions for this.

4.2. One-point extensions

Throughout this section, let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over k .

Definition 4.2.1. [Hap89, Section 5.1] Let M be a left A -module. The *one-point extension* of A by M is

$$A[M] = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. elements are matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ with $a \in A, m \in M, \lambda \in k$ and multiplication comes from the usual rules for matrix multiplication.


Theorem 4.2.2 ([Hap89, Theorem 5.3]). *If M is a left A -module, there exists a long exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^0(A[M]) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^0(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, M)/k \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^1(A[M]) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^1(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(M, M) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{i+1}(A[M]) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^{i+1}(M, M) \rightarrow \dots. \quad \square$$

Note that this theorem is generalized in [Kel03, Lemma 4.5].

In [Hap98], this is applied to canonical algebras. Consider a vector of points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and weights $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ defining a canonical algebra.

Definition 4.2.3. We denote by Q the quiver from Proposition 3.1.4, and label it as



Let $I \subseteq kQ$ be the ideal such that $C := kQ/I$ is the canonical algebra from Proposition 3.1.4. Denote by c the unique sink in Q and by s the unique source.

Let $Q' \subseteq Q$ be the subquiver by removing the arrows $\alpha_{1,a_1}, \dots, \alpha_{n,a_n}$ and the vertex c .

Let $A := kQ'$ and $M \subseteq C$ be the A -module generated by all paths in Q which end in c and have positive length.

Lemma 4.2.4. $C \cong A[M]$.

Proof. This is a simple observation. □

Lemma 4.2.5. $\mathrm{HH}^0(A) \cong k$ and $\mathrm{HH}^i(A) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

Proof. This follows again from Corollaries 4.1.2 (A has global dimension one) and 4.1.8 (all arrows α in Q' have $\nu(\alpha) = 1$). □

Lemma 4.2.6. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $v_i := s(\alpha_{i,a_i})$ be the i -th sink of Q' .

(a) $P := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Av_i$ is a projective A -submodule of A .

(b) There is an epimorphism

$$P \rightarrow M, x \mapsto x(\alpha_{1,a_1} + \dots + \alpha_{n,a_n}).$$

(c) The kernel of this epimorphism K is generated by the elements

$$k_i := \alpha_{i,1} \cdots \alpha_{i,a_i-1} - \alpha_{2,1} \cdots \alpha_{2,a_2-1} + x_i \alpha_{1,1} \cdots \alpha_{1,a_1-1}$$

for $i = 3, \dots, n$.

Proof. (a) Recall that A is, as A -module, $\bigoplus_{v \text{ vertex in } Q'} (Av)$.

(b) The image of v_i is α_{i,a_i} , and these elements clearly generate M as A -module.

(c) These generators correspond to the generators of the ideal in Proposition 3.1.4. □

Lemma 4.2.7. Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, M) = 1$ and $\dim \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(M, M) = n - 3$.

Proof. We apply $\text{Hom}_A(-, M)$ to Lemma 4.2.6 and get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(K, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, M) \rightarrow 0$$

since P is projective.

As $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Av_i$, we have $\text{Hom}_A(P, M) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n v_i M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k\alpha_{i,a_i}$. We can identify this as a subspace of M .

K is a projective A -module (as A is hereditary). It is isomorphic to the projective module $(As)^{n-2}$ (with s being the vertex from Definition 4.2.3). Hence $\text{Hom}_A(K, M) \cong (sM)^{n-2}$. Note that sM corresponds to all paths in Q from s to c modulo the ideal defining the canonical algebra, so sM two-dimensional with basis

$$\alpha_{1,1} \cdots \alpha_{1,a_1}, \quad \alpha_{2,1} \cdots \alpha_{2,a_2}.$$

With these identifications, the map $\text{Hom}_A(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(K, M)$ corresponds to

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n k\alpha_{i,a_i} \rightarrow (sM)^{n-2}, x \mapsto (k_3x, \dots, k_nx)$$

with k_3, \dots, k_n as in Lemma 4.2.6. We claim that the rank of this map is $n - 1$:

On the one hand, the rank is at least $n - 1$, since the elements $\alpha_{2,a_2}, \dots, \alpha_{n,a_n}$ are sent to linearly independent elements in $(sM)^{n-2}$ (if $i \geq 3$, α_{i,a_i} is sent to $(0, \dots, 0, \alpha_{i,1} \cdots \alpha_{i,a_i}, 0, \dots, 0)$ with only the i -th entry non-zero).

On the other hand, the kernel is non-trivial, since $\alpha_{1,a_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{n,a_n}$ is sent to zero.

Since $\dim \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k\alpha_{i,a_i} = n$, the map must have rank equal to $n - 1$.

Hence $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$, identified with the kernel, is one-dimensional. $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, M)$, identified as the cokernel, has dimension $n - 3$. \square

Proposition 4.2.8 ([Hap98, Theorem 2.4]). *Suppose $n \geq 3$. The Hochschild cohomology of the canonical algebra $C = A[M]$ in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by*

$$\dim \text{HH}^i(C) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \\ 0, & i = 1 \\ n - 3, & i = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We use the long exact sequence of Theorem 4.2.2. Since $\dim \text{Hom}_A(M, M) = 1$ by Lemma 4.2.7, exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow \text{HH}^0(C) \rightarrow \text{HH}^0(A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, M)/k$$

yields $\dim \text{HH}^0(C) = \dim \text{HH}^0(A) = 1$ by Lemma 4.2.5.

Moreover, exactness of

$$0 = \text{Hom}_A(M, M)/k \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(C) \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(A)$$

yields $\text{HH}^1(C) = 0$ since $\text{HH}^1(A) = 0$ by Lemma 4.2.5.

Exactness of

$$0 = \text{HH}^1(A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(M, M) \rightarrow \text{HH}^2(C) \rightarrow \text{HH}^2(A) = 0$$

shows, using Lemma 4.2.7, that $\dim \mathrm{HH}^2(C) = n - 3$.

If $i \geq 3$, exactness of

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^{i-1}(M, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^i(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^i(A) = 0$$

shows $\mathrm{HH}^i(C) = 0$ since A has global dimension $1 < i - 1$. \square

We conclude:

Corollary 4.2.9. *The Hochschild (co)homology of a weighted projective line X with n exceptional points of weight $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by*

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_i(X) = \begin{cases} 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - 1, & i = 0 \\ 0, & i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^i(X) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \\ \max(3 - n, 0), & i = 1 \\ \max(n - 3, 0), & i = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We know that the Hochschild (co)homology groups of X and the associated canonical algebra coincide by Corollary 3.1.6.

The Hochschild homology is due to Proposition 4.1.4 and some simple counting.

Hochschild cohomology for $n \leq 2$ is Proposition 4.1.9. For $n \geq 3$, it is Proposition 4.2.8. \square

4.3. Alternative proof

Happel's method using one-point extensions gives a quick calculation of the Hochschild cohomology of a canonical algebra in case $n \geq 3$. However, it does not make clear *why* the Hochschild cohomology depends only on the number of exceptional points, and not the weights a_i nor the coordinates x_i .

We want to shed some light on this question by presenting a different method to compute the Hochschild cohomology.

Let $A = kQ/I$ be as in section 4.1. Suppose for this section that Q is acyclic.

Recall that the differentials in Proposition 4.1.1 are implicit. We were able to make $P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ explicit in section 4.1. In this section, we also make $P_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1$ explicit, allowing to directly write down a complex computing the Hochschild cohomology of an algebra of global dimension ≤ 2 .

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be again the vertices of Q , $S(i)$ and $P(i)$ the corresponding simple resp. projective modules.

Lemma 4.3.1.

(a) *There exist elements $r_1, \dots, r_m \in I$ such that*

- *For each r_i , there exist uniquely determined vertices $s(r_i), e(r_i) \in Q_0$, called start resp. end of r_i , such that $r_i \in s(r_i)(kQ)e(r_i)$. i.e. r_i is a linear combination of paths starting in $s(r_i)$ and ending in $e(r_i)$.*
- *r_1, \dots, r_m is a minimal generating set of I . i.e. $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ generates I as ideal, but no proper subset does.*

- (b) For any such generating system r_1, \dots, r_m and for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\dim \text{Ext}_A^2(S(i), S(j))$ is the number of elements r_ℓ such that $s(r_\ell) = e_j, e(r_\ell) = e_i$.

Proof. (a) By [ASS06, Corollary II.2.9], there exists a generating set $r_1, \dots, r_m \in I$ such that each r_i is in $s(r_i)(kQ)e(r_i)$ for uniquely determined vertices $s(r_i), e(r_i) \in Q$. Replacing $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ by a minimal generating subset, we may assume that $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ is a minimal generating set of I .

- (b) Recall that $\text{rad}(kQ)$ is the ideal of kQ generated by all arrows in Q . The fact that $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$ is a minimal generating system of I is equivalent to $r_1 + \text{rad}(I), \dots, r_m + \text{rad}(I)$ being a basis of $I/\text{rad}(I)$ (generating $I/\text{rad}(I)$ as vector space is equivalent to generating I by Nakayama's lemma, and a minimal generating system of a vector space is a basis).

We would like to calculate Ext_A^2 of simple modules. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and denote the arrows ending in e_i by $\pi := \{\alpha \in Q_1 : e(\alpha) = e_i\}$. Then there exists a minimal projective presentation of $S(i)$ of the form

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} As(\alpha) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow S(i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us continue this to a minimal projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} As(\alpha) \rightarrow P(i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(S(i), S(j))$ can be computed by applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, S(j))$ to this resolution and taking cohomology.

For each differential $P_s \xrightarrow{d_s} P_{s-1}$ of this resolution, the resolution being minimal implies that $\ker(d_s) \subseteq \text{rad}(P_s)$ and $\text{im}(d_s) \subseteq \text{rad}(P_{s-1})$. Therefore, $\text{Hom}_A(d_s, S(j)) = 0$ for all s .

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^2(S(i), S(j)) &\cong \text{Hom}_A(P_2, S(j)) \cong \text{Hom}_A(P_2/\text{rad}(P_2), S(j)) \\ &\cong_{\ker(d_2) \subseteq \text{rad}(P_2)} \text{Hom}_A(\text{im}(d_2)/\text{rad}(\text{im}(d_2)), S(j)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(\text{im}(d_2), S(j)) \cong_{\text{exactness}} \text{Hom}_A(\ker(d_1), S(j)). \end{aligned}$$

Put $K := \ker(d_1) = \ker\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} As(\alpha) \rightarrow P(i)\right)$.

Note that for each projective module $P(i) = Ae_i$, there is a short exact sequence of kQ -modules $0 \rightarrow Ie_i \rightarrow (kQ)e_i \rightarrow Ae_i \rightarrow 0$. We therefore get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_1 : & 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} Is(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & Ie_i & \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ R_2 : & 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} (kQ)s(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & (kQ)e_i & \longrightarrow S(i) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \parallel \\ R_3 : & 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} As(\alpha) & \longrightarrow & P(i) & \longrightarrow S(i) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & & & 0 & & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

Here, the map $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} (kQ)s(\alpha) \rightarrow (kQ)e_i$ sends an element in $x \in (kQ)s(\alpha)$ to $x\alpha \in (kQ)e_i$. Note that all columns and the row R_2 are exact. This yields a short exact sequence of chain complexes $0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow 0$. The long exact homology sequence together with exactness of R_2 shows $H_\bullet(R_3) = H_{\bullet+1}(R_1)$. Hence

$$K = H_0(R_3) \cong H_1(R_1) \cong \frac{Ie_i}{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \pi} I\alpha} = \frac{Ie_i}{\text{rad}(Ie_i)},$$

where $\text{rad}(Ie_i)$ denotes the radical of the left kQ -module Ie_i . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_A((Ie_i)/\text{rad}(Ie_i), S(j)) &\cong \text{Hom}_{A/\text{rad}(A)}((Ie_i)/\text{rad}(Ie_i), S(j)) \\ &\cong e_j(Ie_i)/\text{rad}(Ie_i) \cong e_j(I/\text{rad}(I))e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r_1 + \text{rad}(I), \dots, r_m + \text{rad}(I)$ is a basis of $I/\text{rad}(I)$, the dimension of $e_j(I/\text{rad}(I))e_i$ is equal to the number of relations r_ℓ with $s(r_\ell) = e_j, e(r_\ell) = e_i$. \square

Corollary 4.3.2. *With relations r_1, \dots, r_m as in part (a) of Lemma 4.3.1 and*

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_m \xrightarrow{d_m} P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A \rightarrow 0$$

being the minimal projective resolution from Proposition 4.1.1, we have

$$P_2 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m As(r_i) \otimes_k e(r_i)A.$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.1.1 and the observation

$$\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : (Ae_j \otimes_k e_i A)^{\dim \text{Ext}_A^2(S(i), S(j))} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ s(r_\ell)=e_j \\ e(r_\ell)=e_i}}^m As(r_\ell) \otimes_k e(r_\ell)A.$$

which follows from Lemma 4.3.1 part (b). \square

Throughout this section, fix relations r_1, \dots, r_m as in Lemma 4.1.6 part (a).

Example 4.3.3. We are mainly interested in the case of canonical algebras. In the setting of Proposition 3.1.4, the $n-2$ explicit generators r_1, \dots, r_{n-2} where

$$r_i = X_i^{a_i} - X_2^{a_2} + x_i X_1^{a_1}$$

satisfy the conditions of lemma 4.1.6 part (a).

Definition 4.3.4. Let ∂ be the map from Lemma 4.1.6.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &:= \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^m As(r_\ell) \otimes_k e(r_\ell)A \\ C_2 &\xrightarrow{c_2} C_1 : a \otimes b \mapsto a\partial(r_\ell)b \end{aligned}$$

for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $a \in As(r_\ell)$ and $b \in e(r_\ell)A$.

Lemma 4.3.5. *With ∂, c_2 as above, we have $\partial(I) \subseteq \text{im}(c_2)$.*

Proof. Since ∂ is k -linear and I is generated, as k -vector space, by elements of the form

$$ar_\ell b$$

with a, b paths in Q such that $e(a) = s(r_\ell), e(r_\ell) = s(b)$, it suffices to show that $\partial(ar_\ell b) \in \text{im}(c_2)$ for all these elements.

By Lemma 4.1.6 part (a),

$$\partial(ar_\ell b) = \partial(a)r_\ell b + a\partial(r_\ell)b + ar_\ell\partial(b) \underset{r_\ell=0 \text{ in } A}{=} a\partial(r_\ell)b = c_2(a \otimes b). \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3.6. *The sequence*

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{c_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} C_0$$

is exact.

Proof. We first show that $c_1 c_2 = 0$: Let $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 c_2(s(r_\ell) \otimes e(r_\ell)) &= c_1(\partial(r_\ell)) \underset{\text{L4.1.6}}{=} \text{incl}_{s(r_\ell)}(s(r_\ell) \otimes r_\ell) - \text{incl}_{e(r_\ell)}(r_\ell \otimes e(r_\ell)) \\ &\underset{r_\ell=0 \text{ in } A}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to show that the kernel of c_1 is contained in the image of c_2 .

Let $C_0 \xrightarrow{s_0} C_1$ be the homotopy from Lemma 4.1.6. We have $\ker(c_1) \subseteq \text{im}(\text{id}_{C_1} - s_0 c_1)$, because if $c_1(x) = 0$, $x = (\text{id}_{C_1} - s_0 c_1)(x)$.

It hence suffices to show that the image of $\text{id}_{C_1} - s_0 c_1$ is contained in the image of c_2 . Note that $\text{id}_{C_1} - s_0 c_1$ and c_2 are morphisms of left A -modules, and that C_1 is generated, as left A -module, by elements of the form

$$\text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes p)$$

for $\alpha \in Q_1$ and p a path in Q starting at $e(\alpha)$. So it is enough to show that

$$(\text{id}_{C_1} - s_0 c_1)(\text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes p)) \in \text{im}(c_2).$$

We evaluate $s_0 c_1$ as follows:

$$s_0 c_1(\text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes p)) = s_0 \left(\text{incl}_{s(\alpha)}(\alpha p) - \text{incl}_{e(\alpha)}(\alpha \otimes p) \right) = \partial(\varphi(\alpha p)) - \alpha \partial(\varphi(p)).$$

Note that $\varphi(\alpha p) - \alpha \varphi(p) \in kQ$ is an element in the kernel of the projection $\pi : kQ \rightarrow A$ (since π is an algebra homomorphism and $\pi \varphi = \text{id}_A$). Hence $\varphi(\alpha p) - \alpha \varphi(p) \in I$. By Lemma 4.3.5, $\partial(\varphi(\alpha p) - \alpha \varphi(p)) \in \text{im}(c_2)$.

By Lemma 4.1.6 part (a),

$$\partial(\varphi(\alpha p) - \alpha \varphi(p)) = \partial(\varphi(\alpha p)) - \partial(\alpha) \varphi(p) - \alpha \partial(\varphi(p))$$

By definition of ∂ and the observation that the image of $\varphi(p)$ in A is p , we conclude

$$\partial(\alpha) \varphi(p) = \text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes 1)p = \text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes p).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} - s_0 c_1)(\text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes p)) &= \text{incl}_\alpha(1 \otimes p) - (\partial(\varphi(\alpha p)) - \alpha \partial(\varphi(p))) \\ &= -\partial(\varphi(\alpha p) - \alpha \varphi(p)) \in \partial(I) \subseteq \text{im}(c_2). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.3.7. *Suppose that the global dimension of A is ≤ 2 . $\mathrm{HH}^\bullet(A)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the cochain complex*

$$0 \rightarrow k[Q_0] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1} s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^m s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell) \rightarrow 0$$

with the two maps defined as follows:

(a) For $v \in Q_0$ and $\alpha \in Q_1$, the image of v in $s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \alpha, & s(\alpha) = v \\ -\alpha, & e(\alpha) = v \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) For $\alpha \in Q_1$ and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the map $s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) \rightarrow s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell)$ is the composition

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) &\xrightarrow{-\otimes \partial(r_\ell)} s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) \otimes \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_1} (s(r_\ell)As(\beta) \otimes e(\beta)Ae(r_\ell)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \text{projection}} s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) \otimes (s(r_\ell)As(\alpha) \otimes e(\alpha)Ae(r_\ell)) \xrightarrow{(a \otimes b \otimes c) \mapsto bac} s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since A has global dimension ≤ 2 , the minimal projective resolution of A as A^e -module from Proposition 4.1.1 is, by Lemmas 4.1.6 and 4.3.6, isomorphic to

$$0 \rightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{c_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} C_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(-, A)$ gives, with little effort and Lemma 4.1.3, the desired cochain complex. \square

Remark 4.3.8. The morphism in part (b) of Corollary 4.3.7 can be made more explicit as follows:

Pick a morphism $\alpha \in Q_1$ and a relation r_ℓ . Write

$$r_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i$$

for scalars λ_i and paths p_i in Q . We may order the paths such that α is contained in p_1, \dots, p_M and not contained in p_{M+1}, \dots, p_N .

For $i \leq M$, α occurs precisely once in p_i (as Q is acyclic), so we may write $p_i = q_i \alpha q'_i$ for uniquely determined paths q_i, q'_i .

The projection of $\partial(r_\ell)$ to the α -summand of C_1 equals

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i q_i \otimes q'_i.$$

Hence the induced map $s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) \rightarrow s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell)$ sends $x \in s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha)$ to

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i q_i x q'_i.$$

In particular, this map sends $\alpha \in s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha)$ to $\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i p_i \in s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell)$.

Example 4.3.9. Consider a weighted projective line with $n \geq 3$ exceptional points. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ be the weights and $x_1 = \infty, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be the coordinates of the exceptional points.

Let $A = kQ/I$ be the canonical algebra associated to this weighted projective line as in Proposition 3.1.4. We will use the same labels for the arrows and vertices as this proposition. We would like to compute the Hochschild cohomology using Corollary 4.3.7.

Let, as in Example 4.3.3, r_3, \dots, r_n be the relations

$$r_i = X_i^{a_i} - X_2^{a_2} + x_i X_1^{a_1}.$$

Note that for each morphism α in Q_1 , α is the only path in Q starting at $s(\alpha)$ and ending in $e(\alpha)$ (since $a_i \geq 2$ for all i). Thus $s(\alpha)Ae(\alpha) = k\alpha$.

For each relation r_ℓ , $s(r_\ell) = 0$ and $e(r_\ell) = c$. Therefore $s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell)$ is two-dimensional with a basis given by $\rho_1 := X_1^{a_1}, \rho_2 := X_2^{a_2}$. Hence a basis of $\bigoplus_{\ell=3}^n s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell)$ is given by elements of the form

$$\rho_1^3, \rho_2^3, \rho_1^4, \rho_2^4, \dots, \rho_1^n, \rho_2^n.$$

We identify the cochain complex of Corollary 4.3.7 as

$$0 \rightarrow k[Q_0] \xrightarrow{f} k[Q_1] \xrightarrow{g} \bigoplus_{i=3}^n s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, f sends a vertex v to

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ s(\alpha)=v}} \alpha - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in Q_1 \\ e(\alpha)=v}} \alpha.$$

g sends (using Remark 4.3.8) an arrow labelled X_1 to

$$x_3 \rho_1^3 + x_4 \rho_1^4 + \dots + x_n \rho_1^n.$$

Arrows with the label X_2 are sent to

$$-\rho_2^3 - \rho_2^4 - \dots - \rho_2^n.$$

Finally, an arrow labelled X_i for $i \geq 3$ is mapped to

$$-\rho_2^i + x_i \rho_1^i.$$

It is straightforward to see that g has rank $n - 1$. By Corollary 4.1.8, the rank of f is $\#Q_0 - 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{HH}^1(A) &= \dim k[Q_1] - \mathrm{rk}(f) - \mathrm{rk}(g) = \#Q_1 - \#Q_0 + n - 2 = 0, \\ \dim \mathrm{HH}^2(A) &= \dim \left(\bigoplus_{\ell=3}^n s(r_\ell)Ae(r_\ell) \right) - \mathrm{rk}(g) = 2(n - 2) - (n - 1) = n - 3. \end{aligned}$$

We get the same result as in Proposition 4.2.8.

Remark 4.3.10. (a) We see again that the number of exceptional points alone determines the Hochschild cohomology, independent of the weights and coordinates. We now may give a first answer, why this is the case:

Indeed, the weights a_i ‘cancel out’ in the calculation of HH^1 (since $\#Q_0 - \#Q_1 = n - 2$ holds independently of the weights) and the weights play no role when computing HH^2 . The coordinates x_i are irrelevant since we are only interested in the rank of g , which happens to be $n - 1$ regardless of the coordinates x_i .

- (b) When working with finite-dimensional algebras, it is also possible to use computer algebra methods to calculate specific examples. We use the package [QPA] of the computer algebra system [GAP4]. Our routines to compute Hochschild cohomology and to create canonical algebras are printed and explained in Appendix A.

.

5. Quotient stacks

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, which we usually will omit from our notation.

In this chapter, we develop a method which can be used to compute Hochschild (co)homology groups of one-dimensional iterated root stacks.

5.1. The decomposition of Arinkin-Căldăraru-Hablicsek

Let X be a smooth projective k -scheme and $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ a finite group of k -scheme-automorphisms. Similar to the HKR-decomposition (Theorem 2.4.7), [ACH14] gives a decomposition of the Hochschild (co)homology groups of the quotient stack $[X/G]$.

We use the following notation, where $g \in G$:

- X^g is the fixed locus of g , i.e. the equalizer of $X \xrightarrow[g]{\text{id}} X$ in the category of k -schemes.
- c_g is the codimension of X^g in X .
- $N_{X^g/X}$ is the normal bundle of X^g in X and $\omega_g := \bigwedge^{c_g} N_{X^g/X}$ its highest exterior power.
- T_g is the vector bundle on X^g obtained by restricting T_X to X^g and taking coinvariants with respect to the action of g .
- Ω_g^j the dual bundle of $\bigwedge^j T_g$ (as bundles on X^g).

Theorem 5.1.1 (Arinkin-Căldăraru-Hablicsek, [ACH14, Corollary 1.17]). *If X is smooth projective and $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ is finite, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HH}_\bullet([X/G]) &= \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \bigoplus_{q-p=\bullet} \text{H}^p(X^g, \Omega_g^q) \right)^G. \\ \text{HH}^\bullet([X/G]) &= \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \text{H}^{p-c_g}(X^g, \bigwedge^q T_g \otimes \omega_g) \right)_G. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(\dots)^G$ denote invariants and $(\dots)_G$ coinvariants for the group G .

The G -action is defined as follows: For $h \in G$, h acts on the direct sum of the $\text{H}^p(X^g, \Omega_g^q)$ resp. $\text{H}^{p-c_g}(X^g, \bigwedge^q T_g \otimes \omega_g)$ by the isomorphism $X^g \xrightarrow{h} X^{hgh^{-1}}$ which identifies Ω_g^q with $\Omega_{hgh^{-1}}^q$, T_g with $T_{hgh^{-1}}$ and ω_g with $\omega_{hgh^{-1}}$.

Example 5.1.2. Let us check that we obtain Theorem 2.4.7 in case $G = 1$: Indeed, for $g = 1$, we have $X^g = X$, $c_g = 0$ so that $\omega_g = \mathcal{O}_X$. Hence $T_g = T_X$ and $\Omega_g^q = \Omega_{X/k}^q$. This recovers precisely Theorem 2.4.7.

Remark 5.1.3. Similar to Corollary 2.4.8, we get vanishing and symmetry results for HH^\bullet and HH_\bullet :

- $\mathrm{HH}_\bullet([X/G]) \cong \mathrm{HH}_\bullet([X/G])$.
 T_g is the tangent bundle of¹ X^g . It follows that for an irreducible component $Y \subseteq X^g$ of dimension n , $\Omega_g^n|_Y$ is the dualizing bundle of Y . Then the proof works as in Corollary 2.4.8.
- $\mathrm{HH}_i([X/G]) = 0$ if $i \notin [-\dim X, \dim X]$.
 Indeed, $\mathrm{H}^p(X^g, \Omega_g^q) = 0$ unless $p \in [0, \dim X]$ (as Ω_g^q is quasi-coherent) and $q \in [0, \dim X]$ (as T_g is a vector bundle of rank $\leq \dim X$).
- $\mathrm{HH}_i([X/G]) = 0$ if $i \notin [0, 2 \dim X]$.
 $\mathrm{H}^{p-c_g}(X^g, \wedge^q T_g \otimes \omega_g) = 0$ unless $p \in [c_g, \dim(X^g) + c_g]$ and $q \in [0, \dim X^g]$. In particular, this is zero unless $p + q \in [0, 2 \dim X]$.

5.2. Quotients of curves

We now specialize Theorem 5.1.1 to the case of quotients of curves.

Remark 5.2.1. Let $g \geq 3$ be an integer. Most curves of genus g have a trivial automorphism group: Indeed, by [Poo00], there exists a curve of genus g having a trivial automorphism group. Since the moduli stack of curves of genus g is irreducible, the generic curve will have a trivial automorphism group, so the curves with non-trivial automorphism group are contained in a closed substack of smaller dimension. In other words, there are only few curves of genus ≥ 3 to which Theorem 5.1.1 can be applied with a non-trivial group G .

Example 5.2.2 (Toy example). Throughout this section, we will illustrate everything using one of the simplest examples: We consider $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ with coordinate ring $k[X, Y]$, and the group $G = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, where σ is the involution $\sigma([x : y]) = [-x : y]$. We will later see that the quotient stack $[C/G]$ is isomorphic to a weighted projective line.

Lemma 5.2.3. *Let X be a projective k -scheme, $P \subseteq X$ a finite set of points and $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ a finite group of k -scheme automorphisms. Then there exists a G -invariant affine open subset $U \subseteq X$ such that $P \subseteq U$. In particular, X can be covered by G -invariant affine open subsets.*

Proof. Replacing P by $\bigcup_{g \in G} gP$, we may assume P is G -invariant. Embed $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$ for some $N > 0$. Recall that any finite subset of \mathbb{P}_k^N is contained in an affine open subset (this follows basically from the prime avoidance lemma). Restricting to X , we find an affine open subset $V \subseteq X$ such that $P \subseteq V$.

Since projective schemes are separated, $U := \bigcap_{g \in G} gV$ is an affine open subset of X . As we assumed that P is G -invariant, $P \subseteq U$. It is clear by construction that U is G -invariant. \square

Example 5.2.4. Continuing Example 5.2.2, we can cover $\mathbb{P}^1 = D(X) \cup D(Y)$. Here, X and Y denote the standard coordinate functions. Then $D(X)$ and $D(Y)$ are affine open and G -invariant.

Lemma 5.2.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local k -algebra with residue field k and let moreover $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(R)$ be a group of k -algebra automorphisms of R . Moreover denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_{k\text{-Vect}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ the group of k -vector space automorphisms of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.*

¹This can be proved using [Car15, Lemma 2].

There is a natural map of groups

$$G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Vect}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2).$$

If G is a torsion group, this map is injective.

Proof. Each $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(R)$ must preserve \mathfrak{m} (being the unique maximal ideal) and thus \mathfrak{m}^2 . Hence it restricts to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. This gives a natural map of groups $\operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Vect}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$.

It remains to show injectivity, under the assumption that G is torsion. So suppose that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(R)$ is a finite-order automorphism inducing the identity map on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. i.e. for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, $\varphi(x) - x \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. We would like to show $\varphi = \operatorname{id}_R$.

Claim 1. For all $n \geq 1$, φ induces the identity map on $\mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$.

Indeed, for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$, $\varphi(x_1 \cdots x_n) \in (x_1 + \mathfrak{m}^2) \cdots (x_n + \mathfrak{m}^2) \subseteq x_1 \cdots x_n + \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$.

Claim 2. For all $n \geq 2$, φ induces the identity map on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^n$.

This claim is proved inductively, being clear for $n = 2$. Now in the inductive step, let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, suppose $\varphi(x) - x \in \mathfrak{m}^n$. We want to show $\varphi(x) - x \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Put $y := \varphi(x) - x$. By Claim 1 and $y \in \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have $\varphi(y) \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}$. Then one inductively shows $\varphi^m(x) \equiv x + my \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}$ for all integers $m \geq 1$.

As φ is assumed to be of finite order, there exists some $m \geq 1$ with $\varphi^m(x) = x$, hence $my \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. As m is invertible in k (using $\operatorname{char} k = 0$), $y \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Claim 2 is proved.

Claim 3. φ induces the identity map on \mathfrak{m} .

Indeed, for $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, we have $\varphi(x) - x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n$. By Krull's intersection theorem, we have $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n = 0$, so $\varphi(x) - x = 0$.

Now we can finish the proof of $\varphi = \operatorname{id}_R$ as follows: Consider the ring homomorphism $k \rightarrow R$ inducing the k -algebra structure, and denote its image also by k . It follows that $R = k \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ as k -vector space. φ being a morphism of k -algebras induces the identity map on k . Then φ is the identity map on R by Claim 3. \square

Corollary 5.2.6. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular one-dimensional noetherian local k -algebra and $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(R)$ be a finite group of k -algebra automorphisms. Then G is cyclic.

Proof. The assumptions imply that $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is one-dimensional, so by Lemma 5.2.5, G can be embedded into $\operatorname{Aut}_{k\text{-Vect}}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) \cong k^\times$, the multiplicative group of k . Recall that any finite subgroup of k^\times is cyclic. \square

Proposition 5.2.7. Let C be a smooth and irreducible projective curve over k and $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ a finite group of automorphisms.

(a) The set

$$\{c \in C \mid \exists g \in G \setminus \{1\} : g(c) = c\}$$

is a finite set of closed points of C .

(b) For each point $c \in C$, the stabilizer $G_c = \{g \in G \mid g(c) = c\}$ is cyclic.

(c) If $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and $c \in C$ satisfy $g(c) = c$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{C,c}, \mathfrak{m}_c)$ is the local ring at c , then g acts on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$ by multiplication by some scalar $\mu \in k^\times \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. For each $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, the equalizer C^g of $C \xrightarrow[g]{\operatorname{id}} C$ is a closed subscheme of C (as C is separated), and $C^g \neq C$. As C is smooth, it is reduced, hence C^g is topologically a properly contained closed subset of C . Then each irreducible component

of C^g must be zero-dimensional (as $\dim C = 1$), hence C^g is a union of finitely many closed points of C . The set in (a) therefore equals $\bigcup_{g \in G \setminus \{1\}} C^g$, proving (a).

Now pick a point $c \in C$ and denote by $(\mathcal{O}_{C,c}, \mathfrak{m}_c)$ its local ring. Consider the stabilizer G_c . Each $g \in G_c$ induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ as k -algebra. We claim that the induced group homomorphism $G_c \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(\mathcal{O}_{C,c})$ is injective:

Suppose $g \in G_c$ induces the identity map on $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$, and let $U \subseteq C$ be a G -invariant affine open neighbourhood of c (by Lemma 5.2.3). Write $U \cong \text{Spec } A$. Then A is an integral domain (as C is integral), so the map $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ is injective (as it is a localization map). So if g induces the identity map on $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$, it induces the identity map on A and thus on U . We see $U \subseteq C^g$. However, since U is dense in C and C^g is closed, we get the contradiction $C = C^g$.

Therefore the map $G_c \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{k\text{-Alg}}(\mathcal{O}_{C,c})$ is injective. By Corollary 5.2.6, G_c is cyclic. Moreover, Lemma 5.2.6 shows that $G_c \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{k\text{-Vect}}(\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2) \cong k^\times$ is injective, proving (c). \square

Example 5.2.8. Let $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ be as in Example 5.2.2. The fixed points of σ is $c_1 = [1 : 0]$ and $c_2 = [0 : 1]$. Since G is cyclic, it is trivial that all stabilizers are cyclic.

By Proposition 5.2.7, for each of the two fixed points c_1, c_2 , the involution σ acts on $\mathfrak{m}_{c_i}/\mathfrak{m}_{c_i}^2$ by multiplication by -1 (as the scalar is $\neq 1$ but $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$ acts by multiplication by 1). Geometrically, σ is a reflection near each of the two points, so it is somewhat intuitive that tangent vectors get ‘flipped’.

With this description of finite group actions on curves, we can simplify Theorem 5.1.1. For the remainder of this section, let C be a smooth irreducible projective curve over k and $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ a finite group of k -scheme automorphisms.

Lemma 5.2.9. *Let $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and $c \in C^g$. Let $(\mathcal{O}_{C,c}, \mathfrak{m}_c)$ be the local ring and $\mu \in k^\times \setminus \{1\}$ be the scalar such that g acts on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$ by multiplication by μ .*

With the notation as in Theorem 5.1.1, we have:

- (a) $c_g = 1$.
- (b) C^g is a reduced closed subscheme of C , where the points of C^g are precisely those $c \in C$ such that $g(c) = c$.
- (c) The stalk of $N_{C^g/C}$ at c is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}}(\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2, \mathcal{O}_{C,c})$ where g acts by multiplication by μ^{-1} .
- (d) If Ω_C^1 and T_C denote the (co)tangent bundles and $(\Omega_C^1)_c$ resp. $(T_C)_c$ their stalks at c , g acts on $\frac{(\Omega_C^1)_c}{\mathfrak{m}_c(\Omega_C^1)_c}$ by multiplication by μ . It acts on $\frac{(T_C)_c}{\mathfrak{m}_c(T_C)_c}$ by multiplication by μ^{-1} .
- (e) $T_g = 0$ and $\Omega_g = 0$.

Proof. (a) This is clear as C^g is a zero-dimensional subscheme of C .

(b) Let A be the set of points $c \in C$ such that $g(c) = c$. Then A is a finite set of closed points (Proposition 5.2.7), so A is closed. If we equip A with the reduced subscheme structure, g acts trivially on A (it acts trivially on the points, and each point is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } k$, which has no non-trivial k -scheme automorphisms). Hence $A \hookrightarrow C$ factors over C^g by definition of C^g , showing $A \subseteq C^g$ as closed subschemes.

Now if $c \in C^g$ is any point of C^g , c is a closed point of C (as $\dim C^g = 0$) and preserved by g (by definition of C^g). Hence the points of C^g are precisely the closed

points of C fixed by g . It remains to show that C^g is reduced. Pick some $c \in C^g$ and note that $\mathcal{O}_{C,c} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^g,c}$ is surjective. Denote its kernel by I . Then $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_c$ (as $\mathcal{O}_{C^g,c} \neq 0$). On the other hand, for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$, we must have $gx - x \in I$ (by definition of C^g). Pick $x \in \mathfrak{m}_c \setminus \mathfrak{m}_c^2$, then $gx = \mu x + \mathfrak{m}_c^2$, so that $I \ni gx - x \in \mathfrak{m}_c \setminus \mathfrak{m}_c^2$. We see $\mathfrak{m}_c \supseteq I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}_c^2$. As $\dim_k \mathfrak{m}_c / \mathfrak{m}_c^2 = 1$, $I = \mathfrak{m}_c$ so that $\mathcal{O}_{C^g,c} \cong k$. This shows C^g is reduced.

- (c) Denote by \mathcal{I} the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_C -ideals on C defining the closed subscheme C^g . The stalk of \mathcal{I} at c is given by $\mathcal{I}_c = \mathfrak{m}_c$ (from part (b)). Hence the stalk of the conormal sheaf $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ at c is $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$, and so the stalk of the normal sheaf is the dual, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C^g,c}}(\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2, \mathcal{O}_{C^g,c})$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{C^g,c} \cong k$ with trivial g action and g acts on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$ by multiplication by μ , g acts on its dual by multiplication by μ^{-1} .
- (d) By [Har77, Proposition II.8.7], there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}} k.$$

Now since $k = \mathcal{O}_{C,c}/\mathfrak{m}_c$, we get a natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2 \cong \frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}/k}^1}{\mathfrak{m}_c \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}/k}^1}$. Now observe $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}/k}^1 \cong (\Omega_C^1)_c$ naturally. As g acts on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$ by multiplication by μ , it does so on $\frac{(\Omega_C^1)_c}{\mathfrak{m}_c(\Omega_C^1)_c}$. Dualizing as before, we get the claim for $\frac{(\mathrm{T}_C)_c}{\mathfrak{m}_c(\mathrm{T}_C)_c}$.

- (e) For any $x \in (\mathrm{T}_C)_c$, $gx = \mu^{-1}x + \mathfrak{m}_c(\mathrm{T}_C)_c$ by part (d). Let $U \subseteq (\mathrm{T}_C)_c$ be the submodule generated by $\{gx - x : x \in (\mathrm{T}_C)_c\}$. As $gx - x = (\mu^{-1} - 1)x + \mathfrak{m}_c(\mathrm{T}_C)_c$ and $\mu^{-1} \neq 1$, we conclude $U + \mathfrak{m}_c(\mathrm{T}_C)_c = (\mathrm{T}_C)_c$. By Nakayama's lemma, $U = (\mathrm{T}_C)_c$, so that the g -coinvariants of $(\mathrm{T}_C)_c$ are zero. Hence $\mathrm{T}_g = 0$. By definition, $\Omega_g = 0$. \square

With this Lemma, we can simplify Theorem 5.1.1 for the case of curves:

Proposition 5.2.10. *With the same assumptions on C, G as before, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^0([C/G]) &\cong k \\ \mathrm{HH}^1([C/G]) &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathrm{T}_C)_G \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)_G, \\ \mathrm{HH}^2([C/G]) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathrm{T}_C)_G, \\ \mathrm{HH}^n([C/G]) &= 0 \text{ if } n \notin \{0, 1, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start with Theorem 5.1.1:

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet([C/G]) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^{p-c_g}(C^g, \bigwedge^q \mathrm{T}_g \otimes \omega_g) \right)_G.$$

The inner direct sum splits naturally into the parts for $g = 1$ and $g \neq 1$:

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet([C/G]) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^p(C, \bigwedge^q \mathrm{T}_C) \right)_G \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{1 \neq g \in G} \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^{p-1}(C^g, \bigwedge^q \mathrm{T}_g \otimes \omega_g) \right)_G.$$

We claim that the second summand is zero: Indeed, $\mathrm{T}_g = 0$, so the summands for $q \neq 0$ vanish. Observe that C^g is an affine scheme, so $\mathrm{H}^{p-1}(C^g, \omega_g) = 0$ unless $p = 1$, in which case it equals $\bigoplus_{c \in C^g} (\omega_g)_c$. Now $\omega_g = N_{C^g/C}$, and the stalk at each $c \in C^g$ vanishes when

taking g -coinvariants (Lemma 5.2.9). This shows that the second summand is zero, so that

$$\mathrm{HH}^\bullet([C/G]) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^p(C, \bigwedge^q \mathcal{T}_C) \right)_G = \bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^p(C, \bigwedge^q \mathcal{T}_C)_G.$$

For $\bullet = 0$, the only direct summand is $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)_G$. As C is an integral k -scheme, $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is a k -algebra and a domain. Moreover, since \mathcal{O}_C is coherent, $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is finite-dimensional, so that $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong k$ as k -algebras (using that k is algebraically closed). Since G induces k -algebra-automorphisms on $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong k$, but k has only the identity as k -algebra-automorphism, $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)_G \cong k$. Therefore, $\mathrm{HH}^0([C/G]) \cong k$.

For $\mathrm{HH}^0([C/G])$, we get the summands for $(p, q) = (0, 1), (1, 0)$. For $\mathrm{HH}^2([C/G])$, only the summand for $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ survives, since $\mathrm{H}^p(C, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} if $p \geq 2 > \dim C$, and $\bigwedge^q \mathcal{T}_C = 0$ if $q \geq 2$. Similarly $\mathrm{HH}^n([C/G]) = 0$ if $n \geq 3$. \square

Example 5.2.11. In the case of Example 5.2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^0([C/G]) &\cong k \\ \mathrm{HH}^1([C/G]) &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_\sigma \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_\sigma \stackrel{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})=0}{=} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_\sigma. \\ \mathrm{HH}^2([C/G]) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_\sigma \stackrel{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})=0}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \cong k^3$, but it is not a priori clear how σ acts on this.

Abstractly, $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2))$ corresponds to homogeneous degree 2 polynomials in $k[X, Y]$. Hence a basis is given by X^2, Y^2, XY .

Let $c_1 = [1 : 0], c_2 = [0 : 1]$ be the two fixed points of σ . By Example 5.2.8, σ acts on $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_{c_1}$ and on $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_{c_2}$ by multiplication by -1 . As $X \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ vanishes in c_2 but not in c_1 , we must have $\sigma(X) \equiv -X \pmod{Y}$. Similarly, $\sigma(Y) \equiv -Y \pmod{X}$.

By the (very easy) representation theory of the cyclic group $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$, the representation $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ splits as a direct sum $V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$, where σ acts on V_1 and V_2 by multiplication by -1 and on V_3 by multiplication by some scalar $\lambda \in \{-1, 1\}$.

If $\lambda = 1$, the module of coinvariants $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})_\sigma$ is one-dimensional, otherwise it is zero.

Now let $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be any closed point distinct from c_1, c_2 . Then $Y := \{x, \sigma(x)\}$ forms a closed σ -invariant subscheme. It is easy to check that $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ contains a non-zero section that is *not* multiplied by -1 when applying σ . Hence σ cannot multiply every section in $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ by -1 (as $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is generated by its global sections). We conclude $\lambda = 1$. Thus $\mathrm{HH}^1([C/G]) \cong k$.

Proposition 5.2.12. *Denote by*

$$G \setminus C = \{Gc \mid c \in C \text{ closed point}\}$$

the set of G -orbits in C . The Hochschild homology of $[C/G]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{HH}_0([C/G]) &= 2 + \sum_{Gc \in G \setminus C} (\#Gc - 1), \\ \mathrm{HH}_1([C/G]) &\cong \mathrm{HH}_{-1}([C/G]) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega_C^1)^G \cong \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^G, \\ \mathrm{HH}_n([C/G]) &= 0 \text{ if } n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We again start with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}_\bullet([C/G]) &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{g \in G} \bigoplus_{q-p=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^p(C^g, \Omega_g^q) \right)^G \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{q-p=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^p(C, \Omega_C^q) \right)^G \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{1 \neq g \in G} \bigoplus_{q-p=\bullet} \mathrm{H}^p(C^g, \Omega_g^q) \right)^G \end{aligned}$$

Now $\Omega_g = 0$ and C^g is affine for $g \neq 1$, so $\mathrm{H}^p(C^g, \Omega_g^q) = 0$ unless $p = q = 0$, in which case $\mathrm{H}^p(C^g, \Omega_g^q) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C^g, c} \cong k$.

Similarly, $\mathrm{H}^p(C, \Omega_C^q) = 0$ unless $p, q \in \{0, 1\}$. This shows $\mathrm{HH}_n([C/G]) = 0$ for $n \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and

$$\mathrm{HH}_1([C/G]) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega_C)^G, \quad \mathrm{HH}_{-1}([C/G]) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^G.$$

These are isomorphic by Serre duality.

So only the computation of $\mathrm{HH}_0([C/G])$ remains. We get

$$\mathrm{HH}_0([C/G]) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)^G \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(C, \Omega_C^1)^G \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{1 \neq g \in G} \bigoplus_{c \in C^g} k \right)^G.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5.2.10, $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong k$ with trivial G -action. By Serre duality, also $\mathrm{H}^1(C, \Omega_C^1)^G \cong k$.

A k -basis of $V := \bigoplus_{1 \neq g \in G} \bigoplus_{c \in C^g} k$ is given by

$$B = \{(g, c) \mid 1 \neq g \in G, c \in C^g\}.$$

The action of G on V is given by sending for $h \in G$ the basis vector (g, c) to $(hgh^{-1}, h(c))$. So any element of $v \in V^G$ is of the form $v = \sum_{b \in B} \lambda_b b$ with $gv = v$, i.e. $\lambda_{gb} = \lambda_b$ for all $g \in G$. Hence a k -basis of V^G is given by

$$\left\{ \sum_{b' \in Gb} b' \mid Gb \in G \backslash B \text{ a } G\text{-orbit} \right\}.$$

We conclude

$$\dim V^G = \#\{Gb \in G \backslash B \text{ a } G\text{-orbit}\} = \#(G \backslash B).$$

Let $A := \{c \in C : G_c \neq \{1\}\}$ be the set of points of C with non-trivial stabilizer; this is a finite set closed of closed points of C by Proposition 5.2.7. Then $B = \{(g, c) \in G \times A \mid g(c) = c\}$. The action of G on C restricts to an action of A , so let $R \subseteq A$ be a class of representatives for the G -orbits. Every element of B is conjugate, via the G -action, to an element of the form (g, c) with $c \in R$ and $g \in G_c \setminus \{1\}$. Moreover, two such elements (g, c) and (g', c') are conjugate iff $c = c'$ and there exists some $h \in G$ with $h(c) = c'$ and $g' = h^{-1}gh$. i.e. (c, g) and (c', g') are conjugate via the G -action iff $c = c'$ and g and g' are conjugate in G_x . We see

$$\#(G \backslash B) = \sum_{c \in R} \#(G_c\text{-conjugacy classes in } G_c \setminus \{1\}).$$

As G_c is cyclic (Proposition 5.2.7), this equals

$$\dim_k V^G = \sum_{c \in R} \#G_c - 1.$$

Now if c' is an element of the G -orbit of c , which we denote by Gc , then $G_{c'}$ and G_c are conjugate in G , so in particular $\#G_{c'} = \#G_c$. Hence the number $\#G_c$ depends only on the G -orbit of c . Therefore

$$\dim_k V^G = \sum_{Gc \in G \backslash A} \#G_c - 1 \stackrel{\#G_c=1 \text{ if } c \notin A}{=} \sum_{Gc \in G \backslash A} \#G_c - 1.$$

Since $\mathrm{HH}_0([C/G]) \cong k^2 \oplus V^G$, this finishes the proof. \square

Example 5.2.13. In the situation of Example 5.2.2, with $c_1 = [1 : 0]$, $c_2 = [0 : 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{HH}_0([C/G]) &\stackrel{\text{E5.2.8}}{=} 2 + (\#G_{c_1} - 1) + (\#G_{c_2} - 1) = 2 + 1 + 1 = 4. \\ \mathrm{HH}_{\pm 1}([C/G]) &\cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})^G \stackrel{H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})=0}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Iterated root stacks

Let C, G be as before. Using the propositions just proved, we can calculate the Hochschild (co)homology of the quotient stack $[C/G]$. By [GS15] or equivalently [VZ15, Lemma 5.3.10], $[C/G]$ can be identified as an iterated root stack. The aim of this section is to describe the Hochschild (co)homology of $[C/G]$ in terms of this iterated root stack.

Definition 5.3.1. Let C, G be as before.

- (a) A G -invariant morphism is a morphism of k -schemes $C \xrightarrow{\varphi} D$ such that $\varphi g = \varphi$ for all $g \in G$.
- (b) A G -invariant morphism $C \xrightarrow{\varphi} D$ is called *quotient scheme* if it is universal in the following sense: For each G -invariant morphism $C \xrightarrow{\psi} D'$, there exists a unique morphism of k -schemes $D \xrightarrow{\delta} D'$ with $\psi = \delta \varphi$.

If it exists, we denote the quotient scheme by $C \xrightarrow{\pi} C/G$.

Remark 5.3.2. A quotient scheme always exists; it is locally given by $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A^G)$ for G -invariant affine open subsets of C . It is unique up to unique isomorphism. The fibres $\pi^{-1}(x)$ for closed points $x \in C/G$ are precisely G -orbits of points in C .

Example 5.3.3. In the case of Example 5.2.2, the quotient $\mathbb{P}^1/\langle \sigma \rangle$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , the quotient map being

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, [x : y] \mapsto [x^2 : y^2].$$

Proposition 5.3.4. *Let $C \xrightarrow{\pi} C/G$ be the quotient scheme. Then $[C/G]$ is the iterated root stack obtained from C/G by attaching weight G_c to the point $\pi(c)$ for all $c \in C$.*

Proof. Denote this iterated root stack by \mathcal{X} (it is well-defined, since if $\pi(c) = \pi(c')$, then c and c' are in the same G -orbit, so G_c and $G_{c'}$ are conjugate, and only finitely many points in C have non-trivial stabilizer; cf. Proposition 5.2.7). Then the coarse moduli space of \mathcal{X} is C/G . By [VZ15, Example 5.3.6], this is also the coarse moduli space of $[C/G]$. The result now follows from [VZ15, Lemma 5.3.10]. \square

Example 5.3.5. In the situation of Example 5.3.3, we conclude that $[C/G] = [\mathbb{P}^1/\langle\sigma\rangle]$ is isomorphic to the weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}^1\langle\infty, 1; 2, 2\rangle$. We see that the Examples 5.2.11 and 5.2.13 coincide with Proposition 4.1.9.

We may expect that the Hochschild (co)homology of $[C/G]$ depends only on the quotient scheme $C \xrightarrow{\pi} C/G =: Q$ and the points $\pi(c) \in Q$ with $G_c \neq \{1\}$ together with the order $\#G_c$, as this data fully determines $[C/G]$.

Proposition 5.2.12 gives such a description for $\mathrm{HH}_0([C/G])$. In the remainder of this section, we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.3.6. *Let X be a smooth irreducible projective curve, $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ be distinct points and $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Let \mathcal{X} be the iterated root stack obtained from X by attaching the weight e_i to x_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Denote by g the genus of X and define

$$e := e_1 + \dots + e_m, \quad a := \begin{cases} 3, & g = 0 \\ 1, & g = 1 \\ 0, & g \geq 2 \end{cases}, \quad r := \min\{a, m\}, \quad d := \begin{cases} 0, & g = 0 \\ 1, & g = 1 \\ 3g - 3, & g \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

The Hochschild (co)homology of \mathcal{X} in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ has dimension

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_i(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 2 + e - m, & i = 0 \\ g, & i = \pm 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^i(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \\ g + a - r, & i = 1 \\ d + m - r, & i = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 5.3.7. Let us apply the theorem to weighted projective lines. Here, we may choose $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ so that $g = 0$. Then x_1, \dots, x_m are the points where we attach the weights to and e_1, \dots, e_m are the weights. The Hochschild (co)homology of $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^1\langle x_1, \dots, x_m; e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle$ in degree $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_j(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (e_i - 1), & j = 0 \\ 0, & j \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^j(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 0 \\ 3 - r = \max\{0, 3 - m\}, & j = 1 \\ m - r = \max\{0, m - 3\}, & j = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This exactly reproduces Corollary 4.2.9.

Remark 5.3.8. (a) For a smooth projective curve X , the HKR-theorem 2.4.7 and Serre duality imply $\mathrm{HH}_i(X) \cong \mathrm{HH}_{-i}(X)$ for all integers i , $\mathrm{HH}_i(X) = 0$ if $i \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $\mathrm{HH}^i(X) = 0$ if $i \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$ (Corollary 2.4.8).

By Theorem 5.3.6, the same properties are satisfied for iterated root stacks obtained from smooth projective curves.

- (b) If \mathcal{X} is a weighted projective line, the previous observation shows $\mathrm{HH}_1(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathrm{HH}_{-1}(\mathcal{X})$. If we moreover use that \mathcal{X} is derived equivalent to an algebra (Proposition 3.1.3), then $\mathrm{HH}_{-1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ follows immediately.

Conversely, if X is a smooth projective curve of genus $g > 0$ and \mathcal{X} an iterated root stack obtained from X , the same argument as above shows that \mathcal{X} cannot be derived equivalent to an algebra. Therefore, the techniques in Chapter 4 cannot be used to compute the Hochschild cohomology of \mathcal{X} .

- (c) We again see that the dimension of the Hochschild cohomology groups depends only on the number of exceptional points and the genus, and not on the actual points or their weights. Similarly, the Hochschild homology depends only on the genus and the weights, and not the points. After the proof of the theorem, we will indicate why this is the case.

- (d) The statement on Hochschild homology can alternatively be proved as follows:

By Proposition 3.2.3, the derived category of a root stack \tilde{X} associated to the stack X , the effective Cartier divisor $D \subseteq X$ and $r \geq 1$ allows a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{D}^b(\tilde{X}) = \left\langle \mathrm{D}^b(X), \underbrace{\mathrm{D}^b(D), \dots, \mathrm{D}^b(D)}_{r-1 \text{ times}} \right\rangle.$$

By [Kuz09], it follows that $\mathrm{HH}_\bullet(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(X) \oplus \mathrm{HH}_\bullet(E)^{r-1}$. If E is a point, we see $\mathrm{HH}_0(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathrm{HH}_0(X) \oplus k^{r-1}$ and $\mathrm{HH}_i(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathrm{HH}_i(X)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the claim on Hochschild homology of iterated root stacks in Theorem 5.3.6 follows by induction on the number of exceptional points, the inductive start being the HKR decomposition 2.4.7.

- (e) The numbers d and a in Theorem 5.3.6 have the following background, motivating their names: d is the dimension of the coarse moduli space of curves of genus g , and a is the dimension of the automorphism group of a generic curve. We will not use these facts. Note the relation $d - a = 3g - 3$.

We first prove the theorem for the quotient stack $\mathcal{X} = [C/G]$.

Lemma 5.3.9. *Denote by $\Omega_{Q/k}^1$ the cotangent sheaf of the quotient scheme $C \xrightarrow{\pi} C/G =: Q$. Let $c \in C$ be a closed point.*

- (a) *Q is a smooth projective curve and $C \xrightarrow{\pi} Q$ is a finite and flat morphism.*
 (b) *There is a canonical exact sequence of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_C -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/Q}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

- (c) *Let $u \in \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ be a uniformizing element (i.e. a generator of the maximal ideal). Then the stalk of $\Omega_{C/k}^1$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ -module with generator du .*
 (d) *The stabilizer of c , G_c , acts in a canonical way on $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}$ can be identified with the subalgebra of G_c -invariants.*
 (e) *Let $e := \#G_c$. Then $t := u^e \in \mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}$ is a uniformizing element. In particular, dt generates $\Omega_{Q,\pi(c)}$. The map $\pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k}^1$ in (b) is given by $dt \mapsto dt$.*

Proof. (a) By Lemma 5.2.3, we may cover C by G -invariant affine open subsets U . Fix such a U and an isomorphism $U \cong \text{Spec } A$ for a k -algebra A . By construction of Q , $\pi(U) \subseteq Q$ is an affine open subset with $\pi(U) \cong A^G$, the subalgebra of G -invariants. Note that A is a Dedekind domain. Let L be the fraction field of A and $K := L^G$ be the subfield of G -invariants. Then L/K is a Galois extension with Galois group G . By standard algebraic number theory, $A^G = K \cap A$ is a Dedekind domain and $A^G \hookrightarrow A$ a finite and flat ring extension (A is a free A^G -module).

We conclude that $\pi(U) \cong \text{Spec } A$ is a regular one-dimensional k -scheme and $U \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi(U)$ is finite and flat. Glueing these together, we see that $C \xrightarrow{\pi} Q$ is finite and flat and Q is a smooth curve.

If $C = \text{Proj } S$ for a graded k -algebra S , Q can be realized as $\text{Proj } S^G$, so Q is again projective.

(b) We are now in the situation of [Har77, Section IV.2]. In particular, we may apply [Har77, Proposition IV.2.1].

(c) Note that $\Omega_{C/k}^1$ is a discrete valuation ring. Then this is a standard fact (cf. [Har77, Section IV.2]).

(d) Pick a G -invariant affine open subset of c (Lemma 5.2.3). Localizing at the maximal ideal defining c , we see that G_c acts on $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$. Then the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}$ is the subalgebra of invariants follows from the construction of Q .

(e) Since $\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ is a finite extension of discrete valuation rings, there is a uniformizing element of $\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}$ of the form u^α for some $\alpha > 0$.

Recall that the action of G on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2 \cong k$ is faithful. Hence a generator $g \in G$ acts on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$ by multiplication by some primitive e -th root of unity ζ . Thus $gu \cong \zeta u \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_c^2}$. Then $gu^\alpha \cong \zeta^\alpha u^\alpha \pmod{u^{\alpha+1}\mathcal{O}_{C,c}}$. So if u^α is G -invariant, $e \mid \alpha$. In particular, $\alpha \geq e$.

On the other hand, the Galois-argument of (a) shows that $\alpha \leq e$. Hence $t = u^e$ is a uniformizing element of $\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}$. The claim on $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ follows from the construction of the sequence in (b) given in [Har77]. \square

Lemma 5.3.10. *Let $g \in G$. $g^*\Omega_{C/k}^1$ is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_C -modules satisfying the same universal property as $\Omega_{C/k}^1$, so there is a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{C/k}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} g^*\Omega_{C/k}^1$. We similarly get an isomorphism $\Omega_{C/Q}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} g^*\Omega_{C/Q}^1$.*

Moreover, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$g^*(\pi^*\Omega_{Q/k}^1) \cong (\pi g)^*\Omega_{Q/k}^1 = \pi^*\Omega_{Q/k}^1.$$

(a) These give rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^*\Omega_{Q/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{C/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{C/Q}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & g^*\pi^*\Omega_{Q/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & g^*\Omega_{C/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & g^*\Omega_{C/Q}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

where the top row comes from Lemma 5.3.9 part (b), and the bottom row is g^* applied to the top row.

- (b) Let $c \in C$ with uniformizer $u \in \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$, $e = \#G_c$ and $t := u^e$. Pick a G -invariant affine open subset $U \cong \text{Spec } A$ of c . Then the induced action of g on A identifies $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{C,gc}$.

The stalk of² the diagram (5.1) at c can be identified with

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C,c}dt & \xrightarrow{dt \mapsto dt} & \mathcal{O}_{C,c}du & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}du}{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}dt} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow dt \mapsto d(gt) & & \downarrow du \mapsto d(gu) & & \downarrow dt \mapsto d(gt) \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C,gc}d(gt) & \xrightarrow{d(gt) \mapsto d(gt)} & \mathcal{O}_{C,gc}d(gu) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C,gc}d(gu)}{\mathcal{O}_{C,gc}d(gt)} \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

If moreover $gc = c$, we have $gt = t$.

Proof. It suffices to prove (b), as commutativity of (5.2) is obvious, and commutativity of (5.1) may be checked locally.

Proof of (b): The identification in the top (and hence also the bottom) row are from Lemma 5.3.9. The vertical isomorphisms come directly from the construction of the corresponding vertical isomorphisms in (5.1).

To the last claim: Assume $g \in G_c$. Then $gt = t$, i.e. t is g -invariant, comes from Lemma 5.3.9 part (e). \square

Lemma 5.3.11. Let $c \in C$ be a closed point, $u \in \Omega_{C,c}$ a uniformizing element, g a generator of the cyclic group G_c and $e := \#G_c$. Then the stalk of $\Omega_{C/Q}^1$ has a k -basis $du, udu, \dots, u^{e-2}du$. The action of g with respect to this basis has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \zeta & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}.$$

for some primitive e -th root of unity ζ .

Proof. This follows directly from $\Omega_{C/Q}^1 \cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}du}{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}d(u^e)}$ and $gu \cong \zeta u \pmod{u^2}$ for some primitive e -th root of unity ζ (G_c acting faithfully on $\mathfrak{m}_c/\mathfrak{m}_c^2$). \square

Lemma 5.3.12. Let M be a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Q -modules and $i \geq 0$.

- (a) G acts on $H^i(C, \pi^*M)$ as follows: For $g \in G$, we have an isomorphism of functors

$$H^0(C, g^*(-)) \cong H^0(C, (g^{-1})_*(-)) = H^0(C, (-)),$$

hence $H^i(C, g^*(-)) \cong H^i(C, -)$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$g^*\pi^*M \cong (\pi g)^*M = \pi^*M.$$

These give rise to an isomorphism

$$g : H^i(C, \pi^*M) \cong H^i(C, g^*\pi^*M) \xrightarrow{H^i(C, g^*\pi^*M \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^*M)} H^i(C, \pi^*M).$$

²By the stalk of something related to the category of \mathcal{O}_C -modules (an object, a morphism, a diagram), we mean its image under the stalk functor $\mathcal{O}_C\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c}\text{-Mod}$.

(b) There is an isomorphism, natural in M

$$H^i(C, \pi^* M)^G \cong H^i(Q, M) \cong H^i(C, \pi^* M)_G.$$

This isomorphism is compatible with restriction to G -invariant open subsets of C .

Proof. (a) is trivial to prove.

For (b), note that any additive functor $kG\text{-Mod} \rightarrow k\text{-Vect}$ is exact: kG is semi-simple by Maschke's theorem (we assume $\text{char } k = 0$ throughout), so any short exact sequence in $kG\text{-Mod}$ is split. In particular, taking (co)invariants is an exact functor. Moreover, coinvariants are naturally isomorphic to invariants, as both functors correspond to annihilating all non-trivial simple kG -modules.

Recall that $\pi^* : \text{Qcoh}(\mathcal{O}_Q) \rightarrow \text{Qcoh}(\mathcal{O}_C)$ is exact by the flatness in Lemma 5.3.9 part (a).

By homological algebra, it follows that $H^i(C, \pi^* -)_G$ is the i -th right derived functor of $H^0(C, \pi^*(-))_G$. Hence it suffices to show that $H^0(C, \pi^*(-))_G \cong H^0(Q, -)$.

Let $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ be a cover of C by G -invariant affine open subsets (cf. Lemma 5.2.3). Write $U_i \cong \text{Spec } A_i$, so A_i is a Dedekind domain. As in the proof of Lemma 5.3.9, $Q = \bigcup_{i \in I} \pi(U_i)$ is an affine open covering of Q where $\pi(U_i) \cong \text{Spec } A_i^G$.

For each of these U_i , we have

$$H^0(U_i, \pi^* M)_G \cong \left(H^0(\pi(U_i), M) \otimes_{A_i^G} A_i \right)_G.$$

The action of G on $H^0(\pi(U_i), M) \otimes_{A_i^G} A_i$ comes from the action on A_i . By right exactness of the tensor product, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left(H^0(\pi(U_i), M) \otimes_{A_i^G} A_i \right)_G &\cong H^0(\pi(U_i), M) \otimes_{A_i^G} (A_i)_G \\ &\cong H^0(\pi(U_i), M) \otimes_{A_i^G} (A_i)^G \cong H^0(\pi(U_i), M). \end{aligned}$$

It is not too hard to check that this isomorphism is compatible with restrictions. We hence get the commutative diagram with exact rows (using exactness of coinvariants again)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \pi^* M)_G & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I} H^0(U_i, \pi^* M)_G & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i, j \in I} H^0(U_i \cap U_j, \pi^* M)_G \\ \downarrow & & \vdots & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(Q, \pi^* M) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I} H^0(\pi(U_i), M) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i, j \in I} H^0(\pi(U_i) \cap \pi(U_j), M). \end{array}$$

This induces the dotted isomorphism which was the one we searched for. \square

Remark 5.3.13. It is *not* in general true that $H^0(C, \pi^* M) \cong kG \otimes_k H^0(Q, M)$ as kG -modules, even though this holds locally. For example if $M = \mathcal{O}_Q$, we have $\pi^* M \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, so $H^0(C, \pi^* \mathcal{O}_Q) \cong k$ with trivial G -action.

Proposition 5.3.14.

$$\text{HH}_1([C/G]) \cong \text{HH}_{-1}([C/G]) \cong H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1).$$

Proof. We apply Proposition 5.2.12. So we claim that $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)^G \cong H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1)$.

The action of G on $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$ is given as follows: For $g \in G$, consider the isomorphisms $\Omega_{C/k}^1 \cong g^* \Omega_{C/k}^1$ from Lemma 5.3.10 and $H^0(C, -) \cong H^0(C, g^*(-))$. Then the coposite isomorphism

$$H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) \xrightarrow{H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1 \cong g^* \Omega_{C/k}^1)} H^0(C, g^* \Omega_{C/k}^1) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$$

is the action of g on $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$.

We similar get an action on $H^0(C, \Omega_{Q/k}^1)$ and $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1)$.

Left exactness of $H^0(C, -)$ and (5.1) give the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1) \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1) \end{array}.$$

Recall that taking G -invariants is a left exact functor (in our situation, it is even exact). This shows that we get an exact sequence of k -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1)^G \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)^G \rightarrow H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1)^G.$$

Claim. $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1)^G = 0$: By Lemma 5.3.11, $\Omega_{C/Q}^1$ is supported only at the (finitely many!) point $c \in C$ with non-trivial stabilizer G_c . Moreover, for each such $c \in C$, the action of G on $\Omega_{C/Q}^1$ restricts to an action of G_c on $(\Omega_{C/Q}^1)_c$ with vanishing module of invariants (by Lemma 5.3.11). Hence $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1)^G \cong \left(\bigoplus_{c \in C} (\Omega_{C/Q}^1)_c \right)^G = 0$.

From the claim and the above short exact sequence

$$H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)^G \cong H^0(C, \pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1) \xrightarrow{\text{L5.3.12}} H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

We just described the Hochschild homology in terms of Q and the orders of stabilizers. We aim to do the same for Hochschild cohomology.

Lemma 5.3.15. *There is a short exact sequence of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_C -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow T_C \rightarrow \pi^* T_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_C -modules supported at those points $c \in C$ where $G_c \neq \{1\}$.

The short exact sequence (5.3) can locally described as follows: For $c \in C$, let $u \in \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ be a uniformizing element, $e = \#G_c$ and $t := u^e \in \mathcal{O}_{Q, \pi(c)}$. Then $(T_C)_c = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,c}}((\Omega_{C/k}^1)_c, \mathcal{O}_{C,c})$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ -module with basis $\delta^u : (\Omega_{C/k}^1)_c \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c}, du \mapsto 1$.

Similarly, $(T_Q)_{\pi(c)}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{Q, \pi(c)}$ -module with basis $\delta^t : dt \mapsto 1$.

The stalk of (5.3) at c is given by

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c} \delta^u \xrightarrow{\delta^u \mapsto eu^{e-1} \delta^t} \mathcal{O}_{C,c} \delta^t \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C,c} \delta^t}{\mathcal{O}_{C,c} u^{e-1} \delta^t} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Apply the left-exact functor $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_C)$ to the short exact sequence from Lemma 5.3.9. This gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/Q}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Since $\Omega_{C/Q}^1$ is a torsion sheaf, $\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/Q}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$. We hence get a short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(\pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.4)$$

We claim that this is the desired sequence: Since $\Omega_{Q/k}^1$ and \mathcal{O}_Q are locally free \mathcal{O}_Q -modules,

$$\mathcal{H}om(\pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathcal{H}om(\pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1, \pi^* \mathcal{O}_Q) \overset{3}{\cong} \pi^* \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{Q/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_Q) = \pi^* T_Q.$$

Since by definition $\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) = T_C$, (5.4) can indeed be identified as (5.3).

We now look for the description at the level of stalks: The stalk of $\pi^* \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k}^1$ at c is by Lemma 5.3.9 given by $\varphi : dt \mapsto dt = eu^{e-1} du$.

For the dualized map $T_C \rightarrow \pi^* T_Q$, recall that the stalk of T_C at c is given by $\mathcal{O}_{C,c} \delta^u$, and the stalk of $\pi^* T_Q$ at c is given by $\mathcal{O}_{C,c} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}} \mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)} \delta^t$, so it is a free $\mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ -module with basis $1 \otimes \delta^t$, which we identify with δ^t .

The dual map of φ sends δ^u to $\delta^u \circ \varphi$, where $\delta^u \circ \varphi(dt) = \delta^u(eu^{e-1} du) = eu^{e-1} \delta^t(dt)$. Hence the stalk of $T_C \rightarrow \pi^* T_Q$ at c is the map which sends δ^u to $eu^{e-1} \delta^t$.

We conclude that the stalk of (5.3) at c is given by

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c} \delta^u \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c} \delta^t \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c \rightarrow 0.$$

Then the description of \mathcal{F}_c is immediate. In particular, $\mathcal{F}_c = 0$ if $e = 1$. \square

Lemma 5.3.16. *Consider the following G -actions:*

- G acts on $T_C = \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C)$ by the corresponding actions on $\Omega_{C/k}^1$ resp. \mathcal{O}_C (cf. Lemma 5.3.10).
- G acts on $\pi^* T_Q$ as in Lemma 5.3.12.
- G acts on \mathcal{F} , which is a direct sum of skyscraper sheaves, by sending, for $g \in G$ and $c \in C$ with u, t, e as in Lemma 5.3.15

$$x \delta^t + \mathcal{O}_{C,c} u^{e-1} \delta^t \mapsto (gx) \delta^{gt} + \mathcal{O}_{C,gc} (gu)^{e-1} \delta^{gt}$$

where $g : \mathcal{O}_{C,c} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{C,\pi(c)}$ is the induced isomorphism.

Then the short exact sequence (5.3) consists of G -equivariant morphisms.

Proof. Well-definedness of the G -actions is easy to verify. To check G -invariance, inspect the sequence locally (as described in Lemma 5.3.15) and proceed similar to Lemma 5.3.10. \square

³Locally this means $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes_A B \cong \text{Hom}_B(M \otimes_A B, N \otimes_A B)$ which holds for free A -modules M, N .

Lemma 5.3.17. *Let $q_1, \dots, q_m \in Q$ be those points of Q which are of the form $\pi(c)$ with $c \in C$ having $G_c \neq \{1\}$. Let $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Q$ be the sheaf of ideals defining the reduced closed subscheme $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\} \subseteq Q$ and $\mathcal{F}' := \frac{\mathcal{T}_Q}{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{T}_Q}$.*

(a) *The stalk of \mathcal{F}' at each q_i is isomorphic to k , and the stalk at each $q \in Q \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ is zero.*

Pick a k -basis element $\partial^i \in (\mathcal{F}')_{q_i}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$.

(b) *Consider the G -action on $H^0(C, \mathcal{F})$ as in Lemma 5.3.16. There is an isomorphism $H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')$ which can be described as follows:*

For $c \in C$ with $G_c \neq 0$, let e, u, t be as in Lemma 5.3.15. Any element in \mathcal{F}_c can be written as a sum of elements of the form $\lambda u^a \delta^t$ for $\lambda \in k$ and $0 \leq a < e - 1$. Moreover, let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the index such that $q_i = \pi(c)$.

Then $H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')$ sends

$$\lambda u^a \delta^t \mapsto \begin{cases} \lambda \partial^i, & a = 0 \\ 0, & a \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(c) *It is possible to choose the basis elements ∂^i in (a) such that there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(C, \pi^* \mathcal{T}_Q)_G & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^0(Q, \mathcal{T}_Q) & \longrightarrow & H^0(Q, \mathcal{F}') \end{array}$$

where the top morphism is $H^0(C, -)_G$ applied to (5.3), the left morphism comes from Lemma 5.3.12, the right morphism comes from (b) and the bottom morphism comes from the construction of \mathcal{F}' as a quotient of \mathcal{T}_Q .

Proof. (a) Just note that \mathcal{T}_Q is locally free, that the stalk of \mathcal{I} at q_i is the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_{Q, q_i} and the stalk of \mathcal{I} at each point $q \in Q \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{Q, q}$.

(b) Pick preimages $c_i \in C$ such that $\pi(c_i) = q_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Each $c \in C$ with $G_c \neq \{1\}$ is in the orbit of precisely one c_i . Thus

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \cong \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{c \in C \\ G_c \neq \{1\}}} \mathcal{F}_c \right)_G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (\mathcal{F}_{c_i})_{G_{c_i}}.$$

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $g \in G_{c_i}$ be a generator. Let u_i, t_i, e_i be as in Lemma 5.3.15. We have $gu_i \equiv \zeta u_i \pmod{u_i^2}$ for some primitive e_i -th root of unity ζ . As $g(dt_i) = dt_i$, we conclude $g(\delta^{t_i}) = \delta^{t_i}$. Hence $g(\lambda u_i^a \delta^{t_i}) = \zeta^a \lambda u_i^a \delta^{t_i}$. Therefore $\lambda u_i^a \delta^{t_i}$ vanishes when taking G_{c_i} -coinvariants iff $a \neq 0$ (as $a < e - 1 < e$). Thus $(F_{c_i})_{G_{c_i}} \cong k \delta^{t_i}$ and the remaining statements of (b) follow.

(c) Let $\sigma \in H^0(C, \pi^* \mathcal{T}_Q)$. Its image in $H^0(C, \mathcal{F})$ is obtained by restricting σ to $(\pi^* \mathcal{T}_Q)_c$ for those $c \in C$ with $G_c \neq \{1\}$ and then applying $(\pi^* \mathcal{T}_Q)_c \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_c$.

Let $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0(Q, \mathcal{T}_Q)$ be the corresponding global section by Lemma 5.3.12. By the compatibility with restriction in Lemma 5.3.12, the stalk of $\tilde{\sigma}$ at $\pi(c)$ is the stalk

of σ at c (using $(T_Q)_{\pi(c)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)}} (T_Q)_{\pi(c)} = (\pi^* T_Q)_c$ which is an inclusion as $\mathcal{O}_{Q,\pi(c)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,c}$ and $(T_Q)_{\pi(c)}$ is flat). Hence if ∂^i is chosen to be the image of $\partial^{t_i} \in (T_Q)_{q_i}$, the δ^{t_i} in $(T_Q)_{q_i}$ and the δ^{t_i} in \mathcal{F}_{c_i} have the same image in \mathcal{F}'_{q_i} . This shows commutativity. \square

Proposition 5.3.18. *Let \mathcal{F}' , m be as in Lemma 5.3.17, and denote by r the rank of the k -linear map $H^0(Q, T_Q) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}')$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim HH^1([C/G]) &= \dim H^0(Q, T_Q) + \dim H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1) - r. \\ \dim HH^2([C/G]) &= m - r + \dim H^1(Q, T_Q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use Proposition 5.2.10. First note that $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)_G$ is, by Serre duality and the fact that group invariants and coinvariants are isomorphic in our situation, isomorphic to $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)^G$. In Proposition 5.3.14, we computed $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)^G$ to be isomorphic to $H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1)$.

Now the short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_C -modules (5.3) induces a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, T_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C) \rightarrow H^1(C, \pi^* T_Q) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{F}).$$

Note that \mathcal{F} has finite support, so there exists an affine open subset $U \subseteq C$ such that \mathcal{F} is supported on U (Lemma 5.2.3). Thus $H^1(C, \mathcal{F}) = H^1(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

Now taking coinvariants in the above exact sequence yields an exact sequence (as taking coinvariants is exact)

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C, T_C)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)_G \rightarrow H^1(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \rightarrow 0$$

By Lemma 5.3.12, $H^\bullet(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G = H^\bullet(Q, T_Q)$. Moreover, $\dim H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G = m$ by Lemma 5.3.17. By Lemma 5.3.17, we moreover see that the rank of $H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G$ is r .

Using exactness and linear algebra, we thus calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(C, T_C)_G &= \dim \operatorname{im} \left(H^0(C, T_C)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \right) \\ &= \dim \ker \left(H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \right) \\ &= \dim H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G - \dim \operatorname{im} \left(H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \right) \\ &= \dim H^0(Q, T_Q) - r. \\ \dim H^1(C, T_C)_G &= \dim \ker \left(H^1(C, T_C)_G \rightarrow H^1(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \right) \\ &= \dim \operatorname{im} \left(H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)_G \right) + \dim H^1(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \\ &= \dim H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G - \dim \ker \left(H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)_G \right) + \dim H^1(Q, T_Q) \\ &= m - \dim \operatorname{im} \left(H^0(C, \pi^* T_Q)_G \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F})_G \right) + \dim H^1(Q, T_Q) \\ &= m - r + \dim H^1(Q, T_Q). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

In the above Proposition, everything already depends only on Q and the orders of stabilizers. We can simplify the situation a bit, expressing everything using the genus of Q :

Theorem 5.3.19. *With C, G, Q as above, denote*

- $g_Q := \dim H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1)$ the genus of Q .
- q_1, \dots, q_m the points of Q which admit a preimage $c \in C$ such that $G_c \neq \{1\}$.
- $e_i := \#G_c$ for $c \in C$ a preimage of q_i .
- $e := e_1 + \dots + e_m$.
- $a := \begin{cases} 3, & g_Q = 0 \\ 1, & g_Q = 1 \\ 0, & g_Q \geq 2 \end{cases}$.
- $r := \min\{m, a\}$.
- $d := \begin{cases} 0, & g_Q = 0 \\ 1, & g_Q = 1 \\ 3g_Q - 3, & g_Q \geq 2 \end{cases}$.

Then $a = \dim H^0(Q, T_Q)$, r is the same number as in Proposition 5.3.18, $d = \dim H^1(Q, T_Q)$. The Hochschild (co)homology of $[C/G]$ in degree $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}_i([C/G]) = \begin{cases} 2 + e - m, & i = 0 \\ g_Q, & i = \pm 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\dim \mathrm{HH}^i([C/G]) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0 \\ a + g_Q - r, & i = 1 \\ m - r + d, & i = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The calculation of $\dim \mathrm{HH}_{\pm 1}([C/G])$ is Proposition 5.3.14. The other Hochschild homology groups are calculated in Proposition 5.2.12. The claims on $\mathrm{HH}^n([C/G])$ for $n \neq 1, 2$ are in Proposition 5.2.10.

Believing for a moment the claims on a, d and r , the claims for $\mathrm{HH}^1([C/G])$ and $\mathrm{HH}^2([C/G])$ follow from Proposition 5.3.18.

By Serre duality, $d = \dim H^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{Q/k}^1)$.

Note that if we can show $a = \dim H^0(Q, T_Q)$, we get at least

$$\mathrm{rk}(H^0(Q, T_Q) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')) \leq \min\{\dim H^0(Q, T_Q), \dim H^0(Q, \mathcal{F})\} = \min\{a, m\} = r.$$

It remains to show $r \leq \mathrm{rk}(H^0(Q, T_Q) \rightarrow H^0(Q, \mathcal{F}'))$, $a = \dim H^0(Q, T_Q)$ and $d = \dim H^1(Q, T_Q)$. In order to prove this, we consider the cases $g_Q = 0, g_Q = 1$ and $g_Q \geq 2$ separately.

- Case $g_Q = 0$: Then $Q \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ by [Har77, Example IV.1.3.5]. By [Har77, p. II.8.20.1], $\Omega_{Q/k}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_Q(-2)$. Hence $T_Q \cong \mathcal{O}_Q(2)$. Writing $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathrm{Proj} k[X, Y]$ with the usual grading, $H^0(Q, T_Q)$ corresponds to the space of homogeneous degree 2 polynomials in $k[X, Y]$. A basis for this space is given by X^2, Y^2, XY . We conclude that $a = 3 = \dim H^0(Q, T_Q)$.

We next have to show $\text{rk}(\text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) \rightarrow \text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')) \geq r$. Since the automorphism group of \mathbb{P}^1 acts triply transitive, we may choose our coordinates so that $q_1 = [1 : 0]$ (or $m = 0$), $q_2 = [0 : 1]$ (or $m \leq 1$) and $q_3 = [1 : 1]$ (or $m \leq 2$).

The homogeneous degree 2-polynomials

$$f_1 = X^2 - XY, f_2 = Y^2 - XY, f_3 = XY$$

satisfy the following property: For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \min\{3, m\}\}$, f_i vanishes in q_j if $i \neq j$, but f_i does not vanish in q_i . Hence the images of the f_i for $1 \leq i \leq \min\{3, m\}$ (seeing f_i as global section of T_Q) in $\text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')$ are linearly independent. This shows that the rank of $\text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) \rightarrow \text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')$ is at least $\min\{3, m\} = r$.

Finally, we want to show $\dim \text{H}^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{Q/k}^1) = d = 0$: Indeed, $\Omega_{Q/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_Q(-4)$, which has no global sections.

- Case $g_Q = 1$, i.e. Q is an elliptic curve. By [Har77, Example IV.1.3.6], $\Omega_{Q/k}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_Q$. Hence $\text{T}_Q \cong \mathcal{O}_Q$. Thus $\dim \text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) = 1 = a$.

If $m = 0$, we get $0 \leq \text{rk}(\text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) \rightarrow \text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')) \leq r = 0$, so that equality holds.

Now suppose that $m > 0$, so that $r = 1$. We show that the map $\text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) \rightarrow \text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')$ is non-zero. Let $f \in \text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q)$ be the global section corresponding to $1 \in k$ under the isomorphism $k \cong \text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{O}_Q) \cong \text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q)$. We want to show that the image of f in the stalk $(\text{T}_Q)_{q_1}$ is not in $\mathfrak{m}_{q_1}(\text{T}_Q)_{q_1}$. This follows since the image of 1 in the stalk \mathcal{O}_{Q, q_1} is not in \mathfrak{m}_{q_1} .

Finally, we want to show $\dim \text{H}^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{Q/k}^1) = d = 1$: This follows since $\Omega_{Q/k}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_Q$, so that $\Omega_{Q/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}_Q$.

- Case $g_Q \geq 2$: Let K_Q be the canonical divisor of Q . By [Har77, Lemma IV.1.2], we must have $l(-K_Q) = 0$, as otherwise $\deg(-K_Q) \geq 0$, hence $\deg(K_Q) \leq 0$ but by [Har77, Example IV.1.3.3], $\deg(K) = 2g_Q - 2 > 0$.

The line bundle corresponding to the divisor $-K_Q$ is T_Q , hence $\dim \text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) = 0 = a$. We trivially conclude $\text{rk}(\text{H}^0(Q, \text{T}_Q) \rightarrow \text{H}^0(Q, \mathcal{F}')) = 0$.

Finally, we want to calculate $\dim \text{H}^0(Q, \Omega_{Q/k}^1 \otimes \Omega_{Q/k}^1) = l(2K_Q)$. By Riemann-Roch [Har77, Theorem IV.1.3] and $l(-K_Q) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l(2K_Q) &= \deg(2K_Q) + 1 - g_Q = 2 \deg K_Q + 1 - g_Q \\ &= 2(2g_Q - 2) + 1 - g_Q = 3 - 3g_Q = d, \end{aligned}$$

proving the last claim.

In any case, the stated formulae hold, so the proof is complete. \square

Remark 5.3.20. The genus g_C of C and g_Q satisfy Hurwitz' formula [Har77, Corollary IV.2.4]:

$$2g_C - 2 = (\#G)(2g_Q - 2) + e - m.$$

Therefore it satisfies to know g_C , $\#G$ and the orders of point stabilizers in C in order to calculate everything in Theorem 5.3.19.

Remark 5.3.21. In the notation of Theorem 5.3.19, $[C/G]$ is isomorphic to the iterated root stack obtained from Q by attaching the weight e_i to q_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

We see that the Hochschild (co)homology of this iterated root stack depends only on g_Q , m and $e_1 + \dots + e_m$.

Hence we gave a description of the Hochschild (co)homology of a weighted smooth projective curve *provided* it can be realized as a quotient stack $[C/G]$. This last assumption is satisfied in most cases:

Proposition 5.3.22 ([Len16, Corollary 9]). *Let X be a smooth irreducible projective curve, $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ pairwise distinct closed points and $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be integers. Let \mathcal{X} be the iterated root stack obtained from X by attaching the weight e_i to the point x_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$.*

Assume \mathcal{X} is not isomorphic to a weighted projective line of the form $\mathbb{P}^1\langle y_1, y_2; a_1, a_2 \rangle$ for two distinct weights $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then there exist a smooth irreducible projective curve C and a finite subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ such that $\mathcal{X} \cong [C/G]$ as stacks. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.3.6. In case $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathbb{P}^1\langle y_1, y_2; a_1, a_2 \rangle$ for some points y_1, y_2 and distinct weights a_1, a_2 , the theorem follows from Example 5.3.7 by using Proposition 4.1.9.

In all other cases, we may write $\mathcal{X} \cong [C/G]$ for a smooth irreducible projective curve C and a finite group of k -scheme automorphisms $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ by Proposition 5.3.22. Then the result follows from Theorem 5.3.19. \square

Remark 5.3.23. We now give a second answer why, in the situation of Theorem 5.3.6, the Hochschild cohomology (and $\text{HH}_{\pm 1}$) of the iterated root stack \mathcal{X} is determined by the genus of the curve X and the number of exceptional points (in those cases where Proposition 5.3.22 applies to \mathcal{X}). Namely by Propositions 5.2.10 and 5.2.12, the Hochschild cohomology and $\text{HH}_{\pm 1}$ are determined by $H^\bullet(C, T_C)_G$ and $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)_G$. While C and $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$ may depend on the exceptional points and their weights, $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)_G$ depends only on X . The reason for this is the vanishing of $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/Q}^1)^G$ in the proof of Proposition 5.3.14 (note that $X = Q$ in our situation).

We calculated $H^\bullet(C, T_C)_G$ using the short exact sequence from Lemma 5.3.15. Here, the information about the exceptional points is encoded in the sheaf \mathcal{F} and the information about the original curve X is encoded in π^*T_Q . $H^\bullet(C, \mathcal{F})$ depends only on the weights of the exceptional points but not their location in X , and the morphism $H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)$ a priori depends on their locations in X . However, we saw that $H^\bullet(C, \mathcal{F})_G$ depends only on the number of exceptional points and, using that the automorphism group is sufficiently transitive in the proof of Theorem 5.3.19, the map $H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(C, T_C)$ has always maximal rank. This explains how, in the end, the Hochschild cohomology is determined by the genus of X and the number of exceptional points alone.

5.4. Realizing a class of weighted projective lines

Consider the weighted projective line X associated to the points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and the weights $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In Proposition 5.3.22, we saw that X can be expressed as a quotient stack of a smooth projective curve C by a finite group action in most cases.

In this section, we give an explicit construction of such a curve C and a group G for an infinite class of weighted projective lines, and show how to calculate the Hochschild (co)homology using just Propositions 5.2.10 and 5.2.12.

We assume again the Convention 3.1.1.

Definition 5.4.1. Let S be the \mathbb{N}_0 -graded k -algebra defined as follows:

As algebra, $S = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ where I is the ideal generated by $X_i^{a_i} - X_2^{a_2} + x_i X_1^{a_1}$. Elements of k are defined to be of degree 0, and we declare the degree of X_i to be $\delta_i := \frac{\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{a_i}$. (so we get the same algebra as in Definition 3.1.2 but with different grading group).

We define the k -scheme $C := \text{Proj } S$ (cf. [Har77, Proposition II.2.5]) and call it the *projective companion* of X .

Remark 5.4.2. In his paper, Lenzing does not define a projective companion but rather a *twisted companion* Y . Y is a smooth one-dimensional stack whose category of coherent sheaves is given by $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} S / \text{mod}_0^{\mathbb{Z}} S$ with S defined as above [Len16, Proposition 11]. X is always a quotient stack of Y by the finite group $G = \frac{\mu_{a_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{a_n}}{\mu_{\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}}$, where μ_a is the group of a -th roots of unity in k (ibid). Moreover, Lenzing gives sufficient conditions, in terms of the weights a_i , for Y to be a projective curve, so that X is the quotient of an explicit projective curve by an explicit group.

The approach with the projective companion developed here is somewhat orthogonal to this: C is always a projective curve which comes with a natural morphism to \mathbb{P}^1 , expressing \mathbb{P}^1 as a quotient scheme of C by a natural action of $G = \frac{\mu_{a_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{a_n}}{\mu_{\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)}}$. Moreover, under certain conditions on the weights a_i , C is smooth and the quotient stack $[C/G]$ is indeed the weighted projective line X .

For our purposes, the projective companion is more suitable for two reasons: First, an explicit description of C as scheme simplifies the calculations of the cohomology of the (co)tangent sheaves, as used in Propositions 5.2.10 and 5.2.12. Second, the conditions which we need for C to be smooth and for $[C/G]$ to be X are weaker than the conditions Lenzing requires in [Len16, Proposition 11] for Y to be a scheme. Thus the usage of the twisted companion allows to cover more examples of weighted projective lines.

Lemma 5.4.3 (Properties of the algebra S). (a) S is a two-dimensional noetherian domain.

(b) Let $k[X, Y]$ denote the polynomial ring with grading $\deg X := \deg Y := \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then the graded ring map $\Phi : k[X, Y] \rightarrow S, X \mapsto X_1^{a_1}, Y \mapsto X_2^{a_2}$ is a finite ring extension.

Proof. (a) By⁴ [GL87, Proposition 1.3], S is a two-dimensional domain.

(b) It is an extension as it is easy to check that no polynomial in $k[X_1, X_2] \setminus \{0\}$ is in I . The extension is integral since X_1, X_2 and $X_i = X_2^{a_2} - x_i X_1^{a_1}$ are integral over $k[X, Y]$. It is an extension of finite type as S is of finite type even over k . Hence the extension is finite. \square

Lemma 5.4.4 (Standard affine covering). Consider the open subsets $U = D(X_1)$ and $V = D(X_2)$ of C .

(a) U and V are affine, and $C = U \cup V$. Moreover⁵, $U \cong \text{Spec } S_{(X_1)}, V \cong \text{Spec } S_{(X_2)}$ and $U \cap V \cong \text{Spec } S_{(X_1 X_2)}$. Under these isomorphisms, the inclusions $U \cap V \hookrightarrow U$ resp. $U \cap V \hookrightarrow V$ are Spec applied to the inclusions

$$S_{(X_1)} \hookrightarrow S_{(X_1 X_2)}, \quad S_{(X_2)} \hookrightarrow S_{(X_1 X_2)}.$$

⁴In the cited paper, the $L(\mathbf{a})$ -graded algebra is considered; however, the results cited here are independent of the grading.

⁵ $S_{(f)}$ denotes the degree zero part of the localization S_f for homogeneous $f \in S_+$.

- (b) The finite ring extension $\Phi : k[X, Y] \rightarrow S$ induces a finite and surjective morphism of k -schemes $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, $\dim C = 1$.

Proof. (a) The isomorphisms $U \cong \operatorname{Spec} S_{(X_1)}$, $V \cong \operatorname{Spec} S_{(X_2)}$ and $U \cap V \cong \operatorname{Spec} S_{(X_1 X_2)}$ are by [Har77, Proposition 2.5]. In particular, U and V are affine. Then the identifications of $U \cap V \hookrightarrow U, V$ are immediate.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in C$ be a closed point not contained in U nor V . Then $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal containing X_1 and X_2 . Therefore, \mathfrak{p} contains $X_i^{a_i} = X_2^{a_2} - x_i X_1^{a_1}$ for $i = 3, \dots, n$, hence it contains all X_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus $S_+ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, contradicting the definition of $C = \operatorname{Proj} S$. The contradiction shows $C = U \cup V$.

- (b) The existence of the morphism follows from [Stacks, 01MY] and $C = D(\Phi(X)) \cup D(\Phi(Y))$ by (a). Finiteness follows from the fact that finite ring morphisms are stable under base change [Stacks, 02JK], hence under localizations. Surjectivity follows from [Stacks, 05DR]. Then the statement about the dimension of C follows from [Stacks, 0ECG]. \square

Lemma 5.4.5 (Projective embedding). *(a) There exists a natural number d_0 such that for all positive multiples d of d_0 , the \mathbb{N}_0 -graded algebra $S_{(d)}$ defined by*

$$S_{(d)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} S_{id},$$

where the degree i -homogeneous part of $S_{(d)}$ is S_{id} , is generated as k -algebra by S_d .

- (b) For any d as in (a), there is an isomorphism of k -schemes*

$$\operatorname{Proj} S \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Proj} S_{(d)}$$

sending a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Proj} S$ to $\mathfrak{p} \cap S_{(d)} \in \operatorname{Proj} S_{(d)}$. In particular, C is a projective k -scheme.

Proof. (a) is [Stacks, 0EGH] and the isomorphism in (b) follows from [Stacks, 0B5J] and its proof. Then the fact that $\operatorname{Proj} S_{(d)}$ is projective is immediate. \square

5.4.1. Points of C

The aim of this subsection is to describe the closed points of C .

Lemma 5.4.6. *Let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$ be two vectors. Put $\bar{a} := \operatorname{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists some $z \in k^\times$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$:*

$$z^{a_i} \lambda_i = \mu_i.$$

- (b) *For $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote the greatest common divisor of a_i and a_j by (a_i, a_j) . Then*

$$\mu_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} = \mu_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} \lambda_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}}.$$

Proof. (a) \implies (b): Writing $\mu_i = z^{\bar{a}/a_i} \lambda_i$, we get

$$\mu_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} = \lambda_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} z^{\frac{\bar{a}}{(a_i, a_j)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} = \lambda_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \mu_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}}.$$

(b) \implies (a): First suppose that there was some index i for which $\lambda_i \neq 0$ but $\mu_i = 0$. Pick an index j such that $\mu_j \neq 0$ (by choice of (μ_1, \dots, μ_n)). Then by (b), $\lambda_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \mu_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} \neq 0$, contradicting $\mu_i = 0$. By symmetry, we observe $\lambda_i = 0 \iff \mu_i = 0$.

In order to prove (a), we may omit those indices for which $\lambda_i = \mu_i = 0$ (because condition (a) holds trivially for them). Let us therefore assume $\lambda_i \neq 0 \neq \mu_i$ for all i .

Now condition (b) can be restated as

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} \right)^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} = \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j} \right)^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \quad (*)$$

for all i, j . Pick some $z \in k^\times$ such that $\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda_1} = z^{\bar{a}/a_1}$ (as k is algebraically closed). Replacing (μ_1, \dots, μ_n) by $(z^{\bar{a}/a_1} \mu_1, \dots, z^{\bar{a}/a_n} \mu_n)$, we may assume $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$. The condition $(*)$ for $i = 1$ states that $\frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_j}$ is an $\frac{a_j}{(a_1, a_j)}$ -th root of unity.

Put $A := (a_1 \cdots a_n)^2$ and let $\zeta \in k^\times$ be an A -th root of unity. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}$ is an A -th root of unity, so there exists some exponent $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} = \zeta^{e_i}$. Moreover, as ζ is an A -th root of unity and $\zeta^{e_i} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i}$ is an a_i -th root of unity, \bar{a} divides e_i by choice of A .

We can restate $(*)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} &\equiv e_j \frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)} \pmod{A}. \\ \iff \frac{e_i a_i}{\bar{a}} &\equiv \frac{e_j a_j}{\bar{a}} \pmod{\frac{A(a_i, a_j)}{\bar{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By elementary number theory (using a general version of the chinese remainder theorem), this shows that there exists a number t , unique modulo A , such that $\frac{e_i a_i}{\bar{a}} \equiv t \pmod{\frac{A a_i}{\bar{a}}}$. Thus $e_i \equiv \frac{\bar{a}}{a_i} t \pmod{A}$, and hence

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i} = \zeta^{e_i} = \zeta^{t\bar{a}/a_i} = (\zeta^t)^{\bar{a}/a_i}.$$

We may choose $z = \zeta^{-t}$ to fulfil (a). \square

Definition 5.4.7. (a) We call two vectors $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$ fulfilling the equivalent conditions of Lemma 5.4.6 *equivalent*. The equivalence class of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ will be denoted by $[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$.

(b) Define P to be the set of tuples $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\lambda_i^{a_i} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_i \lambda_1^{a_1} \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, n$$

modulo the equivalence relation from (a).

The aim of this subsection is to identify P with the set of closed points of C .

Lemma 5.4.8. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Consider the affine open subset $\text{Spec } S_{(X_i)} \cong D(X_i) \subseteq C$, where $S_{(X_i)}$ is again the degree 0 part of the localization S_{X_i} .

(a) *The canonical morphism of affine schemes*

$$\mathrm{Spec} S_{X_i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} S_{(X_i)} \cong D(X_i)$$

is surjective.

- (b) *For all points $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n] \in P$, the ideal $I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n])$ generated by those homogeneous elements $f \in S$ which satisfy $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = 0$ is a non-zero homogeneous prime ideal of S . It is independent of the equivalence class of $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.*
- (c) *Each maximal ideal of S_{X_i} has the form $(X_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, X_n - \lambda_n)$ for a uniquely determined vector $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n$ where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and for $j = 3, \dots, n$:*

$$\lambda_j^{a_j} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_i \lambda_1^{a_1}.$$

Proof. (a) The fact $\mathrm{Spec} S_{(X_i)} \cong D(X_i)$ is [Har77, Proposition 2.5]. Let $I \subseteq \mathrm{Spec} S_{(X_i)}$ be any ideal, and let $S_{X_i} I \subseteq S_{X_i}$ be the ideal of S_{X_i} generated by I . We claim that the degree 0 part of $S_{X_i} I$ is I , i.e. $S_{(X_i)} \cap (S_{X_i} I) = I$: The inclusion \supseteq is clear. Now let $a \in S_{(X_i)} \cap (S_{X_i} I)$. As $a \in S_{X_i} I$, we can write

$$a = f_1 i_1 + \cdots + f_r i_r$$

for $f_1, \dots, f_r \in S_{X_i}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_r \in I$. Decomposing the f_j , we may assume each f_j is a homogeneous element of S_{X_i} . Considering the degree zero part of our above equation,

$$a = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \deg(f_j)=0}}^r f_j i_j.$$

As $\deg(f_j) = 0$ implies $f_j \in S_{(X_i)}$, we see $a \in I$.

Now let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} S_{(X_i)}$. If $\mathfrak{p} = 0$, a preimage is $0 \in \mathrm{Spec} S_{X_i}$. Otherwise \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal of $S_{(X_i)}$ (as $\dim S_{(X_i)} = 1$, cf. Lemma 5.4.4). Since $S_{X_i} \mathfrak{p}$ is a proper ideal of S_{X_i} by the above calculation, it is contained in a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of S_{X_i} , which is our desired preimage.

- (b) The fact that $I := I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n])$ is a homogeneous prime ideal is immediate (if $fg \in I$, then $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = 0$, so $f \in I$ or $g \in I$). Since $\lambda_i^{a_i} X_j^{a_j} - \lambda_j^{a_j} X_i^{a_i} \in I$ for all i, j , we see $I \neq 0$.

Finally, if $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (z^{\bar{a}/a_1} \mu_1, \dots, z^{\bar{a}/a_n} \mu_n)$ for some $z \in k^\times$ and $f \in S$ is homogeneous of degree d , $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = z^d f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, showing independence of the equivalence class of λ .

- (c) This is a version of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz ($\lambda_i \neq 0$ comes from the localization at X_i). \square

Proposition 5.4.9. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between closed points of C and elements of P , given by sending $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n] \in P$ to $I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]) \in C$.*

Proof. The map is well-defined by Lemma 5.4.8.

Injectivity: Suppose that $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n], [\mu_1 : \cdots : \mu_n] \in P$ describing the same closed point $I([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]) = I([\mu_1 : \cdots : \mu_n])$. For all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mu_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} X_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} - \mu_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} X_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \in I([\mu_1 : \cdots : \mu_n]),$$

so this also lies in $I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n])$. This means, spelled out, that

$$\mu_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}} \lambda_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} = \mu_i^{\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)}} \lambda_j^{\frac{a_j}{(a_i, a_j)}}.$$

By Lemma 5.4.6, $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n] = [\mu_1 : \cdots : \mu_n]$.

Surjectivity: Let $\mathfrak{p} \in C$ be a closed point. Since we can cover C by the $D(X_i)$, there exists some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in D(X_i) \cong \text{Spec } S_{(X_i)}$. By Lemma 5.4.8, the image of \mathfrak{p} in $S_{(X_i)}$ equals $(X_1 - \lambda_1, \dots, X_n - \lambda_n) \cap S_{(X_i)}$ for some $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\lambda_j^{a_j} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_j \lambda_1^{a_1}$ for all $j \in \{3, \dots, n\}$. It follows that $I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. As $I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]) \neq 0$ (Lemma 5.4.8) and $\dim C = 1$ (Lemma 5.4.4), $I([\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]) = \mathfrak{p}$. \square

5.4.2. Differentials of C

The aim of this section is to describe the cotangent bundle Ω_C^1 and to prove that C is smooth.

Remark 5.4.10. As noted before, we will require some condition on the weights a_1, \dots, a_n to prove that C is smooth. It is not clear to the author whether this condition is also necessary. However, the condition stated here will later be necessary and sufficient in order to obtain the desired weighted projective line as quotient stack, so we lose no generality imposing the condition already here.

We say that the weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ satisfies the *weight condition* if each weight divides the least common multiple of the other weights, i.e.

$$a_i \mid \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Example 5.4.11. The weight vectors $(2, 2), (2, 4, 4), (2, 3, 6), (2, 3, 5, 6, 10)$ satisfy the weight condition, whereas $(2, 3), (2, 4, 8)$ and $(2, 3, 5)$ do not.

Remark 5.4.12. We claimed before that our weight condition is weaker than the condition required in [Len16, Proposition 11]: There, it is assumed that⁶

$$\deg X_1 = \frac{\bar{a}}{a_1}, \dots, \deg X_n = \frac{\bar{a}}{a_n}$$

are pairwise coprime, and that $n \geq 3$ or $n = 2$ and $a_1 = a_2$. As $\gcd(\frac{\bar{a}}{a_i}, \frac{\bar{a}}{a_j}) = \frac{\bar{a}}{\text{lcm}(a_i, a_j)}$, this is equivalent to \bar{a} being the lcm of *any* two weight a_i, a_j with $i \neq j$. If $n \geq 3$, we can find for each weight a_h some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with h, i, j pairwise distinct, so that

$$a_h \mid \bar{a} = \text{lcm}(a_i a_j) \mid \text{lcm}(a_{i'} : i' \neq h),$$

which is our weight condition.

For $n = 3$, our weight condition and Lenzing's are equivalent. This is not the case for $n \geq 3$, e.g. $(2, 2, 4, 4)$ is a weight vector satisfying our weight condition but not Lenzing's.

⁶The assumption $n \geq 3$ or $n = 2$ and $a_1 = a_2$ is not explicitly stated, however, there are trivial counterexamples for $n = 2$: e.g. the weight vector $(2, 3)$ seems to satisfy the condition of [Len16, Proposition 11]. However, the statement of that Proposition would be that the corresponding weighted projective line X is the quotient of the non-weighted projective curve Y by $\frac{\mu_2 \times \mu_3}{\mu_6}$, which is the trivial group. Hence $X \cong Y$ would be non-weighted projective, a contradiction.

Lemma 5.4.13. *We define $\tilde{\Omega}$ as the S -module by the following generators and relations:*

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \frac{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dX_i}{\left(a_i X_i^{a_i-1} dX_i - a_2 X_2^{a_2-1} dX_2 + x_i a_1 X_1^{a_1-1} \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, n\right)}.$$

We turn $\tilde{\Omega}$ into a graded S -module by the convention $\deg(dX_i) := \deg X_i = \frac{\bar{a}}{a_i}$. Define a differential $S \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}$ by $d(X_i) = dX_i$, extended by the Leibniz rule.

Then $S \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}$ is a universal derivation, i.e. $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the module of Kähler differentials.

Proof. Well-definedness of $\tilde{\Omega}$ and d are easily checked.

By [Har77, Example II.8.2.1], the module of Kähler differentials for $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n k[X_1, \dots, X_n] dX_i$ with d similarly as defined above. Since we can express S as a quotient ring of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, the statement follows from [Har77, Proposition II.8.4A]. \square

Lemma 5.4.14. *Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be as above and consider the graded morphism of S -modules*

$$\tilde{\Omega} \xrightarrow{\varphi} S, dX_i \mapsto \frac{X_i}{a_i}.$$

Denote $\Omega := \ker(\varphi)$.

Let \mathfrak{p} in C be a closed point with coordinates $[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$.

- (a) *If $w \in S$ is homogeneous of degree δ , we have $\varphi(d(w)) = \delta \bar{a}^{-1} w$.*
- (b) *The stalk of the map $S \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}$ at \mathfrak{p} , i.e. $S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, factors over $\Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.*
- (c) *Assume that the weight condition holds. Then $S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is the module of Kähler differentials of $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.*
- (d) *Assume that the weight condition holds. Choose indices $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_{i'} \neq 0$ for all $i' \neq i$. There exists a unit $f \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of degree $\deg(f) = -\frac{\bar{a}}{a_i} - \frac{\bar{a}}{a_j}$. For any such unit, $\Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is a free $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -module of rank 1 with generator*

$$f(a_i X_j dX_i - a_j X_i dX_j).$$

Proof. (a) We may assume w has the form $w = X_1^{e_1} \dots X_n^{e_n}$ with $e_i \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(d(w)) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i X_1^{e_1} \dots X_{i-1}^{e_{i-1}} X_i^{e_i-1} X_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}} \dots X_n^{e_n} dX_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i}{a_i} X_1^{e_1} \dots X_n^{e_n} \\ &= w \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i}{a_i} = w \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \deg X_i \bar{a}^{-1} = w \bar{a}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(X_i^{e_i}) = w \bar{a}^{-1} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $\frac{f}{g} \in S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $f, g \in S$ homogeneous (so g does not vanish in $[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$). Denote $\delta := \deg(f) = \deg(g)$. Then

$$\varphi(d(f/g)) = \varphi\left(\frac{d(f)}{g} - \frac{f d(g)}{g^2}\right) = \frac{\varphi(d(f))}{g} - \frac{f \varphi(d(g))}{g^2} \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{\delta f}{\bar{a} g} - \frac{f \delta g}{\bar{a} g^2} = 0.$$

- (c) By compatibility with localization, $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the module of Kähler differentials of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Note that $\gcd(\deg(X_1), \dots, \deg(X_n)) = \frac{\bar{a}}{\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = 1$. Moreover, by the weight condition, even $\gcd(\deg(X_j) : j \neq i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since at most one X_i can vanish in $[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$, we have $\gcd(\deg(X_i) : X_i \text{ invertible in } S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$.

Hence $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains a unit t of degree 1. It follows that $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong S_{(\mathfrak{p})}[t, t^{-1}]$ as graded algebras, where the latter is, by abuse of notation, the ring of Laurent polynomials over $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\deg(t) = 1$.

Note that we have a (non-graded) k -algebra homomorphism $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ sending t to 1. Then the composition

$$S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \hookrightarrow S_{(\mathfrak{p})}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$$

is the identity k -algebra homomorphism. It follows that the induced map of Kähler differentials

$$\Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}[t, t^{-1}]/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}/k}^1$$

is the identity map. In particular, the natural map $\Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}[t, t^{-1}]/k}^1 \cong \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is injective. Thus $\Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}/k}^1$ is the $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -submodule of $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generated by the image of $S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It suffices to show that this image is $\ker(\varphi) \cap \tilde{\Omega}_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.

For $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ define

$$U_{\delta} := \{w \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \varphi(w) = \delta \bar{a}^{-1} w\}.$$

By (a), this is a graded $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule of $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{\delta}$.

Note that $\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is, as $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, generated by the elements $d(st^e)$ for $s \in S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ and $e \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $d(st^e) \in U_e$ by the above calculation. Hence U_0 is generated, as $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, by $d(s)$ for $s \in S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Therefore the degree 0 part of U_0 is generated, as $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -module, by $d(s)$ for $s \in S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. This shows that $\Omega_{S_{(\mathfrak{p})}/k}^1$ is indeed the degree 0 part of U_0 , as claimed.

- (d) The existence of units of arbitrary degree was settled above.

It is simple to see that $sf(a_i X_j dX_i - a_j X_i dX_j) = 0$ for $s \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ implies $s = 0$ by the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}$ (using that $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a domain).

Finally let $w \in \Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ be any element. Write $w = f_1 dX_1 + \dots + f_n dX_n$ for homogeneous elements $f_i \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of degree $\deg f_i = -\deg X_i = -\frac{\bar{a}}{a_i}$.

Using the conditions

$$a_{i'} X_{i'}^{a_{i'}-1} dX_{i'} = a_2 X_2^{a_2-1} dX_2 - x_{i'} X_1^{a_1-1} dX_1,$$

for $i' \geq 3$, we claim that it is possible to express each dX_{ℓ} using dX_i and dX_j , i.e.

$$\Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})} = (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_i)} dX_i + (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_j)} dX_j$$

The claim is proved by case distinction:

- In case $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$, this is clear: Use the condition for $i' = \ell$ and invertibility of X_{ℓ} to get $dX_{\ell} \in (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_1)} dX_1 + (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_2)} dX_2$.

- In case $1 \in \{i, j\}$ but $2 \notin \{i, j\}$, use the condition for $i' = \max\{i, j\}$ and invertibility of X_2 to get dX_2 . Then use dX_1 and dX_2 and the remaining conditions to get all X_ℓ for $\ell \geq 3$.
- In case $2 \in \{i, j\}$ but $1 \notin \{i, j\}$, use the condition for $i' = \max\{i, j\}$ and invertibility of X_1 to get dX_1 . Proceed as above.
- In case $\{i, j\} \cap \{1, 2\} \neq \emptyset$, subtract the condition for $i' = i$ from the condition for $i' = j$. Thus

$$a_j X_j^{a_j-1} dX_j - a_i X_i^{a_i-1} dX_i = (x_i - x_j) X_1^{a_1-1} dX_1.$$

Now use the invertibility of X_1 and $x_i \neq x_j$ to get dX_1 . Proceed as above.

In any case, $\Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})} = (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_i)} dX_i + (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_j)} dX_j$. Now if $w = f_i dX_i + f_j dX_j \in \Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \varphi(w) &= \frac{f_i X_i}{a_i} + \frac{f_j X_j}{a_j} \implies f_j = -\frac{a_j X_i}{a_i X_j} f_i \\ \implies w &= \frac{f_i}{a_i X_j} (a_i X_j dX_i - a_j X_i dX_j) = \frac{f_i}{a_i X_j f} f (a_i X_j dX_i - a_j X_i dX_j). \end{aligned}$$

As $\frac{f_i}{a_i X_j f}$ is of degree 0, we see $w \in S_{(\mathfrak{p})} f (a_i X_j dX_i - a_j X_i dX_j)$. \square

Corollary 5.4.15. *Assume that the weight condition holds.*

(a) *C is smooth.*

(b) *Denote $\omega := (n-2)\bar{a} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{a}}{a_i}$. For $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $f = X_2 \cdots X_{i-1} X_{i+1} \cdots X_n$, consider the element*

$$w_i := \pm (a_1 X_i dX_1 - a_i X_1 dX_i) \prod_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq i}}^n X_j^{1-a_j} \in (\Omega_f)_{-\omega},$$

where the sign is +1 for $i \geq 3$ and -1 for $i = 2$. Then

$$(S_f)_\omega \rightarrow \Omega_{(f)}, g \mapsto g w_i$$

is an isomorphism of $S_{(f)}$ -modules.

(c) *For $i \neq i'$, the restrictions of w_i and $w_{i'}$ to $D(X_2 \cdots X_n)$ coincide. In particular, the above isomorphisms glue to an isomorphism of line bundles from $\mathcal{O}_C(\omega)$ to the sheaf of modules on C associated to the graded S -module Ω , i.e. the cotangent sheaf of C .*

Proof. (a) The cotangent sheaf is the sheaf associated to the graded S -module Ω . As $\Omega_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is free of rank one for each closed point \mathfrak{p} , C is regular. Since we are in characteristic zero, C is already smooth.

(b) As ρ and the X_j for $i \neq j \geq 2$ are units, it suffices to show that the following is an isomorphism:

$$(S_f)_\omega \rightarrow \Omega_{(f)}, g \mapsto g(a_1 X_i dX_1 - a_i X_1 dX_i).$$

This can be checked locally at each closed point $\mathfrak{p} \in D(X_2 \cdots X_{i-1} X_{i+2} \cdots X_n)$. Note that if $f \in (S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_1)-\deg(X_i)}$ is a unit, $(S_{\mathfrak{p}})_{-\deg(X_1)-\deg(X_i)}$ is a free $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -module of rank 1. Now the claim follows by Lemma 5.4.14 part (d).

- (c) It suffices to show that the restrictions of each w_i and w_2 coincide for $i \geq 3$. For that notice that if all X_j are invertible for $j \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 X_i dX_1 - a_i X_1 dX_i &= a_1 X_i dX_1 - \frac{X_1}{X_i^{a_i-1}} (a_i X_i^{a_i-1} dX_i) \\
 &= a_1 X_i dX_1 - \frac{X_1}{X_i^{a_i-1}} (a_2 X_2^{a_2-1} dX_2 - x_i a_1 X_1^{a_1-1} dX_1) \\
 &= a_1 X_i \left(1 - \frac{x_i X_1^{a_1}}{X_i^{a_i}} \right) dX_1 - \frac{X_2^{a_2-1}}{X_i^{a_i-1}} a_2 X_1 dX_2 \\
 &= a_i X_i \left(1 - \frac{X_i^{a_i} - X_2^{a_2}}{X_i^{a_i}} \right) dX_1 - \frac{X_2^{a_2-1}}{X_i^{a_i-1}} a_2 X_1 dX_2 \\
 &= -\frac{X_2^{a_2-1}}{X_i^{a_i-1}} (a_1 X_2 dX_1 - a_2 X_1 dX_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $\prod_{\substack{j=2 \\ j \neq i}}^n X_j^{1-a_j}$, we get the claim. \square

Remark 5.4.16. Assume that the weight condition holds.

It follows that the genus of C is $\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) = \dim_k S_\omega$. The genus can be computed as follows:

We first express $\mathcal{O}_C(\omega)$ via a Cartier divisor: Consider the open cover

$$\{U_i = D(X_1 \cdots X_{i-1} X_{i+1} \cdots X_n) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

The weight condition implies $\gcd(\deg(X_1), \dots, \deg(X_{i-1}), \deg(X_{i+1}), \dots, \deg(X_n)) = 1$. We therefore may choose indices $e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$e_{i,i} = \begin{cases} -1, & i \in \{1, 2\} \\ a_i - 1, & i \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and $f_i := X_1^{e_{i,1}} \cdots X_n^{e_{i,n}} \in \text{Frac}(S)$ has degree 0. Then $\{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ defines a Cartier divisor as in [Har77, Sec. II.6].

Let $K := S_{(0)}$ be the field of rational functions and denote, by abuse of notation, the sheaf on C which is constantly K also by K . Consider the injective homomorphism of sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_C(\omega) \rightarrow K$$

given by multiplying a section by $v := X_1 X_2 \prod_{i=3}^n X_i^{1-a_i}$ (which has degree $-\omega$). Denote the image of this morphism by \mathcal{L} .

We claim that \mathcal{L} is the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(D)$ associated to the Cartier divisor

$$D := \{(U_i, f_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}.$$

i.e. for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in U_i$, we would like to show that the $S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -submodule of K generated by f_i^{-1} equals the submodule generated by $v(S_{\mathfrak{p}})_\omega$. This is clear if $\mathfrak{p} \in D(X_1 \cdots X_n)$, as all the X_i become units and the submodule in each case is just $\mathcal{O}_{C, \mathfrak{p}} = S_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \in V(X_i)$, the submodule is

$$\begin{cases} X_i S_{(\mathfrak{p})}, & i = 1, 2 \\ X_i^{1-a_i} S_{(\mathfrak{p})}, & i \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

This shows that $\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(\omega) \cong \Omega_{C/k}^1$. In other words, D is the canonical divisor. By [Har77, Example IV.1.3.3], the degree of this canonical divisor is $2g - 2$, where g is the genus of C . Note that by $(*)$, the Weyl divisor associated to D is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in V(X_i)} \mathfrak{p} \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, 2 \\ 1 - a_i, & i \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Hence the degree of D is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \#V(X_i) \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, 2 \\ 1 - a_i, & i \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We will later see that $\#V(X_i) = \frac{a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n}{a}$. It follows that the degree of D is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{a} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{1}{a_i} - 1 \right) = \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{a} \left((2 - n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \right) \\ & = - \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{a^2} \omega. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the genus of C is

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(- \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{a^2} \omega \right) = 1 + \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{2a^2} \omega.$$

Example 5.4.17. Assume again the weight condition. By [Har77, Example IV.1.3.5], C is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 iff the genus is zero.

If the genus of C is zero, $\frac{a_1 \cdots a_n \omega}{2a^2} = -1$. In particular, $\omega < 0$. Thus $n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} < 0$.

Conversely, if $n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} < 0$, we get $\omega < 0$ so the genus of C is $\dim S_\omega = 0$.

- Case $n = 2$: The weight condition implies $a_1 = a_2$, so $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_1)$.
- Case $n \geq 3$: Then $a_i \geq 2$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \leq \frac{n}{2}$, so that $\frac{n}{2} - 2 \geq n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} < 0$.

We see $n < 4$.

Now let $n = 3$. Then $0 > n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{a_i}$. The only solutions to this are, up to reordering,

$$\mathbf{a} \in \{(2, 2, a) : a \geq 2\} \cup \{(2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5)\}.$$

Only the weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (2, 2, 2)$ satisfies the weight condition.

Example 5.4.18. Similarly, C is elliptic (i.e. the genus is 1) iff $\omega = 0$. By a similar case distinction as above, this is the case for

$$\mathbf{a} \in \{(2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 4), (3, 3, 3), (2, 2, 2, 2)\}.$$

All of these satisfy the weight condition.

5.4.3. The group action on C

As announced before, the natural map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ from Lemma 5.4.4 expresses \mathbb{P}^1 as a quotient scheme of C by a finite group. In this section, we make the group and its action explicit.

Definition 5.4.19. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu_a \leq k^\times$ be the group of a -th roots of unity in k .

Consider the action of $\mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n}$ on S by the algebra automorphism

$$(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \cdot X_i := \zeta_i X_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote the induced map on $C = \text{Proj } S$ by $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}$. Denote the image of the group homomorphism

$$\mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n} \xrightarrow{\varphi(-)} \text{Aut}(C)$$

by G .

Lemma 5.4.20.

- (a) If $\mathfrak{p} \in C$ is a closed points with coordinates $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$, $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the closed point in C with coordinates $[\zeta_1^{-1}\lambda_1 : \cdots : \zeta_n^{-1}\lambda_n]$.
- (b) For $i = 1, \dots, n$, consider the homomorphism $\mu_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \mu_{a_i}$, sending ζ to $\zeta^{\bar{a}/a_i}$. Then the induced homomorphism $\mu_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n}$ and the group homomorphism from Definition 5.4.19 form a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $G \cong \frac{\mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n}}{\mu_{\bar{a}}}$.

- (c) The natural map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ from Lemma 5.4.4 expresses \mathbb{P}^1 as a quotient scheme C/G .
- (d) If $\mathfrak{p} \in C$ is a closed point with coordinates $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$ such that $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for all i , the stabilizer of \mathfrak{p} in G is trivial.
- (e) Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. There exists a unique G -orbit of closed points $\mathfrak{p} \in C$ with coordinates $[\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$ such that $\lambda_i = 0$.
The image of each such point in \mathbb{P}^1 has the coordinate x_i in \mathbb{P}^1 .
- (f) Assume that the weight condition holds and let \mathfrak{p} be one of the closed points from (e). Then the stabilizer of \mathfrak{p} in G is cyclic of order a_i .

Proof. (a) Let $f \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathfrak{p} &\iff f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = 0 \iff \varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}(f)(\zeta_1^{-1}(\lambda_1), \dots, \zeta_n^{-1}(\lambda_n)) = 0 \\ &\iff \varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)} \in \mathfrak{p}' \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{p}' is the closed point of C with coordinates $[\zeta_1^{-1}\lambda_1 : \cdots : \zeta_n^{-1}\lambda_n]$. This shows that $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}'$.

- (b) Let $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mu_{a_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{a_n}$. This element is in the kernel of $\mu_{a_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{a_n} \rightarrow G$ iff for all closed points $\mathbf{p} \in C$, $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}$.

Write \mathbf{p} with coordinates $[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$. Then

$$\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}([\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]) = [\zeta_1^{-1} \lambda_1 : \dots : \zeta_n^{-1} \lambda_n].$$

This equals $[\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$ iff there exists some $t \in k^\times$ such that $\zeta_i^{-1} \lambda_i = t^{\bar{a}/a_i} \lambda_i$ for all i .

On the one hand, if $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ has a preimage $\zeta \in \mu_{\bar{a}}$, we may choose $t = \zeta^{-1}$ for all points \mathbf{p} to establish $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}([\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]) = [\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$.

Now conversely suppose that $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)} \in \text{Aut}(C)$ is the trivial map and pick a point $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n] \in D(X_1 \cdots X_n)$. Then there exists some $t \in k^\times$ such that $\zeta_i^{-1} = t^{\bar{a}/a_i}$ for all i , as $\lambda_i \neq 0$. As $t^{\bar{a}} = \zeta_i^{-a_i} = 1$, t is an \bar{a} -th root of unity. Now $t^{-1} \in \mu_{\bar{a}}$ is a preimage of $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ in $\mu_{\bar{a}}$.

The fact that $\mu_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \mu_{a_1} \times \dots \times \mu_{a_n}$ is injective follows easily by elementary number theory, using only $\bar{a} = \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

- (c) It suffices to show that two closed points $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}' \in C$ have the same image in \mathbb{P}^1 iff they are in the same G -orbit (surjectivity of $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ was proved in Lemma 5.4.4).

In coordinates, write $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$ and $\mathbf{p}' = [\lambda'_1 : \dots : \lambda'_n]$. Then the respective images in \mathbb{P}^1 have coordinates $\frac{\lambda_2^{a_2}}{\lambda_1^{a_1}}$ respectively $\frac{(\lambda'_2)^{a_2}}{(\lambda'_1)^{a_1}}$ (with the convention $1/0 = \infty$).

Suppose first that $\mathbf{p}' = \varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}(\mathbf{p})$. Then $\lambda'_i = \zeta_i \lambda_i$ such that $(\lambda'_i)^{a_i} = \lambda_i^{a_i}$ for all i . It follows that \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' have the same image in \mathbb{P}^1 .

Now assume conversely that the images of \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' in \mathbb{P}^1 coincide. Hence there exists some $t \in k^\times$ such that $(\lambda'_1)^{a_1} = t \lambda_1^{a_1}$ and $(\lambda'_2)^{a_2} = t \lambda_2^{a_2}$.

Pick some $s \in k$ such that $s^{\bar{a}} = t$. Put $\tilde{\lambda}_i := s^{\bar{a}/a_i} \lambda'_i$. Then $[\lambda'_1 : \dots : \lambda'_n] = [\tilde{\lambda}_1 : \dots : \tilde{\lambda}_n]$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_1^{a_1} = \lambda_1^{a_1}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_2^{a_2} = \lambda_2^{a_2}$.

By the equation

$$\lambda_i^{a_i} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_i \lambda_1^{a_1} \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, n,$$

we conclude $\tilde{\lambda}_i^{a_i} = \lambda_i^{a_i}$ for all i . Therefore, there exists an a_i -th root of unity $\zeta_i \in \mu_{a_i}$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \zeta_i \lambda_i$. We conclude

$$\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}([\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]) = [\tilde{\lambda}_1 : \dots : \tilde{\lambda}_n] = [\lambda'_1 : \dots : \lambda'_n].$$

Hence $\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p}'$.

- (d) We saw this proof already in (b).
 (e) We distinguish the cases $i = 1$ and $i \geq 2$ for formal reasons, although they are not actually different:

Case $i = 1$: Existence of at least one point $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$ with $\lambda_1 = 0$: Set $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1$ and choose, for $i \geq 3$, λ_i to satisfy $\lambda_i^{a_i} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_i \lambda_1^{a_1}$.

Now if $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n]$ is any closed point of C satisfying $\lambda_1 = 0$, it follows that $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and the image of \mathbf{p} in \mathbb{P}^1 is $\frac{\lambda_2^{a_2}}{\lambda_1^{a_1}} = \frac{\lambda_2^{a_2}}{0} = \infty = x_1$.

Therefore, any two points in \mathbf{p} with vanishing X_1 -coordinate have the same image in \mathbb{P}^1 , so they are G -conjugate by (c).

Case $i \geq 2$: Existence of at least one point $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$ with $\lambda_i = 0$: Set $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_i = 0$. If $i \geq 3$, choose λ_2 to satisfy $\lambda_i^{a_i} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_i \lambda_1^{a_1}$. Now for $i \neq j \geq 3$, choose λ_j to satisfy $\lambda_j^{a_j} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_j \lambda_1^{a_1}$.

Next suppose $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$ is any closed point in C satisfying $\lambda_i = 0$. Note that $0 = \lambda_i^{a_i} = \lambda_2^{a_2} - x_i \lambda_1^{a_1}$ holds in any case (for $i = 2$, recall $x_2 = 0$). Hence the image of \mathbf{p} in \mathbb{P}^1 has coordinate

$$\frac{\lambda_2^{a_2}}{\lambda_1^{a_1}} = \frac{x_i \lambda_1^{a_1}}{\lambda_1^{a_1}} = x_i.$$

Again, if \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' are two points with vanishing X_i -coordinate, they have the same image in \mathbb{P}^1 , so they are G -conjugate.

- (f) Let $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$. We claim that the stabilizer of \mathbf{p} is the image of the composition

$$\mu_{a_i} \hookrightarrow \mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n} \rightarrow G.$$

On the one hand, an element $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ with $\zeta_j = 1$ for all $j \neq i$ will certainly stabilize \mathbf{p} as $\zeta_j \lambda_j = \lambda_j$ holds for all j (since $\lambda_i = 0$).

Now suppose conversely that $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_n$ stabilizes \mathbf{p} . By definition, $[\zeta_1^{-1} \lambda_1 : \cdots : \zeta_n^{-1} \lambda_n] = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$, i.e. $\zeta_j^{-1} \lambda_j = t^{\bar{a}/a_j} \lambda_j$ for some $t \in k^\times$ and all j . Then t is an \bar{a} -th root of unity as before and $t^{\bar{a}/a_j} \zeta_j = 1$ if $i \neq j$. Thus

$$\varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)} = \varphi_{t^{\bar{a}/a_1} \zeta_1, \dots, t^{\bar{a}/a_n} \zeta_n} = \varphi_{(1, \dots, 1, t^{\bar{a}/a_i} \zeta_i, 1, \dots, 1)}$$

has a preimage in μ_{a_i} .

This proves that the stabilizer of \mathbf{p} is the image of μ_{a_i} in G . We still have to show that the map $\mu_{a_i} \rightarrow G$ is injective. Suppose $\zeta_i \in \mu_{a_i}$ is in the kernel. By (a), there exists some $\zeta \in \mu_{\bar{a}}$ with $\zeta^{\bar{a}/a_i} = a_i$ and $\zeta^{\bar{a}/a_j} = 1$ for $j \neq i$.

By the weight condition, the induced map

$$\mu_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow \mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_{i-1}} \times \mu_{a_{i+1}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n}$$

still is injective, so $\zeta = 1$ and hence $\zeta_i = 1$.

Now $\mu_{a_i} \hookrightarrow G$ is the stabilizer of \mathbf{p} , which is cyclic of order a_i . □

Corollary 5.4.21. *Assume that the weight condition holds. Then $[C/G]$ is, as stack, isomorphic to the weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.4.20 and Proposition 5.3.4. □

Remark 5.4.22. By the proof of Lemma 5.4.20, part (f), it is easy to see that the existence of an isomorphism $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is equivalent to the weight condition (if the weight condition fails, some stabilizers will not be large enough). e.g. the weight vector $(2, 3)$ fails the weight condition, and indeed, $G \cong \frac{\mu_2 \times \mu_3}{\mu_6} \cong \{1\}$, hence no point in C has a non-trivial stabilizer.

Remark 5.4.23 (Continuing Remark 5.4.16). To finish the argument of Remark 5.4.16, we have to show that the number of points $\mathbf{p} = [\lambda_1 : \cdots : \lambda_n]$ such that $\lambda_i = 0$ is $\frac{a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n}{a_i} = \frac{|G|}{a_i}$. This follows, assuming the weight condition, directly from Lemma 5.4.20 and the orbit-stabilizer-formula.

Moreover, we note that the Euler characteristic of C is $2 - 2g = |G| \frac{\omega}{a}$, where g is its genus. By [Len16, Theorem 2], we hence would expect the Euler characteristic of $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ to be $\frac{\omega}{a}$. And indeed, this is the Euler characteristic by [VZ15, p. 58].

Example 5.4.24. In the terminology of [Len16, Theorem 7], we say that the weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is of parabolic type iff $\mathbf{a} \in \{(2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 4), (3, 3, 3), (2, 2, 2, 2)\}$. These are precisely the cases where C is elliptic by Example 5.4.18.

Lemma 5.4.25 (Group action of differentials). *Let $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_n$ and g be the corresponding group element $g := \varphi_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)} \in G$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_C(\omega) \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_{C/k}^1$ be the isomorphism of line bundles from Corollary 5.4.15.*

As g is a scheme automorphism, consider the induced isomorphism $g_ \Omega_{C/k}^1 \cong \Omega_{C/k}^1$. Moreover, the action of $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ on S induces an isomorphism $g_* \mathcal{O}_C(\omega) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)$.*

Then the following diagram of sheaf isomorphisms commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_C(\omega) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Omega_{C/k}^1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & g_* \Omega_{C/k}^1 \\ \downarrow \cdot (\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n)^{-1} & & \nearrow g_* \psi & & \\ \mathcal{O}_C(\omega) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & g_* \mathcal{O}_C(\omega) & & \end{array} .$$

Here, the leftmost map is multiplication of sections by $\frac{1}{\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n} \in k^\times$.

Proof. It suffices to check commutativity on an open covering of C . Let $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and consider the open subset $D(f)$ for $f = X_2 \cdots X_{i-1} X_{i+1} \cdots X_n$. Note that $D(f)$ is G -invariant. Hence $H^0(D(f), g_* M) = H^0(D(f), M)$ for all sheaves of \mathcal{O}_C -modules M .

We get the following commutative diagram from 5.4.15:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & S_{(f)} & \hookrightarrow & S_f \\ & & \downarrow d_{S_{(f)}/k} & & \downarrow d_{S_f/k} \\ H^0(D(f), \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) = (S_f)_\omega & \xrightarrow{w_i \cdot} & \Omega_{(f)} & \hookrightarrow & \Omega_{S_f/k}^1 \end{array} .$$

Now consider the induced action of $\underline{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$: First, note that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_f & \xrightarrow{X_i \mapsto \zeta_i X_i} & S_f \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \\ \Omega_{S_f/k}^1 & \xrightarrow{sd X_i \mapsto (\zeta \cdot s) \zeta_i d X_i} & \Omega_{S_f/k}^1 \end{array} .$$

By restriction, also the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{(f)} & \xrightarrow{X_i \mapsto \zeta_i X_i} & S_{(f)} \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \\ \Omega_{(f)} & \xrightarrow{sd X_i \mapsto (\zeta \cdot s) \zeta_i d X_i} & \Omega_{(f)} \end{array} .$$

Note that the top isomorphism $S_{(f)} \rightarrow S_{(f)}$ is $H^0(D(f), -)$ applied to $g_*\mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_C$. It follows that the bottom isomorphism $\Omega_{(f)} \xrightarrow{sdX_i \mapsto (\zeta \cdot s)\zeta_i dX_i} \Omega_{(f)}$ must be $H^0(D(f), -)$ applied to the isomorphism $g_*\Omega_{C/k}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_{C/k}^1$.

We now identify

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(D(f), \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) & \xrightarrow{H^0(D(f), \psi)} & H^0(D(f), \Omega_{C/k}^1) & \xrightarrow{H^0(D(f), \Omega_{C/k}^1 \cong g_*\Omega_{C/k}^1)} & H^0(D(f), g_*\Omega_{C/k}^1) \\ \downarrow \cdot (\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n)^{-1} & & & \nearrow & \\ H^0(D(f), \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) & \xrightarrow{H^0(D(f), \mathcal{O}_C \cong g_*\mathcal{O}_C)} & H^0(D(f), g_*\mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) & & \end{array}$$

as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (S_f)_\omega & \xrightarrow{w_i \cdot} & \Omega_{(f)} & \xrightarrow{sdX_i \mapsto (\zeta^{-1} \cdot s)\zeta_i^{-1} dX_i} & \Omega_{(f)} \\ \downarrow \cdot (\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n)^{-1} & & & \nearrow & \\ (S_f)_\omega & \xrightarrow{s \mapsto (\zeta^{-1} \cdot s)} & (S_f)_\omega & & \end{array}$$

Now commutativity follows from the observation that $\zeta^{-1} \cdot w_i = (\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n)^{-1} w_i$ by the explicit formula for w_i from Corollary 5.4.15 and $\zeta_i^{a_i} = 1$. \square

Corollary 5.4.26. *In the setting of Lemma 5.4.25, the two isomorphisms $g_*\mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ and $g_*\Omega_{C/k}^1 \cong \Omega_{C/k}^1$ induce an isomorphism*

$$g_*T_C = g_*\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) = \mathcal{H}om(g_*\Omega_{C/k}^1, g_*\mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{C/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_C) = T_C.$$

Then the following diagram of sheaf isomorphisms commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & g_*\mathcal{O}_C(-\omega) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{O}_C(-\omega) \\ & \nearrow g_*\mathcal{H}om(\psi, \mathcal{O}_C) & & & \downarrow \cdot (\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n)^{-1} \\ g_*T_C & \xrightarrow{\cong} & T_C & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}om(\psi, \mathcal{O}_C)} & \mathcal{O}_C(-\omega) \end{array}$$

Proof. Apply $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_C)$ to Lemma 5.4.25 and use $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_C) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om(-, g_*\mathcal{O}_C)$. \square

5.4.4. Calculating Hochschild (co)homology

The stage is set to apply Propositions 5.2.10 and 5.2.12. For this calculation, we assume that the weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ satisfies the weight condition.

Denote by $X = \mathbb{P}^1\langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ the weighted projective line.

Lemma 5.4.27. *$H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$ decomposes into a direct sum of one-dimensional G -invariant subspaces, and the action of G on each of those is non-trivial.*

Proof. First let $g \in G$ corresponding to $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mu_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mu_{a_n}$. We would like to study how it acts on $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$. We apply $H^0(C, -)$ to Lemma 5.4.25. Note that $H^0(C, -) = H^0(C, g_*(-))$ by definition of g_* , so that the action of g on $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)$ is given by applying $H^0(C, -)$ to $\Omega_{C/k}^1 \cong g_*\Omega_{C/k}^1$. Denote this induced action also by

g. We similarly get an induced action $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega))$ such that, by Lemma 5.4.25, the following diagram of isomorphisms commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) & \xrightarrow{H^0(C, \psi)} & H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) & \xrightarrow{g} & H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) \\ \downarrow \cdot (\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n)^{-1} & & & \nearrow H^0(C, \psi) & \\ H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) & & \end{array}$$

Now the map $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega))$ allows a simpler description: $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) \cong S_\omega$, and the induced action from $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ comes from the action of $(\zeta_1^{-1}, \dots, \zeta_n^{-1})$ on S .

We get the decomposition

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\omega)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = \omega \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3}} kX_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n}$$

where $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ acts on $kX_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n}$ by multiplication by $\zeta_1^{-e_1} \cdots \zeta_n^{-e_n}$.

Hence the isomorphism $H^0(C, \psi)$ allows to decompose

$$H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1) = \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = \omega \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3}} \underbrace{H^0(C, \psi)(kX_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n})}_{=: V_{e_1, \dots, e_n}},$$

where $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ acts on V_{e_1, \dots, e_n} by multiplication by $\zeta_1^{-e_1-1} \cdots \zeta_n^{-e_n-1}$.

Suppose now that on some V_{e_1, \dots, e_n} , this action is trivial. Then $\zeta_1^{-e_1-1} \cdots \zeta_n^{-e_n-1} = 1$ for choices of ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n . Choosing ζ_1 to be a primitive a_1 -th root of unity and all other ζ_i to be 1, we see $a_1 \mid -e_1 - 1$, so $a_1 \mid e_1 + 1$. Similarly, $a_i \mid e_i + 1$.

On the other hand, the condition $e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = \omega$ is equivalent (after division by \bar{a}) to

$$\frac{e_1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{e_n}{a_n} = n - 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \iff \frac{e_1 + 1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{e_n + 1}{a_n} = n - 2.$$

Since the e_i are non-negative, $\frac{e_i + 1}{a_i} > 0$. As $\frac{e_i + 1}{a_i}$ is an integer, it is ≥ 1 , so we get the contradiction $n - 2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n$.

This contradiction shows that the action on V_{e_1, \dots, e_n} cannot be trivial, finishing the proof. \square

Corollary 5.4.28. $H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)^G \cong H^0(C, \Omega_{C/k}^1)_G \cong 0$. \square

Proposition 5.4.29. *The Hochschild homology of $X \cong [C/G]$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{HH}_0(X) &= 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \\ \mathrm{HH}_i(X) &= 0, \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use Proposition 5.2.12. Then $\mathrm{HH}_0([C/G])$ has dimension

$$2 + \sum_{Gc \in G \setminus C} (\#G_c - 1) \stackrel{\text{L5.4.20}}{=} 2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\#G_{\mathbf{p}_i} - 1) = 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^n \#G_{\mathbf{p}_i} \stackrel{\text{L5.4.20}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i.$$

for some choice of points $\mathbf{p}_i \in V(X_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Moreover $\mathrm{HH}_{\pm 1}([C/G]) = 0$ follows using Corollary 5.4.28. $\mathrm{HH}_i([C/G]) = 0$ for $i \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ is directly from Proposition 5.2.12. This finishes the calculation. \square

Proposition 5.4.30. *The Hochschild cohomology of $X \cong [C/G]$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{HH}^0(X) &= 1, \\ \dim \mathrm{HH}^1(X) &= \begin{cases} 1, & n = 2 \\ 0, & n \geq 3 \end{cases} \\ \dim \mathrm{HH}^2(X) &= \begin{cases} 0, & n \leq 3 \\ n - 3, & n \geq 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(and all other cohomology groups vanish).

Proof. We apply Proposition 5.2.10. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HH}^0(X) &\cong k, \\ \mathrm{HH}^1(X) &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathrm{T}_C)_G \oplus \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)_G, \\ \mathrm{HH}^2(X) &\cong \mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathrm{T}_C)_G. \end{aligned}$$

By Serre duality and Corollary 5.4.28, $\mathrm{H}^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)_G \cong \mathrm{H}^0(C, \Omega_{C/g}^1)_G \cong 0$.

Now we calculate the G -action on $\mathrm{H}^\bullet(C, \mathrm{T}_C)$. For this, we use the Čech complex associated to the affine open covering in Lemma 5.4.4.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathrm{T}_C) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(V, \mathrm{T}_C) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(U \cap V, \mathrm{T}_C) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Corollary 5.4.26,

$$\mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathrm{T}_C) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathcal{O}_C(-\omega)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ e_1, e_3, \dots, e_n \geq 0 \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3 \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = -\omega}} k X_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n}.$$

The group element $g \in G$ associated to $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_n$ acts on $X_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n}$ by multiplication by $\zeta_1^{-e_1} \cdots \zeta_n^{-e_n}$.

We conclude by Corollary 5.4.26 that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathrm{T}_C) \cong \bigoplus_{\dots} \underbrace{k \mathrm{H}^0(U, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\psi, \mathcal{O}_C))(X_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n})}_{=: W_{U, e_1, \dots, e_n}}$$

with the same condition on the e_i , where g acts on W_{U, e_1, \dots, e_n} by multiplication by $\zeta_1^{1-e_1} \cdots \zeta_n^{1-e_n}$.

We similarly get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(V, \mathrm{T}_C) &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ e_2, \dots, e_n \geq 0 \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3 \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = \omega}} \underbrace{k \mathrm{H}^0(V, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\psi, \mathcal{O}_C))(X_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n})}_{=: W_{V, e_1, \dots, e_n}} \\ \mathrm{H}^0(U \cap V, \mathrm{T}_C) &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ e_3, \dots, e_n \geq 0 \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3 \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = \omega}} \underbrace{k \mathrm{H}^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\psi, \mathcal{O}_C))(X_1^{e_1} \cdots X_n^{e_n})}_{=: W_{U \cap V, e_1, \dots, e_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, g acts on W_{V,e_1,\dots,e_n} and on $W_{U \cap V, e_1,\dots,e_n}$ by multiplication by $\zeta_1^{1-e_1} \dots \zeta_n^{1-e_n}$.

We conclude, using the above Čech complex, that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(C, T_C) &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3 \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = -\omega}} W_{e_1, \dots, e_n}, \\ H^1(C, T_C) &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{< 0}; e_3, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ e_i < a_i \text{ for } i \geq 3 \\ e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = -\omega}} W_{e_1, \dots, e_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where W_{e_1, \dots, e_n} is a one-dimensional k -vector space on which g acts by multiplication by $\zeta_1^{1-e_1} \dots \zeta_n^{1-e_n}$.

Thus W_{e_1, \dots, e_n} is a G -invariant subspace, and it is trivial as G -representation iff a_i divides $1 - e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Hence $\dim H^0(C, T_C)_G$ equals the number of tuples (e_1, \dots, e_n) such that

- All e_i are in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- $e_i < a_i$ if $i \geq 3$.
- $e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{a_i}$ for all i .
- $e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = -\omega$.

The last condition is, after division by \bar{a} , equivalent to

$$\frac{e_1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{e_n}{a_n} = 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i} \iff n - 2 = \frac{1 - e_1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1 - e_n}{a_n}. \quad (*)$$

The condition $e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{a_i}$ shows that $\frac{1-e_i}{a_i}$ is an integer for all i . Since $e_i \geq 0$, $\frac{1-e_i}{a_i} \leq 0$ with equality iff $e_i = 1$. We see that $(e_1, \dots, e_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$ is the only solution to $(*)$ if $n = 2$, and that $(*)$ has no solutions for $n \geq 3$.

Therefore, $\mathrm{HH}^1([C/G]) \cong H^0(C, T_C)_G$ is one-dimensional for $n = 2$ and zero for $n \geq 3$.

Similarly, $\dim H^1(C, T_C)_G$ equals the number of tuples (e_1, \dots, e_n) where

- All e_i are in \mathbb{Z} , $e_1, e_2 < 0$ and $e_3, \dots, e_n \geq 0$.
- $e_i < a_i$ for $i \geq 3$.
- $e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{a_i}$ for all i .
- $e_1 \deg X_1 + \dots + e_n \deg X_n = -\omega$.

As before, the last condition is equivalent to $(*)$.

Moreover, for $i \geq 3$, we have $0 \leq e_i < a_i$ and $e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{a_i}$. Thus $e_i = 1$. Then $(*)$ simplifies to $n - 2 = \frac{1-e_1}{a_1} + \frac{1-e_2}{a_2}$.

Writing $\frac{1-e_1}{a_1} = \ell_1, \frac{1-e_2}{a_2} = \ell_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$, we see that $\dim H^1(C, T_C)_G$ equals the number of tuples $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}^2$ such that $\ell_1 + \ell_2 = n - 2$. By elementary considerations, the number of solutions is $\max\{0, n - 3\}$, finishing the calculation of $\mathrm{HH}^2([C/G])$. \square

We reproduced Example 5.3.7 for those weighted projective lines where the weight vector satisfies the weight condition.

5.5. Weighted projective lines of non-negative Euler characteristic

Recall that the Euler characteristic of the weighted projective line $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is (by [VZ15, p. 58])

$$\chi_X = \sum_{i=1}^n 1 - \frac{1}{a_i}.$$

If X is a quotient stack $X \cong [C/G]$, we have $\chi_X = \frac{\chi_C}{\#G}$ (by [Len16, Theorem 2]), where $\chi_C = 2 - 2g_C$ is the Euler characteristic of C .

By [GL87, Remark 5.4], the complexity of $\text{coh}(X)$ depends on whether $\chi_X < 0$, $\chi_X = 0$ or $\chi_X > 0$. Note that if $X \cong [C/G]$, χ_X is negative/zero/positive iff χ_C is negative/zero/positive. In terms of [Len16, Theorem 7], we call the weighted projective line X *spherical/parabolic/hyperbolic* if $\chi_X > 0$ resp. $\chi_X = 0$ resp. $\chi_X < 0$.

In this section, we classify how the spherical and parabolic weighted projective lines can be realized as quotient stacks. We won't give explicit calculations of the Hochschild (co)homology as in section 5.4, but refer to the abstract calculations in the proof of Theorem 5.3.19.

The class of hyperbolic weighted projective lines seems to be too large to admit such a classification.

5.5.1. Weighted projective lines of positive Euler characteristic

Suppose that χ_X is positive. Similar to Example 5.4.17, we can show that this holds iff the weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{a} \in \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \cup \{(2, 2, a) \mid a \geq 2\} \cup \{(2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5)\}.$$

Suppose that $X \cong [C/G]$ for a smooth and irreducible projective curve C and a finite subgroup of automorphisms $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$. Then $\chi_C > 0$. Thus C has genus zero, so $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Proposition 5.5.1 (Consequence of [Len16, Theorem 13]). *Each finite subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- a cyclic group C_n for $n \geq 1$,
- a dihedral group D_{2n} of order $2n$ for $n \geq 1$,
- the alternating group A_4 or A_5 or the symmetric group S_4 .

Moreover, these give the following weighted projective lines:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{P}^1/C_n] &\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2; n, n \rangle \\ [\mathbb{P}^1/D_{2n}] &\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 2, n \rangle \\ [\mathbb{P}^1/A_4] &\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 3, 3 \rangle \\ [\mathbb{P}^1/S_4] &\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 3, 4 \rangle \\ [\mathbb{P}^1/A_5] &\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 3, 5 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.5.2. *If $n = 2$ and $a_1 \neq a_2$, the weighted projective line $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2; a_1, a_2 \rangle$ is not isomorphic to a quotient stack $[C/G]$ for any smooth projective curve C and finite group $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$.* □

This gives a complete description when and how a weighted projective line of positive Euler characteristic can be realized as a quotient stack.

5.5.2. Weighted projective lines of Euler characteristic zero

Suppose now that $\chi_X = 0$. By Example 5.4.18, this holds iff the weight vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is one of the following:

$$\mathbf{a} = (2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 4), (3, 3, 3), (2, 2, 2, 2).$$

If $X \cong [C/G]$ for a smooth irreducible projective curve C and a finite group $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$, we must have $\chi_C = 0$. Hence C will be an elliptic curve.

Recall that for each elliptic curve C , the set of points of C comes, after fixing a basepoint P_0 , with a natural group structure ([Har77, Section IV.4]). For a point $P \in C$, let $\tau_P \in \text{Aut}(C)$ be the automorphism sending Q to $P + Q$. Hence each automorphism of C has the form $\tau_P \sigma$ for a point $P \in C$ and an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(C)$ with $\sigma(P_0) = P_0$.

Lemma 5.5.3. *Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ be the group of those automorphisms σ with $\sigma(P_0) = P_0$. Then G is cyclic, and the order of G depends on the j -invariant of C as follows:*

$$\#G = \begin{cases} 6, & j(C) = 0 \\ 4, & j(C) = 1728 \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Finiteness of G is shown in [Har77, Corollary IV.4.7], where also the order is stated explicitly. The fact that G is cyclic follows from Proposition 5.2.7. \square

Example 5.5.4. The j -invariant determines an elliptic curve uniquely up to isomorphism [Har77, Theorem IV.4.1]. Hence there exists, up to isomorphism, exactly one elliptic curve with a basepoint preserving automorphism of order 4 (resp. 6).

By Example 5.4.18, the weighted projective lines

$$\mathbb{P}^1\langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 4, 4 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}^1\langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 3, 6 \rangle$$

have projective companions which are elliptic curves with a basepoint preserving automorphism of order 4 resp. 6.

If C is any elliptic curve, a basepoint preserving automorphism of order 2 is given by the inversion map coming from the group structure of C . Since each cyclic group contains at most one involution, this is moreover the only basepoint preserving automorphism of order 2.

Proposition 5.5.5. *Let C be an irreducible elliptic curve and $G \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ be a finite group of automorphisms. Pick a basepoint $P_0 \in C$ such that the stabilizer G_{P_0} has maximal order, and let $\tau(C) := \{\tau_P \mid P \in C\} \leq \text{Aut}(C)$ be the group of automorphisms which come from the group structure of C . Define $N := G \cap \tau(C)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- $G \subseteq \tau(C)$ and $[C/G]$ is an elliptic curve (without stacky points).
- G is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes \langle \sigma \rangle$ where σ is the involution from Example 5.5.4, there are precisely four orbits of points of C which have non-trivial stabilizer and the quotient is $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4; 2, 2, 2, 2 \rangle$.
- C has j -invariant 0, G is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes \langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ where φ is the basepoint preserving automorphism of order 6 from Example 5.5.4 and $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1\langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 3, 3, 3 \rangle$.

5.5. WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE LINES OF NON-NEGATIVE EULER CHARACTERISTIC

- C has j -invariant 0, G is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes \langle \varphi \rangle$ where φ is the basepoint preserving automorphism of order 6 from Example 5.5.4 and $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 3, 6 \rangle$.
- C has j -invariant 1728, G is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes \langle \psi \rangle$ where ψ is the basepoint preserving automorphism of order 4 from Example 5.5.4 and $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, x_2, x_3; 2, 4, 4 \rangle$.

This proposition was what we were searching for: A complete description how weighted projective lines of Euler characteristic zero can be represented as quotient stacks of smooth projective curves by a finite group.

For the proof of the proposition, we need a Lemma.

Lemma 5.5.6. *Let C be an irreducible elliptic curve, $P_0 \in C$ a basepoint defining a group structure and $\tau(C) := \{\tau_P \mid P \in C\} \leq \text{Aut}(C)$.*

(a) *If $P \in C$ is a closed point and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(C)$ an automorphism with $\phi(P_0) = P_0$, we have $\phi\tau_P\phi^{-1} = \tau_{\phi(P)}$.*

In particular, $\tau(C)$ is normal in $\text{Aut}(C)$.

(b) *If $\phi \neq \text{id}_C$ is an automorphism of C , the following are equivalent:*

- ϕ has no closed fixed points in C .
- There exists a closed point $P_0 \neq P \in C$ with $\phi = \tau_P$.

Proof. (a) The fact $\phi\tau_P\phi^{-1} = \tau_{\phi(P)}$ follows from [Har77, Lemma IV.4.9].

Now let $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Aut}(C)$ be any automorphism, write $P := \tilde{\phi}(P_0)$ and $\phi := \tau_{-P}\tilde{\phi}$. Then $\phi(P_0) = P_0$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \tau_P\phi$. By the previous argument, ϕ is in the normalizer of $\tau(C)$. As τ_P is in $\tau(C)$, hence in the normalizer of $\tau(C)$, we conclude that $\tilde{\phi}$ is in the normalizer of $\tau(C)$.

(b) First note that if τ_P has a closed fixed point Q , we have $P + Q = Q$ so that $P = P_0$.

Now suppose that ϕ is an automorphism without closed fixed points and consider the endomorphism $f : C \rightarrow C, Q \mapsto \phi(Q) - Q$. This is a morphism of schemes by [Har77, Proposition IV.4.8].

By [Har77, Proposition II.6.8], f is constant or surjective. By assumption, P_0 is not in the image of f , hence f is constant. If $\{P\} = \text{im}(f)$, we conclude $\phi = \tau_P$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.5.5. Note that by Lemma 5.5.6, N is normal in G . Moreover, the induced injection $G/N \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(C)/\tau(C)$ shows that G/N is cyclic of order 1, 2, 4 or 6 by Lemma 5.5.3.

Instead of classifying finite subgroups of $\text{Aut}(C)$, we examine the possibilities for $[C/G]$.

If G acts freely on the points of C (i.e. all stabilizers are trivial), $[C/G] = C/G$ is a scheme (by Proposition 5.3.4), and $\chi_{C/G} = \frac{\chi_C}{\#G} = 0$ implies that C/G is an elliptic curve without stacky points.

Now suppose that G does not act freely on C . By Riemann-Hurwitz, $\chi_{C/G} > \frac{\chi_C}{\#G} = 0$, so C/G must have genus 0, i.e. $C/G \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. We conclude that $[C/G]$ is a weighted projective line of Euler characteristic zero. Since G does not act freely on C but N does, we conclude $G \neq N$. Hence G/N is cyclic of order 2, 4 or 6.

Write $[C/G] \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$. We consider the possibilities for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$:

Case $\mathbf{a} = (2, 2, 2, 2)$. This means that there are precisely four G -orbits of closed points in C with stabilizer of order 2, and all other closed points of C have trivial stabilizer. By choice of P_0 , the stabilizer of P_0 is non-zero. By Example 5.5.4, the stabilizer of P_0 is $\{\text{id}, \sigma\}$ for the involution σ . Thus $\sigma \in G$.

It remains to show that G is generated by σ and N . Suppose this was not the case. Then G/N would be cyclic of order > 2 . Hence there would be an automorphism $\phi \in G$ whose image in G/N has order > 2 . In particular, the order of ϕ in G is > 2 . Since $\phi \notin N$, Lemma 5.5.6 shows that ϕ has a fixed point. This contradicts the fact that the point stabilizers all have order ≤ 2 .

Case $\mathbf{a} = (3, 3, 3)$. This means that there are precisely three G -orbits of closed points in C with stabilizer of order 3, and all other closed points of C have trivial stabilizer.

In particular, C has an automorphism of order 3 which fixed P_0 (by choice of P_0). By Lemma 5.5.3, the j -invariant of C equals 0 and this automorphism must be φ^2 .

It remains to show that G is generated by φ^2 and N . If this was not the case, we would again find an automorphism $\phi \in G$ with $\phi \notin N$ and the order of ϕ in G being > 3 . Then ϕ has a closed fixed point by Lemma 5.5.6. This contradicts the fact that all stabilizers of closed points have order ≤ 3 .

Case $\mathbf{a} = (2, 3, 6)$. Then the stabilizer of P_0 has order 6 by choice of P_0 . Hence $j(C) = 0$ and $\varphi \in G$. The image of φ must generate G/N (comparing orders), so that G is generated by N and φ .

Case $\mathbf{a} = (2, 4, 4)$. Then the stabilizer of P_0 has order 4 by choice of P_0 . Hence $j(C) = 1728$ and $\varphi \in G$. The image of φ must generate G/N (comparing orders), so that G is generated by N and φ . \square

Conclusion and Outlook

In this thesis, we presented two methods to compute the Hochschild homology and cohomology of weighted projective lines.

The first method, due to Happel, makes use of a tilting sheaf, which allows us to equivalently study the Hochschild (co)homology of the associated canonical algebra. Next to Happel's original approach using one-point extensions, we presented an alternative approach that describes the Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras of global dimension ≤ 2 .

The second method combines results from [ACH14] and [Len16]. We showed how the Hochschild cohomology of a weighted projective curve can be computed in case it can be realized as the orbifold quotient of a smooth projective curve by a finite group of automorphisms. By [Len16], all weighted projective curves except for a small class of weighted projective lines can be realized as such a quotient stack.

Both methods combined yield a description of the Hochschild (co)homology of all weighted projective curves (Theorem 5.3.6). Note that an iterated root stack obtained from a curve of genus ≥ 1 will have non-vanishing Hochschild homology in degree -1 , so it cannot be derived equivalent to a finite-dimensional algebra.

There remain, of course, unanswered questions, and possibilities for further research.

In the entire thesis, we focussed on the calculation of the Hochschild (co)homology groups as vector spaces. We did not describe higher structures, like e.g. the Gerstenhaber algebra structure on HH^\bullet .

Moreover, we did not discuss interpretations and applications of Hochschild (co)homology groups, like their usage in deformation theory.

Finally, it is worth noting that the result in [ACH14], and in some cases also Happel's method, can be applied to (weighted) surfaces and stacks of higher dimension. However, Lenzing's methods in [Len16] seem to be limited to curves.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Dr. Pieter Belmans, for the many insightful and inspiring discussions.

Bibliography

- [ACH14] D. Arinkin, A. Căldăraru and M. Hablicsek. ‘Formality of derived intersections and the orbifold HKR isomorphism’. In: *J. Algebra* (to appear). arXiv: 1412.5233v1.
- [ASS06] I. Assem, A. Skowronski and D. Simson. *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras: Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*. Vol. 65. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Bei78] A. A. Beilinson. ‘Coherent sheaves on P^n and problems of linear algebra’. In: *Functional Analysis and Its Applications* 12.3 (July 1978), pp. 214–216.
- [BLS16] D. Bergh, V. A. Lunts and O. M. Schnürer. ‘Geometricity for derived categories of algebraic stacks’. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1601.04465 (Jan. 2016), arXiv:1601.04465. arXiv: 1601.04465 [math.AG].
- [Cad07] C. Cadman. ‘Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves’. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 129.2 (2007), pp. 405–427.
- [Car15] H. Cartan. ‘Quotient d’un Espace Analytique par un Groupe d’ Automorphismes’. In: *Algebraic Geometry and Topology. A Symposium in Honor of Solomon Lefschetz*. Ed. by R. Fox. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2015.
- [GAP4] *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.10.2*. The GAP Group. 2019.
- [GL87] W. Geigle and H. Lenzing. ‘A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras’. In: *Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles*. Springer, 1987, pp. 265–297.
- [GS15] A. Geraschenko and M. Satriano. ‘A “bottom up” characterization of smooth Deligne-Mumford stacks’. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1503.05478 (Mar. 2015), arXiv:1503.05478. arXiv: 1503.05478 [math.AG].
- [GS88] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack. ‘Algebraic cohomology and deformation theory’. In: *Deformation theory of algebras and structures and applications*. Springer, 1988, pp. 11–264.
- [Hap01] D. Happel. ‘A characterization of hereditary categories with tilting object’. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 144.2 (May 2001), pp. 381–298.
- [Hap89] D. Happel. ‘Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras’. In: *Séminaire d’Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin*. Ed. by M.-P. Malliavin. 1989, pp. 108–126.
- [Hap98] D. Happel. ‘Hochschild cohomology of piecewise hereditary algebras’. In: *Colloquium Mathematicum*. Vol. 78. Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk. 1998, pp. 261–266.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977, pp. xvi+496.

- [HKR62] G. Hochschild, B. Kostant and A. Rosenberg. ‘Differential forms on regular affine algebras’. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102.3 (1962), pp. 383–408.
- [Hoc45] G. Hochschild. ‘On the Cohomology Groups of an Associative Algebra’. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 46.1 (Jan. 1945), pp. 58–67.
- [Huy06] D. Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006, pp. viii+307.
- [Kel03] B. Keller. ‘Derived invariance of higher structures on the Hochschild complex’. <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~bernhard.keller/publ/dih.pdf>. 2003.
- [Kel94] B. Keller. ‘Deriving DG categories.’ In: *Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure. Quatrième Série* 27.1 (1994), pp. 63–102.
- [Kuz09] A. Kuznetsov. ‘Hochschild homology and semiorthogonal decompositions’. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:0904.4330 (Apr. 2009), arXiv:0904.4330. arXiv: 0904.4330 [math.AG].
- [Len16] H. Lenzing. ‘Weighted projective lines and Riemann surfaces’. In: *arXiv* (Dec. 2016). arXiv: 1612.02960.
- [Ols16] M. Olsson. *Algebraic spaces and stacks*. Vol. 62. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016, pp. xi+298.
- [Poo00] B. Poonen. ‘Varieties without extra automorphisms I: curves’. In: *Math. Res. Letters* 7.1 (2000), pp. 67–76.
- [PS16] P.-G. Plamondon and O. Schiffmann. ‘Kac polynomials for canonical algebras’. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1602.01207 (Feb. 2016), arXiv:1602.01207. arXiv: 1602.01207 [math.RT].
- [QPA] *QPA – Quivers, path algebras and representations, Version 1.29*. The QPA-team. 2018.
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2020.
- [VZ15] J. Voight and D. Zureick-Brown. ‘The canonical ring of a stacky curve’. In: *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* (to appear). Preprint <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1501.04657.pdf> (2015).
- [Zim14] A. Zimmermann. *Representation Theory*. Vol. 19. Algebra and Applications. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2014.

A. GAP routines

We present two routines for the computer algebra system [GAP4] which can be used to calculate the Hochschild cohomology of canonical algebras.

```

LoadPackage("qpa");
show_hh := function(A)
  #Shows the Hochschild cohomology in degrees >= 1.
  #Terminates when it reaches the global dimension of A.
  local M, N, i, ext, d;
  M:=AlgebraAsModuleOverEnvelopingAlgebra(A);
  #output the Ext^n(M,M) as follows:
  #Since gap has no Ext^n-function,
  #we have to use Ext^1 and iteratively
  #replace the first M by its syzygies.
  N:=M;
  i:=1;
  repeat
    d:=Length(ExtOverAlgebra(N,M)[2]);
    Print(i,":□",d,"\\n");
    N:=1stSyzygy(N);
    i:=i+1;
  until IsProjectiveModule(N);
end;

canonical_algebra := function(weights, points)
  #Takes the weights and points to construct a canonical algebra.
  #The first two entries in the array points are ignored; they
  #are assumed to be infinity and zero.
  #Moreover, we assume the length of weights equals the
  #length of points, which are both assumed to be at least 2.
  #Finally, we assume that at most one weight equals 1.
  local pt, step, vertices, numPoints, arrows, Q, vertexName,
    arrowName, previousVertex, strings, relations, gens,
    index, string, kQ, relation;
  numPoints := Length(weights);
  vertices := ["0","c"];
  arrows:=[];
  #builds an array of vertices and and array of arrows
  for pt in [1..numPoints] do
    previousVertex:="0";
    for step in [1..weights[pt]] do
      vertexName := StringFormatted("v_{ }_{ }", pt, step);
      if step = weights[pt] then
        vertexName := "c";
      else

```

```

    vertices := Concatenation(vertices , [vertexName]);
  fi;
  arrowName := StringFormatted("a_{_}_{_}", pt, step);
  arrows := Concatenation(arrows , [[previousVertex , vertexName , arrowName];
  previousVertex := vertexName;
od;
od;
Q := Quiver(vertices , arrows);
kQ := PathAlgebra(Rationals , Q);
strings := [];
relations := [];
gens := GeneratorsOfAlgebra(kQ);
#gens first contains the generators corresponding to vertices
#and then the generators corresponding to arrows
#Skip over the vertices:
index := 2;
for pt in [1..numPoints] do
  index := index+weights[pt]-1;
od;
#iteratively build relations.
for pt in [1..numPoints] do
  string := 1;
  for step in [1..weights[pt]] do
    index := index+1;
    string := string * gens[index];
  od;
  strings := Concatenation(strings , [string]);
  if pt > 2 then
    relation:=string - strings[1] + points[pt] * strings[2];
    relations := Concatenation(relations , [relation]);
  fi;
od;
return kQ/relations;
end;
show_hh(canonical_algebra([2,2,2,2],[0,0,1,2]));

```

This outputs

```

1: 0
Dimension vector for syzygy: [ 0, 6, 1, [...], 0 ]
2: 1
Dimension vector for syzygy: [ 0, 2, 0, [...], 0 ]

```

This means that the weighted projective line X associated to the points

$$x_1 = \infty, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 2$$

and the weights

$$a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 2$$

has the following Hochschild cohomology groups:

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(X) \cong 0$$

$$\mathrm{HH}^2(X) \cong k$$

$$\mathrm{HH}^n(X) \cong 0 \text{ for } n \geq 3.$$