

Towards Effective and Efficient Long Video Understanding of Multimodal Large Language Models via One-shot Clip Retrieval

Tao Chen¹, Shaobo Ju¹, Qiong Wu¹, Chenxin Fang¹, Kun Zhang¹,
Jun Peng², Hui Li¹, Yiyi Zhou^{1*}, Rongrong Ji¹

¹Key Laboratory of Multimedia Trusted Perception and Efficient Computing,
Ministry of Education of China, Xiamen University, 361005, P.R. China.

²Peng Cheng Laboratory, P.R. China.

{taochen, jushaobo, qiong, fangchenxin, kunzhang}@stu.xmu.edu.cn

pengj01@pcl.ac.cn, {hui, zhouyiyi, rrji}@xmu.edu.cn

Abstract

Due to excessive memory overhead, most Multimodal Large Language Models (MLLMs) can only process videos of limited frames. In this paper, we propose an effective and efficient paradigm to remedy this shortcoming, termed **One-shot video-Clip based Retrieval AuGmentation (OneClip-RAG)**. Compared with existing video RAG methods, OneClip-RAG makes full use of the merits of video clips for augmented video understanding in terms of both knowledge integrity and semantic coherence. Besides, it is also equipped with a novel query-guided video chunking algorithm that can unify clip chunking and cross-modal retrieval in one processing step, avoiding redundant computations. To improve instruction following, we further propose a new dataset called SynLongVideo and design a progressive training regime for OneClip-RAG. OneClip-RAG is plugged into five recent MLLMs and validated on a set of long-video benchmarks. Experimental results not only show the obvious performance gains by OneClip-RAG over MLLMs, e.g., boosting InternLV2 8B and Qwen2-VL 7B to the level of GPT-4o on MLVU, but also show its superior efficiency in handling long videos. e.g., enabling LLaVA-Video understand up to an hour of videos in less than 2.2 minutes on a single 4090 GPU. Our code is released at: [OneClip-RAG](#).

1. Introduction

In recent years, the great success of *Large Language Models* (LLMs) [10, 15, 56, 65] sparks an influx of interest in extending them to multimodal learning, *i.e.*, MLLMs [69]. After culminating in various image-language tasks [20, 31, 33, 67], recent endeavors turn to the exploration of MLLMs in the




Figure 1. Comparisons between existing video RAG strategies and our OneClip-RAG. OneClip-RAG unifies video chunking and clip retrieval in one unified paradigm based on cross-modal similarities, providing coherent frames for efficient long video understanding.

domain of video understanding [22, 59]. Compared to image-language tasks, the video-based ones are often more challenging for MLLMs, mainly due to the understanding of long and continual video content [24].

For video-based tasks, most existing MLLMs [22, 24, 36, 54] are trained and tested with a small number of video frames due to the limitation of GPU memory overhead. Concretely, existing MLLMs [12, 48] often use hundreds of visual tokens to represent an image, thereby enhancing their general capability on various image-language tasks at different granularities [16, 30, 32, 68]. However, this setting becomes prohibitively expensive for video tasks. For instance, to process 16 video frames, InternVL2-8B [12] needs to consume 15.6 times TFLOPs and 3.4 times GPU memory

*Corresponding author

than its image-question answering. In this case, a prevailing but compromise approach for video-MLLMs is to uniformly sample a limited number of video frames, which however is prone to losing key information [3, 21, 24, 54, 63].

The advancement of *retrieval-augmented generation* (RAG) in LLMs [2, 41] yields an effective way to solve this issue. In particular, long-sequence modeling is a shared problem for Transformer-based models [8, 53, 61], holding similar challenges as discussed above. In terms of LLMs, RAG is often a viable solution to tackle long document understanding in addition to the optimization of the self-attention mechanism [14, 39]. Via retrieving the most relevant text snippets, an LLM can correctly answer questions based on a limited length of context knowledge, which is often free in long-sequence tuning [2, 13, 55]. In this case, it is natural to regard a long video as an external knowledge base and provide the key visual information as the instruction demands, thereby avoiding the excessive computation of all video frames [1, 34].

Video RAG paradigms have been recently attempted [23, 35, 60], but we find that existing solutions still encounter several challenges. Firstly, most endeavors focus on the key frame selection for MLLMs according to a given instruction [23, 35], as shown in Fig. 1-a. Compared with key frames, we argue that video clips are the better choice as knowledge fragments, which contain more complete and continual semantics, avoiding potential conflicts between selected frames, *i.e.*, content coherence. Secondly, the effective modeling of video clips still needs more exploration. Some recent efforts also retrieve video clips for MLLMs [4, 26, 60]. But in practice, they often resort to video captioning using additional MLLMs, *e.g.*, MiniGPT4-video [3], so as to reduce the difficulty of cross-modal alignment, *i.e.*, using text retrieval as shown in Fig. 1-b. However, this solution not only requires additional MLLMs and introduces more computational and memory overhead for video-MLLMs, but also conflicts with the goal of efficient video understanding. Overall, how to effectively and efficiently implement video-clip RAG for MLLMs still remains an open challenge.

In this paper, we propose an effective and efficient method for long video understanding of MLLMs, termed ***One-shot video-Clip based Retrieval AuGmentation*** (OneClip-RAG). Compared with existing video-RAG methods [23, 35, 60], our approach turns to video clips for the knowledge augmentation of video-MLLMs, providing more coherent knowledge context. Besides, we also equip our OneClip-RAG with an innovative and efficient video chunking algorithm, which conducts query-guided clip chunking for more integrated video content. Notably, this chunking method can be further combined with popular visual-language (VL) embedding models, *e.g.*, CLIP [38] and SigCLIP [58], to unify video chunking and clip retrieval in one processing step, avoiding the overuse of additional models and operations.

In addition, we also propose a new dataset to improve the instruction following capability of VL embedding models, termed *SynLongVideo*. Although the popular VL embedding models like CLIP have been widely used in video-RAG methods [28, 34], we notice that they are still inferior in following question-like instructions, especially the ones for video clip retrieval. It is because they are trained on plain image-caption pairs. Thus, SynLongVideo is used to enhance their capability of cross-modal video clip retrieval. In practice, SynLongVideo exploits the available short video-question pairs to synthesize long video retrieval examples through semantic-based data mixups, *i.e.*, combining short videos to form a synthesized long video based on *visual relevance* and *instruction divergence*. In this case, these synthesized examples can serve to training the instruction following capability of VL embedding models on long video understanding tasks. Based on SynLongVideo, we also carefully design a progressive training regime for OneClip-RAG.

To validate OneClip-RAG, we apply it to five advanced MLLMs, namely LLaVA-NeXT-Video [63], VILA [25], InternVL2 [11], Qwen2-VL [48], and LLaVA-Video [64], and conduct extensive experiments on several competitive long VideoQA benchmarks, including LongVideoBench [51], MLVU [66], TVQA-Long [4], QaEgo4D [6] and Video-MME [17]. The experimental results show that, as a plug-and-play component, OneClip-RAG can greatly improve the long video understanding capability of MLLMs without structure tweaks or dedicated tuning, *e.g.*, improving InternVL2 8B and Qwen2-VL 7B to the level of GPT-4o on MLVU. Moreover, OneClip-RAG enables LLaVA-Video to understand hour-long videos with only 2.2 minutes on average, showing its great efficiency in handling long videos, well supporting its practical applications.

Conclusively, the contribution of this paper is threefold:

- We study the long video understanding problem of MLLMs from the perspective of video clip, and propose an effective and efficient method called OneClip-RAG.
- We build a new dataset called *SynLongVideo* with short-video mixups to improve the instruction following capability of VL embedding models, and a coarse-to-fine training regime is also proposed for our OneClip-RAG.
- As a plug-and-play method, OneClip-RAG can greatly improve the performance of different MLLMs on long video understanding benchmarks, supporting the hour-long video inference using only one 4090 GPU.

2. Related Work

The great success of MLLMs also advances the research of video understanding [22, 36, 43, 54]. Borrowing the principle of image-based MLLMs, recent efforts are also devoted to video-MLLMs based on the powerful LLMs [65]. In particular, Video-LLaVA [24] aligns the representations of images and videos to a unified visual feature space, and




Figure 2. Overview of OneClip-RAG. (a) As a plug-and-play design, OneClip-RAG first performs clip chunking based on the given video and input instruction, and then selects the most relevant video clips for augmented video understanding of MLLMs. (b) OneClip-RAG uses the cross-modal similarities between frames and text instructions to depict the changes of video content, and then determines the boundaries for video clip chunking. (c) OneClip-RAG can directly select the most relevant clips for MLLMs, requiring no additional models.

then employs a shared projection layer to map the visual representation for the LLM. VideoChat2 [22] introduces a progressive training paradigm with multi-modal instructions, bridging LLM with the visual encoder. Video-ChatGPT [36] averages frame-level features across temporal and spatial dimensions, respectively, which are then jointly learned with text features in LLMs. Despite these advances, most video-MLLMs often adopt uniform frame sampling to avoid excessive memory overhead [22, 36], which however tends to lose key visual semantics for long video understanding.

To tackle the above challenge, some recent progresses resort to video retrieval, which employ external modules to process large amounts of content before passing it into Video-MLLMs. In particular, DrVideo [35] retrieves key frames and translates them into a long text-based document using an additional MLLM [27]. GCG [46] learns multiple *Gaussian* masks to sample question-critical frames as positive moments, which are then fed as visual sequences into the MLLMs. Liang *et al.* [23] propose an adaptive frame sampling method that considers both question relevance and inter-frame similarity. However, as argued above, these video frame selection strategies may face challenges in maintaining temporal and content coherence across selected frames. In light of these limitations, some recent works turn to clip-based RAG. Goldfish [4] partitions a long video into uniform clips and encodes each clip into text descriptions, subsequently retrieving the top-K relevant descriptions for an LLM. OmAgent [60] also resorts to video clips for knowledge augmentation, but in practice it applies additional MLLMs to translate these video clips into text captions to form a text-based knowledge base. One similar work to our OneClip-RAG is Video-LLaMB [50], which uses scene-

based video clip segmentation for external memory retrieval. Compared with it, OneClip focuses on query-related clip chunking and retrieval. Although these clip-based RAG systems offer great advantages in integrating relevant multimodal information, they also impose substantial computational and time overheads when building the knowledge database, particularly for hour-long videos.

3. Method

3.1. Overview

In this paper, we propose a novel approach for the efficient and effective long video understanding of MLLMs, of which structure is illustrated in Fig. 2. Compared with existing video-RAG methods [23, 35, 60], our OneClip-RAG considers video clips as augmented knowledge for MLLMs. Besides, OneClip-RAG is also equipped with an innovative query-guided video chunking algorithm that can unify clip chunking and cross-modal retrieval in one procedure.

In particular, given a long video V and a text instruction Q , existing video-MLLMs often uniformly sample a few of frames as the input images, denoted as $V' = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_L\}$. And the objective of video-MLLMs is defined by

$$p(A | V', Q) = \prod_{i=1}^L p(a_i | V', Q, A_{<i}), \quad (1)$$

where p denotes the probabilities of the predicted word, $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_L\}$ is the answer sequence and L is its length. $A_{<i}$ denotes the answer subsequence before the i -th step.

In terms of long video understanding, this compromised solution is prone to losing key information [28, 45]. An

Video clip retrieval. Given the semantically chunked video clips, we can directly perform cross-modal retrieval. Specifically, we retrieve V_{clip} according to all clip-instruction relevance scores that has been computed:

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= \max\{s_j \mid I_j \in V_i\}, \\ V_{clip} &= \{V_i \mid r_i \in \arg \max_{j=1, \dots, n}^K r_j\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the clip-instruction relevance score r_i of each V_i is obtained by applying max pooling to $S_i = \{s_j \mid I_j \in V_i\}$, and K the number of retrieved clips in V_{clip} . Then its frames are used as the input images for MLLMs, which are much more semantically related to text instruction compared with their default uniform sampling.

3.3. Coarse-to-fine Instruction Tuning

In practice, OneClip-RAG uses popular VL embedding models such as CLIP [38] and SigLIP [58]. However, the instruction following capability of these embedding models on video tasks still has ample room to improve, since they are often trained with only image-caption pairs rather than QA pairs. Moreover, in conventional RAG settings [7, 62], the tuning of embedding models is also critical for accurate knowledge retrieval.

In light of this, we introduce a coarse-to-fine training regime to improve the embedding model on instruction-video-clip retrieval. We first train our OneClip-RAG in a coarse-grained manner using the contrastive learning objective, *i.e.*, directly using the clip frames of other videos as the negative examples. And the objective of this training can be defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{cor} = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \frac{\exp(s_i/\tau)}{\exp(s_i/\tau) + \sum_{j \in V_{neg}} \exp(s_j/\tau)}, \quad (7)$$

where m is the number of frames in the positive clip V_{pos} , and V_{neg} denotes the negative clips from other videos. s_i is the question-frame similarity defined above. In principle, Eq. 7 is to help the model to identify the correct video context according to the user queries.

Afterwards, we perform a granular training scheme that requires to target clips with similar contexts. Specifically, we only select the negative examples from the other clips V'_{neg} within the same long video:

$$\mathcal{L}_{fine} = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \frac{\exp(s_i/\tau)}{\exp(s_i/\tau) + \sum_{k \in V'_{neg}} \exp(s_k/\tau)}. \quad (8)$$

The purpose of Eq. 8 is to improve the awareness of embedding models in terms of granular text semantics, *i.e.*, identifying the key information among a set of clips with similar context and objects.

During training, these two objectives are conducted sequentially. However, implementing the proposed progressive instruction tuning still requires well-labeled instruction-clip

pairs. Such type of data are scarce and labor-intensive, especially for the clip-based RAG of long video understanding.

4. Synthesized Long Video Dataset

To remedy the lack of training data for video-clip RAG, we further propose a new dataset in this paper, termed *Synthesized Long Video Dataset* (SynLongVideo), of which statistics are given in Fig. 3. In particular, SynLongVideo has 44,878 video-question pairs targeting causal, temporal and descriptive reasoning. It contains 997 long video from QaEgo4D [6] and 430 synthesized ones created based on NeXT-QA [52], which are sourced from everyday interactions under diverse settings such as family activities, social gatherings, and ego-centric scenes. The average video length is 7.7 minutes, spanning from 1 min to 21 mins.

The construction of SynLongVideo follows two key principles, namely *visual relevance* and *instruction divergence*. The visual relevance measures the similarity among different short videos for the synthesis of long and coherent videos. However, since each video corresponds to multiple instructions, which may share similar or even identical instructions, making the model fail to distinguish relevant clips within the synthesized long videos. To mitigate this issue, we introduce *instruction divergence* to maximize the distinctiveness of instructions across different videos, ensuring that the synthesized long videos contain visually similar semantics but textually distinct instructions.

Visual relevance. In this process, we use the visual similarity to retrieve relevant videos and construct a noise candidate set. Specifically, given the short videos batch, we randomly sample five frames from each video $V_i = \{I_i^1, I_i^2, \dots, I_i^5\}$, and the visual relevance $s(V_i, V_j)$ is obtain by

$$s(V_i, V_j) = \cos(f_i^v, f_j^v), \text{ where } f_i^v = \text{AvgPool}(F_i^v), \quad (9)$$

where the video representation f_i^v is obtained by average pooling the frame features F_i^v of video V_i . For each V_i , we retrieve the 16 most similar videos based on $s(V_i, V_*)$ to form the candidate set.

Instruction Divergence. Since different videos may correspond to similar instructions, we further employ instruction divergence to obtain high-quality data. Concretely, we randomly select five samples $Q_i = \{Q_i^1, Q_i^2, \dots, Q_i^5\}$ from the instruction pool of the candidate video set. The instruction distinctiveness $d(V_i, V_j)$ between videos is defined by

$$d(V_i, V_j) = 1 - \cos(f_i^q, f_j^q), \text{ where } f_i^q = \text{AvgPool}(F_i^q), \quad (10)$$

where F_i^q is the text features of Q_i extracted by the VL embedding models, and f_i^q is the averaged instruction representation. Subsequently, we retain the top eight negative video samples for each video, which are visually similar but textually different. Finally, we concatenate each video with its similar negative videos to form a synthesized long one.

Table 1. Results of video-MLLMs with OneClip-RAG on five long VideoQA benchmarks. The best and second-best results are shown in **bold** and underlined respectively.

Method	# Frames	MLVU	LongVideoBench	Video-MME	TVQA-Long	QaEgo4D	
		M-avg	Val	w/o. sub	Overall	Score	Acc
LLaVA-NeXT 7B	16	49.4	43.8	43.3	36.0	1.19	21.4
+ OneClip-RAG _{CLIP}	16	56.3 _{14.0%↑}	<u>44.7</u> _{2.1%↑}	44.8 _{3.5%↑}	38.3 _{6.4%↑}	1.33 _{11.8%↑}	24.3 _{13.6%↑}
+ OneClip-RAG _{SigLIP}	16	<u>55.5</u> _{12.3%↑}	45.4 _{3.7%↑}	<u>44.7</u> _{3.2%↑}	<u>37.9</u> _{5.3%↑}	<u>1.28</u> _{7.6%↑}	<u>23.4</u> _{9.3%↑}
VILA 8B	16	54.5	47.9	49.4	36.9	1.41	25.2
+ OneClip-RAG _{CLIP}	16	58.6 _{7.5%↑}	<u>49.1</u> _{2.5%↑}	50.3 _{1.8%↑}	<u>39.6</u> _{7.3%↑}	1.52 _{7.8%↑}	<u>27.2</u> _{7.9%↑}
+ OneClip-RAG _{SigLIP}	16	58.6 _{7.5%↑}	50.8 _{6.1%↑}	<u>49.8</u> _{0.8%↑}	39.8 _{7.9%↑}	1.52 _{7.8%↑}	27.3 _{8.3%↑}
InternVL2 8B	16	59.1	46.2	54.6	40.7	1.41	26.4
+ OneClip-RAG _{CLIP}	16	<u>63.8</u> _{8.0%↑}	<u>48.2</u> _{4.3%↑}	<u>55.9</u> _{2.4%↑}	<u>42.6</u> _{4.7%↑}	<u>1.48</u> _{5.0%↑}	<u>27.7</u> _{4.9%↑}
+ OneClip-RAG _{SigLIP}	16	64.2 _{8.6%↑}	49.2 _{6.5%↑}	56.2 _{2.9%↑}	42.7 _{4.9%↑}	1.50 _{6.4%↑}	28.3 _{7.2%↑}
Qwen2-VL 7B	16	59.6	55.2	54.8	42.0	1.35	25.1
+ OneClip-RAG _{CLIP}	16	<u>64.2</u> _{7.7%↑}	56.5 _{2.4%↑}	56.8 _{3.6%↑}	46.0 _{9.5%↑}	<u>1.48</u> _{9.6%↑}	28.0 _{11.6%↑}
+ OneClip-RAG _{SigLIP}	16	64.8 _{8.7%↑}	<u>56.4</u> _{2.2%↑}	<u>55.8</u> _{1.8%↑}	<u>45.2</u> _{7.6%↑}	1.49 _{10.4%↑}	28.0 _{11.6%↑}
LLaVA-Video	64	71.1	59.3	63.3	49.6	1.62	30.6
+ OneClip-RAG _{CLIP}	64	<u>71.2</u> _{0.1%↑}	<u>62.5</u> _{5.4%↑}	65.2 _{3.0%↑}	52.2 _{5.2%↑}	<u>1.67</u> _{3.1%↑}	<u>31.4</u> _{2.6%↑}
+ OneClip-RAG _{SigLIP}	64	72.3 _{1.7%↑}	62.9 _{6.1%↑}	<u>64.9</u> _{2.5%↑}	<u>52.1</u> _{5.0%↑}	1.71 _{5.6%↑}	32.4 _{5.9%↑}

Table 2. Comparison of SOTA Video-MLLMs and LLaVA-Video with OneClip-RAG on five long VideoQA benchmarks.

Method	LLM	# Frames	MLVU	LongVideoBench	Video-MME	TVQA-Long	QaEgo4D	
			M-avg	Val	w/o. sub	Overall	Score	Acc
GPT-5	-	-	77.3	72.6	81.8	-	-	-
GPT-4o	-	-	64.6	66.7	71.9	-	-	-
Gemini-1.5-Pro	-	-	-	64.0	75.0	-	-	-
Video-XL [42]	7B	128	64.9	50.7	55.5	43.9	-	-
AKS [45]	7B	64	<u>71.4</u>	<u>62.7</u>	65.3	<u>49.9</u>	<u>1.62</u>	30.4
LongVA [61]	7B	256	<u>56.3</u>	<u>47.8</u>	52.6	<u>43.3</u>	<u>1.24</u>	21.4
mPLUG-Owl3 [57]	7B	16	63.7	52.1	59.3	-	-	-
Qwen2.5-VL [5]	7B	32	70.2	56.0	<u>65.1</u>	42.3	1.36	25.5
InternVL3.5 [49]	8B	64	70.2	62.1	66.0	-	-	-
VideoNSA [44]	7B	2048	-	60.0	-	-	-	-
LongVU [40]	7B	1fps	65.4	-	60.6	-	-	-
NVILA [29]	8B	256	70.1	57.7	64.2	-	-	-
ByteVideoLLM [47]	14B	256	70.1	-	64.6	-	-	-
LLaVA-Video	7B	64	71.1	59.3	63.3	49.6	<u>1.62</u>	30.6
+OneClip-RAG	7B	64	72.3	62.9	64.9	52.1	1.71	32.4

5. Experiment

5.1. Implementation Details

In our experiments, we validate our OneClip-RAG with two popular embedding models, which are CLIP-ViT/32 [38] and SigLIP-B/16 [58]. As described in Sec. 3.3, we first train the embedding model in a coarse-grained manner for 5 epochs on the QaEgo4D [6] and NeXT-QA [52] training sets, and then perform a granular training for 5 epochs on our constructed SynLongVideo. We use AdamW [19] to optimize the model with a learning rate of $1e^{-7}$. We experiment with five popular MLLMs: LLaVA-NeXT-Video-7B [63], Llama-3-VILA1.5-8B [25], InternVL2-8B [11], Qwen2-VL-7B [48] and LLaVA-Video [64]. These MLLMs are kept frozen during experiments, of which settings are default.

5.2. Benchmarks and Metrics

To validate OneClip-RAG, we conduct extensive experiments on five benchmarks of long video understanding, including MLVU [66], LongVideoBench [51], TVQA-Long [4], QaEgo4D [6] and Video-MME [17]. MLVU includes videos ranging from 3 minutes to 2 hours. LongVideoBench contains videos up to an hour long. TVQA-Long comprises episodes with an average duration of 30.1 minutes. The average video length of QaEgo4D is about 8.3 minutes. Video-MME covers videos of short, medium and long durations. *Accuracy (Acc)* is used as the evaluation metric for multi-choice VideoQA tasks.

For open-ended tasks, we employ the Acc and **Score** (0-5 scale) metrics proposed by Video-ChatGPT [36] for performance evaluation. Specifically, we use DeepSeek-v3

Table 3. Detailed computation costs (seconds) for LLaVA-Video with OneClip-RAG on MLVU and long videos from Video-MME.

Module	Average Duration	-	OneClip				MLLM
Stage	-	Video Loading	Feature Extraction	Similarity Calculation	Video Chunking	Clip Retrieval	Inference
MLVU	930s	20.327	10.094	0.001	0.643	0.021	2.591
Video-MME(Long)	2466s	59.810	57.073	0.002	1.737	0.053	2.997

Table 4. Ablation studies of key designs of OneClip-RAG. The used settings are marked with ‡ indicate our chosen settings. *We use SceneTiling to obtain scene-based clips. *LVB* denotes the LongVideoBench.

Choices	MLVU				LVB
	Single-detail	Multi-detail	Holistic	M-avg	Val
Retrieval Strategy					
Baseline	68.9	39.4	80.4	64.9	55.7
Key Frames	69.7	41.1	78.8	65.5	58.9
Uniform Clips	73.1	41.5	73.9	66.5	60.3
Scene Clips*	73.4	42.5	76.0	67.3	59.3
Query-Guided Clips‡	74.6	41.7	76.7	68.0	60.4
Training Strategy					
w/o Training	72.8	40.6	72.4	65.8	58.5
Coarse	73.0	42.2	75.6	67.0	59.9
Coarse-to-fine‡	74.6	41.7	76.7	68.0	60.4
Num. of Clips					
2	72.1	37.2	71.3	64.4	56.8
4	73.6	41.9	73.9	66.9	59.3
8‡	74.6	41.7	76.7	68.0	60.4
16	72.2	42.8	78.2	67.2	60.2

to assess the correctness of the model’s predictions.

5.3. Quantitative Analysis

Effects of OneClip-RAG on different MLLMs. We first examine the effects of OneClip-RAG across four MLLMs, with results presented in Tab. 1. From Tab. 1, we can observe that when the input frame count is increased to 64, LLaVA-Video demonstrates exceptional performance across all benchmarks, particularly on MLVU containing shorter video durations. Notably, our OneClip-RAG consistently improves the performance of all base models including LLaVA-Video. For instance, after being equipped with OneClip-RAG, LLaVA-NeXT and InternVL2 exceeds their corresponding common version by 14.0% and 8.6% on MLVU, respectively. Furthermore, OneClip-RAG enables LLaVA-Video surpassing its common version by 6.1% on LongVideoBench and 5.2% on TVQA-Long, which require precise reasoning over detailed visual information within extended temporal contexts. The consistent improvements across different types of MLLMs demonstrates the superiority and strong generalization of OneClip-RAG as a plug-and-play component. Moreover, we can see that OneClip-RAG is applicable to both CLIP and SigCLIP, showing its generalization on VL embedding models. Overall, these results well confirm the effectiveness of our OneClip-RAG in improving




Figure 4. Efficiency and performance comparison between OneClip-RAG and other SOTA Video-MLLMs on MLVU. OneClip achieves superior performance with greater efficiency.

long video understanding of MLLMs.

Comparison with SOTA Video-MLLMs. We further compare OneClip-RAG with existing SOTA Video-MLLMs on five benchmarks in Tab. 2. As shown in Tab. 2, when employing the uniform sampling strategy, short Video-MLLMs, e.g., Qwen2.5-VL and InternVL3.5, achieve superior performance on Video-MME, which primarily requires global understanding capabilities. However, this straightforward solution proves insufficient for LongVideoBench that necessitates fine-grained detail reasoning over extended video sequences. In contrast, OneClip-RAG enables LLaVA-Video to achieve SOTA performance across most benchmarks, outperforming both keyframe selection methods like AKS and other long-video MLLMs. This finding can be attributed to OneClip-RAG’s ability to provide more coherent visual context through effective video clip retrieval. Overall, these results well confirm the advantages of OneClip-RAG in improving long video understanding capacities of MLLMs.

Efficiency of OneClip-RAG. We further report the time-cost and performance gains of OneClip-RAG in Fig. 4 and Tab. 3. From Fig. 4, we can first observe that the long video-MLLMs, e.g., GPT-4o and Video-XL, typically require several minutes to process videos from MLVU, of which length is about 15 minutes. While frame-level VideoRAG methods such as AKS demonstrate much faster inference, OneClip-RAG demonstrates superiority in both performance and response time, achieving a 1.3% performance gain and a 1.35x speedup over AKS. Besides, compared with clip-caption




Figure 5. Visualized comparisons between our OneClip-RAG and other Video-RAG methods. The green letters are ground-truth answers, and the green dotted boxes indicate the frames of the long video that is related to the user’s instruction.

based methods, *i.e.*, Goldfish, our advantages are also very obvious in both performance and efficiency. Due to the additional captioning of uniformly chunked video clips, Goldfish requires several minutes to build the video caption base. In stark contrast, our direct cross-modal retrieval and knowledge augmentation are much more efficiency. In Tab. 3, we also report the detailed time costs of all steps in our OneClip-RAG. We can find that the most time-consuming step is the loading of the complete video to memory (20s), followed by the feature extraction of embedding models (10s). The actual cost of OneClip-RAG is very cheap since it requires no redundant operations (0.67s). Overall, these results well validate the effectiveness and efficiency of our OneClip-RAG towards the long video understanding.

Ablation Study. We also ablate the key designs and settings of our OneClip-RAG in Tab. 4. The results of the first block show the comparison between our use of query-guided clips and the alternative RAG settings. *Baseline* means uniformly sampling, and *Uniform Clips* denotes the uniform chunking of videos while *Scene Clips* denotes the scene-based ones, *i.e.*, SceneTiling [50]. We can observe that uniform sampling is inferior to others due to the loss of key information. Compared with it, *Key Frames* can obtain more relevant visual information for MLLMs, thus showing obvious gains on all benchmarks and metrics. However, the last three clip-based

settings can further improve the performance, and our query-guided strategy is the best among all comparisons. These results well confirm the merits of clip-RAG as well as the designs of our OneClip-RAG.

In the second block of Tab. 4, we examine the effects of our progressive tuning on our SynLongVideo data. We can first observe that the default embedding model (*w/o Training*), *i.e.*, CLIP [38], lags behind the other two training-based settings. Besides, we can see that the direct tuning on short-video instruction data, *i.e.*, *coarse* (Eq. 7), can well improve its question-following capability for better performance. Meanwhile, our full training regime, *i.e.*, *coarse-to-fine*, can further improve the embedding model with fine-grained instruction-clip learning, *i.e.*, Eq. 8, especially for the single-detail task in MLVU requiring precise evidence localization. In the last block of Tab. 4, we examine the number of retrieved clips. We can observe that OneClip-RAG can well address the single-detail and multi-detail tasks in MLVU that require detailed information, whereas the holistic task is more suitable for uniform sampling. Overall, these results further confirm the designs of OneClip-RAG.

5.4. Qualitative Analysis

In Fig. 5, we visualize the results of OneClip-RAG compared with different sampling and retrieval strategies for

different VL examples. As observed, in the context of long video understanding, uniform sampling method typically employed by common MLLMs overlooks key visual information critical to instruction. Employing the frame retrieval strategy helps to alleviate this issue. However, this approach yields incoherent video content that include potential semantic conflicts, creating confusion for MLLMs. However, OneClip-RAG effectively address this problem by retrieving temporally coherent clips, thereby enabling the video MLLM to make correct prections.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we present OneClip-RAG, a novel and efficient paradigm, for long video understanding of MLLMs. The principle of OneClip-RAG is to select instruction-related and continual video clips for the augmented long video understanding. To validated OneClip-RAG, we plug it into five recent MLLMs and conduct extensive experiments on four challenging long video benchmarks. The experimental results not only validate its effecitiveness in improving MLLMs’ performance, but also show its great efficiency in handling long video understanding.

References

- [1] Md. Adnan Arefeen, Biplob Debnath, Md. Yusuf Sarwar Uddin, and Srimat Chakradhar. Vita: An efficient video-to-text algorithm using VLM for rag-based video analysis system. In *CVPR Workshops*, pages 2266–2274. IEEE, 2024. 2
- [2] Akari Asai, Zeqiu Wu, Yizhong Wang, Avirup Sil, and Hananeh Hajishirzi. Self-rag: Learning to retrieve, generate, and critique through self-reflection. In *ICLR*, 2024. 2
- [3] Kirolos Ataallah, Xiaoqian Shen, Eslam Abdelrahman, Essam Sleiman, Deyao Zhu, Jian Ding, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigt4-video: Advancing multimodal llms for video understanding with interleaved visual-textual tokens. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.03413>. 2
- [4] Kirolos Ataallah, Xiaoqian Shen, Eslam Abdelrahman, Essam Sleiman, Mingchen Zhu, Jian Ding, Deyao Zhu, Jürgen Schmidhuber, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Goldfish: Vision-language understanding of arbitrarily long videos. In *ECCV* (29), pages 251–267, 2024. 2, 3, 6
- [5] Shuai Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Sibo Song, Kai Dang, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Jun Tang, Humen Zhong, Yanzhi Zhu, Mingkun Yang, Zhaohai Li, Jianqiang Wan, Pengfei Wang, Wei Ding, Zheren Fu, Yiheng Xu, Jiabo Ye, Xi Zhang, Tianbao Xie, Zesen Cheng, Hang Zhang, Zhibo Yang, Haiyang Xu, and Junyang Lin. Qwen2.5-vl technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.13923*, 2025. 6
- [6] Leonard Bärmann and Alex Waibel. Where did I leave my keys? - episodic-memory-based question answering on ego-centric videos. In *CVPR Workshops*, pages 1559–1567, 2022. 2, 4, 5, 6
- [7] Patrice Béchard and Orlando Marquez Ayala. Multi-task retriever fine-tuning for domain-specific and efficient RAG. *arXiv Preprint*, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.04652>. 5
- [8] Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv Preprint*, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.05150>. 2
- [9] Donald J. Berndt and James Clifford. Using dynamic time warping to find patterns in time series. In *KDD Workshop*, pages 359–370, 1994. 4
- [10] Zheng Cai, Maosong Cao, Haojiong Chen, Kai Chen, Keyu Chen, Xin Chen, Xun Chen, Zehui Chen, Zhi Chen, Pei Chu, Xiaoyi Dong, Haodong Duan, Qi Fan, Zhaoye Fei, Yang Gao, Jiaye Ge, Chenya Gu, Yuzhe Gu, Tao Gui, Aijia Guo, Qipeng Guo, Conghui He, Yingfan Hu, Ting Huang, Tao Jiang, Penglong Jiao, Zhenjiang Jin, Zhikai Lei, Jiaxing Li, Jingwen Li, Linyang Li, Shuaibin Li, Wei Li, Yining Li, Hongwei Liu, Jiangning Liu, Jiawei Hong, Kaiwen Liu, Kuikun Liu, Xiaoran Liu, Chengqi Lv, Haijun Lv, Kai Lv, Li Ma, Runyuan Ma, Zerun Ma, Wenchang Ning, Linke Ouyang, Jiantao Qiu, Yuan Qu, Fukai Shang, Yunfan Shao, Demin Song, Zifan Song, Zhihao Sui, Peng Sun, Yu Sun, Huanze Tang, Bin Wang, Guoteng Wang, Jiaqi Wang, Jiayu Wang, Rui Wang, Yudong Wang, Ziyi Wang, Xingjian Wei, Qizhen Weng, Fan Wu, Yingtong Xiong, Chao Xu, Ruiliang Xu, Hang Yan, Yirong Yan, Xiaogui Yang, Haochen Ye, Huaiyuan Ying, Jia Yu, Jing Yu, Yuhang Zang, Chuyu Zhang, Li Zhang, Pan Zhang, Peng Zhang, Ruijie Zhang, Shuo Zhang, Songyang Zhang, Wenjian Zhang, Wenwei Zhang, Xingcheng Zhang, Xinyue Zhang, Hui Zhao, Qian Zhao, Xiaomeng Zhao, Fengzhe Zhou, Zaida Zhou, Jingming Zhuo, Yicheng Zou, Xipeng Qiu, Yu Qiao, and Dahua Lin. Internlm2 technical report, 2024. 1
- [11] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, Ji Ma, Jiaqi Wang, Xiaoyi Dong, Hang Yan, Hewei Guo, Conghui He, Botian Shi, Zhenjiang Jin, Chao Xu, Bin Wang, Xingjian Wei, Wei Li, Wenjian Zhang, Bo Zhang, Pinlong Cai, Licheng Wen, Xiangchao Yan, Min Dou, Lewei Lu, Xizhou Zhu, Tong Lu, Dahua Lin, Yu Qiao, Jifeng Dai, and Wenhai Wang. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16821>. 2, 6
- [12] Zhe Chen, Weiyun Wang, Hao Tian, Shenglong Ye, Zhangwei Gao, Erfei Cui, Wenwen Tong, Kongzhi Hu, Jiapeng Luo, Zheng Ma, et al. How far are we to gpt-4v? closing the gap to commercial multimodal models with open-source suites. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16821*, 2024. 1
- [13] Florin Cuconasu, Giovanni Trappolini, Federico Siciliano, Simone Filice, Cesare Campagnano, Yoelle Maarek, Nicola Tonellotto, and Fabrizio Silvestri. The power of noise: Redefining retrieval for RAG systems. In *SIGIR*, pages 719–729, 2024. 2
- [14] Tri Dao, Daniel Y. Fu, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient exact attention with io-awareness. In *NeurIPS*, 2022. 2
- [15] Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil

- Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, Anirudh Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Aobo Yang, Archi Mitra, Archie Sravankumar, Artem Korenev, Arthur Hinsvark, Arun Rao, Aston Zhang, Aurélien Rodriguez, Austen Gregerson, Ava Spataru, Baptiste Rozière, Bethany Biron, Binh Tang, Bobbie Chern, Charlotte Caucheteux, Chaya Nayak, Chloe Bi, Chris Marra, Chris McConnell, Christian Keller, Christophe Touret, Chunyang Wu, Corinne Wong, Cristian Canton Ferrer, Cyrus Nikolaidis, Damien Allonsius, Daniel Song, Danielle Pintz, Danny Livshits, David Esiobu, Dhruv Choudhary, Dhruv Mahajan, Diego Garcia-Olano, Diego Perino, Dieuwke Hupkes, Egor Lakomkin, Ehab AlBadawy, Elina Lobanova, Emily Dinan, Eric Michael Smith, Filip Radenovic, Frank Zhang, Gabriel Synnaeve, Gabrielle Lee, Georgia Lewis Anderson, Graeme Nail, Grégoire Mialon, Guan Pang, Guillem Cucurell, Hailey Nguyen, Hannah Korevaar, Hu Xu, Hugo Touvron, Iliyan Zarov, Imanol Arrieta Ibarra, Isabel M. Kloumann, Ishan Misra, Ivan Evtimov, Jade Copet, Jaewon Lee, Jan Gefert, Jana Vranes, Jason Park, Jay Mahadeokar, Jeet Shah, Jelmer van der Linde, Jennifer Billock, Jenny Hong, Jenya Lee, Jeremy Fu, Jianfeng Chi, Jianyu Huang, Jiawen Liu, Jie Wang, Jiecao Yu, Joanna Bitton, Joe Spisak, Jongsoo Park, Joseph Rocca, Joshua Johnstun, Joshua Saxe, Junteng Jia, Kalyan Vasuden Alwala, Kartikeya Upasani, Kate Plawiak, Ke Li, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Stone, and et al. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.21783>. 1
- [16] Chaoyou Fu, Peixian Chen, Yunhang Shen, Yulei Qin, Mengdan Zhang, Xu Lin, Zhenyu Qiu, Wei Lin, Jinrui Yang, Xiawu Zheng, Ke Li, Xing Sun, and Rongrong Ji. MME: A comprehensive evaluation benchmark for multimodal large language models. *arXiv Preprint*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.13394>. 1
- [17] Chaoyou Fu, Yuhang Dai, Yongdong Luo, Lei Li, Shuhuai Ren, Renrui Zhang, Zihan Wang, Chenyu Zhou, Yunhang Shen, Mengdan Zhang, Peixian Chen, Yanwei Li, Shaohui Lin, Sirui Zhao, Ke Li, Tong Xu, Xiawu Zheng, Enhong Chen, Caifeng Shan, Ran He, and Xing Sun. Video-mme: The first-ever comprehensive evaluation benchmark of multi-modal llms in video analysis. In *CVPR*, pages 24108–24118, 2025. 2, 6
- [18] Marti A. Hearst. Texttiling: Segmenting text into multi-paragraph subtopic passages. *Comput. Linguistics*, 23(1): 33–64, 1997. 4
- [19] Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *ICLR (Poster)*, 2015. 6
- [20] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven C. H. Hoi. BLIP-2: bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. In *ICML*, pages 19730–19742, 2023. 1
- [21] Kunchang Li, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Yizhuo Li, Wenhui Wang, Ping Luo, Yali Wang, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Videochat: Chat-centric video understanding. *arXiv Preprint*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.06355>. 2
- [22] Kunchang Li, Yali Wang, Yinan He, Yizhuo Li, Yi Wang, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, Jilan Xu, Guo Chen, Ping Lou, Limin Wang, and Yu Qiao. Mvbench: A comprehensive multi-modal video understanding benchmark. In *CVPR*, pages 22195–22206, 2024. 1, 2, 3
- [23] Jianxin Liang, Xiaojun Meng, Yueqian Wang, Chang Liu, Qun Liu, and Dongyan Zhao. End-to-end video question answering with frame scoring mechanisms and adaptive sampling. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.15047>. 2, 3, 4
- [24] Bin Lin, Yang Ye, Bin Zhu, Jiaxi Cui, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. Video-llava: Learning united visual representation by alignment before projection. *arXiv Preprint*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.10122>. 1, 2
- [25] Ji Lin, Hongxu Yin, Wei Ping, Pavlo Molchanov, Mohammad Shoeybi, and Song Han. VILA: on pre-training for visual language models. In *CVPR*, pages 26679–26689, 2024. 2, 6
- [26] Kevin Lin, Faisal Ahmed, Linjie Li, Chung-Ching Lin, Ehsan Azarnasab, Zhengyuan Yang, Jianfeng Wang, Lin Liang, Zicheng Liu, Yumao Lu, Ce Liu, and Lijuan Wang. MM-VID: advancing video understanding with gpt-4v(ision). *arXiv Preprint*, 2023. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.19773>. 2
- [27] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, Bo Li, Yuanhan Zhang, Sheng Shen, and Yong Jae Lee. Llava-next: Improved reasoning, ocr, and world knowledge, 2024. 3
- [28] Shuming Liu, , Chen Zhao, Tianqi Xu, and Bernard Ghanem. Bolt: Boost large vision-language model without training for long-form video understanding. In *CVPR*, 2025. 2, 3
- [29] Zhijian Liu, Ligeng Zhu, Baifeng Shi, Zhuoyang Zhang, Yuming Lou, Shang Yang, Haocheng Xi, Shiyi Cao, Yuxian Gu, Dacheng Li, Xiuyu Li, Haotian Tang, Yunhao Fang, Yukang Chen, Cheng-Yu Hsieh, De-An Huang, An-Chieh Cheng, Jinyi Hu, Sifei Liu, Ranjay Krishna, Pavlo Molchanov, Jan Kautz, Hongxu Yin, Song Han, and Yao Lu. NVILA: efficient frontier visual language models. In *CVPR*, pages 4122–4134, 2025. 6
- [30] Pan Lu, Hritik Bansal, Tony Xia, Jiacheng Liu, Chunyuan Li, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Hao Cheng, Kai-Wei Chang, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. Mathvista: Evaluating mathematical reasoning of foundation models in visual contexts. In *ICLR*, 2024. 1
- [31] Gen Luo, Yiyi Zhou, Xiaoshuai Sun, Yan Wang, Lijuan Cao, Yongjian Wu, Feiyue Huang, and Rongrong Ji. Towards lightweight transformer via group-wise transformation for vision-and-language tasks. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 31: 3386–3398, 2022. 1
- [32] Gen Luo, Yiyi Zhou, Xiaoshuai Sun, Yongjian Wu, Yue Gao, and Rongrong Ji. Towards language-guided visual recognition via dynamic convolutions. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, 132(1):1–19, 2024. 1
- [33] Gen Luo, Yiyi Zhou, Minglang Huang, Tianhe Ren, Xiaoshuai Sun, and Rongrong Ji. Moil: Momentum imitation learning for efficient vision-language adaptation. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 47(7):5192–5204, 2025. 1
- [34] Yongdong Luo, Xiawu Zheng, Xiao Yang, Guilin Li, Haojia Lin, Jinfa Huang, Jiayi Ji, Fei Chao, Jiebo Luo, and Rongrong Ji. Video-rag: Visually-aligned retrieval-augmented long video comprehension. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13093>. 2
- [35] Ziyu Ma, Chenhui Gou, Hengcan Shi, Bin Sun, Shutao Li, Hamid Reza Tofighi, and Jianfei Cai. Drvideo: Document

- retrieval based long video understanding. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.12846>. 2, 3
- [36] Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Abdul Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Khan. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. In *ACL*, pages 12585–12602, 2024. 1, 2, 3, 6
- [37] Jonghwan Mun, Minchul Shin, Gunsoo Han, Sangho Lee, Seongsu Ha, Joonseok Lee, and Eun-Sol Kim. Bassl: Boundary-aware self-supervised learning for video scene segmentation. In *ACCV*, pages 485–501, 2022. 4
- [38] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, pages 8748–8763, 2021. 2, 5, 6, 8
- [39] Jay Shah, Ganesh Bikshandi, Ying Zhang, Vijay Thakkar, Pradeep Ramani, and Tri Dao. Flashattention-3: Fast and accurate attention with asynchrony and low-precision. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08608>. 2
- [40] Xiaoqian Shen, Yunsang Xiong, Changsheng Zhao, Lemeng Wu, Jun Chen, Chenchen Zhu, Zechun Liu, Fanyu Xiao, Balakrishnan Varadarajan, Florian Bordes, Zhuang Liu, Hu Xu, Hyunwoo J. Kim, Bilge Soran, Raghuraman Krishnamoorthi, Mohamed Elhoseiny, and Vikas Chandra. Longv: Spatiotemporal adaptive compression for long video-language understanding. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.17434>. 6
- [41] Weijia Shi, Sewon Min, Michihiro Yasunaga, Minjoon Seo, Richard James, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Wentaoyi. REPLUG: retrieval-augmented black-box language models. In *NAACL-HLT*, pages 8371–8384, 2024. 2
- [42] Yan Shu, Zheng Liu, Peitian Zhang, Minghao Qin, Junjie Zhou, Zhengyang Liang, Tiejun Huang, and Bo Zhao. Video-xl: Extra-long vision language model for hour-scale video understanding. In *CVPR*, pages 26160–26169, 2025. 6
- [43] Enxin Song, Wenhao Chai, Guan hong Wang, Yucheng Zhang, Haoyang Zhou, Feiyang Wu, Haozhe Chi, Xun Guo, Tian Ye, Yanting Zhang, Yan Lu, Jenq-Neng Hwang, and Gaoang Wang. Moviechat: From dense token to sparse memory for long video understanding. In *CVPR*, pages 18221–18232, 2024. 2
- [44] Enxin Song, Wenhao Chai, Shusheng Yang, Ethan Armand, Xiaojun Shan, Haiyang Xu, Jianwen Xie, and Zhuowen Tu. Videosa: Native sparse attention scales video understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.02295*, 2025. 6
- [45] Xi Tang, Jihao Qiu, Lingxi Xie, Yunjie Tian, Jianbin Jiao, and Qixiang Ye. Adaptive keyframe sampling for long video understanding. *arXiv Preprint*, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.21271>. 3, 6
- [46] Haibo Wang, Chenghang Lai, Yixuan Sun, and Weifeng Ge. Weakly supervised gaussian contrastive grounding with large multimodal models for video question answering. In *ACM Multimedia*, pages 5289–5298, 2024. 3
- [47] Han Wang, Yuxiang Nie, Yongjie Ye, Guanyu Deng, Yanjie Wang, Shuai Li, Haiyang Yu, Jinghui Lu, and Can Huang. Dynamic-vlm: Simple dynamic visual token compression for videollm. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.09530>. 6
- [48] Peng Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Shijie Wang, Zhihao Fan, Jinze Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Yang Fan, Kai Dang, Mengfei Du, Xuancheng Ren, Rui Men, Dayiheng Liu, Chang Zhou, Jingren Zhou, and Junyang Lin. Qwen2-vl: Enhancing vision-language model’s perception of the world at any resolution. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.12191>. 1, 2, 6
- [49] Weiyun Wang, Zhangwei Gao, Lixin Gu, Hengjun Pu, Long Cui, Xingguang Wei, Zhaoyang Liu, Linglin Jing, Shenglong Ye, Jie Shao, Zhaokai Wang, Zhe Chen, Hongjie Zhang, Ganlin Yang, Haomin Wang, Qi Wei, Jinhui Yin, Wenhao Li, Erfei Cui, Guanzhou Chen, Zichen Ding, Changyao Tian, Zhenyu Wu, Jingjing Xie, Zehao Li, Bowen Yang, Yuchen Duan, Xuehui Wang, Zhi Hou, Haoran Hao, Tianyi Zhang, Songze Li, Xiangyu Zhao, Haodong Duan, Nianchen Deng, Bin Fu, Yinan He, Yi Wang, Conghui He, Botian Shi, Junjun He, Yingting Xiong, Han Lv, Lijun Wu, Wenqi Shao, Kaipeng Zhang, Huipeng Deng, Biquing Qi, Jiaye Ge, Qipeng Guo, Wenwei Zhang, Songyang Zhang, Maosong Cao, Junyao Lin, Kexian Tang, Jianfei Gao, Haian Huang, Yuzhe Gu, Chengqi Lyu, Huanze Tang, Rui Wang, Haijun Lv, Wanli Ouyang, Limin Wang, Min Dou, Xizhou Zhu, Tong Lu, Dahua Lin, Jifeng Dai, Weijie Su, Bowen Zhou, Kai Chen, Yu Qiao, Wenhao Wang, and Gen Luo. InternV3.5: Advancing open-source multimodal models in versatility, reasoning, and efficiency. *arXiv Preprint*, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.18265>. 6
- [50] Yuxuan Wang, Cihang Xie, Yang Liu, and Zilong Zheng. Videollamb: Long-context video understanding with recurrent memory bridges. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.01071>. 3, 4, 8
- [51] Haoning Wu, Dongxu Li, Bei Chen, and Junnan Li. Longvideobench: A benchmark for long-context interleaved video-language understanding. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.15754>. 2, 6
- [52] Junbin Xiao, Xindi Shang, Angela Yao, and Tat-Seng Chua. Next-qa: Next phase of question-answering to explaining temporal actions. In *CVPR*, pages 9777–9786, 2021. 5, 6
- [53] Jiawen Xie, Pengyu Cheng, Xiao Liang, Yong Dai, and Nan Du. Chunk, align, select: A simple long-sequence processing method for transformers. In *ACL*, pages 13500–13519, 2024. 2
- [54] Lin Xu, Yilin Zhao, Daquan Zhou, Zhijie Lin, See-Kiong Ng, and Jiashi Feng. Pllava: Parameter-free llava extension from images to videos for video dense captioning. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16994>. 1, 2
- [55] Shi-Qi Yan, Jia-Chen Gu, Yun Zhu, and Zhen-Hua Ling. Corrective retrieval augmented generation. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.15884>. 2
- [56] An Yang, Baosong Yang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Zhou, Chengpeng Li, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Guanting Dong, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Jialong Tang, Jialin Wang, Jian Yang, Jianhong Tu, Jianwei Zhang, Jianxin Ma, Jin Xu, Jingren Zhou, Jinze Bai, Jinzheng He, Junyang Lin, Kai Dang, Keming Lu, Keqin Chen, Kexin Yang,

- Mei Li, Mingfeng Xue, Na Ni, Pei Zhang, Peng Wang, Ru Peng, Rui Men, Ruize Gao, Runji Lin, Shijie Wang, Shuai Bai, Sinan Tan, Tianhang Zhu, Tianhao Li, Tianyu Liu, Wenbin Ge, Xiaodong Deng, Xiaohuan Zhou, Xingzhang Ren, Xinyu Zhang, Xipin Wei, Xuancheng Ren, Yang Fan, Yang Yao, Yichang Zhang, Yu Wan, Yunfei Chu, Yuqiong Liu, Zeyu Cui, Zhenru Zhang, and Zhihao Fan. Qwen2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10671*, 2024. 1
- [57] Jiabo Ye, Haiyang Xu, Haowei Liu, Anwen Hu, Ming Yan, Qi Qian, Ji Zhang, Fei Huang, and Jingren Zhou. mplug-owl3: Towards long image-sequence understanding in multi-modal large language models. In *ICLR*, 2025. 6
- [58] Xiaohua Zhai, Basil Mustafa, Alexander Kolesnikov, and Lucas Beyer. Sigmoid loss for language image pre-training. In *ICCV*, pages 11941–11952, 2023. 2, 5, 6
- [59] Hang Zhang, Xin Li, and Lidong Bing. Video-llama: An instruction-tuned audio-visual language model for video understanding. In *EMNLP*, pages 543–553, 2023. 1
- [60] Lu Zhang, Tiancheng Zhao, Heting Ying, Yibo Ma, and Kyu-song Lee. Omagent: A multi-modal agent framework for complex video understanding with task divide-and-conquer. In *EMNLP*, pages 10031–10045, 2024. 2, 3
- [61] Peiyuan Zhang, Kaichen Zhang, Bo Li, Guangtao Zeng, Jingkang Yang, Yuanhan Zhang, Ziyue Wang, Haoran Tan, Chunyuan Li, and Ziwei Liu. Long context transfer from language to vision. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.16852>. 2, 6
- [62] Tianyang Zhang, Zhuoxuan Jiang, Shengguang Bai, Tianrui Zhang, Lin Lin, Yang Liu, and Jiawei Ren. Rag4itops: A supervised fine-tunable and comprehensive RAG framework for IT operations and maintenance. In *EMNLP (Industry Track)*, pages 738–754, 2024. 5
- [63] Yuanhan Zhang, Bo Li, haotian Liu, Yong jae Lee, Liangke Gui, Di Fu, Jiashi Feng, Ziwei Liu, and Chunyuan Li. Llava-next: A strong zero-shot video understanding model, 2024. 2, 6
- [64] Yuanhan Zhang, Jinming Wu, Wei Li, Bo Li, Zejun Ma, Ziwei Liu, and Chunyuan Li. Llava-video: Video instruction tuning with synthetic data. *Trans. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 2025, 2025. 2, 6
- [65] Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhaghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin, Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric P. Xing, Hao Zhang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, and Ion Stoica. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. In *NeurIPS*, 2023. 1, 2
- [66] Junjie Zhou, Yan Shu, Bo Zhao, Boya Wu, Shitao Xiao, Xi Yang, Yongping Xiong, Bo Zhang, Tiejun Huang, and Zheng Liu. MLVU: A comprehensive benchmark for multi-task long video understanding. *arXiv Preprint*, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.04264>. 2, 6
- [67] Yiyi Zhou, Tianhe Ren, Chaoyang Zhu, Xiaoshuai Sun, Jianzhuang Liu, Xinghao Ding, Mingliang Xu, and Rongrong Ji. TRAR: routing the attention spans in transformer for visual question answering. In *ICCV*, pages 2054–2064, 2021. 1
- [68] Yiyi Zhou, Rongrong Ji, Xiaoshuai Sun, Jinsong Su, Deyu Meng, Yue Gao, and Chunhua Shen. Plenty is plague: Fine-grained learning for visual question answering. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 44(2):697–709, 2022. 1
- [69] Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net, 2024. 1