

ANOTHER VIEW ON SMOOTH PRIME FANO THREEFOLDS OF DEGREE 22 WITH INFINITE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

ADRIEN DUBOULOZ, KENTO FUJITA, AND TAKASHI KISHIMOTO

Dedicated to Yuri Prokhorov

ABSTRACT. We give a self-contained alternative proof of the classification of smooth prime Fano threefolds of degree 22 with infinite automorphism groups established by Kuznetsov, Prokhorov and Shramov.

INTRODUCTION

Smooth prime Fano threefolds X of degree $(-K_X)^3 = 22$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero form a family \mathcal{M}_{22} of dimension 6 whose members are rational [17]. Our main interest lies in the subfamily \mathcal{M}_{22}° consisting of threefolds with infinite automorphism groups, whose classification was established by Kuznetsov, Prokhorov and Shramov in [12, 14] in a form which can be summarized as follows (see Theorem 22):

Theorem 1. *A smooth prime Fano threefold of degree 22 belonging to \mathcal{M}_{22}° is isomorphic to one of the following threefolds:*

- A threefold $X_{22}^{\text{MU}} \in \mathcal{M}_{22}^\circ$ with $\text{Aut}^0(X_{22}^{\text{MU}}) = \text{Aut}(X_{22}^{\text{MU}}) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ (the Mukai-Umemura threefold),
- A threefold $X_{22}^a \in \mathcal{M}_{22}^\circ$ with $\text{Aut}^0(X_{22}^a) \cong \mathbb{G}_a$. Moreover, $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^a)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mu_4$ of a Borel subgroup $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ of PGL_2 .
- A member of a one-parameter family of pairwise non isomorphic threefold $X_{22}^m(v) \in \mathcal{M}_{22}^\circ$ with $\text{Aut}^0(X_{22}^m(v)) \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ parametrized by $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$. Moreover, for every $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$, $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^m(v))$ is isomorphic to the normalizer $\mathbb{G}_m \rtimes \mu_2$ of a maximal torus \mathbb{G}_m of PGL_2 .

The possibilities for X were initially found by Prokhorov in [18] and the articles [14] and [12] completed later on the structures of the automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^a)$ and $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^m(v))$. Our purpose is to give a self-contained alternative proof of Theorem 1 and a complementary view on certain of the constructions and results in these articles.

Our approach to the classification of isomorphism types of prime Fano threefolds of degree 22 with infinite automorphism follows the same initial path as in [12, 14, 18]. It builds essentially on Iskovskikh's *double projection from lines* [11] which are birational maps relating the geometry of X to that of the smooth quintic del Pezzo threefold V_5 in \mathbb{P}^6 . The principle stems from the observation that such a double projection $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$ from a line Z in X induces a correspondence between subgroups of the stabilizer $\text{Aut}(X, Z)$ of Z which stabilize the base locus of ψ_Z and subgroups of the stabilizer $\text{Aut}(V_5, \Gamma)$ of a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset V_5$ contained in the base locus of ψ_Z^{-1} which stabilize the base locus of ψ_Z^{-1} . This leads to determine equivalence classes of rational normal quintic curves in V_5 with infinite stabilizers under the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$. In [14], this is done by exhibiting representatives of these classes in the form of orbit closures of certain polynomials in Mukai-Umemura's description [16] of V_5 as an orbit closure of the action of PGL_2 on the projective space of homogeneous polynomials of degree 6 in two variables. We propose a complementary approach building on the study of the equivariant geometry of inverse images of rational normal quintic curves in V_5 in the universal family on the Hilbert scheme of lines on V_5 constructed by Furushima-Nakayama [6].

To determine the exact automorphism group for each isomorphism type, the main difficulty essentially reduces to establish the existence of a special involution of X generating the displayed subgroup μ_2 in the case $X = X_{22}^m(v)$. In [14], the existence is taken for granted by an external result [3, Proposition 5.1] which depends itself on Mukai's theory of varieties of sums of powers. Later, [12] provided a different argument for the existence which depends in particular on the fact established in [14] that the Hilbert schemes of conics in X is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . In contrast, we produce such a special involution in a geometric way, as a conjugate by an appropriate \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant birational map of a known involution on certain quadric threefolds with \mathbb{G}_m -actions normalizing the \mathbb{G}_m -action, see the proof of Theorem 22 and Lemma 23 as well as Remark 24 for these constructions.

We henceforth work over a fixed algebraically closed base field k of characteristic zero. The scheme of the article is the following. In Section 1, we first review basic properties of the construction of the Hilbert scheme of lines on V_5 and then proceed to the classification of rational normal quintic curves $\Gamma \subset V_5$ with infinite stabilizers up to the action of the automorphism group of V_5 . In Section 2, we begin with a review of classical properties of the double projection from a line in a smooth prime Fano threefold X of degree 22 and of the correspondence it induces between certain families of lines in X and V_5 and then re-derive Theorem 1 from these results.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Sasha Kuznetsov for his thorough readings of successive drafts of this article and his numerous constructive comments and suggestions, which contributed significantly to the clarity and mathematical accuracy of the results.

The present research was initiated during visits of the first and the second authors at Saitama University and continued during a one-month visit of the second and third authors at the University of Poitiers during fall 2024 funded by the University of Poitiers. The authors are grateful to these institutions for their generous support and the excellent working conditions offered. The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K03269, Royal Society International Collaboration Award ICA\1\23109 and Asian Young Scientist Fellowship. The third author was partially funded by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23K03047.

1. RATIONAL QUINTIC CURVES WITH INFINITE STABILIZERS ON THE SMOOTH QUINTIC DEL PEZZO THREEFOLD

A *quintic del Pezzo threefold* is a smooth projective threefold V_5 whose Picard group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , generated by a very ample invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} such that $\omega_{V_5}^\vee \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$ and $\deg c_1(\mathcal{L})^3 = 5$, where $\omega_{V_5} = \mathcal{O}_{V_5}(K_{V_5})$ denotes the canonical sheaf of V_5 . The global sections of \mathcal{L} determine a closed embedding $\varphi_{|\mathcal{L}|} : V_5 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(V_5, \mathcal{L})) \cong \mathbb{P}^6$ of V_5 as a codimension 3 subvariety of \mathbb{P}^6 isomorphic to a section of the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(5, 2) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by a linear subspace of codimension 3. Conversely, every smooth codimension 3 linear section of $\mathbb{G}(5, 2)$ is a quintic del Pezzo threefold, and all of these are isomorphic, see e.g. [5]. We henceforth denote by V_5 any smooth quintic del Pezzo threefold and consider it as a subvariety of \mathbb{P}^6 via its half-anti-canonical embedding above. A subvariety Z of V_5 of degree d is then by definition a subvariety $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ of degree d which is contained in V_5 .

1.1. Basic facts on lines and rational normal quintic curves.

1.1.1. Equivariant structure of the Hilbert scheme of lines. A line on V_5 is a usual line $\ell \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ in \mathbb{P}^6 which is contained in V_5 , equivalently, an integral curve $\ell \subset V_5$ of anti-canonical degree $-K_{V_5} \cdot \ell = \deg(c_1(\omega_{V_5}^\vee|_\ell)) = 2$. By [10, Proposition 5.1], the conormal sheaf of ℓ in V_5 is either trivial, in which case ℓ is said to be a line of general type, or isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\ell(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\ell(1)$, in which case ℓ is said to be a line of special type. We now recall from [6] some properties of the Hilbert scheme of lines on V_5 and of its universal family, see also [22] for another view on this matter.

Let $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ be a smooth proper k -rational curve, let $\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(2)$ be endowed with its canonical $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -linearization and let $V = H^0(\mathcal{C}, \omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)$ be endowed with the corresponding structure of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -module. The anti-canonical embedding $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H} := \mathbb{P}(V) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ is then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant, and, identifying \mathcal{C} with its image, which is a smooth conic, the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism. Let $\mathfrak{R} := \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ be endowed with the diagonal action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ so that the two projections $p_i : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $i = 1, 2$, are $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant and let $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be the diagonal closed immersion. The irreducible sub- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -module V of the $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -module $H^0(\mathfrak{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))) \cong V \oplus k$ determines an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant double cover $v : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ ramified along $\Delta(\mathcal{C})$ and branched along \mathcal{C} . The sheaf $\mathcal{E} := v_*(p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2})$ on \mathfrak{H} is then an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -linearized locally free sheaf of rank 2 (cf. [23, Theorem 5]), with associated $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant projective bundle $\pi : \mathfrak{U} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$. Taking cohomology of the exact sequence of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -linearized sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \Delta_*\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

tensored by $p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2}$ gives an isomorphism of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -modules

$$H^0(\mathfrak{R}, p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))) \cong H^0(\mathcal{C}, (\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 3}) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{C}, (\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2}).$$

Under the isomorphism of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -modules

$$H^0(\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(1)) \cong H^0(\mathfrak{R}, p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2} \otimes v^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(1)) \cong H^0(\mathfrak{R}, p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))),$$

the irreducible sub- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -module $W := H^0(\mathcal{C}, (\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 3})$ determines an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant rational map

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{U} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W) \cong \mathbb{P}^6.$$

By [6, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 (1)], Ψ is a morphism with image isomorphic to V_5 and by [6, Theorem I], the induced morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow V_5$ identifies \mathfrak{H} with the Hilbert scheme of lines of V_5 and the projective bundle $\pi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ with its universal family. The following proposition collects additional basic properties of the construction.

Proposition 2. *With the notation above, the following hold:*

a) The canonical surjection $v^\mathcal{E} \rightarrow p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^\vee)^{\otimes 2}$ induces an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant closed immersion $j : \mathfrak{R} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ of \mathfrak{H} -schemes for which the composition $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}} := \Psi \circ j : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ is an injective morphism with image equal to an anti-canonical divisor \mathcal{S} of V_5 singular along the rational normal sextic curve $\mathcal{C} = \Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$. Moreover, the induced morphism $\psi_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the normalization of \mathcal{S} .*

b) The image by $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}$ of a fiber f_i of $p_i : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a line of special type of V_5 for $i = 1$ and a rational normal quintic curve for $i = 2$.

c) The restriction $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(3)$ and the restriction $\Psi : \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ is injective, with image \mathcal{S} . A line $\ell \subset V_5$ is of special type if and only if it equals the image by Ψ of a fiber of $\pi : \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

d) The morphism $\psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V_5$ is finite of degree 3, its restriction over $V_5 \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is \tilde{A} -tale and $\psi^*\mathcal{S} = 2\mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C}} + j(\mathfrak{R})$. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C}} \cap j(\mathfrak{R}) = 2j(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$ scheme-theoretically and $j(\Delta(\mathcal{C})) \subset \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -stable section of $\pi : \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. In assertion a), the existence of j and the fact that the image of $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is an anti-canonical divisor of V_5 follow from [6, Lemma 2.1 (3)-(4)], which identify in particular the image of j as the zero locus of an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -invariant global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(-2)$ endowed with the product $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -linearization. The other properties follow from [6, Remark 2.2 (2)]. Assertion b) is for instance directly verified from the following explicit basis of the sub- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -module W of $H^0(\mathfrak{R}, p_1^*(\omega_{\mathcal{C}}^{\vee})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))) \cong H^0(\mathfrak{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(5f_1 + f_2))$ given in [6, § 2, p. 116]

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} e_0 &= x_1^5 x_2, e_1 = x_1^4 y_1 x_2 + \frac{1}{5} x_1^5 y_2, e_2 = x_1^3 y_1^2 x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_1^4 y_1 y_2, e_3 = x_1^2 y_1^3 x_2 + x_1^3 y_1^2 y_2, \\ e_4 &= \frac{1}{2} x_1 y_1^4 x_2 + x_1^2 y_1^3 y_2, e_5 = \frac{1}{5} y_1^5 x_2 + x_1 y_1^4 y_2, e_6 = y_1^5 y_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $[x_1 : y_1]$ and $[x_2 : y_2]$ are homogeneous coordinates on the first and second factor of $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ respectively. These sections e_i , $i = 0, \dots, 6$, form a basis of the weight space decomposition of W with respect to the diagonal action $([x_1 : y_1], [x_2 : y_2]) \mapsto ([\lambda x_1 : y_1], [\lambda x_2 : y_2])$ of the maximal torus $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(k[\lambda^{\pm 1}])$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ with respective weights $w_i = 6 - i$. Assertion c) is a combination of [6, Lemma 2.1 (2) and Lemma 2.3 (3)-(4)]. The first part of assertion d) follows from [6, Lemma 2.3 (2)-(3)] and second part from the previously explained identification of $j(\mathfrak{R})$ to the zero locus of an $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -invariant global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(-2)$. \square

In geometric terms, $V_5 \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is the locus of points of V_5 through which there pass exactly 3 lines, all of general type, \mathcal{C} is the locus of points of V_5 through which there passes a unique line of V_5 , of special type, \mathcal{S} is the surface swept out by lines of special type on V_5 and $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ is the locus of points through which pass a line of special type and a line of general type. Moreover, lines of special types are tangent lines to \mathcal{C} whereas every line ℓ of general type intersect \mathcal{S} transversally in two distinct points, contained in $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{C}$, the later being the images by $\psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V_5$ of the two intersection points with $j(\mathfrak{R})$ of the corresponding fiber of $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$.

The surface \mathcal{S} is also swept out by the images $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}(f_2)$ of the fibers of $p_2 : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which are rational normal quintic curves in $\mathbb{P}(W)$. These curves are disjoint on V_5 , meeting every line of special type in pairwise distinct points. We henceforth call them special rational normal quintic curves of V_5 .

Proposition 2 a) implies in particular that the closed subschemes \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{S} of V_5 are invariant under the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$. Since $\mathcal{C} = \Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}(\Delta(\mathcal{C})) \subset V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ is a rational normal sextic curve, the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism and it follows from [16] that

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W), V_5) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W), (V_5, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C})) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W), (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C})) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{C}),$$

and that the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_5)$ is an isomorphism. It can be verified in turn that the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ on V_5 has exactly three orbits: \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ and $V_5 \setminus \mathcal{S}$.

The construction provides the following commutative diagram of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S} & \longrightarrow & V_5 \\ & \nearrow \cong & & & \nearrow \psi_{\mathfrak{R}} & & \nearrow \psi \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathfrak{R} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{U} & & \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow v & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{H} & & & & \end{array}$$

and two canonical identifications

$$(1.2) \quad c_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Aut}(V_5) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Aut}(V_5) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}),$$

the first one given by the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(V_5, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ and the second one by the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ when viewing $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ as the subgroup $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{C})$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{H})$.

Recall that a Borel subgroup of an affine algebraic group is a maximal connected solvable subgroup. We will make frequent use of the fact that a nontrivial proper connected subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V_5) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ is either a Borel subgroup $B \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ or its unipotent radical isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a , or a maximal torus T isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m and that all these groups are in particular solvable. We record the following well-known consequence of the above properties:

Lemma 3. *For a non-trivial proper connected subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$, the following hold:*

- 1) If G is a torus then V_5 contains three G -stable lines: two of special type and one of general type.
- 2) Otherwise, V_5 contains a unique G -stable line, which is of special type.

Proof. Since $c_{\mathfrak{C}}(G) \cong G$ is a proper connected subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$, \mathfrak{C} contains two G -fixed points if G is a torus and a unique one otherwise. On the other hand, every G -fixed point q in $\mathfrak{H} \setminus \mathfrak{C}$ determines two distinct G -fixed points on \mathfrak{C} (the intersections of \mathfrak{C} with its tangent lines passing through q). The assertion then follows from the fact that a pair of distinct G -fixed points in $\mathfrak{H} \setminus \mathfrak{C}$ determines in a similar way at least three distinct G -fixed points on \mathfrak{C} . \square

1.1.2. *The hyperplane section singular along a line of special type and its normalization.* By Proposition 2 b), every point p of the rational normal sextic curve $\mathcal{C} \subset V_5$ determines a unique special rational normal quintic $\Gamma_p \subset V_5$ and a unique line ℓ_p of special type passing through p , both contained in the surface \mathcal{S} .

Lemma 4. *There exists a unique hyperplane section F_p of V_5 which is singular along ℓ_p . The surface F_p is swept out by the lines in V_5 intersecting ℓ_p . The surface F_p contains Γ_p , $F_p \cap \mathcal{S} = 5\ell_p \cup \Gamma_p$, $F_p \cap \mathcal{C} = 6p$ and the stabilizer $\text{Aut}(V_5, F_p)$ of F_p in $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ is the inverse image by $c_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Aut}(V_5) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ of the stabilizer $G_p \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ of the point p . Moreover, the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(V_5, F_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_p) = \text{Aut}(F_p, \ell_p, p)$ is injective.*

Proof. The existence, the uniqueness and the fact that F_p is swept out by lines in V_5 intersecting ℓ_p follow from [5, Proposition (9.11)] which identifies F_p to the exceptional locus of the projection $V_5 \dashrightarrow Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ from ℓ_p , where $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quadric threefold. The uniqueness of F_p implies that it is stable under the action of the inverse image $c_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(G_p) \subset \text{Aut}(V_5)$ of the stabilizer $G_p \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ of the point p . Since G_p acts transitively on $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{p\}$, we have $F_p \cap \mathcal{C} = 6p$ which implies in turn that $\text{Aut}(V_5, F_p) = c_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(G_p)$. Letting H be the unique hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}(W)$ such that $F_p = V_5 \cap H$, it follows from Proposition 2 a) that the proper transform $\Psi_{\mathfrak{H}}^* H$ of H by the morphism $\Psi_{\mathfrak{H}} : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ with image \mathcal{S} is the zero locus of a section belonging to the sub- $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ -module W of $H^0(\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(5f_1 + f_2))$ and whose stabilizer contains the subgroup $c_{\mathfrak{C}}(c_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(G_p))$. The latter being a Borel subgroup $B = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(k[a, \lambda^{\pm 1}])$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong \text{PGL}_2$, we can choose coordinates $[x : y]$ on $\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ for which B acts by $[x : y] \mapsto [\lambda x : y + ax]$. Since W is an irreducible $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ -module and B is a Borel subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$, W contains a unique B -stable line which is readily checked from the explicit basis (1.1) of W to be equal to that generated by the element $e_0 = x_1^5 x_2$. So, $\Psi_{\mathfrak{H}}^* H = Z(e_0)$, and hence, by Proposition 2 b), $F_p \cap \mathcal{S} = 5\ell_p \cup \Gamma_p$. The equality $\text{Aut}(F_p) = \text{Aut}(F_p, \ell_p, p)$ and the injectivity of the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(V_5, F_p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_p)$ are clear from the description of H and the induced action of G_p on $W/\langle e_0 \rangle$. \square

We now recollect other basic properties of the non-normal hyperplane section F_p of $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$.

Proposition 5. *Let $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}|_{T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}}) \rightarrow T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ be the restriction of $\pi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ over the projective tangent line $T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ to the conic $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ at the point $[\ell_p]$ corresponding to the line ℓ_p . Then the following hold:*

a) *The projective bundle $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface $\rho_3 : \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \cap j(\mathfrak{H})$ is a 2-section of π which decomposes as the union of the exceptional section $s_{[\ell_p]}$ of $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ with self-intersection number -3 and of a section $\Upsilon_p \sim s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}$, where $f_{[\ell_p]} = \pi^{-1}([\ell_p])$, meeting $s_{[\ell_p]}$ transversally at the unique point*

$$\mathfrak{p} = f_{[\ell_p]} \cap j(\Delta(\mathfrak{C})) = f_{[\ell_p]} \cap s_{[\ell_p]}.$$

b) *The morphism $\Psi|_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}} : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ factors through F_p and the induced morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$ is the normalization of F_p . Moreover $\nu^* \omega_{F_p}^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}}(s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}) \cong \omega_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}}(-s_{[\ell_p]} - f_{[\ell_p]})$.*

c) *The inverse image by ν of every line in F_p other than ℓ_p is the union of a fiber f of $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ other than $f_{[\ell_p]}$ and of the unique point $q \in f_{[\ell_p]}$ such that $\nu(q) = \nu(f \cap s_{[\ell_p]})$. On the other hand, $\nu^{-1}\ell_p = s_{[\ell_p]} \cup f_{[\ell_p]}$.*

d) *The morphism ν restricts to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \setminus (s_{[\ell_p]} \cup f_{[\ell_p]}) \rightarrow F_p \setminus \ell_p$, maps the pairs $(s_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p})$ and $(f_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p})$ isomorphically onto the pair (ℓ_p, p) and maps the pair $(\Upsilon_p, \mathfrak{p})$ isomorphically onto the pair (Γ_p, p) .*

Proof. Since $T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ is the tangent line to the branch curve \mathfrak{C} of $v : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$, [23, Proposition 8] implies that

$$\mathcal{E}|_{T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}} = v_*(p_1^*(\omega_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\vee})^{\otimes 2})|_{T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}} \cong v_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(4f_1)|_{T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}},$$

which give the isomorphism $(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}, (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(1))|_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}}) \cong (\mathbb{F}_3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_3}(s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}))$. The curve $\nu^{-1}(T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C})$ is the union of the fibers f_1 and f_2 of the first and second projections $p_1, p_2 : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ passing through the point $\nu^{-1}([\ell_p]) \in \Delta(\mathfrak{C})$. By construction of the closed immersion of \mathfrak{H} -schemes $j : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ in Proposition 2 a), we have $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \cap j(\mathfrak{H}) = j(f_1) \cup j(f_2)$ and $j^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(1)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(5f_1 + f_2)$. In combination with the previous isomorphism, this implies that the intersection numbers of $j(f_1)$ and $j(f_2)$ with $s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}$ are equal to 1 and 5 respectively, and a straightforward computation then shows that $j(f_1) = s_{[\ell_p]}$ and that $j(f_2) \sim s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}$, proving assertion a).

Since $(s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]})^2 = 5$ and the morphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ is finite of degree 3 onto its image and, by Proposition 2 d), étale outside $\mathfrak{U}|_{\mathfrak{C}} \cup j(\mathfrak{H})$, $\Psi(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]})$ is an irreducible and reduced surface F contained in V_5 , whose degree in $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is either 1 or 5. The first case is excluded since $\Psi|_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}} : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow \Psi(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]})$ is finite of degree at most 3. Thus F is a hyperplane section of V_5 , the induced morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F$ is finite and birational, whence equals the normalization of F . Moreover, by Proposition 2 a) and b), we have $\nu(s_{[\ell_p]}) = \psi_{\mathfrak{H}}(f_1) = \ell_p = \nu(f_{[\ell_p]})$ which implies in particular that F is singular along ℓ_p , whence equal to F_p , and that $\nu(\Upsilon_p) = \psi_{\mathfrak{H}}(f_2) = \Gamma_p$, which proves assertion d). Since F_p is a Cartier

divisor on V_5 , F_p is a Gorenstein scheme whose dualizing sheaf $\omega_{F_p} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(-1)|_{F_p}$ is the canonical sheaf of F_p . On the other hand, since $\Psi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee &\cong \Psi|_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)|_{F_p} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}(1))|_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}(s[\ell_p] + 4f[\ell_p]) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}(s[\ell_p] + 4f[\ell_p]) \cong \omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}(-s[\ell_p] - f[\ell_p]) \end{aligned}$$

which gives assertion b). Assertion c) is immediate. \square

Remark 6. With the notation of Proposition 5, let $\nu|_{s[\ell_p]} : s[\ell_p] \rightarrow \ell_p$ and $\nu|_{f[\ell_p]} : f[\ell_p] \rightarrow \ell_p$ be the isomorphisms induced by $\nu : \mathfrak{F}[\ell_p] \rightarrow F_p$ and let $\mathcal{I}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{F_p}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}$ be the ideal sheaves of the points $p \in F_p$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]$. Then, see e.g. [20, Theorem 2.6], $\nu^*H^0(F_p, \omega_{F_p}^\vee) \subset H^0(\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p], \nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee)$ is the equalizer of the two maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p], \nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee) &\rightarrow H^0(s[\ell_p], \nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee|_{s[\ell_p]}) \cong H^0(s[\ell_p], \omega_{s[\ell_p]}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{s[\ell_p]}) \cong H^0(s[\ell_p], (\nu|_{s[\ell_p]})^*(\omega_{\ell_p}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_p|_{\ell_p})) \\ H^0(\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p], \nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee) &\rightarrow H^0(f[\ell_p], \nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee|_{f[\ell_p]}) \cong H^0(f[\ell_p], \omega_{f[\ell_p]}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{f[\ell_p]}) \cong H^0(f[\ell_p], (\nu|_{f[\ell_p]})^*(\omega_{\ell_p}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_p|_{\ell_p})). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5 d), $\Omega_{(s[\ell_p] \cup f[\ell_p])/\ell_p}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]/F_p}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a 1-dimensional k -vector space. Moreover, since $s[\ell_p]$ and $f[\ell_p]$ intersect transversally at \mathfrak{p} , $\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the direct sum of the restrictions to \mathfrak{p} of the conormal sheaves $\mathcal{C}_{s[\ell_p]/\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{f[\ell_p]/\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}$ and the induced projections from the two factors to $\Omega_{(s[\ell_p] \cup f[\ell_p])/\ell_p}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are surjective. The surjections $\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{s[\ell_p]/\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{f[\ell_p]/\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{(s[\ell_p] \cup f[\ell_p])/\ell_p}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ determine three distinct points

$$(1.3) \quad q_{s[\ell_p]}, q_{f[\ell_p]}, \delta[\ell_p] \in \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

and we have the following characterization:

Lemma 7. Let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}[\ell_p] \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]$ be the blow-up of $\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]$ at \mathfrak{p} with exceptional divisor $\mathfrak{E}[\ell_p] \cong \mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then the image by $\nu : \mathfrak{F}[\ell_p] \rightarrow F_p$ of a curve $C \subset \mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]$ passing through \mathfrak{p} and non-singular at \mathfrak{p} is singular at p if and only if its proper transform in $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}[\ell_p]$ intersects $\mathfrak{E}[\ell_p]$ at $\delta[\ell_p]$.

Proof. Indeed, the image ν_*C of C is singular at p if and only if the restriction $\mathcal{C}_C/\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at \mathfrak{p} of its conormal sheaf $\mathcal{C}_C/\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p] \subset \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_C$ belongs to the kernel of the surjection $\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}[\ell_p]/F_p}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \Omega_{s[\ell_p] \cup f[\ell_p]/\ell_p}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

We also record the following related result that will be used later on in the proof of Proposition 20 in Section 2.

Lemma 8. Let $\beta_{\ell_p} : \hat{V}_5 \rightarrow V_5$ be the blow-up with center at ℓ_p with exceptional divisor $\rho : E_{\ell_p} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{\ell_p}/V_5) \rightarrow \ell_p$ and let s_2 be the exceptional section of ρ with self-intersection number -2 . Then the proper transforms $\hat{\Gamma}_{p'}$ in \hat{V}_5 of the special rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma_{p'} \subset \mathcal{S}$ for all $p' \in \mathcal{C}$ intersect E_{ℓ_p} at pairwise distinct points of s_2 .

Proof. Since $\ell_p \subset V_5$ is a line of special type, its conormal sheaf \mathcal{C}_{ℓ_p}/V_5 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(1)$, so that $E_{\ell_p} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ with exceptional section s_2 corresponding to the first projection $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(-1)$. The line $\ell_p \subset \mathcal{S}$ is the image of a certain fiber $f_1 \subset \mathfrak{R}$ of the first projection $p_1 : \mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by the morphism $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}} = \Psi \circ j : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ with image \mathcal{S} of Proposition 2 a). The morphism $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}$ thus induces a homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_{f_1} -modules $(\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}|_{f_1})^*\mathcal{C}_{\ell_p}/V_5 \cong \mathcal{O}_{f_1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{f_1}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{f_1/\mathfrak{R}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{f_1}$ which, since $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{f_1}(1), \mathcal{O}_{f_1}) = 0$, factors through the projection to $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(-1)$. This implies that the proper transform $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ of \mathcal{S} in \hat{V}_5 intersects E_{ℓ_p} along s_2 . A special rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma_{p'} \subset \mathcal{S}$, $p' \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{p\}$, being by definition the image by $\psi_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of a fiber f_2 of the second projection $p_2 : \mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ other than that meeting f_1 at the point $f_1 \cap \Delta(\mathcal{C})$, it follows that the proper transforms $\hat{\Gamma}_{p'} \subset \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, $p' \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{p\}$, intersect E_{ℓ_p} at pairwise distinct points of $s_2 = \hat{\mathcal{S}} \cap E_{\ell_p}$. \square

1.2. Classification of rational normal quintic curves with infinite stabilizers. We now classify rational normal quintic curves $\Gamma \subset V_5$ with infinite stabilizers $G_{\Gamma} = \text{Aut}(V_5, \Gamma) \subset \text{Aut}(V_5)$, up to the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$.

1.2.1. Preliminaries. Recall that a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ is contained in a unique hyperplane H of $\mathbb{P}(W)$, hence in a unique hyperplane section $F = V_5 \cap H$ of V_5 . We begin with the following lemma which identifies hyperplane sections of V_5 that can contain rational normal quintic curves Γ with infinite stabilizer.

Lemma 9. Let $\Gamma \subset V_5$ be a rational normal quintic curve with infinite stabilizer $G_{\Gamma} = \text{Aut}(V_5, \Gamma)$. Then the unique hyperplane section of V_5 containing Γ is a hyperplane section F_p passing through a G_{Γ} -stable point p of \mathcal{C} and singular along the unique line of special type ℓ_p passing through p .

Proof. The stabilizer $G_F = \text{Aut}(V_5, F)$ in $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ of the unique hyperplane section $F = V_5 \cap H$ containing Γ is a proper subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ containing G_{Γ} . By definition of $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$, $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}^*H$ is the zero locus on \mathfrak{R} of a section belonging to the sub- $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -module W of $H^0(\mathfrak{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}(5f_1 + f_2))$ and whose stabilizer contains the infinite proper connected subgroup G_F^0 . If G_F^0 is not a torus, then G_F^0 is either a Borel subgroup $B \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(k[a, \lambda^{\pm 1}])$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ or its unipotent radical. In both cases, H intersects \mathcal{C} with multiplicity 6 at the unique fixed point p for

the induced action of G_F^0 . The restriction homomorphism $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(6))$ being by construction a (PGL₂-equivariant) isomorphism, there exists a unique H intersecting \mathcal{C} with multiplicity 6 at p . So F is unique, hence G_p -stable, and consequently equal to F_p by Lemma 4.

Now assume that G_F^0 is a torus. Then $G_\Gamma^0 = G_F^0$ and choosing coordinates $[x : y]$ on $\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ so that the action of $G_F^0 \cong \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(k[\lambda^{\pm 1}])$ on $\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is given by $[x : y] \mapsto [\lambda x : y]$, we have $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}^* H = Z(s)$ for some $s \in W$ generating a \mathbb{G}_m -stable k -vector subspace. Since the sections $e_i, i = 0, \dots, 6$, in (1.1) form a basis of the weight space decomposition of W with respect to the action of \mathbb{G}_m , with respective weights $w_i = 6 - i$, we have $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}^* H = Z(e_i)$ for a certain $i \in \{0, \dots, 6\}$. This implies in turn that the weights of $H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(1)|_\Gamma)$ for the induced faithful action of $G_\Gamma^0 \subset \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ with respect to induced G_Γ^0 -linearization of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(1)|_\Gamma \cong \mathcal{O}_\Gamma(5)$ are equal to the $w_j, j \neq i$. Since on the other hand for any choice of G_Γ^0 -linearization of $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(1)$ the corresponding weights of $H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{O}_\Gamma(5))$ for the induced G_Γ^0 -linearization of $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(5)$ form a sequence of 6 consecutive integers, the only possibility is that $i = 0$ or 6, that is, $\Psi_{\mathfrak{R}}^* H = Z(x_1^5 y_2)$ or $Z(y_1^5 x_2)$. We conclude as in the previous case that F is one of the non-normal hyperplane sections F_p or $F_{p'}$, where p and p' are the two fixed points of the action of $c_{\mathcal{C}}(G_F^0) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. \square

The stabilizer G_Γ of a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset V_5$ contained in the hyperplane section F_p of V_5 singular along the unique line ℓ_p of special type passing through a point $p \in \mathcal{C}$ is a subgroup of the Borel subgroup $G_p = c_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, p))$ of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$. The group G_p also identifies with the Borel subgroup $c_{\mathfrak{C}}(G_p) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C}, [\ell_p])$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ and, with the notation of Proposition 5 and Lemma 7, we have the following:

Lemma 10. *The normalization morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$ is G_p -equivariant and the following hold:*

- a) *The induced action of G_p on $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}$ is faithful with \mathfrak{p} as a unique fixed point.*
- b) *The curves $s_{[\ell_p]}, f_{[\ell_p]}$ and Υ_p are G_p -stable, and the restriction homomorphisms $G_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(s_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p}), G_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(f_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p})$ and $G_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Upsilon_p, \mathfrak{p})$ are isomorphisms.*
- c) *The proper transform by ν of a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset F_p$ is an integral member of the complete linear system $|s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}|$. Moreover ν induces one-to-one stabilizer preserving correspondence between rational normal quintic curves $\Gamma \subset F_p$ passing through p and integral members Υ of $|s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ passing through \mathfrak{p} and whose proper transforms in the blow-up $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}$ at \mathfrak{p} intersect the exceptional divisor $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_p]}$ away from the point $\delta_{[\ell_p]}$.*

Proof. The fact that $\nu = \psi|_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}} : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$ is G_p -equivariant follows from the construction of $\psi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow V_5$ and the canonical identifications made in (1.2). With the notation of the proof of Proposition 5, the group G_p identifies further with the stabilizer of the point $v^{-1}([\ell_p]) \in \Delta(\mathfrak{C})$ for the diagonal action of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{C})$ on \mathfrak{R} . The fact that the curves $s_{[\ell_p]}, f_{[\ell_p]}$ and Υ_p are G_p -stable and that the corresponding restriction homomorphisms are isomorphisms then follows from the identifications $j(f_1) = s_{[\ell_p]}$ and $j(f_2) = \Upsilon_p$ and Proposition 5 d). This also implies that the induced actions of G_p on $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}$ and $T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}$ are faithful, and that the restriction homomorphism $G_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_{[\ell_p]}\mathfrak{C}, [\ell_p])$ is an isomorphism, from which it follows in turn that \mathfrak{p} is the unique G_p -fixed point on $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}$. This proves assertions a) and b).

The proper transform $\Upsilon = \nu_*^{-1}\Gamma$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \cong \mathbb{P}_3$ of a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset F_p$ is a non-singular rational curve linearly equivalent to $as_{[\ell_p]} + bf_{[\ell_p]}$ for some non-negative integers a, b . By the projection formula for ν combined with the isomorphism $\nu^*\omega_{F_p}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}}(s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]})$ of Proposition 5 b), we have $a + b = \Upsilon \cdot (s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}) = \deg(\omega_{F_p}^\vee|_\Gamma) = 5$. Since the curve Υ is irreducible, the only possibility is $(a, b) = (1, 4)$. Conversely, Lemma 7 implies that the image $\Gamma = \nu_*\Upsilon$ of a non-singular member Υ of the complete linear system $|s_{[\ell_p]} + 4f_{[\ell_p]}|$ passing through \mathfrak{p} is non-singular, whence a rational normal quintic curve, if and only if $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1})_*\Upsilon \cap \mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_p]} \neq \delta_{[\ell_p]}$. Finally, the universal property of the normalization morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$ provides an isomorphism of groups $\nu^* : \text{Aut}(F_p) = \text{Aut}(F_p, \ell_p, p) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}, s_{[\ell_p]}, f_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p})$ which, because the induced G_p -action on $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}$ is faithful maps $G_p \subset \text{Aut}(F_p)$ isomorphically onto its image. This implies in turn that ν^* maps the stabilizer $G_\Gamma \subset G_p \subset \text{Aut}(F_p, \ell_p, p)$ of a rational normal quintic curve Γ passing through p isomorphically onto the stabilizer $G_\Upsilon \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p}) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}, s_{[\ell_p]}, f_{[\ell_p]}, \mathfrak{p})$ of its proper transform $\Upsilon = \nu_*^{-1}\Gamma$. This completes the proof of assertion c). \square

1.2.2. The Classification. Lemma 10 implies that for a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset F_p$ with infinite stabilizer G_Γ , the stabilizer $G_\Upsilon = G_\Gamma$ of the curve $\Upsilon = \nu_*^{-1}\Gamma$ is a subgroup of G_p , whose neutral component G_Υ^0 is thus either equal to G_p or to its unipotent radical $G_p^a \cong \mathbb{G}_a$ or to a maximal torus $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ of G_p . We now divide the classification of rational normal quintic curves $\Gamma = \nu_*\Upsilon$ into two parts according to whether $G_\Upsilon = G_\Gamma$ contains or not a maximal torus of G_p . The various families of curves which will be introduced below are summarized on an explicit model in Example 15. We henceforth use the shorter notation ℓ for the line ℓ_p .

- **Case 1:** G_Γ contains a maximal torus $T \subset G_p$. Since the restriction homomorphisms $G_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(s_{[\ell]}, \mathfrak{p})$ and $G_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(f_{[\ell]}, \mathfrak{p})$ are isomorphisms by Lemma 10 a), the inverse images by $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow F_p$ of the unique T -fixed point on $\ell \setminus \{p\}$ form a unique pair of T -fixed points $r_T \sqcup r'_T \in (s_{[\ell]} \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}) \sqcup (f_{[\ell]} \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\})$. The intersection r''_T of the fiber f_T of $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell]}\mathfrak{C}$ passing through r_T with Υ_p is then a T -fixed point. Since the restriction homomorphism

$$\text{res}|_{\Upsilon_p} : H^0(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}}(s_{[\ell]} + 3f_{[\ell]})) \rightarrow H^0(\Upsilon_p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}}(s_{[\ell]} + 3f_{[\ell]}|_{\Upsilon_p})) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4))$$

is an isomorphism, there exists a unique section $s_T \sim s_{[\ell]} + 3f_{[\ell]}$ of π intersecting Υ_p at r_T'' with multiplicity 4. The curve s_T is necessarily T -stable, and since it is disjoint from $s_{[\ell]}$, it intersects $f_{[\ell]}$ at the T -fixed point r_T' .

Proposition 11. *For the pencil of curves $\langle s_{[\ell]} + 4f_T, s_T + f_{[\ell]} \rangle \subset |s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$ passing through \mathfrak{p} generated by $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_T$ and $s_T + f_{[\ell]}$, the following hold:*

- a) *The exceptional divisor $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell]}$ of the blow-up $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$ is a section of the proper transform of the pencil.*
- b) *A rational normal quintic curve in F_p whose stabilizer contains T is the image $\Gamma^T(v)$ by $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow F_p$ of a member $\Upsilon^T(v)$, $v \in \mathfrak{E}_{[\ell]} \setminus \{q_{s_{[\ell]}}, q_{f_{[\ell]}}, \delta_{[\ell]}\}$, of the pencil, other than $\Upsilon^T(q_{s_{[\ell]}}) := s_{[\ell]} + 4f_T$, $\Upsilon^T(q_{f_{[\ell]}}) := s_T + f_{[\ell]}$ and the unique member $\Upsilon^T(\delta_{[\ell]})$ whose proper transform meets $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell]}$ at $\delta_{[\ell]}$. In particular, every such curve intersects ℓ at \mathfrak{p} only.*
- c) *All the curves $\Gamma^T(v)$, $v \in \mathfrak{E}_{[\ell]} \setminus \{q_{s_{[\ell]}}, q_{f_{[\ell]}}, \delta_{[\ell]}\}$, other than $\Gamma_p = \nu_* \Upsilon_p$ have stabilizer $G_{\Gamma^T(v)} = T$.*

Proof. The proper transform by ν of a T -stable rational normal quintic $\Gamma \subset F_p$ other than Γ_p is a smooth T -stable curve $\Upsilon \in |s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ whose intersection with Υ_p is a subscheme of length 5 supported on the union of the two T -fixed points $\mathfrak{p} = s_{[\ell]} \cap f_{[\ell]}$ and $r_T'' = s_T \cap f_T$ of Υ_p . If Υ does not pass through \mathfrak{p} then it intersects the T -stable curves $s_{[\ell]}$ and $f_{[\ell]}$ only at the T -fixed point $r_T = s_{[\ell]} \cap f_T$ and $r_T' = s_T \cap f_{[\ell]}$ respectively. In particular, Υ does not pass through $r_T'' = \Upsilon_p \cap f_T$, and hence $\Upsilon \cap \Upsilon_p = \emptyset$, which is absurd. So Υ passes through \mathfrak{p} , whence intersects the T -stable curve $s_T \sim s_{[\ell]} + 3f_{[\ell]}$ at the T -fixed point r_T'' only, necessarily with multiplicity $(\Upsilon \cdot s_T) = 4$. Since $\Upsilon_p \cap s_T = 4r_T''$, this implies that $(\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon_p)_{r_T''} \geq 4$, and hence, since $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon_p = 5$ and Υ also intersects Υ_p at \mathfrak{p} , that $(\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon_p)_{r_T''} = 4$ and $(\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon_p)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$. Since the restriction homomorphism

$$\text{res}_{\Upsilon_p} : H^0(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}}(s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]})) \rightarrow H^0(\Upsilon_p, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}}(s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]})|_{\Upsilon_p}) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(5))$$

is surjective, with kernel generated by a section whose zero locus equals Υ_p , it follows that the k -vector subspace $V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}} \subset H^0(\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}}(s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}))$ consisting of sections whose restrictions to Υ_p vanish with order at least 4 at r_T'' and order at least 1 at \mathfrak{p} is 2-dimensional. Thus, every smooth T -stable curve $\Upsilon \in |s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ is a smooth member of the pencil $|V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}}| = \langle s_{[\ell]} + 4f_T, s_T + f_{[\ell]} \rangle$, which also contains Υ_p among its members.

Assertion a) follows from the observation that the proper transforms of $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_T$ and $s_T + f_{[\ell]}$ intersect $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell]}$ transversally at the distinct points $q_{s_{[\ell]}}$ and $q_{f_{[\ell]}}$. Since $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \cong \mathbb{F}_3$, a T -stable reducible member C of $|V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}}| \subset |s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ other than $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_T$ is the union of a T -stable section $s \sim s_{[\ell]} + 3f_{[\ell]}$ of π and of T -stable fiber f of it such that $C \cap \Upsilon_p = 4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}$. Since $s_{[\ell]} \cdot s = 0$ and $s \neq s_{[\ell]}$, s is disjoint from $s_{[\ell]}$. It follows that $f = f_{[\ell]}$ and that s is the unique section s_T intersecting Υ_p with multiplicity 4 at r_T'' . Thus, all members $\Upsilon^T(v)$ of $|V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}}|$ other than $\Upsilon^T(q_{s_{[\ell]}})$ and $\Upsilon^T(q_{f_{[\ell]}})$ are irreducible, whence smooth, curves on $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$ and assertion b) then follows from Lemma 10. Finally, we observe that since $|V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}}|$ contains three T -stable elements $\Upsilon^T(q_{s_{[\ell]}})$, $\Upsilon^T(q_{f_{[\ell]}})$ and Υ_p every member of $|V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}}|$ is T -stable and moreover that Υ_p is G_p -stable by Lemma 10 b).

Now assume that $\Upsilon^T(v)$ is an irreducible member of $|V_{4r_T'' + \mathfrak{p}}|$ other than Υ_p whose stabilizer $G_{\Upsilon^T(v)} \subset G_p$ properly contains T . Then $G_{\Upsilon^T(v)}$ contains a unipotent element, whence is equal to G_p . But then, the intersection $\Upsilon^T(v) \cap \Upsilon_p = 4r_T'' \cup \mathfrak{p}$ would be G_p -stable, which is impossible since, by Lemma 10 a), \mathfrak{p} is the unique G_p -fixed point of $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$. \square

Since the group G_p acts transitively on the set of its maximal tori, we obtain the following:

Corollary 12. *Up to the induced action of G_p on F_p , there exists a unique family $\Gamma^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{3 \text{ points}\}$, of rational normal quintic curves in F_p whose stabilizers contain a maximal torus of G_p . The family contains the unique G_p -stable curve Γ_p and every curve $\Gamma^m(v)$ other than Γ_p has stabilizer $G_{\Gamma^m(v)}$ isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m .*

- Case 2: G_{Γ} does not contain a maximal torus of G_p .

Proposition 13. *For the pencil of curves $\langle s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}, \Upsilon_p \rangle \subset |s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$ generated by $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ and Υ_p , the following hold:*

- a) *Every rational normal quintic curve in F_p whose stabilizer is infinite and does not contain a maximal torus of G_p is the image $\Gamma^a(v)$ by $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow F_p$ of a member $\Upsilon^a(v)$ of the pencil other than $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ and Υ_p .*
- b) *The stabilizer $G_{\Gamma^a(v)}$ is a subgroup of G_p containing the unipotent radical G_p^a of G_p such that $G_{\Gamma^a(v)}/G_p^a$ is isomorphic to the group μ_4 .*
- c) *The coset space of the action of G_p on the set of pairs $(F_p, \Gamma^a(v))$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $k^*/(k^*)^4 = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \subset F_p$ be a rational normal quintic curve whose stabilizer $G_{\Gamma} \subset G_p$ is infinite but does not contain a maximal torus of G_p . Then the neutral component G_{Γ}^0 of G_{Γ} is equal to G_p^a and the proper transform of Γ in $\mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$ is a smooth G_p^a -stable curve $\Upsilon \sim s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ which intersects Υ_p , $s_{[\ell]}$ and $f_{[\ell]}$ at G_p^a -fixed points only, whence at \mathfrak{p} only. Thus, $\Upsilon \cap \Upsilon_p = 5\mathfrak{p}$ and the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 11 shows that Υ is an irreducible member of the pencil $\langle s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}, \Upsilon_p \rangle = |V_{5\mathfrak{p}}|$, necessarily other than Υ_p by hypothesis. A reducible member of $|V_{5\mathfrak{p}}|$ being the union of a G_p^a -stable section s of π meeting Υ_p at \mathfrak{p} only and of a multiple of the unique G_p^a -stable fiber $f_{[\ell]}$ of π , it follows that $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ is the unique reducible member of $|V_{5\mathfrak{p}}|$. All members $\Upsilon^a(v)$ are thus smooth curves, and their proper

transforms by $\alpha_p : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]}$ intersect the exceptional divisor $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell]}$ at the same point as that of Υ_p . Assertion a) then follows from Lemma 10. Since $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ and Υ_p are G_p^a -stable, every curve in the pencil $|V_{5p}|$ is G_p^a -stable.

Let $T \subset G_p$ be a maximal torus and, as in the paragraph preceding Proposition 11, let $s_T \sim s_{[\ell]} + 3f_{[\ell]}$ be the unique T -stable section of $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell]}\mathfrak{C}$ intersecting Υ_p with multiplicity 4 at its unique T -fixed point $r_T'' \in \Upsilon_p \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Since $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ and Υ_p are T -stable, $f : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{5p})$ is T -equivariant for a uniquely determined T -action on $\mathbb{P}(V_{5p})$, not necessarily faithful, which fixes the points $q_0 := f_*(s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]})$ and $q_\infty := f_*(\Upsilon_p)$. On the other hand, since $(s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]})|_{s_T} = 4r_T'$ and $\Upsilon_p|_{s_T} = 4r_T''$, the restriction $\xi = f|_{s_T} : s_T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{5p})$ is a T -equivariant finite cover of degree 4 totally ramified over $\xi(r_T') = q_0$ and $\xi(r_T'') = q_\infty$ and étale over $\mathbb{P}(V_{5p}) \setminus \{q_0, q_\infty\}$. Identifying $T = \text{Spec}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ with the open orbit $s_T \setminus \{r_T', r_T''\} = \text{Spec}(k[u^{\pm 1}])$ of its induced faithful action on s_T , we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(V_{5p}) \setminus \{q_0, q_\infty\}$ is T -equivariantly isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(k[u^{\pm 4}])$ on which T acts by $t \cdot u^4 = (tu)^4$. This shows that the stabilizer $G_{\Upsilon^a(v)}$ of every curve $\Upsilon^a(v)$ in the pencil $|V_{5p}|$ other than $s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}$ and Υ_p intersects T along a subgroup isomorphic to μ_4 and identifies the coset space of the action of T on the set of pairs $(F_p, \Gamma^a(v))$ with the orbit space $k^*/(k^*)^4$ of the action of $T(k)$ on the k -rational points of $\mathbb{P}(V_{5p}) \setminus \{q_0, q_\infty\}$. Since T is a maximal torus of G_p , the induced homomorphism $T \rightarrow G_p/G_p^a$ is an isomorphism, which gives assertion b).

Assertion c) follows from the fact that since every curve $\Gamma^a(v)$ is G_p^a -stable, the coset space of pairs $(F_p, \Gamma^a(v))$ under the action of $G_p \cong T \ltimes G_p^a$ identifies with that under the action of T . \square

Since the base field k is by assumption algebraically closed, we obtain the following:

Corollary 14. *Up to the induced action of G_p on F_p , there exists a unique rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma^a \subset F_p$ whose stabilizer contains the unipotent radical G_p^a of G_p as a subgroup of finite index. Moreover, G_{Γ^a} is isomorphic to the subgroup $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mu_4$ of $G_p \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$.*

1.2.3. Parameter space and conclusions. Since $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ acts transitively on the set of hyperplane sections F_p singular along a line ℓ_p of special type, $p \in \mathcal{C}$, the stabilizers G_p of such sections are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(V_5)$. Thus, every rational normal quintic curve Γ with infinite stabilizer G_Γ belongs to the $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ -orbit of precisely one of the curves in Corollary 12 and Corollary 14. Moreover, given hyperplane sections F_p and $F_{p'}$ singular along lines of special types ℓ_p and $\ell_{p'}$ through points $p, p' \in \mathcal{C}$, every automorphism of V_5 mapping p to p' induces in a canonical way an isomorphism between the blown-up surfaces $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_p]}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_{p'}]}$ which restricts to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_{p'}]}$ mapping the triple of points $(q_{s_{[\ell_p]}}, q_{f_{[\ell_p]}}, \delta_{[\ell_p]})$ onto the triple $(q_{s_{[\ell_{p'}]}}, q_{f_{[\ell_{p'}]}}, \delta_{[\ell_{p'}]}))$ and the intersection point γ_p of the proper transform of Υ_p in $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_p]}$ with $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_p]}$ onto the intersection point $\gamma_{p'}$ of the proper transform of $\Upsilon_{p'}$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_{p'}]}$ with $\mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_{p'}]}$. Thus, to fully determine the parameter space for rational normal quintic curves $\Gamma \subset V_5$ with stabilizer equal to a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ up to the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$, it suffices to choose a G_p -equivariant model for F_p and its normalization $\nu : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$, to fix an isomorphism $\xi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_p]}$ mapping the triple of points $(0, \infty, 1)$ onto the triple of points $(q_{s_{[\ell_p]}}, q_{f_{[\ell_p]}}, \delta_{[\ell_p]})$ and to determine the point $\xi^{-1}(\gamma_p)$. This is done in the following example.

Example 15. Let $F_p \subset \mathbb{P}_{[w_0, \dots, w_5]}^5$ be the hyperplane section of V_5 singular along a line of special type ℓ_p of V_5 and let $\Gamma_p \subset F_p$ be the special rational normal quintic curve in F_p , see Lemma 4. To describe the normalization morphism $\nu : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{\ell_p} \cong \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow F_p$ in Proposition 5, we endow \mathbb{F}_3 with bi-homogeneous coordinates $([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1])$, where $\deg x_0 = (1, 0)$, $\deg x_1 = (1, 0)$, $\deg y_0 = (-3, 1)$ and $\deg y_1 = (0, 1)$, see e.g. [21, Chapter 2], for which $\rho_3 : \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ coincides with the projection $([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1]$ and the exceptional section with self-intersection -3 with the curve $s_0 = \{y_0 = 0\}$. We put $f_0 = \{x_0 = 0\} = \rho_3^{-1}([0 : 1])$ and $\mathfrak{p} = s_0 \cap f_0 = ([0 : 1], [0 : 1])$. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^5)$ acts transitively on pairs consisting of a rational normal quintic and a point of it, we can assume without loss of generality that Γ_p is the image C_5 of the embedding $[x_0 : x_1] \mapsto [x_1^5 : x_1^4 x_0 : x_1^3 x_0^2 : x_1^2 x_0^3 : x_1 x_0^4 : x_0^5]$ of \mathbb{P}^1 and that $p = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0] \in \Gamma_p$ which gives, since ℓ_p is the tangent line to Γ_p at p , that $\ell_p = \{w_2 = \dots = w_5 = 0\} \cong \mathbb{P}_{[w_0, w_1]}^1$. We can assume further that the restriction isomorphisms $\nu|_{s_0} : s_0 \cong \mathbb{P}_{[x_0, x_1]}^1 \rightarrow \ell_p$ and $\nu|_{f_0} : f_0 \cong \mathbb{P}_{[y_0, y_1]}^1 \rightarrow \ell_p$ are given by $(\nu|_{s_0})^* w_0 = x_0$, $(\nu|_{s_0})^* w_1 = x_1$, $(\nu|_{f_0})^* w_0 = y_0$, $(\nu|_{f_0})^* w_1 = y_1$. Then, with the notation of Proposition 5 and Remark 6, $\nu^* H^0(F_p, \omega_{F_p}^\vee)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$(\nu|_{s_0}^{-1})^* \circ \text{ress}_0 - (\nu|_{f_0}^{-1})^* \circ \text{res} f_0 : H^0(\mathbb{F}_3, \nu^* \omega_{F_p}^\vee) \longrightarrow H^0(\ell_p, \omega_{\ell_p}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_p|_{\ell_p})^{\oplus 2}$$

Using the basis $\{x_1 y_1, x_0 y_1, x_1^4 y_0, x_0 x_1^3 y_0, x_0^2 x_1^2 y_0, x_0^3 x_1 y_0, x_0^4 y_0\}$ of $H^0(\mathbb{F}_3, \nu^* \omega_{F_p}^\vee) = H^0(\mathbb{F}_3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_3}(s_0 + 4f_0))$ and $\{w_0, w_1\}$ of $H^0(\ell_p, \omega_{\ell_p}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_p|_{\ell_p}) \cong H^0(\ell_p, \mathcal{O}_{\ell_p}(1))$, it is straightforward to check that under our assumptions, $\nu^* H^0(F_p, \omega_{F_p}^\vee)$ equals the subspace W' of $H^0(\mathbb{F}_3, \nu^* \omega_{F_p}^\vee)$ spanned by $\{x_1 y_1, x_0 y_1 + x_1^4 y_0, x_0 x_1^3 y_0, x_0^2 x_1^2 y_0, x_0^3 x_1 y_0, x_0^4 y_0\}$.

Let $G = \text{Spec}(k[a, \lambda^{\pm 1}]) = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ with the maximal torus $T = \{a = 0\} \cong \text{Spec}(k[\lambda^{\pm 1}])$ and group law given by $(a', \lambda') \cdot (a, \lambda) = (a + \lambda a', \lambda' \lambda)$. The unique faithful action of G on \mathbb{F}_3 for which s_0 and f_0 are G -stable with induced faithful actions fixing $\mathfrak{p} = s_0 \cap f_0$ and such that the sections $s_\infty = \{y_1 = 0\}$ and the fiber $f_\infty = \{x_1 = 0\}$ are T -stable is

given by the following formula

$$(1.4) \quad (a, \lambda) \cdot ([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]) = ([\lambda x_0 : x_1 + ax_0], [\lambda y_0 : y_1 + (ax_1^3 + \frac{3}{2}a^2x_0x_1^2 + a^3x_0^2x_1 + \frac{1}{4}a^4x_0^3)y_0]).$$

It is straightforward to check that the unique irreducible G -stable curve in the complete linear system $|s_0 + 4f_0|$ is

$$(1.5) \quad \Upsilon_p = \{4x_0y_1 - x_1^4y_0 = 0\}.$$

Moreover, W' is an irreducible G -module and the G -equivariant morphism

$$\psi : \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W') = \mathbb{P}_{[w_0, \dots, w_5]}^5, ([x_0 : x_1], [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto [x_1y_1 : \frac{4}{5}(x_0y_1 + x_1^4y_0) : x_0x_1^3y_0 : x_0^2x_1^2y_0 : x_0^3x_1y_0 : x_0^4y_0],$$

maps s_0 and f_0 isomorphically onto ℓ_p , restricts to an isomorphism between $\mathbb{F}_3 \setminus (s_0 \cup f_0)$ and its image and maps Υ_p isomorphically onto C_5 . The induced morphism $\nu : \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow F_p$ is the normalization of F_p and we now consider it as our model of the normalization $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$ in Proposition 5 for which $s_{[\ell_p]} = s_0$ and $f_{[\ell_p]} = f_0$. Note that with the choices of bases above, $\psi : \mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W')$ is displayed as the composition of the G -equivariant closed embedding $\mathbb{F}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{F}_3, \nu^* \omega_{F_p}^\vee)) \cong \mathbb{P}^6$ followed by the G -equivariant linear projection $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{F}_3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_3}(s_0 + 4f_0))) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W')$.

The open neighborhood $U = \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \setminus \{x_1y_1 = 0\}$ of the point $\mathfrak{p} = s_0 \cap f_0 = ([0 : 1], [0 : 1])$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^2 , with affine coordinates $x = x_0x_1^{-1}$ and $y = x_1^3y_0y_1^{-1}$ and we have $s_0 \cap U = \{y = 0\}$, $f_0 \cap U = \{x = 0\}$ and $\Upsilon_p \cap U = \{4x - y = 0\}$. Choosing coordinates $[z_0 : z_1]$ on \mathbb{P}^1 so that the restriction of the blow-up $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} : \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]}$ over U is isomorphic to the subvariety $Z = \{xz_1 + yz_0 = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}_{[z_0 : z_1]}^1$ endowed with the restriction $\alpha : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ of the first projection provides an isomorphism $\xi : \mathbb{P}_{[z_0 : z_1]}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{[\ell_p]}$ which maps the triple of points $(0, \infty, 1) = ([0 : 1], [1 : 0], [1 : 1])$ onto the triple of points $(q_{s_{[\ell_p]}}, q_{f_{[\ell_p]}}, \delta_{[\ell_p]})$ and such that $\xi^{-1}(\gamma_p) = [-4 : 1]$.

With our choices of models and coordinates, the T -stable rational normal quintics $\Gamma^T(v) \subset F_p$ of Proposition 11 are the images by $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell_p]} \rightarrow F_p$ of the curves

$$(1.6) \quad \Upsilon^T(v) = \{vx_0y_1 + x_1^4y_0 = 0\}, \quad v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

with $\Upsilon^{MU} := \Upsilon^T(-4) = \Upsilon_p$. We set in addition

$$(1.7) \quad \Gamma^{MU} := \nu_* \Upsilon^{MU} = \Gamma_p.$$

The G_p^a -stable rational normal quintics $\Gamma^a(v) \subset F_p$ of Proposition 13 are the images of the curves

$$(1.8) \quad \Upsilon^a(v) = \{4x_0y_1 - x_1^4y_0 + vx_0^4y_0 = 0\}, \quad v \in k,$$

with $\Upsilon^a(0) = \Upsilon_p$, the curves $\Upsilon^a(v)$ with $v \in k^*$ having stabilizer $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mu_4 = \text{Spec}(k[a, \lambda^{\pm 1}]/(\lambda^4))$.

In sum, we obtain the following classification:

Theorem 16. *The equivalence classes of pairs (V_5, Γ) where $\Gamma \subset V_5$ is a rational normal quintic curve with infinite stabilizer G_Γ up to the action of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$ are the following:*

- 1) *The class of (V_5, Γ^{MU}) , where $G_{\Gamma^{MU}}$ is a Borel subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$.*
- 2) *The class of (V_5, Γ^a) , where $\Gamma^a \neq \Gamma^{MU}$ and G_{Γ^a} contains a non-trivial unipotent subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$. Moreover, $G_{\Gamma^a} \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mu_4$, where the action of μ_4 on \mathbb{G}_a is given by the natural injective homomorphism $\mu_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_a) \cong \mathbb{G}_m$.*
- 3) *The class of $(V_5, \Gamma^m(v))$, where $\Gamma^m(v)$ is a member of a family of rational normal quintic curves $\Gamma^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$ whose stabilizers $G_{\Gamma^m(v)}$ are all equal to a same maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(V_5)$.*

Remark 17. Our parameter space $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$ for equivalence classes of rational normal quintic curves in V_5 whose stabilizer is a maximal torus differs from that $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \frac{1}{5}, \infty\}$ constructed by a different approach in [14]. Nevertheless, we leave to the reader to check that the automorphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \ni v \mapsto u^4 = \frac{v}{4+v} \in \mathbb{P}^1$, induces a one-to-one correspondence between the rational quintic curves $\Gamma^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$ and the rational normal quintic curves $Z_m(u^4)$, $u^4 \in \mathbb{P}_{u^4}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \frac{1}{5}, \infty\}$, of [14, Example 5.3.4 and Remark 5.3.8].

2. APPLICATION TO SMOOTH PRIME FANO THREEFOLDS OF DEGREE 22 WITH INFINITE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

Hereafter, we consider every smooth prime threefold X of degree 22 as a subvariety of \mathbb{P}^{13} via its anti-canonical embedding $\varphi_{|\omega_X^\vee|} : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \omega_X^\vee)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{13}$. A line in X is a line $Z \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ in \mathbb{P}^{13} which is contained in X . By [11, Lemma 1], the conormal sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{Z/X}$ of a line Z in X is isomorphic either to $\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$, in which case Z is said to be of general type, or to $\mathcal{O}_Z(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(2)$, in which case Z is said to be of special type. The Hilbert scheme \mathcal{H}_X of lines in X is of pure dimension one with singular locus supported by the subset of lines of special type [11, Proposition 1].

2.1. Double projection from a line. Given a line Z in a smooth prime Fano threefold X of degree 22 the linear system $|\omega_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z^2|$ of hyperplane sections of X singular along Z defines a rational map $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$ with image equal to the quintic del Pezzo threefold V_5 , called the *double projection from Z* , which can be described as follows:

Theorem 18. *There exists a Sarkisov link*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F' \subseteq X' \xrightarrow{\chi} X^+ \supseteq S^+ & & \\ & \swarrow \sigma & & \searrow \tau & \\ Z \subset S \subset X & \xleftarrow{\psi_Z} & & \xrightarrow{\psi_Z} & V_5 \supset F \supset \Gamma \end{array}$$

where $\sigma : X' \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up with center Z and exceptional divisor F' , $\chi : X' \dashrightarrow X^+$ is a flop (that is not identity), $\tau : X^+ \rightarrow V_5$ is the contraction of the proper transform S^+ of the unique hyperplane section $S \in |\omega_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z^3|$ to a rational normal quintic curve Γ on the quintic del Pezzo threefold V_5 . The birational inverse φ_Γ of ψ_Z is given by the linear system of cubic hypersurfaces of V_5 singular along Γ . Moreover, the following hold:

- a) The flopping locus of χ is the union of the proper transforms by σ of lines on X intersecting Z , and of the exceptional section of the divisor F' if the line Z is of special type and its flopped locus is the union of the proper transforms by τ of the lines on V_5 which are bisecant to Γ .
- b) The proper transform F of F' on V_5 , which is the unique hyperplane section of V_5 containing Γ , is a normal surface if and only if Z is of general type.

Proof. All assertions but b) can be found in [11, 19] and in [14, Lemma 5.25] for the description of the flopping and flopped locus of χ . Let \bar{X} be the midpoint of the flop $\chi : X' \dashrightarrow X^+$, let $\phi : X' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ and $\phi^+ : X^+ \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the respective small anti-canonical contractions so that $\chi = (\phi^+)^{-1} \circ \phi$, and let $\bar{F} = \phi_* F' = \phi^+_* F^+$, where $F^+ = \tau_*^{-1} F$. The morphism $\sigma|_{F'} : F' = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{Z/X}) \rightarrow Z$ identifies F' with the Hirzebruch surface $\rho_e : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with $e = 1$ if Z is of general type and $e = 3$ otherwise. By [11, Proposition 3 (ii)-(iii)], the morphism ϕ is given by the complete linear system $| -K_{X'} |$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{F} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_1 & \text{if } Z \text{ is of general type} \\ \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3) & \text{if } Z \text{ is of special type.} \end{cases}$$

If Z is of general type, it follows from assertion a) that the proper transform in V_5 of a general fiber f' of $\sigma|_{F'}$ is a nonsingular conic C contained in F , which implies that F is normal. Indeed, otherwise, by [7], the normalization $\nu : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ of F would be isomorphic to a Hirzebruch surface $\rho_a : \mathbb{F}_a \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ for some $a \in \{1, 3\}$ and the proper transform $\nu^*(H)$ of a general hyperplane section H of F would be linearly equivalent to $s_a + \frac{1}{2}(5+a)f_a$. But then $\nu^*(C)$ would be an irreducible and reduced movable (hence nef) curve in \mathbb{F}_a such that $\nu^*(C) \cdot \nu^*(H) = 2$, which is impossible.

Conversely, assume that F is normal. Then F^+ is normal as well. Indeed, F^+ being a Cartier divisor on the smooth threefold X^+ , it is Cohen-Macaulay. On the other hand, the normality of F and \bar{F} implies that any curve $C^+ \subseteq \text{Sing}(F^+)$ has to be contracted by $\tau|_{F^+}$ and $\phi^+|_{F^+}$, which is impossible. Thus, F^+ is regular in codimension 1, hence normal. It follows from adjunction formula that $-K_{F^+} \sim (-K_{X^+} - F^+)|_{F^+} \sim (\tau|_{F^+})^*(-K_F)$. The morphism $\tau|_{F^+} : F^+ \rightarrow F$ is thus crepant and since F has canonical singularities by [9], it follows in turn that F^+ has canonical singularities. On the other hand, since $\tau^*(-K_{V_5})$ is ϕ^+ -ample and $-K_{F^+} \sim -\frac{1}{2}\tau^*K_{V_5}|_{F^+}$, it follows that $-K_{F^+}$ is $\phi^+|_{F^+}$ -ample. This implies that \bar{F} has canonical singularities as well, whence, by (2.1), that Z is of general type. \square

We record the following functoriality of the double projection from a line (see also [14, Remark 5.2.6]):

Corollary 19. *Let X_i , $i = 1, 2$, be smooth prime Fano threefold of degree 22 and let*

$$\psi_{Z_i} : X_i \dashrightarrow V_{5,i} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\Gamma_i} : V_{5,i} \dashrightarrow X_i \quad i = 1, 2$$

be the mutually inverse birational maps associated to a line Z_i on X_i as in Theorem 18. Then the map

$$\epsilon : \text{Isom}((X_1, Z_1), (X_2, Z_2)) \rightarrow \text{Isom}((V_{5,1}, \Gamma_1), (V_{5,2}, \Gamma_2)), \quad h \mapsto h' := \psi_{Z_2} \circ h \circ \varphi_{\Gamma_1}$$

is a well-defined bijection with inverse $h' \mapsto \varphi_{\Gamma_2} \circ h' \circ \psi_{Z_1}$.

Proof. An isomorphism of pairs $h : (X_1, Z_1) \rightarrow (X_2, Z_2)$ induces isomorphisms of k -vector spaces

$$h_2^* : H^0(X_2, \omega_{X_2}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_2}^2) \rightarrow H^0(X_1, \omega_{X_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_1}^2)$$

By definition of the double projection, the rational map $\psi_{Z_2} \circ h$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}(h_2^*) \circ \psi_{Z_1}$, where

$$\mathbb{P}(h_2^*) : \mathbb{P}H^0(X_1, \omega_{X_1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_1}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X_2, \omega_{X_2}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_2}^2)$$

is an isomorphism which maps the image $V_{5,1}$ of ψ_{Z_1} onto the image $V_{5,2}$ of ψ_{Z_2} . On the other hand, since S_i is the unique hyperplane section of X_i having multiplicity at least 3 along Z_i , we have $h(S_1) = S_2$ from which it follows, by definition of $\Gamma_i \subset V_{5,i}$ as the image of S_i by ψ_{Z_i} , that $\mathbb{P}(h_2^*)$ maps Γ_1 onto Γ_2 . The restriction $h' : V_{5,1} \rightarrow V_{5,2}$ of $\mathbb{P}(h_2^*)$ is thus an isomorphism of pairs $(V_{5,1}, \Gamma_1) \rightarrow (V_{5,2}, \Gamma_2)$ such that $h' \circ \psi_{Z_1} = \psi_{Z_2} \circ h$ as birational maps, which shows that ϵ is

well-defined and injective. The same argument applied to the linear systems defining the birational maps $\varphi_{\Gamma_i} : V_{5,i} \dashrightarrow X_i$, $i = 1, 2$, provides a well-defined injective map

$$\epsilon' : \text{Isom}((V_{5,1}, \Gamma_1), (V_{5,2}, \Gamma_2)) \rightarrow \text{Isom}((X_1, Z_1), (X_2, Z_2)), \quad h' \mapsto \varphi_{\Gamma_2} \circ h' \circ \psi_{Z_1}$$

such that $\epsilon' \circ \epsilon = \text{id}_{\text{Isom}((X_1, Z_1), (X_2, Z_2))}$ and $\epsilon \circ \epsilon' = \text{id}_{\text{Isom}((V_{5,1}, \Gamma_1), (V_{5,2}, \Gamma_2))}$. \square

By combining Theorem 18 with the descriptions of lines in V_5 and of hyperplane sections of V_5 singular along a line of special type given in subsection 1.1.1 and subsection 1.1.2 respectively, we obtain through suitable double projections the following correspondence between lines in X and in V_5 :

Proposition 20. *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of degree 22 and let $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$ be the double projection from a line Z in X . Assume that the hyperplane section containing the base locus Γ of ψ_Z^{-1} is the hyperplane section F_p singular along a line of special type $\ell = \ell_p$ of V_5 , $p \in \mathcal{C}$, and that Γ intersects ℓ at p . Then Z is a line of special type and following hold:*

- 1) *The line Z is the unique line of X contained in S .*
- 2) *Every line $Z' \neq Z$ in X is disjoint from Z , in particular Z is the base locus of ψ_Z .*
- 3) *The map which associates to a line $Z' \subset X$ other than Z its proper transform $\ell_{Z'} \subset V_5$ by ψ_Z induces a one-to-one correspondence between lines on X other than Z and lines on V_5 not contained in F_p which intersect Γ .*
- 4) *Moreover, a line $Z' \subset X$ other than Z is of special type if and only if $\ell_{Z'}$ is a line of special type which meets Γ at a tangency point $q \in \Gamma \setminus \{p\}$ of the curves Γ and Γ_p in F_p . In particular, if $\Gamma = \Gamma_p \subset F_p \cap S$ then the lines of special type other than Z on X are the proper transforms of the lines of special type in V_5 passing through the points of $\Gamma_p \setminus \{p\}$.*

Proof. Since, by assumption, F_p is non-normal, Z is a line of special type by Theorem 18 b). Assertions 1), 2) and 3) can be derived from the same arguments as in [14, Lemma 5.4.2 and Proposition 5.4.3]. Nevertheless, since the aforementioned results are stated under assumptions slightly different than ours, we provide a brief self-contained proof. Since Z is a line of special type, by Theorem 18 a) the flopping locus of $\chi : X' \dashrightarrow X^+$ is the union of the exceptional section of $\sigma|_{F'} : F' = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{Z/X}) \rightarrow Z$ and of the proper transforms $\sigma_*^{-1}Z'$ in X' of the lines $Z' \neq Z$ in X which meet Z , and its flopped locus consists of the proper transforms in X^+ of lines ℓ' in V_5 which are bisecant to $\Gamma \subset F_p$. Since F_p is a hyperplane section of V_5 , a line $\ell' \subset V_5$ bisecant to Γ is necessarily contained in F_p . So, by Proposition 5, ℓ' is the image by the normalization morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow F_p$ of a fiber f' of $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell]}\mathcal{C}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 10, a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset F_p$ passing through p is the image by ν of an irreducible and reduced member Υ of the linear system $|s_{[\ell]} + 4f_{[\ell]}|$ passing through $\mathfrak{p} = s_{[\ell]} \cap f_{[\ell]}$. Proposition 5 d) then implies that the image $\nu(f')$ of every fiber f' of $\pi : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow T_{[\ell]}\mathcal{C}$ other than $f_{[\ell]}$ intersects Γ transversally at the unique point $\nu(f' \cap \Upsilon) \in F_p \setminus \ell$. So $\ell = \nu(f_{[\ell]})$ is the unique bisecant line to Γ and hence, the flopping locus of χ consists only of the exceptional section of $\sigma|_{F'} : F' \rightarrow Z$. This implies that every line Z' in X other than Z is disjoint from Z , which proves assertion 2), and that its proper transform $\sigma_*^{-1}Z'$ on X' is disjoint from F' .

Since the rational map $\tau \circ \chi : X' \dashrightarrow V_5$ is given by the complete linear system $|-K_{X'} - F'|$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad -\frac{1}{2}\tau^*K_{V_5} \cdot \chi_*(\sigma_*^{-1}Z') = (-K_{X'} - F') \cdot \sigma_*^{-1}Z' = 1.$$

This implies that $\chi_*(\sigma_*^{-1}Z')$ is not contained in the exceptional divisor S^+ of τ over the rational normal quintic curve Γ , which gives assertion 1). Since $K_{X^+} = \tau^*K_{V_5} + S^+$ and $K_{X^+} \cdot \chi_*(\sigma_*^{-1}Z') = K_{X'} \cdot \sigma_*^{-1}Z'$, (2.2) implies in turn that $\chi_*(\sigma_*^{-1}Z')$ intersects S^+ transversally in a unique point, whence that the proper transform of Z' in V_5 is a line $\ell_{Z'}$ in V_5 which intersects F transversally at a point q of the image Γ of S^+ by $\tau : X^+ \rightarrow V_5$. Note that since ℓ is the unique line of V_5 passing through $p \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $q \in \Gamma \setminus \{p\}$. Conversely, the proper transform by ψ_Z^{-1} of a line $\ell' \subset V_5$ intersecting Γ and not contained in F is a line $Z_{\ell'} \subset X$ not contained in S and disjoint from Z . This gives assertion 3).

To prove assertion 4), consider a line $Z' \subset X$ other than Z with conormal sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{Z'/X}$ and its proper transform $(\psi_Z)_*Z'$, which is a line ℓ' in V_5 not contained in F_p and intersecting Γ at a point $q \in \Gamma \setminus \{p\}$. Let $\beta_{Z'} : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Z' with exceptional divisor $\rho_{Z'} : E_{Z'} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{Z'/X}) \rightarrow Z'$ and let $\beta_{\ell'} : \hat{V}_5 \rightarrow V_5$ be the blow-up of V_5 along ℓ' with exceptional divisor $\rho_{\ell'} : E_{\ell'} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}_{\ell'/V_5}) \rightarrow \ell'$, where \mathcal{C}_{ℓ'/V_5} is the conormal sheaf of ℓ' in V_5 . The birational map $e : E_{\ell'} \dashrightarrow E_{Z'}$ defined by the restriction of the inverse of the birational map $\hat{\psi}_Z : \hat{X} \dashrightarrow \hat{V}_5$ induced by ψ_Z is an elementary transformation between Hirzebruch surfaces which consists of the blow-up of the intersection point of $E_{\ell'}$ with the proper transform $\hat{\Gamma}$ of Γ in \hat{V}_5 followed by the contraction of the proper transform of $\rho_{\ell'}^{-1}(q)$. Since

$$E_{\ell'} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_0 & \text{if } \ell' \text{ is of general type} \\ \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } \ell' \text{ is of special type} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{Z'} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_1 & \text{if } Z' \text{ is of general type} \\ \mathbb{F}_3 & \text{if } Z' \text{ is of special type,} \end{cases}$$

it follows that Z' is of special type if and only if ℓ' is of special type and $\hat{\Gamma}$ intersects $E_{\ell'}$ at the intersection point q' of $\rho_{\ell'}^{-1}(q)$ with the exceptional section s_2 of $\rho_{\ell'} : E_{\ell'} \cong \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \ell'$ with self-intersection number -2 . By subsection 1.1.1, a line $\ell' \subset V_5$ of special type other than ℓ intersects F_p transversally at a point of the special rational normal quintic curve

$\Gamma_p \subset \mathcal{S} \cap F_p = \Gamma_p \cup 5\ell$ other than p (see Lemma 4). So $q = \ell' \cap F_p \in \Gamma \setminus \{p\}$ belongs to the intersection of Γ and Γ_p . Since, by Lemma 8, the proper transform of Γ_p in \hat{V}_5 intersects $E_{\ell'}$ at the point $q' = s_2 \cap \rho_{\ell'}^{-1}(q)$, the property that $\hat{\Gamma}$ intersects $E_{\ell'}$ at q' is equivalent to the property that q is a tangency point of Γ and Γ_p in F_p . \square

2.2. Isomorphism types of prime Fano threefold of degree 22 with infinite automorphism groups. Recall from [8, § 4.c.] that the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of a smooth projective variety X is an algebraic group scheme locally of finite type, in particular its neutral component $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ is an algebraic group. For a smooth prime Fano threefold X of degree 22, the anti-canonical embedding $\varphi_{|\omega_X^\vee|} : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \omega_X^\vee)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{13}$ is $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant with respect to the action of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on \mathbb{P}^{13} induced by the canonical $\text{Aut}(X)$ -linearization of ω_X^\vee , identifying $\text{Aut}(X)$ with the affine algebraic subgroup $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^{13}, \varphi_{|\omega_X^\vee|}(X))$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^{13}) = \text{PGL}_{14}$.

Lemma 21. *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of degree 22 with infinite automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ and let B be a nontrivial connected solvable subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. Then the following hold:*

- 1) B is isomorphic either to \mathbb{G}_a , or to \mathbb{G}_m or to $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$.
- 2) X contains a B -stable line of special type and moreover, all B -stable lines in X are of special type.

Proof. The result is implicitly stated in [14], we provide a different proof. The Hilbert scheme of lines on X being a projective curve, the existence of a B -stable line Z follows from Borel's fixed point theorem. The first assertion follows from Theorem 16 and Corollary 19, which identifies, through the double projection $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$, the group $B \subset \text{Aut}(X, Z)$ with a nontrivial connected solvable subgroup of the stabilizer $\text{Aut}(V_5, \Gamma)$ of a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset V_5$. Moreover, by Lemma 4, the unique hyperplane section F of V_5 containing Γ is the non-normal section swept out by lines meeting a line of special type in V_5 and then, Theorem 18 b) implies that Z is of special type. \square

Putting all the pieces together, we obtain the following classification:

Theorem 22. *Up to isomorphism, the following hold:*

- 1) *There exists a unique threefold X_{22}^{MU} such that $\text{Aut}^0(X_{22}^{MU})$ contains a Borel subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$. Moreover, every line on X_{22}^{MU} is of special type and $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^{MU}) \cong \text{PGL}_2$.*
- 2) *There exists a unique threefold X_{22}^a such that $\text{Aut}^0(X_{22}^a)$ contains a Borel subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a . Moreover, X_{22}^a contains a unique line of special type and $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^a) \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mu_4$, where the action of μ_4 on \mathbb{G}_a is given by the natural injective homomorphism $\mu_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}_a) \cong \mathbb{G}_m$.*
- 3) *There exist pairwise non-isomorphic threefolds $X_{22}^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$, such that $\text{Aut}^0(X_{22}^m(v))$ contains a Borel subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m . Each threefold $X_{22}^m(v)$ contains exactly two lines of special type and $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^m(v)) \cong \mathbb{G}_m \rtimes \mu_2$, where the group μ_2 is generated by an involution θ which exchanges the two lines of special type in $X_{22}^m(v)$.*

Proof. Let B be a nontrivial Borel subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ and let Z be a B -stable line of special type given by Lemma 21 2). By Theorem 18 a) and Corollary 19, the double projection $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$ from Z identifies the stabilizer $G_Z = \text{Aut}(X, Z)$ of Z with the stabilizer $G_\Gamma = \text{Aut}(V_5, \Gamma)$ of a rational normal quintic curve $\Gamma \subset V_5$. By the classification established in subsection 1.2, the unique hyperplane section F of V_5 containing Γ is a hyperplane section F_p , $p \in \mathcal{C}$, singular along a line ℓ_p of special type of V_5 , Γ passes through p and the neutral component G_Γ^0 of G_Γ is either equal to stabilizer $G_p \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ of p , or to a maximal torus T of G_p or to the unipotent radical of G_p . Since all these groups are connected and solvable, G_Z^0 is a connected and solvable subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ containing B , whence, by the maximality of B as a connected solvable subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$, is equal to B . Theorem 16 then gives X_{22}^{MU} , X_{22}^a and $X_{22}^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$, for the isomorphism types for X and the corresponding subgroups B . We now prove the remaining assertions in each case:

- Case $\Gamma = \Gamma^a$. By Proposition 13 and Corollary 14, the pair (V_5, Γ^a) is unique up to isomorphism and $\Gamma^a \cap \Gamma_p = \{p\}$. Moreover, since Γ and Γ_p meet at p only, Proposition 20 4) implies that every line in X_{22}^a other than Z is of general type. Corollary 19 implies that the isomorphism class of the pair (X_{22}^a, Z) equals that of the threefold X_{22}^a and that $\text{Aut}(X_{22}^a) = \text{Aut}(X_{22}^a, Z)$ identifies with the stabilizer $G_{\Gamma^a} \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mu_4$ of $\Gamma^a \subset V_5$, see Corollary 14.

- Case $\Gamma = \Gamma^T(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. If $\Gamma = \Gamma_p \subset \mathcal{S} \cap F_p$ then $B = G_p \cong \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ and otherwise B is some maximal torus T of G_p . In the first case, we let T be any maximal torus of G_p .

We first complete the description of the lines in X . Let $q' \in \Gamma_p$ be the unique T -stable point of Γ_p other than p , that is, with the notation of Case (1) in subsection 1.2.2, q' is the image of the point r_T'' by the normalization morphism $\nu : \mathfrak{F}_{[\ell]} \rightarrow F_p$. The unique line $\ell_{p'}$, $p' \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{p\}$, of special type of V_5 passing through q' is then T -stable with the point $q = \nu(r_T) = \nu(r_T') \in \ell_p$ as its other T -stable point.

- If $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_p$ then, by Proposition 11, Γ intersects Γ_p with multiplicity 4 at q' . Proposition 20 4) implies that the proper transform Z' of $\ell_{p'}$ in X by $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$ is a T -stable line of special type of X other than Z and that Z and Z' are the unique lines of special type on X .

- If $\Gamma = \Gamma_p$ then, by Proposition 20 3), the lines in X other than Z are the proper transforms by $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$ of the lines in V_5 not contained in F_p and meeting Γ_p . Since $\Gamma_p \subset \mathcal{S}$, there are exactly two lines passing through a point of Γ_p other than p : one line of general type contained in F_p and the other one of special type contained in \mathcal{S} . Using the notation

of subsection 1.1.1, these lines of special type are the images of the fibers of $\pi : \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_p]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_p]$ by the evaluation morphism $\psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V_5$. Proposition 20 4) then implies that every line Z' in X other than Z is of special type, equal to the image of a fiber of $\pi : \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_p]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_p]$ by the composition of $\psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V_5$ with ψ_Z^{-1} . Similarly, given any such line Z' , the lines in X other than Z' are the images of the fibers of $\pi : \mathcal{U}|_{\mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_{p'}]} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_{p'}]$ by the composition $\psi_{Z'}^{-1} \circ \psi$. It follows that the Hilbert scheme \mathcal{H}_X of lines in X is irreducible and that $(\mathcal{H}_X)_{\text{red}}$ is covered by two affine open subsets isomorphic to $\mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_p]$ and $\mathcal{C} \setminus [\ell_{p'}]$ intersecting along an open subset isomorphic to $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{[\ell_p], [\ell_{p'}]\}$. Thus, $(\mathcal{H}_X)_{\text{red}}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , and Proposition 20 4) then says that all lines in X are of special type.

We now show that for any $\Gamma = \Gamma^T(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, as above, there exists an involution θ of X semi-commuting with the action of T -i.e. contained in the normalizer of T in $\text{Aut}(X)$ but not in its centralizer- and mapping Z onto Z' . We fix an identification of T with the neutral component of the subgroup $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}, \{p, p'\})$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$, we let $F_{p'}$ be the unique hyperplane section singular along the line $\ell_{p'}$. Since ℓ_p and $\ell_{p'}$ are lines of special type, there exists a unique line $\ell_{q,q'}$ in V_5 passing through the T -stable points $q \in \ell_p \setminus \{p\}$ and $q' \in \ell_{p'} \setminus \{p'\}$. The line $\ell_{q,q'}$ is T -stable and since F_p and $F_{p'}$ are swept out by lines meeting ℓ_p and $\ell_{p'}$ respectively, $\ell_{q,q'}$ is contained in $F_p \cap F_{p'}$. Since $F_p \cap F_{p'}$ is a T -stable hyperplane section of F_p , it follows, with the notation of Case (1) in subsection 1.2.2, that $F_p \cap F_{p'}$ is the union of $\ell_{q,q'} = \nu(f_T)$ and of the T -stable curve $C_{q,q'} := \nu(s_T)$ passing through q and q' .

Let S_Z and $S_{Z'}$ be the unique hyperplane sections of X of multiplicity 3 along Z and Z' respectively, let E_Z and $E_{Z'}$ be the exceptional divisors of the blow-up $\alpha_{Z,Z'} : X_0 \rightarrow X$ of X along Z and Z' respectively and let $R_{\{Z,Z'\}} = (\psi_Z^{-1})_* F_{\ell_{p'}}$ be the hyperplane section of X having multiplicity 2 along Z and Z' . We view all these as T -stable divisors over X . By definition of Z' and ψ_Z , $(\psi_Z \circ \alpha_{Z,Z'})_* E_Z = F_p$, $(\psi_Z \circ \alpha_{Z,Z'})_* E_{Z'} = \ell_{p'}$, $(\psi_Z)_* S_Z = \Gamma$ whereas $(\psi_Z)_* S_{Z'}$ is the cubic section of X having multiplicity 3 along $\ell_{p'}$ and multiplicity 2 along Γ .

Let $\pi_{\ell_{p'}} : V_5 \dashrightarrow Q$, where $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(V_5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)|_{V_5} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\ell_{p'}})) = \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quadric threefold, be the birational map defined by the linear system of hyperplane sections of V_5 containing $\ell_{p'}$, see [5]. Since $\ell_{p'}$ is T -stable, $\pi_{\ell_{p'}}$ is T -equivariant for the faithful T -action on Q induced by the T -module structure on $H^0(V_5, \mathcal{I}_{\ell_{p'}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)|_{V_5})$, and the composition $\xi := \pi_{\ell_{p'}} \circ \psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow Q$ is then T -equivariant as well. The line $\ell_{q,q'}$ is contracted by $\pi_{\ell_{p'}}$ onto a T -fixed point $r' \in Q$. This point is contained in the image Γ^Q of Γ , which is a T -stable rational normal quartic curve in Q having $r := \pi_{\ell_{p'}}(p)$ as its second T -fixed point. The image ℓ_p^Q of ℓ_p is the tangent line to Γ^Q at r . The proper transforms E_Z^Q and $E_{Z'}^Q$ of E_Z and $E_{Z'}$ on Q are respectively equal to a T -stable quadric section of Q containing Γ^Q and singular along ℓ_p^Q and the tangent hyperplane section to Q at the point r' . Moreover, the image $C_{q,q'}^Q$ of $C_{q,q'}$ is a T -stable rational normal cubic curve, equal to the image of $F_{\ell_{p'}}$ by $\pi_{\ell_{p'}}$, contained in $E_{Z'}^Q \cap E_Z^Q$ and intersecting Γ^Q with multiplicity 3 at r' . On the other hand, the proper transform $S_{Z'}^Q$ of $S_{Z'}$ is the T -stable cubic section of Q singular along Γ^Q . By Lemma 23, there exists a birational involution $\iota_Q : Q \dashrightarrow Q$ semi-commuting with the action of T on Q , contracting $S_{Z'}^Q$ onto Γ^Q and mapping $C_{q,q'}^Q$ isomorphically onto itself and such that $(\iota_Q^{-1})_* E_{Z'}^Q = E_Z^Q$. The conjugate $\theta := \xi^{-1} \circ \iota_Q \circ \xi : X \dashrightarrow X$ is a birational involution of X mapping Z onto Z' , S_Z onto $S_{Z'}$ and whose unique possibly contracted divisor is the hyperplane section $R_{\{Z,Z'\}} = (\psi_Z^{-1})_* F_{\ell_{p'}}$. But since ι_Q maps $C_{q,q'}^Q$ isomorphically onto itself by Lemma 23 2) and

$$\xi_* R_{\{Z,Z'\}} = (\pi_{\ell_{p'}})_* F_{\ell_{p'}} = C_{q,q'}^Q \subset E_{Z'}^Q \cap E_Z^Q = E_{Z'}^Q \cap (\iota_Q^{-1})_* E_{Z'}^Q,$$

it follows that θ does not contract any divisor, hence is a biregular involution of X .

We can now conclude the description of the isomorphism types and automorphism groups of the threefolds X .

- If $\Gamma = \Gamma^T(v) \neq \Gamma_p$, then $\text{Aut}^0(X) = \text{Aut}(X, Z) = \text{Aut}(X, Z') = T$ is a subgroup of index 2 of $\text{Aut}(X) = \text{Aut}(X, Z \cup Z')$ whose quotient is generated by the image of the involution θ exchanging Z and Z' . Since this involution semi-commutes with the action of θ , we have $\text{Aut}(X) \cong T \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle \cong \mathbb{G}_m \rtimes \mu_2$. The existence of θ implies that the pairs (X, Z) and (X, Z') are isomorphic, whence by Proposition 11 and Corollary 12, that the threefolds $X_{22}^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, -4\}$ are pairwise non isomorphic.

- If $\Gamma = \Gamma_p$ then $\text{Aut}(X, Z) = G_p$ and $\text{Aut}(X, Z') \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ is the conjugate of $\text{Aut}(X, Z)$ by the involution θ . Since the latter normalizes T , $\text{Aut}(X, Z)$ and θ generate a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$ isomorphic to PGL_2 , from which it follows, by Lemma 21, that $\text{Aut}^0(X) \cong \text{PGL}_2$. Finally, since an element of $\text{Aut}(X)$ which stabilizes every line in X is contained in the intersection of all Borel subgroups of $\text{Aut}^0(X)$, it must be trivial, which implies that the canonically induced action of $\text{Aut}(X)$ on $(\mathcal{H}_X)_{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is faithful. Thus, $\text{Aut}(X)$ identifies with a subgroup of the automorphism group of $(\mathcal{H}_X)_{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, hence is connected, equal to PGL_2 . \square

In the proof of Theorem 22 above, we have used the following auxiliary result on the birational geometry of smooth quadric threefolds with an effective action of a 1-dimensional torus.

Lemma 23. *Let $\Gamma_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a rational normal quartic curve and let $T \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^4, \Gamma_4) \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma_4) \cong \text{PGL}_2$ be a maximal torus. Then the following hold:*

1) For every smooth T -stable quadric Q containing Γ_4 , the linear system of quadric sections of Q containing Γ_4 determines a birational involution $\iota_Q : Q \dashrightarrow Q$ contracting the unique cubic section S_{Γ_4} of Q singular along Γ_4 onto Γ_4 and restricting to an automorphism of $Q \setminus S_{\Gamma_4}$ which semi-commutes with the induced T -action on it.

2) Let $r, r' \in \Gamma_4$ be the two T -fixed points. Then the proper transform $(\iota_Q^{-1})_* H_{r'}$ of the tangent hyperplane section $H_{r'}$ to Q at r' is the unique integral quadric section of Q containing Γ_4 and singular along the tangent line L_r to Γ_4 at r . The scheme $H_{r'} \cap (\iota_Q^{-1})_* H_{r'}$ is the union of the line $L_{r',s}$ passing through r' and the unique T -fixed point $s \in L_r \setminus \{r\}$ and of a rational normal cubic curve $C_{2r',s}$ with tangent line $L_{r'}$ at r' and passing through s . Moreover, ι_Q maps $C_{2r',s}$ isomorphically onto itself.

Proof. For any smooth quadric Q containing Γ_4 , the linear system of quadric sections of Q containing Γ_4 defines a birational map $Q \dashrightarrow Q'$ onto a smooth quadric threefold $Q' \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ which contracts the unique cubic section S_{Γ_4} of Q singular along Γ_4 onto a rational normal quartic curve $\Gamma'_4 \subset Q'$ and restricts to an isomorphism $Q \setminus S_{\Gamma_4} \rightarrow Q' \setminus \Gamma'_4$, see e.g [13, Lemma 2.2]. The fact that for every smooth T -stable quadric Q containing Γ_4 , the pairs (Q, Γ_4) and (Q', Γ'_4) are isomorphic follows from [1, § 5.9], [2, § 9]. We now argue that we can choose explicit coordinates on \mathbb{P}^4 so to obtain from these existing description a birational involution $\iota_Q : Q \dashrightarrow Q$ with the desired properties.

We can assume without loss of generality that Γ_4 is the image of the closed immersion $\mathbb{P}^1_{[t_0:t_1]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4, [t_0:t_1] \mapsto [t_1^4 : t_1^3 t_0 : t_1^2 t_0^2 : t_1 t_0^3 : t_0^4]$ and that $T = \text{Spec}(k[\lambda^{\pm 1}])$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^1_{[t_0:t_1]}$ by $\lambda \cdot [t_0:t_1] = [\lambda t_0:t_1]$. Then $H^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_4} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2))$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_4} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}$ is the ideal sheaf of Γ_4 , is generated by the six polynomials

$$f_6 = w_2 w_4 - w_3^2, f_5 = w_1 w_4 - w_2 w_3, f_{4,0} = w_0 w_4 - w_2^2, f_{4,1} = w_1 w_3 - w_2^2, f_3 = w_0 w_3 - w_1 w_2, f_2 = w_0 w_2 - w_1^2$$

and the T -stable smooth quadrics containing Γ_4 are those $Q_{[a:b]}$ defined by the polynomials

$$f_{[a:b]} = a f_{4,0} - b f_{4,1} = a(w_0 w_4 - w_2^2) - b(w_1 w_3 - w_2^2), \quad [a:b] \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}.$$

Given such a quadric $Q = Q_{[a:b]}$, choosing c so that $c^2 = \frac{a}{b}$, it is straightforward to verify that the map

$$(2.3) \quad \psi : Q \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4, [w_0 : w_1 : w_2 : w_3 : w_4] \mapsto [f_2 : c f_3 : c^2 f_{4,0} : c f_5 : f_6]$$

is T -equivariant, has image contained in Q and that the induced birational map $j_Q : Q \dashrightarrow Q$ is an involution. On the other hand, the pair (Q, Γ_4) is stable under the involution i of \mathbb{P}^4 defined by $[w_0 : w_1 : w_2 : w_3 : w_4] \mapsto [w_4 : w_3 : w_2 : w_1 : w_0]$ which semi-commutes with the action of T . The induced involution $i_Q = i|_Q$ commutes with j_Q , and $\iota_Q := i_Q \circ j_Q = j_Q \circ i_Q$ is the desired involution.

To prove assertion 2), we can assume that $r = [0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$ and $r' = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$ so that $H_{r'} = \{w_4 = 0\} \cap Q$, $L_{r'} = \{w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = 0\}$ and $L_r = \{w_0 = w_1 = w_2 = 0\}$. Then $(\iota_Q^{-1})_* H_{r'} = \{w_0 w_2 - w_1^2 = 0\} \cap Q$ is an anti-canonically embedded del Pezzo surface of degree 4 singular along L_r and $H_{r'} \cap (\iota_Q^{-1})_* H_{r'} = \{w_4 = w_0 w_2 - w_1^2 = 0\} \cap Q$ is the union of the line $L_{r',s} = \{w_1 = w_2 = w_4 = 0\}$ where $s = [0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0] \in L_r \setminus \{r\}$ and of the rational normal cubic curve $C_{2r',s}$ with tangent line $L_{r'}$ at r' passing through s given by the image of the morphism

$$\alpha : \mathbb{P}^1_{[u_0:u_1]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4, [u_0 : u_1] \mapsto [u_0^3 : u_0^2 u_1 : u_0 u_1^2 : (1 - c^2) u_1^3 : 0].$$

Now it is routine to verify from (2.3) that $\iota_Q \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \iota_c$, where ι_c is the involution $[u_0 : u_1] \mapsto [u_1 : \frac{c}{1-c^2} u_0]$ of \mathbb{P}^1 . \square

Remark 24. In the proof of Theorem 22, we constructed for a threefold $X = X_{22}^m(v)$, $v \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, where $X_{22}^m(-4) = X_{22}^{MU}$, and a pair (Z, Z') of \mathbb{G}_m -stable lines of special type in X , a biregular involution θ of X semi-commuting with the \mathbb{G}_m -action and exchanging the lines Z and Z' . Let us briefly indicate a complementary interpretation of the construction of this involution θ . With the notation of the proof of Theorem 22, the composition of the double projection $\psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow V_5$ from Z with the projection $\pi_{(\psi_Z)_* Z'} : V_5 \dashrightarrow Q$ from the line $(\psi_Z)_* Z'$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant birational map which contracts the unique hyperplane section S_Z of X of multiplicity 3 along Z onto a rational normal quartic curve Γ^Q , contracts the unique hyperplane section $R_{\{Z, Z'\}}$ of X singular along $Z \cup Z'$ onto a rational normal cubic curve C and maps the the unique hyperplane section $S_{Z'}$ of X singular along Z' onto a cubic section $S_{Z'}^Q$ of Q singular along Γ^Q . Letting $\alpha_{\Gamma^Q} : \hat{Q} \rightarrow Q$ be the blow-up of Γ^Q , where $\hat{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}^{16}$ is a Fano threefold of Picard rank 2 and degree 28 of type 2.21 in [15], we obtain an induced \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant birational map

$$\hat{\gamma} = \alpha_{(\pi_{(\psi_Z)_* Z'} \circ \psi_Z)_* S_Z} \circ \pi_{(\psi_Z)_* Z'} \circ \psi_Z : X \dashrightarrow \hat{Q}$$

which fits into the following commutative diagram of \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant birational maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R'_{\{Z, Z'\}} \subseteq Y \xrightarrow{\hat{\chi}} Y^+ \supseteq R^+_{\{Z, Z'\}} & & \\ \hat{\sigma} \swarrow & & \searrow \hat{\tau} \\ Z \cup Z' \subset X & \xrightarrow{\hat{\gamma}} & \hat{Q} \supset C \end{array}$$

where $\hat{\sigma} : Y \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of $Z \cup Z'$, $\hat{\chi} : Y \dashrightarrow Y^+$ is a small \mathbb{Q} -factorial modification and $\hat{\tau} : Y^+ \rightarrow \hat{Q}$ is the contraction of the proper transform $R^+_{\{Z, Z'\}}$ of $R_{\{Z, Z'\}}$ onto a smooth curve $\hat{C} \subset \hat{S}$ of bi-degree $(3, 3)$. One can further check from the construction that $\hat{\gamma}$ is given by the linear system of quadric sections of X having multiplicity 3 along Z and Z' . The birational involution $\iota_Q : Q \dashrightarrow Q$ in the proof of Theorem 22 lifts to a biregular involution $\iota_{\hat{Q}}$ of \hat{Q} which normalizes the lifted \mathbb{G}_m -action and makes \hat{Q} into a Fano threefold of $(\mathbb{G}_m \rtimes \mu_2)$ -Picard rank 1, and by the construction of the associated biregular involution θ of X , the above diagram is then a $(\mathbb{G}_m \rtimes \mu_2)$ -equivariant Sarkisov link. We refer the reader to the forthcoming article [4] for a more in-depth and detailed study of these birational links between smooth prime Fano threefolds of degree 22 and blow-ups of smooth quadric threefolds along rational normal quartic curves.

REFERENCES

1. C. Araujo, A. Castravet, I. Cheltsov, K. Fujita, A. Kaloghiros, J. Martinez-Garcia, C. Shramov, H. Suss, N. Viswanathan, *The Calabi Problem for Fano threefolds*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Series, 485, Cambridge University Press, 2023.
2. I. Cheltsov, V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Fano threefolds with infinite automorphism groups*, Izv. Math., 83 (2019), 860-907.
3. S. Dinew, G. Kapustka and M. Kapustka, *Remarks on Mukai threefolds admitting \mathbb{C}^* -action*, Mosc. Math. J. 17 (2017), 15-33.
4. A. Dubouloz, K. Fujita and T. Kishimoto, *Elementary links from prime Fano threefolds of degree 22*, in preparation.
5. T. Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 33 (1981), no. 3, 415-434.
6. M. Furushima and N. Nakayama, *The family of lines on the Fano threefold V_5* , Nagoya Math. J., 116 (1989), 111-122.
7. M. Furushima and M. Tada, *Non-normal del Pezzo surfaces and Fano threefolds of the first kind*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 429 (1992), 183-190.
8. A. Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique IV : les schémas de Hilbert*, Sémin. Bourbaki, Vol. 6 (1960-1961), Exp. 221, 249-276.
9. F. Hidaka and K. Watanabe, *Normal Gorenstein surfaces with ample anti-canonical divisor*, Tokyo J. Math. 4 (1981), 319-330.
10. V.A. Iskovskikh, *Fano 3-folds, I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 41 (1977), 516-562 (Russian); English transl. in Math. USSR Izv., 11, 485-527.
11. V.A. Iskovskikh, *Double projection from a line on a Fano threefold of the first kind*, Mat. Sb., 180 (1989), 260-278; English transl. in Math. USSR Sb., 66 (1990), 265-284.
12. A. Kuznetsov and Y. Prokhorov, *Prime Fano threefolds of genus 12 with a \mathbb{G}_m -action and their automorphisms*, Épijournal Géom. Algébrique 2 (2018), Art. 3, 14 pp.
13. A. Kuznetsov and Y. Prokhorov, *Rationality over nonclosed fields of Fano threefolds with higher geometric Picard rank*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, page 1-41, 2022.
14. A. Kuznetsov, Y. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds*, Jpn. J. Math., 13 (2018), 109-185.
15. S. Mori and S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , Manuscripta Math., 36 (1981), 147-162.
16. S. Mukai and H. Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds*, Lect. Notes Math., 1016 (1983), 490-518.
17. S. Mukai, *Plane quartics and Fano threefolds of genus twelve*, The Fano Conference, 563-572, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004.
18. Yu. Prokhorov, *Automorphism groups of Fano 3-folds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 45 (1990), 195-196.
19. Yu. Prokhorov, *Fano threefolds of genus 12 and compactifications of \mathbb{C}^3* , St. Petersburg Math. J., 3 (1992), 855-864.
20. M. Reid, *Nonnormal del Pezzo surfaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 30 (1994), no. 5, 695-727.
21. M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, p. 3-159.
22. G. Sanna, *Small charge instantons and jumping lines on the quintic del Pezzo threefold*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2017, no. 21, 6523-6583.
23. R.L.E. Schwarzenberger, *Vector bundles on the projective plane*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 11 (1961), 623-640.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUE ET APPLICATIONS, UMR 7348 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, 86000 POITIERS, FRANCE.
 UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE EUROPE, CNRS, IMB UMR 5584, 21000 DIJON, FRANCE
 Email address: adrien.dubouloz@math.cnrs.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN
 Email address: fujita@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY, SAITAMA 338-8570, JAPAN
 Email address: tkishimo@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp