

SHARP REGULARIZING EFFECT OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR INHOMOGENEOUS NON-CUTOFF KAC EQUATION

XINZHI CAI, HONGMEI CAO AND CHAO-JIANG XU

ABSTRACT. In this work, we study the spatially inhomogeneous Kac equation with a non-cutoff cross section in a setting close to equilibrium. We prove that the solution to the Cauchy problem exhibits a sharp Gevrey-Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effect with an optimal radius. We employ a well-chosen exponential-type Fourier multiplier to establish the smoothing effect for position and velocity variables.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cauchy problem for spatially inhomogeneous nonlinear Kac's equation is written as:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \partial_x f = K(f, f), & (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ t > 0, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v), \end{cases}$$

where $f = f(t, x, v)$ is the nonnegative density distribution function of particles at position $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}$ at time t . The right hand side of equation (1.1) is given by Kac's bilinear collisional operator with respect to the velocity variable,

$$K(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \{f(v'_*)g(v') - f(v_*)g(v)\} d\theta dv_*,$$

where the pre-post collision velocities can be defined by

$$v' = v \cos \theta - v_* \sin \theta, \quad v'_* = v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta.$$

We suppose that the cross-section kernel is non cut-off in the following sense:

$$(1.2) \quad \beta(\theta) = C_0 \frac{|\cos \theta|}{|\sin \theta|^{1+2s}}, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where $0 < s < 1$ and $C_0 > 0$.

In a situation close to the equilibrium framework, consider the fluctuation of density distribution function

$$f(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + \sqrt{\mu} g(t, x, v), \quad \mu(v) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}.$$

Note that $K(\mu, \mu) = 0$ and, we turn to the following Cauchy problem

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t g + v \partial_x g + \mathcal{L}g = \mathcal{K}(g, g), & (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ t > 0, \\ g|_{t=0} = g_0(x, v), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{K}(f, g) = \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} K(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} g),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}g = -\mathcal{K}(\mu^{1/2}, g) - \mathcal{K}(g, \mu^{1/2}) = \mathcal{L}_1 g + \mathcal{L}_2 g,$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A05, 35B65, 35D10, 42A38, 60H07, 82B40.

Key words and phrases. Non-cutoff Kac equation, regularizing effect, Gevrey-Gelfand-Shilov space.

The kernel of linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{N} = \text{Span}\{\sqrt{\mu}, v\sqrt{\mu}, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu}\}.$$

Kac's equation is the simplified one-dimensional model of Boltzmann equation, see [7]. There exists a series of works about the existence and regularity of solution for non-cutoff inhomogeneous Boltzmann equations (see [1–3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17]).

We introduce now some notations, the following function spaces will be used. For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$L_\ell^p(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f; \|f\|_{L_\ell^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\langle v \rangle^\ell f(v)|^p dv \right)^{1/p} < +\infty \right\},$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ is the classical Sobolev space, and $H_x^r(L_v^2) = H^r(\mathbb{R}_x; L^2(\mathbb{R}_v))$.

Given $\sigma \geq 1$, the Gevrey class $\mathcal{G}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (of index σ) is defined as the set of all functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that there exists a $C > 0$ satisfying

$$\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C^{|\alpha|+1}(\alpha!)^\sigma, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

or equivalently, there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that $e^{c_0 \langle D \rangle^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where c_0 is called the Gevrey radius. The analytic space is with $\sigma = 1$ and we denote by $\mathcal{G}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Gelfand-Shilov space $S_\nu^\mu(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mu, \nu > 0, \mu + \nu \geq 1$ is

$$\|x^\beta \partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1}(|\alpha|!)^\mu(|\beta|!)^\nu, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

it is equivalent to

$$e^{c_0(\langle D_x \rangle^{\frac{1}{\mu}} + \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{\nu}})} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where c_0 is called the Gelfand-Shilov radius.

For the spatial inhomogeneous non cut-off Kac equation, the paper [14] has proven the existence of the solution and the smoothing effect of Cauchy problem (1.3), furthermore

$$g \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{G}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_x; S_{1+\frac{1}{2s}}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_v))),$$

for any $T > 0$. [4] study the well-posedness when initial datum belonging to the spatially critical Besov space, and improves the Gevrey index of [14]. The purpose of this paper is to improve the regularity results in [4] to the optimal index which is mentioned in [6] for Boltzmann equation, but we obtain here the optimal Gevrey-Gelfand-Shilov radius.

The following is the main Theorem of this work.

Theorem 1.1. *For $r > \frac{1}{2}$, assume that the initial datum $g_0 \in H_x^r(L_v^2)$, and the cross-section β satisfy (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$. For all g_0 satisfies*

$$\|g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \leq \epsilon_0$$

with $\epsilon_0 > 0$ a small constant, the Cauchy problem (1.3) admits a unique global solution such that, for any $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} g &\in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{G}^{\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_x; S_{\frac{1}{2s}}^{\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_v))), \quad \text{when } 0 < s < 1/2, \\ g &\in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_x; S_1^1(\mathbb{R}_v))), \quad \text{when } 1/2 \leq s < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2. In fact, we have proven

$$e^{c_0(t^{1+2\tilde{s}}(1-\Delta_x)^{\tilde{s}} + t(1-\Delta_v + |v|^2)^{\tilde{s}})} g(t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; H_x^r(L_v^2)),$$

with $\tilde{s} = \min\{s, \frac{1}{2}\}$. So that the $2\tilde{s}$ -Gevrey radius for x variable is $c_0 t^{1+2\tilde{s}}$, and $2\tilde{s}$ -Gelfand-Shilov radius for v variable is $c_0 t$. Compare with the results of Boltzmann

equation in [6], where they prove the $2\tilde{s}$ -Gevrey smoothing effect of (x, v) variables, and the Gevrey radius is $c_0 t^a$ with a big $a > 1$, so we improve considerably the Gevrey radius, and we gain also the exponential decay for velocity variable.

Remark 1.3. The results of this Theorem improves the results in [4, 12, 14, 16, 21]. Our computation was inspired by the work in [20] for the Landau equation(other improvement can be seen in [5, 22]), but our problem is like a non linear form of pseudo-differential operators, so that the computation is more complicated. The index and radius of Gevrey properties is claimed to be sharp, see [6, 20].

2. SCHEMA FOR THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

The paper [4, 14] has already proven that the Cauchy problem (1.3) admit a unique solution

$$(2.1) \quad g \in L^\infty([0, T[; \mathcal{G}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_x; S_{1+\frac{1}{2s}}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_v))),$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|g\|_{L^\infty([0, T[; H_x^r(L_v^2))} \leq C_0 \epsilon_0.$$

and it is the limit of the following approximation system:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t g^{n+1} + v \partial_x g^{n+1} + \mathcal{L} g^{n+1} = \mathcal{K}(g^n, g^{n+1}), & n \geq 0 \\ g^{n+1}|_{t=0} = g_0(x, v), \\ g^0 = e^{-t(1-\Delta_{x,v})} g_0. \end{cases}$$

The existence, regularity, smallness and the convergence of $\{g^n\}$ with norm as (2.1) and (2.2) is given in [4, 14].

We just need to improve the Gevrey index of above approximation sequence, and try to prove

$$(2.4) \quad \|e^{c_0(t^{1+2\tilde{s}}(1-\Delta_x)^{\tilde{s}} + t(1-\Delta_v)^{\tilde{s}})} g^n\|_{L^\infty([0, T[; H_x^r(L_v^2))} \leq C_0 \epsilon_0,$$

with $\tilde{s} = \min\{s, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and C_0 independent of n , this imply,

$$g \in L^\infty([0, T[; \mathcal{G}^{\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_{x,v}^2)), \quad 0 < s < \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$g \in L^\infty([0, T[; \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}_{x,v}^2)), \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1.$$

To understand the idea of proof of (2.4), we consider the following Cauchy problem of the fractional Kolmogorov equation

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \partial_x f + (1 - \Delta_v)^s f = 0, & (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad t > 0, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \end{cases}$$

by using Fourier transform, we obtain

$$\widehat{f}(t, \eta, \xi) = e^{-\int_0^t \langle \xi + \rho \eta \rangle^{2s} d\rho} \widehat{f}_0(\eta, \xi + t\eta).$$

Use now the inequality (see [20]), for $\alpha > 0$,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^t (1 + |\xi + \rho \eta|^2)^{\alpha/2} d\rho \sim t \{1 + |\xi|^\alpha + t^\alpha |\eta|^\alpha\},$$

we can obtain

$$e^{c(t(1-\Delta_v)^s + t^{1+2s}(1-\Delta_x)^s)} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{x,v}^{2n}).$$

Here the equation in (2.5) is linear with constant coefficients, if we come back to the non linear equation (1.3), we have to use the convexity of exponential function which need

$$\langle \xi \rangle^\sigma \leq \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^\sigma + \langle \eta \rangle^\sigma, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and this hold true only for $\sigma \leq 1$, so that we have to replace $2s$ by $2\tilde{s} \leq 1$, then we try to prove

$$(2.7) \quad \|e^{\Psi_s(t, D_x, D_v)} g^n\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; H_x^r(L_v^2))} \leq C_0 \epsilon_0,$$

with

$$\Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi) = c_0 \int_0^t \langle \xi + \rho \eta \rangle^{2\tilde{s}} d\rho,$$

But our solution of (2.3) satisfy only (2.1), we can't use

$$e^{2\Psi_s(t, D_x, D_v)} g^{n+1}(t)$$

as test function to the equation in (2.3), then we will choose

$$(2.8) \quad M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) = \frac{e^{\Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi)}}{1 + \delta e^{\Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi)}}, \quad 0 < \delta \ll 1,$$

so that we have, for any $0 < \delta \ll 1$

$$M_\delta^2(t, D_x, D_v) g^{n+1}(t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{G}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_x; S_{1+\frac{1}{2s}}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_v))),$$

it is a good test function for the equation in (2.3), since $M_\delta^2(t, D_x, D_v)$ is a pseudo-differential operators of order 0, then we have, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left((\partial_t + v\partial_x) g^{n+1}, M_\delta^2 g^{n+1} \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} + \left(\mathcal{L} g^{n+1}, M_\delta^2 g^{n+1} \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{K}(g^n, g^{n+1}), M_\delta^2 g^{n+1} \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Our object is, by using the above equation, to establish the following estimate

$$(2.10) \quad \|M_\delta g^n(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \leq C \epsilon_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

with C depends of $0 < \delta \ll 1$ and n , then take $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (2.10) to get (2.7).

We will prove (2.10) by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is true for $n = 0$ by the choose of $g^0 = e^{-t(1-\Delta_{x,v})} g_0$ and hypothesis for g_0 in Theorem 1.1.

We study the three terms in (2.9) by the following three Propositions,

Proposition 2.1. *For the kinetic part of (2.9), then for any function g satisfy (2.1), we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \left((\partial_t + v\partial_x) g, M_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 - c_0 \| \langle D_v \rangle^s M_\delta g \|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

Proof. By using Plancherel formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\partial_t + v\partial_x) g, M_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} &= \left((\partial_t - \eta \partial_\xi) \hat{g}, \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} M_\delta^2(t, \eta, \xi) \hat{g} \right)_{L_{\eta, \xi}^2} \\ &= \left((\partial_t - \eta \partial_\xi) (\langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \hat{g}), \langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \hat{g} \right)_{L_{\eta, \xi}^2} \\ &\quad - \left(\{ (\partial_t - \eta \partial_\xi) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \} \langle \eta \rangle^r \hat{g}, \langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \hat{g} \right)_{L_{\eta, \xi}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

here, for the last term, using the fact

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \eta \partial_\xi) \Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi) &= c_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{2\tilde{s}}, \\ (\partial_t - \eta \partial_\xi) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) &= \frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi)}{1 + \delta e^{\Psi_s}} (\partial_t - \eta \partial_\xi) \Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi) \\ &= \frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi)}{1 + \delta e^{\Psi_s}} c_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{2\tilde{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\partial_t + v \partial_x) g, M_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 \\ &\quad - c_0 \left(\frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi)}{1 + \delta e^{\Psi_s}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2\tilde{s}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \hat{g}, \langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \hat{g} \right)_{L_{\eta, \xi}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$\left| \left(\frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi)}{1 + \delta e^{\Psi_s}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2\tilde{s}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \hat{g}, \langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \hat{g} \right)_{L_{\eta, \xi}^2} \right| \leq \| \langle D_v \rangle^s M_\delta g \|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2,$$

which imply then (2.11). $\square$

For the second term in (2.9), we need to define an anisotropic norm associated with the linear operators $\mathcal{L}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |||g|||^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{v_*, v}^2} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \mu_*(g' - g)^2 d\theta dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{v_*, v}^2} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) g_*^2 (\sqrt{\mu'} - \sqrt{\mu})^2 d\theta dv_* dv, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|||g|||_{(r,0)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ||| \langle D_x \rangle^r g |||^2 dx.$$

The relationship of this anisotropic norm with the linear operators $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$(2.12) \quad \left(\mathcal{L}g, g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} + C \|g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 \sim |||g|||_{(r,0)}^2,$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad \|g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}^2 + ||| \langle v \rangle^s g |||_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 \leq C |||g|||_{(r,0)}^2.$$

The analysis of linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ and anisotropic norm $||| \cdot |||$ can be seen in the Section 2 of [3] or [18, 19].

Proposition 2.2. *For the linear part of (2.9), there exists $c_1 > 0, C_1 > 0$, such that, for any function g satisfy (2.1), we have*

$$(\mathcal{L}g, M_\delta^2 g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \geq c_1 |||M_\delta g|||_{(r,0)}^2 - C_1 \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

Finally, for the thrid term in (2.9),

Proposition 2.3. *For the nonlinear part of (2.9), there exists $C_2 > 0$, such that, for any function f, g, h satisfy (2.1), we have*

$$\left| (M_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g), h)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \leq C_2 \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} |||M_\delta g|||_{(r,0)} |||h|||_{(r,0)}.$$

The proof of above two Propositions is the main technical part of this work, and will give by the rest of this paper.

Combine above three Propositions, from (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + c_1 \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{(r,0)}^2 &\leq c_0 \|\langle D_v \rangle^s M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + C_1 \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + C_2 \|M_\delta g^n\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{(r,0)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using now (2.13) with a choose of $c_0 > 0$ such that $c_0 C \leq \frac{c_1}{6}$, and induction hypothesis (2.10) with small ϵ_0 such that

$$C_2 \|M_\delta g^n\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \leq C_2 C_0 \epsilon_0 \leq \frac{c_1}{6},$$

then we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + c_1 \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{(r,0)}^2 \leq \tilde{C}_1 \|M_\delta g^{n+1}\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

The Gronwell inequality imply

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|M_\delta g^{n+1}(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + c_1 \int_0^T \|M_\delta g^{n+1}(s)\|_{(r,0)}^2 ds \leq C_T \|M_\delta g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

Using the convergence of approximation solution in [4, 14], we have proven the following results.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $g \in L^\infty([0, T]; H_x^r(L_v^2))$ is a solution of Cauchy problem (1.3) with the initial datum satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.1, let $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$ and $0 < c_0$ small enough, then there exists $C_T > 0$ which is independent of $0 < \delta < 1$, such that*

$$\|M_\delta g(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \|M_\delta g(\tau)\|_{(r,0)}^2 d\tau \leq C_T \|g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

Taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\|e^{\Psi_s} g(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \|e^{\Psi_s} g(\tau)\|_{(r,0)}^2 d\tau \leq C_T \|g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

We have then proven (2.4) by using the inequality (2.6).

In the second step, we study now the exponential decay of the solution, with the same reason as in the first step, we choose the multiplier

$$G_\delta(t, v) = \frac{e^{c_0 t \langle v \rangle^{2\bar{s}}}}{1 + \delta e^{c_0 t \langle v \rangle^{2\bar{s}}}}.$$

The computation is almost same as for Proposition 2.1-2.3, and we can get

$$\|G_\delta g(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \|G_\delta g(\tau)\|_{(r,0)}^2 d\tau \leq 2 \|g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

So that the rest of this work is the proof of Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.3 and their variation with $G_\delta(t, v)$.

3. FOURIER ANALYSIS OF KAC OPERATORS

We first recall some analysis results about Kac operators, we have the Bobylev formula for the Fourier transform of the Kac operator ([15]),

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(K(f, g))(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \hat{f}(\xi \sin \theta) \hat{g}(\xi \cos \theta) - \hat{f}(0) \hat{g}(\xi) \right\} d\theta,$$

By applying the Bobylev formula, [4, 14] has proven (2.12), (2.13) and the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the cross-section satisfies (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$ and $r > 1/2$, it holds*

$$(3.2) \quad |(\mathcal{K}(f, g), h)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}| \leq \|f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|g\|_{(r,0)} \|h\|_{(r,0)}$$

for any suitable function f, g, h .

Moreover, if we take a trilinear operator $\mathcal{T}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(f, g, \omega) = \iint \beta(\theta) \omega(v_*) \{f(v'_*)g(v') - f(v_*)g(v)\} d\theta dv_*,$$

that is

$$\mathcal{T}(f, g, \mu^{1/2}) = \mathcal{K}(f, g),$$

We have the next corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If ω satisfies, for a constant C such that,*

$$|\omega(v)| \leq C\mu^{1/4}(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It still stands

$$|(\mathcal{T}(f, g, \omega), h)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}| \leq C\|f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|g\|_{(r,0)} \|h\|_{(r,0)}$$

The proof of the Corollary can be seen in [6, 10].

For the Kac operator $K(f, g)$, there are Bobylev formula about their Fourier transformation, but in our case, it is now the operators $\mathcal{K}(f, g)$, so we study their Fourier transformation.

Lemma 3.3. *For $\mathcal{K}(f, g)$ defined by (1.4) and $\beta(\theta)$ satisfies (1.2), the refined Bobylev formula of $\mathcal{K}$ can be given by*

$$\mathcal{F}_v(\mathcal{K}(f, g))(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \{\widehat{f}(u') \widehat{g}(\xi') - \widehat{f}(u) \widehat{g}(\xi)\} du d\theta$$

where

$$u' = \xi \sin \theta + u \cos \theta, \quad \xi' = \xi \cos \theta - u \sin \theta.$$

Proof. We recall

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(f, g)(v) &= \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \iint \beta(\theta) (\sqrt{\mu'_*} f'_* \sqrt{\mu'} g' - \sqrt{\mu_*} f_* \sqrt{\mu} g) d\theta dv_* \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{v_*}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \{f(v'_*)g(v') - f(v_*)g(v)\} \mu^{1/2}(v_*) d\theta dv_*, \end{aligned}$$

here we use $\mu(v_*) = \mu^{-1}(v) \mu(v'_*) \mu(v')$. Then use Bobylev formula (3.1), we get

$$\mathcal{F}_v(\mathcal{K}(f, g))(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \Lambda(\xi \cos \theta, \xi \sin \theta) - \widehat{\mu^{1/2} f(0)} \widehat{g}(\xi) \right\} d\theta,$$

where

$$\Lambda(\xi \cos \theta, \xi \sin \theta) = \mathcal{F}_{v, v_*}(f(v_*)g(v)) * \mathcal{F}_{v, v_*}(e^{-\frac{|v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta|^2}{4}})(\xi \cos \theta, \xi \sin(-\theta)).$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_v \int_{v_*} \mu^{1/2}(v_*) f(v') g(v'_*) e^{iv\xi} dv_* dv = \int_v \int_{v_*} \mu^{1/2}(v'_*) f(v) g(v_*) e^{iv'\xi} dv_* dv \\ &= \int_v \int_{v_*} e^{-\frac{|v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta|^2}{4}} f(v) g(v_*) e^{-i\xi v \cos \theta - i\xi v_* \sin(-\theta)} dv_* dv \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{v, v_*}(f(v_*)g(v))(\xi_1, \xi_2) *_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \mathcal{F}_{v, v_*}(e^{-\frac{|v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta|^2}{4}})(\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ &\quad *_{\xi_1} \delta(\xi \cos \theta) *_{\xi_2} \delta(\xi \sin(-\theta)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{v, v_*}(f(v_*)g(v)) * \mathcal{F}_{v, v_*}(e^{-\frac{|v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta|^2}{4}})(\xi \cos \theta, \xi \sin(-\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

We first deal with the $e^{-\frac{|v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta|^2}{4}}$ part, consider the following integral:

$$I(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{|v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta|^2}{4}} e^{-i(v\xi_1 + v_*\xi_2)} dv dv_*,$$

where $(v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are integration variables, $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are the Fourier variables, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed parameter.

To simplify the integral, we perform the following linear change of variables:

$$\begin{cases} y = v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta, \\ z = -v \cos \theta + v_* \sin \theta, \end{cases} \quad \text{so that} \quad \begin{cases} v = y \sin \theta - z \cos \theta, \\ v_* = y \cos \theta + z \sin \theta. \end{cases}$$

This transformation is orthogonal, so the Jacobian determinant is 1, and the integration measure is preserved: $dv dv_* = dy dz$.

Substituting into the integral, we obtain:

$$I(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} e^{-i(y\xi_1 + v_*\xi_2)} dy dz.$$

Using the inverse transformation expressions for v and v_* , we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} v\xi_1 + v_*\xi_2 &= (y \sin \theta - z \cos \theta)\xi_1 + (y \cos \theta + z \sin \theta)\xi_2 \\ &= y(\xi_1 \sin \theta + \xi_2 \cos \theta) + z(-\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Define:

$$\alpha := \xi_1 \sin \theta + \xi_2 \cos \theta, \quad \kappa := -\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta,$$

then the integral becomes:

$$I(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} e^{-i(y\alpha + z\kappa)} dy dz.$$

We now compute the separated integrals,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iz\kappa} dz = 2\pi \delta(\kappa), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} e^{-iy\alpha} dy = \sqrt{4\pi} e^{-\alpha^2},$$

where $\delta(x)$ is Dirac measure of variable x . Therefore, the final result is:

$$I(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 2\pi\sqrt{4\pi} \cdot e^{-(\xi_1 \sin \theta + \xi_2 \cos \theta)^2} \cdot \delta(-\xi_1 \cos \theta + \xi_2 \sin \theta).$$

or, more compactly,

$$I(\xi_1, \xi_2) = C e^{-\alpha^2} \delta(\kappa).$$

We now compute the convolution of the distribution $e^{-\alpha^2} \delta(\kappa)$ with the tensor product $\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\widehat{g}(\xi_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e^{-\alpha^2} \delta(\kappa) * \widehat{f}(\xi_2)\widehat{g}(\xi_1) \right) (\xi_1, \xi_2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\alpha^2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} \delta(\kappa(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) \widehat{g}(\xi_1 - \zeta_1) \widehat{f}(\xi_2 - \zeta_2) d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the delta function $\delta(\kappa(\zeta_1, \zeta_2))$ enforces the condition:

$$\kappa(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = -\zeta_1 \cos \theta + \zeta_2 \sin \theta = 0 \implies \zeta_2 = \zeta_1 \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}.$$

Substituting this into α , we get:

$$\alpha = \zeta_1 \sin \theta + \zeta_2 \cos \theta = \zeta_1 \sin \theta + \zeta_1 \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\sin \theta} = \zeta_1 \frac{1}{\sin \theta}.$$

Thus, the convolution becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\alpha^2(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)} \delta(\beta(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) \widehat{g}(\xi_1 - \zeta_1) \widehat{f}(\xi_2 - \zeta_2) d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\left(\frac{\zeta_1}{\sin \theta}\right)^2} \widehat{g}(\xi_1 - \zeta_1) \widehat{f}\left(\xi_2 - \zeta_1 \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\right) \frac{1}{\sin \theta} d\zeta_1. \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^2} \widehat{g}(\xi_1 - u \sin \theta) \widehat{f}(\xi_2 - u \cos \theta) du. \end{aligned}$$

Then let $\xi_1 = \xi \cos \theta$, $\xi_2 = -\xi \sin \theta$, and variable change $(u, \theta) \rightarrow (-u, -\theta)$ for the gain part,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_v(\mathcal{K}(f, g))(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) \widehat{g}(\xi_1 - u \sin \theta) \widehat{f}(\xi_2 + u \cos \theta) du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \widehat{\mu^{1/2} f(0)} \widehat{g}(\xi) \right\} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) \widehat{g}(\xi \cos \theta - u \sin \theta) \widehat{f}(-\xi \sin \theta - u \cos \theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mu^2(u) \widehat{f}(u) \widehat{g}(\xi) \right\} du d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) \widehat{g}(\xi \cos \theta - u \sin \theta) \widehat{f}(\xi \sin \theta + u \cos \theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mu^2(u) \widehat{f}(u) \widehat{g}(\xi) \right\} du d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *If we take x into consideration, the refined Bobylev formula can be conclude in*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{x,v}(\mathcal{K}(f, g))(\xi, \eta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left\{ \widehat{f}(t, \eta, u') *_{\eta} \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \widehat{f}(t, \eta, u) *_{\eta} \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi) \right\} du d\theta. \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left\{ \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \right\} du d\eta_1 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{x,v}(\mathcal{T}(f, g, \mu^\alpha))(\xi, \eta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta(\theta) \mu^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(u) \left\{ \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \right\} du d\eta_1 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now prepare some computation for the weighted function.

Lemma 3.5. *Let M_δ the weighted function defined in (2.8), then*

$$|\partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi)| \leq C M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi),$$

and

$$|\partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi)| \leq C M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi).$$

Here we just need

$$|\partial_\xi \Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi)| \leq C.$$

The next estimation is a preparation for the nonlinear part.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $\tau \in [0, 1]$, M_δ defined by (2.8), then we have*

$$M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) \leq CM_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') \max\{M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u'), M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u')\} e^{\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}},$$

where $\xi_\tau = \xi' - \tau(\xi' - \xi)$.

Proof. We have firstly

$$\Psi_s(t, \eta, -t\eta - \xi) = \Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi)$$

That means $\Psi_s(t, \eta, \xi)$ is symmetric with respect to $\xi = \frac{t\eta}{2}$. Now notice

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi - \xi') &= \xi(1 - \cos \theta) + u \sin \theta \\ &= \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\xi \sin \theta + u(1 + \cos \theta)}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}} = \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{u' + u}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_\tau + \rho\eta \rangle^{2\bar{s}} &\leq \langle \xi' + \rho\eta + \tau \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (u + u') \rangle^{2\bar{s}} \\ &\leq \langle \xi' + \rho\eta_1 + \rho(\eta - \eta_1) + \tau \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (u + u') \rangle^{2\bar{s}} \\ &\leq \langle \xi' + \rho\eta_1 \rangle^{2\bar{s}} + \langle \rho(\eta - \eta_1) + \tau \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (u') \rangle^{2\bar{s}} + \langle \tau \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (u) \rangle^{2\bar{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\langle \rho(\eta - \eta_1) + \tau \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (u') \rangle^{2\bar{s}} \leq \max\{\langle \rho(\eta - \eta_1) - u' \rangle^{2\bar{s}}, \langle \rho(\eta - \eta_1) + u' \rangle^{2\bar{s}}\}.$$

Since $\frac{x}{1+\delta x}$ is a increasing function for $x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ABC}{1 + \delta ABC} &\leq 9 \frac{A}{1 + \delta A} \frac{B}{1 + \delta B} \frac{C}{1 + \delta C} \\ &\leq 9 \frac{A}{1 + \delta A} \frac{B}{1 + \delta B} C, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) \leq CM_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') \max\{M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u'), M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u')\} e^{\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}}.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} v' &= v \cos \theta - v_* \sin \theta, \\ v'_* &= v \sin \theta + v_* \cos \theta, \end{aligned} \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Then, for every triple (v, v_, θ) one has, for $0 < s \leq 1$,*

$$2^{s-1} \leq \frac{\langle v_* \rangle^{2s} + \langle v \rangle^{2s}}{\langle v'_* \rangle^{2s} + \langle v' \rangle^{2s}} \leq 2^{1-s}.$$

In particular, when $s = 1$ the ratio equals 1 exactly.

4. ANALYSIS OF LINEAR OPERATOR

We now come back to the proof the Proposition 2.2, we first have

$$(\mathcal{L}g, M_\delta^2 g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} = (\mathcal{L}(M_\delta g), M_\delta g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} + ([\mathcal{L}, M_\delta]g, M_\delta g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

Firstly

$$(\mathcal{L}(M_\delta g), M_\delta g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \geq \tilde{c}_1 \|M_\delta g\|_{(r,0)}^2 - C_1 \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

So that the proof of the Proposition 2.2 is transfer to the following estimates of commutators.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the cross-section satisfies (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for suitable function g, h ,*

$$\left| \left([\mathcal{L}, M_\delta]g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

We prove this proposition by the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that the cross-section satisfies (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$ and $r > 1/2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $0 < t < T, v \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left| \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta]g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta]g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} = \left(M_\delta \mathcal{K}(\mu^{1/2}, g), h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} - \left(\mathcal{K}(\mu^{1/2}, M_\delta g), h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

Using Plancerel formula, Lemma 3.3, and a cut-off approximation procesus, we can formally wirte the collisian term in two parts by following steps:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta]g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \widehat{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u') du \right\} d\theta \widehat{\bar{h}} dud\xi d\eta \right. \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u) du \right\} d\theta \widehat{\bar{h}} dud\xi d\eta \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \widehat{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u') du \right\} d\theta \widehat{\bar{h}} dud\xi d\eta \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u) du \right\} d\theta \widehat{\bar{h}} dud\xi d\eta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta]g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) (M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \widehat{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}(u') du \right\} d\theta \widehat{\bar{h}} d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

The case of $0 < s < 1/2$: The first-order Taylor formula gives

$$M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') = \int_0^1 (\xi - \xi') \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau$$

where $\xi_\tau = \xi' - \tau(\xi' - \xi)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta]g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \\ &= C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta}^2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) (M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') du \right\} d\theta \widehat{\bar{h}} d\xi d\eta \right| \\ &= C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \mu^2(u) \left(\int_0^1 (\xi - \xi') \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \right\} \widehat{\bar{h}} dud\xi d\theta d\eta \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any ξ, ξ' , there is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_\tau + \rho\eta \rangle &\leq \langle \xi' + \rho\eta \rangle + |\xi_\tau - \xi'| = \langle \xi' + \rho\eta \rangle + |\tau(\xi - \xi')| \\ &\leq \langle \xi' + \rho\eta \rangle + \left| \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \right| |u + u'|, \quad \forall u, \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau)}{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')} \leq 3e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} |u+u'|},$$

Using $|u + u'| \leq \langle u \rangle + \langle u' \rangle \leq 4\langle u \rangle \langle u' \rangle$ and Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left(\int_0^1 (\xi - \xi') \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}} du d\xi d\theta d\eta \right| \\ &= C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left(\int_0^1 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{u + u'}{\cos(\theta/2)} \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}} du d\xi d\theta d\eta \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle \langle u' \rangle}{\cos(\theta/2)} e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} |u+u'|} \right| M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \\ &\quad \times \left| \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}} \right| du d\xi d\theta d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality and $\beta(\theta) \sin \theta \sim \theta^{-2s}$ which converge for $0 < s < 1/2$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle \langle u' \rangle}{\cos(\theta/2)} e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u| + |u'|)} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right| \\ &\quad \times \left| \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}} \right| du d\xi d\theta d\eta \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u' \rangle^2}{\cos(\theta/2)} \right| \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u'|)} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left| \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \right|^2 du d\xi d\theta d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left| \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle^2}{\cos(\theta/2)} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u|)} \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}} \right|^2 du d\xi d\theta d\eta \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, use the variable change $(\xi', u') \rightarrow (\xi, u)$, this transformation is orthogonal, so the Jacobian determinant is 1

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u' \rangle^2}{\cos(\theta/2)} \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u'|)} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left| \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \right|^2 du d\xi d\theta d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|\langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^2(L_\xi^2)} \\ &\quad \times \|\mu^2(u) \langle u \rangle e^{|u|}\|_{L_u^2} \|\theta^{-2s}\|_{L_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle^2}{\cos(\theta/2)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times |\mu^2(u) e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2}(|u|)} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}|^2 \right) d\theta d\xi d\eta d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq \|\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^2(L_\xi^2)} \|\mu^2(u) \langle u \rangle e^{|u|}\|_{L_u^2} \|\theta^{-2s}\|_{L_\theta^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta(t, D_x, D_v)] g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \\ & \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The case of $1/2 \leq s < 1$: We use now the second-order Taylor formula.

$$\begin{aligned} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') &= (\xi - \xi') \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \\ &+ \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) (\xi - \xi')^2 \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

and for a suitable function F , for any fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) (\xi - \xi') F(\xi') d\theta d\xi = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta] g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \\ &= C \left| \int_{\eta} \int_{\xi} \langle \eta \rangle^r \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^2(u) (M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') du \right\} d\theta \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}} d\xi d\eta \right| \\ &= C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^r \beta(\theta) \left\{ \mu^2(u) \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \tau) (\xi - \xi')^2 \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \right\} \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}} d\theta du d\xi d\eta \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^r \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left(\int_0^1 (1 - \tau) (\xi - \xi')^2 \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}} d\theta du d\xi d\eta \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^r \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left| \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{(u + u')^2}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} |u + u'|} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right| \\ & \quad \times |\widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u') \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}}| d\theta du d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Now $|\xi - \xi'|^2 = C \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |u + u'|^2$, Using Hölder inequality, and $|u + u'|^2 \leq C \langle u \rangle^2 \langle u' \rangle^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^r \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \left| \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{(u + u')^2}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} |u + u'|} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right| \\ & \quad \times |\widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u') \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}}| dud\xi d\theta d\eta \\ & \leq C \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u' \rangle^4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u'|)} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \left. \right|^2 dud\xi d\theta d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle^4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u|)} \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}} \right|^2 dud\xi d\theta d\eta \Big\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, use the variable change $(\xi', u') \rightarrow (\xi, u)$, this transformation is orthogonal, so the Jacobian determinant is 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u' \rangle^4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u'|)} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \left. \right|^2 dud\xi d\theta d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ & = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle^4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u|)} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \left. \right|^2 dud\xi d\theta d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \|\langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^2(L_\xi^2)} \\ & \quad \times \|\mu^2(u) \langle u \rangle^2 e^{|u|}\|_{L_u^2} \|\theta^{1-2s}\|_{L_\theta^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\xi, \eta, u}^3} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \mu^2(u) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \frac{\langle u \rangle^4}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left| e^{t \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (|u|)} \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}} \right|^2 dud\xi d\theta d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \|\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^2(L_\xi^2)} \|\mu^2(u) \langle u \rangle^2 e^{|u|}\|_{L_u^2} \|\theta^{1-2s}\|_{L_\theta^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$, we also get

$$\left| \left([\mathcal{L}_1, M_\delta] g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

which ends the proof of Lemma 4.2. $\square$

The commutators with $\mathcal{L}_2$ is simalry.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that the cross-section satisfies (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$ and $r > 1/2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \left([\mathcal{L}_2, M_\delta] g, h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

We omit the proof of this Lemma.

5. UPPER ESTIMATES OF NON LINEAR OPERATOR

Now we turn attention to Proposition 2.3, we have, by using (3.2), with the aid of refined Bobylev formula of Corollary 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(M_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g), h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} = \left(\langle \eta \rangle^r \mathcal{F}(M_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g)), \langle \eta \rangle^r \mathcal{F}(h) \right)_{L_{\eta, \xi}^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \langle \eta \rangle^r \left(\widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \right) \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \left(M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} \right) d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

We get then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(M_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g), h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') \left(M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} \right) d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') \left(M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \right. \\
&\quad - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} + M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \\
&\quad - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} + M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \\
&\quad \left. - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} \right) d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta \\
&= A_1 + A_2 + A_3,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') \mu^2(u) \\
&\quad \times \left(M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta, \\
A_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \\
&\quad \times \left(\mu^2(u) - \mu^2(u') \right) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta, \\
A_3 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \\
&\quad \times \left(\overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} - \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} \right) d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We study now this third terms by the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *We have the following estimates:*

$$|A_1| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^s(H_v^s)},$$

$$|A_2| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{(r,0)} \|h\|_{(r,0)},$$

and

$$(5.1) \quad |A_3| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

With this Proposition, by using (2.13), we can then get the Proposition 2.3,

Proof. We consider first (5.1), we can prove this it by Cancellation Lemma, in fact, by variable change $\xi' \rightarrow \xi$, see [16], page 651.

$$|A_3| \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u) \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi) d\eta_1 \right. \\ \left. \times \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{M_\delta h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)} \right\} d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta \right|$$

Note that

$$\sqrt{1 + (\rho\eta + \xi)^2} = \sqrt{1 + (\rho\eta_1 + \rho(\eta - \eta_1) + \xi + u - u)^2} \\ \leq \sqrt{1 + (\xi + \rho\eta_1)^2} + \sqrt{1 + (u + \rho(\eta - \eta_1))^2} + \sqrt{u}$$

and

$$\frac{e^{X+Y}}{1 + \delta e^{X+Y}} \leq 3 \frac{e^Y}{1 + \delta e^Y} \frac{e^X}{1 + \delta e^X},$$

we obtain

$$M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \leq 3M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi) M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) e^{t|u|}.$$

Hence we have

$$|A_3| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta, \xi, u, \eta_1}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}{\cos \theta} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \mu^2(u) \{ \langle \eta_1 \rangle^r + \langle \eta - \eta_1 \rangle^r \} \\ \times \left| \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \right| d\theta d\xi d\eta \\ \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{L_x^\infty(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\ + C \|M_\delta f\|_{L_x^\infty(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

We finish the proof by the embedding $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x) \subset H^r(\mathbb{R}_x)$. Here we use

$$\beta(\theta) \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}{\cos \theta} \sim \theta^{1-2s},$$

is integrable over $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ for $0 < s < 1$. $\square$

For the terms A_1, A_2 , we have, note that $\widehat{f}$ can be divided into the odd part and the even part for ξ . Such as

$$\widehat{f}(t, \eta, \xi) = \frac{\widehat{f}(t, \eta, \xi) + \widehat{f}(t, \eta, -\xi)}{2} + \frac{\widehat{f}(t, \eta, \xi) - \widehat{f}(t, \eta, -\xi)}{2},$$

where

$$\widehat{f}_{\text{even}}(t, \eta, \xi) = \frac{\widehat{f}(t, \eta, \xi) + \widehat{f}(t, \eta, -\xi)}{2}, \quad \widehat{f}_{\text{odd}}(t, \eta, \xi) = \frac{\widehat{f}(t, \eta, \xi) - \widehat{f}(t, \eta, -\xi)}{2}$$

Lemma 5.2. *For suitable function f*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta, \xi, u, \eta_1}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \{ M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \} \mu^2(u) \\ & \quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\xi d\eta \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta, \xi, u, \eta_1}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \{ M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \} \mu^2(u) \\ & \quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{\text{even}}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove this Lemma by the changing of variable: $(\xi, u, \theta) \rightarrow (\xi, -u, -\theta)$, so that we can consider only the even part of f for the terms A_1 .

Lemma 5.3. *For $0 < s < 1$, we have*

$$|A_1| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^s(H_v^s)}.$$

Proof. For A_1 , we cannot directly use the method in Lemma 4.2, because we cannot control $e^{|u'|} = e^{|\xi \sin \theta + u \cos \theta|}$ in whole space.

We divide the space $\mathbb{R}_{\eta, \xi, u}^3 \times [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ into four parts (depends on η_1).

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1 &= \{|\theta| \leq |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}; M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \geq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u')\} \\ \Theta_2 &= \{|\theta| \leq |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}; M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \leq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u')\} \\ \Theta_3 &= \{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}; M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \geq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u')\} \\ \Theta_4 &= \{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}; M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \leq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{\Theta_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta_1}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') \mu^2(u) \\ & \quad \times (M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\eta_1 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &= A_{11} + \cdots A_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

There is not the singularity of $\beta(\theta)$ for the terms $A_{13}, A_{1,4}$, so we use Lemma 5.2 to estimate directly,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{13}| &\leq \int_{\Theta_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta_1}} \beta(\theta) \left| M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right| \mu^2(u) \\ & \quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r |\widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{\text{even}}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)}| d\eta_1 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{\Theta_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta_1}} \beta(\theta) \max \left\{ M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi), M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \right\} \mu^2(u) \\ & \quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r |\widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{\text{even}}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)}| d\eta_1 d\theta du d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) d\theta \leq C \theta^{-2s} \Big|_{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\pi/2} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^s$$

and using Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{13}| &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\Theta_3} \beta(\theta) (\langle \xi' \rangle^s + \langle u' \rangle^s)^{-1} \left| \int_{\eta_1} M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \left. \right|^2 dud\xi d\eta d\theta \Big\}^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\Theta_3} \beta(\theta) (\langle \xi' \rangle^s + \langle u' \rangle^s) \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2s}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 dud\xi d\eta d\theta \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq A_{131} \times A_{132}
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term A_{132} , by using of Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{132} &= \left\{ \int_{\Theta_3} \beta(\theta) (\langle \xi' \rangle^s + \langle u' \rangle^s) \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^s} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 d\theta dud\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \int_{\Theta_3} \beta(\theta) (\langle \xi \rangle^s + \langle u \rangle^s) \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^s} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 d\theta dud\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\eta, \xi, u}^3} (\langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \langle u \rangle^s) \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^s} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 dud\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \|h\|_{H_x^s(H_v^s)} \|\langle u \rangle^{s/2} \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2s}}\|_{L_u^2} \leq C \|h\|_{H_x^s(H_v^s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By variable change $(\xi', u') \rightarrow (\xi, u)$, for the first term A_{131} , we just need to use

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi \rangle^{s'} (\langle \xi \rangle^s + \langle u \rangle^s)^{-1} &\leq (\langle \cos \theta \xi \rangle^s + \langle \sin \theta u \rangle^s) (\langle \xi \rangle^s + \langle u \rangle^s)^{-1} \\
&\leq (\langle \xi \rangle^s + \langle u \rangle^s) (\langle \xi \rangle^s + \langle u \rangle^s)^{-1} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|A_{13}| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}$$

We similarly have

$$|A_{14}| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

Now for the term A_{11} and A_{12} , we need to cancell the singularity of $\beta(\theta)$, two terms are similiary, so we study only the term A_{11} . We need now to consider two cases : mild singularity case of $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, and strong singularity case of $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$.

Mild singularity case of $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$

Using now the first-order Taylor formula, and $\xi' - \xi = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \xi - \sin \theta u$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{11} &= \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) (\xi' - \xi) \mu^2(u) \int_{\eta_1} \int_0^1 \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} d\theta dud\xi d\eta \\
&= \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \xi \mu^2(u) \int_{\eta_1} \int_0^1 \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} d\theta dud\xi d\eta \\
&\quad + \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin \theta u \mu^2(u) \int_{\eta_1} \int_0^1 \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} d\theta dud\xi d\eta \\
&= B_1 + B_2
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1| &\leq C \int_{\Theta_1} \left| \beta(\theta) \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \xi \mu^2(u) \int_{\eta_1} \int_0^1 \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \Big| d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\
&\leq C \int_{\Theta_1} \left| \beta(\theta) \frac{1}{2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \xi \mu^2(u) M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \right. \\
&\quad \times e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \Big| d\theta du d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that ,

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\theta \leq C_0 \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \theta^{1-2s} d\theta \leq C_0 \theta^{2-2s} \Big|_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{s-1}.$$

Thanks to Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1| &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |\xi|^{1-s} \left| \int_{\eta_1} M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \Big|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |\xi|^{1+s} \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\
&= B_{11} \times B_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second item B_{12}

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{12} &\leq C_0 \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^s} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 du d\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq C_0 \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)} \|\mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}}\|_{L_u^2} \leq C \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By variable change $(\xi', u') \rightarrow (\xi, u)$, for the first term B_{11} , using

$$\left\| \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} |\xi'|^{1-s} d\theta \right\|_{L_{\xi, u}^\infty} \leq C.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{11} &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\langle \eta_1 \rangle^{2r} + \langle \eta - \eta_1 \rangle^{2r}) \left| \int_{\eta_1} M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi) M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) d\eta_1 \Big|^2 du d\xi d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \|\langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^2(L_\xi^2)}^2 \|M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^1(L_\xi^2)}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{g}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^1(L_\xi^2)}^2 \|\langle \eta \rangle^r M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta, \xi)\|_{L_\eta^2(L_\xi^2)}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}^2 \|M_\delta f\|_{L_x^\infty(L_v^2)}^2 + \|M_\delta g\|_{L_x^\infty(H_v^s)}^2 \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 \right\}^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

Then note that continuous embedding $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset H^r(\mathbb{R})$,

$$|B_1| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

For B_2 , by the same method, using the Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_2| &\leq C \int_{\Theta_1} |\beta(\theta)| \frac{1}{2} \sin(\theta) u \mu^2(u) \int_{\eta_1} \int_0^1 \partial_\xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} | d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq C \int_{\Theta_1} |\beta(\theta)| \frac{1}{2} \sin(\theta) u \mu^2(u) M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') \\ &\quad \times e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2s}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} | d\theta du d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

Using, for $0 < 2s < 1$,

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta \leq C_0 \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \theta^{-2s} d\theta \leq C_0 \theta^{1-2s} \Big|_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{s-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We get

$$|B_2| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

Hence we have proved Lemma 5.3 for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$|A_1| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

□

Strong singularity case of $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$.

The analysis in Θ_3 , Θ_4 is same to the case when $0 < s < 1/2$. For Θ_1 , Θ_2 , take the second-order Taylor formula. Using

$$\iint \beta(\theta)(\xi - \xi') F(\xi') d\theta d\xi = 0,$$

and

$$(\xi' - \xi)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \xi^2 + \sin^2 \theta u^2 + \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \xi u,$$

Thus, combined with Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \int_{\Theta_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \{ M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi) - M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \} \mu^2(u) \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{\Theta_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \int_0^1 (\xi - \xi')^2 (1 - \tau) \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \mu^2(u) \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &= B_3 + B_4 + B_5 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 &= \int_{\Theta_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \int_0^1 \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \xi^2 (1 - \tau) \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \mu^2(u) \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\xi du d\eta, \\ B_4 &= \int_{\Theta_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \int_0^1 \sin^2 \theta u^2 (1 - \tau) \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \mu^2(u) \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta du d\xi d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

$$B_5 = \int_{\Theta_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \int_0^1 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \xi u (1-\tau) \partial_\xi^2 M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi_\tau) d\tau \mu^2(u) \\ \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta du d\xi d\eta.$$

We can analysis B_3, B_4, B_5 by same method, for instance we estimate a term of B_3 .

Note that when $(\xi, u, \theta) \in \Theta_1$, $|\xi| \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \leq 1$ and

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\theta \leq C_0 \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \theta^{1-2s} d\theta \leq C_0 \theta^{2-2s} \Big|_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{s-1}.$$

We can get

$$|B_3| \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

By the same method,

$$|B_4| \leq C \left\{ \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \theta \left| \int_{\eta_1} M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \right. \right. \\ \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \Big|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \theta u^4 \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2},$$

using

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{s-1} \leq C.$$

Which imply

$$|B_4| \leq C \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

And for B_5

$$|B_5| \leq C \left\{ \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \theta |\xi|^{1-s} \left| \int_{\eta_1} M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \right. \right. \\ \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \Big|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ \times \left\{ \int_{\Theta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2} |\xi|^{1+s} u^2 \left| \mu^2(u) e^{t\langle u \rangle^{2\bar{s}}} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Now using

$$\left\| \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \theta |\xi'|^{1-s} d\theta \right\|_{L_{\xi, u}^\infty} = \left\| \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \theta |\xi|^{1-s} d\theta \right\|_{L_{\xi, u}^\infty} \\ \leq C_0 \| |\xi|^{1-s} \langle \xi \rangle^{s-1} \|_{L_{\xi, u}^\infty} \leq C_0,$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^{|\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \beta(\theta) \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2} |\xi|^{1+s} d\theta \right\|_{L_{\xi, u}^\infty} \leq C_0 \| |\xi|^{1+s} \langle \xi \rangle^{s-2} \|_{L_{\xi, u}^\infty} \leq C_0.$$

Thus

$$|B_5| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}.$$

Hence we have proved Lemma 5.3 for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$.

6. TECHNICAL LEMMA

The estimate of term A_2 is more technical, we have firstly.

Corollary 6.1. *For A_2 , note that μ is a even function for v , we also have*

$$A_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \langle \eta \rangle^{2r} \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta_1, u') \widehat{g}(t, \eta - \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \\ \times (\mu^2(u) - \mu^2(u')) \overline{\widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta d\eta_1 du d\xi d\eta,$$

We can prove now,

Lemma 6.2. *We have the following estimates*

$$|A_2| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{(r,0)} \|h\|_{(r,0)}$$

Proof. For A_2 , we can analysis it by the same way like A_1 . But we divide the space $\mathbb{R}_{\eta, \xi, u}^3 \times [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ into 6 parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \{|\theta| \leq |\xi|^{-1}, \mu(u) \leq \mu(u')\}, & \Sigma_2 &= \{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-1}, \mu(u) \leq \mu(u')\}, \\ \Sigma_3 &= \{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-1}, M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \geq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u'), \mu(u) \geq \mu(u')\}, \\ \Sigma_4 &= \{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-1}, M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \leq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u'), \mu(u) \geq \mu(u')\}, \\ \Sigma_5 &= \{|\theta| \leq |\xi|^{-1}, M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \geq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u'), \mu(u) \geq \mu(u')\}, \\ \Sigma_6 &= \{|\theta| \leq |\xi|^{-1}, M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \leq M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, -u'), \mu(u) \geq \mu(u')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^6 \int_{\Sigma_j} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') (\mu^2(u) - \mu^2(u')) \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &= A_{21} + \cdots A_{26}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{22}| &\leq \int_{\Sigma_2} \beta(\theta) \int_{\eta_1} M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \mu^2(u') \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r |\widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)}| d\theta d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1 + (\rho\eta + \xi')^2} &= \sqrt{1 + (\rho\eta_1 + \rho(\eta - \eta_1) + \xi + u' - u')^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{1 + (\xi' + \rho\eta_1)^2} + \sqrt{1 + (u' + \rho(\eta - \eta_1))^2} + \sqrt{|u'|}. \end{aligned}$$

It stands

$$\frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')}{M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u')} \leq 3e^{|u'|}.$$

We have also

$$\int_{|\theta| \geq |\xi|^{-1}} \beta(\theta) d\theta \leq C \theta^{-2s} \Big|_{|\xi|^{-1}}^{\pi/2} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{2s}.$$

We can then get

$$|A_{22}| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

The estimate of the term A_{23}, A_{24} , the integrale define over Σ_3 and Σ_4 , are exactly the same

$$|A_{23}| + |A_{24}| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

The estimate of the term A_{21}, A_{25}, A_{26} , the integrals define over Σ_1, Σ_5 and Σ_6 , are also by the same way, so we study only the term A_{21} .

When $0 < s < 1/2$, using the first-order Taylor formula

$$\mu^2(u) - \mu^2(u') = \int_0^1 (\mu^2)'(u_\tau) (u - u') d\tau.$$

where $u_\tau = u - \tau(u - u') = u - (1 - \tau)(u - u')$ and $u' - u = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})u + \sin \theta \xi$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21} &= \int_{\Sigma_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \int_0^1 (\mu^2)'(u_\tau) (u - u') d\tau \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} d\eta_1 d\theta du d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21} &= \int_{\Sigma_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) u M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \int_0^1 (\mu^2)'(u_\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} d\eta_1 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin \theta \xi M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') \int_0^1 (\mu^2)'(u_\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi) \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u) d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi')} d\theta du d\xi d\eta \\ &= D_1 + D_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since for all $(\xi, u, \eta, \theta) \in \Sigma_1$, $|(\sin \theta) \xi| \leq 1$, $|\frac{1}{2}u| - 1 \leq |u_\tau| \leq |u + 1|$, $|\frac{1}{2}u - 1| \leq |u'| \leq |u| + 1$ and $(\mu^2)'(u) = -2u\mu^2(u)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |D_1| &\leq C \int_{\Sigma_1} \int_{\eta_1} \left| \beta(\theta) \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) u M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi') (|u| + 1) \mu^2(|\frac{1}{2}u - 1|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{\eta_1} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \overline{\langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi)} \right| d\theta d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Note that when $(\xi, u, \eta, \theta) \in \Sigma_1$,

$$\frac{M_\delta(t, \eta, \xi')}{M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u')} \leq 3e^{|u|+1},$$

and

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-1}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\theta \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{2s-2}$$

Thanks to Hölder inequality, we get

$$|D_1| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

For D_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_2| &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin(\theta) |\xi'| \left| M_\delta(t, \eta_1, \xi') M_\delta(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{g}(t, \eta_1, \xi') \widehat{f}_{even}(t, \eta - \eta_1, u') d\eta_1 \right|^2 d\theta du d\xi d\eta \Big\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_1} \int_{\eta_1} \beta(\theta) \sin(\theta) |\xi|^2 |\xi'|^{-1} \left| (|u| + 1) \mu^2(|\frac{1}{2}u - 1|) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times e^{|u|+1} \langle \eta \rangle^r \widehat{h}(t, \eta, \xi) \right|^2 d\theta d\xi d\eta \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method, we use, for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-1}} \beta(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{2s-1}$$

and

$$|\xi|^2/|\xi'| \leq \langle \xi \rangle \langle u \rangle, \quad \forall (\xi, u, \eta, \theta) \in \Sigma_1.$$

We get

$$|D_2| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

Hence, we have for $0 < s < 1/2$,

$$|A_{21}| + |A_{25}| + |A_{26}| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(H_v^s)}.$$

For the case of $1/2 \leq s < 1$, we use second order Tylor fromula,

$$\mu^2(u) - \mu^2(u') = -2u'\mu^2(u')(u - u') + \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) (\mu^2)''(u_\tau) (u - u')^2 d\tau.$$

And when $(\xi, u, \eta, \theta) \in \Sigma_1$,

$$(u' - u)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) u^2 + \sin^2 \theta \xi^2 - \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \xi u,$$

and

$$\int_0^{|\xi|^{-1}} \beta(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} d\theta \leq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^{2s-2}.$$

It can therefore be concluded that

$$|A_2| \leq C \|M_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|M_\delta g\|_{(r,0)} \|h\|_{(r,0)}.$$

We finish then the proof of Proposition 2.3. $\square$

7. EXPONENTIAL DECAY FOR THE VELOCITY VARIABLE

To study the exponential decay for the velocity variable of the solution, we choose test function to the equation in (1.3), then we will choose

$$G_\delta^2 g(t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; \mathcal{G}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_x; S_{1+\frac{1}{2s}}^{1+\frac{1}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}_v))),$$

where

$$G_\delta(t, v) = \frac{e^{c_0 t \langle v \rangle^{2\bar{s}}}}{1 + \delta e^{c_0 t \langle v \rangle^{2\bar{s}}}},$$

is a bounded function for $0 < \delta < 1$, then we have

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left((\partial_t + v \partial_x) g, G_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} + \left(\mathcal{L} g, G_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{K}(g, g), G_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Our object is also, by using the above equation, to establish the following estimate

$$(7.2) \quad \|G_\delta g(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

with C independents of $0 < \delta < 1$, then take $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (7.2) to get

$$\|e^{c_0 t \langle \cdot \rangle^{2\bar{s}}} g(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Combine with the results of (2.4), we get the Gevrey-Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effect of Theorem 1.1.

We study the three terms in (7.1) by the following Proposition,

Proposition 7.1. *For the kinetic part of (7.1), we have*

$$\left((\partial_t + v\partial_x)g, G_\delta^2 g \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 - c_0 \|\langle v \rangle^s G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

For the linear part, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}g, G_\delta^2 g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \geq c_1 \|G_\delta g\|_{(r,0)}^2 - C_1 \|G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2.$$

For the nonlinear part,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\mathcal{K}(g, g), G_\delta^2 g)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| &\leq C_2 \|g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|G_\delta g\|_{(r,0)}^2 \\ &\quad + C_3 \|G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 \|G_\delta g\|_{(r,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the nonlinear Gronwell inequality give immediatly the following results.

$$\|G_\delta g(t)\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2 + \int_0^t \|G_\delta g(\tau)\|_{(r,0)}^2 d\tau \leq \frac{\|g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2}{1 - Ct\|g_0\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}^2}.$$

Exactly as in Section 4, we only need to study the estimates of commutators.

To prove the commutator estimation between linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ and G_δ , we give the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *For $G_\delta(t, v)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_v G_\delta(t, v)| &\leq C \langle v \rangle^{2\bar{s}-1} G_\delta(t, v), \\ |\partial_v^2 G_\delta(t, v)| &\leq C \langle v \rangle^{4\bar{s}-2} G_\delta(t, v). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we deal with the commutator estimation of linear operator $\mathcal{L}$ and G_δ as follows.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that the cross-section satisfies (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$, $0 < \delta < 1$ and $r > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$|([\mathcal{L}, G_\delta]g, h)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)}| \leq C \|\langle v \rangle^s G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)},$$

The proof is almost the same as the Fourier multiplier, and for the case of $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from the first-order Taylor formula,

$$G_\delta(t, v) - G_\delta(t, v') = \int_0^1 (v - v') \partial_v G_\delta(t, v_\tau) d\tau,$$

and

$$(v - v') = 2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} v - \sin \theta v_* = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} (v_* + v'_*),$$

we need also $\langle v_\tau \rangle^{2\bar{s}} \leq \langle v' \rangle^{2\bar{s}} + |v_*| + |v'_*|$.

For the Case of $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$, we use the second-order Taylor formula

$$\begin{aligned} G_\delta(t, v) - G_\delta(t, v') &= (v - v') \partial_v G_\delta(t, v') \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) (v - v')^2 \partial_v^2 G_\delta(t, v_\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

We give here some computation for non linear commutators, and the linear case can be deduce immediatly.

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that the cross-section satisfies (1.2) with $0 < s < 1$, $\delta < 1$ and $r > 1/2$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\mathcal{K}(f, G_\delta g) - G_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g), h)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \right| \\ \leq C \|G_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|h\|_{(r,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have firstly

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{K}(f, G_\delta g) - G_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g), h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v_*) \beta(\theta) \left\{ G_\delta(t, v') \langle D_x \rangle^r g(t, x, v') f(t, x, v'_*) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \langle D_x \rangle^r G_\delta(t, v) g(t, x, v) f(t, x, v_*) \right\} \langle D_x \rangle^r \bar{h}(t, x, v) d\theta dv_* dv dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v) \beta(\theta) \mu(v'_*)^{\frac{1}{2}}(v') \{ G_\delta(t, v') - G_\delta(t, v) \} \\
&\quad \times \langle D_x \rangle^r g(t, x, v') f(t, x, v'_*) \langle D_x \rangle^r h(t, x, v) d\theta dv_* dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The case of $0 < s < 1/2$: Note that $|v|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2 - |v_*|^2$, thus when $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\langle v_\tau \rangle^s \leq \langle v' \rangle^s + \langle v'_* \rangle^s + C \langle v_* \rangle^s,$$

we have

$$G_\delta(t, v_\tau) \leq 3G_\delta(t, v') G_\delta(t, v'_*) e^{c_0 t \langle v_* \rangle^s}.$$

We also divide the space into two parts,

$$\Xi_1 = \left\{ |\theta| \leq \frac{1}{|v|^s} \right\}, \quad \Xi_2 = \left\{ |\theta| \geq \frac{1}{|v|^s} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{K}(f, G_\delta g) - G_\delta \mathcal{K}(f, g), h \right)_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_*) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) v \partial_v G_\delta(t, v_\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad \times \langle D_x \rangle^r g(t, x, v') f(t, x, v'_*) \langle D_x \rangle^r h(t, x, v) d\theta dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_x \int_v \int_{v_*} \int_\theta \beta(\theta) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_*) \int_0^1 \sin \theta v_* \partial_v G_\delta(t, v_\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad \times \langle D_x \rangle^r g(t, x, v') f(t, x, v'_*) \langle D_x \rangle^r h(t, x, v) d\theta dv_* dv dx \\
&= E_1 + E_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 7.2, for the integrale over Ξ_1

$$\begin{aligned}
|E_{11}| &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_{x, v_*}^2} \int_{\Xi_1} \beta(\theta) \sin \theta \left| G_\delta(t, v') G_\delta(t, v'_*) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \langle D_x \rangle^r g(t, x, v') f(t, x, v'_*) \left. \left. \right|^2 d\theta dv_* dv dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_x \int_v \int_{v_*} \int_\theta \beta(\theta) \sin \theta \left| \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_*) \langle v_* \rangle^{1-2s} e^{c_0 t |v_*|^s} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \langle D_x \rangle^r h(t, x, v) \langle v \rangle^s \left. \left. \right|^2 d\theta dv_* dv dx \right\}^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which imply That means,

$$|E_{11}| \leq C \|G_\delta g\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|G_\delta f\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)} \|\langle v \rangle^s h\|_{H_x^r(L_v^2)},$$

and the same for E_{21} . We omite the estimates of integrale over Ξ_2 , and also the case of $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The second author (H. M. Cao) was supported by the NSFC (No. 12001269) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China. The third author (C.-J. Xu) was supported by the NSFC (No.12031006,

No.12426632) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

Data availability. No data was used for the research described in the article.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, T. Yang, *Regularizing effect and local existence for the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation*, Archive for rational mechanics and analysis, 2010, 198(1): 39–123.
- [2] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, T. Yang, *Local existence with mild regularity for the Boltzmann equation*, Kinetic and Related Models, 2013, 6(4): 1011.
- [3] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, T. Yang, *The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, Global existence for soft potential*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 2012, 262(3): 915–1010.
- [4] H. M. Cao, H. G. Li, C.-J. Xu, J. Xu, *The Cauchy problem for the inhomogeneous non-cutoff Kac equation in critical Besov space*, Journal of Differential Equations, 2020, 269(2): 1117–1171.
- [5] H. M. Cao, W. X. Li, C.-J. Xu, *Analytic smoothing effect of the spatially inhomogeneous Landau equations for hard potentials*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 2023, 176: 138–182.
- [6] J. L. Chen, W. X. Li, C.-J. Xu, *Sharp regularization effect for the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation with hard potentials*, Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, 2024.
- [7] L. Desvillettes, *About the regularizing properties of the non-cut-off Kac equation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 1995, 168(2): 417–440.
- [8] L. Desvillettes, G. Furioli, E. Terraneo, *Propagation of Gevrey regularity for solutions of the Boltzmann equation for Maxwellian molecules*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 2009, 361(4): 1731–1747.
- [9] R. J. Duan, W. X. Li, L. Q. Liu, *Gevrey regularity of mild solutions to the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation*, Advances in Mathematics, 2022, 395: 108159.
- [10] R. Duan, S. Liu, S. Sakamoto, and R. M. Strain. *Global mild solutions of the Landau and non-cutoff Boltzmann equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 74(5):9321020, 2021.
- [11] R. J. Duan, R.-M. Strain, *On the full dissipative property of the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system*, in: Hyperbolic Problems Theory, Numerics and Applications, vol. 2, Ser. Contemp. Appl. Math. CAM, vol. 18, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 2012, pp. 398–405.
- [12] L. Glangetas, M. Najeme, *Analytical regularizing effect for the radial and spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation*, Kinetic and Related Models, 2013, 6(2): 407–427.
- [13] L. Glangetas, H. G. Li, C.-J. Xu, *Sharp regularity properties for the non-cutoff spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation*, Kinetic and Related Models, 2016, 9(2): 299–371.
- [14] N. Lerner, Y. Morimoto, K. Pravda-Starov, C.-J. Xu, *Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effect for the spatially inhomogeneous non-cutoff Kac equation*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 2015, 269(2): 459–535.
- [15] N. Lerner, Y. Morimoto, K. Pravda-Starov, C.-J. Xu, *Spectral and phase space analysis of the linearized non-cutoff kac collision operator*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 2013, 100(6): 832–867.

- [16] N. Lekrine, C.-J. Xu, *Gevrey regularizing effect of the Cauchy problem for non-cutoff homogeneous Kac's equation*, Kinetic and Related Models, 2009, 2(4): 647–666.
- [17] L. B. He, J. Ji, W. X. Li, *On the Boltzmann equation with soft potentials: Existence, uniqueness and smoothing effect of mild solutions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13205, 2024.
- [18] Z. H. Huo, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, T. Yang, *Regularity of solutions for spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff*, Kinetic and Related Models, 2008, 1: 453–489.
- [19] Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, T. Yang, *Regularity of solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2009, 24: 187–212.
- [20] Y. Morimoto, C.-J. Xu, *Analytic smoothing effect for the nonlinear Landau equation of Maxwellian molecules*, Kinetic and Related Models, 2020, 13(5): 951–978.
- [21] Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, *Gevrey smoothing effect of solutions for spatially homogeneous nonlinear Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff*, Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications, 2010, 1(1): 139–159.
- [22] C. J. Xu, Y. Xu, *The analytic Gelfand-Shilov smoothing effect of the Landau equation with hard potential*, Journal of Differential Equations, 2025, 414: 645–681.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS MIIT, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF AERONAUTICS AND ASTRONAUTICS, NANJING 210016, CHINA

Email address: Math22cxz@nuaa.edu.cn; hmcao_91@nuaa.edu.cn; xuchaojiang@nuaa.edu.cn