

# MULTIPLE COVER FORMULAS FOR ABELIAN SURFACES VIA CORRELATED INVARIANTS

THOMAS BLOMME, FRANCESCA CAROCCI

**ABSTRACT.** We prove the multiple cover formula conjecture for abelian surfaces for a large class of insertions, including all stationary invariants. The proof uses the reduced degeneration formula expressing the invariants in terms of the correlated Gromov–Witten invariants previously introduced by the authors.

## CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction</b>	2
1.1. Reduced Gromov–Witten invariants and Multiple cover formulas	2
1.2. Correlated GW invariants and reduced decomposition formula	3
1.3. Strategy and Results	5
1.4. Plan of the paper	8
<b>2. Counts with group algebra values</b>	9
2.1. Group algebras and functions	9
2.2. Algebraic multiple cover formula	12
2.3. Various counts of subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$	17
<b>3. Degeneration formula for reduced class</b>	24
3.1. Preliminaries to the reduced degeneration formula	24
3.2. Reduced decomposition formula	30
3.3. Reduced classes for log smooth degenerations of abelian surfaces	34
3.4. Splitting of the reduced class	39
<b>4. Multiple cover formula for point insertions</b>	44
4.1. Local setting and its contribution	44
4.2. Multiple cover formula for correlated invariants of $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$	45
4.3. Multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces	49
4.4. Extension to refined invariants	55
<b>5. Multiple cover formula for the correlated DR-cycle</b>	57
5.1. Correlated DR-cycle	57
5.2. Multiple cover formula for the correlated DR	67
<b>6. General Multiple cover formula</b>	70
6.1. Multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces	70
6.2. Multiple cover formula in $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$	75
<b>References</b>	76

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary ; Secondary .

*Key words and phrases.* Enumerative geometry, multiple cover formula, Gromov–Witten invariants, decomposition formula, abelian surfaces, double ramification cycle formula.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1. Reduced Gromov–Witten invariants and Multiple cover formulas.

1.1.1. *Abelian surfaces.* Complex tori are quotients of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by a rank 4 lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . In particular, they inherit from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  a non-degenerate holomorphic volume form. The existence of the latter forces the vanishing of the usual virtual class on the moduli of stable maps and thus of the usual Gromov-Witten invariants.

This vanishing may also be seen as a consequence of the following fact: for a generic choice of lattice  $\Lambda$ , the complex torus  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$  is not a projective variety and does not contain any complex curve. To remedy this problem, we want to focus on abelian varieties, i.e. *projective* complex tori. Following [GH14], these are characterized among complex tori as those satisfying the so-called Riemann bilinear relations, which are conditions on the embedding  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ .

To get interesting numbers, one has to consider a *reduced* virtual fundamental class on the moduli of stable maps, as already done in [BL99] or [BOPY18], and also similarly for GW invariants of K3 surfaces [BL00],[MPT10].

1.1.2. *Gromov-Witten theory and multiple cover formulas.* Let  $A = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$  be an abelian surface with  $\beta \in H_2(A, \mathbb{Z})$  a realizable curve class, Poincaré dual to a multiple of an ample *primitive* class  $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ . Following [BOPY18], the moduli of stable maps  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A, \beta)$  is endowed with a reduced virtual class  $[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A, \beta)]^{\text{red}}$  of dimension  $g + n$ . Reduced Gromov-Witten invariants are obtained by capping the latter with cohomology classes pulled back along the evaluation map and the forgetful map:

$$\text{ev}: \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A, \beta) \rightarrow A^n, \quad \text{ft}: \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.$$

For  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma_i \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$ , we set

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g,\beta} = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A, \beta)]^{\text{red}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^* (\gamma_i).$$

It is well-known that the deformation invariance property of these numbers implies that the invariants depend on  $\beta$  only through its self-intersection  $\beta^2$  and its divisibility  $\ell(\beta)$ .

The divisibility 1 case, also known as *primitive case*, is easier to handle and several computations and conjectures are known in this case [BL99, BOPY18]. Concerning divisible classes, in [Obe22, Appendix B], G. Oberdieck conjectures a very simple multiple cover formula expressing the reduced invariants for non-primitive classes in terms of the invariants for primitive classes.

**Conjecture 1.1.** *If  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ , we have*

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g,\beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{3g-3+n-\deg \alpha} \langle \alpha; \varphi_k(\gamma_1), \dots, \varphi_k(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g, \varphi_k^*(\beta/k)},$$

where  $\varphi_k: H^*(A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(A', \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism of graded algebras preserving the class of a point  $\text{pt} \in H^4(A, \mathbb{Q})$  and with  $\varphi_k^*$  mapping  $\beta/k$  to a primitive curve class (with the same self-intersection) in  $A'$ .

In the remainder of the paper, since it shows up quite a lot, we abbreviate “multiple cover formula” by MCF. Oberdieck’s MCF was conjectured following the MCF for reduced GW invariants in the K3 case, which has a slightly more ancient history. The  $g = 0$  multiple cover formula for K3 surfaces goes back to Aspinwall-Morrison and Yau-Zaslow in the 90’s and was proven in [KMPS10]. The MCF where the class  $\alpha$  is chosen to be a  $\lambda$ -class insertion goes back

to Katz-Klemm-Vafa [KKV99] and was proven by R. Pandharipande and R. Thomas [PT16]. Inspired by these results, G. Oberdieck and R. Pandharipande proposed in [OP16] a general multiple cover formula for invariants of K3 surfaces, which is proven for the divisibility 2 case in [BB21] by Y. Bae and T-H. Buelles.

Evidence for Conjecture 1.1 was provided by [BOPY18] in the case where the insertions are a  $\lambda_{g-2}$  and two point insertions. Later, the first author [Blo25b, Blo25c] proved the conjecture in the case of point insertions via tropical methods relying on Nishinou's correspondence theorem [Nis20] in abelian surfaces. He also proved a MCF for refined invariants in tropical abelian varieties, that shall be connected to the MCF with a  $\lambda$ -class insertion. Such a connection was already observed in other situations: toric case [Bou19], line bundle over elliptic curves and bielliptic surfaces [Blo25a].

The evidence provided by [Blo25b, Blo25c] suggests that the MCF behaves appropriately with respect to degenerations, hinting towards multiple formulas for log-CY surfaces as well. Another clue in this direction is provided by the proof of Takahashi conjecture [Bou23], giving a MCF for a refined count of curves maximally tangent to a cubic in the plane.

It is interesting to notice that many of the previously mentioned instances of the multiple cover formula, such as the KKV conjecture [PT16] and Takahashi conjecture [Bou23], have been proven passing to the sheaf theory side, as the experience suggests that PT invariants and DT invariants for moduli of one dimensional sheaves are much closer to BPS states than stable maps (we refer for example to [PT10, MT18]). At the time of writing this paper, we are aware that G. Oberdieck and R. Pandharipande are also working to prove the multiple cover formula by rephrasing it entirely in a statement about Pandharipande-Thomas stable pair invariants.

In the present paper, we put forward a technique to study multiple cover formula phenomena staying within the realm of Gromov-Witten theory, and show that it's effective by proving many instances of the multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces.

The present paper may be seen as a milestone in some ongoing project tackling the computation of reduced GW invariants of surfaces. In the attempt to prove Conjecture 1.1, the authors have been introducing a refinement of relative GW invariants, called *correlated GW invariants* [BC25b], which, as advertised in *loc.cit.* and proven here, naturally appear in the degeneration formula for the reduced class of an abelian surface.

## 1.2. Correlated GW invariants and reduced decomposition formula.

1.2.1. *Correlation.* Let  $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1 | E^+ + E^-, a, \mathbf{w})$ , denote the moduli space of relative stable maps with *tangency profile*  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  of class  $a[E] + (\sum w_i)^+[\mathbb{P}^1]$ . This is endowed with its natural evaluation map

$$\text{ev}: \mathcal{M}_{g,m}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1 | E^+ + E^-, a, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow E^n \times (E \times \mathbb{P}^1)^m,$$

where the evaluation at the boundary marked point is defined by post-composition with the projection to  $E$ .

Given  $f: (C, p_j, q_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  a relative stable map, and denoting by  $x_i = \text{ev}_{q_i}(f) \in E$  the image of a boundary evaluation, we have the relation

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i = 0 \in E.$$

Let  $|\mathbf{w}| = \gcd(w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the gcd of the tangency orders. Then, we can consider the morphism  $\kappa^{|\mathbf{w}|}$  from our moduli space to  $E[|\mathbf{w}|]$ , the subgroup of  $|\mathbf{w}|$ -torsion element in  $E$ , mapping a relative stable map to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{w_i}{|\mathbf{w}|} x_i \in E[|\mathbf{w}|].$$

We denote by  $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{w_i}{|\mathbf{w}|} x_i$  the image, and call it the *correlator* of  $f$ , as it contains an information on the position of the boundary points, relative to each other. We will refer to  $\kappa^{|\mathbf{w}|}$  as the *correlator function*.

Since the target  $E[|\mathbf{w}|]$  is discrete, the correlator function allows us to see (replacing  $(E \times \mathbb{P}^1 | E^+ + E^-)$  with  $(Y|D)$  from now on to simplify notation) that  $\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(Y|D, a, \mathbf{w})$  is a union of open and closed substacks indexed by the correlators; we can thus define a refinement of the GW invariants, which we call *correlated invariants*:

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n+m} \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|,\theta} = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,m}^\theta(Y|D,a,\mathbf{w})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \prod_{i=1}^{n+m} \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma_i).$$

The computations performed in [BC25b] suggest that to handle the correlated GW invariants, one should consider classes with coefficients in the group algebra of  $E$ , and support given by the correlators, i.e.  $|\mathbf{w}|$ -torsion elements. We thus define the full correlated virtual class

$$[\mathcal{M}_{g,m}(Y|D, a, \mathbf{w})]^{|\mathbf{w}|} = \sum_{|\mathbf{w}|\theta \equiv 0} [\mathcal{M}_{g,m}^\theta(Y|D, a, \mathbf{w})]^{\text{vir}} \cdot (\theta) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[E].$$

Integrating over the latter, correlated GW invariants are naturally elements of  $\mathbb{Q}[E]$ :

$$\langle \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m+n} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|} = \sum_{|\mathbf{w}|\theta \equiv 0} \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m+n} \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|,\theta} \cdot (\theta).$$

**1.2.2. Reduced decomposition formula.** Let  $a, d \geq 1$  be integers,  $E, F$  be elliptic curves, and  $u \in E[d]$  a  $d$ -torsion element in  $E$ . We consider one parameter semi-stable degenerations  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  of families of elliptically fibered surfaces  $E \hookrightarrow A(u) \xrightarrow{p} F$ .

The parameter  $u$  is called the *monodromy* and determines the translation when going around a specified loop in  $F$ . For instance, the choice  $u = 0$  corresponds to the trivial product  $E \times F$ . The abelian surface  $A_t(u)$  comes with a natural polarization  $\beta(u)$  with fixed intersection with a fiber  $\beta(u) \cdot [E] = a$  and fixed base degree  $p_*\beta(u) = d[F]$ . We will refer to  $d$  as the “norm” of the curve class and write it  $|\beta|$  to highlight the dependence on the curve class.

The self-intersection of  $\beta(u)$  is equal to  $2ad$  and does not depend on the choice of  $u$ , while the divisibility  $\ell(\beta(u)) = \gcd(a, d, \frac{d}{\text{ord}(u)})$ , which depends on  $u \in E[|\beta|]$ . For instance, the divisibility is  $\gcd(a, d)$  if  $u = 0$ , and 1 if  $u$  is chosen to be a primitive  $d$ -torsion element (i.e. of order  $d$ ). These are explicitly constructed in Section 3.1.1. The central fiber  $A_0(u)$  is obtained gluing of  $N$  copies of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  along their boundary divisors, where the first and last copies are glued with a  $u$ -shift.

The degeneration formula allows one to write the reduced class  $[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A_0(u), \beta(u))]^{\text{red}}$  as a sum of classes of (virtual) components  $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$  of indexed by certain decorated graphs  $\Gamma$ , which are the possible (generic) dual graphs of curves mapped to the central fiber, where: vertices  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  correspond to irreducible components, and edges  $e = (h, h') \in E(\Gamma)$  to nodes mapped to the singular locus of  $A_0(u)$ . Here  $h, h'$  denotes the two half edges associated with  $e$ , corresponding to the pre-imaged of the node in the normalization.

The core of a degeneration formula statement is to prove that the virtual classes of the components  $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma$  are obtained by taking the product over vertices  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  of the virtual classes of moduli of log maps  $\mathcal{M}_v(E \times \mathbb{P}^1|D)$  to components  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  of  $A_0(u)$ , and then taking the cap product with the Poincaré dual class of diagonal  $\Delta: E^{E(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{H(\Gamma)}$ , which geometrically means imposing that evaluation of boundary points corresponding to a common node match. Here we denoted by  $H(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $E(\Gamma)$ ) the set half-edges (resp. edges) of  $\Gamma$ .

Equation (1) tells us that the intersection with the diagonal is not transverse. An easy particular case when we have a unique component, i.e. a curve in  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with a symmetric tangency profile  $\mathbf{w}$ , meaning there is an involution  $\tau$  of  $\llbracket 1; n \rrbracket$  with  $w_{\tau(i)} = -w_i$ . The diagonal constraints forces  $x_{\tau(i)} = x_i$  for each  $i$  (with a translation in case of non-trivial monodromy). Equation (1) takes the following form:

$$\sum_{\{i, \tau(i)\}} w_i(x_i - x_{\tau(i)}) = 0 \in E.$$

In particular, the constraints are not linearly independent: if all gluing except one are satisfied, the last one is satisfied up to *torsion*. There are therefore two problems that arise:

- One has to take care of the transversality issue, correcting the class of the diagonal to a *reduced* diagonal; this amounts to consider the embedding of  $E^{E(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\Delta_u^{\text{red}}} \mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u \subseteq E^{H(\Gamma)}$  of the diagonal into a smaller target. We refer to Section 3.1.4 for the definition and the geometric properties.
- One needs to handle the bookkeeping of the components that actually glue together and not only up to torsion, which is where correlated invariants are involved. This takes the form of a compatibility relation between vertex correlators  $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_v)_{v \in V(\Gamma)}$  and the monodromy  $u$ .

In the end, the reduced decomposition formula takes the following shape (See Theorem 3.12):

$$[\mathcal{M}_0^u]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{l_\Gamma}{|E(\Gamma)|!} \mu_{\tilde{\Gamma},*} \varphi_\Gamma^* \left( \sum_{\underline{\theta}} \Delta_u^! \left( \prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v}]^{\text{vir}} \right) \right)$$

where the second sum is over the  $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_v)$  satisfying the above mentioned compatibility condition.

### 1.3. Strategy and Results.

**1.3.1. Strategy.** One of the key insights of our approach is that, in analogy to what one experiences with the correlated invariants, the reduced decomposition formula takes a nice form when considered as an element in the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[E]$ , where the elements in the support, playing the role of correlators, are the various possible monodromies  $u$  of the families of abelian surfaces mentioned above.

We thus consider the *correlated reduced class*

$$[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{|\beta|u=0} [\mathcal{M}(A_0(u))]^{\text{red}} \cdot (u),$$

as element in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[E]$  with cycle coefficients.

For constraints  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H^*(A_0, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ , we denote by

$$\langle \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle \rangle_{g,\beta} = \sum_{|\beta|u=0} \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g,\beta(u)}^{A(u)} \cdot (u),$$

the group algebra element where the  $(u)$ -coefficient is the reduced Gromov-Witten invariant for the elliptically fibered abelian surface  $A(u)$ .

The invariant encompasses all possible values of  $u$ ; again, the explicit description of Section 3.1.2 shows that all the polarization  $\beta(u)$  have the same self-intersection but different divisibilities depending on the order of  $u$ .

The reduced GW invariants  $\langle\langle\alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle\rangle_{g,\beta}$  can now be seen as functions  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[E]$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(d = |\beta|)$  has support in the  $d$ -torsion of  $E$ . The  $(u)$ -coefficient of  $\mathbf{F}(d)$  is the reduced GW-invariant for a class whose self-intersection only depends on  $d$ , and divisibility depending instead on the order of  $u$ .

Using this formulation, the MCF can be reformulated as an elementary linear functional equation (which we momentarily call *algebraic-MCF*) on this generating function. This abstract algebra setting is the topic of Section 2.

Furthermore, the correlated reduced decomposition formula expresses the previous generating function with values in  $\mathbb{Q}[E]$  as a sum over *degeneration graphs of correlated multiplicity functions* which also take values in  $\mathbb{Q}[E]$ . Restricting to the *multiples* of a given decomposition diagram (obtained by scaling the decorations), the correlated multiplicity gives a function  $\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  as before.

It turns out that this multiplicity function already satisfies the above mentioned algebraic-MCF, which we prove is equivalent the MCF for reduced GW invariants by linearity, and in particular without having to concretely enumerate the degeneration graphs.

Going even deeper, the correlated multiplicity functions is itself a product of correlated invariants coming from the moduli spaces of log maps to  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  relative to the boundary. Our approach to proving the MCF for abelian surfaces led us to discover that in fact the generating functions of these correlated invariants satisfy themselves the algebraic MCF functional equation. Functions satisfying the algebraic-MCF turn out to be stable by product, recovering the algebraic-MCF for a given degeneration graph  $\Gamma$  simply by making a product over vertices.

We illustrate this strategy in Section 4 for point constraints as well as a  $\lambda$ -class insertion, since in this case we can enumerate the degeneration graphs and we have an explicit expression for their multiplicity.

**1.3.2. Results.** Using the reduced decomposition formula, we are able to prove numerous MCF. First, we consider the following reduced invariants:

$$N_{g,\beta} = \langle \text{pt}^g \rangle_{g,\beta}, \quad \text{and} \quad N_{g,g_0,\beta} = \langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{g_0} \rangle_{g,\beta}.$$

**Theorem (4.9 & 4.23).** *We have the following multiple cover formulas:*

$$N_{g,\beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{4g-3} N_{g,\beta/k}, \quad N_{g,g_0,\beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{2g+2g_0-3} N_{g,g_0,\beta/k}.$$

The first multiple cover formula was already proven in [Blo25b] and [Blo25c] using tropical methods and Nishinou's correspondence theorem [Nis20]. The present paper provides a proof not relying on tropical methods and allows one to deal with the case of a  $\lambda$ -class insertion, which should relate to the multiple cover formula for refined invariants proven in [Blo25b].

As already sketched above, the proof follows this strategy

- (1) Rephrase the multiple cover formula as a linear functional equation called *a*-MCF (for “Multiple Cover Formula with exponent *a*”) for the generating function of the  $\langle\langle\alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle\rangle_{g,\beta}$  with values in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Here  $\alpha = \lambda_{g-g_0}$  and for every  $i$  we have  $\gamma_i = \text{pt}$ .

- (2) Use the reduced decomposition formula and reduce to prove the MCF for the multiplicity functions  $\mathbf{m}(\Gamma)$ , still with valued in  $\mathcal{G}$  attached to each degeneration graph;
- (3) Use the fact that the MCF property is stable by product (proved in Section 2) and that the multiplicities  $\mathbf{m}(\Gamma)$  naturally come as product over vertices of  $\Gamma$ , so that it suffices to prove the  $a$ -MCF for vertex multiplicities.
- (4) In the point constraints or with a  $\lambda$ -class insertion, proving the MCF for vertices is elementary.

For the more general situation, we use the *correlated DR-cycle formula*, recalled in Section 5.1. The latter also comes as a sum indexed by decorated graphs, each one with a multiplicity with values in the group algebra, which again already satisfy the MCF, yielding the MCF for the correlated DR-cycle:

**Theorem (5.15).** *The correlated invariants  $\mathbf{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) \cap (\text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma)$  where  $\gamma \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$  and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  satisfy the  $(3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha)$ -multiple cover formula.*

Combining the previous theorem with the reduced decomposition formula, we get the MCF for abelian surfaces with any insertions that coming from the fiber  $E$  and any class pulled-back from the moduli space of curves.

**Theorem (6.1).** *For  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma_i$  cycle insertions coming from the fibers, the reduced GW invariants satisfy the multiple cover formula*

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{3g-3+n-\deg \alpha} \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \widetilde{\beta/k}}$$

where  $\widetilde{\beta/k}$  is a primitive class having the same self-intersection as  $\beta/k$ .

*Remark 1.1.* Since the abelian surfaces we consider are elliptic fibrations, the isomorphisms  $\varphi_k$  (see Remark 3.3 for an explicit description) can be chosen to be shear transforms, acting as the identity on the classes coming from the fiber  $E$ , but twisting the classes coming from the sections. Since the only insertions  $\gamma_i$  which we consider in the decomposition formula are indeed those coming from the fiber  $E$ , which are stabilized by the  $\varphi_k$ , we can forget about the  $\varphi_k$  and consider the  $\gamma_i$  as valid insertions for all the (distinct) elliptically fibered abelian surfaces considered (including the degenerate surfaces  $A_0(t)$ ).  $\blacklozenge$

**1.3.3. Multiple cover formulas for the log-CY  $(Y, D)$ .** The approach used for abelian surfaces actually also provides MCF for the correlated invariants of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  relative to its boundary, using the correlated decomposition formula from [BC25b] in place of the reduced one proven here. We state below the general theorem and its version with points and  $\lambda$ -class insertions.

**Theorem (4.3 & 4.20).** *We have*

$$\langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{n+g_0-1} \rangle_{g, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|, \theta} = \sum_{k|a, \mathbf{w}, \theta} k^{2n+2g_0+2g-4} \langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{n+g_0-1} \rangle_{g, a/k, \mathbf{w}/k}^{|\mathbf{w}/k|, \text{prim}},$$

where  $k|\theta$  means that  $\theta$  is of  $|\mathbf{w}/k|$ -torsion and *prim* means any correlator of maximal order.

**Theorem (6.11).** *For  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\gamma_i$  cycles in  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  belonging to an  $E$ -section, and  $\eta_j$  classes in  $H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then, the correlated GW invariants satisfy the following  $(3g - 3 + n + m - \deg \alpha)$ -MCF:*

$$\langle \alpha; \underline{\gamma}; \underline{\eta} \rangle_{g, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|, \theta} = \sum_{k|a, \mathbf{w}, \theta} k^{3g-3+n+m-\deg \alpha} \langle \alpha; \underline{\gamma}; \underline{\eta} \rangle_{g, a/k, \mathbf{w}/k}^{|\mathbf{w}/k|, \text{prim}},$$

where  $k|\theta$  means that  $\theta$  is of  $(|\mathbf{w}|/k)$ -torsion.

The above formulas are to be understood as follows: it expresses the invariant with a given correlator in terms of smaller classes but with primitive correlators.

This may be seen as a variant of the multiple cover formulas satisfied by relative invariants of  $(\mathbb{P}^2, E)$  and Takahashi conjecture [Tak96, Tak01], proven in [Bou23]. In *loc.cit.*, the authors consider counts of rational curves in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  maximally tangent to a smooth cubic  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . For fixed degree, only a finite number of points of the cubic  $E$  show up as tangency points, and we can refine the count according to the given point. This is a form of correlator in the  $(\mathbb{P}^2, E)$  setting. The refined count also satisfies a MCF of similar flavor.

The above theorem suggests that such multiple cover formula phenomena should be true for more general relative invariants of  $(\mathbb{P}^2, E)$  and other log-CY geometries as well.

**1.3.4. Future directions.** We expect that the framework developed here will be relevant to discover and prove multiple cover formulas phenomena in a variety of other situations. More concretely, the approach through reduced degeneration formula reducing the invariants to double ramification cycle computations is likely to work, at least for certain choices of insertions, also to tackle the multiple cover formula conjectures for abelian threefolds.

In another direction, the idea of refining the invariants by keeping track of torsion information, to then exploit the group algebra structure to prove functional equations of the generating series should also apply to the study of log Gromov–Witten invariants of toric surfaces relative to the toric boundary. In this setting the refinement will come from the relation with the double double ramification cycle, which does admit a natural correlated refinement. We believe that multiple cover formula phenomena will appear also for the correlated refinement of toric surfaces invariants.

We plan to investigate these and similar questions in future work.

**1.4. Plan of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows.

- The ideas presented above heavily suggest the study of functions with values in group algebra, having in mind this MCF structure formula. In Section 2, we provide an algebraic framework fully independent of any geometric consideration for functions from a set with a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action to  $\mathcal{G}$ ; we call such sets  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -modules. This turns out to be the right notion to define a class of functions satisfying an “abstract multiple cover formula” enjoying suitable structural properties. We also prove that some natural counting functions which show up later in the paper satisfy this abstract MCF.

We suggest to read Sections 2.1 and 2.2 and only go back to Subsection 2.3 during the reading of Section 5.1, which is the first point where it is needed

- In Section 3, we first recall the setting of degenerations of abelian surfaces, and state the decomposition formula for abelian surfaces, using the correlated invariants defined in [BC25b], also recapped in the section. We then recall the construction of the reduced class in the abelian surface setting and prove the decomposition formula.

Sections 3.3 and 3.4 contain the technical details on the construction of the reduced class and decomposition formula, and may be skipped at first reading.

- In Section 4, we use the reduced (resp. correlated) decomposition formulas to prove the MCF in the abelian (resp.  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ) setting when we have only point insertions. In Section 4.4, we explain how to adapt the point case to deal with the case where we have an additional  $\lambda$ -class.
- In Section 5.1 we recall the correlated Double-Ramification cycle formula proven in [BC25a] in the case of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and provide a group valued version. We moreover show that invariants obtained from the correlated DR satisfy a MCF formula. Remarkably,



in order to do so we do not need to be able to compute the invariants. The proof boils down to some clever yet elementary combinatorics for counting subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2 = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$  with fixed indices. This again can be handle by looking at functions with values in  $\mathcal{G}$  and functional equations that they satisfy. These group combinatorics are handled in Section 2.3

- Combining the reduced (resp. correlated) decomposition formula for abelian surfaces (resp.  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ) with the MCF formula for the correlated DR cycle we prove the MCF for all classes pulled back from  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  and all geometric classes which are not vanishing cycles in the degeneration.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Ajith Urundolil Kumaran, Ilia Itenberg and Dhruv Ranganathan for several useful conversations about the topic of this paper. We would also like to thank Georg Oberdieck for several useful comments on a first draft of this paper. Part of this work was realized during respective visits in Neuchâtel and Rome, where both authors would like to thank the nice working conditions. T.B. acknowledges the support of SNF grant 204125. F.C. is supported by the MIUR Excellence Department Project Mat- Mod@TOV, CUP E83C23000330006, awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, and also acknowledges the support of the PRIN Project "Moduli spaces and birational geometry" 2022L34E7W.

## 2. COUNTS WITH GROUP ALGEBRA VALUES

In this section we develop an abstract algebraic framework that is well suited to work with correlated GW-invariants (with given insertions) seen as functions of the curve class  $\beta$ ; this framework allows for a convenient formulation of multiple cover formulas. We also show that some well-known functions admit natural refinements with values in the group algebra and prove that these refinements satisfy multiple cover formulas.

**2.1. Group algebras and functions.** Let  $G$  be the group  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be its group algebra, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The set of torsion points  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^r$  can also be seen as the direct limit  $\lim \mathbb{Z}_n^r$ . We are mostly interested in the case where  $G$  is an elliptic curve, isomorphic as a group to  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$  with torsion points  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

2.1.1. *Some operators on  $\mathcal{G}$ .*

**Definition 2.1.** We define the following operators on  $\mathcal{G}$ :

- The multiplication operator defined on generators as follows: if  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{m}[d](\theta) = (d \cdot \theta).$$

- The division operator defined on generators as follows: if  $d \neq 0$ ,

$$\mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{d}\right](\theta) = \frac{1}{d^r} \sum_{d\tau \equiv \theta} (\tau),$$

where  $d^r$  is the cardinality of the subgroup of  $d$ -torsion elements in  $G$ . The division operator takes the average over  $d$ -roots in  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^r$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The multiplication and division operators respect the multiplication: if  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have*

$$\mathfrak{m}[d](\mathbf{xy}) = \mathfrak{m}[d](\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{m}[d](\mathbf{y}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{d}\right](\mathbf{xy}) = \mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{d}\right](\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{d}\right](\mathbf{y}).$$

We denote by  $T_n = \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \right] ((0))$ , the average of  $n$ -torsion elements. These satisfy the following properties:

- (1)  $T_n T_m = T_{\text{lcm}(n,m)}$ ,
- (2)  $\mathfrak{m}[d] T_n = T_{n/\text{gcd}(d,n)}$ ,
- (3)  $\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] T_n = T_{nd}$ .

In particular, they are idempotent elements. Notice that the image of  $\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right]$  is invariant by multiplication by  $T_d$ . Moreover, if  $\theta_0$  is any  $d$ -root of  $\theta$ ,

$$\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] (\theta) = (\theta_0) T_d.$$

The division operator gives an isomorphism  $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right]} \mathcal{G} \cdot T_d$ , whose inverse is given by  $\mathfrak{m}[d]$ .

*Example 2.3.* If  $G = \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, \tau \rangle$ , then  $T_2$  is the average of 2-torsion elements:

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2^2} [(0) + (1/2) + (\tau/2) + ((1+\tau)/2)].$$

◇

Let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  be the finite dimensional subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}$  generated by the  $T_k$  for  $k|n$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  the subalgebra generated by all  $T_n$ . The  $(T_k)_{k|n}$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  as a vector space (over  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

We denote by  $\text{Prim}_n$  the function on  $\mathcal{G}_n$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prim}_n: \quad \mathcal{G}_n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ \sum_{k|n} c_k T_k &\longmapsto c_n \end{aligned}$$

that maps an element to its  $T_n$ -coefficient, which we call  *$n$ -primitive coefficient*.

**2.1.2. Algebra of sequences in  $\mathcal{G}$ .** We consider the space  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  of sequences indexed by the multiplicative monoid  $\mathbb{N}^*$  with values in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ ; i.e.  $F \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  is a function from  $\mathbb{N}^*$  to the group algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . This is a ring with the component-wise addition and multiplication. Since  $\mathbb{N}^*$  has a monoid structure,  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  is also endowed with the *convolution product* defined as follows:

$$(F * G)(\delta) = \sum_{kl=\delta} F(k)G(l).$$

We now put our focus on a specific type of sequences called of *diagonal type*.

**Definition 2.4.** We say that a sequence  $F \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  is of *diagonal type* if  $F(\delta) \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$ : i.e.,  $F(\delta)$  belongs to the span of  $T_k$  for  $k|\delta$  for each  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We denote the subset of  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  of diagonal type sequences by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

It follows immediately from the fact that  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$  is a sub-algebra that:

**Proposition 2.5.** *The subset of diagonal type sequences  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ , namely it is stable by component-wise sum, component-wise product and convolution.*

We also define  $\text{Prim}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  as follows:

$$\text{Prim}(F)(\delta) = \text{Prim}_\delta(F(\delta)) \in \mathbb{K}.$$

This map is of course linear but it is not a morphism of algebra as it does not respect the product. Computing the primitive coefficient of a product in  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$  actually requires some care. The reason is that we may have  $T_k T_l = T_n$  even if  $k$  and  $l$  are strict divisors of  $n$ .

**2.1.3.  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -modules.** We now introduce a slight generalization of the previous set-up, where instead of having functions  $\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  we have functions from what we call a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $X$  with values in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module is the data of a set  $X$  endowed with the following structure:

- (i) a free action  $\mathbb{N}^* \times X \rightarrow X$  such that each  $x \in X$  only has a finite number of divisors,
- (ii) a map  $x \in X \mapsto |x| \in \mathbb{N}^*$  called *norm* compatible with the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action in the sense that  $|k \cdot x| = k|x|$ .

Elements in  $X$  which have no non-trivial divisors are called *primitive*. As any  $x \in X$  has only a finite number of divisors and the action is free, any element  $x$  can uniquely be expressed as  $\delta \cdot \tilde{x}$  where  $\tilde{x}$  is a primitive element.

The integer  $\delta = \ell(x)$  is called the integral length of  $x$ . Furthermore, we have  $|x| = \ell(x)|\tilde{x}|$ . Notice that a primitive element needs not to have norm 1. A morphism of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module is a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  compatible with the monoid action and the norm:

$$f(k \cdot x) = k \cdot f(x) \text{ and } |f(x)| = |x|.$$

*Example 2.7.* We give some examples of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -modules which will be relevant in the later sections.

- We can consider  $\mathbb{N}^*$  as a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module with the action on itself given by multiplication. However, we also have to choose a norm, fully determined by  $|1|$ . We thus define the  $d$ -norm by  $|x|_d = dx \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .  
In fact, if  $x \in X$  is a general  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module, the orbit  $\mathbb{N}^* \cdot x$  of  $x$  is a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -submodule of  $(X, |\cdot|)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}^*$  with the  $|x|$ -norm.
- The set of *diagram degrees*  $\mathcal{B}$  which, as a set, is just  $(\mathbb{N}^*)^2$  can be equipped with an  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module structure:  $\mathbb{N}^*$  acts by scaling each factor, and the norm is defined by the projection onto the first factor.
- The set of decorated diagrams  $\mathcal{D}$  as defined in [Blo25b] and reviewed in Section 4.3.1. The action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is by scaling edge weights  $w_e$  and vertex degrees  $a_v$ . The norm is the degree of the associated tropical cover. In other words, we have a degree map  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  compatible with the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action, and the norm on  $\mathcal{D}$  is just the pull-back of the norm of  $\mathcal{B}$ : if  $\deg \mathcal{D} = B$ , we have  $|\mathcal{D}| = |B|$ .
- The ramification  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{R} = \{(a, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ s.t. } \sum_1^n w_i = 0\}$ , with norm  $|(a, \mathbf{w})|$  given by the g.c.d. of the  $w_i$ .
- The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{P}_r = \{(\delta, n) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2 \text{ such that } \delta | n^r\}$ , of possible cardinalities for subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^r$ . The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action is given by the usual action on each coordinate and norm is given by the second coordinate. Notice that primitive elements are not the same as in  $(\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ . For instance,  $(4, 2) \in \mathcal{P}_2$  but  $(2, 1)$  does not so that  $(4, 2)$  is primitive.

◇

Until the end of the section, we consider a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $X$  with a norm  $|\cdot|$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}^X$  be the set of functions  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . This is a group endowed with an action of  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  by convolution: if  $F \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{G}^X$ , we set

$$(F * U)(x) = \sum_{k|x} F(k) \cdot U(x/k).$$

We now define a notion of diagonal type function similar to the case of sequences.

**Definition 2.8.** We say that  $U \in \mathcal{G}^X$  is of diagonal type if  $U(x) \in \mathcal{G}_{|x|}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  the subset of diagonal type functions in  $\mathcal{G}^X$ . The latter is endowed with an action of  $\mathcal{A}$  by restricting the convolution operation.

We also have a primitive coefficient function  $\text{Prim}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^X$  defined by

$$\text{Prim}(U)(x) = \text{Prim}_{|x|}(U(x)) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

**2.2. Algebraic multiple cover formula.** We now introduce the abstract concept of *multiple cover formula* in the current algebraic setting. We are interested in diagonal type sequences which can be reconstructed from the sequence of their primitive coefficients, namely  $F$  can be recovered from  $\text{Prim}(F)$ , which is a sequence with values  $\mathbb{C}$  rather than  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**2.2.1. Multiple cover formulas for elements in  $\mathcal{G}$ .** If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\epsilon_\alpha(k) = k^\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ -power function. If  $F$  is sequence of diagonal type, we construct a new sequence from the primitive coefficients of  $F$ , also of diagonal type, using the convolution with a power function:

$$(\epsilon_\alpha * (\text{Prim}(F)T))(\delta) = \sum_{kl=\delta} k^\alpha \text{Prim}_l(F(l)) \cdot T_l,$$

where we denoted by  $T: n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto T_n \in \mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** We say that a sequence  $F \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  of diagonal type satisfies the multiple cover formula of weight  $\alpha$  (or shortly the  $\alpha$ -MCF) if we have

$$F = \epsilon_\alpha * (\text{Prim}(F)T).$$

The term *multiple cover formula* here refers to diagonal type  $\mathcal{G}$ -sequences, but the concept stems from Gromov-Witten theory. Multiple cover formulas are conjectured to be satisfied by certain generating series of GW invariants, usually considered as functions of the curve class.

The present paper illustrates how  $\mathcal{G}$ -sequences can be used to encode GW invariants for certain geometries in such way that multiple cover formula phenomena in GW-invariants admit an equivalent reformulation in terms of multiple cover formula for diagonal type  $\mathcal{G}$ -sequences. From now on, we use the term MCF to mean multiple cover formula.

*Remark 2.10.* For a function to satisfy the  $\alpha$ -MCF means that it can be reconstructed from its primitive coefficient. There exists other formulations that we do not develop here, for instance using instead the sequence of (0)-coefficients.  $\blacklozenge$

We now prove some structural properties of diagonal type sequences satisfying the  $\alpha$ -MCF for some  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *If  $F$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF, then  $\epsilon_r F$  satisfies  $(\alpha + r)$ -MCF.*

*Proof.* Assume  $F$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_r F)(\delta) &= \delta^r F(\delta) = \delta^r \sum_{kl=\delta} k^\alpha \text{Prim}_l(F(l)) T_l \\ &= \sum_{kl=\delta} k^r k^\alpha \cdot l^r \text{Prim}_l(F(l)) T_l \text{ since } \delta^r = k^r l^r, \\ &= \sum_{kl=\delta} k^{\alpha+r} \cdot \text{Prim}_l(l^r F(l)) T_l \text{ since } \text{Prim}_l \text{ is } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear.} \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, it means that every function  $F$  satisfying the  $\alpha$ -MCF can uniquely be expressed as the product of the monomial  $\epsilon_\alpha$  and a function satisfying the 0-MCF. The following Lemma explains the advantage in working with functions satisfying the 0-MCF.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Assume  $F$  and  $G$  satisfy the 0-MCF. Then the term by term product  $FG$  also satisfies the 0-MCF.*

*Proof.* Assume  $F$  and  $G$  satisfy the 0-MCF. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (FG)(\delta) &= \left( \sum_{k|\delta} \text{Prim}_k(F(k)) T_k \right) \left( \sum_{l|\delta} \text{Prim}_l(G(l)) T_l \right) \\ &= \sum_{k,l|\delta} \text{Prim}_k(F(k)) \text{Prim}_l(G(l)) T_{\text{lcm}(k,l)} \\ &= \sum_{d|\delta} T_d \sum_{\substack{k,l|\delta \\ \text{lcm}(k,l)=d}} \text{Prim}_k(F(k)) \text{Prim}_l(G(l)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, taking the  $T_\delta$ -coefficient, we deduce that

$$\text{Prim}_\delta(F(\delta)G(\delta)) = \sum_{\substack{k,l|\delta \\ \text{lcm}(k,l)=\delta}} \text{Prim}_k(F(k)) \text{Prim}_l(G(l)).$$

Furthermore, we can rewrite the inner sum as follows: summing over  $k, l|\delta$  with  $\text{lcm}(k, l) = d$  (and  $d|\delta$ ) is the same as summing over  $k, l|d$  with  $\text{lcm}(k, l) = d$ . We now recognize that the inner sum is the  $d$ -primitive coefficient of  $(FG)(d)$  and we get that the product also satisfies the 0-MCF:

$$(FG)(\delta) = \sum_{d|\delta} \text{Prim}(FG)(d) T_d.$$

□

*Example 2.13.* The function  $F(\delta) = T_1$  satisfies the 0-MCF. Indeed, we have  $\text{Prim}_\delta(F(\delta)) = 1$  if  $\delta = 1$  and 0 else. Therefore,

$$(\epsilon_0 * \text{Prim}(F)T)_\delta = \sum_{k|\delta} \text{Prim}_k(F(k)) T_k = T_1.$$

More generally, functions mapping to a multiple of  $T_1$  satisfying the  $\alpha$ -MCF are of the form  $\delta \mapsto \lambda \delta^\alpha T_1$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . ◇

**2.2.2. Examples with sum of divisors.** Let  $m \geq 0$ . We have the well-known powered sum of divisors functions defined as follows:

$$\sigma_m(a) = \sum_{k|a} \left( \frac{a}{k} \right)^m.$$

The reason for writing  $\frac{a}{k}$  instead of  $k$  for the divisors will be clear in a moment. If we do not write the index, the latter is understood to be 1 and we just make the sum of divisors.

We consider the following deformation of the function above, which takes values in  $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ :

$$\sigma_m^\delta(a) = \sum_{k|a} \left( \frac{a}{k} \right)^m T_{\delta / \gcd(\delta, k)}.$$

This is a refinement of the divisor function in the sense that if we consider the degree morphism  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  mapping each generator  $(\theta)$  to 1, so that each  $T_m$  is also mapped to 1, then the total degree of  $\sigma_m^\delta(a)$  is the classical function  $\sigma_m(a)$ . The next lemma summarizes some elementary properties of this refinement. We will refer to property (i), (ii) of the Lemma as the *unrefinement relations*.

**Lemma 2.14.** *The refined sum of divisors  $\sigma^\delta$  (as well as  $\sigma_m^\delta$ ) functions satisfy the following properties:*

- (i) if  $\gcd(\delta, d) = 1$ , we have  $m[d] \sigma^\delta = \sigma^\delta$ , and if  $d|\delta$  we have  $m[d] \sigma^\delta = \sigma^{\delta/d}$ ;
- (ii)  $m[d] \sigma^\delta = \sigma^{\delta/\gcd(d, \delta)}$ ;
- (iii) if  $d|\delta$  we have  $\mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{\delta/d}\right] \sigma^d = \sigma^\delta T_{\delta/d}$ ,
- (iv)  $\sigma^\delta(a) = \sigma^\delta(a) T_{\delta/\gcd(a, \delta)}$ ,
- (v)  $\sigma^\delta(a) = \mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{\delta/\gcd(\delta, a)}\right] \sigma^{\gcd(\delta, a)}(a)$ .
- (vi) The function  $\sigma^\delta(a)$  is multiplicative in the sense that

$$\sigma^\delta(a) = \prod_p \sigma^{p^{\nu_p(\delta)}}(p^{\nu_p(a)}).$$

*Proof.* (i) To prove the first point, recall that  $T_n$  satisfies that  $m[d] T_n = T_{n/\gcd(d, n)}$ . Then if  $\gcd(d, \delta) = 1$ , for any  $k|\delta$  we have  $m[d] T_{\delta/\gcd(\delta, k)} = T_{\delta/\gcd(\delta, k)}$ . If we have instead that  $d|\delta$ , then

$$m[d] T_{\delta/\gcd(\delta, k)} = T_{\frac{\delta/d}{\gcd(\delta/d, k)}}.$$

To see this last identity, we need to show that

$$\frac{\delta}{\gcd(\delta, k)} = \gcd\left(d, \frac{\delta}{\gcd(\delta, k)}\right) \frac{\delta/d}{\gcd(\delta/d, k)},$$

which is elementary.

- (ii) We can always write  $d = \gcd(d, \delta) d'$  where  $d'$  is coprime with  $\frac{\delta}{\gcd(d, \delta)}$ , and we apply successively both points of (i).
- (iii) From the first point we have that  $m[\delta/d] \sigma^\delta = \sigma^d$ . We then apply on both sides  $\mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{\delta/d}\right]$  and use that composition between multiplication and division is multiplication by  $T_{\delta/d}$ .
- (iv) If  $k|a$ , we have that  $\gcd(\delta, k) | \gcd(\delta, a)$ , and thus  $\frac{\delta}{\gcd(\delta, k)}$  is always divisible by  $\frac{\delta}{\gcd(\delta, a)}$ . In particular, any term in the sum is invariant when multiplying by  $T_{\delta/\gcd(\delta, a)}$ .
- (v) Follows from (iii) and (iv).
- (vi) Follows from the multiplicativity of  $T$  and of the sum of divisors function.

□

We can now give the first family of examples of functions in  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  satisfying the 0-MCF.

**Proposition 2.15.** *For  $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the sequence  $(\sigma_m^\delta(\delta a))$  is of diagonal type and satisfies the 0-MCF.*

*Proof.* The diagonal type property follows from the definition. We now want to prove that

$$\sigma_m^\delta(\delta a) = \sum_{k|\delta} \text{Prim}_k(\sigma_m^k(ka)) T_k.$$

We start from the expression of  $\sigma_m^\delta$  to identify the primitive coefficient: only the terms with  $\gcd(\delta, k) = 1$  contribute to the  $T_\delta$ -coefficient. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Prim}_\delta(\sigma_m^\delta(\delta a)) = \sum_{\substack{k|a \\ \gcd(k, \delta)=1}} \left(\frac{\delta a}{k}\right)^m.$$

Now, we identify the the  $T_l$ -coefficient of  $\sigma_m^\delta(\delta a)$ : the gcd between  $k$  and  $\delta$  has to be  $\delta/l$ , and we get

$$\text{Coeff}_{T_l}(\sigma_m^\delta(\delta a)) = \sum_{\substack{k|\delta a \\ \gcd(k, \delta) = \delta/l}} \left(\frac{\delta a}{k}\right)^m = \sum_{\substack{k'|la \\ \gcd(k', l) = 1}} \left(\frac{\delta a}{(\delta/l)k'}\right)^m = \sum_{\substack{k'|la \\ \gcd(k', l) = 1}} \left(\frac{la}{k'}\right)^m,$$

where to get to the second step we wrote  $k = \frac{\delta}{l} \cdot k'$  (and  $\delta = \frac{\delta}{l} \cdot l$ ) with  $\gcd(k', l) = 1$ . The condition  $k|\delta a$  is then equivalent to  $k'$  dividing  $\delta a/(\delta/l) = la$ . The coefficient is thus equal to  $\text{Prim}_l(\sigma_m^l(la))$  and we conclude.  $\square$

*Example 2.16.* In particular, using Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, we deduce a wide family of functions satisfying MCF: we just have to multiply a monomial with a product of functions of the above type:  $\delta \mapsto \delta^r \prod_v \sigma^\delta(\delta a_v)$ .  $\diamond$

**2.2.3. Extension to sets with a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action.** We can now define what it means for a function  $U \in \mathcal{X}$  to satisfy the  $\alpha$ -MCF, and then explain how to get back to the case of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  with diagonal type sequences.

**Definition 2.17.** We say that  $U \in \mathcal{X}$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF if we have

$$U(x) = \sum_{k|x} k^\alpha \text{Prim}(U)(x/k) T_{|x|/k}.$$

The set of functions satisfying the  $\alpha$ -MCF is stable by sum. Furthermore, restricting to orbits, we also have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.18.** *A function  $U \in \mathcal{X}$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF if and only if for any primitive  $\tilde{x} \in X$ ,  $U|_{\mathbb{N}^* \cdot \tilde{x}}$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF, where  $\mathbb{N}^* \cdot \tilde{x}$  is the orbit of  $\tilde{x}$ .*

In other words, we can always restrict to orbits of primitive elements to check the MCF. We now restrict to such an orbit, which as observed before is an sub- $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of  $(X, |\cdot|)$  isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}^*, |\cdot|_d)$  with  $d = |\tilde{x}|$ .

**Lemma 2.19.** *Assume that  $F: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a function of diagonal type with respect to the  $|\cdot|_d$  norm. Suppose furthermore that  $F$  satisfies  $F(\delta)T_d = F(\delta)$ ; we say that  $F(\delta)$  is invariant by  $d$ -torsion.*

*Then  $F$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}[d] \circ F$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF for usual functions on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  (i.e.  $|\cdot|_1$ ).*

*Remark 2.20.* We notice that if  $F$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF for  $|\cdot|_d$ , it has to be invariant by  $d$ -torsion since the expression  $\sum_{k|\delta} k^\alpha \text{Prim}_{d \cdot \delta/k}(F(\delta/k)) T_{d \cdot \delta/k}$  is invariant, simply because  $T_{d \cdot \delta/k} T_d = T_{d \cdot \delta/k}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

*Proof.* Assume that  $F$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF for  $|\cdot|_d$ , i.e. unraveling the definition:

$$F(\delta) = \sum_{k|\delta} k^\alpha \cdot \text{Prim}_{d \cdot \delta/k}(F(\delta/k)) T_{d \cdot \delta/k}.$$

Applying  $\mathfrak{m}[d]$  we get:

$$\mathfrak{m}[d](F(\delta)) = \sum_{k|\delta} k^\alpha \cdot \text{Prim}_{d \cdot \delta/k}(F(\delta/k)) T_{\delta/k}.$$

The  $\delta$ -primitive coefficient is obtained taking  $k = 1$ , and thus we have

$$\text{Prim}_\delta(\mathfrak{m}[d] F(\delta)) = \text{Prim}_{d \cdot \delta}(F(\delta)),$$

which substituted in the previous equation gives the  $\alpha$ -MCF for  $m[d] \circ F$ . Conversely, since  $F(\delta) = F(\delta)T_d$  by assumption, we can write  $F(\delta) = \delta \left[ \frac{1}{d} \right] \circ m[d](F(\delta))$  and we can conclude with a similar computation.  $\square$

Using Lemma 2.18 and Lemma 2.19, checking the  $\alpha$ -MCF for  $U \in \mathcal{X}$  can be reduced to check the  $\alpha$ -MCF for certain diagonal type sequences  $U|_{\tilde{x}} \in \mathcal{A}$ , and we can use the results of the previous section.

*Example 2.21.* The functions  $\delta \mapsto \sigma^{d\delta}(\delta a) \cdot T_d$  satisfy the 0-MCF for  $|\cdot|_d$  since the multiplication by  $T_d$  makes them invariant by  $d$ -torsion and

$$m[d] \left( \sigma^{d\delta}(\delta a) \cdot T_d \right) = \sigma^\delta(\delta a),$$

using the unrefinement relation. In particular, products of such functions also satisfy the 0-MCF.  $\diamond$

**Proposition 2.22.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -modules with finite fibers. Let  $U \in \mathcal{X}$  be a diagonal type function on  $X$ . We define the push-forward  $f_*U \in \mathcal{Y}$  by*

$$f_*U(y) = \sum_{f(x)=y} U(x).$$

*If  $U$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF, so does  $f_*U$ .*

*Proof.* First, we can restrict to the orbit of a primitive element  $\tilde{y} \in Y$ . If  $f(x) = \tilde{y}$ , then  $x$  is primitive as well otherwise  $\tilde{y}$  would also be divisible. Then, we can consider the preimage of  $\mathbb{N}^* \cdot \tilde{y}$ , which is a (disjoint) union of orbits of primitive elements: the action being free, if  $f(kx) \in \mathbb{N}^* \cdot \tilde{y}$ , then  $f(x) \in \mathbb{N}^* \cdot \tilde{y}$ . Restricting to a unique orbit, the map  $f$  becomes injective, and the push-forward is just the extension by 0. The  $\alpha$ -MCF is thus true because it is satisfied on the orbit. We recover the formula summing over the different orbits.  $\square$

We proved that  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of diagonal type satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF if and only if its restriction to the orbit of every primitive element does. However, the restriction to the orbit of a non-primitive element has no reason to do so, due to the existence of divisors outside of the orbit. Alternatively, given a diagonal type function  $F: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfying the  $\alpha$ -MCF and  $l \geq 1$ , the function  $\delta \mapsto F(l\delta)$  does not usually satisfy the  $\alpha$ -MCF, for instance because  $F(l\delta)$  may not be invariant by multiplication by  $T_l$ . However, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.23.** *If  $F: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF and  $l \geq 1$ , then  $G(\delta) = m[l](F(l\delta))$  is a diagonal type function that also satisfies the  $\alpha$ -MCF.*

*Proof.* First of all, as  $F(l\delta) \in \mathcal{G}_{l\delta}$ , applying  $m[l]$ , we have  $G(\delta) \in \mathcal{G}_\delta$  so that it is of diagonal type. Then, up to multiplying by  $\delta^{-\alpha}$ , we can assume that  $\alpha = 0$  and  $F$  satisfies the 0-MCF. In particular, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} F(l\delta) &= \sum_{k|l\delta} \text{Prim}_k F(k) \cdot T_k \\ &= \sum_{u|l} \sum_{\substack{k|l\delta \\ \gcd(k,l)=u}} \text{Prim}_k F(k) \cdot T_k \\ &= \sum_{u|l} \sum_{\substack{k'| \delta \\ \gcd(k', \frac{l}{u})=1}} \text{Prim}_{uk'} F(uk') \cdot T_{uk'}, \end{aligned}$$



where we sort out terms according to the value of  $\gcd(k, l)$ . To get to the last equality, we write  $k = uk'$  with  $\gcd(k', \frac{l}{u}) = 1$ . In particular, we need to have  $k' | \frac{l}{u} \delta$ , which is equivalent to  $k' | \delta$  since  $k'$  is coprime with  $\frac{l}{u}$ . For the same reason, we also have

$$m[l] T_{uk'} = m\left[\frac{l}{u}\right] m[u] T_{uk'} = m\left[\frac{l}{u}\right] T_{k'} = T_{k'}.$$

In the end, we get the following expression for  $G$ :

$$G(\delta) = \sum_{k|\delta} T_k \left( \sum_{\substack{u|l \\ \gcd(k, \frac{l}{u})=1}} \text{Prim}_{uk} F(uk) \right).$$

We deduce the expression of the primitive coefficient taking  $k = \delta$ :

$$\text{Prim}_{\delta} G(\delta) = \sum_{\substack{u|l \\ \gcd(\delta, \frac{l}{u})=1}} \text{Prim}_{u\delta} F(u\delta).$$

Now, we simply need to identify the  $T_k$ -coefficient as  $\text{Prim}_k G(k)$ , which follows from its expression.  $\square$

**2.3. Various counts of subgroups in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ .** In this section, which may be skipped at first reading, we provide a second family of functions with values in a group algebra that satisfy the 0-MCF. These come from a refined count of subgroups in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

**2.3.1. Generalities and refined count of subgroups.** Let us denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2 = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$  which we identify with the subgroup of  $n$ -torsion elements in  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ .

The structure theorem for finite abelian groups ensures that the following definition makes sense.

**Definition 2.24.** We say that a subgroup  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2$  is of cotype  $(d_1, d_2)$  with  $d_1 | d_2 | n$  (or  $(d_1, d_2, n)$  in case  $n$  needs to be specified) if  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2/K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}$ , or equivalently  $K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{n/d_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n/d_1}$  (as abstract groups). We set

$$T_K = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{\theta \in K} (\theta),$$

the average of elements in  $K$ ; this is a group algebra element in  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2]$ .

*Example 2.25.* A subgroup of cotype  $(d, n)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_{n/d} = \mathbb{Z}_{n/d}$  and is therefore cyclic. The subgroups of cotype  $(1, n)$  are thus isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and correspond to cyclic subgroups of maximal order. Their number is given by the Dedekind  $\psi$ -function, defined by  $\psi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)$ .  $\diamond$

We have an action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$  and the action restricts to each torsion subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ . The results in the following lemma come from well-known facts on the structure of subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . The last point is essential as it allows to deduce results for general finite abelian groups from the study for abelian  $p$ -groups only.

**Proposition 2.26.** *We have the following:*

- (1)  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on torsion elements of a given order;
- (2)  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  of a given cotype;

- (3) an element of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n^2]$  is invariant by  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if it belongs to the span of the  $T_k = T_{\mathbb{Z}_k^2}$  for  $k|n$ .
- (4) Every finite abelian group  $G$  uniquely decomposes as a product of abelian  $p$ -groups  $\prod G_p$ . Furthermore, the isomorphism induces

$$\bigotimes_p \mathbb{C}[G_p] \simeq \mathbb{C}[G].$$

Every subgroup  $H$  can uniquely be written as a product of  $p$ -groups  $\prod H_p$  where  $H_p$  is a subgroup of  $G_p$ .

We define the following function, refining the number of subgroups of a given cotype:

$$\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n) = \sum_{K \text{ of cotype } (d_1, d_2)} T_K.$$

It is a refinement in the sense that the degree (defined as in the previous section from  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  sending each generator to 1) of  $\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n)$  is the number of subgroups of a given cotype.

Since the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  preserves the cotype,  $\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n)$  is invariant under this action. By Proposition 2.26, this implies that  $\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n)$  is in the span of  $(T_k)_{k|n}$ .

We provide an explicit expression for this function.

**Proposition 2.27.** *The function  $\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n)$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) The function is multiplicative:  $\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n) = \prod \mathbf{M}(p^{\nu_p(d_1)}, p^{\nu_p(d_2)}, p^{\nu_p(n)})$ ,
- (2)  $\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n) = \mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{n/d_2}\right] \mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, d_2)$ ,
- (3)  $\mathbf{M}(d, n, n) = \mathbf{M}(1, \frac{n}{d}, \frac{n}{d})$ ,
- (4)  $\mathbf{M}(1, n, n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{k|n} k \varphi(k) T_k \cdot \varphi(n/k)$ .

Unfolding, we get the following expression:

$$\mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(d_2/d_1)} \sum_{k| \frac{d_2}{d_1}} k \varphi(k) T_{nk/d_2} \cdot \varphi(d_2/kd_1).$$

Its primitive coefficient is given by  $\text{Prim}_n \mathbf{M}(d_1, d_2, n) = d_2 \cdot \delta_{d_1, 1}$ , where the  $\delta$  on the right hand-side is the Kronecker symbol.

*Proof.* (1) The multiplicativity comes from Proposition 2.26(4), i.e. the Chinese Remainder Lemma.

- (2) Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  and assume that  $K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{n/d_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n/d_1}$ . Then,  $K$  contains the subgroup of  $(n/d_2)$ -torsion elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{n/d_2}^2$  and invariant by the  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action. Therefore, as a subgroup  $K$  is fully determined by its image in the quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_{n/d_2}^2$ , which is a cyclic subgroup  $Q$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_2}^2$  abstractly isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2/d_1}$ . This precisely means that  $T_K = \mathfrak{d}\left[\frac{1}{n/d_2}\right] T_Q$  for  $Q$  the subgroup of cotype  $(d_1, d_2)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_2}^2$ . We finish summing over the possible  $Q$ .
- (3) The groups of cotype  $(d, n)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  are cyclic subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  of cardinality  $n/d$ . Such a subgroup is necessarily contained in the subgroup of  $(n/d)$ -torsion. We thus have to count cyclic subgroups of the same order but of  $\mathbb{Z}_{n/d}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ .
- (4) We wish to compute the following:

$$\mathbf{M}(n) = \mathbf{M}(1, n, n) = \sum_{K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_n} T_K.$$

By (1), we can restrict to power of primes. An elementary computation shows that the initial values are given by  $\mathbf{M}(1) = T_1$  and  $\mathbf{M}(p) = T_1 + pT_p$ , since each element in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is contained in exactly one cyclic subgroup: the one it generates.

Assume  $n \geq 2$ . The reduction morphism  $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}^2$  maps surjectively maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2$  to maximal cyclic subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}^2$ . Conversely, given a fixed maximal cyclic subgroup  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}^2$  with a chosen generator  $x$ , to find  $\widetilde{K} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2$  mapped to  $K$ , one needs to take a preimage of  $x$ , of which there are  $p^2$ . However,  $x \in K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  has already  $p$  roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$  so there are only  $p$  cyclic subgroups  $\widetilde{K}$  mapping to a given  $K$ . Namely, we have the relation

$$\pi_* \mathbf{M}(p^n) = p \mathbf{M}(p^{n-1}).$$

Recall that, by definition, the function  $\mathbf{M}(p^n) \in \mathcal{G}_{p^n}$ ; determining it means being able to compute its finitely many coefficients. Since  $\pi_* T_{p^k} = T_{p^{k-1}}$  if  $k \geq 1$ , all coefficients are determined by a standard induction, except the one for  $T_1$  and  $T_p$  since  $\pi_* T_1 = \pi_* T_p = T_1$ . To have an invertible system, we need an additional equation between the coefficients. Since the total number of cyclic subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2$  of cotype  $(1, p^n)$ , namely the number of cyclic subgroups of order  $p^n$ , is given by Dedekind  $\psi$ -function, we have

$$\begin{cases} \deg \mathbf{M}(p^n) = p^n \frac{p+1}{p} = \psi(p^n), \\ \text{Coeff}_{(0)} \mathbf{M}(p^n) = \frac{p+1}{p} = \frac{\psi(p^n)}{p^n}. \end{cases}$$

We deduce that the expression is the following, given that it satisfies all of the above equations:

$$\mathbf{M}(p^n) = T_1 + p \frac{p-1}{p} T_p + p^2 \frac{p-1}{p} T_{p^2} + \cdots + p^{n-1} \frac{p-1}{p} T_{p^{n-1}} + p^n T_{p^n}.$$

The coefficient is given by the more abstract formula  $k \frac{\varphi(k)\varphi(n/k)}{\varphi(n)}$ , where we denoted by  $\varphi$  Euler's totient function  $\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ . The expression is indeed multiplicative and its value at  $(p^k, p^n)$  is the desired one since

$$\frac{\varphi(p^k)\varphi(p^{n-k})}{\varphi(p^n)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, n, \\ \frac{p-1}{p} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We apply (1) and (2) to (3) to get the closed expression. To get the primitive coefficient, we need to have  $nk/d_2 = 1$ , which is only possible if  $k = d_2$  and therefore  $d_1 = 1$ .  $\square$

*Example 2.28.* Unraveling for powers of primes, the formula yields,

$$\mathbf{M}(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2}, p^n) = T_{p^{n-d_2}} + p \frac{p-1}{p} T_{p^{n-d_2+1}} + \cdots + p^{d_2-d_1-1} \frac{p-1}{p} T_{p^{d_2-d_1-1}} + p^{d_2-d_1} T_{p^{n-d_1}}.$$

And in particular,  $\mathbf{M}(p^d, p^d, p^n) = T_{p^{n-d}}$ .  $\diamond$

**Corollary 2.29.** *The refined sum of subgroups of a given index is*

$$\mathbf{M}(\delta, n) = \sum_{[\mathbb{Z}_n^2 : K] = \delta} T_K = \sum_{k|\delta} k T_{\frac{\gcd(n, \delta)}{\delta} k}.$$

*Proof.* To get the formula, we may restrict to powers of primes and use the above expression. It is then an elementary computation using geometric sums to get the coefficient of each  $T_{p^{n-k}}$ .  $\square$

**2.3.2. Möbius function for lattice of subgroups.** The other counting functions we require involve the Möbius function for  $p$ -groups, which we briefly recall for the reader's convenience. We refer to [Rot64] for a more thorough introduction to the Theory of Möbius functions. The case of interest was also handled in [Wei35].

If  $G$  is a finite abelian group, we denote by  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  the lattice of its subgroups, i.e. the finite set of its subgroups together with the inclusion order. A lattice is naturally endowed with a Möbius function, allowing, thanks to the so-called Möbius inversion Theorem, to invert the summation operator. In this case, it means the function is defined by

$$\mu(H, H) = 1 \text{ and } \forall H_1 \subsetneq H_2, \sum_{H_1 \subset K \subset H_2} \mu(K, H_2) = \sum_{H_1 \subset K \subset H_2} \mu(H_1, K) = 0.$$

Since any finite abelian group splits as a product of  $p$ -groups, we have

$$\mathcal{P}(G) \simeq \prod \mathcal{P}(G_p),$$

where  $G_p$  is the  $p$ -part of  $G$ . The Möbius function of a product of lattices is the product of Möbius function. Therefore, the Möbius function is fully determined by the Möbius function for a  $p$ -group, which is given by Weisner's theorem [Wei35]: if  $H \subset K$ , we have  $\mu(H, K) = \mu(K/H)$  where  $\mu$  is defined on abelian  $p$ -groups by

$$\mu(G) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k p^{\binom{k}{2}} & \text{if } G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^k, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In our case, we only care about the following values:  $\mu(\{0\}) = 1$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{Z}_p) = -1$  and  $\mu(\mathbb{Z}_p^2) = p$ .

**2.3.3. Refined count of pairs.** Using the Möbius function, we define the following function:

$$\mathbf{F}(d_1, d_2, n) = \sum_{K \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2} \sum_{\substack{H \subset K \\ H \text{ cotype } (d_1, d_2)}} \mu(K/H) T_K.$$

This is a *refined twisted* way of counting pairs  $H \subset K$  with  $H$  of given cotype. The twist is due to the presence of the Möbius function, the refinement due to the presence of  $T_K$ . The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on the set of such pairs. Therefore, we deduce that  $\mathbf{F}(d_1, d_2, n)$  is in the span of  $(T_k)_{k|n}$ .

Furthermore, the decomposition in a product of  $p$ -groups implies that  $\mathbf{F}$  is multiplicative:

$$\mathbf{F}(d_1, d_2, n) = \prod_p \mathbf{F}(p^{\nu_p(d_1)}, p^{\nu_p(d_2)}, p^{\nu_p(n)}).$$

We now proceed to compute the function by finding an expression over powers of prime. To do so, we investigate the subgroups of a given subgroup with fixed quotient equal to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ .

**Lemma 2.30.** *Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2$  of cotype  $(p^{l_1}, p^{l_2})$ . The only subgroups  $H \subset K$  with  $\mu(K/H) \neq 0$  are those in the following list:*

- (1) *Each  $K$  has a unique subgroup of index 1,  $K$  itself and  $\mu(K/H) = 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $K$  is cyclic and non-trivial, i.e.  $l_2 = n$  and  $l_1 > 0$ , it has a unique subgroup of index  $p$ , which has cotype  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^n)$  and no subgroup with quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . We have  $\mu(K/H) = -1$ .*
- (3) *If  $K$  is not cyclic, i.e.  $l_1 \leq l_2 < n$ , then  $K$  has a unique subgroup with quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  ( $\mu(K/H) = p$ ) and its cotype is  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^{l_2+1})$ . It possesses  $p+1$  subgroups with quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  ( $\mu(K/H) = -1$ ). Furthermore:*
  - (a) *if  $l_1 = l_2$ , all  $p+1$  subgroups have cotype  $(p^{l_1}, p^{l_2+1})$ , since it is the only possible one.*

(b) If  $l_1 < l_2$ , one has cotype  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^{l_2})$  and the  $p$  others have cotype  $(p^{l_1}, p^{l_2+1})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-l_2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-l_1}}$  a subgroup of cotype  $(p^{l_1}, p^{l_2})$  with  $l_1 \leq l_2$ . We look for its subgroups  $H$  such that  $\mu(K/H) \neq 0$ , which means that the quotient is either  $\{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ .

- (1) We have the trivial subgroup  $H = K$ , which has the same cotype and  $\mu(H/K) = 1$ . We now assume  $K$  to be non-trivial:  $l_1 + l_2 < 2n$ .
- (2) If the group is cyclic, i.e.  $l_2 = n$ , then there is only one index  $p$  subgroup and its cotype is  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^n)$ . From now on, we assume the group not to be cyclic, i.e.  $l_2 < n$ .
- (3) The only subgroup with quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  is the group  $pK$  generated by  $(p, 0)$  and  $(0, p)$ ,  $pK \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-l_2-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-l_1-1}}$ . In this case, we have  $\mu(K/pK) = \mu(\mathbb{Z}_p^2) = p$ . This subgroup has cotype  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^{l_2+1})$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}^2$ .

Elements in  $pK$  belong to the kernel of any morphism  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and thus to any subgroup of index  $p$  in  $K$ . Therefore, subgroups of  $K$  with quotient  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are obtained as preimages of subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  by the previous quotient map  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ , of which there are  $p + 1$ . Their cotype is either  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^{l_2})$  or  $(p^{l_1}, p^{l_2+1})$ .

- If  $l_1 = l_2 = l$ , all  $p + 1$  subgroups have cotype  $(p^l, p^{l+1})$  since it is the only possibility.
- If  $l_1 < l_2$ . Assume the subgroup has exponent  $n - l_1 - 1$ . Then it is contained in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-l_2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-l_1-1}} \subset K$ , which is the subgroup of  $p^{n-l_1-1}$ -torsion. It already has index  $p$ . Thus it is the only subgroup with cotype  $(p^{l_1+1}, p^{l_2})$ . The other  $p$  subgroups must have exponent  $n - l_1$  and cardinality forces them to have cotype  $(p^{l_1}, p^{l_2+1})$ .

For the above subgroups, we have  $\mu(K/H) = \mu(\mathbb{Z}_p) = -1$ . □

We can now invert the relation to describe *surgroups*  $K$  of  $H$  (i.e subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  which contain  $H$ ) with  $H$  of given cotype, yielding an explicit expression of  $\mathbf{F}(d_1, d_2, n)$ .

**Proposition 2.31.** *The function  $\mathbf{F}(d_1, d_2, n)$  has non-zero value only when  $d_1 = 1$  where we have the following expression:*

$$\mathbf{F}(1, d, n) = \sum_{k|d} \mu(k) T_{\frac{n}{d}k},$$

where  $\mu$  is the standard integer Möbius function, multiplicative with value  $-1$  over primes, and  $0$  if divisible by the square of a prime.

*Proof.* By multiplicativity, we may assume that we are dealing with power of primes:  $(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2}, p^n)$ . According to the preceding lemma, assuming that  $K$  contains a subgroup  $H$  of cotype  $(d_1, d_2)$  with  $\mu(K/H) \neq 0$ , it means  $K$  must have one of the following cotypes:

- $(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2})$  and  $H = K$ ,
- $(p^{d_1-1}, p^{d_2-1})$  if  $d_1, d_2 \geq 1$  and  $H = pK$ ,
- $(p^{d_1-1}, p^{d_2})$  if  $d_1 \geq 1$  and the number of possible  $H$  is 1 (case (2) and (3b))
- $(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2-1})$  and the number of possible  $H$  is  $p$  if  $d_1 < d_2 - 1$  (case (3b)) and  $p + 1$  if  $d_1 = d_2 - 1$  (case (3a))

In the end, forgetting about the  $p^n$  to lighten the notation and taking into account the value of  $\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2}) &= \mathbf{M}(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2}) + p \mathbb{1}_{d_1, d_2 \geq 1} \mathbf{M}(p^{d_1-1}, p^{d_2-1}) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{1}_{d_1 \geq 1} \mathbf{M}(p^{d_1-1}, p^{d_2}) - (p + \mathbb{1}_{d_1 = d_2 - 1}) \mathbf{M}(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression for  $\mathbf{M}(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2})$  computed in Example 2.28, an elementary computation yields:

- on the diagonal  $d_1 = d_2 = d \geq 1$ , we get

$$\mathbf{F}(p^d, p^d) = 0,$$

- on the subset where  $d_2 = d_1 + 1$  and  $d = d_1 \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{F}(p^d, p^{d+1}) = 0$ ,
- on the remaining elements with  $d_1 \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{F}(p^{d_1}, p^{d_2}) = 0$ .

We are thus left with the case where  $d_1 = 0$  yielding the following values:

$$\mathbf{F}(1, p^d, p^n) = \begin{cases} T_{p^n} & \text{if } d = 0, \\ T_{p^{n-d}} - T_{p^{n-d+1}} & \text{if } 1 \leq d \leq n. \end{cases}$$

We recognize the desired expression with the usual Möbius function for integers and conclude by multiplicativity.  $\square$

We consider the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{(\delta, n) \text{ s.t. } \delta|n^2\} \subset (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ , with norm given by the projection onto the second coordinate. We define the following function: for  $\delta|n^2$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}(\delta, n) = \sum_{d_1 d_2 = \delta} \mathbf{F}(d_1, d_2, n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k|\delta} \mu(k) T_{\frac{n}{\delta}k} & \text{if } \delta|n, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2.32.** *The function  $\mathbf{F}(\delta, n)$  defined on  $\mathcal{P}_2$  satisfies the 0-MCF.*

*Proof.* The primitive coefficient is obtained when  $k = \delta$  in the sum, the value is  $\mu(\delta)$  when  $\delta|n$  and 0 else. Assuming  $\delta|n$ , we have

$$\sum_{k|\delta, n} \text{Prim}_{n/k} \mathbf{F}\left(\frac{\delta}{k}, \frac{n}{k}\right) T_{n/k} = \sum_{k|\delta, n} \mu(\delta/k) T_{n/k} = \sum_{l|\delta} \mu(l) T_{\frac{n}{\delta}l} = \mathbf{F}(\delta, n).$$

If we do not have  $\delta|n$ , there is some prime number  $p$  with  $\nu_p(\delta) > \nu_p(n)$ . In particular, for any  $k|\delta, n$  we still have  $\nu_p(\delta/k) > \nu_p(n/k)$  and thus both sides are 0.  $\square$

**2.3.4. Refined count of marked pairs.** We now introduce a second twisted counting function of subgroups that also satisfies the 0-MCF and that we use in the proof of the MCF for the correlated DR-cycle. The pairs are “marked” by the choice of a morphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2/K$ .

Let  $\omega|n$  and  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2$  be a subgroup. We consider the following  $\omega$ -twisted version of  $T_K$ :

$$T_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Z}_n^2 : K]} \sum_{\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2/K} \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{x \in q^{-1}(\varphi(n/\omega))} (x),$$

where  $q: \mathbb{Z}_n^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2/K$  denotes the projection, meaning the second sum is over the elements in the  $K$ -class  $\varphi(n/\omega)$ . We extend the definition by 0 in case  $\omega$  does not divide  $n$ . We then set

$$\mathbf{G}_\omega(d_1, d_2, n) = \sum_{K \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2} \sum_{\substack{H \subset K \\ H \text{ cotype } (d_1, d_2)}} \mu(K/H) T_K(\omega).$$

Despite its expression as an average over the morphisms  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2/K$ , which we require for later reasons, the  $\omega$ -twisted version of  $T_K$  has a very easy expression provided by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.33.** *For any  $\omega|n$  and  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ , we have*

$$T_K(\omega) = T_K T_\omega,$$

where  $T_\omega$  is the average of  $\omega$ -torsion elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ .

*Proof.* Each morphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2/K$  can be lifted to a morphism  $\psi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ , and there are precisely  $|K|$  possible lifts, since we only need to choose a lift of the image of the generator. Therefore, using that  $|K|[\mathbb{Z}_n^2 : K] = n^2$ , we can rewrite

$$T_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{\substack{\psi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2 \\ x \equiv \psi(n/\omega) \pmod K}} (x).$$

Now, a morphism  $\psi: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2$  is determined by the image  $y$  of the generator 1. Let  $\psi_y$  be the morphism mapping 1 to  $y$ . The image of  $n/\omega$  under  $\psi_y$  is the  $\omega$ -torsion element  $\tau = (n/\omega)y$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ . So each  $\omega$ -torsion element  $\tau$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$  is the image of  $n/\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  by precisely  $(n/\omega)^2$  morphisms  $\psi_y: \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ , corresponding to its roots. Therefore, we have

$$T_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{|K|} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_\omega^2} \sum_{x \equiv \tau \pmod K} (x).$$

The elements in the  $K$ -equivalence class of  $\tau$  are exactly the  $\tau + z$  for  $z \in K$ . In the end,

$$T_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{|K|} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_\omega^2} \sum_{z \in K} (\tau + z) = \frac{1}{|K|} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_\omega^2 \\ z \in K}} (\tau)(z) = T_K T_\omega.$$

□

We deduce from the previous expression that

$$G_\omega(d_1, d_2, n) = \begin{cases} F(d_1, d_2, n) T_\omega & \text{if } \omega|n, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We also set

$$G_\omega(\delta, n) = \sum_{d_1 d_2 = \delta} G_\omega(d_1, d_2, n).$$

**Corollary 2.34.** *The functions  $G_\omega(d_1, d_2, n)$  and  $G_\omega(\delta, n)$  satisfy the 0-MCF.*

*Proof.* We use the explicit expression from **F**:

$$G_\omega(1, d, n) = \sum_{k|d} \mu(k) T_{\frac{n}{d}k} \cdot T_\omega \mathbb{1}_{\omega|n}.$$

It is not easy to give a general expression of the primitive coefficient: due to the multiplication by  $T_\omega$ , we may have  $T_{\frac{n}{d}k} T_\omega = T_n$  even if  $k$  is a strict divisor of  $d$ . We therefore once again restrict to powers of primes where we have:

$$G_{p^\omega}(1, p^d, p^n) = \begin{cases} T_{p^n} & \text{if } \omega \leq n, d = 0, \\ (T_{p^{n-d}} - T_{p^{n-d+1}}) T_{p^\omega} & \text{if } \omega \leq n, d \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega > n. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the second possibility actually yields 0 if  $\omega \geq n - d + 1$  because we get  $T_{p^\omega} - T_{p^\omega}$ . If  $\omega \leq n - d$ , we get  $T_{p^{n-d}} - T_{p^{n-d+1}}$  instead. We deduce the expression for the primitive

coefficient in the case of powers of primes:

$$\text{Prim}_{p^n} G_{p^\omega}(1, p^d, p^n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \leq n, d = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } d = 1, \omega < n, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The general one is obtained making the product over prime numbers. To prove the 0-MCF, we wish to show that the following expression coincides with  $G_{p^\omega}(1, p^d, p^n)$ :

$$\sum_{k=0}^d \text{Prim}_{p^{n-k}} G_{p^\omega}(1, p^{d-k}, p^{n-k}) \cdot T_{p^{n-k}}.$$

- If  $d = 0$  and  $\omega \leq n$ , we indeed get  $T_{p^n}$ .
- If  $\omega > n$ , we get 0, as required.
- We now assume that  $\omega \leq n$  and  $d \geq 1$ . The only potential terms yielding non-zero contribution in the sum are obtained for  $k = d$  and  $k = d - 1$ . According to the previous computation of primitive coefficients, the expression yields

$$\mathbb{1}_{\omega \leq n-d} T_{p^{n-d}} - \mathbb{1}_{\omega < n-d+1} T_{p^{n-d+1}}.$$

The latter is equal to 0 if  $\omega \geq n - d + 1$  and  $T_{p^{n-d}} - T_{p^{n-d+1}}$  if  $\omega \leq n - d$ , which is precisely  $G_{p^\omega}(1, p^d, p^n)$ , finishing the proof for  $G_\omega(d_1, d_2, n)$ .

For the second function, since  $F(d_1, d_2, n)$  vanishes if  $d_1 \neq 1$ , we have that

$$G_\omega(\delta, n) = G_\omega(1, \delta, n) \mathbb{1}_{\delta|n},$$

so that we can conclude as in the case of  $F(\delta, n)$ .  $\square$

### 3. DEGENERATION FORMULA FOR REDUCED CLASS

In this section we state and prove the reduced decomposition formula for semi-stable degenerations of abelian surfaces. Sections 3.1 and 3.2 are designed to be self-contained, and Sections 3.3 and 3.4 may be skipped at first reading.

Section 3.1 contains a description of the one parameter degenerations of abelian surfaces to which the formula applies, and introduces all the necessary ingredients to state the reduced decomposition formula.

Section 3.2 contains three expressions of the reduced degeneration formula: a version similar to [KLR18], a version with values in the group algebra, and a numerical version of the formula, suitable for the application in the later sections.

The remainder of the section is devoted to proofs. We recall the construction of the reduced virtual class and prove the degeneration formula for the latter adapting step by step the usual proof. This is a slight generalization of [MPT10, Section 4], where the arguments are presented in the case of a primitive curve class on the abelian surface. These may be skipped at first reading.

#### 3.1. Preliminaries to the reduced degeneration formula.

**3.1.1. Families of abelian surfaces.** We consider  $(A, M_A) \xrightarrow{p} (B, M_B)$  a normal crossing degeneration of an abelian surface:

- $(B, M_B)$  is a smooth curve with divisorial log structure at a single point 0;
- $A_b$  is a smooth abelian surface for  $b \in B \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- for  $0 = (\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N})$  the unique point in the base with log structure,  $A_0$  has normal crossing singularities and no triple points.



In this case it is known [Per77] that  $A_0$  is either smooth or a cycles of elliptic ruled surfaces, namely

$$A_0 = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cdots \cup Y_N,$$

with  $Y_i$  smooth ruled elliptic surface, and  $Y_i, Y_{i+1}$  meet transversely along a smooth elliptic curve  $E$  which is a section of both. In particular all the  $Y_i$  are smooth ruled elliptic surfaces over the same curve  $E$  with two disjoint sections, and thus are in fact  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles of the following form:

$$Y_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{L}_i).$$

We call  $E_i^+, E_i^-$  the sections along which  $Y_i$  meets  $Y_{i+1}$  and  $Y_{i-1}$  respectively. All the  $\mathcal{L}_i$  are isomorphic and have degree zero (we refer the reader to [Per77] for a proof); in particular, up to a deformation we can assume they are all trivial:  $Y_i = E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

The components  $Y_0$  and  $Y_N$  are glued to each other identifying their negative and positive section respectively by a translation:

$$E_0^- \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x+u} E_N^+.$$

for  $u \in E$  a torsion element referred to as the *monodromy*. We require  $u$  to be torsion to ensure the existence of a polarization on the family.

We thus write  $A(u)$  or  $A_0(u)$  to emphasize the monodromy dependence of the family and of the central fiber from the monodromy.

We give an explicit construction of normal crossing degenerations of elliptically fibered abelian surfaces depending on the monodromy choice.

As the moduli space of abelian surfaces of fixed type  $(d_1, d_2)$  (in the sense of [GH14], also taken in [BOPY18]) is connected and since we can always construct elliptically fibered surfaces of given type, it makes perfect sense from the prospective of Gromov-Witten theory to restrict our attention to these surfaces.

**3.1.2. Families of elliptically fibered abelian surfaces with prescribed monodromy.** Let  $B \in \mathcal{B} \cong (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$  be a diagram degree as in Example 2.7, with  $|B|$  defined to be the first projection of  $B$ .

Let fix  $\tau, \tau' \in \mathbb{H}$  in the upper half plane and set  $\lambda = e^{2i\pi\tau}$ . Consider the elliptic curves:  $F = \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, \tau \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C}^*/\langle \lambda \rangle$ ,  $E = \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, \tau' \rangle$  and  $F_n = \mathbb{C}/\langle n, \tau \rangle$ , which is a degree  $n$  covering of  $F$ .

Let  $u = \frac{k+l\tau'}{|B|} \in \mathbb{C}$  be a  $|B|$ -torsion element in  $E$ , and  $\Lambda_u$  be the lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  spanned by the columns of the following matrix:

$$\Omega_u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \tau & 0 \\ u & 1 & 0 & \tau' \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by  $A(u)$  the quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  by this lattice. We have the following:

- $A(u)$  is a complex torus (in fact an abelian surface, see below) with a map to  $F = \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, \tau \rangle$  coming from the projection onto the first coordinate  $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and with fiber  $E = \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, \tau' \rangle$ , i.e.  $A(u)$  is elliptically fibered;
- $A(u)$  only depends on the class of  $u$  in  $E$ , since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau' \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda_u$ ;
- $A(u)$  can also be thought as the quotient of  $F_{|B|} \times E$  by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{|B|}$  acting by translation via  $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (z_1 + 1, z_2 + u)$ . To see it, multiply the first column of  $\Omega_u$  by  $|B|$  and then subtract  $k$  times the second column and  $l$  times the last; this is not an integral change of base since the determinant is  $|B|$ , showing that  $F_{|B|} \times E$  is a cover of  $A(u)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The complex torus  $A(u)$  is an abelian surface endowed with the following polarization:*

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -|B| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & -a \\ |B| & -k & 0 & -l \\ 0 & a & l & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*The self-intersection is  $2a|B|$  and its divisibility is  $\gcd(k, l, |B|, a)$ .*

*Proof.* Following [GH14], a polarization on a complex torus is a skew-symmetric integer matrix  $Q$  satisfying *Riemann bilinear relations*:  $\Omega Q^{-1} \Omega^\top = 0$  and  $-i\Omega Q^{-1} \Omega^\top$  is hermitian positive definite. We easily check that the proposed matrix satisfies these conditions for  $\Omega_u$ . As explained in [Blo25c, Lemma 2.5] such a polarization  $Q$  is of type  $(d_1, d_2)$  with  $d_1 = \gcd(k, l, |B|, a) = \gcd(a, |B|, \frac{|B|}{\text{ord}(u)})$  and  $d_1 d_2 = a|B|$ .  $\square$

**Notation 3.2.** *We denote by  $\beta(u)$  the polarization on  $A(u)$  determined by  $Q$ . Geometrically,  $a = \beta(u) \cdot [E]$  and  $\pi_* \beta(u) = |B|[F]$ , where  $\pi: A(u) \rightarrow F$  is the projection onto the base of the elliptic fibration. The self-intersection of  $\beta(u)$  and its divisibility are related to  $a, |B|$  and  $u$  as stated in the Lemma.*

*We write  $|\beta(u)|$  to mean  $|B|$ , or even just  $|\beta|$  when the monodromy does not play a role.*

**Remark 3.3.** In above notation, let  $A(u)$  and  $A(u')$  two elliptically fibered surfaces. The isomorphism  $\varphi: H_*(A(u), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(A(u'), \mathbb{Z})$  (inducing by Poincaré duality an isomorphism in cohomology and which preserves the intersection pairing) is determined by the shear transform from  $H_1(A(u), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda_u$  to  $H_1(A(u'), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda_{u'}$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{k'-k}{|B|} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{l'-l}{|B|} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, under this isomorphism the polarization  $\beta(u)$  has image  $\beta(u')$ , with same self-intersection and divisibility  $\gcd(a, |B|, \frac{|B|}{\text{ord}(u')})$ . Taking  $u'$  a primitive  $|B|$ -root we can always achieve divisibility 1.  $\blacklozenge$

We now want to construct a one parameter semi-stable degeneration  $A_t(u)$  of  $A(u)$  to a singular abelian surface  $A_0(u)$  of those considered in Section 3.1.1.

We can proceed as in [Blo25a, Section 2.4.1]; let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  the partial compactification of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)_{z,t}^2$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t \neq 0} \simeq \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$  is an infinite chain of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . There is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $(z, t) \mapsto (\lambda t^N z, t)$  (here  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \tau}$  with  $\tau$  in the upper half plane) which extends to the central fiber. The quotient  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of elliptic curves with  $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathbb{C}^* / \langle \lambda t^N \rangle$  degenerating to the singular elliptic curve which is the union of  $N$  copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Using the language of logarithmic geometry, the construction can be rephrased in terms of a choosing a subdivision giving a schematic model for a degeneration of elliptic curves to a logarithmic elliptic curve  $\mathcal{F}_{\log} := \mathbb{G}_{m, \log} / \mathbb{Z}$  for  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \log}$  the logarithmic multiplicative group over  $\mathbb{A}_t^1$  with its divisorial log structure.  $\blacklozenge$

We can then define a degeneration of  $A(u)$  by taking the quotient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \times E$  by the action

$$(z_1, t, z_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \times E \mapsto (\lambda t^N z_1, t, z_2 + u).$$

As before, the action is defined for  $t \neq 0$  and extends over the central fiber.

The quotient  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is a semistable degeneration with  $A_{t=1}(u) \cong A(u)$ , and central fiber  $A_0(u)$  the gluing of  $N$  copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E$ , with *monodromy* data of  $u$ .

The isomorphism in cohomology of Remark 3.3 extends to the one parameter degeneration and keep preserving the classes coming from the fiber  $E$ .

**3.1.3. Degeneration graphs.** Let  $A_0(u) \rightarrow 0 = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$  be the central fiber of the normal crossing degeneration, with the log structure pulled back from the divisorial log structure of  $A(u)_t \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$ . We denote the Artin fan (or tropicalization) of  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  by

$$\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the generalized cone complex obtained gluing  $N$  copies of  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ , corresponding to the  $N$  joining divisors, along their rays, corresponding to the irreducible components  $Y_i$ . Let  $\Sigma_0$  be the pre-image  $p^{-1}(1)$  of the lattice point  $1 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ;  $\Sigma_0$  is a loop with  $N$  vertices  $y_1, \dots, y_N$ .

We endow  $\Sigma_0$  with the natural orientation making the vertex labeling increasing;  $\Sigma$  is simply the cone over this subdivided loop.

**Definition 3.5.** A *degeneration graph* for  $A_0(u)$  (see Figure 1) is a graph  $\Gamma$  together with a map of graphs  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  with the following decorations:

- (1) *vertices* are decorated with: a genus  $g_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and a curve class  $\beta_v \in H_2(Y_{\phi(v)}, \mathbb{Z})$ ,
- (2) every *edge*  $e$  comes decorated with a weight  $w_e \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ , called *slope* of  $\phi$  along  $e$  and making the map to  $\Sigma_0$  *harmonic* (i.e. balanced at each vertex).

We denote by  $E(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $H(\Gamma)$ ) the set of edges (resp. half-edges) of  $\Gamma$ . If  $h = (e \vdash v)$  is a half-edge, then we set  $w_h = \pm w_e$ , where the sign is positive if  $e$  goes out of  $v$  in the positive direction (with respect to the orientation on  $\Sigma_0$  fixed above), and negative if it goes in the opposite direction. In particular, the balancing condition (i.e. the fact that  $\phi$  is harmonic) means that at each vertex  $\sum_{h \vdash v} w_h = 0$ .

For a fixed vertex  $v$  we call the *flow* through  $v$  the sum of positive outgoing weights. The homology class in  $Y_{\phi(v)} = E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has to satisfy that  $\beta_v \cdot [E]$  is equal to the flow through  $v$ . In particular,  $\beta_v$  is fully determined by its image  $a_v$  after projecting in  $H_2(E, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  be a degeneration diagram

- The genus of  $\Gamma$  is  $b_1(\Gamma) + \sum_v g_v$ .
- The bidegree of  $\Gamma$  is  $\beta = (|B|, a)$  where  $a = \sum_v a_v$  is the sum of the degrees of vertices, and  $|B|$  is the degree of the harmonic map to  $\Sigma_0$ , i.e. the sum of edge weights mapping to a given edge of  $\Sigma_0$ .

The degree of the harmonic morphism, i.e. the first coordinate of the bidegree  $\beta$ , is denoted by  $|\beta|$ . This is consistent with the notation fixed above.

*Remark 3.7.* In [Li02], degeneration graphs are called *admissible triples*, in [KLR18] they are referred to as bipartite decorated graph. Considering  $\Sigma$  and  $C(\Gamma)$  the cones over  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Gamma$ , a degeneration graph contains the same information as what in [ACGS20, Ran22, KLR18] is referred to as *rigid tropical map*. It is for example explained in [KLR18, Section 5] how the translation between tropical curves and degeneration graphs works.

◆




FIGURE 1. Degeneration Graph with  $b_1(\Gamma) = 3$ ,  $\deg \phi = 8$ . The edges are decorated with their weight.

3.1.4. *Evaluation hyperplane and reduced diagonal.* Given  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  a degeneration graph, for each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$  we consider the moduli space of stable log-maps to the component  $Y_{\phi(v)} = E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with discrete data prescribed by  $\Gamma$  and its decoration:

$$\mathcal{M}_v = \mathcal{M}_{g, m_v}(Y_{\phi(v)} | D, a_v, \mathbf{w}_v),$$

where  $m_v$  is the number of markings adjacent to  $v$ ,  $D = E_{\phi(v)}^+ + E_{\phi(v)}^-$  is the boundary divisor defining the log structure on  $Y_{\phi(v)}$ , and  $\mathbf{w}_v = (w_h)_{h \vdash v}$  is the signed collections of weights adjacent to  $v$ .

This comes equipped with its natural evaluation map:

$$\text{ev}_v: \mathcal{M}_v \longrightarrow \prod_{h \vdash v} E,$$

where the product is indexed by half-edges adjacent to  $v$ .

We denote by  $\Delta_u$  the diagonal inclusion, with a subscript  $u$  to remember that  $A_0(u)$  has a prescribed monodromy datum  $u$ :

$$\Delta_u: E^{E(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{H(\Gamma)}.$$

Concretely, this is defined as follows: denoting by  $e_{N \rightarrow 1}$  the edge in  $\Sigma_0$  joining the vertex  $y_N$  to the vertex  $y_1$ , we have that:

$$\Delta_u(x_e) = \begin{cases} (x_h, x_{h'} + u) & \text{if } \phi(e) = e_{N \rightarrow 1}, \\ (x_h, x_{h'}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we used the usual notation  $e = (h, h')$  for the two half-edges of  $e$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  be a degeneration graph. We define the *evaluation hyperplane*  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma \subseteq E^{H(\Gamma)}$  to be

$$\mathbf{H}_\Gamma = \left\{ (x_h) \in E^{H(\Gamma)} \mid \sum_h w_h x_h \equiv 0 \in E \right\}.$$

We observe some geometric properties of the evaluation hyperplane.

**Lemma 3.9.** Let  $\delta = \gcd(w_e)$  be the gcd of edges weights. We have the following:

- (1) *The evaluation hyperplane is disconnected with precisely  $\delta^2$  connected components. These are the pre-images of the surjective morphism*

$$\mathbf{H}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sum_h \frac{w_h}{\delta} x_h} E[\delta] \subseteq E,$$

where  $E[\delta]$  are the  $\delta$ -torsion points of  $E$ .

- (2) *The evaluation map  $\text{ev}: \prod_v \mathcal{M}_v \rightarrow E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$  factors through  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ .*  
 (3) *Let  $u$  be a  $|\beta|$ -torsion element.*

*The image of the diagonal  $\Delta_u(E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)})$  is contained in the connected component of  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  given by the pre-image of the  $\delta$ -torsion element  $\frac{|\beta|}{\delta}u$ .*

*Proof.* (1) The hyperplane is defined by an equation in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)} \otimes E$ . So after a suitable change coordinates in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$ , if  $\delta = \gcd(w_e)$ , the equation is equivalent to  $\delta x_1 \equiv 0$ . Therefore, there are as many component as  $\delta$ -torsion elements in  $E$ , i.e.  $\delta^2$ . This also ensures the same map divided by  $\delta$  maps surjectively to  $\delta$ -torsion elements.

- (2) It suffice to rewrite the sum grouping half-edges per adjacent vertex:

$$\sum_h w_h x_h = \sum_v \sum_{h \vdash v} w_h x_h.$$

Then, it is well-known that for a morphism to  $Y_{\phi(v)} = E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $\sum_{h \vdash v} w_h x_h = 0$ . This can for instance be obtained by pushing-forward to  $E$  the principal divisor  $\sum w_h(p_h)$  on  $C$ .

- (3) It is sufficient to just rewrite the sum grouping half-edges per adjacent edge and using that for each edge  $e = (h, h')$ , we have  $w_h + w_{h'} = 0$ . Therefore, on the diagonal, we have that  $w_h x_h + w_{h'} x_{h'}$  is 0 if  $\phi(e)$  is not  $e_{N \rightarrow 1}$ , and  $w_e u$  if it is. In the end, we thus get  $|\beta| \cdot u$  where  $|\beta|$  is the degree of the harmonic map to  $\Sigma_0$ .

Taking  $u$  to be  $|\beta|$ -torsion, the image lies in  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ . The connected component in which the diagonal embeds is described by the equation  $\sum \frac{w_h}{\delta} x_h = \frac{|\beta|}{\delta} u$ , which yields a connected locus since the coefficients are now coprime.  $\square$

**Definition 3.10.** We denote by  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$  the connected component of the evaluation hyperplane containing the image of the diagonal and call it the  *$u$ -relevant hyperplane*.

We denote by  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}$  the diagonal map with values in the latter

$$\Delta_u^{\text{red}}: E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u \subset E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}.$$

**3.1.5. Correlated GW invariants for  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .** We recall the definition of *correlated Gromov-Witten invariants* for the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_v$  considered in [BC25b, BC25a]. These will be the vertex contributions in the degeneration formula for the reduced class  $[\mathcal{M}(A_0(u))]^{\text{red}}$ .

Given a vertex  $v$  of a decomposition diagram  $\Gamma$ , and the associated moduli of relative stable map to  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , consider the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_v \xrightarrow{\prod_{h \vdash v} \text{ev}_h} \prod_{h \vdash v} E_h \xrightarrow{\sum_{h \vdash v} \frac{w_h}{\delta_v}} E,$$

where  $\delta_v = \gcd(w_h)_{h \vdash v}$ . Then, the image of the evaluation map is contained in the evaluation hyperplane

$$\mathbf{H}_v = \left\{ \sum_{h \vdash v} w_h x_h = 0 \right\}$$

and the map  $\sum_{h \vdash v} \frac{w_h}{\delta_v} x_h$  surjects onto the  $\delta_v$ -torsion elements  $E[\delta_v]$ , where  $\delta_v = \gcd(w_e)_{e \vdash v}$ .

This shows that both  $\mathcal{M}_v$  and  $\mathbf{H}_v$  are a union of connected components indexed by the so-called *correlators*  $\theta_v \in E[\delta_v]$  (see [BC25b, Section 3]). We therefore have splittings

$$\mathbf{H}_v = \bigsqcup_{\delta_v \theta_v \equiv 0} \mathbf{H}_{\theta_v} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_v = \bigsqcup_{\delta_v \theta_v \equiv 0} \mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v},$$

and a *correlated virtual class*

$$[\mathcal{M}_v]^{\delta_v} = \sum_{\delta_v \theta_v \equiv 0} [\mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v}]^{\text{vir}} \cdot (\theta_v),$$

which we consider as an element in the group algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[E]$  with cycle coefficients.

We say that  $[\mathcal{M}_v]^{\delta_v}$  (and similarly for the associated invariants which take values in  $\mathbb{Q}[E]$ ) is the *level  $\delta_v$  correlated refinement*. The support of this element seen in the group algebra consists of the  $\delta_v$ -torsion elements. The correlated refinement at various levels  $\delta$  dividing  $\delta_v$  are obtained through *unrefinement relations* [BC25b, Lemma 3.12], applying operators  $\mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta]$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *The evaluation map restricted to  $\prod \mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v}$  takes value in the component of  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  indexed by  $\sum \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v$ . In particular, this is the same component of the image of the diagonal  $\Delta_u$  if we have the compatibility relation*

$$(2) \quad \sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v = \frac{|\beta|}{\delta} u.$$

*Proof.* The evaluation map  $\prod_v \mathcal{M}_v \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  takes values in

$$\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^{\text{small}} = \prod_v \mathbf{H}_v = \bigsqcup_{\underline{\theta}} \mathbf{H}_\Gamma^{\underline{\theta}} = \bigsqcup_{\underline{\theta}} (\prod_v \mathbf{H}_{\theta_v}),$$

and is in particular contained in  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ . To determine in which connected component of  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  a given  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^{\underline{\theta}}$  lies, we just apply the morphism  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma \rightarrow E[\delta]$  given by  $\sum_h \frac{w_h}{\delta} x_h$ . Grouping by vertex, we have

$$\sum_h \frac{w_h}{\delta} x_h = \sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \sum_{h \vdash v} \frac{w_h}{\delta_v} x_h = \sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v.$$

Using Lemma 3.9(3), we thus see that  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^{\underline{\theta}}$  lies in the  $u$ -relevant hyperplane  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$  (and thus potentially intersects the image of the diagonal  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}(E^{E(\Gamma)})$ ) if and only if we have the advertised *compatibility condition*.  $\square$

**3.2. Reduced decomposition formula.** We are now able to state the reduced degeneration formula. We provide three versions:

- a version for a unique family  $A(u)$  with monodromy  $u$  (Theorem 3.12),
- a version with coefficients in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  encompassing the reduced degeneration formula for all possible monodromies  $u$  of  $|\beta|$ -torsion (Corollary 3.13),
- a numerical version (Corollary 3.17) after providing an (and in fact several) expressions for the Poincaré dual class to the reduced diagonal in  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}^u = \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A_t(u), \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  the moduli space of log stable maps to  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ , which comes endowed with  $[\mathcal{M}^u]^{\text{red}} \in A_{g+n+1}(\mathcal{M}_u, \mathbb{Q})$  (construction recalled below) and by  $\mathcal{M}_0^u$  its fiber over  $0 = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ , the moduli space of log stable map to  $A_0(u)/0$ .

**3.2.1. First version of decomposition formula for reduced class.** For each degeneration graph  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  we have a (logarithmic) stratum  $\mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma}^u$  of  $\mathcal{M}^u$  which comes with a natural map  $\mu_\Gamma: \mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^u$  which is (at least virtually) the inclusion of an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{M}_0^u$ .

Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  denote a degeneration graph together with a labeling of its edges, we denote by  $\mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}}: \mathcal{M}_{0,\tilde{\Gamma}}^u \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0^u$  the morphism obtained composing  $\mu_\Gamma$  with the finite étale map of degree  $|E(\Gamma)|!$  forgetting the edge labeling.

Finally, we set  $l_\Gamma = \text{lcm}(w_e)$  denote the lowest common multiple of edge weights.

We consider the following fiber product:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \odot_v \mathcal{M}_v & \xrightarrow{g} & \prod_v \mathcal{M}_v \\ \downarrow \prod_e \text{ev}_e & & \downarrow \prod_h \text{ev}_h \\ E^{E(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_u} & E^{H(\Gamma)} \end{array}$$

As argued in [KLR18, Section 9], we have an étale map  $\varphi_\Gamma: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \odot_v \mathcal{M}_v$  of degree  $(\prod_{e \in E(\Gamma)} w_e) / l_\Gamma$ . Preimages by  $\varphi_\Gamma$  correspond to the possible way to garnish the map to  $A_0(u)$  (obtained gluing to log stable maps at the vertices ) with a compatible log structure to make it into a honest log stable map to  $A_0(u)$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $A_0(u) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$  be the central fiber of a semi-stable degeneration of abelian surfaces with monodromy  $u$  and let  $\mathcal{M}_0^u$  the moduli space of log stable map to  $A_0(u)$  considered before. We have:*

$$[\mathcal{M}_0^u]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{l_\Gamma}{|E(\Gamma)|!} \mu_{\tilde{\Gamma},*} \varphi_\Gamma^* \left( \sum_{\underline{\theta}} \Delta_u^{\text{red},!} \left( \prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v}]^{\text{vir}} \right) \right)$$

where the sum is over the  $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_v)$  satisfying the compatibility condition (2):  $\sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v = \frac{|\beta|}{\delta} u$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from: Proposition 3.25, which shows that the reduced class splits as a sum over degeneration graphs of reduced classes of the components  $\mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma}^u$ ; Proposition 3.26 which shows how to relate the reduced virtual class of the component for a fixed  $\Gamma$  to the class of the fiber product  $\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v$ . Once this is done, Lemma 3.9 gives a way to describe the pre-image  $\text{ev}^{-1}(\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u) \subseteq \prod_v \mathcal{M}_v$  in terms of the components  $\prod \mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v}$  for which the compatibility condition (2) holds.  $\square$

**3.2.2. Decomposition formula with values in group algebra.** To prove the multiple cover formula, it will be convenient to consider the invariants for  $A(u)$  for all possible  $u \in E[|\beta|]$  at once. This information is best encoded in terms of the group algebra of  $E$ . We thus consider a reduced degeneration formula gathering the reduced classes of all the  $\mathcal{M}(A_0(u))$  at once, weighted by the group algebra element  $(u)$ .

We thus introduce the *correlated reduced class*:

$$[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{|\beta|u=0} [\mathcal{M}(A_0(u))]^{\text{red}} \cdot (u),$$

which we consider as element in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[E]$  with cycle coefficients. It becomes an honest element in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  after integrating against some cohomology classes.

The following version of the decomposition formula is obtained from Theorem 3.12 via some bookkeeping on the group algebra coefficients.

**Corollary 3.13.** *The correlated reduced class  $[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}}$  gathering the reduced class of the elliptic fibrations for every  $|\beta|$ -torsion element satisfies the following decomposition formula:*

$$[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{l_{\Gamma}}{|\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)|!} \mu_{\Gamma,*}^* \varphi_{\Gamma}^* \Delta^{\text{red},!} \left( (|\beta|/\delta)^{2\mathfrak{d}} \left[ \frac{1}{|\beta|/\delta} \right] \left( \prod_v^{\mathfrak{m}} \left[ \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \right] [\mathcal{M}_v]^{\delta_v} \right) \right),$$

where  $\delta$  is the gcd of all edge weights,  $[\mathcal{M}_v]^{\delta_v}$  is the correlated class of  $\mathcal{M}_v$ , and  $\Delta^{\text{red},!}$  acts by  $\Delta_u^{\text{red},!}$  on the  $(u)$ -coefficient.

*Proof.* The idea is to consider the full correlated virtual class of level  $\delta_v$  for the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_v$  and then use the structure and the operators in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  to read of the compatibility relation (2) and sort out automatically which correlated classes contribute to a given monodromy  $u$ . To do so, it suffices to consider the following product and expand:

$$\prod_v^{\mathfrak{m}} [\delta_v/\delta] [\mathcal{M}_v]^{\delta_v} = \sum_{\underline{\theta}} \prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v^{\theta_v}]^{\text{vir}} \left( \sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v \right).$$

The compatibility relation then states that to get the contribution for the central fiber  $A_0(u)$ , we have to select the  $(\frac{|\beta|}{\delta}u)$ -coefficient. The operator  $(|\beta|/\delta)^{2\mathfrak{d}} \left[ \frac{1}{|\beta|/\delta} \right]$  maps a generator  $(t)$  to the sum of its  $(\frac{|\beta|}{\delta})$ -roots, which are  $|\beta|$ -torsion elements, and so after applying this operator, the coefficient of  $(t)$  is now multiplied by  $\sum_{\frac{|\beta|}{\delta}(s)=(t)} (s)$ . So now we can simply select the  $(u)$ -coefficient and get the stated result.  $\square$

**3.2.3. Numerical version of decomposition formula.** The strength of the decomposition formula comes from the fact that using the Künneth decomposition of the diagonal one ultimately gets down to perform computations on the simpler moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_v$ . We want to do the same for the reduced case. In order to do so, we look a little closer to the relevant hyperplane and provide a Künneth decomposition (in fact several) for the Poincaré dual class to the diagonal inside the relevant hyperplane. We need the following basic result of algebraic topology:

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $A \subset B \subset C$  be smooth compact submanifolds. Let  $\mu_A, \mu_B \in H^*(C, \mathbb{Q})$  be the Poincaré dual classes to  $[A]$  and  $[B]$  respectively. Let  $\eta_A \in H^*(B, \mathbb{Q})$  be the Poincaré dual class to  $[A]$ . Then we have:*

- (i) *If  $\eta_A = j^* \tilde{\eta}$  for  $j: B \hookrightarrow C$ , then  $\mu_A = \tilde{\eta} \cup \mu_B$ .*
- (ii) *Conversely, writing  $\mu_A = \tilde{\eta} \cup \mu_B$ , we have that  $j^* \tilde{\eta} = \eta_A$  if  $j_*$  is injective.*

*Proof.* This follows by push-pull and the definition of Poincaré duality. Let  $i, j$  be the inclusions  $A \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow C$ . We have

$$j_* i_* [A] = j_* ([B] \cap \eta_A) = j_* ([B] \cap j^* \tilde{\eta}) = j_* [B] \cap \tilde{\eta} = [C] \cap (\mu_B \cup \tilde{\eta}).$$

Conversely, we just go right to left and use the injectivity of  $j^*$  to conclude.  $\square$

We apply the previous lemma to the case where

- $A = E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)}$  is the diagonal,
- $B = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}^u$  is the  $u$ -relevant hyperplane containing the diagonal,
- $C = E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$  the ambient torus.

In particular, the injectivity assumption is satisfied since we have an inclusion of tori.



Let  $(1, \alpha, \beta, \text{pt} = \alpha\beta)$  be a basis of  $H^*(E, \mathbb{Z})$ . We denote with an index  $h$  the part of the cohomology corresponding to the copy of  $E$  indexed by  $h \in H(\Gamma)$ , providing generators of  $H^*(E^{H(\Gamma)}, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** *For any edge  $e_0$  in  $\Gamma$ , we have the following decomposition of Poincaré dual class to the reduced diagonal:*

$$\Delta_u^{\text{red}} = \frac{\delta^2}{w_{e_0}^2} \prod_{\substack{e=(h,h') \\ e \neq e_0}} (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) (\beta_h - \beta_{h'}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.14, the Poincaré dual class to the diagonal embedded via  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}$  inside  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$  may be obtained as restriction of a class on  $E^{H(\Gamma)}$  by factoring out the Poincaré dual class to  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$  from the usual Poincaré dual class of the diagonal, for which we have the Künneth decomposition formula:

$$\Delta_u = \prod_{e=(h,h')} (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) (\beta_h - \beta_{h'}).$$

This is easily seen since for an elliptic curve, the diagonal class is the pull-back of the (Poincaré dual) of a point class via the map  $(x, y) \in E^2 \mapsto x - y \in E$ .

We saw before that  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$ , the  $u$ -relevant hyperplane, is the pre-image of the  $\delta$ -torsion point  $\frac{|\beta|}{\delta}u$  along the morphism  $E^{H(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E$  given by  $\sum_h \frac{w_h}{\delta}$ . Therefore, the class Poincaré dual class to  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u$  is similarly

$$\mu_{\mathbf{H}_\Gamma^u} = \left( \sum_h \frac{w_h}{\delta} \alpha_h \right) \left( \sum_h \frac{w_h}{\delta} \beta_h \right) = \left( \sum_e \frac{w_e}{\delta} (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) \right) \left( \sum_e \frac{w_e}{\delta} (\beta_h - \beta_{h'}) \right).$$

Let us fix  $e_0$  an edge of  $\Gamma$ . We claim that following equality holds:

$$\prod_e (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) (\beta_h - \beta_{h'}) = \frac{\delta^2}{w_{e_0}^2} \left( \sum_e \frac{w_e}{\delta} (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) \right) \left( \sum_e \frac{w_e}{\delta} (\beta_h - \beta_{h'}) \right) \cup \prod_{e \neq e_0} (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) (\beta_h - \beta_{h'}).$$

To see that, expand the sum on the right hand side. As  $(\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'})^2 = (\beta_h - \beta_{h'})^2 = 0$ , due to the product over  $e \neq e_0$ , most terms are 0. The only terms contributing non trivially to the cup product are obtained taking  $e$  equal to  $e_0$  in both sums. For the latter, the coefficients  $w_{e_0}/\delta$  simplify. This finishes the proof by application of Lemma 3.14.  $\square$

Concretely, the class can be seen as follows: one should forget about the diagonal condition at exactly one of the edges, up to a coefficient depending on the weight of the edge:  $(\frac{\delta}{w_{e_0}})^2$ .

*Remark 3.16.* To some extent, the discrepancy of 1 in the dimension count for the (reduced) dimension of the moduli of stable maps states that, when using the decomposition formula, the last gluing is automatic (up to torsion). This is exactly what the above class provides: one should not impose the gluing at one of the edges (as it is automatic).  $\blacklozenge$

Given constraints  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in H^*(A_0(u), \mathbb{Q})$  coming from the fiber  $E$  (which by Remark 3.3 can be considered as valid insertions in  $H^*(A_0(u'), \mathbb{Q})$  for any monodromy) and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}, \mathbb{Q})$ , we denote by

$$\langle \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \rangle \rangle_{g,\beta} = \sum_{|\beta|u \equiv 0} \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \rangle_{g,\beta(u)}^{A(u)} \cdot (u),$$

the group algebra element where the  $(u)$ -coefficient is the reduced Gromov-Witten invariant for the elliptically fibered abelian surface  $A(u)$ .

The invariant encompasses all possible values of  $u$ . From the explicit description of Section 3.1.2 we know that all the polarization  $\beta(u)$  have the same self-intersection but different divisibilities depending on the order of  $u$ .

**Corollary 3.17.** *For constraints  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in H^*(A_0, \mathbb{Q})$  which are points, fiber classes  $[E]$  or 1-cycles in a fiber  $E$ , and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}, \mathbb{Q})$ , denoting by  $\Delta_{e_0}^{\text{red}} = \prod_{e \neq e_0} (\alpha_h - \alpha_{h'}) (\beta_h - \beta_{h'})$ , we have*

$$(4) \quad \langle \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \rangle \rangle_{g,\beta} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\prod_e w_e}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \frac{|\beta|^2}{w_{e_0}^2} \delta \left[ \frac{1}{|\beta|/\delta} \right] \left( \int_{\mathfrak{m}[\frac{\delta}{\delta}]} \prod_{[\mathcal{M}_v]^\delta} \prod \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i \cup \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_{e_0}^{\text{red}} \right).$$

**3.3. Reduced classes for log smooth degenerations of abelian surfaces.** We review how to construct a reduced virtual class for moduli spaces of (log) stable maps to a normal crossing degeneration  $A \xrightarrow{p} B$  of abelian surfaces. For the moment being we suppress the  $u$  keeping track of the monodromy from the notation. We also refer to [KL13, MPT10, KT14, BOPY18].

We write  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{g,m}(A/B, \beta)$  for the moduli space of (basic [GS13]) log stable maps to  $A/B$ . An  $S$ -point of this moduli space (which by [GS13] is a Deligne-Mumford stack with a logarithmic structure) is a commutative diagram of logarithmic maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ S & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are endowed with the logarithmic structure described above, the log structure on  $S$  is the one pulled back from the logarithmic structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We refer for example to [GS13, Ran22] or [KLR18] for an explicit description of the logarithmic structure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The curve  $C \rightarrow S$  is a log smooth curve with its vertical log structure, which means the  $m$ -markings do not carry log structure.

**3.3.1. Logarithmic 1-forms on abelian surfaces.** We denote by  $\Omega_{A/B}^{\log}$  the sheaf of logarithmic forms in the sense of [Gro11, 3.3]. This is a particular case of the more general construction due to Olsson [Ols05] of the logarithmic cotangent complex of an algebraic stack endowed with a logarithmic structure.

In the case at hand, this is in fact a locally free sheaf since  $A \rightarrow B$  is log smooth. We know that (see again [Gro11, 3.3])  $\Omega_{A/B}^{\log}$  is the sheaf of meromorphic forms with simple poles along  $A_0$ , usually denoted by  $\Omega_{A/B}(\log A_0)$ . The log cotangent bundle enjoys the same functorial property of the usual cotangent bundle; in particular

$$\Omega_{A/B}^{\log}|_{A_0} \cong \Omega_{A_0/0}^{\log},$$

where  $0$  denotes the standard log point  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ . We refer the reader for example to [Ogu18, IV.1.1] for a proof of this fact.

Denoting by  $\nu$  the normalization map, the sheaf  $\Omega_{A_0/0}^{\log}$  can be explicitly described as the subbundle of  $\nu_* \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \Omega_{Y_i}(E_i^- + E_i^+) \right)$  of forms with at most logarithmic poles along the gluing divisor which have opposite residues along the gluing divisors and agree when restricted to the divisor.

It follows from the explicit description that the relative log canonical  $K_{A/B}^{\log} = \bigwedge^2 \Omega_{A/B}^{\log}$  is trivial. Let  $\omega$  a generator, local on the base  $B$ , then it induces an isomorphism between  $\Omega_{A/B}^{\log}$  and its dual, the *log-tangent bundle*:

$$\theta: T_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/B}^{\log}.$$

### 3.3.2. Standard obstruction theory.

**Relative Obstruction theories.** It is known that  $\mathcal{M}$  [AC14, Li01, GS13, Che13, Kim10, Ran22] comes equipped with a perfect obstruction theory:

$$(R\pi_* F^* T^{\log})_{A/B}^{\vee} \cong R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log}, \mathcal{O})^{\vee},$$

relative to the algebraic stack with log structure of maps from log smooth curves over  $B$  to the relative Artin fan  $\mathcal{A}_{A/B}$ :

$$\mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}_{g,m}(\mathcal{A}_{A/B}).$$

We refer the reader to [ACGS20, Section 3] and references therein for any detail about relative Artin fans, maps to them and the relative obstruction theory we just described.

Here we only recall that an  $S$ -point of the stack  $\mathfrak{M}$  parametrize (via the equivalence or Artin fans and cone stacks proved in [CCUW20]) a log smooth (vertical) curves  $C \rightarrow S$ , with  $S$  an fs log scheme over  $B$ , together with a tropical map  $h_s: \Gamma_C / \sigma(\overline{M}_{S,s}) \rightarrow \Sigma / \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  for each  $s$ , compatible under generalization  $s \rightsquigarrow s'$ .

Each  $\Gamma_C / \sigma(\overline{M}_{S,s}) = \text{Hom}(\overline{M}_{S,s}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  is a family over  $\sigma(\overline{M}_{S,s})$  of tropical curves in the usual sense and for each point we get a tropical map to  $\Sigma / \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , which is the cone over a loop  $\Sigma_0$  subdivided  $N$ -times, coming with its natural projection to  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Absolute obstruction theory.** The stack  $\mathfrak{M}$  is equipped with a natural morphism to the Olsson stack  $\mathcal{L}og_B$ , defined by only recalling the morphism of log schemes  $S \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_B$ . Using the fact that  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_B$  is smooth (see for example [ACGS20, Proposition 3.3]), we can define a perfect obstruction theory for  $\mathcal{M}$  relative to  $\mathcal{L}og_B$ . This is done by a standard procedure (performed for example in [MPT10, Section 4] and [Che13, Section 4.3]). From the morphism

$$F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m),$$

we get

$$(5) \quad R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m), \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log}, \mathcal{O}).$$

By work of Kato [Kat00] on log smooth deformation for curves, rephrased in the language of Olsson's [Ols05], we have that  $R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m), \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}/\mathcal{L}og_B}[-1]$ , the dual of the cotangent complex of  $\mathfrak{M}/\mathcal{L}og_B$ . The log smoothness of  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow B$ , a.k.a. the smoothness of  $\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_B$  implies that taking the cone over (5) we get the dual of a perfect obstruction theory for  $\mathcal{M}$  relative to  $\mathcal{L}og_B$  [Ols03]:

$$R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om([F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)], \mathcal{O}).$$

Here  $[F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)]$  is supported in degree  $-1, 0$ .

By base change along the smooth morphism  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og_B$  [Che13, Section 4] we can also think of this as the obstruction theory relative to  $B$ . Since  $B$  is smooth, repeating the cone construction before,  $\mathcal{M}$  is endowed with an absolute obstruction theory.

*Remark 3.18.* Often in the more classical literature,  $\Omega_C^{\log}$  for a nodal curve  $C$  denotes the sheaf of differential twisted by the markings. Here  $\Omega_{C/T}^{\log}$  is the log canonical in the sense of [Gro11, 3.3] for  $C \rightarrow T$  a log-smooth vertical curve, so does not include the markings. Then we can identify it, again by [Gro11, 3.3], with the dualizing line bundle  $\omega_C$  of the underlying nodal curve.  $\blacklozenge$

We denote by

$$\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{M}} = \mathcal{H}^1(R\pi_* F^* T_{A/B}^{\log}), \quad \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B} = \mathcal{H}^1(R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om([F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)], \mathcal{O}))$$

the obstruction sheaves relative to  $\mathfrak{M}$  and to  $B$ .

Since there is no obstruction to deform a map from a connected curve together with a deformation of the fibers of  $A \rightarrow B$ , the obstruction sheaf  $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B}$  coincides with the absolute obstruction sheaf  $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Remark 3.19.* In [MPT10, Section 4] the authors work with logarithmic stable maps to expansion  $\mathcal{M}^{\exp}$ , as in [Kim10, Ran22]. This moduli space is virtually birational to the one we consider by [AMW14], and has a perfect obstruction theory

$$R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om([F^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)], \mathcal{O}).$$

where  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is the universal expansion, relative to the smooth Artin stack of expansion  $\mathfrak{B}$ . From the latter, again using the fact that there are no obstructions to deform a map from a connected curve together with fibers of  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  one gets an absolute perfect obstruction theory for  $\mathcal{M}^{\exp}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**3.3.3. Cosection.** Following the steps of [KL13, MPT10, KT14] we construct a cosection (i.e. a map to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ ) for the obstruction sheaf  $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B} \cong \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}}$  by pull-back. We then show that it is surjective.

**Lemma 3.20.** *We have the following:*

- (1) *There exists a natural cosection  $\sigma_{\text{rel}}: \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ .*
- (2) *The cosection from (1) descends to a cosection  $\sigma: \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ .*
- (3) *Both cosections are surjective.*

*Proof.* (1) Consider the composition, where first and last map are actually isomorphisms:

$$F^* T_{A/B}^{\log} \xrightarrow{F^* \theta} F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}.$$

Taking the first cohomology of  $R\pi_*$ , where we recall that  $\pi$  is the projection  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}$ , we thus obtain the cosection

$$\sigma_{\text{rel}}: \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{M}} \cong R^1 \pi_* F^* T_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

(2) The exact triangle

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}/B}[-1] \rightarrow R\pi_* F^* T_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow R\pi_* R\mathcal{H}om([F^* \Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)], \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$$

induces a long exact sequence of cohomology sheaves, whose last three terms are

$$R^1 \pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* F^* T_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, to show that  $\sigma_{\text{rel}}$  descends to  $\sigma: \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$  (and thus automatically to  $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}}$  by the comment made before) it is sufficient to check that the following composition vanishes:

$$R^1\pi_*R\mathcal{H}om(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*F^*T_{A/B}^{\log} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\text{rel}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Since  $\theta$  is the isomorphism induced by the global section  $\omega$  of the log canonical, this composition comes, as also explained in [KT14], by dualizing and pushing forward the following composition:

$$F^*K_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow F^*\Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \otimes F^*\Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m) \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}.$$

As  $\omega$  is alternating and  $\wedge^2 \Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log} = 0$ , we can conclude that the composition vanishes and the cosection descends to a cosection of the absolute obstruction sheaf:

$$\sigma: \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B} = \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

- (3) Surjectivity of  $\sigma_{\text{rel}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $\sigma$ . This in turn reduces to show that for a log-curve  $f: C \rightarrow A_b$ , the following composition is surjective:

$$H^1(C, f^*T_{A_b}^{\log}) \xrightarrow{H^1(f^*\theta)} H^1(C, f^*\Omega_{A_b}^{\log}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \Omega_C^{\log}) \rightarrow H^1(C, \omega_C).$$

Notice that the first and last maps are isomorphisms, and that  $H^1(C, \omega_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

We only need to argue that the composition is non zero. When  $A_b$  is smooth, this is the argument of [KL13, Proposition 6.4]. In our case, this can be done as well looking at the Serre dual:

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, T_C^{\log} \otimes \omega_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, f^*T_{A_b}^{\log} \otimes \omega_C) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(C, f^*\Omega_{A_b}^{\log} \otimes \omega_C).$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is globally generated, it is enough to prove that the map of sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_C \hookrightarrow T_C^{\log} \otimes \omega_C \xrightarrow{d^{\log}f} f^*T_{A_b}^{\log} \otimes \omega_C$$

is nontrivial, where the first map is induced by dualizing  $\Omega_C^{\log} \rightarrow \omega_C$ . But the map  $d^{\log}f \otimes \omega_C$  is non trivial: on the locus in  $C$  that maps to  $A_b^{\text{sm}}$  and  $f$  is not ramified on the image; at the nodes mapping to the  $A_b^{\text{sing}}$  if the intersection of the image curve and the singular divisor is dimensionally transverse. This locus is non empty.  $\square$

**3.3.4. Reduced class.** We now use the cosection to define a reduced fundamental class. Let us denote by

$$\mathbf{E}^a \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$$

the absolute obstruction theory constructed from the obstruction theory

$$\mathbf{E} := \left( R\pi_*R\mathcal{H}om([F^*\Omega_{A/B}^{\log} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{M}}^{\log}(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)], \mathcal{O}) \right)^{\vee}$$

relative to  $B$  using the smoothness of  $B$ . Here we used the usual notation  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$  for the cotangent complex. The cosection provides a map:

$$\mathbf{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbf{E}^{\vee}) = \mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

and similartly for  $\mathbf{E}^{a,\vee}$  since the obstruction sheaf coincide.

Here the maps are to be intended as maps of complexes, thus the obstruction sheaf and the cosection are in degree 1. Dualizing the latter, we get a non trivial map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ . We define  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}$ , and again similarly  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}^a$  to be the cone over such morphism:

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}.$$

The main result of [KL13] now ensures that the the intrinsic cone  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}}$  admits a closed embedding into the the vector bundle stack  $h^1/h^0(\mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}^{\text{a},\vee})$  where we are using the notation of [KL13, Section 4] for the vector bundle stack associated to a perfect obstruction theory. Applying the virtual Gysin pull-back [Man12] for this embedding, we thus get a reduced virtual class

$$[\mathcal{M}]^{\text{red}} \in A_{g+m+1}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

*Remark 3.21.* Notice that we can also define  $\mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}^{\text{rel}}$  where  $\mathbf{E}^{\text{rel}} = (R\pi_* F^* T_{A/B}^{\log})^{\vee}$  is the obstruction theory relative to  $\mathfrak{M}$  the stack of log smooth curves over  $B$ , since the cosection is in fact naturally defined on the relative obstruction theory.  $\blacklozenge$

The next Lemma explains that the same result is true for the relative cones  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}og_B}$  as well as  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{M}}$ , thus allowing us to define a reduced virtual class on the moduli spaces of maps to the fibers of  $A \rightarrow B$ .

**Lemma 3.22.** *The cone  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}og_B}$  admits a closed embedding in  $h^1/h^0(\mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}^{\vee})$ . The cone  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{M}}$  admits a closed embedding in  $h^1/h^0(\mathbf{E}_{\text{red}}^{\text{rel},\vee})$ .*

*Proof.* The cosections on  $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{M}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}b_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}og_B}$  are compatible with the absolute cosection by construction, hence the statement simply follows from the absolute case and the functoriality of the  $h^1/h^0(-)$  construction. Further details are spelled out in [CKL17, Lemma 2.8].  $\square$

We can now relate the reduced virtual class on  $\mathcal{M}$  to the reduced virtual class of the moduli spaces of maps to the fiber of the family. For any  $b \in B$  we have a cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_b := \mathcal{M}_{g,m}(A_b, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow p_b & & \downarrow \\ b & \xrightarrow{\iota_b} & B \end{array}$$

where for  $b \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_b$  in the usual Kontsevich moduli space of stable maps to  $A_b$  and for  $b = 0$ , with 0 denoting the standard log point,  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is the moduli space of log stable maps to the surface  $A_0$ , log smooth over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ . The obstruction theory and the cosection are compatible with restriction to  $b \in B$ , and consequently also the reduced class is. Meaning the following:

**Proposition 3.23.** *Let  $\iota_b: b \rightarrow B$  the (regular) embedding of a point of the base of the degeneration and let  $\iota_b^!: A_*(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow A_{*-1}(\mathcal{M}_b)$  the Gysin pull-back, then*

$$\iota_b^! [\mathcal{M}]^{\text{red}} = [\mathcal{M}_b]^{\text{red}}.$$

*In particular, the reduced Gromov-Witten invariants are independent from  $b$ .*

*Proof.* It is proved in [BF97, Proposition 5.10] (see also [Man12, Remark 4.10]) that the second line of the following diagram is a compatible triple of obstruction theories in the sense of [BF97, Definition 5.8]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}[1] & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_b}[1] & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \iota^* \mathbf{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{E}_b & \longrightarrow & p_b^*(I_b/I_b^2)[1], \end{array}$$

where  $I_b$  denotes the ideal sheaf of  $b$  inside the base  $B$ . Taking the cones along the vertical maps induced by the surjective cosections and looking at the associated vector bundle stacks we get a short exact sequence of vector bundle stacks

$$p_b^* N_b \rightarrow h^1/h^0(\iota^* \mathbf{E}^{\vee, \text{red}}) \rightarrow h^1/h^0(\mathbf{E}_b^{\vee, \text{red}}).$$

Since by the results of [KL13] the respective cones admit embeddings in these kernel vector bundles, the compatibility proved in [BF97, Proposition 5.10] ensures the desired functoriality property for the reduced class.

By Lemma 3.22 the same argument applies verbatim if we consider the obstruction theories and reduced obstruction theories relative to  $\mathfrak{M}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.24.* In the proof of the previous Proposition we didn't say that taking the cone over the vertical morphisms we obtain a new compatible triple of *reduced* obstruction theories, but we only made a statement about the cycles. As we learned from [MPT10, Appendix A] the first statement is in general stronger and more delicate to prove. (It requires a careful study of the deformation theory of the moduli spaces.) In the case at hand, we know by work of Kool-Thomas [KT14], based on classical results about the semi-regularity map [Blo72, Ran99, Man07], that  $\mathbf{E}_b^{\text{red}}$  is indeed a reduced obstruction theory for  $b \neq 0$ . With some more deformation theory work to handle the case relative to a divisor the same can probably be said for the general case of log smooth families, as already predicted in [MPT10].  $\blacklozenge$

**3.4. Splitting of the reduced class.** From now on we only look at  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , the moduli space of log stable maps to the central fiber  $A_0$ , together with its reduced virtual cycle defined from the closed embedding:

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}_0/\mathfrak{M}_0} \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0(\mathbf{E}_{\text{rel},0}^{\vee, \text{red}}) := \mathfrak{C}^{\text{red}}.$$

Our goal is now to show that  $[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}}$  can be written as a sum of virtual classes that admit a decomposition as product over vertices. To do so, we adapt the steps of [KLR18] following [MPT10, Section 4]. A degeneration formula for relative/log Gromov-Witten invariance in the semi-stable degeneration case already appeared in several other papers, where the different flavors of the theory (relative, expanded, etc) are considered [Li02, Che13, Ran22].

**3.4.1. From tropical to reduced virtual splitting.** The first step in the proof of the degeneration formula consists in showing that the fundamental class of the logarithmically smooth stack  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  of curves over the standard log point can be written as a sum of fundamental class of components of  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  indexed by a coarsening of the set of degeneration graphs. This is what is done in [KLR18, Section 8]. This step has also been completed for more general log smooth degenerations in [ACGS20].

**Proposition 3.25.** *Let  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  be a degeneration graph and let  $l_\Gamma = \text{lcm}(w_e)_{e \in E(\Gamma)}$ . We have a splitting*

$$[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{\Gamma} l_\Gamma \cdot \mu_{\Gamma,*} [\mathcal{M}_\Gamma]^{\text{red}},$$

where  $\mu_\Gamma: \mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0$ .

*Proof.* Given a degeneration graph  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  we denote by  $\bar{\Gamma}$  the data obtained forgetting the curve class decoration  $\beta_v \in H_2(Y_{\phi(v)}, \mathbb{Z})$ ; this is a degeneration graph for the algebraic stack  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  parametrizing a log smooth curve over 0 and a morphism to  $\Sigma_0$ ; in other words,  $\mathfrak{M}_0 = \mathfrak{M} \times_{\text{Log } B} \text{Log } 0$ . As explained in [KLR18, Section 8] we have a diagram of Cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sqcup_{\Gamma} \mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \sqcup_{\bar{\Gamma}} \mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Log}_0^{\text{spl}} \\
\downarrow \sqcup \mu_{\Gamma} & & \downarrow \sqcup \mu_{\mathfrak{M}_{\bar{\Gamma}}} & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_0 & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{M}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Log}_0
\end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{Log}_0^{\text{spl}}$  is the stack defined in [KLR18, Definition 7.1] parametrizing log fs schemes  $(T, M_T)$  with a map to the standard log points 0 (namely an object in  $\mathcal{Log}_0$ ) together with a subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq M_T$  of facets (over a closed point, the facets of a monoids are dual to the rays of the associated dual cones). We notice that for each  $\bar{\Gamma}$  we have

$$p^{-1}\mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}} = \bigsqcup_{\Gamma} \mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma}$$

as  $\Gamma$  runs over all the degeneration graphs which reduce to  $\bar{\Gamma}$  after forgetting the curve class decoration, or in other words the assignments  $(a_v)_{v \in V(\Gamma)}$ , hence the notation in the cartesian diagram above.

Exploiting the explicit description of the right vertical map, it is proved in [KLR18, Lemma 8.2] that for  $l_{\Gamma}$  the lowest common multiple of edge weights, we have

$$[\mathfrak{M}_0] = \sum_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_{\Gamma} \cdot (\mu_{\mathfrak{M}_{\bar{\Gamma}}})_* [\mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}}].$$

Now, Manolache's virtual pull-back construction [Man12, Construction 3.6] gives us a *reduced* virtual pull-back

$$p_{\mathfrak{E}^{\text{red}}}^! : A_*(\mathcal{M}_0) \rightarrow A_*(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}_0/\mathfrak{M}_0}) \rightarrow A_*(\mathfrak{E}^{\text{red}}) \rightarrow A_{*-\text{rk}(\mathfrak{E}^{\text{red}})}(\mathcal{M}_0)$$

such that, as discussed before,  $[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = p_{\mathfrak{E}^{\text{red}}}^!([\mathfrak{M}_0])$ . Combined with the splitting of  $[\mathfrak{M}_0]$  just discussed we get:

$$(8) \quad [\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{\bar{\Gamma}} l_{\Gamma} \cdot p_{\mathfrak{E}^{\text{red}}}^! (\mu_{\mathfrak{M}_{\bar{\Gamma}},*} [\mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}}]) = \sum_{\Gamma} l_{\Gamma} \cdot \mu_{\Gamma,*} (p_{\mathfrak{E}^{\text{red}}}^! [\mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}}]) = \sum_{\Gamma} l_{\Gamma} \cdot \mu_{\Gamma,*} [\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}]^{\text{red}},$$

where  $\mathfrak{E}_{\Gamma}^{\text{red}}$  is obtained via the  $h^1/h^0$  construction from  $\mu_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\text{rel},0}^{\text{red},\vee}$ . The fact that  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}/\mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}}}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{E}_{\Gamma}^{\text{red}}$  simply follows from the fact that, as explained for example in [Man12, Proposition 2.18] there is a closed embedding of  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}/\mathfrak{M}_{0,\bar{\Gamma}}} \hookrightarrow \mu_{\Gamma}^* \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}_0/\mathfrak{M}_0}$ . The second equality in (8) follows from the properties of the virtual pull-back [Man12, Theorem 4.1.(3)] since  $\mu_{\bar{\Gamma}}$  are finite by [KLR18, Lemma 7.3,7.4].  $\square$

**3.4.2. Marking the bounded edges.** The next step is to relate  $[\mathcal{M}_{0,\Gamma}]^{\text{red}}$  to a virtual class that splits a product over the vertices of  $\Gamma$ . This relation is via the Gysin pull-back along a suitable diagonal map. To do so, we need to label the edges of  $\Gamma$ , which is the content of the present section. From now on we fix one degeneration graph  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  a degeneration graph which is furthermore endowed with a labelling of its bounded edges.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\simeq}$  the stack of log smooth curves over 0 together with a tropical map  $\Gamma_C \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  whose splitting nodes have been marked. This comes with an étale map  $g_{\tilde{\Gamma}}: \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\simeq} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{\Gamma}}$  of finite degree  $|\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)|!$ . We thus have a cartesian diagram:



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqcup \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{\sqcup G_{\Gamma}} & \sqcup \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} & \xrightarrow{g_{\tilde{\Gamma}}} & \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}.
\end{array}$$

To simplify the notation we denote by

$$\mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}}: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \xrightarrow{G_{\Gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\mu_{\Gamma}} \mathcal{M}_0$$

The properties of pull-back of cones along cartesian diagrams and functoriality of the virtual pull-back ensure that:

- The cone  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}/\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}}$  is contained in the vector bundle stack  $\mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\text{red}}$ , obtained via the  $h^1/h^0$  construction from

$$\mathbf{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\text{red}, \vee} := \mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^* \mathbf{E}_{\text{rel}, 0}^{\text{red}, \vee}.$$

Notice that we have an exact triangle

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}}[1] \rightarrow \mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^* \mathbf{E}_{\text{rel}, 0} \rightarrow \mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^* \mathbf{E}_{\text{rel}, 0}^{\text{red}},$$

induced by pull-back from the exact triangle (6) and by the surjective cosection.

- We have that

$$[\mathcal{M}_0]^{\text{red}} = \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{l_{\Gamma}}{|\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)|!} \cdot (\mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}})_* [\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}]^{\text{red}}$$

**3.4.3. Splitting as product on the vertices.** We consider the fiber product  $\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v$  defined as follows:

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v & \xrightarrow{g} & \prod_v \mathcal{M}_v \\
\downarrow \prod_e \text{ev}_e & & \downarrow \prod_h \text{ev}_h \\
E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_u} & E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}
\end{array}$$

- We denoted by  $\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$  the set of *half edges* of  $\Gamma$ ; each edge  $e$  correspond to two half-edges  $(h, h')$  each one rooted at one of the two end points of  $e$ .
- The map  $\Delta_u$  is the diagonal inclusion, with a subscript  $u$  to remember that  $A_0$  has a prescribed monodromy datum  $u$ .
- Furthermore we denoted by

$$\mathcal{M}_v := \mathcal{M}_{g_v, n_v + m_v}(Y_{\phi(v)} | D; \beta_v; (w_h)_{h \vdash v})$$

the moduli space of log stable map to the component  $Y_{\phi(v)}$  of the central fiber  $A_0$ , with log structure given by the divisor  $D = E_{\phi(v)}^+ + E_{\phi(v)}^-$ , and numerical data determined by the fixed degeneration graph; we denoted by  $n_v$  the number of relative markings (nodes to be), i.e.  $n_v = |\{h \in \mathbf{H}(\Gamma), h \vdash v\}|$  is the valency of  $v$  and  $m_v$  the number of markings attached to  $v$ .

In order to ease notation, in what follows we will simply write  $\text{ev}$  for the evaluation map. As argued in [KLR18, Section 9] we have an étale map  $\varphi_{\Gamma}: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \odot_v \mathcal{M}_v$  of degree  $(\prod_{e \in \mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} w_e) / l_{\Gamma}$ . Preimages by  $\varphi_{\Gamma}$  correspond to lifts of the maps in  $\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v$  to a log stable map to  $A_0$ .

Standard results on relative/ log stable maps [Li01, GS13] tell us that  $\mathcal{M}_v$  has a perfect obstruction theory relative to the stack  $\mathcal{M}_{g_v, n_v + m_v}^{\text{log}}$  of log smooth curves with  $n_v$  logarithmic and

$m_v$  schematic markings given by  $(R\pi_{v,*}F_v^*T_{Y_{\phi(v)}}^{\log})^\vee$  with  $\pi_v$  and  $F_v$  denoting the projection from the universal curve and the universal log stable map.

The main point of [KLR18, Section 9] is to prove that

$$[\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}]^{\text{vir}} = \varphi_{\Gamma}^*(\Delta_u^! \prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{vir}}),$$

where  $[\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}]^{\text{vir}}$  is the virtual class described above, defined from the the pull-back of the usual relative obstruction theory  $(R\pi_*F^*T^{\log}A_0)^\vee$  along  $\mu_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$  and  $[\mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{vir}}$  is the one just recalled.

It is easy to see that, simply for dimensional reasons, this formula cannot not be applied to get a decomposition of the reduced class; it would in fact simply yield the usual virtual class which we know produces zero invariants. It can however be adapted to obtain a splitting of the *reduced class*  $[\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}]^{\text{red}}$  defined before. This is the content of Proposition 3.26.

Let us denote by  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}: E^{E(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}^u$  the inclusion of the diagonal into the evaluation hyperplane; we have that  $\Delta_u = \iota_{\mathbf{H}} \circ \Delta_u^{\text{red}}$  where  $\iota_{\mathbf{H}}$  is the embedding of the relevant hyperplane, and thus we have a short exact sequence of bundles on  $E^{E(\Gamma)}$ , which are actually here all trivial:

$$(11) \quad 0 \rightarrow N_{\Delta_u^{\text{red}}} \rightarrow N_{\Delta_u} \rightarrow N_{\iota_{\mathbf{H}}} \big|_{E^{E(\Gamma)}} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proposition 3.26.** *We have an equality of cycles in  $A_*(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}})$ :*

$$[\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}]^{\text{red}} = \varphi_{\Gamma}^*(\Delta_u^{\text{red},!} \prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{vir}}).$$

*Proof.* Reasoning as in [KLR18, Section 9] but replacing  $\Delta_u$  with  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}$  in the cartesian product (10) we obtain a commuting diagram of exact triangles, parallel to [KLR18, Diagram 9.10]

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{ev}^* \mathbb{L}_{\Delta_u^{\text{red}}}[-1] & \longrightarrow & g^* \boxtimes_v (R\pi_{v,*}f_v^*T_{Y_{\phi(v)}}^{\log})^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{E}_{\odot}^{\text{red}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{L}_g[-1] & \longrightarrow & g^* \mathbb{L}_{\prod_v \mathcal{M}_v / \mathfrak{M}_v} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v / \prod_v \mathfrak{M}_v}. \end{array}$$

Since  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}$  is still a regular embedding, the left vertical arrow in the diagram induces a surjection on the  $h^0$  and thus (precisely as also argued in [KLR18]) since the central arrow is a perfect obstruction theory, using the two Four Lemmas, also the right arrow gives a perfect obstruction theory.

Furthermore, by the functoriality properties of the virtual class (see for example [BF97, Proposition 5.10]) the virtual class on  $\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v$  induced by  $\mathbf{E}_{\odot}^{\text{red}}$ , which we denote by  $[\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{red}}$  satisfies:

$$[\odot_v \mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{red}} = \Delta_u^{\text{red},!} \prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{vir}}.$$

Moreover, by standard results on diagrams of exact triangles in a triangulated category (see for example [Sta25, tag 05QN]), one sees that the standard obstruction theory  $\mathbf{E}_{\odot}$ , given by the cone over

$$(12) \quad \text{ev}^* \mathbb{L}_{\Delta_u}[-1] \rightarrow g^* \boxtimes_v (R\pi_{v,*}f_v^*T_{Y_{\phi(v)}}^{\log})^\vee$$

and the reduced one differ by the trivial factor  $\text{ev}^* \mathbb{L}_{\iota_{\mathbf{H}}} = \text{ev}^* N_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma} / \prod_h E_h}^\vee$ , i.e. we have an exact triangle:

$$\mathrm{ev}^* \mathbb{L}_{\iota_{\mathbf{H}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\odot \mathcal{M}_v} [1] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\odot} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\odot}^{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Pulling this back along  $\varphi_{\Gamma}$  and using the existence of the diagrams of exact triangles [KLR18, Diagram (9.12)] we obtain the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \varphi_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\odot}^{\mathrm{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mu_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{rel},0}^{\mathrm{red}} & \longrightarrow & \varphi_{\Gamma}^* (\bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{ev}_e^* N_{E/Y_0}^{\log})^{\vee} [1] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow = \\ \varphi_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\odot} & \longrightarrow & \mu_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{rel},0} & \longrightarrow & \varphi_{\Gamma}^* (\bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{ev}_e^* N_{E/Y_0}^{\log})^{\vee} [1], \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{L}_{\odot \mathcal{M}_v / \prod_v \mathfrak{M}_v} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} / \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}} & \longrightarrow & p_{\Gamma}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} / \prod_v \mathfrak{M}_v} \end{array}$$

where  $p_{\Gamma}: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$  is the natural morphism forgetting the log map. The exact triangle in the first line comes from the fact that we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\varphi_{\Gamma}^* \mathrm{ev}^* \mathbb{L}_{\iota_{\mathbf{H}}} [1] \cong \mu_{\Gamma}^* (R^1 \pi_{\Gamma,*} \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}})^{\vee} [1]$$

commuting with the given maps to  $\varphi_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\odot}$  and  $\mu_{\Gamma}^* \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{rel},0}$ .

Once we verify this claim, which we do below, since we have already proved that the cones  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}/\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\odot \mathcal{M}_v / \prod_v \mathfrak{M}_v}$  are contained in the respective reduced vector bundle stacks, the functoriality of the virtual pull-back construction [Man12, Section 4] gives the statement.

We can check the isomorphism after dualizing and passing to closed points. Then we have to check that given  $[f: C \rightarrow A_0] \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$  inducing (abusing notation)  $[f: C \rightarrow A_0] \in \odot \mathcal{M}_v$  we have an isomorphism

$$H^1(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow (N_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}/E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}})_{\mathrm{ev}(f)}$$

commuting with the maps from  $H^1(f^* T_{A_0/0}^{\log})$  and  $H^1(\mathbf{E}_{\odot}^{\vee}|_{[f]})$ .

We have a natural surjection:

$$(13) \quad T_{\mathrm{ev}(f)}(E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)}) \twoheadrightarrow (N_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}/E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}})_{\mathrm{ev}(f)}$$

coming from the pull-back along  $f$  of the short exact sequence (11). By the explicit description of the evaluation hyperplane, the kernel of this surjection of vector space is simply the hyperplane in  $T_{\mathrm{ev}(f)}(E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)})$  cut out by the equation  $\sum w_e \partial x_e = 0$ .

It follows from the definition of  $\mathbf{E}_{\odot}$  as the cone over the morphism in (12) that (13) factors through  $H^1(\mathbf{E}_{\odot}^{\vee}|_{[f]})$ . Furthermore, tensoring the partial normalization sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \nu_* \left( \bigoplus_v \mathcal{O}_{C_v} \right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_e \mathcal{O}_{q_e} \rightarrow 0$$

by  $f^* T_{A_0/0}^{\log}$  we see that there is a natural map

$$T_{\mathrm{ev}(f)}(E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)}) = \bigoplus_e T_{f(q_e)} E_e \rightarrow \bigoplus_e f^* T_{A_0/0}^{\log}|_{q_e} \rightarrow H^1(f^* T_{A_0/0}^{\log}).$$

The latter induces via the isomorphism  $H^1(f^*T_{A_0/0}^{\log}) \cong H^1(f^*\Omega_{A_0/0}^{\log})$  a surjective map to  $H^1(C, \omega_C)$ . The map can also be factored as:

$$(14) \quad T_{\text{ev}(f)}(E^{\text{E}(\Gamma)}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_e f^*T_{A_0/0}^{\log}|_{q_e} \cong \bigoplus_e f^*\Omega_{A_0/0}^{\log}|_{q_e} \rightarrow \bigoplus_e \omega_C|_{q_e} \twoheadrightarrow H^1(\omega_C).$$

We can now explicitly describe the map in local coordinates. Locally around a point of its singular locus,  $A_0$  is cut out by an equation of the form  $ts = 0$  inside  $k[x_e, t, s]$  where  $x_e$  is the coordinate on  $E = \{t = s = 0\}$  and  $t, s$  are the coordinate on the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibers. Then  $f^*T_{A_0/0}^{\log}$  in a neighborhood of  $q_e$  by  $\partial x_e, t\partial t$  and the  $\partial x_e$  is in the image of the first map. The explicit local expression of the isomorphism  $f^*T_{A_0/0}^{\log}|_{q_e} \cong f^*\Omega_{A_0/0}^{\log}|_{q_e}$  via the logarithmic form  $d^{\log}t \wedge d_{x_e}$  shows that this map via the composition to  $w_e d^{\log}u$  where  $d^{\log}u$  is the generator of  $\omega_C|_{q_e}$ . Thus the kernels of the maps (13) and (14) are naturally identified, proving the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

#### 4. MULTIPLE COVER FORMULA FOR POINT INSERTIONS

Before proving the general statement, in order to illustrate the strategy exposed in the introduction, we show in this section how to obtain the multiple cover formula for points and  $\lambda$ -classes insertions using the reduced degeneration formula and the properties of the correlated invariants for  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . For these special insertion we know the local contribution explicitly and the proof simplifies substantially.

**4.1. Local setting and its contribution.** We consider the correlated GW-invariants of the log-CY  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  relative to its divisor  $D = E \times \{0, \infty\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a ramification profile, i.e.  $\sum_1^n w_i = 0$ , with  $\gcd(w_i) = |\mathbf{w}|$ , and  $a \geq 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{R}$  (as in ‘‘Ramification’’) the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of these choices:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{N}^* \times \{(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ s.t. } \sum w_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action scales each coordinate, and the norm is defined as the gcd of the  $\mathbf{w}$  coordinates:

$$|(a, \mathbf{w})| = \gcd(w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

To simplify the notation, we suppress the  $a$  and simply write  $|\mathbf{w}|$  for  $|(a, \mathbf{w})|$ .

Each  $(a, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{R}$  determines an effective homology class, namely  $a[E] + (\sum_{w_i > 0} w_i)[\mathbb{P}^1] \in H_2(E \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Z})$ . We consider the correlated relative Gromov-Witten invariants:

- $\langle \langle \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle \rangle_{1, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|}$ , where we fix point insertions for every adjacent point except one,
- $\langle \langle \text{pt}_0, \mathbf{pt}_B \rangle \rangle_{1, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|}$ , where  $\mathbf{pt}_B$  is the Poincaré dual class to a point in the image of the boundary evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_B: \mathcal{M}_{1, n+1}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1, a, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow H = \left\{ \sum w_i x_i \equiv 0 \right\} \subset E^n.$$

The  $(\theta)$  coefficient is obtained counting curves with a fixed boundary configuration with correlator  $\theta$ .

Suitably rephrasing the computations in [BC25b, Section 5], one sees that the correlated Gromov-Witten invariants are functions from the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{G}$  of diagonal type, namely, for fixed  $(a, \mathbf{w})$ , these refined invariants take values in the finite dimensional sub-algebra  $\mathcal{G}_{|\mathbf{w}|}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *We have the following identities in  $\mathcal{G}_{|w|}$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle \rangle_{1,a,w}^{|w|} &= w_1^2 \cdot a^{n-1} \cdot \sigma^{|w|}(a), \\ \langle \langle \text{pt}_0, \mathbf{pt}_B \rangle \rangle_{1,a,w}^{|w|} &= |w|^2 \cdot a^{n-1} \cdot \sigma^{|w|}(a). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let set  $\delta = |w|$ . According to [BC25b, Theorem 5.3], we have

$$\langle \langle \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle \rangle_{1,a,w}^\delta = a^{n-1} \cdot w_1^2 \cdot \tilde{\sigma}^\delta(a),$$

where the tilde denotes the refinement function from [BC25b], fully characterized by the fact that: it satisfies the unrefinement relations; its (0)-coefficient is:

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{d|\delta} J_2(d) \sigma\left(\frac{a}{d}\right),$$

where  $J_2$  denotes the second Jordan's totient function,  $\delta^2 \tilde{\sigma}^\delta(a)$  is multiplicative (see [BC25b, Proposition 5.19]) and the degree is the usual sum of divisor function.

This actually coincides with the refinement of the sum of divisors function  $\sigma^\delta(a)$  introduced in the previous section. We rewrite its (0)-coefficient as follows, using the following known relation  $J_2 * \epsilon_0 = \epsilon_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{d|\delta} J_2(d) \sigma\left(\frac{a}{d}\right) &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{d|\delta} \sum_{k|a/d} J_2(d) \frac{a}{dk} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{l|a} \frac{a}{l} \sum_{d|l,\delta} J_2(d) = \sum_{l|a} \frac{a}{l} \frac{(\gcd(l,\delta))^2}{\delta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it has the same (0)-coefficient as  $\sigma^\delta(a) = \sum_{k|a} \frac{a}{k} T_{\delta/\gcd(k,\delta)}$ . Since they both satisfy the unrefinement relations and have same (0)-coefficient, they coincide by induction on  $\delta$ .

To compute the invariant with a boundary insertion, we just notice that there is a degree  $w_1^2$  morphism from the evaluation hyperplane  $\{\sum w_i x_i \equiv 0\} \rightarrow E^{n-1}$ , but  $\{\sum w_i x_i \equiv 0\}$  has  $\delta^2$  components each one of them corresponding to a correlator; thus the invariant is simply obtain dividing by  $(\frac{w_1}{\delta})^2$ :

$$\langle \langle \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle \rangle_{1,a,w}^\delta = \left(\frac{w_1}{\delta}\right)^2 \langle \langle \text{pt}_0, \mathbf{pt}_B \rangle \rangle_{1,a,w}^\delta.$$

□

*Remark 4.2.* Notice that there is an explicit expression of the coefficients of  $\sigma^\delta(a)$  when expressed in the basis of the  $T_k$ , which can also be recovered from [BC25b]:

$$\sigma^\delta(a) = \sum_{l|\delta} T_{\delta/l} \sum_{\substack{k|a \\ \gcd(k,\delta)=l}} \frac{a}{k}.$$

◆

## 4.2. Multiple cover formula for correlated invariants of $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

4.2.1. *Statement and idea of proof.* We now consider the following correlated GW-invariants of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ :

$$\langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|},$$

generalizing the example above. This was computed in [BC25b, Section 6] applying the correlated refinement of the degeneration formula; we briefly recall the computation below. In particular we have that  $\langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} \in \mathcal{G}_{|w|}$ . We can then consider the  $|w|$ -primitive coefficient, given by

$$\text{Prim}_{|w|} \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} = |w|^2 \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|, \text{prim}}$$

where prim means any order  $|w|$  correlator, i.e. we take the coefficient of any choice of primitive order correlator; this definition is well posed since the correlated invariants only depend on the order of the correlator  $\theta \in E[|w|]$ , see [BC25b, Section 5.4.1]. The  $|w|^2$  factor simply comes from the cardinality of  $|w|$ -torsions elements in  $E$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *We have the following multiple cover formula, for any correlator  $\theta$ :*

$$\langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|, \theta} = \sum_{k|a, w, \theta} k^{2n+4g-4} \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g, a/k, w/k}^{|w/k|, \text{prim}}$$

where  $k|\theta$  means that  $\theta = k\theta'$  for some  $\theta' \in E[|w|]$ , which means that  $\theta \in E[|w/k|]$ .

In [BC25b, Section 6], we proved that the correlated invariants can be explicitly computed by counting so-called *floor diagrams* with a correlated multiplicity, which we recall below.

After encoding the invariants in a diagonal-type function  $N_g: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , we reduce Theorem 4.3 to proving the MCF for  $N_g$ . The latter is done in two steps:

- $N_g: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is actually the push-forward of a multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  on the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  of diagrams, along the natural morphism (defined just below)  $\text{deg}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ . By Lemma 2.22 it is sufficient to prove the MCF for  $\mathbf{m}$ ;
- prove MCF for  $\mathbf{m}$ , applying Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, since  $\mathbf{m}$  is by definition a product (over vertices) of  $\delta_v$ -refinements of sum of divisors functions times a monomial.

#### 4.2.2. Floor diagrams.

**Definition 4.4.** A floor diagram  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the data of a weighted oriented graph with the following properties:

- (1) The graph has three kind of vertices:
  - sinks and sources which are univalent vertices, referred as *infinite vertices*,
  - *flat vertices* (set  $V_m$ ) which are bivalent with one ingoing and one outgoing edge,
  - *floors* (set  $V_f$ ) which carry a label  $a_v \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) The set of flat vertices and floors carries a total order compatible with the orientation.
- (3) The edges have a positive weight  $w_e$ , such that the weighting makes floors and flat vertices balanced.
- (4) The complement of all flat vertices is without cycle and each connected component of the complement contains a unique infinite vertex.

Edges adjacent to an infinite vertex are called *ends* (set  $E_\infty$ ), other edges are called *bounded* (set  $E_b$ ). The genus of a floor diagram is  $b_1(\Gamma) + |V_f|$ . Its degree is  $(a, \mathbf{w})$  where  $a = \sum a_v$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  is the signed collection of weights of ends.

Degeneration graphs that contribute with non zero multiplicity in the degeneration formula correspond to floor diagrams. We refer to [BC25b, Section 6] for details on how to see this.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of floor diagrams. The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action is given by scaling edges weights  $w_e$  as well as vertex labels  $a_v$ . The norm is induced by the morphism of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -modules

$$\deg: \mathfrak{F} \mapsto \left( \sum a_v, \mathbf{w}(\mathfrak{F}) \right) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

In other words,  $|\mathfrak{F}| = |\mathbf{w}(\mathfrak{F})|$  is the g.c.d. of the weights of the ends.

We denote by  $\ell(\mathfrak{F}) := \gcd(w_e, a_v)_{e \in E(\mathfrak{F}), v \in V(\mathfrak{F})}$  the gcd of all edges weights and vertex labels and refer to it as the gcd of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

An application of the correlated decomposition formula [BC25b, Corollary 4.12] tells us how to define the correct correlated multiplicity for each floor diagram, so that the correlated invariant for genus  $g$  and degree  $(a, \mathbf{w})$  is the sum over floor diagrams of genus  $g$  and degree  $(a, \mathbf{w})$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** [BC25b, Definition 6.4, Theorem 6.5] *The correlated invariant  $\langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^\delta$  is a sum over genus  $g$  degree  $(a, \mathbf{w})$  floor diagrams, counted with the following multiplicity:*

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{F}) = \prod' w_e^2 \prod w_e \cdot \prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} \sigma^{|\mathfrak{F}|}(a_v) \cdot T_{|\mathfrak{F}|/\ell(\mathfrak{F})},$$

where: the product “ $\prod'$ ” is over edges (bounded or not) not adjacent to a flat vertex; the product  $\prod$  is over the set of bounded edges; the product  $\prod_v$  is over floors.

Then the correlated invariant function  $N_g$  is the push-forward of this multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{F})$  by  $\deg: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ .

*Sketch of proof, details in [BC25b].* Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a decorated diagram. Let  $\delta_v$  be the gcd of edge weights adjacent to the vertex  $v$ , and  $\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}$  the gcd of all edge weights. By definition of floor diagram, flat vertices on  $\mathfrak{F}$  are disposed in such a way that their complement is a union of trees, each one containing a unique end. This gives an orientation of the edges of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Therefore, there is a unique assignment of classes coming from the Künneth decomposition of the diagonal yielding non-zero contribution. The multiplicity comes from two terms:

- The vertex contributions  $a_v^{n_v-1} \cdot w_{v_{\text{out}}}^2 \cdot \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v)$ , computed in Proposition 4.1, where  $w_{v_{\text{out}}}$  is the weight of the unique edge going out of  $v$  (and thus not adjacent to a flat vertex).
- The product over bounded edges  $\prod w_e$  corresponding to the choices of log-structures needed to lift the map obtained by gluing the log maps at the vertices to a log map to the central fiber.

A floor diagram  $\mathfrak{F}$  contributes in the degeneration formula to a correlator  $\theta$  if and only if

$$\frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}} \theta = \sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}} \theta_v,$$

and the contribution spreads uniformly among the possibilities. So the multiplicity is

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{F}) = \prod' w_e^2 \cdot \prod w_e \cdot \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w}|/\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}} \right] \left( \prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} \mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}] \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v) \right),$$

where the first product comes from the edges coming out of non-flat vertices, which are precisely edges not adjacent to a flat vertex. Using properties of the refined divisor functions, we have that

$$\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w}|/\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}} \right] \mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}] \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v) = \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w}|/\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}} \right] \sigma^{\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}}(a_v) = \sigma^{|\mathbf{w}|}(a_v) T_{|\mathbf{w}|/\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}} = \sigma^{|\mathbf{w}|}(a_v) T_{|\mathbf{w}|/\gcd(\delta_{\mathfrak{F}}, a_v)}.$$

An elementary computation yields the expression from the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 4.6.* The expression given here for  $\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{F})$  looks slightly different than the one in [BC25b, Definition 6.4]. It can be obtained from the latter simply applying the arithmetic properties of Lemma 2.14 precisely as done at the end of the proof.  $\blacklozenge$

#### 4.2.3. Multiple cover formula.

**Lemma 4.7.** *The multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfies the  $(2n + 4g - 4)$ -MCF.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.18, to prove the MCF we can restrict to the orbit of a given primitive (i.e.  $l(\mathfrak{F}) = 1$ ) floor diagram  $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}) = \prod' (\delta w_e)^2 \prod \delta w_e \cdot \prod_v (\delta a_v)^{n_v-1} \sigma^{\delta|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}|}(\delta a_v) \cdot T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}|}.$$

Since this is invariant with respect to multiplication by  $T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}|}$ , following Lemma 2.19, we can apply  $\mathbf{m}[\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}]$  and reduce to MCF for a diagonal sequence in  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ , with the standard norm on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  instead of the one induced by  $|\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}|$ . Indeed we have, using once again the properties of Lemma 2.14,

$$\mathbf{m}[\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}] \mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}) = \prod' (\delta w_e)^2 \prod \delta w_e \cdot \prod_v (\delta a_v)^{n_v-1} \sigma^\delta(\delta a_v),$$

which is the product of a monomial in  $\delta$  by a product of functions satisfying the 0-MCF by Proposition 2.15. By Lemma 2.11, we only need to compute the exponent of the monomial, which we do in the next Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *The exponent of  $\delta$  in the MCF above is*

$$2|E'| + |E_b| + \sum_{V_f} (n_v - 1) = 2n + 4g - 4.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a floor diagram. Let  $E' \subset E_b \sqcup E_\infty$  be the set of edges not adjacent to a flat vertex. We have the following relations:

- $2|V_m| = |E_b| + |E_\infty| - |E'|$ , obtained counting the flags adjacent to flat vertices,
- $2|V_m| + \sum_{V_f} n_v = 2|E_b| + |E_\infty|$ , obtained counting all flags of the graph,
- $|V_f| + |V_m| - |E_b| = 1 - (g - |V_f|)$ , by the genus condition,
- $|V_f| + 2|V_m| - |E_b| = n$ , since for each diagram with non zero multiplicity the complement of all flat vertices does not have cycles and each connected components contains a unique end (see for Example [BC25b, Lemma 6.6, 6.7]).

The number of ends is equal to  $n$ . Therefore taking linear combination of the identities above we have:

$$\begin{cases} |V_m| = |E_b| + 1 - g, \\ |V_f| = n + 2g - 2 - |E_b|, \\ |E'| = n + 2g - 2 - |E_b|, \\ \sum_{V_f} n_v = n + 2g - 2. \end{cases}$$

from which we get the expected exponent.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.3.* We rephrase Theorem 4.3 as a MCF statement for

$$\mathbf{N}_g: (a, \mathbf{w}) \mapsto \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{G}_{|\mathbf{w}|}.$$

This is a diagonal type function obtained via the correlated decomposition formula as the push-forward along  $\text{deg}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  of the multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}$ , which as just proved, satisfies the  $(2n + 4g - 4)$ -MCF. Thus by Proposition 2.22 so does  $\mathbf{N}_g$ . Unraveling what that means and recalling that by definition  $\text{Prim}_{|\mathbf{w}|} \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{w}} = |\mathbf{w}|^2 \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{|\mathbf{w}|, \text{prim}}$ :



$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} &= \sum_{k|a,w} k^{2n+4g-4} \text{Prim}_{|w|/k} \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g, \frac{a}{k}, |w|/k}^{|w|/k} T_{|w|/k} \\
&= \sum_{k|a,w} k^{2n+4g-4} \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle_{g,a/k,w/k}^{|w/k|, \text{prim}} |w/k|^2 T_{|w|/k} \\
&= \sum_{k|a,w} k^{2n+4g-4} \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle_{g,a/k,w/k}^{|w/k|, \text{prim}} \sum_{|w/k|\theta=0} (\theta) \\
\sum_{|w|\theta \equiv 0} \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|, \theta} \cdot (\theta) &= \sum_{|w|\theta \equiv 0} \sum_{k|a,w,\theta} k^{2n+4g-4} \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle_{g,a/k,w/k}^{|w/k|, \text{prim}} (\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

From that we deduce the MCF for the correlated invariants  $\langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|, \theta}$  stated in Theorem 4.3 looking at the  $(\theta)$ -coefficient. Notice that reading the equalities the other way around tells us that in fact the MCF for the correlated invariants is equivalent to the MCF for the function  $N_g$  defined by  $(a, w) \mapsto \langle \langle \text{pt}^{n+g-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} \in \mathcal{G}_{|w|}$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces.** Let  $A$  be an abelian surface and  $\beta \in H_2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \cong U^{\oplus 3}$  (here  $U$  denotes the hyperbolic lattice) an effective realizable class (i.e.  $\beta$  is the class of an algebraic curve  $C$  in  $A$ ), we consider the *reduced GW invariant*

$$N_{g,\beta} = \langle \text{pt}^g \rangle_{g,\beta}^A = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,g}(A,\beta)]^{\text{red}}} \prod_{i=1}^g \text{ev}_i^*(\text{pt}).$$

The deformation invariance of the Gromov-Witten implies that  $N_{g,\beta}$  only depends on  $\beta$  through its self-intersection  $\beta^2$  and its divisibility  $\ell(\beta)$ . A class is *primitive* if  $\ell(\beta) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** *The reduced GW invariants satisfy the multiple cover formula*

$$N_{g,\beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{4g-3} N_{g,\widetilde{\beta}/k},$$

where  $\widetilde{\beta}/k$  is a primitive class having the same self-intersection as  $\beta/k$ .

The proof is similar to the  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  case:

- rephrase Theorem 4.9 as a MCF for a function  $N$  with values in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,
- express  $N$  as the push-forward of a multiplicity function  $m$  on a  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of diagrams  $\mathcal{D}$  via (reduced) decomposition formula,
- prove the MCF for  $m$  by expressing it as a product over vertices and edges.

We denote by  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$  the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of *diagram degrees*, endowed with its natural  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action and norm given by the projection onto the first coordinate. The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{B}$  will record, for elliptically fibered abelian surfaces, the intersection of the curve class with a fiber and with a section respectively.

**4.3.1. Decomposition formula and diagrams.** Let  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be one parameter degeneration of abelian surfaces constructed as in the previous section, with monodromy data  $u$ ,  $N = g$  and  $\beta(u)$  the effective realizable class given in Lemma 3.1. We want to apply the reduced degeneration formula distributing the  $g$  point constraints one on each irreducible component of the central fiber  $A_0$ . The reduced degeneration formula, phrased as in Section 3.2.2, asserts that  $N_{g,\beta(u)}$  is expressed as the  $(u)$ -coefficient of a sum over *pearl diagrams* (alias the abelian

surfaces version of *floors diagrams*, already defined in [Blo25b] and recalled below) counted with a *correlated multiplicity*.

**Definition 4.10.** A (pearl) diagram  $\mathcal{D}$  is the data of a weighted oriented graph with the following properties:

- (1) The graph has two kind of vertices:
  - *flat vertices* (set  $V_m$ ) which are bivalent with one ingoing and one outgoing edge,
  - *pearls* (set  $V_f$ ) which carry a label  $a_v \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) There is a map  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  for  $\Sigma_0$  the oriented cycle graph with  $|V_f| + |V_m|$  vertices; the map is 1-to-1 on vertices and compatible with the orientation.
- (3) The edges are decorated with weight  $w_e$ , such that the signed (with respect to the orientation on  $\Sigma_0$ ) sum of weights at floors and flat vertices is zero, namely  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  is balanced and thus a *tropical cover*.
- (4) The complement of all flat vertices is connected and without cycles, i.e. a tree.

The genus of a pearl diagram is  $b_1(\Gamma) + |V_f|$ . Its degree is a *diagram degree*  $B = (|B|, a) \in \mathcal{B}$  where  $a = \sum a_v$  and  $|B|$  is the degree of the tropical cover.

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of pearl diagrams. The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action is given by scaling edge weights and vertex labels. The norm is induced by the degree map  $\deg: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , namely  $|\mathcal{D}| = |B|$ . We will denote simply by  $\delta$  (rather than  $\delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ ) the gcd of all edge weights ( $w_e$ ) and by  $\ell(\mathcal{D}) = \gcd((a_v), \delta)$ .

If  $h_e^\pm$  are the two half-edges corresponding to an edge  $e$  (orientation going from  $h_e^-$  to  $h_e^+$ ), we set  $w_{h_e^\pm} = \mp w_e$ , so that the weight for a half-edge going out of a vertex is positive, and negative for a half-edge going into a vertex. In particular, balancing condition asserts that for each vertex  $v$ ,  $\sum_{h \vdash v} w_h = 0$ .

As for the case of floor diagrams recalled before, pearl diagrams correspond to degeneration graphs with non zero multiplicity for curves mapping into  $A_0(u)$ . In particular:

- flat vertices correspond to genus zero components carrying a marked point;
- pearls correspond to genus 1 components, also carrying a marked point, mapping to a component  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \tilde{A}_0$  with class  $a_v[E] + (\sum_{h \vdash v, w_h > 0} w_h)[\mathbb{P}^1]$ ; here  $\tilde{A}_0$  is the normalization of  $A_0(u)$ , i.e. a disjoint union of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

One can show, with a proof analogous to the floor diagram case (see for example [BC25b, Proposition 6.6, 6.7, 6.8], [Blo25b, Section 4.1], [Blo25a, Section 4.2]), that if a degeneration graph gives a non zero multiplicity then it is a pearl diagram. We give a sketch of the argument in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  be a degeneration diagram with non zero multiplicity in the reduced degeneration formula, then:*

- (1) *the vertices have genus 0 or 1, and if the genus is 1 then they must carry a marked point (these are the pearls), and the genus 0 vertices are bi-valent in  $\Gamma$ ;*
- (2) *the complement of the genus 0 marked vertices, i.e. the flat vertices, is a tree;*
- (3) *choosing one edge and using the expression of the reduced diagonal determined by this edge, the reduced Poincaré insertion are uniquely determined:*
  - *for a flat vertex (marked genus 0) they are  $1, 1 \in H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$ ;*
  - *for a non marked genus 0 vertex  $1, \text{pt} \in H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$ ;*
  - *for a pearl  $1, \text{pt}, \dots, \text{pt} \in H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$ , with 1 for the unique edge going out of the pearl (once we cut at flat vertices).*

*Proof.* (1) The proof of the first statement is identical to [BC25b, Proposition 6.6], resulting from a dimension count.

(2,3) Let  $\hat{\Gamma}$  be the graph obtained from  $\Gamma$  cutting at the flat vertices. We prove (2) and (3) at the same time, showing  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is a tree and determining the insertions of the diagonal.

Let  $V_f$  be the set of pearls,  $V_0$  the unmarked genus zero (bivalent) vertices,  $2V_m$  the set of univalent vertices arising from the cut and  $E_b$  the set of bounded edges.

An Euler characteristic computations shows that

$$\chi(\hat{\Gamma}) = 1 - b_1(\Gamma) + |V_m|.$$

Since the number of marked points matches the genus of the pearl diagram (pearls being of genus 1), we have furthermore  $b_1(\Gamma) + |V_f| = g = |V_f| + |V_m|$ . Therefore,

$$\chi(\hat{\Gamma}) = 1.$$

In particular, since it is positive, at least one connected component of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  needs to be a tree.

Let  $e_0$  be any edge of  $\Gamma$ . We use the expression of the reduced diagonal  $\Delta_u^{\text{red}}$  coming from the Künneth type decomposition discussed in Section 3.2.3. Dimensional constraints force the following facts on the insertions coming from the diagonal:

- for half edges adjacent to flat vertices, insertions are 1;
- if  $e = (h, h')$  is an edge different from  $e_0$  and the insertion at  $h$  is a 1, the insertion at  $h'$  is a pt;
- if one of the two insertions at a vertex of  $V_0$  is a pt, the other is a 1;
- if insertions for all half edge adjacent to a pearl except one are pt, then the remaining one is a 1.

Using the above facts, we can inductively prune the branches of  $\hat{\Gamma}$  determining the insertions. This process terminates if  $\hat{\Gamma}$  has no cycles.

If  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is disconnected, we can take the edge  $e_0$  (not appearing in the reduced diagonal) in the complement of tree connected component. We then have a contradiction when finding the insertions at the half edges of this tree component: at some point during the pruning, an edge will get two 1 insertions at its half edges or a vertex will only get point insertions at its adjacent flags. Hence,  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is connected, and thus a tree since it has Euler characteristic 1. In particular, all insertions have been determined by the above rules.

□

*Remark 4.12.* The bivalent vertices of genus 0 without marked point do not contribute to the multiplicity and can thus be deleted from the graph and merging the adjacent edges into a single edge. ♦

The following lemma completes the description of the pearl diagrams by expressing all the combinatorial invariants of the diagram in terms of the number of bounded edges and  $g$ .

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a genus  $g$  pearl diagram,  $E_b$  the set of bounded edges,  $E'$  the set of bounded edges not adjacent to a flat vertex,  $V_m$  the set of flat vertices, and  $V_f$  the set of pearls. We have the following identities, and it has in particular  $g$  vertices:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |V_m| = 1 - g + |E_b| \\ |V_f| = 2g - 1 - |E_b| \\ |E'| = 2g - 2 - |E_b| \\ \sum_{V_f} n_v = 2g - 2. \end{array} \right.$$

*Proof.* The statement stems from the following relations:

- $2|V_m| = |E_b| - |E'|$ , obtained counting edges adjacent to bivalent marked vertices,
- $2|V_m| + \sum_{V_f} n_v = 2|E_b|$ , obtained counting flags of the graphs in two ways,
- $|V_f| + |V_m| - |E_b| = 1 - (g - |V_f|)$  by the genus condition,
- $|V_f| + 2|V_m| - |E_b| = 1$  since the complement of bivalent marked vertices is a tree.

□

We now compute the correlated multiplicity associated to pearl diagrams applying the reduced decomposition formula.

**Proposition 4.14.** *We define the correlated multiplicity of a pearl diagram  $\mathcal{D}$  by*

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{D}) = |\mathcal{D}|^2 \prod_e' w_e^2 \prod_e w_e \cdot \prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} \sigma^{|\mathcal{D}|}(a_v) \cdot T_{|\mathcal{D}|/\ell(\mathcal{D})}$$

where we recall that  $\prod_e'$  is the product over the edges not adjacent to flat vertices. The reduced Gromov-Witten invariant  $N_{g,\beta(u)}$  is the sum over genus  $g$  degree  $B$  pearl diagrams  $(u)$ -coefficient of  $\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{D})$ :

$$N_{g,\beta(u)} = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \text{Coeff}_{(u)} \mathbf{m}(\mathcal{D}).$$

*Proof.* The reduced decomposition formula in the formulation of Corollary 3.17 gives the following recipe: choose an edge  $e_0$  of  $\Gamma$  and use the Künneth expression of the diagonal forgetting about the edge  $e_0$ . As computed in Section 3.2.3 this differs from the expression of the reduced diagonal by a factor  $\frac{w_{e_0}^2}{\delta^2}$ . By Lemma 4.11, all the insertions are uniquely determined, and the multiplicity involves the following quantities:

- the product  $\prod w_e$  over bounded edges coming from choices of the log structure needed to lift the map obtained from the gluing to a log map to  $A_0$
- the vertex contributions:

$$\prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} w_{v_{\text{out}}}^2 \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v),$$

where  $w_{v_{\text{out}}}$  is the weight of the unique edge with trivial constraint, determined via the pruning procedure once we choose the edge  $e_0$ .

- $\frac{\delta^2}{w_{e_0}^2}$ , the factor appearing in the reduced diagonal class and its Künneth decomposition obtained forgetting the edge  $e_0$ .

The compatibility condition (2) on correlators contributing non trivially to the reduced decomposition formula for  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  reads:

$$\sum_v \frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v = \frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{\delta} u,$$

where  $\delta = \gcd(w_e)$ . Exploiting the group algebra product we can make the left-hand-side naturally appear when writing the invariant as a sum of correlated multiplicities. To do so, we apply the operator  $\mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta]$  to the vertex multiplicity  $a_v^{n_v-1} w_{v_{\text{out}}} \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v)$ . This has the effect of replacing each  $(\theta_v)$  in the sum by  $(\frac{\delta_v}{\delta} \theta_v)$ . We then select the  $(\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{\delta} u)$ -coefficient in the product.

It is convenient to apply the operator  $(|\mathcal{D}|/\delta)^2 \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|/\delta} \right]$ , which maps a generator  $(t)$  to the sum of its  $\frac{|\mathcal{D}|}{\delta}$ -roots, and then instead select the  $(u)$ -coefficient. This way we recognize in the

( $u$ )-coefficient the contribution for the abelian surface with monodromy  $u$ . In the end, the multiplicity is therefore

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{D}) = \prod_e w_e \cdot \frac{\delta^2}{w_{e_0}^2} \cdot (|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta)^2 \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta} \right] \left( \prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} w_{v_{\text{out}}}^2 \mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta] \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v) \right).$$

Notice that if the  $e_0$  we forget is adjacent to a flat vertex, the  $w_{e_0}^2$  cancels with the corresponding  $w_{v_{\text{out}}}^2$  for the adjacent pearl. If  $e_0$  is adjacent to two pearls, the  $w_{e_0}^2$  cancels with one of the two  $w_{v_{\text{out}}}^2$  factors coming from the adjacent pearls. In both cases, we get

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{D}) = |\mathfrak{D}|^2 \prod' w_e^2 \prod w_e \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta} \right] \left( \prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} \mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta] \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v) \right),$$

which does not depend on the choice of  $e_0$ . We simplify the expression as in Proposition 4.5 to get the advertised form for  $\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{D})$ :

$$\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta} \right] \mathfrak{m}[\delta_v/\delta] \sigma^{\delta_v}(a_v) = \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta} \right] \sigma^{\delta}(a_v) = \sigma^{|\mathfrak{D}|}(a_v) T_{|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta} = \sigma^{|\mathfrak{D}|}(a_v) T_{|\mathfrak{D}|/\gcd(\delta, a_v)}.$$

□

*Remark 4.15.* Notice that for different choices of monodromies  $u$  and  $u'$ , determining different abelian surfaces  $A(u)$ ,  $A(u')$  (which in particular carry polarizations with same self-intersection but potentially different divisibility) we could have  $\frac{|\mathfrak{D}|}{\delta}u = \frac{|\mathfrak{D}|}{\delta}u'$ . So, in applying the reduced decomposition formula and considering the contribution of a diagram  $\mathfrak{D}$ , the same correlators  $\theta_v$  in the vertex contributions appear. Applying the operator  $(|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta)^2 \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{D}|/\delta} \right]$  has the effect of duplicating the coefficient of  $\frac{|\mathfrak{D}|}{\delta}u$  in such a way that it appears as coefficient for both  $u$  and  $u'$ . ♦

#### 4.3.2. Multiple cover formula.

**Lemma 4.16.** *The multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfies the  $(4g-3)$ -MCF.*

*Proof.* We proceed as in the  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  case. We can restrict to the orbit a chosen primitive diagram  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ . We have that

$$\mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}) = |\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|^2 \prod_e' (\delta w_e)^2 \prod_e \delta w_e \cdot \prod_v (\delta a_v)^{n_v-1} \sigma^{\delta|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}(\delta a_v) \cdot T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}.$$

The formula is invariant by multiplication by  $T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}$  so that we may apply  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{1}{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|} \right]$  and prove instead the usual MCF thanks to Lemma 2.19. The multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}})$  is (precisely as in the case of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ ) the product of a monomial with a product of  $\sigma^{\delta}(\delta a_v)$ , which we already proved satisfy the 0-MCF. Therefore, to conclude, we only need to compute the exponent of the monomial. Using Lemma 4.13,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 + 2|E'| + |E_b| + \sum (n_v - 1) \\ &= 2 + 2(2g - 2 - |E_b|) + |E_b| + (2g - 2) - (2g - 1 - |E_b|) = 4g - 3. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 4.9.* The proof is essentially the same as the one done in the  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  case, with the only difference coming from the geometric interpretation of the various steps. Here the function  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  with values in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[E]$  we use to encode the invariants, mixes the Gromov-Witten  $\text{GW}_{g,\beta(u)}$  invariants of different elliptically fibered abelian surfaces

$A(u)$ , for which the classes  $\beta(u)$  have same square but different divisibility. This should already sound promising, since the statement of the multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces conjectured in [OP16] does indeed involve different abelian surfaces.

What we want is to prove that the multiple cover formula for reduced GW invariants of the abelian surface  $A(u)$  is equivalent to the MCF for this function, in the sense of Section 2. Indeed, once that is done we are done, since  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is the push-forward of the multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  by  $\deg: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , and we just proved that the latter satisfies the  $(4g-3)$ -MCF.

Let  $B$  be a diagram degree. For any  $u$  element of  $|B|$ -torsion in the elliptic curve  $E$ , we have defined a family of abelian surfaces with  $A_t(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $A_0(u)$  having monodromy data  $u$ , and endowed with a polarization  $\beta(u)$ .

Applying the reduced degeneration formula this can be expressed as a sum over diagrams of genus  $g$  and degree  $B$ . We saw that the divisibility of the class  $\beta(u)$  considered in Lemma 3.1, is  $\gcd(B, k, l)$  where  $B = (|B|, a)$  is the diagram degree and  $u = \frac{k+l\tau'}{|B|}$ . It is immediate to see that this can be rewritten as  $\gcd(B, \frac{|B|}{\text{ord}(u)})$ . Therefore,  $k|\beta(u)$  is equivalent to  $k|B, u$ , where  $k|u$  means that  $u = ku'$  for  $u'$  some other element of  $|B|$ -torsion. We consider the function

$$N_g(B) = \sum_{|B|u \equiv 0} N_{g, \beta(u)} \cdot (u).$$

Since it satisfies that  $(4g-3)$ -MCF we have

$$N_g(B) = \sum_{k|B} k^{4g-3} \text{Prim}_{|B|/k}(N_g(B/k)) T_{|B/k|}.$$

On the other hand

$$\text{Prim}_{|B|/k}(N_g(\frac{B}{k})) = |B/k|^2 N_{g, B/k}^{\text{prim}},$$

where  $N_{g, B/k}^{\text{prim}}$  is the reduced GW invariant for the class constructed in Lemma 3.1 with diagram degree  $B/k$  and a primitive choice of monodromy  $u$ , i.e. any  $u$  of order  $|B|/k$ . Notice that this class has divisibility 1 and its self intersection is  $(B/k)^2$ . The  $|B/k|^2$  factor simply comes from the number of  $|B/k|$ -torsion elements.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_g(B) &= \sum_{k|B} k^{4g-3} \text{Prim}_{|B|/k}(N_g(B/k)) T_{|B/k|} \\ &= \sum_{k|B} k^{4g-3} N_{g, B/k}^{\text{prim}} |B/k|^2 T_{|B/k|} \\ &= \sum_{k|B} k^{4g-3} N_{g, B/k}^{\text{prim}} \sum_{|B/k|u=0} (u) \\ &= \sum_{|B|u \equiv 0} \left( \sum_{k|B, u} k^{4g-3} N_{g, B/k}^{\text{prim}} \right) \cdot (u) \end{aligned}$$

which, taking the  $(u)$ -coefficient, give us the multiple cover formula for reduced GW-invariants for abelian surfaces. On the other hand, reading the equalities the other way around tell us that the multiple cover formula for reduced GW-invariants of abelian surfaces implies the multiple cover formula for the function  $N_g(B)$ . □

**4.4. Extension to refined invariants.** The paradigm of the previous section can be adapted to also prove that reduced GW invariants with points and a  $\lambda$ -class insertion, i.e. a Chern classes of the Hodge bundle. Through various works which started from [Bou19], these GW invariants relate to the so-called tropical *refined invariants*, considered also in [Blo23] and [Blo24] in the case of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and an abelian surface respectively.

The key is that in this situation as well we have an explicit expression for the local contributions (it was computed in [BC25a]), which happens to satisfy the 0-MCF. The results can also be recovered from the more general MCF proven in Section 6.

**4.4.1. Refined local contribution.** We start again with the log-CY surface  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  relative to its boundary divisor  $E \times \{0, \infty\}$  and consider the following correlated GW invariants:

$$\langle\langle \lambda_{g-1}; \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle\rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} \in \mathcal{G}_{|w|},$$

We also consider the correlated invariant, related to the one above, where instead of fixing all but one of the boundary constraints we fix a boundary configuration and its correlator:

$$\langle\langle \lambda_{g-1}; \text{pt}_0, \mathbf{pt}_B \rangle\rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} \in \mathcal{G}_{|w|}.$$

To state the result in a compact way we introduce the following homogeneous polynomial in  $\mathbf{w}$ :

$$W_g(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\prod_1^n w_i} \left( \sum_{S \subset [1;n]} (-1)^{|S|} w_S^{n+2g-2} \right) \frac{(-1)^{n+g-1}}{(n+2g-2)!},$$

where  $w_S = \sum_{i \in S} w_i$ . This is in fact a polynomial since  $\prod_1^n w_i$  divides the expression in the brackets. It is homogeneous of degree  $2g-2$ .

**Proposition 4.17.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \lambda_{g-1}; \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle\rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} &= w_1^2 \cdot W_g(\mathbf{w}) \cdot a^{n-1} \sigma_{2g-1}^{|w|}(a), \\ \langle\langle \lambda_{g-1}; \text{pt}_0, \mathbf{pt}_B \rangle\rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} &= |\mathbf{w}|^2 \cdot W_g(\mathbf{w}) \cdot a^{n-1} \sigma_{2g-1}^{|w|}(a). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In [BC25a, Theorem 7.7], we provide a formula for the (0)-coefficient of

$$\langle\langle \lambda_{g-1}; \text{pt}_0, 1_{w_1}, \text{pt}_{w_2}, \dots, \text{pt}_{w_n} \rangle\rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} \in \mathcal{G}_{|w|},$$

which one can see (using and the usual arithmetic properties of the sum of divisors functions proved in Lemma 2.14) coincide the (0)-coefficient of the right-hand side above. As both the correlated invariants and the refined sum of divisors function satisfy the unrefinement relations (see [BC25b, Lemma 3.12] and Lemma 2.14 respectively), these two functions from  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  with the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{R}$  already considered before coincide. They already have the same total degree, which is the usual total relative GW invariant with the given insertions (see the computation in [BC25a, Lemma 7.6] and references therein).

To get the invariant for the fixed boundary configuration, using the same argument as in the points insertion case of the previous subsection, we just need to divide by  $\left(\frac{w_1}{|\mathbf{w}|}\right)^2$ , which is the intersection number between  $E \times \{*\}^{n-1}$  and a given connected component of  $\{\sum w_i x_i \equiv 0\} \subset E^n$ .  $\square$

4.4.2. *Refined multiple cover formula for correlated invariants of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .* We now care about the reduced invariant

$$\langle\langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{n+g_0-1} \rangle\rangle_{g,a,w}^{|w|} \in \mathcal{G}_{|w|}.$$

It is still possible to apply the correlated decomposition formula from [BC25b]. We sketch how to adapt the computation.

First, we find the floor diagrams, namely those degeneration graphs which contribute with non trivial multiplicity in the degeneration formula. This is performed as in [Bou21] and has nothing to do with correlation. Using [Bou21, Proposition 3.1], for every degeneration graph  $\Gamma$  the  $\lambda$ -class  $\lambda_{g-g_0}$  actually splits as a product over vertices of  $V(\Gamma)$ , and the graphs with non zero multiplicity in the degeneration formula must satisfy  $b_1(\Gamma) \leq g_0$ . Furthermore, computations from [Bou21] also show that vertices with degree 0 have to be of genus 0 and thus do not carry a  $\lambda$ -class.

Let  $V_f$  denote the floor vertices i.e. the vertices with  $a_v \geq 1$  and genus  $g_v \geq 1$ , carrying one interior marking. We again call flats the genus zero marked vertices. Then  $\mathcal{M}_v$  has virtual dimension  $n_v + g_v$  where  $n_v$  is the number of points with boundary evaluation. In order to have a non zero contribution we need the degree of the constraints to match the dimension and this imposes further conditions on the degeneration graphs, with a decoration corresponding to the insertions at a given vertex that are relevant.

First we notice that over  $\mathcal{M}_v = \mathcal{M}_{g_v, m_v}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1 | E_0 + E_\infty, a_v, \mathbf{w}_{e \vdash v})$  the Hodge bundle  $\mathbb{E}_{g_v}$  is equipped with a nowhere vanishing section coming from  $f^* \Omega_E \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{C}_v} \rightarrow \omega_{\pi_v}$  so that the class  $\lambda_{g_v}$  vanishes. We therefore refer to  $\lambda_{g_v-1}$  as the top  $\lambda$ -class in this setting.

The degree of the geometric constraints is at most  $n_v + 1$ , a degree 2 constraint coming from the interior marked point, and at most a degree  $n_v - 1$  boundary constraint (the  $n_v$  boundary points being either points evaluating at a joining divisor or at the boundary divisor which, they either way satisfy the Menelaus relation, thus imposing at most  $n_v - 1$  point constraints). Thus the only possibility to get a non zero invariant is precisely with the top  $\lambda$ -class insertion.

In the end, the floor diagrams, alias the degeneration graphs appearing with non zero multiplicity, in the computation of the invariants with a  $\lambda_{g-g_0}$ -class insertion and  $n + g_0 - 1$  point insertions are the same as in the case of  $n + g_0 - 1$  point insertions. One only needs to remember that floors come with a genus  $g_v \geq 1$  and a  $\lambda_{g_v-1}$  insertion coming from the decomposition.

In particular, the same combinatorial computation performed in [BC25b, Lemma 6.7] replacing the genus 1 floor vertices with the genus  $g_v$  but now capped with a  $\lambda_{g_v-1}$  class shows that the complement of the flat vertices (which also in this context are genus 0 bivalent with an interior marking) has connected components which are trees and contain exactly one infinite vertex/unbounded edge. The pruning algorithm determines the Poincaré insertions, precisely as done in the point case.

The application of the degeneration formula is then completely analogous with the only difference that in the computation of the multiplicity, the vertex contributions are replaced by the one of Section 4.4.1, yielding the following refined correlated multiplicity.

**Definition 4.18.** The multiplicity of a genus  $g$  floor diagram  $\mathfrak{F}$  is

$$m(\mathfrak{F}) = \prod_e' w_e^2 \prod_e w_e \cdot \prod_v a_v^{n_v-1} W_{g_v}(\mathbf{w}_v) \sigma_{2g_v-1}^{|\mathfrak{F}|}(a_v) \cdot T_{|\mathfrak{F}|/\ell(\mathfrak{F})},$$

where  $\mathbf{w}_v$  is the collection of edge weights adjacent to the vertex  $v$ .



We then proceed as in the case without  $\lambda$ -class. Since the  $\sigma_{2g-1}^\delta(\delta a)$  also satisfy the 0-MCF, the only difference lies in the exponent which differs by the degree of  $\prod_v W_{g_v}(\mathbf{w}_v)$ , equal to

$$\sum 2g_v - 2 = 2g - 2g_0,$$

yielding the following results.

**Lemma 4.19.** *The multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}$  satisfies the  $(2n + 2g_0 + 2g - 4)$ -MCF.*

**Theorem 4.20.** *The total correlated invariant  $(a, \mathbf{w}) \mapsto \langle \langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{n+g_0-1} \rangle \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{|w|}$  satisfies the  $(2n + 2g_0 + 2g - 4)$ -MCF. In particular, we have*

$$\langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{n+g_0-1} \rangle_{g,a,\mathbf{w}}^{|w|,\theta} = \sum_{k|a,\mathbf{w},\theta} k^{2n+2g_0+2g-4} \langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{n+g_0-1} \rangle_{g,a/k,\mathbf{w}/k}^{|w/k|,\text{prim}}.$$

**4.4.3. Refined multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces.** We now care about the reduced GW invariants of an abelian surface  $A$ :

$$\langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{g_0} \rangle_{g,\beta}^A.$$

We can still apply the reduced degeneration formula, and the  $\lambda$ -class still splits as a product of  $\lambda$ -classes associated to vertices. Here again, the only difference with pearl diagrams from Section 4.3.1 is that pearls (non-flat vertices) acquire a genus  $g_v \geq 1$ . The vertex multiplicity is yet again replaced by the one from 4.4.1.

**Definition 4.21.** The refined correlated multiplicity of a diagram is given by

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{D}) = |\mathfrak{D}|^2 \prod_e' w_e^2 \prod_e w_e \cdot \prod_v W_{g_v}(\mathbf{w}_v) a_v^{n_v-1} \sigma_{2g_v-1}^{|\mathfrak{D}|}(a_v) \cdot T_{|\mathfrak{D}|/\ell(\mathfrak{D})}.$$

The functions  $\sigma_{2g_v-1}^\delta(\delta a_v)$  still satisfy the 0-MCF, so that the only difference with the point case for abelian surfaces is the exponent that comes out of the monomial part. The difference is the homogeneous part  $\prod_v W_{g_v}(\mathbf{w}_v)$ , which has degree  $2g - 2g_0$ . This yields the following results.

**Lemma 4.22.** *The multiplicity formula satisfies the  $(2g + 2g_0 - 3)$ -MCF.*

**Theorem 4.23.** *The reduced GW invariants satisfy the following multiple cover formula:*

$$\langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{g_0} \rangle_{g,\beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{2g+2g_0-3} \langle \lambda_{g-g_0}; \text{pt}^{g_0} \rangle_{g,\widetilde{\beta/k}},$$

where  $\widetilde{\beta/k}$  is a primitive class with same self-intersection as  $\beta/k$ .

## 5. MULTIPLE COVER FORMULA FOR THE CORRELATED DR-CYCLE

**5.1. Correlated DR-cycle.** In this section we recall the Definition of the correlated DR-cycle introduced in [BC25a]. We concentrate on the specific case where the target is an elliptic curve  $E$ , and  $\text{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) = \epsilon_* R\mathcal{M}(a, \mathbf{w})$  for  $R\mathcal{M}_{g,m+n}(a, \mathbf{w})$  is the moduli space of rubber stable maps to  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1|E_0 + E_\infty$  of degree  $a$  on  $E$  (i.e.  $\pi_* f_*[C] = a[E]$  for  $\pi: E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow E$ ) and with ramification profile  $\mathbf{w} = (w_i)$ .

5.1.1. *Non-correlated DR-cycle.* Pixton's formula for the usual DR cycle with target variety (see [JPPZ20]) gives an expression for  $\text{DR}(a, \mathbf{w})$  as a sum of decorated strata classes:

$$\text{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\Gamma} [\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{Dec}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{w}).$$

In the notation we forget about the target, understood to be the elliptic curve  $E$ , the genus and the markings; we record instead the curve class  $a$  as well as the tangency profile.

- The sum is indexed by so-called  $E$ -stable graphs (see [JPPZ20, Section 0.3]), which are graphs whose vertices are decorated by a curve class  $a_v$  and a genus  $g_v$  such that the total genus  $b_1(\Gamma) + \sum g_v = g$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{a} = (a_v)$  the collection of curve classes indexed by vertices.
- The stratum  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{a})$  is the fiber product over the diagonal  $\Delta: E^{E(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{H(\Gamma)}$  of the product moduli spaces  $\prod_v (\mathcal{M}_v(a_v) := \mathcal{M}_{g_v, n_v + m_v}(E, a_v))$  mapping to  $E^{H(\Gamma)}$  vial the evaluation maps. It has a virtual class  $\Delta^!(\prod_v [\mathcal{M}_v(a_v)]^{\text{vir}})$  induced by Gysin pull-back. We denoted by  $n_v$  the valency of the vertex  $v$ , i.e. the flags of  $\Gamma$  based at  $v$ ;  $m_v$  is just the number of interior markings that does not play a role in the gluing.
- The decoration  $\text{Dec}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{w})$  is given explicitly in Pixton formula [JPPZ20] and is a polynomial in the entries  $w_i$  whose coefficients are cohomology classes pulled-back from the moduli space of curves  $\prod \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_v, n_v + m_v}$ . In the case at hand, since we are looking at the trivial bundle, these decorations only come from products of  $\psi$ -classes. We refer to [JPPZ20] for the explicit formula as we do not need the precise shape of the decoration.

Recall the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{R}$  of Ramification, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ (a, \mathbf{w}) \mid \sum w_i = 0 \right\},$$

with norm given by  $|\mathbf{w}| = \gcd(w_i)$  entries.

Given insertions  $\gamma \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  we can integrate against the DR-cycle, i.e. consider  $\deg(\text{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) \cap \text{ev}^* \gamma \cap \text{ft}^* \alpha)$  where  $\text{ev}$  and  $\text{ft}$  are respectively the evaluation map and the forgetful morphism to the moduli space of curve. For fixed insertions, this define a function on  $\mathcal{R}$ .

We intend to upgrade to (diagonal) functions with values in the group algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[E]$  passing to the correlated refinement  $\mathbf{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{G}_{|\mathbf{w}|}$  of Pixton's DR cycle formula.

The latter involve classes of boundary strata of a refined stratification of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(E, a)$ , which we now recall for the special case of target the elliptic curve.

### 5.1.2. Refined stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(E, a)$ .

**Definition 5.1.** [BC25a, Definition 4.23] A *monodromy graph*  $(\Gamma, K, \varphi)$  is the data of:  $\Gamma$  a  $E$ -valued stable graph,  $K$  a subgroup of  $\text{Pic}^0(E)[[\mathbf{w}]]$  and a group homomorphism  $\varphi: K \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mu_{|\mathbf{w}|})$ , with  $\mu_{|\mathbf{w}|}$  the  $|\mathbf{w}|$ -roots of unity.

The stratum indexed by  $(\Gamma, K, \varphi)$  is the substack of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{a})$  parametrizing stable maps  $f: C \rightarrow E$  such that

- (i) the dual graph of  $C$  decorated with the degrees of the restrictions of  $f: C \rightarrow E$  to the components  $C_v$  and genus at  $g_v$  at the vertices is the  $E$ -valued stable graph  $\Gamma$ ;
- (ii)  $K$  is the subgroup of  $\text{Pic}^0(E)[[\mathbf{w}]]$  of line bundles  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $f|_{C_v}^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_v}$  for each  $v \in V(\Gamma)$ ;

- (iii) for a line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in K$ , the pull-back  $f^*\mathcal{L} \in H^1(\Gamma, \mu_{|w|})$  and is thus determined by its monodromy along loops of  $\Gamma$ ;  $\varphi$  is the monodromy data, i.e. for each a loop  $\gamma \in H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$  is given by  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})(\gamma)$ .

We denote by  $\varphi^\Gamma: H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|}) \rightarrow \widehat{K}$  the adjoint of  $\varphi$ , where  $\widehat{K} = \text{Hom}(K, \mu_{|w|})$  is the Pontrjagin dual of  $K$ .

*Remark 5.2.* In particular,  $\text{Ker}(\varphi) \subseteq K$  is the subgroup of line bundles such that  $f^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ . This kernel is called the *core of the stratum*; we denote it by  $C$ . In [BC25a], the notation for  $(K, C)$  is  $(\widetilde{K}, K)$ . As the core does not play any role in the present paper, we drop the tilde from the notation of the kernel.  $\blacklozenge$

As proved in [BC25a], the stratum  $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma(\mathbf{a})$  splits as a disjoint union of open and closed sub-stacks indexed by the possible  $(K, \varphi)$ . In particular, the smooth stratum of  $\mathcal{M}(a)$  splits as a disjoint union of open and closed sub-stacks indexed by subgroups  $K \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]]$ :

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}) = \bigsqcup_K \mathcal{M}_K(a),$$

yielding a natural decomposition of its virtual class.

**5.1.3. Description of the smooth stratum.** We recall the description of  $\mathcal{M}_K(a)$  which allows us to compute its virtual class.

• **Coverings of  $E$ .** To each subgroup  $H \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]]$  is associated a degree  $|H|$  normal covering

$$\pi_H: E_H \rightarrow E,$$

The deck-transformation group of  $\pi_H$  is the Pontrjagin dual of  $H$ , i.e.  $\widehat{H} = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|})$ . See [BC25a, Section 4.1] for an explicit construction.

• **Map between moduli spaces.** A map  $f: C \rightarrow E$  lifts to  $E_H$  if and only if the pull-back along  $f$  of any line bundle in  $H$  is trivial; a family of map  $F: \mathcal{C}/S \rightarrow E$  such that, for each  $\mathcal{L} \in H$ ,  $F^*\mathcal{L}$  is trivial fiberwise, lifts to a family of maps to  $E_H$  up to base change along a  $|H|$  cover  $S' \rightarrow S$ . If a map lifts, the set of lifts is a torsor under  $\widehat{H}$ .

Using this, it is proven in [BC25a, Section 4], that composition with the covering defines a degree  $|H|$  map

$$\pi_H: \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(E_H, a/|H|) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{K \supset H} \mathcal{M}_K(E, a) = \mathcal{M}^H(E, a) \subset \mathcal{M}(E, a).$$

The equality on the right is the definition of  $\mathcal{M}^H(E, a)$ . The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}(E_H, a/|H|)$  is understood to be empty if  $|H|$  does not divide  $a$ .

In particular, the virtual class of the components  $\mathcal{M}_K(a)$  are related, up to inclusion-exclusion relations, to the virtual classes of moduli spaces of coverings of  $E$ . Using the Möbius function on the lattice of subgroups of  $\text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{|w|}^2$  we can give an explicit expression.

**Proposition 5.3.** (i) If  $H \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]]$ , we have

$$\sum_{K \supset H} [\mathcal{M}_K(E, a)]^{\text{vir}} = [\mathcal{M}^H(E, a)]^{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{|H|} \pi_{H*} [\mathcal{M}(E_H, a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}.$$

(ii) If  $K \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]]$ , we have

$$[\mathcal{M}_K(E, a)]^{\text{vir}} = \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) \frac{1}{|H|} \pi_{H*} [\mathcal{M}(E_H, a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function on the lattice of subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{|w|}^2$ .

(iii) If  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$ , for  $K \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[|w|]$ , we have

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_K(E,a)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma = \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) \frac{1}{|H|} \int_{[\mathcal{M}(E_H, a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \pi_H^* \gamma.$$

*Proof.* The first point comes from the degree  $|H|$  morphism and is [BC25a, Lemma 4.13]. The second is obtained performed a Möbius inversion on the lattice of subgroups. The last point comes from push-pull-formula.  $\square$

• **Transferring integrals.** To compute the integrals that appear in the Proposition above, we need to describe the action of  $\pi_H^*$  on cohomology.

If  $\text{pt}$  is the Poincaré dual class to a point, we have  $\pi_H^* \text{pt} = |H| \text{pt}$ . In order to describe the action on 1-cycles, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Consider a multilinear function  $\varphi: (\mathbb{Q}^2)^{\otimes 2r} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  invariant by the diagonal action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the source. Then, if  $M$  is any matrix with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients, we have*

$$\varphi(Mx_1, \dots, Mx_{2r}) = (\det M)^r \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{2r}).$$

*Proof.* The formula is true for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  by assumption. Therefore, it is also true for  $SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , which is its Zariski closure in  $GL(2, \mathbb{Q})$ . The formula is also true for scalar matrices by multilinearity. These generate the subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$  whose determinant is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which is also Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $\pi_H: E_H \rightarrow E$  be a degree  $|H|$  covering of elliptic curves. Let  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma \in H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 2r} \otimes H^2(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes s}$ . We then have*

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(E_H, a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* (\pi_H^* \gamma) = |H|^{r+s} \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(E, a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma.$$

In particular, dropping  $E$  from the notation and for  $K \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[|w|]$ , we have

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_K(a)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma = \sum_{H \supset K} |H|^{r+s-1} \int_{[\mathcal{M}(a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma.$$

*Remark 5.6.* As both  $E$  and  $E_H$  are elliptic curves,  $\gamma$  makes sense both as insertion for  $E$  and  $E_H$  in the sense that we always have an isomorphism  $s: H^*(E, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(E_H, \mathbb{Q})$  with  $s \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . By abuse of notation, we write  $\gamma$  for the cohomology class in  $E_H$  obtained as image of  $\gamma$  along the isomorphism  $s$ ; i.e. on the right-hand-side  $\gamma$  means the class obtained by deformation from  $E$  to  $E_H$  and not the pull-back.  $\blacklozenge$

*Proof.* We have that  $\pi_H^* \text{pt} = |H| \text{pt}$ , where  $\text{pt}$  is the class Poincaré dual to a point. For the 1-cycle insertions, deformation invariance of the Gromov-Witten invariance ensures that these integrals are multilinear functions invariant by the action  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})$ , so that we can apply Lemma 5.4. The pull-back  $\pi_H^*$  acts on  $H^1(E, \mathbb{Z})$  via a linear map of determinant the degree of the covering  $|H|$ , thus yielding the first identity.

For the second part, we use Proposition 5.3(iii). We can drop the elliptic curves from the notation again since we have no  $\pi_H$  appearing anymore.  $\square$

*Remark 5.7.* In particular, we see that  $\int_{[\mathcal{M}_K(E,a)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma$  is invariant when applying the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\gamma$ , which is far from obvious since when deforming  $E$ , one should also act on  $K$ .  $\blacklozenge$

5.1.4. *Description of the boundary strata.* We recalled above that the strata  $\mathcal{M}_\Gamma(\mathbf{a})$  are described as fiber product of  $\prod_v \mathcal{M}_v(a_v)$  with the diagonal  $E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} \xrightarrow{\Delta} E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$  over the evaluation space  $E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$ . We provide an analogous description for the strata of  $\mathcal{M}_K(a)$ .

• **Refined evaluation map.** Since  $\mathcal{M}^H(a)$  parametrizes maps that lifts to  $E_H$  (up to a base change) and the set of lifts is then a  $\widehat{H}$ -torsor we have an evaluation map

$$\text{ev}: \mathcal{M}_{g,n}^H(E, a) \rightarrow E_H^n / \widehat{H},$$

where  $\widehat{H}$  acts diagonally by deck-transformation. This is a *rubber evaluation space*, in a sense made precise by [BC25a, Lemma 4.1]. Notice that  $E_H^n / \widehat{H} \rightarrow E^n$  is a covering of degree  $|H|$ .

• **Twisted diagonals.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $E$ -stable graph with set of edges (resp. half-edges)  $\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)$ ), and  $K$  a subgroup of  $\text{Pic}^0(E)[[\mathbf{w}]]$ .

We consider the pull-back  $\Delta_K$  of the diagonal  $E^{\mathbf{E}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$  along the covering map  $E_K^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)} / \widehat{K}^{\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)}$ . Following [BC25a, Lemma 4.20],  $\Delta_K$  is disconnected; its  $|K|^{b_1(\Gamma)}$  connected components, called *twisted diagonals*, are indexed by a choice of  $\varphi \in H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{K})$ , equivalently morphisms  $\varphi: K \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|})$ . We denote the twisted diagonal associated to  $\varphi$  by  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}$ .

We notice that twisted diagonals are complex subtori that differ by the action of deck-automorphism groups, which act by translation, so all twisted diagonals are cobordant and realize the same homology class.

• **Fiber product.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_v(a_v) = \mathcal{M}_{g_v, n_v + m_v}(E, a_v)$  be the moduli space associated to a vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Given the splitting in open and closed sub-stacks  $\mathcal{M}_v(a_v) = \bigsqcup_{K_v} \mathcal{M}_{v, K_v}(a_v)$ , the product over vertices splits as follows:

$$\prod_v \mathcal{M}_v(a_v) = \bigsqcup_K \left( \bigsqcup_{\cap K_v = K} \prod_v \mathcal{M}_{v, K_v}(a_v) \right),$$

where the second disjoint union is over the collection of subgroups  $(K_v)$  of  $\text{Pic}^0(E)[[\mathbf{w}]]$  satisfying  $\bigcap_v K_v = K$ .

To make the notation easier, we set:

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_v \mathcal{M}_v(a_v), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, K}(\mathbf{a}) = \bigsqcup_{\cap K_v = K} \prod_v \mathcal{M}_{v, K_v}(a_v),$$

with  $\mathcal{P}$  as in “product” so that we can write

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathbf{a}) = \bigsqcup_K \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, K}(\mathbf{a}).$$

These spaces are all endowed with their natural virtual classes, defined by taking product and sums of  $[\mathcal{M}_{v, K_v}(a_v)]^{\text{vir}}$  simply obtained restricting the usual obstruction theory to this open (and closed) substack.

We can then consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_\varphi \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, K}(\mathbf{a}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev} \\ \bigsqcup_\varphi \Delta_{K, \varphi} & \longrightarrow & E_K^{\mathbf{H}(\Gamma)} / \widehat{K}^{\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)}. \end{array}$$

The bottom arrow is the (regular) embedding of the union of twisted diagonals indexed by  $\varphi: K \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|})$ . Via the Gysin pull-back  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}^!$ , each component  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(\mathbf{a})$  is endowed with a virtual fundamental  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}^!([\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}})$ .

• **Relation to moduli of stable maps to covering.** Let  $\pi_H: E_H \rightarrow E$  be a covering and  $\Gamma$  be a  $E$ -valued graph.

The pull-back of the diagonal  $E^{E(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{H(\Gamma)}$  along the covering  $E_H^{H(\Gamma)} \rightarrow E^{H(\Gamma)}$  is also disconnected, indexed by elements  $\psi \in C^1(\Gamma, \widehat{H})$ , i.e. a choice of deck automorphism for each edge of the graph. (See [BC25a, Lemma 4.20] for further details). We also call these components *twisted diagonals*, and denote them by  $\widetilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi}$ .

We can then consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{\psi} \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,\psi}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|), \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev} \\ \bigsqcup_{\psi} \widetilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi} & \longrightarrow & E_H^{H(\Gamma)} \end{array}$$

where the disjoint union on the left is over  $\psi \in C^1(\Gamma, \widehat{H})$ . Choosing  $\psi = 0$ , we recover the usual diagonal and thus the usual stratum  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)$  of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}(E_H, a)$ .

For different choices of  $\psi$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,\psi}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)$  should be thought as parametrizing maps that glue up to deck-automorphisms.

In this situation as well, for the same argument as before, the different twisted diagonals are all cobordant.

Composition by  $E_H \rightarrow E$  yields the following commutative diagram, where vertical maps have degree  $|H|^{|\mathbf{V}(\Gamma)|}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{\psi} \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,\psi}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigsqcup_{K \supset H} \bigsqcup_{\varphi} \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(E, \mathbf{a}) & \longrightarrow & \bigsqcup_{K \supset H} \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E, \mathbf{a}). \end{array}$$

The image of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,\psi}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)$  along the left vertical map is contained in the locus where  $\varphi|_H = [\psi] \in H^1(\Gamma, \widehat{H})$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** *The virtual classes enjoy the following properties.*

- (1) *Enumerative invariants arising from cap product against the classes  $[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \widetilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E, \mathbf{a}) \cap \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,\varphi}$ ) are independent on  $\psi$  (resp.  $\varphi$ ). We thus replace the index  $\psi$  (resp.  $\varphi$ ) with 0 in what follows.*
- (2) *We have the following relation in the homology with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients of  $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E, \mathbf{a})$ :*

$$|H|^{b_1(\Gamma)-1} \pi_{H*} \left( [\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \widetilde{\Delta}_{H,0} \right) = \sum_{K \supset H} |K|^{b_1(\Gamma)} [\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E, \mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,0},$$

*Proof.* (1) By definition, the push-forward of  $\widetilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi}^! [\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)$  is

$$[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \widetilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi},$$

still writing  $\widetilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi}$  for the Poincaré dual class of the diagonal. As twisted diagonals are all cobordant, we conclude.

- (2) As the classes we get in  $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)$  after capping with the diagonal do not depend on  $\psi$ , we have that the the push-forward of  $\sum_\psi \tilde{\Delta}_{H,\psi}^! [\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)^{\text{vir}}]$  is simply

$$|H|^{|\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)|} [\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \tilde{\Delta}_{H,0},$$

where  $|H|^{|\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)|}$  is the number of possible  $\psi \in C^1(\Gamma, \hat{H})$ .

Reasoning in a similar way on  $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E, \mathbf{a})$ , for each  $K$  there is  $|K|^{b_1(\Gamma)}$  possible choices of  $\varphi$ . As the classes do not depend on the choices we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & |H|^{|\mathbb{E}(\Gamma)|} \pi_{H*} \left( [\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \tilde{\Delta}_{H,0} \right) \\ &= |H|^{|\mathbb{V}(\Gamma)|} \sum_{K \supset H} |K|^{b_1(\Gamma)} [\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E, \mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,0}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $|H|^{|\mathbb{V}(\Gamma)|}$  factor is due to the degree of the map being  $|H|^{|\mathbb{V}(\Gamma)|}$ . □

*Remark 5.9.* Alternatively we can restrict to one component  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,\psi}(E_H, \mathbf{a}/|H|)$  mapping to its image. As there are  $|H|^{|\mathbb{V}(\Gamma)|-1}$  elements  $\psi$  in the same class, the degree is only  $|H|$ . However, given  $[\psi]|_H$ , there are  $|K/H|^{b_1(\Gamma)}$  possible extensions for each  $K \supset H$ . ◆

• **Transferring the integrals.** As in the smooth case, we can compute against the virtual class of  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(E, \mathbf{a})$  passing to moduli spaces of maps to  $E_H$ .

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $K$  be a subgroup,  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma \in H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 2r} \otimes H^2(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes s}$ , Then we have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & |K|^{b_1(\Gamma)} \int_{[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,0} \cup \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \\ &= \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) |H|^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \int_{[\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathbf{a}/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \tilde{\Delta}_{H,0} \cup \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We start from Proposition 5.8(2). We then perform a Möbius inversion. Using push-pull formula we then proceed as in the smooth case to replace  $\pi_H^* \gamma$  by  $\gamma$ , where we do the same abuse of notation considering  $\gamma$  as an insertion both for  $E$  and  $E_H$ . □

#### 5.1.5. Expression of the correlated DR cycle.

• **Spin Maps.** The moduli stack of *spin stable maps*,  $\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$  parametrizes a stable map together with a (log-)root of the trivial bundle of order  $|w|$ . Up to taking a root stack, obtained as explained in [BC25a, Section 5] and references therein, the universal log root  $\mathcal{L}$  on the moduli space of spin stable maps can be represented by a honest line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(D)$  for  $D$  a divisor supported on the boundary, whose tropicalization is a tropical torsion divisor  $\mathbf{D}$  on the dual graph.

The space  $\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$  also splits as a union of open and closed substack and we thus have a refined stratification of its boundary. The refined stratification essentially coincides with the stratification of  $\mathcal{M}(a)$  described above, up to the additional information of the tropical torsion divisor  $\mathbf{D}$  on the dual graph; so strata will be indexed by  $(\Gamma, K, \varphi, \mathbf{D})$  with  $(\Gamma, K, \varphi)$  as above and  $\mathbf{D}$  a tropical  $|w|$ -torsion divisor.

The correlated DR-cycle formula in [BC25a] is obtained applying the universal DR-formula [BHP<sup>+</sup>23] on the *correlated components* of the moduli space of spin maps and then pushing forward to  $\mathcal{M}(a)$ .

• **Logarithmic Weil pairing.** In order to talk about the correlated components of  $\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$ , we recall the definition of the logarithmic Weil pairing and of *correlator* for a torsion line bundle.

For this part we assume the reader to be familiar with the Logarithmic Picard group of Molcho-Wise [MW22], and more in general with the theory of (logarithmic) abelian varieties.

Let  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$  be the universal curve; this is endowed with a natural vertical logarithmic structure coming from the moduli space of spin curves (we refer to [HO23] and references therein; a description can also be found in [BC25a, Section 5].)

We denote by  $\text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}))$  the logarithmic Jacobian introduced in [MW22] and by  $\text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}))[[w]]$  the subgroup of  $|w|$ -torsion log line bundle, which is showed in [HO23, Theorem 4.3], is an étale finite group scheme over  $\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$  after base change along the the root stack map necessary to have a line bundle representative of the universal root.

We collect the fact we need in the following Proposition; the proofs of the various statements can be found in [BC25a, Sections 2-3].

**Proposition 5.11.**       $\circ \text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}))$  *is endowed with a (log) Abel-Jacobi section inducing a self duality isomorphism as a logarithmic abelian variety in the sense of [KKN08, MW22];*  
 $\circ \text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}))$  *is initial for morphism from log smooth curves to (log) abelian varieties; in particular we have a homomorphism of log abelian varieties (dual to the pull-back map )*

$$F_*: \text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E) \times \mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$$

*commuting with the log Abel-Jacobi and the Albanese map respectively;*

$\circ$  *There is a non degenerate pairing extending the usual Weil pairing for abelian varieties:*

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}: \text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}))[[w]] \times \text{LogPic}^0(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}))[[w]] \rightarrow \mu_{|w|}$$

$\circ$  *The pairing is compatible with  $F_*$  in the sense that*

$$W_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{T}, F^*\mathcal{L}) = W_E(F_*\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L})$$

• **Correlators via Weil-Pairing.** The *correlator function*

$$\theta_{(\cdot, \cdot)}: \mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|}) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)[[w]] \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]], \mu_{|w|})$$

is defined by

$$(f, \mathcal{T}) \mapsto \theta_{(f, \mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{L}) = W_E(f_*\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{L}) = W_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{T}, f^*\mathcal{L}).$$

As the pairing is non degenerate the data is equivalent to the data of  $f_*(\mathcal{T}) \in \text{Alb}(E)[\delta]$ .

The correlator function is of course locally constant so  $\mathcal{M}(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$  is a disjoint union of open and closed sub-stacks indexed by  $\theta \in \text{Alb}(E)[\delta]$  which we denote by  $\mathcal{M}^\theta(a)(\frac{1}{|w|})$ . It is proved in [BC25a, Section 6] that this definition of correlator coincides with the one given in [BC25b] on the locus of rubber maps.



• **Correlators on a given refined stratum.** Let us take  $[f: C \rightarrow E] \in \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a})$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  a torsion line bundle on  $C$ . We want to understand the relation among: the correlator of  $(f, \mathcal{T})$ , the tropicalization of  $\mathcal{T}$  (i.e. the associated torsion tropical divisor on  $\Gamma$ ) and the fixed combinatorial data  $(K, \varphi)$ . To do so, let us look at the following commutative diagram; here all subgroups are to be understood as  $|\mathbf{w}|$ -torsion; we dropped the  $[\mathbf{w}]$  for simplicity

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K & & & & & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow f^* & & & & & \\
 \text{Pic}^0(E) & & H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^*) & & & & \\
 & \searrow f^* & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}^{[0]}(C) & \longrightarrow & \text{LogPic}^0(C) & \xrightarrow{\text{trop}} & \text{TroPic}^0(C) \longrightarrow 0. \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow \\
 & & \bigoplus \text{Pic}^0(C_v) & & \text{Alb}(E) & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp
 \end{array}$$

- The middle short exact sequence is obtained taking  $|\mathbf{w}|$ -torsion from the short exact sequence relating the Jacobian, logarithmic Jacobian and tropical Jacobian obtained in [MW22, Section 4], [KKN08]. The right morphism is called *tropicalization*.
- The vertical short exact sequence in the second column is the usual short exact sequence for the group of multidegree 0 line bundles on a nodal curve; the second map is the restriction to the components of the normalization.
- The diagonal maps are simply the pull-back from  $E$ , with  $K$  the subgroup of line bundles restricting to the trivial one componentwise.
- The middle vertical arrow is the push-forward map  $f_*$  mapping a log-line bundle to its correlator as recalled above, and the last map on the right is explained in the next Lemma.

Looking at the diagram above we can understand the image of the correlator map restricted to torsion log line bundles with fixed tropicalization, i.e. on the  $\text{Pic}^{[0]}(C)$ -torsor  $\text{trop}^{-1}(\mathbf{D})$  for  $\mathbf{D}$  the linear equivalence class of a torsion tropical divisor on  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 5.12.** *We have the following facts:*

- (1) *The image of  $\text{Pic}^{[0]}(C)$  by  $f_*$  is  $K^\perp$ , where  $K^\perp$  is the orthogonal with respect to the Weil pairing.*
- (2) *There is a well-defined map  $\text{TroPic}(C) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp$  obtained by choosing a lift  $\mathcal{L}_{[D]} \in \text{LogPic}(C)$  of  $[D] \in \text{TroPic}(C)$  to  $\text{LogPic}(C)$  and applying  $f_*$ .*
- (3) *The image of  $f_*$  restricted to the torsor of lifts of  $\mathbf{D}$  is a  $K^\perp$ -torsor*
- (4) *The map in (2) is equal to  $\varphi^\top: H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|}) \rightarrow \widehat{K}$*

*Proof.* (1) To compute  $f_*(\text{Pic}^{[0]}(C))$ , we compute instead its Weil orthogonal. Since

$$W_E(\mathcal{L}, f_*\mathcal{U}) = W_C(f^*\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{U}),$$

looking at  $\mathcal{U} \in \text{Pic}^{[0]}(C)$ , we have that  $\mathcal{L} \in (f_*\text{Pic}^{[0]}(C))^\perp$  if and only if  $f^*\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}^{[0]}(C)^\perp = H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^*)$  (See [BC25a, Proposition 2.13].) The orthogonal thus consists of those line bundles that restrict to the trivial line bundle on each component  $C_v$ , which is the definition of  $K$ .

- (2) Since  $\text{trop}$  is surjective, we can always find a lift of a given tropical line bundle, only well-defined up to translation by  $\text{Pic}^{[0]}(C)$ . By (1), the image by  $f_*$  is thus well-defined up to translation by an element of  $K^\perp$ .
- (3) Follows immediately from (2).
- (4) Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a torsion log-line bundle with tropicalization  $\mathbf{T}$ . The correlator is the data of the homomorphism  $W_C(\mathcal{T}, f^*(-))$ .

Looking at the correlator modulo  $K^\perp$ , is the same, via adjunction, of looking at  $W_C(\mathcal{T}, f^*(\cdot))$  restricted to  $\mathcal{L} \in K$ .

Now, for  $\mathcal{L} \in K$ ,  $W(\mathcal{T}, f^*\mathcal{L}) = \widetilde{W}(\mathbf{T}, f^*\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\widetilde{W}$  is the induced pairing between  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|})$  and  $\text{TroPic}(C)[[w]]$  (See again [BC25a, Proposition 2.13]). This is precisely  $\varphi(\mathcal{L})(\mathbf{T})$ , where  $\mathbf{T}$  is interpreted as an element in  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.13.* An element in  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|})$  does indeed correspond to a torsion tropical line bundle given that  $\text{TroPic}^0(\Gamma) = \text{Hom}(H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}), \overline{M}^{\text{gp}})/H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ . Here  $C$  is a log curve with respect to the minimal log structure on the moduli space of spin stable maps, and  $\overline{M}$  is just the base monoid.  $\blacklozenge$

Given the identification of  $\text{TroPic}^0(C) \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp$  with  $\varphi^\top$  provided in the previous Lemma we have that the image of the correlator map restricted to the log-line bundles with fixed tropicalization  $\mathbf{D}$  is the  $K^\perp$ -torsor of lifts of  $\varphi^\top(\mathbf{D})$ . We set  $T_{\varphi^\top(\mathbf{D})}$  to be the average of elements in this class:

$$T_{\varphi^\top(\mathbf{D})} = \frac{1}{|K^\perp|} \sum_{\theta \in q^{-1}(\varphi^\top(\mathbf{D}))} (\theta).$$

This is an element in the group algebra of  $\text{Alb}(E)[[w]]$  of total degree 1.

Using [BC25a, Lemma 5.14], we have that for  $[f: C \rightarrow E] \in \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi, \mathbf{D}}^\theta(\mathbf{a})(\frac{1}{|w|})$  the cardinality of  $\text{trop}^{-1}(\mathbf{D}) \cap f_*^{-1}(\theta)$  is  $|w|^{2g-2-b_1(\Gamma)}|K|$ . In particular this is saying that the restriction of the (finite) forgetful morphism  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a})(\frac{1}{|w|}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a})$  to the component  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi, \mathbf{D}}^\theta(\mathbf{a})(\frac{1}{|w|})$  is constant and equal to  $|w|^{2g-2-b_1(\Gamma)}|K|$ .

**Theorem 5.14.** [BC25a, Theorem 6.7] *The total correlated DR formula at level  $|w| = \gcd(w_i)$  for genus  $g$  degree  $a$  stable maps to an elliptic curve  $E$  has the following form:*

$$\text{DR}(a, w) = |w|^{2g} \sum_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}} \frac{1}{|w|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{K, \varphi} [\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{Dec}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}} \left( \frac{w}{|w|} \right) \cdot T_{\varphi^\top(\mathbf{D})}.$$

*The first sum is over  $E$ -valued stable graphs of genus  $g$  and degree  $a$  together with a choice of equivalence class  $\mathbf{D}$  of  $|w|$ -torsion tropical divisor; the second sum is over subgroups  $K \subset \text{Pic}^0(E)[[w]]$  and monodromies  $\varphi: K \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|w|})$ .*

We refer to [HO23] (see also [BC25a, Section 6]) for the explicit expression of the cohomology class  $\text{Dec}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}} \left( \frac{w}{|w|} \right)$ , not needed here. The only important thing for us to know about the decoration  $\text{Dec}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}} \left( \frac{w}{|w|} \right)$ , is that it depends only *polynomially* in  $\frac{w}{|w|}$ .

*Proof of the shape.* The formula from [BC25a] appears as a sum over the strata  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a})$  in the language of piecewise polynomial functions, each stratum coming with a decoration

$$|w|^{2g-2q(E)} \frac{|K|}{|w|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\mathbf{D}} \text{Dec}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}} \left( \frac{w}{|w|} \right),$$

where the sum is over  $D$  which are orthogonal to the image of  $\varphi$ . To get the advertised expression, the formula is modified as follows:

- The irregularity  $q(E)$  has value 2.
- Since that  $|K||K^\perp| = |\mathbf{w}|^2$ , we can replace  $|\mathbf{w}|^{-2}|K| = \frac{1}{|K^\perp|}$ ,
- In addition, Lemma 5.12 describes the possible correlators on a given stratum. Thus, instead of summing over the  $D$  contributing to the  $(0)$ -correlator, we assign to each  $D$  the group algebra element equal to the sum of its correlators:  $|K^\perp|T_{\varphi^*}(D)$ . The  $|K^\perp|$  cancels with the previous  $\frac{1}{|K^\perp|}$ .

□

**5.2. Multiple cover formula for the correlated DR.** To get enumerative invariants, we fix constraints  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$  of the following type:

$$\gamma \in H^0(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes t} \otimes H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 2r} \otimes H^2(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes s},$$

with  $t + 2r + s = n$ , which we may always assume up to relabeling the marked points. We now consider the cap product of the correlated DR-cycle with  $\text{ft}^*\alpha \cup \text{ev}^*\gamma$ :

$$\mathbf{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) \cap (\text{ft}^*\alpha \cup \text{ev}^*\gamma).$$

**Theorem 5.15.** *The correlated invariants  $\mathbf{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) \cap (\text{ft}^*\alpha \cup \text{ev}^*\gamma)$  where  $\gamma \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n}$  and  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  satisfy the  $(3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha)$ -multiple cover formula.*

To prove the MCF formula we show that the formula is already true on each stratum  $(\Gamma, D)$ , where it further reduces to the MCF for refined group counting functions from Section 2.3.

*Proof of Theorem 5.15.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $E$ -valued stable graph of degree  $a$  and  $D$  be a tropical torsion divisor. We proceed to prove the MCF for the summand in the DR formula corresponding to  $(\Gamma, D)$ . The contribution at  $(a, \mathbf{w})$  is understood to be 0 if  $D$  is not of  $|\mathbf{w}|$ -torsion. Along the way, we emphasize the role of the smooth stratum where  $\Gamma$  is the trivial graph, for which the situation is slightly easier to understand.

• **Step 1: expression of the contribution.** By Theorem 5.14, the contribution of  $(\Gamma, D)$  to the correlated DR invariants is

$$\mathbb{C}_{\Gamma, D}(a, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{K, \varphi} \left( \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma, K, \varphi}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{Dec}_{\Gamma, D}\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{w}|}\right) \cup \text{ft}^*\alpha \cup \text{ev}^*\gamma \right) T_{\varphi^*(D)}.$$

The virtual classes are understood to be for moduli of stable map with elliptic target space.

To ease the notation, we set  $\alpha_{\Gamma, D} = \text{Dec}_{\Gamma, D}\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{w}|}\right) \cup \text{ft}^*\alpha$ .

We do not emphasize  $\mathbf{w}$  in the notation since  $\frac{\mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{w}|}$  does not change when  $\mathbf{w}$  gets scaled, and, as already explained in the previous sections, to prove the MCF we may restrict to multiples of a primitive element  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{\mathbf{w}}) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

The contribution for the smooth stratum is obtained taking the trivial graph  $\Gamma^{\text{sm}}$  with a unique vertex, no loops, and  $D$  trivial as well. The contribution is then

$$\mathbb{C}^{\text{sm}}(a, \mathbf{w}) = |\mathbf{w}|^{2g} \sum_K \left( \int_{[\mathcal{M}_K(a)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}}, 0} \cup \text{ev}^*\gamma \right) T_{K^\perp}.$$

• **Step 2: Reducing to a product over the vertices.** This step is not needed when  $\Gamma$  is the trivial graph since there is a unique vertex, and the class is  $[\mathcal{M}_K(a)]^{\text{vir}}$ . In case  $\Gamma$  is not

trivial, we replace  $[\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}$  by its expression as a product over vertices:

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma,\mathbb{D}} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma = \int_{[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,\varphi} \cup \alpha_{\Gamma,\mathbb{D}} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma,$$

where  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}$  is the twisted diagonal corresponding to  $\varphi$ .

We proved in Proposition 5.8 that these numbers not to depend on  $\varphi$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(\mathbf{a})$  is the component of the product  $\prod \mathcal{M}_v(a_v)$  where  $K = \cap K_v$ .

• **Step 3: reducing to invariants for coverings of  $E$  for  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{sm}}(a, \mathbf{w})$ .** We now replace the refined virtual classes for  $E$  by virtual classes for coverings of  $E$ . Therefore, we now exceptionally write again the target spaces in the moduli spaces. We use the relation between  $\mathcal{M}_K(E, a)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(E_H, a/|H|)$  for  $H \supset K$  provided by Proposition 5.3. We get:

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_K(E, a)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma = \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) \frac{1}{|H|} \int_{[\mathcal{M}(E_H, a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \pi_H^* \gamma.$$

Using Corollary 5.5, and recalling that  $E$  and  $E_H$  are both elliptic curves (allowing for the already explained abuse of notation for the insertions  $\gamma$ ) we can rewrite the integrals as follow:

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_K(a)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma = \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) |H|^{r+s-1} \int_{[\mathcal{M}(a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma.$$

Now, summing over  $K$  and regrouping according to the value of the cardinality of  $|H|$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{\text{sm}}(a, \mathbf{w}) &= |\mathbf{w}|^{2g} \sum_K \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) |H|^{r+s-1} \left( \int_{[\mathcal{M}(a/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \right) T_{K^\perp} \\ &= |\mathbf{w}|^{2g} \sum_{\delta || \mathbf{w}|^2} \delta^{r+s-1} \left( \int_{[\mathcal{M}(a/\delta)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \right) \sum_{\substack{H \supset K \\ |H|=\delta}} \mu(H/K) T_{K^\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $E_H$  is an elliptic curve just as  $E$ , they have the same GW invariants, thus depending only on the degree since the insertions are always identified. We denote by

$$\mathbf{GW}^{\text{sm}}(a) = \int_{[\mathcal{M}(a)]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma^{\text{sm}},0} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma.$$

Passing to the orthogonal with respect the Weil pairing, the inner sum is a sum over the  $K^\perp \supset H^\perp$  with  $H^\perp$  of index  $\delta$ . Furthermore,  $\mu(K^\perp/H^\perp) = \mu(H/K)$  since  $K^\perp/H^\perp$  is actually isomorphic to the dual  $\widehat{H/K}$ , itself isomorphic (non canonically) to  $H/K$ . We thus recognize the group counting function  $\mathbf{F}(\delta, |\mathbf{w}|)$  from Section 2.3. In the end, we get

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{sm}}(a, \mathbf{w}) = |\mathbf{w}|^{2g} \sum_{\delta || \mathbf{w}|^2} \delta^{r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}^{\text{sm}}(a/\delta) \mathbf{F}(\delta, |\mathbf{w}|).$$

• **Step 3bis: reducing to invariants for coverings of  $E$  for  $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma,\mathbb{D}}(a, \mathbf{w})$ .** We now take a general  $\Gamma$ . Using that the virtual class  $[\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}$  is the Gysin pull-back of the virtual class of  $\prod_v \mathcal{M}_{v,K_v}(a_v)$  along the twisted diagonal  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}$ , after push-forward to the product

and using the fact that the twisted diagonals  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}$  are all cobordant we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\Gamma,D}(a, \mathbf{w}) &= \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{K,\varphi} \left( \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma,K,\varphi}(E,\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \alpha_{\Gamma,D} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \right) T_{\varphi^\top(D)} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{K,\varphi} \left( \int_{[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E,\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,\varphi} \cup \alpha_{\Gamma,D} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \right) T_{\varphi^\top(D)} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_K \left( \int_{[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma,K}(E,\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \Delta_{K,0} \cup \alpha_{\Gamma,D} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \right) \sum_{\varphi} T_{\varphi^\top(D)}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last row we used that the class  $\Delta_{K,\varphi}$  does not depend on  $\varphi$ , and we can thus factor the integral out of the sum over  $\varphi$ . We can then use Proposition 5.8 to express the contribution in terms of invariants for the coverings of  $E$ . We then group them according to the cardinality of  $|H|$  as in the smooth case:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{C}_{\Gamma,D}(a, \mathbf{w}) \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_K \sum_{H \supset K} \mu(H/K) \frac{|H|^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1}}{|K|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \left( \int_{[\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{a}/|H|)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \tilde{\Delta}_{H,0} \cup \alpha_{\Gamma,D} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma \right) \sum_{\varphi} T_{\varphi^\top(D)} \\ &= \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\delta || \mathbf{w}|^2} \delta^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma,D}(\mathbf{a}/\delta) \cdot \frac{1}{|K|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\substack{H \supset K, \varphi \\ |H|=\delta}} \mu(H/K) T_{\varphi^\top(D)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma,D}(\mathbf{a}) = \int_{[\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{a})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^* \Delta_0 \cup \alpha_{\Gamma,D} \cup \text{ev}^* \gamma,$$

with  $\Delta_0$  the usual diagonal.

Since when taking the sum over  $\varphi$  the summand only depends on  $\varphi^\top(D)$  we can compute reasoning as follows.

Instead of fixing  $\varphi$ , we can equivalently fix  $\varphi^\top: H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|}) \rightarrow \widehat{K} \simeq \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp$ . If  $\omega$  is the order of  $D$ , we can write  $D = \frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{\omega} \mathbf{T}$  for a primitive tropical torsion divisor  $\mathbf{T}$  and complete  $\mathbf{T}$  to a basis of the tropical torsion divisors  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|})$ .

Then, though we are summing over choices of morphism  $\varphi^\top: \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|}^{b_1(\Gamma)} \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp$ , only the choice of the image of the first basis vector  $\mathbf{T}$  matters, not the image of other basis vectors, since they do not affect the value at  $D$ . Since there are  $|K|$  morphisms  $\mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|} \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp$  we get:

$$\frac{1}{|K|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\substack{H \supset K, \varphi \\ |H|=\delta}} \mu(H/K) T_{\varphi^\top(D)} = \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{\substack{H \supset K \\ |H|=\delta}} \mu(H/K) \sum_{\psi: \mathbb{Z}_{|\mathbf{w}|} \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)/K^\perp} T_{q^{-1}(\psi(|\mathbf{w}|/\omega))},$$

where  $q$  denotes the projection  $\text{Alb}(E)[|\mathbf{w}|] \rightarrow \text{Alb}(E)[|\mathbf{w}|]/K^\perp$ .

As in the smooth case, the first sum can be viewed as a sum over  $K^\perp \supset H^\perp$  with  $H^\perp$  of index  $\delta$ . We thus recognize the second group counting function  $\mathbf{G}_\omega(\delta, |\mathbf{w}|)$  from Section 2.3.4 with  $\omega$  the order of the torsion tropical divisor  $D$ .

In the end, we get

$$\mathbb{C}_{\Gamma,D}(a, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^{2g}}{|\mathbf{w}|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\delta || \mathbf{w}|^2} \delta^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma,D}(\mathbf{a}/\delta) \cdot \mathbf{G}_\omega(\delta, |\mathbf{w}|).$$

• **Step 4: Reducing to MCF for counts of subgroups.** From the expression found in the previous step, we have

$$\text{Prim}_{|w|} \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(a, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{|w|^{2g}}{|w|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{\delta ||w|^2} \delta^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{a}/\delta) \text{Prim}_{|w|} \mathbf{G}_\omega(\delta, |w|).$$

Since to have non zero invariants the sum of the degrees of the insertions should match the virtual dimension of the moduli of stable maps to  $E$ , we deduce that

$$n + 2g - 2 = g + \deg \alpha + 2r \cdot \frac{1}{2} + s,$$

where the term on the right-hand-side correspond respectively to: the virtual codimension of the DR-cycle, the insertions of  $2r$  1-cycles and  $s$  point constraints. Therefore, the advertised exponent of the formula is also

$$3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha = 3g - 3 + (r + s - g + 2) = 2g + r + s - 1.$$

Therefore, we can now compute the right-side of the expected MCF:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k|\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} k^{2g+r+s-1} \text{Prim}_{|w|/k} \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(a/k, \mathbf{w}/k) \\ &= \sum_{k|\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} k^{2g+r+s-1} \left( \frac{|w|}{k} \right)^{2g-b_1(\Gamma)} \sum_{\delta | (\frac{|w|}{k})^2} \delta^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{a}/k\delta) \text{Prim}_{|w|/k} \mathbf{G}_\omega(\delta, \frac{|w|}{k}) \\ &= \frac{|w|^{2g}}{|w|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{k|\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}} \sum_{\delta | (\frac{|w|}{k})^2} (k\delta)^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{a}/k\delta) \text{Prim}_{|w|/k} \mathbf{G}_\omega(\delta, \frac{|w|}{k}) \\ &= \frac{|w|^{2g}}{|w|^{b_1(\Gamma)}} \sum_{d||w|^2} d^{b_1(\Gamma)+r+s-1} \mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{a}/d) \sum_{k|d, \frac{|w|^2}{d}, \mathbf{w}} \text{Prim}_{|w|/k} \mathbf{G}_\omega(\frac{d}{k}, \frac{|w|}{k}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last row we set  $d = k\delta$ . It is subject to the conditions  $d||w|^2$ .

Then  $k$  has to satisfy the following:  $k|d, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \frac{|w|^2}{d}$ . Notice that we need to have  $d|\mathbf{a}$  as well for  $\mathbf{GW}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{a}/d)$  to be non-zero so that the condition  $k|\mathbf{a}$  is redundant. The condition  $k|d, \mathbf{w}, \frac{|w|^2}{d}$  may be seen as  $k|(d, \mathbf{w})$  and still having  $\frac{d}{k} | (\frac{|w|}{k})^2$ , which is equivalent to  $k|\frac{|w|^2}{d}$ .

As the function  $\mathbf{G}_\omega(\delta, n)$  satisfies the 0-MCF, the inner sum is actually  $\mathbf{G}_\omega(d, |w|)$ , so that we recover the expression of  $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, \mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 5.16.* Going over the same steps but applying the forgetful map to  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  instead of capping with a class  $\text{ft}^* \alpha$ , it was pointed out to us by G. Oberdieck that it should be possible to prove a cycle version of the multiple cover formula taking place in  $\mathcal{H}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  considering the cycles  $\text{ft}_*(\mathbf{DR}_{g,n}(a, \mathbf{w}) \cap \text{ev}^* \gamma)$ . We leave this adaptation to the interested reader.  $\blacklozenge$

## 6. GENERAL MULTIPLE COVER FORMULA

**6.1. Multiple cover formula for abelian surfaces.** We consider the families of elliptically fibered abelian surface  $A(u)$  constructed in Section 3.1.2 with their realizable curve class  $\beta(u)$ . Let  $\alpha \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Z})$  insertions that can be: points, 1-cycles in the fiber  $E$  of the elliptic fibration or the  $E$  fiber itself.

Let us consider the Gromov-Witten invariant:

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta(u)} = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A(u), \beta(u))]^{\text{red}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma_i),$$

where  $\text{ft}: \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(A(u), \beta(u)) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  is the morphism forgetting the map and stabilizing. We notice that since there are no non constant maps from a rational curve to an abelian surface, if there is a rational component in the source curve this is contracted and thus already stable.

**Theorem 6.1.** *The reduced GW invariants satisfy the multiple cover formula*

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta} = \sum_{k|\beta} k^{3g-3+n-\deg \alpha} \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \widetilde{\beta}/k}$$

where  $\widetilde{\beta}/k$  is a primitive class having the same self-intersection as  $\beta/k$ .

Recall  $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of diagram degrees. The proof follows the exact same steps as in the case of point insertions and points insertion together with a  $\lambda$ -class, namely:

- Reformulate the above MCF as the MCF for the following group algebra valued function  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[E]$ , encompassing the value of the above GW-invariants for every possible monodromy  $u$  and defined by:

$$\mathbf{GW}_g(\alpha, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)(B) = \sum_{|B|u \equiv 0} \langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta(u)} \cdot (u);$$

- The reduced degeneration formula of Corollary 3.2.2 allows us to write the function  $\mathbf{GW}_g(\alpha, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  (and thus its  $(u)$ -coefficient giving the invariant) as the push-forward of a (correlated) multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}$  defined on the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module  $\mathcal{D}$  of generalized pearls diagram defined below. Coupled to Lemma 6.2 below, we get a concrete expression using the correlated DR cycle.
- Using Lemma 2.12 and Lemma 2.23, we show that the multiplicity function  $\mathbf{m}$  satisfies the  $(3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha)$ -MCF by restricting to the orbit of a given primitive diagram, and conclude by Proposition 2.22 (push-forward of a function).

We take for each choice of monodromy  $u$  the 1-parameter semi-stable degeneration  $A(u) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  constructed in Section 3.1.2, with  $A_0(u)$  having  $n$  irreducible components and the geometric constraints  $\gamma_i$  are distributed one per irreducible component of  $A_0(u)$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\phi} \Sigma_0$  be a degeneration graph and assume it appears with non-zero multiplicity in the degeneration formula. Let  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  be a vertex without marking:  $m_v = 0$ . Then:*

- (1) *We have  $g_v = 0$ , forcing  $a_v = 0$ , and  $n_v = 2$ , i.e. the curve class in  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is a multiple of  $[\mathbb{P}^1]$ .*
- (2) *Furthermore in this case, the invariant can be explicitly computed: there are only two possibility for the Poincaré insertions, either one is a point class and the other is trivial, or they are both 1-cycles from  $E$ . In both cases we have*

$$\langle \langle \text{pt}_w, 1_{-w} \rangle \rangle_{0,0,(w,-w)}^w = \langle \langle \alpha_w, \beta_{-w} \rangle \rangle_{0,0,(w,-w)}^w = \frac{1}{w} \cdot (0).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $m_v = 0$  so that we have  $\mathcal{M}_v := \mathcal{M}_{g_v}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1 | E_0 + E_\infty, a_v, (w_h)_{h \vdash v})$ . Then, its contribution comes from

$$\deg([\mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{vir}} \cap \text{ev}^*(\Delta_{e_0}^{\text{red}} \cup \alpha)),$$

where  $\alpha$  is pulled back from the moduli of curves. Unless  $g_v = 0$  and  $v$  is bivalent we can consider the natural map  $\mathcal{M}_v \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathcal{M}_{g_v}^\sim$  to the moduli space of rubber stable maps. The insertion we are considering are pulled back from  $\mathcal{M}_{g_v}^\sim$  since both boundary evaluations and the forgetful map to the moduli space of curves factor through the latter.

Since the map  $\mathcal{M}_v \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_v^\sim$  has one dimensional fibers (and also the rank of the virtual classes differ by one) we have that

$$\pi_{1,*}[\mathcal{M}_v]^{\text{vir}} = 0.$$

In the remaining case, the explicit computation can be found for example in [Blo25a, Proposition 3.17]. □

*Remark 6.3.* A generalization of this argument also appears in [RK24, Section 2.3] where the authors study for more general toric geometries how to relate logarithmic Gromov-Witten invariants relative the toric boundary to toric contact cycles, a.k.a. higher rank DR loci. ◆

A generalized pearl diagram  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a pearl diagram as in Definition 4.10 with two modifications:

- (a) there is only one kind of vertex called pearl;
- (b) there is no condition (4) on the complement of marked genus 0 components.

**Definition 6.4.** A generalized pearl diagram is a graph  $\mathfrak{D}$  together with the following decorations:

- (1) The vertices called *pearls* carry a label  $a_v \geq 0$  and a genus  $g_v \geq 0$ .
- (2) There is a map  $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  for  $\Sigma_0$  the oriented cycle graph with  $n$  vertices; the map is 1-to-1 on vertices and compatible with the orientation.
- (3) The edges are decorated with weight  $w_e$ , such that  $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  is balanced.

The genus of a generalized pearl diagram is  $g(\mathfrak{D}) = \sum g_v + b_1(\mathfrak{D})$ . Its degree is, precisely as before, a *diagram degree*  $B = (|B|, a) \in \mathcal{B}$  where  $a = \sum a_v$  and  $|B|$  is the degree of the tropical cover.

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -module of generalized pearl diagrams. The  $\mathbb{N}^*$ -action is given by scaling edge weights and vertex labels. The norm is induced by the degree map  $\deg: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , namely  $|\mathfrak{D}| = |B|$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{w}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{w}_v$ ) the collection of weights of edges of  $\mathfrak{D}$  (resp. only edges adjacent to  $v$ ) and  $|\mathbf{w}|$  (resp.  $|\mathbf{w}_v|$ ) their gcd.

*Remark 6.5.* For generalized pearl diagrams we do not distinguish flat vertices from pearls. Notice that all the vertices of a pearl diagram carry an interior marking. In particular, there are  $n$  vertices in total. ◆

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a generalized pearl diagram. If  $E_b$  denotes the set of edges in  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $n_v$  is the number of flags rooted at  $v$ , then we have:*

$$|V| - |E_b| = n - |E_b| = 1 - b_1(\mathfrak{D}), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_v n_v = 2|E_b|.$$

*Proof.* The first identity is an Euler characteristic computation and the second counting the number of half-edges of  $\mathfrak{D}$  (i.e. flags). □

By the Lemma 6.2, given a degeneration graph with non zero multiplicity, this can transformed in a generalized pearl diagram by simply deleting any bivalent genus zero vertex not carrying an interior marking. When deleting such a vertex, the two adjacent edges merge and the contribution coming from  $\prod w_e$  compensates the deletion of the vertex due to its



contribution being  $\frac{1}{w}$  by Lemma 6.2: we indeed have  $w^2 \cdot \frac{1}{w} = w$ , as if there was only one edge.

**Definition 6.7.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a generalized pearl diagram. Let  $e_0$  be an edge and  $\Delta_{e_0}^{\text{red}}$  the reduced diagonal. Künneth decomposition states that  $\Delta_{e_0}^{\text{red}} = \sum_P \otimes_v \Delta_v(P)$ , where the sum is over the possible Poincaré insertions at every half-edge, and  $\Delta_v(P)$  are the insertions adjacent to  $v$ . The multiplicity of a genus  $g$  generalized pearl diagram is defined by:

$$\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_P \frac{\prod_e w_e}{|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})|} \frac{|\mathcal{D}|^2}{w_{e_0}^2} \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|/|w|} \right] \left( \prod_v \mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|w_v|}{|w|} \right] \text{Mult}_{\mathcal{D},P}(v) \right).$$

where

$$\text{Mult}_{\mathcal{D},P}(v) = \mathbf{DR}(a_v, w_v) \cap (\text{ev}_i^* \bar{\gamma}_i \cup \alpha_v \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_v(P)) \in \mathcal{G}_{|w_v|},$$

and we used the following notations:

- (a) the sum is over the Poincaré insertions  $P$  coming from the reduced diagonal and  $\Delta_v(P)$ ;
- (b) the class  $\alpha_v$  is simply the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{M}_v$ ;
- (c) the product is over all vertices  $v$  carrying a marked point;
- (d)  $\bar{\gamma}_i$  is the pushforward of  $\gamma_i$  along the projection  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} E$  and  $\text{ev}_i, \text{ev}$  denotes the factorization of the evaluation through the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{g_v, n_v+1}(E, a_v)$ ;
- (e) the vertex multiplicity is the level  $|w_v|$  correlated refinement of the correlated double ramification cycle considered in Theorem 5.15.

*Remark 6.8.* Of course, only the Poincaré insertions yielding the right dimension count at each vertex yield a non-zero summand. In the cases of point insertions, there was only one.  $\blacklozenge$

**Proposition 6.9.** *The reduced Gromov-Witten invariant  $\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta(u)}$  is the sum over genus  $g$  degree  $B$  generalized pearl diagrams  $(u)$ -coefficient of  $\mathbf{m}(\mathcal{D})$ :*

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta(u)} = \sum_{\mathcal{D}} \text{Coeff}_{(u)} \mathbf{m}(\mathcal{D}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is a direct application of the reduced degeneration formula Corollary 3.2.2 observing that since every vertex  $v \in V(\mathcal{D})$  carries exactly one interior marking  $i$ , we can project to  $E$  and reduce the computation to capping with the correlated DR-cycle (see for example [MP06]): for a choice of Poincaré insertion of the diagonal  $P$ ,

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_v]^\delta} \prod \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i \cup \alpha_v \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_v(P) = \mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|w_v|}{|w|} \right] \mathbf{DR}(a_v, w_v) \cap (\text{ev}_i^* \bar{\gamma}_i \cup \alpha_v \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_v(P)),$$

where the operator  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|w_v|}{|w|} \right]$  goes from correlation at level  $|w_v|$  to  $|w|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.10.** *The multiplicity  $\mathbf{m}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  satisfies the  $(3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha)$ -MCF.*

*Proof.* • **Step 1: restriction to primitive diagrams and expression for multiplicity.** As per usual, we restrict to the orbit of a primitive generalized pearl diagram  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ . From the explicit expression of the multiplicity we have, the scaling operates as follows:

$$\mathbf{m}(\delta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}) = \sum_P \frac{\prod_e \delta w_e}{|\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})|} \frac{|\delta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}|^2}{(\delta w_{e_0})^2} \mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\delta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}|/|\delta w|} \right] \left( \prod_v \mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|\delta w_v|}{|\delta w|} \right] \text{Mult}_{\delta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, P}(v) \right),$$

where

$$\text{Mult}_{\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}},P}(v) = \mathbf{DR}(\delta a_v, \delta \mathbf{w}_v) \cap (\text{ev}_i^* \tilde{\gamma}_i \cup \alpha_v \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_v(P)) \in \mathcal{G}_{\delta|\mathbf{w}_v|}.$$

• **Step 2: invariance by multiplication by  $T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}$ .** A necessary condition for the function  $\delta \mapsto \mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}})$  to satisfy the MCF is to be invariant by multiplication by  $T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}$  (See Remark 2.20). By [BC25b, Theorem 3.11], the correlated DR cycle  $\mathbf{DR}(\delta a_v, \delta \mathbf{w}_v)$  is invariant under multiplication by  $T_{\frac{\delta|\mathbf{w}_v|}{\gcd(\delta a_v, \delta|\mathbf{w}_v|)}} = T_{\frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{\gcd(a_v, |\mathbf{w}_v|)}}$ . Applying  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{|\mathbf{w}|} \right]$ , and using that

$$\frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{\gcd(a_v, |\mathbf{w}_v|) \gcd\left(\frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{\gcd(a_v, |\mathbf{w}_v|)}, \frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{|\mathbf{w}|}\right)} = \frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{\gcd(a_v, |\mathbf{w}|)},$$

we deduce that  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{|\mathbf{w}|} \right] (\text{Mult}_{\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}},P}(v))$  is invariant by multiplication by  $T_{|\mathbf{w}|/\gcd(a_v, |\mathbf{w}|)}$ . The product over vertices is therefore invariant by multiplication by  $T_{|\mathbf{w}|/\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{D}})} = T_{|\mathbf{w}|}$ , where  $\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}) = \gcd((a_v)_v, |\mathbf{w}|) = 1$  since  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$  is primitive. Finally applying  $\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|/|\mathbf{w}|} \right]$ , we conclude that  $\mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}})$  is invariant by multiplication by  $T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}$  as required.

• **Step 3: multiple cover formula.** To check the multiple cover formula, using that  $\mathbf{m}(\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}})$  is invariant by multiplication by  $T_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}$ , we may apply  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ |\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}| \right]$  and, thanks to Lemma 2.19, check the MCF for a diagonal type function  $\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  instead. As  $|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}| = \frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}{|\mathbf{w}|} |\mathbf{w}|$ , we can split the multiplication into two steps: first, a  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|}{|\mathbf{w}|} \right]$  which cancels the  $\mathfrak{d} \left[ \frac{1}{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|/|\mathbf{w}|} \right]$ , and then a  $\mathfrak{m} [|\mathbf{w}|]$  which is composed with  $\mathfrak{m} \left[ \frac{|\mathbf{w}_v|}{|\mathbf{w}|} \right]$  at each vertex, so that we have

$$\mathfrak{m} \left[ |\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}| \right] \mathbf{m}(\mathfrak{D}) = \sum_P \frac{\prod_e \delta w_e}{|\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{D}})|} \frac{|\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}|^2}{w_{e_0}^2} \prod_v \mathfrak{m} [|\mathbf{w}_v|] \text{Mult}_{\delta\tilde{\mathfrak{D}},P}(v).$$

We know that the function

$$(a, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathbf{DR}(a, \mathbf{w}) \cap (\text{ev}_i^* \tilde{\gamma}_i \cup \alpha_v \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_v(P)) \in \mathcal{G}_{|\mathbf{w}_v|} \subset \mathcal{G},$$

satisfies the MCF by Theorem 5.14. Therefore, by Lemma 2.23, restricting to the suborbit of  $(a_v, \mathbf{w}_v)$  (which may not be primitive), we deduce that the following function also satisfies the MCF with the same exponent:

$$\delta \mapsto \mathfrak{m} [|\mathbf{w}_v|] \mathbf{DR}(\delta a_v, \delta \mathbf{w}_v) \cap (\text{ev}_i^* \tilde{\gamma}_i \cup \alpha_v \cup \text{ev}^* \Delta_v(P)).$$

Using Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12 we can then conclude that  $\mathbf{m}$ , as a product of a monomial by functions also satisfying the MCF, satisfies the MCF as well.

• **Step 4: exponent computation.** To conclude, knowing that the exponent of the MCF at the vertex  $v$  is  $3g_v - 3 + (n_v + 1) - \deg \alpha_v$ , and noticing there is a  $|E_b|$  power coming from  $\prod \delta w_e$ , we compute the exponent of the MCF for  $\mathbf{m}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |E_b| + \sum_v (3g_v - 3 + (n_v + 1) - \deg \alpha_v) &= |E_b| + 3(g - b_1(\mathfrak{D})) - 3n + 2|E_b| + n - \deg \alpha \\ &= 3(g - b_1(\mathfrak{D})) + 3|E_b| - 3n + n - \deg \alpha \\ &= 3(g - b_1(\mathfrak{D})) + 3(b_1(\mathfrak{D}) - 1) + n - \deg \alpha \\ &= 3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The equalities are simply obtained using the combinatorial properties of generalized pearl diagram remarked in Lemma 6.6 and the fact that  $\sum \deg \alpha_v$  must be equal to  $\deg(\alpha)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* Since the function

$$\mathbf{GW}_g(B)(\alpha, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Q}[F]$$

is the pushforward along  $\deg: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbf{m}$ , by Proposition 2.22, it also satisfied the  $(3g - 3 + n - \deg \alpha)$ -MCF.

To conclude we only need to argue that the MCF for the function above is equivalent to the MCF for the reduced invariants. This is a word by word repetition of the argument given in Theorem 4.9 simply replacing  $\mathbf{GW}_g(B)(\alpha, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  and  $\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g, \beta(u)}$  to  $\mathbf{N}_g(B)$  and  $N_{g, \beta(u)}$  respectively.  $\square$

**6.2. Multiple cover formula in  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .** Proceeding along the same lines, using the correlated decomposition formula instead of the reduced degeneration formula, we obtain a general multiple cover formula for relative GW invariants of  $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

If  $(a, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{R}$  is a ramification profile,  $\alpha \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_{g, n+m}, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H^*(E, \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \in H^*(E \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q})$  are cycles insertions different from  $[\mathbb{P}^1]$ , we consider the following correlated GW invariants:

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \rangle_{g, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|w|, \theta} = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{g, m}(E \times \mathbb{P}^1 | D, a, \mathbf{w})]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ft}^* \alpha \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i \prod_{j=1}^m \text{ev}_j^* \eta_j,$$

where  $\theta$  is a choice of correlator.

**Theorem 6.11.** *The correlated GW invariants satisfy the  $(3g - 3 + n + m - \deg \alpha)$ -MCF:*

$$\langle \alpha; \gamma; \eta \rangle_{g, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|w|, \theta} = \sum_{k|a, \mathbf{w}, \theta} k^{3g-3+n+m-\deg \alpha} \langle \alpha; \gamma; \eta \rangle_{g, a/k, \mathbf{w}/k}^{|w/k|, \text{prim}},$$

where  $k|\theta$  means that  $\theta$  is of  $(\mathbf{w}/k)$ -torsion.

*Proof.* We only sketch the proof as it is almost a verbatim repetition of the previous MCF proofs.

- The above MCF is equivalent to the MCF for the group algebra valued function given by the total correlated invariant

$$(a, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto \langle \langle \alpha; \gamma; \eta \rangle \rangle_{g, a, \mathbf{w}}^{|w|}$$

- Using the correlated degeneration formula from [BC25b], the above function expresses as the push-forward of a multiplicity function on the set of generalized floor diagrams by the degree function.
- Up to the choice of Poincaré insertions, each floor diagram comes with a multiplicity given as the product of a monomial times a product of vertex contributions given by capping with a correlated DR-cycle.
- Using the MCF for DR-cycles (Theorem 5.15) and Lemmas 2.12, 2.11 and 2.23, we deduce that the multiplicity function satisfies the MCF-formula.

$\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AC14] Dan Abramovich and Qile Chen. Stable logarithmic maps to Deligne-Faltings pairs II. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 18(3):465–488, 2014.
- [ACGS20] Dan Abramovich, Qile Chen, Mark Gross, and Bernd Siebert. Decomposition of degenerate Gromov–Witten invariants. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(10), 2020.
- [AMW14] Dan Abramovich, Steffen Marcus, and Jonathan Wise. Comparison theorems for Gromov–Witten invariants of smooth pairs and of degenerations. In *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, volume 64, pages 1611–1667, 2014.
- [BB21] Younghan Bae and Tim-Henrik Buelles. Curves on K3 surfaces in divisibility 2. In *Forum of mathematics, Sigma*, volume 9. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [BC25a] Thomas Blomme and Francesca Carocci. Correlated double ramification cycle formula. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.24721*, 2025.
- [BC25b] Thomas Blomme and Francesca Carocci. Correlated Gromov-Witten invariants. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 21:046, 2025.
- [BF97] Kai Behrend and Barbara Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.
- [BHP<sup>+</sup>23] Younghan Bae, David Holmes, Rahul Pandharipande, Johannes Schmitt, and Rosa Schwarz. Pixton’s formula and Abel-Jacobi theory on the Picard stack. *Acta Mathematica*, 230(2):205–319, 2023.
- [BL99] Jim Bryan and Naichung Conan Leung. Generating functions for the number of curves on abelian surfaces. *Duke mathematical journal*, 99(2):311–328, 1999.
- [BL00] Jim Bryan and Naichung Leung. The enumerative geometry of K3 surfaces and modular forms. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 13(2):371–410, 2000.
- [Blo72] Spencer Bloch. Semi-regularity and de Rham cohomology. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 17(1):51–66, 1972.
- [Blo23] Thomas Blomme. Floor diagrams and enumerative invariants of line bundles over an elliptic curve. *Compositio Mathematica*, 159(8):1741–1790, 2023.
- [Blo24] Thomas Blomme. Tropical curves in abelian surfaces I: enumeration of curves passing through points. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 177, pages 109–148. Cambridge University Press, 2024.
- [Blo25a] Thomas Blomme. Gromov–Witten invariants of bielliptic surfaces. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 111(2):e70081, 2025.
- [Blo25b] Thomas Blomme. Multiple cover formulas for complex and refined invariants in abelian surfaces. *Algebraic Geometry*, 12(3), 2025.
- [Blo25c] Thomas Blomme. A short proof of the multiple cover formula for point insertions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.01274*, 2025.
- [BOPY18] Jim Bryan, Georg Oberdieck, Rahul Pandharipande, and Qizheng Yin. Curve counting on abelian surfaces and threefolds. *Algebraic Geometry*, 5(4):398–463, 2018.
- [Bou19] Pierrick Bousseau. Tropical refined curve counting from higher genera and lambda classes. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 215(1):1–79, 2019.
- [Bou21] Pierrick Bousseau. Refined floor diagrams from higher genera and lambda classes. *Selecta Mathematica*, 27(3):43, 2021.
- [Bou23] Pierrick Bousseau. A proof of N. Takahashi’s conjecture for  $(\mathfrak{l}^2, e)$  and a refined sheaves/Gromov–Witten correspondence. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 172(15):2895–2955, 2023.
- [CCUW20] Renzo Cavalieri, Melody Chan, Martin Ulirsch, and Jonathan Wise. A moduli stack of tropical curves. In *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, volume 8, page e23. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [Che13] Qile Chen. The degeneration formula for logarithmic expanded degenerations. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 23(2):341–392, 2013.
- [CKL17] Huai-Liang Chang, Young-Hoon Kiem, and Jun Li. Torus localization and wall crossing for cosection localized virtual cycles. *Advances in Mathematics*, 308:964–986, 2017.
- [GH14] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [Gro11] Mark Gross. *Tropical geometry and mirror symmetry*. Number 114. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [GS13] Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert. Logarithmic Gromov–Witten invariants. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(2):451–510, 2013.

- [HO23] David Holmes and Giulio Orecchia. Logarithmic moduli of roots of line bundles on curves. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 41(3):577–602, 2023.
- [JPPZ20] Felix Janda, Rahul Pandharipande, Aaron Pixton, and Dimitri Zvonkine. Double ramification cycles with target varieties. *Journal of Topology*, 13(4):1725–1766, 2020.
- [Kat00] Fumiharu Kato. Log smooth deformation and moduli of log smooth curves. *Internat. J. Math.*, 11(2):215–232, 2000.
- [Kim10] Bumsig Kim. Logarithmic stable maps. In *New developments in algebraic geometry, integrable systems and mirror symmetry*, pages 167–200, 2010.
- [KKN08] Takeshi Kajiwara, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama. Logarithmic abelian varieties. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 189:63–138, 2008.
- [KKV99] Sheldon Katz, Albrecht Klemm, and Cumrun Vafa. M-theory, topological strings and spinning black holes. *arXiv preprint hep-th/9910181*, 1999.
- [KL13] Young-Hoon Kiem and Jun Li. Localizing virtual cycles by cosections. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(4):1025–1050, 2013.
- [KLR18] Bumsig Kim, Hyenho Lho, and Helge Ruddat. The degeneration formula for stable log maps. *manuscripta mathematica*, pages 1–45, 2018.
- [KMPS10] Albrecht Klemm, Daves Maulik, Rahul Pandharipande, and Emanuel Scheidegger. Noether-Lefschetz theory and the Yau-Zaslow conjecture. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(4):1013–1040, 2010.
- [KT14] M Kool and Richard Thomas. Reduced classes and curve counting on surfaces I: theory. *Algebraic Geometry*, 1(3):334–383, 2014.
- [Li01] Jun Li. Stable morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 57(3):509–578, 2001.
- [Li02] Jun Li. A degeneration formula of GW-invariants. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 60(2):199–293, 2002.
- [Man07] Marco Manetti. Lie description of higher obstructions to deforming submanifolds. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 6(4):631–659, 2007.
- [Man12] Cristina Manolache. Virtual pull-backs. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 21(2):201–245, 2012.
- [MP06] Daves Maulik and Rahul Pandharipande. A topological view of Gromov–Witten theory. *Topology*, 45(5):887–918, 2006.
- [MPT10] Daves Maulik, Rahul Pandharipande, and Richard P Thomas. Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms. *Journal of Topology*, 3(4):937–996, 2010.
- [MT18] Daves Maulik and Yukinobu Toda. Gopakumar–vafa invariants via vanishing cycles. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 213(3):1017–1097, 2018.
- [MW22] Samouil Molcho and Jonathan Wise. The logarithmic Picard group and its tropicalization. *Compositio Mathematica*, 158(7):1477–1562, 2022.
- [Nis20] Takeo Nishinou. Realization of tropical curves in abelian surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.16148*, 2020.
- [Obe22] Georg Oberdieck. Gromov–Witten theory and Noether–Lefschetz theory for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. In *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, volume 10. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [Ogu18] Arthur Ogu. *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, volume 178. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [Ols03] Martin C Olsson. Logarithmic geometry and algebraic stacks. In *Annales scientifiques de l’Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 36, pages 747–791, 2003.
- [Ols05] Martin C Olsson. The logarithmic cotangent complex. *Mathematische Annalen*, 333(4):859–931, 2005.
- [OP16] Georg Oberdieck and Rahul Pandharipande. Curve counting on  $K3 \times E$ , the Igusa cusp form  $\chi_{10}$ , and descendent integration. In *K3 surfaces and their moduli*, pages 245–278. Springer, 2016.
- [Per77] Ulf Persson. *On degenerations of algebraic surfaces*, volume 189. American Mathematical Soc., 1977.
- [PT10] Rahul Pandharipande and Richard Thomas. Stable pairs and bps invariants. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(1):267–297, 2010.
- [PT16] Rahul Pandharipande and Richard P Thomas. The Katz–Klemm–Vafa conjecture for surfaces. In *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, volume 4. Cambridge University Press, 2016.

- [Ran99] Ziv Ran. Semiregularity, obstructions and deformations of Hodge classes. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze*, 28(4):809–820, 1999.
- [Ran22] Dhruv Ranganathan. Logarithmic Gromov-Witten theory with expansions. *Algebraic Geometry*, 9(6), 2022.
- [RK24] Dhruv Ranganathan and Ajith Urundolil Kumaran. Logarithmic Gromov–Witten theory and double ramification cycles. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, (0), 2024.
- [Rot64] Gian-Carlo Rota. On the foundations of combinatorial theory: I. theory of Möbius functions. In *Classic Papers in Combinatorics*, pages 332–360. Springer, 1964.
- [Sta25] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2025.
- [Tak96] Nobuyoshi Takahashi. Curves in the complement of a smooth plane cubic whose normalizations are  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . *arXiv preprint alg-geom/9605007*, 1996.
- [Tak01] Nobuyoshi Takahashi. Log mirror symmetry and local mirror symmetry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 220(2):293–299, 2001.
- [Wei35] Louis Weisner. Abstract theory of inversion of finite series. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 38(3):474–484, 1935.

UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL, RUE ÉMILE ARGAN 11, NEUCHÂTEL 2000, SUISSE  
 Email address: [thomas.blomme@unine.ch](mailto:thomas.blomme@unine.ch)

UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA TOR VERGATA, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA 1, ROMA 00133, ITALY  
 Email address: [carocci@mat.uniroma2.it](mailto:carocci@mat.uniroma2.it)