

# A tight example for approximation ratio 5 for covering small cuts by the primal-dual method

Zeev Nutov 

The Open University of Israel

## Abstract

In the SMALL CUTS COVER problem we seek to cover by a min-cost edge-set the set family of cuts of size/capacity  $< k$  of a graph. Recently, Simmons [5] showed that the primal-dual algorithm of Williamson, Goemans, Mihail, and Vazirani [7] achieves approximation ratio 5 for this problem, and asked whether this bound is tight. We will answer this question positively, by providing an example in which the ratio between the solution produced by the primal-dual algorithm and the optimum is arbitrarily close to 5.

**2012 ACM Subject Classification** Theory of computation  $\rightarrow$  Design and analysis of algorithms

**Keywords and phrases** primal dual approximation algorithm, small cuts cover problem, tight example

**Digital Object Identifier** 10.4230/LIPIcs...

## 1 Introduction

For an edge set or a graph  $J$  on node set  $V$  and  $S \subseteq V$  let  $\delta_J(S)$  denote the set of edges in  $J$  with exactly one edge in  $J$  and let  $d_J(S) = |\delta_J(S)|$  be their number. Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we call any proper node subset  $\emptyset \neq S \subset V$  a **cut**. An edge set  $J$  **covers**  $S$  if  $d_J(S) \geq 1$ . We consider the following problem.

### SMALL CUTS COVER

*Input:* A graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a set  $L$  of links (edges) on  $V$  with costs  $\{c_e : e \in L\}$ , and an integer  $k \geq 1$ .

*Output:* A min-cost link set  $J \subseteq L$  that covers the set-family  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset \neq S \subset V : d_G(S) < k\}$ .

Following the breakthrough achieved in [2], where it was shown that a constant approximation ratio can be achieved using the primal-dual algorithm of Williamson, Goemans, Mihail, and Vazirani [7], the performance of the primal-dual algorithm for this problem was studied in several other papers, c.f. [3, 1, 4, 6]. Recently, Simmons [5] showed that the primal-dual algorithm achieves approximation ratio 5 for this problem, and asked whether this bound is tight. We will answer this question positively, by providing an example in which the ratio between the solution produced by the primal-dual algorithm and the optimum is arbitrarily close to 5.

► **Theorem 1.** *For any integers  $k, q \geq 1$ , and  $p \geq 1$  such that  $k \geq 2q + 1$  and  $pq \leq k - 1$  there exists an instance of SMALL CUTS COVER on  $4p + 2$  nodes such that the primal-dual algorithm of [7] computes a solution of cost  $5p/(p + 2)$  if  $p$  is even and  $5p/(p + 3)$  if  $p$  is odd.*

## 2 The construction

We start by describing the WGMV algorithm for covering an arbitrary set family  $\mathcal{F}$ . An inclusion-minimal set in  $\mathcal{F}$  is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -**core**, or just a **core**, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is clear from the context. Consider the standard LP-relaxation (**P**) for the problem of covering the family  $\mathcal{F}$  and its



© Zeev Nutov;

licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

## XX:2 A tight example for 5-approximation for covering small cuts by the primal-dual method

dual program (D):

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \min & \sum_{e \in E} c_e x_e \\
 \text{(P)} \quad \text{s.t.} & \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e \geq 1 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{F} \\
 & x_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ll}
 \max & \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} y_S \\
 \text{(D)} \quad \text{s.t.} & \sum_{\delta(S) \ni e} y_S \leq c_e \quad \forall e \in E \\
 & y_S \geq 0 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{F}
 \end{array}$$

Given a solution  $y$  to (D), an edge  $e \in E$  is **tight** if the inequality of  $e$  in (D) holds with equality. The algorithm has two phases.

**Phase 1** starts with  $J = \emptyset$  and applies a sequence of iterations. At the beginning of an iteration, we compute the cores of the family of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  not yet covered by  $J$ . Then we raise the dual variables corresponding to these cores uniformly until some edge  $e \in E \setminus J$  becomes tight, and add all tight edges to  $J$ . Phase 1 terminates when  $J$  covers  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Phase 2** is a “reverse delete” phase, in which we process edges in the reverse order that they were added, and delete an edge  $e$  from  $J$  if  $J \setminus \{e\}$  still covers  $\mathcal{F}$ . At the end of the algorithm,  $J$  is output.

We now describe our construction in several steps. Consider the graph  $G$  in Fig. 1(a), where  $q$  is a parameter such that

$$k \geq 2q + 1. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset \neq S \subset V : d(S) < k\}$  be the family of small cuts of this graph. It is well known that the  $\mathcal{F}$ -cores are pairwise disjoint, c.f. [2]. Let  $C = \{s, v, b\}$  be the set that consists of the three gray nodes.

► **Lemma 2.** *The  $\mathcal{F}$ -cores of the graph in Fig. 1(a) are  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{r\}$  and  $C$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $d(a) = k - 1$ ,  $d(r) = k - 2$ , and  $d(C) = k - 1$ , hence the singleton sets  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{r\}$ , are  $\mathcal{F}$ -cores and  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ . To see that  $C$  is inclusion minimal note that

$$d(b) = k \quad d(v) = 2k - 2q \geq k + 1 \quad d(s) = 2k - 2q - 1 \geq k$$

$$d(\{b, v\}) = k \quad d(\{v, s\}) = 2k - 2q - 1 \geq k \quad d(\{b, s\}) = d(b) + d(s) \geq 2k$$


The inequalities are by (1). Since the  $\mathcal{F}$ -cores are pairwise disjoint, the only additional candidate is the set  $\{u\}$ . But  $d(u) = k$ , concluding the proof. ◀

Now consider the SMALL CUTS COVER instance in Fig. 1(b), where links in  $L$  are shown by dashed red edges. The cost of a link is the number of cores it connects, so the thick links  $ab, rv$  have cost 2 each, while the thin link  $uv$  has cost 1.

► **Lemma 3.**  *$L = \{ab, uv, rv\}$  is a unique feasible solution to the SMALL CUTS COVER instance in Fig. 1(b)*

**Proof.** The only cuts uncovered by  $L$  are  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{s\}$  and their complements, but  $d(\{a, b\}) = 2k - 2q + 1 \geq k$  and  $d(s) = 2k - 2q - 1 \geq k$ , hence  $L$  is feasible. To see that  $L$  is unique, it is sufficient to prove that no subset of  $L$  covers  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is so since each one of the sets  $\{a\}$ ,  $\{a, b, u\}$ ,  $\{r\}$  is covered by a unique link in  $L$ . ◀

If we run the primal-dual algorithm on the Fig. 1(b) instance, it will return the dual solution of value 3 ( $y_S = 1$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ) while the primal solution cost is 5. Hence we get primal-dual ratio  $5/3$ , which is not good enough.



■ **Figure 1** Illustration of the construction. The green edges are those of the input graph, and the numbers on green edges is the multiplicity/capacity of an edge. The red edges are links, where thick red edges have cost 2 and thin red edge has cost 1. (a) The graph  $G$  and its cores  $\{r\}$ ,  $\{a\}$ , and  $C = \{s, v, b\}$ . (b) The links of the instance. (c) The graph  $G^2$  that is obtained by ‘gluing’ two instances in (b) via the “axis”  $rs$ .

## XX:4 A tight example for 5-approximation for covering small cuts by the primal-dual method

Let  $p \geq 2$  be an integer parameter such that

$$pq \leq k - 1 . \quad (2)$$

Consider the SMALL CUTS COVER instance with  $4p + 2$  nodes obtained by taking  $p$  copies of the Fig. 1(b) instance, and:

- Identifying the node  $r$  of all instances, and identifying the node  $s$  of all instances.
- Replacing the  $p(k - q - 1)$   $rs$ -edges by  $k - pq - 1$   $rs$ -edges.

The case  $p = 2$  is depicted in Fig. 1(c). For higher values of  $p$ , one can imagine the nodes  $r, s$  as being the ends of an axis on which we "hang" the  $p$  instances. We denote by  $G^p = (V^p, E^p)$  the graph of the resulting instance and by  $\mathcal{F}^p = \{\emptyset \neq S \subset V^p : d_{G^p}(S) < k\}$  the family of its small cuts. Also, let  $a_i, b_i, u_i, v_i$  be the  $i$ th copy of the nodes  $a, b, u, v$ , respectively.

Let  $C_i = \{s, v_i, b_i\}$  be the set of the "gray nodes" of  $G_i$  and let  $C = \cup_{i=1}^p C_i$  be the set of gray nodes of  $G^p$ . Note that  $|C| = 2p + 1$ .

► **Lemma 4.** *The set-family  $\mathcal{F}^p$  has exactly  $p + 2$  cores – the singleton sets  $\{a_1\}, \dots, \{a_p\}$ , the singleton set  $\{r\}$ , and the set  $C$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2, the singleton sets  $\{a_i\}$  are cores of  $\mathcal{F}^p$  and none of  $\{u_i\}$  is a core of  $\mathcal{F}^p$ . We have  $d(r) = (k - pq - 1) + p(q - 1) = k - p - 1 < k$ , thus  $\{r\} \in \mathcal{F}^p$ , and  $\{r\}$  is a core since  $r$  is a singleton set. Since the cores are pairwise disjoint, it remains only to prove that  $C$  is a core of  $\mathcal{F}^p$ .

We have  $d(C) = k - pq - 1 + qp = k - 1$ , thus  $C \in \mathcal{F}^p$ . To see that  $C$  is inclusion-minimal, let  $S$  be a proper subset of  $C$  with  $d_{G^p}(S)$  minimal. If  $s \notin S$ , then  $d_{G^p}(S \cap V_i) \geq d_{G_i}(S \cap V_i) \geq k$  for some  $i$ , by Lemma 2. So assume that  $s \in S$ . If for some  $i$  we have  $v_i \in S$  and  $b_i \notin S$  then

$$d_{G^p}(S \cup \{b_i\}) = d_{G^p}(S) - (k - q) + q = d_{G^p}(S) + 2q - k < d_{G^p}(S) ,$$

contradicting the minimality of  $d_{G^p}(S)$ . If on the other hand we have  $v_i \notin S$  and  $b_i \in S$  then  $d_{G^p}(S) \geq d_{G^p}(b_i) = k$ . So assume that for every  $i$  either  $v_i, b_i \in S$  or  $v_i, b_i \notin S$ . Let  $\ell$  be the number of indices  $i$  such that  $v_i, b_i \in S$ , so  $0 \leq \ell \leq p - 1$ . Then

$$d_{G^p}(S) = \ell q + (p - \ell)(k - q) + (k - pq - 1)$$

This is a linear function in  $\ell$ , hence the minimum is attained either for  $\ell = 0$  or for  $\ell = p - 1$ . For  $\ell = 0$  we have  $S = \{s\}$  and we get

$$d_{G^p}(S) = d(s) = (k - pq - 1) + p(k - q) = p(k - q) + k - 1 > k .$$

For  $\ell = p - 1$  we get by (1)


$$d_{G^p}(S) = (p - 1)q + (k - q) + (k - pq - 1) = 2k - 2q - 1 \geq k ,$$

concluding the proof. ◀

If we run the [7] primal-dual algorithm on the  $G^p$  instance, it will return the dual solution of value  $p + 2$  ( $y_S = 1$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ) while the primal solution cost is  $5p$ . Hence we get primal-dual ratio  $5p/(p + 2)$ . But to prove Theorem 1, we need to add to the set  $L$  of links a solution of cost roughly  $p$  that the primal-dual algorithm will not select.

If  $p$  is even then we add to  $L$  a matching  $M = \{a_1 a_2, \dots, a_{p-1} a_p\} \cup \{rs\}$  of links of cost 2 each.

► **Lemma 5.**  *$M$  covers  $\mathcal{F}^p$ .*



■ **Figure 2** Illustration to the proof of Lemma 5.

**Proof.** Let us examine the affect of the addition of the links  $a_1a_2$  and  $rs$  on  $G^2$ , see Fig. 2(a). The link  $a_1a_2$  covers all cuts separating between  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , hence we can contract  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  into a single node. Similarly, we can contract  $s$  into  $r$ . Finally, we can shortcut each of the nodes  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , as each  $v_i$  is connected to only two nodes, with  $k - q$  edges to each. One can easily verify that the obtained graph that is depicted in Fig. 2(b) has no small cuts (cuts with less than  $k$  edges). This is so for any pair  $G_i, G_{i+1}$  such that  $a_i, a_{i+1} \in M$ . The graph obtained from  $G^p$  after contracting the links in  $M$  (and shortcutting each  $v_i$ ) is the same one that is obtained by gluing  $p/2$  graphs as in Fig. 2(b) via the node  $r$ . Since none of these graphs has a small cut, neither is the glued graph. ◀

We have  $c(M) = 2|M| = p + 2$ , thus  $\text{opt} \leq p + 2$ . The solution produced by the primal-dual algorithm will not include any link of  $M$ . At Phase 1 the algorithm will raise the dual variables of the  $p + 2$  cores to 1 and will select all links, but all links in  $M$  will be removed during the reverse delete phase. The primal-dual algorithm will thus return the previous solution (the one in Fig. 1(a,b)) of cost  $5p$ . The ratio in this case is  $5p/(p + 2)$ . Moreover, if we assign cost  $2 + \epsilon$  for every link in  $M$ , no edge in  $M$  will be even selected at Phase 1.

If  $p$  is odd then  $M$  will include a matching on  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}\}$  and the edges  $a_{p-1}a_p$  and  $rs$ . Then  $|M| = (p + 3)/2$ , and we get  $c(M) = p + 3$ . The ratio in this case is  $5p/(p + 3)$ .

The construction works for any integers  $k, q \geq 1$ , and  $p \geq 1$  satisfying (1) and (2). The graph of the constructed instance has  $4p + 2$  nodes. Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

Note that the highest possible value of  $p$  is when  $q = 1$  and  $p = k - 1$ , giving a gap of  $5 \cdot \frac{k-1}{k+1} = 5(1 - \frac{2}{k+1})$  for  $k$  odd and  $5 \cdot \frac{k-1}{k+2} = 5(1 - \frac{3}{k+2})$  for  $k$  even.

We note that our example does not prove an integrality gap of 5, and does not exclude that some other algorithm based on the standard LP can achieve approximation ratio better than 5. However, the iterative rounding method was already excluded in [6].

## References

- 1 I. Bansal. A global analysis of the primal-dual method for pliable families. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.15714>, July 2024.
- 2 I. Bansal, J. Cheriyan, L. Grout, and S. Ibrahimpur. Improved approximation algorithms by generalizing the primal-dual method beyond uncrossable functions. *Algorithmica*, 86(8):2575–2604, 2024. doi:10.1007/S00453-024-01235-2.
- 3 Z. Nutov. Improved approximation algorithms for covering pliable set families and flexible graph connectivity. In *WAOA*, pages 151–166, 2025. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-81396-2\_11.

**XX:6    A tight example for 5-approximation for covering small cuts by the primal-dual method**

- 4    Z. Nutov. Tight analysis of the primal-dual method for edge-covering pliable set families. In *MFCS*, pages 82:1–82:14, 2025. doi:10.4230/LIPICS.MFCS.2025.82.
- 5    M. Simmons. Cover small cuts and flexible graph connectivity problems. Master’s thesis, University of Waterloo, 2025. URL: <https://uwspace.uwaterloo.ca/items/36c5274e-8eec-4a85-9de4-dad8bc001446>.
- 6    Miles Simmons, Ishan Bansal, and Joe Cheriyan. A bad example for jain’s iterative rounding theorem for the cover small cuts problem, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.13105>, arXiv:2504.13105.
- 7    D. P. Williamson, M. X. Goemans, M. Mihail, and V. V. Vazirani. A primal-dual approximation algorithm for generalized Steiner network problems. *Combinatorica*, 15(3):435–454, 1995. doi:10.1007/BF01299747.