

Framing Climate Change on YouTube: North–South Divides in Narratives and Public Engagement

Sanika Damle¹ Radhika Krishnan¹

¹International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad
{sanika.damle, radhika.krishnan}@iiit.ac.in

This is a preprint and has not undergone peer review.

Abstract

Climate change debates have gained increasing visibility on social media, with YouTube emerging as one of the most influential platforms for political communication. Reaching billions of users worldwide, it functions both as a news outlet and as a space for public discourse. While existing studies of climate discourse on YouTube often adopt a global perspective, this study examines the platform through the lens of the Global North–South divide. We analyze a dataset of 758 climate-related videos and their comment sections, applying topic modeling and sentiment analysis to identify recurring discursive patterns. Through these patterns, we recognize parallels with respect to debates in international climate negotiations. The findings reveal notable differences. Videos from the Global North and Global South reflect real-world divides, with the North emphasizing the need for policies to curb carbon emissions, while the South highlights developmental priorities. A key area of convergence between the regions lies in the shared recognition of the importance of emissions reduction and international agreements. Audience responses, however, diverge more sharply: comment sections under Global North videos are dominated by criticism, conspiracy, and climate fatigue, whereas those under Global South videos are generally more supportive, constructive, and knowledge-oriented. Overall, the study demonstrates how YouTube reflects and reshapes global climate politics, while also revealing the gap between curated narratives and public sentiment. Bridging these divides may contribute to more inclusive and cooperative approaches to climate action.

Introduction

Over the past few decades, climate change has become one of the most urgent global challenges, demanding collective action and international cooperation (Kumari and Pande 2023). Since the creation of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the first Conference of the Parties (CoP) in Kyoto in 1997, international negotiations have repeatedly exposed deep-seated tensions. Central points of contention include the principle of *common but differentiated responsibilities* (CBDR), the transfer of technology, and the timelines for emissions reductions.

These negotiations have highlighted persistent divides among various stakeholders, primarily between the industri-

alized nations of the Global North, such as the United States, Canada, Japan, and much of Europe, and the Global South, comprising South Asia, Africa and parts of Latin America (Paterson and Grubb 1992; Miles 2025). While the Global North has historically contributed the majority of greenhouse gas emissions, countries in the Global South have borne disproportionate consequences. The category itself is not without debate: the term Global South is contested and internally diverse, but it remains a useful lens for capturing evolving alliances, responsibilities, and struggles for climate justice within global governance (Bull and Banik 2025). For the purposes of this study, Russia is treated as part of the Global South, as its recent economic trajectory and structural position in the global system align it more closely with that grouping than with the industrialized North (Grishin 2024).

At the same time as international debates, public debates around climate change increasingly unfold on digital platforms. Among them, YouTube stands out as one of the world’s most influential sites for political communication, reaching billions of users and serving as both a news source and a participatory arena for civic engagement. Unlike traditional media, YouTube enables both policy makers and the general public to engage in climate discourse. Recent studies also show that YouTube’s engaging algorithms and interactive comment features have made it a critical space for studying how climate politics are framed, contested and received by the public (Munger et al. 2025).

Despite this central role, there is little research about whether the North–South divide observed in climate negotiations also emerges in digital discourse. Prior research has examined climate change communication online, focusing on activism, polarization and emotional responses, but it has largely treated climate discourse as a global whole rather than a reflection of the specific geopolitical tensions that shape international policy.

This study aims to address that gap by addressing the key research questions listed below:

- **RQ1.** How do videos posted by the Global North and Global South frame climate issues on YouTube?
- **RQ2.** Do digital narratives reproduce divides seen in global negotiations (CBDR, equity, technology transfer)?
- **RQ3.** How does the general public perceive these issues,

and where do they stand?

The division of videos by region can be justified as the YouTube algorithm recommends videos to audiences from the same region (Brodersen, Scellato, and Wattenhofer 2012). This indicates that a majority of the users that engage with the video also belong to that particular region. Additionally, rather than focusing on what content is created by different actors, whether it be major news networks or private creators, this study focuses on the public reception of these videos.

This paper offers two main contributions. First, it presents a systematic comparative analysis of YouTube climate discourse, specifically examining it through the lens of the North–South divide. Second, methodologically, it integrates topic modeling (BERTopic), large language model–based stance detection, and sentiment analysis to capture both curated narratives (video transcripts) and public reactions (comments). This aims to provide insights into how online discourse may both maintain existing divides and offer different approaches to global climate communication.

Related Work

YouTube as a Site of Climate Debate and Polarization.

Several studies have examined YouTube’s role as a platform for climate change activism and civic engagement, especially during moments of heightened political attention. During the COP15 summit, activist networks strategically used YouTube to promote climate justice campaigns, mobilize protests, and coordinate direct action (Askanius and Uldam 2011). YouTube can enable passionate and dialogic engagement around climate justice issues by providing a space for decentralized participation and alternative media practices (Uldam and Askanius 2013). However, exchanges in comments are often marked by declarative statements and political positioning rather than mutual reasoning or constructive debate (Shapiro and Park 2015). YouTube’s algorithmic infrastructure privileges content that is already popular, typically produced by professional media organizations from the Global North, rather than surfacing local or grassroots voices (Segeber and Magnani 2025). The platform also hosts climate change skepticism, denial and counter-mobilization. Studies of comments show the use of impolite discourse that seek to de-legitimize climate activists, rather than engage with their arguments (Andersson 2021). Climate-skeptical creators employ exclusionary rhetoric to cast doubt on climate science and portray climate activism as an elitist threat to ordinary people (Vallström and Törnberg 2025).

The North–South Divide in Climate Politics. Climate politics has long been shaped by tensions between developed (Global North) and developing (Global South) nations regarding the distribution of responsibility for greenhouse gas emissions, differentiated obligations, and climate finance (Abreu Mejía and per la Pau 2010). Following the Kyoto Protocol (1997), Northern countries advocated for binding emission reduction targets, while Southern countries emphasized their right to pursue economic development and underscored the North’s historical emissions (Sokona and Na-

jam 2002). Central to these debates is the principle of “common but differentiated responsibilities” (CBDR), which codifies the expectation that the North should lead mitigation efforts and provide financial and technical support to the South (Voigt and Ferreira 2016). Subsequent negotiations increasingly called on emerging economies such as China, India, and Brazil to contribute to mitigation, yet these states continued to foreground their development imperatives (Abreu Mejía and per la Pau 2010). The Paris Agreement (2015) extended mitigation obligations to all parties while retaining the CBDR framework, and Southern states have continued to demand climate finance and capacity-building support (Heras and Gupta 2025).

Ongoing points of contention include the operationalization of CBDR, technology transfer, and perceptions of “eco-colonialism” in Northern environmental agendas. While this divide is well documented in intergovernmental negotiations, little research has examined whether similar cleavages manifest within digital platforms such as YouTube.

Methodological Approaches to Discourse Analysis. Recent research on climate change discourse has increasingly adopted transformer-based approaches for topic modeling. Studies of climate-related content on social media and blogs have used BERTopic, demonstrating superior topic coherence compared to traditional Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) methods (Gokcimen and Das 2024). Comparative analyses of BERTopic and Dynamic Topic Models (DTM) in tracking the evolution of climate protection discourse in Austrian newspapers similarly found that BERTopic captured more pronounced temporal shifts in topic representation (Adam and Kogler 2023). Other studies have examined climate discourse through sentiment-focused approaches. For instance, sentiment analysis of YouTube comments on a climate documentary has been used to explore climate-related emotions by combining semantic and affective dimensions (Meza, Shapiro, and Park 2018). Cross-platform comparisons further underscore the importance of platform-specific methodological adaptations, showing that discourse patterns vary substantially between platforms such as YouTube, TikTok, Twitter, and Instagram (Pera and Aiello 2024). A growing body of work also integrates multiple analytical techniques. Frameworks that combine topic modeling, sentiment analysis, and network analysis have emerged as standard practice for producing comprehensive accounts of online climate discourse (Molenaar et al. 2024; Domínguez et al. 2024). Collectively, these studies highlight the value of multi-method approaches and the need to tailor analytical strategies to platform-specific dynamics.

While these studies offer valuable insights into the dynamics of online climate discourse, they largely overlook the geopolitical dimensions of the North–South divide that have long shaped international climate politics. This approach addresses this gap by examining how these real-world geopolitical debates are reflected and refracted within climate change narratives on YouTube.

Methodology

Data Collection

This study makes use of seven pivotal moments in global climate governance that illustrate the differing positions and priorities of developed (Global North) and developing (Global South) nations: the Kyoto Protocol (1997), the articulation of Common But Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR) at COP13 (2007), the Copenhagen Accord at COP15 (2009), the establishment of the Green Climate Fund (2010), the Paris Agreement (2015), the UN Climate Action Summit (2019), and COP28 (2023). Table 1 has an overview of the selection criteria and the primary issues of debate associated with each of these moments. Rather than employing generic keywords such as “climate change” or “global warming”, which may yield content not directly related to the contested dynamics between the Global North and the Global South, these events were deliberately selected to highlight instances where these tensions were most evident. We initially also considered a temporal analysis of the evolution of climate change debates, but since YouTube’s popularity has surged mainly in the past decade, the majority of available videos were concentrated in this period, making such an approach unfeasible.

For each event, five search queries were constructed (e.g., “UN Climate Action Summit 2019”, “Climate Action Summit 2019 outcomes”, “Climate Action Summit 2019 goals”, “Climate Action Summit 2019 initiatives”, and “UN Climate Summit 2019 key highlights”). Based on these queries, up to 400 of the most relevant videos posted until November 2024 were retrieved, along with their metadata and comments, by querying the YouTube Data API v3 (Google 2025). The corresponding English-language transcripts for each video were subsequently collected using the `youtube-transcript-api` Python library (MIT License) (Depoix 2018). The data employed in this analysis originated exclusively from publicly accessible material. Additionally, the comments scraped only contained the text, time of posting and the number of likes. We removed duplicates and filtered the data to include only videos with English transcripts, resulting in a final dataset of 758 videos. Finally, videos were categorized as originating from the Global North or Global South according to the geographic region of the uploading channel. Refer to Figure 1 for some statistics of the data collected. Most of the videos collected were from the United States, Canada, India, Russia, Australia, China and Brazil. Although the dataset contained more videos from the Global North, we did not apply sampling, as the distributions of transcript length, comments, and views were similar across regions. We also wished to avoid reducing the diversity of discourse captured in these videos.

Topic Extraction

We first applied BERTopic (MIT License) (Grootendorst 2022), specifically the `KeyBERTInspired` version to the corpus of video transcripts which employs `c-TF-IDF` to generate dense, semantically coherent clusters of documents. For each region (Global North and Global South), we as-




Figure 1: Average metadata statistics of the videos collected. A greater number of videos were collected from the Global North. The distribution of tokens, comment counts, and view counts were similar for both regions, suggesting comparable lengths and speech density. The data was pre-processed to remove outliers before plotting.

signed descriptive labels to the topics by examining the top 10 words associated with each cluster, as well as the top 10 transcripts most strongly represented within those clusters. Initial analysis yielded 13 topics for the Global North and 9 topics for the Global South. To enhance interpretability and facilitate subsequent evaluation, we removed noisy or less coherent clusters and consolidated the results into 6 topics per region, resulting in a total of 12 topics for comparative analysis.

A maximum of 1000 comments were selected for each video to prevent the dataset being skewed by a particular kind of video. The topic modeling process was repeated with the entire collection of comments collected. Eight broad topics were formed using BERTopic for both sets of comments.

Stance Detection

For further analysis, the collected transcripts are then processed using Google’s Gemini-2.5-Flash large language model. Each transcript was passed through the model with a summarization prompt designed to condense the text into a shorter and more coherent version while preserving its overall flow. This step was necessary as many transcripts contained non-substantive elements (e.g., introductory remarks such as “today we welcome our speaker...”), which are not relevant for analyzing climate change narratives. Moreover, the raw transcripts averaged approximately 2000 tokens, making summarization a practical means of reducing noise and ensuring consistency across the dataset. The specific summarization prompt will be included in the supplementary material.

Following the initial content summarization, we employed a Gemini-2.5-Flash-based prompting strategy to quantitatively evaluate each summary. This procedure produced five scores for each video, corresponding to a prede-

Year	Event	Key Points
1997	Kyoto Protocol	First binding emission targets for developed nations; acknowledged historical responsibility (Satish 2024).
2007	COP13 – CBDR Principle	Formalized “common but differentiated responsibilities”; developed nations to lead mitigation (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 2008).
2009	COP15 – Copenhagen Accord	Non-binding deal; pledged \$100B yearly for developing nations (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 2009).
2010	Green Climate Fund	Created climate finance mechanism; Global North fell short of \$100B goal (Thwaites and Guy 2023).
2015	Paris Agreement	Introduced NDCs; developing nations pushed for tech transfer and financial support (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 2015).
2019	UN Climate Action Summit	South criticized lack of finance; Greta Thunberg’s speech symbolized urgency (Rosane 2021).
2023	COP28	Agreed fossil fuel phase-out and loss-and-damage fund; South pushed for stronger financial commitments (Morton et al. 2023).

Table 1: Key moments in global climate governance highlighting North–South dynamics

finer rubric that assessed its content with respect to key climate change themes. The scoring dimensions are Relevance, Climate Stance, Emission Reduction Urgency, Global North Responsibility, and Global South Responsibility. For each dimension, the model assigned a continuous score ranging from 0 to 1, reflecting the extent to which the video addresses the respective aspect. Here, a score of 1 on Climate Stance indicates that the video affirms climate change as a real phenomenon, while a score of 1 on Global North or South Responsibility reflects support for holding the respective region accountable for reducing carbon emissions. To ensure consistency and reduce stochastic variability, each score was computed in three independent runs and averaged across these runs. The resulting scores were then aggregated and compared across regions. The specific prompt used for this evaluation will be included in the supplementary material.

Sentiment Analysis

For further analysis of video comments, we computed sentiment scores using the `cardiffnlp/twitter-roberta-base-sentiment-latest` model. This model was selected due to its robust capabilities and. Manual evaluation of sample comments indicated that it outperformed other sentiment analysis approaches, which frequently misclassified comments as sarcasm. A climate-change-specific fine-tuned model was not employed because, as discussed in the related work, the majority of comments reflected personal opinions on the videos rather than substantive engagement with climate change themes. Many comments were brief, often consisting of only a few words. For each video, sentiment scores were calculated across three categories: negative, neutral, and positive. These scores were subsequently used for analysis.

Results and Discussion

RQ1. How do videos posted by the Global North and Global South frame climate issues on YouTube?

The topic modeling yielded six broad topics per region that captured recurring discursive patterns in the analyzed video transcripts (Tables 2 and 3). Topics were subsequently, manually classified as *convergent* or *divergent* to indicate the degree of alignment in framing across the two regions.

A notable convergence was observed around discussions of emissions reduction and international climate agreements. Both regions emphasized the importance of global accords such as Kyoto, Paris and Copenhagen, as well as the commitments required to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These themes highlight a shared recognition of the central role that international cooperation and binding agreements play in structuring climate action.

Beyond these areas of overlap, however, the discourse diverged. The Global North’s narratives placed considerable emphasis on climate finance, equity, and the responsibilities of developed nations to support developing countries. This emphasis often focused on mechanisms of funding, questions of fairness, and the distribution of global resources. Additionally, the North engaged more frequently in discussions of energy transitions, particularly the phasing out of fossil fuels and the development of renewable energy technologies. These conversations were often part of broader policy- and innovation-oriented framing, highlighting the role of governance, diplomacy and technological advancement in driving climate action.

By contrast, the Global South’s discourse more frequently reflected immediate developmental and livelihood concerns. Prominent topics included the necessity of collective action and the formulation of national strategies to address climate change while pursuing sustainable growth. Distinct from the North, the South engaged extensively with themes relating to agriculture, biodiversity loss, and the protection of natural systems, issues that reflect the region’s structural reliance on

#Videos	Topic	Comparison	Summary
221	Emissions & Greenhouse Gases	Convergent	Focus on carbon dioxide, greenhouse gases, and commitments to reduce emissions as a central driver of climate change policy and debate.
128	Finance, Equity & Responsibility	Divergent	Issues of climate funding, support for developing countries, questions of fairness, and how resources are distributed globally.
101	Climate Agreements & Global Negotiations	Convergent	Discussions around international accords (Paris, Kyoto, Copenhagen), commitments, and the role of global conferences and summits in shaping climate action.
60	Energy Transition & Fossil Fuels	Divergent	Conversations on renewable energy, phasing out coal, oil, and gas, and the global north's responsibility in driving energy innovation.
48	Scientific Evidence & Future Risks	Divergent	References to research, climate science, future scenarios, and the humanitarian consequences of warming on a global scale.
23	Political Leadership & Global Cooperation	Divergent	Narratives around leaders, governance, diplomacy, and the role of international institutions in mobilizing collective action.

Table 2: Results of the topic modeling for the Global North transcripts. Out of the 13 topics extracted, 4 were discarded due to irrelevance and the rest were manually condensed into a total of 6 topics.

#Videos	Topic	Comparison	Summary
154	Climate Agreements & International Commitments	Convergent	Emphasis on Kyoto and other global accords, mitigation and adaptation goals, and the role of developing nations in negotiations.
154	Emissions, Carbon & Energy	Convergent	Discussions of emissions reduction, carbon management, renewable energy efforts, and the challenges of balancing growth with sustainability.
37	Collective Action & Future Pathways	Divergent	Calls for global cooperation, national strategies, and forward-looking efforts to address climate change while pursuing sustainable futures.
33	Finance, Development & Stakeholders	Divergent	Focus on funding, project-based climate initiatives, development priorities, and the role of stakeholders (especially in Africa and other developing regions).
17	Global Equity & North-South Relations	Divergent	Tensions over fairness, responsibilities between countries, foreign influence, and the economic impacts of climate action.
12	Agriculture, Biodiversity & Nature	Divergent	Links between climate change, agriculture, biodiversity loss, and the protection of natural systems that support livelihoods.

Table 3: Results of the topic modeling for the Global South transcripts. Out of the 9 topics extracted, 2 were discarded due to irrelevance and the rest were manually condensed into a total of 6 topics.

primary sector employment and ecosystem services. Additionally, Southern narratives emphasized questions of equity and fairness, with concerns regarding the disproportionate economic impacts of climate action and the unequal distribution of responsibilities in international negotiations.

RQ2. Do digital narratives reproduce divides seen in global negotiations (CBDR, equity, technology transfer)?

The analysis indicated that digital discourses partially mirrored divides familiar from international climate negotiations. Figure 2 summarizes the average support scores from the Gemini-2.5-Flash model for North and South emission reduction. These aggregated over videos with relevance greater than 0.7. Both Global North and Global South videos showed scores close to 1 in support of climate change acceptance and the urgency of emission reduction. The figure provides evidence of stronger support within the Global North for narratives emphasizing collective action and shared re-

sponsibility in addressing climate change. In contrast, the comparatively lower support scores in videos originating from the Global South suggest a perspective that developing regions should bear a reduced share of responsibility in the global effort against climate change.

These findings resonate with longstanding debates around “common but differentiated responsibilities” (CBDR), fairness, and equity. While Northern discourse was led by financial transfers, innovation, and policy reform, Southern discourse stressed development needs, agricultural vulnerabilities, and unequal burdens. The divergent emphases therefore reproduce central fault lines of global climate politics: historical responsibility, technology transfer, and resource distribution.

Given YouTube’s long-form content format, the narratives derived from analyzing the videos themselves are likely reflective of a narrower subset of actors and may not capture the perspectives of the broader public. Producing such videos is an involved process, requiring significant curation,




Figure 2: Average support scores for emission reduction responsibility, Global North vs. Global South. Scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 indicates full support for the respective region taking responsibility for reducing emissions.

Approx. #Comments	Broad Topic	Label
3,000	Climate Science & Warming	Discussion
2,500	Politics & Leadership	Criticism
2,000	Conspiracy & Denialism	Conspiracy
1,600	Fossil Fuels & Energy	Discussion
1,300	Hypocrisy & Blame	Criticism
1,200	Appreciation & Praise	Support
1,000	Insults & Mockery	Criticism
800	Hope & Urgency	Agitation
400	Religion & Philosophy	Discussion
700	Humor & Memes	Criticism

Table 4: Results of the topic modeling for the Global North comments. 20 topics were condensed down to 10 based on contextual similarity.

preparation, and research. For this reason, it was also essential to analyze the comments posted under the videos in order to better understand the positions and sentiments of the wider audience.

RQ3. How does the general public perceive these issues, and where do they stand?

Results of the topic modeling performed on video comments are presented in Table 4 and 5. Each topic was assigned a label from *Discussion*, *Criticism*, *Conspiracy*, *Support* and *Agitation*, based on a manual inspection of the top 10 words and comments representing each topic.

In the Global North, after general discussion, the discourse was dominated by criticism and conspiracy. The high frequency of these topics suggests that North-based comment sections often feature polarized or oppositional views. Supportive comments did exist, but were fewer. A high num-

Approx. #Comments	Broad Topic	Label
1,300	Appreciation & Praise	Support
850	Climate Science & Environment	Discussion
450	Politics & Governance	Discussion
400	Energy & Solutions	Discussion
400	Requests & Interaction	Discussion
300	General Support & Agreement	Support
300	Hope & Urgency	Agitation
200	Conspiracy & Distrust	Conspiracy
250	National Identity & Geopolitics	Discussion
200	Insults & Mockery	Criticism

Table 5: Results of the topic modeling for the Global South comments. 15 topics were condensed down to 10 based on contextual similarity.

ber of comments also engaged with the idea that only an intervention from a divine source can help improve the current state of the planet, displaying feelings of hopelessness and despair.

In contrast, for videos from the South, the leading comments appeared to be supportive in terms of praising the content of the video and appreciating it for being educational. The general discourse was more balanced and constructive, with less criticism and conspiracy beliefs. The comments were less confrontational, with fewer instances of denialism or mockery.

The results of the sentiment analysis (Figure 3) on individual comments also support the findings of the topic modeling. A majority of climate-related videos (63.8%) posted by the Global North received an overwhelming proportion of negative and critical comments, with only a small share of neutral (21.6%) and positive (14.6%) contributions. In contrast, while comments under Global South videos remained more negative overall (37.0% videos), the distribution is notably more balanced. Videos with a majority of neutral comments account for 34.3% and positive comments for 28.7%, indicating that discourse around Global South content is less dominated by negativity and instead reflects a wider mix of critical, neutral, and constructive engagement.

To further investigate whether sentiment varies depending on the stance of the video, we calculated the sentiment distribution separately for videos categorized by their position on responsibility for curbing emissions (Figure 4). The results show that, for Global North videos, the sentiment remains largely negative regardless of stance—whether the video emphasized that the North should reduce emissions or shifted the responsibility to the South. This persistence of negativity suggests that comment sections under North-originating content are more polarized and critical overall, independent of the framing of responsibility.

By contrast, the Global South videos display a more nuanced pattern. Interestingly, videos from the South that sup-




Figure 3: **Sentiment Distribution of Comments by Video Origin.** Proportion of videos categorized by the predominant sentiment in their comment sections (mode of comment sentiment per video).




Figure 4: **Sentiment Distribution of Comments by Video Origin and Support to Curb Emissions** Same metric as Figure 3, but divided based on the stance of the video. North Videos (North Support) indicates videos posted by the Global North that have scores higher than 0.5 in support of the North needing to cut down on emissions.

ported the narrative of the North bearing greater responsibility for emissions reductions attracted a higher share of negative comments than those advocating for the South’s own role. This may reflect shifting narratives in climate discourse, where audiences are increasingly resistant to framing responsibility in binary terms. Instead, there appears to be growing recognition among the populace that while historical responsibility lies disproportionately with the North, effective climate action requires global cooperation and shared accountability.

These findings indicate divergences not only in tone but also in the cultural and socio-political contexts shaping online climate discourse. In the Global North, the prevalence of criticism, conspiracy, and mockery may reflect heightened polarization and disillusionment with political processes, suggestive of climate fatigue. By contrast, the Global South’s more supportive and constructive environment points to greater openness to knowledge-sharing and

solution-oriented dialogue, likely linked to its immediate exposure to climate impacts and recognition of their urgency. Most notably, the perspectives of content creators and those of audiences often diverge, emphasizing a gap between curated narratives and public engagement.

These divergences emphasize the need to narrow the distance between how the public engages with climate issues and how policies are formulated. Incorporating public perspectives more effectively into decision-making processes can strengthen trust, reduce polarization, and foster broader support for climate action.

Limitations

When interpreting the findings, it is important to acknowledge the following limitations of our study.

Biases in Gemini-2.5-Flash. In this study, we employed this model to summarize and score transcripts. However, given the unknown nature of its training data, the outputs may be subject to bias. Furthermore, the prompts used may not have been optimal, which could have influenced the results. To mitigate these risks, we have conducted multiple runs for the scoring procedure. For the other tasks (sentiment analysis and topic modeling), we relied on models specifically designed for those tasks.

Unknown Nature of the YouTube Recommendation Algorithm. Videos were scraped based on the number of likes they received. However, it remains unclear who contributed to these likes or which regional audiences they represent. While this introduces uncertainty, the approach serves as a reasonable proxy, as prior research has shown that regional similarity to the channel is one factor influencing video recommendations (Brodersen, Scellato, and Wattenhofer 2012).

English-Only Data. The analysis was restricted to English-language text due to the limited multilingual capabilities of the topic modeling and sentiment analysis tools employed. This constraint narrows the scope of the findings and may omit important perspectives expressed in other languages. Nevertheless, because the transcripts were drawn from English-language videos, the majority of associated comments were also in English, which helps reduce the extent of this limitation. Future research could address this gap by incorporating multilingual models or focusing on specific regional languages to capture a broader spectrum of climate discourse.

Ethical Considerations We considered potential broader impacts of this work. Since our study relies exclusively on publicly available, aggregated data and does not involve predictive deployment or sensitive personal information, we do not anticipate significant negative societal impacts or realistic avenues for misuse. A minor risk of misinterpretation of the findings exists if results are taken out of context, but overall we consider such risks to be minimal.

Conclusion

Climate change remains one of the most contested issues of our time, with debates increasingly characterized by urgency: actions must either be taken now or risk severe con-

sequences in the future. While global leaders and influential figures can express their views in formal arenas such as international conferences, the broader public turns to digital media platforms to express concerns, support, or resistance. Traditional news is controlled by its editing process, and platforms like Twitter or Reddit do not always enable the depth of engagement found in long-form video platforms like YouTube. As such, YouTube provides a unique space where curated narratives and immediate public responses intersect.

In this study, we compiled a dataset of 758 videos explicitly centered on climate change debates, analyzing them through a geopolitical lens that distinguishes between the Global North and Global South. Our methodological approach combined the analysis of curated video content with the examination of user comments, allowing us to capture both the narratives advanced by content creators and the sentiments expressed by audiences. Through this framework, we identified the types of discourses being circulated on YouTube (RQ1), evaluated whether these narratives align with or diverge from real-world debates in global climate politics (RQ2), and examined audience sentiment to understand how the public engages with and perceives these issues (RQ3).

The findings demonstrate that while both the Global North and Global South actively contribute to online climate debates, there are notable differences in the themes emphasized. The Global North produced a greater number of videos, centered more around discussions on finance, equity and political responsibility. In contrast, Global South narratives highlighted developmental priorities, agriculture and ecological protection, reflecting the urgency of climate solutions for that region. Despite the differences in the volume, videos from both regions attracted comparable levels of views and likes, suggesting similar levels of audience engagement across geopolitical contexts.

A deeper comparison between curated content and audience comments reveals further divergences. In the Global North, comments were frequently characterized by criticism, conspiracy, and polarization, indicating a degree of climate fatigue and disillusionment with political processes. Meanwhile, audience discourse in the Global South tended to be more constructive, supportive, and solution-oriented, pointing to an openness to knowledge-sharing and collective responsibility.

Taken together, this study contributes to understanding how YouTube functions as a space where global climate politics are reflected and reshaped. By looking at both curated videos and audience reactions, the analysis demonstrates how digital platforms reproduce divides between the Global North and South, while also highlighting points of overlap that go beyond traditional geopolitical boundaries, accentuating the layered nature of digital climate discourse.

The divergences uncovered in this study highlight the importance of aligning public discourse with policymaking. While policymakers often rely on high-level negotiations and formal institutions to shape climate action, the sentiments expressed by the public, marked by both skepticism, fatigue, and constructive engagement, represent a crucial di-

mension of climate governance. Bridging this gap requires not only transparent communication of policy decisions but also active listening to public concerns, fostering trust, and creating avenues for civic participation. Public education and knowledge exchange can further help to reduce polarization, counter misinformation, and build broader support for climate initiatives, ensuring that policies resonate with the lived experiences and priorities of diverse communities.

Future research should address the limitations of this study by including multilingual datasets, examining regional and cultural variations in more detail, compare results with other social media platforms. These steps would offer a fuller picture of how climate narratives spread globally and how public engagement with them both shapes and is shaped by climate politics. In the long run, closing the gaps found in this study, both between regions and between content creators and audiences, could support more inclusive, cooperative, and effective approaches to global climate action.

References

- Abreu Mejía, D.; and per la Pau, I. C. I. 2010. *The evolution of the climate change regime: beyond a North-South divide?*
- Adam, R.; and Kogler, M. 2023. Tracking the evolution of climate protection discourse in Austrian newspapers: a comparative study of BERTopic and dynamic topic modeling. In Granigg, W., ed., *Scientific Computing 2023: Conference Proceedings*, 208–217. Graz: Verlag der FH JOANNEUM Gesellschaft m.b.H. ISBN 9783903318205.
- Andersson, M. 2021. The climate of climate change: Impoliteness as a hallmark of homophily in YouTube comment threads on Greta Thunberg's environmental activism. *Journal of Pragmatics*.
- Askanius, T.; and Uldam, J. 2011. Online social media for radical politics: climate change activism on YouTube. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, 4: 69–84.
- Brodersen, A.; Scellato, S.; and Wattenhofer, M. 2012. YouTube around the world: geographic popularity of videos. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '12, 241–250. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN 9781450312295.
- Bull, B.; and Banik, D. 2025. The Rebirth of the Global South: Geopolitics, Imageries and Developmental Realities. *Forum for Development Studies*, 52(2): 195–214.
- Depoix, J. 2018. youtube-transcript-api. <https://github.com/jdepoix/youtube-transcript-api>.
- Domínguez, A. G.; Roig-Tierno, N.; Chaparro-Banegas, N.; and Álvarez Coque, J.-M. G. 2024. Natural language processing of social network data for the evaluation of agricultural and rural policies. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 109: 103341.
- Gokcimen, T.; and Das, B. 2024. Exploring climate change discourse on social media and blogs using a topic modeling analysis. *Heliyon*, 10(11): e32464.
- Google. 2025. YouTube Data API v3. <https://developers.google.com/youtube/v3>.

- Grishin, V. 2024. Russia and the Global South, or the mystery of political semantics. *The Russia Program*.
- Grootendorst, M. 2022. BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794*.
- Heras, A.; and Gupta, J. 2025. North-south relations, responsibilities, and agendas in Earth System Governance: Have these changed in the Anthropocene? *Earth System Governance*, 24: 100251.
- Kumari, K. A.; and Pande, R. 2023. The imperative of collective action in a fragmented world.
- Meza, X.; Shapiro, M.; and Park, H. 2018. Climate Change Emotions on YouTube: The Case of Before the Flood. *The Korean Data Analysis Society*, 20: 1697–1708.
- Miles, K. 2025. Global North and Global South.
- Molenaar, A.; Lukose, D.; Brennan, L.; Jenkins, E. L.; and McCaffrey, T. A. 2024. Using Natural Language Processing to Explore Social Media Opinions on Food Security: Sentiment Analysis and Topic Modeling Study. *J Med Internet Res*, 26: e47826.
- Morton, A.; Greenfield, P.; Harvey, F.; Lakhani, N.; and Carrington, D. 2023. Cop28 landmark deal agreed to ‘transition away’ from fossil fuels. *The Guardian*.
- Munger, K.; Bisbee, J.; Yalcin, O.; Phillips, J.; and Hindman, M. 2025. Pressing Play on Politics: Quantitative Description of YouTube. *Journal of Quantitative Description: Digital Media*, 5: 1–37.
- Paterson, M.; and Grubb, M. 1992. The International Politics of Climate Change. *International Affairs (Royal Institute of International Affairs 1944-)*, 68(2): 293–310.
- Pera, A.; and Aiello, L. M. 2024. Shifting Climates: Climate Change Communication from YouTube to TikTok. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Science Conference (WEBSCI '24)*, 1–6. Stuttgart, Germany: ACM.
- Rosane, O. 2021. What to expect from today’s UN climate action summit. *EcoWatch*.
- Satish, S. 2024. Kyoto Protocol, 1997 - ClearIAS.
- Segerberg, A.; and Magnani, M. 2025. Visual digital intermediaries and global climate communication: Is climate change still a distant problem on YouTube? *PLOS ONE*, 20(4): e0318338.
- Shapiro, M. A.; and Park, H. 2015. More than entertainment: YouTube and public responses to the science of global warming and climate change. *Social Science Information*, 54: 115 – 145.
- Sokona, Y.; and Najam, A. 2002. Climate Change and Sustainable Development: Views from the South.
- Thwaites, J.; and Guy, B. 2023. U.S. Delivers for the Green Climate Fund and the World’s Most Vulnerable. Expert Blog.
- Uldam, J.; and Askanius, T. 2013. Online Civic Cultures? Debating Climate Change Activism on YouTube. *International Journal of Communication*, 7(0): 20.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. 2008. Report of the Conference of the Parties on its thirteenth session, held in Bali from 3 to 15 December 2007: Addendum. Part Two: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties at its thirteenth session. Conference report.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. 2009. Draft decision -/CP.15: Copenhagen Accord. Conference report, Copenhagen, 7–18 December 2009.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. 2015. The Paris Agreement. Accessed: 2025-09-12.
- Vallström, V.; and Törnberg, A. 2025. From YouTube to Parliament: the dual role of political influencers in shaping climate change discourse. *Environmental Sociology*, 0(0): 1–16.
- Voigt, C.; and Ferreira, F. 2016. ‘Dynamic Differentiation’: The Principles of CBDR-RC, Progression and Highest Possible Ambition in the Paris Agreement. *Transnational Environmental Law*, 5(2): 285–303.