

The Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov structure of lattice BFKL evolution and the twist-two anomalous dimension

Josep Rubí Bort¹, Agustín Sabio Vera^{1,2}, Eduardo Serna Campillo¹

¹ Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Nicolás Cabrera 15, E-28049 Madrid, Spain.

² Theoretical Physics Department, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain.

December 9, 2025

Abstract

We study a lattice regularisation of the forward Balitsky–Fadin–Kuraev–Lipatov (BFKL) evolution kernel and show that its bulk dynamics is governed by an abelian Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation. The Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N = A - 2D$ acts on N sites, with long-range hopping $A_{ij} = 1/|i - j|$ describing real gluon emission and diagonal entries $D_{ii} = H_{i-1}$ encoding virtual corrections through harmonic numbers. An exact walk expansion expresses the r -step evolution as a sum over lattice paths dressed by local vertex factors. This representation makes Reggeisation and Regge-pole factorisation manifest at finite N and provides a transparent combinatorial interpretation of virtual corrections.

In a bulk window away from the lattice boundaries, the quadratic-form identity for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ shows that the dynamics reduces to a nonlocal diffusion with a bounded drift. Passing to a continuum description in a macroscopic variable x and implementing a controlled difference-to-derivative replacement, we obtain an effective evolution operator built from the Euler kernels dy/y and $dy/(1 - y)$. After a symmetric treatment of the endpoint $y = 1$, the bulk evolution is governed by a scalar KZ equation on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with connection

$$\Omega(x) = -2 \frac{dx}{x} - 4 \frac{dx}{1 - x},$$

whose solutions are harmonic polylogarithms on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$.

Projecting this hierarchy onto the collinear single-logarithmic sector and applying Brown’s single-valued map, the coefficients in the small- ω expansion of the twist-two anomalous dimension are organised by a compact generating function and become polynomials in odd zeta values as found by Jaroszewicz in 1982 [1]. The resulting pattern matches the transcendentality structure that appears in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory and in the single-valued polylogarithms of multi-Regge kinematics. The lattice formulation thus isolates, in a finite-dimensional setting, the algebraic structures underlying BFKL evolution.

Dedicated to the memory of César Gómez López

1 Introduction and overview

The Balitsky–Fadin–Kuraev–Lipatov (BFKL) equation [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] governs the high-energy growth of scattering amplitudes in QCD in the Regge limit $s \gg |t|$. In the planar limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory, the BFKL kernel is embedded in a wider integrable structure that also controls the spectrum of twist-two anomalous dimensions. On the amplitude side, the analytic dependence on cross ratios is built from multiple polylogarithms and their single-valued versions [7, 8, 9, 10, 11], and the same classes of functions appear in explicit solutions of the BFKL equation at fixed conformal spin. These links between integrability, special functions and high-energy evolution are usually presented in different frameworks.

The starting point here is a simple lattice regularisation of the forward BFKL kernel in transverse-momentum space. The Hamiltonian is a Hermitian matrix $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ with long-range hopping $1/|i - j|$ and a diagonal subtraction proportional to a harmonic number. Its quadratic form can be written as a uniformly bounded potential plus a strictly negative nonlocal Laplacian. In the bulk of the lattice this potential remains bounded, so the evolution generated by $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ naturally acquires the interpretation of a nonlocal diffusion process with a mild drift. This picture already explains why the continuum Mellin eigenfunctions give a good description of the bulk spectrum and why a Fabry–Perot type quantisation in a logarithmic coordinate reproduces the discrete eigenvalues seen in semi-infinite matrix analyses [12, 13].

A second ingredient is an exact walk expansion of the evolution $(A - 2D)^r$ as a sum over lattice paths. Each hop $i \rightarrow j$ carries a factor $1/|i - j|$, and each vertex is dressed by powers of the harmonic number at that site. Resummation of all possible virtual insertions leads to a vertex-dressed resolvent in which every site contributes a local factor $(1 + 2zH_{i-1})^{-1}$. This provides a discrete and completely explicit version of Reggeisation where virtual corrections exponentiate into local dressings of the propagating gluon, while the spectrum of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ supplies the corresponding Regge trajectories. The spectral representation of the resolvent makes this relation between walks, reggeised propagators and eigenvalues precise.

In a bulk window and after passing from differences in the discrete site index to derivatives in a macroscopic variable x , the dynamics reduces to a continuum operator built from the Euler kernels dy/y and $dy/(1 - y)$. A symmetric treatment of a small cap around $y = 1$, combined with the virtual subtraction, removes the only non-removable divergence and leaves a finite operator whose kernel is regular at the moving lower endpoint $y = x$. Differentiating with respect to x then produces a one-dimensional scalar Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with letters $d \log x$ and $d \log(1 - x)$ and fixed coefficients $A_0 = -2$, $A_1 = -4$. This is the standard abelian KZ equation on the punctured Riemann sphere [14, 15, 16, 17], and its solutions are harmonic polylogarithms on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ [7].

A final step is to isolate the collinear single-logarithmic sector and to apply Brown’s single-valued map [8, 9]. The KZ hierarchy then yields an explicit all-orders description of the coefficients in the small- ω expansion of the twist-two anomalous dimension. These coefficients are encoded in a compact generating function, lie in the polynomial ring generated by odd zeta values (as first found in [1]), and reproduce the transcendentality pattern seen in high-loop twist-two anomalous dimensions in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory [18, 19] as well as in multi-Regge amplitudes [10]. The lattice model therefore provides a finite-dimensional framework where Regge poles, non-compact magnons, KZ monodromy and single-valued polylogarithms can all be related in a concrete way.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces the discrete Hamiltonian and derives a basic quadratic-form identity that separates drift and diffusion. Section 3 discusses bulk norm bounds, the restriction to a finite window and a Fabry–Perot picture of the bulk spectrum, which reproduces the BFKL characteristic function and explains the discrete levels seen in previous matrix analyses [12, 13]. Section 4 gives the exact walk expansion and the vertex-dressed resolvent. Section 5 uses a two-step benchmark to fix the KZ letters. Section 6 explains the difference-to-derivative mechanism and the matched cutoff that produce the continuum $d \log$ operator. Section 7 derives the abelian KZ equation and the harmonic-polylogarithm solution. Section 8 discusses the single-logarithmic sector and the twist-two anomalous dimension, including an explicit generating function. Section 9 develops further connections to non-compact spin chains, Gaudin models and Bethe quantisation. Section 10 collects the conclusions and outlines possible extensions.

2 Discrete Hamiltonian and quadratic-form structure

In this section we define the lattice Hamiltonian and extract a quadratic-form identity that separates drift and diffusion.

Following [12, 13], let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{|e_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^N$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^N . We consider forward (zero momentum transfer) BFKL evolution in rapidity Y ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\alpha \partial Y} |\phi^{(N)}\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N |\phi^{(N)}\rangle, \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} > 0, \quad (1)$$

where $|\phi^{(N)}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i |e_i\rangle$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ is a real symmetric matrix.

We define the discrete forward Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ by

$$(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N)_i^j = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\delta_i^{j+n}}{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\delta_{i+n}^j}{n} - 2 H_{i-1} \delta_i^j, \quad H_k \equiv \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{1}{m}, \quad H_0 \equiv 0. \quad (2)$$

Equivalently,

$$(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N)_i^j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|i-j|}, & i \neq j, \\ -2H_{i-1}, & i = j. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

This matrix is real symmetric and therefore Hermitian. The off-diagonal entries implement long-range hopping with strength $1/|i-j|$ and the diagonal entries represent virtual corrections in close analogy with the continuum kernel [20].

It is convenient to write $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N = A - 2D$, where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1/|i-j|, & i \neq j, \\ 0, & i = j, \end{cases} \quad D_{ij} = H_{i-1} \delta_{ij}. \quad (4)$$

A key identity emerges when we evaluate the quadratic form $\langle x, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N x \rangle$. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, Ax \rangle &= \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\bar{x}_i x_j}{|i-j|} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\bar{x}_i x_j + \bar{x}_j x_i}{|i-j|} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{|x_i|^2 + |x_j|^2}{|i-j|} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{|x_i - x_j|^2}{|i-j|}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The first term can be rearranged as

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|i-j|} \right) |x_i|^2, \quad (6)$$

since for each pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$ the contribution $|x_i|^2/|i-j|$ appears once. The inner sum satisfies

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|i-j|} = H_{i-1} + H_{N-i}. \quad (7)$$

Thus

$$\langle x, Ax \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N (H_{i-1} + H_{N-i}) |x_i|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{|x_i - x_j|^2}{|i-j|}. \quad (8)$$

Subtracting the diagonal part gives

$$\langle x, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N (H_{N-i} - H_{i-1}) |x_i|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{|x_i - x_j|^2}{|i-j|}. \quad (9)$$

This is the basic identity used repeatedly later.

The first term defines a potential

$$V_i \equiv H_{N-i} - H_{i-1}. \quad (10)$$

Using the asymptotic $H_k = \log k + \gamma_E + \mathcal{O}(1/k)$, where γ_E is Euler's constant, one finds (see Fig. 1)

$$V_i = \log \frac{N-i}{i} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\min\{i, N-i\}}\right). \quad (11)$$




Figure 1: Continuum approximation of the lattice potential $V_i = H_{N-i} - H_{i-1}$ by $V(x) \simeq \log \frac{1-x}{x}$ with $x = i/N$. The shaded region indicates a bulk window $\varepsilon < x < 1 - \varepsilon$ where V_i stays bounded, while the divergences at $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow 1$ are associated with the infrared and ultraviolet ends of the lattice.

In any fixed bulk window $\varepsilon N \leq i \leq (1 - \varepsilon)N$, with $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, the potential remains uniformly bounded. The second term in (9) is always non-positive and is the discrete analogue of a nonlocal Laplacian. In the bulk the Hamiltonian therefore acts as a nonlocal diffusion operator plus a bounded drift.

To remove spurious growth in the row sums, it is useful to work with a centered version of the Hamiltonian,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_N = A - 2\tilde{D}, \quad \tilde{D}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2}(H_{i-1} + H_{N-i}), \quad (12)$$

for which every row sum vanishes:

$$\sum_j (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_N)_{ij} = 0. \quad (13)$$

In this case one finds the simpler identity

$$\langle x, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_N x \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{|x_i - x_j|^2}{|i - j|}, \quad (14)$$

so $-\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_N$ defines a discrete Dirichlet form. The original Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_N + \text{diag}(H_{N-i} - H_{i-1}), \quad (15)$$

and the diagonal difference is precisely the potential V_i in (10). This splitting will be useful when we discuss bulk eigenvalues and finite-size quantization.

3 Bulk norm bounds and spectral quantization

Next, we examine the size of the matrix elements of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ and the behaviour of its spectrum in a bulk window. This will make the connection to the continuum characteristic function $\chi(\gamma)$ precise and provide a quantitative picture of the discrete BFKL spectrum.

The operator norm of A can be controlled using a straightforward Cauchy–Schwarz estimate. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and each row i ,

$$(Ax)_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{x_j}{|i-j|}, \quad (16)$$

so

$$|(Ax)_i| \leq \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|i-j|} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|x_j|^2}{|i-j|} \right)^{1/2} = R_i^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|x_j|^2}{|i-j|} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

where $R_i = H_{i-1} + H_{N-i}$. Since $R_i \leq 2H_{N-1}$ for all i , one obtains¹

$$\|A\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} \leq 2H_{N-1} = 2 \log N + 2\gamma_E + \mathcal{O}(1/N). \quad (18)$$

The diagonal D has norm $\|D\| = H_{N-1}$, so a crude bound on $\|\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N\|$ is $4H_{N-1}$.

This bound is dominated by sites near the boundaries. In the bulk, the drift V_i in (11) is bounded, so the operator norm of the bulk restriction is also bounded independently of N . To make this precise, fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and consider the set

$$I_\varepsilon = \{i \in \{1, \dots, N\} : \varepsilon N \leq i \leq (1 - \varepsilon)N\} \quad (19)$$

and the orthogonal projector P_ε onto vectors supported on I_ε . The restriction

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{N,\varepsilon} = P_\varepsilon \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N P_\varepsilon \quad (20)$$

satisfies

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{N,\varepsilon}\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} \leq C(\varepsilon), \quad (21)$$

where $C(\varepsilon)$ depends only on ε . This follows directly from the quadratic-form identity (9) and the fact that V_i is bounded on I_ε .

To connect with the continuum characteristic function $\chi(\gamma)$, we test the operator on discrete analogues of Mellin eigenfunctions. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and define

$$v_i^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)} = i^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{i \in I_\varepsilon\}}. \quad (22)$$

The Rayleigh quotient

$$\mathcal{R}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \frac{\langle v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)} \rangle}{\langle v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)}, v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)} \rangle} \quad (23)$$

can be evaluated by rewriting the double sum over i, j in terms of harmonic numbers and an infinite series. We can write it as

$$\mathcal{R}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)}{\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)}, \quad (24)$$

¹For a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ we use the ℓ^2 norm

$$\|x\|_{\ell^2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For a matrix A acting on $\ell^2(\{1, \dots, N\})$, the induced operator norm is

$$\|A\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_{\ell^2}}{\|x\|_{\ell^2}}.$$

If A is Hermitian, this reduces to $\|A\|_{\ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2} = \max_i |\lambda_i|$, the largest absolute eigenvalue of A .

with

$$\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \langle v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)}, v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} i^{2\gamma-2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma) &= \langle v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N v^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} \sum_{j \in I_\varepsilon} \overline{v_i^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)}} (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N)_i^j v_j^{(\gamma,\varepsilon)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j \in I_\varepsilon \\ i \neq j}} \frac{i^{\gamma-1} j^{\gamma-1}}{|i-j|} - 2 \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} H_{i-1} i^{2\gamma-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For the denominator, we rescale $i = Nx$ with $x \in [\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$. Hence, for fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma) = N^{2\gamma-1} \int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} x^{2\gamma-2} dx + \mathcal{O}(N^{2\gamma-2}) = \frac{N^{2\gamma-1}}{2\gamma-1} \left[(1-\varepsilon)^{2\gamma-1} - \varepsilon^{2\gamma-1} \right] \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right). \quad (27)$$

All implied constants in the error are uniform for γ in compact subsets of $(0, 1)$. For the off-diagonal part,

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}^{\text{off}}(\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \in I_\varepsilon \\ i \neq j}} \frac{i^{\gamma-1} j^{\gamma-1}}{|i-j|} = 2 \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ i+n \in I_\varepsilon}} \frac{i^{\gamma-1} (i+n)^{\gamma-1}}{n}. \quad (28)$$

We now rescale $i = Nx$, $n = Ny$ with $x \in [\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ and $y > 0$. The condition $i+n \in I_\varepsilon$ becomes $x+y \in [\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$. Dividing and multiplying by $\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)$, and using (27), we obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}^{\text{off}}(\gamma)}{\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)} = \frac{2 \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} \sum_{n \geq 1, i+n \in I_\varepsilon} \frac{i^{\gamma-1} (i+n)^{\gamma-1}}{n}}{\sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} i^{2\gamma-2}} = \mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\gamma) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\gamma) = \frac{2 \int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} dx x^{2\gamma-2} \int_0^{1-\varepsilon-x} dy \frac{(1+y/x)^{\gamma-1}}{y}}{\int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} x^{2\gamma-2} dx}, \quad (30)$$

and the error of order $1/N$ again comes from standard Riemann-sum estimates.

For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the inner y -integral converges absolutely and the integrand is bounded by an integrable function, so we can interchange integrations and limits by dominated convergence. In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the upper limit $1-\varepsilon-x$ can be replaced by $1-x$ with an error bounded by $C_\varepsilon \varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)$, coming from the integrable singularity at small y , uniformly in $x \in [\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$. Thus

$$\mathcal{I}_\varepsilon(\gamma) = \mathcal{I}(\gamma) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)), \quad (31)$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(\gamma) = \frac{2 \int_0^1 dx x^{2\gamma-2} \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{(1+y/x)^{\gamma-1}}{y}}{\int_0^1 x^{2\gamma-2} dx}. \quad (32)$$

The double integral $\mathcal{I}(\gamma)$ coincides with the usual continuum evaluation of the forward BFKL kernel on the Mellin test function $x^{\gamma-1}$. A direct way to see this is to change variables to $z = y/x$

and integrate over the triangular domain $\{(x, z) : x \in (0, 1), z \in (0, (1-x)/x)\}$, which yields the classic series representation

$$\mathcal{I}(\gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+\gamma} - \frac{1}{n+1-\gamma} \right] = 2\psi(1) - \psi(\gamma) - \psi(1-\gamma) = \chi(\gamma), \quad (33)$$

where we used the standard series for the digamma function

$$\psi(z) = -\gamma_E + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{n+z} \right), \quad (34)$$

valid for $z \in (0, 1)$. This reproduces the known continuum eigenvalue $\chi(\gamma)$.

The diagonal part of the numerator is

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}^{\text{diag}}(\gamma) = -2 \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} H_{i-1} i^{2\gamma-2}. \quad (35)$$

Using the asymptotic expansion $H_{i-1} = \log i + \gamma_E + \mathcal{O}(1/i)$ uniformly for $i \geq \varepsilon N$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}^{\text{diag}}(\gamma) = -2 \sum_{i \in I_\varepsilon} (\log i + \gamma_E) i^{2\gamma-2} + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)/N). \quad (36)$$

Dividing by $\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)$ and rescaling $i = Nx$ as before, we find

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{N,\varepsilon}^{\text{diag}}(\gamma)}{\mathcal{D}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)} = -2 \left[\log N + \gamma_E \right] - 2 \frac{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} x^{2\gamma-2} \log x \, dx}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} x^{2\gamma-2} \, dx} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right). \quad (37)$$

The term proportional to $\log N$ is an artefact of having separated \hat{H}_N into off-diagonal and diagonal pieces. A more uniform treatment shows that the off-diagonal part also contains a contribution $2[\log N + \gamma_E]$, originating from the logarithmic behaviour of the y -integrals when they are rewritten in terms of $\log x$ and $\log(1-x)$. This piece is not visible in (32) because the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ was taken together with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, leaving only the finite remainder $I(\gamma)$. When the off-diagonal and diagonal contributions are combined before taking these limits, the terms $\pm 2[\log N + \gamma_E]$ cancel exactly.

Putting together the off-diagonal and diagonal pieces, and using the denominator asymptotics (27), one arrives at

$$\mathcal{R}_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \chi(\gamma) + \delta_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma), \quad (38)$$

with an error term satisfying

$$|\delta_{N,\varepsilon}(\gamma)| \leq C_\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{N} + \varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (39)$$

for some constant C_ε depending only on ε , and with bounds uniform for γ in compact subsets of $(0, 1)$. The term of order $1/N$ comes from the Riemann-sum approximation to the bulk integrals, while the $\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)$ term arises from the treatment of the small caps near the endpoints $x = \varepsilon$ and $x = 1 - \varepsilon$.

The argument follows the continuum evaluation of the BFKL kernel on powers $x^{\gamma-1}$, but here the harmonic numbers encode the discrete sums and the window I_ε guarantees that boundary terms are small. The cancellation between harmonic numbers and infinite series leaves precisely the digamma combination above. Mathematically, this is the Rayleigh–Ritz method [21]. We approximate an eigenvector by the trial function $i^{\gamma-1}$ in the bulk and evaluate the Rayleigh quotient.

By the Rayleigh–Ritz principle [21, 22, 23], the largest eigenvalue of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{N,\varepsilon}$ is bounded from above by $\sup_{\gamma \in (0,1)} \chi(\gamma) = 4 \log 2$. Evaluating the Rayleigh quotient at $\gamma = 1/2$ shows that the largest bulk eigenvalue satisfies

$$\lambda_{\max}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{N,\varepsilon}) = 4 \log 2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N} + \varepsilon \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (40)$$

Thus the leading eigenvalue converges to the continuum BFKL intercept in the bulk limit.

It is instructive to change variables to a logarithmic coordinate

$$t = \log i. \quad (41)$$

The bulk window I_ε in the site index now maps to an interval $[T_-, T_+]$ in t of fixed length

$$L = T_+ - T_- = \log \frac{(1-\varepsilon)N}{\varepsilon N} = \log \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}. \quad (42)$$

In this variable, the continuum Mellin modes $i^{-1/2+i\nu}$ become plane waves

$$i^{-1/2+i\nu} = \exp\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right)t\right] \quad (43)$$

propagating along the t -axis. If the window were infinite in t , these would be genuine momentum eigenstates labelled by the real parameter ν . The finite bulk window instead acts as a one-dimensional resonator. The two endpoints T_\pm are partially reflecting walls in log-space, so Mellin waves interfere and build up standing-wave patterns inside the cavity. This Fabry–Perot picture is completely analogous to the quantization of longitudinal modes in a plane-parallel optical cavity [24], see Fig. 2.

To make this more explicit, let $\psi(t)$ denote a bulk eigenvector written in the logarithmic coordinate. In the interior of the window, where the potential V_i in (10) is slowly varying, the eigenvalue equation is approximately diagonalized by Mellin waves. We can therefore write

$$\psi(t) \simeq a_+ e^{i\nu t} + a_- e^{-i\nu t}, \quad (44)$$

with some complex amplitudes a_\pm . Reflection from the left and right edges of the window generates a phase shift $\delta(\nu)$ which relates a_+ and a_- . Matching a plane wave to a pair of reflecting walls then leads to the quantization condition

$$\nu_n L + 2\delta(\nu_n) = \pi\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (45)$$

where the half-integer shift encodes the approximate nodes at each effective wall, as in a Fabry–Perot cavity. The phase $\delta(\nu)$ summarizes the detailed microscopic structure of the boundaries. For our purposes it is enough to know that $\delta(\nu)$ is a smooth function which vanishes at $\nu = 0$ and remains small for the lowest modes.

In the bulk, the eigenvalues are therefore approximated by

$$\lambda_n(N, \varepsilon) \simeq \chi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu_n\right), \quad \nu_n \text{ determined by (45)}, \quad (46)$$

up to corrections of order $1/L^2$ coming from the slow variation of the potential and from the discrete nature of the lattice. This is again a Rayleigh–Ritz construction [21], now in the logarithmic coordinate. We approximate the true eigenfunctions by the two-parameter family $e^{\pm i\nu t}$, impose an effective Dirichlet condition at T_\pm , and use the Rayleigh quotient to read off the approximate eigenvalues.

Expanding the characteristic function around its maximum at $\gamma = 1/2$,

$$\chi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right) = 4 \log 2 - 14 \zeta(3) \nu^2 + \mathcal{O}(\nu^4), \quad (47)$$

and inserting the quantized values of ν_n from (45), one finds, for the first few levels and for $1 \leq n \ll L$,

$$\lambda_n(N, \varepsilon) = 4 \log 2 - \frac{14 \zeta(3) \pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{L^3} + \frac{1}{L^4}\right). \quad (48)$$

The $\zeta(3)$ coefficient that controls the curvature of χ at its maximum thus directly governs the L^{-2} spacing of the low-lying discrete spectrum. This analytic Fabry–Perot/Rayleigh picture explains in a compact way the discrete levels observed numerically in the semi-infinite matrix analysis of the BFKL equation [12] and, more recently, in the Lindblad evolution of the BFKL density matrix and its von Neumann entropy [13]. In particular, it clarifies why the curvature of $\chi(\gamma)$ at $\gamma = 1/2$, proportional to $\zeta(3)$, is the universal parameter that controls the spacing of the leading eigenvalues. This is the first place where the odd-zeta pattern appears; it will re-emerge in a more elaborate form in the twist-two anomalous dimension.

$$\psi_n(t) \propto \sin(\nu_n(t - T_-))$$

Figure 2: Logarithmic lattice coordinate $t = \log i$. The bulk window $[T_-, T_+]$ acts as a one-dimensional Fabry–Perot cavity in log-space. Mellin waves $i^{-1/2+i\nu}$ become standing waves with nodes near the effective walls, leading to the quantization condition (45). This is an illustrative sketch, in an ideal optical cavity the mode spacing is uniform and the levels are strictly parallel, since the length L and the reflection phase δ are constant. In the lattice case, however, the effective cavity is only approximate and the drift potential remains slowly varying in the bulk and the reflection phase $\delta(\nu)$ acquires a mild ν -dependence determined by the infrared and ultraviolet cutoffs. As a result, the discrete levels need not be perfectly parallel and small deviations from parallelism simply encode these boundary effects and do not affect the leading Fabry–Perot interpretation.

4 Walk expansion and vertex-dressed resolvent

The discrete Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N = A - 2D$ generates an evolution

$$d^{(0)} = \mathbf{e}_{N_0}, \quad d^{(r+1)} = (A - 2D) d^{(r)}, \quad (49)$$

where \mathbf{e}_{N_0} is a unit source at site N_0 and $d^{(r)}$ is the result after r steps. The component at site n is

$$d_n^{(r)} = \langle e_n, (A - 2D)^r e_{N_0} \rangle. \quad (50)$$

We now expand $(A - 2D)^r$ as a sum over words made from A and D and interpret each term as a walk on the lattice.

Consider an ordered word \mathbf{s} of length r in the alphabet $\{A, D\}$, with j occurrences of A and $r - j$ occurrences of D . The j occurrences of A define the positions where real hops occur and the D 's fill the gaps between hops and at the ends. Reading \mathbf{s} from right to left, acting on e_{N_0} , the j letters A generate a sequence of sites

$$(m_0, \dots, m_j), \quad m_0 = n, \quad m_j = N_0, \quad m_{i+1} \neq m_i, \quad (51)$$

which we interpret as a path from n to N_0 with j hops. Each hop $m_i \rightarrow m_{i+1}$ contributes the factor $1/|m_i - m_{i+1}|$ from A . Between consecutive A 's there are $j + 1$ blocks of D 's, of lengths $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_j$ with $\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_j = r - j$. The block of D 's at position ℓ contributes a factor $(H_{m_\ell-1})^{\alpha_\ell}$.

Summing over all ways to place the $r - j$ D 's among the $j + 1$ blocks produces the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial of degree $r - j$ in the variables $H_{m_0-1}, \dots, H_{m_j-1}$,

$$h_{r-j}(H_{m_0-1}, \dots, H_{m_j-1}) = \sum_{\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_j = r-j} \prod_{\ell=0}^j (H_{m_\ell-1})^{\alpha_\ell}. \quad (52)$$

The factor $(-2)^{r-j}$ arises from the coefficient of D in $A - 2D$. Putting everything together, one finds

$$d_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=0}^r (-2)^{r-j} \sum_{(m_0, \dots, m_j) \in \mathcal{P}_j(n \rightarrow N_0)} \left[h_{r-j}(H_{m_0-1}, \dots, H_{m_j-1}) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{|m_i - m_{i+1}|} \right], \quad (53)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_j(n \rightarrow N_0)$ is the set of paths (m_0, \dots, m_j) of length j with $m_0 = n$, $m_j = N_0$ and $m_{i+1} \neq m_i$.

This formula is exact for any finite N . The case $r = 0$ gives $d_n^{(0)} = \delta_{n, N_0}$, as it should. For $r = 1$ one finds

$$d_n^{(1)} = \frac{1 - \delta_{n, N_0}}{|n - N_0|} - 2H_{n-1}\delta_{n, N_0}, \quad (54)$$

corresponding to a single hop or a pure virtual correction at the source site. For $r = 2$ one recovers the decomposition into double hops, mixed real-virtual contributions and double virtual insertions that we will use as a benchmark later.

To resum the walk expansion in a compact way, we introduce the ordinary generating function

$$\mathcal{G}_n(z) = \sum_{r \geq 0} d_n^{(r)} z^r = [(I - z(A - 2D))^{-1}]_{n, N_0}. \quad (55)$$

This is the resolvent of $A - 2D$. Inserting (53) and using the generating function of the h_k ,

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} h_k(x_0, \dots, x_j) u^k = \prod_{\ell=0}^j \frac{1}{1 - u x_\ell}, \quad (56)$$

with $u = -2z$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j \sum_{(m_0, \dots, m_j) \in \mathcal{P}_j(n \rightarrow N_0)} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{|m_i - m_{i+1}|} \right] \left[\prod_{i=0}^j \frac{1}{1 + 2z H_{m_i-1}} \right]. \quad (57)$$

Each path contributes a product of edge factors $1/|m_i - m_{i+1}|$, as before, and vertex factors $(1 + 2z H_{m_i-1})^{-1}$ that resum all repeated virtual insertions at that site. This is the discrete analogue of dressing propagators by virtual corrections, with the vertex factors playing the rôle of local reggeized propagators in the bulk (see Fig. 3).

The resolvent also admits a spectral representation. Since $A - 2D$ is real symmetric, there exist orthonormal eigenvectors $|\psi_L\rangle$ and eigenvalues λ_L such that

$$\mathcal{G}_n(z) = \sum_{L=1}^N \frac{\psi_L(n) \psi_L(N_0)}{1 - z \lambda_L}. \quad (58)$$




Figure 3: A path (m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3) contributing to the walk expansion (53). Each hop carries an edge factor $1/|m_i - m_{i+1}|$, while all virtual insertions at a given site m_i are resummed into the vertex factor $(1 + 2zH_{m_i-1})^{-1}$ in the resolvent (57).

Poles of $\mathcal{G}_n(z)$ occur at $z = \lambda_L^{-1}$ and have factorized residues. In a Laplace-conjugate variable ω to rapidity Y , one would write instead

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\omega) = [(\omega I - (A - 2D))^{-1}]_{n, N_0} = \sum_{L=1}^N \frac{\psi_L(n) \psi_L(N_0)}{\omega - \lambda_L}, \quad (59)$$

so the eigenvalues λ_L appear as simple poles in ω . Amplitudes between external impact factors inherit this pole structure and take the Regge-pole form

$$\mathcal{A}(s) \sim \sum_L \beta_A^{(L)} \beta_B^{(L)} \left(\frac{s}{s_0} \right)^{\alpha \lambda_L}, \quad (60)$$

with residues $\beta_{A,B}^{(L)}$ determined by projections onto eigenvectors. The leading contribution comes from the largest eigenvalue, which in the bulk approaches $4 \log 2$ as discussed above.

5 Two-step benchmark and the KZ letters

To fix the coefficients of the KZ connection from the lattice we study the evolution for two steps and work out its large-distance behaviour.

Let n and N_0 be two sites with $n > N_0$ and define the separation

$$\Delta = n - N_0. \quad (61)$$

After one step we have

$$d_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\Delta}, \quad d_{N_0}^{(1)} = -2H_{N_0-1}. \quad (62)$$

After two steps one finds

$$d_n^{(2)} = (A^2)_{n, N_0} - \frac{2(H_{n-1} + H_{N_0-1})}{\Delta}, \quad (A^2)_{n, N_0} = \sum_{m \neq n, N_0} \frac{1}{|n - m| |m - N_0|}. \quad (63)$$

We split the sum over m into three ranges: (left tail) $1 \leq m \leq N_0 - 1$, (middle strip) $N_0 + 1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, (right tail) $n + 1 \leq m \leq N$. In the middle strip, $N_0 < m < n$, we have

$$\sum_{m=N_0+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-m)(m-N_0)} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{m=N_0+1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{m-N_0} + \frac{1}{n-m} \right) = \frac{2}{\Delta} \sum_{q=1}^{\Delta-1} \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{\Delta} H_{\Delta-1}. \quad (64)$$

In the left tail, $m \leq N_0 - 1$, we write

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N_0-1} \frac{1}{(n-m)(N_0-m)} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{m=1}^{N_0-1} \left(\frac{1}{N_0-m} - \frac{1}{n-m} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta} (H_{N_0-1} - H_{n-1} + H_\Delta). \quad (65)$$

The right tail is similar,

$$\sum_{m=n+1}^N \frac{1}{(m-n)(m-N_0)} = \frac{1}{\Delta} (H_{N-n} - H_{N-N_0} + H_\Delta). \quad (66)$$

Adding the three ranges and using $H_{\Delta-1} + H_\Delta = 2H_{\Delta-1} + 1/\Delta$ leads to the compact expression

$$(A^2)_{n,N_0} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2} + \frac{4H_{\Delta-1}}{\Delta} + \frac{H_{N_0-1} - H_{n-1} + H_{N-n} - H_{N-N_0}}{\Delta}. \quad (67)$$

In the bulk regime where n , N_0 , $N - n$ and $N - N_0$ are all large and of order N , the differences of harmonic numbers in the last term stay bounded, while $H_{\Delta-1} = \log \Delta + \gamma_E + \mathcal{O}(1/\Delta)$. Hence

$$(A^2)_{n,N_0} = \frac{4 \log \Delta}{\Delta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right). \quad (68)$$

Substituting into $d_n^{(2)}$ one obtains

$$d_n^{(2)} = \frac{4 \log \Delta}{\Delta} - \frac{2(H_{n-1} + H_{N_0-1})}{\Delta} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right). \quad (69)$$

We now rescale away the $1/\Delta$ behaviour by defining

$$F_{n|N_0}^{(r)} = \Delta d_n^{(r)}, \quad x = \frac{\min\{n, N_0\}}{\max\{n, N_0\}} \in (0, 1). \quad (70)$$

With this normalization, $F_{n|N_0}^{(1)} = 1$ and

$$F_{n|N_0}^{(2)} = -2 \log x + 4 \log(1-x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right), \quad (71)$$

up to x -independent constants. Here we have used the bulk approximation $H_{k-1} = \log k + \gamma_E + \mathcal{O}(1/k)$ and replaced $\log n$ and $\log N_0$ by $\log(\max\{n, N_0\})$ plus functions of x and terms that do not depend on x . We have a combination of the form $A_0 H_0(x) + A_1 H_1(x)$, where $H_0(x) = \log x$ and $H_1(x) = -\log(1-x)$ are the weight-one harmonic polylogarithms on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ [7]. Comparing coefficients gives

$$A_0 = -2, \quad A_1 = -4. \quad (72)$$

These will be the coefficients of the KZ connection.

6 Difference-to-derivative mechanism and continuum operator

To pass from the discrete lattice index N_0 to a continuum variable x , we need a difference-to-derivative replacement that is valid in the bulk. We also need to control sums whose lower limit moves with N_0 and understand how these depend on N_0 when converted into integrals.

We first isolate a generic moving-limit Riemann sum and make the rôle of the discrete lower limit explicit, then explain how this mechanism is applied to the BFKL problem.

Introduce a macroscopic variable

$$x = \frac{N_0}{n} \in (0, 1) \quad (73)$$

and a continuous variable

$$y = \frac{m}{n} \in (0, \eta], \quad \eta = \frac{N}{n} \geq 1. \quad (74)$$

We consider the limit $n, N_0, N \rightarrow \infty$ with x and η fixed. The site $i = n$ is our observation site in the ultraviolet and N_0 is the position of the source. The separation $\Delta = n - N_0$ scales like $n(1 - x)$.

The sums over m that appear in the evolution have the general form

$$S_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=\lceil xn \rceil}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x\right), \quad (75)$$

for some smooth function $f(y, x)$ defined on $[0, 1]^2$. The lower limit is not the real number xn , but the first integer m such that $m/n \geq x$. Writing

$$k_n(x) \equiv \lceil xn \rceil, \quad (76)$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{k_n(x)}{n} - x \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad (77)$$

so $y_{k_n(x)} = k_n(x)/n$ is the discrete version of the moving lower integration limit $y = x$.

In the large- n limit, the sum (75) is a Riemann sum for the integral

$$S(x) \equiv \int_x^1 f(y, x) dy, \quad (78)$$

with a lower limit that moves with x . To understand how $S_n(x)$ varies when x is shifted by one lattice spacing $1/n$, note that (away from the negligible case where xn is an integer)

$$\lceil (x + \frac{1}{n})n \rceil = k_n(x) + 1.$$

Then

$$n S_n\left(x + \frac{1}{n}\right) = \sum_{m=k_n(x)+1}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (79)$$

$$n S_n(x) = \sum_{m=k_n(x)}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x\right), \quad (80)$$

so

$$n(S_n(x + \frac{1}{n}) - S_n(x)) = -f\left(\frac{k_n(x)}{n}, x\right) + \sum_{m=k_n(x)+1}^{n-1} \left[f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x\right) \right]. \quad (81)$$

The first term is the contribution of the single point $m = k_n(x)$ that is present at x but not at $x + 1/n$. The second term encodes the change of the integrand for all other m .

Assume that f is continuously differentiable in y and x , with bounded first derivatives on $[0, 1]^2$. A first-order Taylor expansion in x gives

$$f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{m}{n}, x\right) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\left(\frac{m}{n}, x\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad (82)$$

with an error uniform in m . Inserting this into (81) and using the continuity of f at $y = x$ yields

$$n(S_n(x + \frac{1}{n}) - S_n(x)) = \int_x^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(y, x) dy - f(x, x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (83)$$

where the sum over m has become a Riemann sum for the integral of $\partial_x f$ over $[x, 1]$. Equation (83) is the discrete analogue of the Leibniz rule for a parameter-dependent integral with a moving lower limit,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_x^1 f(y, x) dy = \int_x^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(y, x) dy - f(x, x). \quad (84)$$

The structure of (83) is precisely what we need in the lattice BFKL problem: the bulk contribution is an integral of $\partial f / \partial x$ over $[x, 1]$, while the only explicit boundary term is $-f(x, x)$, coming from the removal of the discrete point $y = x$ when x increases. In particular, if $f(x, x) = 0$ because the integrand is constructed to vanish at $y = x$, then the lower-endpoint contribution disappears and only the bulk integral survives.

Application to the BFKL kernel

We now return to the discrete BFKL evolution and explain how the moving-limit mechanism applies to the sums that actually appear in the problem.

After rescaling the iterates $d_m^{(r)}$ and factoring out the leading collinear behaviour, a typical contribution to the evolution at site n can be written schematically as²

$$\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{d_m^{(r)}}{|n - m|} = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{1}{|n - m|} \frac{F^{(r)}(m/n; x)}{n(m/n - x)}, \quad x = \frac{N_0}{n}, \quad (85)$$

for some rescaled profile $F^{(r)}(y; x)$ depending smoothly on the continuum variable $y = m/n$ and on the parameter x . The factor $1/|n - m|$ is the long-range real-emission kernel of the lattice BFKL Hamiltonian, while the prefactor $1/(n(m/n - x))$ encodes the collinear kinematics in the bulk. In the regime where $n, N_0, N \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed x , this sum is naturally interpreted as a Riemann sum on the y -lattice with spacing $1/n$.

The key observation is that we can write

$$F^{(r)}(y; x) = (y - x) \Phi^{(r)}(y; x), \quad (86)$$

with $\Phi^{(r)}$ smooth in y and x . By construction, $F^{(r)}(y; x)$ vanishes at the moving point $y = x$,

$$F^{(r)}(x; x) = 0. \quad (87)$$

This zero cancels the apparent pole at $m/n = x$ coming from $1/(m/n - x)$ in (85), so the summand is actually regular at $y = x$. In other words, the field we evolve has an automatic zero at the lower endpoint of the continuum integration domain.

We now view the sum in (85) as a moving-limit Riemann sum of the type analysed above. Up to factors that are smooth and slowly varying in m , it has the schematic form (75) for a suitable function $f(y, x)$ built from $F^{(r)}(y; x)$, the kernel $1/|n - m|$ and the kinematic prefactor $1/(y - x)$. The lower limit $\lceil xn \rceil$ enforces the condition $m/n \geq x$ on the lattice and is the discrete analogue of the moving lower bound $y = x$ in the continuum integral.

²This is precisely the contribution of the real-emission operator A in the recursion $d^{(r+1)} = (A - 2D) d^{(r)}$. The factor $1/[n(m/n - x)]$ isolates the leading collinear behaviour in the bulk, while the dependence on the macroscopic variable $x = N_0/n$ is factorised into the profile $F^{(r)}(y; x)$. This notation makes the transition from the discrete sum over m to its continuum interpretation as a moving-limit Riemann sum fully transparent.

From the general identity (83), we know that in the large- n limit this is equivalent to the continuum Leibniz rule. In the BFKL case, the choice

$$f(y, x) \sim \frac{F^{(r)}(y; x)}{y - x} \quad (88)$$

together with $F^{(r)}(x; x) = 0$ implies that $f(x, x) = 0$: the lower-endpoint term vanishes because the integrand is actually regular at $y = x$. As a result, the moving lower limit does not generate any extra boundary contribution and only the bulk integral survives in the continuum limit. This is the reason why no principal-value prescription is needed at $y = x$.³

The only non-removable singularity in the kernel is at the upper endpoint $y = 1$. There, one has

$$\frac{F^{(r)}(y; x)}{1 - y} \sim \frac{(1 - x) \Phi^{(r)}(1; x)}{1 - y} \quad (y \rightarrow 1), \quad (89)$$

so the integral over a small symmetric neighbourhood $(1 - \delta, 1 + \delta)$ produces a logarithmic divergence

$$(1 - x) \Phi^{(r)}(1; x) \log \frac{1}{\delta}. \quad (90)$$

On the lattice, the natural resolution scale of this cap is set by the spacing, so $\delta \sim 1/n$, and the divergence appears as $\log n$. This logarithm is canceled by the virtual subtraction, which contributes a term $2H_{n-1} \mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x)$ with

$$H_{n-1} = \log n + \gamma_E + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (91)$$

The divergent piece of the cap integral matches the $\log n$ term in the harmonic number, and the finite part of the cap combines with the finite part of H_{n-1} into an x -independent constant. This constant only modifies the overall normalization and can be absorbed into a redefinition of the impact factors.

After these cancellations, the continuum limit of the rescaled evolution is governed by an integral operator

$$\mathcal{H}F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \frac{F(y; x)}{y} dy + \frac{1}{1 - x} \int_x^1 \frac{F(y; x)}{1 - y} dy, \quad (92)$$

built from the two Euler kernels dy/y and $dy/(1 - y)$. Differentiating this expression with respect to x and using the discrete-to-continuum rule (83) then produces the scalar KZ equation that governs the bulk observable $\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x)$ in the next section.

7 Abelian KZ equation and harmonic polylogarithms

Bringing these ingredients together, we now derive the KZ equation for the bulk observable and solve it in terms of harmonic polylogarithms.

Let

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x) = F_{n|N_0}^{(r)} \quad (93)$$

be the rescaled observable at $i = n$ after r steps, written as a function of $x = N_0/n$. The discrete evolution equation, after rescaling and cancellation of the caps around $y = 1$, gives

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r+1)}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \frac{F^{(r)}(y; x)}{y} dy + \frac{1}{1 - x} \int_x^1 \frac{F^{(r)}(y; x)}{1 - y} dy + \text{corrections}, \quad (94)$$

³The statement “ $F(x; x) = 0$ implies $f(x, x) = 0$ ” should be understood in a non-literal sense. What is needed for the moving-limit identity (83) is not that $f(x, x)$ vanishes exactly, but that the integrand in (85) has no singular $1/(y - x)$ behaviour at $y = x$. Writing $F^{(r)}(y; x) = (y - x) \Phi^{(r)}(y; x)$ guarantees that $F^{(r)}(y; x)/(y - x) = \Phi^{(r)}(y; x)$ is regular at $y = x$. Hence the boundary term $-f(x, x)$ in (83) is finite and suppressed by $1/n$, and its contribution is absorbed into the $O(1/n)$ corrections. In this sense the condition $F(x; x) = 0$ simply ensures the absence of a dangerous endpoint singularity, rather than imposing $f(x, x) = 0$ in a strict algebraic way.

where the corrections are suppressed in the bulk by factors of $1/n$ and $1/\Delta$. Differentiating with respect to x and using $F^{(r)}(x; x) = 0$ gives

$$\frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{F}^{(r+1)}(x) = \left(\frac{A_0}{x} + \frac{A_1}{1-x} \right) \mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x), \quad (95)$$

with $A_0 = -2$, $A_1 = -4$ fixed by the two-step benchmark (note that this equation is followed by SVHPLs in (3.36) of [25]). This is the scalar, abelian KZ equation on $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, in the simplest two-point representation. In our case the connection one-form is

$$\Omega(x) = \left(\frac{A_0}{x} + \frac{A_1}{1-x} \right) dx. \quad (96)$$

The initial condition is $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x) = 1$.

It is often convenient to introduce the generating function

$$\mathcal{F}(x; z) = \sum_{r \geq 1} z^{r-1} \mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x). \quad (97)$$

Multiplying (95) by z^r and summing over $r \geq 1$ gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{F}(x; z) = z \left(\frac{A_0}{x} + \frac{A_1}{1-x} \right) \mathcal{F}(x; z). \quad (98)$$

Solving this first-order ordinary differential equation gives

$$\mathcal{F}(x; z) = C(z) x^{A_0 z} (1-x)^{-A_1 z}, \quad (99)$$

where $C(z)$ is an x -independent normalization fixed by the initial condition. Setting $\mathcal{F}(x; 0) = \mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x) = 1$ implies $C(0) = 1$. For our purposes, the overall normalization in x is not essential, so we usually work with

$$\mathcal{F}(x; z) = x^{A_0 z} (1-x)^{-A_1 z}. \quad (100)$$

Expanding in z gives

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} (A_0 \log x - A_1 \log(1-x))^{r-1} \quad (101)$$

up to x -independent constants. This shows explicitly that $\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r-1$ in $H_0(x) = \log x$ and $H_1(x) = -\log(1-x)$, hence a harmonic polylogarithm of weight $r-1$ on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ [7]. Replacing powers of $\log x$ and $\log(1-x)$ by their integral representations gives an alternative description in terms of iterated integrals of the one-forms dx/x and $dx/(1-x)$.

The HPL structure can also be seen recursively. Suppose that

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{|w|=r-1} c_w^{(r)} H_w(x), \quad (102)$$

where the sum runs over all words w in $\{0, 1\}$ of length $r-1$ and $H_w(x)$ is the corresponding HPL. Differentiating gives

$$\frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{|u|=r-2} \left(\frac{c_{0u}^{(r)}}{x} + \frac{c_{1u}^{(r)}}{1-x} \right) H_u(x). \quad (103)$$

Inserting into (95) and comparing coefficients shows that the $c_w^{(r)}$ satisfy a simple combinatorial recursion and that $\mathcal{F}^{(r+1)}(x)$ remains a linear combination of $H_w(x)$ with $|w| = r$. This is another way of seeing that the KZ equation preserves the HPL structure.

8 Single-logarithmic sector and twist-two anomalous dimension

In this section we focus on the collinear single-logarithmic sector and explain how the KZ hierarchy fixes the coefficients in the small- ω expansion of the twist-two anomalous dimension.

We start from the rapidity evolution generated by the lattice Hamiltonian,

$$\phi_n(Y) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha Y)^r}{r!} d_n^{(r)}, \quad (104)$$

with initial condition $\phi_n(0) = \delta_{n, N_0}$. The Laplace transform in Y ,

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(\omega) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega Y} \phi_n(Y) dY, \quad (105)$$

can be written as

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(\omega) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^r}{\omega^{r+1}} d_n^{(r)}. \quad (106)$$

In the collinear regime where $n \gg N_0$ and both sites lie in the bulk window, the iterates $d_n^{(r)}$ are controlled by the KZ solution and behave as

$$d_n^{(r)} \sim \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log^{r-1}(n/N_0)}{(r-1)!}, \quad (107)$$

up to terms that are less singular when $n/N_0 \rightarrow \infty$. This is the regime in which the usual twist-two anomalous dimensions are extracted in continuum treatments.

It is useful to recall what is meant by “twist-two anomalous dimension” in this context. In the standard collinear framework one considers gauge-invariant local operators of minimal twist (dimension minus spin), such as the quark operators

$$\mathcal{O}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_S}(0) \sim \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma^{(\mu_1} D^{\mu_2} \dots D^{\mu_S)} \psi(0), \quad (108)$$

or their gluonic counterparts, with S covariant derivatives along the light-cone direction. Renormalisation relates the value of these operators at different hard scales Q , and the corresponding anomalous dimension $\gamma(S, \alpha_s)$ determines the scale dependence of deep-inelastic structure functions and parton distributions. In Mellin N -space, where one studies moments $F_N(Q^2)$ of structure functions, the DGLAP equation takes the diagonal form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \log Q^2} F_N(Q^2) = -\gamma(N, \alpha_s) F_N(Q^2), \quad (109)$$

so that $\gamma(N, \alpha_s)$ is the eigenvalue of the collinear evolution kernel acting on twist-two operators. In planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory, the same function appears as the anomalous dimension of twist-two operators in the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ sector and is known to high loop order from integrability [18, 19, 30].

The quantity $\gamma_\omega(\alpha)$ that we use here is the analytic continuation of $\gamma(N, \alpha)$ to complex Mellin variable, with ω playing the rôle of $N - 1$, and at the same time serving as the Mellin variable conjugate to the high-energy parameter Y (or equivalently to $\log(1/x)$) in the small- x regime. We are interested in the kinematical region where $s \gg |t|$, $x \ll 1$ and transverse momenta remain strongly ordered, so that both Regge and collinear logarithms are important. In this mixed Regge–collinear limit, the behaviour of $\tilde{\phi}_n(\omega)$ near $\omega = 0$ encodes the small- x continuation of the twist-two anomalous dimension.

The statement that $\gamma_\omega(\alpha)$ is “encoded in the coefficients of single logarithms” can be made precise as follows. Before taking the Mellin transform in Y , single powers of the collinear logarithm $\log(Q^2)$ at order α^{s+1} appear in the evolution of parton distributions as

$$\alpha^{s+1} \log(Q^2),$$

while higher powers of the logarithm correspond to double or multiple collinear logs. After Mellin transformation, single logarithms at order α^{s+1} translate into terms proportional to $(\alpha/\omega)^s$ in the expansion of $\tilde{\phi}_n(\omega)$ around $\omega = 0$, whereas higher powers of the logarithm would give higher powers of $1/\omega$. The twist-two anomalous dimension in the Regge-collinear limit can therefore be organised as the series

$$\gamma_\omega(\alpha) = \sum_{s \geq 1} \alpha_1^{(s+1)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\omega} \right)^s, \quad (110)$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_1^{(s+1)}$ collect precisely the single-logarithmic contributions at order α^{s+1} .

To extract these coefficients from the KZ solution, it is convenient to work directly with harmonic polylogarithms. The functions $\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x)$ that describe the rescaled bulk evolution at r steps are linear combinations of HPLs,

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{|w|=r-1} c_w^{(r)} H_w(x), \quad (111)$$

where w runs over words in the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ and $H_w(x)$ denotes the corresponding harmonic polylogarithm. Near $x = 0$ each $\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x)$ can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} a_p^{(r)} \log^p x + \text{terms that vanish faster as } x \rightarrow 0. \quad (112)$$

The terms with $p = 1$ are the single-logarithmic contributions; constant terms and higher powers of $\log x$ do not contribute to the leading singularity in ω .

Brown's single-valued map [8, 9] translates ordinary harmonic polylogarithms into their single-valued counterparts. At $x = 0$ this map produces combinations of odd zeta values, while words ending with the letter 1 map to zero. More concretely, if a word w ends with 1 then $H_w(0)$ is set to zero in the single-valued theory. Non-vanishing constants come from words ending with 0, and their values lie in the \mathbb{Q} -linear span of $\zeta(2k+1)$.

This suggests a simple way of isolating the single-logarithmic sector. We introduce a projector that acts on HPLs by

$$\Pi_{\log}[H_{v0^p}(x)] = \begin{cases} \log x H_v^{\text{sv}}(0), & p = 1, \\ 0, & p \neq 1, \end{cases} \quad (113)$$

and set $\Pi_{\log}[H_w(x)] = 0$ if w does not end with at least one 0. Here $H_v^{\text{sv}}(0)$ denotes the single-valued value of $H_v(x)$ at $x = 0$, which belongs to the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by odd zeta values and vanishes when v ends with 1. Applying Π_{\log} to the KZ solution amounts to keeping only those pieces that contribute a single power of $\log x$ with single-valued coefficients.

Once the projector has been applied and the result is expressed in Mellin space, one can organise the coefficients $\alpha_1^{(s+1)}$ in (110) into a generating function. It is convenient to introduce

$$M(t) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \zeta(2\ell+1) t^{2\ell+1}, \quad D = t \frac{d}{dt}, \quad (114)$$

and to consider the formal series

$$\mathbf{C}(t) = 1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{2^r}{r} \binom{D}{r-1} M(t)^r = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n. \quad (115)$$

The coefficients a_n in this expansion contain the same information as the single-logarithmic coefficients in Mellin space. One finds

$$\alpha_1^{(s)} = a_{s-2}, \quad s \geq 2. \quad (116)$$

The numbers a_n admit an explicit combinatorial representation in terms of exponential partial Bell polynomials. If we define

$$y_k = \begin{cases} k! \zeta(k), & k \text{ odd and } k \geq 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (117)$$

then

$$a_n = \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} \frac{2^r}{(n-r+1)!} B_{n,r}(y_1, \dots, y_n), \quad (118)$$

where $B_{n,r}$ are the usual Bell polynomials. Expanding the first few coefficients gives (see [1])

n	a_n
0	1
1	0
2	0
3	$2 \zeta_3$
4	0
5	$2 \zeta_5$
6	$12 \zeta_3^2$
7	$2 \zeta_7$
8	$32 \zeta_3 \zeta_5$
9	$96 \zeta_3^3 + 2 \zeta_9$
10	$20 \zeta_5^2 + 40 \zeta_3 \zeta_7$
11	$440 \zeta_3^2 \zeta_5 + 2 \zeta_{11}$
12	$880 \zeta_3^4 + 48 \zeta_5 \zeta_7 + 48 \zeta_3 \zeta_9$
\vdots	\vdots

(119)

and so on. All coefficients lie in the ring $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_3, \zeta_5, \dots]$. The resulting pattern agrees with what is known from integrability in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory [18, 19, 30] and with the appearance of single-valued harmonic polylogarithms in multi-Regge kinematics [10]. In the lattice/KZ framework, this structure emerges from a single scalar KZ equation together with Brown’s single-valued map and the projection to the single-logarithmic sector.

9 Worked low-step examples

For completeness, it is useful to write the first few iterates explicitly. Starting from $d_n^{(0)} = \delta_{n,N_0}$, one finds

$$d_n^{(1)} = \frac{1 - \delta_{n,N_0}}{|n - N_0|} - 2H_{n-1} \delta_{n,N_0}. \quad (120)$$

In terms of the rescaled observable

$$F^{(1)}(x) = (n - N_0) d_n^{(1)}, \quad (121)$$

this gives $F^{(1)}(x) = 1$ for $n \neq N_0$ in the bulk. The two-step expression was discussed in detail above and leads to

$$F^{(2)}(x) = -2 \log x + 4 \log(1 - x) + \text{constant} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right). \quad (122)$$

At three steps, combining the KZ recursion and explicit summations gives

$$F^{(3)}(x) = 4 H_{00}(x) + 8 H_{01}(x) + 8 H_{10}(x) + 16 H_{11}(x) + \text{constant terms}, \quad (123)$$

where $H_{ab}(x)$ are weight-two HPLs. When expanded in logarithms and dilogarithms, this becomes

$$F^{(3)}(x) = 6 \left[\frac{1}{12} \log^2 x + 2 \log^2(1-x) - \log x \log(1-x) + \text{Li}_2(x) \right] + \text{constants}, \quad (124)$$

consistent with the structure expected from the KZ equation.

These explicit formulas can be used as a numerical check of the bulk approximation and as a starting point for studying boundary effects or finite-size corrections. Note that they are in perfect agreement with the azimuthal-angle averaged analytic results in [31] (the main reference is [10]).

10 Further connections

The lattice BFKL Hamiltonian in (3) sits in a corner of a larger integrable structure. It shares its essential features with the non-compact $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ (often denoted $\text{XXX}_{s=-1/2}$) spin chains that control twist-two anomalous dimensions and their spinning-string duals on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [18, 20, 26, 27, 30]. Analytically, it fits into the framework of Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations, Gaudin models and Bethe ansatz [14, 15, 16, 29].

For any initial state $|\phi_0\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^N$ one can write

$$|\phi(Y)\rangle = e^{\alpha Y \hat{H}_N} |\phi_0\rangle = \sum_{L=1}^N e^{\alpha \lambda_L Y} |\psi_L\rangle \langle \psi_L | \phi_0\rangle. \quad (125)$$

Forward scattering between impact factors $|A\rangle$ and $|B\rangle$ therefore takes the form

$$\mathcal{A}_{AB}(Y) = \sum_{L=1}^N \beta_A^{(L)} \beta_B^{(L)} e^{\alpha \lambda_L Y}, \quad \beta_A^{(L)} = \langle A | \psi_L \rangle, \quad \beta_B^{(L)} = \langle \psi_L | B \rangle, \quad (126)$$

with λ_L playing the rôle of Regge exponents and $\beta_A^{(L)} \beta_B^{(L)}$ supplying factorised residues. The bulk spectral analysis in Section 3 showed that, away from the lattice boundaries, eigenvectors of \hat{H}_N are well approximated by discrete Mellin waves (22) with eigenvalues $\lambda(\nu) \simeq \chi(\gamma)$ governed by the characteristic function. Introducing the logarithmic variable $t = \log i$, the bulk window I_ε maps to an interval $[T_-, T_+]$ of length $L = T_+ - T_-$, and the Mellin waves become plane waves in t . The effective one-dimensional Schrödinger problem in this coordinate leads to the Fabry–Perot quantisation condition (45), where the reflection phase $\delta(\nu)$ encodes the detailed infrared and ultraviolet boundary conditions. This equation has the same structure as a one-rapidity Bethe equation for an open non-compact $\text{XXX}_{s=-1/2}$ spin chain of length $L_{\text{sc}} \propto L$ [26, 27, 28]. In that language ν plays the rôle of a non-compact magnon momentum and

$$E(\nu) \equiv \chi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right) \quad (127)$$

is the one-magnon dispersion relation, while the regulators enter through the reflection phase $\delta(\nu)$.

The non-compact $\text{XXX}_{s=-1/2}$ spin chain [26, 27, 28] is the standard integrable model underlying high-energy QCD in the Regge limit. Each site carries an infinite-dimensional lowest-weight representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with conformal spin $s = -\frac{1}{2}$, and the nearest-neighbour Hamiltonian density is a function of the total $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ Casimir. For two sites this Hamiltonian coincides with the holomorphic part of the BFKL kernel for two reggeised gluons [20, 26]:

$$H_{12} = 2\psi(1) - \psi(J) - \psi(1-J), \quad (128)$$

where J is the total $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ spin in the tensor product of the two $s = -\frac{1}{2}$ representations. The spectrum is labelled by the principal-series parameter

$$J = \frac{1 + |n|}{2} + i\nu, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (129)$$

and one finds

$$H_{12}(n, \nu) = 2\psi(1) - \psi\left(\frac{1+|n|}{2} + i\nu\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1+|n|}{2} - i\nu\right). \quad (130)$$

For the forward case $n = 0$ this reduces to the characteristic function evaluated at $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + i\nu$,

$$H_{12}(0, \nu) = \chi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right), \quad (131)$$

so the continuum BFKL Hamiltonian for two reggeised gluons is identical to the two-site non-compact $\text{XXX}_{s=-1/2}$ Hamiltonian.

The integrable structure is often described in the Bethe-ansatz language. The non-compact XXX_s chain admits an algebraic Bethe ansatz with a set of Bethe roots $\{u_k\}$ solving rational Bethe equations [27, 28]. For length $L_{\text{sc}} = 2$ and fixed conformal spin n , there is a single effective rapidity u fixed, up to analytic continuation, by the representation label J . Equivalently, the Baxter Q -operator eigenvalue can be written in terms of Gamma functions as

$$Q(u) \propto \frac{\Gamma(J + iu)}{\Gamma(J - iu)}, \quad (132)$$

so its zeros and poles encode the Bethe roots and their mirror images. All local conserved charges Q_r of the chain can then be expressed as symmetric combinations of these roots, or equivalently as polygamma combinations of J ; for instance,

$$Q_2 \propto H_{12}, \quad Q_r \propto \psi^{(r-1)}(J) + (-1)^r \psi^{(r-1)}(1 - J), \quad r \geq 3, \quad (133)$$

up to conventional normalisations. On the principal-series trajectory $J = (1 + |n|)/2 + i\nu$, these charges become explicit polynomials in ν^2 with coefficients built from odd zeta values, in close analogy with the expansion of the BFKL characteristic function and with the higher charges of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ sector in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory [18, 30].

The discrete Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$ is a finite-dimensional regularisation of the two-site $\text{XXX}_{s=-1/2}$ Hamiltonian in the $n = 0$ sector. In the bulk window its eigenvalues are well approximated by (46) with ν_n fixed by the Fabry–Perot or Bethe condition (45). The lattice BFKL model can therefore be viewed as the one-magnon slice of the two-site non-compact spin chain, where the continuous principal-series label ν is discretised by the finite logarithmic length L . The effective one-particle dispersion $E(\nu)$ is the same in the continuum and on the lattice, up to controlled finite-size corrections, and the odd-zeta hierarchy that appears in the expansion of $E(\nu)$ and of the higher conserved charges Q_r is inherited by the spectrum of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N$.

The continuum limit of the bulk evolution leads to the scalar KZ equation (95). Its solutions are harmonic polylogarithms on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ [7]. Through Brown’s single-valued map [8, 9], these are promoted to single-valued harmonic polylogarithms, which appear in the description of multi-Regge amplitudes [10, 25] and in explicit solutions of the BFKL equation at fixed conformal spin [10, 31]. From the Gaudin/KZ perspective [14, 16, 15, 29], the Mellin parameter ν plays the rôle of a Bethe root, and the Fabry–Perot quantisation (45) can be interpreted as the saddle-point condition of the simplest hypergeometric integrals that solve the KZ equation (we will come back to this point in future works).

The coefficients of the twist-two anomalous dimension in the small- ω expansion are organised by the generating function (115). They form polynomials in odd zeta values and match the structure found from integrability in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory [18]. In this language the single-valued KZ solution encodes the one-particle spectrum of the non-compact spin chain

in a polylogarithmic basis directly adapted to high-energy scattering. The lattice BFKL model thus offers a compact setting in which Regge poles, non-compact magnons, Bethe quantisation, KZ monodromy, single-valued multiple polylogarithms and odd-zeta transcendentality can all be traced back to a single finite-dimensional Hamiltonian.

11 Conclusions

The analysis of a lattice discretisation of the forward BFKL kernel reveals a coherent picture that links high-energy QCD to integrable systems and to the theory of polylogarithms. The Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_N = A - 2D$ provides a finite-dimensional model in which a basic quadratic-form identity separates a bounded drift potential from a nonlocal diffusive term. In a bulk window this identity clarifies how the Mellin spectrum of the continuum kernel is discretised by an effective finite logarithmic length, and how the leading eigenvalues reproduce the BFKL characteristic function and its curvature around the intercept.

A walk expansion and vertex-dressed resolvent supply a concrete combinatorial realisation of BFKL evolution as sums over lattice paths with resummed virtual corrections. Each hop contributes a long-range factor $1/|i - j|$, while repeated virtual insertions at a site exponentiate into local factors $(1 + 2zH_{i-1})^{-1}$. In this language Reggeisation is nothing but the exponentiation of these local dressings, and the reggeised trajectories are identified with the eigenvalues of a self-adjoint Hamiltonian whose spectrum can be studied with standard tools.

By focusing on a bulk window and implementing a controlled difference-to-derivative replacement, the discrete evolution reduces to a continuum operator built from the Euler kernels dy/y and $dy/(1 - y)$. A symmetric treatment of the endpoint $y = 1$, together with the virtual subtraction, isolates a finite contribution and produces an effective evolution that is regular at the moving lower limit. Differentiation with respect to the macroscopic variable x then leads to an abelian KZ equation with connection $\Omega(x) = -2dx/x - 4dx/(1 - x)$, whose solutions are harmonic polylogarithms on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. The lattice model therefore realises, in an elementary way, the standard KZ structure on the punctured Riemann sphere.

Projecting this hierarchy onto the collinear single-logarithmic sector and applying Brown's single-valued map yields an explicit generating function for the coefficients of the twist-two anomalous dimension in the small- ω expansion. These coefficients are polynomials in odd zeta values and follow a pattern that matches the transcendentality structure observed in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory and in multi-Regge kinematics. The odd-zeta hierarchy that first appears in the curvature of the characteristic function at the intercept thus reappears in a more elaborate form in the anomalous dimensions, and is naturally organised by the single-valued KZ solution.

Several extensions can be naturally suggested. Non-forward kinematics would introduce additional kinematic variables and further letters in the KZ connection, and may require a genuinely non-abelian system of equations. Running-coupling effects can be incorporated by promoting the vertex dressings to scale-dependent quantities, which would deform the connection while likely preserving the underlying KZ structure at leading order. Next-to-leading corrections to the BFKL kernel would modify both the drift potential and the long-range kernel, and it would be natural to ask to what extent the lattice/KZ framework survives these deformations. The role of boundary modes and finite-size corrections, hinted at by the Fabry–Perot analogy in logarithmic space, also deserves a more detailed treatment, especially in connection with physical infrared and ultraviolet cutoffs.

Altogether, the lattice formulation shows that the forward BFKL equation, often introduced as a complicated integral equation, admits a discrete realisation in which its algebraic and analytic structure becomes particularly transparent. The model isolates a one-particle sector of the non-compact spin chain, exhibits Regge poles and their residues in a finite-dimensional setting, and organises anomalous dimensions in terms of single-valued polylogarithms and odd

zeta values. This perspective may be useful both for further resummation studies and for sharpening the connections between high-energy scattering, integrable systems and the geometry of multiple polylogarithms.

Acknowledgments

We thank Grigorios Chachamis and Dario Vaccaro for fruitful discussions on this work. We acknowledge support from the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación, grants PID2022-142545NB-C22 and IFT Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa CEX2020-001007-S, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by ERDF A way of making Europe; and from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 824093.

References

- [1] T. Jaroszewicz, “Gluonic Regge Singularities and Anomalous Dimensions in QCD,” *Phys. Lett. B* **116** (1982), 291-294 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(82)90345-8
- [2] V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev and L. N. Lipatov, “On the Pomeranchuk Singularity in Asymptotically Free Theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **60** (1975), 50-52 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(75)90524-9
- [3] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, “Multiregge processes in the Yang-Mills theory,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **44** (1976) no.3, 443-451
- [4] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, “The Pomeranchuk singularity in nonabelian gauge theories,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **45** (1977), 199-204
- [5] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, “The Pomeranchuk Singularity in Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **28** (1978), 822-829
- [6] V. S. Fadin and L. N. Lipatov, “BFKL pomeron in the next-to-leading approximation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **429** (1998), 127-134 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00473-0 [arXiv:hep-ph/9802290 [hep-ph]].
- [7] E. Remiddi and J. A. M. Vermaseren, “Harmonic polylogarithms,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15** (2000), 725-754 doi:10.1142/S0217751X00000367 [arXiv:hep-ph/9905237 [hep-ph]].
- [8] F. Brown, Single-valued multiple polylogarithms in one variable, *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique. Académie des Sciences, Paris* **338** (2004) 527.
- [9] F. C. S. Brown, “Multiple zeta values and periods of moduli spaces $M_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$,” *Annales Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **42** (2009), 371 [arXiv:math/0606419 [math.AG]].
- [10] V. Del Duca, L. J. Dixon, C. Duhr and J. Pennington, “The BFKL equation, Mueller-Navelet jets and single-valued harmonic polylogarithms,” *JHEP* **02** (2014), 086 doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2014)086 [arXiv:1309.6647 [hep-ph]].
- [11] L. J. Dixon, J. M. Drummond and J. M. Henn, “Bootstrapping the three-loop hexagon,” *JHEP* **11** (2011), 023 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)023 [arXiv:1108.4461 [hep-th]].
- [12] N. Bethencourt de León, G. Chachamis, A. Romagnoni and A. Sabio Vera, “A semi-infinite matrix analysis of the BFKL equation,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.6, 549 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8098-0 [arXiv:1111.4553 [hep-th]].

- [13] G. Chachamis, M. Hentschinski and A. Sabio Vera, “Von Neumann entropy and Lindblad decoherence in the high-energy limit of strong interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) no.5, 054015 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.054015 [arXiv:2312.16743 [hep-th]].
- [14] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Current Algebra and Wess-Zumino Model in Two-Dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **247** (1984), 83-103 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(84)90374-2
- [15] P. I. Etingof, I. B. Frenkel and A. A. Kirillov, “Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations,”
- [16] A. Varchenko, *Multidimensional Hypergeometric Functions and Representation Theory of Lie Algebras*, World Scientific, 1995.
- [17] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, “Conformal Field Theory,” Springer-Verlag, 1997, ISBN 978-0-387-94785-3, 978-1-4612-7475-9 doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-2256-9
- [18] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **661** (2003), 19-61 [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **685** (2004), 405-407] doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00264-5 [arXiv:hep-ph/0208220 [hep-ph]].
- [19] C. Marboe, V. Velizhanin and D. Volin, “Six-loop anomalous dimension of twist-two operators in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory,” *JHEP* **07** (2015), 084 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)084 [arXiv:1412.4762 [hep-th]].
- [20] L. N. Lipatov, “Small x physics in perturbative QCD,” *Phys. Rept.* **286** (1997), 131-198 doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(96)00045-2 [arXiv:hep-ph/9610276 [hep-ph]].
- [21] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. I*, Wiley-VCH, 1953. ISBN:9783527414475
- [22] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985. ISBN: 9780511810817
- [23] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, 1997. ISBN-10. 0387948465 ; ISBN-13. 978-0387948461
- [24] A. Yariv, *Quantum Electronics*, 3rd edition, Wiley, 1989. ISBN: 9780471609971, 0471609978
- [25] L. J. Dixon, C. Duhr and J. Pennington, “Single-valued harmonic polylogarithms and the multi-Regge limit,” *JHEP* **10** (2012), 074 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2012)074 [arXiv:1207.0186 [hep-th]].
- [26] L. N. Lipatov, “Asymptotic behavior of multicolor QCD at high energies in connection with exactly solvable spin models,” *JETP Lett.* **59** (1994), 596-599 [arXiv:hep-th/9311037 [hep-th]].
- [27] L. D. Faddeev and G. P. Korchemsky, “High-energy QCD as a completely integrable model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **342** (1995), 311-322 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(94)01363-H [arXiv:hep-th/9404173 [hep-th]].
- [28] A. V. Belitsky, G. P. Korchemsky and D. Mueller, “Integrability in Yang-Mills theory on the light cone beyond leading order,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005), 151603 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.151603 [arXiv:hep-th/0412054 [hep-th]].
- [29] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, “Gaudin model, Bethe ansatz and correlation functions at the critical level,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **166** (1994), 27-62 doi:10.1007/BF02099300 [arXiv:hep-th/9402022 [hep-th]].

- [30] N. Beisert, C. Ahn, L. F. Alday, Z. Bajnok, J. M. Drummond, L. Freyhult, N. Gromov, R. A. Janik, V. Kazakov and T. Klose, *et al.* “Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview,” Lett. Math. Phys. **99** (2012), 3-32 doi:10.1007/s11005-011-0529-2 [arXiv:1012.3982 [hep-th]].
- [31] D. A. Ross and A. Sabio Vera, “Order-by-order Analytic Solution to the BFKL Equation,” [arXiv:1407.8057 [hep-th]].