

GorillaWatch: An Automated System for In-the-Wild Gorilla Re-Identification and Population Monitoring

Maximilian Schall¹, Felix Leonard Knöfel¹, Noah Elias König¹, Jan Jonas Kubeler¹, Maximilian von Klinski¹,
Joan Wilhelm Linnemann¹, Xiaoshi Liu¹, Iven Jelle Schlegelmilch¹, Ole Woyciniuk¹, Alexandra Schild¹,
Dante Wasmuht², Magdalena Bermejo Espinet³, German Illera Basas³, Gerard de Melo¹

¹Hasso Plattner Institute, Germany

²Conservation X Labs

³Sabine Plattner African Charities

maximilian.schall@hpi.de

Gorilla-Watch.GitHub.io

Abstract

Monitoring critically endangered western lowland gorillas is currently hampered by the immense manual effort required to re-identify individuals from vast archives of camera trap footage. The primary obstacle to automating this process has been the lack of large-scale, “in-the-wild” video datasets suitable for training robust deep learning models. To address this gap, we introduce a comprehensive benchmark with three novel datasets: Gorilla-SPAC-Wild, the largest video dataset for wild primate re-identification to date; Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo, for assessing cross-domain re-identification generalization; and Gorilla-SPAC-MoT, for evaluating multi-object tracking in camera trap footage.

*Building on these datasets, we present **GorillaWatch**, an end-to-end pipeline integrating detection, tracking, and re-identification. To exploit temporal information, we introduce a multi-frame self-supervised pretraining strategy that leverages consistency in tracklets to learn domain-specific features without manual labels. To ensure scientific validity, a differentiable adaptation of AttnLRP verifies that our model relies on discriminative biometric traits rather than background correlations. Extensive benchmarking subsequently demonstrates that aggregating features from large-scale image backbones outperforms specialized video architectures. Finally, we address unsupervised population counting by integrating spatiotemporal constraints into standard clustering to mitigate over-segmentation. We publicly release all code and datasets to facilitate scalable, non-invasive monitoring of endangered species.*

1. Introduction

Western Lowland Gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*), our closest living relatives after chimpanzees and bonobos, are critically endangered [43]. Camera traps have emerged as the principal non-invasive solution for wildlife monitoring, generating vast archives of video data that capture natural behavior and individual identities [6]. However, the sheer volume of footage creates a critical bottleneck; conservation efforts are currently hindered by the immense manual effort required to analyze these videos, making rapid population assessment nearly impossible.

The motivation for large-scale monitoring is twofold. In conservation, accurate demographic models are essential for assessing population viability, tracking disease transmission (e.g., Ebola [8]), and monitoring injuries from human-wildlife conflict. In the scientific domain, long-term observation of social dynamics and behaviors provides data for understanding the evolutionary foundations of human sociality [46]. Both goals rely on the ability to reliably track distinct individuals over extended periods, a task that presents considerable logistical and analytical challenges.

Automating this process requires robust re-identification (re-ID) pipelines, yet developing them for wildlife comes with difficulties not present in human surveillance [51]. The primary obstacle has been the lack of large-scale, annotated video datasets captured “in the wild.” Existing primate datasets [14, 26] are often limited in scale, rely on static images, or feature captive animals, failing to prepare models for the challenging lighting, occlusion, and pose variations encountered in the rainforest.

To address these gaps, we propose a comprehensive benchmark suite of three novel datasets. Gorilla-SPAC-Wild is the largest in-the-wild video dataset for primate

re-identification to date, specifically curated to evaluate the challenging cross-encounter setting. To test robustness and generalizability, we introduce Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo, a cross-domain dataset from a controlled environment, and Gorilla-SPAC-MoT, a meticulously annotated benchmark for multi-object tracking.

Building on these resources, we present **GorillaWatch**, a complete end-to-end pipeline that integrates detection, tracking, and re-identification. It supports two primary workflows: retrieval-based tracking for known individuals and unsupervised clustering for discovering distinct individuals in unlabeled populations. An illustrative overview is given in Figure 1. Our work makes the following technical contributions:

1. We introduce a **multi-frame self-supervised pretraining strategy** that adapts DINOv2 [50] to leverage temporal consistency in tracklets, learning powerful domain-specific features without manual labels.
2. We introduce a **differentiable adaptation of Attn-LRP** [1] to interpret embedding-based retrieval. This allows us to verify that our model relies on valid biometric traits (e.g., facial features) rather than background correlations, ensuring scientific validity.
3. We perform a **systematic evaluation of architectures**, comparing video transformers against ensemble-based image foundation models. We demonstrate that aggregating features from large-scale image backbones outperforms specialized video models in this data-scarce domain.
4. We address the problem of unsupervised population counting by **integrating spatiotemporal constraints** into standard clustering algorithms. We show that while off-the-shelf clustering leads to severe over-segmentation, applying logical constraints (e.g., cannot-link constraints for simultaneous detections) enables accurate population estimates without requiring novel clustering approaches.

2. Related Work

2.1. Re-Identification and Foundation Models

Individual re-identification has transitioned from methods based on handcrafted features of distinctive patterns [12, 22, 33] to deep learning architectures. Early convolutional neural networks such as DeepReID [40] and FaceNet [56] established the use of metric learning with triplet loss [32], while later work introduced angular margin frameworks to improve feature discrimination [41]. While these methods were developed for human re-ID, the field of animal re-ID has adapted them for species-specific applications [2, 15, 18, 26, 37] and, more recently, for multi-species contexts using transformers [31, 51, 71]. The advent of foundation models [13], particularly self-supervised vision

transformers like DINO [20] and DINOv2 [50], has revolutionized feature extraction, providing powerful, general-purpose representations that can be fine-tuned for specialized domains like animal re-ID.

2.2. Video Models and Temporal Processing

To leverage temporal information, video transformers extend spatial attention mechanisms across the time dimension. Seminal works like TimeSformer [9] and ViViT [5] established key architectures, often by adapting powerful pretrained image models that require full fine-tuning. More recent approaches include large-scale, pretrained video foundation models like InternVideo2 [63], which achieve state-of-the-art results. A parallel, more computationally efficient trend is parameter-efficient adaptation, where the pretrained image model is frozen. Methods like AIM [66] exemplify this by training only lightweight adapters to add temporal reasoning. However, a majority of these models are optimized for action recognition, a task focused on semantically classifying short clips. Their adaptation for re-ID remains under-explored and is a central focus of our work.

2.3. Multimodal Fusion and Temporal Aggregation

Multimodal approaches combine complementary features for robust identification. ShARc [69] integrates shape and appearance through attention-based aggregation for human re-ID, while approaches like MaskReID [52] leverage segmentation masks. Temporal aggregation methods range from basic pooling to sophisticated attention mechanisms [24, 27, 62]. Animal-specific work includes ReckonGait [45] using spatio-temporal CNN features, and recent video-based approaches like PolarBearVidID [70]. Cross-species approaches [38] demonstrate the potential for generalized animal re-ID frameworks.

2.4. Detection and Tracking

An effective re-ID system relies on a robust upstream pipeline for detection and multi-object tracking (MOT). Lightweight and efficient object detectors like the YOLO family [60, 61] are now standard. MOT algorithms have progressed from early methods like SORT [10], which used motion cues, to modern approaches that incorporate appearance information. DeepSORT [65] added a re-ID model for better association, while recent trackers like ByteTrack [68], BoT-SORT [4], and BoostTrack [57] have set new benchmarks [23, 44] by skillfully managing low-confidence detections and combining motion and appearance cues. Our work integrates and evaluates these state-of-the-art trackers to generate the high-quality tracklets that are essential for accurate downstream re-ID.




Figure 1. Overview of our complete re-identification pipeline.

2.5. Wildlife Datasets and Benchmarks

Existing animal re-identification datasets suffer from several limitations that restrict their real-world applicability. Many focus on still images [2, 3, 11, 26, 39, 47, 71] instead of video, are captured in controlled environments like zoos or farms [7, 14, 49, 70], or adopt closed-set evaluation protocols unsuitable for wild populations where new individuals frequently appear [18]. While recent large-scale camera trap benchmarks have focused on multi-animal tracking [28, 35, 64, 67] and behavior recognition [16], they primarily address intra-video coherence.

3. The Gorilla Datasets

To bridge this gap, we introduce three complementary datasets designed to enable robust, open-world video re-identification. **Gorilla-SPAC-Wild** provides in-the-wild, cross-encounter video data for training robust re-identification models; **Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo** is designed for cross-domain evaluation; and the meticulously annotated **Gorilla-SPAC-MoT** dataset is introduced to benchmark multi-object tracking performance. Sample images from our datasets are shown in Figure 2.

3.1. Gorilla-SPAC-Wild

Gorilla-SPAC-Wild is a image and video dataset containing 160,818 samples extracted from 1,371 tracklets across 738 camera trap videos. The footage captures 137 distinct Western Lowland Gorillas in Odzala-Kokoua National Park, Republic of Congo, recorded between October 2018 and March 2023. Camera traps were deployed at 33 locations specifically chosen where evidence of non-seasonal root feeding behavior had been observed, primarily surrounding *Maranthes glabra* trees. This targeted placement provides a unique vantage point for capturing natural foraging behaviors and social interactions. Ground truth identity labels were provided by primate researchers with over 15 years of field experience with this specific population.

Images are extracted from automatically generated tracklets using YOLOv8_{Nano} [61] and BoostTrack++ [57]. Alongside each face, we also extract the corresponding full-body crop, creating a paired dataset that enables face-body analysis. To ensure quality, we filter for faces that are at least 50x50 pixels.

Distinct from previous datasets, Gorilla-SPAC-Wild is

explicitly structured around “encounters,” defined as all recordings of a group at a specific camera location within a single calendar day. This structure is critical for realistic evaluation. Ideally, queries and the database would be split into distinct, time-separated sets; however, given the sporadic nature of wildlife sightings, such a split would result in a prohibitively small evaluation set with few positive matches. Consequently, we adopt a **cross-encounter protocol**, where queries must match identities in a different encounter. This approach maximizes data utilization while ensuring models are tested on their ability to handle significant environmental and pose variations, rather than trivial frame-to-frame matching.

The dataset is divided into four identity-disjoint partitions. We explicitly separate individuals with only a single recorded encounter (29 individuals) to serve as challenging gallery distractors. The remaining individuals, with multiple encounters, are split into 70% Train (75 individuals), 15% Validation (17 individuals), and 15% Test (16 individuals).

3.2. Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo

The Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo dataset serves as a cross-domain evaluation benchmark, comprising 153 videos of 5 individual western lowland gorillas using 3 distinct cameras. The videos were recorded over a span of 3 months at the Berlin Zoo. It contains 188,692 annotated face bounding boxes along the corresponding body crops, across 275 tracklets, providing a controlled environment distinct from the wild camera trap conditions of Gorilla-SPAC-Wild. Due to camera placement and enclosure constraints, face detections are smaller than in the wild dataset. The preprocessing is the same as for Gorilla-SPAC-Wild.

This dataset introduces domain shifts distinct from the rainforest setting, including artificial structures (e.g., buildings, glass), different lighting conditions, and different camera angles. Crucially, the individuals in Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo do not overlap with Gorilla-SPAC-Wild, and the camera hardware differs from the cameras used in the field. This allows us to test a model’s ability to generalize its learned features to a completely new setting. Preprocessing follows the same pipeline as for Gorilla-SPAC-Wild.




Figure 2. Sample images from the Gorilla-SPAC-Wild dataset (top row) and the Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo dataset (bottom row).

3.3. Gorilla-SPAC-MoT

To evaluate multi-object tracking, we introduce Gorilla-SPAC-MoT, a dataset of 25 videos with dense, manual frame-by-frame tracking annotations. We categorize the dataset by interaction density. The 16 “Hard” videos feature frequent interactions between multiple individuals (mean ~ 4), creating scenarios prone to identity switches due to occlusion. The remaining 9 “Easy” videos contain minimal interactions (mean ~ 1). We provide a standardized benchmark split consisting of 13 validation and 12 test videos.

4. Detection and Tracking

We utilize a YOLOv8_{NANO} [61] fine-tuned on our gorilla data to handle complex wildlife environments. Chosen for computational efficiency, it matches the performance of larger alternatives with a final mAP@50 of 95.4%.

4.1. Multi-Object Tracking Evaluation

We evaluate three state-of-the-art multi-object trackers on Gorilla-SPAC-MoT: ByteTrack [68], BoTSORT [4], and BoostTrack++ [57]. As shown in Table 1, BoTSORT achieves the highest Higher Order Tracking Accuracy (HOTA) of 0.79, excelling in detection precision. However, for conservation workflows where maintaining a consistent identity is critical, BoostTrack++ shows better performance. It achieves the highest Identification F1 (IDF1) score of 0.75 and reduces Identity Switches (IDSW) by 37% compared to BoTSORT. This stability comes at a computational cost: BoostTrack++ (110.6s) is $3.3\times$ slower than BoTSORT (33.1s).

We further investigated the impact of appearance models within the BoostTrack++ framework. Comparing a motion-only baseline against integrations with DINOv2 and our specialized Gorilla re-ID model reveals only marginal performance gains (reducing IDSW from 7.17 to 6.75). This plateau indicates that the primary tracking challenges in this domain, severe occlusions and merged detections during social interactions, render visual features ambiguous or unavailable. As a result, even domain-specific appearance embeddings provide limited benefit over robust motion modeling in these high-complexity scenarios.

Method	HOTA \uparrow	IDF1 \uparrow	IDSW \downarrow
ByteTrack [68]	0.77	0.74	10.75
BoTSORT [4]	0.79	0.73	10.67
BoostTrack++	0.76	0.75	6.75
<i>BoostTrack++ re-ID Ablation Study</i>			
w/o re-ID	0.76	0.75	7.17
w/ DinoV2-Giant	0.75	0.74	6.92
w/ Gorilla re-ID (Ours)	0.76	0.75	6.75

Table 1. Tracking performance on the Gorilla-SPAC-MoT dataset. The top section compares leading algorithms. The bottom section analyzes the impact of different appearance models within the BoostTrack++ framework. The DINOv2 variants performed identically.

5. Re-Identification Framework

The re-ID component forms the core of our pipeline, matching gorilla individuals across different video encounters. We evaluate solely on open-set re-identification using a strict **cross-encounter** protocol: for every query image (probe), we dynamically remove all images belonging to the same encounter from the gallery. This prevents the model from exploiting temporal proximity (e.g., adjacent videos), forcing reliance on robust biometric features. We use k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN) ($k = 5$) for retrieval and report Top-1 Accuracy, balanced over individuals.

For Gorilla-SPAC-Wild, the gallery comprises the *respective evaluation split* (validation or test), the *entire training set*, and the set of *single-encounter individuals*. While single-encounter individuals cannot serve as probes, their inclusion acts as an additional source of “distractor” identities, expanding the search space to reflect real-world difficulty. For the Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo benchmark, the gallery consists exclusively of the zoo dataset.

5.1. Frame-Level Embedding

We evaluate various pretrained models on our validation data as shown in Figure 3. The comparison includes DINOv2 [50], CLIP [53], Swin Transformer [42], ResNet variants [29], EfficientNet [59], and Inception [58]. DINOv2 variants achieve the best performance and are used for subsequent experiments.

5.1.1. Fine-Tuning Image Models

We fine-tune models using hard triplet loss [56], applying a linear layer to reduce features to 256-dimensional embeddings from the class token output. Models are trained for 100 epochs with a batch size of 8 and accumulation over 6 steps. The maximum learning rate is 1.9×10^{-6} using Adam and a cosine learning rate scheduler. We set L2 and L2SP regularization ($\lambda_{L2} \approx 0.0059$, $\lambda_{L2SP} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$) to prevent overfitting. We train all models on a single Nvidia H100 GPU. We report the results in Figure 4.




Figure 3. Zero-shot performance comparison of different pre-trained models on the Gorilla-SPAC-Wild test set.




Figure 4. Top-1 accuracy of DINOv2_{Giant} after supervised fine-tuning on the Gorilla-SPAC-Wild training set.

5.1.2. Temporal Self-Supervised Pretraining

Annotating identities of individual gorillas is labor-intensive and requires domain expertise, limiting the scalability of supervised training. Prior work largely operates on single images [20, 21, 30, 34, 50]. We instead propose a multi-frame pretraining scheme that harnesses natural variation within video tracklets to improve training stability.

Our approach extends the standard DINOv2 [50] multi-crop augmentation strategy by incorporating temporal diversity. Rather than sampling all crops from a single image, we aggregate crops across time, preserving identity while introducing natural pose and illumination changes. This yields a form of real-world augmentation that captures intra-individual variation more faithfully than synthetic transformations alone.

We evaluate three configurations: (i) a single-frame baseline matching the original DINOv2 implementation; (ii) a four-frame variant that samples every second frame from the first eight frames of a tracklet; and (iii) a ten-frame variant that uses the middle eight frames plus the first and last frames (as global crops). We sample 59,316 tracklets from the same original source as Gorilla-SPAC-Wild, while excluding its videos. For comparability, we retain the original DINOv2 hyperparameters for tuning.

The results in Figure 5 show that multi-frame pretraining consistently boosts downstream re-identification accuracy, with improvements of up to 11% over single-frame

baselines. Across all tested sizes, multi-frame pretraining outperforms single-frame training. Domain-specific pretraining on gorilla faces further surpasses generic pretraining. Training time is comparable between single- and multi-frame setups. Nonetheless, the pretrained DINOv2-Giant model remains stronger overall, indicating that model scale is still a key determinant of performance.

5.1.3. Explainable Embeddings via Differentiable Proxies

For specialized tasks like gorilla re-ID, where training data is scarce, ensuring that the model generalizes based on meaningful biological traits, such as nose prints and brow ridges, rather than spurious background correlations is critical. This necessity is underscored by recent findings from Brookes et al. [17], which demonstrated that background information alone acts as a strong predictor in wildlife video analysis, leading to severe shortcut learning and poor out-of-distribution generalization. To verify that our model relies on discriminative biometric features rather than these environmental shortcuts, we employ AttnLRP [1] to generate faithful, pixel-level attribution maps.

AttnLRP is designed for classification models that produce continuous logits suitable for gradient-based propagation. However, our re-ID model outputs high-dimensional embeddings, where predictions rely on k-Nearest Neighbors, a non-differentiable operation. To bridge this gap, we propose and evaluate three differentiable proxy scores that approximate the k-NN decision while remaining faithful to the embedding geometry. Let q be the query embedding, \mathcal{P} the set of “friend” embeddings (same identity), and \mathcal{N} the set of “foe” embeddings.

1. **Similarity Score:** A direct measure of alignment that computes the cosine similarity $S_{\text{sim}} = q^T x_p$ between the query and a randomly sampled positive neighbor $x_p \in \mathcal{P}$.
2. **Proto Margin Score:** Inspired by the hard triplet loss, this score calculates the margin between the query’s similarity to a weighted “friend prototype” ($\mu_{\mathcal{P}}$) and a “foe prototype” ($\mu_{\mathcal{N}}$) constructed from the top- k hardest negatives.
3. **k-NN Margin Score:** To mimic the discrete voting process differentially, we compute a softmax distribution over the database similarities. The score is defined as the margin between the total probability mass assigned to friends versus foes: $S_{\text{knn}} = \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{P}} \sigma(q, x_i) - \sum_{x_j \in \mathcal{N}} \sigma(q, x_j)$.

These proxies transform neighborhood relations into smooth scalar values, ensuring (i) continuity for stable propagation, (ii) faithfulness to embedding relations, and (iii) compatibility with automatic differentiation.




Figure 5. Effect of Multi-frame Pretraining: We evaluate performance across single-frame, 4-frame, and 10-frame temporal sequences. The green bars denote accuracy immediately after pretraining, while the brown bars indicate the accuracy change (Δ) after fine-tuning.

Faithfulness Evaluation To quantify how accurately these explanations reflect the model’s decision-making, we employ the patch flipping paradigm [55]. We generate perturbation curves by ordering patches from Most Relevant First (MoRF) and Least Relevant First (LeRF). As shown in Figure 6, removing the most relevant patches causes a rapid drop in re-ID accuracy, whereas removing the least relevant patches preserves performance. Notably, over 90% of the accuracy is maintained using only the top 25% most relevant patches, confirming that the k-NN Margin Score provides the most faithful approximation of the model’s dense retrieval process.




Figure 6. Faithfulness evaluation of our proposed AttnLRP adaptation using the patch flipping paradigm, validating the effectiveness of our proxy scores.

We visually compare the relevance heatmaps of the original DINOv2 against our fine-tuned model in Figure 7. The off-the-shelf DINOv2 exhibits dispersed attention, often focusing on background vegetation—aligning with the background reliance warnings raised by Brookes et al. [17]. In contrast, our fine-tuned model concentrates relevance on discriminative biometric features, specifically the face and body shape. Quantitatively, on the Gorilla-SPAC-Wild dataset, 92% of the total relevance in our fine-tuned model falls within the ground-truth gorilla segmentation masks, compared to only 83% for the baseline. Manual inspection reveals that the remaining 8% of “background” relevance

often highlights secondary gorillas present in the frame, indicating the model captures social context where appropriate.



Figure 7. Comparison of relevance attributed to image pixels for original (center) and fine-tuned (right) DINOv2 models. Fine-tuning led to relevance being more concentrated on the face and body of the gorilla instead of being dispersed all over the image (including background).

5.2. Tracklet-Level Feature Aggregation

To produce a single decision for an entire tracklet, we evaluate several methods for aggregating sequences of frame-level feature vectors. Each frame-level feature is extracted using our fine-tuned *DINOv2_{Giant}* model.

We evaluate four aggregation strategies: *majority voting*, where the final identity is determined by the most frequent top-1 prediction across all frames in a tracklet; *confidence averaging*, where individual frame predictions are weighted by their retrieval confidence scores before aggregation; and *embedding averaging*, which computes a single mean feature vector for the entire tracklet.

For a more sophisticated approach, we adapt the Aggregated Appearance Encoder (AAE) framework from Zhu et al. [69]. This adaptation was necessary because our DINOv2-backbone produces global feature vectors for each frame, unlike the spatial feature maps used by the original network’s Spatial-Temporal Aggregation Module (STAM) [62]. Our primary modification is therefore to replace the STAM with a transformer-based mecha-

nism called the *Pairwise Transformer Aggregation Module* (PTAM). At each level of a pyramid structure, the PTAM takes two input embeddings from the level below, treats them as a two-item sequence, and processes them through a shallow transformer encoder for context-aware fusion. The resulting output is then fused through a linear projection back to the input dimensionality. We train the aggregation module using our frozen fine-tuned backbone.

5.3. Video-Based Architecture

While ensemble methods aggregate static features, they fail to capture dynamic behavioral cues such as gait. To explicitly model these spatio-temporal features, we adapt several video models originally developed for action recognition to the task of representation learning for re-identification. We evaluate three distinct approaches: Adapter-based Image Model (AIM) [66], which represents a parameter-efficient approach by freezing a pretrained ViT and injecting lightweight, trainable adapters to learn temporal relationships; **TimeSformer** [9] as an example of full spatio-temporal fine-tuning, where the model’s self-attention mechanism is extended to jointly process information across space and time; and **InternVideo2** [63], a state-of-the-art video foundation model, to gauge the effectiveness of general-purpose video representations on our specialized task.

To adapt these models for re-identification, we replace their final classification head with a linear projection layer to output a 256-dimensional embedding. We then fine-tune them using the same hard triplet loss objective function employed for our image-based models to ensure a fair comparison. Further, we investigate the impact of backbone initialization. Since standard implementations of AIM and TimeSformer initialize their backbones from supervised ViT checkpoints pretrained on ImageNet-1K, we additionally initialize them with the corresponding DINOv2_{Base} checkpoint. This ensures that any observed performance differences result solely from the architectural approach rather than the quality of the underlying pretraining.

5.4. Comparative Analysis

Method	Strategy / Backbone	Gorilla-SPAC-Wild	Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo
Ensemble	Majority Voting	67.22	84.42
	Confidence Averaging	69.91	84.75
	Embedding Averaging	70.08	80.61
	PTAM	73.06	82.44
AIM	ViT	22.32	53.56
	DINOv2-Base	7.02	34.50
TimeSformer	ViT	25.75	64.59
	DINOv2-Base	38.27	71.76
InternVideo2		39.51	65.09

Table 2. Re-identification performance (Top-1 Accuracy %) on the Gorilla-SPAC-Wild test set and Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo.

The results of our comparative analysis, presented in Table 2, show a decisive finding: the temporal aggregation of robust static features significantly outperforms end-to-end video architectures for wildlife re-identification.

Our ensemble methods demonstrate superior performance, with PTAM achieving a top-1 accuracy of 73.06% on Gorilla-SPAC-Wild, and simple *Embedding Averaging* reaching 70.08%. In stark contrast, dedicated video models struggle. InternVideo2 achieves only 39.51%. We attribute this disparity primarily to the massive scale and extensive pretraining of DINOv2. While such large-scale foundation models are established for static images, developing a video-native equivalent of similar magnitude remains an open challenge; the prohibitive computational cost and complexity of pretraining on vast video archives have so far prevented the community from replicating this scale in the temporal domain.

To determine if we could bridge this gap by simply transferring these powerful features, we replaced the standard backbones of AIM and TimeSformer with DINOv2 checkpoints. However, even with this robust initialization, TimeSformer achieves only 38.27%. This persistent failure suggests that simply “modifying” a foundation model optimized for static spatial features is insufficient. We hypothesize that these spatio-temporal architectures suffer from high hyperparameter sensitivity in data-scarce regimes, and that fully unlocking their potential likely requires a dedicated video-aware pretraining stage rather than direct adaptation of image weights.

6. Clustering

Our framework relies on clustering of embeddings for population size estimation, specifically, by clustering the features extracted from unlabeled videos and using the resulting number of clusters as an estimate of the total population. We evaluate several clustering algorithms, including Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering (HAC) [36], DBSCAN [25], and HDBSCAN [19].

To improve accuracy, we use a constrained clustering approach that leverages the spatio-temporal metadata inherent in camera trap videos along with domain expertise. We enforce three specific constraints: (1) *Frame difference* (cannot-link), ensuring individuals appearing in the same frame are treated as distinct; (2) *Location difference* (cannot-link), separating individuals recorded simultaneously at different camera sites; and (3) *Social group* (cannot-link), which utilizes expert annotations to prevent the merging of individuals belonging to different social groups.

We evaluate performance using Adjusted Rand Index (ARI) [54] and Adjusted Mutual Information (AMI) [48], which measure the similarity between the resulting clusters and the ground-truth identities.

The results in Table 3 reveal a consistent trend: unconstrained clustering results in severe over-segmentation across all algorithms (predicting 113–465 individuals). Integrating spatiotemporal constraints drastically reduces this fragmentation, boosting alignment metrics and bringing population estimates for HAC and DBSCAN within a narrow margin of the ground truth ($K = 17$ and 13 respectively, vs. 16). However, on Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo, the improvement is notably more limited. The confined zoo environment generates significantly fewer spatiotemporal conflicts; because individuals all belong to a single social group and constantly reside in a small, shared area, the constraints are far less effective at disambiguating identities than in the geographically dispersed wild setup.

	Method	Gorilla-SPAC-Wild			Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo		
		ARI \uparrow	AMI \uparrow	# C	ARI \uparrow	AMI \uparrow	# Cluster
Unconstrained	HAC	0.606	0.728	465	0.201	0.249	2277
	DBSCAN	0.379	0.625	341	0.038	0.116	596
	HDBSCAN	0.114	0.510	113	0.001	0.005	2
Constrained	HAC	0.837	0.891	17	0.180	0.500	156
	DBSCAN	0.586	0.873	13	0.011	0.064	44
	HDBSCAN	0.184	0.677	204	0.048	0.465	249

Table 3. Population counting performance evaluation. Our constrained clustering significantly improves cluster quality compared to unconstrained baselines. The true population sizes are $K = 16$ for Gorilla-SPAC-Wild and $K = 5$ for Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo.

7. Conclusion

In this work, we presented **GorillaWatch**, a unified framework designed to overcome the critical bottleneck in automated wildlife monitoring: the immense manual effort required to analyze vast video archives. By introducing Gorilla-SPAC-Wild, Gorilla-Berlin-Zoo, and Gorilla-SPAC-MoT, we provide the research community with the first comprehensive benchmark suite for open-set primate re-identification and tracking, specifically curated to capture the challenging lighting, occlusion, and pose variations of the rainforest.

Our extensive technical evaluation offers several pivotal insights for applying deep learning to conservation. First, we provided a conclusive answer to the architectural debate between specialized video transformers and ensemble-based image models. Our results demonstrate that aggregating features from large-scale image foundation models (e.g., DINOv2) significantly outperforms end-to-end video architectures. We attribute this to the “data scarcity gap”: While video models offer theoretical advantages for temporal modeling, they require massive training corpora to converge. In contrast, the robust, general-purpose representations from image foundation models prove far more transferable to the fine-grained task of individual identification.

Second, we demonstrated that the key to bridging the gap between image models and video data lies in multi-frame self-supervised pretraining. By modifying the standard training recipe to leverage temporal consistency within unlabelled tracklets, we achieved performance gains of up to 11% over single-frame baselines. This finding is particularly encouraging for conservation, as it suggests that the vast amounts of unlabelled archival footage currently sitting on hard drives can be actively used to improve model robustness without requiring expensive human annotation.

Finally, we addressed the “black box” problem inherent in deep learning through a novel, differentiable adaptation of AttnLRP. In wildlife monitoring, models frequently succumb to the “Clever Hans” effect, learning background scenery rather than animal identity. By visualizing the embedding decision process, we quantitatively verified that our fine-tuning strategy shifts the model’s focus from environmental correlations (e.g., vegetation) to discriminative biometric traits, such as facial structure and body shape. This interpretability is essential for establishing scientific trust in automated census systems.

We publicly release all code, models, and datasets to serve as a blueprint for scalable, non-invasive monitoring. By automating the identification of individuals, GorillaWatch empowers researchers to move beyond simple detection and towards complex population dynamics analysis, ultimately aiding the preservation of critically endangered species.

Acknowledgments

The project on which this report is based was funded by the Federal Ministry of Research, Technology and Space under the funding code “KI-Servicezentrum Berlin-Brandenburg” 16IS22092. We acknowledge the support of Sabine Plattner African Charities (SPAC) for their funding to this research. We are grateful to Zoo Berlin for their expert assistance and facility access. This collaboration enabled the development of AI tools capable of being deployed in the wild to directly support gorilla conservation. The responsibility for the content of this publication remains with the authors.

References

- [1] Reduan Achtabat, Sayed Mohammad Vakilzadeh Hatefi, Maximilian Dreyer, Aakriti Jain, Thomas Wiegand, Sebastian Lapuschkin, and Wojciech Samek. Attnlrp: Attention-aware layer-wise relevance propagation for transformers. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2024, Vienna, Austria, July 21-27, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024. 2, 5
- [2] Lukáš Adam, Vojtěch Čermák, Kostas Papafitsoros, and Lukáš Pícek. SeaTurtleID2022: A long-span dataset for reliable sea turtle re-identification, 2024. 2, 3
- [3] Lukáš Adam, Vojtěch Čermák, Kostas Papafitsoros, and

- Lukas Picek. WildlifeReID-10k: Wildlife re-identification dataset with 10k individual animals, 2024. 3
- [4] Nir Aharon, Roy Orfaig, and Ben-Zion Bobrovsky. BoT-SORT: Robust associations multi-pedestrian tracking. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2206.14651, 2022. 2, 4
 - [5] Anurag Arnab, Mostafa Dehghani, Georg Heigold, Chen Sun, Mario Lucic, and Cordelia Schmid. Vivit: A video vision transformer. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 6816–6826. IEEE, 2021. 2
 - [6] Sara Beery, Grant van Horn, and Pietro Perona. Recognition in Terra Incognita, 2018. 1
 - [7] Luca Bergamini, Angelo Porrello, Andrea Capobianco Don-dona, Ercole Del Negro, Mauro Mattioli, Nicola D’alterio, and Simone Calderara. Multi-views Embedding for Cattle Re-identification. In *2018 14th International Conference on Signal-Image Technology & Internet-Based Systems (SITIS)*, pages 184–191, 2018. 3
 - [8] Magdalena Bermejo, José Domingo Rodríguez-Teijeiro, Germán Illera, Alex Barroso, Carles Vilà, and Peter D. Walsh. Ebola outbreak killed 5000 gorillas. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 314(5805):1564, 2006. 1
 - [9] Gedas Bertasius, Heng Wang, and Lorenzo Torresani. Is Space-Time Attention All You Need for Video Understanding? - Supplementary Materials. 2021. 2, 7
 - [10] Alex Bewley, ZongYuan Ge, Lionel Ott, Fabio Tozeto Ramos, and Ben Upcroft. Simple online and realtime tracking. *2016 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 3464–3468, 2016. 2
 - [11] Drew Blount, Shane Gero, Jon Van Oast, Jason Parham, Colin Kingen, Ben Scheiner, Tanya Stere, Mark Fisher, Gi-anna Minton, Christin Khan, Violaine Dulau, Jaime Thompson, Olga Moskvayak, Tanya Berger-Wolf, Charles V. Stewart, Jason Holmberg, and J. Jacob Levenson. Flukebook: An open-source AI platform for cetacean photo identification. *Mammalian Biology*, 102(3):1005–1023, 2022. 3
 - [12] Douglas T. Bolger, Thomas A. Morrison, Bennet Vance, Derek Lee, and Hany Farid. A computer-assisted system for photographic mark–recapture analysis. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3(5):813–822, 2012. 2
 - [13] Rishi Bommasani, Drew A. Hudson, Ehsan Adeli, Russ Altman, Simran Arora, Sydney von Arx, Michael S. Bernstein, Jeannette Bohg, Antoine Bosselut, Emma Brunskill, Erik Brynjolfsson, Shyamal Buch, Dallas Card, Rodrigo Castellon, Niladri Chatterji, Annie Chen, Kathleen Creel, Jared Quincy Davis, Dora Demszky, Chris Donahue, Moussa Doumbouya, Esin Durmus, Stefano Ermon, John Etchemendy, Kavin Ethayarajh, Li Fei-Fei, Chelsea Finn, Trevor Gale, Lauren Gillespie, Karan Goel, Noah Goodman, Shelby Grossman, Neel Guha, Tatsunori Hashimoto, Peter Henderson, John Hewitt, Daniel E. Ho, Jenny Hong, Kyle Hsu, Jing Huang, Thomas Icard, Saahil Jain, Dan Jurafsky, Pratyusha Kalluri, Siddharth Karamcheti, Geoff Keeling, Fereshte Khani, Omar Khattab, Pang Wei Koh, Mark Krass, Ranjay Krishna, Rohith Kudipudi, Ananya Kumar, Faisal Ladhak, Mina Lee, Tony Lee, Jure Leskovec, Isabelle Levent, Xiang Lisa Li, Xuechen Li, Tengyu Ma, Ali Malik, Christopher D. Manning, Suvir Mirchandani, Eric Mitchell, Zanele Munyikwa, Suraj Nair, Avanika Narayan, Deepak Narayanan, Ben Newman, Allen Nie, Juan Carlos Niebles, Hamed Nilforoshan, Julian Nyarko, Giray Ogut, Laurel Orr, Isabel Papadimitriou, Joon Sung Park, Chris Piech, Eva Portelance, Christopher Potts, Aditi Raghunathan, Rob Reich, Hongyu Ren, Frieda Rong, Yusuf Roohani, Camilo Ruiz, Jack Ryan, Christopher Ré, Dorsa Sadigh, Shiori Sagawa, Keshav Santhanam, Andy Shih, Krishnan Srinivasan, Alex Tamkin, Rohan Taori, Armin W. Thomas, Florian Tramèr, Rose E. Wang, William Wang, Bohan Wu, Jiajun Wu, Yuhuai Wu, Sang Michael Xie, Michihiro Yasunaga, Jiaxuan You, Matei Zaharia, Michael Zhang, Tianyi Zhang, Xikun Zhang, Yuhui Zhang, Lucia Zheng, Kaitlyn Zhou, and Percy Liang. On the Opportunities and Risks of Foundation Models, 2021. 2
 - [14] Otto Brookes and Tilo Burghardt. A Dataset and Application for Facial Recognition of Individual Gorillas in Zoo Environments, 2020. 1, 3
 - [15] Otto Brookes, Stuart Iain Gray, Peter Bennett, Katy Burgess, Fay E. Clark, Elisabeth Roberts, and Tilo Burghardt. Evaluating cognitive enrichment for zoo-housed gorillas using facial recognition. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 9, 2022. 2
 - [16] Otto Brookes, Majid Mirmehdi, Colleen Stephens, Samuel Angedakin, Katherine Corogenes, Dervla Dowd, Paula Dieguez, Thurston C. Hicks, Sorrel Jones, Kevin Lee, Vera Leinert, Juan Lapuente, Maureen S. McCarthy, Amelia Meier, Mizuki Murai, Emmanuelle Normand, Virginie Vergnes, Erin G. Wessling, Roman M. Wittig, Kevin Langergraber, Nuria Maldonado, Xinyu Yang, Klaus Zuberbühler, Christophe Boesch, Mimi Arandjelovic, Hjalmar Kühl, and Tilo Burghardt. PanAf20K: a large video dataset for wild ape detection and behaviour recognition. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 132(8):3086–3102, 2024. 3
 - [17] Otto Brookes, Maksim Kukushkin, Majid Mirmehdi, Colleen Stephens, Paula Dieguez, Thurston C. Hicks, Sorrel Jones, Kevin Lee, Maureen S. McCarthy, Amelia Meier, Emmanuelle Normand, Erin G. Wessling, Roman M. Wittig, Kevin Langergraber, Klaus Zuberbühler, Lukas Boesch, Thomas Schmid, Mimi Arandjelovic, Hjalmar Kühl, and Tilo Burghardt. The PanAf-FGBG Dataset: Understanding the Impact of Backgrounds in Wildlife Behaviour Recognition, 2025. 5, 6
 - [18] Clemens-Alexander Brust, Tilo Burghardt, Milou Groenenberg, Christoph Kading, Hjalmar S. Kühl, Marie L. Manguette, and Joachim Denzler. Towards Automated Visual Monitoring of Individual Gorillas in the Wild. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops (ICCVW)*, pages 2820–2830, 2017. ISSN: 2473-9944. 2, 3
 - [19] Ricardo J. G. B. Campello, Davoud Moulavi, and Joerg Sander. Density-Based Clustering Based on Hierarchical Density Estimates. In *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 160–172, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. Springer. 7
 - [20] Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou,

- Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 9630–9640. IEEE, 2021. [2](#), [5](#)
- [21] Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, and Kaiming He. An empirical study of training self-supervised vision transformers. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 9620–9629. IEEE, 2021. [5](#)
- [22] Jonathan P. Crall, Charles V. Stewart, T. Berger-Wolf, Daniel I. Rubenstein, and Siva R. Sundaresan. HotSpotter — Patterned species instance recognition. *2013 IEEE Workshop on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 230–237, 2013. [2](#)
- [23] Patrick Dendorfer, Hamid Rezaatofghi, Anton Milan, Javen Qinfeng Shi, Daniel Cremers, Ian D. Reid, Stefan Roth, Konrad Schindler, and Laura Leal-Taix’e. MOT20: A benchmark for multi object tracking in crowded scenes. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2003.09003, 2020. [2](#)
- [24] Chanhon Eom, Geon Lee, Junghyup Lee, and Bumsu Ham. Video-based person re-identification with spatial and temporal memory networks. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 12016–12025. IEEE, 2021. [2](#)
- [25] Martin Ester, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Jörg Sander, Xiaowei Xu, and others. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In *kdd*, pages 226–231, 1996. Number: 34. [7](#)
- [26] Alexander Freytag, Erik Rodner, Marcel Simon, Alexander Loos, Hjalmar S. Kühl, and Joachim Denzler. Chimpanzee Faces in the Wild: Log-Euclidean CNNs for Predicting Identities and Attributes of Primates. In *Pattern Recognition*, pages 51–63, Cham, 2016. Springer International Publishing. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [27] Yang Fu, Xiaoyang Wang, Yunchao Wei, and Thomas S. Huang. STA: spatial-temporal attention for large-scale video-based person re-identification. In *The Thirty-Third AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2019, The Thirty-First Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2019, The Ninth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2019, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, January 27 - February 1, 2019*, pages 8287–8294. AAAI Press, 2019. [2](#)
- [28] Yaning Han, Ke Chen, Yunke Wang, Wenhao Liu, Zhouwei Wang, Xiaojing Wang, Chuanliang Han, Jiahui Liao, Kang Huang, Sheng-rong Cai, Yiting Huang, Nan Wang, Jinxiu Li, Yangwangzi Song, Jing Li, Guo-dong Wang, Liping Wang, Yaping Zhang, and Pengfei Wei. Multi-animal 3D social pose estimation, identification and behaviour embedding with a few-shot learning framework. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, pages 1–14, 2024. [3](#)
- [29] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2016, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 27-30, 2016*, pages 770–778. IEEE Computer Society, 2016. [4](#)
- [30] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross B. Girshick. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pages 15979–15988. IEEE, 2022. [5](#)
- [31] Shuting He, Hao Luo, Pichao Wang, Fan Wang, Hao Li, and Wei Jiang. Transreid: Transformer-based object re-identification. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 14993–15002. IEEE, 2021. [2](#)
- [32] Alexander Hermans, Lucas Beyer, and B. Leibe. In defense of the triplet loss for person re-identification. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/1703.07737, 2017. [2](#)
- [33] Lex Hiby, Phil Lovell, Narendra Patil, N. Samba Kumar, Arjun M. Gopalaswamy, and K. Ullas Karanth. A tiger cannot change its stripes: Using a three-dimensional model to match images of living tigers and tiger skins. *Biology Letters*, 5(3): 383–386, 2009. [2](#)
- [34] Vladimir Iashin, Horace Lee, Dan Schofield, and Andrew Zisserman. Self-supervised learning on camera trap footage yields a strong universal face embedder, 2025. [5](#)
- [35] Junjie Jiang, Zelin Wang, Manqi Zhao, Yin Li, and Dongsheng Jiang. SAM2MOT: a novel paradigm of multi-object tracking by segmentation. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2504.04519, 2025. [3](#)
- [36] Joe H. Ward Jr. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 58(301):236–244, 1963. [7](#)
- [37] Lukas Laskowski, Rohan Sawahn, Maximilian Schall, Dante Wasmuht, Magdalena Bermejo, and Gerard de Melo. GorillaVision—open-set re-identification of wild gorillas. In *International workshop series on camera traps, AI, & ecology 2023*, 2023. [2](#)
- [38] Chenyue Li, Shuoyi Chen, and Mang Ye. Adaptive High-Frequency Transformer for Diverse Wildlife Re-Identification, 2024. [2](#)
- [39] Shuyuan Li, Jianguo Li, Hanlin Tang, Rui Qian, and Weiyao Lin. ATRW: A benchmark for amur tiger re-identification in the wild. In *MM ’20: The 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, Virtual Event / Seattle, WA, USA, October 12-16, 2020*, pages 2590–2598, 2020. [3](#)
- [40] Wei Li, Rui Zhao, Tong Xiao, and Xiaogang Wang. Deepreid: Deep filter pairing neural network for person re-identification. In *2014 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2014, Columbus, OH, USA, June 23-28, 2014*, pages 152–159. IEEE Computer Society, 2014. [2](#)
- [41] Weiyang Liu, Yandong Wen, Zhiding Yu, Ming Li, Bhiksha Raj, and Le Song. Sphreface: Deep hypersphere embedding for face recognition. In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2017, Honolulu, HI, USA, July 21-26, 2017*, pages 6738–6746. IEEE Computer Society, 2017. [2](#)
- [42] Ze Liu, Han Hu, Yutong Lin, Zhuliang Yao, Zhenda Xie, Yixuan Wei, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Zheng Zhang, Li Dong, Furu Wei, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer V2: scaling up capacity and resolution. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on*

- Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pages 11999–12009. IEEE, 2022. 4
- [43] Maisels, F., Bergl, R.A., and Williamson, E.A. Gorilla gorilla: The IUCN red list of threatened species 2018, 2018. 1
- [44] Anton Milan, Laura Leal-Taixé, Ian D. Reid, Stefan Roth, and Konrad Schindler. MOT16: a benchmark for multi-object tracking. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/1603.00831, 2016. 2
- [45] Peng Min, Han Su, Mengnan He, Rong Hou, Pinjia Que, and Peng Chen. ReconGait: Giant Panda Gait Recognition Based on Spatio-Temporal Feature Reconstruction. In *2024 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–7, 2024. 2
- [46] Robin E. Morrison, Milou Groenenberg, Thomas Breuer, Marie L. Manguette, and Peter D. Walsh. Hierarchical social modularity in gorillas. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 286(1906):20190681, 2019. Publisher: Royal Society. 1
- [47] Ekaterina Nepovinnikh, Tuomas Eerola, Vincent Biard, Piia Mutka, Marja Niemi, Heikki Kälviäinen, and Mervi Kunnasranta. SealID: Saimaa ringed seal re-identification dataset, 2022. 3
- [48] Xuan Vinh Nguyen, Julien Eppe, and James Bailey. Information theoretic measures for clusterings comparison: is a correction for chance necessary? In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2009, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, June 14-18, 2009*, pages 1073–1080. ACM, 2009. 7
- [49] Anicetus Odo, Niall McLaughlin, and Ilias Kyriazakis. Re-identification for long-term tracking and management of health and welfare challenges in pigs. *Biosystems Engineering*, 251:89–100, 2025. 3
- [50] Maxime Oquab, Timothée Darcet, Théo Moutakanni, Huy V. Vo, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, Pierre Fernandez, Daniel HAZIZA, Francisco Massa, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Mido Assran, Nicolas Ballas, Wojciech Galuba, Russell Howes, Po-Yao Huang, Shang-Wen Li, Ishan Misra, Michael Rabbat, Vasu Sharma, Gabriel Synnaeve, Hu Xu, Herve Jegou, Julien Mairal, Patrick Labatut, Armand Joulin, and Piotr Bojanowski. DINOv2: Learning robust visual features without supervision. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2024. Featured Certification. 2, 4, 5
- [51] Lasha Otarashvili, Tamilselvan Subramanian, Jason Holmberg, J. J. Levenson, and Charles V. Stewart. Multispecies Animal Re-ID Using a Large Community-Curated Dataset, 2024. 1, 2
- [52] Lei Qi, Jing Huo, Lei Wang, Yinghuan Shi, and Yang Gao. MaskReID: A Mask Based Deep Ranking Neural Network for Person Re-identification, 2019. 2
- [53] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 4
- [54] William M Rand. Objective criteria for the evaluation of clustering methods. *Journal of the American Statistical association*, 66(336):846–850, 1971. Publisher: Taylor & Francis. 7
- [55] Wojciech Samek, Alexander Binder, Grégoire Montavon, Sebastian Bach, and Klaus-Robert Müller. Evaluating the visualization of what a Deep Neural Network has learned, 2015. 6
- [56] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. Facenet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2015, Boston, MA, USA, June 7-12, 2015*, pages 815–823. IEEE Computer Society, 2015. 2, 4
- [57] Vukavsin Stanojević and Branimir Todorović. BoostTrack++: Using tracklet information to detect more objects in multiple object tracking. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2408.13003, 2024. 2, 3, 4
- [58] Christian Szegedy, Vincent Vanhoucke, Sergey Ioffe, Jonathon Shlens, and Zbigniew Wojna. Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2016, Las Vegas, NV, USA, June 27-30, 2016*, pages 2818–2826. IEEE Computer Society, 2016. 4
- [59] Mingxing Tan and Quoc V. Le. Efficientnetv2: Smaller models and faster training. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event*, pages 10096–10106. PMLR, 2021. 4
- [60] Juan R. Terven, Diana-Margarita Córdova-Esparza, and Julio-Alejandro Romero-González. A comprehensive review of YOLO architectures in computer vision: From yolov1 to yolov8 and YOLO-NAS. 5:1680–1716, 2023. 2
- [61] Rejin Varghese and Sambath. M. YOLOv8: a novel object detection algorithm with enhanced performance and robustness. *2024 International Conference on Advances in Data Engineering and Intelligent Computing Systems (ADICS)*, pages 1–6, 2024. 2, 3, 4
- [62] Yingquan Wang, Pingping Zhang, Shang Gao, Xia Geng, Huchuan Lu, and Dong Wang. Pyramid spatial-temporal aggregation for video-based person re-identification. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 12006–12015. IEEE, 2021. 2, 6
- [63] Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Xinhao Li, Jiashuo Yu, Yanan He, Chenting Wang, Guo Chen, Baoqi Pei, Ziang Yan, Rongkun Zheng, Jilan Xu, Zun Wang, Yansong Shi, Tianxiang Jiang, Songze Li, Hongjie Zhang, Yifei Huang, Yu Qiao, Yali Wang, and Limin Wang. InternVideo2: Scaling Foundation Models for Multimodal Video Understanding, 2024. 2, 7
- [64] Dante Francisco Wasmuht, Otto Brookes, Maximillian Schall, Pablo Palencia, Chris Beirne, Tilo Burghardt, Majid Mirmehdi, Hjalmar Kühl, Mimi Arandjelovic, Sam Pottie, Peter Bermant, Brandon Asheim, Yi Jin Toh, Adam Elzinga, Jason Holmberg, Andrew Whitworth, Eleanor Platt, Laura Gustafson, Chaitanya Ryali, Yuan-Ting Hu, Baishan Guo, Andrew Westbury, Kate Saenko, and Didac Suris. The SAFARI Dataset: Segment Anything in Footage of Animals for Recognition and Identification, 2025. 3

- [65] Nicolai Wojke, Alex Bewley, and Dietrich Paulus. Simple online and realtime tracking with a deep association metric. *2017 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*, pages 3645–3649, 2017. [2](#)
- [66] Taojiannan Yang, Yi Zhu, Yusheng Xie, Aston Zhang, Chen Chen, and Mu Li. AIM: adapting image models for efficient video action recognition. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023. [2](#), [7](#)
- [67] Libo Zhang, Junyuan Gao, Zhen Xiao, and Heng Fan. AnimalTrack: a benchmark for multi-animal tracking in the wild. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 131:496–513, 2022. [3](#)
- [68] Yifu Zhang, Pei Sun, Yi Jiang, Dongdong Yu, Zehuan Yuan, Ping Luo, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. ByteTrack: Multi-object tracking by associating every detection box. *ArXiv preprint*, abs/2110.06864, 2021. [2](#), [4](#)
- [69] Haidong Zhu, Wanrong Zheng, Zhaoheng Zheng, and Ram Nevatia. ShARc: Shape and Appearance Recognition for Person Identification In-the-wild, 2023. [2](#), [6](#)
- [70] Matthias Zuerl, Richard Dirauf, Franz Koeferl, Nils Steinlein, Jonas Sueskind, Dario Zanca, Ingrid Brehm, Lorenzo von Fersen, and Bjoern M. Eskofier. PolarBearVidID: a video-based re-identification benchmark dataset for polar bears. *Animals : an Open Access Journal from MDPI*, 13, 2023. [2](#), [3](#)
- [71] Vojtěch Čermák, Lukas Pícek, Lukáš Adam, and Kostas Papafitsoros. WildlifeDatasets: An open-source toolkit for animal re-identification, 2023. [2](#), [3](#)