

A Retrospective on Ultrasound Mid-Air Haptics in HCI

Arthur Fleig

Center for Scalable Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence (ScaDS.AI) Dresden/Leipzig, Leipzig University
Leipzig, Germany
arthur.fleig@uni-leipzig.de

Abstract

In 2013, the UltraHaptics system demonstrated that focused ultrasound could generate perceivable mid-air tactile sensations, building on earlier explorations of airborne ultrasound as a haptic medium. These contributions established ultrasound mid-air haptics (UMH) as a viable interaction modality and laid the technical and perceptual foundations for subsequent advances in Human-Computer Interaction (HCI). In this extended abstract, we revisit this formative work, trace the research and design trajectories it enabled, and reflect on how UMH has supported multisensory interaction, immersion, and inclusion. We also highlight how this line of research exemplifies the value of interdisciplinary collaboration to advance novel interactive technologies.

Keywords

Mid-Air haptics, acoustic radiation force, simulating touch

1 Introduction

Haptic feedback has long been integral to interactive systems, for instance via force feedback in joysticks, tactile feedback when tapping on a smartphone screen, or vibration in gloves to provide a sense of touch in virtual reality [1]. *Mid-air* haptics decouples the perception of touch and actually touching an object, e.g., through air-jets [30], using lasers [16], or ultrasound. The latter uses the *acoustic radiation force* emitted from transducers onto a user's bare hands, for which Iwamoto et al. provided the first idea and prototype [10, 13]. Based on this concept, in 2013 and 2014, a team from the University of Bristol helped pave the way for UMH to be broadly applicable within HCI – with research papers [2, 17, 32] (each between 140 and 760 citations according to Google scholar¹) and commercially available products². Subsequently, we revisit and reflect on this foundational research.

2 From Feasibility to User Experience

The UltraHaptics system [2] demonstrated that focused ultrasound can generate up to five concurrent perceivable tactile points above a perforated display surface. At that time (2013), the creation of multiple focal points was a challenge and required an algorithmic solution to drive the phase and amplitude of each transducer in a 16x20 transducer array without creating (too much) unwanted interference. Similarly, it was unclear to what extent users can distinguish these points and their different tactile properties. By combining technical contributions with user research, Carter et al. showed that users could discriminate between multiple points, especially when various points had different frequencies or after some training [2]. In this manner, Carter et al. established perceptual

and engineering parameters for ultrasound mid-air haptic feedback. In a time where computing moved from physical controls to increasingly intangible interfaces such as smooth touchscreens and gestural interactions, UltraHaptics offered a compelling solution to return *touch* to touchless interaction.

Building on this foundation, in 2014 Wilson et al. advanced the perceptual understanding of ultrasonic haptic feedback by exploring users' (N=14) localisation accuracy and motion perception across the hand when both hand and array do not move [32]. Their experiments quantified perceptual thresholds, revealing that users could localize static points with an average error of 8.5mm. Moreover, the illusion of movement was stronger for larger distances, more intervening stimuli along the path, and longer duration of the stimuli. From these insights, Wilson et al. derived design guidelines for interface designers working on haptic feedback in HCI [32].

Later that same year, Long et al. made the leap to the third dimension, and demonstrated that a large number of discrete focal points could be algorithmically composed into *volumetric haptic shapes* that one could *feel* [17]. This required not only detecting when hands interact with (invisible) shape boundaries, but also a fast and robust algorithm for interactively synthesizing acoustic fields. To this end, the authors borrowed from linear algebra and calculus. Reformulating the problem as an (iterative) solution to a linear equation and utilizing parallelization yielded a system being able to render shapes such as spheres, pyramids, and cones in real-time. A small user study (N=6) showed that participants were generally able to correctly identify a shape out of five options [17]. With this, UMH transitioned from isolated points of sensation to spatially continuous, volumetric touch.

3 Ongoing Relevance in Today's HCI Research Landscape

As applications, Long et al. envisioned multisensory design (a car dashboard with a touch and haptic interface), mixed reality, and *feeling* inaccessible objects, such as those in museum cases [17]. Fast forward a decade, the principles pioneered in the foundational studies resonate strongly within HCI, and all three envisioned applications are being tackled.

In the automotive context, UMH is used to augment the audio-visual channels and improve driving safety by reducing drivers' eyes-off-the-road time when interacting with in-car user interfaces [9, 14, 19, 33]. In mixed reality, this technology is used to improve immersion [4, 11, 24], enable haptic feedback for virtual music instruments, rhythm games, and typing [7, 12, 23], and investigate its role on agency [3]. Feeling inaccessible objects include the envisioned museum case [31], experiencing internal human organs [27], and science communication [8]. Additionally, volumetric displays emerged [5, 18, 21], moving towards Ivan Sutherland's vision of the Ultimate Display [29].

¹<https://scholar.google.com>

²<https://www.ultraleap.com>

UMH also aids inclusion, accessibility, and rehabilitation. For instance, Obrist et al. explore mediating emotions [20] through UMH, which can support users with visual or hearing impairments. Paneva et al. use UMH to communicate Braille [22] as an alternative to pin-array tactile displays [34]. With personalized rehabilitation programs in mind, Ragolia et al. build a prototype to study how arm and hand stiffness influence tactile perception [26]. In the medical domain, rendering an acoustic force field more accurately aids ultrasound therapy in fracture-healing by avoiding ultrasound irradiation to an unaffected area [28]. To this end, Shinato and Shiraishi develop an algorithm that can handle a higher resolution of focal points, especially those close-by [28].

All these examples are little more than a glimpse into past and ongoing research, as extensive surveys and books on (ultrasound) mid-air haptics show [1, 6, 15, 25]. However, the core concepts of focal point synthesis, perceptual resolution, and volumetric rendering underpin these applications.

4 Bridging Disciplines for Human-Centred Innovation

The evolution of ultrasound mid-air haptics highlights how substantial advances in HCI emerge when technical depth and human-centred aims are developed in parallel. Early advances in UMH required expertise far beyond traditional interaction design: applied mathematics and physics for modelling acoustic fields and solving linear systems, mechanical engineering for transducer design, and computer science for interactive rendering. These contributions transformed abstract acoustic fields into perceivable and expressive haptic experiences that support interaction, immersion, and accessibility, demonstrating what becomes possible when disciplinary boundaries are intentionally crossed.

As interactive technologies become increasingly ubiquitous and permeate everyday environments, HCI faces growing complexity in both its technical and societal responsibilities. The trajectory of UMH illustrates not only the benefits but also the necessity of bridging technical and human-centred domains. Perceptual research and interaction design rely on robust models and algorithms, while technical breakthroughs gain societal impact when guided by human-centred questions. By embracing interdisciplinary collaboration, particularly with applied mathematics, physics, and the machine learning community, HCI can more effectively transform sophisticated computational capabilities into interactive technologies that benefit diverse users and broaden who can participate in future digital experiences.

References

- [1] Carlos Bermejo and Pan Hui. 2021. A Survey on Haptic Technologies for Mobile Augmented Reality. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 54, 9, Article 184 (Oct. 2021), 35 pages. doi:10.1145/3465396
- [2] Tom Carter, Sue Ann Seah, Benjamin Long, Bruce Drinkwater, and Sriram Subramanian. 2013. UltraHaptics: multi-point mid-air haptic feedback for touch surfaces. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology* (St. Andrews, Scotland, United Kingdom) (UIST '13). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 505–514. doi:10.1145/2501988.2502018
- [3] George Evangelou. 2025. *Investigating the effects of mid-air haptics on the sense of agency*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Goldsmiths, University of London.
- [4] Maite Frutos-Pascual, Jake Michael Harrison, Chris Creed, and Ian Williams. 2019. Evaluation of Ultrasound Haptics as a Supplementary Feedback Cue for Grasping in Virtual Environments. In *2019 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction* (Suzhou, China) (ICMI '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 310–318. doi:10.1145/3340555.3353720
- [5] Tatsuki Fushimi, Daichi Tagami, Kenta Yamamoto, and Yoichi Ochiai. 2024. A digital twin approach for experimental acoustic hologram optimization. *Communications Engineering* 3, 1 (11 Jan 2024), 12. doi:10.1038/s44172-024-00160-0
- [6] Orestis Georgiou, William Frier, Euan Freeman, Claudio Pacchierotti, and Takayuki Hoshi. 2022. *Ultrasound mid-air haptics for touchless interfaces*. Springer, Cham. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-04043-6
- [7] Orestis Georgiou, Craig Jeffrey, Ziyuan Chen, Bao Xiao Tong, Shing Hei Chan, Boyin Yang, Adam Harwood, and Tom Carter. 2018. Touchless Haptic Feedback for VR Rhythm Games. In *2018 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*. 553–554. doi:10.1109/VR.2018.8446619
- [8] Daniel Hajas, Damien Ablart, Oliver Schneider, and Marianna Obrist. 2020. I can feel it moving: Science Communicators Talking About the Potential of Mid-Air Haptics. *Frontiers in Computer Science* Volume 2 - 2020 (2020). doi:10.3389/fcomp.2020.534974
- [9] Kyle Harrington, David R. Large, Gary Burnett, and Orestis Georgiou. 2018. Exploring the Use of Mid-Air Ultrasonic Feedback to Enhance Automotive User Interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Toronto, ON, Canada) (AutomotiveUI '18). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 11–20. doi:10.1145/3239060.3239089
- [10] Takayuki Hoshi, Masafumi Takahashi, Takayuki Iwamoto, and Hiroyuki Shinoda. 2010. Noncontact Tactile Display Based on Radiation Pressure of Airborne Ultrasound. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics* 3, 3 (2010), 155–165. doi:10.1109/TOH.2010.4
- [11] Thomas Howard, Maud Marchal, and Claudio Pacchierotti. 2022. *Ultrasound Mid-Air Tactile Feedback for Immersive Virtual Reality Interaction*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 147–183. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-04043-6_6
- [12] Inwook Hwang, Hyunki Son, and Jin Ryong Kim. 2017. AirPiano: Enhancing music playing experience in virtual reality with mid-air haptic feedback. In *2017 IEEE World Haptics Conference (WHC)*. 213–218. doi:10.1109/WHC.2017.7989903
- [13] Takayuki Iwamoto, Mari Tatzono, and Hiroyuki Shinoda. 2008. Non-contact Method for Producing Tactile Sensation Using Airborne Ultrasound. In *Haptics: Perception, Devices and Scenarios*, Manuel Ferre (Ed.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 504–513.
- [14] Georgios Korres, Said Chehabeddine, and Mohamad Eid. 2020. Mid-Air Tactile Feedback Co-Located With Virtual Touchscreen Improves Dual-Task Performance. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics* 13, 4 (2020), 825–830. doi:10.1109/TOH.2020.2972537
- [15] Tiffany CK Kwok, Peter Kiefer, and Martin Raubal. 2024. Unobtrusive interaction: a systematic literature review and expert survey. *Human-Computer Interaction* 39, 5–6 (2024), 380–416.
- [16] Hojin Lee, Hojun Cha, Junsuk Park, Seungmoon Choi, Hyung-Sik Kim, and Soon-Cheol Chung. 2016. LaserStroke: Mid-air Tactile Experiences on Contours Using Indirect Laser Radiation. In *Adjunct Proceedings of the 29th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology* (Tokyo, Japan) (UIST '16 Adjunct). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 73–74. doi:10.1145/2984751.2985708
- [17] Benjamin Long, Sue Ann Seah, Tom Carter, and Sriram Subramanian. 2014. Rendering volumetric haptic shapes in mid-air using ultrasound. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 33, 6, Article 181 (Nov. 2014), 10 pages. doi:10.1145/2661229.2661257
- [18] Asier Marzo, Sue Ann Seah, Bruce W. Drinkwater, Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, Benjamin Long, and Sriram Subramanian. 2015. Holographic acoustic elements for manipulation of levitated objects. *Nature Communications* 6, 1 (27 Oct 2015), 8661. doi:10.1038/ncomms9661
- [19] Roberto Montano, Rafael Morales, Dario Pittera, William Frier, Orestis Georgiou, and Patricia Cornelio. 2024. Knuckles notifications: mid-air haptic feedback on the dorsal hand for hands-on-the-wheel driving. *Frontiers in Computer Science* Volume 6 - 2024 (2024). doi:10.3389/fcomp.2024.1455201
- [20] Marianna Obrist, Sriram Subramanian, Elia Gatti, Benjamin Long, and Thomas Carter. 2015. Emotions Mediated Through Mid-Air Haptics. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Seoul, Republic of Korea) (CHI '15). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2053–2062. doi:10.1145/2702123.2702361
- [21] Viktorija Paneva, Arthur Fleig, Diego MartiNez Plasencia, Timm Faulwasser, and Jörg Müller. 2022. OptiTrap: Optimal Trap Trajectories for Acoustic Levitation Displays. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 41, 5, Article 173 (Oct. 2022), 14 pages. doi:10.1145/3517746
- [22] Viktorija Paneva, Sofia Seinfeld, Michael Kraicz, and Jörg Müller. 2020. Hap-tiRead: Reading Braille as Mid-Air Haptic Information. In *Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference* (Eindhoven, Netherlands) (DIS '20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 13–20. doi:10.1145/3357236.3395515
- [23] Changhyeon Park, Yubin Lee, and Sang Ho Yoon. 2025. UltraBoard: Always-available Wearable Ultrasonic Mid-air Haptic Interface for Responsive and Robust VR Inputs. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.* 9, 2, Article 44 (June 2025), 31 pages. doi:10.1145/3731413

- [24] Andoni Rivera Pinto, Johan Kildal, and Elena Lazkano. 2020. Multimodal Mixed Reality Impact on a Hand Guiding Task with a Holographic Cobot. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction* 4, 4 (2020). doi:10.3390/mti4040078
- [25] Jiaming Qi, Longteng Yu, Eng Tat Khoo, Kian Wei Ng, Yujia Gao, Alfred Wei Chieh Kow, Joo Chuan Yeo, and Chwee Teck Lim. 2024. Bridging the digital-physical divide using haptic and wearable technologies. *Nature Electronics* 7, 12 (01 Dec 2024), 1098–1110. doi:10.1038/s41928-024-01325-7
- [26] Mattia Alessandro Ragolia, Luisa De Palma, Nicola Giaquinto, Alessandro Pignatelli, and Marco Scarpetta. 2024. Ultrasound Haptics For XR and Rehabilitation: Influence of Arm and Hand Muscle Stiffness on User Perception. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Metrology for eXtended Reality, Artificial Intelligence and Neural Engineering (MetroXRINE)*. 1000–1004. doi:10.1109/MetroXRINE62247.2024.10797026
- [27] Ted Romanus, Sam Frish, Mykola Maksymenko, William Frier, Loic Corenthy, and Orestis Georgiou. 2019. Mid-Air Haptic Bio-Holograms in Mixed Reality. In *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality Adjunct (ISMAR-Adjunct)*. 348–352. doi:10.1109/ISMAR-Adjunct.2019.00-14
- [28] Tomoya Shinato and Toshihiko Shiraishi. 2023. An Algorithm for Rendering Force Fields at Many and Close Control Points Using Acoustic Holography for Ultrasound Therapy. *Journal of Engineering and Science in Medical Diagnostics and Therapy* 7, 1 (06 2023), 011003. doi:10.1115/1.4062684
- [29] Ivan E Sutherland et al. 1965. The ultimate display. In *Proceedings of the IFIP Congress*, Vol. 2. New York, 506–508.
- [30] Y. Suzuki and M. Kobayashi. 2005. Air jet driven force feedback in virtual reality. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* 25, 1 (2005), 44–47. doi:10.1109/MCG.2005.1
- [31] Chi Thanh Vi, Damien Ablart, Elia Gatti, Carlos Velasco, and Marianna Obrist. 2017. Not just seeing, but also feeling art: Mid-air haptic experiences integrated in a multisensory art exhibition. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 108 (2017), 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.ijhcs.2017.06.004
- [32] Graham Wilson, Thomas Carter, Sriram Subramanian, and Stephen A. Brewster. 2014. Perception of ultrasonic haptic feedback on the hand: localisation and apparent motion. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Toronto, Ontario, Canada) (*CHI '14*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1133–1142. doi:10.1145/2556288.2557033
- [33] Gareth Young, Hamish Milne, Daniel Griffiths, Elliot Padfield, Robert Blenkinsopp, and Orestis Georgiou. 2020. Designing Mid-Air Haptic Gesture Controlled User Interfaces for Cars. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 4, EICS, Article 81 (June 2020), 23 pages. doi:10.1145/3397869
- [34] Limin Zeng and Gerhard Weber. 2025. *Advancements in Pin-Array Tactile Displays: Designing Multimodal User Interfaces for Braille and Graphics*. Springer, Cham. doi:10.1007/978-3-032-03151-8