

ReLKD: Inter-Class Relation Learning with Knowledge Distillation for Generalized Category Discovery

Fang Zhou^{a,*}, Zhiqiang Chen^a, Martin Pavlovski^b and Yizhong Zhang^a

^aSchool of Data Science & Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

^bSamsung Electronics America, Mountain View, CA, USA

Abstract. Generalized Category Discovery (GCD) faces the challenge of categorizing unlabeled data containing both known and novel classes, given only labels for known classes. Previous studies often treat each class independently, neglecting the inherent inter-class relations. Obtaining such inter-class relations directly presents a significant challenge in real-world scenarios. To address this issue, we propose ReLKD, an end-to-end framework that effectively exploits implicit inter-class relations and leverages this knowledge to enhance the classification of novel classes. ReLKD comprises three key modules: a target-grained module for learning discriminative representations, a coarse-grained module for capturing hierarchical class relations, and a distillation module for transferring knowledge from the coarse-grained module to refine the target-grained module’s representation learning. Extensive experiments on four datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of ReLKD, particularly in scenarios with limited labeled data. The code for ReLKD is available at <https://github.com/ZhouF-ECNU/ReLKD>.

1 Introduction

In recent years, deep learning technology has achieved remarkable progress, driven by the availability of large amounts of labeled data. However, acquiring extensive labeled data is often costly and time-consuming. To effectively leverage unlabeled data, semi-supervised learning (SSL) [34, 40, 43] has emerged as a promising approach. Traditional SSL methods typically assume that all classes are present in the labeled data. However, in real-world scenarios, novel classes often exist within unlabeled data. To address this limitation, emerging research has focused on the problem of Generalized Category Discovery (GCD) [35], where unlabeled data is assumed to contain both known and unknown categories.

The GCD problem requires models to not only recognize known categories¹ but also discover new, previously unknown classes within unlabeled data. The core challenge lies in the limited availability of supervisory signals for unknown classes. To address this issue, existing works fine-tune pre-trained models using semi-supervised contrastive learning to obtain discriminative representations. Subsequently, these methods employ techniques such as semi-supervised clustering [31, 35, 45, 47] or self-distillation [25, 30, 36, 38] to classify both known and unknown classes. While these methods have




Figure 1: An illustrative example of implicit hierarchical inter-class relations across categories.

achieved relatively good results, they tend to treat each class independently and overlook the inherent relations between classes.

In real-world data, categories often exhibit inherent hierarchical relations and semantic similarities. For instance, as illustrated in Figure 1, target-level categories like "cat" and "dog" belong to the higher-level coarse-grained category "animal". They share more semantic similarity compared to "ship" and "plane" under the coarse-grained category "vehicle". Consequently, when identifying a novel target-level class like "bird", the target-level categories "cat" and "dog" that belong to the same coarse-grained category "animal" can provide more relevant and valuable information, thereby facilitating a more effective representation learning and further improving classification accuracy. However, in practical applications, the relational and hierarchical information among categories is often difficult to obtain directly. *How to effectively exploit this information and utilize it to enhance the classification performance on target-level categories remains a challenging problem.*

To address the aforementioned challenges, we propose **ReLKD**, a framework for GCD based on inter-class **Relation Learning** with **Knowledge Distillation**. ReLKD leverages the implicit inter-class relations to improve the learning of target-grained categories by transferring knowledge across different levels of the class hierarchy. Our framework consists of three key modules: (1) **Target-grained module** learns discriminative representations and prototypes at the target level through semi-supervised contrastive learning and self-distillation, fully utilizing the information from labeled data; (2) **Coarse-grained module** aims to learn the implicit hierarchical relations between classes. The challenge lies in the presence of novel classes and the absence of predefined hierarchies. To address this, the coarse-grained module generates pseudo-labels for coarse-grained categories using the labels at the target level, mitigating the lack of direct supervision at the coarse-grained level. Further-

* Corresponding author. Email: fzhou@dase.ecnu.edu.cn.

¹ The terms "category" and "class", "known" and "seen", and "unknown" and "novel" are used interchangeably.

more, it performs contrastive learning between representations and coarse-grained prototypes to capture inter-class similarities and differences. (3) **Distillation module** integrates the information learned at the coarse-grained level into the target level via knowledge distillation, enabling the model to consider the learned hierarchical relations and inter-class relations during classification. In contrast to existing knowledge distillation methods, ReLKD’s distillation module transfers knowledge across different hierarchical levels, while performing classification on the more granular (target) level.

In summary, our main contributions are as follows:

- We propose the ReLKD framework to address the GCD problem, which leverages implicit class relations and hierarchical structure information to effectively classify both known and unknown categories. ReLKD not only introduces hierarchical structure information into GCD for the first time, but also effectively exploits hidden inter-class relations to improve novel class discovery.
- Recognizing the importance of inter-class relations, we introduce a distillation module to transfer the learned relations towards optimizing the representation learning at the target level.
- ReLKD achieves outstanding performance on four datasets, demonstrating a substantial improvement in **discovering novel classes** while preserving the performance on *seen* classes.

2 Related Work

2.1 Category Discovery

Novel Class Discovery (NCD) aims to classify instances from an unlabeled set into novel classes. The training data for NCD consists of a labeled set and an unlabeled set. Unlike semi-supervised classification, the unlabeled set in NCD contains entirely distinct class labels that do not overlap with those in the labeled set. Earlier methods [8, 13, 16, 17] were proposed based on the idea of transfer learning, leveraging knowledge learned from known classes to improve the discovery of new classes. However, transfer learning-based methods often rely solely on supervised learning with labeled data, overlooking the intrinsic information within unlabeled data. To address this limitation, Zhong et al. [48] constructed pseudo-positive and hard negative instance pairs by mixing labeled and unlabeled instances to learn richer and more robust feature representations.

Generalized Category Discovery (GCD) extends the NCD problem to a more general setting in which unlabeled data contain both novel and known categories. Vaze et al. [35] first proposed a two-stage approach combining representation learning and clustering. They fine-tuned a pre-trained vision transformer (ViT) model using semi-supervised contrastive learning, followed by semi-supervised k-means clustering to classify all categories. Many subsequent works [31, 45, 47] are built on this framework. The aforementioned models are all two-stage approaches based on parameter-free clustering. In contrast, end-to-end models based on parametric classification have not performed well in GCD problems and therefore were not widely used initially. Wen et al. [38] first proposed to employ self-distillation for unlabeled data in an end-to-end classification model to produce more balanced pseudo-labels. Numerous classification models [5, 30, 36] inspired by [38] have been developed. However, these existing works overlook the relations between classes that can be beneficial for GCD.

Recent research has also expanded the scope of GCD by addressing more challenging and realistic scenarios, such as domain shifts and class imbalance [3, 25, 33]. Other works [6, 19, 28, 39] have integrated GCD with incremental or continual learning, enhancing

its relevance to real-world applications. The application of large language models (LLMs) to GCD has also been explored in [2]. These extensions, while significant, are beyond the scope of this paper.

Open-world Semi-Supervised Learning (OSSL) derived from SSL, represents a more realistic learning scenario. Essentially, OSSL and GCD share the same problem setting, but OSSL methods [4, 12, 41] focus on the differences in the learning process for known and novel categories. Although significant progress has been achieved in OSSL, similar to the case with GCD, existing methods often neglect the valuable information embedded within inter-class relations, limiting their classification performance. Therefore, one of our main contributions is the effective utilization of implicit inter-class relations to enhance the classification of novel classes.

2.2 Hierarchical Category Information

In classification problems, there are hidden hierarchical relations among categories. Traditional classification methods typically focus on specific levels of a category hierarchy, ignoring the implicit hierarchical relations among categories. Recent works [1, 32] have explored the integration of hierarchical information into category discovery. Existing methods typically leverage hierarchical information implicitly during either the representation learning [24] or classification [3] stage.

The concept of hierarchical classification has been explored in other areas, nevertheless its immediate applicability to the GCD problem is limited due to the inherent challenge of novel classes and the absence of predefined hierarchies. To address this challenge, ReLKD incorporates a mechanism that leverages target-grained label information to estimate pseudo-super-class labels. In other words, our work not only introduces hierarchical category information into GCD for the first time, but also effectively exploits hidden inter-class relations, leading to an improved discovery of novel classes.

2.3 Knowledge Distillation

Knowledge distillation aims to improve the performance of a *student* model by guiding it during training to mimic the knowledge provided by a *teacher* model. Initially, knowledge distillation was applied to model compression tasks, where a smaller and more lightweight student model could be trained to approximate the softmax distribution output of a larger teacher model, thereby approaching the teacher’s performance [15]. Subsequently, numerous studies have expanded and optimized the types of knowledge used in the distillation process, such as features of intermediate layers [20, 49], attention maps [42], activation boundaries [14], and semantic relationships between instances [11, 29]. Furthermore, other works have found that knowledge distillation is not only effective for compressing models but also for enhancing model performance. For example, mutual distillation allows a group of student models (without pre-training) to collaborate and teach each other during training, enabling ensemble-like knowledge sharing that boosts overall performance [46]. Meanwhile, self-distillation employs a single network that acts as both the teacher and the student during the training process, allowing it to learn by mimicking itself, thereby improving the model’s representational capacity and generalization ability [10, 44].

The aforementioned knowledge distillation methods typically assume that the teacher and student models are trained for the same task and on the same class sets. ReLKD, in contrast, uses different modules to learn classification tasks at different hierarchical levels and transfers class correlations from a coarse-grained level to the target

level through inter-module distillation, thereby promoting classification performance at the target level.

3 Method

Problem Definition. Let \mathcal{D} be a dataset consisting of a labeled set $\mathcal{D}_l = \{(x_i^l, y_i^l)\} \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}_l$, where \mathcal{Y}_l represents the label space of the labeled instances, and an unlabeled set $\mathcal{D}_u = \{(x_i^u, y_i^u)\} \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}_u$, where \mathcal{Y}_u denotes the label space of unlabeled instances. Note that the unlabeled set \mathcal{D}_u contains instances from both known classes \mathcal{Y}_l and novel classes \mathcal{Y}_{novel} , such that $\mathcal{Y}_u = \mathcal{Y}_l \cup \mathcal{Y}_{novel}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_l \subset \mathcal{Y}_u$. The objective of Generalized Category Discovery (GCD) is to learn a model that can assign class labels to instances in \mathcal{D}_u by leveraging the knowledge in \mathcal{D}_l .

Overall Framework. The key insight underlying ReLKD is the exploitation of inter-class relations to optimize classification performance. Our framework (as shown in Figure 2) incorporates three key modules: (1) a target-grained module learns discriminative representations and prototypes at the target level; (2) a coarse-grained module learns the hierarchical relations between classes; and (3) a distillation module transfers the knowledge from the coarse-grained module to the target-grained module to optimize representation learning. All modules share a common feature encoder, $f: x \mapsto z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, to ensure that the learned representations encompass both coarse-grained and fine-grained information.

3.1 Target-Grained Module

As a foundation of our framework, we first introduce a target-grained module that (1) employs contrastive learning to learn rich and relevant representations of instances, and subsequently (2) classifies them into learnable prototypes covering both known and novel classes. Following [35, 38], we assume that the number of novel classes $|\mathcal{Y}_{novel}|$ is known, and let K represent the number of classes in the dataset \mathcal{D} , that is $K = |\mathcal{Y}_u| = |\mathcal{Y}_l| + |\mathcal{Y}_{novel}|$.

Representation Learning. To fully exploit the labeled data, we apply supervised contrastive learning [18] on the *labeled* data, and self-supervised contrastive learning [7] on *all* data. The supervised contrastive loss is

$$\mathcal{L}_l^{rep} = -\frac{1}{|B^l|} \sum_{i \in B^l} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_i|} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_i} \log \frac{\exp(z_i^\top z_p / \tau)}{\sum_{j \in B^l} \exp(z_i^\top z_j / \tau)}, \quad (1)$$

where $|B^l|$ represents the number of labeled instances in the mini-batch B , \mathcal{P}_i is the set of instances having the same label as x_i in B^l , and τ is a temperature parameter. For all the instances, the self-supervised contrastive loss is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{all}^{rep} = -\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{i \in B} \log \frac{\exp(z_i^\top z'_i / \tau)}{\sum_{j \in B} \exp(z_i^\top z_j / \tau)}, \quad (2)$$

where z'_i denotes the representation of a random augmentation of an instance x_i . The representation loss of the target-grained module is $\mathcal{L}_{target}^{rep} = \lambda \mathcal{L}_l^{rep} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{L}_{all}^{rep}$.

Classification. A set of learnable prototypes, denoted as $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_K\}$, is randomly initialized, where each c_k is the learnable representation of class k . The probability $p_i^{(k)}$ that an instance

x_i belongs to the class k is calculated by applying a softmax function to the cosine similarity scores between z_i and the prototypes in \mathcal{C} :

$$p_i^{(k)} = \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(z_i, c_k))}{\sum_{j=1}^K \exp(\text{sim}(z_i, c_j))}. \quad (3)$$

For *labeled* data, we simply apply the cross-entropy loss between predictions and ground-truth labels, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_l^{cls} = -\frac{1}{|B^l|} \sum_{i \in B^l} y_i \log p_i. \quad (4)$$

For *all* the data, we utilize a self-supervised approach, and additionally employ a regularizer to prevent novel classes from being misclassified into a single category:

$$\mathcal{L}_{all}^{cls} = -\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{i \in B} q'_i \log p_i + \sum_{k=1}^K \bar{p}^{(k)} \log \bar{p}^{(k)}, \quad (5)$$

where q'_i is the classification prediction obtained from an augmented version of an instance x_i , and $\bar{p}^{(k)}$ is the average prediction probability for the k^{th} category across all instances in the batch B .

The classification loss of the target-grained module is $\mathcal{L}_{target}^{cls} = \lambda \mathcal{L}_l^{cls} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{L}_{all}^{cls}$.

The overall loss of the target-grained module is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{target} = \mathcal{L}_{target}^{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{target}^{rep}. \quad (6)$$

3.2 Coarse-Grained Module (CGM)

Next, we detail the coarse-grained module, which fulfills two key functions: (1) generating pseudo-super-class labels for labeled data, and (2) employing positive-only contrastive learning to enhance the representation learning of labeled instances while simultaneously aligning instance representations with their corresponding super-class prototypes. We assume that the number of super-classes, denoted by K_c , is known, however, no prior knowledge regarding the super-class label assignments is utilized.

Classification. Similar to the classification in the target-grained module, we randomly initialize a set of learnable super-class prototypes $\mathcal{C}^c = \{c_1^c, \dots, c_{K_c}^c\}$, where c_k^c is the representation of super-class k . The probability $p_i^{c(k)}$ that an instance x_i belongs to the super-class k is computed using the softmax function on the cosine similarity scores between z_i and the super-class prototypes in \mathcal{C}^c , that is,

$$p_i^{c(k)} = \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(z_i, c_k^c))}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_c} \exp(\text{sim}(z_i, c_j^c))}. \quad (7)$$

While we lack explicit super-class labels for individual instances, we can leverage target-grained label information to generate pseudo-super-class labels. Our hypothesis is that instances with the same target-grained labels should also belong to the same super-class. Therefore, for labeled data, we introduce a mechanism to estimate the potential super-class label for each target-grained class $y \in \mathcal{Y}_l$ (see Figure 3). More specifically, we maintain a memory queue \mathcal{Q} storing target-grained class labels y and corresponding coarse-grained probabilities p^c (computed using Eq. (7)). Given a labeled instance x_i with class label y_i , we select the probabilities p^c associated with the same class label y_i in \mathcal{Q} and store them in \mathcal{Q}_{y_i} . The pseudo super-class label p_i^{mq} for instance x_i is then estimated by averaging the probabilities p^c in the set \mathcal{Q}_{y_i} , that is, $p_i^{mq} =$




Figure 2: The architecture of ReLKD.




Figure 3: Generation of a pseudo super-class label for a labeled instance.

$\frac{1}{|Q_{y_i}|} \sum_{l \in Q_{y_i}} p_l^c$. Then, the classification loss for the *labeled* data at the coarse-grained level is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{c-l}^{cls} = -\frac{1}{|B^l|} \sum_{i \in B^l} p_i^{mq} \log p_i^c. \quad (8)$$

The size of the memory queue Q is fixed for all experiments. Once a batch of instances has been processed, Q is updated by storing the class labels y and the corresponding predicted probabilities p^c of the instances in that batch.

For *all* instances, similar to Eq. (5), we apply a self-supervised approach to calculate the classification loss, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_{c-all}^{cls} = -\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{i \in B} q_i^c \log p_i^c + \sum_{k=1}^{K_c} \bar{p}^{c(k)} \log \bar{p}^{c(k)}, \quad (9)$$

where q_i^c represents the predicted super-class label for an augmented version of instance x_i .

The total classification loss of the coarse-grained module is $\mathcal{L}_{c-all}^{cls} = \lambda \mathcal{L}_{c-l}^{cls} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{L}_{c-all}^{cls}$.

Representation Learning. In the absence of true super-class labels, we hypothesize that instances within the same target-grained class are likely to belong to the same super-class. We therefore expect representations of instances with identical target-grained labels to be close in the coarse-grained latent space. To achieve this, we employ a contrastive learning approach without negative pairs, recognizing that instances from different target-grained classes may still share a common super-class:

$$\mathcal{L}_{c-l}^{rep} = -\frac{1}{|B^l|} \sum_{i \in B^l} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_i|} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_i} \text{sim}(z_i, z_p), \quad (10)$$

where \mathcal{P}_i represents the set of instances with the same label as x_i in B^l .

Then, we employ contrastive learning to align instances' representations with their potential corresponding super-class prototypes.

Given an instance x_i , let $\hat{y}_i^c = \arg\max_k p_i^{c(k)}$ denote its most probable super-class. Considering that the super-class prototype may not be well-learned, the loss is weighted by the probability $p_i^{c(\hat{y}_i^c)}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_{c-all}^{rep} = -\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{i \in B} p_i^{c(\hat{y}_i^c)} \log \frac{\exp(z_i^\top c_{\hat{y}_i^c}^c)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K_c} \exp(z_i^\top c_k^c)}. \quad (11)$$

The representation loss of the coarse-grained module is $\mathcal{L}_{c-all}^{rep} = \lambda \mathcal{L}_{c-l}^{rep} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{L}_{c-all}^{rep}$.

The overall loss of the coarse-grained module is

$$\mathcal{L}_{coarse} = \mathcal{L}_{coarse}^{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{coarse}^{rep}. \quad (12)$$

3.3 Knowledge Distillation Module (KDM)

Note that the prototypes \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^c are learned independently at the target-grained and coarse-grained levels. Additionally, since \mathcal{D} contains novel classes and the relations between the target-grained labels and super-classes are unknown, the coarse-grained module could not directly improve the classifier's performance at the target-grained level. To address this limitation, we introduce a knowledge distillation module, which aims to learn the affinity relations between the prototypes \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^c , ensuring that prototypes \mathcal{C} that belong to the same super-class align with their respective \mathcal{C}^c .

Specifically, we learn a matrix W_{t2c} that describes the potential relations between target-grained classes and super-classes. Using this matrix, we obtain a set of inferred coarse-grained prototypes $\mathcal{C}^{t2c} = \{c_1^{t2c}, \dots, c_{K_c}^{t2c}\} = W_{t2c} \cdot \mathcal{C}^T$. For an instance x_i , the probability of belonging to the inferred super-class c_k^{t2c} is computed as:

$$p_i^{t2c(k)} = \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(z_i, c_k^{t2c}))}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_c} \exp(\text{sim}(z_i, c_j^{t2c}))}. \quad (13)$$

To ensure consistency between the inferred coarse-grained prototypes \mathcal{C}^{t2c} and learned coarse-grained prototypes \mathcal{C}^c , we minimize the cross-entropy loss between coarse-grained predictions p_i^c and inferred predictions p_i^{t2c} , defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{t2c} = -\frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{i \in B} p_i^c \log p_i^{t2c} + \sum_{k=1}^{K_c} \bar{p}^{t2c(k)} \log \bar{p}^{t2c(k)}. \quad (14)$$

By minimizing the above loss, we establish a reliable relation between target-grained classes and super-classes. This strategy ensures effective knowledge transfer from the coarse-grained module to the target-grained module, ultimately improving target-grained classification performance.

3.4 Overall Loss

ReLKD comprises three modules, each with a distinct learning rate to optimize their respective contributions. The target-grained module, leveraging labeled data, initiates the training process to establish a foundation for classification. Subsequently, the coarse-grained module is introduced to refine classification capabilities by exploiting implicit inter-class information. Finally, the knowledge distillation module is activated to transfer knowledge from the coarse-grained module to the target-grained module, further boosting the model’s classification performance. The overall loss of ReLKD is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L}_{target} + f_c(t) \mathcal{L}_{coarse} + f_{t2c}(t) \mathcal{L}_{t2c}, \quad (15)$$

where $f_c(t)$ and $f_{t2c}(t)$ dynamically adjust the weights of the coarse-grained and distillation modules, respectively, as a function of the training epoch t . Specifically, we define early, mid, and late training stages to adjust the coarse-grained and distillation trade-off parameters $f_c(t)$ and $f_{t2c}(t)$:

$$f_c(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq t < T_c^{start} \\ \frac{\lambda_c}{2} \cdot \left[1 - \cos \left(\frac{t - T_c^{start}}{T_c^{end} - T_c^{start}} \cdot \pi \right) \right], & T_c^{start} \leq t < T_c^{end} \\ \lambda_c, & t \geq T_c^{end} \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

$$f_{t2c}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq t < T_{t2c}^{start} \\ \frac{\lambda_{t2c}}{2} \cdot \left[1 - \cos \left(\frac{t - T_{t2c}^{start}}{T_{t2c}^{end} - T_{t2c}^{start}} \cdot \pi \right) \right], & T_{t2c}^{start} \leq t < T_{t2c}^{end} \\ \lambda_{t2c}, & t \geq T_{t2c}^{end} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where T_c^{start} and T_{t2c}^{start} represent the epochs at which the coarse-grained module and distillation module begin contributing to the training process, respectively; T_c^{end} and T_{t2c}^{end} signify the epochs at which the weights of these two modules stop increasing; and λ_c and λ_{t2c} represent the final weights assigned to the coarse-grained module and distillation module, respectively. We employ a cosine function to gradually incorporate the contributions of new modules, initially assigning low weights, progressively increasing them to a peak, and then stabilizing the modules’ importance at a certain level. This strategy ensures a smooth transition and optimal integration of new modules into ReLKD.

4 Experiments

4.1 Experimental Setup

Datasets. To evaluate the effectiveness of ReLKD, four widely used datasets, including CIFAR-100 [22], ImageNet [9], Aircraft [26] and Scars [21] have been used in our experiments. CIFAR-100 includes 100 target-grained classes, which are divided into 20 coarse-grained categories in a balanced manner. Each target-grained class comprises 600 color images of size 32×32 , with 500 of them selected for the training set. ImageNet-100 is a custom subset of ImageNet that we constructed to follow ImageNet’s hierarchical label structure [27]. Specifically, ImageNet-100 contains 10 target-grained categories selected from each of ImageNet’s 10 coarse-grained categories; and for each target-grained category, a training set of 600 images was selected.

To further validate the performance of ReLKD in *more challenging settings*, we selected the Aircraft and Scars datasets, which focus on finer-grained image categorization tasks with (i) lower variation between target-grained categories and (ii) coarse-grained categories that are not explicitly defined. Aircraft contains 10,000 images across

Table 1: Datasets’ statistics, including the number of *seen* ($|\mathcal{Y}_l|$) and *novel* ($|\mathcal{Y}_u|$) categories, the amount of labeled and unlabeled data ($|\mathcal{D}_l|$ and $|\mathcal{D}_u|$) as well as the individual sizes of training ($|\mathcal{D}_{train}|$), validation ($|\mathcal{D}_{val}|$) and test ($|\mathcal{D}_{test}|$) sets.

	CIFAR-100	ImageNet-100	Aircraft	Scars
$ \mathcal{D}_l $	20,000	15,000	1,666	2,036
$ \mathcal{D}_u $	30,000	45,000	5,001	6,108
$ \mathcal{Y}_l $	80	50	50	98
$ \mathcal{Y}_u $	100	100	100	196
$ \mathcal{D}_{train} $	50,000	60,000	6,667	8,144
$ \mathcal{D}_{val} $	5,000	2,500	667	814
$ \mathcal{D}_{test} $	10,000	5,000	3,333	8,041

100 categories, encompassing various models of airplanes. We allocated two-thirds of all images to the training set, with each class having approximately the same number of images. Scars includes 16,185 high-resolution images of automobiles across 196 distinct makes, models, and years. The dataset has been split by its authors into predefined training and test sets of similar sizes.

Following Vaze’s approach [35], we divided the classes from all datasets into *seen* and *novel* categories. For every dataset (except CIFAR-100 which is divided into 80% *seen* and 20% *novel* classes), we sampled 50% of all classes \mathcal{Y}_u as *seen* classes \mathcal{Y}_l . Across the known classes, 50% of the images are labeled, while the remaining 50%, along with the images from unknown classes, form the unlabeled set \mathcal{D}_u . The details of all datasets are shown in Table 1.

Baselines. We adapted eight methods for comparison with ReLKD, such as the classical ORCA method [4] as well as methods (OpenNCD [24] and TIDA [37]) that leverage multi-level category information in OSSL. We have also considered two-stage clustering-based approaches (GCD [35], DCCL [31] and PromptCAL [45]) and parametric classification approaches (SimGCD [38] and LegoGCD [5]).

Metrics. Given that the ground-truth labels of the instances in \mathcal{D}_u are not available, we opted to employ Vaze’s [35] proposed metric for assessing the accuracy of a model’s predictions in relation to ground-truth labels: $ACC = \max_{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}_u)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_u|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{D}_u|} \mathbb{1}\{y_i = p(\hat{y}_i)\}$, where \mathcal{P} is the set of all permutations computed with the Hungarian algorithm [23], and y_i and \hat{y}_i represent the ground-truth label and the clustering prediction from the Hungarian algorithm, respectively. The *ACC* metric is employed to assess the performance on *Seen*, *Novel*, and *All* classes, separately.

Implementation details. In the experiments, we utilized the pre-trained ViT-B/16 as the backbone of ReLKD’s encoder and conducted fine-tuning exclusively of the final block of the vision transformer. The optimal hyperparameter values for each component of ReLKD were obtained by grid search. In accordance with the previous study by Vaze and Wen et al. [35, 38], we used the number of target-grained categories $|\mathcal{Y}_u|$ as a priori information, i.e. as the number of prototypes at the target level $K = |\mathcal{Y}_u|$. As for the coarse-grained level in CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100, we directly utilized the number of coarse-grained categories as a priori information to determine the number of coarse-grained prototypes K_c . For Aircraft and Scars, the two datasets without explicit coarse-grained category labels, we experimented with different numbers of K_c using the validation set; and identified that optimal results are obtained with 20 and 30 coarse-grained categories, respectively. ReLKD was trained for 200 epochs and optimized with the SGD optimizer. All baselines were run using their original implementations as described in their respective papers, and their hyperparameter values were selected via grid search. All experiments were conducted on a server machine equipped with an Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6240R CPU, a Tesla V100-

Table 2: *ACC* of all methods on *All*, *Seen* and *Novel* classes across all datasets. The results of the best-performing methods are **bolded**, while the second-best results are underlined. The results from an additional experiment on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100, incorporating ground-truth coarse-grained labels for ReLKD, are included in the bottom row and marked with * if they exceed those of the original ReLKD variant.

Methods	CIFAR-100			ImageNet-100			Aircraft			Scars		
	All	Seen	Novel	All	Seen	Novel	All	Seen	Novel	All	Seen	Novel
ORCA	50.9±0.4	55.7±0.7	31.6±0.9	55.2±0.4	77.6±0.6	32.8±0.9	26.0±0.1	37.9±0.2	24.3±0.1	29.1±0.2	50.2±0.3	16.5±1.0
OpenNCD	41.2±0.5	53.6±0.3	33.0±1.1	63.2±1.1	70.1±1.0	56.8±2.1	32.2±0.4	36.5±1.3	27.1±1.6	40.2±0.6	57.1±1.2	25.7±1.3
TIDA	65.3±0.6	73.3±0.5	56.6±1.6	65.6±0.9	69.4±0.6	59.3±1.5	33.1±0.8	37.4±1.1	29.7±1.5	46.0±0.2	55.9±0.7	33.5±0.7
GCD	72.1±0.3	76.3±0.6	53.4±2.8	68.1±1.2	76.1±2.3	60.0±3.4	40.2±0.7	50.2±1.7	30.3±2.2	42.9±0.6	64.7±0.3	21.9±1.3
DCCL	74.2±1.2	80.4±1.6	49.4±3.8	66.8±0.9	72.2±1.6	61.3±1.9	36.8±0.7	41.1±1.5	32.5±1.3	33.3±0.2	43.3±0.7	23.7±0.7
PromptCAL	81.6±0.2	85.8±0.4	70.1±2.4	73.3±1.3	78.9±1.4	67.6±2.1	53.6±0.6	57.6±0.9	49.6±1.3	53.8±0.6	70.3±1.4	37.8±1.0
SimGCD	80.2±0.6	81.0±0.4	77.6±2.1	72.3±0.5	78.4±0.2	67.9±1.3	54.3±1.4	59.3±0.4	51.6±0.9	53.5±1.5	71.4±1.1	44.7±1.8
LegoGCD	82.1±0.2	82.7±0.3	80.6±1.1	<u>73.5±0.2</u>	<u>84.6±0.9</u>	62.4±0.5	53.8±0.4	58.0±0.7	50.1±0.2	59.3±0.2	74.8±0.6	44.3±0.2
ReLKD	82.6±0.3	82.1±0.2	84.5±1.0	78.4±0.5	84.8±0.4	72.0±0.9	56.7±0.1	60.5±1.0	52.9±1.0	<u>58.7±0.5</u>	<u>72.4±1.0</u>	45.6±0.8
ReLKD+ GT_c	84.6±0.1*	83.6±0.2	86.4±0.4*	80.3±0.7*	85.3±0.5*	75.2±1.2*	-	-	-	-	-	-

SXM2-32GB GPU, and 256 GB of RAM.

4.2 Effectiveness of ReLKD

Table 2 presents the *ACC* of ReLKD and the baselines across the four datasets. Overall, ReLKD achieves superior performance in classifying *All*, *Seen* and *Novel* classes in the majority of cases, with suboptimal results observed in only three comparisons. These results demonstrate the superior effectiveness of ReLKD. In particular, ReLKD improves the *ACC* for *All* classes by 0.5% and 4.9% on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100, respectively. Moreover, it achieves considerable respective improvements of 3.9% and 4.1% in the *ACC* for *Novel* classes. Notwithstanding these improvements, ReLKD still lags behind PromptCAL and LegoGCD in terms of its performance for *Seen* classes on CIFAR-100. This is due to some coarse-grained categories in CIFAR-100 having minimal differences, such as "vehicles 1" and "vehicles 2", thus limiting the effectiveness of the coarse-grained module in enhancing target-level classification. Apart from this minor downside, ReLKD outperforms these two models on all other datasets.

Furthermore, the results on the more challenging datasets (Aircraft and Scars) demonstrate that ReLKD also exhibits improved performance. With the exception of the accuracy on the *All* classes and *Seen* classes in Scars, which is slightly lower than that of LegoGCD, the remaining metrics reach the current state-of-the-art results. It is worth noting that, since the number of coarse-grained classes in Aircraft and Scars are not provided, ReLKD uses an estimated number of coarse-grained classes and still achieves substantial improvements.

Due to differences in dataset characteristics such as class granularity and inter-class structure complexity, it is reasonable that the performance gains vary across datasets with some coarse-grained classes not being explicitly defined. Note that such variability is not unique to ReLKD and impacts the baseline methods as well, whose performances also vary across datasets due to the same factors. Nevertheless, despite such dataset-specific variations, ReLKD consistently outperforms the baselines.

Finally, recognizing that ReLKD’s Coarse-Grained Module (CGM) relies solely on a priori knowledge of the predefined number of coarse-grained categories, we introduce an additional experiment. This experiment, conducted on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100, provides an upper bound on ReLKD’s performance when accurate coarse-grained labels (GT_c) are available. The results presented in the last row of Table 2 demonstrate a notable performance improvement when GT_c is incorporated, suggesting that learning with coarse-grained information plays a pivotal role in GCD.

Table 3: *ACC* computed for different K_c values on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100. The value marked with * represents the true coarse-grained quantity in that dataset.

K_c	CIFAR-100			K_c	ImageNet-100		
	All	Seen	Novel		All	Seen	Novel
10	80.8±0.5	81.5±0.2	78.4±1.2	5	76.1±0.5	81.9±0.2	70.2±0.7
15	81.2±0.3	81.4±0.4	80.3±0.9	10*	78.4±0.5	84.8±0.4	72.0±0.9
20*	82.6±0.3	82.1±0.2	84.5±1.0	15	78.4±0.9	85.1±0.8	71.8±1.2
25	82.1±0.4	81.5±0.1	83.9±0.7	20	77.1±0.4	84.6±0.3	70.8±0.6
30	81.7±0.2	81.6±0.2	82.0±1.0	25	77.2±0.6	84.1±0.7	69.2±0.5
40	81.3±0.6	81.5±0.3	80.3±0.6	30	76.1±0.3	81.6±0.3	69.4±0.7

4.3 Evaluation of Coarse-Grained Performance

Effectiveness. To evaluate the performance of ReLKD at the coarse-grained level, we conducted experiments by comparing the coarse-grained classification of ReLKD and all baselines on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100, both of which provide coarse-grained labels. Specifically, we mapped all methods’ target-grained predictions to their corresponding coarse-grained categories, and then computed their *ACC*s. A prediction was deemed correct at the coarse-grained level if the predicted target-grained category belonged to the same coarse-grained category as the ground-truth target-grained category. For example, for an instance with the ground-truth label “cat” at the target-grained level, if the model classifies it as “cat”, “dog”, or any other category within the “animal” coarse-grained category, then the classification is considered correct *at the coarse-grained level*.

Figure 4a shows that ReLKD obtains relatively large improvements compared with other baselines, i.e. 4.4% and 5.3% on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100, respectively. Meanwhile, the results in Table 2 and Figure 4a are fairly consistent, which indicates that improving the classification ability at the coarse-grained level can help to indirectly improve the performance at the target-grained level.

Sensitivity to coarse-grained prototype number. To evaluate the sensitivity of ReLKD to the number of predefined coarse-grained categories, we varied K_c and calculated the corresponding *ACC* on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-100. Table 3 shows that ReLKD is able to achieve superior results when K_c is close to the true number of coarse-grained classes. In addition, even when K_c differs significantly from the actual number of coarse-grained categories, ReLKD still outperforms SimGCD which does not utilize coarse-grained information. These results indicate that (1) ReLKD is not sensitive to K_c , and (2) capturing correlation between categories at the target level indeed enhances the classification performance.

4.4 Ablation Study: Component Contributions

To ascertain the veracity of the individual modules in ReLKD and to determine their respective contributions, we conducted an ablation




Figure 4: (a) Coarse-grained ACC. (b-d) Impact of novel ratio on *All*, *Seen*, and *Novel* classes. (e) Impact of loss weights on *Novel* ACC.

Table 4: ACC on CIFAR-100 for ablation study.

Variant	CGM	KDM	All	CIFAR-100 Seen	Novel
SimGCD	✓	✗	80.2±0.6	81.0±0.4	77.6±2.1
ReLKD-KDM	✓	✗	81.8±0.4	81.9±0.4	81.6±0.9
ReLKD	✓	✓	82.6±0.3	82.1±0.2	84.5±1.0

Table 5: Warmup experiments for CGM.

T_c^{start}	T_c^{end}	All	Seen	Novel
0	30	74.6	79.6	54.4
30	45	82.4	83.1	79.6
30	60	82.6	82.1	84.5
30	90	81.3	81.4	81.3
60	90	81.8	83.4	76.2

study on CIFAR-100. The study focuses on analyzing the proposed CGM and KDM, and the results are shown in Table 4.

Compared to SimGCD, which uses only the target-grained module, adding the coarse-grained module (CGM) (Section 3.2), improved performance by 1.6%, 0.9%, and 4.0% on *all*, *seen*, and *novel* classes, respectively. Further incorporating the knowledge distillation module (KDM) (Section 3.3) yielded additional improvements of 0.8%, 0.2%, and 2.9% on *all*, *seen*, and *novel* classes, respectively. These outcomes clearly demonstrate the substantial impact of each module on ReLKD’s overall performance.

The introduction of the CGM allows for the indirect influence of coarse-grained information on ReLKD’s representation learning, and the introduction of KDM enables the knowledge transferred from CGM to guide the learning of prototypes at the target level, thereby enhancing the ability of ReLKD for novel class discovery. Furthermore, we also found that both CGM and KDM result in a notable enhancement in the model’s performance on *Novel* classes. This observation suggests that ReLKD has effectively exploited the correlation between target-grained level classes through coarse-grained information, facilitating the transfer of knowledge from *Seen* classes to *Novel* classes in a more effective manner.

4.5 Effect of Novel Class Ratio

We evaluated the performance of ReLKD on ImageNet-100 under different novel class ratios, ranging from 0.1 to 0.9. The classification accuracies for *All*, *Seen*, and *Novel* classes are shown in Figure 4. The results demonstrate that ReLKD consistently outperforms LegoGCD, SimGCD and PromptCAL (the three leading baselines in Table 2) across all novel class ratios, showcasing its robustness in diverse scenarios. Specifically, ReLKD exhibits a significant advantage when the novel class ratio is 0.3, 0.5 and 0.7. When the novel ratio is 0.1, the number of instances from unknown classes is limited, making performance improvements challenging. Conversely, when the novel ratio is 0.9, the number of instances from known classes is significantly reduced, leading to insufficient learning from

known classes, thereby limiting the generalization ability to unknown classes.

4.6 Parameter Impact Analysis

This section analyzes the impact of specific parameters utilized in our method. We conducted two sets of experiments to explore 1) the loss weights of CGM and KDM, and 2) the start and duration of the loss weight growth during training.

Loss weights. In order to optimize the performance of the model, it is first necessary to determine the loss weights (denoted as λ_c and λ_{t2c}) of CGM and KDM. The optimal λ_c and λ_{t2c} were identified based on the ACC for the *Novel* classes on the CIFAR-100 validation set. The obtained results are shown in Figure 4e. When λ_c and λ_{t2c} are small, the model has limited improvement and cannot effectively use the learned coarse-grained information, while when λ_c and λ_{t2c} are large, there is a decreasing trend in ACC. This may be due to the fact that the goal of the experiment is to classify categories at the target-grained level, and very large weights for CGM and KDM may have a negative effect as the classification ability deviates from the target-grained level.

Warm-up. After determining λ_c and λ_{t2c} , we also need to know when additional modules should be introduced into ReLKD. We set different start/end time points for CGM (denoted as T_c^{start} and T_c^{end}) on the CIFAR-100 validation set and calculate the corresponding ACCs. Table 5 shows that if CGM is introduced too early, the model will suffer insufficient learning at the target level, which leads to a serious performance degradation. However, the time of introducing KDM has little effect on the performance of ReLKD².

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we addressed the central challenge of GCD: discovering novel classes within unlabeled data that also contain known categories, while lacking predefined class hierarchy. Existing approaches overlook the inherent relations among classes, limiting their capacity to generalize to novel classes. To overcome this limitation, we proposed ReLKD, an end-to-end framework for GCD that introduces inter-class relation learning and knowledge distillation into GCD for the first time. ReLKD leverages a target-grained module to learn discriminative representations at the granular class level, a coarse-grained module to model implicit class hierarchies, and a distillation module to propagate relational knowledge across class levels, thereby enhancing both representation quality and novel class discovery. Through extensive experiments on four diverse datasets, we demonstrated that ReLKD consistently improves novel class discovery and overall classification performance.

² <https://github.com/ZhouF-ECNU/ReLKD/blob/main/supplement.pdf>

References

- [1] W. An, F. Tian, P. Chen, S. Tang, Q. Zheng, and Q. Wang. Fine-grained category discovery under coarse-grained supervision with hierarchical weighted self-contrastive learning. In *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1314–1323, 2022.
- [2] W. An, W. Shi, F. Tian, H. Lin, Q. Wang, Y. Wu, M. Cai, L. Wang, Y. Chen, H. Zhu, and P. Chen. Generalized category discovery with large language models in the loop. In *Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8653–8665, 2024.
- [3] J. Bai, Z. Liu, H. Wang, R. Chen, L. Mu, X. Li, J. T. Zhou, Y. Feng, J. Wu, and H. Hu. Towards distribution-agnostic generalized category discovery. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 58625–58647, 2023.
- [4] K. Cao, M. Brbic, and J. Leskovec. Open-world semi-supervised learning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- [5] X. Cao, X. Zheng, G. Wang, W. Yu, Y. Shen, K. Li, Y. Lu, and Y. Tian. Solving the catastrophic forgetting problem in generalized category discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16880–16889, 2024.
- [6] F. J. Cendra, B. Zhao, and K. Han. Promptccd: Learning gaussian mixture prompt pool for continual category discovery. In *Computer Vision*, pages 188–205, 2024.
- [7] T. Chen, S. Kornblith, M. Norouzi, and G. Hinton. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1597–1607, 2020.
- [8] H. Chi, F. Liu, W. Yang, L. Lan, T. Liu, B. Han, G. Niu, M. Zhou, and M. Sugiyama. Meta discovery: Learning to discover novel classes given very limited data. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- [9] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 248–255, 2009.
- [10] X. Dong, J. Bao, Y. Zheng, T. Zhang, D. Chen, H. Yang, M. Zeng, W. Zhang, L. Yuan, D. Chen, et al. Maskclip: Masked self-distillation advances contrastive language-image pretraining. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10995–11005, 2023.
- [11] Y. Dong, K. Miller, Q. Lei, and R. Ward. Cluster-aware semi-supervised learning: Relational knowledge distillation provably learns clustering. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 40799–40831, 2023.
- [12] L. Guo, Y. Zhang, Z. Wu, J. Shao, and Y. Li. Robust semi-supervised learning when not all classes have labels. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 3305–3317, 2022.
- [13] K. Han, A. Vedaldi, and A. Zisserman. Learning to discover novel visual categories via deep transfer clustering. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 8400–8408, 2019.
- [14] B. Heo, M. Lee, S. Yun, and J. Y. Choi. Knowledge transfer via distillation of activation boundaries formed by hidden neurons. In *AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, pages 3779–3787, 2019.
- [15] G. Hinton, O. Vinyals, and J. Dean. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv*, 2015.
- [16] Y. Hsu, Z. Lv, and Z. Kira. Learning to cluster in order to transfer across domains and tasks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2018.
- [17] Y. Hsu, Z. Lv, J. Schlosser, P. Odom, and Z. Kira. Multi-class classification without multi-class labels. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.
- [18] P. Khosla, P. Teterwak, C. Wang, A. Sarna, Y. Tian, P. Isola, A. Maschinot, C. Liu, and D. Krishnan. Supervised contrastive learning. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 18661–18673, 2020.
- [19] H. Kim, S. Suh, D. Kim, D. Jeong, H. Cho, and J. Kim. Proxy anchor-based unsupervised learning for continuous generalized category discovery. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 16642–16651, 2023.
- [20] J. Kim, S. Park, and N. Kwak. Paraphrasing complex network: Network compression via factor transfer. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 2765–2774, 2018.
- [21] J. Krause, M. Stark, J. Deng, and L. Fei-Fei. 3d object representations for fine-grained categorization. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 554–561, 2013.
- [22] A. Krizhevsky, G. Hinton, et al. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. *Master's thesis, University of Tront*, 2009.
- [23] H. W. Kuhn. The hungarian method for the assignment problem. *Naval research logistics quarterly*, 2(1-2):83–97, 1955.
- [24] J. Liu, Y. Wang, T. Zhang, Y. Fan, Q. Yang, and J. Shao. Open-world semi-supervised novel class discovery. *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4002–4010, 2023.
- [25] S. Ma, F. Zhu, Z. Zhong, X. Zhang, and C. Liu. Active generalized category discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16890–16900, 2024.
- [26] S. Maji, E. Rahtu, J. Kannala, M. Blaschko, and A. Vedaldi. Fine-grained visual classification of aircraft. *arXiv*, 2013.
- [27] G. A. Miller. Wordnet: a lexical database for english. *Communications of the ACM*, pages 39–41, 1995.
- [28] K. Park, H. Lee, K. Song, and G. Park. Online continuous generalized category discovery. In *Computer Vision*, pages 53–69, 2024.
- [29] W. Park, D. Kim, Y. Lu, and M. Cho. Relational knowledge distillation. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3967–3976, 2019.
- [30] Z. Peng, E. Wang, X. Liu, and M. Cheng. Let's start over: Retraining with selective samples for generalized category discovery. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 4815–4823, 2024.
- [31] N. Pu, Z. Zhong, and N. Sebe. Dynamic conceptional contrastive learning for generalized category discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7579–7588, 2023.
- [32] M. N. Rizve, N. Kardan, S. Khan, F. S. Khan, and M. Shah. Openlcn: Learning to discover novel classes for open-world semi-supervised learning. In *Computer Vision*, pages 382–401, 2022.
- [33] S. B. Rongali, S. Mehrotra, A. Jha, M. H. N. C, S. Bose, T. Gupta, M. Singha, and B. Banerjee. Cdad-net: Bridging domain gaps in generalized category discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2616–2626, 2024.
- [34] K. Sohn, D. Berthelot, N. Carlini, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, C. Raffel, E. D. Cubuk, A. Kurakin, and C. Li. Fixmatch: Simplifying semi-supervised learning with consistency and confidence. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 596–608, 2020.
- [35] S. Vaze, K. Hant, A. Vedaldi, and A. Zisserman. Generalized category discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7482–7491, 2022.
- [36] H. Wang, S. Vaze, and K. Han. Sptnet: An efficient alternative framework for generalized category discovery with spatial prompt tuning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- [37] Y. Wang, Z. Zhong, P. Qiao, X. Cheng, X. Zheng, C. Liu, N. Sebe, R. Ji, and J. Chen. Discover and align taxonomic context priors for open-world semi-supervised learning. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 19015–19028, 2024.
- [38] X. Wen, B. Zhao, and X. Qi. Parametric classification for generalized category discovery: A baseline study. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 16544–16554, 2023.
- [39] Y. Wu, Z. Chi, Y. Wang, and S. Feng. Metagcd: Learning to continually learn in generalized category discovery. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1655–1665, 2023.
- [40] Q. Xie, M. Luong, E. H. Hovy, and Q. V. Le. Self-training with noisy student improves imagenet classification. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2020.
- [41] B. Ye, K. Gan, T. Wei, and M. Zhang. Bridging the gap: Learning pace synchronization for open-world semi-supervised learning. *ArXiv*, 2023.
- [42] S. Zagoruyko and N. Komodakis. Paying more attention to attention: Improving the performance of convolutional neural networks via attention transfer. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017.
- [43] X. Zhai, A. Oliver, A. Kolesnikov, and L. Beyer. S4l: Self-supervised semi-supervised learning. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1476–1485, 2019.
- [44] L. Zhang, J. Song, A. Gao, J. Chen, C. Bao, and K. Ma. Be your own teacher: Improve the performance of convolutional neural networks via self distillation. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3713–3722, 2019.
- [45] S. Zhang, S. Khan, Z. Shen, M. Naseer, G. Chen, and F. S. Khan. Promptcal: Contrastive affinity learning via auxiliary prompts for generalized novel category discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3479–3488, 2023.
- [46] Y. Zhang, T. Xiang, T. M. Hospedales, and H. Lu. Deep mutual learning. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4320–4328, 2018.
- [47] B. Zhao, X. Wen, and K. Han. Learning semi-supervised gaussian mixture models for generalized category discovery. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 16577–16587, 2023.
- [48] Z. Zhong, E. Fini, S. Roy, Z. Luo, E. Ricci, and N. Sebe. Neighborhood contrastive learning for novel class discovery. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10867–10875, 2021.
- [49] Z. Zhou, Y. Shen, S. Shao, L. Gong, and S. Lin. Rethinking centered kernel alignment in knowledge distillation. In *International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 5680–5688, 2024.