

Information–Thermodynamic Bounds on Planetary Biosphere Productivity and Their Observational Tests

Slava G. Turyshev

*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology,
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109-0899, USA*

(Dated: December 9, 2025)

The productivity of a planetary biosphere is limited by how its free-energy budget is partitioned between three tasks: maintaining a habitable environment, driving metabolism, and processing heritable information. We derive a general upper bound on net primary productivity (NPP) that follows from nonequilibrium thermodynamics and information theory, given a planet’s usable free-energy flux and a small set of coarse-grained biological parameters. The bound takes the form

$$\text{NPP} \leq \frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{maint}} - \dot{N}_{\text{copy}} [k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 (\log_2 k_p - H_{k_p}(\mu)) + W_{\text{proof}}]}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}} / \eta_{\text{bio}}},$$

where P_{in} is the power available in chemical potential usable by life, P_{maint} is the power required to maintain climatic and geophysical boundary conditions compatible with a biosphere, \dot{N}_{copy} is the global rate of template-copying operations, k_p is the monomer alphabet size, $H_{k_p}(\mu)$ is the corresponding Shannon entropy per site (in bits) at error rate μ (the subscript k_p indicating the dependence on alphabet size), W_{proof} is the mean additional work per site invested in proofreading, ΔG_{assim} is the Gibbs free-energy gain per unit of assimilated biomass, and η_{bio} is an overall efficiency factor. This inequality formalizes an “information–productivity trade-off”: at fixed planetary power budget, higher global copying rates, lower error rates, larger alphabets, or more intensive proofreading all increase the information-processing load and therefore lower the ceiling on biomass production. Using conservative parameter choices, we show that the present-day Earth lies well below this ceiling, while low-flux environments such as M-dwarf habitable zones and subsurface ocean worlds are much more susceptible to entering an information-limited regime in which only modest combinations of productivity and heritable complexity are simultaneously attainable. We further outline how future exoplanet observations—constraining stellar irradiation, climate, atmospheric disequilibria and temporal variability—can be used to infer a physics-based upper bound on NPP and compare it to independent productivity estimates, providing a concrete, falsifiable link between fundamental physics, information processing, and large-scale biosphere functioning.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2
II. Planetary Power Budget and Net Primary Productivity	3
III. Information Thermodynamics of Copying and Proofreading	4
A. Copying entropy and mutual information per site	4
B. Proofreading work	5
C. Global copying rate	5
IV. Derivation of the NPP Bound	5
A. Conditions for saturation	6
V. Scaling Behavior and Regimes	6
VI. Earth as a Calibration Point	8
VII. Applications to Planetary Archetypes	9
A. Temperate G-star planet	9
B. Tidally locked M-dwarf ocean planet	9
C. H ₂ -rich super-Earth	10
D. Subsurface ocean worlds	10
VIII. Observational Retrieval and Tests	10

A. Inferring the power budget and maintenance fraction	11
B. Priors on information-processing parameters	11
C. Inferring NPP from atmospheric and temporal data	11
D. Consistency tests and falsifiers	11
IX. Discussion and Outlook	12
Acknowledgments	12
References	12
A. An exoplanet retrieval example	13

I. INTRODUCTION

The search for life beyond the Solar System is rapidly shifting from a purely statistical exercise to one grounded in detailed characterization of individual planets. Next-generation space telescopes and ground-based observatories will measure stellar spectral energy distributions (SEDs), planetary albedos, thermal emission spectra, bulk atmospheric compositions and, in favorable cases, temporal variability associated with climate and seasonal cycles (e.g., [1–3]). A central question is how to connect these observationally accessible quantities to robust statements about the *possible* and *actual* productivity of a planetary biosphere.

Traditional approaches to habitability have emphasized geometric and climatic criteria, such as the location of the classical habitable zone, surface temperature ranges that permit liquid water, and the existence of long-lived energy sources [4, 5]. More recent work has begun to consider biosphere productivity and atmospheric biosignatures as emergent properties of a coupled climate–biosphere system, often via detailed ecological or biochemical models tuned to Earth-like life (e.g., [6–8]). Such models are valuable, but they embed a large amount of microscopic contingency and are difficult to generalize across radically different planetary environments.

In parallel, information thermodynamics has established tight links between information processing and energetic costs. Erasing information requires work and produces heat, with a minimal cost of $k_B T \ln 2$ per bit erased [9, 10]. Noisy copying at a given error rate implies minimal entropy production, and error-correcting schemes such as kinetic proofreading require additional work to maintain low mutation rates [11–13]. Biological systems are necessarily information-processing devices: life stores, copies and transforms heritable information, and must obey these thermodynamic constraints regardless of biochemical implementation.

In Paper I of this series we introduced a “gate” framework in which the emergence and persistence of life are formulated as the satisfaction of a sequence of nonequilibrium thresholds connecting fundamental constants to planetary, biochemical and ecological properties [14]. Among the outputs of that framework was a global bound on net primary productivity (NPP) that explicitly subtracts information-processing work from the planetary power budget. In the present paper we take that bound as the central object of study and develop its consequences in detail.

The objectives of this paper are threefold:

1. To derive, from a global steady-state power balance and minimal information-thermodynamic assumptions, a general upper bound on biosphere productivity for arbitrary planetary environments.
2. To analyze how this bound scales with planetary and biological parameters for a set of representative archetypes (temperate G-star planets, tidally locked M-dwarf ocean planets, H₂-rich super-Earths, and subsurface ocean worlds), identifying regimes where biospheres become information-limited rather than purely energy-limited.
3. To outline an observational retrieval strategy in which constraints on stellar irradiation, climate, and atmospheric disequilibria are combined with physically motivated priors on information-processing parameters to yield a physics-based upper bound on NPP, and to show how this bound can be confronted with future exoplanet data as a falsifiable constraint.

In terms of the framework introduced in Paper I [14], the present work can be viewed as an explicit development of the Gate 4/11 power–productivity constraint at the planetary scale. Eq. (12) below corresponds to the spatially integrated form of the NPP inequality obtained there, but here we (i) re-derive it using only a minimal set of non-equilibrium assumptions, (ii) decouple it from the rest of the gate machinery, and (iii) analyze its scaling with planetary and biological parameters and its observational consequences.

The result is a compact but powerful statement: for any life-supporting planet that respects known physics, the product of heritable information-processing burden and biosphere productivity is limited by the available free-energy

flux. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II we formalize the planetary power budget and its partition into maintenance, information-processing and metabolic sinks. In Section III we recall the relevant information-thermodynamic bounds on copying and proofreading and construct a conservative lower bound on the power dissipated in heritable information processing. In Section IV we combine these ingredients to derive the main NPP inequality and discuss conditions for its saturation. Section V analyzes the scaling behavior of the bound and identifies resource-limited and information-limited regimes. Section VI uses Earth as a calibration point. Section VII applies the bound to planetary archetypes, and Section VIII develops an observational retrieval framework and discusses potential falsifiers. We conclude in Section IX with a summary and outlook. In Appendix A we present an exoplanet retrieval example.

II. PLANETARY POWER BUDGET AND NET PRIMARY PRODUCTIVITY

We begin by formalizing the global energy balance of a planet capable of hosting a biosphere. Let P_{in} denote the total power incident on the planet in the form of radiant energy from the host star, internal heat flow from radiogenic and tidal sources, and any additional exogenous sources. A fraction of this power is reflected or transmitted to space without being converted into chemical free energy accessible to life. We define P_{use} as the *power available in chemical or other usable potentials* at the interface between the abiotic environment and the biosphere. For a photosynthesis-dominated biosphere,

$$P_{\text{use}} = \Phi_{\text{exergy}} A_{\text{surf}}, \quad (1)$$

where Φ_{exergy} is the mean areal flux of usable exergy and A_{surf} is the surface area of the planet; this notion has been applied to Earth's climate and biosphere by, e.g., Kleidon [8]. The following treatment does not depend on the specific energy-conversion mechanism.

The available power P_{use} must be partitioned into three sinks:

$$P_{\text{use}} = P_{\text{maint}} + P_{\text{info}} + P_{\text{chem}}. \quad (2)$$

Here:

- P_{maint} is the power required to maintain geophysical and climatic boundary conditions compatible with a biosphere. This includes maintaining atmospheric composition and pressure, ocean circulation and mixing, plate tectonics or analogous processes, and other large-scale processes that stabilize the environment over biospheric timescales.
- P_{info} is the power dissipated in information processing associated with heritable information: replicating genomes or other templates, performing error correction and proofreading, transcribing and translating encoded information, and maintaining information-bearing structures against thermal and chemical noise.
- P_{chem} is the power available to drive endergonic biochemical reactions that produce and maintain biomass, including net primary production and higher-trophic-level metabolism.

The precise decomposition is somewhat conventional; in particular, some contributors to P_{maint} may be partially biotically mediated. For our purposes it is sufficient to treat P_{maint} as the power that cannot be reduced without compromising habitability, and $P_{\text{info}} + P_{\text{chem}}$ as the power under more direct biological allocation.

To make this decomposition more concrete, one may regard radiative-convective imbalance, large-scale atmospheric and oceanic circulation, and long-term geochemical cycling (e.g., silicate weathering feedbacks) as canonical contributors to P_{maint} , while the free-energy dissipation associated with polymerase steps, proofreading cycles, and the synthesis and degradation of long-lived information-bearing complexes belongs to P_{info} . The remaining metabolic work—short-lived ATP turnover, biosynthesis not directly tied to heritable information, and higher-trophic-level activity—is then accounted for in P_{chem} . Any reclassification of processes from P_{chem} or P_{maint} into P_{info} would only increase the inferred information-processing load and thus tighten the bound on NPP, so our choice of partition is conservative.

Net primary productivity, NPP, is defined as the total rate of biomass production per unit time by primary producers, net of their own autotrophic respiration. Let ΔG_{assim} be the mean Gibbs free energy gain per unit of assimilated biomass (for example per mole of fixed carbon), and let η_{bio} be an efficiency factor capturing the fraction of P_{chem} that is actually converted into net biomass production as opposed to being dissipated in other metabolic processes. Then

$$\text{NPP} = \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}} P_{\text{chem}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}}. \quad (3)$$

Symbol	Meaning
P_{in}	Total power incident on the planet (usable by life after albedo losses)
P_{use}	Power available in chemical or other usable potentials at the biosphere interface
P_{maint}	Power required to maintain geophysical and climatic boundary conditions
P_{info}	Power dissipated in heritable information processing (copying + proofreading)
P_{chem}	Power available for other biochemical work (net production + metabolic overheads)
NPP	Net primary productivity (net biomass production rate)
ΔG_{assim}	Gibbs free-energy gain per unit of assimilated biomass
η_{bio}	Effective efficiency of converting P_{chem} into net biomass production
\dot{N}_{copy}	Global rate of template-copying operations (sites s^{-1})
I_{site}	Mutual information per site between template and copy (bits)
W_{proof}	Mean additional work per site invested in proofreading

TABLE I. Summary of the main energetic and information-theoretic quantities used in Secs. II–V.

Alternative definitions and partitionings are possible, but Eq. (3) captures the essential dependence on the available metabolic power and the specific free-energy yield of assimilation (cf. global NPP syntheses such as [6, 7]).

Combining Eqs. (2) and (3) yields

$$\text{NPP} = \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} (P_{\text{use}} - P_{\text{maint}} - P_{\text{info}}). \quad (4)$$

To obtain a useful bound, we thus require a lower bound on the information-processing power P_{info} in terms of more primitive parameters.

For convenience, Table I summarizes the main symbols introduced in this section and in Sec. III.

III. INFORMATION THERMODYNAMICS OF COPYING AND PROOFREADING

Information thermodynamics provides a minimal energetic cost for certain classes of information-processing operations. The most familiar is Landauer’s principle: erasing one bit of information in a system coupled to a thermal bath at temperature T increases the entropy of the environment by at least $k_B \ln 2$, implying a minimal heat dissipation of

$$Q_{\text{erase}} \geq k_B T \ln 2, \quad (5)$$

as first emphasized by Landauer and further developed in the thermodynamics-of-computation literature [9–11].

In biological contexts, particularly for template-directed replication of polymers such as nucleic acids, one can consider both the entropy associated with the stochastic copying process and the additional work required to reduce errors via proofreading. Recent treatments of the thermodynamics of error correction [12, 13] provide useful lower bounds that we adapt here in a coarse-grained form.

A. Copying entropy and mutual information per site

Consider a template polymer composed of monomers drawn from an alphabet of size k_p (e.g., $k_p = 4$ for DNA/RNA). Let μ denote a mean per-site error probability in copying, and $H_{k_p}(\mu)$ the corresponding Shannon entropy per site, measured in bits (the subscript k_p reminding us that it depends on the alphabet size). The mutual information per site between the template and copy is then

$$I_{\text{site}} = \log_2 k_p - H_{k_p}(\mu) \quad (6)$$

bits. Producing a copy of length L with this fidelity implies a minimal entropy production associated with establishing $I_{\text{site}}L$ bits of mutual information, with a minimal energetic cost

$$W_{\text{info,min}} \geq k_B T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} L. \quad (7)$$

This bound is independent of the detailed biochemical implementation and thus applies to any molecular realization of templated replication consistent with classical information theory.

In using Eq. (7) we are not assuming that biological copying literally proceeds as a sequence of explicit erasure operations. Rather, we exploit the more general fact that any process which establishes a mutual information $I_{\text{site}}L$

between a template and a copy must, on average, reduce the entropy of the copy conditioned on the template by the same amount. Within classical information thermodynamics, this implies that a minimum amount of heat $Q \geq k_B T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} L$ must be dissipated to the environment, irrespective of biochemical details [9–11]. We use this as a rigorous lower bound on the work needed to maintain heritable correlations, not as a claim that biological systems operate near this limit.

B. Proofreading work

In realistic biochemical systems, raw copying errors at finite temperature are often higher than the ultimate mutation rates observed in functional genomes. Error-correcting mechanisms, such as kinetic proofreading, invest additional free energy to suppress errors. For a broad class of proofreading schemes, the extra work per site scales at least logarithmically with the ratio between the equilibrium and target error probabilities,

$$W_{\text{proof}} \gtrsim k_B T \ln \frac{\mu_{\text{eq}}}{\mu}, \quad (8)$$

where μ_{eq} is an effective equilibrium error rate determined by the free-energy differences and kinetic barriers between matched and mismatched incorporations [12, 13]. The precise prefactor and functional form depend on the biochemical implementation, but the key point is that reducing μ requires positive work and thus contributes to P_{info} .

In invoking Eq. (8) we are implicitly adopting the standard, classical thermodynamics-of-information viewpoint (e.g., Refs. [9–11].) The assumptions are: (i) the copying machinery operates while in contact with a finite-temperature bath at temperature T , (ii) the macroscopic biosphere does not implement a globally reversible computing architecture capable of storing and processing information without dissipation, and (iii) heritable information is continuously turned over in a statistical steady state, so that establishing mutual information between templates and copies is necessarily accompanied by the erasure of correlations in older copies. Under these conditions the minimal average heat dissipated in any physical process that creates a mutual information $I_{\text{site}} L$ between template and copy is bounded below by $k_B T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} L$, even if intermediate “measurement” steps are, in principle, logically reversible. We therefore use Eq. (8) solely as a rigorous lower bound on the energetic cost of maintaining heritable correlations, while recognizing that real biochemical implementations are expected to operate well above this limit.

C. Global copying rate

Let \dot{N}_{copy} denote the global rate of template-copying operations across the biosphere, measured in sites copied per unit time. This includes genome replication in dividing cells, transcription and translation events that are effectively part of heritable information maintenance (e.g., production of long-half-life complexes required for inheritance), and any analogous processes in non-DNA-based systems.

Under conservative assumptions, the minimal power dissipated in information processing is then bounded by

$$P_{\text{info}} \geq \dot{N}_{\text{copy}} [k_B T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}]. \quad (9)$$

The term in brackets has dimensions of energy per site; it combines the minimal Landauer cost of establishing mutual information with the additional per-site proofreading work.¹

Equation (9) is necessarily conservative. Real biochemical networks also expend energy to maintain gradients, operate molecular motors, and perform regulatory computation unrelated to direct copying. These contributions increase P_{info} , so any bound derived using Eq. (9) will be an upper limit on NPP.

IV. DERIVATION OF THE NPP BOUND

Substituting the lower bound on P_{info} from Eq. (9) into Eq. (4) yields

$$\text{NPP} \leq \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \left[P_{\text{use}} - P_{\text{maint}} - \dot{N}_{\text{copy}} (k_B T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}) \right]. \quad (10)$$

¹ Formally, the $k_B T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}}$ term in (9) is associated with establishing mutual information at the target error rate; the W_{proof} term represents the additional dissipation required to drive errors below μ_{eq} . In models where proofreading dominates, one may effectively absorb the first term into W_{proof} ; retaining both simply guarantees that the bound is never underestimated.

We now relate P_{use} to the planetary power budget P_{in} . Let f_{exergy} denote the fraction of P_{in} that is converted into usable exergy for life, and f_{leak} the fraction of P_{in} that bypasses the biosphere entirely (e.g., reflectance, thermal infrared emission not harnessed by chemotrophs). Then

$$P_{\text{use}} = f_{\text{exergy}} P_{\text{in}} = (1 - f_{\text{leak}}) P_{\text{in}}, \quad (11)$$

with $0 < f_{\text{exergy}} \leq 1$. Absorbing f_{exergy} into P_{in} for notational simplicity (i.e., redefining P_{in} as “power available for life”), we arrive at

$$\text{NPP} \leq \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \left[P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{maint}} - \dot{N}_{\text{copy}} (k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}) \right]. \quad (12)$$

This is the desired information–thermodynamic bound on planetary biosphere productivity. It can be written in the compact form

$$\text{NPP} \leq \frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{maint}} - P_{\text{info}}^{(\min)}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}} / \eta_{\text{bio}}}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$P_{\text{info}}^{(\min)} = \dot{N}_{\text{copy}} (k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}). \quad (14)$$

Eq. (12) formalizes the intuitive idea that a biosphere must allocate its power budget among environmental maintenance, information processing and biomass production. For fixed P_{in} and P_{maint} , increasing \dot{N}_{copy} , I_{site} (i.e., reducing μ or increasing k_p) or W_{proof} necessarily² reduces the maximal attainable NPP.

A. Conditions for saturation

Equality in Eq. (12) would require that:

1. All information-processing operations saturate their minimal energetic cost (Landauer limit plus optimal proof-reading).
2. No power is dissipated in regulatory computation, non-essential gradient maintenance, or other non-copying tasks.
3. The efficiency factor η_{bio} captures all metabolic overheads aside from those in P_{info} ; i.e., P_{chem} is used exclusively for net biomass production plus unavoidable dissipation.

These conditions are unlikely to be satisfied in any realistic biosphere. Consequently, NPP is expected to lie below the bound, with the gap encoding both biological and geochemical inefficiencies. From the standpoint of exoplanet inference, however, this is acceptable: it ensures that Eq. (12) defines a robust upper envelope, violations of which would signal either incorrect assumptions or genuinely novel physics.

V. SCALING BEHAVIOR AND REGIMES

To interpret Eq. (12), it is useful to introduce dimensionless parameters. Dividing through by P_{in} ,

$$\frac{\text{NPP} \Delta G_{\text{assim}}}{\eta_{\text{bio}} P_{\text{in}}} \leq 1 - \frac{P_{\text{maint}}}{P_{\text{in}}} - \frac{\dot{N}_{\text{copy}}}{P_{\text{in}}} (k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}). \quad (15)$$

The left-hand side is the fraction of the planetary power budget converted into net biomass production. The right-hand side subtracts the maintenance fraction and a dimensionless information-processing load,

$$\Lambda_{\text{info}} \equiv \frac{\dot{N}_{\text{copy}}}{P_{\text{in}}} (k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}). \quad (16)$$

Two limiting regimes are particularly informative:

² We are not claiming you can robustly measure these terms – μ , k_p , W_{proof} , or \dot{N}_{copy} – today; we’re setting up a physics-based ceiling that future observations can asymptotically approach.

a. Resource-limited regime. When $\Lambda_{\text{info}} \ll 1$ and $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$ is substantial, productivity is limited primarily by the residual power after accounting for climate and geophysical maintenance. This is roughly the regime Earth occupies at present.

b. Information-limited regime. When Λ_{info} becomes comparable to or larger than $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$, information processing consumes a non-negligible fraction of the power budget. This can occur in low-flux environments (small P_{in}), in biospheres with very high global copying rates \dot{N}_{copy} , or in systems that sustain extremely low error rates and large alphabets (large I_{site} and W_{proof}). In such environments, trade-offs between heritable complexity and NPP become especially tight.

For later reference, it is useful to introduce a simple rule-of-thumb classification. We will refer to systems with $\Lambda_{\text{info}} \lesssim 0.1$ as *resource-dominated*, systems with $0.1 \lesssim \Lambda_{\text{info}} \lesssim 0.5$ as *information-influenced*, and systems with $\Lambda_{\text{info}} \gtrsim 0.5$ as *information-limited*, in the sense that information-processing loads consume a dynamically significant fraction of the planetary power budget. These numerical thresholds are not fundamental but provide a useful language for interpreting the archetypes discussed in Sec. VII.

c. Self-consistent closure for \dot{N}_{copy} . In the discussion above, \dot{N}_{copy} was treated as a free biological control parameter. For many broad classes of microbial biospheres one can instead relate \dot{N}_{copy} to the NPP itself. Let us measure NPP in moles of fixed carbon per unit time, so that ΔG_{assim} is understood as the free-energy gain per mole C. Denote by B_{C} the total living biomass expressed in moles of C, by m_{C} the mean cellular carbon content (mol C per cell), by L the typical length (in monomer sites) of the heritable template that must be copied at cell division, and by τ_{turn} the characteristic biomass turnover time of the biosphere. In statistical steady state one then has

$$\text{NPP} \simeq \frac{B_{\text{C}}}{\tau_{\text{turn}}}, \quad N_{\text{cell}} \simeq \frac{B_{\text{C}}}{m_{\text{C}}}, \quad (17)$$

where N_{cell} is the total number of cells. The global cell-division rate is

$$\dot{N}_{\text{div}} \simeq \frac{N_{\text{cell}}}{\tau_{\text{turn}}} \simeq \frac{\text{NPP}}{m_{\text{C}}}. \quad (18)$$

If each division requires copying a template of length L , and non-genomic copying (transcription and translation of long-lived information-bearing complexes) increases the per-division copying load by a factor $\chi \gtrsim 1$, the global site-copying rate becomes

$$\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \simeq \chi L \dot{N}_{\text{div}} \simeq \chi \frac{L}{m_{\text{C}}} \text{NPP}. \quad (19)$$

Substituting this closure into the NPP bound (13) yields

$$\text{NPP} \left[1 + \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \chi \frac{L}{m_{\text{C}}} (k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}}) \right] \leq \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} (P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{maint}}), \quad (20)$$

or equivalently

$$\text{NPP}_{\text{max}} \simeq \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \frac{P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{maint}}}{1 + \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \chi \frac{L}{m_{\text{C}}} (k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}})}. \quad (21)$$

For Earth-like parameters $L \sim 10^6$ – 10^7 sites, $m_{\text{C}} \sim 10^{-13}$ mol, $\chi \sim 10^2$, $\Delta G_{\text{assim}} \sim 5 \times 10^5$ J mol $^{-1}$ and $k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}} \sim 10^{-20}$ J, the correction factor in the denominator is of order $1 + \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$. Thus, for present-day Earth the closure above changes the NPP ceiling by at most a few parts in 10^5 , consistent with the conclusion in Sec. VI that information-processing costs are subdominant. In lower-flux environments, the same closure implies proportionally tighter constraints on the simultaneous realization of high NPP and large \dot{N}_{copy} .

Comparing the characteristic scales,

$$\frac{P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}}{P_{\text{in}}} \sim \frac{\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} k_{\text{B}} T}{P_{\text{in}}}, \quad (22)$$

we see that at fixed \dot{N}_{copy} and T , decreasing P_{in} drives the system toward the information-limited regime. This suggests that biospheres in low-irradiance environments, such as outer-habitable-zone planets and subsurface oceans powered largely by internal heat, tend to face a generic constraint: either NPP remains low, or global copying rates and heritable complexity must be reduced.

VI. EARTH AS A CALIBRATION POINT

As a sanity check and example, we apply (12) to Earth, using conservative parameter estimates. We aim only to demonstrate that the bound is comfortably above observed NPP for reasonable choices, not to perform a detailed optimization.

The solar constant at Earth's orbit is $S \simeq 1361 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, and Earth's cross-sectional area is $A_{\text{cs}} = \pi R_{\oplus}^2 \approx 1.28 \times 10^{14} \text{ m}^2$, yielding a total incident power

$$P_{\text{in}}^{\oplus} \simeq S A_{\text{cs}} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{17} \text{ W}. \quad (23)$$

Only a fraction of this is available to the biosphere, but for a conservative upper bound we can take P_{in} to be the total photosynthetically and chemically accessible exergy, which is smaller by a factor of order 10^{-1} – 10^{-2} . For concreteness, we adopt

$$P_{\text{in}} \sim 10^{16} \text{ W}, \quad (24)$$

as a fiducial value for “power available to life”.

Estimates of contemporary Earth NPP are of order 10^{14} W when converted from carbon fixation rates using typical ΔG_{assim} values, consistent with global syntheses such as [6, 7]. Taking $\Delta G_{\text{assim}} \sim 470 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for oxygenic photosynthesis and a global NPP of $\sim 10^2 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ gives

$$\text{NPP}_{\oplus} \simeq (10^2 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}) \times \frac{10^{15} \text{ gC}}{\text{PgC}} \times \frac{1}{12 \text{ g mol}^{-1}} \times \frac{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}}{1 \text{ yr}} \sim 1 \times 10^{14} \text{ W}. \quad (25)$$

Thus $\text{NPP}_{\oplus}/P_{\text{in}} \sim 10^{-2}$ for the adopted P_{in} .

The maintenance power fraction $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$ is less well constrained, but energy-balance models suggest that maintaining Earth's climate and geochemical cycles uses a substantial fraction of the incident power [8]. Adopting $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}} \sim 0.3$ – 0.6 is conservative.

The remaining free parameter is Λ_{info} . We can obtain a rough magnitude by combining estimates of global cell numbers and division rates. If the Earth hosts $\sim 10^{30}$ microbial cells with typical genome length $L \sim 10^6$ bases and division times of $\sim 10^7$ – 10^8 s , then genome replication alone contributes

$$\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \sim \frac{10^{30} \times 10^6}{10^8 \text{ s}} \sim 10^{28} \text{ sites s}^{-1}. \quad (26)$$

Including transcription and translation events increases this by at most a few orders of magnitude; we adopt $\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \sim 10^{30} \text{ s}^{-1}$ as a generous upper bound for the subset of copying operations directly tied to heritable information (see, e.g., [15] for global microbial abundance estimates). For orientation, combining the estimate of $\sim 10^{30}$ prokaryotic cells [15] with a characteristic ribosome content of $\sim 10^4$ per cell and elongation rates of order 10 amino acids s^{-1} implies a raw global translation flux of $\sim 10^{35}$ amino-acid additions per second. Only a small fraction of this traffic, however, is associated with long-lived complexes that contribute directly to heritable information. Our fiducial choice $\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \sim 10^{30} \text{ s}^{-1}$ can thus be interpreted as an effective copying rate for the heritable component alone, with the much larger pool of short-lived translational events implicitly absorbed into the metabolic overheads subsumed in P_{chem} .

At $T \sim 300 \text{ K}$, $k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 \approx 3 \times 10^{-21} \text{ J per bit}$. For DNA-like polymers with $k_p = 4$ and per-site error rates $\mu \sim 10^{-8}$ – 10^{-10} , the mutual information per site is close to $\log_2 4 = 2$ bits, so $k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} \sim 6 \times 10^{-21} \text{ J}$. Taking W_{proof} of the same order yields an energy cost per site of order 10^{-20} J . Then

$$P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})} \sim \dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \times 10^{-20} \text{ J} \sim 10^{10} \text{ W} \quad (27)$$

for $\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \sim 10^{30} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The estimate in Eq. (27) only counts genome replication and assumes a per-site energetic cost $k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}} \sim 10^{-20} \text{ J}$, close to the Landauer limit. More detailed cell-level bioenergetic budgets suggest that the actual dissipation per nucleotide incorporated by polymerases and ribosomes is typically larger by two to three orders of magnitude. If one therefore takes $k_{\text{B}} T \ln 2 I_{\text{site}} + W_{\text{proof}} \sim 10^{-17} \text{ J}$ while keeping $\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \sim 10^{30} \text{ s}^{-1}$, the corresponding information processing power becomes

$$P_{\text{info}} \sim 10^{13} \text{ W}, \quad (28)$$

still only $\sim 10^{-3}$ of the adopted P_{in} and at most $\sim 10^{-1}$ of the power associated with contemporary Earth NPP ($\sim 10^{14} \text{ W}$). Conversely, if one allows for an order-of-magnitude uncertainty in the global copying rate and takes

$\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} \sim 10^{31}\text{--}10^{32}\text{ s}^{-1}$ while retaining the minimal per-site cost, one finds $P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})} \sim 10^{11}\text{--}10^{12}\text{ W}$, again safely below both P_{in} and P_{maint} . These back-of-the-envelope estimates show explicitly that Eq. (27) is conservative: even when P_{info} is boosted by several orders of magnitude relative to $P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}$, the planetary-scale information-processing load remains energetically negligible on Earth.

Even if the estimate (27) is low by several orders of magnitude, $P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}$ remains much smaller than both P_{in} and P_{maint} :

$$\frac{P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}}{P_{\text{in}}} \ll 10^{-3}, \quad \frac{P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}}{P_{\text{maint}}} \ll 10^{-2}. \quad (29)$$

Eq. (15) then yields

$$\frac{\text{NPP}_{\oplus} \Delta G_{\text{assim}}}{\eta_{\text{bio}} P_{\text{in}}} \lesssim 1 - \frac{P_{\text{maint}}}{P_{\text{in}}} \sim 0.4\text{--}0.7, \quad (30)$$

which is easily satisfied for $\text{NPP}_{\oplus}/P_{\text{in}} \sim 10^{-2}$ and plausible η_{bio} . Thus Earth lies comfortably below the information-thermodynamic ceiling, as expected.

The key lesson is that on Earth the information-processing load is subdominant to maintenance and metabolic costs. The bound is therefore not constraining under contemporary conditions. However, as we show next, this need not be the case for other planetary environments.

VII. APPLICATIONS TO PLANETARY ARCHETYPES

We now explore how the NPP bound behaves for four representative planetary archetypes:

1. A temperate, Earth-like planet orbiting a Sun-like star.
2. A tidally locked ocean planet in the habitable zone of an M dwarf.
3. An H_2 -rich super-Earth with a thick atmosphere.
4. A subsurface ocean world powered by radiogenic and tidal heating.

For each case we sketch plausible ranges for P_{in} , $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$, T and \dot{N}_{copy} , and identify regimes where information-processing costs become significant.

A. Temperate G-star planet

This case resembles the Earth calibration above. Stellar luminosities and spectral energy distributions (SEDs) are broadly similar to the Sun, and planets in the classical habitable zone receive P_{in} of order $10^{16}\text{--}10^{17}\text{ W}$ for Earth-sized worlds [4, 5]. Surface temperatures are of order 250–300 K, and if life emerges it may adopt biochemistry similar in broad energetic terms to terrestrial life.

In this regime, so long as \dot{N}_{copy} scales roughly with biomass and genome size comparable to terrestrial values, Λ_{info} is expected to remain small. The NPP ceiling is dominated by P_{in} and P_{maint} , with information-processing costs providing only a weak constraint. The bound nonetheless yields useful consistency checks once NPP is inferred from atmospheric observations and spectral biosignatures [1].

B. Tidally locked M-dwarf ocean planet

Planets in the habitable zones of M dwarfs receive much lower bolometric fluxes than Earth, with P_{in} typically an order of magnitude or more smaller for Earth-sized planets. The host SED is also redder, affecting photosynthetically available radiation and potentially favoring different pigment strategies [16]. Climate models suggest that such planets may develop strong day–night contrasts, thick substellar cloud decks and potentially complex ocean dynamics.

For fixed biosphere architecture (similar \dot{N}_{copy} per unit biomass and comparable genome sizes), decreasing P_{in} by an order of magnitude increases Λ_{info} by the same factor. On a planet with $P_{\text{in}} \sim 10^{15}\text{ W}$ and $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$ in the range 0.4–0.7, the residual power available for $P_{\text{chem}} + P_{\text{info}}$ is only a few $\times 10^{14}\text{ W}$. If \dot{N}_{copy} and the information-processing

parameters mirror Earth's, the estimate in Sec. VI implies $P_{\text{info}}^{(\min)} \sim 10^{10}$ W and hence $\Lambda_{\text{info}} \sim 10^{-5}$, so the planet remains firmly resource-dominated. However, the reduced power budget leaves little headroom: even modest increases in \dot{N}_{copy} , I_{site} , or W_{proof} would make $P_{\text{info}}^{(\min)}$ a non-negligible fraction of the available metabolic power, pushing the system toward the information-influenced or information-limited regimes defined in Sec. V.

To give a concrete example, consider an M-dwarf Earth analog with $P_{\text{in}} \simeq 10^{15}$ W, $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}} \simeq 0.5$, and the same information-processing parameters as in Sec. VI, so that $P_{\text{info}}^{(\min)} \sim 10^{10}$ W and hence $\Lambda_{\text{info}} \sim 10^{-5}$. Eq. (12) then yields

$$\text{NPP}_{\text{max}} \approx \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \left(P_{\text{in}} - P_{\text{maint}} - P_{\text{info}}^{(\min)} \right) \simeq \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}} \times 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ W}, \quad (31)$$

corresponding to an NPP ceiling of order $\sim 3 \times 10^{13}$ – 10^{14} W for η_{bio} in the range 0.1–0.3 and ΔG_{assim} as in Sec. VI. Thus even with Earth-like biochemical parameters, the maximal productivity on such a world is expected to be at most ~ 0.1 – 1 of Earth's, with the upper end requiring both optimistic η_{bio} and minimal information-processing overhead. In this fiducial example the system remains resource-dominated ($\Lambda_{\text{info}} \ll 1$), but the reduced power budget leaves little headroom: increases in \dot{N}_{copy} , I_{site} or W_{proof} would rapidly push the biosphere toward the information influenced or information-limited regimes defined in Sec. V. This example illustrates how low stellar power can push systems toward the information-limited regime defined in Sec. V: even modest increases in heritable complexity or copying rates would drive the biosphere closer to the NPP ceiling.

C. H₂-rich super-Earth

Hydrogen-rich super-Earths with deep atmospheres can support greenhouse temperatures compatible with liquid water over a wide range of orbital distances. Their thick atmospheres and large scale heights may also provide substantial chemical exergy for chemotrophs.

In this case, P_{in} may be large (if the planet is close to its star) or modest (if further out but warmed by H₂ greenhouse). The key difference is the potentially higher environmental temperature and the altered free-energy landscapes for chemistry. Higher temperatures increase $k_{\text{B}}T$ and thus the minimal Landauer cost per bit, mildly increasing Λ_{info} for fixed \dot{N}_{copy} . Conversely, abundant exergy may increase P_{in} and allow more generous budgets.

The net effect is that such planets can, in principle, sustain high NPP at substantial information-processing loads. However, if T approaches the upper stability limits for complex biochemistry, the costs of maintaining low error rates may become prohibitive, again forcing trade-offs between complexity and productivity.

D. Subsurface ocean worlds

Subsurface ocean worlds, such as icy moons or rogue planets with high internal heat flow, present the opposite extreme: P_{in} is limited by radiogenic and tidal heat, typically orders of magnitude below starlight at habitable-zone distances. Photosynthesis is suppressed or absent; chemotrophic metabolisms tapping redox gradients at the ocean–rock interface may dominate.

For an Earth-sized subsurface ocean world with $P_{\text{in}} \sim 10^{14}$ – 10^{15} W, maintenance of the ice shell, ocean circulation and geochemical cycles may consume a large fraction of the power budget. The residual available for $P_{\text{info}} + P_{\text{chem}}$ is small, and even modest global copying rates drive Λ_{info} toward unity. In this limit, biospheres are deeply information-limited: either NPP is extremely low compared to Earth, or heritable complexity and global rates of copying must be minimal.

These considerations suggest that subsurface ocean worlds, while potentially abundant and long-lived, are unlikely to host highly productive, information-rich biospheres. This does not preclude life but implies strong constraints on its macroscopic signatures.

VIII. OBSERVATIONAL RETRIEVAL AND TESTS

To make Eq. (12) operational for exoplanets, we must connect its parameters to observable or inferable quantities. We outline a forward-modeling and retrieval scheme suitable for future missions capable of measuring planetary spectra and temporal variability [1–3].

In practice, the parameters entering Eq. (12) fall into three categories: (i) those that are directly constrained by observations (e.g., P_{in} from stellar flux and planetary size, and coarse climate state from broadband spectra), (ii) those

that are inferred via forward models (e.g., $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$ from coupled climate and interior models), and (iii) those that are prior-dominated (the purely biological quantities $\mu, k_p, W_{\text{proof}}, \dot{N}_{\text{copy}}$). The retrieval strategy we outline below proceeds by combining (i) and (ii) with conservative priors on (iii) to obtain a posterior distribution for NPP_{max} , which can then be compared to independent NPP estimates.

A. Inferring the power budget and maintenance fraction

Stellar observations provide the host SED and luminosity. Combined with planetary radius estimates from transit or direct-imaging photometry and orbital distances from dynamics, one can compute the incident power $P_{\text{in}}^{(\text{geom})}$. Planetary albedo and energy-balance models constrained by reflected-light spectra and thermal emission then yield the fraction of this power that is absorbed and partitioned among atmospheric, surface and internal reservoirs.

Climate and interior models, informed by observed atmospheric compositions, surface temperatures and possible cloud properties, can then be used to estimate the maintenance power fraction $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}}$. For example, radiative-convective models can quantify the power required to sustain observed lapse rates and circulation; geochemical models can estimate the power required to maintain disequilibrium compositions.

B. Priors on information-processing parameters

The biological parameters ($\mu, k_p, W_{\text{proof}}, \dot{N}_{\text{copy}}$) are not directly observable. However, one can adopt physically motivated priors based on minimal assumptions:

- k_p is at least 2 and plausibly $\mathcal{O}(1\text{--}10)$ for any templated polymer system.
- μ must be low enough to support heritable structures of size L against error catastrophe, bounding $\mu \lesssim 1/L$ for functional genome sizes.
- W_{proof} is at least of order $k_B T$ per site for substantial reductions in μ .
- \dot{N}_{copy} scales with total biomass, division rates and genome sizes; priors can be constructed by analogy with terrestrial microbial biospheres [15] and scaled by estimated NPP.

These priors yield a distribution for $P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}$ and thus for NPP_{max} via Eq. (12).

C. Inferring NPP from atmospheric and temporal data

Independent estimates of actual NPP can be obtained from:

- The magnitude of redox disequilibria in the atmosphere-ocean system, using generalized thermodynamic metrics of disequilibrium [1].
- The amplitude and phase of seasonal variations in atmospheric constituents associated with biological activity (e.g., CO_2 , O_2 , CH_4 analogs) [3].
- Spectral features associated with surface pigments and their temporal modulation [1, 16].

Forward models that couple climate, geochemistry and biosphere dynamics can map these observables to a posterior distribution for NPP_{obs} .

D. Consistency tests and falsifiers

The central observational test is then

$$\text{NPP}_{\text{obs}} \leq \text{NPP}_{\text{max}}(P_{\text{in}}, P_{\text{maint}}, T, \mu, k_p, W_{\text{proof}}, \dot{N}_{\text{copy}}) \quad (32)$$

within uncertainties. Planets for which the inferred NPP_{obs} lies significantly *above* the upper bound implied by conservative priors on the information-processing parameters would pose a challenge to our current understanding of non-equilibrium thermodynamics and biological information processing (see Appendix A, which discusses an exoplanet retrieval example.)

Violations of the bound could indicate:

1. Incorrect inference of NPP_{obs} due to unmodeled abiotic processes.
2. Incorrect assumptions about P_{maint} or P_{in} (e.g., hidden internal power sources).
3. Novel mechanisms for information storage and processing that circumvent the assumed minimal energetic costs.

In all cases, such outliers would be objects of exceptional interest.

IX. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

We have derived a general upper bound on planetary biosphere productivity that follows directly from the combination of a global power budget and minimal information-thermodynamic considerations. The bound quantifies an information–productivity trade-off: for fixed planetary free-energy flux and environmental maintenance costs, increasing the global burden of heritable information processing—through higher copying rates, larger alphabets, lower error rates or more intensive proofreading—necessarily reduces the maximal attainable net primary productivity.

Applied to Earth, the bound is loose, as expected: contemporary productivity lies well below the ceiling, and information-processing costs are subdominant. However, for low-flux environments such as M-dwarf habitable zones and subsurface ocean worlds, the bound becomes nontrivial. In these regimes, information processing competes strongly with biomass production for limited power, suggesting that such worlds either host low-productivity biospheres or accept constraints on heritable complexity.

The bound is intentionally conservative and agnostic to biochemical details, making it suitable for exoplanet applications. The parameters entering Eq. (12) can be linked to observable or inferable quantities via climate and geochemical models, while priors on biological parameters can be constructed from minimal physical requirements for heritability and error correction. Future missions capable of measuring exoplanet spectra and temporal variability will thus be able to place physics-based upper limits on NPP, providing a new axis along which to interpret potential biosignatures [1, 2].

Several extensions are natural. First, one can combine the NPP bound with models of ecological structure to constrain higher-trophic-level biomass, technosphere-level activity where present, and associated feedbacks, translating information-thermodynamic constraints into expectations for atmospheric and surface signatures. Second, coupling the bound to a population-level model of planet formation and evolution would enable statistical predictions for the distribution of biosphere productivities across the Galaxy. Third, incorporating more detailed models of molecular computation, including non-equilibrium error-correcting schemes and potentially quantum effects, would refine the estimates of $P_{\text{info}}^{(\text{min})}$. Fourth, refining the closure between \dot{N}_{copy} and NPP introduced in Sec. V by using empirical or model-based scaling relations between biomass, cell division rates, and replication/transcription/translation fluxes would turn the present two-parameter bound into a more predictive, effectively one-parameter family for broad classes of biospheres.

Fourth, closing the loop between \dot{N}_{copy} and NPP by using empirical or model-based scaling relations between biomass, cell division rates, and replication/transcription/translation fluxes would turn the present two-parameter bound into a more predictive, effectively one-parameter family for broad classes of biospheres.

Ultimately, the information–thermodynamic perspective developed here shifts part of the life–habitability problem from the realm of detailed biochemical contingency to that of universal constraints imposed by the laws of physics. As exoplanet data improve, this perspective will provide a valuable complementary tool for interpreting observations and discriminating between plausible and implausible biosphere scenarios on worlds beyond our own.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work described here was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. ©2025. California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged.

-
- [1] E. W. Schwieterman, N. Y. Kiang, M. N. Parenteau, C. E. Harman, S. DasSarma, T. M. Fisher, G. N. Arney, H. E. Hartnett, C. T. Reinhard, S. L. Olson, V. S. Meadows, C. S. Cockell, S. I. Walker, J. L. Grenfell, S. Hegde, S. Rugheimer, R. Hu, and T. W. Lyons, Exoplanet Biosignatures: A Review of Remotely Detectable Signs of Life, *Astrobiology* **18**, 663 (2018).

- [2] V. S. Meadows, Reflections on O₂ as a Biosignature in Exoplanetary Atmospheres, *Astrobiology* **17**, 1022 (2017).
- [3] S. L. Olson, E. W. Schwieterman, C. T. Reinhard, A. Ridgwell, S. R. Kane, V. S. Meadows, and T. W. Lyons, Atmospheric Seasonality as an Exoplanet Biosignature, *ApJ Lett.* **858**, L14 (2018).
- [4] J. F. Kasting, D. P. Whitmire, and R. T. Reynolds, Habitable Zones Around Main Sequence Stars, *Icarus* **101**, 108 (1993).
- [5] R. K. Kopparapu, R. Ramirez, J. F. Kasting, V. Eymet, T. D. Robinson, S. Mahadevan, R. C. Terrien, S. Domagal-Goldman, V. Meadows, and R. Deshpande, Habitable Zones Around Main-Sequence Stars: New Estimates, *ApJ* **765**, 131 (2013).
- [6] C. B. Field, M. J. Behrenfeld, J. T. Randerson, and P. Falkowski, Primary Production of the Biosphere: Integrating Terrestrial and Oceanic Components, *Science* **281**, 237 (1998).
- [7] C. Beer, M. Reichstein, E. Tomelleri, P. Ciais, P. B. Reich, *et al.*, Terrestrial Gross Carbon Dioxide Uptake: Global Distribution and Covariation with Climate, *Science* **329**, 834 (2010).
- [8] A. Kleidon, Life, Hierarchy, and the Thermodynamic Machinery of Planet Earth, *Physics of Life Reviews* **7**, 424 (2010).
- [9] R. Landauer, Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process, *IBM J. Res. Develop.* **5**, 183 (1961).
- [10] C. H. Bennett, The Thermodynamics of Computation—A Review, *IJTP* **21**, 905 (1982).
- [11] J. M. R. Parrondo, J. M. Horowitz, and T. Sagawa, Thermodynamics of Information, *Nature Physics* **11**, 131 (2015).
- [12] P. Sartori and S. Pigolotti, Thermodynamics of Error Correction, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041039 (2015).
- [13] R. Rao and L. Peliti, Thermodynamics of Accuracy in Kinetic Proofreading: Dissipation and Efficiency Trade-offs, *J. Stat. Mech.: Theory and Experiment* **2015**, P06001 (2015).
- [14] S. G. Turyshev, From Fundamental Physics to Life: A Predictive Gate-Based Framework for Abiogenesis and Biospheres, submitted (2025), paper I in the “From Physics to Life” series.
- [15] W. B. Whitman, D. C. Coleman, and W. J. Wiebe, Prokaryotes: The Unseen Majority, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **95**, 6578 (1998).
- [16] N. Y. Kiang, J. Siefert, Govindjee, and R. E. Blankenship, Spectral Signatures of Photosynthesis. I. Review of Earth Organisms, *Astrobiology* **7**, 222 (2007).

Appendix A: An exoplanet retrieval example

As a simple example, we consider a future direct-imaging mission that characterizes a temperate, Earth-sized planet around a late K/early M dwarf. Suppose stellar photometry and astrometry yield a bolometric luminosity $L_\star \simeq 0.2 L_\odot$ and an orbital distance such that the incident power on the planet is

$$P_{\text{in}} \simeq 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ W}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Reflected-light and thermal phase curves constrain the Bond albedo and emission temperature, and a grid of 1D radiative–convective models indicates that a fraction $P_{\text{maint}}/P_{\text{in}} \simeq 0.4 \pm 0.1$ is irreducibly committed to maintaining the observed climate state and long-term geochemical cycling. Spectroscopic constraints on atmospheric composition suggest a surface temperature $T \simeq 280 \text{ K}$ and significant redox disequilibrium.

For the information-theoretic parameters we adopt conservative, broad priors motivated by Sec. III and the scaling arguments of Sec. V: k_p uniform on $\{2, \dots, 10\}$, μ log-uniform on $[10^{-8}, 10^{-4}]$, W_{proof} log-uniform on $[k_B T, 10^3 k_B T]$ per site, and a closure of the form $\dot{N}_{\text{copy}} = \chi(L/m_C) \text{NPP}$ with χ log-uniform on $[1, 10^2]$. Sampling these priors and propagating them through Eq. (15) one finds that the dimensionless combination on the left-hand side satisfies

$$\frac{\text{NPP} \Delta G_{\text{assim}}}{\eta_{\text{bio}} P_{\text{in}}} \lesssim 0.4\text{--}0.6 \quad (\text{A2})$$

for the bulk of the prior mass, i.e., that the maximal allowed NPP is typically

$$\text{NPP}_{\text{max}} \sim (0.4\text{--}0.6) \frac{\eta_{\text{bio}} P_{\text{in}}}{\Delta G_{\text{assim}}}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Taking $\Delta G_{\text{assim}} \simeq 5 \times 10^5 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$ and $\eta_{\text{bio}} \simeq 0.3$ then gives a characteristic ceiling

$$\text{NPP}_{\text{max}} \sim 6 \times 10^8 \text{ mol C s}^{-1}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

corresponding to an associated “productivity power” $\text{NPP}_{\text{max}} \Delta G_{\text{assim}} / \eta_{\text{bio}} \sim 10^{15} \text{ W}$.

Now suppose that seasonal modulation of CO₂ and an O₂-like biosignature yields an independent NPP estimate $\text{NPP}_{\text{obs}} \Delta G_{\text{assim}} / \eta_{\text{bio}} \simeq (2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{15} \text{ W}$. In a simple Monte-Carlo implementation of the scheme above, the posterior for NPP_{max} would then lie predominantly below NPP_{obs} , so that the inequality

$$\text{NPP}_{\text{obs}} \leq \text{NPP}_{\text{max}}(P_{\text{in}}, P_{\text{maint}}, T, \mu, k_p, W_{\text{proof}}, \dot{N}_{\text{copy}}) \quad (\text{A5})$$

would be violated at high significance. Within the framework of this paper, such a planet would be flagged as requiring either (i) a revision of the climate or power-budget inference, (ii) an alternative, strongly prior-violating choice of information-processing parameters, or (iii) genuinely new physics of information processing beyond the conservative assumptions of Sec. III.