

ALTERNATING WEIGHTED RESIDUAL FLOWS AND THE NON-COMMUTATIVE GAP

JAMES TIAN

ABSTRACT. This work develops a nonlinear analogue of alternating projections on Hilbert space, based on iterating a weighted residual transformation that removes the portion of an operator detected by a projection after conjugation by its square root. Although this map is neither linear nor variational and falls outside classical operator-mean frameworks, the alternating flow between two fixed projections is shown to be monotone and to converge strongly to a positive limit supported on their common kernel. The analysis identifies an intrinsic representation of this limit inside the operator range of the initial datum, which makes it possible to compare the nonlinear limit with the shorted operator of Anderson-Duffin-Trapp. The nonlinear flow always produces an operator dominated by the shorted operator, with equality precisely in the commuting regime. A global energy identity describes how mass is dissipated at each step of the iteration, and a factorized description localizes the gap between the nonlinear limit and the classical shorted operator.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Alternating Weighted Residual Flows	3
3. Energy Decomposition and Dissipation	5
4. A Finite-Dimensional Example	6
5. Comparison: R_∞ vs the shorted operator $R_0 _K$	8
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Alternating projections and operator compressions form a classical part of operator theory. Von Neumann's theorem on iterated projections [vN50] identifies the strong limit of the alternating product $P_B P_A P_B \dots$ as the projection onto the intersection of the ranges, and Halmos's canonical decomposition for two projections [Hal69] gives a complete geometric description of this convergence in terms of rigid and generic sectors. A substantial literature extends and refines this picture through angle criteria, averaged products, and infinite projection cycles [NS06, Opp18, PR14, PRZ12, RZ21].

In the setting of positive operators, linear compressions lie at the core of the theory of shorted operators developed by Anderson, Duffin, and Trapp [AD69, And71,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B65; Secondary: 46C05, 47A05, 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Positive operator, shorted operator, alternating projections, nonlinear dynamics, operator flow, dissipation.

[AT75]. Their work interprets the shorted operator to a subspace K as the largest positive operator dominated by R and supported on K . It connects to operator ranges and invariance problems studied by Douglas [Dou66] and by Fillmore-Williams [FW71], and continues through spectral variants such as [ACS06]. These constructions share a common feature: they are linear and their asymptotic behavior is governed by invariance of subspaces and variational characterizations.

The present note investigates a nonlinear analogue of this classical picture. Given a projection P on a Hilbert space H and a positive operator R , we consider the residual map

$$\Phi_P(R) = R^{1/2}(I - P)R^{1/2},$$

which removes the portion of R detected by P after conjugation by the operator square root. This transformation is nonlinear, fails to preserve affine structure on the cone of positive operators, and does not arise from a variational principle or from any operator mean in the sense of Kubo-Ando [KA80]. Its long-term behavior is accordingly more delicate.

We study the dynamical system generated by alternating this map between two fixed projections P_A and P_B . Starting from an initial positive operator R_0 , we define

$$R_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \Phi_{P_B}(R_n) & n \text{ even,} \\ \Phi_{P_A}(R_n) & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Our first result (Theorem 2.1) shows that the sequence (R_n) is monotone in the Loewner order and converges strongly to a positive limit R_∞ . This limit is supported on the intersection

$$K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B,$$

and is a simultaneous fixed point of both residual maps. Thus, despite the nonlinearity of the transformation, the convergence mechanism is governed by the same geometric subspace K that appears in the linear theory.

A natural point of comparison is the shorted operator $S = R_0|_K$, the maximal positive operator dominated by R_0 and supported on K . We show that $R_\infty \leq S$, but equality need not hold: the nonlinear dynamics can dissipate mass that the classical shorting construction preserves. Working intrinsically on

$$H_{R_0} := \overline{\text{ran}(R_0^{1/2})}, \quad M := \overline{\{u \in H_{R_0} : R_0^{1/2}u \in K\}},$$

we obtain

$$S = R_0^{1/2}P_MR_0^{1/2}, \quad R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}T_\infty R_0^{1/2}, \quad 0 \leq T_\infty \leq P_M.$$

Equality $R_\infty = S$ holds if and only if $T_\infty = P_M$ on H_{R_0} . Equivalently, the defect $G := P_M - T_\infty$ vanishes, and the gap localizes as

$$S - R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}GR_0^{1/2}.$$

A finite-dimensional example shows the discrepancy can be extreme: one may have $S > 0$ while $R_\infty = 0$. In the commuting regime, the defect disappears and $R_\infty = S$.

To analyze this discrepancy, we pass to the operator-range space and factor every $0 \leq R \leq R_0$ as $R = R_0^{1/2}TR_0^{1/2}$ with a unique positive contraction T . This yields intrinsic residual maps $T \mapsto \Psi_P(T)$ that are monotone decreasing, produce the limit T_∞ , and make the comparison with shorting transparent. In parallel, a global energy identity decomposes the initial operator into the limiting piece and a

strongly convergent series of dissipated terms, providing a quantitative account of mass loss along the iteration.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 develops the iteration, proves monotone strong convergence, and identifies the geometric support of the limit. Section 3 establishes the global energy identity and interprets the dissipated mass. Section 4 gives a finite-dimensional example illustrating strict inequality with the shorted operator. Section 5 builds the intrinsic operator-range framework, compares the nonlinear limit to the shorted operator, localizes the gap, and characterizes equality.

2. ALTERNATING WEIGHTED RESIDUAL FLOWS

This section sets up the alternating weighted-residual iteration and proves its basic convergence. We fix two orthogonal projections and a positive initial operator, show the sequence is monotone and converges strongly to a limit supported on the common kernel, and record the resulting fixed-point relations.

Theorem 2.1 (alternating residual flow). *Let H be a Hilbert space, P_A, P_B orthogonal projections on H , and $R_0 \in B(H)$ a positive operator. For any projection P and any positive operator R , define the residual map*

$$\Phi_P(R) := R^{1/2}(I - P)R^{1/2}.$$

Define a sequence $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$ by

$$R_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \Phi_{P_B}(R_n), & n \text{ even}, \\ \Phi_{P_A}(R_n), & n \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \quad n \geq 0,$$

starting from R_0 . Let

$$K := \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B.$$

Then the sequence (R_n) is decreasing in the Loewner order:

$$0 \leq R_{n+1} \leq R_n \leq R_0 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

In particular, there exists a (unique) positive operator $R_\infty \in B(H)$ such that

$$R_n \xrightarrow{s} R_\infty$$

in the strong operator topology.

Moreover, the limit R_∞ satisfies:

- (1) $0 \leq R_\infty \leq R_0$.
- (2) $\text{ran}(R_\infty) \subset K$.
- (3) R_∞ is a fixed point of both residual maps:

$$R_\infty = \Phi_{P_A}(R_\infty) = \Phi_{P_B}(R_\infty).$$

That is, the alternating residual flow converges strongly to a positive operator R_∞ bounded by R_0 and supported inside $\ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$, which is invariant under both residual maps.

Proof. Fix an orthogonal projection P and a positive operator $R \in B(H)$. For any $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, \Phi_P(R)x \rangle = \|(I - P)R^{1/2}x\|^2 \geq 0.$$

Thus $\Phi_P(R) \geq 0$. Since $\Phi_P(R) = R - R^{1/2}PR^{1/2}$ and $R^{1/2}PR^{1/2} \geq 0$, we get

$$0 \leq \Phi_P(R) \leq R \tag{2.1}$$

in the Loewner order.

By definition, $R_{n+1} = \Phi_{P_*}(R_n)$, where $P_* = P_B$ for n even and $P_* = P_A$ for n odd. So, by (2.1),

$$0 \leq R_{n+1} \leq R_n \leq R_0$$

for all n . We recall a standard fact (see e.g., [RS72]): If (S_n) is a bounded decreasing sequence of positive operators in $B(H)$, then there exists a unique positive operator S such that $S_n \xrightarrow{s} S$. Applying this to $S_n = R_n$, we obtain a unique positive operator R_∞ such that $R_n \xrightarrow{s} R_\infty$. Since the Loewner order is closed under strong limits for decreasing sequences, we have $0 \leq R_\infty \leq R_0$.

For the fixed point property, it is convenient to look at even and odd indices separately. Define

$$T := \Phi_{P_A} \circ \Phi_{P_B}.$$

Then for each n , $R_{2n} = T^n(R_0)$. The sequence (R_{2n}) is positive, bounded, and decreasing, hence it converges strongly to some $R_{\text{even}} \geq 0$, i.e., $R_{2n} \xrightarrow{s} R_{\text{even}}$. Similarly, $R_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{s} R_{\text{odd}}$ for some $R_{\text{odd}} \geq 0$. By construction, $R_{2n+1} = \Phi_{P_B}(R_{2n})$.

We now show that $R_{\text{odd}} = \Phi_{P_B}(R_{\text{even}})$. For this, we need the continuity of the square root map on such monotone sequences. A standard result says: If S_n is a bounded decreasing sequence of positive operators that converges strongly to S , then $S_n^{1/2}$ also decreases and converges strongly to $S^{1/2}$.

Apply this to $S_n = R_{2n}$ and $S = R_{\text{even}}$. Then $R_{2n}^{1/2} \xrightarrow{s} R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}$. Fix any $x \in H$. Then

$$\langle x, R_{2n+1}x \rangle = \langle x, \Phi_{P_B}(R_{2n})x \rangle = \|(I - P_B)R_{2n}^{1/2}x\|^2.$$

Since $I - P_B$ is bounded and $R_{2n}^{1/2}x \rightarrow R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}x$, we have

$$(I - P_B)R_{2n}^{1/2}x \rightarrow (I - P_B)R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}x$$

in norm. Thus

$$\|(I - P_B)R_{2n}^{1/2}x\|^2 \rightarrow \|(I - P_B)R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}x\|^2.$$

The left-hand $\langle x, R_{2n+1}x \rangle$ converges to $\langle x, R_{\text{odd}}x \rangle$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, R_{\text{odd}}x \rangle &= \|(I - P_B)R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}x\|^2 \\ &= \langle x, R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}(I - P_B)R_{\text{even}}^{1/2}x \rangle = \langle x, \Phi_{P_B}(R_{\text{even}})x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all x . By polarization and boundedness, this implies

$$R_{\text{odd}} = \Phi_{P_B}(R_{\text{even}}).$$

Similarly, since $R_{2n+2} = \Phi_{P_A}(R_{2n+1})$, and $R_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{s} R_{\text{odd}}$, the same reasoning yields

$$R_{\text{even}} = \Phi_{P_A}(R_{\text{odd}}).$$

On the other hand, the inequalities $R_{2n} \geq R_{2n+1}$ for all n imply $R_{\text{even}} \geq R_{\text{odd}}$. Thus, by (2.1),

$$\Phi_{P_A}(R_{\text{odd}}) \leq R_{\text{odd}}.$$

But we have just shown $\Phi_{P_A}(R_{\text{odd}}) = R_{\text{even}}$, so $R_{\text{even}} \leq R_{\text{odd}}$. This shows that $R_{\text{even}} = R_{\text{odd}} =: R_\infty$. Moreover, $R_\infty = \Phi_{P_B}(R_\infty) = \Phi_{P_A}(R_\infty)$.

Fix any projection P and positive operator R satisfying $R = R^{1/2}(I - P)R^{1/2}$. Then

$$0 = R - R^{1/2}(I - P)R^{1/2} = R^{1/2}PR^{1/2}.$$

For any $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, R^{1/2} P R^{1/2} x \rangle = \langle P R^{1/2} x, P R^{1/2} x \rangle = \|P R^{1/2} x\|^2,$$

so $\|P R^{1/2} x\|^2 = 0$ for all x , hence $P R^{1/2} = 0$. Therefore $\text{ran}(R^{1/2}) \subset \ker P$, and consequently

$$\text{ran}(R) \subset \text{ran}(R^{1/2}) \subset \ker P.$$

Apply this with $R = R_\infty$ and $P = P_A$, using $R_\infty = \Phi_{P_A}(R_\infty)$. We get $\text{Ran}(R_\infty) \subset \ker P_A$. Likewise, using $R_\infty = \Phi_{P_B}(R_\infty)$, $\text{ran}(R_\infty) \subset \ker P_B$. Thus $\text{ran}(R_\infty) \subset \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B = K$. \square

The corollary below identifies the entire set of attainable limits of the alternating WR flow: the dynamics converge precisely to the positive cone $B(K)_+$.

Corollary 2.2. $\{R_\infty(R) : R \in B(H)_+\} = B(K)_+$.

Proof. For any $R_0 \in B(H)_+$, let $R_\infty(R)$ be its corresponding fixed point under the alternating WR flow. By the theorem, $\text{ran}(R_\infty(R)) \subset K$, and so $R_\infty(R) \in B(K)_+$.

Conversely, let $T \in B(K)_+$. Since $\text{Ran}(T) \subset K$ and K is closed, we have

$$\text{ran}(T^{1/2}) = \overline{\text{ran}(T)} \subset K.$$

Since $K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$, this means $P_A T^{1/2} = P_B T^{1/2} = 0$, therefore $\Phi_{P_A}(T) = \Phi_{P_B}(T) = T$, and $R_\infty(T) = T$. \square

3. ENERGY DECOMPOSITION AND DISSIPATION

In this section we record a global identity for the alternating WR iteration which expresses the initial operator R_0 as the sum of the limit R_∞ and the cumulative “dissipated” components extracted at each step. This provides a constructive resolution of the case $R_\infty = 0$ and clarifies how mass is removed from the iteration by the alternating projections.

Throughout, let $P_n = P_B$ for n even and $P_n = P_A$ for n odd, so that $R_{n+1} = \Phi_{P_n}(R_n)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the alternating WR sequence generated by $R_0 \geq 0$. Then*

$$R_0 = R_\infty + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2},$$

where each $D_n := R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2}$ is positive and the series converges in the strong operator topology.

Proof. By definition of the WR update,

$$R_{n+1} = R_n^{1/2} (I - P_n) R_n^{1/2} = R_n - R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$R_n - R_{n+1} = R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2} =: D_n \geq 0.$$

Summing from $n = 0$ to N gives the telescoping identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^N D_n = \sum_{n=0}^N (R_n - R_{n+1}) = R_0 - R_{N+1}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $R_{N+1} \rightarrow R_\infty$ strongly. Since the partial sums $\sum_{n=0}^N D_n$ form an increasing net of positive operators bounded above by R_0 , they converge strongly to a positive operator D , and passing to the strong limit in the identity above yields

$$D = R_0 - R_\infty.$$

Hence

$$R_0 = R_\infty + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n = R_\infty + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2},$$

with strong convergence. Uniqueness is immediate from the deterministic definition of (R_n) . \square

Corollary 3.2. *The limit satisfies $R_\infty = 0$ if and only if*

$$R_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2} \quad (\text{strongly}).$$

Remark 3.3. The term $D_n = R_n^{1/2} P_n R_n^{1/2}$ is precisely the component of R_n lying in the range of the projection selected at step n . The decomposition of Theorem 3.1 therefore expresses the evolution as a global balance:

initial operator = surviving component on K + total dissipated mass.

In particular, the dissipated operator $\sum_{n \geq 0} D_n = R_0 - R_\infty$ aggregates all leakage through P_A and P_B over the entire iteration.

4. A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE

We now give a concrete 2×2 example showing that the limiting operator R_∞ from the WR iteration need not coincide with the maximal positive operator under R_0 supported on $K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$. In particular, R_∞ may be strictly smaller (even zero) while the maximal such operator is nonzero.

Let $H = \mathbb{R}^2$ with standard basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Let

$$R_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $P_A = |e_1\rangle\langle e_1|$ and $P_B = 0$, so that

$$\ker P_A = \text{span}\{e_2\}, \quad \ker P_B = \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and

$$K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B = \text{span}\{e_2\}.$$

We choose $P_B = 0$ so that Φ_{P_B} is the identity, and the alternating iteration reduces to repeated application of Φ_{P_A} .

Shorted/Schur complement-type maximal operator on K . We first compute the maximal positive operator X with:

$$0 \leq X \leq R_0, \quad \text{ran}(X) \subset K = \text{span}\{e_2\}.$$

Any positive operator X supported on $\text{span}\{e_2\}$ has the form

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The inequality $X \leq R_0$ is equivalent to $R_0 - X \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$R_0 - X = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 2-t \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\det(R_0 - X) = 1 - 5t \geq 0 \iff t \leq 0.2.$$

Therefore the maximal possible choice is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check directly that $R_0 - X$ is positive semidefinite:

$$R_0 - X = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 1.8 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\det = 0$.

WR iteration and its limit. Now we compute the WR sequence (R_n) for this data. Since $P_B = 0$, the map Φ_{P_B} is the identity:

$$\Phi_{P_B}(R) = R^{1/2}(I - 0)R^{1/2} = R.$$

Thus the alternating scheme reduces to:

$$R_{n+1} = \Phi_{P_A}(R_n), \quad R_0 \text{ given.}$$

To make the calculations more explicit, note that

$$R_0^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$R_1 = \Phi_{P_A}(R) = R^{1/2}P_A^\perp R^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $R_1 = 2P_v$, where

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_v = |v\rangle\langle v| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$R_1^{1/2} = \sqrt{2}P_v.$$

It follows that

$$R_2 = \Phi_{P_A}(R_1) = R_1^{1/2}P_A^\perp R_1^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}R_1.$$

By induction, for $n \geq 1$,

$$R_n = 2^{-(n-1)}R_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} R_\infty = 0.$$

4.1. Discussion. The example above shows a phenomenon that contrasts with standard results in the operator-theoretic literature: the nonlinear residual transformation

$$\Phi_P(R) = R^{1/2} (I - P) R^{1/2}, \quad (4.1)$$

when iterated alternately along two projections, converges to a positive operator supported in $\ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$, yet this limit is generally strictly smaller than the maximal operator satisfying this support constraint.

1. For a positive operator R_0 and a subspace K , the shorted operator $R_0|_K$ (also understood as a generalized Schur complement) is the largest positive operator $X \leq R_0$ with $\text{ran}(X) \subseteq K$. This notion was introduced and developed in depth by Anderson, Duffin, and Trapp [AD69, And71, AT75]; see also [Kre47b, Kre47a] and [Dou66]. If P is the projection onto K^\perp , the shorted operator admits the variational characterization:

$$\langle x, R_0|_K x \rangle = \inf_{y \in K^\perp} \langle x + y, R_0(x + y) \rangle, \quad x \in K.$$

The 2D computation above shows that the operator produced by WR iteration may be strictly smaller than $R_0|_K$.

2. Classical compressions of the form

$$R \mapsto (I - P)R(I - P)$$

are linear and order-preserving. They arise in settings such as Halmos's work on two projections [Hal69] and the theory of alternating projections. The WR map (4.1) is neither linear nor order-preserving, and it coincides with compression only in the commuting case $[R, P] = 0$. As the example illustrates, this can result in the iterative suppression of the operator's magnitude even in directions orthogonal to $\text{Ran}(P)$. This behavior is distinct from classical alternating projection algorithms (von Neumann, Halmos) and modern extensions in convex optimization, which typically rely on linearity, norm-contractivity, or monotonicity in the Loewner order.

3. A broad class of nonlinear operator transformations is captured by the Kubo-Ando theory of operator means [KA80], where each mean is monotone, jointly concave, and order-preserving. The map (4.1) does not constitute an operator mean in this sense, as it fails monotonicity, concavity, and the transformer inequality.

4. Nonlinear dynamical systems on positive operators, such as power means, Riccati flows, and fixed-point iterations of completely positive maps, tend to rely on order-theoretic structure or convexity. The WR map operates outside these constraints.

In summary, the operator R_∞ defines a specific construction associated with the triple (R_0, P_A, P_B) , arising from a nonlinear residual dynamic rather than from variational principles. This suggests several directions for further inquiry, such as quantitative comparisons with the shorted operator, and characterization of the commuting regime.

5. COMPARISON: R_∞ VS THE SHORTED OPERATOR $R_0|_K$

We keep the notation from Theorem 2.1: H is a Hilbert space, P_A, P_B orthogonal projections on H , $R_0 \in B(H)$ positive, and

$$\Phi_P(R) := R^{1/2} (I - P) R^{1/2}, \quad R_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \Phi_{P_B}(R_n), & n \text{ even}, \\ \Phi_{P_A}(R_n), & n \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

with R_0 given. We write

$$K := \ker(P_A) \cap \ker(P_B)$$

and let P_K be the orthogonal projection onto K .

By Theorem 2.1, $0 \leq R_{n+1} \leq R_n \leq R_0$ for all n , hence $R_n \xrightarrow{s} R_\infty \geq 0$, with $R_\infty H \subset K$ and $R_\infty = \Phi_{P_A}(R_\infty) = \Phi_{P_B}(R_\infty)$. We denote by

$$S := R_0|_K$$

the shorted operator of R_0 to K .

A key structural fact about the WR iteration is that the limit R_∞ (by construction) satisfies

$$0 \leq R_\infty \leq S \leq R_0. \quad (5.1)$$

This allows us to transfer square-root range information from R_0 to R_∞ . We use the classical Douglas factorization in the form below.

Set $H_{R_0} := R_0^{1/2}H \subset H$. We recall the standard relation $\ker R_0^{1/2} = \ker R_0 = (H_{R_0})^\perp$, which implies that the restriction of $R_0^{1/2}$ to H_{R_0} is injective.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $A, B \in B(H)_+$ with $0 \leq A \leq B$. Then there exists a bounded operator*

$$X : \overline{\text{ran}(B^{1/2})} \rightarrow \overline{\text{ran}(A^{1/2})}$$

with $\|X\| \leq 1$ such that $A^{1/2} = XB^{1/2}$ on H . Consequently,

$$\text{ran}(A^{1/2}) \subset \text{ran}(B^{1/2}).$$

Proof. For details, see [Dou66]. A proof sketch is included below for completeness.

Define X on $\text{ran}(B^{1/2})$ by

$$X(B^{1/2}x) := A^{1/2}x.$$

If $B^{1/2}x = B^{1/2}y$, then $B^{1/2}(x - y) = 0$, and

$$0 \leq \langle x - y, A(x - y) \rangle \leq \langle x - y, B(x - y) \rangle = 0,$$

so $A^{1/2}(x - y) = 0$. Thus $A^{1/2}x = A^{1/2}y$. Thus, X is well defined.

For all $x \in H$,

$$\|X(B^{1/2}x)\|^2 = \|A^{1/2}x\|^2 = \langle x, Ax \rangle \leq \langle x, Bx \rangle = \|B^{1/2}x\|^2,$$

so $\|X\| \leq 1$ on $\text{ran}(B^{1/2})$. Therefore X extends uniquely to a contraction on $\overline{\text{ran}(B^{1/2})}$.

Since $A^{1/2}$ is self-adjoint,

$$A^{1/2} = (A^{1/2})^* = (XB^{1/2})^* = B^{1/2}X^*.$$

Hence $\text{ran}(A^{1/2}) \subset \text{ran}(B^{1/2})$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *We have $\text{ran}(R_\infty^{1/2}) \subset \text{ran}(R_0^{1/2}) \subset H_{R_0}$, and a contraction $X : H_{R_0} \rightarrow H_{R_0}$ such that $R_\infty^{1/2} = XR_0^{1/2}$.*

Remark 5.3. From $0 \leq A \leq B$ we always have $\overline{\text{ran}(A^{1/2})} \subset \overline{\text{ran}(B^{1/2})}$. Lemma 5.1 upgrades this to $\text{ran}(A^{1/2}) \subset \text{ran}(B^{1/2})$ via a contraction factorization $A^{1/2} = XB^{1/2}$. We use this to work canonically on $H_B = \overline{\text{ran}(B^{1/2})}$ and to represent $A = B^{1/2}TB^{1/2}$ with $0 \leq T \leq I$.

Proposition 5.4 (Factorized comparison). *Let H be a Hilbert space, $R_0 \in B(H)$ be positive, P_A, P_B orthogonal projections, $K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$, and $S = R_0|_K$ the shorted operator of R_0 to K . Set $H_{R_0} = \overline{\text{ran}(R_0^{1/2})}$. Define the subspace*

$$M = \overline{\{u \in H_{R_0} : R_0^{1/2}u \in K\}} \subset H_{R_0}. \quad (5.2)$$

Then:

- (1) *For every $R \in B(H)$ with $0 \leq R \leq R_0$, there exists a unique positive contraction $T \in B(H_{R_0})$ such that*

$$R = R_0^{1/2}TR_0^{1/2}.$$

- (2) *The shorted operator admits the intrinsic form*

$$S = R_0^{1/2}P_MR_0^{1/2},$$

where P_M is the orthogonal projection of H_{R_0} onto M .

- (3) *If R_∞ is the limit of the alternating weighted-residual iteration (Theorem 2.1), then*

$$R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}T_\infty R_0^{1/2} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq T_\infty \leq P_M \text{ on } H_{R_0}.$$

Consequently $R_\infty \leq S$.

- (4) *Equality holds if and only if the intrinsic contraction saturates the projector, i.e.,*

$$R_\infty = S \iff T_\infty = P_M \text{ on } H_{R_0}.$$

Proof. Fix R with $0 \leq R \leq R_0$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a contraction $X : H_{R_0} \rightarrow H_{R_0}$ with $R^{1/2} = XR_0^{1/2}$. Set $T := X^*X$ acting on H_{R_0} . Then $T \geq 0$ and $\|T\| \leq \|X\|^2 \leq 1$. For any $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, Rx \rangle = \|R^{1/2}x\|^2 = \|XR_0^{1/2}x\|^2 = \langle R_0^{1/2}x, TR_0^{1/2}x \rangle.$$

Uniqueness follows from the density of $\text{ran}(R_0^{1/2})$ in H_{R_0} . This proves (1).

Let $S' := R_0^{1/2}P_MR_0^{1/2}$. Since $P_M \leq I$, we have $0 \leq S' \leq R_0$. Moreover, if $x \in H$ and $u = R_0^{1/2}x \in H_{R_0}$, then $S'x = R_0^{1/2}P_Mu$, and the definition of M ensures that $R_0^{1/2}P_Mu \in K$. Thus $\text{ran}(S') \subset K$, and S' is admissible for the shorting problem.

On the other hand, if R is any positive operator with $0 \leq R \leq R_0$ and $\text{ran}(R) \subset K$, part (1) gives a unique positive contraction $T \in B(H_{R_0})$ such that $R = R_0^{1/2}TR_0^{1/2}$. The range condition $\text{ran}(R) \subset K$ implies $R_0^{1/2}Tu \in K$ for all $u \in H_{R_0}$, hence $Tu \in M$, and therefore

$$\text{ran}(T) \subset M, \quad T = P_MTP_M.$$

Since T is a contraction, it follows that $0 \leq T \leq P_M$. For all $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, Rx \rangle = \langle R_0^{1/2}x, TR_0^{1/2}x \rangle \leq \langle R_0^{1/2}x, P_MR_0^{1/2}x \rangle = \langle x, S'x \rangle,$$

so $R \leq S'$. As the shorted operator $S = R_0|_K$ is the maximal such R , we conclude $S = S'$. This is part (2).

From Theorem 2.1, $0 \leq R_\infty \leq R_0$ and $\text{ran}(R_\infty) \subset K$. By (1), $R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}T_\infty R_0^{1/2}$ for a unique positive contraction T_∞ . Since $\text{ran}(R_\infty) \subset K$, T_∞ maps the dense subspace $\text{ran}(R_0^{1/2})$ into M . By continuity, $\text{ran}(T_\infty) \subset M$. Since $T_\infty \geq 0$ and $\text{ran}(T_\infty) \subset M$, we have $T_\infty = P_MT_\infty P_M$. Finally, since $\|T_\infty\| \leq 1$, we have $T_\infty \leq P_M$. Parts (3) and (4) follow from this. \square

Corollary 5.5. *With the notation above, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $S = R_0|_K = 0$.
- (2) $M = \{0\}$, equivalently $P_M = 0$ on H_{R_0} .
- (3) There is no nonzero vector $u \in H_{R_0}$ such that $R_0^{1/2}u$ lies in $K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$.

In this case $R_\infty = 0$. In general, the converse implication $R_\infty = 0 \Rightarrow S = 0$ need not hold.

Proof. Recall that $S = R_0|_K$ admits the intrinsic form

$$S = R_0^{1/2} P_M R_0^{1/2},$$

where $M \subset H_{R_0}$ is the closed subspace in (5.2) and P_M is the orthogonal projection onto M . Since the restriction of $R_0^{1/2}$ to H_{R_0} is injective, we have

$$S = 0 \iff P_M = 0 \iff M = \{0\},$$

which gives the equivalence of (1) and (2).

For the equivalence of (2) and (3), note that by definition $M = \{0\}$ holds if and only if the only vector $u \in H_{R_0}$ with $R_0^{1/2}u \in K$ is $u = 0$, which is exactly condition (3).

Finally, if $S = 0$, then S is the maximal positive operator dominated by R_0 with range in K , so every such operator must vanish. In particular, $0 \leq R_\infty \leq S = 0$ implies $R_\infty = 0$. On the other hand, the finite-dimensional example in Section 4 shows that $R_\infty = 0$ while $S \neq 0$ may occur, so the converse implication does not hold in general. \square

To express the dynamics intrinsically, we use the factorization $R = R_0^{1/2} T R_0^{1/2}$ from Proposition 5.4 to pull the residual maps back to the subspace H_{R_0} . This induces a corresponding sequence of contractions starting from the identity, which encodes the convergence of the original flow.

Proposition 5.6 (Intrinsic residual maps). *For $P \in \{P_A, P_B\}$ and $T \in B(H_{R_0})$ with $0 \leq T \leq I$, define $\Psi_P(T)$ by*

$$\Phi_P(R_0^{1/2} T R_0^{1/2}) = R_0^{1/2} \Psi_P(T) R_0^{1/2},$$

where $\Phi_P(R) = R^{1/2} (I - P) R^{1/2}$ is the weighted-residual map. Then:

- (1) $0 \leq \Psi_P(T) \leq T$ for all $0 \leq T \leq I$.
- (2) If $0 \leq T \leq P_M$, then $\Psi_P(T) = T$.

In particular, if we set $T_0 = I$ on H_{R_0} and define

$$T_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \Psi_{P_B}(T_n), & n \text{ even}, \\ \Psi_{P_A}(T_n), & n \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

then (T_n) is decreasing in the Loewner order, $0 \leq T_n \leq I$ for all n , and $T_n \xrightarrow{s} T_\infty$ for a positive contraction T_∞ on H_{R_0} with

$$R_\infty = R_0^{1/2} T_\infty R_0^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let T satisfy $0 \leq T \leq I$ and set $R = R_0^{1/2} T R_0^{1/2}$. Then $0 \leq R \leq R_0$. The weighted-residual map gives

$$R' = \Phi_P(R) = R^{1/2} (I - P) R^{1/2} \geq 0, \quad R' \leq R \leq R_0.$$

By Proposition 5.4, there exists a unique positive contraction $\Psi_P(T)$ on H_{R_0} such that $R' = R_0^{1/2}\Psi_P(T)R_0^{1/2}$. Since $R' \leq R$, injectivity of $R_0^{1/2}$ on H_{R_0} implies $\Psi_P(T) \leq T$, and clearly $0 \leq \Psi_P(T) \leq I$. This proves (1).

If $0 \leq T \leq P_M$, then $\text{ran}(T) \subset M$ by Lemma 5.1. Hence $\text{ran}(R) = \text{ran}(R_0^{1/2}TR_0^{1/2}) \subset K$ by the definition of M . On K we have $(I - P)$ equal to the identity, so

$$\Phi_P(R) = R^{1/2}(I - P)R^{1/2} = R.$$

By the intrinsic factorization, this is equivalent to $\Psi_P(T) = T$. This proves (2).

For the iteration, define $T_0 = I$ and T_{n+1} as above. By (1) we have $0 \leq T_{n+1} \leq T_n \leq I$ for all n , so (T_n) is a bounded decreasing sequence of positive operators on H_{R_0} . It follows that $T_n \xrightarrow{s} T_\infty$ for some positive contraction T_∞ . Setting $R_n = R_0^{1/2}T_nR_0^{1/2}$, the defining relation for Ψ_P shows that (R_n) coincides with the alternating weighted-residual sequence from Theorem 2.1, so $R_n \xrightarrow{s} R_\infty$. Passing to the limit in $R_n = R_0^{1/2}T_nR_0^{1/2}$ yields

$$R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}T_\infty R_0^{1/2},$$

as claimed. \square

Proposition 5.7 (Gap localization). *Set*

$$G := P_M - T_\infty \quad \text{on } H_{R_0}.$$

Then $G \geq 0$, $\text{ran}(G) \subset M$, and

$$S - R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}GR_0^{1/2}.$$

In particular, $S = R_\infty$ if and only if $G = 0$.

Proof. By the factorized comparison in Proposition 5.4, we have $0 \leq T_\infty \leq P_M$ on H_{R_0} . Hence $G = P_M - T_\infty \geq 0$ and $\text{ran}(G) \subset \text{ran}(P_M) = M$. Using the intrinsic factorizations

$$S = R_0^{1/2}P_MR_0^{1/2}, \quad R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}T_\infty R_0^{1/2},$$

we obtain

$$S - R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}(P_M - T_\infty)R_0^{1/2} = R_0^{1/2}GR_0^{1/2}.$$

If $S = R_\infty$, then $R_0^{1/2}GR_0^{1/2} = 0$. For any $x \in H$,

$$\langle R_0^{1/2}x, GR_0^{1/2}x \rangle = \langle x, R_0^{1/2}GR_0^{1/2}x \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $\langle y, Gy \rangle = 0$ for all y in the dense subspace $\text{ran}(R_0^{1/2}) \subset H_{R_0}$. By continuity and the fact that $G \geq 0$, this extends to all $y \in H_{R_0}$, so $Gy = 0$ for every $y \in H_{R_0}$, i.e. $G = 0$. The converse is immediate from $S - R_\infty = R_0^{1/2}GR_0^{1/2}$. \square

Corollary 5.8 (Kernel and support comparison). *We have $\ker S \subset \ker R_\infty$ and $s(R_\infty) \leq s(S)$, where $s(X)$ denotes the support projection of a positive operator X .*

Proof. From $0 \leq R_\infty \leq S$ we get, for any $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, Sx \rangle = 0 \implies \langle x, R_\infty x \rangle \leq \langle x, Sx \rangle = 0 \implies \langle x, R_\infty x \rangle = 0,$$

so $\ker S \subset \ker R_\infty$. Taking orthogonal complements, $\overline{\text{ran}(R_\infty)} \subset \overline{\text{ran}(S)}$, i.e. $s(R_\infty) \leq s(S)$. \square

Proposition 5.9 (Commuting case). *Assume R_0 , P_A and P_B all commute. Then*

$$R_\infty = S = R_0|_K.$$

Equivalently, on H_{R_0} one has $T_\infty = P_M$.

Proof. If R_0 commutes with a projection P , then $R_0^{1/2}$ also commutes with P by functional calculus. For any positive R that commutes with P ,

$$\Phi_P(R) = R^{1/2}(I - P)R^{1/2} = R(I - P).$$

Now start from R_0 and apply the alternating updates using the commutation $P_AP_B = P_BP_A$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \Phi_{P_B}(R_0) = R_0(I - P_B), \\ R_2 &= \Phi_{P_A}(R_1) = R_1(I - P_A) = R_0(I - P_B)(I - P_A). \end{aligned}$$

A further step yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &= \Phi_{P_B}(R_2) = R_2(I - P_B) \\ &= R_0(I - P_B)(I - P_A)(I - P_B) = R_0(I - P_B)(I - P_A), \end{aligned}$$

using idempotence $(I - P_B)^2 = (I - P_B)$ and commutativity. Thus the sequence stabilizes at

$$R_\infty = R_0(I - P_B)(I - P_A).$$

For commuting projections, $(I - P_B)(I - P_A) = I - P_A - P_B + P_AP_B = P_K$, the orthogonal projector onto $K = \ker P_A \cap \ker P_B$. Hence

$$R_\infty = R_0P_K = R_0|_K = S.$$

Finally, passing to the intrinsic form via $R_0^{1/2}$ gives $T_\infty = P_M$ on H_{R_0} . □

REFERENCES

- [ACS06] Jorge Antezana, Gustavo Corach, and Demetrio Stojanoff, *Spectral shorted operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **55** (2006), no. 2, 169–188. MR 2234254
- [AD69] W. N. Anderson, Jr. and R. J. Duffin, *Series and parallel addition of matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **26** (1969), 576–594. MR 242573
- [And71] William N. Anderson, Jr., *Shorted operators*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **20** (1971), 520–525. MR 287970
- [AT75] W. N. Anderson, Jr. and G. E. Trapp, *Shorted operators. II*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 60–71. MR 356949
- [Dou66] R. G. Douglas, *On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 413–415. MR 203464
- [FW71] P. A. Fillmore and J. P. Williams, *On operator ranges*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 254–281. MR 293441
- [Hal69] P. R. Halmos, *Two subspaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 381–389. MR 251519
- [KA80] Fumio Kubo and Tsuyoshi Ando, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann. **246** (1979/80), no. 3, 205–224. MR 563399
- [Kre47a] M. Krein, *The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications. I*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. **20(62)** (1947), 431–495. MR 24574
- [Kre47b] M. G. Krein, *The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications. II*, Mat. Sbornik N.S. **21(63)** (1947), 365–404. MR 24575
- [NS06] Anupam Netyanun and Donald C. Solmon, *Iterated products of projections in Hilbert space*, Amer. Math. Monthly **113** (2006), no. 7, 644–648. MR 2252935
- [Opp18] Izhar Oppenheim, *Angle criteria for uniform convergence of averaged projections and cyclic or random products of projections*, Israel J. Math. **223** (2018), no. 1, 343–362. MR 3773065

- [PR14] Evgeniy Pustyl'nik and Simeon Reich, *Infinite products of arbitrary operators and intersections of subspaces in Hilbert space*, J. Approx. Theory **178** (2014), 91–102. MR 3145756
- [PRZ12] Evgeniy Pustyl'nik, Simeon Reich, and Alexander J. Zaslavski, *Convergence of non-periodic infinite products of orthogonal projections and nonexpansive operators in Hilbert space*, J. Approx. Theory **164** (2012), no. 5, 611–624. MR 2903120
- [RS72] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972. MR 493419
- [RZ21] Simeon Reich and Rafał Żalas, *Error bounds for the method of simultaneous projections with infinitely many subspaces*, J. Approx. Theory **272** (2021), Paper No. 105648, 24. MR 4310540
- [vN50] John von Neumann, *Functional Operators. II. The Geometry of Orthogonal Spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 22, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1950. MR 34514

MATHEMATICAL REVIEWS, 535 W. WILLIAM ST, SUITE 210, ANN ARBOR, MI 48103, USA
Email address: james.ftian@gmail.com