

# On planar Schrödinger-Poisson systems with repulsive interactions in the mass supercritical regime

Juntao Sun<sup>a\*</sup>, Shuai Yao<sup>a†</sup>, He Zhang<sup>b‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255049, PR China*

<sup>b</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, PR China*

## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate solutions with prescribed  $L^2$ -norm (i.e., prescribed mass) for the planar Schrödinger-Poisson (SP) equation

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha (\log |\cdot| * |u|^2) u = |u|^{p-2} u, \text{ in } \Omega_R,$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is unknown,  $\alpha < 0, p > 4$  and  $\Omega_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is a domain. First, we prove that the energy functional  $J$  corresponding to the SP equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is unbounded both above and below on the Pohozaev manifold  $\mathcal{P}$ ; this explains the reason why the minimax level of  $J$  is difficult to determine, as referenced in [Cingolani and Jeanjean, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2019]. Second, we establish the existence of a ground state and a high-energy solution, both with positive energy in a large bounded domain  $\Omega_R$ , which is a substantial advancement in addressing an open problem proposed in [Cingolani and Jeanjean, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 2019]. Finally, we analyze the asymptotic behavior of solutions as the domain  $\Omega_R$  is extended to the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Keywords:** Schrödinger-Poisson system; Repulsive interactions; Logarithmic convolution; Normalized solutions; Mass supercritical case.

**MSC(2020):** 35J47, 35J50, 35Q40

## 1 Introduction

Our starting point is the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system of the form

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \phi - \Delta \phi + \alpha w \phi = |\phi|^{p-2} \phi, & \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2}, \\ \Delta w = |\phi|^2, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

---

\*E-mail address: jtsun@sdut.edu.cn (J. Sun)

†E-mail address: shyao@sdut.edu.cn (S. Yao)

‡E-mail address: hehzhang@163.com (H. Zhang)

where  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the time-dependent wave function,  $w$  represents the Newtonian potential corresponding to the nonlocal self-interaction of  $\phi$ , the coupling constant  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  characterizes the relative strength of the potential, and the sign of  $\alpha$  determines whether the potential interaction is attractive or repulsive: specifically, the interaction is attractive if  $\alpha > 0$  or repulsive if  $\alpha < 0$ . Such a system originates from quantum mechanics [5, 8, 15] and semiconductor theory [17, 19].

An interesting topic related to System (1) is to find standing waves of the form  $\phi(x, t) = e^{-i\lambda t}u(x)$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Substituting this into System (1) reduces it to the following system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha w u = |u|^{p-2}u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \Delta w = u^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The second equation of System (2) only determines  $w$  up to harmonic functions. It is natural to choose  $w$  as the negative Newton potential of  $u^2$ , i.e., the convolution of  $u^2$  with the fundamental solution  $\Phi$  of the Laplacian, where  $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x|$ . Through this formal inversion, System (2) is converted into an integro-differential equation:

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha(\Phi * |u|^2)u = |u|^{p-2}u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3)$$

A key feature of Equation (3) is the presence of a logarithmic convolution potential, which is unbounded and sign-changing. For Equation (3), the applicability of variational methods non-trivial, as the associated energy functional is not well-defined on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  due to the influence of the logarithmic convolution potential. Inspired by Stubbe [21], Cingolani and Weth [12] developed a variational framework for Equation (3) in the smaller Hilbert space  $X$ , defined as:

$$X := \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(1 + |x|) |u|^2 dx < \infty \right\},$$

and proved the existence of ground state solutions when  $p > 4$ . Since then, the study of nontrivial solutions for Equation (3) with a fixed positive frequency  $\lambda > 0$  has garnered significant attention in recent years. For related results involving different types of nonlinearities, we refer the reader to [1, 3, 7, 10, 13, 18, 26].

When the frequency  $\lambda$  is unknown in Equation (3), the  $L^2$ -norm of solutions to Equation (3) are prescribed, i.e.,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = \rho$  for a given  $\rho > 0$ ; such solutions are usually referred to as normalized solutions. From a physical perspective, this study is particularly meaningful because solutions to System (1) conserve their mass over time. Additionally, from a mathematical standpoint, investigating this scenario is more challenging due to the additional requirement of satisfying the  $L^2$ -constraint. To the best of our knowledge, the first contribution along this direction was recently made by Cingolani and Jeanjean [11], where they established the existence of solutions for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha(\log |\cdot| * |u|^2)u = \beta |u|^{p-2}u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = \rho, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

depending on the parameters  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p > 2$ . From the variational perspective, solutions to Problem (4) can be obtained as critical points of the energy functional  $J(u) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dx dy - \frac{\beta}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^p dx$$

under the constraint

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho := \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = \rho \right\}.$$

More precisely, it has been proven that (i) a ground state solution exists as a global minimizer of  $J$  on  $\mathcal{S}_\rho$  if  $\alpha > 0, \beta \leq 0$ , and  $p > 2$ ; or  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $p < 4$ ; or  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $p = 4$ ; (ii) two solutions exist if  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $p > 4$ ; or  $\alpha < 0, 0 < K_1(\alpha) < \beta < K_2(\alpha)$ , and  $p < 4$ , by virtue of the decomposition of Pohozaev manifold

$$\mathcal{P} = \{u \in \mathcal{S}_\rho : P(u) = 0\},$$

where  $P(u) = 0$  denotes the Pohozaev identity associated with Problem (4), as developed in [22, 23]; (ii) no solution exists when  $\alpha < 0, \beta \leq 0$ , and  $p > 2$ . For the case where the nonlinearity in Problem (4) exhibits exponential critical growth, several existence results have also been obtained in [2, 9, 24].

In [11], Cingolani and Jeanjean pointed out that the case where  $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $p > 4$  remains completely open, and it is unclear how to identify a minimax level of the energy functional  $J$ . Motivated by this observation, in this paper we delve into the underlying reasons for the difficulty in determining such a minimax level and attempt to make substantial progress on this open problem.

Despite the apparent simplicity of the geometric structure of  $J$  when  $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $p > 4$ , in particular for any  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$  there exists a unique  $t > 0$  such that  $tu(tx) =: u_t \in \mathcal{P}$ , we argue that it is actually highly worthy of in-depth investigation. This constitutes the first main result. Set

$$M_\rho := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}} J(u) \text{ and } \overline{M}_\rho := \sup_{u \in \mathcal{P}} J(u).$$

We establish the following result.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $p > 4$ . Then  $M_\rho = -\infty$  and  $\overline{M}_\rho = +\infty$ .*

**Remark 1.2** *By Theorem 1.1 we find that the energy functional  $J$  is unbounded both above and below on  $\mathcal{P}$ . This reveals the reason why the minimax level of  $J$  is difficult to determine. Therefore, the commonly used methods, such as the Pohozaev manifold and the Mountain Pass Theorem, are no longer applicable to this case.*

Owing to the intractability of the open problem, we restrict our investigation to a large bounded domain, namely

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha (\log |\cdot| * |u|^2) u = |u|^{p-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ \int_{\Omega_R} |u|^2 dx = \rho, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha < 0, p > 4$ , and  $\Omega_R := \{Rx \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \in \Omega\}$  with  $R > 0$ . Here  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain satisfying  $\max_{x, y \in \Omega} |x - y| = 1$ . Our second objective is to find normalized solutions for Problem (5).

Note that compared to the study of normalized solutions in the entire space, there appear to be only a few results focusing on normalized solutions in bounded domains. In fact, these two

settings are fundamentally distinct: each requires a specific approach, and the results are generally not comparable. For instance, the Pohozaev manifold is not applicable in bounded domains, as the invariance under translation and dilation is lost.

Before stating main results, we introduce a few notations. Let  $\|\cdot\|_q$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{q,\Omega}$  denote the norms of the spaces  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^q(\Omega)$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{C}_p$  be the best constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality ([20, Theorem in Lecture II]):

$$\|u\|_q^q \leq \mathcal{C}_q \|u\|_2^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^{q-2} \text{ for } q > 2. \quad (6)$$

Let  $\tilde{J}_R(u) = J(u)|_{\Omega_R}$  with  $\beta = 1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R, \rho} = \mathcal{S}_\rho|_{\Omega_R}$ . Then we establish the following results.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\alpha < 0, p > 4$ , and  $\rho > 0$ . Then there exists  $R_\rho > 0$  such that for any  $R > R_\rho$ , the following statements hold true.*

- (i) *There exists  $\alpha_{R,\rho}^* = \alpha(R, \rho) > 0$  such that for any  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , Problem (5) admits a local minimum type solution  $u_R^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  satisfying  $\tilde{J}_R(u_R^0) > 0$  for some  $\lambda = \lambda_R^0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (ii) *If, in addition,  $\Omega$  is a star-shaped domain, then there exists  $R_* \geq R_\rho$  such that for any  $R > R_*$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , the solution  $u_R^0$  obtained in (i) is a ground state.*
- (iii) *If, in addition,  $\Omega$  is a star-shaped domain, then for any  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , Problem (5) admits a second solution of mountain-pass type  $u_R^1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  satisfying  $\tilde{J}_R(u_R^1) > \tilde{J}_R(u_R^0)$  for some  $\lambda = \lambda_R^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

In Theorem 1.3, if we assume  $R$  is fixed (e.g.  $R = 1$ ), then the existence result still holds.

**Corollary 1.4** *Let  $\alpha < 0$  and  $p > 4$ . Then there exists  $0 < \rho_0 \leq \rho^*$  such that for any  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0$ , there exists  $\alpha_\rho^* = \alpha(\rho) > 0$  such that for any  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_\rho^*$ , Problem (5) with  $R = 1$  admits a local minimizer  $u^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  for some  $\lambda = \lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and a second solution of mountain-pass type  $u^1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  for some  $\lambda = \lambda^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, there exists  $0 < \rho_1 \leq \rho_0$  such that the local minimizer  $u^0$  is a ground state for any  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_1$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_\rho^*$ . Here*

$$\rho^* := (\lambda_1(\Omega))^{-\frac{p-4}{p-2}} \left[ \frac{p}{(p-2)\mathcal{C}_p} \right]^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

where  $\lambda_1(\Omega)$  is the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  with Dirichlet boundary condition in  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is given in (6).

**Theorem 1.5** *Let  $(\lambda_R^i, u_R^i) \in \mathbb{R} \times H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) be solutions to Problem (5) given by Theorem 1.3. Then*

$$\|\nabla u_R^0\|_{2,\Omega_R} \rightarrow 0, \lambda_R^1 \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}^1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } u_R^1 \rightarrow \tilde{u}^1 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $(\tilde{\lambda}^1, \tilde{u}^1)$  is the unique solution to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = \rho, \end{cases}$$

with  $\tilde{u}^1 > 0$ .

**Remark 1.6** (i) By Theorem 1.3, Problem (5) admits two normalized solutions with positive energy on a large bounded domain  $\Omega_R$ . However, Theorem 1.5 reveals that extending the domain  $\Omega_R$  to the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not a flexible approach to obtain solutions of Problem (4), which differs from the study in Schrödinger equations [4]. The primary reason is that the logarithmic kernel is sign-changing.

(ii) It is noted that if we adopt the proof of Theorem 1.3 (i) to establish the existence of local minimizers for Problem (4), it becomes impossible to appropriately estimate the nonlocal term, thereby preventing us from finding a suitable ball radius.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Theorem 1.1 is proven in Section 2. Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 are established in Section 3.

## 2 The property of the energy functional $J$ on $\mathcal{P}$

As presented in [12], we define the symmetric bilinear forms

$$\begin{aligned}(u, v) &\mapsto \chi_1(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(1 + |x - y|) u(x)v(y) dx dy, \\(u, v) &\mapsto \chi_2(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x - y|}\right) u(x)v(y) dx dy, \\(u, v) &\mapsto \chi_0(u, v) = \chi_1(u, v) - \chi_2(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) u(x)v(y) dx dy,\end{aligned}$$

and functions on  $X$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1(u^2, v^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(1 + |x - y|) |u(x)|^2 |v(y)|^2 dx dy \\ \chi_2(u^2, v^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x - y|}\right) |u(x)|^2 |v(y)|^2 dx dy.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\chi_0(u^2, v^2) = \chi_1(u^2, v^2) - \chi_2(u^2, v^2)$  and it follows from the Hardy-Littlewood Sobolev inequality [16] that there exists a constant  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  such that

$$|\chi_2(u^2, u^2)| \leq \mathcal{C} \|u\|_{8/3}^4 \quad (7)$$

for all  $u \in L^{8/3}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

For any  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$  and  $t > 0$ , we define  $h_u(t) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}h_u(t) = J(u_t) &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \log t - \frac{\beta}{p} t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^p dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0, p > 4$ , and  $\rho > 0$ . For any  $u \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$ , there exists a unique  $t_u > 0$  such that  $u_{t_u} \in \mathcal{P}$ , and  $t_u$  is a strict global maximum point of  $h_u$ . Furthermore,  $h'_u(t_u) = \frac{P(u_{t_u})}{t_u} = 0$ ,  $h'_u(t) > 0$  for  $0 < t < t_u$ , and  $h'_u(t) < 0$  for  $t > t_u$ , where*

$$P(u) := \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{\beta(p-2)}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \frac{\alpha\rho^2}{4}.$$

**Proof.** A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h'_u(t) &= t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\alpha\rho^2}{4t} - \frac{\beta(p-2)}{p} t^{p-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left( t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\alpha\rho^2}{4} - \frac{\beta(p-2)}{p} t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^p dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Obviously,  $h'_u(t) = \frac{P(u_t)}{t}$ . By (8), there exists  $t_u > 0$  such that  $h'_u(t)$  has a unique zero point  $t_u$ . Moreover,  $h'_u(t) > 0$  for  $0 < t < t_u$ , and  $h'_u(t) < 0$  for  $t > t_u$ . The proof is completed. ■

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $\max_{x,y \in \Omega} |x - y| = 1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega,\rho} = \mathcal{S}_\rho|_\Omega$ . Then we prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $\alpha < 0, \beta > 0, p > 4$  and  $\rho > 0$ . It holds that  $M_\rho = -\infty$  and  $\overline{M}_\rho = +\infty$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\Omega,\rho}$  be the positive normalized eigenfunction  $-\Delta$  with Dirichlet boundary condition in  $\Omega$  associated to  $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\|\nabla \psi\|_2^2 = \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho, \quad \|\psi\|_2^2 = \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi\|_p^p \leq \mathcal{C}_p [\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho]^{(p-2)/2} \rho. \quad (9)$$

Set  $V_n := \sum_{i=1}^2 2^{\frac{1}{p-4}} \psi \left( 2^{\frac{p-2}{2(p-4)}} (x + in^2 e) \right)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V_n|^2 dx &= \rho, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla V_n|^2 dx = 2^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx = 2^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V_n|^p dx &= 2^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi|^p dx \leq 2^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \mathcal{C}_p [\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho]^{(p-2)/2} \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) |V_n(x)|^2 |V_n(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &= 2^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \psi(x + in^2 e) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \psi(y + jn^2 e) \right|^2 dx dy \\ & \quad - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2 \\ &= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2 \\ & \quad + 2^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x - y|) |\psi(x + in^2 e)|^2 |\psi(y + jn^2 e)|^2 dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2 \\
&\quad + 2^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y+(j-i)n^2 e|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\geq 2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy + \frac{\log(n^2-1) \rho^2}{2} \\
&\quad - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2 \\
&\geq -2^{-1} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2} (\lambda_1(\Omega))^{1/2} \rho^2 + \frac{\log(n^2-1) \rho^2}{2} - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (10), we have

$$P((V_n)_t) = 2^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \left( t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx - \frac{p-2}{p} t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi|^p dx - 2^{-\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \right) \text{ for } t > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $t_0 > 0$  independent of  $n$  such that  $P((V_n)_{t_0}) = 0$ , which shows that  $(V_n)_{t_0} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Thus it follows from (10)–(11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&J((V_n)_{t_0}) \\
&= \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla (V_n)_{t_0}|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |(V_n(x))_{t_0}|^2 |(V_n(y))_{t_0}|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} \\
&= \frac{(p-4)t_0^2}{2(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla V_n|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |V_n(x)|^2 |V_n(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \log t_0 + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{\frac{2}{p-4}} (p-4)t_0^2}{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log t_0 + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{\alpha \log(n^2-1) \rho^2}{8} - \frac{\alpha(p-2)}{8(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2 \\
&\leq \frac{2^{\frac{2}{p-4}} (p-4)}{p-2} t_0^2 \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho - \frac{\alpha}{8} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2} (\lambda_1(\Omega))^{1/2} \rho^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log t_0 + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{\alpha \log(n^2-1) \rho^2}{8} - \frac{\alpha(p-2)}{8(p-4)} \rho^2 \log 2 \\
&\rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

leading to  $M_\rho = -\infty$ .

Next we claim that  $\overline{M}_\rho = +\infty$ . Set  $W_n := \sum_{i=1}^n n^{\frac{1}{p-4}} \psi \left( n^{\frac{p-2}{2(p-4)}} (x + in^2 e) \right)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for  $t > 0$ , by (9) one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(W_n)_t|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi|^2 dx = \rho,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla (W_n)_t|^2 dx &= n^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx = n^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} t^2 \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho, \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(W_n)_t|^p dx &= n^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi|^p dx \leq n^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} t^2 \mathcal{C}_p [\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho]^{(p-2)/2} \rho
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |(W_n(x))_t|^2 |(W_n(y))_t|^2 dx dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |W_n(x)|^2 |W_n(y)|^2 dx dy - \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |W_n|^2 dx \right)^2 \log t \\
&= n^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x + in^2 e) \right|^2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(y + jn^2 e) \right|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log n - \rho^2 \log t \\
&= n^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + n^{-2} \sum_{i \neq j}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y + (j-i)n^2 e|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log n - \rho^2 \log t \\
&\leq n^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \rho^2 \log(n^3 + 1) \frac{n^2 - n}{n^2} - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log n - \rho^2 \log t \\
&\leq n^{-1} \rho^2 \log 3 + \rho^2 \log(n^3 + 1) - \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log n - \rho^2 \log t.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

It follows from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
P((W_n)_{n^{-1/(p-4)}}) &= n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx - \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi|^p dx + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \\
&\geq n \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho - \frac{p-2}{p} \mathcal{C}_p [\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho]^{(p-2)/2} \rho + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for  $n > 0$  large enough. Thus, combining with Lemma 2.1, there exists  $t_{1,n} \geq n^{-1/(p-4)}$  such that  $P((W_n)_{t_{1,n}}) = 0$ . Using this, together with (12)–(13), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& J((W_n)_{t_{1,n}}) \\
&= \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla (W_n)_{t_{1,n}}|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |(W_n(x))_{t_{1,n}}|^2 |(W_n(y))_{t_{1,n}}|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} n^{\frac{p-2}{p-4}} t_{1,n}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha}{4} n^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |\psi(x)|^2 |\psi(y)|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log(n^3+1) \frac{(n^2-n)}{n^2} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \left( \frac{p-2}{2(p-4)} \rho^2 \log n + \rho^2 \log t_{1,n} \right) \\
&\geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho n + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log(n^3+1) + \frac{\alpha}{4} n^{-1} \rho^2 \log 3 \\
&\rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\overline{M}_\rho = +\infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** It is a direct conclusion of Theorem 2.2.

### 3 The existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions

#### 3.1 The local minimizer

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg and the Hölder equalities, we have

$$\tilde{J}_R(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{C}_p \|\nabla u\|_2^{p-2} \rho + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \log(1+R).$$

Define a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{C}_p x^{p-2} \rho + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \log(1+R) \text{ for } x > 0. \quad (14)$$

Set

$$R_0 := (\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho)^{1/2} \left( \frac{(p-2) \rho \mathcal{C}_p}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-4}}$$

and

$$\alpha_{R,\rho}^0 := \frac{2R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) - \frac{4}{p} \mathcal{C}_p (R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho)^{(p-2)/2}}{\rho \log(1+R)}.$$

Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\rho > 0$ ,  $R > R_0$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^0$ . Then the function  $f$  has a global strict maximum point  $x_* > R^{-1} (\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho)^{1/2}$  satisfying  $f(x_*) > f(R^{-1} (\lambda_1(\Omega) \rho)^{1/2}) > 0$ . Moreover,  $f$  is increasing on  $0 < x < x_*$  and is decreasing on  $x > x_*$ .*

**Proof.** By calculating, we have

$$f'(x) = x - \frac{p-2}{p} \mathcal{C}_p x^{p-3} \rho,$$

which implies that  $x_* := \left[ \frac{p}{\rho \mathcal{C}_p(p-2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-4}}$  is the global strict maximum point of  $f$ , i.e.,

$$f(x_*) = \max_{x>0} f(x) = \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho \mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log(1+R). \quad (15)$$

Moreover,  $f$  is increasing on  $0 < x < x_*$  and is decreasing on  $x > x_*$ . Since  $R > R_0$ , we have  $x_* > R^{-1}(\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho)^{1/2}$ . Moreover, for  $R > R_0$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^0$ , we obtain

$$f\left(R^{-1}(\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho)^{1/2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}R^{-2}\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho - \frac{1}{p}\mathcal{C}_p\left(R^{-2}\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho\right)^{(p-2)/2}\rho + \frac{\alpha}{4}\rho^2 \log(1+R) > 0.$$

Thus,  $f(x_*) > f\left(R^{-1}(\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho)^{1/2}\right) > 0$ . The proof is complete. ■

Define

$$Q_{\rho,R} = \{u \in \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R,\alpha} : \|\nabla u\|_{2,\Omega_R} \leq x_*\}.$$

**Proposition 3.2** *For any  $\rho > 0$ , there exist  $R_\rho \geq R_0$  and  $\alpha_{R,\rho}^* \leq \alpha_{R,\rho}^0$  such that for any  $R > R_\rho$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , there hold  $Q_{\rho,R} \neq \emptyset$  and*

$$C_{R,\rho} := \inf_{u \in Q_{\rho,R}} \tilde{J}_R(u) > 0$$

*is achieved at  $u_R^0 \in Q_{\rho,R} \setminus \partial Q_{\rho,R}$ . Moreover,  $u_R^0$  is a solution of problem (5) for some Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_{R,\rho} \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{\Omega,\rho}$  be the positive normalized eigenfunction  $-\Delta$  with Dirichlet boundary condition in  $\Omega$  associated to  $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ . It follows from the Poincaré inequality that

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq R^{-2}\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho \text{ for any } u \in \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R,\alpha}. \quad (16)$$

By virtue of (16) and the fact that  $x_*$  is independent of  $R$ , we obtain that  $Q_{\rho,R} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $R \geq R_0$ .

Next, we claim that  $C_{R,\rho} > 0$  is achieved. For any  $u \in Q_{\rho,R}$ , it follows from (16) that

$$x_*^2 > \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq R^{-2}\lambda_1(\Omega)\rho.$$

So by (14) and Lemma 3.1, we get  $C_{R,\rho} > 0$ . By the Ekeland variational principle, there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset Q_{\rho,R}$  such that

$$\tilde{J}_R(u_n) \rightarrow C_{R,\rho} \text{ and } \tilde{J}'(u_n)|_{T_{u_n}Q_{\rho,R}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{2,\Omega_R} < x_*$ , there exists  $u_R^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  such that up to a subsequence,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_R^0 \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega_R) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u_R^0 \text{ in } L^q(\Omega_R) \text{ for any } q \geq 1.$$

Moreover, using the Fatou's lemma, one has  $\|\nabla u_R^0\|_{2,\Omega_R} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u_n\|_{2,\Omega_R} \leq x_*$ , which implies that  $u_R^0 \in Q_{\rho,R}$  and so  $\tilde{J}_R(u_R^0) \geq C_{R,\rho}$ . Denote  $w_n = u_n - u_R^0$ , then we calculate that  $\|w_n\|_{p,\Omega_R}^p = o_n(1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |w_n(x)|^2 |w_n(y)|^2 dx dy \right| \\ & \leq \log(1+R) \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} |w_n(x)|^2 |w_n(y)|^2 dx dy + \mathcal{C} \left( \int_{\Omega_R} |w_n|^{8/3} dx \right)^{3/2} \\ & = o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\tilde{J}_R(u_n) = \tilde{J}_R(u_R^0) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla w_n\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 + o_n(1) \geq C_{R,\rho} + o_n(1),$$

which implies that  $u_n \rightarrow u_R^0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega_R)$ .

Finally, we claim that  $u_R^0 \in Q_{\rho,R} \setminus \partial Q_{\rho,R}$ . For each  $x \in \Omega$ , set  $\psi_R(x) := R^{-1}\psi(R^{-1}x)$ . Obviously,  $\psi_R \in Q_{\rho,R}$ . If

$$\tilde{J}_R(u)|_{\partial Q_{\rho,R}} > \tilde{J}_R(\psi_R), \quad (17)$$

then

$$C_{R,\rho} < \inf_{u \in \partial Q_{\rho,R}} \tilde{J}_R(u)$$

and  $u_R^0 \in Q_{\rho,R} \setminus \partial Q_{\rho,R}$ . So we only need to prove that (17) holds. Note that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx = \lambda_1(\Omega)\rho \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^p dx \geq \rho^{\frac{p}{2}} |\Omega|^{-\frac{p-2}{2}}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \psi_R|^2 dx = R^{-2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx = R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega)\rho \quad (18)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |\psi_R|^p dx = R^{-(p-2)} \int_{\Omega} |\psi|^p dx \geq R^{-(p-2)} \rho^{p/2} |\Omega|^{-(p-2)/2}. \quad (19)$$

By (7) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |\psi_R(x)|^2 |\psi_R(y)|^2 dx dy \\ & = \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(1+|x-y|) |\psi_R(x)|^2 |\psi_R(y)|^2 dx dy \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) |\psi_R(x)|^2 |\psi_R(y)|^2 dx dy \\ & \geq -\mathcal{C} \left( \int_{\Omega_R} |\psi_R|^{8/3} dx \right)^{3/2} \\ & \geq -\mathcal{C} \rho^{3/2} \left( \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \psi_R|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2}R^{-1}\lambda_1(\Omega)^{1/2}\rho^2. \quad (20)$$

It follows from (18)–(20) that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{R,\rho} &\leq \tilde{J}_R(\psi_R) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \psi_R|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |\psi_R(x)|^2 |\psi_R(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\psi_R|^p dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho - \frac{1}{p} R^{-(p-2)} \rho^{p/2} |\Omega|^{-(p-2)/2} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2} R^{-1} \lambda_1(\Omega)^{1/2} \rho^2. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By (14)–(15), one has

$$\tilde{J}_R(u)|_{\partial Q_{\rho,R}} \geq f(x_*) = \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log(1+R) > 0. \quad (22)$$

Combining with (21) and (22), we conclude that if the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 \log(1+R) \\ &> \frac{1}{2} R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho - \frac{1}{p} R^{-(p-2)} \rho^{p/2} |\Omega|^{-(p-2)/2} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2} R^{-1} \lambda_1(\Omega)^{1/2} \rho^2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

holds, then (17) is true. In fact, to prove (23), we only need

$$0 < |\alpha| < \frac{\frac{2(p-4)}{p-2} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} - 2R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho + \frac{4}{p} R^{-(p-2)} \rho^{p/2} |\Omega|^{-(p-2)/2}}{\rho^2 \log(1+R) + \mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2} R^{-1} \lambda_1(\Omega)^{1/2} \rho^2} =: \alpha_{R,\rho}^1$$

and

$$\frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} - \frac{1}{2} R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho + \frac{1}{p} R^{-(p-2)} \rho^{p/2} |\Omega|^{-(p-2)/2} > 0$$

by controlling  $R > 0$  large enough. Therefore, there exists  $R_\rho \geq R_0$  independent on  $\alpha$  such that for any  $R > R_\rho$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^* := \min\{\alpha_{R,\rho}^0, \alpha_{R,\rho}^1\}$ , we arrive at the conclusion. The proof is complete. ■

Similar to the proof of [11, Lemma 2.7], any weak solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  of Problem (5) satisfies the Pohozaev identity as follows:

$$P_{\Omega_R}(u) := \|\nabla u\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 - \frac{p-2}{p} \|u\|_{p,\Omega_R}^p - \frac{\alpha\rho^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 x \cdot n d\sigma = 0. \quad (24)$$

If  $\Omega$  is a star-shaped bounded domain, then

$$\|\nabla u\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 - \frac{p-2}{p} \|u\|_{p,\Omega_R}^p - \frac{\alpha\rho^2}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 x \cdot n d\sigma \geq 0, \quad (25)$$

and

$$\alpha_{R,\rho}^* \log(1+R) \leq \alpha_{R,\rho}^0 \log(1+R) = 2R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho^{-1} - \frac{4}{p} C_{p,\rho} (R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho)^{(p-2)/2} \rho^{-1} \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

since  $\alpha_{R,\rho}^0 \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \log(1+R) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*. \quad (26)$$

It follows from (21) and (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < C_{R,\rho} \leq \tilde{J}_R(\psi_R) &\leq \frac{1}{2} R^{-2} \lambda_1(\Omega) \rho - \frac{1}{p} R^{-(p-2)} \rho^{p/2} |\Omega|^{-(p-2)/2} - \frac{\alpha}{4} C_{8/3}^{3/2} R^{-1} \lambda_1(\Omega)^{1/2} \rho^2 \\ &\rightarrow 0^+ \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Define

$$m_{\rho,\Omega_R} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_{\Omega_R}} \tilde{J}_R(u).$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Omega_R} := \{u \in \mathcal{S}_{\rho,\Omega_R} : P_{\Omega_R}(u) = 0\}.$$

Obviously,  $m_{\rho,\Omega_R} \leq C_{R,\rho}$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\rho > 0$  be fixed. There exists  $R_* \geq R_\rho$  such that for any  $R \geq R_*$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , it holds that  $m_{\rho,\Omega_R} = C_{R,\rho}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u$  be the solution of Problem (5) such that  $\tilde{J}_R(u) = m_{\rho,\Omega_R}$ . Using (25)–(27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx &\leq \frac{2(p-2)}{p-4} \left( \tilde{J}_R(u) - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \log(1+R) \rho^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2(p-2)}{p-4} \left( C_{R,\rho} - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \log(1+R) \rho^2 \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there exists  $R_* \geq R_\rho$  such that  $\int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx < x_*^2$  for any  $R > R_*$ . The proof is complete. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** (i) – (ii): (i) By Proposition 3.2, we get that Theorem 1.3 (i) holds. (ii) By Lemma 3.3, we arrive the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 (ii).

### 3.2 The mountain-pass solution

To obtain a mountain-pass solution, we introduce a modified problem related to Problem (5):

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha (\log |\cdot| * |u|^2) u = s|u|^{p-2}u, & \text{in } \Omega_R \\ \int_{\Omega_R} |u|^2 dx = \rho > 0, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where  $s \in [1/2, 1]$ . Solutions of Problem (28) correspond to critical points of the energy function  $\tilde{J}_{R,s} : \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R,\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\tilde{J}_{R,s}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dx dy - \frac{s}{p} \int_{\Omega_R} |u|^p dx$$

on the constraint  $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R,\rho}$ . Similar to the argument of Theorem 1.3 (i), for any  $\rho > 0$ ,  $R > R_\rho$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , Problem (28) has a local minimizer  $v_{R,s} \in Q_{\rho,R}$  satisfying

$$\tilde{J}_{R,s}(v_{R,s}) = \inf_{u \in Q_{\rho,R}} \tilde{J}_{R,s}(u) =: C_{R,s,\rho}.$$

Next, we consider the geometry structure of the energy functional  $\tilde{J}_{R,s}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** *For any  $R > R_\rho$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , it holds that*

$$0 < f(x_*) \leq \bar{C}_{R,s,\rho} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{R,\rho}} \sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} \tilde{J}_{R,s}(\gamma(t)) \leq \max_{t \geq 1} \tilde{J}_{R,s}((v_{R,s})_t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{R,\rho} &:= \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, \infty), H_0^1(\Omega_R)) : \gamma(0) = v_{R,s}, \exists t_\gamma > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|\nabla \gamma(t)\|_2 > x_* \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } \tilde{J}_{R,s}(\gamma(t)) < 0 \text{ for any } t > t_\gamma \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Denote  $(v_{R,s})_t = tv_{R,s}(tx)$  for any  $t \geq 1$ . Then  $(v_{R,s})_t \in \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R,\rho}$ . A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_{R,s}((v_{R,s})_t) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla v_{R,s}|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} |v_{R,s}(x)|^2 |v_{R,s}(y)|^2 \log(|x-y|) dx dy \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4} \log t - \frac{t^{p-2}s}{p} \int_{\Omega_R} |v_{R,s}|^p dx \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that there exists  $t_1 > 1$  such that  $\tilde{J}_R((v_{R,s})_{t_1}) = \max_{t \geq 1} \tilde{J}_R((v_{R,s})_t) \geq \tilde{J}_R(v_{R,s})$ . Moreover, there exists  $t_* > t_1$  such that  $\tilde{J}_R((v_{R,s})_{t+1}) < 0$  and  $\|\nabla(v_{R,s})_{t+1}\|_2 > x_*$  for any  $t > t_*$ . Set  $\gamma(t) := (v_{R,s})_{t+1}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Obviously,  $\gamma(t) \in \Gamma_{R,\rho}$ . Note that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{R,\rho}$  and  $t > t_*$ , there holds  $\|\nabla \gamma(0)\|_2 < x_* < \|\nabla \gamma(t)\|_2$ , which shows that

$$\max_{t \geq 1} \tilde{J}_{R,s}((v_{R,s})_t) \geq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{R,\rho}} \sup_{t \in [0,\infty)} \tilde{J}_{R,s}(\gamma(t)) \geq \max_{x > 0} f(x) = f(x_*) > 0.$$

The proof is complete. ■

**Theorem 3.5** ([6, Theorem 1.5]) *Let  $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  and  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be two infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and assume that there are continuous injections*

$$E \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow E'.$$

Let

$$\|u\|_2^2 = \langle u, u \rangle, \quad |u|^2 = (u, u) \text{ for } u \in E$$

and

$$S_\mu = \{u \in E : |u|^2 = \mu\}, \quad T_\mu S_\mu = \{v \in E : (u, v) = 0\} \text{ for } \mu \in (0, +\infty).$$

Let  $I \subset (0, +\infty)$  be an interval and consider a family of  $C^2$  functional  $\Phi_a : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$\Phi_a = A(u) - aB(u) \text{ for } \rho \in I,$$

with  $B(u) \geq 0$  for every  $u \in E$ , and

$$A(u) \rightarrow \infty \text{ or } B(u) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } u \in E \text{ and } \|u\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover,  $\Phi'_a$  and  $\Phi''_a$  are  $\tau$ -Hölder continuous with  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ , on bounded sets in the following sense: for every  $R > 0$  there exists  $M = M(R) > 0$  such that

$$\|\Phi'_a(u) - \Phi'_a(v)\| \leq M\|u - v\|^\tau \text{ and } \|\Phi''_a(u) - \Phi''_a(v)\| \leq M\|u - v\|^\tau$$

for every  $u, v \in B(0, R)$ . Finally, suppose that there exist  $w_1, w_2 \in S_\mu$  independent of  $\rho$  such that

$$c_a := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_a(\gamma(t)) > \max\{\Phi_a(w_1), \Phi_a(w_2)\} \text{ for all } a \in I,$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], S_\mu) : \gamma(0) = w_1, \gamma(1) = w_2\}.$$

Then for almost every  $\rho \in I$ , there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset S_\mu$  such that

(i)  $\Phi_a(u_n) \rightarrow c_a$ ; (ii)  $\Phi'_a|_{S_\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ ; (iii)  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $E$ .

**Proposition 3.6** For any  $R > R_\rho$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R, \rho}^*$ , Problem (28) has a mountain-pass type solution for almost every  $s \in [1/2, 1]$ .

**Proof.** According to Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we conclude that for almost every  $s \in [1/2, 1]$ , there exists a bounded Palais-Smale sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R, \rho}$  such that

$$\tilde{J}_{R, s}(v_n) \rightarrow \overline{C}_{R, s, \rho} \text{ and } \tilde{J}'_{R, s}(v_n)|_{\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_R, \rho}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (29)$$

By (29), there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_{R, n}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\tilde{J}_{R, s}(v_n) + \lambda_{R, n} v_n = o_n(1) \text{ in } H_0^{-1}(\Omega_R). \quad (30)$$

From (29)–(30), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\lambda_{R, n}| \rho \\ &= \left| - \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx - \alpha \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x - y|) |v_n(x)|^2 |v_n(y)|^2 dx dy + s \int_{\Omega_R} |v_n|^p dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla v_n\|_{2, \Omega_R}^2 - \alpha \rho^2 \log(1 + R) - \alpha \mathcal{C}_{8/3}^{3/2} \rho^{3/2} \|\nabla v_n\|_{2, \Omega_R} + \mathcal{C}_p \rho \|\nabla v_n\|_{2, \Omega_R}^{p-2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{\lambda_{R,n}\}$  is bounded. Since  $\{\lambda_{R,n}\}, \{v_n\}$  are bounded, there exists  $(\lambda_{R,s}, v_{R,s}) \in \mathbb{R} \times H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  such that up to a subsequence,

$$\lambda_{R,n} \rightarrow \lambda_{R,s} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad v_n \rightharpoonup v_{R,s} \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega_R) \text{ and } v_n \rightarrow v_{R,s} \text{ in } L^q(\Omega_R) \text{ for any } q \geq 1,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla v_{R,s}|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |v_{R,s}(x)|^2 |v_{R,s}(y)|^2 dx dy - s \int_{\Omega_R} |v_{R,s}|^p dx + \lambda_{R,s} \rho = 0. \quad (31)$$

Define  $w_n = v_n - v_{R,s}$ , then similar to the argument of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\|w_n\|_{p,\Omega_R}^p = o_n(1) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |w_n(x)|^2 |w_n(y)|^2 dx dy = o_n(1).$$

Using this and (31), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} o_n(1) &= \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |v_n(x)|^2 |v_n(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\quad - s \int_{\Omega_R} |v_n|^p dx + \lambda_{R,n} \int_{\Omega_R} |v_n|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla v_{R,s}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla w_n|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log(|x-y|) |v_{R,s}(x)|^2 |v_{R,s}(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\quad - s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_{R,s}|^p dx + \lambda_{R,s} \rho + o_n(1) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla w_n|^2 dx + o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that  $v_n \rightarrow v_{R,s}$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega_R)$ . The proof is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 3.7** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a star-shaped bounded domain. For any  $\rho > 0$  fixed, the set of solutions of Problem (28) is bounded uniformly on  $s$  and  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u$  be a solution of Problem (28). Then for  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^0$  and  $R > R_\rho$ , by (25) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_{R,s}(u) &= \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{1}{2(p-2)} \int_{\partial\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 x \cdot n d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(|x-y|) |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{\Omega_R} \int_{\Omega_R} \log(1+|x-y|) |u(x)|^2 |u(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{\alpha}{4} \log(1+R) \rho^2 \\ &\geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho C_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \frac{2(p-2)}{p-4} \left[ \tilde{J}_{R,s}(u) - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho \mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} \right].$$

According to this and the fact that  $\tilde{J}_{R,s}(u)$  is bounded by Lemma 3.4, we complete the proof. ■

**Theorem 3.8** *For any  $R > R_\rho$  and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , Problem (5) admits a mountain-pass solution  $u_R^1 \in H_0^1(\Omega_R)$  for some Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda_R^1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.6, there exists a solution  $(\lambda_{R,s}, v_{R,s})$  to Problem (28) for almost every  $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Furthermore,  $v_{R,s}$  is bounded uniformly on  $s$  by Lemma 3.7. Similar to the argument of Proposition 3.6, there exist  $u_R^1 \in \mathcal{S}_{R,\rho}$  and  $\lambda_R^1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that up to a subsequence,

$$\lambda_{R,s} \rightarrow \lambda_R^1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } v_{R,s} \rightarrow u_R^1 \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega_R) \text{ as } s \rightarrow 1.$$

So  $(\lambda_R^1, u_R^1)$  is a solution of problem (5). The proof is complete. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.3 (iii):** By Theorem 3.8, we directly conclude that Theorem 1.3 (iii) holds.

### 3.3 The asymptotic behavior of solutions

For convenience, let  $\Omega_R = B_R(0)$ . We study the asymptotic behavior as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2}u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx = \rho > 0. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Define

$$m_\rho = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,0}} J_0(u),$$

where  $J_0(u) := J(u)|_{\alpha=0}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\rho,0} := \mathcal{P}_\rho|_{\alpha=0}$ .

**Lemma 3.9** ([25, Lemma 2.1]) *Let  $s, \rho > 0$ . We have*

(i)  *$v \in \mathcal{P}_{\rho,0}$  if and only if  $u(\cdot) = s^{-\frac{1}{p-4}}v \left( s^{-\frac{p-2}{2(p-4)}} \cdot \right) \in \mathcal{P}_{s\rho,0}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\rho,0} \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, it holds that  $m_{s\rho} = s^{-\frac{2}{p-4}}m_\rho$ .*

(ii) *If  $p > 4$ , then  $m_\rho > 0$  is attained.*

**Lemma 3.10** *Let  $(\bar{\lambda}_\rho, \bar{u}_\rho)$  be the solution of Problem (32). Then we have  $\|\nabla \bar{u}_\rho\|_2 \geq x_* := \left[ \frac{p}{\rho \mathcal{C}_p(p-2)} \right]^{\frac{2}{p-4}}$  and  $m_\rho \geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho \mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $(\bar{\lambda}_\rho, \bar{u}_\rho)$  is the solution of Problem (32), it is easy to obtain that

$$m_\rho = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{u}_\rho|^p dx$$

and

$$P(u)|_{\alpha=0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx - \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{u}_\rho|^p dx = 0.$$

These imply that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx \geq \left[ \frac{p}{\rho \mathcal{C}_p(p-2)} \right]^{\frac{2}{p-4}}$  and

$$m_\rho = \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx \geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho \mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}}.$$

The proof is complete. ■

**Lemma 3.11** *Let  $(\bar{\lambda}_\rho, \bar{u}_\rho)$  be the solution of problem (32). Then there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\bar{u}_\rho \leq C_1 \exp^{-C_2|x|} \text{ for any } |x| \geq R_0,$$

where  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(\bar{\lambda}_\rho, \bar{u}_\rho)$  is the solution of Problem (32), it follows from [27, Lemma 2.1] that

$$\bar{\lambda}_\rho = \rho^{-\frac{p-2}{p-4}} \|W\|_2^{-\frac{2(p-2)}{p-4}} \text{ and } \bar{u}_\rho = \bar{\lambda}_\rho^{\frac{1}{p-2}} W(\bar{\lambda}_\rho^{1/2} x),$$

where  $W$  is a positive solution to the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta W + W = |W|^{p-2} W, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} W(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is unique up to translations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then we have

$$\bar{\lambda}_\rho > 0 \text{ and } \bar{u}_\rho > 0. \quad (33)$$

Moreover,

$$-\Delta \bar{u}_\rho = -\bar{\lambda}_\rho \bar{u}_\rho + |\bar{u}_\rho|^{p-2} \bar{u}_\rho, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (34)$$

Then by (33)–(34), we obtain that  $-\Delta \bar{u}_\rho \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $q > 1$ . Using [14, Theorem 9.11], we conclude that  $\bar{u}_\rho \in W_{loc}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for all  $q > 1$ , whence  $\bar{u}_\rho \in C_{loc}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by the Sobolev embedding theorem for any  $0 < r < 1$ . So  $\bar{u}_\rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \cup C_{loc}^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Following (34) and [14, Theorem 8.17], there exists  $C_1 > 0$  independent of  $R$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in B_{1/2}(y)} \bar{u}_\rho \leq C_1 \|\bar{u}_\rho\|_{2, B_1(y)}, \text{ for any } y \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

So there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $\bar{u}_\rho^{p-2} \leq \frac{\bar{\lambda}_\rho}{2}$  for any  $|x| \geq R_0$ . Combining with (34), we have

$$-\Delta \bar{u}_\rho \leq -\frac{\bar{\lambda}_\rho}{2} \bar{u}_\rho, \text{ for any } |x| \geq R_0. \quad (35)$$

Let  $\ell = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\lambda}_\rho}{2}}$  and  $\eta(x) = \ell^2 \exp^{\ell R_0} \exp^{-\ell|x|}$  for any  $|x| \geq R_0$ . Then

$$\eta(x) = \bar{u}_\rho \text{ for any } |x| = R_0 \text{ and } \Delta\eta(x) \leq \ell^2\eta(x) \text{ for any } |x| \geq R_0. \quad (36)$$

Define  $\tilde{\eta}(x) = \eta(x) - \bar{u}_\rho$ . By (35)–(36), one has

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\tilde{\eta}(x) + \ell^2\tilde{\eta}(x) \geq 0, & \text{for all } |x| > R_0 \\ \eta(x) = \bar{u}_\rho, & \text{for } |x| = R_0. \end{cases}$$

The maximum principle (see [14, Theorem 8.1]) implies that  $\tilde{\eta}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $|x| > R_0$ , and hence the conclusion follows. ■

By (15), (26) and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C}_{R,\rho} &:= \bar{C}_{R,s,\rho}|_{s=1} \geq f(x_*) \\ &= \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + \frac{\alpha}{4}\rho^2 \log(1+R) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

**Lemma 3.12** *Let  $(\bar{\lambda}_\rho, \bar{u}_\rho)$  be the solution of Problem (32). For  $R > R_\rho$  large enough and  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , there exists  $\rho_R < \rho$  satisfying  $\rho_R = \rho + o_R(1)$ , such that*

$$\bar{C}_{R,\rho_R} \leq m_\rho + o_R(1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $(\bar{\lambda}_\rho, \bar{u}_\rho)$  be the solution of Problem (32). Set

$$H(t) = J_0((\bar{u}_\rho)_t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx - \frac{t^{p-2}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{u}_\rho|^p dx \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Clearly,  $H(t)$  is increasing on  $0 < t < 1$  and is decreasing on  $t > 1$ , and  $H(1) = \max_{t>0} J_0((\bar{u}_\rho)_t)$ . Then by the fact of  $\|\nabla \bar{u}_\rho\|_2 \geq x_*$  by Lemma 3.10, there exists  $0 < s_0 < 1$  such that

$$\|\nabla (\bar{u}_\rho)_{s_0}\|_2 < \left( \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \right)^{1/2} x_* \text{ and } J_0((\bar{u}_\rho)_{s_0}) < \frac{1}{2}m_\rho. \quad (38)$$

For any  $R > \max\left\{\frac{2R_0}{s_0}, R_\rho\right\}$ , we define  $\xi_R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, [0, 1])$  such that  $|\nabla \xi_R| \leq 2$  and

$$\xi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B_{Rs_0/2}(0), \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{Rs_0}(0). \end{cases}$$

Let  $v_R = \xi_R \bar{u}_\rho$  and  $\|v_R\|_2^2 = \rho_R$ . Clearly,  $\rho_R < \rho$ . Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{Rs_0/2}(0)} |C_1 e^{-C_2|x|}|^2 dx \leq C \int_{Rs_0/2}^\infty \exp^{-2C_2 r} r dr = o_R(1),$$

It follows from Lemma 3.11 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_R|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx + o_R(1), \quad (39)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_R|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \bar{u}_\rho|^2 dx + o_R(1), \quad (40)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_R|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{u}_\rho|^p dx + o_R(1). \quad (41)$$

Note that  $J_0((v_R)_t)$  has a unique maximum point  $t_R$ , i.e.,  $\max_{t>0} J_0((v_R)_t) = J_0((v_R)_{t_R})$ . Then by (39)–(41), we have

$$\max_{t>0} J_0((v_R)_t) = \max_{t>0} J_0((\bar{u}_\rho)_t) + o_R(1) = m_\rho + o_R(1), \quad (42)$$

which shows that  $t_R = 1 + o_R(1)$ . Let  $R$  large enough such that  $t_R > s_0$ . Then using (42), one has

$$\max_{t \geq s_0} J_0((v_R)_t) = m_\rho + o_R(1). \quad (43)$$

Note that  $(v_R)_t \in \mathcal{S}_{B_R(0), \rho_R}$  for any  $t \geq s_0$ . Moreover, there exist  $\tilde{t}_R > \bar{t}_R > s_0$  such that

$$\|\nabla (v_R)_{\tilde{t}_R}\|_2 > \|\nabla (v_R)_{\bar{t}_R}\|_2 = x_* \text{ and } \tilde{J}_R((v_R)_{\tilde{t}_R}) < 0.$$

According to (26), (39)–(41) and (43), we get

$$\max_{t \geq s_0} \tilde{J}_R((v_R)_t) = \max_{t \geq s_0} J_0((v_R)_t) + o_R(1) = m_\rho + o_R(1). \quad (44)$$

Denote

$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \geq s_0, \\ 1, & 0 \leq t < s_0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma(t) = \chi(t) (w_{R, \rho_R})_{\tilde{C}_R t + 1} + (1 - \chi(t)) (v_R)_t,$$

where  $\tilde{C}_R > 0$  satisfies  $\|\nabla (w_{R, \rho_R})_{\tilde{C}_R s_0 + 1}\|_{2, B_R(0)} = \|\nabla (v_R)_{s_0}\|_{2, B_R(0)}$ ,  $w_{R, \rho_R}$  is the solution of Problem (5) with  $\Omega_R = B_R(0)$  satisfying  $C_{R, \rho_R} = \tilde{J}_R(w_{R, \rho_R})$ . Then  $\gamma(t) \in \Gamma_{R, \rho_R}$  by Lemma 3.4 and so

$$\bar{C}_{R, \rho_R} \leq \max_{t>0} \tilde{J}_R(\gamma(t)). \quad (45)$$

Note that  $\|\nabla w_{R, \rho_R}\|_{2, B_R(0)} \geq R^{-1} [\lambda(B_1(0)) \rho_R]^{1/2}$  by the Poincaré inequality. Following this, (38)–(40), we deduce that

$$\left( \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \right)^{1/2} x_* + o_R(1) > \|\nabla (w_{R, \rho_R})_{\tilde{C}_R s_0 + 1}\|_{2, B_R(0)} \geq (\tilde{C}_R s_0 + 1) R^{-1} [\lambda(B_1(0)) \rho]^{1/2} + o_R(1),$$

which implies that  $\tilde{C}_R < C R s_0^{-1}$ , where  $C = \left( \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \right)^{1/2} [\lambda(B_1(0)) \rho]^{-1/2} x_*$ . So using (26), we have

$$|\alpha| \log(\tilde{C}_R s_0 + 1) < |\alpha| \log(CR + 1) < |\alpha| (|\log C| + \log(1 + R)) = o_R(1). \quad (46)$$

It follows from (38), (40) and (46) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{0 < t < s_0} \tilde{J}_R \left( (w_{R,\rho_R})_{\tilde{C}_R t + 1} \right) \\
&= \max_{0 < t < s_0} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{C}_R t + 1 \right)^2 \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla w_{R,\rho_R}|^2 dx - \frac{\left( \tilde{C}_R t + 1 \right)^{p-2}}{p} \int_{B_R(0)} |w_{R,\rho_R}|^p dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho_R^2 \log \left( \tilde{C}_R t + 1 \right) + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{B_R(0)} \int_{B_R(0)} \log(|x-y|) |w_{R,\rho_R}(x)|^2 |w_{R,\rho_R}(y)|^2 dx dy \right] \\
&= \max_{0 < t < s_0} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{C}_R t + 1 \right)^2 \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla w_{R,\rho_R}|^2 dx - \frac{\left( \tilde{C}_R t + 1 \right)^{p-2}}{p} \int_{B_R(0)} |w_{R,\rho_R}|^p dx \right] + o_R(1) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla (v_R)_{s_0}\|_{2,B_R(0)}^2 + o_R(1) \\
&< \frac{p-4}{4(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho \mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + o_R(1). \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

By (44) and Lemma 3.10, we obtain

$$\max_{t \geq s_0} \tilde{J}_R ((v_R)_t) \geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho \mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + o_R(1), \tag{48}$$

Combining with (47) and (48), we conclude that  $\max_{t>0} \tilde{J}_R(\gamma(t)) = \max_{t>s_0} \tilde{J}_R((v_R)_t)$ . Using this, together with (44)–(45), for  $R$  large enough, we have

$$\bar{C}_{R,\rho_R} \leq \max_{t>0} \tilde{J}_R(\gamma(t)) = \max_{t>s_0} \tilde{J}_R((v_R)_t) = m_\rho + o_R(1).$$

The proof is complete. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.5:** Let  $(\lambda_R^0, u_R^0) \in \mathbb{R} \times H_0^1(B_R(0))$  be the local minimizer of Problem (5). Then

$$C_{R,\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R^0|^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{B_R(0)} \int_{B_R(0)} \log(|x-y|) |u_R^0(x)|^2 |u_R^0(y)|^2 dx dy - \frac{1}{p} \int_{B_R(0)} |u_R^0|^p dx \tag{49}$$

and

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R^0|^2 dx - \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{B_R(0)} |u_R^0|^p dx - \frac{\alpha}{4} \rho^2 = \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R^0|^2 dx \geq 0. \tag{50}$$

For  $0 < |\alpha| < \alpha_{R,\rho}^*$ , it follows from (26)–(27) and (49)–(50) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla u_R^0|^2 dx &\leq \frac{2(p-2)}{p-4} \left( C_{R,\rho} - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \log(1+R) \rho^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2(p-2)}{p-4} \left( C_{R,\rho} - \frac{\alpha \rho^2}{4(p-2)} - \frac{\alpha}{4} \log(1+R) \rho^2 \right) \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that the sequences  $\{R_n\}, \{\rho_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+, \{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^-$  satisfy  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = \infty, 0 < \rho_n < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = \rho$  given, and  $0 < |\alpha_n| < \alpha_{R_n, \rho_n}^*$ , respectively. Let  $(\lambda_{R_n}^1, u_{R_n}^1) \in \mathbb{R} \times H_0^1(B_{R_n}(0))$  be the solutions of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u + \alpha_n (\log |\cdot| * |u|^2) u = |u|^{p-2} u, & \text{in } B_{R_n}(0), \\ \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u|^2 dx = \rho_n > 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{J}_{R_n}(u_{R_n}^1) = \bar{C}_{R_n, \rho_n}$ . By Lemma 3.7, the sequences  $\{\lambda_{R_n}^1\}, \{u_{R_n}^1\}$  are bounded. Then there exist  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$  such that up to a subsequence,

$$\lambda_{R_n}^1 \rightarrow \lambda_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } u_{R_n}^1 \rightharpoonup v_0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

and  $(\lambda_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is the solution to  $-\Delta u + \lambda u = |u|^{p-2} u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Similar to (26),

$$\alpha_n \log(1 + R_n) = o_n(1). \quad (51)$$

By (24) and (51), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{R_n}^1 \rho_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( -\frac{\alpha_n}{4} \left( \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u_{R_n}^1|^2 dx \right)^2 + \frac{2}{p} \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_{R_n}(0)} |\nabla u_{R_n}^1|^2 x \cdot n d\sigma \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha_n \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} \log(|x-y|) |u_{R_n}^1(x)|^2 |u_{R_n}^1(y)|^2 dx dy \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We now prove that  $\int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx \neq o_n(1)$ . Otherwise, by (52), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{R_n}^1 \rho_n = 0$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |\nabla u_{R_n}^1|^2 dx &= -\lambda_{R_n}^1 \rho_n + \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx \\ &\quad - \alpha_n \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} \log(|x-y|) |u_{R_n}^1(x)|^2 |u_{R_n}^1(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &= o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with (37). So  $\lambda_0 > 0$ .

Let  $v_n^1 = u_{R_n}^1 - v_0$ . Since  $-\Delta v_0 + \lambda_0 v_0 = |v_0|^{p-2} v_0$ , it holds  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx - \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_0|^p dx = 0$ . Using this, together with (25), (51) and the Brezis-Lieb lemma, yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n^1|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx + o_n(1) \\ &= \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |\nabla u_{R_n}^1|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{B_{R_n}(0)} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx + \frac{\alpha_n \rho_n^2}{4} + \frac{R_n}{2} \int_{\partial B_{R_n}(0)} |\nabla u_{R_n}^1|^2 d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx + o_n(1) \\
&= \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_{R_n}^1|^p dx + \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_0|^p dx + o_n(1) \\
&= \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_n^1|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx + o_n(1),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n^1|^2 dx = \frac{p-2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_n^1|^p dx + o_n(1)$  and so  $J_0(v_n^1) = \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n^1|^2 dx + o_n(1)$ . If  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_n^1|^2 dx = o_n(1)$ , then  $u_{R_n}^1 \rightarrow v_0$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Otherwise, there holds  $J_0(v_n^1) \geq \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + o_n(1)$ . Then by Lemmas 3.9 (i) and 3.12, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
m_\rho + o_n(0) &\geq \bar{C}_{R_n, \rho_n} = \tilde{J}_{R_n}(u_{R_n}^1) = J_0(v_n^1) + J_0(v_0) + o_n(1) \\
&\geq m_\rho + \frac{p-4}{2(p-2)} \left( \frac{p}{(p-2)\rho\mathcal{C}_p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-4}} + o_n(1),
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Therefore,  $(\lambda_0, v_0) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is the solution of Problem (32).

## Acknowledgments

J. Sun was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12371174). S. Yao was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12501218) and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. ZR2025QC1512).

## References

- [1] F.S. Albuquerque, J.L. Carvalho, G.M. Figueiredo, E. Medeiros, On a planar non-autonomous Schrödinger–Poisson system involving exponential critical growth, *Calc. Var.* (2021) 60:40.
- [2] C.O. Alves, E. de S. Böer, O.H. Miyagaki, Existence of normalized solutions for the planar Schrödinger–Poisson system with exponential critical nonlinearity, *Differ. Integral Equ.* 36 (2023) 947–970.
- [3] A. Azzollini, The planar Schrödinger–Poisson system with a positive potential, *Nonlinearity* 34 (2021) 5799–5820.
- [4] T. Bartsch, S. Qi, W. Zou, Normalized solutions to Schrödinger equations with potential and inhomogeneous nonlinearities on large smooth domains, *Math. Ann.* 390 (2024) 4813–4859.
- [5] R. Benguria, H. Brezis, E. Lieb, The Thomas–Fermi–von Weizsäcker theory of atoms and molecules, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 79 (1981) 167–180.

- [6] J. Borthwick, X. Chang, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, Bounded Palais-Smale sequences with Morse type information for some constrained functionals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 377 (2024) 4481–4517.
- [7] D. Cassani, C. Tarsi, Schrödinger-Newton equations in dimension two via a Pohozaev-Trudinger log-weighted inequality, *Calc. Var.* 60 (2021) 197.
- [8] I. Catto, P.-L. Lions, Binding of atoms and stability of molecules in Hartree and Thomas-Fermi type theories, *Commun. Partial Differential Equations* 18 (1993) 1149–1159.
- [9] S. Chen, V.D. Rădulescu, X. Tang, Multiple normalized solutions for the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical exponential growth, *Math. Z.* (2024) 306:50.
- [10] S. Chen, X. Tang, Axially symmetric solutions for the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical exponential growth, *J Differential Equations* 269 (2020) 9144–9174.
- [11] S. Cingolani, L. Jeanjean, Stationary waves with prescribed  $L^2$ -norm for the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 51 (2019) 3533–3568.
- [12] S. Cingolani, T. Weth, On the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 33 (2016) 169–197.
- [13] M. Du, T. Weth, Ground states and high energy solutions of the planar Schrödinger-Poisson system, *Nonlinearity* 30 (2017) 3492–3515.
- [14] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer, Berlin (1983).
- [15] E.H. Lieb, Thomas-Fermi and related theories of atoms and molecules, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 53 (1981) 263–301.
- [16] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, second edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [17] P.-L. Lions, Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 109 (1984) 33–97.
- [18] Z. Liu, V. Rădulescu, J. Zhang, A planar Schrödinger-Newton system with Trudinger-Moser critical growth, *Calc. Var.* 62 (2023) 31.
- [19] P.A. Markowich, C.A. Ringhofer, C. Schmeiser, *Semiconductor Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [20] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 13 (1959) 115–162.
- [21] J. Stubbe, Bound states of two-dimensional Schrödinger-Newton equations, *arXiv:0807.4059v1* (2008).

- [22] N. Soave, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities, *J. Differential Equations* 269 (2020) 6941–6987.
- [23] N. Soave, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities: the Sobolev critical case, *J. Funct. Anal.* 279 (2020) 108610.
- [24] J. Sun, S. Yao, J. Zhang, Planar Schrödinger-Poisson system with exponential critical growth: local well-posedness and standing waves with prescribed mass, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 153 (2024) 12760.
- [25] Z. Tang, C. Zhang, L. Zhang, L. Zhou, Normalized multibump solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well, *Nonlinearity* 35 (2022) 4624–4658.
- [26] P. Pucci, L. Wang, B. Zhang, Bifurcation and regularity of entire solutions for the planar nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system, *Math. Ann.* 389 (2024) 4265–4300.
- [27] C. Zhang, X. Zhang, Normalized multi-bump solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations via variational approach, *Calc. Var.* (2022) 61:57