

Free energy dissipation and a decomposition of general jump diffusions on \mathbb{R}^n without detailed balance

Shuyuan Fan^{1,2} and Qi Zhang³

¹School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510275, China

²School of Science, Great Bay University, Dongguan, Guangdong, 523000, China

³Beijing institute of mathematical sciences and applications, Beijing, 101408 China, Email: qzhang@bimsa.cn

December 9, 2025

Abstract

We analyze the thermodynamic structure of jump diffusions combining Brownian and Poisson noise, a class of stochastic dynamics relevant to nonequilibrium statistical physics. For such nonlocal dynamics, the free energy admits a full dissipation formula that decomposes into entropy production and housekeeping heat. A central result is a decomposition of the generator into symmetric and anti-symmetric parts with respect to the invariant measure ρ_{ss} . The symmetric sector corresponds to a reversible dynamics and yields a nonlocal Fisher information governing free-energy decay, whereas the anti-symmetric sector generates a canonical conservative flow that produces circulation but no dissipation. Several numerical examples demonstrate how this decomposition clarifies the structure of nonequilibrium stationary states in jump-driven systems.

Keywords: Free energy dissipation; nonlocal equations; Lévy processes; Fisher information.

1 Introduction

The concept of free energy lies at the heart of statistical physics, serving as the fundamental bridge between microscopic stochastic fluctuations and macroscopic thermodynamic laws. For a stochastic system coupled to a thermal environment, the generalized free energy—mathematically formulated as the relative entropy with respect to a stationary measure—transcends its classical equilibrium definition to become a universal metric quantifying the “distance” from the steady state. Its temporal evolution encapsulates the essence of the Second Law: the free energy dissipation reflects the monotonic loss of distinguishability as the system relaxes, acting as a robust Lyapunov functional that guarantees dynamical stability [27, 9, 20]. Crucially, this dissipation is not merely a mathematical decay; it physically encodes the time-irreversibility of the underlying trajectory and provides a rigorous quantification of the entropy production rate, thereby revealing the thermodynamic cost and the arrow of time embedded in the stochastic dynamics [33, 35, 15, 30, 40, 12].

Classically, for diffusion processes governed by the second-order Fokker–Planck equation, the relationship between free energy and entropy is well-understood: in detailed-balanced systems,

the free energy dissipation rate is exactly balanced by the total entropy production rate [30, 40, 11]. However, for systems driven into a non-equilibrium steady state by non-conservative forces, this equality breaks down. The discrepancy led to the concept of “housekeeping heat” Q_{hk} , first introduced phenomenologically by Oono and Paniconi [28] to describe the heat dissipated solely to maintain the steady state. This concept was later formalized by Hatano and Sasa [19] through a generalized fluctuation-dissipation relation. More recently, a unified framework was established by Esposito and Van den Broeck [12, 40], who decomposed the total entropy production into an adiabatic part (associated with housekeeping heat) and a non-adiabatic part (associated with system relaxation). In this framework, the free energy dissipation is linked strictly to the non-adiabatic entropy production, while the housekeeping heat represents the energetic cost of breaking detailed balance.

Underpinning these thermodynamic phenomenologies is the geometric structure of the generator and associated Fokker-Planck operator. For continuous diffusion processes, it is known that the drift vector field $b(x)$ admits a Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition: $b(x) = -\beta^{-1}A(x)\nabla\phi(x) + v_{res}(x)$, where ϕ is a potential function linked to the stationary distribution and v_{res} is a divergence-free residual drift [2, 31, 3, 11]. This vector field decomposition corresponds to an operator-theoretic splitting of the generator \mathcal{L} into a symmetric part \mathcal{L}_s and an antisymmetric part \mathcal{L}_a in the weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\rho_{ss})$ [31, 11]. The symmetric part generates a reversible dynamics responsible for the relaxation towards equilibrium, while the antisymmetric part generates a measure-preserving conservative flow along the level sets of the stationary distribution.

While the aforementioned framework is well-established for continuous paths, nature is replete with phenomena exhibiting abrupt discontinuities. In recent years, jump noise has been identified as a ubiquitous feature across diverse scales. In biological systems, Lévy flights and jump-diffusions are employed to model the optimal foraging strategies of animals [39, 4], the active transport of organelles within viscoelastic cytoplasm [23], and the heavy-tailed statistics of neuronal avalanches [5]. In complex physical systems, jump components are essential for describing anomalous diffusion in turbulent flows [37] and tipping points in paleoclimate data driven by rapid environmental shifts [8]. Furthermore, in quantitative finance, jump-diffusion models extend the classical Black-Scholes framework to capture the skewness and fat tails observed in asset return distributions [26, 10]. More recently, the time-reversal of jump diffusions has found cutting-edge applications in machine learning, particularly in score-based generative models where adding noise with jumps can improve sampling efficiency [42, 14].

Despite the broad phenomenological relevance of jump processes, the theoretical development of their non-equilibrium statistical mechanics lags behind that of their continuous counterparts. Existing research has largely focused on two extremes: continuous Langevin dynamics and discrete-state Markov jump processes (Master equations) [36, 25]. For the intermediate regime of jump-diffusions—where the state space is continuous but trajectories are discontinuous—a unified thermodynamic framework is still under active development. Recent works have begun to address the stochastic thermodynamics of Lévy flights and anomalous diffusion, investigating entropy production rates and fluctuation theorems in the presence of non-local operators [22, 38, 6, 18]. However, extending the geometric and operator-theoretic decompositions to this setting poses significant analytical challenges. Unlike the local Laplacian operator in diffusions, the generator of a jump process is non-local, involving integro-differential operators. Consequently, the standard definition of “probability current” becomes non-local, making the characterization of the “residual drift” and the associated conservative dynamics highly non-trivial. Specifically, explicit formulations for the housekeeping heat and the spectral interpretation of free energy dissipation for general non-local jump diffusions remain less explored.

In this paper, we are interested in the jump diffusion $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which is given by the following

SDE on \mathbb{R}^n :

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1}}a(X_{t-}) \circ dB_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \sigma(X_{t-}, z)N(dt, dz), \quad (1.1)$$

where B_t is an n -dimensional Brownian motion on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ equipped with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, \circ denotes the Stratonovich integral, the constant $\beta := \theta^{-1}$ denote the inverse temperature, $b(\cdot)$ is a drift from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n , $a(\cdot) = (a_{ij}(\cdot))_{n \times n} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the diffusion coefficient, $N(dt, dz)$ be an independent n -dimensional Poisson random measure with Poisson intensity rate $\lambda > 0$ and Lévy measure $\nu(dz)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and the function $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the coefficient of jump. We present some preliminary results for jump-diffusions $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, and list some necessary assumptions for the SDE (1.1) in Section 2.

We assume that the process admits an invariant probability measure $\mu_{ss}(dx) = \rho_{ss}(x)dx$, and interpret ρ_{ss} as a steady state (either an equilibrium state or a nonequilibrium steady state) of the dynamics. Following the standard viewpoint in stochastic thermodynamics, we define the generalized free energy of X_t relative to the stationary measure μ_{ss} by the relative entropy

$$F(\rho(t)) = \beta^{-1} \mathcal{H}(\mu_t | \mu_{ss}) = \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho(t, x) dx.$$

In Section 3, combining this definition with the nonlocal Fokker–Planck equation, we derive an explicit formula for the free energy dissipation rate dF/dt in terms of the local and nonlocal probability currents $j^{\text{loc}}, j^{\text{nl}}$. More precisely, we obtain a decomposition of the form

$$\frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} = Q_{hk}(t) - \beta^{-1} e_p(t),$$

where $e_p(t) \geq 0$ is the entropy production rate, and $Q_{hk}(t) \geq 0$ is the housekeeping heat associated with the maintenance of a nonequilibrium steady state. The entropy production rate $e_p(t)$ contains both a local diffusive contribution and a nonlocal jump contribution, each expressed in a fluctuation–dissipation form involving suitable logarithmic ratios of forward and backward transition rates. We also prove that $F(\rho(t))$ is nonincreasing in time and establish a Clausius-type inequality

$$\theta \frac{dS(t)}{dt} - \frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = \theta e_p(t) \geq 0,$$

where $S(t)$ is the Gibbs entropy and $Q(t)$ is the heat flux exchanged with the environment. These results extend to general jump diffusions the thermodynamic structure previously known for diffusions and Markov jump processes [12, 40, 24, 25, 43].

A second main objective of this work is to clarify the structural origin of free energy dissipation in terms of the generator of the jump diffusion. Motivated by the diffusion case [31, 11, 43], we introduce the weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \rho_{ss}(x)dx)$ and study the adjoint structure of the generator \mathcal{L} with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{ss} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \psi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) dx.$$

We show in Section 4 that \mathcal{L} admits a canonical decomposition into a symmetric and an anti-symmetric part in this weighted L^2 -space:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_a,$$

where \mathcal{L}_s is self-adjoint with respect to μ_{ss} , while \mathcal{L}_a is antisymmetric with respect to μ_{ss} .

Here, the anti-symmetric operator \mathcal{L}_a encapsulates the "rotational" component of the stochastic dynamics. In the absence of detailed balance, the stationary condition $\mathcal{L}^*\rho_{ss} = 0$ is satisfied not locally by vanishing currents, but globally by the formation of closed current loops. The operator \mathcal{L}_a generates this divergence-free (solenoidal) flow of probability, which we term the canonical conservative dynamics, so that the free energy dissipation due to \mathcal{L}_a vanishes identically:

$$F'_a(\rho(t)) = 0.$$

In contrast, the symmetric part \mathcal{L}_s has a natural Dirichlet form associated with it and defines a nonlocal Fisher information functional $\mathcal{I}(\rho(t))$, so that the free energy dissipation due to \mathcal{L}_s is given by

$$\frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} = F'_s(\rho(t)) = \mathcal{I}(\rho(t)),$$

so that the housekeeping heat is exactly the gap between the total entropy production and the symmetric Fisher-information dissipation. This provides a new interpretation of non-equilibrium housekeeping heat in terms of a difference between two equilibrium-like reversible dynamics, both formally governed by symmetric generators.

The relationship between entropy production, time-reversibility, and detailed balance for general jump diffusions has been recently clarified in [43]. Under suitable assumptions, detailed balance, reversibility with respect to μ_{ss} , a certain gradient structure of the drift and jump kernel, and the vanishing of the stationary entropy production rate are all equivalent (see Theorem 2.2). Our analysis refines this picture by identifying, in the absence of detailed balance, a canonical decomposition of the dynamics into a non-dissipative conservative part and a purely dissipative symmetric part, and by linking the free energy dissipation to a nonlocal Fisher information associated with the symmetric generator.

To illustrate the theory, we finally consider in Section 5 two numerical examples. The first example is a jump-diffusion with a nonequilibrium steady state, where the entropy production rate and housekeeping heat have nontrivial steady values and the free energy decays to zero while the system remains out of equilibrium. The second example is a jump diffusion with an equilibrium steady state (Gibbs measure), for which the housekeeping heat vanishes, and the free energy dissipation is entirely given by the entropy production rate. These examples demonstrate how our decomposition clarifies the respective roles of symmetric and anti-symmetric dynamics in the thermodynamic behavior of jump diffusions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic facts on jump diffusions and nonlocal Fokker-Planck equations, and we collect the assumptions ensuring existence of a unique strong solution, a smooth transition density, and an invariant measure. In Section 3, we introduce the generalized free energy, derive its dissipation formula, and obtain the decomposition into entropy production and housekeeping heat together with the Clausius inequality. Section 4 is devoted to the $L^2(\rho_{ss})$ -decomposition of the generator into symmetric and anti-symmetric parts, the notion of canonical conservative dynamics, and the characterization of free energy dissipation in terms of the symmetric Dirichlet form and Fisher information. In Section 5, we present two explicit one-dimensional examples which illustrate the theoretical results.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we introduce our assumptions, and recall some basic definitions and useful results for the jump-diffusions $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$. These materials can be found in some classical references for jump-diffusions or Lévy processes, including [1, 34, 21] and the references therein.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space that satisfies the usual hypotheses. Let B_t be an n -dimensional Brownian motion, and L_t be an independent n -dimensional compound Poisson process with Poisson intensity rate $\lambda > 0$ and the Lévy measure (probability of jump size) $\nu(dz)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then the compound Poisson process is given by $L_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} D_i$, where n_t is the counting variable of a Poisson process with rate λ , and $\{D_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. random variables with Lévy measure $\nu(dz)$, which are independent of n_t . Then we can introduce the Poisson random measure $N(dt, dy)$ associated with L_t as

$$N(t, B)(\omega) := \sharp\{s \in [0, t] : \Delta L_s(\omega) \in B\}, \quad t \geq 0, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}),$$

so that $\mathbb{E}N(dt, dz) = \lambda dt \nu(dz) = \lambda m(z) dt dz$. Using the Poisson random measure $N(dt, dy)$, the compound Poisson process L_t can be rewritten as

$$L_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} z N(dt, dz).$$

We assume that the associated Lévy measure $\nu(dz) = m(z)dz$ satisfies the following integrability condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} 1 \wedge |z|^2 \nu(dz) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} 1 \wedge |z|^2 m(z) dz < \infty.$$

The above integrability condition implies that the sample paths of L_t have a finite quadratic variation with finitely many large jumps with an amplitude larger than 1, almost surely.

Using the compensated Poisson random measure $\tilde{N}(dt, dy) = N(dt, dy) - \lambda dt \nu(dy)$, the SDE (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t = & \left[b(X_t) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot A(X_t) + \lambda \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \nu(dz) \right] dt + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1}} a(X_{t-}) dB_t \\ & + \int_{0 < |z| \leq 1} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + \int_{|z| > 1} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) N(dt, dz), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $A(x) = a(x)a^T(x) := (A_{ij}(x))$.

Now we make some assumptions for the SDE (1.1). All these assumptions in this section are assumed to hold in the sequel unless otherwise specified.

- (A) (Lipschitz condition) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x_1| \vee |x_2| \leq k$, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$|b(x_1) - b(x_2)|^2 + \|A(x_1) - A(x_2)\| + \int_{0 < |z| < 1} |\sigma(x_1, z) - \sigma(x_2, z)|^2 \nu(dz) \leq c_1 |x_1 - x_2|^2.$$

Here and below, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of a matrix, and $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

- (B) (Growth condition) For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$|b(x)|^2 + \|A(x)\| + \int_{0 < |z| < 1} |\sigma(x, z)|^2 \nu(dz) < c_2 (1 + |x|^2).$$

- (C) (Boundedness condition) the Jacobian matrix $\nabla_z \sigma(x, z)$ is non-degenerate for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that $\|\nabla_z \sigma(x, z)\| < c_2$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (D) (Lyapunov condition) There exists a positive function $w_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and a positive compact function $w_2 \geq c_6|x|^p$ for some constant $c_6 > 0$ and $p \geq 1$, so that $\mathcal{L}w_1 \leq c_5 - w_2$ holds for some constant $c_5 > 0$.
- (E) (Regularity condition) For every $t \geq 0$, we assume that $\nabla \log \rho(t, \cdot)$ is μ^{X_t} -a.s. bounded, where μ^{X_t} is the distribution of X_t . We also suppose that the jump kernel $k(x, y)$ satisfies that for every $t > 0$,

$$\bar{k}(x, y) := k(x, y) \log \frac{k(x, y)}{k(y, x)} \in L^\infty(\mu^{X_t}(dx)dy), \quad (2.2)$$

Under above assumption, we have the following well-posedness result of the SDE (1.1) (see e.g. [1, Theorem 6.2.9] or [21, Theorem 3.3.1]).

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that (A) and (B) hold, and impose the standard initial condition. Then the SDE (1.1) admits a unique global strong solution $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$.*

The solution $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ has the Markov semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, which is given by

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_t) | X_0 = x), \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu).$$

We also denote the generator of the Markov process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by \mathcal{L} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}f = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (P_t f - f), \quad \forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu).$$

Then the jump diffusion X_t has generator

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla f(x)) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} [f(x + \sigma(x, z)) - f(x)] d\nu(z).$$

Under the above boundedness condition, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the map $z \mapsto \sigma(x, z)$ is a C^1 -diffeomorphism, and admits an inverse denoted by $\sigma^{-1}(x, z)$. By the change of variables, the generator can also be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(x) &= b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla f(x)) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} [f(x + z) - f(x)] \det(\nabla_z \sigma^{-1}(x, z)) m(\sigma^{-1}(x, z)) dz \\ &= b(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla f(x)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [f(y) - f(x)] k(x, y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $k(x, y) = \lambda m(\sigma^{-1}(x, y - x)) \det(\nabla_{y-x} \sigma^{-1}(x, y - x))$ is the jump kernel of X_t . Note that $k(x, y) dt dy$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ associated with the pure jump part $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-}$ of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Now we introduce the Poisson random measure

$$N_X(t, B)(\omega) := \sharp\{s \in [0, t] : \Delta X_s(\omega) \in B\}, \quad t \geq 0, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$$

so that $N_X(dt, dz) := \det(\nabla_z \sigma^{-1}(X_{t-}, z)) N(dt, d\sigma^{-1}(X_{t-}, z))$. Then the associated compensated Poisson random measure

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_X(dt, dz) &= N_X(dt, dz) - \lambda \det(\nabla_z \sigma^{-1}(X_{t-}, z)) m(\sigma^{-1}(X_{t-}, z)) dz dt \\ &= N_X(dt, dz) - k(X_{t-}, X_{t-} + z) dz dt \end{aligned}$$

generates a local martingales. Using the Poisson random measure $N_X(dt, dz)$, we can rewrite

$$\int_{|z|>0} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) N(dt, dz) \stackrel{d}{=} \int_{|z|>0} z N_X(dt, dz),$$

and the SDE (1.1) is also equivalent in distribution sense with

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1}a(X_{t-})} \circ dB_t + \int_{|z|>0} z N_X(dt, dz).$$

The existence of a smooth transition density of Markov processes with jumps has been investigated by Malliavin calculus, see e.g. Picard [29], and Kunita [21, Chapter 6]. Moreover, the probability density function $\rho(x, t)$ satisfies the nonlocal Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t \rho(x, t) = \mathcal{L}^* \rho(x, t), \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathcal{L}^* is the adjoint operator of the generator \mathcal{L} , which is given by

$$(\mathcal{L}^* \rho)(x) = \nabla \cdot [-b(x)\rho(x) + \beta^{-1}A(x)\nabla \rho(x)] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} k(y, x)\rho(y) - k(x, y)\rho(x)dy.$$

Similar with the local Fokker-Planck equation, we define the local probability current

$$j^{loc}(t, x) := b(x)\rho(x) - \beta^{-1}A(x)\nabla \rho(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Since there is a nonlocal jump part in the operator \mathcal{L}^* , for every $x \neq y$, we also define the nonlocal probability current between x and y as

$$j^{nl}(t, x, y) = \rho(t, x)k(x, y) - \rho(t, y)k(y, x). \quad (2.5)$$

By the local probability current $j^{loc}(t, x)$ and the nonlocal probability current $j^{nl}(t, x, y)$, we can rewrite the Fokker-Planck equation (2.3) as

$$\partial_t \rho(x, t) + \nabla \cdot j^{loc}(t, x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y)dy = 0.$$

By the local probability current $j^{loc}(t, x)$ and the nonlocal probability current $j^{nl}(t, x, y)$, we introduce the definition of detailed balance for the jump diffusion.

Definition 2.1 (Detailed balance). The jump diffusions $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfy the detailed balance condition if for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, its local probability current $j^{loc}(t, x)$ and the nonlocal probability current $j^{nl}(t, x, y)$ satisfy

$$j^{loc}(t, x) = 0, \text{ and } j^{nl}(t, x, y) = 0.$$

In thermodynamics theory, the process is at equilibrium state if the process satisfies the detailed balance condition. A probability measure μ is said to be an invariant measure of Markov semigroup P_t if for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_t f(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \mu(dx).$$

Furthermore, if the invariant measure μ has a C^2 density ρ_{ss} , then ρ_{ss} satisfies the stationary Fokker-Planck equation $\mathcal{L}^* \rho_{ss} = 0$. Under Lyapunov condition (D), the solution has the uniform moment estimate

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}|X_t|^p < c_6^{-1} \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}w_2(X_t) < \infty.$$

The associated semigroup of SDE (1.1) has an invariant probability measure μ , see e.g. [17]. In physics, the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is stationary with its invariant measure $\mu(dx)$ means that the corresponding dynamic is in a steady state (including the equilibrium state and nonequilibrium steady state).

The equilibrium systems exhibit a fundamental temporal symmetry with respect to the time t . This symmetry is mathematically characterized by time-reversibility, where the stochastic dynamics remain statistically invariant under time reversal. Let $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stationary jump diffusion satisfying the SDE (1.1) with invariant measure $\mu(dx)$. The invariant measure μ is called a reversible (probability) measure of $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ if for every $f, g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\mathbb{E}^\mu[f(X_t)g(X_0)] = \mathbb{E}^\mu[f(X_0)g(X_t)].$$

The connection between self-adjointness of the generator of an ergodic Markov process in $L^2(\mu)$ and the time-reversibility Markov process is established by Fukushima and Stroock [13, Theorem 2.3]. This result show that a invariant measure μ is reversible with respect to $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ if and only if the generator \mathcal{L} is symmetric in $D(\mathcal{L}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$, i.e. for every $f, g \in D(\mathcal{L}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \mathcal{L}g(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{L}f(x) \mu(dx).$$

As established in [43, Theorem 5.1], we have the following theorem characterizing the equivalence among detailed balance, time-reversibility, along with explicit drift-kernel structures and 0 entropy production rate.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that the assumptions (A)(B)(C)(D)(E) hold. Let $\mu(dx) = \rho_{ss}(x)dx$ be the Gibbs measure. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The stationary process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with invariant density ρ_{ss} is time-reversible.*
2. *The system X_t with its invariant measure $\mu(dx) = \rho_{ss}(x)dx$ is detailed balance.*
3. *The drift $b(x) = -A(x)\nabla V(x)$, and the kernel have a discrete gradient structure*

$$k(x, y) = e^{-\beta[V(y)-V(x)]/2} s(x, y),$$

where $s(x, y) = s(y, x)$ is a nonnegative symmetric function from $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathbb{R} .

4. *The entropy production rate $e_p^{ss} = 0$ at the stationary measure $\mu(dx) := \rho_{ss}(x)dx$, where the entropy production rate is defined as (3.5).*

3 Free energy dissipation

In this section, we study the thermodynamics for jump diffusions based on relative entropy. Suppose that the stationary measure μ_{ss} has density $\rho_{ss}(x) = Z^{-1}e^{-V(x)}$, where V is the quasi-potential. We remark that under detailed balance condition, the drift $b(x)$ and the jump kernel $k(x, y)$ are determined by the potential $V(x)$, and the stationary measure μ_{ss} is given by the Gibbs measure: $\mu(dx) = Z^{-1}e^{-V(x)}dx$. Thus the quasi-potential $V(x)$ can be viewed as a natural extension of the classical potential energy in thermodynamics.

Since the diffusion X_t is moving in the potential $V(x)$, the total internal energy of X_t is given by

$$U(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x) \rho(t, x) dx = \mathbb{E}V(X_t).$$

Moreover, Gibbs entropy of the jump diffusion $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$S(t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(t, x) \log \rho(t, x) dx,$$

where $\rho(t, \cdot)$ is the probability density of X_t . Under the detail balance condition, thermodynamics theory yields that the total internal energy of the system changes due to exchange heat with the heat bath, and the free energy decreases until it reaches to its minimum at equilibrium state (see e.g. [43] for details). Thus the free energy of X_t is defined as

$$F(\rho(t)) := U(t) - \theta S(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [V(x) - \theta \log \rho(t, x)] \rho(t, x) dx = \beta^{-1} \mathcal{H}(\mu_t | \mu_{ss}). \quad (3.1)$$

where $\theta = \beta^{-1}$ is the temperature of the heat bath, and $\mathcal{H}(\mu_t | \mu_{ss})$ is the relative entropy between μ_t and the Gibbs measure $\mu_{ss}(dx) = Z^{-1} e^{-V(x)} dx$.

Without the detail balance condition, motivated by the detail balance case, one can define the generalized free energy of X_t by the relative entropy between μ_t and μ_{ss} :

$$F(\rho(t)) = \beta^{-1} \mathcal{H}(\mu_t | \mu_{ss}) = \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho(t, x) dx.$$

Since $\log r \leq r - 1$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$F(\rho(t)) = -\beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right) \rho(t, x) dx \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\rho_{ss}(x) - \rho(t, x)] dx = 0.$$

The equality holds if and only if $\rho_{ss}(x) = \rho(t, x)$.

Combining with the nonlocal Fokker-Planck equation, the free energy dissipation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} &= \beta^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho(t, x) dx \\ &= \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \rho(t, x) \left(\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) + 1 \right) dx \\ &= -\beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-b(x) \rho(t, x) + \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \rho(t, x)]^T \nabla \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dx \\ &\quad - \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\rho(t, x) k(x, y) - \rho(t, y) k(y, x)] \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [b(x) \rho(t, x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \rho(t, x)]^T (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\rho(t, x) k(x, y) - \rho(t, y) k(y, x)] \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) \rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho(t, y) \rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (j^{loc}(t, x))^T (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) \rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho(t, y) \rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We decompose

$$\frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} = Q_{hk}(t) - \beta^{-1} e_p(t),$$

where

$$Q_{hk}(t) := \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b(x)\rho(t, x) - \beta^{-1}A(x)\nabla\rho(t, x))^T (\beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) dx \quad (3.3)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\rho(t, x)k(x, y) - \rho(t, y)k(y, x)] \log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)k(y, x)} \right) dy dx \quad (3.4)$$

is the housekeeping heat, and the entropy production rate is given by

$$e_p(t) := \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(j^{loc}(t, x))^T A^{-1}(x) j^{loc}(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} dx \quad (3.5)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\rho(t, x)k(x, y) - \rho(t, y)k(y, x)] \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)k(x, y)}{\rho(t, y)k(y, x)} \right) dy dx. \quad (3.6)$$

We remark that the condition (2.2) is proposed to ensure that the entropy production rate is well-defined.

Note that under the detail balance condition, the dissipation rate of free energy (3.1) can be determined by the variation of Gibbs entropy and the change of internal energy. By the variation of Gibbs entropy in the system is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(t, x) \log \rho(t, x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} j^{loc}(t, x) \nabla \log \rho(t, x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho(t, y)} \right) dy dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The change of internal energy is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU(t)}{dt} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x) \mathcal{L}^* \rho(t, x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla V(x) \cdot j^{loc}(t, x) dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) [V(x) - V(y)] dy dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, combining (3.7) and (3.8), the free energy dissipation under detailed balance condition is given by the entropy production rate alone:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} &= \frac{dU(t)}{dt} - \theta \frac{dS(t)}{dt} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(j^{loc}(t, x))^T A^{-1}(x) j^{loc}(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)k(x, y)}{\rho(t, y)k(y, x)} \right) dy dx \\ &= - e_p(t) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we give the physical interpretation of the housekeeping heat $Q_{hk}(t)$. Without the detail balance condition, taking the quasi-potential $V(x) = -\beta^{-1} \log \rho_{ss}(x)$, the vector field can be decomposed as

$$A^{-1}(x)b(x) = -\nabla V(x) + A^{-1}(x)b(x) + \nabla V(x) =: -\nabla V(x) + f^{loc}(x)$$

where $-\nabla V$ is the internal force from the potential V , and the divergence-free vector field $\beta f^{loc} = \beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)$ is the external force from outside the system. This decomposition for

diffusion processes was well studied by [2, 31]. Moreover, motivated by the case of the discrete jump processes in [25], we also decompose the jump kernel as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{k(x, y)}{k(y, x)} &= \frac{\rho_{ss}(x)k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)k(y, x)} \frac{\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \\
&= \exp \left(\log \frac{\rho_{ss}(x)k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)k(y, x)} + \log \frac{\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \\
&= \exp \beta \left(\beta^{-1} \log \frac{\rho_{ss}(x)k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)k(y, x)} + V(x) - V(y) \right) \\
&:= e^{\beta(f^{nl}(x, y) + V(x) - V(y))}
\end{aligned}$$

where $V(x) - V(y)$ is the difference in potential between each x and y , and

$$f^{nl}(x, y) = -f^{nl}(y, x) = \beta^{-1} \log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)k(y, x)} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

is the external driving to the nonlocal transitions between each x and y .

Recall that the work $\delta W = \text{force} \times \delta x$, where x is the position, δ is the variation or derivative in general senses. Then based on the probability currents $j^{loc}(t, x)$, and $j^{nl}(t, x, y)$, the change of total work $dW(t)/dt$ done by the external force $f^{loc}(x)$ and external driving $f^{nl}(x, y)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dW(t)}{dt} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{loc}(x) \cdot j^{loc}(t, x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) f^{nl}(x, y) dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \beta^{-1} (j^{loc}(t, x))^T (\beta A^{-1}(x) b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \beta^{-1} \log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)k(y, x)} \right) dx \\
&= Q_{hk}(t)
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. The identity $dW(t)/dt = Q_{hk}(t)$ establishes that the housekeeping heat corresponds to the power input by the external forces in the general non-equilibrium setting. When external forces are absent $f^{loc} = 0$, $f^{nl} = 0$, the system satisfies detailed balance with respect to the Gibbs measure. In this case, $dW/dt = 0$ implies $Q_{hk}(t) = 0$, and the free energy dissipation reduces to $dF/dt = -\beta^{-1}e_p(t)$, recovering the classical result for general Langevin dynamics [43].

In the next theorem, we show that the housekeeping heat $Q_{hk}(t)$, entropy production rate $e_p(t)$ are always nonnegative, and the free energy dissipation $dF(\rho(t))/dt$ is always nonpositive.

Theorem 3.1. For every $t > 0$, the housekeeping heat $Q_{hk}(t)$ and entropy production rate $e_p(t)$ satisfy

$$Q_{hk}(t) \geq 0, \quad e_p(t) \geq 0.$$

Moreover, the generalized free energy satisfies

$$\frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} \leq 0.$$

Proof: For the housekeeping heat $Q_{hk}(t)$, since $\log r \leq r - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{hk}(t) &\geq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \beta^{-1} A(x) (\beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) \beta^{-1} A(x) (\beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho(t, x) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x) k(x, y) \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y)} - 1 \right) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) \beta^{-1} A(x) (\beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho(t, x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) [b(x) \rho_{ss}(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \rho_{ss}(x)] \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla (b(x) \rho_{ss}(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \rho_{ss}(x)) \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

For the nonlocal term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x) k(x, y) \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y)} - 1 \right) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x) dy dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x) k(x, y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x) - \rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y) dy \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the stationary density satisfies the stationary Fokker-Planck equation, i.e.

$$\nabla (b(x) \rho_{ss}(x) - A(x) \nabla \rho_{ss}(x)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x) - \rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y) dy = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Combining above local and nonlocal terms, it follows that

$$Q_{hk}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \beta^{-1} \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \beta^{-1} A(x) (\beta A^{-1}(x)b(x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho(t, x) dx \geq 0.$$

For the entropy production rate $e_p(t)$, note that $j^{nl}(t, x, y) = \rho(t, x) k(x, y) - \rho(t, y) k(y, x)$ and $\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) k(x, y)}{\rho(t, y) k(y, x)} \right)$ are both positive or negative. Thus

$$e_p(t) = \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(j^{loc}(t, x))^T A^{-1}(x) j^{loc}(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) k(x, y)}{\rho(t, y) k(y, x)} \right) dy dx \geq 0.$$

Moreover, this equality holds if and only if $j^{nl}(t, x, y) = \rho(t, x) k(x, y) - \rho(t, y) k(y, x) = 0$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $t \geq 0$, and $j^{loc}(t, x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $t \geq 0$.

For the free energy dissipation, using the stationary Fokker-Planck equation (3.10) again, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dF(t)}{dt} \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x))^T \beta^{-1} A(x) (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) \rho(t, x) dx \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\rho(t, x) k(x, y) - \rho(t, y) k(y, x)] \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) k(x, y)}{\rho(t, y) k(y, x)} \right) dy dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{k(x, y) \rho_{ss}(y)}{k(y, x) \rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx. \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla \log \rho(t, x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x))^T \beta A(x) (\nabla \log \rho(t, x) - \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho(t, x) dx \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) k(x, y)}{\rho(t, y) k(y, x)} \right) dy dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \left[\log \left(\frac{k(x, y) \rho_{ss}(y)}{k(y, x) \rho_{ss}(x)} \right) + \log \left(\frac{k(y, x) \rho_{ss}(x)}{k(x, y) \rho_{ss}(y)} \right) \right] dy dx. \\
& \leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Here, we use the fact that

$$\log \left(\frac{k(x, y) \rho_{ss}(y)}{k(y, x) \rho_{ss}(x)} \right) + \log \left(\frac{k(y, x) \rho_{ss}(x)}{k(x, y) \rho_{ss}(y)} \right) = 0.$$

This implies the dissipative property of the free energy. ■

Our result reveals a fundamental thermodynamic structure underlying general jump-diffusion processes, extending the classical understanding of free energy dissipation in Langevin systems to a broader class of stochastic dynamics with jumps. In next remark, we discuss the connection between our decomposition of free energy dissipation and the adiabatic/non-adiabatic decomposition in stochastic thermodynamics.

Remark 3.2. *The decomposition of the free energy dissipation rate*

$$-\frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} = \beta^{-1} e_p(t) - Q_{hk}(t) \tag{3.13}$$

can be structurally aligned with the canonical decomposition of entropy production in stochastic thermodynamics, commonly referred to as the adiabatic and non-adiabatic split [12, 40]. Multiplying (3.13) by β , we obtain the Clausius-type inequality

$$e_p(t) = \beta Q_{hk}(t) + \left(-\beta \frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} \right) \geq 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Here, the total entropy production rate $e_p(t)$ corresponds to the variation of the total entropy \dot{S}_{tot} . The term associated with the free energy decay, $-\beta \dot{F}(t)$, represents the non-adiabatic entropy production rate (often denoted as \dot{S}_{na}), which quantifies the dissipation due to the relaxation of the system towards its steady state ρ_{ss} . Consequently, the term $\beta Q_{hk}(t)$ corresponds to the adiabatic entropy production rate (denoted as \dot{S}_{ad}), which characterizes the dissipation required to maintain the non-equilibrium steady state against the non-conservative forces. Specifically, $Q_{hk}(t)$ provides the explicit expression for the housekeeping heat involved in the nonlocal transport of probability mass.

4 A decomposition of diffusion process

The analysis in the previous section has shown that, compared to a Langevin system, the free energy dissipation rate of system X under external forcing includes not only the entropy production rate but also an additional housekeeping heat term. This leads us to consider whether, within the overall dynamics of X, there exists a subsystem analogous to a Langevin system, whose free energy dissipation is entirely characterized by a certain form of entropy production, as in the Langevin case.

It is known that a Langevin system with a symmetric generator \mathcal{G} in $D(\mathcal{G}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$, satisfies detailed balance and time-reversal symmetry at its stationary measure μ

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \mathcal{G}g(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) \mathcal{G}f(x) \mu(dx).$$

Building on this structure, we further define the weighted Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \rho_{ss}(x)dx)$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \psi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) dx, \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

which is central to analyzing the generator's adjoint structure under the stationary measure. This inner product induces the ρ_{ss} -weighted adjoint \mathcal{L}^\dagger of the generator \mathcal{L} , defined by the relation:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \mathcal{L}^\dagger \psi \rangle.$$

Therefore, our objective is to identify a component within \mathcal{L} that resembles a Langevin system—specifically, its symmetric part. This leads us to the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *The generator of X_t can decompose as a symmetric operator and an anti-symmetric operator, that is, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_a$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_s \varphi = & \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla \varphi(x)) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \left(\frac{k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} + \frac{k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho_{ss}(y) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a \varphi = & \left[b(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) \right] \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \left(\frac{k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} - \frac{k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho_{ss}(y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Proof: Recall that $\mathcal{L}\varphi(x) = \mathcal{L}_{loc}\varphi(x) + \mathcal{L}_{nl}\varphi(x)$ and $\mathcal{L}^*\varphi(x) = \mathcal{L}_{loc}^*\varphi(x) + \mathcal{L}_{nl}^*\varphi(x) = -j^{loc}(t, x) - \int j^{nl}(t, x, y)$, where \mathcal{L}^* is the adjoint operator of \mathcal{L} under usual inner product, now we compute the local part of \mathcal{L}^\dagger

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_{loc}\varphi, \psi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot (A(x) \nabla \varphi(x)) \right\} \rho_{ss}(x) \psi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left[-b(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \psi(x) + \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla (\rho_{ss}(x) \psi(x)) \right] \right\} \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \langle \varphi, \rho_{ss}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{loc}^* (\rho_{ss} \psi) \rangle := \langle \varphi, \mathcal{L}_{loc}^\dagger \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

And the non-local part

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{L}_{nl}\varphi, \psi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)] k(x, y) dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \psi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \varphi(y) k(x, y) dy dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \psi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \varphi(x) k(x, y) dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{y\}} \psi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y) dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \psi(x) k(x, y) dy dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \rho_{ss}^{-1}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \psi(y) \rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x) dy dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \psi(x) k(x, y) dy dx \\
&= \left\langle \varphi, \rho_{ss}^{-1}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \left[\rho_{ss}(y) \psi(y) k(y, x) - \rho_{ss}(x) \psi(x) k(x, y) \right] dy \right\rangle \\
&= \langle \varphi, \rho_{ss}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{nl}^* (\rho_{ss} \psi) \rangle := \langle \varphi, \mathcal{L}_{nl}^\dagger \psi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^\dagger \varphi(x) &= \mathcal{L}_{loc}^\dagger \varphi(x) + \mathcal{L}_{nl}^\dagger \varphi(x) = \rho_{ss}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{loc}^* (\rho_{ss} \varphi) + \rho_{ss}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{nl}^* (\rho_{ss} \varphi) \\
&= \rho_{ss}^{-1}(x) \nabla \cdot \left[-b(x) \rho_{ss}(x) \varphi(x) + \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla (\rho_{ss}(x) \varphi(x)) \right] \\
&\quad + \rho_{ss}^{-1}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \left[\rho_{ss}(y) \varphi(y) k(y, x) - \rho_{ss}(x) \varphi(x) k(x, y) \right] dy \\
&= \rho_{ss}^{-1} \mathcal{L}^* (\rho_{ss} \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

The symmetric operator and the anti-symmetric operator are defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_s \varphi = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{L} \varphi + \mathcal{L}^\dagger \varphi], \quad \mathcal{L}_a \varphi = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{L} \varphi - \mathcal{L}^\dagger \varphi].$$

Then direct calculation shows that the symmetric operator \mathcal{L}_s is given by (4.1), and the anti-symmetric operator \mathcal{L}_a is given by (4.2). \blacksquare

Using the decomposition of the generator, we can express the free energy dissipation rate as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dF(\rho(t))}{dt} &= \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}^* \rho(t, x) \left(\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) + 1 \right) dx \\
&= \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(\mathcal{L}_a)^* \rho(t, x) + (\mathcal{L}_s)^* \rho(t, x)] \left(\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) + 1 \right) dx \\
&:= F'_a(\rho(t)) + F'_s(\rho(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we will further compute the respective contributions of these two components to the overall dissipation and examine their relationship with both the entropy production rate and the housekeeping heat.

4.1 Canonical conservative dynamics

For the anti-symmetric operator \mathcal{L}_a , we introduce

$$v_a(x) = \left[b(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) \right], \quad k_a(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} - \frac{k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho_{ss}(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

then

$$\mathcal{L}_a \varphi := v_a(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) k_a(x, y) dy,$$

and the Fokker-Planck (adjoint) operator associated with \mathcal{L}_a is

$$(\mathcal{L}_a)^* \varphi = -\nabla \cdot (v_a(x) \varphi(x)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} [\varphi(y) k_a(y, x) - \varphi(x) k_a(x, y)] dy.$$

The dynamics generated by \mathcal{L}_a is a canonical conservative dynamics

$$\partial_t \rho_a(t, x) = (\mathcal{L}_a)^* \rho_a(t, x).$$

The associated local and nonlocal probability current are given by

$$j_a^{loc}(t, x) = -v_a(x) \rho(t, x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} j_a^{nl}(t, x, y) &= \rho(t, x) k_a(x, y) - \rho(t, y) k_a(y, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} - \frac{k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) (\rho_{ss}(y) \rho(t, x) + \rho_{ss}(x) \rho(t, y)). \end{aligned}$$

The canonical conservative dynamics (current) preserves the generalized free energy, we will show this property in the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 4.1. *The Fokker-Planck operator satisfies $(\mathcal{L}_a)^* \rho_{ss}(x) = 0$. Moreover, the drift v_a satisfies*

$$\nabla \cdot (v_a(x) \rho_{ss}(x)) = (\nabla v_a(x) + v_a(x) \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho_{ss}(x) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

and $k_a(x, y)$ vanishes upon integration over y i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} k_a(x, y) dy = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof: Note that $\nabla j_{ss}^{loc}(x) = \nabla [(b(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x)) \rho_{ss}(x)] = 0$, and

$$\beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) \cdot \rho_{ss}(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \rho_{ss}(x) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\nabla [v_a(x) \rho_{ss}(x)] = \nabla [b(x) \rho_{ss}(x)] - \beta^{-1} \nabla [A(x) \nabla \rho_{ss}(x)] = 0.$$

For the nonlocal part, by the definition of $k_a(x, y)$, we have

$$\rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) - \rho_{ss}(y) k_a(y, x) = \rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y) - \rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x), \quad \forall x \neq y$$

Then we have $(\mathcal{L}_a)^* \rho_{ss}(x) = 0$.

Since ρ_{ss} satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} k(x, y) \rho_{ss}(x) - k(y, x) \rho_{ss}(y) dy = 0,$$

combining with the definition of $k_a(x, y)$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) dy = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(y) k_a(y, x) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) dy = \rho_{ss}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} k_a(x, y) dy = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

Since the density function is positive, we obtain (4.4). \blacksquare

Proposition 4.2. *The free energy dissipation associated with the anti-symmetric part is zero, i.e.*

$$F'_a(\rho(t)) = \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}_a^* \rho(t, x) \left(\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) + 1 \right) dx = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof: The free energy dissipation is

$$\begin{aligned} F'_a(\rho(t, x)) &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(t, x) v_a(x) \cdot (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} (\rho(t, y) k_a(y, x) - \rho(t, x) k_a(x, y)) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 , by (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(t, x) v_a(x) \cdot (\nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \nabla \log \rho(t, x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\nabla \cdot v_a(x) + v_a(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) \right) \rho(t, x) dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For I_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x) k_a(x, y) \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \right] dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \right] dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) \right] \right) dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) \left[\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} + \frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right] \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \right] dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, y) k_a(y, x) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(y) k_a(y, x) \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) \right] dy dx \\
&= \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} \rho_{ss}(x) k_a(x, y) \left[\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} + \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right] \left[\log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) - \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) \right] dy dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Combining with above two equalities, we have $I_2 = -I_2$, i.e. $I_2 = 0$. Thus we finally obtain (4.7). \blacksquare

The vanishing of the free energy dissipation, $F'_a(\rho) = 0$, highlights the physical role of the anti-symmetric generator \mathcal{L}_a . The operator \mathcal{L}_a drives the system in a ceaseless motion that preserves the stationary measure ρ_{ss} dynamically, so that the free energy remains constant due to the associated canonical conservative flow. This property analogous to the inertial motion in Hamiltonian mechanics, thereby creating circulation without dissipation.

Remark 4.1. *With the nonequilibrium steady state ρ_{ss} , stationarity is maintained not by the detailed balance, but by the condition that the net flux along any closed cycle sums to zero. The vector field $v_a(x)$ drives the probability mass transport along the level sets of the stationary density ρ_{ss} . The jump kernel $k_a(x, y)$ explicitly constructs these non-vanishing, divergence-free probability circulations (loops like $x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \rightarrow x$). Thus the canonical conservative flow creates a circulation flow that contributes to the breaking of detailed balance without altering the stationary density ρ_{ss} itself.*

4.2 Symmetric reversible diffusion

The symmetric operator \mathcal{L}_s can be served as an infinitesimal generator for a jump-diffusion process X_t^{sym} , which is governed by the SDE

$$dX_t^{sym} = \left[\beta^{-1} A(X_t^{sym}) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(X_t^{sym}) + \beta^{-1} \nabla \cdot A(X_t^{sym}) \right] dt + \sqrt{2\beta^{-1} a(X_t^{sym})} dB_t + dJ_t. \tag{4.9}$$

where the jump part J_t has the jump kernel

$$k_s(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k(x, y)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} + \frac{k(y, x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \rho_{ss}(y).$$

Since the generator \mathcal{L}_s is a self-adjoint operator with respect to the weight L^2 space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \rho_{ss}(x) dx)$, by [43, Theorem 5.1], the jump-diffusion process X_t^{sym} is time-reversible with the stationary density ρ_{ss} , and satisfies the detailed balance condition at the stationary density $\rho_{ss}(x)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \log \rho_{ss}(x) \cdot \rho_{ss}(x) - \beta^{-1} A(x) \nabla \rho_{ss}(x) &= 0, \\
k_s(x, y) \rho_{ss}(x) - k_s(y, x) \rho_{ss}(y) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the symmetric part \mathcal{L}_s , we can define a symmetric reversible jump diffusion by using the following Dirichlet form associated with \mathcal{L}_s .

Definition 4.1. *We define the Dirichlet form of the symmetric generator \mathcal{L}_s as*

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := -\langle f, \mathcal{L}_s g \rangle_{ss} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) (\mathcal{L}_s g)(x) \rho_{ss}(x) dx, \quad \forall f, g \in L^2(\rho_{ss}).$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla f(x))^T \nabla g(x) \rho_{ss}(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} (f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) K_s(x, y) dy dx.$$

Now we define the Fisher information of the jump diffusions X_t via the Dirichlet form of the symmetric generator \mathcal{L}_s .

Definition 4.2. Let $f(t) := d\mu(t)/d\mu_{ss} = \rho/\rho_{ss}$ be the relative density. We define the Fisher information of the jump diffusions X_t as

$$\mathcal{I}[\rho(t)] := \mathcal{E}(f(t), \log f(t)) = -\langle f(t), \mathcal{L}_s \log f(t) \rangle_{ss}.$$

Note that the Fisher information $\mathcal{I}[\rho]$ induced by \mathcal{L}_s admits a local–nonlocal decomposition

$$\mathcal{I}[\rho] = \mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}[\rho] + \mathcal{I}_{\text{nl}}[\rho],$$

where \mathcal{I}_{loc} is the diffusion part and \mathcal{I}_{nl} is a nonlocal carré du champ built from the symmetric jump kernel.

For the local part, since $\mathcal{L}_s^{\text{loc}} \log f = \rho_{ss}^{-1} \nabla \cdot (\rho_{ss} A \nabla \log f)$, after integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}[\rho(t)] &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \nabla \cdot (\rho_{ss} A \nabla \log f) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla f)^T \rho_{ss} A \nabla \log f dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \rho_{ss} (\nabla \log f)^T A \nabla \log f dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(\nabla \log f(x))^T A(x) \nabla \log f(x)] \rho(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the nonlocal part,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\text{nl}}[\rho(t)] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} K_s(x, y) (\log f(x) - \log f(y)) (f(x) - f(y)) dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} K_s(x, y) L(f(x), f(y)) (\log f(x) - \log f(y))^2 dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_s(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_{ss}(x) k(x, y) + \rho_{ss}(y) k(y, x) \right),$$

and

$$L(u, v) := \frac{u - v}{\log u - \log v}$$

is the logarithmic mean. This is the nonlocal analogue of a “Carré du champ” form: it represents a nonlocal squared gradient of $\log f$ weighted by the logarithmic mean. The appearance of the logarithmic mean $L(u, v)$ is fundamental here. It serves as the discrete/nonlocal analog of the density weight $\rho(x)$ in the classical Fisher information. The identity $(u - v)(\log u - \log v) = L(u, v)(\log u - \log v)^2$ allows us to interpret the entropy production as a squared nonlocal gradient norm weighted by $L(\rho(x), \rho(y))$, ensuring that \mathcal{I}_{nl} converges to the standard Fisher information in the diffusion limit.

By Proposition 4.2, the free energy dissipation associated with \mathcal{L}_a is 0, and all free energy dissipation of the original jump diffusion is carried by \mathcal{L}_s . Using the definition of the Fisher information, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *The free energy dissipation of the jump-diffusion process X_t is determined solely by the symmetric part \mathcal{L}_s of the generator, i.e.*

$$\frac{d}{dt}F[\rho(t)] = \frac{d}{dt}F_s[\rho(t)] = \langle f_t, L_s \log f_t \rangle_{ss} = -\mathcal{I}[\rho(t)] \leq 0.$$

where $F_s[\rho(t)]$ is the free energy functional associated with \mathcal{L}_s , and \mathcal{I} is the Fisher information of X_t .

The theoretical significance of the nonlocal Fisher information $\mathcal{I}[\rho]$ lies in its connection to the exponential convergence of the system. specifically, if the symmetric generator \mathcal{L}_s satisfies a Modified Log-Sobolev Inequality [41, 7]:

$$F(\rho(t)) = \mathcal{H}(\mu|\mu_{ss}) \leq C_I \mathcal{I}(\rho(t)), \quad (4.10)$$

for some constant $C_I > 0$, then the free energy decays exponentially:

$$F(t) \leq F(0)e^{-\frac{t}{\beta C_I}}.$$

While establishing the precise conditions for (4.10) for general Lévy driven SDEs is a complex analytical problem depending on the tail behavior of the Lévy measure [16], the decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_a$ clarifies that the relaxation rate is determined solely by the spectral properties of the symmetric part \mathcal{L}_s .

5 Numerical Examples

5.1 SDE with non-equilibrium steady state

Consider the following one-dimensional jump-diffusion process:

$$dX_t = -X_t dt + dB_t + dL_t^\alpha, \quad (5.1)$$

where B_t is a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion and L_t^α is a one-dimensional α -stable Lévy process with Lévy process $\nu(dz) = C_{1,\alpha}|z|^{-(1+\alpha)}dz$. Let X_0 be a normal distribution with mean 3 and variance 0.1, then using the superposition principle, we know that the solution is a linear combination of the Gaussian component and the jump component

$$X_t = X_0 e^{-t} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} dB_s + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} dL_s^\alpha$$

Then the above jump diffusion X_t has generator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\varphi(x) &= -x\varphi'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} [\varphi(x+z) - \varphi(x)] \frac{C_{1,\alpha}}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} dz \\ &= -x\varphi'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} [\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)] k(y, x) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $k(x, y) = C_{1,\alpha}|x - y|^{-(1+\alpha)}$. It is straightforward to verify that if both the density of X_t and its steady-state density exist, then the steady state of X_t fails to satisfy the detailed balance condition.

To find the density function, we first calculate the characteristic function (Fourier transform) of the jump part of X_t . For a stochastic integral of the form $\int f(s) dL_s^\alpha$, its characteristic function

can be calculated using the Lévy-Khintchine formula. Generally, for a deterministic function $f(s)$, we have:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\xi \int_0^t f(s) dL_s^\alpha \right) \right] = \exp \left(- \int_0^t |\xi f(s)|^\alpha ds \right)$$

due to the homogeneity of the Lévy measure and the symmetry of the process. Here $f(s) = e^{-(t-s)}$, so

$$- \int_0^t |\xi f(s)|^\alpha ds = -|\xi|^\alpha \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} ds = -\frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha t})|\xi|^\alpha$$

is a Lévy symbol of stable Lévy processes with scale parameter

$$\sigma_t^\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha t})$$

Therefore, the characteristic function is as follows

$$\Phi(\sigma_t \xi) = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\xi \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} dL_s^\alpha \right) \right] = \exp(-|\sigma_t \xi|^\alpha)$$

And the density of the Gaussian part is a scaled Gaussian density with scale parameter $c_t^2 = 1 - \exp(-2t)$

$$\rho(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi c_t}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^2}{c_t^2} \right)$$

Therefore, the characteristic function of the solution X_t is obtained by multiplying the characteristic functions of the two Gaussian distributions (from the initial value and the continuous part) and the characteristic function of the jump component's distribution. Then, applying the inversion formula, we have

$$\rho(t, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos((x - 3e^{-t})\xi) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}(1 - \frac{4}{5}e^{-2t})\xi^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha t})\xi^\alpha \right) d\xi$$

and the invariant measure μ_{ss} with density:

$$\rho_{ss}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos(x\xi) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}\xi^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\xi^\alpha \right) d\xi \quad (5.2)$$

Since the density function of X_t is spatially differentiable, with the temperature simplified to unity, the free energy dissipation is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF}{dt} &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} j^{loc}(t, x) \left(\log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right) \right)' dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}} j^{nl}(t, x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho(t, y)\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(x\rho(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\rho'(t, x) \right) \left(\log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right) \right)' dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x)k(x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho(t, y)\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

It can be decomposed into the housekeeping heat

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{hk} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(x\rho(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\rho'(t, x) \right) \left(2x + \frac{\rho'_{ss}(x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x)k(x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho_{ss}(x)}{\rho_{ss}(y)} \right) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

and entropy production rate

$$e_p = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t, x) \left(2x + \frac{\rho'(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} \rho(t, x) k(x, y) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x)}{\rho(t, y)} \right) dy dx.$$

From the housekeeping heat, we can directly observe that the local external force is given by $f^{loc} = -2x - \rho'_{ss}/\rho_{ss}$ and the non-local external force is $f^{nl} = \log \rho_{ss}(x) - \log \rho_{ss}(y)$.

We can further introduce a decomposition of the generator to elucidate the structure of the free energy dissipation. This approach fundamentally offers an alternative decomposition of the dissipation. First, we decompose the generator as $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_a$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_s \varphi(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \varphi'(x) \frac{\rho'_{ss}(x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \left(1 + \frac{\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) k(x, y) dy, \\ \mathcal{L}_a \varphi(x) &= \left(-x - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'_{ss}(x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \varphi'(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) k(x, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an alternative decomposition of the free energy dissipation is achieved through the decomposition of the generator, with

$$\begin{aligned} F'_s(\rho(t, x)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t, x) \left(\frac{\rho'_{ss}(x)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} - \frac{\rho'(t, x)}{\rho(t, x)} \right)^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}} k(x, y) \rho(t, x) \left(1 + \frac{\rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho_{ss}(x)} \right) \log \left(\frac{\rho(t, x) \rho_{ss}(y)}{\rho(t, y) \rho_{ss}(x)} \right) dy dx. \\ F'_a(\rho(t, x)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1a shows the temporal evolution of the free energy of system X_t , which decays rapidly to zero. The decay rate, i.e., the free energy dissipation rate, is entirely given by the entropy production rate in a system with an equilibrium steady state (see [43] and next example in this paper). However, for a system like X_t influenced by external forces, the entropy production rate does not equal the free energy dissipation rate (Figure 1b). Moreover, unlike systems with an equilibrium steady state, the entropy production rate of X_t does not converge to zero; instead, it clearly reaches an extremum before approaching its steady-state value. Figure 1c displays the profile of the housekeeping heat, which represents the power of the external force acting on the system. The difference between the housekeeping heat and the entropy production rate constitutes the free energy dissipation rate of system X_t (Figure 1d). Comparing Figure 1b and Figure 1c, we observe that both the entropy production rate and the housekeeping heat are positive and share the same steady-state value. This results in the free energy dissipation rate eventually converging to zero, which in turn confirms that the free energy of system X_t ultimately stabilizes at zero, as shown in Figure 1a.

5.2 SDE with equilibrium steady state

Consider the following one-dimensional jump-diffusion process:

$$dY_t = -Y_t dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (z - Y_t) N(dt, dz), \quad (5.3)$$

where B_t is a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion and N_t is the Poisson random measure $dt \nu(dz) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2} z^2) dz dt$.




Figure 1

The explicit expression for the density function of this process can be intuitively explained by its dynamics: at any time t , the distribution of the state Y_t is a mixture distribution. If no jump occurs in the interval $[0, t]$ (with probability $e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}t}$), then Y_t follows the distribution of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process; if at least one jump occurs, then due to the reset property of the jumps, the distribution of Y_t is exactly the stationary distribution (the Gibbs measure). Therefore, let $Y_0 = 0$, we can use the combination of the jump part and the Gaussian part as its characteristic function, and directly obtain its density function using the inversion formula

$$\rho(t, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \cos(y\xi) \left[e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}t} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(1 - e^{-2t})\xi^2\right) + (1 - e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}t}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2\right) \right] d\xi.$$

And the invariant measure of the jump process Y_t is a Gibbs distribution $\mu(dy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}y^2)dy$.

The entropy production rate of system Y_t is given by

$$e_p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t, y) \left(x + \frac{\rho'(t, y)}{\rho(t, y)} \right)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{y\}} \rho(t, y) e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} \left[\log\left(\frac{\rho(t, y)}{\rho(t, z)}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(y^2 - z^2) \right] dz dy.$$

It can be verified that the steady state of Y_t is an equilibrium state, therefore the free energy dissipation rate of Y_t is entirely given by its entropy production rate [43].

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the negative value of the entropy production rate and the free energy dissipation rate of system Y_t , where the two curves are seen to overlap almost perfectly. The comparison between Figure 1 and Figure 2 also clearly demonstrates that the work done by external forces is responsible for the continuous generation of positive entropy when the system is in its steady state.




Figure 2

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Jingqiao Duan, Dr. Qiao Huang, Dr. Yubin Lu, and Dr. Bin Miao, for their fruitful discussions on thermodynamics and stochastic processes. QZ acknowledge support from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2023M740331). SYF acknowledge support from the NSFC grants 12141107 and W2541005, the Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Mathematical and Neural Dynamical Systems (Grant 2024B1212010004), the Cross Disciplinary Research Team on Data Science and Intelligent Medicine (2023KCXTD054), and the Guangdong-Dongguan Joint Research Fund (Grant 2023A151514 0016).

References

- [1] D. Applebaum. *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [2] P. Ao. Potential in stochastic differential equations: Novel construction, *J. Phys. A*, 37, L25–L30, 2004.
- [3] P. Ao, T.-Q. Chen, and J.-H. Shi. *Dynamical Decomposition of Markov Processes without Detailed Balance*. *Chinese Physics Letters*, 30(7):070201, 2013.
- [4] F. Bartumeus, J. Catalan, U. L. Fulco, M. L. Lyra, and G. M. Viswanathan. Animal search strategies: a quantitative random-walk analysis. *Ecology*, 86(11): 3078–3087, 2005.
- [5] J. M. Beggs and D. Plenz. Neuronal avalanches in neocortical circuits. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 23(35): 11167–11177, 2003.
- [6] N. M. Boffi and E. Vanden-Eijnden. Deep learning probability flows and entropy production rates in active matter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(25), e2318106121, 2024.
- [7] D. Chafaï. Entropies, convexity, and functional inequalities, On Φ -entropies and Φ -Sobolev inequalities. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 44(2):325–363, 2004.

- [8] P. D. Ditlevsen and S. J. Johnsen. Tipping points: Early warning and wishful thinking. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37: L19703, 2010.
- [9] S. R. De Groot and P. Mazur. *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1962.
- [10] L. Delong and C. Klüppelberg. Optimal investment and consumption in a Black–Scholes market with Lévy-driven stochastic coefficients. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 18: 879–908, 2008.
- [11] L. Da Costa and G. A. Pavliotis. The entropy production of stationary diffusions. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 56(36): 365001, 2023.
- [12] M. Esposito and C. Van den Broeck. Three faces of the second law. I. Master equation formulation. *Phys. Rev. E*, 82, 011143, 2010.
- [13] M. Fukushima and D. Stroock. Reversibility of solutions to martingale problems. *Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud.* 9, 107–123, Academic Press, Orlando, 1986.
- [14] P. Holderrieth, M. Havasi, J. Yim, N. Shaul, I. Gat, T. Jaakkola, B. Karrer, R. T. Q. Chen, and Y. Lipman. Generator Matching: Generative modeling with arbitrary Markov processes. *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025.
- [15] H. Ge and H. Qian. Physical origins of entropy production, free energy dissipation, and their mathematical representations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81, 051133, 2010.
- [16] I. Gentil and C. Imbert. The Lévy–Fokker–Planck equation: Φ -entropies and convergence to equilibrium. *Asymptotic Analysis*, 59(3-4):125-138, 2008.
- [17] R. Z. Hasminskii. *Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations*. Sijthoff Noordhoff, Rockville, 1980.
- [18] Y. Huang, C. Liu, B. Miao, and X. Zhou. *Entropy production in non-Gaussian active matter: A unified fluctuation theorem and deep learning framework*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.06628, 2025.
- [19] T. Hatano and S. Sasa. Steady-state thermodynamics of Langevin systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 86: 3463, 2001.
- [20] D.-Q. Jiang, M. Qian, and M.-P. Qian. *Mathematical theory of nonequilibrium steady states*, volume 1833 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [21] H. Kunita. *Stochastic flows and jump-diffusions*. Springer, Singapore, 2019.
- [22] R. Klages, G. Radons, and I. M. Sokolov. *Anomalous transport: Foundations and applications*. Wiley-VCH, 2008.
- [23] B. Lisowski, D. Valenti, B. Spagnolo, M. Bier, and E. Gudowska-Nowak. Stepping molecular motor amid Lévy white noise. *Phys. Rev. E*, 91, 042713, 2015.
- [24] C. Maes and K. Netočný. Time-reversal and entropy. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 110(1-2): 269–310, 2003.
- [25] C. Maes, K. Netočný, and B. Wynants. On and beyond entropy production: The case of Markov jump processes. *Markov Proc. Relat. Fields*, 14, 445, 2008.

- [26] R. C. Merton. Option pricing when underlying stock returns are discontinuous. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3: 125-144, 1976.
- [27] G. Nicolis and I. Prigogine. *Self-organization in nonequilibrium systems: from dissipative structures to order through fluctuations*. Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [28] Y. Oono and M. Paniconi. Steady state thermodynamics. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl*, 130: 29-44, 1998.
- [29] J. Picard. On the existence of smooth densities for jump processes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 105: 481-511, 1996.
- [30] H. Qian, M. Qian, and X. Tang. Thermodynamics of the general diffusion process: time-reversibility and entropy production. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 107(5/6): 1129–1141, 2002.
- [31] H. Qian. A decomposition of irreversible diffusion processes without detailed balance. *J. Math. Phys.*, 54(5), 2013.
- [32] U. Seifert. Entropy production along a stochastic trajectory and an integral fluctuation theorem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95, 040602, 2005.
- [33] U. Seifert. Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 75: 126001, 2012.
- [34] K. Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [35] K. Sekimoto. *Stochastic Energetics*. Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 799, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [36] J. Schnakenberg. Network theory of microscopic and macroscopic behavior of master equation systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 48: 571-585, 1976.
- [37] M. F. Shlesinger, B. J. West, and J. Klafter. Lévy dynamics of enhanced diffusion: Application to turbulence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 58: 1100, 1987.
- [38] T. Tomé and M. J. de Oliveira. Entropy production in nonequilibrium systems at stationary states. *Physical Review Letters*, 108(2): 020601, 2012.
- [39] G. M. Viswanathan, S. V. Buldyrev, S. Havlin, M. G. E. da Luz, E. P. Raposo, and H. E. Stanley. Optimizing the success of random searches. *Nature*, 401: 911–914, 1999.
- [40] C. Van den Broeck and M. Esposito. Three faces of the second law. II. Fokker-Planck formulation. *Physical Review E*, 82(1): 011144, 2010.
- [41] L. Wu. A new modified logarithmic Sobolev inequality for Poisson point processes and several applications. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 118(3), 427-438, 2000.
- [42] E. B. Yoon, K. Park, S. Kim and S. Lim. Score-based generative models with Lévy processes. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36: 40694-40707, 2023.
- [43] Q. Zhang and Y. Lu. Entropy production rate and time-reversibility for general jump diffusions on \mathbb{R}^n . *Chaos*, 2025.