

A VOLTERRA EQUATION APPROACH TO THE LOCAL LIMIT OF NONLOCAL TRAFFIC MODELS

NICOLA DE NITTI AND KUANG HUANG

ABSTRACT. We consider a class of nonlocal conservation laws modeling traffic flow, given by $\partial_t u_\varepsilon + \partial_x(V(u_\varepsilon * \gamma_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon) = 0$ with $\gamma_\varepsilon(\cdot) := \varepsilon^{-1}\gamma(\cdot/\varepsilon)$ for a suitable convex convolution kernel γ . Since the work of Colombo et al. (*Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 2023), thanks to uniform L^∞ - and TV-estimates, it is known that $w_\varepsilon := u_\varepsilon * \gamma_\varepsilon$ converges to the entropy solution of the local scalar conservation law $\partial_t u + \partial_x(V(u)u) = 0$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. However, the convergence of $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ itself has not been fully addressed so far. In this direction, a known result applies specifically to the case of an exponential kernel, where the identity $\varepsilon \partial_x w_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon$ is fundamental. In this work, we address this gap in the literature and prove that $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges to the same limit u under the mild additional assumption that the initial datum belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Our analysis exploits, through a Fourier approach, the stability properties of the more general Volterra-type equation $\partial_x w_\varepsilon = \gamma'_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon(0)u_\varepsilon$, thereby deducing the convergence of u_ε from that of w_ε .

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the following Cauchy problem for a class of nonlocal conservation laws modeling traffic flows:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_\varepsilon(t, x) + \partial_x(V((u_\varepsilon * \gamma_\varepsilon)(t, x))u_\varepsilon(t, x)) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u_\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

with a parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, an initial datum

$$(1.2) \quad u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \leq u_0 \leq 1, \quad \text{TV}(u_0) < +\infty,$$

with $\text{TV}(u_0)$ denoting the total variation of u_0 on \mathbb{R} , a *velocity function* $V : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad V \in \text{Lip}([0, 1]), \quad V'(\xi) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \in [0, 1],$$

and a *nonlocal impact*

$$w_\varepsilon := u_\varepsilon * \gamma_\varepsilon,$$

affecting the velocity, given by a weighted average (with respect to the space variable) of the downstream density with kernel $\gamma_\varepsilon(\cdot) := \varepsilon^{-1}\gamma(\cdot/\varepsilon)$, such that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\gamma \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{supp } \gamma \subset]-\infty, 0], \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 \gamma(z) dz = 1, \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 |z|\gamma(z) dz < +\infty, \\ &\gamma \geq 0, \quad \gamma \text{ convex and non-decreasing in }]-\infty, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

The nonlocal impact w_ε satisfies the following evolution equation (in the strong sense):

$$(1.5) \quad \partial_t w_\varepsilon + \partial_x(V(w_\varepsilon)w_\varepsilon) = \partial_x(V(w_\varepsilon)w_\varepsilon - (V(w_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon) * \gamma_\varepsilon),$$

i. e., a conservation law with a *local flux* and a *nonlocal source* (in divergence form) that acts as a regularization term.

Under assumptions (1.2)–(1.4), problem (1.1) admits a unique weak solution $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}))$ and it satisfies $0 \leq u_\varepsilon \leq 1$ (see, e. g., [KP17; BS20; Coc+22]).

The singular limit of (1.1) as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ has attracted considerable attention, while most positive results in this direction addressed convergence of the nonlocal impact w_ε rather than the solution u_ε as the former enjoys better regularity and stability properties. For example, under assumptions (1.2)–(1.4), [Col+23] established the uniform total variation bound

$$\text{TV}(w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)) \leq \text{TV}(u_0) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0,$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L65, 35L03, 35B40, 76A30.

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal conservation laws, nonlocal flux, singular limit, nonlocal-to-local limit, entropy condition.

and the convergence of w_ε to the (unique) *entropy solution* u of the (local) scalar conservation law

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) + \partial_x (V(u(t, x))u(t, x)) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

strongly in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Under the same assumptions, in [DH25], an asymptotically compatible Godunov-type numerical scheme was built.

More recently, the assumptions on the total variation of the initial datum and on the convexity of the kernel have been relaxed in [CDH25] by relying on the theory of compensated compactness.

However, the convergence of u_ε in the singular limit has only been addressed in special cases, e.g., with the exponential kernel $\gamma(\cdot) := \mathbb{1}_{]-\infty, 0]}(\cdot) \exp(\cdot)$ (see [Coc+23, Theorems 3.2 and 4.2]), leveraging the identity

$$(1.7) \quad \varepsilon \partial_x w_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon.$$

In this case, the combination of (1.7) and the TV-estimate on w_ε further allows one to deduce the convergence of the family $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ to the same limit as $\{w_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ (see [Coc+23, Corollary 4.1]) as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Under more restrictive assumptions on the initial datum, uniform TV-bounds on $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ can also be established directly: namely, when the initial datum u_0 is monotone, as in [KP19]; when u_0 is bounded away from zero and the kernel is exponential (see [BS20; BS21]); or when u_0 is bounded away from zero, one-sided Lipschitz continuous, and the kernel grows super-exponentially (see [Col+21]). In more general cases, uniform TV-bounds for $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ are challenging (or false; see [Col+21] for some counterexamples).

In this work, we address this gap in the literature. Under the additional assumption

$$(1.8) \quad u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

we deduce the convergence of $u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ to $u(t, \cdot)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for a.e. $t > 0$ from the convergence of $w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ to $u(t, \cdot)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Convergence of $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$). *Let us assume that the initial datum u_0 satisfies (1.2) and (1.8), the velocity function V satisfies (1.3), and the kernel γ satisfies (1.4). Let u_ε be the (unique) weak solution of (1.1) and $w_\varepsilon := u_\varepsilon * \gamma_\varepsilon$ the corresponding nonlocal impact that satisfies (1.5). Then, for a.e. $t > 0$, $u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ converges to $u(t, \cdot)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, where u is the entropy solution of (1.6).*

As a by-product of the proof of Lemma 1.1, we also obtain an explicit L^2 -convergence rate (thereby yielding convergence in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ as well); see Lemma 2.1.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

The main idea for the proof of Lemma 1.1 is to rely on the Volterra-type equation (of the second kind):

$$\partial_x w_\varepsilon(t, x) = (\gamma'_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon)(t, x) - \gamma_\varepsilon(0)u_\varepsilon(t, x) = -\gamma_\varepsilon(0)u_\varepsilon(t, x) + \int_x^\infty u_\varepsilon(t, y)\gamma'_\varepsilon(x - y) dy,$$

which generalizes the identity (1.7). We exploit stability properties of this Volterra-type equation through a Fourier approach (a strategy previously used in [DT18; HD22]).

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Owing to [Col+23, Theorem 1.3], under the assumptions (1.2)–(1.4), we have

$$w_\varepsilon \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

where $\{w_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is the family of solutions to (1.5) (with initial datum $u_0 * \gamma_\varepsilon$) and u is the unique entropy solution of (1.6). We aim to prove that, under the additional assumption (1.8), $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges to the same limit in $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ for any fixed $T > 0$.

Step 1. *Energy estimate for u_ε .* From the identity $w_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon$, we deduce that

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_x w_\varepsilon = \gamma'_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon(0)u_\varepsilon,$$

and thus, $\|\partial_x w_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq \gamma_\varepsilon(0)$. Then, the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 V'(w_\varepsilon(t, x)) \partial_x w_\varepsilon(t, x) dx \\ &\leq \|V'\|_{L^\infty} \gamma_\varepsilon(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

gives that $u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \geq 0$, and consequently $w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \geq 0$. This allows us to consider the singular limit problem in the Fourier domain.

Step 2. A Fourier approach. Applying the Fourier transform (in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$) on $w_\varepsilon = \gamma_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon$, we get $\hat{w}_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) = \hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon \cdot \hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ for all $t \geq 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-i\varepsilon\xi z} \gamma(z) dz = a_\varepsilon(\xi) + i b_\varepsilon(\xi), \\ \text{with } a_\varepsilon(\xi) &:= \int_{-\infty}^0 \cos(\varepsilon\xi z) \gamma(z) dz \quad \text{and} \quad b_\varepsilon(\xi) := - \int_{-\infty}^0 \sin(\varepsilon\xi z) \gamma(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption (1.4) yields that

$$b_\varepsilon(\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\xi} \int_{-\infty}^0 (1 - \cos(\varepsilon\xi z)) \gamma'(z) dz > 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0, \xi \neq 0.$$

When $\xi = 0$, $b_\varepsilon(\xi) = 0$ but $a_\varepsilon(\xi) = 1$. Thus, we have $\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi) \neq 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we have

$$\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi) = 1 + i\varepsilon\xi \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1 - e^{-i\varepsilon\xi z}}{i\varepsilon\xi z} \cdot |z| \gamma(z) dz \sim 1 + i\varepsilon\xi \int_{-\infty}^0 |z| \gamma(z) dz \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon\xi \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, we deduce the following pointwise convergence from the L^2 -convergence of \hat{w}_ε to \hat{u} :

$$\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi) = \frac{\hat{w}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)}{\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi)} \rightarrow \hat{u}(t, \xi) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We need, however, to estimate the L^2 -distance $\|u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. To this end, using Plancherel's theorem and splitting into high and low frequencies, we compute as follows (for a given $\delta > 0$ to be chosen later):

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi) - \hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta} \left| \frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi)} \hat{w}_\varepsilon(t, \xi) - \hat{u}(t, \xi) \right|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| \geq \delta} |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi) - \hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta} \frac{1}{|\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi)|^2} |\hat{w}_\varepsilon(t, \xi) - \hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta} \left| \frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi)} - 1 \right|^2 |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| \geq \delta} |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi + 2 \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| \geq \delta} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_4. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimating the low frequencies (terms \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2). Using the fact that $\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi) \sim 1 + i\varepsilon\xi \int_{-\infty}^0 |z| \gamma(z) dz$ as $\varepsilon\xi \rightarrow 0$, by taking δ small enough, we have $|\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ when $|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta$ and

$$\sup_{|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta} \left| \frac{1}{\hat{\gamma}_\varepsilon(\xi)} - 1 \right| \leq C_0 \delta,$$

where the constant $C_0 > 0$ depends only on γ . Thus, using Plancherel's theorem and the uniform bounds $0 \leq w_\varepsilon, u \leq 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &\leq 4 \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta} |\hat{w}_\varepsilon(t, \xi) - \hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq 4 \|w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq 4 \|w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &\leq C_0^2 \delta^2 \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| < \delta} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq C_0^2 \delta^2 \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C_0^2 \delta^2 \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = C_0^2 \delta^2 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Estimating the high frequencies (terms \mathcal{I}_3 and \mathcal{I}_4). To estimate the terms \mathcal{I}_3 and \mathcal{I}_4 , we derive further estimates on the family $\{\hat{u}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$. Multiplying (2.1) by u_ε and integrating it over $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\varepsilon(t, x) \partial_x w_\varepsilon(t, x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\varepsilon(t, x) u_\varepsilon(t, x-s) - u_\varepsilon(t, x)^2 dx \right) \gamma'_\varepsilon(s) ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_\varepsilon(t, x-s) - u_\varepsilon(t, x))^2 dx \right) \gamma'_\varepsilon(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Letting

$$\rho_\varepsilon(t, s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u_\varepsilon(t, x-s) - u_\varepsilon(t, x))^2 dx \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0,$$

we deduce that

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_\varepsilon(t, s) \gamma'_\varepsilon(s) ds \leq 2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_\varepsilon(t, x) \partial_x w_\varepsilon(t, x) dx \right| \leq 2 \text{TV}(w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)) \leq 2 \text{TV}(u_0),$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

On the other hand, in the Fourier domain, we have

$$\rho_\varepsilon(t, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{-is\xi} - 1|^2 |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2(1 - \cos(s\xi)) |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_\varepsilon(t, s) \gamma'_\varepsilon(s) ds &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 2(1 - \cos(s\xi)) \gamma'_\varepsilon(s) ds \right) |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 2(1 - \cos(\varepsilon s\xi)) \gamma'(s) ds \right) |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$h(z) := \int_{-\infty}^0 2(1 - \cos(zs)) \gamma'(s) ds \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Noting that $\gamma' \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^0 \gamma'(z) dz = \gamma(0) > 0$, we have $h(z) > 0$ for all $z \neq 0$. When $z \rightarrow 0$, the mean value theorem yields

$$2(1 - \cos(zs)) = \cos(\zeta(zs))(zs)^2 \quad \text{for } \zeta(zs) \text{ between } 0 \text{ and } zs,$$

thus

$$\left| \frac{2(1 - \cos(zs))}{z^2} \right| \leq s^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{2(1 - \cos(zs))}{z^2} = s^2 \quad \text{for all } s < 0.$$

Therefore, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(z)}{z^2} = \int_{-\infty}^0 s^2 \gamma'(s) ds = 2 \int_{-\infty}^0 |s| \gamma(s) ds \in]0, +\infty[.$$

Moreover, Riemann–Lebesgue's lemma yields that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} h(z) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^0 \gamma'(s) ds = 2 \gamma(0) \in]0, +\infty[.$$

By continuity of h and the two limits established above, there exist $\delta_\gamma, \eta_\gamma > 0$, depending only on γ , such that

$$h(z) \geq \eta_\gamma z^2 \geq \eta_\gamma \delta^2 \quad \text{for } |z| \geq \delta \quad \text{whenever } 0 < \delta < \delta_\gamma.$$

Then we take $z = \varepsilon \xi$ and obtain from (2.2) that

$$2 \text{TV}(u_0) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(\varepsilon \xi) |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \geq \frac{\eta_\gamma \delta^2}{\varepsilon} \int_{|\varepsilon \xi| \geq \delta} |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi,$$

and thus

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{|\varepsilon \xi| \geq \delta} |\hat{u}_\varepsilon(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta_\gamma \delta^2} \text{TV}(u_0) =: C_1 \text{TV}(u_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2},$$

for any $\delta \in]0, \delta_\gamma[$. This estimates \mathcal{I}_3 .

For the term \mathcal{I}_4 , we use the TV-estimate $\text{TV}(u(t, \cdot)) \leq \text{TV}(u_0)$ for all $t \geq 0$ to deduce that

$$\int_{|\varepsilon\xi| \geq \delta} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{|\varepsilon\xi| \geq \delta} \left(\frac{\text{TV}(u(t, \cdot))}{|\xi|} \right)^2 d\xi = 2(\text{TV}(u_0))^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}.$$

Step 5. Conclusion of the proof. Combining the estimates in Steps 3–4, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq 4 \|w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + C_0^2 \delta^2 \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\quad + C_1 \text{TV}(u_0) \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2} + 2(\text{TV}(u_0))^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ and $\delta = \varepsilon^{1/4}$, we have $\|w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta^2, \varepsilon/\delta^2, \varepsilon/\delta \searrow 0$, giving $\|u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark 2.1 (Convergence rate). In [Col+23], the convergence rate

$$(2.5) \quad \|w_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\gamma, V) \text{TV}(u_0) \left(\varepsilon + \sqrt{\varepsilon t} \right)$$

was established. By taking $\delta = \varepsilon^{1/4}$ in (2.4) and using (2.5), we deduce

$$\|u_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) - u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\gamma, V) \|u_0\|_{\text{BV}(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon(1+t))^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

provided $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 := (1 + \text{TV}(u_0))^{-2}$, where $\|u_0\|_{\text{BV}(\mathbb{R})} := \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \text{TV}(u_0)$ and the constant $C(\gamma, V) > 0$ depends only on the kernel γ and the velocity function V . We leave the question of the sharpness of this rate (as well as the search for optimal exponents) for future investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N. De Nitti is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). He thanks The Chinese University of Hong Kong, where part of this work was carried out, for their kind hospitality.

K. Huang was supported by a Direct Grant of Research (2024/25) from The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

We thank G. M. Coclite and B. Jin for their helpful and constructive comments.

REFERENCES

- [BS21] A. Bressan and W. Shen. “Entropy admissibility of the limit solution for a nonlocal model of traffic flow”. In: *Commun. Math. Sci.* 19.5 (2021), pp. 1447–1450.
- [BS20] A. Bressan and W. Shen. “On traffic flow with nonlocal flux: a relaxation representation”. In: *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 237.3 (2020), pp. 1213–1236.
- [Coc+23] G. M. Coclite, J.-M. Coron, N. De Nitti, A. Keimer, and L. Pflug. “A general result on the approximation of local conservation laws by nonlocal conservation laws: the singular limit problem for exponential kernels”. In: *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 40.5 (2023), pp. 1205–1223.
- [CDH25] G. M. Coclite, N. De Nitti, and K. Huang. *Singular limit for a class of nonlocal conservation laws via compensated compactness*. 2025. arXiv: [2511.15631 \[math.AP\]](#).
- [Coc+22] G. M. Coclite, N. De Nitti, A. Keimer, and L. Pflug. “On existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to nonlocal conservation laws with BV kernels”. In: *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 73.6 (2022). Id/No 241, p. 10.
- [Col+21] M. Colombo, G. Crippa, E. Marconi, and L. V. Spinolo. “Local limit of nonlocal traffic models: convergence results and total variation blow-up”. In: *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 38.5 (2021), pp. 1653–1666.
- [Col+23] M. Colombo, G. Crippa, E. Marconi, and L. V. Spinolo. “Nonlocal traffic models with general kernels: singular limit, entropy admissibility, and convergence rate”. In: *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 247.2 (2023), Paper No. 18, 32.
- [DH25] N. De Nitti and K. Huang. *Asymptotically compatible entropy-consistent discretization for a class of nonlocal conservation laws*. 2025. arXiv: [2510.00221 \[math.NA\]](#).

- [DT18] Q. Du and X. Tian. “Stability of nonlocal Dirichlet integrals and implications for peridynamic correspondence material modeling”. In: *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 78.3 (2018), pp. 1536–1552.
- [HD22] K. Huang and Q. Du. “Stability of a nonlocal traffic flow model for connected vehicles”. In: *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 82.1 (2022), pp. 221–243.
- [KP17] A. Keimer and L. Pflug. “Existence, uniqueness and regularity results on nonlocal balance laws”. In: *J. Differ. Equations* 263.7 (2017), pp. 4023–4069.
- [KP19] A. Keimer and L. Pflug. “On approximation of local conservation laws by nonlocal conservation laws”. In: *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 475.2 (2019), pp. 1927–1955.

(N. De Nitti) UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127 PISA, ITALY.

Email address: nicola.denitti@unipi.it

(K. Huang) THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHATIN, NEW TERRITORIES, HONG KONG SAR, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: kuanghuang@cuhk.edu.hk