

Nucleon 3D intrinsic spin structure from the weak-neutral axial-vector form factors

Yi Chena,b,*

^aDepartment of Physics and Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, No. 30, Shuangqing Road, Beijing, China

^b Interdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study and Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China,

No. 96, JinZhai Road, Hefei, China

E-mail: physchen@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Relativistic 3D weak-neutral axial-vector four-current and spin distributions inside a nucleon (or a general spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron) including three weak-neutral axial-vector form factors are investigated for the first time. We clarify that the relativistic 3D axial charge distribution in the Breit frame is completely described by the induced pseudotensor form factor $G_T^Z(Q^2)$ rather than by the axial form factor $G_A^Z(Q^2)$. We demonstrate that $R_A \equiv \sqrt{\frac{-6}{G_A^Z(0)}} \frac{\mathrm{d} G_A^Z(Q^2)}{\mathrm{d} Q^2} \Big|_{Q^2=0}$ can not be interpreted as the physically meaningful 3D root-mean-square axial radius of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron. The genuine axial radius for any spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron in fact does not exist. We also show that the relativistic 3D weakneutral spin radius $r_{\rm spin} = \sqrt{\langle r_{\rm spin}^2 \rangle}$, with $\langle r_{\rm spin}^2 \rangle \equiv R_A^2 + \frac{1}{4M^2} \left[1 + \frac{2G_P^Z(0)}{G_A^Z(0)} \right]$ based on the relativistic and intrinsic 3D weak-neutral spin distribution in the Breit frame, is a physically meaningful radius that can be unambiguously defined for the nucleon, which provides an additional key motivation for the further determination of the induced pseudoscalar form factor $G_P^Z(Q^2)$. Numerically, we find that $R_A \approx 0.6510$ fm, $r_{\rm spin} \approx 2.1054$ fm and $\overline{r}_{\rm spin} \equiv r_{\rm spin}/3 \approx 0.7018$ fm. For future experimental measurements of $G_A^Z(Q^2)$ and $G_T^Z(Q^2)$, we also derive the full tree-level unpolarized differential cross sections for neutrino-proton and antineutrino-proton elastic scattering in the lab frame, in hoping to provide a complementary and new perspective to unveil the nucleon spin structure by using (anti)neutrino-based facilities.

The 26th international symposium on spin physics (SPIN2025) 21-26 September, 2025 Qingdao (Tsingtao), Shandong Province, China

^{*}Speaker

1. Introduction

Spin is a fundamental property of a particle and is of quantum nature. It is closely related to both quantum statistics for particle distributions and the Pauli exclusion principle. As spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ composite systems, nucleons (i.e. protons and neutrons) are evidently the key hadrons to study for understanding both quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and the asymmetry between matter and antimatter in the universe, since they are the building blocks of atomic nuclei and are responsible for more than 99% of the visible-matter mass in the universe.

Internal structure information of a hadron is encoded in Lorentz-invariant functions, known as form factors (FFs). For example, the weak-neutral axial-vector FFs of a hadron describe how the hadron reacts with the Z^0 boson via the weak neutral current (NC) interaction in an elastic (anti)neutrino (or charged lepton) scattering, encoding therefore clean internal axial charge and spin information of the hadron in the weak sector. Moreover, the axial FF is also closely related to the quark spin (or helicity) contribution to the hadron spin [1–3], playing therefore a crucial role for understanding the hadronic spin structure. When it comes to (anti)neutrino-proton or (anti)neutrino-nucleus elastic scattering [4–8], nucleon or nuclear axial-vector FFs become particularly important.

2. Axial-vector form factors and (anti)neutrino-proton elastic scattering

For a generic spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron h (e.g. the proton), the hadronic matrix elements of the weak NC operator $\hat{j}_Z^{\mu}(x) \equiv \sum_q \hat{q}(x) \gamma^{\mu} (g_V^q - g_A^q \gamma^5) \hat{q}(x)$ in general can be parametrized as [9–14],

$$\langle h(p',s')|\hat{j}_Z^{\mu}(0)|h(p,s)\rangle = \bar{u}(p',s')\Gamma_Z^{\mu}(P,\Delta)u(p,s), \tag{1}$$

with

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_Z^{\mu}(P,\Delta) = \Gamma_V^{\mu}(P,\Delta) - \Gamma_A^{\mu}(P,\Delta), \\ &\Gamma_V^{\mu}(P,\Delta) \equiv \gamma^{\mu} G_M^Z + \frac{P^{\mu}(G_E^Z - G_M^Z)}{M(1+\tau)} + \frac{i\Delta^{\mu}}{2M} G_S^Z, \\ &\Gamma_A^{\mu}(P,\Delta) \equiv \left[\gamma^{\mu} G_A^Z + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{2M} G_P^Z - \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\nu}}{2M} G_T^Z \right] \gamma^5, \end{split} \tag{2}$$

where $q \in \{u,d,s,c,b,t\}$, $\hat{q}(x)$ is the quark field operator, $g_A^{u,c,t} = \frac{1}{2}$, $g_A^{d,s,b} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $g_V^{u,c,t} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W$, $g_V^{d,s,b} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W$, θ_W is the Weinberg angle, $P \equiv (p'+p)/2$ is the average four-momentum, $\Delta \equiv p'-p$ is the four-momentum transfer, M is the mass of the hadron h, $Q^2 \equiv -\Delta^2$, $\tau \equiv Q^2/(4M^2)$, and u(p,s) denotes the Dirac spinor. Besides, $G_X^Z \equiv G_X^Z(Q^2)$ for X = E, M, S, A, P, T are the weak neutral FFs of the hadron, called electric, magnetic, induced scalar, axial, induced pseudoscalar and induced pseudotensor FFs, respectively. By the virtue of Hermiticity condition $\Gamma_Z^{\mu,\dagger}(P,\Delta) = \gamma^0 \Gamma_Z^\mu(P,-\Delta) \gamma^0$, one can explicitly show that all these six FFs $G_X^Z(Q^2)$ are real [13, 14]. Furthermore, we note that nonzero G_A^Z (or G_P^Z) breaks explicitly the parity symmetry, while nonzero G_T^Z breaks explicitly the parity, time-reversal and charge conjugation-parity symmetries [14].

By construction, $G_{A,P,T}^Z$ can be expressed as $G_{A,P,T}^Z = \sum_q g_A^q G_{A,P,T}^q$, where $G_{A,P,T}^q$ are the quark axial-vector FFs; they are accessible via measurements of polarized quark generalized parton distributions (GPDs) $\tilde{H}^q(x,\xi,t)$, $\tilde{E}^q(x,\xi,t)$ and $\tilde{T}^q(x,\xi,t)$ in exclusive processes using

facilities at HERA, CERN SPS, CEBAF, EIC, EicC, NICA, LHC, etc. through the first-moment sum rule [2, 13, 14]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_A^Z(t) \\ G_P^Z(t) \\ G_T^Z(t) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_q g_A^q \int_{-1}^1 dx \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}^q(x,\xi,t) \\ \tilde{E}^q(x,\xi,t) \\ \tilde{T}^q(x,\xi,t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

with $t \equiv \Delta^2$. The twist-two polarized quark GPDs $\tilde{H}^q(x, \xi, t)$, $\tilde{E}^q(x, \xi, t)$ and $\tilde{T}^q(x, \xi, t)$ are defined through the following light-cone correlation function [2]

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \langle p', s' | \hat{\overline{\psi}} \left(-\frac{\lambda n}{2} \right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} \hat{\psi} \left(\frac{\lambda n}{2} \right) | p, s \rangle
= \bar{u}(p', s') \left[\gamma^{\mu} \tilde{H}(x, \xi, t) + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{2M} \tilde{E}(x, \xi, t) + \frac{-\sigma^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\nu}}{2M} \tilde{T}(x, \xi, t) + \cdots \right] \gamma^{5} u(p, s), \tag{4}$$

where for simplicity we have neglected the gauge link (that ensures the color gauge invariance of the correlator) and the high-twist contributions (that are denoted by the dots).

For future measurements of $G_A^Z(Q^2)$ and $G_T^Z(Q^2)$, let us now focus on the weak NC (anti)neutrino-proton elastic scattering [4–8]. Without loss of generality, we consider the following reaction:

$$\nu_{\ell}(k,r) + N(p,s) \to \nu_{\ell}(k',r') + N(p',s'),$$
 (5)

where $\ell \in \{e, \mu, \tau\}$, ν_{ℓ} represents a neutrino of mass m, and $N \in \{p, n\}$ represents a nucleon of mass M, with $k^2 = k'^2 = m^2$ and $p^2 = p'^2 = M^2$. After some algebra and neglecting for simplicity the neutrino mass, we find that the full tree-level unpolarized differential cross sections of (anti)neutrino-proton elastic scattering (5) in the lab frame are explicitly obtained as [9, 14, 15]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}Q^{2}}\Big|_{\mathrm{lab},m=0} = \frac{G_{F}^{2}M^{2}}{8\pi E_{V}^{2}} \left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{Z}^{2} + Q^{2}}\right)^{2} \left[A(Q^{2}) \pm \frac{s - u}{M^{2}}B(Q^{2}) + \frac{(s - u)^{2}}{M^{4}}C(Q^{2})\right], \tag{6}$$

with

$$A = 4\tau \left[(1+\tau)(G_A^Z)^2 + \tau (G_M^Z)^2 - (G_E^Z)^2 - \tau (1+\tau)(G_T^Z)^2 \right],$$

$$B = 4\tau G_A^Z G_M^Z,$$

$$C = \frac{1}{4(1+\tau)} \left[(1+\tau)(G_A^Z)^2 + \tau (G_M^Z)^2 + (G_E^Z)^2 + \tau (1+\tau)(G_T^Z)^2 \right],$$
(7)

where the + (-) sign is for the neutrino (antineutrino) scattering, $s = (p + k)^2$ and $u = (k' - p)^2$ are Mandelstam variables, $s - u = 4ME_v - Q^2$, and we have for clarity omitted the explicit Q^2 dependence of these FFs $G_{E,M,A,T}^Z(Q^2)$. Last but not least, the result of G_A^Z and G_T^Z obtained via (6) in (anti)neutrino-proton elastic scattering will also provide additional and useful constraints at each Q^2 value on the sum of quark GPDs \tilde{H}^q and \tilde{T}^q obtained in charged lepton-proton scattering, bringing the connection in physics between the two fields.

3. Relativistic 3D axial-vector four-current and spin distributions inside the proton

Although FFs are objects defined in momentum space and extracted from experimental data involving particles with well-defined momenta, their physical interpretation actually resides in position space [16]. In this section, following our recent works [13, 17], we will present the physical density interpretation for the proton's weak neutral axial-vector FFs $G_{A,P,T}^Z(Q^2)$ so as to define relativistic 3D axial-vector four-current and spin distributions inside the proton by using the quantum phase-space formalism [13, 16, 18–23]. We emphasize that (anti)neutrino-proton elastic scattering indeed can provide useful and complementary information on the proton spin structure.

In the quantum phase-space formalism, the relativistic 3D axial-vector four-current distributions inside a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron are defined in the Breit frame as [13, 16, 17, 19, 20]

$$\begin{split} J^{\mu}_{5,B}(\mathbf{r}) &\equiv \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\Delta}{(2\pi)^{3}} \, e^{-i\Delta \cdot \mathbf{r}} \, \frac{\langle p'_{B}, s'_{B} | \hat{J}^{\mu}_{5}(0) | p_{B}, s_{B} \rangle}{2P_{B}^{0}} \bigg|_{\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{0}} \\ &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\Delta}{(2\pi)^{3}} \, e^{-i\Delta \cdot \mathbf{r}} \, \frac{\bar{u}(p'_{B}, s'_{B})}{2M\sqrt{1+\tau}} \left[\gamma^{\mu} G_{A}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}) + \frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{2M} G_{P}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}) - \frac{\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2M} G_{T}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}) \right] \gamma^{5} u(p_{B}, s_{B}), \end{split}$$

$$(8)$$

where $\hat{j}_5^{\mu}(x) \equiv \sum_q g_A^q \hat{\bar{q}}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \hat{q}(x)$, $r \equiv x - R$ is the distance relative to the center R = 0 of the system, $p_B' = -p_B = \Delta/2$, $Q^2 = \Delta^2$, and $P_B^0 = M\sqrt{1+\tau}$. More specifically, we have [13, 17]

$$J_{5,B}^{0}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \Delta}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{-i\Delta \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{i\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2M} G_{T}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}) = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{2M} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \Delta}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{-i\Delta \cdot \mathbf{r}} G_{T}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}),$$

$$J_{5,B}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \Delta}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{-i\Delta \cdot \mathbf{r}} \left\{ \left[\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{\Delta(\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})}{4P_{B}^{0}(P_{B}^{0} + M)} \right] G_{A}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}) - \frac{\Delta(\Delta \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})}{4MP_{B}^{0}} G_{P}^{Z}(\Delta^{2}) \right\},$$

$$(9)$$

where the explicit canonical polarization indices s_B , s'_B are omitted for better legibility hereafter. Above results (9) clearly demonstrate that:

(1). The relativistic 3D axial charge distribution $J_{5,B}^0(\mathbf{r})$ in the Breit frame is completely described by $G_T^Z(Q^2)$ rather than by $G_A^Z(Q^2)$. Contrary to what is stated in the literature [24], we did not find any proper justification for interpreting the quantity $R_A = \sqrt{\langle R_A^2 \rangle}$, defined by [25–28]

$$\langle R_A^2 \rangle \equiv -\frac{6}{G_A^Z(0)} \frac{\mathrm{d} G_A^Z(Q^2)}{\mathrm{d} Q^2} \bigg|_{Q^2=0} = \frac{1}{G_A^Z(0)} \left[-\nabla_{\Delta}^2 G_A^Z(\Delta^2) \right] \bigg|_{\Delta=\mathbf{0}}, \tag{10}$$

as the physically meaningful 3D root-mean-square axial radius of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron [13, 17]. In fact, owing the parity-odd nature of $J_{5,B}^0(r)$, the genuine 3D mean-square axial charge radius $\langle r_A^2 \rangle \equiv \frac{\int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, r^2 \, J_{5,B}^0(r)}{\int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, J_{5,B}^0(r)}$ does not exist for any spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron [13].

(2). The axial-vector current distribution $J_{5,B}(r)$ is twice of the weak-neutral spin distribution $S_B(r)$. Using the QCD equation of motion, the rank-3 weak-neutral canonical spin tensor operator can be expressed as $\hat{S}^{\mu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\lambda}\hat{j}_{5\lambda}$ with $\epsilon_{0123} = +1$ [3], such that $S^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}S^{0jk} = \frac{1}{2}J_5^i$ or equivalently $S = \frac{1}{2}J_5$, which is valid in any Lorentz frames. Hence, the relativistic 3D weak-neutral spin distribution in the Breit frame for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ hadron is simply given by

$$S_B(r) = \frac{1}{2} J_{5,B}(r).$$
 (11)

Based on $S_B(r)$, one can easily derive the following physically meaningful mean-square spin radius $\langle r_{\rm spin}^2 \rangle$, defined as [17]

$$\langle r_{\rm spin}^2 \rangle \equiv \frac{\int d^3 r \, r^2 \, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_B(\mathbf{r})}{\int d^3 r \, \hat{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_B(\mathbf{r})} = R_A^2 + \frac{1}{4M^2} \left[1 + \frac{2G_P^Z(0)}{G_A^Z(0)} \right],$$
 (12)

where $\hat{s} \equiv \langle s_B' | \sigma | s_B \rangle$ is an arbitrary unit polarization vector. Above result (12) provides an additional key motivation for the further determination of $G_P^Z(Q^2)$ [13, 17]. In Figure 1, we illustrate the relativistic 3D weak-neutral spin distribution $S_B(r)$ in the Breit frame for a transversely polarized (i.e. $\hat{s} = e_x$) proton in the transverse plane $(r_z = 0)$, by using the proton's axial-vector FFs $G_A^Z(Q^2)$ and $G_P^Z(Q^2)$ given in [13]. Numerically, we find that $r_{\rm spin} = \sqrt{\langle r_{\rm spin}^2 \rangle} \approx 2.1054$ fm, $\overline{r}_{\rm spin} \equiv r_{\rm spin}/3 \approx 0.7018$ fm and $R_A \approx 0.6510$ fm.




Figure 1: Illustration of the relativistic 3D weak-neutral spin distribution $S_B(r)$ in the Breit frame for a transversely polarized proton in the transverse plane. See texts for more details.

4. Conclusions and outlook

Relativistic 3D weak-neutral axial-vector four-current and spin distributions inside a nucleon in terms of nucleon's three weak-neutral axial-vector form factors are investigated for the first time. For future experimental measurements of $G_A^Z(Q^2)$ and $G_T^Z(Q^2)$, we also derive the full tree-level unpolarized differential cross sections for neutrino-proton and antineutrino-proton elastic scattering in the lab frame, in hoping to provide a complementary and new perspective to unveil the nucleon spin structure by using (anti)neutrino-based facilities.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank Stephen F. Pate, Raza S. Sufian, Oleksandr Tomalak, and Bo-Wen Xiao for helpful communications, and Dao-Neng Gao, Chueng-Ryong Ji, Yang Li, Cédric Lorcé, Qun Wang, Guang-Peng Zhang and Ren-You Zhang for valuable discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12135011, by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB34030102, by the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. YSBR-101, and by the Tsinghua University.

References

- [1] R. L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, *Nucl. Phys. B* **337**, 509-546 (1990).
- [2] X. D. Ji, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 610 (1997) [hep-ph/9603249].
- [3] E. Leader and C. Lorcé, *Phys. Rept.* **541**, 163-248 (2014) [1309.4235].
- [4] J. Horstkotte et al., Phys. Rev. D 25, 2743 (1982).
- [5] L. A. Ahrens et al., Phys. Rev. D 35, 785 (1987).
- [6] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. [MiniBooNE], Phys. Rev. D 82, 092005 (2010) [1007.4730].
- [7] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. [MiniBooNE], Phys. Rev. D 91, 012004 (2015) [1309.7257].
- [8] L. Ren [MicroBooNE], *PoS* NuFact2021, 205 (2022).
- [9] C. H. Llewellyn Smith, *Phys. Rept.* **3**, 261-379 (1972).
- [10] T. Ohlsson and H. Snellman, Eur. Phys. J. C 6, 285-296 (1999) [hep-ph/9803490].
- [11] V. Bernard, L. Elouadrhiri and U. Meissner, J. Phys. G 28, R1-R35 (2002) [hep-ph/0107088].
- [12] S. K. Singh and M. J. Vicente Vacas, *Phys. Rev. D* 74, 053009 (2006) [hep-ph/0606235].
- [13] Y. Chen, JHEP 04, 132 (2025) [2411.12521].
- [14] Y. Chen, Q. Chen, F.-K. Guo, Q. Wang and B.-S. Zou, To appear.
- [15] Y. Chen, [2411.12521v2].
- [16] Y. Chen and C. Lorcé, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 096003 (2023) [2302.04672].
- [17] Y. Chen, Y. Li, C. Lorcé and Q. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* 110, L091503 (2024) [2405.12943].
- [18] A. V. Belitsky, X. d. Ji and F. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 074014 (2004) [hep-ph/0307383].
- [19] C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde and A. P. Trawiński, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 89 (2019) [1810.09837].
- [20] C. Lorcé, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 232002 (2020) [2007.05318].
- [21] Y. Chen and C. Lorcé, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 116024 (2022) [2210.02908].
- [22] H. Y. Won, H. C. Kim and J. Y. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 114009 (2022) [2210.03320].
- [23] C. Lorcé, A. Mukherjee, R. Singh and H. Y. Won, *Phys. Lett. B* 868, 139792 (2025).
- [24] J. Y. Panteleeva, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia and U. G. Meißner, [2412.05050].
- [25] U. G. Meissner, N. Kaiser and W. Weise, *Nucl. Phys. A* **466**, 685-723 (1987).
- [26] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U. G. Meissner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1877-1879 (1992).
- [27] R. J. Hill, P. Kammel, W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Rept. Prog. Phys. 81, 096301 (2018).
- [28] T. Cai et al. [MINERvA], Nature 614, 48-53 (2023).