

RELATED HOM-RHIZAFORM ALGEBRAS AND ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS, HOM-RHIZAFORM FAMILY ALGEBRAS

IMED BASDOURI¹, MARIEM JENDOUBI², AHMED ZAHARI ABDOU DAMDJI³

ABSTRACT. This paper explores the link between Hom-rhizaform algebras and Rota-Baxter operators. We define a new structure, the Hom-rhizaform family algebra, which is a more general version of the Hom-rhizaform algebra. The main finding is that Rota-Baxter operators can be used to construct new Hom-rhizaform algebras. This work expands the theory of Hom-algebras by showing a new way to apply Rota-Baxter operators. Finally, we establish the classification of these algebras as well as their corresponding cocycle cones.

1. Introduction

The concept of Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras was introduced in the 1960th by G. Baxter [5] in the study of fluctuation theory in Probability. Uchino introduced the notion of generalized Rota-Baxter operator (called also O-operator) on a bimodule over an associative algebra in [17, 14, 1, 23, 2]. Rota-Baxter operators and their generalization O-operators are becoming rather popular due to the irinterest in various domain like nonassociative algebra and applied algebra. Let (M, r, l, β) be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and let $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a linear map is defined to be the identity,

$$\pi(m) \cdot \pi(n) = \pi(l(\pi(m))n + r(\pi(n))m). \quad (1)$$

When an operator $\pi : M \rightarrow A$ satisfies the condition (1), we say that π is a generalized Rota-Baxter operator, or shortly, O -operator. When $M = \mathcal{A}$ is reduced to a Rota-Baxter condition $R(x) \cdot R(y) = R(R(x) \cdot y + x \cdot R(y))$. The $R : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a Rota-Baxter operator of “weight zero”, or simply Rota-Baxter operator. In general, Rota-Baxter operators are defined by the weighted formula, $R(x) \cdot R(y) = R(R(x) \cdot y + x \cdot R(y)) - q \cdot R(x \cdot y)$, where q is a scalar (weight). The Rota-Baxter operators of $q = 0$ are special examples. In the following, we assume the weight of Rota-Baxter operator is zero. Rhizaform algebras are algebras with two operations, whose sum satisfies an anti-associative identity (i.e. $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = -x \cdot (y \cdot z)$). Moreover, the left and right multiplication operators forming bimodules over the anti-associative algebra (\mathcal{A}, \cdot) . This characterization parallels that of dendriform algebras, where the sum of operations preserves associativity. In [18, 13, 14], it was explained how one may associate a rhizaform algebra to any antiassociative algebra equipped with a Rota-Baxter operator, i.e., it was shown that if $\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a Rota-Baxter operator then the pair of multiplications $x \succ y := \beta(x)y$ and $x \prec y := x\beta(y)$ is a rhizaform structure on A . The operations on an algebra may be replaced by a family of operations indexed by a semigroup, giving rise to the same algebraic structure in family versions. The notion of Rota-Baxter family appeared in 2007 is the first example of this situation [7, 6, 5] (see also [10, 22, 4]) about algebraic aspects of renormalization in Quantum Field Theory. This terminology was suggested to the authors by Li Guo, who further duscussed the underlying structure under the name Rota-Baxter Family

Date: December 9, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17A30 and 17A36 and 17B56 and 16W25 and 16S80 and 17D25.

Key words and phrases. Connes cocycles, Hom-Rhizaform family Algebras, Nilpotent Hom-rhizaform algebras, Hom-anti-associative algebra, Classification.

algebra [7, 15, 3] which is a generalization of Rota-Baxter algebras. Since then, various other kinds of family algebraic structures have been defined [8, 19, 20, 21, 22]. This article aims to extend the notion of rhizaform algebras and rhizaform family algebras to the Hom-setting. Recall that the theory of Hom-algebras first appeared in the study of quasi-deformations of Lie algebras of vector fields, including q-deformations of Witt algebras and Virasoro algebras with the introduction of Hom-Lie algebras by J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S.D. Silvestrov [11, 12] which offer an approach to addressing anti associativity. The paper is organized as follows : In section two, we introduce the notions of hom-rhizaform algebras as an approach of splitting the Hom-anti-associativity. The notions of O-operators and Rota-Baxter operators on Hom-anti-associative algebras are introduced to interpret hom-rhizaform algebras in section 3. In section 4 The relationships between hom-rhizaform algebras, commutative Connes cocycles on Hom-anti-associative algebras are given. In the Section 5, we introduce the notions of nilpotency for Hom-rhizaform algebras. Moreover, we give the classification of 2-dimensional and the classification of 3-dimensional Hom-rhizaform algebras over the field of complex numbers. In the last Section 5, we introduce the concepts of Hom-rhizaform family algebras and Hom-anti-associative family algebras, and we provide the relations between these family Hom-algebras.

2. Hom-anti-associative and Hom-rhizaform algebras

Definition 2.1. A Hom-algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ over a field \mathbb{F} is called a Hom-Jacobi-Jordan algebra if it satisfies the following two identities

$$x * y - y * x = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\alpha(x) * (y * z) + \alpha(y) * (z * x) + \alpha(z) * (x * y) = 0, \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.2. $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, \alpha)$ is called a *Hom-Jacobi-Jordan-admissible algebra*, where \mathcal{A} is a vector space equipped with a bilinear operation $\circ : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a linear map $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, if the bilinear operation $[\cdot] : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$[x, y] = x \circ y + y \circ x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A},$$

makes $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot], \alpha)$ a Hom-Jacobi-Jordan algebra. This algebra $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot], \alpha)$ is called the *Hom sub-adjacent Jacobi-Jordan algebra* of $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, \alpha)$ and is denoted by $(\mathcal{J}(A), [\cdot], \alpha)$.

Definition 2.3. A Hom-pre-Jacobi-Jordan algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$ is a vector space with a binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ satisfying

$$(xy)\alpha(z) + \alpha(x)(yz) + (yx)\alpha(z) + \alpha(y)(xz) = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.4. An Hom anti-associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$ is a nonassociative algebra whose multiplication satisfies the identity

$$\alpha(x) \cdot (y \cdot z) = -(x \cdot y) \cdot \alpha(z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a vector space with two bilinear operations

$$\succ : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad \prec : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A},$$

and a linear map $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Define a bilinear operation $*$ as

$$x * y = x \succ y + x \prec y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (5)$$

The triple $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is called an *Hom-anti-associative admissible algebra* if $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is an Hom-anti-associative algebra. In this case, $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is called the *associated anti-associative algebra* of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$.

Remark 2.1. The quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ, \alpha)$ is a Hom-anti-associative admissible algebra if and only if the following equation holds :

$$\begin{aligned} (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) + (x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) + (x \succ y) \prec (\alpha(z) + (x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z)) \\ = -\alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) - \alpha(x) \succ (y \prec z) - \alpha(x) \prec (y \succ z) - \alpha(x) \prec (y \prec z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Definition 2.6. A Hom-rhizaform algebra is a quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ, \alpha)$ consisting of a vector space \mathcal{A} , two linear operations $\succ: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $\prec: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a linear map $\alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\alpha \circ \prec = \prec \circ \alpha^{\otimes} \text{ and } \alpha \circ \succ = \succ \circ \alpha^{\otimes} \quad (7)$$

$$(x \succ y + x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) = -\alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha(x) \prec (y \succ z + y \prec z) = -(x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z), \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha(x) \succ (y \prec z) = -(x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z), \quad (10)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. We called α (in this order) the structure maps of \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.7. A Hom-dendriform algebra is a quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \succ, \alpha)$ consisting of a vector space \mathcal{A} , two linear operations $\succ: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $\prec: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a linear map $\alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\alpha \circ \prec = \prec \circ \alpha^{\times} \text{ and } \alpha \circ \succ = \succ \circ \alpha^{\times} \quad (11)$$

$$(x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z) = \alpha(x) \prec (y \prec z + y \succ z), \quad (12)$$

$$(x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z) = \alpha(x) \succ (y \prec z), \quad (13)$$

$$(x \prec y + x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) = \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z). \quad (14)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. We called α (in this order) the structure maps of \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.8. If $(\mathcal{A}_1, \succ_1, \prec_1, \alpha_1)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2, \succ_2, \prec_2, \alpha_2)$ are Hom-rhizaform (Hom-dendriform) algebra. Then, a fonction π from $\mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is homomorphism if $\alpha_2 \circ \pi = \pi \circ \alpha_1$,

$$\pi(x \succ_1 y) = \pi(x) \succ_2 \pi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(x \prec_1 y) = \pi(x) \prec_2 \pi(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ be a Hom-rhizaform algebra. Then the following statements hold:

(i) Define a bilinear operation $*$ by Equation (5). Then $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is a Hom-anti-associative algebra, called the associated Hom-anti-associative algebra of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$. Furthermore, $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is called a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$.

(ii) The bilinear operation $\circ: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$x \circ y = x \succ y - y \prec x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A},$$

defines a Hom-pre-Jacobi-Jordan algebra, called the Hom-associated pre-Jacobi-Jordan algebra of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$.

(iii) Both $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, \alpha)$ have the same Hom-sub-adjacent Jacobi-Jordan algebra $(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A}), [,], \alpha)$ defined by

$$[x, y] = x \succ y + x \prec y + y \succ x + y \prec x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. (i) This is straightforward.

(ii) Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \circ y) \circ \alpha(z) &= (x \succ y - y \prec x) \succ \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \prec (x \succ y - y \prec x) \\ &= (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) - (y \prec x) \succ \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \prec (x \succ y) + \alpha(z) \prec (y \prec x), \\ \alpha(x) \circ (y \circ z) &= \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z - z \prec y) - (y \succ z - z \prec y) \prec \alpha(x) \\ &= \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) - \alpha(x) \succ (z \prec y) - (y \succ z) \prec \alpha(x) + (z \prec y) \prec \alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

By swapping x and y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (y \circ x) \circ \alpha(z) &= (y \succ x) \succ \alpha(z) - (x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \prec (y \succ x) + \alpha(z) \prec (x \prec y), \\ \alpha(y) \circ (x \circ z) &= \alpha(y) \succ (x \succ z) - \alpha(y) \succ (z \prec x) - (x \succ z) \prec \alpha(y) + (z \prec x) \prec \alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. 8,9,10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) \circ (y \circ z) + \alpha(y) \circ (x \circ z) &= \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) - \alpha(x) \succ (z \prec y) - (y \succ z) \prec \alpha(x) + (z \prec y) \prec \alpha(x) \\ &\quad + \alpha(y) \succ (x \succ z) - \alpha(y) \succ (z \prec x) - (x \succ z) \prec \alpha(y) + (z \prec x) \prec \alpha(y) \\ &= \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) + (z \prec y) \prec \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) \succ (x \succ z) + (z \prec x) \prec \alpha(y) \\ &= -(x \succ y + x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \prec (y \succ x + y \prec x) \\ &\quad - (y \succ x + y \prec x) \succ \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \prec (x \succ y + x \prec y) \\ &= -(y \circ x) \circ \alpha(z) - (x \circ y) \circ \alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$x \circ y + y \circ x = x \succ y + y \prec x + x \prec y + y \succ x = x * y + y * x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus, $(\mathcal{A}, \circ, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Jacobi-Jordan-admissible algebra and hence a Hom-pre-Jacobi-Jordan algebra.

(iii) This is straightforward. Note that it also appears in the proof of (ii).

This ends the proof. \square

Definition 2.10. Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is a Hom-rhizaform algebra over \mathbb{F} . For a fixed $z \in \mathcal{A}$, $ad_z(x) = z * x - x * z \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, ad_z is a α -derivation referred to as inner derivation of \mathcal{A} where the $*$ is considered for the two products \prec and \succ . $Inner(\mathcal{A})$ is a usual notation for the set of all inner derivation of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 2.11. Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Rhizaform algebra over a field \mathbb{K} . For $z \in \mathcal{A}$, set $ad_z(x) = z \prec x - x \succ z$. Then, ad_z is a derivation of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. We need to show that ad_z is an α -derivation of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$ad_z(x \prec y) = \alpha(z) \succ (x \prec y) - (x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ad_z(x) \prec \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \prec ad_z(y) &= (z \succ x - x \prec z) \prec \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \prec (z \succ y - y \prec z) \\ &= \alpha(z) \succ (x \succ y) - \alpha(x) \prec (z \prec y) + \alpha(x) \prec (z \succ y) - \alpha(x) \prec (z \prec y) \\ &= \alpha(z) \succ (x \succ y) - \alpha(x) \prec (z \prec y) + \alpha(x) \prec (z \succ y) - \alpha(x) \prec (z \prec y) \\ &= \alpha(z) \succ (x \prec y) - (x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $ad_z(x \prec y) = ad_z(x) \prec \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \prec ad_z(y)$.

On the other hand,

$$ad_z(x \succ y) = (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) - \alpha(z) \succ (x \succ y),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ad_z(x) \succ \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \succ ad_z(y) &= (z \succ x - x \prec z) \succ \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \succ (z \succ y - y \prec z) \\ &= \alpha(z) \succ (x \succ y) - (x \prec z) \succ \alpha(y) + (x \succ z) \succ \alpha(y) - (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) \\ &= \alpha(z) \succ (x \succ y) - (x \prec z) \succ \alpha(y) + (x \prec z) \succ \alpha(y) - (x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z) \\ &= \alpha(z) \succ (x \succ y) - (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $ad_z(\succ y) = ad_z(x) \succ \alpha(y) + \alpha(x) \succ ad_z(y)$. Therefore, ad_z is an inner-derivation of \mathcal{A} . \square

Definition 2.12. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ be a Hom-anti-associative algebra. A **bimodule** (M, L, R, β) over $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ consists of:

- an \mathbb{F} -vector space M ,
- a linear map $\beta : M \rightarrow M$,
- a linear left action $l : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$.
- a linear right action $r : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}_F(M)$.

such that, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $m \in M$, the following identities are satisfied:

$$l(\alpha(a))(l(b)(m)) = -l(a * b)(\beta(m)) \quad (15)$$

$$r(\alpha(b))(r(a)(m)) = -r(a * b)(\beta(m)) \quad (16)$$

$$l(\alpha(a))(r(b)(m)) = -r(\alpha(b))(l(a)(m)) \quad (17)$$

$$\beta(l(a)(m)) = l(\alpha(a))(\beta(m)) \quad (18)$$

$$\beta(r(a)(m)) = r(\alpha(a))(\beta(m)). \quad (19)$$

In particular, $(\mathcal{A}, l_*, r_*, \alpha)$ is a bimodule over $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$, where $l_*, r_* : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are defined by

$$l_*(x)(y) = r_*(y)(x) = x * y \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Lemma 2.13. Let (V, l, r, β) be a bimodule over an Hom-anti-associative algebra \mathcal{A} . Then (V^*, r^*, l^*, β^*) is also a bimodule over an Hom-anti-associative algebra \mathcal{A} , where for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $u^* \in V^*$, $v \in V$, the actions l^*, r^* and β^* are defined by:

$$\beta^*(u^*)(v) = u^*(\beta(v)), \quad \langle l^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle u^*, l(x)v \rangle, \quad \langle r^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle u^*, r(x)v \rangle$$

$\forall x \in \mathcal{A}, u^* \in V^*, v \in V$.

Proof. 1. For all u^* and v ,

$$\langle \beta^* l^*(x)u^*, v \rangle = \langle l^*(x)u^*, \beta(v) \rangle = \langle u^*, l(x)\beta(v) \rangle.$$

But $l(x)\beta = \beta l(\alpha(x))$, so:

$$= \langle u^*, \beta l(\alpha(x))v \rangle = \langle \beta^* u^*, l(\alpha(x))v \rangle = \langle l^*(\alpha(x))\beta^* u^*, v \rangle.$$

Therefore, $\beta^* l^*(x) = l^*(\alpha(x))\beta^*$.

2. The same argument for r^* :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \beta^* r^*(x) u^*, v \rangle &= \langle r^*(x) u^*, \beta(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle u^*, r(x) \beta(v) \rangle = \langle u^*, \beta r(\alpha(x)) v \rangle \\ &= \langle \beta^* u^*, r(\alpha(x)) v \rangle = \langle r^*(\alpha(x)) \beta^* u^*, v \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

3. For $l^*(\mu(x, y)) \beta^*$:

$$\langle l^*(\mu(x, y)) \beta^* u^*, v \rangle = \langle \beta^* u^*, l(\mu(x, y)) v \rangle = u^*(\beta(l(\mu(x, y)) v)).$$

But $l(\mu(x, y)) \beta = -l(\alpha(x)) l(y)$, so:

$$= u^*(-l(\alpha(x)) l(y) v) = -u^*(l(\alpha(x)) l(y) v) = -\langle l^*(\alpha(x)) u^*, l(y) v \rangle = -\langle l^*(\alpha(x)) l^*(y) u^*, v \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$l^*(\mu(x, y)) \beta^* = -l^*(\alpha(x)) l^*(y).$$

4. Similarly, for r^* :

$$\langle r^*(\mu(x, y)) \beta^* u^*, v \rangle = \langle \beta^* u^*, r(\mu(x, y)) v \rangle = u^*(\beta(r(\mu(x, y)) v)).$$

But $r(\mu(x, y)) \beta = -r(\alpha(y)) r(x)$, so:

$$= -u^*(r(\alpha(y)) r(x) v) = -\langle r^*(\alpha(y)) u^*, r(x) v \rangle = -\langle r^*(\alpha(y)) r^*(x) u^*, v \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$r^*(\mu(x, y)) \beta^* = -r^*(\alpha(y)) r^*(x).$$

5. Finally,

$$\langle r^*(\alpha(y)) l^*(x) u^*, v \rangle = \langle l^*(x) u^*, r(\alpha(y)) v \rangle = \langle u^*, l(x) r(\alpha(y)) v \rangle.$$

But $r(\alpha(y)) l(x) = -l(\alpha(x)) r(y)$, so:

$$= \langle u^*, -l(\alpha(x)) r(y) v \rangle = -\langle l^*(\alpha(x)) u^*, r(y) v \rangle = -\langle l^*(\alpha(x)) r^*(y) u^*, v \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$r^*(\alpha(y)) l^*(x) = -l^*(\alpha(x)) r^*(y).$$

This ends the proof. □

Theorem 2.14. *Let \mathcal{A} be a vector space with two bilinear operations*

$$\succ: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad \prec: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A},$$

and a linear map $\alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Define a bilinear operation $$ as*

$$x * y = x \succ y + x \prec y, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{20}$$

*Then $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is a Hom-rhizaform algebra if and only if $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is an Hom-anti-associative algebra and $(\mathcal{A}, L_* R_*, \alpha)$ is a bimodule over $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$, where the two maps $L_*, R_*: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are defined by*

$$L_*(x)(y) = x \succ y, \quad R_*(x)(y) = y \prec x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. From Eqs. (5), (8), (9), and (10), it follows that $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is a Hom-rhizaform algebra if and only if $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is an Hom-anti-associative admissible algebra, that is, $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is an Hom-anti-associative algebra, and for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$, the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) &= -(x * y) \succ \alpha(z), \\ (x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z) &= -\alpha(x) \prec (y * z), \\ (x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z) &= -\alpha(x) \succ (y \prec z).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{A}, L_*, R_*, \alpha)$ is a bimodule. \square

3. Hom-Rhizaform algebras and O-operators

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ be an Hom-anti-associative algebra, and (V, L, R, β) a bimodule over $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called an *O-operator* of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ associated to (V, L, R, β) if

$$T \circ \beta = \alpha \circ T, \quad \text{and} \quad T(u) * T(v) = T(L(T(u))(v) + R(T(v))(u)), \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

In particular, an O-operator T of $(A, *, \alpha)$ associated to the bimodule (A, L_*, R_*, α) is called a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0, that is, $T : A \rightarrow A$ is a linear map satisfying

$$T(x) * T(y) = T(T(x) * y + x * T(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in A,$$

and

$$T \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ T.$$

In these case, we call (A, T, α) a Rota-Baxter Hom-algebra of weight 0.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$ be a Hom-anti-associative algebra and (V, L, R, β) be a bimodule. Suppose that $T : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an O-operator of $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$ associated to (V, L, R, β) . Define two bilinear operations \succ, \prec on V respectively as

$$u \succ v = L(T(u))(v), \quad u \prec v = R(T(v))(u), \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (21)$$

Then (V, \succ, \prec, β) is a Hom-rhizaform algebra. In this case, T is a homomorphism of Hom-anti-associative algebras from the associated Hom-anti-associative algebra $(V, *, \beta)$ to $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$. Furthermore, there is an induced Hom-rhizaform algebra structure on

$$T(V) = \{T(u) \mid u \in V\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

given by

$$T(u) \succ T(v) = T(u \succ v), \quad T(u) \prec T(v) = T(u \prec v), \quad \forall u, v \in V, \quad (22)$$

and T is a homomorphism of Hom-rhizaform algebras.

Proof. Left-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}(u \succ v + u \prec v) \succ \beta(w) &= L(T(L(T(u))(v)))(\beta(w)) + L(T(R(T(v))(u)))(\beta(w)) \\ &= L(T(u) \cdot T(v))(\beta(w))\end{aligned}$$

Right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}-\beta(u) \succ (v \succ w) &= -L(T(\beta(u)))(L(T(v))(w)) \\ &= -L(\alpha(T(u)))(L(T(v))(w)) \\ &(\text{since } T \circ \beta = \alpha \circ T)\end{aligned}$$

Since (V, L, R, β) is a bimodule of the Hom-anti-associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$, we have:

$$L(T(u) \cdot T(v))(\beta(w)) = -L(\alpha(T(u)))(L(T(v))(w)).$$

Then

$$(u \succ v + u \prec v) \succ \beta(w) = -\beta(u) \succ (v \succ w)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u \prec v) \prec \beta(w) &= -\beta(u) \prec (v \succ w + v \prec w), \\ (u \succ v) \prec \beta(w) &= -\beta(u) \succ (v \prec w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Definition 5, (V, \succ, \prec, β) is a Hom-rhizaform algebra.

$$\begin{aligned} T(u * v) &= T(u \succ v + u \prec v) = T(u \succ v) + T(u \prec v) \\ &= T(L(T(u))(v)) + T(L(T(v))(u)) \\ &= T(u) \cdot T(v), \quad \forall u, v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

and the relation $T \circ \beta = \alpha \circ T$ shows that T is a homomorphism of Hom anti-associative algebras from the associated Hom anti-associative algebra $(V, *, \beta)$ to $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$. Furthermore, for any $u, v, w \in V$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(T(u)) \succ (T(v) \succ T(w)) &= T(\beta(u)) \succ (T(v) \succ T(w)) \\ &= T(\beta(u) \succ (v \succ w)) \\ &= -T(u \succ v) \succ T(\beta(w)) - T(u \prec v) \succ T(\beta(w)) \\ &= -(T(u) \succ T(v)) \succ T(\beta(w)) - (T(u) \prec T(v)) \succ T(\beta(w)) \\ &= -(T(u) \succ T(v) + T(u) \prec T(v)) \succ T(\beta(w)) \\ &= -(T(u) \succ T(v) + T(u) \prec T(v)) \succ \alpha(T(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} (T(u) \prec T(v)) \prec \alpha(T(w)) &= -\alpha(T(u)) \prec (T(v) \succ T(w) + T(v) \prec T(w)), \\ (T(u) \succ T(v)) \prec \alpha(T(w)) &= -\alpha(T(u)) \succ (T(v) \prec T(w)), \end{aligned}$$

which imply that $T(V)$ is a Hom-rhizaform algebra. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ be a Hom-anti-associative algebra and R be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0. Then the triple $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is a Hom-rhizaform algebra, where*

$$x \succ y = R(x) * y, \quad x \prec y = x * R(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ be a Hom-anti-associative algebra. Then there exists a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ if and only if there exists an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$. Then

$$x * y = x \succ y + x \prec y = L_*(x)y + R_*(y)x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence the identity map $\text{Id} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ associated to the bimodule $(\mathcal{A}, L_*, R_*, \alpha)$. Conversely, suppose that $T : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an invertible \mathcal{O} -operator of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ associated to (V, l, r, β) . Then by theorem 3.2, there exists a compatible rhizaform algebra structure on V and $T(V)$ defined by equation 21 and equation 22 respectively. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exist $u, v \in V$ such that $x = T(u)$, $y = T(v)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= T(u) * T(v) = T(l(T(u))v + r(T(v))u) \\ &= T(u \succ v + u \prec v) \\ &= T(u) \succ T(v) + T(u) \prec T(v) \\ &= x \succ y + x \prec y. \end{aligned}$$

So $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$. \square

4. Hom-Rhizaform algebras and Connes cocycles

In this section, we show that Hom-rhizaform algebras can be obtained from nondegenerate Connes cocycles of Hom-anti associative algebras.

Definition 4.1. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ be a Hom-anti-associative algebra. A bilinear form $B : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a *Connes cocycle* if it satisfies the condition:

$$B(a * b, \alpha(c)) + B(b * c, \alpha(a)) + B(c * a, \alpha(b)) = 0$$

$$\alpha \circ B = B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}, \quad \forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ be an Hom-anti-associative algebra and let B be a nondegenerate Connes cocycle on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$. Then there exists a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ defined by:

$$B(x \succ y, z) = B(y, z * x), \quad (23)$$

$$B(x \prec y, z) = B(x, y * z), \quad (24)$$

$\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}.$

Proof. Define a linear map $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ by $\langle T(x), y \rangle = B(x, y)$, $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^*$, and let $x = T^{-1}(f)$, $y = T^{-1}(g)$. Then

$$f = T(x), \quad g = T(y) \Rightarrow f(z) = B(x, z), \quad g(z) = B(y, z), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We want to show that:

$$x * y = T^{-1}(R^*(x)(g) + L^*(y)(f)).$$

We evaluate both sides on an element $z \in \mathcal{A}$. To do this, we use the identity:

$$B(x * y, z) = B(x, y * z) + B(y, z * x).$$

Now observe:

$$R^*(x)(g)(z) = g(z * x) = B(y, z * x),$$

$$L^*(y)(f)(z) = f(y * z) = B(x, y * z),$$

so that:

$$R^*(x)(g)(z) + L^*(y)(f)(z) = B(y, z * x) + B(x, y * z) = B(x * y, z).$$

Therefore, for all $z \in A$,

$$B(x * y, z) = (R^*(x)(g) + L^*(y)(f))(z),$$

which implies (by the non-degeneracy of B) that:

$$x * y = T^{-1}(R^*(x)(g) + L^*(y)(f)).$$

Let $f \in A^*$, and let $x = T^{-1}(f)$, so that $f(y) = B(x, y)$.

Then:

$$\alpha^*(f)(y) = f(\alpha(y)) = B(x, \alpha(y)) = B(\alpha(x), y) \quad (\text{by invariance}).$$

Therefore:

$$\alpha^*(f) = T(\alpha(x)) = T(\alpha(T^{-1}(f))) \Rightarrow T^{-1}(\alpha^*(f)) = \alpha(T^{-1}(f)).$$

Thus we obtain:

$$T^{-1} \circ \alpha^* = \alpha \circ T^{-1}.$$

we conclude that T^{-1} is an \mathcal{O} -operator of $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ associated to the bimodule $(\mathcal{A}^*, R^*, L^*, \alpha^*)$.

By Theorem 3.4, there is a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure \succ, \prec on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x \succ y &= T^{-1}(R^*(x)(T(y))), \\ x \prec y &= T^{-1}(L^*(y)(T(x))), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives exactly Equation 24. □

5. Nilpotent Hom-rhizaform algebras

Definition 5.1. A Hom-Rhizaform algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is said to be *right nilpotent* (respectively, *left nilpotent*) if it is *right nilpotent* (respectively, *left nilpotent*) as an algebra :

Let A be an n -dimensional rhizaform algebra and (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) a basis of A . An algebra structure on A is determined by a set of structure constants α_{ij}^k and β_{lp}^q defined by

$$e_i \succ e_j = \sum_s \gamma_{ij}^s e_s, \quad e_l \prec e_p = \sum_t \delta_{lp}^t e_t, \quad 1 \leq i, j, l, p \leq n.$$

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be subsets of \mathcal{A} . We define

$$\mathcal{M} \diamond \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{M} \succ \mathcal{N} + \mathcal{M} \prec \mathcal{N},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} \succ \mathcal{N} &= \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1} \alpha_{ij} d_i \succ d_j \mid \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, d_i \in \mathcal{M}, d_j \in \mathcal{N} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{M} \prec \mathcal{N} &= \left\{ \sum_{k,s=1} \beta_{ks} d_k \prec d_s \mid \beta_{ks} \in \mathbb{C}, d_k \in \mathcal{M}, d_s \in \mathcal{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

consider the following series:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\langle 1 \rangle} &= \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{\langle k+1 \rangle} = \mathcal{A}^{\langle k \rangle} \diamond \mathcal{A}, \\ \mathcal{A}^{\{1\}} &= \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{\{k+1\}} = \mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{A}^{\{k+1\}}, \\ \mathcal{A}^1 &= \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{k+1} = \mathcal{A}^1 \diamond \mathcal{A}^k + \mathcal{A}^2 \diamond \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + \dots + \mathcal{A}^k \diamond \mathcal{A}^1. \end{aligned}$$

A Rhizaform algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *right nilpotent* (respectively, *left nilpotent*), if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (respectively, $p \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $\mathcal{A}^{\langle k \rangle} = 0$ (respectively, $\mathcal{A}^{\{p\}} = 0$). A Rhizaform algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *nilpotent* if there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}^s = 0$.

Note that for a multiplicative Hom-Lie algebra \mathcal{A} we have $\alpha(\mathcal{A}^n) \subset \mathcal{A}^n$ for any n .

Lemma 5.2. For any $g, h \in \mathbb{N}$ the following inclusions hold:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\langle g \rangle} \diamond \mathcal{A}^{\langle h \rangle} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\langle g+h \rangle}, \quad \mathcal{A}^g \diamond \mathcal{A}^h \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{g+h}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ be a Hom-Rhizaform algebra. Then for any $g \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:*

$$\mathcal{A}^{\langle g \rangle} = \mathcal{A}^{\{g\}} = \mathcal{A}^g.$$

In conclusion, the concepts of left nilpotency, right nilpotency, and over all nilpotency are equivalent in Hom-rhizaform algebras.

Theorem 5.4. *A Hom-Rhizaform algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is nilpotent if and only if $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \alpha)$ are nilpotent.*

Proof. It is clear that from the nilpotency of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ follows the nilpotency of $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \alpha)$. Now let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \prec, \alpha)$ be nilpotent algebras, i.e., there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\succ}^s = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\prec}^t = 0$. Then, for $p \succ s + t - 2$ we have $\mathcal{A}^p = 0$. \square

Definition 5.5. A Hom-rhizaform algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is 2-nilpotent if

$$(x *_{i_1} y) *_{i_2} \alpha(z) = \alpha(x) *_{i_3} (y *_{i_4} z) = 0, \quad \forall *_{i_1}, *_{i_2}, *_{i_3}, *_{i_4} \in \{\succ, \prec\}, x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proposition 5.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ be a Hom-rhizaform algebra satisfying*

$$x \succ y + x \prec y = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha)$ is a 2-nilpotent algebra.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) &= -(x \succ y + x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) = 0, \\ (x \prec y) \prec z &= -\alpha(x) \prec (y \succ z + y \prec z) = 0, \\ \alpha(x) \prec (y \succ z) &= \alpha(x) \prec (y \succ z) + \alpha(x) \succ (y \succ z) = 0, \\ (x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) &= (x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) + (x \prec y) \prec \alpha(z) = 0, \\ (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) &= (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) + (x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) \\ &= (x \succ y + x \prec y) \succ \alpha(z) = 0, \\ \alpha(x) \prec (y \prec z) &= \alpha(x) \prec (y \prec z) + \alpha(x) \prec (y \succ z) \\ &= \alpha(x) \prec (y \prec z + y \succ z) = 0, \\ (x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z) &= (x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z) + (x \succ y) \succ \alpha(z) = 0, \\ \alpha(x) \succ (y \prec z) &= (x \succ y) \prec \alpha(z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. \square

6. Hom-Rhizaform family Algebras

Definition 6.1. Let (Ω, \cdot) be a semi group. A Hom-Rhizaform family algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \{\prec_{\gamma}, \succ_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Omega\}, \alpha)$ is a k -vector space \mathcal{A} equipped with a family of binary operations $(\prec_{\gamma}, \succ_{\gamma}; \gamma \in \Omega)$ and a linear map $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda, \omega \in \Omega$, the following conditions hold:

$$\alpha \circ \prec_{\omega} = \prec_{\omega} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \circ \succ_{\omega} = \succ_{\omega} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2} \quad (25)$$

$$(x \prec_{\lambda} y) \prec_{\omega} \alpha(z) = -\alpha(x) \prec_{\lambda \cdot \omega} (y \prec_{\omega} z + y \succ_{\lambda} z) \quad (26)$$

$$(x \succ_{\lambda} y) \prec_{\omega} \alpha(z) = -\alpha(x) \succ_{\lambda} (y \prec_{\omega} z) \quad (27)$$

$$(x \prec_{\omega} y + x \succ_{\lambda} y) \succ_{\lambda \cdot \omega} \alpha(z) = -\alpha(x) \succ_{\lambda} (y \succ_{\omega} z) \quad (28)$$

Remark 6.1. When the semi group is taken to be the trivial monoid with one single element, a Hom-rhizaform family algebra is precisely a Hom-rhizaform algebra. Furthermore, a Hom-rhizaform family algebra reduces to a rhizaform family algebra when $\alpha = \text{Id}_A$.

Definition 6.2. Let Ω be a semi group. A Hom-anti-associative family algebras $(A, \cdot_\lambda, \alpha)_{\lambda \in \Omega}$ is a vector space \mathcal{A} equipped with families of bilinear map

$$*_{\lambda, \omega} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

and a linear map $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$(x *_{\lambda, \omega} y) *_{\lambda, \omega, \gamma} \alpha(z) = -\alpha(x) *_{\lambda, \omega, \gamma} (y *_{\omega, \gamma} z), \quad (29)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda, \omega, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Remark 6.2. When the semi group is taken to be the trivial monoid with one single element, a Hom-anti-associative family algebra is precisely a Hom-anti-associative algebra. Furthermore, a Hom-anti-associative family algebra reduces to a family of classical associative algebras parameterized by Ω When $\alpha = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \{\prec_\omega, \succ_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}, \alpha)$ be a Hom-rhizaform family algebra. Define a bilinear operation $*_{\lambda, \Omega}$ by

$$x *_{\lambda, \Omega} y := x \prec_\omega y + x \succ_\lambda y.$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\lambda, \Omega}, \alpha)$ is a Hom-anti-associative family algebra, called the associated Hom-anti-associative family algebra. Furthermore, $(\mathcal{A}, \{\prec_\omega, \succ_\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega\}, \alpha)$ is called a compatible Hom-rhizaform algebra structure on $(\mathcal{A}, *_{\lambda, \Omega}, \alpha)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (x *_{\lambda, \Omega} y) *_{\lambda, \omega, \gamma} \alpha(z) &= (x \prec_\omega y + x \succ_\lambda y) \prec_\gamma \alpha(z) + (x \prec_\omega y + x \succ_\lambda y) \succ_{\lambda\omega} \alpha(z) \\ &= (x \prec_\omega y) \prec_\gamma \alpha(z) + (x \succ_\lambda y) \prec_\gamma \alpha(z) - \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (y \succ_\omega z) \\ &= -\alpha(x) \prec_{\omega\gamma} (y \prec_\gamma z + y \succ_\omega z) - \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (y \prec_\gamma z) - \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (y \succ_\omega z) \\ &= -\alpha(x) \prec_{\omega\gamma} (y \prec_\gamma z + y \succ_\omega z) - \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (y \prec_\gamma z + y \succ_\omega z) \\ &= -\alpha(x) *_{\lambda, \omega, \gamma} (y *_{\omega, \gamma} z). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. \square

Definition 6.4. A Rota-Baxter family of weight 0 on a Hom-anti-associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ is a collection of linear operators $\{R_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Omega\}$ on $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ such that

$$\alpha \circ R_\lambda = R_\lambda \circ \alpha, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Omega, \quad (30)$$

$$R_\lambda(a) * R_\omega(b) = R_{\lambda\omega}(R_\lambda(a) * b + a * R_\omega(b)), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda, \omega \in \Omega. \quad (31)$$

Then the quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha, \{R_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Omega\})$ is called a Rota-Baxter family Hom-anti-associative algebra of weight 0.

If $\alpha = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ we get the notion of a Rota-Baxter family of weight 0 on the associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *)$.

Definition 6.5. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha, \{R_\lambda, \lambda \in \Omega\})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \top, \beta, \{P_\eta, \eta \in \Omega\})$ be two Rota-Baxter family Hom-algebras of weight 0. A map $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a Rota-Baxter family Hom-algebra morphism if f is Hom-algebras morphism and $f \circ R_\lambda = R_\lambda \circ f$ for each $\lambda \in \Omega$.

Now, we give a link between Rota-Baxter family Hom-algebras and ordinary Rota-Baxter Hom-algebra as follows. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha)$ be a Hom-algebra. Consider the space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega$ with the linear map

$$\tilde{\alpha} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega, \quad \tilde{\alpha}(a \otimes \lambda) = \alpha(a) \otimes \lambda.$$

Moreover, the multiplication on \mathcal{A} induces a multiplication \bullet on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega$ by

$$(a \otimes \lambda) \bullet (b \otimes \eta) := a \cdot b \otimes \lambda\eta.$$

It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega, \bullet, \tilde{\alpha})$ is a Hom-algebra. Moreover, if $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, \alpha, \{R_\lambda, \lambda \in \Omega\})$ is a Rota-Baxter family Hom-algebra of weight 0 then, $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega, \bullet, \tilde{\alpha}, R)$ is a Rota-Baxter Hom-algebra of weight 0, where $R : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{K}\Omega, x \otimes \lambda \mapsto R_\lambda(x) \otimes \lambda$.

Proposition 6.6. *A Rota-Baxter family $\{R_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Omega\}$ of weight 0 on a Hom-anti-associative algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ induces a Hom-rhizaform family algebra*

$$(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha, \{\prec_\lambda, \succ_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Omega\})$$

where the operations \prec_λ and \succ_λ are defined by

$$a \prec_\lambda b = a * R_\lambda(b), \tag{32}$$

$$a \succ_\lambda b = R_\lambda(a) * b, \tag{33}$$

for all $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. First, the multiplicativity of α with respect to $*$ and Condition 30 imply the multiplicativity of α with respect to \prec_λ and \succ_λ for any $\lambda \in \Omega$. Next, for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda, \omega \in \Omega$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (x \prec_\lambda y) \prec_\omega \alpha(z) &= (x \cdot R_\lambda(y)) \prec_\omega \alpha(z) \\ &= (x \cdot R_\lambda(y)) \cdot R_\omega(\alpha(z)) \\ &= -\alpha(x) \cdot (R_\lambda(y) \cdot R_\omega(z)) \\ &= -\alpha(x) \cdot R_{\lambda\omega}(y \cdot R_\omega(z) + R_\lambda(y) \cdot z) \\ &= -\alpha(x) \prec_{\lambda\omega} (y \prec_\omega z + y \succ_\lambda z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (x \succ_\lambda y) \prec_\omega \alpha(z) &= (R_\lambda(x) \cdot y) \prec_\omega \alpha(z) \\ &= (R_\lambda(x) \cdot y) \cdot R_\omega(\alpha(z)) \\ &= -R_\lambda(\alpha(x)) \cdot (y \cdot R_\omega(z)) \\ &= -R_\lambda(\alpha(x)) \cdot (y \prec_\omega z) = \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (y \prec_\omega z). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (y \succ_\omega z) &= \alpha(x) \succ_\lambda (R_\omega(y) \cdot z) = R_\lambda(\alpha(x)) \cdot (R_\omega(y) \cdot z) \\ &= -(R_\lambda(x) \cdot R_\omega(y)) \cdot \alpha(z) = -R_{\lambda\omega}(R_\lambda(x) \cdot y + x \cdot R_\omega(y)) \cdot \alpha(z) \\ &= -(R_\lambda(x) \cdot y + x \cdot R_\omega(y)) \succ_{\lambda\omega} \alpha(z) \\ &= -(x \succ_\lambda y + x \prec_\omega y) \succ_{\lambda\omega} \alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. \square

7. Classification

This section describes the classification of Hom-Rhizaform algebras of dimension ≤ 3 over the field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0. The sets of all multiplicative and no multiplicative Hom-Rhizaform algebras are denoted by $\mathcal{A}_p^q(m)$ and $\mathcal{A}_p^q(nm)$ respectively.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \succ, \prec, \alpha, \beta)$ be an n -dimensional Hom-Rhizaform algebra, e_i be a basis of \mathcal{A} . For any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, let us put

$$e_i \succ e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_{ij}^k e_k \quad ; \quad e_i \prec e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{ij}^k e_k \quad ; \quad \alpha(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{ji} e_k.$$

The axioms in Definition 2.6 are respectively equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{ij}^k \alpha_{qk} - \alpha_{ki} \alpha_{jp} \gamma_{kp}^q = 0, \\ \delta_{ij}^k \alpha_{qk} - \alpha_{ki} \alpha_{jp} \delta_{kp}^q = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \delta_{ij}^p \alpha_{qk} \delta_{pq}^r + \gamma_{ij}^p \alpha_{qk} \gamma_{pq}^r + \alpha_{pi} \gamma_{jk}^q \delta_{pq}^r = 0, \\ \alpha_{pi} \delta_{jk}^q \gamma_{pq}^r + \alpha_{pi} \gamma_{jk}^p \gamma_{pq}^r + \gamma_{ij}^p \alpha_{qk} \gamma_{pq}^r = 0, \\ \alpha_{pi} \gamma_{jk}^q \delta_{pq}^r + \delta_{ij}^p \alpha_{qk} \gamma_{pq}^r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.1. *The isomorphism class of 2-dimensional Hom-Rhizaform algebras is given by the following representatives:*

- $\mathcal{A}_1(m) : \begin{cases} e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1 & \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ e_2 \prec e_2 = e_1 & \alpha(e_2) = e_1 + e_2 \end{cases}$
- $\mathcal{A}_2(m) : \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_1 & \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1 & \end{cases} \quad \alpha(e_2) = e_1.$
- $\mathcal{A}_3(m) : \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1 & \end{cases} \quad \alpha(e_2) = e_1.$
- $\mathcal{A}_4(nm) : \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_1 \end{cases} \quad \alpha(e_2) = e_1.$
- $\mathcal{A}_5(nm) : \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_1 = e_2 & e_1 \prec e_1 = e_2 \\ e_1 \succ e_2 = e_2 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_2 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_2 \end{cases} \quad \alpha(e_2) = e_2.$
- $\mathcal{A}_6(nm) : \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_1 \end{cases} \quad \alpha(e_1) = e_1$
- $\mathcal{A}_7(m) : \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_1 = e_2 & \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ e_1 \prec e_1 = e_2 & \alpha(e_2) = e_2. \end{cases}$

Theorem 7.2. *The isomorphism class of 3-dimensional Hom-Rhizaform algebras is given by the following representatives:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\bullet \mathcal{A}_1(m) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_1 + e_3 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_1 + \frac{3}{2}e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_1 + e_3 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_1 + e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_3 = e_1 + e_3 & e_2 \prec e_3 = e_1 + e_3 \\ e_3 \succ e_2 = e_1 + e_3 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_1 + e_3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_2) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_3) = e_2. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_2(m) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_1 \\ e_2 \succ e_3 = e_1 & e_2 \prec e_3 = e_1 \\ e_3 \succ e_2 = e_1 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_2) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_3) = e_3. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_3(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_1 = e_2 & e_1 \prec e_3 = e_2 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_2 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_1 = e_2 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_3 = e_2 & e_3 \prec e_3 = e_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_2) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_3) = e_3. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_4(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_1 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_1 = e_3 \\ e_1 \succ e_2 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_2 = \eta e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_3 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = \frac{1}{4}e_3 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_2) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_3) = e_3. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_5(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_1 = e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_3 & e_2 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_3 = e_3 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_2) = e_1 + e_2. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_6(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_3 = e_1 + e_2 & e_1 \prec e_3 = e_1 + e_2 \\ e_2 \succ e_3 = e_1 + e_2 & e_2 \prec e_3 = e_1 + e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_1 = e_1 - e_2 & e_3 \prec e_1 = e_1 + e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_2 = e_1 + e_2 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_1 + e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_3 = e_2 & e_3 \prec e_3 = \eta e_1 + e_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_2) = e_1 + e_2. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_7(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_1 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_1 = e_3 \\ e_1 \succ e_2 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = e_3 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = -e_3 & e_2 \prec e_2 = \eta e_3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_2) = e_1 + e_2 \\ \alpha(e_3) = e_3. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_8(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_3 = e_2 & e_1 \prec e_3 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_1 = -e_2 & e_3 \prec e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_3 = e_2 & e_3 \prec e_3 = \frac{1}{4}e_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_2) = e_1 + e_2 \\ \alpha(e_3) = e_2 + e_3. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_9(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_1 = e_2 & e_1 \prec e_3 = -e_2 \\ e_1 \succ e_3 = e_2 & e_2 \prec e_3 = e_2 \\ e_2 \succ e_3 = e_2 & e_3 \prec e_1 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_2 = -e_2 & e_3 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_3 \succ e_3 = \eta e_2 & e_3 \prec e_3 = \frac{1}{2}e_2 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_2) = e_2. \end{matrix} \\
\bullet \mathcal{A}_{10}(nm) : & \begin{cases} e_1 \succ e_2 = e_3 & e_1 \prec e_2 = e_2 \\ e_2 \succ e_1 = -e_3 & e_2 \prec e_1 = e_3 \\ e_2 \succ e_2 = e_3 & e_2 \prec e_2 = -2e_3 \\ e_3 \succ e_3 = e_3 & e_3 \prec e_3 = e_3 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} \alpha(e_1) = e_1 \\ \alpha(e_2) = e_2. \end{matrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\mathcal{A}_1 : \begin{array}{l} \omega(e_1, e_3) = c_{13}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_2, e_3) = c_{23}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) = c_{33}^1 e_1 \end{array} & \mathcal{A}_2 : \begin{array}{l} \omega(e_1, e_2) = c_{12}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_2, e_2) = c_{22}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) = c_{32}^1 e_1 \end{array} & \mathcal{A}_3 : \begin{array}{l} \omega(e_1, e_2) = c_{12}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_2, e_2) = c_{22}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) = c_{32}^1 e_1 + c_{31}^1 e_2 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) = c_{33}^1 e_3 \end{array} & \mathcal{A}_4 : \begin{array}{l} \omega(e_1, e_2) = c_{12}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_2, e_2) = c_{22}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) = c_{32}^1 e_1 \end{array} \\
\mathcal{A}_5 : \begin{array}{l} \omega(e_1, e_3) = c_{13}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_2, e_3) = c_{23}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) = c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{array} & \mathcal{A}_6 : \begin{array}{l} \omega(e_1, e_3) = c_{13}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_2, e_3) = c_{23}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_1) = c_{31}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) = c_{32}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) = c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{array} & \mathcal{A}_7 : \omega(e_i, e_j) = 0 & \mathcal{A}_8 : \omega(e_i, e_j) = 0
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}_9 : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_3) &= c_{13}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_2, e_3) &= c_{23}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) &= c_{32}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) &= c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{10} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_3) &= c_{13}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_2, e_3) &= c_{23}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) &= c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{11} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_2) &= c_{12}^2 e_2 \\ \omega(e_2, e_2) &= c_{22}^2 e_2 \\ \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^1 e_1 + c_{31}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) &= c_{32}^3 e_2 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) &= c_{33}^1 e_1 + c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} \\
 \mathcal{A}_{12} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_2) &= c_{12}^2 e_2 \\ \omega(e_2, e_2) &= c_{22}^2 e_2 \\ \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^1 e_1 + c_{31}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) &= c_{32}^3 e_2 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) &= c_{33}^1 e_1 + c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{13} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_1) &= c_{11}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_2, e_1) &= c_{21}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^1 e_1 \end{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_{14} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_1) &= c_{11}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_2, e_1) &= c_{21}^1 e_1 \\ \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^1 e_1 \end{aligned} \\
 \mathcal{A}_{15} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_1) &= c_{11}^1 e_1 + c_{11}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_1, e_2) &= c_{12}^2 e_2 \\ \omega(e_1, e_3) &= c_{13}^1 e_1 + c_{13}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} & & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^1 e_1 + c_{31}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) &= c_{32}^2 e_2 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) &= c_{33}^1 e_1 + c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} \\
 \mathcal{A}_{16} : \quad & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_1, e_1) &= c_{11}^1 e_1 + c_{11}^2 e_2 + c_{11}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_1, e_2) &= c_{12}^1 e_1 + c_{12}^2 e_2 + c_{12}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_1, e_3) &= c_{13}^1 e_1 + c_{13}^2 e_2 + c_{13}^3 e_3 \end{aligned} & & \begin{aligned} \omega(e_3, e_1) &= c_{31}^1 e_1 + c_{31}^2 e_2 + c_{31}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_2) &= c_{32}^1 e_1 + c_{32}^2 e_2 + c_{32}^3 e_3 \\ \omega(e_3, e_3) &= c_{33}^1 e_1 + c_{33}^2 e_2 + c_{33}^3 e_3 \end{aligned}
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. Albevio, S., Omirov, B.A. and Rakhimov, I.S., (2006), *Classification of 4 dimensional nilpotent complex, Leibniz algebra*, Extrata mathematica, 3(1):197-210.
2. I. Basdouri, J. Lerbet, and B. Mosbahi, *Quasi-centroids and quasi-derivations of low-dimensional Zinbiel algebras*, Adv. Stud. Euro-Tbilisi Math. J. **18** (2025), no. 2, 253–268.
3. Basri W., Rakhimov I., Rikhsiboev I. *Classification of 3-Dimensional Complex Diassociative Algebras*, Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, No. 2 (2010).
4. Basri W., Rakhimov I., Rikhsiboev I. *Four-Dimensional Nilpotent Diassociative Algebras* Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications, Vol. 9, No. 1 (2015).
5. G. Baxter *An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 731-742.
6. Blokh, *A generalization of the concept of a Lie algebra*, In Doklady Akademii Nauk (Vol. 165, No. 3, pp. 471-473) Russian Academy of Sciences. A. Y. (1965)
7. K. Ebrahimi-Fard, J. Gracia-Bondia and F. Patras *A Lie theoretic approach to renormalization*, Comm. Math. Phys. 276 (2007), 519-549.
8. L. Foissy *Generalized dendriform algebras and typed binary trees*, preprint arXiv:2002.12120 (2020)
9. Graaf, W. A, *lassification of nilpotent associative algebras of small dimension*, C. Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, 18(2), 175-198. (2007).
10. L. Guo *Operated monoids, Motzkin paths and rooted trees*, J. Algebraic Combin. 29 (2009), 35-62.
11. J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov *Deformations of Lie algebras using derivations*, J. Algebra, 292 (2006), 314-361.
12. Hongsoopa, P., Janplee, P., Kimsang, C., Pongprasert, S., & Sirasuntorn, N. (2024). *On Algorithms for Computing Derivations and Antiderivations of Leibniz Algebras*, Science Essence Journal, 40(2), 45–57.
13. Iroda Choriyeve, Abror Khudoyberdiyev, *Classification of five-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras*, arXiv:2303.01905v1 [math.RA] 3 Mar 2023.
14. A. Makhlouf and A. Zahari, *Structure and classification of Hom-associative algebras*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. Math. **24** (2020), no. 1, 79–102.
15. B. Mosbahi, A. Zahari, and I. Basdouri, *Classification, α -inner derivations and α -centroids of finite-dimensional complex Hom-trialgebras*, Pure Appl. Math. J. **12** (2023), no. 5, 86–97. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.pamj.20231205.12>
16. N. Mansuroğlu and B. Mosbahi, *On structures of BiHom-superdialgebras and their derivations*, Adv. Appl. Math. Sci. **24** (2025), nos. 5–6, 27–41.
17. K. Uchino *Quantum analogy of Poisson geometry, related dendriform algebras and O-operators*, Lett. Math. Phys. 85 (2008), 91-109.
18. Zafar Normatov *Rhizaform algebras*, arXiv:2501.07302 [math.RA] 3 Jan 2025
19. Y. Y. Zhang and X. Gao *Free Rota-Baxter family algebras and (tri)dendriform family algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 301 (2019), 741-766.

- 20. Y. Y. Zhang, X. Gao and D. Manchon *Free (tri)dendriform family algebras*, J. Algebra 547(2020), 456-493.
- 21. Y. Y. Zhang and D. Manchon *Free pre-Lie family algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2003.00917(2020).
- 22. A. Zahari and I. Bakayoko, *On BiHom-associative dialgebras*, Open J. Math. Sci. **7** (2023), 96–117.
- 23. A. Zahari and A. Sania, *Classification of 3-dimensional Bihom-Associative and Bihom-Bialgebras*, Open J. Math. Sci. **9** (2025), 182–201.

¹DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, SFAX, TUNISIA

²DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, SFAX, TUNISI

³IRIMAS-DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF HAUTE ALSACE, MULHOUSE, FRANCE

Email address: ¹mariemj090@gmail.com

Email address: ²basdourimed@yahoo.fr

Email address: ³abdou-damdji.ahmed-zahari@uha.fr