

GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR SEMILINEAR DAMPED WAVE EQUATION WITH NONLINEARITIES OF DERIVATIVE TYPE

DINH VAN DUONG¹, TUAN ANH DAO^{1,*}

¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science and Technology
No.1 Dai Co Viet road, Hanoi, Vietnam

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the semilinear damped wave equation with nonlinearities of derivative type $|u_t|^p$. We observe that this problem admits a unique global (in time) solution with small initial data for all $p > 1$ in low spatial dimensions $n = 1, 2$. This result provides new insights into semilinear damped wave equations and complements the existing literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the following Cauchy problem for semilinear damped wave equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t = |u_t|^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ (u, u_t)(0, x) = \varepsilon(u_0, u_1)(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $p > 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ describes the size of initial data. The quantity $|u_t|^p$ is called the nonlinearity of derivative type.

We now present a brief overview of the research history of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t = F(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ (u, u_t)(0, x) = \varepsilon(u_0, u_1)(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

For the problem (2) with $F(u) \equiv 0$, known as the linear damped wave equations, Matsumura [9] was the first to obtain some basic decay estimates. Subsequently, it has been established that the damped wave equation has a diffusive structure as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since then, many papers have studied sharp $L^m - L^q$ estimates (with $1 \leq m \leq q \leq \infty$) for the linear problem (2), for example, [10, 4, 12] and the references cited therein.

Taking into account the semilinear problem (2) with $F(u) \not\equiv 0$, it has attracted the interest of many mathematicians over the years. For example, papers [16, 14] investigated the nonlinear term $F(u) \equiv u|u|^{p-1}$, whereas papers [21, 17] studied for $F(u) \equiv |u|^p$. From this, under the additional regularity L^1 for the initial data, the authors showed that the Fujita exponent $p_F(n) := 1 + 2/n$ is the critical exponent of these problems by proving the global existence of solutions when $p > p_F(n)$ and the existence of blow-up solutions in finite time when $p < p_F(n)$ with small initial data. Moreover, the paper [22] showed that the value $p = p_F(n)$ belongs to the blow-up range for $F(u) \equiv |u|^p$. Here, the critical exponent is understood as the threshold between the global (in time) existence of small data solutions and the blow-up of solutions even for small data. Recently, Ebert et al. [8] investigated the Cauchy problem (2) with nonlinear term $F(u) \equiv |u|^{p_F(n)}\mu(|u|)$ and established the sharp condition on $\mu(s)$ that separates the blow-up case from the global existence case. Specifically, this problem has a unique global (in time) small data solution if the *Dini condition* is satisfied. Moreover, it admits a blow-up solution in finite time for all spatial dimensions $n \geq 1$ if μ satisfies the *non-Dini condition*. Under additional regularity L^m for the initial data, with $m \in [1, 2]$, papers [14, 4] and references therein identified the critical exponent of problem (2) as

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A01, 35B33, 35L15, 35L71.

Key words and phrases. Global existence, Damped wave equations, Nonlinearity of derivative type.

* *Corresponding author:* Tuan Anh Dao (anh.daotuan@hust.edu.vn).

$p_c(m) = p_F(n/m) = 1 + 2m/n$. However, the authors didn't provide conclusions regarding the solution's properties when $p = p_c(m)$. Paper [12] has proved the existence of global mild solutions when $p = p_c(m)$ for all $m \in (1, 2]$ in small dimensional spaces along with some other conditions.

Following the methods and results developed for the damped wave equation, many mathematicians have broadened their study to the following classes of evolution equations:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + \mu_1(-\Delta)^{\sigma_1}u_t + \mu_2(-\Delta)^{\sigma_2}u_t + (-\Delta)^{\sigma}u = |u_t|^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ (u, u_t)(0, x) = \varepsilon(u_0, u_1)(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma/2 < \sigma_2 \leq \sigma$. The operator $(-\Delta)^{\sigma}$ stands for the fractional Laplacian with the σ -th power, well-known as high-order waves, where the parameter σ may be non-integer. In the non-integer case, it is determined by

$$(-\Delta)^{\sigma}\psi(x) = \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2\sigma}\widehat{\psi}(\xi))(x),$$

where $\psi \in H^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The term $(-\Delta)^{\theta}u_t$ for some $\theta \geq 0$ is called a damping term, specifically, it is often referred to the frictional (or external) damping, the parabolic like damping, the σ -evolution like damping and the visco-elastic (or strong) damping when $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \sigma_1 \in (0, \sigma/2)$, $\theta = \sigma_2 \in (\sigma/2, \sigma)$ and $\theta = \sigma$, respectively. Under the additional regularity L^1 for the initial data, the paper [4] investigated the problem (3) with only parabolic-like damping appearing, namely $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 = 0$, and derived the condition on the exponent p that ensures the existence of a unique global (in time) solution to (3) as follows:

$$\sigma_1 \in \left(0, \frac{\sigma}{2}\right), \quad 1 + \frac{2\sigma_1}{n} < p < 1 + \frac{4\sigma_1}{[n - 4\sigma_1]^+} \quad \text{and} \quad n\left[\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right]^+ (\sigma - 2\sigma_1) < 2\sigma_1,$$

where we denote by $[\eta]^+ := \max\{0, \eta\}$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, its positive part. Therefore, in the case of the frictional damping term, corresponding to $\sigma_1 = 0$, these conditions show certain contradictions. The problem containing the two damping mechanisms, corresponding to $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, paper [3] is discussed for $\sigma_1 = 0, \sigma = \sigma_2 = 1$. The author found the global existence of small data solutions to (3) when $p \in (1, 1 + 2/n)$ in any space dimension $n \geq 1$ by using energy estimates and $L^1 - L^1$ estimates. After that, paper [2] examined the situation $\sigma = 1$, $0 \leq \sigma_1 < 1/2 < \sigma_2 \leq 1$ and established the following conditions on the exponent p guaranteeing the existence of a unique global (in time) solution:

$$1 + \frac{2\sigma_1}{n} < p \leq \frac{n}{n - 2\sigma_1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Further extending this line of research, the authors in [6, 1] considered the general case for $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ and obtained the condition on p , namely

$$p \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad p > 1 + \max\left\{\frac{n}{2}, 2(\sigma - \sigma_1)\right\}.$$

Based the above discussion, it appears that the earlier results encounter a singularity at the value $\sigma_1 = 0$, corresponding to frictional damping. It is conjectured that once this damping mechanism is involved, the semilinear problem (3) admits a unique global (in time) solution for all $p > 1$.

Motivated by these considerations, in this paper, our aim is to establish a unique global (in time) existence result for solutions with small initial data for the problem (1) in the presence of the damping term u_t . In particular, we obtain such a result for all $p > 1$ in spatial dimensions $n = 1, 2$. This also shows that when the nonlinear term $|u|^p$ is replaced by $|u_t|^p$, the critical exponent shifts to the left compared to the Fujita exponent.

Notations.

- We write $f \lesssim g$ when there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f \leq Cg$, and $f \sim g$ when $g \lesssim f \lesssim g$.
- For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $[\gamma]^+ := \max\{\gamma, 0\}$ and $[\gamma] := \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : k \geq \gamma\}$.

- In addition, we denote $\widehat{w}(t, \xi) := \mathfrak{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}(w(t, x))$ as the Fourier transform with respect to the spatial variable of a function $w(t, x)$ and \mathfrak{F}^{-1} represents the inverse Fourier transform.
- As usual, H_m^a and \dot{H}_m^a , with $m \in (1, \infty)$, $a \geq 0$, denote potential spaces based on L^m spaces. Here $\langle \nabla \rangle^a$ and $|\nabla|^a$ stand for the pseudo-differential operators with symbols $\langle \xi \rangle^a$ and $|\xi|^a$, respectively, where the symbol $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{|x|^2 + 1}$ denotes the Japanese bracket.

Main results. Let us state global (in time) existence of solutions with small initial data, which will be proved in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and the exponent*

$$p > \max \left\{ 1, \frac{n}{2} \right\}.$$

Moreover, we assume that $s \in (\frac{n}{2}, p)$ and denote that

$$\alpha := \min\{2, p\}, \quad \beta_\alpha := (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \delta_\alpha := n \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

In addition, the initial data satisfies

$$(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D} := (H^{s+1} \cap H_\alpha^{\delta_\alpha+1} \cap L^1) \times (H^s \cap H_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha} \cap L^1).$$

Then, there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, problem (1) admits a unique global (in time) solution

$$u \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), L^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty), H^s \cap L^\alpha).$$

Furthermore, the following estimates hold for all $t > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha} &\lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})-1} \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{D}}, \\ \|u_t(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim \varepsilon (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1-\frac{s}{2}} \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.1. From Theorem 1.1, it follows that the problem (1) has a unique global (in time) solution for all $p > 1$ in low dimensional spaces $n = 1, 2$.

2. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

2.1. Linear estimates and solution spaces. To begin with, we can write the solution to the linear problem (2), corresponding to $F(u) \equiv 0$, by the formula

$$u^{\text{lin}}(t, x) = \varepsilon(\mathcal{K}(t, x) + \partial_t \mathcal{K}(t, x)) *_x u_0(x) + \varepsilon \mathcal{K}(t, x) *_x u_1(x),$$

so that the solution to (1) becomes

$$u(t, x) = u^{\text{lin}}(t, x) + u^{\text{non}}(t, x),$$

thanks to Duhamel's principle, where

$$u^{\text{non}}(t, x) := \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t-\tau, x) *_x |u_t(\tau, x)|^p d\tau$$

and the Fourier transform of the linear kernel $\mathcal{K}(t, x)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sinh\left(t\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - |\xi|^2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - |\xi|^2}} & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sin\left(t\sqrt{|\xi|^2 - \frac{1}{4}}\right)}{\sqrt{|\xi|^2 - \frac{1}{4}}} & \text{if } |\xi| > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi_k = \chi_k(r)$ with $k \in \{L, H\}$ be smooth cut-off functions having the following properties:

$$\chi_L(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \leq \varepsilon^*/2, \\ 0 & \text{if } r \geq \varepsilon^* \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_H(r) = 1 - \chi_L(r),$$

where ε^* is a sufficiently small constant. It is obvious to see that $\chi_H(r) = 1$ if $r \geq \varepsilon^*$ and $\chi_H(r) = 0$ if $r \leq \varepsilon^*/2$. Now, we restate the important result as follows.

Lemma 2.1 (Linear estimates). *Let $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq \rho \leq q < \infty$, $q \neq 1$ and $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq 0$. Then, the following estimates hold for all $t > 0$ (see Theorem 1.1 in [12]):*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla|^{s_1} \mathcal{K}(t, x) *_x \varphi(x)\|_{L^q} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{\rho}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{s_1-s_2}{2}} \|\nabla|^{s_2} \chi_L(|\nabla|) \varphi\|_{L^\rho} + e^{-ct} \|\nabla|^{s_1} \chi_H(|\nabla|) \varphi\|_{H_q^{\beta_q-1}}, \\ \|\nabla|^{s_1} \partial_t \mathcal{K}(t, x) *_x \varphi(x)\|_{L^q} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{\rho}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{s_1-s_2}{2}-1} \|\nabla|^{s_2} \chi_L(|\nabla|) \varphi\|_{L^\rho} + e^{-ct} \|\nabla|^{s_1} \chi_H(|\nabla|) \varphi\|_{H_q^{\beta_q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a suitable positive constant and $\beta_q := (n-1)|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}|$. Moreover, we also obtain the following estimate (see Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 in [4]):

$$\|\nabla|^{s_1} \partial_t^2 \mathcal{K}(t, x) *_x g(x)\|_{L^q} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{\rho}-\frac{1}{q})-\frac{s_1}{2}-2} \|g\|_{L^\rho} + e^{-ct} \|g\|_{H_q^{\delta_q+s_1+1}},$$

where $\delta_q := n|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}|$.

Remark 2.1. The third estimate in Lemma 2.1 is used solely to determine the data space required to obtain the result in Theorem 1.1. The first and second estimates will be used frequently in our computations.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we define the following function spaces for $T > 0$

$$X(T) := L^\infty([0, T], H^s \cap L^\alpha),$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{X(T)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ (1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})+1} \|\varphi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha} + (1+t)^{\frac{n}{4}+1+\frac{s}{2}} \|\varphi(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \right\}$$

and

$$Y(T) := L^\infty([0, T], L^2),$$

with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{Y(T)} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \{(1+t) \|\varphi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}\}.$$

Moreover, we denote that

$$X(T, M) := \{u \in X(T) : \|u\|_{X(T)} \leq M\},$$

for all $M > 0$ and introduce the function space

$$Z(T) := \{\varphi \text{ is a first-order differentiable function on } [0, T] \text{ and } \varphi_t \in X(T)\}.$$

Following the proof of Lemma A.1 in [12] with some minor modifications, we may conclude the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. $X(T, M)$ is a closed subset of $Y(T)$ with respect to the metric $Y(T)$ for all $M > 0$.

Next, we define the operator \mathcal{N} on the space $Z(T)$

$$\mathcal{N}[u] := u^{\text{lin}} + u^{\text{non}}. \quad (4)$$

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Firstly, we will prove the following auxiliary results.

Lemma 2.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the following estimates hold for all $u \in Z(T)$, $\tau > 0$ and $\sigma \geq 1$:

$$\| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^\sigma} \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{\sigma})} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \quad (5)$$

$$\| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{\dot{H}_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha}} \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{\alpha}) - \frac{\beta_\alpha}{2}} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \quad (6)$$

$$\| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{s}{2} + \kappa} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \quad (7)$$

where κ is an arbitrarily small positive constant.

Proof. Using Proposition A.1 we arrive at

$$\| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^\sigma} = \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^{p\sigma}}^p \lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha}^{p(1-\omega_0)} \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^{p\omega_0} \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{\sigma})} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p,$$

where we note that the following condition is satisfied due to $s > n/2$:

$$\omega_0 := \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{p\sigma}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{n}} \in [0, 1].$$

This leads to the estimate (5) is proved. Next, we prove the estimate (6). If $\beta_\alpha = 0$, it is estimate (5) with $\sigma = \alpha$. Otherwise, we apply Propositions A.1 and A.4 with $p > n/2 > [\beta_\alpha]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{\dot{H}_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha}} &\lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^{r_1}}^{p-1} \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}_{r_2}^{\beta_\alpha}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha}^{(p-1)(1-\omega_1)+1-\omega_2} \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^{(p-1)\omega_1+\omega_2} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{\alpha}) - \frac{\beta_\alpha}{2}} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

provided that there exist parameters $r_1, r_2 > 1$ satisfying

$$\omega_1 := \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{r_1}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{n}} \in [0, 1]; \quad \omega_2 := \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{\beta_\alpha}{n}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{n}} \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{p-1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}.$$

From these constrain and $\beta_\alpha < n/2 < s$, we can choose

$$\frac{1}{r_1} := \frac{\kappa}{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{r_2} := \frac{1}{\alpha} - \kappa.$$

Therefore, the estimate (6) is proved. Finally, thanks to Propositions A.1, A.2 and A.3 with

$$\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2\kappa}{p-1} =: d < \frac{n}{2} < s < p,$$

we gain

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \left(\|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^d} + \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{s}{2} + \kappa} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can conclude the estimate (7). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Proposition 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the following estimate holds for all $u \in Z(T)$:*

$$\|\partial_t u^{\text{non}}\|_{X(T)} \lesssim \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3 combined with Lemma 2.1 for $\rho = 1, q = \alpha, s_1 = s_2 = 0$ for $\tau \in [0, t/2]$, and $\rho = q = \alpha, s_1 = s_2 = 0$ for $\tau \in (t/2, t]$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u^{\text{non}}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha} &\lesssim \int_0^{t/2} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})-1} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^1 \cap \dot{H}_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t/2}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-1} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^\alpha \cap \dot{H}_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})-1} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p \int_0^{t/2} (1+\tau)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} d\tau \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p \int_{t/2}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-1} d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})-1} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where we can see that

$$-p - \frac{n}{2}(p-1) < -1 \text{ for all } p > 1.$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\|\partial_t u^{\text{non}}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\alpha} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})-1} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p. \quad (8)$$

Next, thanks to again Lemma 2.3 combined with Lemma 2.1 for $\rho = 1, q = 2, s_1 = s, s_2 = 0$ for $\tau \in [0, t/2]$, and $\rho = q = 2, s_1 = s_2 = s$ for $\tau \in (t/2, t]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u^{\text{non}}(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim \int_0^{t/2} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1-\frac{s}{2}} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^1 \cap \dot{H}^s} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t/2}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-1} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{\dot{H}^s} d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1-\frac{s}{2}} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p \int_0^{t/2} (1+t-\tau)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)} d\tau \\ &\quad + (1+t)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{s}{2}+\kappa} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p \int_{t/2}^t (1+t-\tau)^{-1} d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1-\frac{s}{2}} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where κ is an arbitrarily small positive constant. Then, we arrive at

$$\|\partial_t u^{\text{non}}(t, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-1-\frac{s}{2}} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p \quad (9)$$

Combining estimates (8) and (9), we complete the proof of Proposition 2.1. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the following estimate holds for all $u, v \in Z(T)$:*

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{N}[u] - \partial_t \mathcal{N}[v]\|_{Y(T)} \lesssim \|u_t - v_t\|_{Y(T)} \left(\|u_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|v_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \right).$$

Proof. From the definition of the spaces $Y(T)$ combined with Lemma 2.1 with $\rho = m \in (1, 2)$, $q = 2$ and $s_1 = s_2 = 0$, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{N}[u] - \partial_t \mathcal{N}[v]\|_{Y(T)} \lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ (1+t) \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{2})-1} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p - |v_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^m \cap L^2} d\tau \right\}.$$

Thanks to Hölder's inequality and Proposition A.1 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p - |v_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^m} &\lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot) - v_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \left(\|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^{\gamma(p-1)}}^{p-1} + \|v_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^{\gamma(p-1)}}^{p-1} \right) \\ &\lesssim (1+\tau)^{-1} \|u_t - v_t\|_{Y(T)} (1+\tau)^{-p+1-\frac{n}{2}(p-1-\frac{1}{\gamma})} \left(\|u_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|v_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \right) \\ &\lesssim (1+\tau)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{2})} \|u_t - v_t\|_{Y(T)} \left(\|u_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|v_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$m \in (1, 2), \quad \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\gamma(p-1)}}{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{n}} \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore, we can choose the parameter γ satisfies

$$0 < \frac{1}{\gamma} < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{\alpha} \right\}.$$

Next, using Propositions A.1 and A.3 with

$$\frac{n}{2} - \frac{2\kappa}{p-1} =: d < \frac{n}{2} < s < p,$$

one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^d} + \|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\ &\lesssim (1+\tau)^{-1-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{\kappa}{p-1}} \|u_t\|_{X(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \| |u_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p - |v_t(\tau, \cdot)|^p \|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|u_t(\tau, \cdot) - v_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \left(\|u_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1} + \|v_t(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1} \right) \\ &\lesssim (1+\tau)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)+\kappa} \|u_t - v_t\|_{Y(T)} \left(\|u_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|v_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summarily, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{N}[u] - \partial_t \mathcal{N}[v]\|_{Y(T)} \lesssim \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \{ \mathcal{I}_1(t) + \mathcal{I}_2(t) \} \|u_t - v_t\|_{Y(T)} \left(\|u_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|v_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1(t) &:= (1+t) \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{2})-1} (1+\tau)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{2})} d\tau, \\ \mathcal{I}_2(t) &:= (1+t) \int_0^t (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{2})-1} (1+\tau)^{-p-\frac{n}{2}(p-1)+\kappa} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that

$$\mathcal{I}_1(t) \lesssim 1,$$

for all $t > 0$ due to $m \in (1, 2)$ and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} < p-1 \quad \text{implies} \quad -p - \frac{n}{2} \left(p - \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{2} \right) < -1.$$

Moreover, it holds

$$\mathcal{I}_2(t) \lesssim 1,$$

for all $t > 0$ due to

$$-p - \frac{n}{2}(p-1) + \kappa < -1.$$

Thus, we completed the proof of Proposition 2.2. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using again Lemma 2.1, it holds

$$\|\partial_t u^{\text{lin}}\|_{X(T)} \lesssim \varepsilon \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{D}},$$

for all $T > 0$. For this reason, combining with Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain the following estimates for all $u, v \in Z(T)$:

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{N}[u]\|_{X(T)} \leq C_1 \varepsilon \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{D}} + C_1 \|u_t\|_{X(T)}^p, \quad (10)$$

$$\|\partial_t \mathcal{N}[u] - \partial_t \mathcal{N}[v]\|_{Y(T)} \leq C_2 \|u_t - v_t\|_{Y(T)} \left(\|u_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|v_t\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \right). \quad (11)$$

Let us fix

$$M := 2C_1 \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\mathcal{D}} \quad (12)$$

and the parameter ε_0 satisfies

$$\max\{C_1, 2C_2\} M^{p-1} \varepsilon_0^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Next, taking the recurrence sequence $\{u_j\}_j \subset Z(T)$ with $u_0 = 0$; $u_j = \mathcal{N}[u_{j-1}]$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we employ the estimate (10) to have

$$\|\partial_t u_j\|_{X(T)} \leq M\varepsilon,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. Moreover, using estimate (11), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u_{j+1} - \partial_t u_j\|_{Y(T)} &\leq C_2 M^{p-1} \varepsilon^{p-1} \|\partial_t u_j - \partial_t u_{j-1}\|_{Y(T)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u_j - \partial_t u_{j-1}\|_{Y(T)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\{\partial_t u_j\}_j \subset X(T, M\varepsilon)$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $Y(T)$. Therefore, combining this with Lemma 2.2, there exists a function $\varphi \in X(T, M\varepsilon)$ satisfies

$$\partial_t u_j \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } X(T, M\varepsilon),$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, with the metric $Y(T)$. Next, we consider the following function:

$$u^*(t, x) = \int_0^t \varphi(\tau, x) d\tau + u_0(x).$$

Additionally, one has

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|u^*(t, \cdot) - u^*(t_0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \left| \int_{t_0}^t \|\varphi(\tau, \cdot)\|_{X(T)} d\tau \right| \leq M\varepsilon \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} |t - t_0| = 0,$$

for all $t_0 \in [0, T]$. From this, we obtain

$$u^* \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^2).$$

Moreover, thanks to again the estimate (11), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u_{j+1} - \partial_t \mathcal{N}[u^*]\|_{Y(T)} &\leq C_2 \|\partial_t u_j - \varphi\|_{Y(T)} (\|\partial_t u_j\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|\varphi\|_{X(T)}^{p-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u_j - \varphi\|_{Y(T)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\partial_t u_j \rightarrow \partial_t \mathcal{N}[u^*],$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, with the metric $Y(T)$. Therefore, we can immediately conclude

$$\varphi \equiv \partial_t \mathcal{N}[u^*],$$

that is, there exists a function $\gamma(x)$ satisfies

$$u^*(t, x) = \mathcal{N}[u^*](t, x) + \gamma(x), \quad (13)$$

for all $t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Taking $t = 0$ in (13), we can see that $\gamma(x) \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n due to $u^*(0, x) = u_0(x)$. For this reason, we have a solution $u^* = \mathcal{N}[u^*]$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], L^2)$ for all $T > 0$. Since T is arbitrary, the solution is global, that is, $u^* \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), L^2)$.

Next, we need to show that $u^* \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty), H^s \cap L^\alpha)$, that is, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), H^s \cap L^\alpha)$. To prove this property, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t, x) &= \partial_t \mathcal{N}[u^*](t, x) = \partial_t u^{\text{lin}}(t, x) + \partial_t \int_0^t \mathcal{K}(t - \tau, x) *_x |\partial_t u^*(\tau, x)|^p d\tau \\ &= \partial_t u^{\text{lin}}(t, x) + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathcal{K}(t - \tau, x) *_x |\varphi(\tau, x)|^p d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since the linear part obviously satisfies continuity, it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^t \partial_t \mathcal{K}(t - \tau, x) *_x |\varphi(\tau, x)|^p d\tau \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty), H^s \cap L^\alpha). \quad (14)$$

Noting that $\varphi \in X(\infty, M\varepsilon)$ and using again Lemmas 2.1-2.3, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t \mathcal{K}(t - \tau, x) *_x |\varphi(\tau, x)|^p\|_{L^\alpha} &\lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{\alpha})} \|\varphi\|_{X(\infty)}^p, \\ \|\partial_t \mathcal{K}(t - \tau, x) *_x |\varphi(\tau, x)|^p\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-p - \frac{n}{2}(p - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{s}{2} + \kappa} \|\varphi\|_{X(\infty)}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where κ is an arbitrarily small positive constant. Therefore, the Lebesgue convergence theorem in the Bochner integral immediately implies (14).

Finally, we establish the uniqueness of the global solution u^* , which lies in the space $\mathcal{C}([0, \infty), L^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty), H^s \cap L^\alpha)$. Let u^*, v^* are solutions of (1) belonging to this space. Using again the arbitrary positive number T and performing the same proof steps of Proposition 2.2 we obtain

$$\|\partial_t u^* - \partial_t v^*\|_{Y(t)} \lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t u^* - \partial_t v^*\|_{Y(\tau)} (\|\partial_t u^*\|_{X(\tau)}^{p-1} + \|\partial_t v^*\|_{X(\tau)}^{p-1}) d\tau,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. One can see that there exists a constant $M(T)$ such that $\|\partial_t u^*\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} + \|\partial_t v^*\|_{X(T)}^{p-1} \leq M(T)$. Therefore, we immediately have

$$\|\partial_t u^* - \partial_t v^*\|_{Y(t)} \lesssim M(T) \int_0^t \|\partial_t u^* - \partial_t v^*\|_{Y(\tau)} d\tau,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. From this, the Gronwall inequality implies $\partial_t u^* \equiv \partial_t v^*$ on $[0, T]$. Because T is an arbitrary positive number, $\partial_t u^* \equiv \partial_t v^*$ on $[0, \infty)$. We note that $u^*(0, x) = v^*(0, x) = u_0(x)$, this implies $u^* \equiv v^*$ on $[0, \infty)$. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

3. FINAL REMARKS

Remark 3.1. In this paper, we have obtained the unique global (in time) existence for solution to (1) in one and two dimensional spaces for all $p > 1$. A natural question that arises is what the critical exponent for problem (1) would be in higher dimensions $n \geq 3$. We believe that the entire range $p > 1$ remains the global existence range. However, due to certain limitations related to the high regularity of the initial data required in the estimates for solutions of the linear problem (2) ($F(u) \equiv 0$), we are still facing challenges in proving this.

Remark 3.2. In future work, our aim is to study the initial-boundary value problem of the semi-linear damped wave equations with nonlinear term $|u_t|^p$ in the exterior of the closed unit ball $\mathcal{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| \leq 1\}$, that is:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + u_t = |u_t|^p, & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{B}^c, t > 0, \\ u = 0, & \text{for } x \in \partial\mathcal{B}^c, t > 0, \\ (u, u_t)(0, x) = (u_0, u_1)(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{B}^c. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

In papers [13, 18, 15, 19], the authors investigated the problem (15) with nonlinearity $|u|^p$ instead of $|u_t|^p$, and established the critical exponent in the two dimensional space, that is, $p_c = p_F(2) = 2$. Therefore, we conjecture that the problem (15) admits global solutions for all $p > 1$ in some specific spatial dimensions. This is really a challenging problem, which will be partially investigated in our forthcoming work.

APPENDIX A. SOME TOOLS FROM HARMONIC ANALYSIS

Proposition A.1 (Fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, see Corollary 2.4 in [11]). *Let $1 < p, p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $a > 0$ and $\theta \in [0, a]$. Then, it holds*

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_p^\theta} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{p_0}}^{1-\omega(\theta,a)} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{p_1}^a}^{\omega(\theta,a)},$$

where $\omega(\theta, a) = \frac{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\theta}{n}}{\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{a}{n}}$ and $\frac{\theta}{a} \leq \omega(\theta, a) \leq 1$.

Proposition A.2 (Fractional powers, see Proposition 42 and Corollary 43 in [7]). *Let $p > 1$, $1 < r < \infty$, where $s \in (n/r, p)$. Let us denote by $F(u)$ one of the functions $|u|^p, \pm|u|^{p-1}u$. Then, the following estimates hold:*

$$\|F(u)\|_{H_r^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{H_r^s} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|F(u)\|_{\dot{H}_r^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_r^s} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-1}.$$

Proposition A.3 (A fractional Sobolev embedding, see Corollary A.2 in [5]). *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $0 < s_1 < n/q < s_2$. Then, it holds*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}_q^{s_1}} + \|u\|_{\dot{H}_q^{s_2}}.$$

Proposition A.4 (Fractional chain rule, see Theorem 1.5 in [20]). *Let $s > 0$, $p > [s]$ and $1 < r, r_1, r_2 < \infty$ satisfying the relation*

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{p-1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}.$$

Let us denote by $F(u)$ one of the functions $|u|^p, \pm|u|^{p-1}u$. Then, it holds

$$\|F(u)\|_{\dot{H}_r^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^{r_1}}^{p-1} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{r_2}^s}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by Vietnam Ministry of Education and Training and Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics under grant number B2026-CTT-04. The authors would like to thank Prof. Wenhui Chen (Guangzhou University) for his helpful advice in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.T. Anh, P.T. Duong, T.T. Loc, Decay character and the semilinear structurally damped σ -evolution equations with two dissipative terms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **544** (2025), 129100.
- [2] W. Chen, M. D’Abbicco, G. Girardi, Global small data solutions for semilinear waves with two dissipative terms, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **201** (2022), 529-560.
- [3] M. D’Abbicco, $L^1 - L^1$ estimates for a doubly dissipative semilinear wave equation, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **24** (2017), pp 5.
- [4] M. D’Abbicco, M.R. Ebert, A new phenomenon in the critical exponent for structurally damped semi-linear evolution equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **149** (2017), 1-40.
- [5] T.A. Dao, M. Reissig, An application of L^1 estimates for oscillating integrals to parabolic like semi-linear structurally damped σ -evolution models, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **15** (2019), 426-463.
- [6] T.A. Dao, D.V. Duong, D.A. Nguyen, On asymptotic properties of solutions to σ -evolution equations with general double damping, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **536** (2024) 128246.
- [7] P.T. Duong, M.K. Mezadek, M. Reissig, Global existence for semi-linear structurally damped σ -evolution models, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **431** (2015) 569-596.
- [8] M.R. Ebert, G. Girardi, M. Reissig, Critical regularity of nonlinearities in semilinear classical damped wave equations, *Math. Ann.* **378** (2020), 1311-1326.
- [9] A. Matsumura, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of semi-linear wave equations, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **12** (1976) 169-189.
- [10] K. Nishihara, $L^p - L^q$ estimates of solutions to the damped wave equation in 3-dimensional space and their application, *Math. Z.* **244** (2003), 631-649.
- [11] H. Hajaiej, L. Molinet, T. Ozawa, B. Wang, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to Navier-Stokes and generalized boson equations, in: Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, in: RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu, vol. B26, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2011, pp. 159-175.
- [12] M. Ikeda, T. Inui, M. Okamoto, Y. Wakasugi, $L^p - L^q$ estimates for the damped wave equation and the critical exponent for the nonlinear problem with slowly decaying data, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **18** (2019) 1967-2008.
- [13] M. Ikeda, M. Sobajima, K. Taniguchi, Y. Wakasugi, Lifespan estimates for semilinear damped wave equation in a two-dimensional exterior domain, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **63** (2024), pp 240.
- [14] R. Ikehata, M. Ohta, Critical exponents for semilinear dissipative wave equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **269** (2002) 87-97.
- [15] R. Ikehata, Global existence of solutions for semilinear damped wave equation in 2-D exterior domain, *J. Differential Equations* **200** (2004), 53-68.
- [16] R. Ikehata, Y. Miyaoka, T. Nakatake, Decay estimates of solutions for dissipative wave equations in \mathbb{R}^n with lower power nonlinearities, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (2004) 365-373.
- [17] R. Ikehata, K. Tanizawa, Global existence of solutions for semilinear damped wave equations in \mathbb{R}^n with non-compactly supported initial data, *Nonlinear Anal.* **61** (2005) 1189-1208.
- [18] R. Ikehata, Two dimensional exterior mixed problem for semilinear damped wave equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **301** (2005), 366-377.
- [19] T. Ogawa, H. Takeda, Non-existence of weak solutions to nonlinear damped wave equations in exterior domains, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), 3696-3701.
- [20] A. Palmieri, M. Reissig, Semi-linear wave models with power non-linearity and scale-invariant time-dependent mass and dissipation, II, *Math. Nachr.* **291** (2018) 1859-1892.
- [21] G. Todorova, B. Yordanov, Critical exponent for a nonlinear wave equation with damping, *J. Differential Equations* **174** (2001), 464-489.
- [22] Q.S. Zhang, A blow-up result for a nonlinear wave equation with damping: the critical case, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **333** (2001), 109-114.