

HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL TETER RINGS VIA THE CANONICAL TRACE

SORA MIYASHITA AND TAIGA OZAKI

ABSTRACT. We study Puthenpurakal's higher-dimensional Teter rings via the canonical trace ideal. We give a sufficient criterion for Teterness and show that, in the standard graded case, it is also necessary, yielding a characterization. Consequently, several nearly Gorenstein families are Teter; moreover, under certain hypotheses, the Cohen–Macaulay type of nearly Gorenstein rings is bounded by the codimension. We also analyze Teterness for fiber products, Veronese subrings, and numerical semigroup rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Teter rings ([37]) form a classical class within Artinian Cohen–Macaulay rings and are tightly linked to the Gorenstein property. Beyond the Artinian case, a higher–dimensional local analogue was formulated in [32]. This paper introduces a *graded* analogue that unifies the Artinian and local viewpoints. Throughout, let $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ be a non-Gorenstein Cohen–Macaulay graded ring with R_0 a field. Let ω_R denote the canonical module, and let $\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{i > 0} R_i$. In this paper, we adopt the following as our definition.

Definition 1.1. We say R is *Teter* if there exists a graded R –homomorphism $\varphi : \omega_R \rightarrow R$ such that either $\varphi(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$ (this happens only when $\dim(R) = 0$), or φ is injective and $\text{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) \leq \dim R$.

It recovers the classical Artinian case at $\dim R = 0$ and, in positive dimension, aligns with [32]: Teter in our sense implies $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Teter in theirs. If R is a domain, the notions are equivalent, i.e., R is Teter if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Teter. Thus Definition 1.1 furnishes a natural graded analogue.

The *canonical trace* $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)$ is the ideal generated by the images of all maps $\omega_R \rightarrow R$; it detects the non-Gorenstein locus and has seen renewed interest. We establish sufficient conditions for R to be Teter, phrased in terms of the canonical trace; moreover, in the standard graded case these conditions characterize Teter rings. We fix minimal notation: Set $r_0(R) := \dim_{R_0}([\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R})$. R is called *level* ([36]) if $r(R) = r_0(R)$, where $r(R)$ is the *Cohen–Macaulay type* and α_R the α -invariant of R . Let $\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}) := \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : [\mathfrak{m}_R]_i \neq 0\}$ and let $\text{codim}(R) := \text{embdim}(R) - \dim(R)$. Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.13). Assume that $\dim(R) > 0$. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) R is level, $r(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$ and $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m})}$ contains a non-zero-divisor;
- (2) $[\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R}$ contains a torsion-free element x of ω_R (that is, $rx = 0$ implies $r = 0$ for all $r \in R$), $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$ and $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m})}$ contains a non-zero-divisor;
- (3) R is a level Teter ring with $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$;
- (4) R is Teter (in which case $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$).

Then $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$ holds. Moreover, if R is standard graded, all the conditions are equivalent.

Date: December 9, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13H10, 13A02; Secondary 05E40.

Key words and phrases. Teter ring, canonical trace, nearly Gorenstein, Cohen–Macaulay type, numerical semigroup rings.

We present some applications of [Theorem 1.2](#). It is known that a Cohen–Macaulay graded ring is Gorenstein if and only if the trace ideal of its canonical module coincides with the whole ring (see [\[14, Lemma 2.1\]](#)). As a generalization of the Gorenstein property based on this fact, [\[14\]](#) introduced the notion of a *nearly Gorenstein* Cohen–Macaulay ring, namely a Cohen–Macaulay ring whose trace ideal of the canonical module contains the (graded) maximal ideal.

Gorenstein rings are characterized as Cohen–Macaulay rings of Cohen–Macaulay type one. Concerning the Cohen–Macaulay type of nearly Gorenstein rings, several results are known, in particular when the codimension is small. For instance, if the codimension is 2 and the Krull dimension is positive, then the Cohen–Macaulay type is at most 2. This follows immediately as a consequence of an explicit formula for the canonical trace obtained by applying the Hilbert–Burch theorem, the structure theorem for minimal free resolutions (see [\[7, Theorem 1.1\]](#) or [\[6, Theorem 2.1\]](#)).

When the codimension is at least 3, no tool as powerful as the Hilbert–Burch theorem is available, and hence computing and analyzing the canonical trace from a minimal free resolution becomes much more difficult than in the codimension 2 case. On the other hand, in the case of nearly Gorenstein numerical semigroup rings of codimension 3 or 4, various techniques have been developed, and several interesting results on the Cohen–Macaulay type are known. For instance, [\[29, Theorem 2.4\]](#) proves that a nearly Gorenstein monomial curve of codimension three has Cohen–Macaulay type at most 3. In more general situations with embedding dimension at least 4, Stamate posed the following question ([\[33, Question 3.7\]](#)): “*Is there any bound for the type of the numerical semigroup ring in terms of the embedding dimension of R when R is nearly Gorenstein?*”

On the other hand, for several classes of rings other than numerical semigroup rings, it is known that if the codimension is 3 and the ring is nearly Gorenstein, then the Cohen–Macaulay type is at most 3. For example, if a simplicial affine semigroup ring is nearly Gorenstein of codimension 3, then its Cohen–Macaulay type is at most 3 ([\[18, Theorem 3.9\]](#)). In particular, for (non-Gorenstein) projective monomial curves which are 2-dimensional and standard graded, it is known that the Cohen–Macaulay type is exactly 3 ([\[25, Theorem A\]](#)). Furthermore, for non-Gorenstein nearly Gorenstein Stanley–Reisner rings of codimension n , it also follows immediately from [\[27, Theorem A\]](#) that the type is equal to n . In view of the above, we are led to the following natural problem for higher-dimensional rings.

Question 1.3. If R is nearly Gorenstein and $\text{codim}(R) = 3$, then is it true that $r(R) \leq 3$? Moreover, is there a bound for the type of R in terms of the codimension of R when R is nearly Gorenstein?

By applying [Theorem 1.2](#), we give an affirmative answer to [Question 1.3](#) under suitable assumptions. Recall that $r_0(R) := \dim_{R_0}([\omega_R] - \alpha_R)$.

Corollary 1.4 ([Corollary 4.6](#)). *Assume R is (non-Gorenstein) nearly Gorenstein and that $R_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$ contains a non-zerodivisor of R (e.g., R is a domain, or a standard graded ring with $\dim(R) > 0$). Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If R is level, then $r(R) \leq \text{codim}(R)$;*
- (2) *If $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$, then R is level and $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$;*
- (3) *If R is standard graded, $\text{codim}(R) = 3$, $\dim(R) \geq 2$ and $r_0(R) \neq 2$, then R is level and $r(R) = 3$;*

Some of the conclusions of [Corollary 1.4](#) in fact hold without assuming that R is nearly Gorenstein; they remain valid under weaker hypotheses on the canonical trace (see [Proposition 4.4](#)).

In [32], it is proved that several classes of rings are Teter. For instance, the completion of any one-dimensional standard graded generically Gorenstein ring with minimal multiplicity over an infinite field, as well as the completion of suitable graded rings of finite Cohen–Macaulay type, is shown to be Teter (see [32, Theorem 1.4, Proposition 5.2]). Combining Theorem 1.2 with known facts about the canonical trace, we show that a certain known class of (non-Gorenstein) nearly Gorenstein standard graded rings is Teter. Moreover, tensor products, fiber products, and Segre products are basic operations in ring theory, and we also show that they can be used to construct new examples of Teter rings.

Corollary 1.5 (A part of Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 5.7 and Corollary 5.10). *The following hold:*

- (a) *Assume that R is a standard graded nearly Gorenstein ring. Then it is Teter, if it satisfies one of the following conditions:*
 - (1) *R is a level semi-standard graded ring with $\mathfrak{r}(R) = \text{codim}(R)$ and $\dim(R) > 0$;*
 - (2) *R is a domain with $\text{codim}(R) = 2$ and $\dim(R) \geq 2$;*
 - (3) *R is an affine semigroup ring with $\text{codim}(R) \leq 3$ and $\dim(R) = 2$;*
 - (4) *R has minimal multiplicity (i.e. $e(R) = \text{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) + 1$);*
 - (5) *R is a Stanley–Reisner ring.*
- (b) *Assume that A and B are Cohen–Macaulay generically Gorenstein standard graded rings with $\dim(A) = \dim(B) = 1$. Furthermore, suppose that at least one of A and B is not regular. Then the fiber product $A \times_{\mathbb{k}} B$ of A and B over a field \mathbb{k} (see Definition 5.2) is Teter if and only if both A and B have minimal multiplicity;*
- (c) *Assume that R is a (non-Gorenstein) generically Gorenstein standard graded ring with minimal multiplicity. If either $\dim(R) = 1$ or $\text{tr}(\omega_R) = m$ with $\dim(R) = 2$, then the k -th Veronese subring of R is Teter for every $k \geq 2$.*

Finally, we investigate the Teter property of numerical semigroup rings R_H , which are a typical class of rings that are not even semi-standard graded. We show that the Teter property of numerical semigroup rings can be characterized in terms of the minimal system of generators and the pseudo-Frobenius numbers of the numerical semigroup (see Theorem 6.5). Using this characterization, we obtain the following results for numerical semigroups with minimal multiplicity or codimension 2.

Theorem 1.6 (Corollary 6.7, Proposition 6.11 and Proposition 6.13). *Let H be a numerical semigroup and let R_H denote its numerical semigroup ring. Assume R_H is not Gorenstein. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *Suppose R_H has minimal multiplicity. If either $\text{codim}(R_H) = 2$ or $[\text{tr}(\omega_{R_H})]_{a_1} \neq 0$, then R_H is Teter.*
- (b) *Suppose $\text{codim}(R_H) = 2$. If R_H is nearly Gorenstein but not almost Gorenstein, then it is Teter. If*

$$R_H \text{ is almost Gorenstein, the Hilbert–Burch matrix of } R_H \text{ can be expressed as } A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^a & x_2^b & x_3^c \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, b, c is positive integers. In this case, R_H is Teter if and only if $\min\{a, b, c\} = 1$.

Outline. In Section 2, we review basic definitions and properties of Cohen–Macaulay graded rings, trace ideals, and numerical semigroup rings. Section 3 is devoted to the Teter property of graded rings: we prove our main result Theorem 3.13 and study Teter rings in the (semi-standard) graded setting. In Section 4 and Section 5, we relate nearly Gorenstein and Teter rings and study the behavior of the Teter property under constructions such as fiber products and Veronese subrings. Finally, in Section 6, we characterize

the Teter property of numerical semigroup rings (see [Theorem 6.5](#)), obtaining results for graded rings that are not even semi-standard graded.

Setup 1.7. Throughout this paper, we denote the set of non-negative integers by \mathbb{N} . Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ be a positively graded ring. Unless otherwise stated, we assume that R_0 is a field. Hence, R has the unique graded maximal ideal given by $\mathfrak{m}_R := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} R_i$. Let M and N be graded R -modules and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $M(k)$ denote the graded R -module having the same underlying R -module structure as M , where $[M(k)]_n = [M]_{n+k}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, let ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ denote the graded R -module consisting of graded homomorphisms from M to N . Moreover, we set $M^\vee := {}^*\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, R)$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset R$ be the multiplicative set of homogeneous non-zerodivisors. The *graded total quotient ring* ${}^*Q(R)$ is defined as the localization ${}^*Q(R) := \mathcal{S}^{-1}R$. Then $Q(R)$ inherits a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading, with graded components given by $[Q(R)]_i := \left\{ \frac{r}{s} \in Q(R) : r, s \in R \text{ are homogeneous, } \deg(r) - \deg(s) = i \right\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that R is Cohen–Macaulay. Let $\omega_R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} [\omega_R]_i$ denote the graded canonical module of R (see [Definition 2.1](#)). Let α_R denote the α -invariant of R , that is,

$$\alpha_R := -\min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : [\omega_R]_i \neq 0\}.$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

The purpose of this section is to lay the groundwork for the proof of our main result. Throughout, we work under [Setup 1.7](#) in all subsections.

2.1. Canonical modules over Cohen–Macaulay graded rings. Let us recall the definition of the canonical module over a Cohen–Macaulay positively graded ring. Throughout this subsection, we retain [Setup 1.7](#), and we further assume that R is Cohen–Macaulay. Let us recall the definition of the canonical module.

Definition 2.1. [[11](#), Definition (2.1.2)] The finitely generated graded R -module

$$\omega_R := {}^*\mathrm{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}_R}^{\dim(R)}(R), E_R)$$

is called the *canonical module*, where $H_{\mathfrak{m}_R}^{\dim(R)}(R)$ denotes the d -th local cohomology and E_R denotes the injective envelope of \mathbb{k} .

Definition 2.2. In this paper, for simplicity of notation, we denote the *Cohen–Macaulay type* of R by $r(R)$, and set $r_0(R) := \dim_{R_0}([\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R})$. Note that $r_0(R) \leq r(R)$ always holds. R is called *level* ([\[36\]](#)) if ω_R is generated in a single degree; equivalently, $r(R) = r_0(R)$ (see, e.g., [\[36, Proposition 3.2\]](#)). Moreover, following the terminology of [\[5\]](#), we say that R is *pseudo-Gorenstein* if $r_0(R) = 1$.

2.2. Semi-standard graded rings, Hilbert series, and almost Gorenstein graded rings. Throughout this subsection we keep [Setup 1.7](#) in force, and in addition assume that R is Noetherian.

Definition 2.3. We say that R is *standard graded* if $R = R_0[R_1]$, i.e., if R is generated by R_1 as an R_0 -algebra. We say that R is *semi-standard graded* if R is finitely generated as an $R_0[R_1]$ -module.

Remark 2.4. The notion of semi-standard graded rings, which extends standard graded rings, appears naturally here from the viewpoint of combinatorial commutative algebra. Typical examples include the *Ehrhart rings* of lattice polytopes and the *face rings* of simplicial posets (see [\[35\]](#)).

Recall that when R is semi-standard graded, its Hilbert series

$$\text{Hilb}(R, t) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_{R_0}(R_i) t^i$$

has the form

$$\text{Hilb}(R, t) = \frac{h_0 + h_1 t + \cdots + h_s t^s}{(1-t)^{\dim R}},$$

where $h_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq s$, $h_s \neq 0$, and $\sum_{i=0}^s h_i \neq 0$. The integer s , called the *socle degree* of R , is denoted by $s(R)$. The sequence $h(R) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{s(R)})$ is the *h-vector* of R .

Remark 2.5. Assume that R is semi-standard graded, and let $h(R) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{s(R)})$ be its *h-vector*. We always have $h_0 = 1$ since $h_0 = \text{Hilb}(R, 0) = \dim_{R_0}(R_0) = 1$. Moreover, if R is Cohen–Macaulay, its *h-vector* satisfies $h_{s(R)} = r_0(R)$ and $h_i \geq 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq s(R)$. For further background on *h-vectors* of Noetherian graded rings, see [34, 36].

Definition 2.6. Assume that R is Cohen–Macaulay. R is called *almost Gorenstein* ([10, Section 10]) if there exists a degree-0 graded R -monomorphism $\varphi: R \hookrightarrow \omega_R(-a_R)$ such that $C := \text{coker}(\varphi)$ is either the zero module or an Ulrich R -module, i.e., C is Cohen–Macaulay and $\mu(C) = e(C)$. Here, $\mu(C)$ (resp. $e(C)$) denotes the minimal number of generators of C (resp. the multiplicity of C with respect to \mathfrak{m}_R).

2.3. Trace ideals over graded rings. Throughout this subsection, we retain Setup 1.7, and assume that R is Noetherian. Let $M := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ be a (not necessarily finitely generated) \mathbb{Z} -graded R -module.

Definition 2.7. The sum of all images of graded homomorphisms $\varphi \in {}^* \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is called the *trace ideal* of M :

$$\text{tr}_R(M) := \sum_{\varphi \in {}^* \text{Hom}_R(M, R)} \varphi(M).$$

When there is no risk of confusion about the ring, we simply write $\text{tr}(M)$.

Remark 2.8 ([19, Remark 2.2]). Since R is Noetherian, we have

$$\text{tr}_R(M) = \sum_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)} \varphi(M).$$

Remark 2.9. Let I be a graded ideal of R containing a non-zerodivisor of R . Set

$$I^{-1} := \{\chi \in {}^* Q(R) : \chi I \subset R\}.$$

Then we have $\text{tr}_R(I) = I \cdot I^{-1}$ (see the proof of [14, Lemma 1.1]).

Remark 2.10. Assume R is Cohen–Macaulay and let ω_R be the canonical module of R . It is known that $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)$ describes the non-Gorenstein locus (see [14, Lemma 2.1]). In particular, R is Gorenstein if and only if $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) = R$.

Definition 2.11 ([14, Definition 2.2]). Assume that R is Cohen–Macaulay. R is called *nearly Gorenstein* if $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) \supset \mathfrak{m}_R$.

At the end of this section, we recall the definition of the Veronese subalgebra and present a lemma concerning its relation to the trace ideal of the canonical module.

Definition 2.12. Let $k > 0$ be a positive integer. For $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$, the k -th Veronese subalgebra of R is defined by $R^{(k)} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_{ik}$. Notice that the grading on $R^{(k)}$ is given by $[R^{(k)}]_i = R_{ik}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, we define its k -th Veronese submodule of M by $M^{(k)} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{ik}$.

Definition 2.13. Let ${}^*Q(R)$ denote the graded total quotient ring (see [Setup 1.7](#)). A graded fractional ideal of R is a finitely generated graded R -submodule $J \subset {}^*Q(R)$ that contains a homogeneous non-zero-divisor of R . For a graded fractional ideal J of R , we set $J^{-1} = \{a \in {}^*Q(R) : aJ \subset R\}$.

Lemma 2.14 ([\[24, Theorem 6.1\]](#)). Let $n = (R_1)R$. Let I be a graded ideal generated by a subset of R_1 , and let J be a graded fractional ideal of R . Suppose that there exists a homogeneous non-zero-divisor $y \in R_1$. If $\text{tr}_R(J) \supset I$, then we have $\text{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(J^{(k)}) \supset (n^{k-1}I)^{(k)}$ for any $k > 0$.

The next result is a generalization of [\[28, Proposition 3.7\]](#). Its proof is essentially the same as that of [\[28, Proposition 3.7\]](#), but we include it for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 2.15. Let R be a Noetherian graded ring and let $J \subset {}^*Q(R)$ be a fractional ideal of R . Suppose that $J_{\text{indeg}_R(J)}$ contains an R -torsion free element of J . If $[\text{tr}(J)]_{\text{indeg}_R(m)}$ contains a nonzero-divisor, then there exists a graded ideal $I \subset R$ such that $J \cong I$ and $\dim_{R_0}(I_{\text{indeg}_R(m)}) = \dim_{R_0}(J_{\text{indeg}_R(m)})$.

Proof. We may assume that R_0 is infinite. Assume that there exists a non-zero-divisor $z \in [\text{tr}(J)]_{\text{indeg}_R(m)}$. Put $n = \dim_{R_0}(J_{\text{indeg}_R(J)})$ and $a = \text{indeg}_R(J)$. Then by [\[24, Lemma 2.12 \(2\)\]](#), we may assume that J is minimally generated by R -regular elements f_1, \dots, f_m , where $m \geq n$. We may assume that $f_1, \dots, f_n \in J_a$. Note that $\text{tr}(J) = J \cdot J^{-1}$ by [\[24, Lemma 2.6\]](#). Since $z \in [\text{tr}(J)]_{\text{indeg}_R(m)}$, we can write $z = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i g_i$, where g_1, \dots, g_m are homogeneous elements of J^{-1} . Moreover, we have $g_i = 0$ for any $n < i \leq m$ because $f_1, \dots, f_n \in J_a$ are R -regular. Therefore the vector space $V = [J^{-1}]_{\text{indeg}_R(m)-a}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{b} \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle$ where b is a non-zero-divisor of R , s is natural number and x_1, \dots, x_s homogeneous elements of R of the same degree, $\text{indeg}_R(m) - a + \deg(b)$.

Then the vector space $V' = \langle x_1, \dots, x_s \rangle$ contains a non-zero-divisor. Otherwise, V' is contained in some associated prime \mathfrak{p} of R , and so $b[\text{tr}(J)]_{\text{indeg}_R(m)} R \subset JV' \subset \mathfrak{p}$, thus $[\text{tr}(J)]_{\text{indeg}_R(m)} R \subset \mathfrak{p}$. This yields a contradiction. Therefore, there exists an R -torsion free element $\frac{y}{b} \in V$, so that $I = \frac{y}{b} \cdot J \cong J$ as a graded R -module and $\text{indeg}_R(I) = \text{indeg}_R(m)$. In particular, we have $\dim_{R_0}(I_{\text{indeg}_R(m)}) = \dim_{R_0}(I_{\text{indeg}_R(I)}) = \dim_{R_0}(J_{\text{indeg}_R(J)})$, as desired. \square

2.4. Numerical semigroup rings. Let \mathbb{k} be a field. For a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. A subsemigroup H of \mathbb{N} such that $\#(\mathbb{N} \setminus H) < \infty$ is called a numerical semigroup. It is known that a numerical semigroup has a unique minimal system of generators as a semigroup. Therefore, a given numerical semigroup H can always be written in the form $H = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ where $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, $a_1 < \dots < a_n$. Here, $\text{embdim}(H)$ denotes the number of minimal generators of H .

Hereafter, any numerical semigroup is assumed to be represented in this form and $n = \text{embdim}(H)$. For a given numerical semigroup H , we define $R_H := \mathbb{k}[H] = \mathbb{k}[t^{a_1}, \dots, t^{a_n}] \subset \mathbb{k}[t]$.

The ring R_H admits a graded ring structure by setting $\deg t = 1$. Let $S = \mathbb{k}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables, and consider the graded surjective homomorphism $\varphi: S \rightarrow R_H$ by $X_i \mapsto t^{a_i}$. Then, the kernel $\ker \varphi$ is called the defining ideal of R_H . In the case $n = 3$, it is known by [\[15\]](#) that the defining ideal is generated by the 2-minors of a 2×3 matrix A over S . This matrix is called the *Hilbert–Burch matrix*.

Furthermore, for a given numerical semigroup, the pseudo-Frobenius numbers $\text{PF}(H)$ and the Apéry set $\text{Ap}(H, \alpha_1)$ are defined as follows:

$$\text{PF}(H) := \{h \in H \mid \alpha + h \in H \text{ for all } h \in H\},$$

$$\text{Ap}(H, \alpha_1) = \{h \in H \mid h - \alpha_1 \in H\}.$$

Furthermore, we define $F(H) := \max(\text{PF}(H))$, which is called the Frobenius number of H .

This invariant $\text{PF}(H)$ corresponds to the system of generators of the canonical module ω_{R_H} of R_H as an R_H -module. That is,

$$\omega_{R_H} = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{N} \setminus H} R_H t^{-h} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{PF}(H)} R_H t^{-\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \setminus H} \mathbb{k} t^{-\alpha} + \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{k} t^h,$$

and we have $r(R_H) = \#(\text{PF}(H)) =: \text{type}(H)$. Next, we describe several classes of numerical semigroups that can be characterized in terms of $\text{PF}(H)$.

Definition 2.16. Let H be a numerical semigroup.

- (1) We say that H is *symmetric* if R_H is Gorenstein. This is characterized by the condition $\text{type}(H) = 1$.
- (2) Suppose that $F(H)$ is even. We say that H is *pseudo-symmetric* if $\text{PF}(H) = \{\frac{F(H)}{2}, F(H)\}$.
- (3) Let $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r = F(H)\}$ with $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r$. We say that H is *almost symmetric* if $F(H) = \alpha_{r-i} + \alpha_i$ holds for any $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Note that H is almost symmetric if and only if R_H is almost Gorenstein (see [9, Proposition 2.3]).

Finally, let Ω_H^+ be the set corresponding to the generators of ω_{R_H} :

$$\Omega_H^+ = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid t^m \in \omega_{R_H}\},$$

and let Ω_H^- be the set corresponding to the generators of $\omega_{R_H}^{-1}$:

$$\Omega_H^- = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m - \alpha_i \in H \text{ for all } i \in [n]\}.$$

According to [14, Lemma 1.1], the canonical trace ideal $\text{tr}_{R_H}(\omega_{R_H})$ of R_H is obtained by

$$\text{tr}_{R_H}(\omega_{R_H}) = \omega_{R_H} \omega_{R_H}^{-1}.$$

Thus, the set $\text{tr}_{R_H}(H)$ corresponding to the generators of $\text{tr}_{R_H}(\omega_{R_H})$ is given by

$$\text{tr}(H) = \Omega_H^+ + \Omega_H^-.$$

3. GRADED TETER RINGS AND CANONICAL TRACES

In this section, we define the graded Teter ring as follows so as to ensure consistency between puthen-purakal's definition of higher-dimensional Teter local rings ([32]) and the classical definition of Artinian Teter rings. Our goal is then to clarify a relationship between Teter rings and the trace ideal of the canonical module (see Theorem 3.13). Throughout this section, we retain Setup 1.7 and use the notation of Section 2. In addition, we assume throughout that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a positively graded Cohen–Macaulay ring.

Definition 3.1. Assume R is not Gorenstein. We say that R is *Teter* if there exists a graded R -homomorphism $\varphi : \omega_R \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$, or φ is monomorphism and $\text{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) \leq \dim(R)$.

Definition 3.2. Assume that R is not Gorenstein. R is called of *Teter type* ([8]) if there exists $\varphi \in {}^*\mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R)$ such that $\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R) = \varphi(\omega_R)$. It is known that R is not of Teter type if it is generically Gorenstein (see [8, Theorem 1.1]).

Remark 3.3. (a) Assume that R is non-Gorenstein and $\dim(R) > 0$. Let $\varphi \in {}^*\mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R)$. Then we have $\varphi(\omega_R) \neq \mathfrak{m}$.

(b) Assume that $\dim R = 0$ and R is not Gorenstein, then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is Teter in the sense of Definition 3.1;
- (2) There is an R -surjection $\omega_R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ as a graded R -module, that is, R is Teter in the sense of [37].

(c) Assume that $\dim(R) > 0$ and R is not Gorenstein. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) There is a graded ideal $I \subsetneq R$ such that $\omega_R \cong I$ as a graded R -module and $\mathrm{embdim}(R/I) \leq \dim(R)$;
- (2) There is an ideal $J \subsetneq R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \cong J$ as an $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module and $\mathrm{embdim}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/J) \leq \dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}})$;
- (3) There is an ideal $\hat{J} \subsetneq \widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ such that $\widehat{\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}} \cong \hat{J}$ as an $\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ -module and $\mathrm{embdim}(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/\hat{J}) \leq \dim(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})$;
- (4) R is a Teter graded ring in our sense;
- (5) $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a Teter local ring in the sense of [32].

Then (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) holds. Moreover, if R is a domain, then all the conditions are equivalent.

Proof. (a): Assume $\varphi(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$. Since $\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim(R) > 0$, R is generically Gorenstein by [14, Lemma 2.1]. Therefore, by [8, Theorem 1.1], R is not of Teter type; hence $\varphi(\omega_R) \neq \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction.

(b): (1) \Rightarrow (2): By definition, there exists a graded R -homomorphism $\varphi : \omega_R \rightarrow R$ such that either

$$\varphi(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi \text{ is injective and } \mathrm{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) \leq \dim R.$$

If $\varphi(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$, we are done. Otherwise, we may assume that φ is injective and $\mathrm{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) \leq 0$. In this case one has $\mathfrak{m} \subset \varphi(\omega_R)$. Since R is not Gorenstein, we also have $\varphi(\omega_R) \neq R$. Hence $\varphi(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\psi : \omega_R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ be a surjection and let $\iota : \mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow R$ be the natural inclusion. Set $\varphi = \iota \circ \psi : \omega_R \rightarrow R$. Then $\mathrm{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) = \mathrm{embdim}(R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$, so (1) holds.

(c): (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from [13, Corollary 3.9].

(1) \Rightarrow (4): Choose $\varphi : \omega_R \rightarrow R$ as the composite of an isomorphism $\omega_R \cong I$ with the inclusion $I \hookrightarrow R$. Then $\mathrm{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) = \mathrm{embdim}(R/I) \leq \dim R$, and hence R is Teter.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): By (a) and definition, there exists a graded R -homomorphism $\varphi : \omega_R \rightarrow R$ such that φ is injective and $\mathrm{embdim}(R/\varphi(\omega_R)) \leq \dim R$. Set $I = \varphi(\omega_R)$. As R is not Gorenstein, we have $I \neq R$; consequently $\omega_R \cong I$ and $\mathrm{embdim}(R/I) \leq \dim R$, establishing (1).

Finally, (2) \Rightarrow (5) follows from [32, Theorem 2.1]. If, in addition, R is a domain, then (5) \Rightarrow (2) is given by [32, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Remark 3.4. In Remark 3.3 (c), (4) \Rightarrow (3) does not hold in general. We will prove later using a criterion for Teterness (see Remark 3.11).

Corollary 3.5. If R is Teter, then $r(R) = \mathrm{codim}(R)$.

Proof. When $\dim R = 0$, it follows from Remark 3.3 (a) that R is Teter in the sense of [37]. In this case, [4, Theorem 3.4] yields $r(R) = \mathrm{embdim}(R) = \mathrm{codim}(R)$. Hence it suffices to treat the case $\dim R > 0$. By Remark 3.3 (b), the localization $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a local Teter ring. Therefore, by [32, Proposition 5.15] we have

$r(R_m) = \text{embdim}(R_m) - \dim(R_m)$. Since $r(R_m) = r(R)$, $\text{embdim}(R_m) = \text{embdim}(R)$, $\dim(R_m) = \dim(R)$ (see [1, Proposition 1.5.15]), we conclude that $r(R) = \text{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) = \text{codim}(R)$. \square

Recall that $r_0(R) := \dim_{R_0}([\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R})$.

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that $[\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R}$ contains an R -torsion free element of ω_R . If $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}_R(\mathfrak{m})}$ contains a nonzero-divisor, then there is a graded ideal I of R such that $\omega_R \cong I$ as a graded R -module and*

$$r_0(R) \leq \text{embdim}(R) - \text{embdim}(R/I).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.15, there exists a graded ideal $I \subsetneq R$ such that $\omega_R \cong I$. Then, $\text{embdim}(R/I) \leq \text{embdim}(R) - r_0(R)$. This yields the desired inequality. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$, $[\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R}$ contains an R -torsion free element of ω_R , and that $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}_R(\mathfrak{m})}$ contains a nonzero-divisor, then R is Teter.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.6 and the standing assumption, there exists a graded ideal I of R such that $\omega_R \cong I$ as a graded R -module and

$$\text{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) = \text{codim}(R) \leq r_0(R) \leq \text{embdim}(R) - \text{embdim}(R/I).$$

In particular, $\text{embdim}(R/I) \leq \dim(R)$, and hence, R is Teter by Remark 3.3 (b). \square

Remark 3.8. Assume R is standard graded and let $I \subset R$ be a graded ideal. Note that $\text{embdim}(R/I) = \text{embdim}(R) - \dim_{R_0}(I_1)$ because R is standard graded. Therefore, by using Auslander–Buchsbaum formula (see [1, Theorem 1.3.3]), we have $\text{embdim}(R/I) \leq \dim(R)$ if and only if $\dim_{R_0}(I_1) \geq \text{codim}(R)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that R is standard graded and $\dim(R) > 0$. If R is Teter, then it is level, $r(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$ and $[\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_1$ contains a non-zero-divisor of R .*

Proof. Since R is Teter, by Remark 3.8 there exists a graded ideal $I \subset R$ such that $\omega_R \cong I$ and $\dim_{R_0}(I_1) \geq \text{codim}(R)$. In this case $I_1 \neq 0$, and since $r_0(R) = \dim_{R_0}(I_1) \geq \text{codim}(R)$, we have $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.5 we obtain $\text{codim}(R) \leq r_0(R) \leq r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$. Hence $r(R) = r_0(R)$, so R is level. Because R is Teter with $\dim(R) > 0$, it is in particular generically Gorenstein by Remark 3.3 (c). Since R has positive Krull dimension, is level, and is generically Gorenstein, the same argument as in the proof of [1, Theorem 4.4.9] shows that $I_1 (\cong [\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R})$ contains a non-zero-divisor of R . Consequently $[\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_1 = [\text{tr}_R(I)]_1$ contains I_1 and, in particular, contains a non-zero-divisor of R . \square

Corollary 3.10. *All Cohen–Macaulay standard graded Teter rings are level.*

Proof. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay standard graded Teter ring. By Proposition 3.9, if $\dim(R) > 0$ then R is level, so it suffices to consider the case $\dim(R) = 0$. Although the fact that an Artinian graded Teter ring is level is probably well known to experts, we include a proof for completeness. In this case there exists a standard graded Artin Gorenstein ring G such that $R \cong G/\text{Soc}(G)$ and $\text{embdim}(R) = \text{embdim}(G)$, where $\text{Soc}(G) := \{a \in G : a\mathfrak{m}_G = 0\}$. Let s be the socle degree of G and put $n = \text{embdim}(R)$. Then the h -vectors of G and R can be written as $h(G) = (h_0, \dots, h_{s(G)})$ and $h(R) = (h_0, \dots, h_{s(G)-1})$. Since G is Gorenstein, its h -vector is symmetric (see [34, Theorem 4.1]), hence $h_{s(G)-1} = h_1 = \dim_{R_0} R_1 = n$. Therefore R is level by [4, Theorem 3.12]. \square

- Remark 3.11.** (a) [Corollary 3.10](#) fails in general in the semi-standard graded setting, and there exist examples in which the difference between the Cohen–Macaulay types $r(R)$ and $r_0(R)$ can take any prescribed value (see [Corollary 4.3](#)).
- (b) In [Remark 3.3](#) (c), $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$ does not hold in general. Let k be a field and let $A = k[x, y]/(x^3, y^4, xy)$. Note that $B = A_m$ is an Artinian Teter local ring in the sense of [\[37\]](#) (see [\[8, Example 4.3 \(2\)\]](#)). Set $R = A[z]$ and regard R as a standard graded ring by declaring $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = \deg(z) = 1$. By [\[32, Example 5.15\]](#), we have $R_{m_R} \cong B[z]_{m_B B[z] + zB[z]}$ is a Teter local ring in the sense of [\[32\]](#). However, since R is not level, R is not Teter by [Corollary 3.10](#).

The following statement is proved (for standard graded rings) in the course of the proof of [\[1, Theorem 4.4.9\]](#), but the same argument goes through unchanged without the standard graded hypothesis. For completeness, we include a proof.

Remark 3.12 (cf. [\[1, Theorem 4.4.9\]](#)). If R is generically Gorenstein and level, then $[\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R}$ contains a torsion-free element of ω_R .

Proof. We may assume that R_0 is an infinite field. Put $b = -\alpha(R)$ and $V = [\omega_R]_b$. Choose a graded surjection $G \twoheadrightarrow \omega_R^\vee$ with G a finite free graded module, and dualize:

$$\omega_R \xrightarrow{\varphi} \omega_R^{\vee\vee} \hookrightarrow G^\vee =: F.$$

Since R is generically Gorenstein, φ is injective; hence we view ω_R as a graded submodule of the free module F . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R$ the localization $(\omega_R)_\mathfrak{p} \cong R_\mathfrak{p}$ is free of rank 1. If $V \subset (\mathfrak{p}F)_b$ held for some \mathfrak{p} , the R -submodule generated by V would give $\omega_R \subset \mathfrak{p}F$, and localizing at \mathfrak{p} would force $(\omega_R)_\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}F_\mathfrak{p}$, which is impossible because the left-hand side is free of rank 1. Hence $(\mathfrak{p}F)_b$ is a proper R_0 -subspace of V for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R$. As R_0 is infinite, a finite union of proper subspaces cannot cover V . We may therefore choose $x \in V \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R} (\mathfrak{p}F)_b$. Consider the graded homomorphism $\mu : R \rightarrow \omega_R(b)$, $1 \mapsto x$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R$ the localization $\mu_\mathfrak{p}$ is nonzero, because $x \notin \mathfrak{p}F$.

If $\ker(\mu) \neq 0$, let \mathfrak{q} be a prime minimal over $\ker(\mu)$; then $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass } R$, and localizing at \mathfrak{q} yields $\ker(\mu)_\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$, so $\mu_\mathfrak{q} = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\ker(\mu) = 0$, i.e. $\text{ann}_R(x) = 0$. Thus x is a torsion-free element of ω_R of degree $b = -\alpha(R)$, as required. \square

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.13 ([Theorem 1.2](#)). Assume that R is not Gorenstein and $\dim(R) > 0$. Consider the following conditions:

- (1) R is level, $r(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$ and $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m})}$ contains a non-zerodivisor;
- (2) $[\omega_R]_{-\alpha_R}$ contains a torsion-free element of ω_R (e.g., R is a domain), $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$ and $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m})}$ contains a non-zerodivisor;
- (3) R is a level Teter ring with $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$;
- (4) R is Teter.

Then $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$ holds. Moreover, if R is standard graded, all the conditions are equivalent; in particular, if any one of them holds, then $r(R) = r_0(R) = \text{codim}(R)$.

Proof. Note that $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ because R is not Gorenstein (see [\[14, Lemma 2.1\]](#)).

It follows from [Remark 3.12](#) that (1) \Rightarrow (2) holds. We show (2) \Rightarrow (3). R is Teter by [Corollary 3.7](#). Since $\text{tr}(\omega_R) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ contains a non-zerodivisor, we have $\dim(R) = \text{depth}(R) > 0$. Hence it suffices to show that R is level. By [Corollary 3.5](#) we have $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$. Therefore, from $\text{codim}(R) = r(R) \geq r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$, we obtain $r(R) = r_0(R) = \text{codim}(R)$, and thus R is level. The implication (3) \Rightarrow (4) is clear.

Assume that R is standard graded and we prove (4) \Rightarrow (1). By [Corollary 3.10](#), the ring R is level, and by [Corollary 3.5](#) we have $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Assume that R is non-Gorenstein standard graded ring with $\dim(R) > 0$. Then R is Teter if and only if R is level, $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$ and $[\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_1$ contains a non-zerodivisor.*

Question 3.15. Assume that R is standard graded and $\dim(R) = 0$. By [Remark 3.3](#), [Corollary 3.5](#), and [Corollary 3.10](#), if R is Teter, then it is nearly Gorenstein and level, and $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$ holds. As a zero-dimensional analogue of [Corollary 3.14](#), we ask: If R is nearly Gorenstein and level but not Gorenstein, and if $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$, is R necessarily Teter?

Remark 3.16. Unfortunately, [Question 3.15](#) is not true in general. Indeed, let $S = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$ and

$$R = S/(x_1^3, x_2^2, x_3^3, x_4^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3^2, x_1^2x_3, x_2x_4, x_3x_4, x_2x_3 - x_1x_4).$$

Using Macaulay2 ([\[12\]](#)), one can check that R is level, that $r(R) = \text{codim}(R) = 4$, and that $\text{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}_R$, whereas R is not Teter. Indeed, this can be verified by running the following computation in Macaulay 2. The graded minimal free resolution of R over S , with degree shifts suppressed, is

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{A} S^{\oplus 15} \longrightarrow S^{\oplus 20} \longrightarrow S^{\oplus 10} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence we obtain $r(R) = \text{codim}(R) = 4$. By inspecting the Betti table of the minimal free resolution, we also see that R is level. Moreover, $C = \ker(R \otimes_S A)$ has the following sixteen vectors as a basis.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccc} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_4 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -x_4 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_3^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1x_4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_3^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1x_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1^2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_1x_4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3^2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_1x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_1^2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \right\}$$

Therefore, since the ideal generated by the 1-minors of C equals \mathfrak{m}_R , it follows from the graded version of [\[14, Corollary 3.2\]](#) that $\text{tr}(\omega_R) = \mathfrak{m}_R$. On the other hand, \mathfrak{m}_R cannot be generated solely by the 1-minors of any single vector in C . Hence, by [\[8, Corollary 3.8\]](#), R is not of Teter type.

4. RELATIONS BETWEEN THE NEARLY GORENSTEIN AND TETER PROPERTIES

In this section, as an application of [Corollary 3.14](#), we mainly investigate the relationship between nearly Gorenstein rings and Teter rings. In particular, we show that a certain known class of (non-Gorenstein) nearly Gorenstein standard graded rings is Teter ([Corollary 4.3](#)), and we clarify the relationship between nearly Gorenstein rings and the Cohen–Macaulay type ([Corollary 4.6](#)). Throughout this section, we retain [Setup 1.7](#) and use the notation of [Section 2](#). In addition, we assume throughout that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a positively graded Cohen–Macaulay ring.

It is known that every one-dimensional standard graded generically Gorenstein ring over an infinite field with minimal multiplicity is Teter (see the proof of [\[32, Proposition 5.2\]](#)). In dimension at least two,

an analogous statement does not hold in general (see [Remark 4.2](#)). In fact, we can characterize the Teter property in terms of the canonical trace as follows.

Corollary 4.1. *Assume that R is a non-Gorenstein standard graded ring which has a minimal multiplicity. Then R is Teter with $\dim(R) > 0$ if and only if $[\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_1$ contains a non-zerodivisor.*

Proof. It is well known that if R is standard graded and has minimal multiplicity, then it is a level ring and $r_0(R) = h_1 = \mathrm{codim}(R)$ (see [\[3, Section 4\]](#)). Hence this follows from [Corollary 3.14](#). \square

Remark 4.2. As mentioned above, in dimension at least two a ring with minimal multiplicity need not be Teter. To see this, let $n \geq 4$ and let $X = (x_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ be a $2 \times n$ generic matrix. Consider the generic determinantal ring $R = \mathbb{k}[X]/I_2(X)$ defined by the 2×2 minors of X , where \mathbb{k} is a field. Then R is a Cohen–Macaulay standard graded domain; moreover, it is the homogeneous coordinate ring of a rational normal scroll and has minimal multiplicity (see [\[1, 3\]](#)). On the other hand, by [\[7, Theorem 1.1\]](#) we have $\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R) = I_1(X)^{n-2}R = \mathfrak{m}_R^{n-2}$, and in particular $[\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_1 = (0)$, so it contains no non-zerodivisor of R . Thus, by [Corollary 4.1](#) R is not Teter.

We recall that for standard graded Teter rings, the difference between the Cohen–Macaulay type and the number of minimal generators of the canonical module in the smallest degree is always 0. In what follows, we first show that several known classes of nearly Gorenstein rings are Teter. Moreover, we show that there exist semi-standard graded Teter rings for which the above difference can take an arbitrary value.

Corollary 4.3 (see [Corollary 1.5](#)). *Assume that R is nearly Gorenstein, but not Gorenstein. Assume further that R satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1) R is a level semi-standard graded ring with $r(R) = \mathrm{codim}(R)$ and $\dim(R) > 0$;
- (2) R is a semi-standard graded domain with $\mathrm{codim}(R) = 2$ and $\dim(R) \geq 2$;
- (3) R is a standard graded affine semigroup ring with $\mathrm{codim}(R) \leq 3$ and $\dim(R) = 2$;
- (4) R is a standard graded ring which has minimal multiplicity;
- (5) R is a Stanley–Reisner ring;
- (6) R is a standard graded domain whose h -vector is $h(R) = (1, \alpha, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha > 0$;
- (7) R is a non-level semi-standard graded 2-dimensional affine semigroup ring whose h -vector is $h(R) = (1, \alpha, \alpha + 1)$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

Then R is Teter. Moreover, for any positive integer $\alpha > 0$, there exists a nearly Gorenstein (non-standard graded) semi-standard graded Teter ring R satisfying (7) such that $r(R) - r_0(R) = \alpha$.

Proof. (1): Since R is semi-standard graded and $\dim(R) > 0$, we have $R_{\mathrm{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)} = R_1$, which contains a non-zerodivisor of R . Hence, by [Proposition 4.4](#) (1), R is Teter.

(2): Since R is nearly Gorenstein, in particular $[\mathrm{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_1 \neq (0)$. Therefore, by [\[6, Theorem 2.1\]](#), we have $r(R) = 2$. Thus R satisfies (1), and hence is Teter.

(3): Since R is not Gorenstein, we have $\mathrm{codim}(R) = 2$ or $\mathrm{codim}(R) = 3$. If $\mathrm{codim}(R) = 2$, then R satisfies (2) and hence is Teter. Therefore we may assume $\mathrm{codim}(R) = 3$. As R is a two-dimensional standard graded affine semigroup ring, note that it is isomorphic to a projective monomial curve. By [\[25, Theorem A\]](#), in this case R is level and $r(R) = \mathrm{codim}(R) = 3$. Hence R satisfies (1), so R is Teter.

(4): This follows from [Corollary 4.1](#).

(5): By [27, Theorem A], R is isomorphic to the Stanley–Reisner ring corresponding to a path of length at least 3, and in particular has minimal multiplicity. Hence R satisfies (4), and therefore is Teter.

(6): In this case it is known that R is level (see [38, Corollary 3.11] or [17, Corollary 4.3]). Moreover, since R is standard graded, note that $\text{codim}(R) = h_1 = \alpha$; from the shape of the h -vector it follows that $r(R) = \alpha = \text{codim}(R)$. Hence R satisfies (1), and thus is Teter.

(7): By [22, Proposition 5.4 and Theorem 6.3], R is non-level and is a nearly Gorenstein semi-standard graded ring in the sense of [10]. Hence, by [22, Theorem 6.3], we may write $R = R_0[S]$, where

$$S \cong \langle \{(2i, 2\alpha + 2 - 2i) : 0 \leq i \leq \alpha + 1\} \cup \{(2j + 2k - 1, 4\alpha - 2j - 2k + 5) : 0 \leq j \leq \alpha\} \rangle$$

for some $1 \leq k \leq \alpha + 2$. By the proof of [22, Proposition 3.9], we have an isomorphism

$$\omega_R \cong (t^s : s \in \omega_S)R \subset Q(R),$$

where $\omega_S = \langle \{(1 - 2i, -1 + 2i) : k - 1 - \alpha \leq i \leq k - 1\} \cup \{(2i, 2\alpha + 2 - i) : 1 \leq i \leq \alpha\} \rangle$. Thus, for the ideal $I = t^{(2k-3, 2\alpha+5-2k)}\omega_R \cong \omega_R$, since $I \subseteq R$ and $\text{codim}(R/I) = 2$, the ring R is Teter. Moreover, since $r_0(R) = \alpha + 1$ and $r(R) = 2\alpha + 1$, we have $r(R) - r_0(R) = \alpha$. \square

Next, we investigate the relationship between the trace ideal of the canonical module and the Cohen–Macaulay type.

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $[\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$ contains non-zero-divisor of R (e.g., R is nearly Gorenstein and that $R_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$ contains a non-zero-divisor of R). Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If $r(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$ and R is level, then it is Teter and $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$;*
- (2) *If $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$, then it is level and $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$.*

Proof. (1): This follows from the implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) in Theorem 3.13.

(2): This follows from the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3) in Theorem 3.13. \square

Remark 4.5. If, in Proposition 4.4, we drop only the assumption that $R_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$ contains a non-zero-divisor, the assertion analogous to (1) does not hold. Indeed, the nearly Gorenstein Artin ring R in Remark 3.16 satisfies $r(R) = \text{codim}(R) = 4$ and is level, yet it is not Teter.

As a consequence, we obtain the following corollary concerning the Cohen–Macaulay type of nearly Gorenstein rings.

Corollary 4.6 (Corollary 1.4). *Assume R is non-Gorenstein nearly Gorenstein, and that $R_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$ contains a non-zero-divisor of R (e.g., when R is a domain or a semi-standard graded ring with $\dim R > 0$). Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If R is level, then $r(R) \leq \text{codim}(R)$;*
- (2) *If $r_0(R) \geq \text{codim}(R)$, then R is level and $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$;*
- (3) *If R is standard graded, $\text{codim}(R) = 3$, $\dim(R) \geq 2$ and $r_0(R) \neq 2$, then R is level and $r(R) = 3$;*

Proof. (1): Assume that $r(R) > \text{codim}(R)$. Then, by Proposition 4.4 (1), we obtain $r(R) = \text{codim}(R)$, a contradiction. Hence $r(R) \leq \text{codim}(R)$.

(2): This follows from Proposition 4.4 (2).

(3): Suppose $r_0(R) = 1$. Then R is pseudo-Gorenstein. Hence, by [26, Corollary 4.7(1)], R is Gorenstein, a contradiction. Therefore $r_0(R) \geq 3$, and in this case (2) yields $r_0(R) = r(R) = 3$. \square

Corollary 4.7. *If R is a nearly Gorenstein and level domain, then $r(R) \leq \text{codim}(R)$.*

Proof. This follows from [Corollary 1.4](#) (1). □

Remark 4.8. [Corollary 4.6](#) (3) fails when $\dim(R) < 2$. More precisely, when $\dim(R) = 0$ there exists a standard graded nearly Gorenstein ring R with $\text{codim}(R) = 3$ and $r_0(R) = 1$, yet $r(R) = 2$; in particular R is not level. Indeed, set

$$A = \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]/(xz^2 - y^3, x^3 + xy^2 - y^2z, x^2y + y^3 - z^3),$$

where $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = \deg(z) = 1$. Then A is a non-level nearly Gorenstein standard graded domain with $\text{codim}(A) = r(A) = 2$ (see [\[23, Example 3.1\]](#)). Hence $x^2 + z^2 \in \mathfrak{m}_A^2$ is a non-zerodivisor on A , and by [\[14, Proposition 2.3 \(b\)\]](#) the ring $R = A/(x^2 + z^2)A$ is a non-level nearly Gorenstein standard graded ring with $\text{codim}(R) = 3$ and $r(R) = 2$.

5. THE TETER PROPERTY FOR SPECIAL CONSTRUCTIONS OF ALGEBRAS

In this section, as an application of [Theorem 3.13](#), we mainly discuss the Teter property of fiber products, tensor products, Segre products, and certain Veronese subrings of standard graded rings. Throughout this section, we retain [Setup 1.7](#) and use the notation of [Section 2](#).

5.1. The Teter property of fiber products of standard graded rings. The aim of this subsection is to study the Teter property of fiber products of Cohen–Macaulay standard graded rings. Let A, B be positively graded Noetherian rings and let \mathbb{k} be a field. In what follows, we suppose the following.

Setup 5.1. $\mathbb{k} = A_0 = B_0$ and the maps f and g are the canonical graded surjections

$$f : A \rightarrow A/A_{>0} \cong \mathbb{k} \quad \text{and} \quad g : B \rightarrow B/B_{>0} \cong \mathbb{k}.$$

Definition 5.2. Assume [Setup 5.1](#). The subring of $A \times B$

$$R := A \times_{\mathbb{k}} B = \{(a, b) \in A \times B : f(a) = g(b)\}$$

is called *the fiber product of A and B over \mathbb{k}* .

Then, one can endow R with a natural graded structure by $R_n := \{(a, b) \in A_n \times B_n : f(a) = g(b)\}$. By definition of the fiber product, we get a graded exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\iota} A \oplus B \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0$$

of R -modules, where $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ -g \end{pmatrix}$. The map ϕ is surjective because f or g is surjective.

Remark 5.3 (see [\[20\]](#) or [\[2, Remark 3.1\]](#)). It is known that the following equalities hold:

$$\dim R = \max\{\dim S, \dim T\}, \quad \text{depth } R = \min\{\text{depth } S, \text{depth } T, 1\}.$$

In particular, R is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if S and T are Cohen–Macaulay and $\dim S = \dim T \leq 1$.

Remark 5.4 (see [\[19, Definition 3.9, Remark 3.10\]](#)). For a Cohen–Macaulay graded ring (S, \mathfrak{m}_S) possessing the graded canonical module ω_S , we set

$$\text{tr}_S^\dagger(\omega_S) := \begin{cases} \text{tr}_S(\omega_S) & \text{if } S \text{ is not Gorenstein,} \\ \mathfrak{m}_S & \text{if } S \text{ is Gorenstein.} \end{cases}$$

The following may be well known to experts, but we include a proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that A and B are generically Gorenstein Cohen–Macaulay graded rings with $\dim(A) = \dim(B) = 1$. Furthermore, assume that at least one of A and B is not regular. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If neither A nor B is regular, then $r(R) = r(A) + r(B) + 1$. If A is regular, then $r(R) = r(B) + 1$;*
- (2) *If neither A nor B is regular, then $\text{codim}(R) = \text{codim}(A) + \text{codim}(B) + 1$. If A is regular, then $\text{codim}(R) = \text{codim}(B) + 1$;*
- (3) *R is level if and only if one of the following holds:*
 - (i) *A and B are both level with $\alpha_A = \alpha_B = 0$;*
 - (ii) *A is regular and B is level with $\alpha_B = 0$;*
 - (iii) *B is regular and A is level with $\alpha_A = 0$.*
- (4) *The condition that $[\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$ contains a non-zerodivisor is equivalent to the condition that $\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_A) = \text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_B)$ and both $[\text{tr}_A(\omega_A)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_A)}$ and $[\text{tr}_B(\omega_B)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_B)}$ contain a non-zerodivisor.*

Proof. (1): It follows from the proof of [19, Remark 3.18] that $R_{\mathfrak{m}_R} \cong A_{\mathfrak{m}_A} \times_{\mathbb{k}} B_{\mathfrak{m}_B}$. Moreover, by the proof of [30, Fact 2.6], if neither A nor B is regular, then $r(R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}) = r(A_{\mathfrak{m}_A}) + r(B_{\mathfrak{m}_B}) + 1$, whereas if A is regular, then $r(R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}) = r(B_{\mathfrak{m}_B}) + 1$. Since $r(R_{\mathfrak{m}_R}) = r(R)$, $r(A_{\mathfrak{m}_A}) = r(A)$, and $r(B_{\mathfrak{m}_B}) = r(B)$, the desired assertion follows.

(2): If neither A nor B is regular, then applying the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula to R , A , and B respectively, we obtain

$$\text{codim}(R) = \text{embdim}(A) + \text{embdim}(B) - 1 = (\text{codim}(A) + 1) + (\text{codim}(B) + 1) - 1 = \text{codim}(A) + \text{codim}(B) + 1.$$

Similarly, when A is regular we obtain $\text{codim}(R) = \text{codim}(B) + 1$.

(3): By [19, Proposition 2.18 (2)] there is a graded exact sequence of degree 0:

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_A \oplus \omega_B \xrightarrow{\iota} \omega_R \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let f_1, \dots, f_n and g_1, \dots, g_m be minimal generators of ω_A and ω_B as R -modules, respectively, and choose a homogeneous element $x \in [\omega_R]_0$ with $\pi(x) = 1$. Then the above short exact sequence shows that $\{\iota(f_1), \dots, \iota(f_n), \iota(g_1), \dots, \iota(g_m), x\}$ is a generating set of ω_R as an R -module. If neither A nor B is regular, it follows from (1) that this is a minimal generating set of ω_R , and hence R is level if and only if (i) holds.

Now assume that A is regular. We first show that x cannot be removed from a minimal generating set. If x were contained in the submodule generated by $\{\iota(f_1), \iota(g_1), \dots, \iota(g_m)\}$, then there would exist $a, b_1, \dots, b_m \in R$ such that $x = a\iota(f_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j\iota(g_j)$. Applying π to both sides, we obtain $1 = \pi(x) = a\pi(\iota(f_1)) + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j\pi(\iota(g_j)) = 0$, which is a contradiction (here we use $\pi \circ \iota = 0$). Hence x must appear in every minimal generating set of ω_R .

Next we show that none of the elements $\iota(g_j)$ can be removed from a minimal generating set. Without loss of generality, we may assume $j = 1$. Suppose that $\iota(g_1)$ lies in the submodule generated by the other generators and x . That is, there exist $a, b_2, \dots, b_m, c \in R$ such that $\iota(g_1) = a\iota(f_1) + \sum_{j=2}^m b_j\iota(g_j) + cx$. Applying π to both sides, we obtain $0 = \pi(\iota(g_1)) = a\pi(\iota(f_1)) + \sum_{j=2}^m b_j\pi(\iota(g_j)) + c\pi(x) = c$, and hence $c = 0$. Thus $\iota(g_1) = a\iota(f_1) + \sum_{j=2}^m b_j\iota(g_j)$. Since ι is injective, this can be rewritten in $\omega_A \oplus \omega_B$ as $(0, g_1) = a(f_1, 0) + \sum_{j=2}^m b_j(0, g_j)$. Using the identification $R_{\mathfrak{m}_R} \cong A_{\mathfrak{m}_A} \times_{\mathbb{k}} B_{\mathfrak{m}_B}$, we can write $a = (a_A, a_B)$ and $b_j = (b_{j,A}, b_{j,B})$. Then the right-hand side becomes $(a_A f_1, \sum_{j=2}^m b_{j,B} g_j)$, and comparing

the second components, we obtain $g_1 = \sum_{j=2}^m b_{j,B} g_j$. This contradicts the assumption that $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ is a minimal generating set of ω_B . Thus, for every j , the element $\iota(g_j)$ cannot be removed from a minimal generating set.

Therefore, in the generating set $\{\iota(f_1), \iota(g_1), \dots, \iota(g_m), x\}$ of the R -module ω_R , neither x nor any $\iota(g_j)$ can be removed from a minimal generating set. By (1) we have $r(R) = m + 1$, and hence $\{\iota(g_1), \dots, \iota(g_m), x\}$ is a minimal generating set of ω_R as an R -module. In particular, R is level if and only if (ii) holds. If B is regular, the argument is analogous: then $m = 1$ and $\{\iota(f_1), \dots, \iota(f_n), x\}$ is a minimal generating set of ω_R as an R -module, and R is level if and only if (iii) holds.

(4): By [19, Remark 3.18] we have $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) = \text{tr}_A^\dagger(\omega_A)R \oplus \text{tr}_B^\dagger(\omega_B)R$. Hence, for a homogeneous element $x = (a, b) \in [\text{tr}_R(\omega_R)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)}$, the condition that x is a non-zerodivisor of R is equivalent to the condition that $\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_A) = \text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_B) = \text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ and that $a \in [\text{tr}_A(\omega_A)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_A)}$ is a non-zerodivisor of A and $b \in [\text{tr}_B(\omega_B)]_{\text{indeg}(\mathfrak{m}_B)}$ is a non-zerodivisor of B . This proves the assertion. \square

Remark 5.6. Assume that R is 1-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay generically Gorenstein standard graded ring. If R has minimal multiplicity, then it is Teter.

Proof. We may assume that R_0 is an infinite field. In this case, in the proof of [32, Proposition 5.2], the condition (3) in Remark 3.3 (c) is verified. By Remark 3.3 (c), this is equivalent to saying that R is Teter. \square

Corollary 5.7. Assume that A and B are Cohen–Macaulay generically Gorenstein standard graded rings with $\dim(A) = \dim(B) = 1$. Furthermore, suppose that at least one of A and B is not regular. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $R = A \times_{\mathbb{k}} B$ is Teter;
- (2) A and B both have minimal multiplicity.

Proof. We first show that (1) implies (2). Assume that R is Teter. By Theorem 1.2 it follows that R is level. By Lemma 5.5 (3), we have $\alpha_A = \alpha_B = 0$. Since A and B are one-dimensional standard graded rings, this means that both A and B have minimal multiplicity.

Next we show that (2) implies (1). We may assume that $A_0 = B_0 = \mathbb{k}$ is an infinite field. Under this assumption, together with Remark 5.6, the rings A and B are each either Gorenstein or Teter, and moreover we have $\alpha_A = \alpha_B = 0$ and both A and B are level (see [3, Section 4]). In the Gorenstein case, the hypothesis further implies that they are hypersurfaces. Combining this with Theorem 3.13, we see that in either case, hypersurface or Teter, the equalities $r(A) = \text{codim}(A)$ and $r(B) = \text{codim}(B)$ hold, and both $[\text{tr}_A(\omega_A)]_1$ and $[\text{tr}_B(\omega_B)]_1$ contain a non-zerodivisor. Therefore, by Lemma 5.5, the ring R is Teter. \square

5.2. The Teter property of Veronese subrings. The purpose of this subsection is to investigate the Teter property of suitably low-dimensional Veronese subrings. The next statement is well known to experts, but we include a proof for the reader's convenience.

Remark 5.8. Assume R is standard graded and $1 \leq \dim R \leq 2$. If R has minimal multiplicity (i.e. $e(R) = \text{embdim}(R) - \dim(R) + 1$), then $R^{(k)}$ also has minimal multiplicity and $e(R^{(k)}) = k^{\dim(R)-1} e(R)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In particular, $R^{(k)}$ is Gorenstein if and only if $k^{\dim(R)-1} e(R) \leq 2$.

Proof. Set $d = \dim R \leq 2$. If R has minimal multiplicity and $d \leq 2$, then its Hilbert series is

$$HS_R(t) = \frac{1 + ct}{(1 - t)^d}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, we have $e(R) = 1 + c$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_n = \begin{cases} 1 + c & (d = 1, n \geq 1), \\ (c + 1)n + 1 & (d = 2, n \geq 0). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for every $k \geq 1$ we have: $\mu(m^{(k)}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} [R^{(k)}]_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_k$ and $e(R^{(k)}) = k^{d-1}e(R)$.

- When $d = 2$, since $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_k = (c + 1)k + 1$ and $e(R) = c + 1$, we have

$$e(R^{(k)}) = ke(R) = k(c + 1) = \mu(m^{(k)}) - d + 1.$$

- When $d = 1$, since $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R_k = 1 + c = e(R)$ for all $k \geq 1$, we have

$$e(R^{(k)}) = e(R) = 1 + c = \mu(m^{(k)}) - d + 1.$$

Combining the three cases yields the assertion. Noting that R is level and that $k^{d-1}e(R) = e(R^{(k)}) = 1 + h_1$, where $h(R^{(k)}) = (1, h_1)$ is the h -vector of $R^{(k)}$ (here we also allow $h_1 = 0$). Thus we see that $R^{(k)}$ is Gorenstein if and only if $h_1 \leq 1$, equivalently $k^{d-1}e(R) \leq 2$. \square

Theorem 5.9. *Assume that R is standard graded and $\dim R \leq 2$. If R has minimal multiplicity and that it is either Teter or Gorenstein, then $R^{(k)}$ is again Teter or Gorenstein for every $k \geq 1$. In particular, if either $\dim(R) = 1$ with $e(R) \geq 3$ or $\dim(R) = 2$, then $R^{(k)}$ is Teter for every $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. When $\dim(R) = 0$, note that $R = \mathbb{k} \oplus R_1$ because R has minimal multiplicity. Thus $R^{(k)} = \mathbb{k}$ is Gorenstein for $k \geq 2$; for $k = 1$ the claim is tautological. Thus we may assume $\dim R = 1$ or $\dim R = 2$.

Since R is either Teter or Gorenstein, it is in particular generically Gorenstein, so ω_R can be identified with a fractional ideal of R . By [Theorem 3.13](#) there exists a non-zero-divisor $f \in [\text{tr}(\omega_R)]_1$. By [\[24, Theorem 6.1\]](#) we have $\text{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_R^{(k)}) \supset (m^{k-1}(f))^{(k)}$. In particular, for the degree-one non-zero-divisor f^k of $R^{(k)}$ we obtain $f^k \in [\text{tr}_{R^{(k)}}(\omega_R^{(k)})]_1$. Hence, by [Corollary 4.1](#) and [Remark 5.8](#), the Veronese subring $R^{(k)}$ is either Gorenstein or Teter. In particular, if either $\dim(R) = 1$ with $e(R) \geq 3$ or $\dim(R) = 2$, then for every $k \geq 2$ we have $k^{\dim(R)-1}e(R) \geq 3$, and hence $R^{(k)}$ is not Gorenstein by [Remark 5.8](#). Therefore $R^{(k)}$ is Teter. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Assume R is a non-Gorenstein generically Gorenstein standard graded ring with minimal multiplicity. If either $\dim(R) = 1$ or $\text{tr}_R(\omega_R) = m_R$ with $\dim(R) = 2$, then $R^{(k)}$ is Teter for every $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. By [Corollary 4.1](#) and [Remark 5.6](#), R is Teter under our assumptions. Hence, by [Theorem 5.9](#), $R^{(k)}$ is Teter for every $k \geq 2$. \square

6. TETER NUMERICAL SEMIGROUP RINGS

In [Section 4](#) and [Section 5](#), we primarily investigated the Teter property of standard graded rings. In this section, we investigate the Teter property of numerical semigroup rings, which serve as typical examples of rings that are not semi-standard graded. Our goal is to completely characterize the Teter property of numerical semigroup rings in terms of numerical semigroups (see [Theorem 6.5](#)). Furthermore, using

Theorem 6.5. we discuss the relationships with almost Gorenstein and nearly Gorenstein rings and some examples.

Throughout this section, we retain [Setup 1.7](#) and use the notation of Section 2 (see [§2.4](#)). We also assume that R_H is not Gorenstein. Applying the definition of Teter to a numerical semigroup ring, it can be rephrased as follows.

Lemma 6.1. *Let H be a numerical semigroup. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) R_H is Teter;
- (2) $\omega_{R_H} \cong I$ and $\text{embdim}(R_H/I) = \mu((t^{\bar{a}_1}, \dots, t^{\bar{a}_n}) + I) \leq 1$ for some ideal $I \subsetneq R_H$;
- (3) there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $t^\gamma \omega_{R_H} \subsetneq R_H$ and $t^{a_i} \in t^\gamma \omega_{R_H}$ for at least $n-1$ indices $i \in [n]$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows from [Remark 3.3](#) (c). We show that (2) \Rightarrow (3). Since there exists an isomorphism $\omega_{R_H} \cong I$ as graded R_H -modules and ω_{R_H} is a fractional ideal, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t^\gamma \omega_{R_H} = I$. Here, since $\omega_{R_H} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{PF}(H)} t^{-\alpha} R_H$, we can choose $\gamma > 0$. Let $V = m/m^2 (= \sum_{i=1}^n k \bar{t}^{a_i})$ and $W = (I + m^2)/m^2$. Then the condition $\text{codim}(R_H/I) \leq 1$ implies $\dim_k(V/W) = \dim_k(m/(I + m^2)) \leq 1$, which is equivalent to saying that the dimension of W as a subspace of V is at least $n-1$. Noting that I is a monomial ideal, we see that I contains at least $n-1$ elements of the form t^{a_i} . (3) \Rightarrow (2). is clear. \square

Regarding the condition (3) of [Lemma 6.1](#), we define the following:

Definition 6.2. For a numerical semigroup H , we define the following sets:

- (1) $T_1(H) := \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid t^\gamma \omega_{R_H} \subsetneq R_H\}$;
- (2) $T_2(H) := \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid \text{for at least } n-1 \text{ indices } i \in [n], t^{a_i} \in t^\gamma \omega_{R_H}\}$;
- (3) $T(H) := T_1(H) \cap T_2(H)$;
- (4) If $T(H) \neq \emptyset$, we set $\gamma_H := \min T(H)$ and $\delta_H := \gamma_H - (F(H) + a_1) \geq 0$.

In other words, R_H is Teter if and only if $T(H) \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 6.3. *The following hold:*

- (1) If $\gamma \in T_1(H)$, then $F(H) + a_1 \leq \gamma$;
- (2) If R_H is Teter, then $\delta_H > 0$ if and only if $t^{a_1} \notin t^{\gamma_H} \omega_{R_H}$.

Proof. (1): Assume $\gamma \in T_1(H)$ and $F(H) + a_1 > \gamma$. By definition, $\gamma - F(H) \in H$. Since $\gamma - F(H) < a_1$, we must have $\gamma = F(H)$. Since R_H is not Gorenstein, there exists a pseudo-Frobenius number α different from $F(H)$. However, this implies $\gamma - \alpha \in H$, which is a contradiction.

(2): If $\delta_H > 0$, we have $\gamma_H - F(H) = F(H) + a_1 + \delta_H - F(H) > a_1$, which implies $t^{a_1} \notin t^{\gamma_H} \omega_{R_H}$. Conversely, if $\delta_H = 0$, we have $\gamma_H - F(H) = a_1$, which implies $t^{a_1} \in t^{\gamma_H} \omega_{R_H}$. \square

To prove the theorem, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume $\gamma \in T_1(H)$ for a numerical semigroup H . Then, $t^{a_i} \in t^\gamma \omega_{R_H}$ for some $i \in [n]$ if and only if there exists a unique $i_0 \in [\text{type}(H)]$ such that $a_i = \gamma - \alpha_{i_0}$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow is clear. We show \Rightarrow . Assume $t^{a_i} \in t^\gamma \omega_{R_H}$ for $i \in [n]$. Then there exists $i_0 \in [\text{type}(H)]$ such that $t^{a_i} \in t^{\gamma - \alpha_{i_0}} R_H$. Since $t^{\gamma - \alpha_{i_0}} R_H = \sum_{h \in H} t^{\gamma - \alpha_{i_0} + h}$, there exists $h \in H$ such that $a_i = \gamma - \alpha_{i_0} + h$. Since $\gamma \in T_1(H)$, we must have $\gamma - \alpha_i \in H$ for any $i \in [\text{type}(H)]$. If $\gamma - \alpha_{i_0} = 0$, it contradicts the fact that $F(H) < \gamma$ from [Proposition 6.3](#) (1), so $\gamma - \alpha_{i_0} \in H \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, by the minimality of the

generator α_i , we obtain $h = 0$, and thus $\alpha_i = \gamma - \alpha_{i_0}$. Moreover, if there exist indices i_1, i_2 such that $\alpha_i = \gamma - \alpha_{i_1} = \gamma - \alpha_{i_2}$, then $\alpha_{i_1} = \alpha_{i_2}$. \square

With the above preparations in place, we characterize the Teter property of numerical semigroup rings in terms of numerical semigroups.

Theorem 6.5. *Let H be a numerical semigroup. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R_H is Teter;
- (2) $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ where $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1} = F(H)$, and the following holds:
There exists $s \in [n]$ such that for all j with $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$F(H) + \alpha_1 + \delta = \begin{cases} \alpha_j + \alpha_{n-j} & (j < s); \\ \alpha_j + \alpha_{n+1-j} & (j > s), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 & (s = 1); \\ 0 & (s \neq 1). \end{cases}$$

In particular, when R_H is Teter, the only possible values for δ_H are 0 or $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): From [Corollary 3.5](#), $\text{type}(H) = \text{embdim}(H) - 1$. Therefore, $\text{PF}(H)$ consists of $n - 1$ elements, say $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1} = F(H)$. From [Lemma 6.1](#) and [Lemma 6.4](#), The ring R_H is Teter if and only if there exists a positive integer γ such that $t^\gamma \omega_{R_H} \subsetneq R_H$ and $t^{\alpha_i} \in t^\gamma \omega_{R_H}$ holds for exactly $n - 1$ indices $i \in [n]$. Let t^{α_s} be the element not contained in $t^{\gamma_H} \omega_{R_H}$, where $s \in [n]$. If $s = 1$, then, by the [Lemma 6.4](#) and the order relation between α_i and α_i , we have $\alpha_j = \gamma_H - \alpha_{n+1-j}$ for every integer j with $2 \leq j \leq n$. In particular, taking $j = 2$ gives $\alpha_2 = \gamma_H - \alpha_{n-1}$, hence $\delta_H = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$. If $s \neq 1$, then, by the [Proposition 6.3](#), $\delta_H = 0$, and the claim follows as in the case $s = 1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): When $s = 1$, considering $t^{F(H)+\alpha_2} \omega_{R_H}$ shows that R_H is Teter. When $s \neq 1$, considering $t^{F(H)+\alpha_1} \omega_{R_H}$ shows that R_H is Teter. \square

Corollary 6.6. *When H is a numerical semigroup with minimal multiplicity. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R_H is Teter;
- (2) $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ where $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1} = F(H)$, and the following holds:
There exists $s \in \{1, n\}$ such that for all j with $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$F(H) + \delta = \begin{cases} \alpha_{j-1} + \alpha_{n-j} & (j < s); \\ \alpha_{j-1} + \alpha_{n+1-j} & (j > s); \end{cases}$$

where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \alpha_1 & (s = 1); \\ 0 & (s \neq 1). \end{cases}$$

- (3) $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ where $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{n-1} = F(H)$, and the following holds:
There exists $s \in \{1, n\}$ such that for all j with $2 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\alpha_n + \alpha_1 + \delta = \begin{cases} \alpha_j + \alpha_{n+1-j} & (j < s); \\ \alpha_j + \alpha_{n+2-j} & (j > s); \end{cases}$$

where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} a_2 - a_1 & (s = 1); \\ 0 & (s \neq 1). \end{cases}$$

In particular, H is almost symmetric if and only if R_H is Teter and $\delta_H = 0$.

Proof. We show that s takes values only in $\{1, n\}$. Assume $1 < s < n$. Then $\delta_H = 0$. Letting $j = n$, we get $a_n + a_1 = a_n + a_2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 6.7. *All non-Gorenstein numerical semigroup rings with minimal multiplicity and embedding dimension 3 are Teter.*

Proof. When H has minimal multiplicity, we have $\text{PF}(H) = \{\alpha = a_2 - a_1, F(H) = a_3 - a_1\}$. In this case, considering $t^{F(H)+a_2}\omega_{R_H}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t^{F(H)+a_2}\omega_{R_H} &= t^{F(H)+a_2} \left(\sum_{h \in H} kt^{h-\alpha} + \sum_{h \in H} kt^{h-F(H)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} kt^{h-(a_2-a_1)+(a_3-a_1+a_2)} + \sum_{h \in H} kt^{h+a_2} \\ &= \sum_{h \in H} kt^{h+a_3} + \sum_{h \in H} kt^{h+a_2} \subsetneq R_H. \end{aligned}$$

Since it is clear that $t^{a_2}, t^{a_3} \in t^{F(H)+a_2}\omega_{R_H}$, we conclude that $F(H) + a_2 \in T(H)$. \square

Corollary 6.8. *Let H be a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup of embedding dimension 3. Then, R_H is Teter if and only if there exists a pair of generators whose difference is $\frac{F(H)}{2}$.*

Proof. If R_H is Teter, then the assertion holds because, by [Theorem 6.5](#), one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) The condition for $s = 1$ is equivalent to $a_3 - a_2 = \frac{F(H)}{2}$;
- (2) The condition for $s = 2$ is equivalent to $a_3 - a_1 = \frac{F(H)}{2}$;
- (3) The condition for $s = 3$ is equivalent to $a_2 - a_1 = \frac{F(H)}{2}$.

The converse is obtained by reversing the above argument. \square

Example 6.9. Let H be a numerical semigroup with minimal multiplicity. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $F(H) + a_1 \in T(H)$;
- (2) $\delta_H = 0$;
- (3) H is almost symmetric;
- (4) $t^{\gamma_H}\omega_{R_H} = (t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}, \dots, t^{a_{n-1}})$.

We provide examples of numerical semigroup rings having arbitrary codimension as follows.

Example 6.10. For an integer $n \geq 2$ and positive integers $a, s, d > 0$ such that $(a, d) = 1$, define a numerical semigroup H as $H = \langle a, sa + d, \dots, sa + nd \rangle$. Here, $\text{embdim}(H) = n + 1$. Let r be the remainder and q be the quotient when a is divided by n . According to [\[31, Theorem 3.1 \(2\)\]](#) or [\[21\]](#), if $r = 1$, then $\text{type}(H) = n$, and in this case R_H is Teter (though not necessarily almost Gorenstein).

Proof. In our setting, we have $\text{PF}(H) = \{saq + id - a \mid (q-1)n + 1 \leq i \leq qn\}$ (see [31, Theorem 3.1 (2)] or [21]). By Theorem 6.5, if we set $s = 1$, the equality

$$\alpha_n + a_2 = saq + qnd - a + sa + d = sa + (j-1)d + saq + ((q-1)n + n + 1 - j)d - a = a_j + \alpha_{n+1-j}$$

holds for any $2 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore, we conclude that R_H is Teter. \square

Proposition 6.11. *Let $H = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3 \rangle$ be a non-symmetric numerical semigroup. The following hold:*

- (1) *If R_H is nearly Gorenstein but not almost Gorenstein, then it is Teter;*
- (2) *When R_H is almost Gorenstein, the Hilbert–Burch matrix of R_H can be expressed as $A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^a & x_2^b & x_3^c \\ x_2 & x_3 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ where a, b, c is positive integers. In this case, R_H is Teter if and only if $\min\{a, b, c\} = 1$.*

Proof. We show (2) first. Since it is a numerical semigroup generated by 3 elements, H is pseudo-symmetric. Therefore, by Corollary 6.8, it is sufficient to show the existence of a pair of generators whose difference is $\frac{F(H)}{2}$. By [16, Theorem 3.3 (3)], H can be represented using $a, b, c > 0$ as $H = \langle bc + b + 1, ac + c + 1, ab + a + 1 \rangle$ where $(bc + b + 1, ac + c + 1) = 1$. Furthermore, $\text{PF}(H) = \{abc - 1, 2(abc - 1)\}$. By symmetry, we assume $a \leq b \leq c$. (That is, $ab + a + 1 \leq ac + c + 1 \leq bc + b + 1$). If $a = 1$, R_H is clearly Teter, so we show the converse. When R_H is Teter, one of the following values must equal $abc - 1$:

- (a) $(bc + b + 1) - (ac + c + 1)$;
- (b) $(bc + b + 1) - (ab + a + 1)$;
- (c) $(ac + c + 1) - (ab + a + 1)$;

Assuming equality in (a) or (c) leads to a contradiction with the fact that the embedding dimension of H is 3. Therefore, (b) implies $a = 1$. \square

Remark 6.12. (a) If $\text{embdim}(H) \geq 4$, Proposition 6.11 does not hold in general. Indeed, let

$$H = \langle 11, 12, 14, 15 \rangle.$$

Then one can verify that R_H is a nearly Gorenstein ring which is not almost Gorenstein and satisfies $\text{type}(H) = \text{embdim}(H) - 1$, whereas R_H is not Teter because $\text{PF}(H) = \{13, 31, 32\}$. Moreover, by Theorem 6.5, R_H is not Teter.

- (b) Moreover, even if a numerical semigroup has minimal multiplicity and embedding dimension at least 4, its numerical semigroup ring need not be Teter. Indeed, let

$$H = \langle 4, 7, 9, 10 \rangle.$$

Then R_H has minimal multiplicity and $\text{PF}(H) = \{3, 5, 6\}$. Thus R_H is not Teter by Theorem 6.5.

Proposition 6.13. *If R_H has minimal multiplicity and $[\text{tr}(\omega_{R_H})]_{\alpha_1} \neq (0)$, then R_H is Teter.*

Proof. Assume that H has minimal multiplicity and that $[\text{tr}(\omega_{R_H})]_{\alpha_1} \neq 0$. Then $\alpha_1 \in \text{tr}(H)$, so there exist $i \in [n-1]$, $h \in H$ and $z \in \Omega_H^-$ such that $\alpha_1 = h - \alpha_i + z$, and in particular $\alpha_1 + \alpha_i \in \Omega_H^-$ for some $i \in [n-1]$. By the description of Ω_H^- , this means that for some $i \in [n-1]$ we have $\alpha_1 + \alpha_i - \alpha_j \in H$ for all $j \in [n-1] \setminus \{i\}$. Using the characterization of such an index, we may take $i = n-1$, and hence $\alpha_1 + \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_j \in H$ for all $j \in [n-1]$. All the elements $\alpha_1 + \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_j$ lie in the Apéry set $\text{Ap}(H, \alpha_1)$, where $j \in [n-1]$. By the known structure of $\text{Ap}(H, \alpha_1)$ in this case, we obtain $\alpha_1 + \alpha_{n-1} -$

$\alpha_j = \alpha_1 + \alpha_{n-1-j}$ for every $j \in [n-2]$. Cancelling α_1 gives $\alpha_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1-j} + \alpha_j$ for all $j \in [n-2]$. By [Corollary 6.6](#), this condition implies that R_H is Teter (and hence almost symmetric), as required. \square

Remark 6.14. From the proof above, if H has minimal multiplicity, then $[\mathrm{tr}(\omega_{R_H})]_{\alpha_1} \neq 0$ holds if and only if R_H is almost Gorenstein. In particular, by [\[14, Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 6.6\]](#), this condition is also equivalent to R_H being nearly Gorenstein.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Naoyuki Matsuoka. The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K16909.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] L. W. Christensen, J. Striuli, and O. Veliche, *Growth in the minimal injective resolution of a local ring*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **81** (2010), no. 1, 24–44.
- [3] D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, *Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity*, Journal of Algebra **88** (1984), no. 1, 89–133.
- [4] J. Elias and M. S. Takatuji, *On Teter rings*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics **147** (2017), no. 1, 125–139.
- [5] V. Ene, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and S. S. Madani, *Pseudo-Gorenstein and level Hibi rings*, Journal of Algebra **431** (2015), 138–161.
- [6] A. Ficarra, *The canonical trace of Cohen–Macaulay algebras of codimension 2*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **153** (2025), no. 8, 3275–3289.
- [7] A. Ficarra, J. Herzog, D. I. Stamate, and V. Trivedi, *The canonical trace of determinantal rings*, Archiv der Mathematik **123** (2024), no. 5, 487–497.
- [8] O. Gasanova, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and S. Moradi, *Rings of Teter type*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal **248** (2022), 1005–1033.
- [9] S. Goto, N. Matsuoka, and T. T. Phuong, *Almost Gorenstein rings*, Journal of Algebra **379** (2013), 355–381.
- [10] S. Goto, R. Takahashi, and N. Taniguchi, *Almost Gorenstein rings—towards a theory of higher dimension*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **219** (2015), no. 7, 2666–2712.
- [11] S. Goto and K. Watanabe, *On graded rings, I*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan **30** (1978), no. 2, 179–213.
- [12] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*.
- [13] M. Hashimoto and Y. Yang, *Indecomposability of graded modules over a graded ring*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14523 (2023).
- [14] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and D. I. Stamate, *The trace of the canonical module*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **233** (2019), 133–165.
- [15] J. Herzog, *Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings*, Manuscripta mathematica **3** (1970), no. 2, 175–193.
- [16] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and D. I. Stamate, *Canonical trace ideal and residue for numerical semigroup rings*, Semigroup Forum **103** (2021), 550–566.
- [17] A. Higashitani, *Almost Gorenstein homogeneous rings and their \mathfrak{h} -vectors*, Journal of Algebra **456** (2016), 190–206.
- [18] R. Jafari, F. Strazzanti, and S. Z. Armengou, *On nearly Gorenstein affine semigroups*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.12081 (2024).
- [19] S. Kumashiro and S. Miyashita, *Canonical traces of fiber products and their applications*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.04899 (2025).
- [20] J. Lescot, *La série de Bass d’un produit fibré d’anneaux locaux*, Séminaire d’algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin: Proceedings, Paris 1982 (35ème année), Springer, 2006, pp. 218–239.
- [21] G. L. Matthews, *On numerical semigroups generated by generalized arithmetic sequences*, Communications in Algebra.
- [22] S. Miyashita, *Comparing generalized Gorenstein properties in semi-standard graded rings*, Journal of Algebra **647** (2024), 823–843.

- [23] ———, *Levelness versus nearly Gorensteinness of homogeneous rings*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **228** (2024), no. 4, 107553.
- [24] ———, *A linear variant of the nearly Gorenstein property*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05629 (2024).
- [25] ———, *Nearly Gorenstein projective monomial curves of small codimension*, J. Comm. Algebra **16** (2024), no. 2, 231–43.
- [26] ———, *When do pseudo-Gorenstein rings become Gorenstein?*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society (2025).
- [27] S. Miyashita and M. Varbaro, *The canonical trace of Stanley–Reisner rings that are Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2025** (2025), no. 12, rnaf176.
- [28] ———, *The canonical trace of Stanley–Reisner rings that are Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2025** (2025), no. 12, rnaf176.
- [29] A. Moscariello and F. Strazzanti, *Nearly Gorenstein vs almost Gorenstein affine monomial curves*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics **18** (2021), no. 4, 127.
- [30] S. Nasseh, S. Sather-Wagstaff, R. Takahashi, and K. VandeBogert, *Applications and homological properties of local rings with decomposable maximal ideals*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **223** (2019), no. 3, 1272–1287.
- [31] T. Numata, *Numerical semigroups generated by arithmetic sequences*, Proceedings of the Institute of Natural Sciences, Nihon University, vol. 49, 2014, pp. 279–287.
- [32] T. J. Puthenpurakal, *Higher dimensional Teter rings*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics **22** (2025), no. 194.
- [33] D. I. Stamate, *Betti numbers for numerical semigroup rings*, The 24th National School on Algebra, Springer, 2016, pp. 133–157.
- [34] R. P. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Advances in Mathematics **28** (1978), no. 1, 57–83.
- [35] ———, *f-vectors and h-vectors of simplicial posets*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **71** (1991), no. 2-3, 319–331.
- [36] ———, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 41, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [37] W. Teter, *Rings which are a factor of a Gorenstein ring by its socle*, Inventiones mathematicae **23** (1974), 153–162.
- [38] K. Yanagawa, *Castelnuovo’s lemma and h-vectors of Cohen–Macaulay homogeneous domains*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **105** (1995), no. 1, 107–116.

(Miyashita) DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, THE UNIVERSITY OF OSAKA, SUIITA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN

Email address: u804642k@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp

(Ozaki) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TOKYO, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152-8550, JAPAN

Email address: taigaozaki0422.math@gmail.com