

OSM+: Billion-Level Open Street Map Dataset for City-wide Experiments

Guanjie Zheng
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
gjzheng@sjtu.edu.cn

Yuhang Luo
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
luoyuhang@sjtu.edu.cn

Linghe Kong
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
linghe.kong@sjtu.edu.cn

Ziyang Su
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
suziyang@sjtu.edu.cn

Hongwei Zhang
Alibaba Inc.
eric.zhw@alibaba-inc.com

Fan Wu
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
fwu@cs.sjtu.edu.cn

Yiheng Wang
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
yhwang0828@sjtu.edu.cn

Xuanhe Zhou
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
zhouxh@cs.sjtu.edu.cn

Wen Ling
Shanghai Jiao Tong University
wen.ling@sjtu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

Road network data can provide rich information about cities and thus become the base for various urban research. However, processing large volume world-wide road network data requires intensive computing resources and the processed results might be different to be unified for testing downstream tasks. Therefore, in this paper, we process the OpenStreetMap data via a distributed computing of 5,000 cores on cloud services and release a structured world-wide 1-billion-vertex road network graph dataset with high accessibility (opensource and downloadable to the whole world) and usability (open-box graph structure and easy spatial query interface). To demonstrate how this dataset can be utilized easily, we present three illustrative use cases, including traffic prediction, city boundary detection and traffic policy control, and conduct extensive experiments for these three tasks. (1) For the well-investigated traffic prediction tasks, we release a new benchmark with 31 cities (traffic data processed and combined with our released OSM+ road network dataset), to provide much larger spatial coverage and more comprehensive evaluation of compared algorithms than the previously frequently-used datasets. This new benchmark will push the algorithms on their scalability from hundreds of road network intersections to thousands of intersections. (2) While for the more advanced traffic policy control task which requires interaction with the road network, we release a new 6 city datasets with much larger scale than the previous datasets. This brings new challenge for thousand-scale multi-agent coordination. (3) Along with the OSM+ dataset, the release of data converters facilitates the integration of multimodal spatial-temporal data for geospatial foundation model training, thereby expediting the process of uncovering compelling scientific insights.

PVLDB Reference Format:

Guanjie Zheng, Ziyang Su, Yiheng Wang, Yuhang Luo, Hongwei Zhang, Xuanhe Zhou, Linghe Kong, Fan Wu, and Wen Ling. OSM+: Billion-Level Open Street Map Dataset for City-wide Experiments. PVLDB, 14(1): XXX-XXX, 2020.
doi:XX.XX/XXX.XX

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND 4.0 International License. Visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> to view a copy of this license. For any use beyond those covered by this license, obtain permission by emailing info@vldb.org. Copyright is held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights

PVLDB Artifact Availability:

The source code, data, and/or other artifacts have been made available at [URL_TO_YOUR_ARTIFACTS](#).

1 INTRODUCTION

Road network has formed the skeleton of cities, as it connects between regions within city and between different cities. For long time, urban regions and road networks stretch along each other. Therefore, road networks can essentially reflect the landscape and function zones in cities, and thus affect human mobility. For instance, it is reported that the human mobility intensity is highly correlated with road network density [30]. Hence, investigating road network structures is the base for urban researches such as urban planning and urban traffic prediction.

However, obtaining accurate road network data for open public research remains challenging. High-quality road network datasets are collected at significant cost by commercial map providers such as Google [15], Bing [6], Baidu [3], and Gaode [14]. As a result, these services are mainly designed for commercial applications, offering only limited high-level APIs to the public (e.g., POI search, origin-destination route planning [3, 15]). Such restricted access falls short of the needs of academic researchers and start-ups, who often require fine-grained, low-level access to road network data in order to flexibly conduct computations and rapidly iterate on research ideas or product prototypes.

Open-source map services (e.g., OpenStreetMap [17]) built from crowdsourcing mechanisms by world-wide users are promising to resolve this problem. However, due to the massive amount of the road network data and the complex data format in map object storage, processing the road network data from scratch to obtain desired format for experiments are always challenging and time-consuming. It might take several trials for human developers (or even with AI) to clean the road network, connect the broken edges, removing the redundant edges, and fix the problems, with each trial costing several hours. Hence, it is highly desirable that *an intermediate format of processed road network data* can support

licensed to the VLDB Endowment.

Proceedings of the VLDB Endowment, Vol. 14, No. 1 ISSN 2150-8097.
doi:XX.XX/XXX.XX




Figure 1: Comparison among four ways of querying OpenStreetMap data: website search, the Overpass API, the OSMnx package, and our proposed method (OSM+ dataset). While the first three either lack an explicit graph structure, are difficult to use, or suffer from slow query speed, our OSM+ dataset stored in a cloud database enables efficient, scalable queries over a precomputed graph-structured dataset.

diverse downstream applications so as to speed up the scientific discovery. Following this path, some studies [4, 9, 16] released tools to clean the OpenStreetMap road network data. However, several issues remain. First, the cleaning of OpenStreetMap contains a complex pipeline, including converting, reducing, transforming and aggregating. This pipeline may take about 10 hours even only for processing a region with $1,000km^2$ size, when running on a machine with 32 CPU cores and 128GB memory. Second, the computing of world-wide map data requires memory far more than that of a single machine. The world-wide raw OSM data is roughly 1.1 TB before processing, and finally processed structured OSM data can be a graph with more than 1 billion vertices. Although segmenting the regions and processing each region separately may make it possible to process the data locally, this requires hand-crafted distributed computing strategies and further aggregating the processed data may introduce extra errors to the data. Because of the two issues mentioned above, researchers have developed different ways to process road network data, which led to multiple versions being derived from the same raw source. This inconsistency makes it difficult to integrate the data for training multi-modal foundation models. Therefore, it is important to *build a pre-processed, structured version of OpenStreetMap and make it publicly available*.

In this paper, we introduce OSM+, a data processing system designed to generate structured road network datasets from OpenStreetMap. OSM+ consists of three core components: (1) a road network graph database that organizes world-wide intersections (as vertices), road segments (as edges), and auxiliary side information (e.g., POIs) extracted from the original map data; (2) a set of auto-parallel, fundamental computing APIs that support efficient vertex-level and distance-based queries, enabling researchers to build advanced road network analytics on top; and (3) a suite of

data converters that transform the processed road network into formats suitable for diverse downstream tasks, such as graph neural network (GNN) inputs, traffic simulations, multi-modal data fusion. The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows.

- (1) We provide an easy-to-use world-wide road network database and make it open-source. This makes the dataset a benchmark for related research. The OSM+ dataset can be accessed and the related code can be found at <https://anonymous.4open.science/r/OSM-dataset-3034>.
- (2) We provide cloud-computing based APIs to enable efficient billion-scale graph query and processing, allowing various subsequent data processing for extended applications.
- (3) We provide three example application scenarios, traffic prediction, traffic signal control and city boundary detection. The data converters are released, which will make it possible to use map data as the base for multi-modal foundational model training and accelerate interesting scientific discovery.
- (4) For the well-investigated traffic prediction task, we construct a new large-scale benchmark with 31 cities, with more comprehensive data than the 6 previously commonly-used datasets. For traffic policy control task, we release 6 city datasets with at most 18,948 intersections. Both tasks raise new large-scale challenges for compared algorithms.

2 OSM+: A STRUCTURED ROAD NETWORK DATASET WITH COMPUTING SUPPORT

To address the challenges of raw OSM data usability, we present OSM+, a scalable system that transforms raw map data into structured, analysis-ready road networks. As shown in Figure 2, in this section we separately introduce the key components of OSM+, including graph-based network modeling, efficient spatial query operations, and adaptive data converters.

2.1 Graph-Based Road Network Modeling

A lot of downstream machine learning tasks in the transportation domain (e.g., traffic prediction) rely on road network graph structures. Thus, we generalize the road network as a graph with 1.9 billion vertices under the following definition. Our OSM+ dataset is a graph-structured database based on MaxCompute, formerly called ODPS. MaxCompute is Alibaba Cloud’s fully managed big data computing and data warehouse platform. It can store and process terabytes to petabytes of data, offering SQL and other distributed computing models to analyze massive datasets efficiently while reducing costs and ensuring strong data security. Here is the definition of our roadnet graph model:

Definition 2.1 (Graph-based Road Network Model). Given a city-scale road network with intersections and road segments, we can define a graph structure with the vertex and edge defined as below.

- (1) **Vertex:** Each vertex represents a road intersection in the OpenStreetMap road network or POI point.
- (2) **Edge:** Each edge represents a road segment in OpenStreetMap with a starting intersection and ending intersection. Since each road segment may have multiple parts segmented by minor intersections or direction turning points in geometry, each edge may contain multiple line segments.




Figure 2: OSM+ database provides worldwide edges (Table split_edge) and points (Table vertex). Only basic SQL language is needed to facilitate the efficient construction of an urban graph structure on a global scale.

This graph model can facilitate quick queries and seamless table joining. For example, a single SQL query can be issued to retrieve all road segments within a specified bounding box and join them with a POI table to compute features such as the number and types of nearby facilities (e.g., schools, gas stations, or shopping malls) for each road segment.

Statistics. In the original OpenStreetMap, a road is represented as a linestring. We further subdivide each linestring into several split edges, each with exactly one start point and one end point. The split edges that constitute the road network are referred to as 'split edges on roadnet'. It is straightforward to observe that the worldwide road network forms a massive graph with billions of vertices and edges. Moreover, the spatial density of vertices can serve as a proxy for population distribution and levels of urbanization. The overall statistics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Some basic statistics of our OSM+ database.

Subset	Counts			Total Length (km)
	Vertices	Split Edges	Linestrings	
Roads and POI	7,475,535,808	1,964,857,157	8,039,543,656	84,662,999
Roadnet Only	2,180,447,343	833,401,275	197,775,476	

Spatial distribution & categories. The spatial distribution of road data is highly imbalanced. The left bar chart of Figure 3 reports the numbers of roadnet vertices and edges for each continent. Europe exhibits the largest number of vertices and edges, followed by Asia, while Oceania has the smallest. Furthermore, we compute the total length of the road network in five representative countries or regions, and we collect the corresponding 2019 road-length statistics from the International Road Federation. These statistics are presented in Table 2. By comparing these data, we observe that

OSM road coverage in the United States, the Russian Federation, and Canada is more complete, whereas coverage in China and India remains relatively incomplete. The distribution of road categories is shown in the right barchart of Figure 3. We observe that the number of roads increases approximately exponentially as the road hierarchy level decreases.

Table 2: Total length of road (km) in different countries from OSM+ and IRF data sources.

Data Source	China	America	Russia	India	Canada
OSM+	3,805,919	13,731,271	9,956,729	2,878,553	1,592,642
IRF Statistics	5,012,496	6,638,329	1,542,196	6,371,847	1,126,600




Figure 3: Basic continent and category statistics of OSM+ database.

2.2 Efficient Spatial Computing Support

Given that OSM+ encodes a global road network with billions of vertices and edges, executing interactive queries on a single commodity server is impractical. We therefore deploy OSM+ on cloud-computing resources and design parallel query mechanisms

to enable efficient large-scale access to the data. The specific query process of OSM+ cloud computing is shown in Figure 4. Compared with directly downloading data from the OpenStreetMap API and performing local preprocessing, using the curated OSM+ dataset saves approximately four days of preprocessing and cleaning time for the global data. Meanwhile, to alleviate the computational bottlenecks in large-scale spatial search, we implement several optimization techniques:




Figure 4: OSM+ (on cloud) provides efficient and easy-to-use query service. If global data is processed on a local device (w/o OSM+), out of memory may be caused by the join operation due to the large data table (top left). In addition to this, it is cumbersome to process all the data in the region when constructing a specific region map data (top right).

Point Query Operation. Point query is one of the fundamental spatial operations supported by OSM+. Given a query point and a radius, the goal is to select all vertices in the `osm_node` table that fall within the specified radius. A naive implementation would scan all vertices in the dataset and retain only those that satisfy the distance constraint, which leads to prohibitive computational cost. Instead, we first apply a window-check technique that partitions the geographic space into a uniform grid at a specified spatial resolution. During query processing, we restrict the search to vertices located in the grid cell containing the query point and its neighboring cells, thereby pruning a large fraction of irrelevant vertices. As shown in Table 3, we conduct 1,000 point queries with and without the window-check optimization on a commodity cloud-computing cluster, and the results indicate that window check substantially improves point-query efficiency (see Table 3 for detailed timings).

Nearest-neighbor Query Operation. Given a query point and a latitude-longitude bounding box, the goal is to find the nearest vertex (nearest-neighbor query) or the k nearest vertices (k -nearest-neighbor query) within this region. To reduce query time, we employ a k -d tree, a binary space-partitioning tree that recursively divides a k -dimensional space. Constructing a k -d tree over the OSM+ dataset is equivalent to recursively partitioning the k -dimensional space with axis-aligned hyperplanes, yielding a hierarchy of k -dimensional hyperrectangular regions. Because the OSM+ dataset contains a very large number of records n but only a low-dimensional feature space d (i.e., $n \gg d$), k -d trees are well suited and can achieve an optimal expected time complexity of $O(\log_2 n)$ for nearest-neighbor search. As shown in Table 3, the k -d-tree-based implementation substantially reduces the running

time required for these queries compared with a linear scan baseline (see Table 3 for detailed results).

Table 3: Effectiveness of adding "window check" and "k-d tree" optimization technique.

Method	Point Query		Nearest Pair Query	
	Runtime(s)	Core \times min	Runtime(s)	Core \times min
With Optimization	79	1.33	1.49	0.01
W/O Optimization	310	2.06	41.14	0.05

Table 4: Efficiency of global KDE estimation on different computing cluster.

Platform	Sample Rate					
	1/1,000		1/10,000		1/100,000	
	Runtime(s)	Core \times min	Runtime(s)	Core \times min	Runtime(s)	Core \times min
ECS	OOM	OOM	841.62	14.02	6.04	0.10
Spark	29,014.66	17,408.49	197.02	118.21	7.21	4.32
ODPS	72.13	1.02	34.26	0.30	33.06	0.22

Using these techniques, we build an example comprehensive calculation task to conduct the KDE kernel density estimate of each intersection vertex on the global road network data, to illustrate why it is necessary to run experiments on cloud computing. We use three different sampling rates to sample the original global road network data and compare the runtime and memory cost on different platforms. The experimental results are shown in Table 4. It is observed that by utilizing the ODPS computing engine, we could employ an optimized query algorithm which significantly outperforms that of other computing platforms in terms of both runtime and memory utilization. Moreover, its judicious use of memory resources minimizes the memory cost, enabling query tasks on large-scale datasets. Note that continuing to increase the sample rate (e.g., 1/100, 1/10) will make the other two platforms fail to finish, hence, these results are not reported. Consequently, ODPS not only enhances computational speed but also mitigates memory resources cost, making it a good choice for applications on OSM+ dataset.

3 TYPICAL APPLICATION SCENARIOS

In this section, we introduce three typical applications of OSM+ database. To establish a benchmark at the city scale, one needs a comprehensive roadnet graph, and compile their dataset with the roadnet data. This initiative could be easily built upon our provided OSM+ dataset and enables researchers to curate a dataset specifically for these benchmarks.

3.1 City-scale Traffic Prediction

Traffic prediction has been extensively studied due to its importance for intelligent transportation systems. A large body of work evaluates models on standard benchmarks such as METR-LA and the various PEMS datasets [20, 22]. However, recent studies have noted that on these static benchmarks, many newly proposed methods achieve only marginal improvements over strong baselines, raising concerns about benchmark saturation and overfitting [26].

Table 5: Experiment results of traffic prediction task on 31 cities in OSM+(UTD19) with 7 baseline methods. Two metrics MAE and MAPE(%) are reported. Best results in each row are in bold.

City	AGCRN		Crossformer		DCRNN		DLinear		FEDformer		GWNet		MTGNN	
	MAE	MAPE	MAE	MAPE	MAE	MAPE	MAE	MAPE	MAE	MAPE	MAE	MAPE	MAE	MAPE
AA	47.92	44.03	44.40	35.34	OOM	OOM	47.80	37.84	51.94	45.19	47.06	37.14	46.97	40.47
BSL	64.51	55.38	62.82	65.68	119.15	184.45	61.50	51.74	59.37	61.78	81.07	106.88	78.41	93.81
BRN	51.00	231.93	49.84	201.84	OOM	OOM	52.18	253.27	55.58	248.00	50.59	319.09	70.90	405.07
BHX	112.08	70.94	84.13	48.08	303.46	195.15	111.22	66.91	119.52	65.15	107.09	66.83	91.68	49.44
BOL	31.27	21.07	32.74	20.98	38.00	26.82	37.27	34.12	37.87	29.83	35.03	25.67	32.31	21.12
BOD	71.65	39.69	67.13	36.19	232.07	276.51	67.13	44.54	70.14	46.29	74.18	57.18	89.14	56.70
BRE	56.31	36.52	58.08	34.22	OOM	OOM	63.27	42.47	61.42	41.98	57.01	36.98	56.69	35.50
KN	OOM	OOM	44.78	48.85	117.40	292.38	38.69	61.19	40.98	67.71	43.85	55.18	47.89	75.01
DA	57.22	51.76	53.28	50.75	OOM	OOM	54.76	53.99	57.41	61.16	54.69	51.74	57.20	50.30
ESS	41.95	34.65	40.47	41.68	174.64	294.77	50.35	43.85	44.70	41.95	38.99	34.87	38.41	36.46
FRA	163.16	54.95	145.88	47.61	179.03	51.37	99.62	30.19	107.78	31.76	190.85	62.23	284.10	92.89
GRZ	61.15	113.62	52.78	66.74	183.88	464.62	60.83	72.86	56.16	73.15	58.03	68.32	56.60	74.38
GRQ	69.64	35.57	68.02	32.53	158.26	114.53	66.03	37.54	79.09	42.20	67.99	34.95	74.99	39.00
HAM	46.50	44.89	44.49	44.87	97.85	108.12	46.69	49.81	47.85	50.69	44.25	43.83	45.02	44.18
INN	72.80	31.56	69.28	37.40	342.05	314.50	89.95	39.55	74.44	32.32	67.03	28.53	OOM	OOM
KS	81.26	106.06	86.38	118.43	233.90	427.98	75.29	107.43	89.83	127.22	71.23	94.88	191.45	316.68
MAN	106.16	42.54	97.48	41.10	336.42	280.35	101.38	46.21	110.81	52.15	95.91	38.95	97.30	40.74
MEL	50.24	45.88	45.36	42.73	OOM	OOM	63.72	66.55	53.25	56.25	51.91	36.10	45.48	40.26
RTM	52.48	40.29	53.83	50.52	179.76	347.68	68.83	53.19	68.43	65.17	67.03	50.91	57.34	41.07
SDR	103.63	59.74	102.25	65.34	262.60	271.61	97.97	54.70	125.51	95.71	89.36	47.38	97.54	44.61
SP	49.08	39.57	47.93	37.74	119.56	119.22	52.95	45.39	53.42	44.88	48.34	38.34	48.05	37.48
SXB	78.34	39.40	76.17	38.72	261.11	223.11	85.62	46.72	84.71	46.10	76.86	39.46	76.01	37.36
STR	58.93	20.37	56.60	19.52	68.19	23.30	65.80	24.52	68.38	23.48	55.80	19.05	OOM	OOM
TPE	136.50	48.04	134.51	48.18	502.95	274.25	142.61	46.21	149.12	53.31	129.13	40.14	130.36	41.42
TO	89.48	57.66	81.70	44.44	314.62	390.29	85.13	48.01	87.85	56.18	102.69	60.64	104.28	68.82
YTO	51.73	39.35	51.54	40.18	161.46	145.72	90.53	71.76	62.92	59.10	58.04	38.73	52.24	37.42
TLS	257.82	751.49	255.29	756.09	268.70	847.32	263.95	870.21	296.55	836.03	255.26	751.62	258.62	730.09
UTC	OOM	OOM	50.35	62.80	OOM	OOM	50.78	54.42	66.80	88.25	74.98	88.33	39.92	36.74
VNO	88.95	54.81	84.09	49.34	OOM	OOM	76.03	43.69	88.84	49.53	73.80	39.27	96.47	64.87
WOB	54.48	41.34	52.21	39.71	0.44	47.61	62.24	50.94	57.60	50.15	54.32	42.30	53.24	40.17
ZRH	OOM	OOM	54.73	36.93	OOM	OOM	60.36	43.84	60.12	43.74	66.51	53.31	53.52	35.16
# Win	3	2	10	10	0	0	4	2	1	0	9	8	4	8

Thus, we propose **31 new city-level datasets** associated with traffic flow data, to provide a more comprehensive benchmark for this problem. In addition to the many more cities compared with previous datasets, the newly proposed datasets are different from previously used datasets for several reasons as shown in Figure 7.

- **Dynamic in-city Scenario:** Unlike previous datasets, which may have focused on more uniform highway conditions, these datasets capture a broader spectrum of in-city scenarios. This introduces greater variability in the data, reflecting the diverse and dynamic nature of urban environments. Such variability is crucial for developing models that can effectively handle the complexities and unpredictability inherent in city traffic patterns and infrastructure.
- **Sparsity Challenge:** The number of sensors is relatively low compared to the road intersections of segments. Modeling sparsity is critical as it mirrors real-world conditions where data

points can be irregular or missing. Addressing sparsity effectively can significantly improve the accuracy and reliability of the model, ensuring it performs well even in less-than-ideal data conditions. This aspect of the dataset pushes the boundaries of current modeling techniques, encouraging the development of more sophisticated and resilient algorithms.

- **Large-scale Coverage:** Our OSM+ dataset also operates at a substantially larger spatial scale than widely used traffic benchmarks. While previous widely used datasets such as PEMS and METR-LA typically contain only a few hundred sensor nodes, our 31 city-level datasets are defined over road networks with a much larger number of intersections and segments; in particular, the largest city graph contains approximately 30,000 nodes. This large-scale setting provides a more realistic and challenging test




Figure 5: The framework of downstream task supporting OSM+ database.




Figure 6: Map of Toronto, Los Angeles and Tokyo. The green points on the graph represent vertices in OSM+, the blue lines represent road segments in OSM+, and the red points represent loop sensors. It can be seen that the road network information of OSM+ is very consistent with the actual ground truth. The loop sensors cover almost all the vertices in the central area of the city, which can better reflect the traffic flow information of the city.

bed for evaluating not only predictive accuracy but also the scalability and robustness of traffic models in city-wide deployment scenarios.

To evaluate the performance of OSM+ datasets, we test seven frequently-cited baseline methods on these 31 cities. We test these algorithms on three different prediction horizons (3,6,12) following the widely used setting. Due to the limited space, the average results (over different prediction horizons) are shown in Table 5. It is easy to observe that these methods perform completely differently on these datasets. Compared with the benchmark results in previous papers [28], we can have the following conclusions. (1) New datasets induce more variance and bring a more challenging problem for these methods to work on. (2) Currently, there is no single dominating method that can outperform other methods on most of

the datasets. Therefore, these datasets will bring great stimulation for the development of this field.

3.2 Traffic Policy Control

Table 6: Basic statistics of traffic signal control experiments.

Indicator	Former datasets		OSM+ dataset					
	Hangzhou	Manhattan	New York	Los Angeles	Beijing	Shanghai	London	Paris
Intersections	16	2,510	5,971	6,663	18,948	14,750	5,895	1,721
Vehicles	2,983	48,079	90,059	112,291	130,851	85,480	107,105	101,929

Starting from the cleaned OSM+ road network, we further construct microscopic simulation environments for traffic policy control experiments (e.g., traffic signal control). The pipeline, summarized in Figure 5, automatically converts road graphs into lane-level networks, configures signal phases, and generates traffic demand, which can then be executed in a simulator.

Compared with previously used datasets for traffic signal control experiments [29], this pipeline allows us to build benchmark scenarios at much larger spatial scales and with more realistic network structures. In this work, we provide six representative city scenarios that we have carefully cleaned and validated. Table 6 reports basic statistics for each scenario, including the number of signalized intersections, road segments, and simulation duration. These benchmarks enable researchers to study close-to-reality, city-scale traffic policy problems, bringing both new challenges and opportunities for continuous model improvement.

We have been using this procedure to support the KDD CUP 2021 competition, "CityBrain Challenge: How many vehicles can you serve at most with a city-scale road network?". At that time, based on OSM+ and the city-scale traffic simulator [23], the team led by the authors supported 1156 teams from around the world to participate in the competition and complete 3724 submissions. The solutions derived from the competition were able to improve the performance by 31% compared to the benchmark method in scenarios involving 100,000 vehicles on the road. Currently, given any specific latitude and longitude range in the world, the procedures can generate pruned road network, generate traffic flows and start city-scale traffic simulation experiment.

3.3 City Boundary Detection

Modern cities have largely emerged and evolved over the past two centuries. By 2050, more than half of the world's population is projected to live in urban areas [27]. Cities, as the basic units for the provision of urban services, substantially enhance the efficiency and convenience of everyday activities such as retail, logistics, and ride-hailing. At the same time, urban planning and development are typically guided and funded by local governments. Accurately delineating city boundaries is therefore crucial for the effective allocation of public resources and the design of urban services. Since urban areas are physically interconnected through dense road networks, a natural idea is to infer city boundaries from road-network density. In contrast to purely administrative (registration) boundaries, such a definition can better capture the underlying




Figure 7: Comparison between the newly constructed OSM+(UTD19) dataset and PEMS dataset in three aspects.




Figure 8: The city boundary map obtained from the clustering results of central Europe, the east coast of the United States and the Yangtze River Delta in China is overlaid with the road maps of the three regions on OpenStreetMap, and latitude and longitude are added to distinguish them.

economic linkages between regions that arise organically from human activities.

In this section, we illustrate how to leverage the OSM+ database to derive functional city boundaries and compare them with official administrative boundaries from the Database of Global Administrative Areas (GADM) [13]. By overlaying these two types of boundary data, we identify both regions of agreement and regions of discrepancy. When the clustering-based boundary substantially deviates from the corresponding administrative boundary, it may signal rapid urban development and an ongoing expansion of the effective urban footprint beyond its officially designated limits.

We overlay the clustering-based boundaries and the official administrative boundaries for the Yangtze River Delta region in China. The results are presented in Figure 8. Colored polygons denote the identified clusters, while the lines represent administrative boundaries. We observe that some administrative units consist of multiple clusters, suggesting that a single administrative region may encompass several relatively disconnected urban areas. For many cities, such as Shanghai and Jiaxing, the clustering results closely align with their administrative boundaries, and the detected borders

almost coincide with the ground truth. In other cases, noticeable mismatches arise. These typically occur where dense road networks are present near the administrative borders of adjacent cities, indicating strong cross-boundary economic interactions and causing the algorithm to group these cities into a single cluster. Such patterns reflect ongoing processes of urban agglomeration and provide empirical support for the clustering-based definition of functional city boundaries.

In addition to the direct research on road networks, scholars can also combine road network with other economical data to investigate how road network contribute to the city development and civilization. The 3D scatter plots of the road network, population and night light data of the city are shown in Figure 9. The density of points around a point is represented by different colors in the last two maps. The darker red place in the population data map represents the denser population, and the brighter place in the city night lighting data map represents the more lights at night.

Comparing these three figures, we can easily observe that the dense road network usually indicates dense population and intensive night lights, i.e., there is a positive correlation among them.




Figure 9: Comparing the road, population and night lighting data map in the same area, the road density is positively correlated with the population and night lighting data density.

In fact, the closer to the city center, the more people and buildings there are, so come more lights at night. In addition, looking at the latter two figures separately, for the population data figure, we can filter out those points whose R value is higher than a certain threshold according to the RGB values of the colors of the points, which are the point sets of the city center, and the range they form is the city center. Similarly, for the night lighting data, we can filter according to the brightness and also get the range of the city center.

4 RELATED WORKS

Map databases and services. Map databases and services are the base for various urban researches. The major map databases include two types: commercial services and open-source maps. The commercial services are provided by major vendors like Google [15], Bing [6], Apple [2], Baidu [3], and Gaode [14]. Though varying in serving regions, these map providers usually only provide high-level APIs (e.g., plotting, routing) for users to develop their own applications. This kind of APIs cannot support research need for querying external elements on the map, e.g., population count. To facilitate faster research progress and small business, some open-source map providers release their products, including OpenStreetMap [5, 18, 24], Mapbox [12], Leaflet [10], GeoServer [21]. These products store the map in various formats, which are difficult to be processed into a uniform format and need to be further cleaned for research use.

Map computing engine. To better utilize map data, many map computing engines have been proposed. These tools can be categorized into two types: commercial map tools and open-source map tools. For *commercial map engines*, Hu and Dai [19] developed an online map application based on the Google Maps API, using commercial databases to provide users with complex data manipulation functions. Amani et al. [1] processed remote sensing map data of Canadian agriculture on the Google Earth Engine (GEE) and obtained the annual crop list of Canada. Nguyen et al. [25] combined GEE with the automatic water extraction index (AWEI) to address the long processing times in monitoring water surface

dynamics. For *open-source map engines*, Elleuch et al. [11] accomplished the process of converting large-scale databases collected by cars into road tracks. Boeing [7, 8] developed OSMnx, which simplifies data collection and road network analysis from the perspectives of graph theory, transportation, and urban design. Although these tools can efficiently process map data, they still suffer from two key limitations. First, the processed outputs are often not well-defined, uniform, or structured, and are typically tailored for one-time use. As a result, similar tasks require repetitive computation. Second, these tools usually run on local machines, limiting their scalability and making it difficult to support large-scale analyses—such as global-level processing.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce a structured road network computing engine called OSM+. OSM+ comprises three main components: (1) a road network graph database featuring global intersections (vertices) and road segments (edges), along with supplementary map information such as points of interest (POIs); (2) a series of auto-parallel fundamental computing APIs designed for efficient node and distance queries, providing a foundation for more comprehensive road network analysis; and (3) a collection of data converters to adapt the road network data for typical urban research problems. We present three application scenarios: traffic prediction, traffic policy control, and city boundary detection. The released data converters enable map data to serve as a foundation for integrating multi-modal spatial-temporal data, supporting foundation model training and accelerating scientific discovery. For traffic prediction and signal control tasks, we also release new benchmarks covering 31 and 6 cities, respectively, which will advance city-scale modeling and improve the generalizability of urban research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Meisam Amani, Mohammad Kakooei, Armin Moghimi, Arsalan Ghorbanian, Babak Ranjgar, Sahel Mahdavi, Andrew Davidson, Thierry Fiset, Patrick Rollin, Brian Brisco, and Ali Mohammadzadeh. 2020. Application of Google Earth Engine Cloud Computing Platform, Sentinel Imagery, and Neural Networks for Crop Mapping in Canada. *Remote Sensing* 12, 21 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12213561>
- [2] Apple Maps. [n.d.]. Apple Maps. <https://www.apple.com/maps>. 2024.
- [3] Baidu Maps. [n.d.]. Baidu Maps. <https://maps.baidu.com>. 2024.
- [4] Alexandros Bartzokas-Tsiompras. 2022. Utilizing OpenStreetMap data to measure and compare pedestrian street lengths in 992 cities around the world. *European Journal of Geography* 13, 2 (2022), 127–141.
- [5] Jonathan Bennett. 2010. *OpenStreetMap*. Packt Publishing Ltd.
- [6] Bing Maps. [n.d.]. Bing Maps. <https://www.bing.com/maps>. 2024.
- [7] Geoff Boeing. 2017. OSMnx: A Python package to work with graph-theoretic OpenStreetMap street networks. *Journal of Open Source Software* 2, 12 (2017).
- [8] Geoff Boeing. 2017. OSMnx: New methods for acquiring, constructing, analyzing, and visualizing complex street networks. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 65 (2017), 126–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2017.05.004>
- [9] Qing Ding, Zhenfeng Shao, Xiao Huang, Orhan Altan, and Bin Hu. 2022. Time-series land cover mapping and urban expansion analysis using OpenStreetMap data and remote sensing big data: A case study of Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area, China. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* 113 (2022), 103001.
- [10] Dennis Edler and Mark Vetter. 2019. The simplicity of modern audiovisual web cartography: An example with the open-source javascript library leaflet. *js. KN-Journal of Cartography and Geographic Information* 69 (2019), 51–62.
- [11] Wiam Elleuch, Ali Wali, and Adel M. Alimi. 2014. Mining road map from big database of GPS data. In *2014 14th International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems*. 193–198. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HIS.2014.7086197>
- [12] Oskar Eriksson and Emil Rydqvist. 2015. An in-depth analysis of dynamically rendered vector-based maps with WebGL using Mapbox GL JS.
- [13] A GADM. 2015. Database of global administrative areas.
- [14] Gaode Maps. [n.d.]. Gaode Maps. <https://www.amap.com>. 2024.
- [15] Google Maps. [n.d.]. Google Maps. <https://maps.google.com>. 2024.
- [16] A Yair Grinberger, Marco Minghini, Levente Juhász, Godwin Yeboah, and Peter Mooney. 2022. OSM Science—The Academic Study of the OpenStreetMap Project, Data, Contributors, Community, and Applications. , 230 pages.
- [17] Mordechai Haklay and Patrick Weber. 2008. Openstreetmap: User-generated street maps. *IEEE Pervasive computing* 7, 4 (2008), 12–18.
- [18] Mordechai Haklay and Patrick Weber. 2008. OpenStreetMap: User-Generated Street Maps. *IEEE Pervasive Computing* 7, 4 (2008), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MPRV.2008.80>
- [19] Shunfu Hu and Ting Dai. 2013. Online Map Application Development Using Google Maps API, SQL Database, and ASP.NET. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Research* (2013).
- [20] Weiwei Jiang and Jiayun Luo. 2022. Graph neural network for traffic forecasting: A survey. *Expert systems with applications* 207 (2022), 117921.
- [21] Tek Bahadur Kshetri, Angsana Chaksan, and Shraddha Sharma. 2021. The Role of Open-Source Python Package Geoserver-Rest in Web-Gis Development. *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* 46 (2021), 91–96.
- [22] Yaguang Li, Rose Yu, Cyrus Shahabi, and Yan Liu. 2017. Diffusion convolutional recurrent neural network: Data-driven traffic forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.01926* (2017).
- [23] Chumeng Liang, Zherui Huang, Yicheng Liu, Zhanyu Liu, Guanjie Zheng, Hanyuan Shi, Kan Wu, Yuhao Du, Fuliang Li, and Zhenhui Jessie Li. 2023. CBLab: Supporting the Training of Large-scale Traffic Control Policies with Scalable Traffic Simulation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 4449–4460.
- [24] Peter Mooney, Marco Minghini, et al. 2017. A review of OpenStreetMap data. *Mapping and the citizen sensor* (2017), 37–59.
- [25] Uyen N. T. Nguyen, Lien T. H. Pham, and Thanh Duc Dang. 2019. An automatic water detection approach using Landsat 8 OLI and Google Earth Engine cloud computing to map lakes and reservoirs in New Zealand. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* (2019).
- [26] Joe Oakley, Chris Conlan, Gunduz Vehbi Demirci, Alexandros Sfyridis, and Hakan Ferhatosmanoglu. 2024. Foresight plus: serverless spatio-temporal traffic forecasting. *Geoinformatica* 28, 4 (2024), 649–677.
- [27] Hannah Ritchie and Max Roser. 2018. Urbanization. *Our world in data* (2018).
- [28] Zezhi Shao, Fei Wang, Yongjun Xu, Wei Wei, Chengqing Yu, Zhao Zhang, Di Yao, Guangyin Jin, Xin Cao, Gao Cong, et al. 2023. Exploring progress in multi-variate time series forecasting: Comprehensive benchmarking and heterogeneity analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06119* (2023).
- [29] Hua Wei, Guanjie Zheng, Vikash Gayah, and Zhenhui Li. 2019. A survey on traffic signal control methods. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.08117* (2019).
- [30] Pengyu Zhu, Jie Huang, Jiaoe Wang, Yu Liu, Jiarong Li, Mingshu Wang, and Wei Qiang. 2022. Understanding taxi ridership with spatial spillover effects and temporal dynamics. *Cities* 125 (2022), 103637.