HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF EXACT APPROXIMATION SET IN β -EXPANSIONS

WANJIN CHENG AND XINYUN ZHANG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the metrical theory of Cartesian products of exact approximation sets in β -expansions. More precisely, for an integer $d \geq 2$ and real numbers $\beta_i > 1$ $(1 \leq i \leq d)$, we consider the set of points $x_i \in [0,1)$ is approximable by its convergents in the β_i -expansion to order ψ_i , but not to any better order. For any non-increasing functions ψ_i , we determine the Hausdorff dimension of the Cartesian product of these sets.

1. Introduction

Metric Diophantine approximation, a central topic in number theory, concerns how well real numbers can be approximated by rationals, with foundational contributions due to Khintchine and Jarník.

For a decreasing function $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $x \mapsto x^2 \psi(x)$ non-increasing, Khintchine [11] established a zero–one law for the Lebesgue measure of the set of ψ -well approximable numbers:

$$W(\psi) := \Big\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \big| x - \tfrac{p}{q} \big| < \psi(q) \text{ for infinitely many } (p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \Big\},$$

that is, $\mathcal{L}(W(\psi)) = 0$ or 1 according as $\sum_{q \geq 1} q \psi(q)$ converges or diverges. Jarník [9] and Besicovitch [1] independently showed that for $\tau \geq 2$, $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} W(x \mapsto x^{-\tau}) = \frac{2}{\tau}$. Here and throughout, \mathcal{L} and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the Lebesgue measure and the Hausdorff dimension, respectively. Subsequently, for a general dimension function f, Jarník [10] determined the Hausdorff \mathcal{H}^f -measure of the set $W(\psi)$.

Jarník [10] also introduced the set of points with exact order of approximation, defined by

$$\operatorname{Exact}(\psi) := W(\psi) \setminus \bigcup_{0 < c < 1} W(c\psi). \tag{1.1}$$

More precisely, $\operatorname{Exact}(\psi)$ consists of the points that belong to $W(\psi)$ but do not lie in any of the sets $W(c\psi)$ for any 0 < c < 1. Jarník showed that $\operatorname{Exact}(\psi)$ is non-empty whenever $\psi(q) = o(q^{-2})$.

Regarding the metric theory of $\text{Exact}(\psi)$, Bugeaud [2] proved that if ψ is non-increasing and satisfies $\psi(q) = o(q^{-2})$, then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \operatorname{Exact}(\psi) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} W(\psi) = \frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda = \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{-\log \psi(n)}{\log n}.$$

In this paper, we investigate the Cartesian products of exact approximation sets under the framework of β -expansions.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11K55; Secondary 28A80, 11J83.

Key words and phrases. β -expansions, exact approximation, Cartesian product, Hausdorff dimension.

1.1. **Approximation in** β -expansions. Diophantine approximation problems have also been studied widely in the setting of β -expansions. We begin with a brief introduction to β -expansions.

Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number, and $T_{\beta} : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be the β -transformation defined as

$$T_{\beta}(x) = \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ represents the integer part of a real number. Then every $x \in [0,1]$ can be uniquely expanded into a finite or an infinite series

$$x = \frac{\varepsilon_1(x)}{\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon_2(x)}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(x) + T_{\beta}^n(x)}{\beta^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(x)}{\beta^n},$$
 (1.2)

where $\varepsilon_1(x) = \lfloor \beta x \rfloor$ and $\varepsilon_{n+1}(x) = \varepsilon_1(T_{\beta}^n(x))$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, are called the digits of the β -expansion of x.

For a real number $x \in [0,1]$, we call the partial sums of the series

$$\omega_n(x) = \frac{\varepsilon_1(x)}{\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon_2(x)}{\beta^2} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_n(x)}{\beta^n}$$

the convergents of the β -expansion of x. Since $T_{\beta}^{n}(x) \in [0,1)$, it follows from (1.2) that

$$|x - \omega_n(x)| < \beta^{-n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A natural question is: how much faster can $\omega_n(x)$ approach to x than the trivial bound β^{-n} ? Fang et. al. [8] investigated this problem and proved that, for any $\beta > 1$,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_{\beta} |x - \omega_n(x)| = -1 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{L} \text{ almost every } x \in [0, 1).$$

Moreover, if φ is non-decreasing and satisfies $\eta := \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \geq 1$, then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \left\{ x \in [0, 1] : \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \log_{\beta} |x - \omega_n(x)| = -1 \right\} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Recently, Zhang and Zhong [20] generalized the problem of exact Diophantine approximation in β -expansions. They defined

$$W_{\beta}(\psi) := \left\{ x \in [0,1] : \left| x - \omega_n(x) \right| < \frac{\psi(n)}{\beta^n} \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and analogous to (1.1),

$$E_{\beta}(\psi) := W_{\beta}(\psi) \setminus \bigcup_{0 < c < 1} W(c\psi).$$

They determined the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\beta}(\psi)$.

Theorem 1.1 ([20]). Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number and let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive and non-increasing function with $\psi(n) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} E_{\beta}(\psi) = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{-\log_{\beta} \psi(n)}{n}.$$

1.2. The product of approximation sets. In this section, we turn to the Cartesian product of approximation sets and study their metric properties. The foundational results concerning the fractal dimensions of Cartesian product sets were obtained by Marstrand [12] and Tricot [17].

Theorem 1.2 ([12], [17]). Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^t$ are two Borel measurable sets. Denote by dim_P the packing dimension. Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} A + \dim_{\mathcal{H}} B \le \dim_{\mathcal{H}} (A \times B) \le \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A + \dim_{\mathcal{P}} B.$$

The study of the Hausdorff dimension of Cartesian products of approximation sets dates back to Schleischitz [16], motivated by Erdős' work [5]. It is well known that the set of Liouville numbers is rather small in the sense that it has Hausdorff dimension 0. Nevertheless, Erdős [5] proved that every real number can be written as the sum of two Liouville numbers. Consider the Lipschitz map $f: L \times L \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by f(x,y) = x + y, by the property of Hausdorff dimension, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(L \times L) = 1$$
,

where

$$L := \bigcap_{v>1} \Big\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \|qx\| < q^{-v} \text{ for infinitely many } q \in \mathbb{N} \Big\},$$

and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer.

Following this insight, Schleischitz [16] obtained bounds for the Hausdorff dimension of products of exact approximation sets. Wang and Wu [18, Theorem 1.3] established a general principle for estimating the Hausdorff dimension of the Cartesian product of limsup sets generated by balls. As a direct consequence, they obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.3 ([18]). For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\psi_i : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a positive function. For each $b_i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, define

$$S(\psi_i) = \{x_i \in [0,1] : \parallel b_i^n x_i \parallel < \psi(n), \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(S(\psi_1) \times \cdots \times S(\psi_d)) \ge \min \{d - 1 + \dim_{\mathcal{H}} S(\psi_i) : 1 \le i \le d\}.$$

Subsequently, Cheng [4] extended this result to the path-dependent shrinking target sets in the dyadic and triadic systems.

Motivated by the work of Zhang and Zhong [20], we study the metrical theory of the Cartesian product of exact approximation sets in β -expansions.

Theorem 1.4. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\beta_i > 1$ be real numbers and let $\psi_i : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be non-increasing functions with $\psi_i(n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \left(E_{\beta_1}(\psi_1) \times \dots \times E_{\beta_d}(\psi_d) \right) = \min_{1 \le i \le d} \left\{ d - 1 + \dim_{\mathcal{H}} E_{\beta_i}(\psi_i) \right\}$$
$$= \min_{1 \le i \le d} \left\{ d - 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_i} \right\}, \quad \alpha_i = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log_{\beta_i} \psi_i(n)}{n}.$$

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Hausdorff dimension.** In this section, we will provide a definition for the Hausdorff dimension and its properties. We refer the readers to [6, 13] for further details.

For any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and any $\delta > 0$, let $\{U_i\}$ be a countable collection of sets satisfying $|U_i| \leq \delta$ and $E \subset \bigcup_i U_i$. Let $s \geq 0$ be a real number, and define

$$\mathcal{H}^{s}_{\delta}(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i} |U_{i}|^{s} : \{U_{i}\} \text{ is a δ-cover of } E \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible δ -covers of E. The s-dimensional Hausdorff measure of E is then defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^s(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}^s_{\delta}(E),$$

and the Hausdorff dimension of E by

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} E = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(E) = 0\} = \sup\{s \ge 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(E) = \infty\}.$$

The following result provides a general method for estimating lower bounds of Hausdorff dimensions, and is commonly known as the *Mass Distribution Principle*.

Proposition 2.1 (Mass Distribution Principle [6]). Let E be a Borel measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^d , and let μ be a Borel measure with $\mu(E) > 0$. Assume that there exist positive constants c and δ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $r \in (0, \delta)$,

$$\mu(B(x,r)) \le c \, r^s.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^s(E) \geq \frac{\mu(E)}{c}$ and hence $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} E \geq s$.

2.2. β -expansion. We begin with a brief overview of some fundamental properties of β -expansions of real numbers and establish some necessary notation.

By the definition of T_{β} , it is clear that, for $n \geq 1$, $\varepsilon_n(x,\beta)$ belongs to the alphabet $A = \{0, 1, \dots, \lceil \beta - 1 \rceil\}$, where $\lceil y \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to x. When β is not an integer, not every sequences in $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ corresponds to the β -expansion of some $x \in [0, 1]$. This leads to the notion of β -admissible sequences.

Definition 2.2. A finite or an infinite sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$ is called β -admissible, if there exists an $x \in [0,1]$ such that the β -expansion of x begins with $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots$.

We denote by Σ_{β}^{n} the set of all β -admissible sequences of length n and by Σ_{β} the set of all infinite admissible sequences.

The infinite β -expansion of 1 is central to the study of β -admissible sequences. Denote it by

$$\varepsilon(1,\beta) := (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta), \varepsilon_2(1,\beta), \dots).$$

If there are infinitely many n with $\varepsilon_n \neq 0$, we say that $\varepsilon(1,\beta)$ is infinite. Otherwise, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_m(1,\beta) \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon_n(1,\beta) = 0$ for all n > m. Such β is called a simple Parry number, and $\varepsilon(1,\beta)$ is finite with length m.

Define the sequence $\varepsilon^*(1,\beta) := (\epsilon_1^*(\beta), \dots, \epsilon_n^*(\beta), \dots)$ by

$$\varepsilon^*(1,\beta) := \begin{cases} \varepsilon(1,\beta), & \text{if } \varepsilon(1,\beta) \text{ is infinite,} \\ (\varepsilon_1(1,\beta),\dots,\varepsilon_{m-1}(1,\beta),\varepsilon_m(1,\beta)-1)^{\infty}, & \text{if } \varepsilon(1,\beta) \text{ is finite with length } m, \end{cases}$$

where $(w)^{\infty}$ denotes the infinite periodic sequence (w, w, w, ...). Thus, $\varepsilon^*(1, \beta)$ is always an infinite sequence, and we have

$$1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n^*(\beta)}{\beta^n}.$$

Let \prec and \preceq denote the lexicographic order on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. Specifically, $\varepsilon \prec \epsilon'$ means that there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $\epsilon_j = \varepsilon_j'$ for $1 \leq j < k$, while $\varepsilon_k < \varepsilon_k'$. The notation $\varepsilon \preceq \epsilon'$ means that $\varepsilon \prec \varepsilon'$ or $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$. This order extends naturally to finite sequences by padding with zeros:

$$(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n)\mapsto(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n,0,0,\ldots).$$

The following result due to Parry [14] provides a criterion for determining whether a sequence is β -admissible.

Lemma 2.3 ([14]). Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number. Then a nonnegative integer sequence $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$ is β -admissible if and only if, for any $k \ge 1$,

$$(\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \dots) \prec (\varepsilon_1^*(\beta), \varepsilon_2^*(\beta), \dots).$$

The number of β -admissible words of length n satisfies the following bounds due to Rényi.

Lemma 2.4 ([15]). Let $\beta > 1$. For any $n \ge 1$, one has

$$\beta^n \le \#\Sigma_{\beta}^n \le \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{\beta - 1},$$

where " $\#(\cdot)$ " denotes the cardinality of a finite set.

For any $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta}^n$, we call

$$I_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) := \{x \in [0, 1) : \varepsilon_j(x) = \varepsilon_j, 1 \le j \le n\}$$

an n-th order cylinder. It is clear that $|I_n(\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_n)| \leq \beta^{-n}$, where |I| denotes the diameter of I. It is well known that $([0,1],T_{\beta})$ is generally not a sub-shift of finite type, which complicates the metric theory of β -expansions. The main difficulty is the absence of a uniform lower bound for the length of n-level cylinders, which can be much smaller than β^{-n} . To overcome this, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.5. A cylinder $I_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ or a sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Sigma_{\beta}^n$ is called full if it has maximal length, that is,

$$|I_n(\varepsilon_1,\cdots,\varepsilon_n)|=\frac{1}{\beta^n}.$$

Since full cylinder play an important role in the metric properties of β -expansions. We now introduce several results about the distribution of full cylinders.

Proposition 2.6 ([7]). An n-th cylinder $I_n(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is full if and only if for any β admissible sequence $(\varepsilon_1', \dots, \varepsilon_m') \in \Sigma_{\beta}^m$ with $m \geq 1$, then $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1', \dots, \varepsilon_m')$ is still β -admissible. Moreover,

$$|I_{n+m}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_1', \varepsilon_2', \dots, \varepsilon_m')| = |I_n(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n)| \cdot |I_m(\varepsilon_1', \varepsilon_2', \dots, \varepsilon_m')|.$$

Let $\beta > 1$ and $\varepsilon^*(1,\beta)$ be the β -expansion of 1. For any $n \geq 1$, we denote by $\ell_n(1,\beta)$ the longest length of strings of zeroes behind the n-th digit in the β -expansion of 1, that is,

$$\ell_n(1,\beta) = \sup \{ i \ge 0 : \varepsilon_{n+1}^*(1) = \dots = \varepsilon_{n+i}^*(1) = 0 \}.$$

The number $\ell_n(1,\beta)$ can be used to describe some admissible words.

For $1 \le j \le d$, let $\ell_j(1) := \ell_1(1, \beta_j)$. Consequently, by Proposition 2.6, we have

$$10^{\ell_j(1)+1}$$
 is a full word. (2.1)

Lemma 2.7 ([3]). For $n \geq 1$, among every n+1 consecutive cylinders of order n, there exists at least one full cylinder.

As a consequence, Wang [19] give a corollary concerning the relationship between balls and cylinders.

Corollary 2.8 ([19]). Let $J \subset [0,1]$ be an interval. Then for any integer n with (n + $1)\beta^{-n} < |J|$, there exists a full cylinder I_n contained in J.

3. Proof of theorem 1.4

The upper bound is trivial. Since $E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j) \subset [0,1]$ for every $1 \leq j \leq d$, the definition of Cartesian product together with Theorem 1.1 yields

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \left(E_{\beta_1}(\psi_1) \times \dots \times E_{\beta_d}(\psi_d) \right) \le \min_{1 \le j \le d} \left\{ d - 1 + \dim_{\mathcal{H}} E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j) \right\}$$
$$= \min_{1 \le j \le d} \left\{ d - 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_j} \right\}.$$

We now turn to the lower bound of the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\beta_1}(\psi_1) \times \cdots \times E_{\beta_d}(\psi_d)$. To obtain it, we construct a Cantor subset of this product set. We begin by examining the structure of each individual set $E_{\beta_i}(\psi_j)$.

Achieving the exact order of approximation requires careful control of the digits, in particular avoiding long zero blocks. We must also verify that the constructed points indeed lie in $E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j)$.

We introduce some notation.

- $a \approx b$ if $c^{-1} < a/b < c$, and if $a \lesssim b$ if $a \leq cb$ for some constant c > 1;
- Throughout, numbers carry a double subscript, with the second indicating the corresponding β , while words are marked by a superscript. For instance, $\delta_{i,j} > 0$ corresponds to β_j , and $\tau_q^{(j)} \in \Sigma_{\beta_j}$ is a word in the β_j -shift space.

Let
$$s := \min_{1 \le j \le d} \left(d - 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_j} \right)$$
. For $n \ge 1$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,j} = \left\{ \xi \in \Sigma_{\beta_j}^n : I_n(\xi) \text{ is a full cylinder} \right\}$$

and

 $\mathcal{R}_{n,j} = \left\{ \xi \in \Sigma_{\beta_j}^n : I_n(\xi) \text{ is a full cylinder starting with a non-zero digit} \right\}.$

By (2.1),

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,j} \supset \{ 10^{\ell_j(1)+1} \xi : \xi \in \mathcal{F}_{n-(\ell_j(1)+2),j} \}. \tag{3.1}$$

Fix $0 < \eta < 1$, choose M_0 sufficiently large such that for any $M \ge M_0$,

$$\frac{\beta_j^{M-\ell_j(1)-2}}{M-\ell_j(1)-1} \ge \beta_j^M(1-\eta), \quad 1 \le j \le d.$$
 (3.2)

Combining Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.7 together with formulas (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\#\mathcal{R}_{M,j} \ge \beta_i^M (1 - \eta). \tag{3.3}$$

We now fix an integer M for which (3.3) holds.

To proceed with the construction, we need the following Claim.

Claim. For each $1 \leq j \leq d$, fix a sequence $\{\delta_{i,j}\}_{i\geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\delta_{i,j} \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Since $\psi_j(n) \to 0$, the definition of α_j implies the existence of a sparse subsequence $\{n'_{i,j}\}_{i\geq 1}$ of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{-\log_{\beta_j} \psi_j(n'_{i,j})}{n'_{i,j}} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{-\log_{\beta_j} \psi_j(n)}{n} = \alpha_j$$
(3.4)

and

$$\frac{\log_{\beta_j} \psi_j(n'_{i,j})}{\log \delta_{i,j}} \to \infty, \text{ as } i \to \infty,$$
(3.5)

for example by taking $\delta_{i,j} \ge \psi_j(n'_{i,j})^{1/i}$.

Recall that $\ell_j(1)$ is the longest length of strings of zeroes behind the first digit in the β_j -expansion of 1, we can ensure that

$$\psi_j(n'_{i,j}) < \beta_j^{-\ell_j(1)-3}, \qquad \psi_j(n'_{i,j}) < \beta_j^{-2M}.$$
 (3.6)

Let $k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the integer such that

$$(k_{i,j}+1) \cdot \beta_j^{-k_{i,j}} \le \delta_{i,j} \cdot \psi_j(n'_{i,j}) \le k_{i,j} \cdot \beta_j^{-k_{i,j}+1}.$$
(3.7)

By Corollary 2.8, there exists a full cylinder

$$I_{k_{i,j}}(\sigma_i^{(j)}) \subset ((1-\delta_{i,j})\psi_i(n'_{i,j}), \ \psi_i(n'_{i,j})).$$

In particularly, (3.5) implies that

$$k_{i,j} \asymp \log_{\beta_j} \frac{1}{\delta_{i,j} \psi_j(n'_{i,j})} \asymp \log_{\beta_j} \frac{1}{\psi_j(n'_{i,j})}.$$
(3.8)

Let $t_{i,j}$ be the integer satisfying

$$\beta_j^{-(t_{i,j}+1)} \le \psi_j(n'_{i,j}) < \beta_j^{-t_{i,j}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since every element of $I_{k_{i,j}}(\sigma_i^{(j)})$ is smaller than $\psi_j(n'_{i,j})$, the word $\sigma_i^{(j)}$ begins with at least $t_{i,j}$ zeros. By (3.6), $t_{i,j} \ge \ell_j(1) + 3$, hence

$$\sigma_i^{(j)} = 0^{\ell_j(1)+1} z_i^{(j)}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $z_i^{(j)}$ is a full word.

3.1. Construction of the Cantor set. We construct the Cantor subset alternately on each component.

The first level of the Cantor set. We choose $p_{1,1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq r_{1,1} < M$ such that $n_{1,1} := n'_{1,1} = p_{1,1}M + r_{1,1}$. For every $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(1)} = (\xi_1^{(1)}, \dots, \xi_{p_{1,1}}^{(1)}) \in \mathcal{R}_{M,1}^{p_{1,1}}$, we obtain a full cylinder of the form

$$I_{n_{1,1}-1}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{(1)},0^{r_{1,1}-1}).$$

Define

$$E_{1,1} := \left\{ x \in [0,1) : (1 - \delta_{1,1}) \psi_1(n_{1,1}) < \beta_1^{n_{1,1}}(x - \omega_{n_{1,1}}(x)) < \psi_1(n_{1,1}) \right\}.$$

By the Claim, there exists a full word of the form (3.10) satisfying

$$I_{k_{1,1}}(\sigma_1^{(1)}) \subset ((1-\delta_1^{(1)})\psi_1(n_{1,1}), \psi_1(n_{1,1})).$$

This gives the full cylinder

$$I_{n_{1,1}+k_{1,1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(1)},0^{r_{1,1}-1},1,\sigma_1^{(1)}) \subset E_{1,1}.$$

Set $N_{1,1} := n_{1,1} + k_{1,1}$ and define

$$\mathcal{C}_1 := \left\{ I_{N_{1,1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(1)}, 0^{r_1 - 1}, 1, \sigma_1^{(1)}) \times [0, 1)^{d - 1} : \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(1)} \in \mathcal{R}_{M, 1}^{p_{1, 1}} \right\},\,$$

then $\#\mathcal{C}_1 = (\#\mathcal{R}_{M,1})^{p_{1,1}}$.

Now we cut these rectangles into approximate squares. We write $\Gamma_1^{(1)}$ for any word of the form $(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(1)}, 0^{r_1-1}, 1, \sigma_1^{(1)})$ in \mathcal{C}_1 . Let $n_{1,j} = \lfloor (n_{1,1} + k_{1,1}) \log_{\beta_j} \beta_1 \rfloor$ and writing $N_{1,j} :=$ $n_{1,j} = p_{1,j}M + r_{1,j}$ with $1 \le r_{1,j} < M$, we define

$$\mathcal{B}_1 := \Big\{ I_{N_{1,1}}(\Gamma_1^{(1)}) \times \prod_{j=2}^d I_{N_{1,j}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(j)}, 0^{r_{1,j}}) : \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(j)} \in \mathcal{R}_{M,j}^{p_{1,j}} \Big\},\,$$

and thus

$$\#\mathcal{B}_1 = \prod_{j=1}^d (\#\mathcal{R}_{M,j})^{p_{1,j}}.$$

It should be noted that the word $\Gamma_1^{(1)}$ and the words $(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(j)}, 0^{r_{1,j}})$ $(2 \leq j \leq d)$ have different forms, but for convenience, we still denote $(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(j)}, 0^{r_{1,j}})$ by $\Gamma_1^{(j)}$ for $2 \leq j \leq d$. The same convention will be used for the subsequent levels as well.

The second level of the Cantor set. Fix an element $J_1 := \prod_{1 \leq j \leq d} I_{N_{1,j}}(\Gamma_1^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{B}_1$. We choose a large integer $p_{2,2} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq r_{2,2} < M$ such that

$$\frac{N_{1,2}}{p_{2,2}M + r_{2,2}} \le \eta$$

and put $n_{2,2} = p_{2,2}M + r_{2,2}$. For every $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(2)} = (\xi_1^{(2)}, \dots, \xi_{p_{2,2}}^{(2)}) \in R_{M,2}^{p_{2,2}}$. We obtain a full cylinder of the form

$$I_{N_{1,2}+n_{2,2}-1}(\Gamma_1^{(2)},\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(2)},0^{r_{2,2}-1}).$$

Define

$$E_{2,2} := \Big\{ x \in [0,1) : (1 - \delta_{2,2}) \cdot \psi_2(N_{1,2} + n_{2,2}) < \beta_2^{N_{1,2} + n_{2,2}}(x - \omega_{N_{1,2} + n_{2,2}}(x)) < \psi_2(N_{1,2} + n_{2,2}) \Big\}.$$

By the Claim, there exists a full word of the form (3.10) satisfying

$$I_{k_{2,2}}(\sigma_2^{(2)}) \subset ((1-\delta_{2,2})\psi_2(N_{1,2}+n_{2,2}), \psi_2(N_{1,2}+n_{2,2})).$$

This gives the full cylinder

$$I_{N_{1,2}+n_{2,2}+k_{2,2}}(\Gamma_1^{(2)}, \pmb{\xi}_2^{(2)}, 0^{r_{2,2}-1}, 1, \sigma_2^{(2)}) \subset E_{2,2}$$

Set $N_{2,2} := N_{1,2} + n_{2,2} + k_{2,2}$, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_2(J_1) := \left\{ I_{N_{2,2}}(\Gamma_1^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(2)}, 0^{r_{2,2}-1}, 1, \sigma_2^{(2)}) \times \prod_{j \neq 2} I_{N_{1,j}}(\Gamma_1^{(j)}) : \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(2)} \in R_{M,2}^{p_{2,2}} \right\},$$

then

$$\#\mathcal{C}_2(J_1) = \#\mathcal{B}_1 \cdot (\#R_{M,2})^{p_{2,2}}.$$

We abbreviate by $\Gamma_2^{(2)}$ a generic word $(\Gamma_1^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(2)}, 0^{r_{2,2}-1}, 1, \sigma_2^{(2)})$. Now we cut these rectangles into approximate squares. For any $j \neq 2$, take $n_{2,j} = 1$ $\lfloor (n_{2,2} + k_{2,2}) \log_{\beta_i} \beta_2 \rfloor$ and write $n_{2,j} = p_{2,j}M + r_{2,j}$ with $1 \leq r_{2,j} < M$. Let $N_{2,j} :=$ $N_{1,j} + n_{2,j}$ and define

$$\mathcal{B}_2(J_1) := \left\{ I_{N_{2,2}}(\Gamma_2^{(2)}) \times \prod_{j \neq 2} I_{N_{2,j}}(\Gamma_1^{(j)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(j)}, 0^{r_{2,j}}) : \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(j)} \in R_{M,j}^{p_{2,j}} \right\}.$$

One has

$$\#\mathcal{B}_2(J_1) = \#\mathcal{B}_1 \cdot \prod_{1 \le j \le d} (\#R_{M,j})^{p_{2,j}}.$$

Therefore, the second level of the Cantor set is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{C}_2(J), \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{B}_1} \mathcal{B}_2(J).$$

The q level of the Cantor set. Let q := ld + h for some integer $l \ge 0$ and $1 \le h \le d - 1$. Suppose that the collection \mathcal{B}_{q-1} has already been defined, and let

$$J_{q-1} := \prod_{1 \le j \le d} I_{N_{q-1,j}}(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{B}_{q-1}.$$

Choose $p_{q,h} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq r_{q,h} < M$ such that

$$\frac{N_{q-1,h}}{p_{h,h}M + r_{q,h}} \le \eta, (3.11)$$

and write $n_{q,h} := p_{q,h}M + r_{q,h}$. For each $\boldsymbol{\xi}_q^{(h)} = (\xi_1^{(h)}, \dots, \xi_{p_{q,h}}^{(h)}) \in \mathcal{R}_{M,h}^{p_{q,h}}$, we obtain a full cylinder of the form

$$I_{N_{q-1,h-1}+n_{q,h}-1}\left(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(h-1)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{q}^{(h)}, 0^{r_{q,h}-1}\right).$$

$$E_{q,h} := \Big\{ x \in [0,1) : (1 - \delta_{q,h}) \psi_h(N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h}) < \beta_h^{N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h}} \big(x - \omega_{N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h}}(x) \big) \\ < \psi_h(N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h}) \Big\}.$$

By the **Claim**, there exists a full word $\sigma_q^{(h)}$ of form (3.10) satisfying

$$I_{k_{q,h}}(\sigma_q^{(h)}) \subset ((1 - \delta_{q,h})\psi_h(N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h}), \psi_h(N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h})).$$

This gives the full cylinder

$$I_{N_{q-1,h}+n_{q,h}+k_{q,h}}\left(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(h)},\boldsymbol{\xi}_{q}^{(h)},0^{\,r_{q,h}-1},1,\sigma_{q}^{(h)}\right)\subset E_{q,h}.$$

Set $N_{q,h} := N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}$, define

$$C_q(J_{q-1}) := \left\{ I_{N_{q,h}}(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(h)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_q^{(h)}, 0^{r_{q,h}-1}, 1, \sigma_q^{(h)}) \times \prod_{j \neq h} I_{N_{q-1,j}}(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(j)}) : \boldsymbol{\xi}_q^{(h)} \in R_{M,h}^{p_{q,h}} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\#\mathcal{C}_q(J_{q-1}) = \#\mathcal{B}_{q-1} \cdot (\#R_{M,h})^{p_{q,h}}.$$

We abbreviate by $\Gamma_q^{(h)}$ a generic word $(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(h)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_q^{(h)}, 0^{r_{q,h}-1}, 1, \sigma_q^{(h)})$. Now we cut these rectangles into approximate squares. For each $j \neq h$, set $n_{q,j} = n_{q,j} = n_{q,j}$ $|(n_{q,h}+k_{q,h})\log_{\beta_i}\beta_h|$, and write $n_{q,j}=p_{q,j}M+r_{q,j}$ with $r_{q,j}< M$. Let $N_{q,j}=N_{q-1,j}+n_{q,j}$ and define

$$\mathcal{B}_q(J_{q-1}) := \left\{ I_{N_{q,h}}(\Gamma_q^{(h)}) \times \prod_{j \neq h} I_{N_{q,j}}(\Gamma_{q-1,j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_q^{(j)}, 0^{r_{q,j}}) : \boldsymbol{\xi}_q^{(j)} \in R_{M,j}^{p_{q,j}} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\#\mathcal{B}_q(J_{q-1}) = \#\mathcal{B}_{q-1} \prod_{1 \le j \le d} (\#R_{M,j})^{p_{q,j}}.$$

Therefore, the q-th level of the Cantor set is given by

$$C_q = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{B}_{q-1}} C_q(J), \quad \mathcal{B}_q = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{B}_{q-1}} \mathcal{B}_q(J).$$

The Cantor set. Continuing this construction, we obtain a nested family $\{\mathcal{B}_a\}_{a\geq 1}$, and define the desired Cantor set by

$$\mathcal{B}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{B}_q} J.$$

Remark 3.1. For any approximative square in \mathcal{B}_q with q = ld + h, we have the following relations:

• When j = h:

$$N_{q,j} = N_{q-1} + n_{q,j} + k_{q,j};$$

• When $j \neq h$:

$$N_{q,j} = N_{q-1} + n_{q,j}.$$

Since for every $j \neq h$, we have $\beta_j^{n_{q,j}} \simeq \beta_h^{n_{q,h}+k_{q,h}}$. Then

$$\beta_1^{N_{q,1}} \simeq \beta_2^{N_{q,2}} \simeq \cdots \simeq \beta_d^{N_{q,d}},$$

Remark 3.2. Let q = ld + h. Although for $1 \le j \le d$, we have

$$n_{q,j} = p_{q,j}M + r_{q,j}, \qquad 1 \le r_{q,j} \le M - 1.$$

It should be emphasized that the integer $n_{q,j}$ $(j \neq h)$ depends on $n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}$ according to the relation stated in the Remark 3.1. Moreover, $\delta_{q,j}$, $k_{q,j}$ and $t_{q,j}$ appear only in the case j = h. In addition, it is clear that the sequence $\{N_{i-1,j} + n_{i,j}\}$ defined in the construction still satisfies the conditions in the Claim, hence we indeed deal with the sequence $\{N_{i-1,j} + n_{i,j}\}$.

We now verify that \mathcal{B}_{∞} is contained in $\prod_{j=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{j}}(\psi_{j})$.

Proposition 3.3. One has

$$\mathcal{B}_{\infty} \subset \prod_{j=1}^d E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j).$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$. By the definition of the Cartesian product, it suffices to show that $x_j \in E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Fix $0 \le j \le d - 1$. We consider the quantity

$$\beta_j^n (x_j - \omega_n(x_j)).$$

Let q = ld + j be the integer such that $N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j} \le n < N_{q+d-1,j} + n_{q+d,j}$. (I) If $n = N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}$, by the definition of $E_{q,j}$, we have

$$(1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi_j(n) < \beta_j^n(x_j - \omega_n(x_j)) < \psi_j(n). \tag{3.12}$$

(II) If $N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j} < n \le N_{q,j} = N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j} + k_{q,j}$, then $\sigma_q^{(j)}$ begins with at least $t_{q,j}$ zeros by (3.10), then

$$\varepsilon_{N_{q-1,j}+n_{q,j}+1}(x_j) = \dots = \varepsilon_{N_{q-1,j}+n_{q,j}+t_{q,j}}(x_j) = 0.$$
 (3.13)

Since $k_{q,j} > t_{q,j} + \log_{\beta_i}(k_{q,j} + 1) > t_{q,j}$, we divide (II) into two subcases:

(i) If $N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j} < n \le N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j} + t_{q,j}$, then

$$\beta_{j}^{n}(x_{j} - \omega_{n}(x_{j})) = \beta_{j}^{n}(x_{j} - \omega_{N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}}(x_{j})) \quad \text{by (3.13)}$$

$$> \beta_{j}^{n - (N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j})} \cdot \left(1 - \delta_{q,j} \cdot \psi_{j}(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j})\right) \quad \text{by (3.12)}$$

$$> (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi_{j}(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j})$$

$$> (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi_{j}(n).$$

(ii) If $N_{q-1,j}+n_{q,j}+t_{q,j}< n\leq N_{q,j}=N_{q-1,j}+n_{q,j}+k_{q,j}$, since each word in $R_{M,j}$ begins with a non-zero digit, so $\varepsilon_{N_{q,j}+1}(x_j)\neq 0$. Hence,

$$\beta_{j}^{n}(x_{j} - \omega_{n}(x_{j})) = \beta_{j}^{n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x_{j})}{\beta_{j}^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_{N_{q,j}+1}(x_{j})}{\beta_{j}^{N_{q,j}+1}} + \dots \right)$$

$$\geq \beta_{j}^{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{N_{q,j}+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{k_{q,j}-t_{q,j}+1}}.$$
(3.14)

By (3.7) and (3.9), we also have

$$\delta_{q,j} \cdot \frac{\psi_j(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j})}{k_{q,j}} \le \frac{1}{\beta_j^{k_{q,j}-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\beta_j^{t_{q,j}-2}} = \frac{\beta_j^3}{\beta_j^{t_{q,j}+1}} < \psi_j(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}) \cdot \beta_j^3,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{\beta_j^{k_{q,j}-t_{q,j}+1}} = \frac{1/\beta_j^{k_{q,j}-1}}{1/\beta_j^{t_{q,j}-2}} \ge \beta_j^{-3} \cdot \frac{\delta_{q,j}}{k_{q,j}} \stackrel{\text{by (3.6)}}{\ge} \psi(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}). \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$\beta_j^n(x_j - \omega_n(x_j)) > (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}) > (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi(n).$$

- (III) If $N_{q,j} \le n < N_{q+d-1,j}$, suppose $N_{q+i,j} \le n < N_{q+i+1,j}$ for any $0 \le i < d-2$. We consider the following two subcases.
- (i) If there exists $1 \le r \le p_{q+i+1,j}$ such that

$$N_{q+i,j} + (r-1)M < n \le N_{q+i,j} + rM$$

since $\varepsilon_{N_{q+i,j}+rM+1}(x_j) \neq 0$ for any $1 \leq r \leq p_{k+1}$, we have

$$\beta_{j}^{n}(x_{j} - \omega_{n}(x_{j})) = \beta_{j}^{n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x_{j})}{\beta_{j}^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_{N_{q+i,j}+rM+1}(x_{j})}{\beta_{j}^{N_{q+i,j}+rM+1}} + \dots \right)$$

$$\geq \beta_{j}^{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{N_{q+i,j}+rM+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{M+1}}$$

$$> (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}) > (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi(n).$$

(ii) If $N_{q+i,j} + p_{q+i+1,j}M < n < N_{q+i+1,j} = N_{q+i,j} + n_{q+i+1,j}$, then $\varepsilon_{N_{q+i+1,j}+1}(x_j) \neq 0$ by the definition of $R_{M,j}$ and hence

$$\beta_{j}^{n}(x_{j} - \omega_{n}(x_{j})) = \beta_{j}^{n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x_{j})}{\beta_{j}^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_{N_{q+i+1,j}+1}(x_{j})}{\beta_{j}^{N_{q+i+1,j}+1}} + \dots \right)$$

$$\geq \beta_{j}^{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{N_{q+i+1,j}+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{r_{q+i+1,j}+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\beta_{j}^{M}}$$

$$> (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi(N_{q-1,j} + n_{q,j}) > (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi_{j}(n).$$

(IV) If $N_{q+d-1,j} \leq n < N_{q+d-1,j} + n_{q+d,j}$, a similar argument to that in case (III) gives $\beta_j^n(x_j - \omega_n(x_j)) > (1 - \delta_{q,j}) \cdot \psi_j(n).$

The case (I) shows that there exist at least $l = \left| \frac{q}{d} \right|$ solutions of

$$\beta_j^n(x_j - \omega_n(x_j)) < \psi_j(n).$$

On the other hand, (I)-(IV) show that

$$\beta_j^n(x_j - \omega_n(x_j)) < (1 - \delta_{q,j})\psi_j(n)$$

has no solution for $N_{q-1,j}+n_{q,j} \leq n < N_{q+d-1,j}+n_{q+d,j}$. Thus $x_j \in E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j)$. Repeating this for each $1 \leq j \leq d$ yields

$$\mathcal{B}_{\infty} \subset \prod_{j=1}^d E_{\beta_j}(\psi_j).$$

3.2. Supporting measure. We now distribute a probability measure μ on \mathcal{B}_{∞} . First, define $\mu([0,1)^d)=1$.

• Measure of elements in \mathcal{B}_1 . For a generic rectangle $I_{N_{1,1}}(\Gamma_1^{(1)}) \times [0,1)^{d-1} \in \mathcal{C}_1$, define

$$\mu(I_{N_{1,1}}(\Gamma_1^{(1)}) \times [0,1)^{d-1}) = \frac{1}{\#R_{M,1}^{p_{1,1}}},$$

The measure of an approximative square $J_1 = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq d} I_{N_{1,j}}(\Gamma_1^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{B}_1$ is defined as

$$\mu(J_1) = \prod_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\#R_{M,j}^{p_{1,j}}} \cdot \mu(I_{N_{1,1}}(\Gamma_1^{(1)}) \times [0,1)^{d-1})$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\#R_{M,j}^{p_{1,j}}}.$$

• Measure of elements in \mathcal{B}_q . Assume that the measure of approximation squares $J_{q-1} \in \mathcal{B}_{q-1}$ has been well defined. Write q = ld + h for some $1 \le h \le d - 1$. For a generic rectangle

$$I_{N_{q,h}}(\Gamma_q^{(h)}) \times \prod_{j \neq h} I_{N_{q-1,j}}(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{C}_q,$$

we define

$$\mu\Big(I_{N_{q,h}}(\Gamma_q^{(h)}) \times \prod_{j \neq h} I_{N_{q-1,j}}(\Gamma_{q-1}^{(j)})\Big) := \mu(J_{q-1}) \frac{1}{\# R_{M,h}^{p_{q,h}}}.$$

The measure of the approximative square $J_q = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq d} I_{N_{q,j}}(\Gamma_q^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{B}_q$ is defined as

$$\mu(J_q) = \mu(J_{q-1}) \frac{1}{\# R_{M,h}^{p_{q,h}}} \cdot \prod_{j \neq h} \frac{1}{\# R_{M,j}^{p_{q,j}}}$$
$$= \mu(J_{q-1}) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\# R_{M,j}^{p_{q,j}}}.$$

It is clear that μ satisfies the consistency property and can then be uniquely extended to a non-atomic Borel measure supported on \mathcal{B}_{∞} .

3.3. Hölder exponent of the measure. In this subsection, we estimate the Hölder exponent of the measure μ .

Assume q = ld + h. Since $\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{k_{i,j}}{N_{i-1,j} + n_{i,j}} = \alpha_j$ by (3.4), (3.8), we can choose q_0 large enough such that for all $q \ge q_0$,

$$k_{q,h} \leq (\alpha_h + \eta)(N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h})$$

$$\leq (\alpha_h + \eta)(1 + \eta)n_{q,h} \quad \text{by (3.11)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha_h + \eta}{1 - \eta}n_{q,h}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{n_{q,h}}{n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}} \ge \frac{1 - \eta}{1 + \alpha_h}. (3.16)$$

Let

$$s_0 := (1 - \eta) \left(d - 1 + (1 - \eta) \min_{1 \le j \le d} \left\{ \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\beta_j}) \right\} \right)$$
$$= (1 - \eta) \left(d - 1 + (1 - \eta) \min_{1 \le j \le d} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_j} \right\} \right) < s,$$

we will show that for all $q \geq q_0$,

$$\mu(J_q) \lesssim |J_q|^{s_0}. \tag{3.17}$$

Assume that q = ld + h with $0 \le h < d$, and that (3.17) holds for $q - 1 \ge q_0$, that is, $\mu(J_{q-1}) \le |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} \lesssim (\beta_h^{-N_{q-1,h}})^{s_0}$. We have

$$\frac{-\log_{\beta_{h}}\mu(J_{q})}{-\log_{\beta_{h}}|J_{q}|} \ge \frac{-\log_{\beta_{h}}\mu(J_{q-1}) - \log_{\beta_{h}}(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\#R_{M,j}^{p_{q,j}}})}{N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}}$$

$$\ge \frac{-\log_{\beta_{h}}\mu(J_{q-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{q,j}M(1-\eta)}{N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}} \quad \text{by (3.3)}$$

$$\ge \min\left\{\frac{-\log_{\beta_{h}}\mu(J_{q-1})}{N_{q-1,h}}, \frac{n_{q,h}(1-\eta) + \sum_{j\neq h} n_{q,j}(1-\eta)}{n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}}\right\}$$

$$\ge \min\left\{s_{0}, \frac{n_{q,h}(1-\eta) + (d-1)(n_{q,h} + k_{q,h})(1-\eta)}{n_{q,h} + k_{q,h}}\right\}$$

$$\ge \min\left\{s_{0}, (1-\eta)\left(\frac{1-\eta}{1+\alpha_{h}} + d-1\right)\right\} \ge s_{0}.$$

Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ with $\beta_i^{n_i} \simeq \beta_j^{n_j}$ for all $i \neq j$. We aim to estimate the measure of general approximative squares

$$J_{\boldsymbol{n}} := \prod_{1 \leq j \leq d} I_{n_j}(\varepsilon_1^{(j)}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n_j}^{(j)}).$$

Without loss of generality, assume that there exists $q = ld + h(0 \le h < d)$ such that $N_{q-1,h} < n_h < N_{q,h}$.

For any $j \neq h$, write $n_j = p_j M + v_j$. Then

• If $v_i = 0$,

$$|I_{n_j}(\varepsilon_1^{(j)},\cdots,\varepsilon_{n_j}^{(j)})|=|J_{q-1}|\cdot\beta_j^{-p_jM}$$

• If $v_i \neq 0$,

$$|I_{n_j}(\varepsilon_1^{(j)},\cdots,\varepsilon_{n_j}^{(j)})| \geq \beta_j^{-M}|J_{q-1}|\cdot\beta_j^{-(p_j)M},$$

Hence, in both cases, we have $|J_n| \ge |J_{q-1}|\beta_i^{-(p_j+1)M}$.

Case 1: $N_{q-1,h} < n_h < N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h}$.

Write $n_h = p_h M + v_h$ with $0 \le p_h \le p_{q,h}$ and $v_h \le M - 1$.

If $v_h = 0$, then

$$|J_{\boldsymbol{n}}| \asymp |J_{q-1}| \beta_h^{-p_h M}.$$

By the definition of the measure μ , we have

$$\mu(J_{n}) = \mu(J_{q-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\#R_{M,j}^{p_{j}}} \lesssim |J_{q-1}|^{s_{0}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d} \beta_{j}^{-p_{j}M(1-\eta)}.$$

Thus,

$$\mu(J_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \leq |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} \beta_h^{-p_h M(1-\eta)} \cdot \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_j^M \beta_j^{-(p_j+1)M(1-\eta)} \leq \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_j^{(d-1)M} |J_{\boldsymbol{n}}|^{s_0}.$$

If $v_h \neq 0$, we consider the following two cases:

(i) When $p_h < p_{a,h}$, we have

$$|J_{\boldsymbol{n}}| \gtrsim \beta_h^{-M} |J_{q-1}| \beta_h^{-p_h M}.$$

By the definition of the measure μ , we have

$$\mu(J_n) = \mu(J_{q-1}) \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\# R_{M,j}^{p_j}} \lesssim |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \beta_j^{-p_j M(1-\eta)}.$$

Thus,

$$\mu(J_n) \lesssim |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} \beta_h^{-p_h M(1-\eta)} \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_j^M \beta_j^{-(p_h+1)M} \leq \prod_{j=1}^d \beta_j^M |J_n|^{s_0}.$$

(ii) When $p_h = p_{q,h}$, we have

$$|J_{n}| \gtrsim \beta_{h}^{-M-l_{h}-1} |J_{q-1}| \beta_{h}^{-p_{h}M}$$

By the definition of the measure μ , we have

$$\mu(J_{n}) = \mu(J_{q-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\#R_{M,i}^{p_{j}}} \lesssim |J_{q-1}|^{s_{0}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{j}^{-p_{j}M(1-\eta)}.$$

Using $s_0 < 1 - \eta + d - 1$, we have

$$\mu(J_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \lesssim |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} \beta_h^{-p_h M(1-\eta)} \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_j^M \beta_j^{-(p_h+1)M} \leq \beta_h^{l_h+1} \prod_{j=1}^d \beta_j^M |J_{\boldsymbol{n}}|^{s_0}.$$

Case 2: $N_{q-1,h} + n_{q,h} < n_h < N_{q,h}$.

We have

$$|J_{\boldsymbol{n}}| \gtrsim |J_{q-1}| \beta_h^{-n_{q,h}-k_{q,h}}.$$

In addition, for $j \neq h$, we also have

$$|J_{\boldsymbol{n}}| \gtrsim |J_{q-1}|\beta_j^{(-p_j+1)M}.$$

By the definition of the measure μ , we have

$$\mu(J_{\boldsymbol{n}}) = |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} \cdot \beta_h^{-p_{q,h}M(1-\eta)} \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_j^{-p_jM(1-\eta)} \lesssim \beta_h^M |J_{q-1}|^{s_0} (\beta_h^{-n_{q,h}}) \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_j^M \beta_j^{-(p_j+1)M}.$$

Thus, by (3.16), we have

$$\mu(J_{n}) \lesssim \beta_{h}^{M} |J_{q-1}|^{s_{0}} (\beta_{h}^{-n_{q,h}-k_{q,h}})^{(1-\eta)(\frac{1-\eta}{1+\alpha_{h}})} \prod_{j \neq h} \beta_{j}^{M} \beta_{j}^{-(p_{j}+1)M}$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=h} \beta_{j}^{M} |J_{n}|^{s_{0}}.$$

Repeating the above estimations for all coordinate directions, we conclude that

$$\mu(J_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \lesssim |J_{\boldsymbol{n}}|^{s_0}.$$

Finally, for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$, consider $\beta_h^{-n-1} \leq r < \beta_h^{-n}$. The ball $B(\mathbf{x}, r)$ intersect at most $\max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \{\beta_j\}^d$ approximative squares of order n. Thus

$$\mu(B(\boldsymbol{x},r)) \lesssim \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} \{\beta_j\}^d \cdot \beta_h^{-ns_0} \lesssim r^{s_0}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.1, we conclude that $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(E_{\beta_j}) \geq s_0$. By letting $\eta \to 0$, we complete the proof of the desired lower bound.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12371086, 12271175) and National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2024YFA1013701).

References

- [1] A. S. Besicovitch, Sets of Fractional Dimensions (IV): On Rational Approximation to Real Numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 9 (1934), no. 2, 126–131.
- [2] Y. Bugeaud, Sets of exact approximation order by rational numbers, Math. Ann. **327** (2003), no. 1, 171–190.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud and B. W. Wang, Distribution of full cylinders and the Diophantine properties of the orbits in β -expansions, J. Fractal Geom. 1 (2014), no. 2, 221–241.
- [4] W. Cheng, The Cartesian product of shrinking target sets in dyadic system and triadic system, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **549** (2025), no. 1, Paper No. 129495, 20 pp.
- [5] P. Erdős, Representations of real numbers as sums and products of Liouville numbers, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 59–60.
- [6] K. J. Falconer, Fractal geometry, third edition, Wiley, Chichester, 2014.
- [7] A. H. Fan and B. W. Wang, On the lengths of basic intervals in beta expansions, Nonlinearity 25 (2012), no. 5, 1329–1343.
- [8] L. Fang, M. Wu and B. Li, Approximation orders of real numbers by β -expansions, Math. Z. **296** (2020), no. 1-2, 13-40.
- [9] V. Jarník, Diophantische Approximationen und Hausdorffsches Mass, Matem. Sb. 36 (1929), 371–382.
- [10] V. Jarník, Über die simultanen diophantischen Approximationen, Math. Z. 33 (1931), no. 1, 505–543.
- [11] A. Y. Khinchine, Einige Sätze über Kettenbrüche, mit Anwendungen auf die Theorie der Diophantischen Approximationen, Math. Ann. **92** (1924), no. 1-2, 115–125.
- [12] J. M. Marstrand, The dimension of Cartesian product sets, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 50 (1954), 198–202.

- [13] P. Mattila, Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 44, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [14] W. Parry, On the β -expansions of real numbers, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 11 (1960), 401–416.
- [15] A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 8 (1957), 477–493.
- [16] J. Schleischitz, Metric results on sumsets and Cartesian products of classes of Diophantine sets, Results Math. 78 (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 215, 34 pp.
- [17] C. Tricot Jr., Two definitions of fractional dimension, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91 (1982), no. 1, 57–74.
- [18] B. W. Wang and J. Wu, Hausdorff dimension of the Cartesian product of limsup sets in Diophantine approximation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 377 (2024), no. 5, 3727–3748.
- [19] W. Wang, Modified shrinking target problem in beta dynamical systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 468 (2018), no. 1, 423–435.
- [20] X. Zhang and W. Zhong, Exact Diophantine approximation of real numbers by β -expansions, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **44** (2024), no. 9, 2684–2696.

(Wanjin Cheng) School of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510640, China

 $Email\ address: {\tt chengwj0227@163.com}$

(Xinyun Zhang) School of Mathematics and Information Science, Nanchang Hangkong University, Nanchang, 330063, China

Email address, corresponding author: xinyunzhang@nchu.edu.cn