

# Central elements and evaluation map for the quantum queer superalgebras

Ming Liu, Alexander Molev and Jian Zhang

## Abstract

We construct central elements in the quantum queer superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and in its affine counterpart  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ . We also produce an epimorphism  $\text{ev} : U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  identical on the subalgebra isomorphic to  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .

## 1 Introduction

A quantum deformation  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  of the universal enveloping algebra for the queer Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}_n$  was introduced by Olshanski [10]. He described its algebraic structure by proving the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem and developed a quantum analogue of the Schur–Sergeev duality between  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and the Hecke–Clifford superalgebra which was also introduced in [10].

A Drinfeld-type presentation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  was produced by Grantcharov *et al.* in [3], where classification results on the highest weight modules were also obtained. For a further development of the representation theory of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ , including the crystal basis theory, see [4].

An affine counterpart of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  was introduced by Chen and Guay [2] under the name *twisted affine quantum superalgebra*. The name is justified by the fact that it is a quantization of a Lie bialgebra structure on the twisted affine superalgebra corresponding to  $\mathfrak{q}_n$ . We will only use its version with the trivial central charge and call it the *quantum queer loop superalgebra* to be denoted by  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ . Since no non-twisted version of this superalgebra is known, no confusion should occur; this is also consistent with the Yangian terminology used in [9]. One of the main results of [2] is the construction of a functor of Schur–Weyl-type connecting  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  to the affine Hecke–Clifford superalgebras of Jones and Nazarov [8], and the proof, that it provides an equivalence between two categories of modules.

Our first main result is a construction of the epimorphism

$$\text{ev} : U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n). \quad (1.1)$$

The quantum queer superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  turns out to be a natural subalgebra of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  and the epimorphism (1.1) is identical on this subalgebra. The epimorphism allows one to construct evaluation modules  $V$  over  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  by extending the action of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  on  $V$ . The use of the Hopf algebra structure on  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  then produces a wide class of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ -modules.

Furthermore, we construct families of central elements in both superalgebras  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ . In the first case, they are analogous to the elements found in [11] for the quantized

enveloping algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ . In the affine case, they occur as the coefficients of a series  $z(u)$ , whose analogues for the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  were found in [1]; see also [6]. It is reasonable to expect that the families of central elements in  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  are related by the epimorphism (1.1); see Example 5.4.

## 2 Evaluation homomorphism

Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  over the field of complex numbers with the canonical basis  $e_{-n}, \dots, e_{-1}, e_1, \dots, e_n$ , where the vector  $e_i$  has the parity  $\bar{i} \pmod 2$  and

$$\bar{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

The endomorphism algebra  $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  is then equipped with a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gradation with the parity of the matrix unit  $E_{ij}$  found by  $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \pmod 2$ . We will identify the algebra of even matrices over a superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with the tensor product algebra  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ , so that a square matrix  $A = [A_{ij}]$  of size  $2n$  is regarded as the element

$$A = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n A_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the entries  $A_{ij}$  are assumed to be homogeneous of parity  $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \pmod 2$ . The extra signs are necessary to keep the usual rule for the matrix multiplication without signs. The zero value will be skipped in similar summation formulas.

The *Olshanski R-matrix* is the element  $S \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  defined by

$$S = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n q^{(\delta_{i,j} + \delta_{-i,-j})(1-2\bar{j})} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \varepsilon \sum_{i>j} (-1)^{\bar{j}} (E_{ij} + E_{-i,-j}) \otimes E_{ji},$$

where  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  is nonzero and  $\varepsilon = q - q^{-1}$ . As shown in [10], the element  $S$  satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation.

Following [10], define the *quantum queer superalgebra*  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra generated by the entries  $L_{ij}$  of the upper-triangular matrix  $L = [L_{ij}]$ . The generators  $L_{ij}$  are of the parity  $\bar{i} + \bar{j}$ , where  $i \leq j$  with  $i$  and  $j$  running over the set  $\{-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$ . They satisfy the following defining relations. Consider the elements of the tensor product superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  given by

$$L_1 = \sum_{i \leq j} L_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \otimes 1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 = \sum_{i \leq j} L_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes E_{ij},$$

with no signs occurring in accordance with (2.1). The defining relations for the superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  take the form of the relation

$$L_1 L_2 S = S L_2 L_1, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $S$  is identified with the element  $1 \otimes S$ , together with the relations

$$L_{ii}L_{-i,-i} = L_{-i,-i}L_{ii} = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now consider the *Jones–Nazarov  $R$ -matrix*

$$S(u, v) = S + \frac{\varepsilon P}{u^{-1}v - 1} + \frac{\varepsilon P J_1 J_2}{uv - 1}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the permutation operator  $P$  is given by

$$P = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} (-1)^{\bar{j}} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n},$$

while  $J_1 = J \otimes 1$  and  $J_2 = 1 \otimes J$  for the odd element  $J$  given by

$$J = \sum_{i=-n}^n E_{i,-i} (-1)^{\bar{i}} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}.$$

According to [8], the function  $S(u, v)$  satisfies the parameter-dependent Yang–Baxter equation.

Following Chen and Guay [2], define the *quantum queer loop superalgebra*  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra with generators  $L_{ij}^{(r)}$  of parity  $\bar{i} + \bar{j}$ , where  $i$  and  $j$  run over the set of indices  $\{-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $r = 0, 1, \dots$ . The generators satisfy the following defining relations. Introduce the formal series

$$L_{ij}(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} L_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r} \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)[[u^{-1}]] \quad (2.4)$$

and combine them into the matrix  $L(u) = [L_{ij}(u)]$ . Consider the elements of the tensor product superalgebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  given by

$$L_1(u) = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n L_{ij}(u) \otimes E_{ij} \otimes 1 (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2(u) = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n L_{ij}(u) \otimes 1 \otimes E_{ij} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}}.$$

The defining relations for the superalgebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  take the form of the relation

$$L_1(u) L_2(v) S(u, v) = S(u, v) L_2(v) L_1(u), \quad (2.5)$$

together with the conditions on the zero mode matrix  $L^{(0)} = [L_{ij}^{(0)}]$ :

$$L_{ii}^{(0)} L_{-i,-i}^{(0)} = L_{-i,-i}^{(0)} L_{ii}^{(0)} = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$L_{ij}^{(0)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i > j.$$

It is immediate from the defining relations of both superalgebras that the mapping

$$L \rightarrow L^{(0)} \quad (2.6)$$

defines a homomorphism  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ .

To state our first main result, introduce the even matrix  $\bar{L}$  by

$$\bar{L} = J L J = - \sum_{i \leq j} L_{ij} \otimes E_{-i,-j} = - \sum_{i \geq j} L_{-i,-j} \otimes E_{ij}. \quad (2.7)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *The mapping*

$$\text{ev} : L(u) \mapsto L + \bar{L}u^{-1} \quad (2.8)$$

*defines a homomorphism  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_n) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* We need to verify that the relation

$$(L_1 + \bar{L}_1 u^{-1})(L_2 + \bar{L}_2 v^{-1})S(u, v) = S(u, v)(L_2 + \bar{L}_2 v^{-1})(L_1 + \bar{L}_1 u^{-1}) \quad (2.9)$$

holds in  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *We have the relations in  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ :*

$$\bar{L}_1 L_2 S = S L_2 \bar{L}_1, \quad (2.10)$$

$$L_1 \bar{L}_2 (S - \varepsilon P) = (S - \varepsilon P) \bar{L}_2 L_1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\bar{L}_1 \bar{L}_2 (S - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) = (S - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) \bar{L}_2 \bar{L}_1, \quad (2.12)$$

*together with*

$$\bar{L}_1 L_2 P J_1 J_2 = P J_1 J_2 L_2 \bar{L}_1, \quad L_1 \bar{L}_2 P J_1 J_2 = P J_1 J_2 \bar{L}_2 L_1, \quad (2.13)$$

$$L_1 L_2 P J_1 J_2 = P J_1 J_2 \bar{L}_2 \bar{L}_1, \quad \bar{L}_1 \bar{L}_2 P J_1 J_2 = P J_1 J_2 L_2 L_1. \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof.* We will make use of the homomorphism from the Hecke–Clifford superalgebra  $\mathcal{HC}_q(N)$ ,

$$\mathcal{HC}_q(N) \rightarrow (\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n})^{\otimes N} \quad (2.15)$$

as constructed in [10, Theorem 5.2]. We only need the particular case  $N = 2$ , where  $\mathcal{HC}_q(2)$  is generated by elements  $T, c_1, c_2$  subject to the relations

$$T^2 = \varepsilon T + 1, \quad T c_1 = c_2 T, \quad T c_2 = c_1 T - \varepsilon(c_1 - c_2),$$

and

$$c_1^2 = c_2^2 = -1, \quad c_1 c_2 + c_2 c_1 = 0.$$

The homomorphism (2.15) with  $N = 2$  takes the form

$$T \mapsto PS, \quad c_1 \mapsto J_1, \quad c_2 \mapsto J_2. \quad (2.16)$$

Hence, we derive the relations

$$J_1 S = S J_1, \quad J_2 (S - \varepsilon P) = (S - \varepsilon P) J_2. \quad (2.17)$$

Now (2.10) follows from (2.2) by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_1 L_2 S &= J_1 L_1 J_1 L_2 S = J_1 L_1 L_2 J_1 S = J_1 L_1 L_2 S J_1 \\ &= J_1 S L_2 L_1 J_1 = S J_1 L_2 L_1 J_1 = S L_2 J_1 L_1 J_1 = S L_2 \bar{L}_1. \end{aligned}$$

To verify (2.11), use the second relation in (2.17) to perform a similar calculation by noting that

$$L_1 L_2 (S - \varepsilon P) = (S - \varepsilon P) L_2 L_1.$$

Relation (2.12) is verified in the same way with the use of the first relation in (2.14). In their turn, all cases of (2.13) and (2.14) are easily checked.  $\square$

After multiplying both sides of (2.9) by the denominators in (2.3) and suitable powers of  $u$  and  $v$ , this becomes a relation for polynomials in  $u$  and  $v$ . It is straightforward to verify that all coefficients on both sides agree due to (2.2) and additional relations recorded in Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *The homomorphism (2.6) defines an embedding  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \hookrightarrow U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* The homomorphism (2.6) is injective since its composition with the evaluation homomorphism (2.8) is the identity map.  $\square$

Corollary 2.3 allows us to regard  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  as a subalgebra of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ .

Note that by twisting the homomorphism (2.8) with the sign automorphism of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  defined by  $L(u) \mapsto L(-u)$ , we get another version of the evaluation homomorphism:

$$\text{ev}' : L(u) \mapsto L - \bar{L}u^{-1}.$$

### 3 Reflection equation superalgebra

Keeping notation (2.7), introduce the matrix  $M = L\bar{L}^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The matrix  $M$  satisfies the symmetry relation*

$$MJMJ = -1 \tag{3.1}$$

in  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ , and the reflection equation

$$M_1SM_2(S_{21} + \varepsilon PJ_1J_2) = SM_2(S_{21} + \varepsilon PJ_1J_2)M_1 \tag{3.2}$$

in  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ , where  $S_{21} = PSP$ . Equivalently, relation (3.2) can be written as

$$M_2\check{S}M_2(\check{S} - \varepsilon J_1J_2) = \check{S}M_2(\check{S} - \varepsilon J_1J_2)M_2, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\check{S} = PS$ .

*Proof.* Relation (3.1) is immediate from the definition of the matrix  $M$ . Clearly, (3.3) follows from (3.2) by multiplying both sides by  $P$  from the right and from the left. To prove (3.2), observe that

$$(S - \varepsilon P - \varepsilon PJ_1J_2)(S_{21} + \varepsilon PJ_1J_2) = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed, using the homomorphism (2.16) we find that

$$SS_{21} = \varepsilon SP + 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Together with (2.17) this implies (3.4). Now write the left hand side of (3.2) as

$$L_1\bar{L}_1^{-1}SL_2\bar{L}_2^{-1}(S - \varepsilon P - \varepsilon PJ_1J_2)^{-1}$$

which equals

$$L_1 L_2 S \bar{L}_1^{-1} \bar{L}_2^{-1} (S - \varepsilon P - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2)^{-1} \quad (3.6)$$

by (2.10). Furthermore, by (2.12) we have

$$\bar{L}_1 \bar{L}_2 (S - \varepsilon P - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) = (S - \varepsilon P - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) \bar{L}_2 \bar{L}_1,$$

hence, by inverting both sides and using (2.2) we bring (3.6) to the form

$$S L_2 L_1 (S - \varepsilon P - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2)^{-1} \bar{L}_2^{-1} \bar{L}_1^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Finally, by (2.10) and the first relation in (2.13), we have

$$\bar{L}_1 L_2 (S - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) = (S - \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) L_2 \bar{L}_1.$$

By conjugating both sides by  $P$  we derive

$$\bar{L}_2 L_1 (S_{21} + \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) = (S_{21} + \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) L_1 \bar{L}_2.$$

Therefore, applying (3.4), we can write (3.7) as

$$S L_2 L_1 (S_{21} + \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) \bar{L}_2^{-1} \bar{L}_1^{-1} = S L_2 \bar{L}_2^{-1} (S_{21} + \varepsilon P J_1 J_2) L_1 \bar{L}_1^{-1}$$

which coincides with the right hand side of (3.2).  $\square$

We will denote by  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  the subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  generated by the entries of the matrix  $M$ . We will also define an abstract superalgebra, regarding (3.2) as the set of defining relations.

**Definition 3.2.** The *reflection equation superalgebra*  $U_q^{\text{RE}}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  associated with  $\mathfrak{q}_n$  is generated by the entries  $M_{ij}$  of the (abstract) matrix  $M = [M_{ij}]$  subject to the defining relations (3.1) and (3.2).  $\square$

By Proposition 3.1, we have the homomorphism  $U_q^{\text{RE}}(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  taking the generator  $M_{ij}$  to the  $(i, j)$  entry of the matrix  $L \bar{L}^{-1}$ .

## 4 Central elements in $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$

Introduce the diagonal matrix  $D$  by

$$D = \sum_{i=-n}^n q^{-2|i|} E_{ii} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}, \quad (4.1)$$

where, as before, the zero value is skipped in the summation. We will keep using the matrix  $M = L \bar{L}^{-1}$  introduced in the previous section. Define the *supertrace* as the linear map

$$\text{str} : \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad E_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij} (-1)^{\bar{i}}.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *All elements*

$$\text{str } DM^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.2)$$

*belong to the center of the superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* In the tensor product superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  we have

$$L_2 M_1 = L_2 L_1 \bar{L}_1^{-1} = S^{-1} L_1 L_2 S \bar{L}_1^{-1} = S^{-1} L_1 \bar{L}_1^{-1} S L_2 = S^{-1} M_1 S L_2,$$

where we used the consequence  $L_2 S \bar{L}_1^{-1} = \bar{L}_1^{-1} S L_2$  of (2.10). An easy induction yields

$$L_2 D_1 M_1^k = D_1 L_2 M_1^k = D_1 S^{-1} M_1^k S L_2$$

for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence the desired property of the elements (4.2) will follow from the relation

$$\text{str}_1 D_1 S^{-1} M_1^k S = \text{str}_1 D_1 M_1^k, \quad (4.3)$$

where the subscript 1 means that the supertrace is taken over the first copy of the endomorphism superalgebra.

Consider the *super-transposition*  $t$  which is defined as the antiautomorphism of the superalgebra  $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  given by

$$t : E_{ij} \mapsto E_{ji}(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}}.$$

We will use a subscript as in  $t_1, t_2$ , etc. to indicate the copy of the endomorphism superalgebra in multiple tensor products where the super-transposition is applied. Transform the left hand side of (4.3) by applying the super-transposition  $t_1$  to get

$$\text{str}_1 D_1 S^{-1} M_1^k S = \text{str}_1 (D_1 S^{-1})^{t_1} (M_1^k S)^{t_1} = \text{str}_1 (S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 S^{t_1} (M_1^k)^{t_1}.$$

Since

$$\text{str}_1 D_1 (M_1^k)^{t_1} = \text{str}_1 D_1 M_1^k,$$

the proof of relation (4.3) will be completed by using the following *crossing symmetry property* of the Olshanski  $R$ -matrix  $S$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have the relation*

$$(S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 S^{t_1} = D_1. \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* By regarding both sides of the relation as operators on the space  $\mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ , we will verify that the results of their applications to all basis vectors agree. We have

$$S^{t_1} = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n q^{(\delta_{i,j}+\delta_{-i,-j})(1-2\bar{j})} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \varepsilon \sum_{i>j} \left( E_{ji}(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + E_{-j,-i}(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{i}+\bar{j}} \right) \otimes E_{ji}.$$

We find from (3.5) that  $S^{-1} = S_{21} - \varepsilon P$  and so

$$(S^{-1})^{t_1} = \sum_{i,j=-n}^n q^{(\delta_{i,j}+\delta_{-i,-j})(1-2\bar{j})} E_{jj} \otimes E_{ii} - \varepsilon \sum_{i\leq j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij}(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} + \varepsilon \sum_{i>j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{-i,-j}(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}.$$

These expressions show that the application of both sides of (4.4) to a basis vector  $e_i \otimes e_j$  with  $i \neq \pm j$  yields the same vector  $q^{-2|i|} e_i \otimes e_j$ .

Now assume that  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and first apply both sides of (4.4) to the basis vector  $e_j \otimes e_j$ . The right hand side gives  $q^{-2j} e_j \otimes e_j$ , while for the left hand side we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 S^{t_1} (e_j \otimes e_j) &= (S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 \left( q e_j \otimes e_j + \varepsilon \sum_{i < j} e_i \otimes e_i \right) \\ &= (S^{-1})^{t_1} \left( q^{-2j+1} e_j \otimes e_j + \varepsilon \sum_{i < j} q^{-2|i|} e_i \otimes e_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$q^{-2j+1} \left( q e_j \otimes e_j - \varepsilon \sum_{i \leq j} e_i \otimes e_i \right) + \varepsilon \sum_{i < j} q^{-2|i|} \left( q^{1-2\bar{i}} e_i \otimes e_i - \varepsilon \sum_{k \leq i} (-1)^{\bar{i}} e_k \otimes e_k \right).$$

Here the coefficient of  $e_j \otimes e_j$  equals  $q^{-2j}$ , whereas for  $k < j$  the coefficient of  $e_k \otimes e_k$  equals

$$-\varepsilon q^{-2j+1} + \varepsilon q^{1-2|k|-2\bar{k}} - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=k}^{j-1} q^{-2|i|} (-1)^{\bar{i}} = 0,$$

thus completing the verification for the basis vector  $e_j \otimes e_j$ .

As the next step, apply both sides of (4.4) to the basis vector  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$ . The right hand side gives  $q^{-2j} e_j \otimes e_{-j}$ , while for the left hand side we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 S^{t_1} (e_j \otimes e_{-j}) &= (S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 \left( q^{-1} e_j \otimes e_{-j} - \varepsilon \sum_{i > j} e_i \otimes e_{-i} \right) \\ &= (S^{-1})^{t_1} \left( q^{-2j-1} e_j \otimes e_{-j} - \varepsilon \sum_{i > j} q^{-2i} e_i \otimes e_{-i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$q^{-2j-1} \left( q e_j \otimes e_{-j} + \varepsilon \sum_{i > j} e_i \otimes e_{-i} \right) - \varepsilon \sum_{i > j} q^{-2i} \left( q e_i \otimes e_{-i} + \varepsilon \sum_{k > i} e_k \otimes e_{-k} \right).$$

The coefficient of  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$  equals  $q^{-2j}$ , whereas for  $k > j$  the coefficient of  $e_k \otimes e_k$  equals

$$\varepsilon q^{-2j-1} - \varepsilon q^{-2k+1} - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} q^{-2i} = 0,$$

thus completing the verification for the basis vector  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$ .

The remaining two cases of basis vectors  $e_{-j} \otimes e_j$  and  $e_{-j} \otimes e_{-j}$  are verified in the same way. □

This completes the proof of relation (4.3) and the theorem. □



*Remark 4.3.* There is another crossing symmetry relation for the  $R$ -matrix  $S$  which is proved in the way similar to Lemma 4.2:

$$(S^{-1})^{t_2} D_2^{-1} S^{t_2} = D_2^{-1}, \quad (4.5)$$

although we will not use it.

Note also that a general procedure to find a suitable matrix  $D$  associated with a *skew-invertible*  $R$ -matrix to satisfy the crossing symmetry relations is described in [5, Sec. 3.1]. However, it turned out to be possible to verify the relations directly by taking  $D$  in the form (4.1) by analogy with the  $R$ -matrix associated with  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ ; cf. [11, Sec. 1].  $\square$

As illustrated below in Example 5.4 for  $n = 1$ , the central elements (4.2) do not generate the center of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . One can expect that the elements (4.2) generate the center of the reflection equation subalgebra  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ ; cf. a similar property for the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ , as reviewed in [7].

## 5 Central elements in $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$

We will now work with the superalgebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  as defined in Sec. 2 and use the matrix  $D$  defined in (4.1).

**Theorem 5.1.** *There exists a series  $z(u)$  in  $u^{-1}$  with coefficients in the superalgebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$  such that*

$$L(u)^t D (L(u)^{-1})^t = z(u) D. \quad (5.1)$$

*Moreover, the coefficients of the series  $z(u)$  belong to the center of the superalgebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* We start by proving a crossing symmetry property for the Jones–Nazarov  $R$ -matrix, analogous to Lemma 4.2. Set  $S_{21}(u, v) = PS(u, v)P$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *We have the relation*

$$S(u, v)^{t_1} D_1^{-1} S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1 = 1 \quad (5.2)$$

*in the superalgebra  $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.3) we have

$$S(u, v)^{t_1} = S^{t_1} + \frac{\varepsilon P^{t_1}}{u^{-1}v - 1} + \frac{\varepsilon (PJ_1J_2)^{t_1}}{uv - 1}, \quad (5.3)$$

while using (3.5) we can write

$$S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} = (S^{-1})^{t_1} + \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1}u P^{t_1}}{v^{-1}u - 1} + \frac{\varepsilon (J_1J_2P)^{t_1}}{vu - 1}. \quad (5.4)$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, apply the operators appearing on both sides of (5.2) to all basis vectors  $e_i \otimes e_j$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ . We will use the expressions for  $S^{t_1}$  and  $(S^{-1})^{t_1}$  derived in that proof along with the relation

$$S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} (S^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 = 1 \quad (5.5)$$

which is equivalent to (4.4). Note also the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} P^{t_1} &= \sum_{i,j=-n}^n E_{ji} \otimes E_{ji} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}, \\ (PJ_1J_2)^{t_1} &= \sum_{i,j=-n}^n E_{-j,-i} \otimes E_{ji} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{i}+\bar{j}}, \\ (J_1J_2P)^{t_1} &= \sum_{i,j=-n}^n E_{ji} \otimes E_{-j,-i} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}, \end{aligned}$$

to be used in the calculations below. Clearly, if  $i \neq \pm j$ , then the application of the operators on both sides of (5.2) to  $e_i \otimes e_j$  yields  $e_i \otimes e_j$ . Now assume that  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and apply the left hand side of (5.2) to the basis vector  $e_j \otimes e_j$ . Using (5.4) and (5.3), expand the product to derive from (3.5) that the result of the application is  $e_j \otimes e_j$  plus the sum of two expressions

$$S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u P^{t_1}}{v^{-1} u - 1} + \frac{\varepsilon (J_1 J_2 P)^{t_1}}{v u - 1} \right) D_1(e_j \otimes e_j) \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\left( \frac{\varepsilon P^{t_1}}{u^{-1} v - 1} + \frac{\varepsilon (P J_1 J_2)^{t_1}}{u v - 1} \right) D_1^{-1} S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1(e_j \otimes e_j). \quad (5.7)$$

Expanding (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u}{v^{-1} u - 1} S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} P^{t_1} D_1(e_j \otimes e_j) &= q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u}{v^{-1} u - 1} S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} P^{t_1}(e_j \otimes e_j) \\ &= q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u}{v^{-1} u - 1} S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} \sum_{i=-n}^n (e_i \otimes e_i) = q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u}{v^{-1} u - 1} S^{t_1} \sum_{i=-n}^n q^{2|i|} (e_i \otimes e_i) \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u}{v^{-1} u - 1} \sum_{i=-n}^n q^{2|i|} \left( q^{1-2\bar{i}} e_i \otimes e_i + \varepsilon \sum_{k < i} e_k \otimes e_k (-1)^{\bar{i}} \right)$$

and simplifies to

$$\frac{\varepsilon q^{2n-2j+1} u}{u - v} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_k. \quad (5.8)$$

Similarly, by expanding (5.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon P^{t_1}}{u^{-1} v - 1} D_1^{-1} \left( (S^{-1})^{t_1} + \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u P^{t_1}}{v^{-1} u - 1} \right) D_1(e_j \otimes e_j) \\ = q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon P^{t_1}}{u^{-1} v - 1} D_1^{-1} \left( q^{-1} e_j \otimes e_j - \varepsilon \sum_{k < j} e_k \otimes e_k + \frac{\varepsilon v^{-1} u}{v^{-1} u - 1} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, apply  $D_1^{-1}$  and observe that for any  $i \in \{-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$P^{t_1}(e_i \otimes e_i) = (-1)^{\bar{i}} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_k, \quad (5.9)$$

to bring the expression to the form

$$q^{2n-2j+1} \frac{\varepsilon P^{t_1}}{u^{-1}v - 1} (e_j \otimes e_j) = \frac{\varepsilon q^{2n-2j+1} u}{v - u} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_k$$

so that its sum with the expression in (5.8) is zero thus completing the verification for the vector  $e_j \otimes e_j$ .

Now apply the operator on the left hand side of (5.2) to the basis vector  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$ . As with the previous case, as a result, we get  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$  plus the sum of the expressions (5.6) and (5.7), where  $e_j \otimes e_j$  is replaced with  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$ . This time, expanding (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{vu - 1} S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} (J_1 J_2 P)^{t_1} D_1(e_j \otimes e_{-j}) &= q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon}{vu - 1} S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} (J_1 J_2 P)^{t_1} (e_j \otimes e_{-j}) \\ &= q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon}{vu - 1} S^{t_1} D_1^{-1} \sum_{i=-n}^n (e_i \otimes e_{-i}) = q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon}{vu - 1} S^{t_1} \sum_{i=-n}^n q^{2|i|} (e_i \otimes e_{-i}) \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon}{vu - 1} \sum_{i=-n}^n q^{2|i|} \left( q^{-1+2\bar{i}} e_i \otimes e_{-i} - \varepsilon \sum_{k>i} e_k \otimes e_{-k} (-1)^{\bar{i}} \right)$$

and simplifies to

$$\frac{\varepsilon q^{2n-2j+1}}{vu - 1} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_{-k}. \quad (5.10)$$

Working now with the respective expression in (5.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon (P J_1 J_2)^{t_1}}{uv - 1} D_1^{-1} \left( (S^{-1})^{t_1} + \frac{\varepsilon (J_1 J_2 P)^{t_1}}{vu - 1} \right) D_1(e_j \otimes e_{-j}) \\ = q^{-2j} \frac{\varepsilon (P J_1 J_2)^{t_1}}{uv - 1} D_1^{-1} \left( q e_j \otimes e_{-j} + \varepsilon \sum_{k>j} e_k \otimes e_{-k} + \frac{\varepsilon}{vu - 1} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_{-k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Apply  $D_1^{-1}$  and observe that for any  $i \in \{-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$(P J_1 J_2)^{t_1} (e_i \otimes e_{-i}) = (-1)^{\bar{i}+1} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_{-k},$$

to bring the expression to the form

$$q^{2n-2j+1} \frac{\varepsilon (P J_1 J_2)^{t_1}}{uv - 1} (e_j \otimes e_{-j}) = -\frac{\varepsilon q^{2n-2j+1}}{uv - 1} \sum_{k=-n}^n e_k \otimes e_{-k}$$

so that its sum with the expression in (5.10) is zero thus completing the verification for the vector  $e_j \otimes e_{-j}$ .

The calculations in the remaining two cases of basis vectors  $e_{-j} \otimes e_j$  and  $e_{-j} \otimes e_{-j}$  are quite similar and will be omitted.  $\square$

Returning to the proof of the theorem, multiply both sides of (2.5) by  $L_1(u)^{-1}$  from the left and from the right, then apply the super-transposition  $t_1$  to get

$$L_2(v)(L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} S(u, v)^{t_1} = S(u, v)^{t_1} (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(v).$$

This implies

$$(S(u, v)^{t_1})^{-1} L_2(v)(L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} = (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(v)(S(u, v)^{t_1})^{-1}. \quad (5.11)$$

Now apply Lemma 5.2 to write

$$(S(u, v)^{t_1})^{-1} = D_1^{-1} S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1.$$

Hence, we derive from (5.11) that

$$D_1^{-1} S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1 L_2(v)(L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} = (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(v) D_1^{-1} S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1.$$

Due to (5.4), multiplying both sides by  $v^{-1}u - 1$  and setting  $v = u$  we get

$$D_1^{-1} P^{t_1} D_1 L_2(u)(L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} = (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(u) D_1^{-1} P^{t_1} D_1$$

which is equivalent to

$$P^{t_1} D_1 L_2(u)(L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} D_1^{-1} = D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(u) D_1^{-1} P^{t_1}. \quad (5.12)$$

As relation (5.9) shows, the image of the operator  $P^{t_1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  is one-dimensional so that both sides of (5.12) must equal to  $P^{t_1}$  times a series  $z(u)$  in  $u^{-1}$  with coefficients in the superalgebra  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ . Since  $P^{t_1} L_2(u) = P^{t_1} L_1(u)^{t_1}$ , we can write

$$P^{t_1} L_1(u)^{t_1} D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} D_1^{-1} = P^{t_1} z(u).$$

Note that  $\text{str}_2 P^{t_1} = 1$  so that by taking the supertrace over the second copy of  $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ , we get

$$L_1(u)^{t_1} D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} D_1^{-1} = z(u),$$

which is equivalent to (5.1).

Finally, we will show that all coefficients of  $z(u)$  are central in  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_n)$ . Working in the superalgebra  $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_n) \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{n|n}$  write

$$z(u) L_2(v) = L_1(u)^{t_1} D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} D_1^{-1} L_2(v) = L_1(u)^{t_1} D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(v) D_1^{-1}.$$

Now apply (5.11) to write this expression as

$$L_1(u)^{t_1} D_1 (S(u, v)^{t_1})^{-1} L_2(v)(L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} S(u, v)^{t_1} D_1^{-1}. \quad (5.13)$$

By Lemma 5.2,

$$D_1(S(u, v)^{t_1})^{-1} = S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1.$$

On the other hand, [8, Lemma 4.1] implies that

$$S_{21}(v, u) = S(u, v)^{-1} A(u, v), \quad (5.14)$$

where

$$A(u, v) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2 uv}{(u - v)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon^2 uv}{(uv - 1)^2}.$$

Therefore, expression (5.13) equals

$$A(u, v) L_1(u)^{t_1} (S(u, v)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(v) D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} S(u, v)^{t_1} D_1^{-1}. \quad (5.15)$$

We have the relation

$$L_1(u)^{t_1} (S(u, v)^{-1})^{t_1} L_2(v) = L_2(v) (S(u, v)^{-1})^{t_1} L_1(u)^{t_1},$$

which follows from (2.5) by multiplying both sides by  $S(u, v)^{-1}$  from the left and from the right and applying the super-transposition  $t_1$ . Hence (5.15) can be written as

$$A(u, v) L_2(v) (S(u, v)^{-1})^{t_1} L_1(u)^{t_1} D_1 (L_1(u)^{-1})^{t_1} S(u, v)^{t_1} D_1^{-1} \quad (5.16)$$

which equals

$$A(u, v) L_2(v) (S(u, v)^{-1})^{t_1} D_1 z(u) S(u, v)^{t_1} D_1^{-1} = L_2(v) z(u) S_{21}(v, u)^{t_1} D_1 S(u, v)^{t_1} D_1^{-1}$$

by (5.1) and (5.14). The last expression equals  $L_2(v) z(u)$  by Lemma 5.2, thus completing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* Another crossing symmetry relation for the  $R$ -matrix  $S(u, v)$  takes the form

$$S(u, v)^{t_2} D_2 S_{21}(v, u)^{t_2} D_2^{-1} = 1$$

which is verified in a way similar to Lemma 5.2. Note also that (5.1) has an equivalent form

$$(L(u)^{-1})^t D^{-1} L(u)^t = z(u) D^{-1}$$

which follows from (5.1) or (5.12).  $\square$

For a concluding remark, note that by analogy with the corresponding results for the Yangian  $Y(q_N)$  obtained in [9, Corollary 3.7], one can expect that the images of the coefficients of the series  $z(u)$  under the evaluation homomorphism of Theorem 2.1 are related to the central elements of  $U_q(q_n)$  constructed in Theorem 4.1. However, the explicit formulas should look more complicated as compared with the Yangian case. We will illustrate the results of the paper by considering the simplest case of  $n = 1$ .

**Example 5.4.** In the superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_1)$  we have the relations  $L_{11}L_{-1,1} = L_{-1,1}L_{11}$  so that the elements  $L_{11}$  and  $L_{-1,-1} = L_{11}^{-1}$  lie in the center of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_1)$ . Furthermore, we have

$$L_{-1,1}^2 = \frac{q^2 - 1}{q^2 + 1} (L_{11}^2 - L_{-1,-1}^2).$$

The matrices  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  have the form

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_{-1,-1} & L_{-1,1} \\ 0 & L_{11} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{L} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{11} & 0 \\ L_{-1,1} & -L_{-1,-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$M = L\bar{L}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{-1,-1}^2 - L_{-1,1}^2 & -L_{-1,1}L_{11} \\ -L_{-1,1}L_{11} & -L_{11}^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Up to scalar factors, the central elements of  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}_1)$  provided by Theorem 4.1 are found as the supertraces of the powers of  $M$ . By calculating the powers, for all  $k \geq 0$  we get

$$\text{str } M^{k+1} = (-1)^k (L_{11}^2 - L_{-1,-1}^2 - L_{-1,1}^2) \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r \binom{k-r}{r} (L_{11}^2 + L_{-1,-1}^2 + L_{-1,1}^2)^{k-2r}.$$

On the other hand, the image of the series  $z(u)$  under the evaluation homomorphism provided by Theorem 2.1 takes the form

$$\text{ev} : z(u) \mapsto (L + \bar{L}u^{-1})^t \left( (L + \bar{L}u^{-1})^{-1} \right)^t.$$

By taking the  $(1, 1)$  entry we get

$$\text{ev} : z(u) \mapsto \left( 1 - (L_{11}^2 + L_{-1,-1}^2 - L_{-1,1}^2) u^{-1} + u^{-2} \right) \left( 1 - (L_{11}^2 + L_{-1,-1}^2 + L_{-1,1}^2) u^{-1} + u^{-2} \right)^{-1}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\text{ev} : z(u) \mapsto 1 + (1 - q^2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \text{str } M^k u^{-k}.$$

The example suggests that the images of the coefficients of the series  $z(u)$  under the evaluation homomorphism are expressible in terms of the central elements of Theorem 4.1 for arbitrary  $n$ , although we don't have a proof.

## Declarations

## Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

## Acknowledgements

This project was initiated during the second named author's visit to the Central China Normal University in Wuhan. He is grateful to the School of Mathematics and Statistics for warm hospitality. His work was also supported by the Australian Research Council, grant DP240101572.

## Availability of data and materials

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## References

- [1] S. Belliard and E. Ragoucy, *The nested Bethe ansatz for 'all' open spin chains with diagonal boundary conditions*, J. Phys. A **42** (2009), no. 20, 205203, 35 pp.
- [2] H. Chen and N. Guay, *Twisted affine Lie superalgebra of type  $Q$  and quantization of its enveloping superalgebra*, Math. Z. **272** (2012), 317–347.
- [3] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang and M. Kim, *Highest weight modules over quantum queer superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **296** (2010), 827–860.
- [4] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Crystal bases for the quantum queer superalgebra and semistandard decomposition tableaux*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 457–489.
- [5] A. Isaev, *Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, Preprint Series MPIM2004-132, <https://archive.mpg.de/id/eprint/3447>.
- [6] N. Jing, M. Liu and A. Molev, *Eigenvalues of quantum Gelfand invariants*, J. Math. Phys. **65** (2024), 061703, 10 pp.
- [7] N. Jing, M. Liu and A. Molev, *The  $q$ -immanants and higher quantum Capelli identities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **406** (2025), paper 99, 16 pp.
- [8] A. Jones and M. Nazarov, *Affine Sergeev algebra and  $q$ -analogues of the Young symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **78** (1999), 481–512.
- [9] M. Nazarov, *Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **208** (1999), 195–223.
- [10] G. Olshanski, *Quantized universal enveloping superalgebra of type  $Q$  and a super-extension of the Hecke algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. **24** (1992), 93–102.

- [11] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, *Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras* (Russian), *Algebra i Analiz* **1** (1989), no. 1, 178–206; translation in *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), no. 1, 193–225.

M.L.:

School of Artificial Intelligence

Jiangnan University, Wuhan 430056, Hubei, China

ming.11984@gmail.com

A.M.:

School of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

alexander.molev@sydney.edu.au

J.Z.:

School of Mathematics and Statistics and Hubei Key Lab - Math. Sci.

Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, Hubei, China

jzhang@ccnu.edu.cn