

# SOME EXPLICIT VALUES OF A $q$ -MULTIPLE ZETA FUNCTION WHOSE DENOMINATOR POWER IS NOT UNIFORM

YURI BILU, HIDEAKI ISHIKAWA, AND TAKAO KOMATSU

ABSTRACT. One of the generalizations of multiple zeta values is the  $q$ -version, and in the case of finite sums, they may be expressed explicitly in polynomial form. Several results have been found when the powers of the factors in the denominator are equal and when they are small. In this paper, we give explicit formulas for the case when the powers are unequal and are small.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For positive integers  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ , the multiple zeta function of the form

$$(1) \quad \zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m} \frac{1}{i_1^{s_1} i_2^{s_2} \dots i_m^{s_m}}$$

and its generalizations or modifications have been studied by many researchers. It is believed that Euler initiated such a study, in the special case  $m = 2$ , but there had been little progress for more than 200 years until the brilliant works by Hoffman, Zagier, Zhang, and others in the early 1990s. See the book [27].

For the infinite sum to converge, we need to have  $s_m \geq 2$ . The simplest case is  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{m-1} = 1$  and  $s = s_m$  is arbitrary. Following [24, 25],  $\zeta(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{m-1}, s)$  is called the *height 1*

*multiple zeta function of depth  $m$* . This height 1 multiple zeta function has strong connections with Stirling, poly-Bernoulli, harmonic, hyperharmonic, and Roman harmonic numbers, and the multiple Hurwitz zeta function of order  $r$  [17, 23].

Finite multiple zeta functions, or *multiple harmonic sums*, are defined as

$$\zeta_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n} \frac{1}{i_1^{s_1} i_2^{s_2} \dots i_m^{s_m}},$$

so that  $\zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)$ .

A different type of generalization is in terms of the  $q$ -numbers

$$[n]_q := \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} \quad (q \neq 1).$$

Then the usual integers are recovered as  $n = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [n]_q$ . Various types of the  $q$ -multiple zeta functions (e.g., [4, 16, 26, 28]) and the finite  $q$ -multiple zeta functions (e.g., [1, 2, 19, 20]) are introduced and studied.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11M32; Secondary 05A15, 05A19, 05A30, 11B37, 11B73.

*Key words and phrases*. multiple zeta functions,  $q$ -multiple harmonic sums, elementary symmetric functions, polynomials.

T.K. is the corresponding author.

In this paper, we consider the function

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_n(q; ; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{i_1})^{s_1} (1 - q^{i_2})^{s_2} \dots (1 - q^{i_m})^{s_m}}.$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the infinite version was studied by Schlesinger [18]:

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{Z}(q; ; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{i_1})^{s_1} (1 - q^{i_2})^{s_2} \dots (1 - q^{i_m})^{s_m}}$$

Note that in (2) the upper bound is not  $n$  but  $n - 1$ . Nevertheless, we use the notation  $\mathfrak{Z}_n$  because we take  $q = \zeta_n := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$  as the special value in this paper.

In the infinite case like (3), the values of the function can be often expressed in terms of other elementary functions, similarly to the values of the function defined in (1). In the finite case like (2), the values of the function can be expressed explicitly by choosing suitable  $q$  and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ . In our previous works ([5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]), we have considered the case where  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_m$ , then specialize  $q = \zeta_n$  or similarly. As noted in [2], no explicit expression has been known unless  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_m$ .

In this paper, we deal with the finite  $q$ -multiple zeta values in the case where one of  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  is 2, and all the others are 1; for example,  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{m-1} = 1$  and  $s_m = 2$ .

It looks hard to determine the imaginary part of  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{m-1}, 2)$ . However, using a certain symmetric relation, we can find out the real part explicitly. The formula (see Theorem 1 below) is surprisingly neat, but proving it is more troublesome than one might imagine, because we can no longer use the properties of Stirling numbers or directly apply elementary symmetric functions, as we did in our previous work.

## 2. MORE VARIATIONS ABOUT THE SUM OF SYMMETRIC VALUES

In a previous paper [10], it is shown that

$$\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_m) = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{n-1}{m}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ \frac{2}{3} \binom{n-1}{2} & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & 0 \\ \frac{s-1}{s} \binom{n-1}{s-1} & \frac{1}{s-1} \binom{n-1}{s-2} & \dots & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{s}{s+1} \binom{n-1}{s} & \frac{1}{s} \binom{n-1}{s-1} & \dots & \frac{1}{3} \binom{n-1}{2} & \frac{n-1}{2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In particular, by taking  $s = 2, 3, \dots, 9$  in (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2) &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12}, \\ \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 3) &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8}, \\ \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 4) &= \frac{(n-1)(n^3 + n^2 - 109n + 251)}{6!}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;;5) &= \frac{(n-1)(n-5)(n^2+6n-19)}{288}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;;6) &= -\frac{(n-1)(2n^5+2n^4-355n^3-355n^2+11153n-19087)}{12 \cdot 7!}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;;7) &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-7)(2n^4+16n^3-33n^2-376n+751)}{24 \cdot 6!}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;;8) &= \frac{(n-1)(3n^7+3n^6-917n^5-917n^4+39697n^3+39697n^2-744383n+1070017)}{10!}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;;9) &= \frac{27(n-1)(n-3)(n-9)(n^5+13n^4+10n^3-350n^2-851n+2857)}{2 \cdot 10!}.
\end{aligned}$$

No explicit expression has yet been found about the case where not all values of  $s = j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are equal, although such cases may be curious. The case where only one value 1 is replaced by 2 was hard enough. See [2] for related conjectures. For non-negative integers  $a$  and  $b$ , we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be non-negative integers such that  $m := a + b + 1 \geq 2$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$  we have*

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_a, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_b) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_b, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_a) = -\frac{m!(n-2m-3)}{(m+2)!} \binom{n-1}{m}.$$

Furthermore, for non-negative integers  $a, b, a'$  and  $b'$  such that  $m = a + b + 1 = a' + b' + 1$ , we can show that

$$\Re \left( \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_a, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_b) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{b'}, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{a'}) \right) = -\frac{m!(n-2m-3)}{(m+2)!} \binom{n-1}{m}.$$

This means that the real part of  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_a, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_b)$  depends only on  $m$ , and is the same for any choice of  $a$  and  $b$  (though the imaginary part may vary):

$$\Re \left( \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_a, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_b) \right) = -\frac{m!(n-2m-3)}{2(m+2)!} \binom{n-1}{m}.$$

This follows from (5) and the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *For any positive integers  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  we have*

$$\Im(\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n;; s_m, \dots, s_2, s_1)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m)} &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\zeta_n}^{i_1})^{s_1} (1 - \overline{\zeta_n}^{i_2})^{s_2} \dots (1 - \overline{\zeta_n}^{i_m})^{s_m}} \\
&= \sum_{n-1 \geq n-i_1 > n-i_2 > \dots > n-i_m \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_n^{n-i_1})^{s_1} (1 - \zeta_n^{n-i_2})^{s_2} \dots (1 - \zeta_n^{n-i_m})^{s_m}} \\
&= \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_m, s_{m-1}, \dots, s_1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following lemma, which might be of independent interest. For convenience, put

$$(6) \quad u_r := \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_n^r} \quad (1 \leq r \leq n-1).$$

**Lemma 2.** *The real part of*

$$\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{j-1}, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{m-j}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n-1} u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_{j-1}} u_{i_j}^2 u_{i_{j+1}} \dots u_{i_m}$$

*is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $m+1$  with rational coefficients.*

*Proof.* We denote

$$P_m^{(j)}(n) := \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{j-1}, 2, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{m-j}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n-1} u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_{j-1}} u_{i_j}^2 u_{i_{j+1}} \dots u_{i_m}.$$

Notice that

$$u_r = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \cot \frac{r\pi}{n}$$

and

$$u_r^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \cot^2 \frac{r\pi}{n} - 2\sqrt{-1} \cot \frac{r\pi}{n} \right).$$

Consider the average of  $P_m^{(j)}(n)$ :

$$Q_m(n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m P_m^{(j)}(n).$$

By using the elementary symmetric function, we write

$$e_k := e_k(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1} u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_k}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 e_m &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n-1} u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_m} \\
&= (m+1)e_{m+1} + \sum_{|S|=m} \left( \sum_{j \in S} u_j \right) \prod_{h \in S} u_h
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (m+1)e_{m+1} + \sum_{j=1}^m P_m^{(j)}(n) \\
&= (m+1)e_{m+1} + mQ_m(n),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(7) \quad mQ_m(n) = e_1 e_m - (m+1)e_{m+1}.$$

Here, for a given  $(m+1)$ -element subset  $H$ , the product  $\prod_{h \in H} u_h$  appears in  $e_1 e_m$  exactly  $m+1$  times (choose any element of  $H$  as  $u_j$ , the rest as the  $m$ -tuple). By Lemma 1,  $P_m^{(j)}(n) = \overline{P_m^{(m+1-j)}(n)}$ . Hence,  $\Re(P_m^{(j)}(n)) = \Re(P_m^{(m+1-j)}(n))$ . By symmetry of the symmetric group  $S_m$  acting on positions, all  $\Re(P_m^{(j)}(n))$  are equal. Therefore,

$$Q_m(n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^m P_m^{(r)}(n) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^m \Re(P_m^{(r)}(n)) = \Re(P_m^{(1)}(n)) := R_m(n).$$

Also,  $Q_m(n)$  is real since it equals its own complex conjugate.

Now, we can show the following. For  $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad p_t(n) &:= \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_n^r)^t} = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \cot\left(\frac{r\pi}{n}\right) \right)^t \\
&= \frac{1}{2^t} \sum_{h=0}^t \binom{t}{h} (-1)^{\frac{h}{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \cot^h\left(\frac{r\pi}{n}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since all odd powers  $\cot^h$  ( $h$  is odd) are cancelled with  $r$  and  $n-r$ , we can write

$$(9) \quad p_t(n) = \frac{1}{2^t} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} \binom{t}{2u} (-1)^u S_{2u}(n),$$

where

$$S_{2u}(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \cot^{2u}\left(\frac{r\pi}{n}\right).$$

By [3, Corollary 2.2 (2.16)], for a positive integer  $u$ , we have

$$S_{2u}(n) = (-1)^u n - (-1)^u 2^{2u} \sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2u}=u \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2u} \geq 0}} n^{2j_0} \prod_{r=0}^{2u} \frac{B_{2j_r}}{(2j_r)!},$$

where  $B_n$  are Bernoulli numbers, defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Substituting it into (9), we have

$$p_t(n) = \frac{1}{2^t} \sum_{u=0}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} \binom{t}{2u} \left( n - 2^{2u} \sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2u}=u \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2u} \geq 0}} n^{2j_0} \prod_{r=0}^{2u} \frac{B_{2j_r}}{(2j_r)!} \right).$$

Since  $S_{2u}(n)$  is a polynomial with rational coefficients of degree  $2u$  in  $n$ , from (8),  $p_t(n)$  is a polynomial with rational coefficients of degree at most  $t$  in  $n$ .

Consider the right-hand side of the identity (7).

$$e_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k = \frac{n-1}{2}$$

is a polynomial in  $n$  with rational coefficients. Putting  $p_k := \Re(e_k)$  and  $q_k := \Im(e_k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e_1 e_m - (m+1)e_{m+1} \\ &= \left( \frac{n-1}{2} p_m - (m+1)p_{m+1} \right) + \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{2} q_m - (m+1)q_{m+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} p_m - (m+1)p_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $mR_m(n)$  is real, so the imaginary part must vanish:

$$\frac{n-1}{2} q_m - (m+1)q_{m+1} = 0.$$

Since  $\deg p_m = m$  and  $\deg p_{m+1} = m+1$ , both  $\frac{n-1}{2} p_m$  and  $(m+1)p_{m+1}$  have degree  $m+1$ . Therefore, the degree of  $R_m(n)$ , that is, the real part of  $Q_m(n)$ , is at most  $m+1$ .

In fact, if the leading term of  $p_m(n)$  is of the form  $c_m n^m$ , where  $c_m$  is the rational number depending only on  $m$ , then the leading term of  $mR_m(n)$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} c_m n^{m+1} - (m+1)c_{m+1} n^{m+1}.$$

From small cases for  $m$  (see also Proposition 1 below), we see that cancellation does not occur. By induction, the leading coefficient never vanishes, so  $\deg R_m(n) = m+1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* From the way of taking the sum, when  $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , the left-hand side of (5) is equal to 0. From Lemma 2, this value is a polynomial of degree  $(m+1)$  in  $n$ . Hence, the right-hand side of (5) is of the form

$$(10) \quad C(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-m)(n-am-b),$$

where  $C$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are the constants. Substitute  $n = m+1$  into (5). Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq m} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^{i_1}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{m+1}^{i_{r-1}})(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^{i_r})^2 (1 - \zeta_{m+1}^{i_{r+1}}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{m+1}^{i_m})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^r) \prod_{j=1}^m (1 - \zeta_{m+1}^j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+1)(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^r)}, \end{aligned}$$

the left-hand side of (5) is given by

$$\frac{1}{(m+1)(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^r)} + \frac{1}{(m+1)(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^{m+1-r})} = \frac{1}{m+1}.$$

On the other hand, when  $n = m + 1$ , from (10), the right-hand side of (5) is equal to  $-Cm!((a-1)m + (b-1))$ . Therefore, by

$$\frac{1}{m+1} = -Cm!((a-1)m + (b-1)),$$

we obtain that

$$(11) \quad C = -\frac{1}{(m+1)!((a-1)m + (b-1))}.$$

When  $n = m + 2$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq m+1} \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{i_1}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{i_{r-1}})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{i_r})^2(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{i_{r+1}}) \cdots (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{i_m})} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1}) + \cdots + (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m+1})}{(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r) \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^j)} + \frac{(1 - \zeta_{m+2}) + \cdots + (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)}{(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1}) \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^j)} \\ &= \frac{m - r + 2 + \zeta_{m+2} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^r}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)} + \frac{r + 1 + \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^{m+1}}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})} \left( m - r + 2 + \zeta_{m+2} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^r + r + 1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^{m+1} - (m - r + 2)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - (\zeta_{m+2}^{r+2} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1}) - (r + 1)\zeta_{m+2}^r \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (\zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^{m+r+1}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})} \left( (m+2) - (m - r + 2)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - (r + 1)\zeta_{m+2}^r \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\zeta_{m+2}^{r+2} - \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+2} + \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1} - \zeta_{m+2}^{m+r+2}}{1 - \zeta_{m+2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})} \left( (m+2) - (m - r + 2)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - (r + 1)\zeta_{m+2}^r \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+2}(1 + \zeta_{m+2} + \cdots + \zeta_{m+2}^{m-1}) - \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})} \left( (m+2) - (m - r + 2)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - (r + 1)\zeta_{m+2}^r \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+2}(-\zeta_{m+2}^m - \zeta_{m+2}^{m+1}) - \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(m+2) - (m - r + 1)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - r\zeta_{m+2}^r - \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1}}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

the left-hand side of (5) is given by the summation of this and its conjugate:

$$\frac{(m+2) - (m - r + 1)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - r\zeta_{m+2}^r - \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1}}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{(m+2) - (m-r+1)\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1} - r\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2} - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-2r+1}}{(m+2)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1})} \\
& = \frac{m+1}{m+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1}) \\
& = 4 + \zeta_{m+2} + \zeta_{m+2}^{m+1} + \zeta_{m+2}^{m-2r+1} - 2\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1} - 2\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2} - 2\zeta_{m+2}^r - 2\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} + \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (m+2 - (m-r+1)\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} - r\zeta_{m+2}^r - \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1}) \\
& + (m+2 - (m-r+1)\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1} - r\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2} - \zeta_{m+2}^{m-2r+1})(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^r)(1 - \zeta_{m+2}^{r+1}) \\
& = (m+1)(4 + \zeta_{m+2} + \zeta_{m+2}^{m+1} + \zeta_{m+2}^{m-2r+1} - 2\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+1} - 2\zeta_{m+2}^{m-r+2} - 2\zeta_{m+2}^r - 2\zeta_{m+2}^{r+1} + \zeta_{m+2}^{2r+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, when  $n = m+2$ , it follows from (10) that the right-hand side of (5) is equal to  $-C(m+1)!((a-1)m + (b-2))$ . Therefore, by

$$\frac{m+1}{m+2} = -C(m+1)!((a-1)m + (b-2)),$$

we obtain that

$$(12) \quad C = -\frac{m+1}{(m+2)!((a-1)m + (b-2))}.$$

Comparing (11) and (12),  $((a-1)m + (b-2))$  must be divided by  $m+1$ , so  $a-1 = b-2$ . Since  $(a-1)m + a = m+2$ , we get  $a = 2$  and  $b = 1$ . Hence,  $C = -1/(m+2)!$ . Therefore, the right-hand side of (5) is given by

$$-\frac{(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-m)(n-2m-3)}{(m+2)!}.$$

□

We also sketch a different proof Theorem 1, based on results and arguments from [8, 10]. Using [8, Theorem 9] and arguing as in [10], we obtain

$$e_m = \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; m, 1) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_m < n} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_m} = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{n-1}{m}.$$

Hence, the right-hand side of (7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 e_m - (m+1)e_{m+1} & = \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{n-1}{m} - \frac{m+1}{m+2} \binom{n-1}{m+1} \\
& = -\frac{m(n-2m-3)}{2(m+2)(m+1)} \binom{n-1}{m} = -m \frac{m!(n-2m-3)}{2(m+2)!} \binom{n-1}{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired result.



**2.1. The cases  $m = 2, 3, 4$ .** We will now prove identity (5) for small positive integers  $m$ , using a different approach.

**Proposition 1.** *Identity (5) is valid for  $m = 2, 3, 4$ .*

Assume that  $m = 2$ . Since

$$(13) \quad \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1, s_2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2, s_1) = \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2),$$

using the known expressions for  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2)$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 3)$  that can be found in [10], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1) &= \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 2) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 3) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} \left( -\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12} \right) + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8} \\ &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-7)}{24}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (5) with  $m = 2$ . (See also [9] for the multi zeta-star values.)

When  $m = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1, s_2, s_3) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1, s_3, s_2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2, s_1, s_3) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2, s_3, s_1) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3, s_1, s_2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3, s_2, s_1) \\ &= \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_3)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2 + s_3)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1) + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2 + s_3). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $s_1 = s_2 = 1$  and  $s_3 = 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad &2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 2) + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1, 1) + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2, 1) \\ &= \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1)^2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2)^2 - 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 3) + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 4) \\ &= -\frac{(n-1)^2}{2^2} \frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12} - \frac{(n-1)^2(n-5)^2}{12^2} \\ &\quad + 2\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8} + 2\frac{(n-1)(n^3 + n^2 - 109n + 251)}{6!} \\ &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-9)}{40}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by symmetry, the real parts of three summands in (14) are equal. Dividing both sides by 3, we obtain identity (5) with  $m = 3$ .

When  $m = 4$ , by considering the values of the function at all the 24 permutations of  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\underbrace{\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) + \cdots + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_4, s_3, s_2, s_1)}_{24} \\ &= \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_4) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_4) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_3)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_4) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_4)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2 + s_3)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_4) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2 + s_4)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3 + s_4)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3 + s_4) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_3) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2 + s_4) \\
& + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_4) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2 + s_3) \\
& + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2 + s_3) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_4) + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2 + s_4) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_3) \\
& + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_3 + s_4) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2) + 2\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_2 + s_3 + s_4) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1) \\
& - 6\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1$  and  $s_4 = 2$  yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad & 6(\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 2, 1) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2, 1, 1) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1, 1, 1)) \\
& = \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1)^3 \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2) - 3\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1)^2 \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 3) + 5\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2) \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 3) \\
& \quad - 3\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 1) (\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2)^2 - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 4)) - 6\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 5) \\
& = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^3 \left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12}\right) - 3 \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 \left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8}\right) \\
& \quad + 5 \left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12}\right) \left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8}\right) \\
& \quad - 3 \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left(\left(-\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{(n-1)(n^3 + n^2 - 109n + 251)}{6!}\right)\right) \\
& \quad - 6 \left(\frac{(n-1)(n-5)(n^2 + 6n - 19)}{288}\right) \\
& = -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-11)}{60}.
\end{aligned}$$

As before, the real parts of the summands in (15) are equal. Dividing both sides by 12, we obtain (5) with  $m = 4$ .

In principle, one can use a similar argument to proof (5) for any  $m$ . However, when  $m$  grows, the calculations become too complicated to handle.

**2.2. The cases  $m = n - k$  with  $k = 1, 2$ .** We will now use a different method to prove (5) in the case  $m = n - k$  with small  $k$ . We will consider only  $k = 1, 2$ . The same method works for bigger values of  $k$ , but the calculations are more complicated.

**Proposition 2.** *Identity (5) is valid for  $m = n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* By symmetry, it is sufficient to calculate  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, 2)$ . From (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, 2) & = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-1} < n} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-2}} u_{i_{n-1}}^2 \\
& = \sum_{t=n-1}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-2} < t} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1-1} u_{i_r} \right) u_t^2 \\
& = u_1 \cdots u_{n-2} u_{n-1}^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{n} u_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the identity

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_n)(1 - \zeta_n^2) \cdots (1 - \zeta_n^{n-1})} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Taking the real part yields

$$\Re \left( \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2}, 2) \right) = \frac{1}{n} \Re(u_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2n}.$$

This matches the result in (5) when  $m = n - 1$ . □

**Proposition 3.** *Identity (5) is valid for  $m = n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* When  $m = n - 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-3}, 2) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-2} < n} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-3}} u_{i_{n-2}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{t=n-2}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < t} \prod_{r=1}^{n-2-1} u_{i_r} \right) u_t^2 \\ (16) \quad &= \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-2} \prod_{r=1}^{n-2-1} u_{i_r} \right) u_{n-2}^2 + \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-1} \prod_{r=1}^{n-2-1} u_{i_r} \right) u_{n-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of (16) is

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-2} \prod_{r=1}^{n-2-1} u_{i_r} \right) u_{n-2}^2 &= u_1 \cdots u_{n-3} u_{n-2}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{u_{n-2}}{u_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{u_{-2}}{u_{-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta_n^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of (16) is

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-1} \prod_{r=1}^{n-2-1} u_{i_r} \right) u_{n-1}^2 &= \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-1} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-3}} u_{n-1} \right) u_{n-1} \\ (17) \quad &= \left( \sum'_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-1} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-3}} u_X (u_X)^{-1} u_{n-1} \right) u_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum'_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{n-3} < n-1} (u_X)^{-1} \right) u_{n-1} \\ (18) \quad &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < n-1} (u_{j_1})^{-1} \right) u_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < n} (u_{j_1})^{-1} - (u_{n-1})^{-1} \right) u_{n-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left( \binom{n}{1} - (u_{n-1})^{-1} \right) u_{n-1} = u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-3})$  chosen under the condition  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-3} < n-1$ ,  $X$  is the positive integer in the range  $1 \leq X < n-1$  which is not a component of  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-3})$ . For example, when  $n = 7$ , for  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-3}) = (1, 2, 4, 5)$  we take  $X = 3$ , and for  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-3}) = (2, 3, 4, 5)$  we take  $X = 1$ . Such  $X$  is determined uniquely for each  $(i_1, \dots, i_{n-3})$ . In (17), the sum

$$\left( \sum'_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-3} < n-1} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-3}} u_X (u_X)^{-1} u_{n-1} \right)$$

means that we substitute  $u_X (u_X)^{-1} = 1$  into the sum

$$\left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{n-3} < n-1} u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_{n-3}} u_{n-1} \right).$$

In (18), as  $X$  takes  $1, 2, \dots, n-2$  exactly once, we rewrite the form by using  $j_1$ . Taking the real part yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re \left( \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-3}, 2) \right) &= \Re \left( \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta_n^{-1}} + u_{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n-1}{2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This matches the result in (5) when  $m = n-2$ . □

### 3. MORE VARIATIONS ABOUT THE SUM OF SYMMETRIC VALUES

If 2 is replaced by  $3, 4, \dots$ , an identity similar to (5) does not seem to hold. Nevertheless, by (13) and known values  $\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, s)$  in [10], we can get other sums of symmetric values

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 3) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 3, 2) &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-5)(n-7)}{144}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 4) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 4, 2) &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(5n^4 - 27n^3 - 469n^2 + 5787n - 13936)}{12 \cdot 7!}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 5) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 5, 2) &= -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n^3 - 4n^2 - 61n + 424)}{8 \cdot 6!}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 6) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 6, 2) \\
&= -\frac{(n-1)(n-2)(7n^6 - 39n^5 - 946n^4 + 7950n^3 + 33743n^2 - 411111n + 773596)}{10!}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 7) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 7, 2) \\
&= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(43n^6 - 291n^5 - 2514n^4 + 23910n^3 + 73587n^2 - 870339n + 1501364)}{2 \cdot 10!}.
\end{aligned}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 2, 7) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; ; 7, 2) = \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 2)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 7) - \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 9) \\
&= \frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12} \frac{(n-1)(n-7)(2n^4 + 16n^3 - 33n^2 - 376n + 751)}{24 \cdot 6!} \\
&\quad - \frac{27(n-1)(n-3)(n-9)(n^5 + 13n^4 + 10n^3 - 350n^2 - 851n + 2857)}{2 \cdot 10!} \\
&= \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(43n^6 - 291n^5 - 2514n^4 + 23910n^3 + 73587n^2 - 870339n + 1501364)}{2 \cdot 10!}.
\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. $q$ -MULTIPLE ZETA-STAR VALUES OF SYMMETRIC EXPRESSIONS

Similarly to (2), consider

$$\mathfrak{Z}_n^*(q; ; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_m \leq n-1} \frac{1}{(1-q^{i_1})^{s_1}(1-q^{i_2})^{s_2} \dots (1-q^{i_m})^{s_m}}.$$

When  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_m$ , explicit formulas for  $\mathfrak{Z}_n^*(q; ; s)$ , for  $\mathfrak{Z}_n^*(q; ; \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_m)$  and for  $\mathfrak{Z}_n^*(q; ; \underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_m)$  are given in [9].

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1) = \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 1)\mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n(\zeta_n; 1, 3) \\
&= \frac{n-1}{2} \left( -\frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{12} \right) - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8} \\
&= -\frac{(n+1)(n-1)(n-4)}{24}.
\end{aligned}$$

However, the situation becomes more complicated, and there does not seem to be a simplified form like (5). For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1, 1) \\
&= -\frac{(n+1)(n-1)(n^2 + 15n - 64)}{720}, \\
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2, 1) = -\frac{(n+1)(n-1)(n+3)(n-3)}{240}, \\
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1, 1, 1) \\
&= \frac{(n+1)(n-1)(n+4)(n-3)(n-7)}{1440}, \\
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 2, 1) + \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2, 1, 1) \\
&= -\frac{(n+1)(n-1)(n^2 - 7)}{144}, \\
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 1, 1, 2) + \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 2, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\
&= \frac{(n+1)(n-1)(2n^4 + 63n^3 - 334n^2 - 567n + 2564)}{60480}, \\
& \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 1, 2, 1) + \mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 2, 1, 1, 1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(n+1)(n-1)(3n^4 - 137n^2 + 710)}{20160}, \\
\mathfrak{Z}_n^*(\zeta_n; ; 1, 1, 2, 1, 1) &= \frac{(n+1)(n-1)(n^4 - 188n^2 + 1051)}{60480}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 5. FINITE $q$ -MULTIPLE ZETA FUNCTIONS ON $1 - 2 - \dots - m$ INDICES

The result in Theorem 1 with its methods also provide a hint for obtaining an explicit formula for multiple harmonic sums of a different type.

Consider the function

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{T}_n(q; m) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(m+1)i_1-1})(1 - q^{(m+1)i_2-2}) \dots (1 - q^{(m+1)i_m-m})}.$$

A similar but original (not a  $q$ -) version is treated in [22]. Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** For  $n, m \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{T}_n(\zeta_{(m+1)n}; m) = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{n}{m}.$$

*Remark.* It follows from Theorem 2 that the generating function is given by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_n(\zeta_{(m+1)n}; m) X^m = \frac{(X+1)^{n+1} - 1}{(n+1)X}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* As follows from the definition, we have  $\mathcal{T}_n(\zeta_{(m+1)n}; m) = 0$  when  $n = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Similarly to the argument in Lemma 2, we can write as

$$\mathcal{T}_n(\zeta_{(m+1)n}; m) = (n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-m+1)(an+b),$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are independent from  $n$ . When  $n = m$ , the right-hand side of (19) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{(m+1)m}^{(m+1)-1})(1 - \zeta_{(m+1)m}^{2(m+1)-2}) \dots (1 - \zeta_{(m+1)m}^{m(m+1)-m})} &= \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_{m+1})(1 - \zeta_{m+1}^2) \dots (1 - \zeta_{m+1}^m)} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

As the left-hand side of (19) is  $(am+b)(m-1)!$ , we obtain

$$(20) \quad (am+b)(m-1)! = \frac{1}{m+1}.$$

When  $n = m+1$ , the right-hand side of (19) is equal to 1. This is done by considering the matrix

$$A = \left( \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_{m+1}^i \zeta_{(m+1)^2}^{-j}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m+1}.$$

We can show that

$$\det(A) := \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (\zeta_{m+1}^i - \zeta_{m+1}^j) (\zeta_{(m+1)^2}^{-i} - \zeta_{(m+1)^2}^{-j})}{\prod_i i = 1^{m+1} \prod_j j = 1^{m+1} (1 - \zeta_{m+1}^i \zeta_{(m+1)^2}^{-j})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_{m+1}^i \zeta_{(m+1)^2}^{-\sigma(i)}} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, group permutations by the set  $S = \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(m+1)\} \cap \{1, \dots, m\}$ . For a fixed  $m$ -element subset  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_m\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m+1\}$ , consider permutations with  $\sigma(\{1, \dots, m+1\}) \supset \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\{\sigma^{-1}(1), \dots, \sigma^{-1}(m)\} = I$  as sets.

making the term vanish. The only surviving permutations are those with  $\sigma(\{1, \dots, m\}) = \{1, \dots, m\}$

Since the only surviving term in the permutation expansion is the identity permutation's product, and this equals  $\mathcal{T}_{m+1}(\zeta_{(m+1)^2}; m)$  times the product over the remaining index (which equals 1), we conclude  $\mathcal{T}_{m+1}(\zeta_{(m+1)^2}; m) = 1$ .

Since the left-hand side of (19) is  $(a(m+1) + b)m!$ , we have

$$(21) \quad (a(m+1) + b)m! = 1.$$

Thus, by (20) and (21), we get  $a = 1/(m+1)!$  and  $b = 0$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_n(\zeta_{(m+1)n}; m) &= (n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-m+1) \frac{n}{(m+1)!} \\
&= \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{n}{m}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 6. COMMENTS AND FUTURE WORKS

In this paper, we obtained explicit formulas for the real part of the (finite) multiple zeta values with the root of unity, where only one power is 2, and all the other powers are 1. Previously, we were limited to the case where the powers were the same, but in this paper, for the first time, we have been able to obtain explicit formulas for the case where the powers are different. The proof may be somewhat lengthy, but we have left it here intentionally because it will provide a basis for obtaining explicit formulas in subsequent papers in many other cases by applying the methods developed in this paper. For example, the case where only one power is a positive integer  $A(\geq 3)$  and the remaining powers are all 1.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was partly done when T.K. visited Université de Bordeaux in October 2025. He appreciates the support by Projet ANR JINVARIANT. T.K. was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24K22835.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bachmann, Y. Takeyama and K. Tasaka, *Cyclotomic analogues of finite multiple zeta values*, *Compositio Math.* **154** (2018), 2701–2721.
- [2] H. Bachmann, Y. Takeyama and K. Tasaka, *Special values of finite multiple harmonic  $q$ -series at roots of unity*, *Algebraic combinatorics, resurgence, moulds and applications (CARMA)*. Vol. 2, 1–18. IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., 32; EMS Publishing House, Berlin, 2020.
- [3] B. C. Berndt and B. P. Yeap, *Explicit evaluations and reciprocity theorems for finite trigonometric sums*, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **29** (2002), no. 3, 358–385.
- [4] D. M. Bradley, *Multiple  $q$ -zeta values*, *J. Algebra* **283**(2) (2005), 752–798.

- [5] T. Chatterjee and T. Komatsu, *Special values of a  $q$ -multiple  $t$ -function of general level at roots of unity*, Mediterr. J. Math. (online first). DOI: 10.1007/s00009-025-02993-1
- [6] M. E. Hoffman, *Harmonic-number summation identities, symmetric functions, and multiple zeta values*, Ramanujan J. **42** (2017), No.2, 501–526.
- [7] T. Komatsu, *On  $s$ -Stirling transform and poly-Cauchy numbers of the second kind with level 2*, Aequationes Math. **97** (2023), no.1, 31–61.
- [8] T. Komatsu, *On  $q$ -generalized  $(r, s)$ -Stirling numbers*, Aequationes Math. **98** (2024), 1281–1304.
- [9] T. Komatsu, *Some explicit values of a  $q$ -multiple zeta-star function at roots of unity*, RAIRO, Theor. Inform. Appl. **59** (2025), Paper No.5, 14 p.
- [10] T. Komatsu, *Some explicit values of a  $q$ -multiple zeta function at roots of unity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **555** (2026), No.2, Article 130065, 16 p.
- [11] T. Komatsu and F. Luca, *Some explicit forms of special values of an alternating  $q$ -multiple  $t$ -function of general level at roots of unity*, Ramanujan J. **68** (2025), Article no.3, 25 p. DOI: 10.1007/s11139-025-01150-2
- [12] T. Komatsu and R. K. Pandey, *Some explicit values of an alternative  $q$ -multiple zeta function at roots of unity*, Log. J. IGPL **33** (2025), No.6, Article ID jzaf075, 19 p. DOI: 10.1093/jigpal/jzaf075
- [13] T. Komatsu and T. Wang, *Some explicit values of a  $q$ -multiple  $t$ -function at roots of unity*, Aequationes Math. (online first). DOI:10.1007/s00010-025-01235-9
- [14] I. G. MacDonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [15] N. E. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, Springer, Berlin, 1924.
- [16] Y. Ohno, J. Okuda and W. Zudilin, *Cyclic  $q$ -MZSV sum*, J. Number Theory **132**(1) (2012), 144–155.
- [17] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *On Barnes' multiple zeta and gamma functions*, Adv. Math. **156** (2000), No. 1, 107–132.
- [18] K.-G. Schlesinger, *Some remarks on  $q$ -deformed multiple polylogarithms*, arXiv:math/0111022, Nov. 2001.
- [19] Y. Takeyama, *A  $q$ -analogue of non-strict multiple zeta values and basic hypergeometric series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 9, 2997–3002.
- [20] K. Tasaka, *Finite and symmetric colored multiple zeta values and multiple harmonic  $q$ -series at roots of unity*, Sel. Math., New Ser. **27** (2021), No. 2, Paper No. 21, 34 p..
- [21] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis—an introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions with an account of the principal transcendental functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [22] C. Xu and J. Zhao, *Alternating multiple  $T$ -values: weighted sums, duality, and dimension conjecture*, Ramanujan J. **63**, 13–54.
- [23] P. T. Young, *Symmetries of Bernoulli polynomial series and Arakawa-Kaneko zeta functions*, J. Number Theory **143** (2014), 142–161.
- [24] P. T. Young, *Global series for height 1 multiple zeta functions*, Eur. J. Math. **9** (2023), No. 4, Paper No. 99, 24 p.
- [25] P. T. Young, *Series of height one multiple zeta functions*, Integers **24** (2024), Paper #A43, 14 p.
- [26] J. Zhao, *Multiple  $q$ -zeta functions and multiple  $q$ -polylogarithms*, Ramanujan J. **14**(2) (2007), 189–221.
- [27] J. Zhao, *Multiple zeta functions, multiple polylogarithms and their special values*, Series on Number Theory and Its Applications 12, Hackensack, NJ; World Scientific, 2016.
- [28] W. Zudilin, *Algebraic relations for multiple zeta values* (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **58**(1) (2003), 3–32; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **58**(1) (2003), 1–29.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX UMR 5251, UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX AND CNRS, TAL-  
ENCE 33405 FRANCE

*Email address:* yuri@math.u-bordeaux.fr

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF TOYAMA, TOYAMA 930-8555, JAPAN

*Email address:* ishikawa@edu.u-toyama.ac.jp

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HENAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ZHENGZHOU 450046, CHINA;  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE TOKYO, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO  
152-8551, JAPAN

*Email address:* komatsu.t.al@m.titech.ac.jp; komatsu@zstu.edu.cn