

# Fault-Tolerant Information Processing with Quantum Weak Measurement

Qi Song,<sup>\*</sup> Hongjing Li,<sup>†</sup> Chengxi Yu, Jingzheng Huang, Ding Wang, Peng Huang, and Guihua Zeng<sup>‡</sup>  
*State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks,  
 Institute for Quantum Sensing and Information Processing,  
 Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

Noise is an important factor that influences the reliability of information acquisition, transmission, processing, and storage. In order to suppress the inevitable noise effects, a fault-tolerant information processing approach via quantum weak measurement is proposed, where pairwise orthogonal post-selected measurement bases with various tiny angles and optimal compositions of measured results are chosen as a decoding rule. The signal to be protected can be retrieved with a minimal distortion after having been transmitted through a noisy channel. Demonstrated by typical examples of encoding signal on two-level superposition state or Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen state transmitted through random telegraph noise and decoherence noises channel, the mean squared error distortion may be close to 0 and the fault-tolerant capability could reach 1 with finite quantum resources. To verify the availability of the proposed approach, classic coherent light and quantum coherent state are used for encoding information in the experiment. Potentially, the proposed approach may provide a solution for suppressing noise effects in long-distance quantum communication, high-sensitivity quantum sensing, and accurate quantum computation.

Quantum information technologies refer to a superior way to acquire, transmit, process, and store information carried by quantum states, and have made great achievements in quantum computation [1–7], quantum sensing [8–13], quantum key distribution [14–18], quantum teleportation [19–22], and quantum memory [23–26], etc. However, the inevitable noises may degrade the coherence and entanglement of quantum states, greatly reducing the quantum enhancement performance [27, 28], and seriously affecting the reliability of quantum information technologies in practical applications.

Classically, the reliability may be improved by increasing the channel capacity or using channel coding as proved by Shannon’s second theorem [29, 30]. However, increasing channel capacity is limited by transmission bandwidth, signal power, and channel noise, while channel coding requires additional redundant information and capacity enhancement which is limited by the coding length. In order to suppress the noise effects via quantum ways, researchers have made a series of theoretical and experimental advances. Typically, there are three quantum ways to decrease the noise effects on the information processing. The quantum error-correcting code introduces redundancy via specific coding methods [31–34], such as Shor code [31], qLDPC code [32], etc., to make multiple physical bits represent one logical bit, then the error detection and correction of logical bits can be realized. Due to the symmetry limitation of interacted Hamiltonian, the decoherence-free subspace way can cancel effects of environment on quantum states passively by constructing subspaces insensitive to the environmental noises in the Hilbert space [35–37]. Dynamic decoupling approach performs unitary control operations with a specific but high-demanding sequence on the controller to impose the system-environment interacted Hamiltonian with a time average of zero to suppress the deco-

herence process caused by low frequency environmental noise [38–41]. These methods have achieved remarkable results in noise suppression, but the application is limited by resource-consuming redundancy, strict requirements, and cumbersome controls.

Inspired by quantum weak measurement[42], we propose a fault-tolerant information processing (FTIP) approach on photonics platform that employs pairwise orthogonal postselected measurement bases with various small angles and optimal compositions of measured results as decoding rules. Unlike QEC that directly safeguards quantum states, the FTIP approach protects the information encoded on quantum states, enabling the retrieval of the transmitted signal with minimal distortion after passing through noisy channels. Through typical examples involving the encoding of signals on two-level superposition states or Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) states transmitted through random telegraph noise and decoherence channels, we demonstrate that the mean squared error (MSE) distortion asymptotically approaches 0, and the fault-tolerant capability reaches 1 using finite quantum resources.

The FTIP approach encompasses an encoding process, transmission through noisy channel, and a decoding process with postselected measurement bases, as depicted in Fig. 1a. Let  $\varphi_s(t)$  be an arbitrary signal to be protected from noise effects, and suppose  $\rho_0$  be an arbitrary initial state, given by

$$\rho_0 = \sum_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle \langle \psi_j|. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $p_j$  is the probability of state  $\rho_{0j} = |\psi_{0j}\rangle \langle \psi_{0j}|$ ,  $|\psi_{0j}\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta_j}{2} |y\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta_j}{2} |z\rangle$  ( $0 < \theta_j < \pi$ ),  $|y\rangle$  and  $|z\rangle$  may be physical state or logical state, and the variables  $y$  and  $z$  may be discrete or continuous. In the encoding process,  $\varphi_s(t)$  is encoded as relative phase of the initial state  $\rho_0$

according to an encoding rule  $\mathbf{E}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{E} : \varphi_s(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}(t)$ . One gets

$$\tilde{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}[\varphi_s(t)]\rho_0\hat{U}^\dagger[\varphi_s(t)] = \sum_j p_j |\tilde{\psi}_j(t)\rangle \langle \tilde{\psi}_j(t)|, \quad (2)$$

where  $|\tilde{\psi}_j(t)\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta_j}{2}|y\rangle + e^{i\varphi_s(t)}\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}|z\rangle$ .




FIG. 1. Theoretical description and experimental implementation of the FTIP approach. (a) Theoretical model. An arbitrary signal to be protected is encoded onto the initial quantum states, then transmitted through a noisy channel, and finally decoded via postselected measurement bases. (b) Schematic diagram of four pairwise orthogonal measurement bases.  $\varepsilon_{1,2}$  are symmetric with respect to a systematical axis  $(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)/2$ , and the angular between  $|\phi_1\rangle$  and  $|\phi_2\rangle$  is  $2\varepsilon$ . (c) Schematic diagram of the FTIP approach encoding information on EPR state. (d) Experiment setup. The implementation of using quantum coherent state is enclosed by blue boxes, including the attenuation of coherent light via variable optical attenuator and homodyne detection on the signal light. The abbreviations in the figure are as following, VOA: variable optical attenuator, P: polarizer, FC: fiber collimator, PM: phase modulator, AWG: arbitrary waveform generator, PC: polarization controller, BS: beam splitter, HWP: half-wave plate, QWP: quarter-wave plate. SL: signal light, LO: local oscillator light, OH: free space passive optical hybrid, BPD: balanced photodetector. (e) The retrieved results when using quantum coherent state. (f) The retrieved results when using classical coherent light.

Subsequently, the encoded state is transmitted through a noisy channel and evolves to  $\rho(t)$ . The evolution caused by the interaction between quantum system and environment can be expressed as

$$\rho(t) = \mathcal{E}[\tilde{\rho}(t)] = \sum_k E_k \tilde{\rho}(t) E_k^\dagger, \quad (3)$$

where Kraus operators satisfy the completeness condition of  $\sum_k E_k^\dagger E_k = \mathcal{I}$ , with  $\mathcal{I}$  denoting the identity matrix. For an arbitrary d-dimensional system,  $1 \leq k \leq d^2$  [43].

The decoding process involves two steps. Firstly,  $\rho(t)$  is detected by the postselected measurement bases with various tiny postselection angles, i.e.,

$$|\phi_l\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|y\rangle + e^{i\varepsilon_l}|z\rangle), \quad (4)$$

where  $\varepsilon_l$  is the tiny postselection angle of the  $l^{th}$  measurement base. Notably, at least two pairs of postselected measurement bases are orthogonal. Figure 1b depicts the example of using four postselected measurement bases, where  $\{|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_4\rangle\}$  and  $\{|\phi_2\rangle, |\phi_3\rangle\}$  are pairwise orthogonal,  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are symmetric with respect to the systematical axis  $(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)/2$ . The detection results are given by

$$N_l(t) = n_l P_l(t) = n_l \text{Tr}[\rho(t) |\phi_l\rangle \langle \phi_l|], \quad (5)$$

where  $P_l(t)$  and  $n_l$  are respectively detection probabilities and detected photon number in the  $l^{th}$  base, and the total photon number in the initial state  $\rho_0$  is  $N = \sum_l n_l$ . Secondly, the measured results are employed to retrieve  $\varphi_s(t)$  according to a decoding rule  $\mathbf{D} : \rho(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_s(t)$ . According to the characteristics of the involved channel

noises, one can select an optimal value of the systematical axis  $(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)/2$  to determine the postselection angles  $\varepsilon_l$  of the postselected measurement bases, then the signal  $\varphi_s(t)$  may be retrieved with minimal distortion according to the decoding rule. Without loss of generality, we here consider the case of  $|\varphi_s(t)| \ll 1$ . Then the results  $\widetilde{\varphi}_s(t)$  may be retrieved by

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_s(t) = \frac{\xi(t)}{\cot \varepsilon} \approx \chi \varphi_s(t) + \Delta \varphi_s(t), \quad (6)$$

where  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)/2 \ll 1$ ,  $\xi(t)$  is a calculated result according to the decoding rule based on the measured results  $N_l(t)$  in the postselection measurement bases (see details in Supplementary Note S1),  $\chi$  reflects the noise effects of the noisy channel on the encoded quantum state, and  $\Delta \varphi_s(t)$  is the derivation resulted by measurement operations, which may be formally denoted as

$$\Delta \varphi_s(t) = f(\chi, \varepsilon, N, \Upsilon), \quad (7)$$

where  $\Upsilon = \sum_j p_j \sin \theta_j$  is caused by the encoding operations. Clearly, the ultimate goal is to choose an optimal decoding rule to lead  $\chi \rightarrow 1$  and  $\Delta \varphi_s(t) \rightarrow 0$ , thereby completely suppressing the noise effects from the noisy channel and measurement operations.

The performance of FTIP approach can be characterized by the distortion of the retrieved signal and the fault-tolerant capability. The distortion between the retrieved signal and the original signal can be evaluated by MSE distortion, which is given by

$$d_{MSE} = E[(\widetilde{\varphi}_s(t) - \varphi_s(t))^2], \quad (8)$$

where  $E[\cdot]$  is the operation of averaging operation, and it can reach  $d_{MSE} \rightarrow 0$  when  $\chi \rightarrow 1$  and  $\Delta \varphi_s(t) \rightarrow 0$ . The FTIP approach is considered fault-tolerant if it satisfies practical requirements regarding MSE distortion. Actually, an optimal composition strategy in the decoding rule could lead  $\chi \rightarrow 1$ , so that the distortion is mainly introduced by measurement noises. According to the requirement on MSE distortion, one can set a detection decision threshold  $\Gamma$  in the decoding (see details in Supplementary Note S1), and the fault-tolerant capability can be evaluated by the confidence of  $\Delta \varphi_s(t) < \sqrt{\Gamma}$ , which is formally expressed as

$$F_t = g(\Gamma, \Delta \varphi_s). \quad (9)$$

By optimally selecting the detection decision threshold  $\Gamma$ , one can achieve  $F_t \rightarrow 1$ , indicating that the proposed approach can reliably decode the original signal even when the retrieved results with errors, thereby enhancing the reliability of information transmission.

Considering that encoding with two-level superposition state (see details in Supplementary Note S2), where the initial state  $\rho_0$  in 2-dimension Hilbert space, the channel noise may be characterized by a 2-dimensional Kraus

operator, which can be expressed as a universal expression of a  $2 \times 2$  complex matrix, i.e.,

$$E_k = \begin{bmatrix} a_k & b_k \\ c_k & d_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

Correspondingly, the evolved quantum state  $\rho(t)$  can be expressed as Eq.(S10), and arbitrary channel noise in the evolved quantum state  $\rho(t)$  can be fully described using 12 real parameters with completeness condition[43]. Utilizing pairwise orthogonal postselected bases and composition strategy, the noise effects can be effectively captured by 8 real parameters, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \sum_k \text{Re}[a_k^* c_k], \mathcal{A}_2 = \sum_k \text{Re}[b_k^* d_k], \\ \mathcal{A}_3 &= \sum_k \text{Im}[a_k^* c_k], \mathcal{A}_4 = \sum_k \text{Im}[b_k^* d_k], \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &= \sum_k \text{Re}[a_k^* d_k], \mathcal{B}_2 = \sum_k \text{Re}[b_k^* c_k], \\ \mathcal{C}_1 &= \sum_k \text{Im}[a_k^* d_k], \mathcal{C}_2 = \sum_k \text{Im}[b_k^* c_k]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Clearly, one can refer to the above noises parameters to select the pairwise orthogonal postselected bases, and design the decoding rule to guarantee the accuracy and fault tolerance of the encoded information.

As well known, the random telegraph noise may cause pure dephasing when the system is exposed to bi-fluctuating classical noises[44], while the decoherence noises may cause the quantum behavior of qubits to decay or even disappear in quantum channel or circuit[43, 45–47]. In this case,  $\mathcal{A}_{1,2,3,4} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{1,2} = 0$  in Eq.(11), and the noise effects are characterized by  $\chi_1 = \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\chi_2 = \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2$ . A special case is the noise induced by random telegraph noise, phase damping, phase flip, amplitude damping, and depolarizing, in which  $\mathcal{B}_2 = 0$ . To retrieve the original signal  $\varphi_s(t)$  in this situation, the optimal choices of the four postselected measurement bases are

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{-i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |0\rangle + ie^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |1\rangle), \\ |\phi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |0\rangle + ie^{-i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |1\rangle), \\ |\phi_3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |0\rangle - ie^{-i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |1\rangle), \\ |\phi_4\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{-i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |0\rangle - ie^{i\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |1\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Notes that when the channel is influenced by bit flip noise or bit-phase flip noise, two new measurement bases,  $\{|\phi_5\rangle, |\phi_6\rangle\}$ , should be added in Eq.(12) to retrieve the original signal. In term of Eqs.(4-6), the optimal composition of above measurement bases could lead noise effects to be suppressed, i.e.,  $\chi = \chi_1/\chi_2 = (\mathcal{B}_1 -$

$\mathcal{B}_2)/(\mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow 1$ , and one can retrieve the signal as  $\widehat{\varphi}_s(t) = \varphi_s(t) + \Delta\varphi_s(t)$ .

Demonstrated by quantum coherent state and single photon state, finite quantum resources could lead to  $d_{MSE} \rightarrow 0$  in both discrete state and continuous state, and  $F_t \rightarrow 1$  can be obtained by selecting a suitable detection decision threshold even with a rather serious distortion (see details in Supplementary Note S3). In addition, the FTIP approach is also suitable for classical light as initial state by encoding information on the polarization of the light field, and the parameters can be obtained via particular distribution of light intensity. Compared to classical information reliability protection methods[30], the FTIP approach exhibits the following superior performance. Firstly, classical reliability protection methods are primarily used for digital signal transmission to ensure reliability, whereas the FTIP approach can protect both analog and digital signals. Secondly, in classical optical communication, signals are typically encoded on the amplitude and phase of the light field, making them susceptible to amplitude noise and phase noise[48]. Different from that, the FTIP approach encodes the signal on the relative phase between  $|y\rangle$  and  $|z\rangle$ , which is immune to the phase noise on the overall phase of the light field. With the decoding rule **D**, photon number fluctuation caused by amplitude noise of light field exerts little influence on the retrieved result of  $\varphi_s(t) + \Delta\varphi_s(t)$ , only causing the change of  $\Delta\varphi_s(t)$ . Finally, the FTIP approach has a low decoding complexity and short decoding latency as the information can be retrieved via direct measurement and simple arithmetic, which further provides the solution for real-time decoding.

Moreover, the FTIP approach can also be extended to scenarios involving encoding with entangled states. Taking the schematic diagram of encoding using the EPR state in Fig.1c as an example (see details in Supplementary Note S4), the initial state can be expressed as  $\rho_0 = \sum_j p_j |\psi_{0j}\rangle \langle \psi_{0j}|$ , where  $|\psi_{0j}\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta_j}{2} |H\rangle_s |H\rangle_r + \sin\frac{\theta_j}{2} |V\rangle_s |V\rangle_r$ , and the subscripts  $s$  and  $r$  indicate the two paths. Subsequently, the photon pairs are delivered into two paths through the noisy channel, which can be characterized by the 2-dimension Kraus operator [45],

$$\rho(t) = \mathcal{E}[\tilde{\rho}(t)] = \sum_{k1, k2} (E_{k1} \otimes E_{k2}) \tilde{\rho}(t) (E_{k1}^\dagger \otimes E_{k2}^\dagger). \quad (13)$$

In the detection, at least one additional measurement base  $|\phi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle_r + |V\rangle_r)$  is required for performing coincidence measurements, and one gets  $N_l$  by

$$N_l = \text{Tr}[\rho(t) |\phi_l'\rangle \langle \phi_l'|], \quad (14)$$

where  $|\phi_l'\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle \otimes |\phi_l\rangle$ . It is encouraging to note that the noise effects still reflect on the 8 real parameters in the multiplicative form in the FTIP approach, the noise effects can be characterized by  $\chi_1 = \mathcal{B}_1^2 - \mathcal{B}_2^2$  and  $\chi_2 =$

$(\mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^2$  in the random telegraph noise and decoherence noises channel. The decoding rule **D** including postselected measurement bases  $|\phi_l'\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle \otimes |\phi_l\rangle$  ( $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) leads to  $\chi = 1$  under the noises induced by random telegraph noise, phase damping, phase flip, amplitude damping and depolarizing. By adding another two measurement bases  $|\phi_5'\rangle$  and  $|\phi_6'\rangle$ , one may get  $\chi \approx 1$  when the encoded quantum state suffers bit flip and bit-phase flip. Similarly,  $d_{MSE} \rightarrow 0$  could be obtained with finite quantum sources, and  $F_t \rightarrow 1$  could be reached with a suitable detection decision threshold.

To verify the feasibility, we simulate an information transmission scenario and construct the experimental setup depicted in Fig. 1d. Classical information is encoded onto the initial polarization state using a phase modulator, and the encoded state is subjected to simulate decoherence noises, which is implemented by a rotating half-wave plate. Subsequently, the information is recovered through the fault-tolerant measurement and decoding strategy (See details in Supplementary Note S5). Compared the retrieved results in Fig. 1e-f to theoretical values, it only has a small distortion as the influence of noise as additional phase noise inevitably introduced when rotating the half-wave plate stochastically and electric noises. Although the rotation of half-wave plate at a low speed limits the frequency of the signals in experiment, the modulation rate of the phase modulator used for encoding and the detection rate of the high-speed acquisition device can be as high as tens of GHz in practice, the approach is still suitable for high frequency signals and fast changing channels, meeting the needs of high-speed communication.

In summary, we propose a FTIP approach via quantum weak measurement for photonics platform to suppress the noise effects resulted from interaction between quantum system and environment, which possesses two significant advantages. On one hand, an optimal composition strategy can achieve  $\chi \rightarrow 1$  under noise induced by random telegraph noise and decoherence, thereby completely suppressing the noise effects. On the other hand, there exists redundancy in the postselected measurement bases within the decoding rule. If one of the postselected measurement bases fails, the FTIP approach can still decode the information, significantly enhancing its robustness. Potentially, the approach may offer a viable solution for mitigating noise effects in long-distance quantum communication, high-sensitivity quantum sensing, and precise quantum computation.

\* These authors contributed equally to this work: Qi Song, Hongjing Li.

† lhjnet2012@sjtu.edu.cn

‡ ghzheng@sjtu.edu.cn

[1] D. P. DiVincenzo, Quantum computation, Science **270**,



- 255 (1995).
- [2] S. Konno, W. Asavanant, F. Hanamura, *et al.*, Logical states for fault-tolerant quantum computation with propagating light, *Science* **383**, 289 (2024).
  - [3] S. Barz, J. F. Fitzsimons, E. Kashefi, *et al.*, Experimental verification of quantum computation, *Nature physics* **9**, 727 (2013).
  - [4] C. N. Self, M. Benedetti, and D. Amaro, Protecting expressive circuits with a quantum error detection code, *Nature Physics*, 1 (2024).
  - [5] H.-S. Zhong, H. Wang, Y.-H. Deng, *et al.*, Quantum computational advantage using photons, *Science* **370**, 1460 (2020).
  - [6] L. S. Madsen, F. Laudenbach, M. F. Askarani, *et al.*, Quantum computational advantage with a programmable photonic processor, *Nature* **606**, 75 (2022).
  - [7] Y. Wu, W.-S. Bao, S. Cao, *et al.*, Strong quantum computational advantage using a superconducting quantum processor, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 180501 (2021).
  - [8] C. L. Degen, F. Reinhard, and P. Cappellaro, Quantum sensing, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **89**, 035002 (2017).
  - [9] D. Braun, G. Adesso, F. Benatti, *et al.*, Quantum-enhanced measurements without entanglement, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **90**, 035006 (2018).
  - [10] D. Suter and G. A. Álvarez, Colloquium: Protecting quantum information against environmental noise, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **88**, 041001 (2016).
  - [11] B. C. Barish, Nobel lecture: Ligo and gravitational waves ii, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **90**, 040502 (2018).
  - [12] The ligo scientific collaboration. a gravitational wave observatory operating beyond the quantum shot-noise limit, *Nature Physics* **7**, 962 (2011).
  - [13] Q. Song, H. Li, J. Huang, *et al.*, Weak signal extraction in non-stationary channel with weak measurement, *Communications Physics* **6**, 370 (2023).
  - [14] C.-Y. Lu, Y. Cao, C.-Z. Peng, *et al.*, Micius quantum experiments in space, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **94**, 035001 (2022).
  - [15] Z. D. Walton, A. F. Abouraddy, A. V. Sergienko, *et al.*, Decoherence-free subspaces in quantum key distribution, *Physical Review Letters* **91**, 087901 (2003).
  - [16] T. Yamamoto, J. Shimamura, S. Ozdemir, *et al.*, Faithful qubit distribution assisted by one additional qubit against collective noise, *Physical Review Letters* **95**, 040503 (2005).
  - [17] X.-T. Fang, P. Zeng, H. Liu, *et al.*, Implementation of quantum key distribution surpassing the linear rate-transmittance bound, *Nature Photonics* **14**, 422 (2020).
  - [18] J. Yin, Y.-H. Li, S.-K. Liao, *et al.*, Entanglement-based secure quantum cryptography over 1,120 kilometres, *Nature* **582**, 501 (2020).
  - [19] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, *et al.*, Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels, *Physical Review Letters* **70**, 1895 (1993).
  - [20] X.-S. Ma, T. Herbst, T. Scheidl, *et al.*, Quantum teleportation over 143 kilometres using active feed-forward, *Nature* **489**, 269 (2012).
  - [21] D. Bouwmeester, J.-W. Pan, K. Mattle, *et al.*, Experimental quantum teleportation, *Nature* **390**, 575 (1997).
  - [22] S. Pirandola, J. Eisert, C. Weedbrook, *et al.*, Advances in quantum teleportation, *Nature photonics* **9**, 641 (2015).
  - [23] A. I. Lvovsky, B. C. Sanders, and W. Tittel, Optical quantum memory, *Nature Photonics* **3**, 706 (2009).
  - [24] P. C. Maurer, G. Kucsko, C. Latta, *et al.*, Room-temperature quantum bit memory exceeding one second, *Science* **336**, 1283 (2012).
  - [25] C. Liu, Z.-Q. Zhou, T.-X. Zhu, *et al.*, Reliable coherent optical memory based on a laser-written waveguide, *Optica* **7**, 192 (2020).
  - [26] Z. Bao, Z. Wang, Y. Wu, *et al.*, On-demand storage and retrieval of microwave photons using a superconducting multiresonator quantum memory, *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 010503 (2021).
  - [27] W. Dür, M. Skotiniotis, F. Fröwis, *et al.*, Improved quantum metrology using quantum error correction, *Physical Review Letters* **112**, 080801 (2014).
  - [28] X.-M. Lu, S. Yu, and C. Oh, Robust quantum metrological schemes based on protection of quantum fisher information, *Nature Communications* **6**, 7282 (2015).
  - [29] C. E. Shannon, Realization of entanglement-assisted weak-value amplification in a photonic system, *The Bell System Technical Journal* **27**, 379 (1948).
  - [30] J. G. Proakis and M. Salehi, *Digital communications* (McGraw-hill, 2008).
  - [31] P. W. Shor, Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory, *Physical Review A* **52**, R2493 (1995).
  - [32] D. MacKay, G. Mitchison, and P. McFadden, Sparse-graph codes for quantum error correction, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **50**, 2315 (2004).
  - [33] Q. Xu, J. P. Bonilla Ataides, C. A. Pattison, *et al.*, Constant-overhead fault-tolerant quantum computation with reconfigurable atom arrays, *Nature Physics* **10.1038/s41567-024-02479-z** (2024).
  - [34] Z. Ni, S. Li, X. Deng, *et al.*, Beating the break-even point with a discrete-variable-encoded logical qubit, *Nature* **616**, 56 (2023).
  - [35] D. A. Lidar, I. L. Chuang, and K. B. Whaley, Decoherence-free subspaces for quantum computation, *Physical Review Letters* **81**, 2594 (1998).
  - [36] J. Levy, Universal quantum computation with spin-1/2 pairs and heisenberg exchange, *Physical Review Letters* **89**, 147902 (2002).
  - [37] K. M. Weiss, J. M. Elzerman, Y. L. Delley, *et al.*, Coherent two-electron spin qubits in an optically active pair of coupled ingaas quantum dots, *Physical Review Letters* **109**, 107401 (2012).
  - [38] L. Viola and S. Lloyd, Dynamical suppression of decoherence in two-state quantum systems, *Physical Review A* **58**, 2733 (1998).
  - [39] G. Arrad, Y. Vinkler, D. Aharonov, *et al.*, Increasing sensing resolution with error correction, *Physical Review Letters* **112**, 150801 (2014).
  - [40] A. G. Kofman and G. Kurizki, Universal dynamical control of quantum mechanical decay: Modulation of the coupling to the continuum, *Physical Review Letters* **87**, 270405 (2001).
  - [41] A. G. Kofman and G. Kurizki, Unified theory of dynamically suppressed qubit decoherence in thermal baths, *Physical Review Letters* **93**, 130406 (2004).
  - [42] J. Dressel, M. Malik, F. M. Miatto, *et al.*, Colloquium: Understanding quantum weak values: Basics and applications, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 307 (2014).
  - [43] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge university press, 2010).
  - [44] S. Daffer, K. Wódkiewicz, J. D. Cresser, *et al.*, Depolar-

- izing channel as a completely positive map with memory, Physical Review A **70**, 010304 (2004).
- [45] W. Li, Z. He, and Q. Wang, Protecting distribution entanglement for two-qubit state using weak measurement and reversal, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **56**, 2813 (2017).
- [46] Z.-D. Liu, O. Siltanen, T. Kuusela, *et al.*, Overcoming noise in quantum teleportation with multipartite hybrid entanglement, Science Advances **10**, eadj3435 (2024).
- [47] J. Ma, Y.-x. Huang, X. Wang, *et al.*, Quantum fisher information of the greenberger-horne-zeilinger state in decoherence channels, Physical Review A **84**, 022302 (2011).
- [48] K. Kikuchi, Fundamentals of coherent optical fiber communications, Journal of Lightwave Technology **34**, 157 (2016).