

QUESTIONS ON THE CHOW RING OF COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

ROBERT LATERVEER

ABSTRACT. We state several questions, and prove some partial results, about the Chow ring $A^*(X)$ of complete intersections in projective space. For one thing, we prove that if X is a general Calabi–Yau hypersurface, the intersection product $A^2(X) \cdot A^i(X)$ is one-dimensional, for any $i > 0$. We also show that quintic threefolds have a multiplicative Chow–Künneth (MCK) decomposition. We wonder whether all Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces might have an MCK decomposition, and prove this is the case conditional to a conjecture of Voisin.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a complex smooth projective variety X , let $A^*(X) = \bigoplus_i A^i(X)$ denote the Chow ring with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients. Even for the simplest varieties, understanding the Chow ring is not so simple. For instance, motivated by the weak Lefschetz theorem in cohomology, Hartshorne has asked the following:

Conjecture 1.1 (Hartshorne 1974 [7]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface, and let $h \in A^1(X)$ denote the hyperplane class. Then*

$$A^i(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^i] \text{ for all } i < \frac{n}{2}.$$

Apart from some easy results when X has small degree (and so is Fano), Conjecture 1.1 is completely open for $i \geq 2$, and seems highly challenging.

Since a direct attack on Conjecture 1.1 appears hopeless, let us now investigate some consequences of Conjecture 1.1. As is well-known, the image of intersecting with the hyperplane class on a hypersurface X is one-dimensional, i.e. $h \cdot A^i(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+1}]$ (this is just because $h \cdot A^i(X) = \iota^* \iota_* A^i(X)$ where $\iota: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the embedding). This observation means that if one believes in Conjecture 1.1 one must also believe the following:

Conjecture 1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface. Then*

$$A^i(X) \cdot A^j(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+j}] \text{ for all } i, j > 0 \text{ such that } (i, j) \neq \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right).$$

(It seems likely Conjecture 1.2 holds true more generally for complete intersections $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+r}(\mathbb{C})$, cf. Remark 2.3 below.)

Restricting attention to hypersurfaces that are Calabi–Yau, there is a remarkable result proven by Voisin:

Key words and phrases. Chow groups, Chow ring, hypersurface in projective space, MCK decomposition.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14C15, 14C25, 14C30.

This work is supported by ANR grant ANR-20-CE40-0023.

Theorem 1.3 (Voisin [23]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $n + 2$. Then*

$$A^i(X) \cdot A^j(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^n] \text{ for all } i, j > 0 \text{ such that } i + j = n.$$

Combining Theorem 1.3 and Conjecture 1.2, one obtains the following conjecture about the intersection product on hypersurfaces:

Conjecture 1.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface. Assume that either the dimension n is odd, or the degree of X is $n + 2$ (i.e. X is Calabi–Yau). Then*

$$A^i(X) \cdot A^j(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+j}] \text{ for all } i, j > 0.$$

By looking into Voisin’s proof of Theorem 1.3, we come up with some (very partial) confirmation of Conjecture 1.4:

Theorem (=Theorem 2.1). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $n + 2$ (i.e. X is Calabi–Yau). Then*

$$A^2(X) \cdot A^j(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{2+j}] \text{ for all } j > 0.$$

This settles Conjecture 1.4 for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces of dimension ≤ 6 . The same result holds for certain Calabi–Yau complete intersections (cf. Theorem 2.2 below). We also prove a result about the ring $B^*(X)$ of cycles modulo algebraic equivalence:

Theorem (=Theorem 2.4). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $n + 2$. Then*

$$B^i(X) \cdot B^{n-i-1}(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{n-1}] \text{ for all } 0 < i < n - 1.$$

This settles Conjecture 1.4 modulo algebraic equivalence for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces of dimension ≤ 8 .

Looking at Conjecture 1.4, it is natural to wonder whether perhaps Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces might have an MCK decomposition, in the sense of Shen–Vial [18] (roughly speaking, this means that the Chow motive decomposes compatibly with intersection product; cf. Subsection 2.3 below for the precise definition). We show this is the case if one assumes a conjecture made by Voisin (cf. Proposition 2.17 below). We prove an unconditional result in dimension 3:

Theorem (=Theorem 2.18 and Corollary 2.21). *Any smooth quintic threefold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ admits an MCK decomposition.*

In particular, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$R^*(X^m) := \langle (p_i)^*(h), (p_{jk})^*(\Delta_X) \rangle \subset A^*(X^m)$$

denote the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by (pullbacks of) the polarization h and the diagonal Δ_X . Then $R^(X^m)$ injects into cohomology under the cycle class map for all $m \leq 205$ (and $R^*(X^m)$ injects into cohomology for all m if and only if X is Kimura finite-dimensional, in the sense of [9]).*

Building on work of Lie Fu [2], we also include a version of Theorem 2.1 that applies to hypersurfaces that are of general type; this is Theorem 3.3 below.

Conventions. In this paper, the word *variety* will mean a reduced irreducible scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} . A subvariety will refer to a (possibly reducible) reduced subscheme which is equidimensional.

All Chow groups will be with rational coefficients: we denote by $A^i(Y)$ the Chow group of codimension i cycles on Y with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients. The notation $A_{hom}^i(Y)$ (resp. $A_{AJ}^i(X)$) will be used to denote the subgroup of homologically trivial (resp. Abel–Jacobi trivial) cycles.

The contravariant category of Chow motives (i.e., pure motives with respect to rational equivalence and \mathbb{Q} -coefficients as described in [15], [11]) will be denoted \mathcal{M}_{rat} .

2. CALABI–YAU HYPERSURFACES

2.1. Intersecting with codimension 2 cycles.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $n + 2$. Then

$$A^2(X) \cdot A^i(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+2}] \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Proof. In case $n = 4$ this is just Voisin’s result (Theorem 1.3). Let us now assume $n \geq 5$.

Let $F := F(X)$ denote the Fano variety of lines in X . By the generality assumption, both X and F are smooth and of the expected dimension, i.e. $\dim F = n - 3$. Let

$$\Delta_X^{sm} := \{(x, x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subset X \times X \times X$$

denote the small diagonal. Let

$$(1) \quad \Gamma := \bigcup_{t \in F} \mathbb{P}_t^1 \times \mathbb{P}_t^1 \times \mathbb{P}_t^1 \subset X \times X \times X,$$

where $\mathbb{P}_t^1 \subset X$ denotes the line corresponding to $t \in F$. Let $o_X := \frac{1}{n+2}h^n \in A^n(X)$ denote the “canonical” zero-cycle of degree 1, where $h \in A^1(X)$ is the hyperplane section class. For any $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, define

$$\Delta_{ij} := (p_i \times p_j)^*(\Delta_X) \cdot (p_k)^*(o_X) \in A^{2n}(X \times X \times X),$$

where $k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}$, and p_i denotes projection to the i th factor.

In the course of the proof of Theorem 1.3, Voisin has obtained [23, Theorem 3.1] the following equality:

$$(2) \quad \Delta_X^{sm} = \frac{1}{(n+2)!} \Gamma + \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{13} + \Delta_{23} + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \quad \text{in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X),$$

where $P(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ is a polynomial in the divisors $h_i := (p_i)^*(h) \in A^1(X \times X \times X)$.

Let $a \in A^2(X)$ and $b \in A^i(X)$ (where $i > 0$) be any cycles. As n is at least 5, we can write

$$a = a_0 + a_2 \quad \text{in } A^2(X),$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[h^2]$ and $a_2 \in A_{hom}^2(X) = A_{AJ}^2(X)$. Clearly $h \cdot b \in \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+1}]$, and so it will suffice to prove that $a_2 \cdot b$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[h^{i+2}]$. Considering equality (2) as an equality of correspondences from $X \times X$ to X , we find that

$$a_2 \cdot b = (\Delta_X^{sm})_*(a_2 \times b) = \left(\frac{1}{(n+2)!} \Gamma + \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{13} + \Delta_{23} + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \right)_*(a_2 \times b).$$

The correspondences Δ_{12} and $P(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ being decomposable, they act as zero on homologically trivial cycles. The correspondences Δ_{13} and Δ_{23} act as zero on $A^j(X) \otimes A^i(X)$ for all $j, i > 0$. Thus, the above equality boils down to

$$a_2 \cdot b = (\Delta_X^{sm})_*(a_2 \times b) = \frac{1}{(n+2)!} \Gamma_*(a_2 \cdot b) .$$

Writing $P \in A^{n-1}(F \times X)$ for the (class of the) universal line, we have equality

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= (P \times P \times P)_*(\Delta_F^{sm}) \\ &= P \circ (\Delta_F^{sm}) \circ ({}^tP \times {}^tP) \text{ in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) , \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is an instance of Lieberman's lemma [11, Lemma 2.1.3]. This means that the action of Γ on $A^2(X) \otimes A^i(X)$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^2(X) \otimes A^i(X) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_*} & A^{i+2}(X) \\ \downarrow (P^*, P^*) & & \uparrow P_* \end{array}$$

$$A^1(F) \otimes A^{i-1}(F) \xrightarrow{(\Delta_F^{sm})_*} A^i(F)$$

But since $a_2 \in A_{AJ}^2(X)$ we know that $P^*(a_2) \in A_{AJ}^1(F) = 0$ (here we have used the fact that Abel–Jacobi equivalence is an adequate equivalence relation, hence is compatible with the action of correspondences, cf. [10, p. 134] or [14, Example 1.11]), and so we find

$$\Gamma_*(a_2 \times b) = 0 .$$

This concludes the proof. \square

The argument of Theorem 2.1 can be extended to certain Calabi–Yau complete intersections:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+r}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general complete intersection of dimension $n > r$ that is Calabi–Yau, and assume X is not a complete intersection of quadrics. Then*

$$A^2(X) \cdot A^i(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+2}] \text{ for all } i > 0 .$$

Proof. The argument is similar to that of Theorem 2.1. In case $n = \dim X = 4$ this is a result of Lie Fu [2, Theorem 0.7]. Let us now assume $n \geq 5$.

In proving his result, Fu has established [2, Theorem 1.17] the following equality:

$$(3) \quad \Delta_X^{sm} = \alpha \Gamma + (j_{12})_*(Z) + (j_{13})_*(Z) + (j_{23})_*(Z) + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \text{ in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) ,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, where Γ is defined as in (1), where $j_{12}: X \times X \rightarrow X^3$ is the diagonal embedding $(x, x') \mapsto (x, x, x')$ (and similar definitions for j_{13} and j_{23}), and $Z := Q(h_1, h_2)$ is a polynomial in the divisors $h_i := (p_i)^*(h) \in A^1(X \times X)$.

Let $a \in A^2(X)$ and $b \in A^i(X)$ (where $i > 0$) be any cycles. As n is at least 5, we can write

$$a = a_0 + a_2 \text{ in } A^2(X) ,$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[h^2]$ and $a_2 \in A_{hom}^2(X) = A_{AJ}^2(X)$. A nice recent result of Mboro [12, Theorem 1.2] ensures that $h \cdot b \in \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+1}]$ (this uses the assumptions that $n > r$ and that X is not a complete

intersection of quadrics), and so it will suffice to prove that $a_2 \cdot b$ is in $\mathbb{Q}[h^{i+2}]$. Considering equality (3) as an equality of correspondences from $X \times X$ to X , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 \cdot b &= (\Delta_X^{sm})_*(a_2 \times b) \\ &= \left(\alpha \Gamma + (j_{12})_*(Z) + (j_{13})_*(Z) + (j_{23})_*(Z) + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \right)_*(a_2 \times b). \end{aligned}$$

The correspondences $(j_{12})_*(Z)$ and $P(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ being decomposable, they act as zero on homologically trivial cycles. The correspondences $(j_{13})_*(Z)$ and $(j_{23})_*(Z)$ send $A^j(X) \otimes A^i(X)$ to $\mathbb{Q}[h^{i+j}]$, as can be easily seen (cf. [2, Proof of Corollary 1.13]). Thus, the above equality boils down to

$$a_2 \cdot b = (\Delta_X^{sm})_*(a_2 \times b) = \alpha \Gamma_*(a_2 \cdot b).$$

Writing $P \in A^{n-1}(F \times X)$ for the (class of the) universal line, as before we have equality

$$\Gamma = P \circ (\Delta_F^{sm}) \circ ({}^t P \times {}^t P) \text{ in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X).$$

It follows that the action of Γ on $A^2(X) \otimes A^i(X)$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^2(X) \otimes A^i(X) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_*} & A^{i+2}(X) \\ \downarrow (P^*, P^*) & & \uparrow P_* \end{array}$$

$$A^1(F) \otimes A^{i-1}(F) \xrightarrow{(\Delta_F^{sm})_*} A^i(F)$$

But since $a_2 \in A_{AJ}^2(X)$ we know that $P^*(a_2) \in A_{AJ}^1(F) = 0$, and so we conclude that

$$\Gamma_*(a_2 \times b) = 0.$$

This ends the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. *One might expect that Conjecture 1.2 holds true for all smooth complete intersections in projective space. Indeed, as is well-known Hartshorne's conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) for complete intersections would follow from the truth of the Bloch–Beilinson conjectures (cf. [2, Remark 2.14]). Furthermore, one would expect that all smooth complete intersections $X \subset \mathbb{P}^*$ satisfy $A^i(X) \cdot h = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+1}]$ for all i (indeed, for many complete intersections this is proven in [12, Theorem 1.2] as mentioned above). Combining these two conjectural properties, one obtains Conjecture 1.2 for complete intersections.*

2.2. A result modulo algebraic equivalence. In this subsection, we consider the cycle groups $B^*(X) := A^*(X)/A_{alg}^*(X)$ (where $A_{alg}^i(X)$ denotes the subgroup of algebraically trivial cycles).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $n+2$. Then*

$$B^i(X) \cdot B^{n-i-1}(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{n-1}] \text{ for all } 0 < i < n-1.$$

Proof. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. The statement being trivially true for $n=4$, we may assume $n \geq 5$. Given any $a \in B^i(X)$ and $b \in B^{n-i-1}(X)$, applying once more Voisin's equality (2), their intersection can be expressed as

$$a \cdot b = (\Delta_X^{sm})_*(a \times b) = \left(\frac{1}{(n+2)!} \Gamma + \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{13} + \Delta_{23} + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \right)_*(a \times b) \text{ in } B^{n-1}(X).$$

The correspondences Δ_{ij} act as zero for dimensional reasons, and it is readily seen that

$$P(h_1, h_2, h_3)_* A^*(X \times X) = \langle h \rangle .$$

It follows that

$$a \cdot b = \frac{1}{(n+2)!} \Gamma_*(a \times b) \quad \text{in } B^{n-1}(X) .$$

Either i or $n-i-1$ is strictly smaller than $n/2$; without loss of generality, let us assume $i < n/2$. This implies that we can write

$$a = a_0 + a_1 \quad \text{in } B^i(X) ,$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[h^i]$ and $a_1 \in B_{hom}^i(X)$. Clearly $a_0 \cdot b \in \mathbb{Q}[h^{n-1}]$, and so we now restrict attention to the product $a_1 \cdot b$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 above, the action of Γ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^i(X) \otimes B^{n-i-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_*} & B^{n-1}(X) \\ \downarrow (P^*, P^*) & & \uparrow P_* \end{array}$$

$$B^{i-1}(F) \otimes B^{n-i-2}(F) \xrightarrow{(\Delta_F^{sm})_*} B^{n-3}(F)$$

But since $a_1 \in B_{hom}^i(X)$ we know that $P^*(a_1) \in B_{hom}^{i-1}(F)$ and so

$$P^*(a_1) \cdot P^*(b) \in B_{hom}^{n-3}(F) = 0$$

(indeed, $\dim F = n-3$ and homological and algebraic equivalence coincide for zero-cycles). We conclude that

$$\Gamma_*(a_1 \times b) = 0 \quad \text{in } B^{n-1}(X) ,$$

which ends the proof. □

Remark 2.5. *Theorem 2.4 can be extended to complete intersections as in Theorem 2.2; we leave this as an exercise to the reader.*

2.3. MCK.

Definition 2.6 (Murre [13]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . We say that X has a CK decomposition if there exists a decomposition of the diagonal*

$$\Delta_X = \pi_X^0 + \pi_X^1 + \cdots + \pi_X^{2n} \quad \text{in } A^n(X \times X) ,$$

such that the π_X^i are mutually orthogonal idempotents and $(\pi_X^i)_ H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$.*

Please note that “CK decomposition” is shorthand for “Chow–Künneth decomposition”.

Remark 2.7. *The existence of a CK decomposition for any smooth projective variety is part of Murre’s conjectures [13], [8].*

Definition 2.8 (Shen–Vial [18]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . Let $\Delta_X^{sm} \in A^{2n}(X \times X \times X)$ be the class of the small diagonal*

$$\Delta_X^{sm} := \{(x, x, x) \mid x \in X\} \subset X \times X \times X .$$

An MCK decomposition is a CK decomposition $\{\pi_X^i\}$ of X that is multiplicative, i.e. it satisfies

$$\pi_X^k \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^i \times \pi_X^j) = 0 \quad \text{in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) \quad \text{for all } i + j \neq k.$$

Please note that “MCK decomposition” is shorthand for “multiplicative Chow–Künneth decomposition”.

Remark 2.9. Only certain special varieties have an MCK decomposition. For instance, hyperelliptic curves have an MCK, while the general curve of genus ≥ 3 does not have an MCK. For more on MCK decompositions, cf. [18], [5] and the references given there.

2.4. Franchetta property.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ be a smooth projective morphism, where \mathcal{Y}, B are smooth quasi-projective varieties. We say that $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ has the Franchetta property in codimension j if the following holds: for every $\Gamma \in A^j(\mathcal{Y})$ such that the restriction $\Gamma|_{Y_b}$ is homologically trivial for all $b \in B$, the restriction $\Gamma|_{Y_b}$ is zero in $A^j(Y_b)$ for all $b \in B$.

We say that $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ has the Franchetta property if $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ has the Franchetta property in codimension j for all j .

This property is studied in [3], [4].

Definition 2.11. Given a family $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B$ as above, with $Y := Y_b$ a fiber, we write

$$GDA_B^j(\mathcal{Y}) := \text{Im}\left(A^j(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow A^j(Y)\right)$$

for the subgroup of generically defined cycles. In a context where it is clear which family is being referred to, the index B will sometimes be suppressed from the notation.

With this notation, the Franchetta property amounts to saying that $GDA_B^*(\mathcal{Y})$ injects into cohomology, under the cycle class map.

Proposition 2.12. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(d))$ be the open subset parameterizing smooth hypersurfaces of degree $d \geq 3$, and let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ denote the universal family. The families $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ and $\mathcal{X} \times_B \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ have the Franchetta property.

Proof. This is the same argument as [5, Proposition 5.6], where this is proven for cubic hypersurfaces. \square

2.5. Franchetta and MCK. As is well-known, complete intersections have a “standard” CK decomposition:

Definition 2.13. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+r}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth complete intersection of dimension n and degree $d := \prod_{i=1}^r d_i$. Then

$$\pi_X^i := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} h^{n-i/2} \times h^{i/2} & \text{if } i \neq n \text{ and } i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq n \text{ and } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \Delta_X - \sum_{i \neq n} \pi_X^i & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}$$

defines a CK decomposition.

Remark 2.14. *In the set-up of Definition 2.13, in case n is even one can further decompose*

$$\pi_X^n = \pi_X^{n,alg} + \pi_X^{n,prim} ,$$

where $\pi_X^{n,alg} := \frac{1}{d} h^{n/2} \times h^{n/2}$.

Corollary 2.15. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree at least 3, and $\{\pi_X^i\}$ as in Definition 2.13. Assume that*

$$(4) \quad \pi_X^{n,prim} \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^{n,prim} \times \pi_X^{n,prim}) = 0 \text{ in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) .$$

Then $\{\pi_X^i\}$ is an MCK decomposition

Proof. The point is that (by the very construction of the projectors π_X^i) one has isomorphisms of Chow motives

$$(5) \quad (X, \pi_X^{2i}, 0) = \mathbb{1}(-i) \quad \forall i \neq n, \quad (X, \pi_X^{n,alg}, 0) = \mathbb{1}(-\frac{n}{2}) \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}} .$$

Let $\pi_X^i, \pi_X^j, \pi_X^k$ be three projectors such that $i + j \neq k$, so that

$$\pi_X^k \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^i \times \pi_X^j) \in A_{hom}^{2n}(X \times X \times X) .$$

In view of (4), we may assume that at least one of $\pi_X^i, \pi_X^j, \pi_X^k$ is different from $\pi_X^{n,prim}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_X^k \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^i \times \pi_X^j) &= ({}^t\pi_X^i \times {}^t\pi_X^j \times \pi_X^k)_* \Delta_X^{sm} \\ &= (\pi_X^{2n-i} \times \pi_X^{2n-j} \times \pi_X^k)_* \Delta_X^{sm} \\ &\hookrightarrow \bigoplus A^*(X \times X) . \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality is an application of Lieberman's lemma [11, Lemma 2.1.3], the second equality is by self-duality of the $\{\pi_X^*\}$, and the inclusion follows from property (5). The resulting cycle in $\bigoplus A^*(X \times X)$ is generically defined (since the π_X^* and Δ_X^{sm} are generically defined) and homologically trivial (since $i + j \neq k$). By the Franchetta property for $X \times X$ (Proposition 2.12), the resulting cycle in $\bigoplus A^*(X \times X)$ is rationally trivial, and so

$$\pi_X^k \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^i \times \pi_X^j) = 0 \text{ in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) ,$$

as desired. This proves the corollary. □

2.6. A conditional result. In her paper proving Theorem 1.3, Voisin has stated the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.16 (Voisin [23]). *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $n + 2$, and let $\Gamma \in A^{2n}(X \times X \times X)$ be the cycle defined in (1). Then*

$$\Gamma \in \text{Im}(A^*(\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^{n+1}) \rightarrow A^*(X \times X \times X)) .$$

(This is [23, Conjecture 3.5].)

Voisin's conjecture has strong consequences:

Proposition 2.17. *Assume Conjecture 2.16. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $n + 2$.*

(i) *Conjecture 1.4 is true for X , i.e.*

$$A^i(X) \cdot A^j(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i+j}] \text{ for all } i, j > 0 .$$

(ii) *X has an MCK decomposition.*

Proof. Conjecture 2.16 together with the equality (2) imply that for a general hypersurface X there is equality

$$(6) \quad \Delta_X^{sm} = \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{13} + \Delta_{23} + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \text{ in } A^{2n}(X \times X \times X) ,$$

where $P(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ is a new polynomial in the divisor classes h_i . In view of the spread lemma [24, Lemma 3.2], equality (6) then holds for *all* smooth Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces X . This immediately implies (i) (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.1 above).

To prove (ii), in view of Corollary 2.15, we just need to check the vanishing

$$(7) \quad \pi_X^{n,prim} \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^{n,prim} \times \pi_X^{n,prim}) = 0 .$$

In view of equality (6), we can write

$$\pi_X^{n,prim} \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^{n,prim} \times \pi_X^{n,prim}) = \pi_X^{n,prim} \circ \left(\sum \Delta_{ij} + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \right) \circ (\pi_X^{n,prim} \times \pi_X^{n,prim}) .$$

As for the Δ_{ij} , it follows from their construction that there is equality

$$\Delta_{12} = \pi_X^{2n} \circ \Delta_{12} , \quad \Delta_{13} = \Delta_{13} \circ (\Delta_X \times \pi_X^0) , \quad \Delta_{23} = \Delta_{23} \circ (\pi_X^0 \times \Delta_X) .$$

The projectors π_X^j being orthogonal, we thus find that

$$\pi_X^{n,prim} \circ \left(\sum \Delta_{ij} \right) \circ (\pi_X^{n,prim} \times \pi_X^{n,prim}) = 0 .$$

Likewise, any monomial in the h_i satisfies

$$(h_1^i h_2^j h_3^{2n-i-j}) = \pi_X^{i+j-n,alg} \circ (h_1^i h_2^j h_3^{2n-i-j}) \circ (\pi_X^{2n-i,alg} \times \pi_X^{2n-j,alg})$$

(NB: the superscript “alg” is only operative for π_X^n , n even, i.e. we use the convention $\pi_X^{i,alg} = \pi_X^i$ for $i \neq n$). Again by orthogonality of the projectors, we thus find that

$$\pi_X^{n,prim} \circ (P(h_1, h_2, h_3)) \circ (\pi_X^{n,prim} \times \pi_X^{n,prim}) = 0 .$$

This proves the required vanishing (7), and ends the proof of the proposition. \square

2.7. Quintic threefolds. In dimension 3, we can prove an unconditional result:

Theorem 2.18. *Any smooth quintic threefold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbb{C})$ admits an MCK decomposition.*

Proof. The point is that for the general quintic threefold, the Fano variety of lines $F = F(X)$ is zero-dimensional (more precisely, F consists of 2875 reduced points [16], [17], [1, Corollary 6.35]).

To construct an MCK decomposition for the general quintic threefold, in view of Corollary 2.15, we just need to check the vanishing

$$(8) \quad \pi_X^3 \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^3 \times \pi_X^3) = 0 \text{ in } A^6(X \times X \times X) .$$

In view of equality (2), we can write

$$\pi_X^3 \circ \Delta_X^{sm} \circ (\pi_X^3 \times \pi_X^3) = \pi_X^3 \circ \left(\frac{1}{5!} \Gamma + \sum \Delta_{ij} + P(h_1, h_2, h_3) \right) \circ (\pi_X^3 \times \pi_X^3).$$

The summands involving Δ_{ij} and $P(h_1, h_2, h_3)$ vanish for general reasons (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.17(ii) above), and so it only remains to analyze the summand involving Γ . As before, we can write

$$\Gamma = P \circ (\Delta_F^{sm}) \circ ({}^tP \times {}^tP) \text{ in } A^6(X \times X \times X),$$

where $P \in A^2(F \times X)$ is the (class of the) universal line. In particular, we have

$$\pi_X^3 \circ \Gamma \circ (\pi_X^3 \times \pi_X^3) = \pi_X^3 \circ P \circ (\Delta_F^{sm}) \circ ({}^tP \times {}^tP) \circ (\pi_X^3 \times \pi_X^3) \text{ in } A^6(X \times X \times X).$$

But now, Lemma 2.19 below (combined with the orthogonality $\pi_X^3 \circ \pi_X^4 = 0$) implies the vanishing

$$\pi_X^3 \circ \Gamma \circ (\pi_X^3 \times \pi_X^3) = 0 \text{ in } A^6(X \times X \times X).$$

This shows (8) and gives an MCK decomposition for the general quintic threefold.

To extend to *all* smooth quintic threefolds, one observes that all terms in (8) are generically defined, and so the spread lemma [24, Lemma 3.2] implies the vanishing (8) for all smooth quintic threefolds.

Lemma 2.19. *Let X be a general quintic threefold, and $P \in A^2(F \times X)$ the universal line. Then*

$$P = \pi_X^4 \circ P \text{ in } A^2(F \times X).$$

To prove the lemma, we observe that the equality is true modulo homological equivalence (indeed, the correspondence P sends $H^*(F, \mathbb{Q}) = H^0(F, \mathbb{Q})$ to $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$). All terms being generically defined (and remembering that $A^2(F \times X) = A^2(X)^{\oplus 2875}$), the lemma then follows from the Franchetta property for X (Proposition 2.12). \square

Remark 2.20. *Unfortunately, the argument proving Theorem 2.18 breaks down for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces of dimension greater than 3. The reason is that in Lemma 2.19, the argument hinges on the Franchetta property for $F \times X$. This is easy when the dimension of F is zero, but becomes problematic as soon as the dimension of F is greater than 0.*

Corollary 2.21. *Let X be a smooth quintic threefold. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let*

$$R^*(X^m) := \langle (p_i)^*(h), (p_{jk})^*(\Delta_X) \rangle \subset A^*(X^m)$$

denote the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by (pullbacks of) the polarization h and the diagonal Δ_X . Then $R^(X^m)$ injects into cohomology under the cycle class map for all $m \leq 205$. Moreover, $R^*(X^m)$ injects into cohomology for all m if and only if X is Kimura finite-dimensional, in the sense of [9].*

Proof. This is an application of [4, Proposition 2.11], using the fact that X has an MCK decomposition and that $\dim H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 204$. \square

Remark 2.22. Conjecturally, all smooth projective varieties are Kimura finite-dimensional [9]. An example which is known to be Kimura finite-dimensional is the Fermat quintic threefold

$$x_0^5 + \cdots + x_4^5 = 0.$$

(This can be proven using Shioda's inductive structure of Fermat hypersurfaces, cf. [19].)

Remark 2.23. As explained in [4, Section 2.3], the statement of Corollary 2.21 is inspired by results on the so-called “tautological ring” of hyperelliptic curves [20], [21] and of K3 surfaces [22], [25].

3. GENERAL TYPE HYPERSURFACES

In this section, we consider hypersurfaces of degree d strictly larger than $n + 2$; these hypersurfaces are of general type. Hartshorne's conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) has the following consequence:

Conjecture 3.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth hypersurface. Then

$$A^{i_1}(X) \cdot A^{i_2}(X) \cdot A^{i_3}(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{i_1+i_2+i_3}] \text{ for all } i_1, i_2, i_3 > 0.$$

3.1. Lie Fu's theorem. Inspired by Voisin's result for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces (Theorem 1.3), Lie Fu has proven a nice result about zero-cycles that are intersections on general type hypersurfaces, providing partial confirmation to Conjecture 3.1:

Theorem 3.2 (Fu [2]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $d \geq n + 2$. Assume i_1, \dots, i_{d-n} are strictly positive integers such that $\sum_j i_j = n$. Then

$$A^{i_1}(X) \cdot A^{i_2}(X) \cdots A^{i_{d-n}}(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^n].$$

3.2. Main result. The main result in this section is that in the setting of Theorem 3.2, one can obtain a stronger result if one of the codimensions i_j is equal to 2:

Theorem 3.3. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be a general hypersurface of degree $d \geq n + 2$. Then

$$A^2(X) \cdot A^{i_1}(X) \cdot A^{i_2}(X) \cdots A^{i_{d-n-1}}(X) = \mathbb{Q}[h^{2+\sum_j i_j}] \text{ for all } i_1, \dots, i_{d-n-1} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $k := d + 1 - n$ (and so $k \geq 3$), and let

$$\delta_X := \{(x, x, \dots, x) | x \in X\} \subset X^k$$

denote the smallest diagonal. By the generality assumption, the Fano variety $F := F(X)$ of lines in X is smooth of dimension $n - k$. In the course of proving Theorem 3.2, Fu has obtained [2, Theorem 2.12] the following dichotomy for δ_X : either

$$(9) \quad \delta_X = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{d!} \Gamma + \sum_{i=1}^k D_i + \sum_j \lambda_j \sum_{|I|=j} D_I + P(h_1, \dots, h_k) \text{ in } A^*(X^k),$$

or there exists $\ell < k$ such that

$$(10) \quad \delta_X = \sum_{i=1}^k D_i + \sum_j \lambda_j \sum_{|I|=j} D_I + P(h_1, \dots, h_k) \text{ in } A^*(X^\ell).$$

Here, Γ is defined as

$$\Gamma := \bigcup_{t \in F(X)} \mathbb{P}_t^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_t^1 \subset X^k,$$

the cycle D_i is defined as $(p_i)^*(o_X) \cdot \Delta_{i^c}$, where Δ_{i^c} is the diagonal of the complementary set $\{1, \dots, k\} \setminus i$, and similarly, for any subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$, the cycle D_I is defined as $\prod_{i \in I} (p_i)^*(o_X) \cdot \Delta_{I^c}$.

Once again, we consider the equality (9) (resp. (10)) as an equality of correspondences from X^{k-1} (resp. $X^{\ell-1}$) to X . Given $d - n$ cycles of positive codimension $a_0, \dots, a_{d-n-1} \in A^*(X)$, it is readily seen that

$$(D_i)_*(a_0 \times \cdots \times a_{d-n-1}) = (D_I)_*(a_0 \times \cdots \times a_{d-n-1}) = 0 \text{ in } A^*(X),$$

while

$$(P(h_1, \dots, h_k))_*(a_0 \times \cdots \times a_{d-n-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}[h^*].$$

Hence, to prove Theorem 3.3 one only needs to worry about the action of Γ . Since (by Lieberman's lemma) Γ can be written as

$$\Gamma = P \circ (\delta_F) \circ ({}^tP \times \cdots \times {}^tP) \text{ in } A^*(X^k),$$

the action of Γ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^2(X) \otimes A^{i_1}(X) \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{i_{k-2}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_*} & A^{2+\sum_j i_j}(X) \\ \downarrow (P^*, \dots, P^*) & & \uparrow P_* \end{array}$$

$$A^1(F) \otimes A^{i_1-1}(F) \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{i_{k-2}-1}(F) \xrightarrow{(\delta_F)_*} A^{\sum_j i_j - k + 3}(F)$$

The result now follows from the fact that $A_{AJ}^1(F) = 0$ (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.1). \square

Remark 3.4. *Similarly to Theorem 2.4, one can prove a result for one-cycles modulo algebraic equivalence for general type hypersurfaces; we leave this as an exercise for the diligent reader.*

Acknowledgements. *Thanks to the highly efficient coffee machine at the Schiltigheim Research Center in Advanced Mathematics.*

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *3264 and all that: a second course in algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2016,
- [2] L. Fu, *Decomposition of small diagonals and Chow rings of hypersurfaces and Calabi–Yau complete intersections*, *Advances in Math.* 244 (2013), 894–924,
- [3] L. Fu, R. Laterveer and Ch. Vial, *The generalized Franchetta conjecture for some hyper-Kähler varieties (with an appendix joint with M. Shen)*, *Journal Math. Pures et Appliquées* (9) 130 (2019), 1–35,
- [4] L. Fu, R. Laterveer and Ch. Vial, *The generalized Franchetta conjecture for some hyper-Kähler varieties, II*, *Journal de l’Ecole Polytechnique–Mathématiques* 8 (2021), 1065–1097,

- [5] L. Fu, R. Laterveer and Ch. Vial, Multiplicative Chow–Künneth decompositions and varieties of cohomological K3 type, *Annali Mat. Pura ed Applicata* 200 no. 5 (2021), 2085—2126,
- [6] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer–Verlag Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo 1984,
- [7] R. Hartshorne, Equivalence relations on algebraic cycles and subvarieties of small codimension, in: *Algebraic Geometry, Arcata 1974*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 29 (1975) , 129—164,
- [8] U. Jannsen, Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups, in: *Motives* (U. Jannsen et al., eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
- [9] S.-I. Kimura, Chow groups are finite dimensional, in some sense, *Math. Ann.* 331 (2005), no. 1, p. 173—201,
- [10] D. Lieberman, Intermediate Jacobians, in: *Algebraic Geometry Oslo 1970*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen (1972) 125—139,
- [11] J. Murre, J. Nagel and C. Peters, *Lectures on the theory of pure motives*, Amer. Math. Soc. University Lecture Series 61, Providence 2013,
- [12] R. Mboro, A remark on the product by the hyperplane class in the Chow ring of some complete intersections, arXiv:2310.03905,
- [13] J. Murre, On a conjectural filtration on the Chow groups of an algebraic variety, parts I and II, *Indag. Math.* 4 (1993), 177—201,
- [14] H. Saito, Generalization of Abel’s theorem and some finiteness property of zero-cycles on surfaces, *Comp. Math.* 84 no. 3 (1992), 289—332,
- [15] T. Scholl, Classical motives, in: *Motives* (U. Jannsen et alii, eds.), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 55 (1994), Part 1,
- [16] H. Schubert, Das correspondenzprinzip für Gruppen von n Punkten und von n Strahlen, *Math. Annalen* 12 (1877), 180—201,
- [17] H. Schubert, Die n -dimensionale Verallgemeinerung der Anzahlen für die vielpunktig berührenden Tangenten einer punkttallgemeinen Fläche m -ten Grades, *Math. Annalen* 26 (1886), 52—73,
- [18] M. Shen and Ch. Vial, The Fourier transform for certain hyperKähler fourfolds, *Memoirs of the AMS* 240 (2016),
- [19] T. Shioda and T. Katsura, On Fermat varieties, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 31 (1979), 97—115,
- [20] M. Tavakol, The tautological ring of the moduli space M_2^{rt} , *International Math. Research Notices* 2014 no. 24 (2014), 6661—6683,
- [21] M. Tavakol, Tautological classes on the moduli space of hyperelliptic curves with rational tails, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* 222 no. 8 (2018), 2040—2062,
- [22] C. Voisin, On the Chow ring of certain algebraic hyperkähler manifolds, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 4 no. 3 part 2 (2008), 613—649,
- [23] C. Voisin, Chow rings and decomposition theorems for K3 surfaces and Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces. *Geom. Topol.* 16(1) (2012), 433—473,
- [24] C. Voisin, *Chow Rings, Decomposition of the Diagonal, and the Topology of Families*, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2014,
- [25] Q. Yin, Finite-dimensionality and cycles on powers of K3 surfaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 90 (2015), 503—511.

INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, CNRS – UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE.

Email address: robert.laterveer@math.unistra.fr