

Thermodynamic description of world GDP distribution over countries

Klaus M. Frahm¹ and Dima L. Shepelyansky¹

¹Univ Toulouse, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Toulouse, France

(Dated: December 6, 2025; Revised: XXXX)

We apply the concept of Rayleigh-Jeans thermalization of classical fields for a description of the world Gross Domestic Product (GDP) distribution over countries. The thermalization appears due to a variety of interactions between countries with conservation of two integrals being total GDP and probability (norm). In such a case there is an emergence of Rayleigh-Jeans condensation at states with low GDP. This phenomenon has been studied theoretically and experimentally in multimode optical fibers and we argue that it is at the origin of emergence of poverty and oligarchic phases for GDP of countries. A similar phenomenon has been discussed recently in the framework of the Wealth Thermalization Hypothesis to explain the high inequality of wealth distribution in human society and companies at Stock Exchange markets. We show that the Rayleigh-Jeans thermalization well describes the GDP distribution during the last 50 years.

PACS numbers:

Introduction. Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is a monetary measure of the total market value of all final goods and services produced by a country during a year [1]. International organizations as United Nations (UN), International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank (WB) yearly report GDP values for world countries and territories as e.g in [2] for recent years (with up to $N = 212$ countries). GDP country values for years 1970-2023 are publicly available from the UN statistics division at [3] and we mainly use this data in our work. If we consider countries as independent players with equal rights according to the UN convention then a most striking feature of the GDP distribution of countries is a strong inequality when 60% of all countries own only 2% of the total GDP, while 10% (1%) of countries own 82% (44%) of the total GDP. (e.g. in 2023 [2, 3]). Of course, countries have quite different populations but this argument does not work completely since the most populated countries as India and China are not the owners of the biggest GDP.

It is interesting to note that this inequality of GDP distribution is very similar to inequality of wealth distribution in the human society (see e.g. [4–6]) where 50% of the world population owns only 2% of total wealth, while 10% (1%) of population owns 75% (38%) of the total wealth [5].

Wealth distributions are usually characterized by the Lorenz curve [6, 7] which depicts the dependence of cumulated normalized wealth $0 \leq w \leq 1$ on the cumulated normalized fraction of population or households $0 \leq h \leq 1$. The limit of perfect wealth equipartition corresponds to the diagonal $w = h$. The doubled area between diagonal and the Lorenz curve $w(h)$ is called the Gini coefficient $0 \leq G \leq 1$ [6, 8]. Values of $G \ll 1$ (G close to 1) correspond to small (strong) inequality. Values of G can be found in [9] for world countries in 2021 being in the range $0.59 < G < 0.90$; for the whole

world $G = 0.889$. We keep in this work the notations of w, h for cumulated country GDP (wealth like) and cumulated number of countries (households like) respectively to keep parallels with wealth inequality studies.

In [10], the Wealth Thermalization Hypothesis (WTH) was proposed according to which the wealth shared in a country or the whole world is described by the Rayleigh-Jeans (RJ) thermal distribution [11, 12] with two conserved quantities of system wealth and norm or number of agents. Moreover in [10] it was shown that this approach also depicts well the Lorenz curves for market capitalization (Market Cap) of companies at Stock Exchange (SE) of Hong Kong, London and New York. The RJ thermal condensation appears also at long times in systems of dynamical oscillators with a certain type of nonlinear perturbation that was demonstrated in [10] for examples of social networks.

At sufficiently low temperatures (low energy/global system wealth) the two integrals of motion lead naturally to RJ condensation where most of the probability is concentrated on one or a few low energy modes providing the physical mechanism for the appearance of a huge poor fraction of population in countries or companies at SE [10]. At the same time a small oligarchic fraction of households/companies captures a huge amount of the total wealth. The phenomenon of RJ condensation is not broadly known in physics but it was theoretically and numerically studied for multimode optical fibers [21–23]. It is also known in fibers as self-cleaning and was observed in multimode fiber experiments [24, 25] where properties of RJ thermalization were studied experimentally [26–28].

We should note that a different thermodynamic Boltzmann-Gibbs approach to the wealth distribution was previously discussed in [13–15]. However, this approach does not capture the emergence of a huge condensate of poverty. The importance of two integrals of

motion has also been pointed out in the framework of a specific model of wealth evolution [16, 17]. A variety of different models for the description of wealth inequality has been proposed by different groups (see e.g. [18–20]) indicating that a more universal description is needed to explain the generic phenomenon of inequality existing in various countries.

RJ thermalization and condensation for GDP. According to WTH [10] the wealth of a country population (or country GDP) is described by the RJ thermal distribution [11, 12]:

$$\rho_m = \frac{T}{E_m - \mu} \quad (\text{RJ}). \quad (1)$$

Here it is assumed that the system wealth is distributed over certain states (assets) $0 \leq m < N$ with energies E_m (representing the wealth value of asset m) and the population probabilities of these states are ρ_m (population fraction owning asset m); T and μ are system temperature and chemical potential. The conservation of two integrals of motion (norm and energy) implies that $\sum_m \rho_m = 1$ (we fix the norm to unity) and that the system average wealth (or total world GDP), being its average energy, is $\sum_m E_m \rho_m = E$. The energy E is a free parameter of the model and in the following we use the rescaled energy $\varepsilon = E/B$ where $B = E_{N-1}$ is the total energy bandwidth and we assume $0 = E_0 < E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_{N-1} = B$. The system temperature $T(E)$ and its chemical potential $\mu(T)$ are determined by two implicit equations for norm and average energy using (1). In this model, Lorenz curves are obtained from the set of points $(h(m), w(m))$ (for $m = 0, \dots, N$) with partial sums $h(m) = \sum_{l < m} \rho_l$ and $w(m) = \sum_{l < m} (E_l/E) \rho_l$ such that $h(0) = w(0) = 0$ and $h(N) = w(N) = 1$.

The RJ distribution (1) follows also from the Bose-Einstein thermal distribution for quantum states at high temperature with $T \gg E_m$ [11]. However, we assume that the wealth dynamics is described by the classical field equations so that RJ distribution is valid for any temperatures T including negative ones [10, 29]. It was shown [10, 23, 29] that a nonlinear perturbation of a generic system of linear oscillators leads to a chaotic dynamics (for a perturbation above a chaos border) with dynamical thermalization described by the RJ distribution over energies (or oscillator frequencies $\omega_m \propto E_m$).

The thermalization can have a dynamical origin with a chaotic nonlinear dynamics leading to (1) or it can appear due to an external thermal bath. We assume that a dynamical origin of WTH is more adequate since in a first approximation on a scale of one year a country or the whole world can be considered to be quasi-isolated from slow external processes with approximate conservation of norm and energy. We note that the GDP is changing from one year to another but this change is small and can be considered as adiabatic justifying the conservation of

the two integrals of motion.

To compare RJ results with real Lorenz curves as in [10] we consider two models for the energies E_m . The first one is the simplest assumption that density of state $\nu(E_m) = dm/dE_m$ is constant and energies are equidistant ($0 \leq E_m = Bm/(N-1)$) where N is the total number of states and $B = E_{N-1}$ is the energy bandwidth. We call this case the RJ standard (RJS) model. Its properties are discussed in detail in [10, 23]. The Lorenz curves, dependencies $T(\varepsilon)$, $\mu(\varepsilon)$, phase diagram at various values of the dimensionless parameter $\varepsilon = E/B$ are shown in Figs. I.1, I.2, A1, A2 in [10]. At fixed values of ε the Lorenz curves do not depend on the particular choice of the global energy scale B . As in [10], to compare the RJS model with the real Lorenz curves we fix the parameter ε by the condition that both cases have the same Gini coefficient G . For the theoretical Lorenz curve based on (1) we use $N = 10^4$ (the theoretical Lorenz curves are very similar between different values of N as long as N is sufficiently large).

Following [10], we also consider a more realistic model with $E_m = C[\exp(am/N) - 1]/a$ and $\nu(E_m) = N/(1 + aE_m/C)$. It takes into account that there is a smaller number of states at high revenues. Here $C \approx Ba/[\exp(a) - 1]$ is a global constant related to the bandwidth B whose value is not important for the particular form of the obtained Lorenz curves. We call this case the RJ exponential (RJE) model which has two parameters a and ε . The limit $a \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to the RJS model with $E_m = Bm/N$. For a given value of the parameter a the value of $\varepsilon(a)$ is determined by matching the Gini coefficient G with its value from a real Lorenz curve and then the optimal value a is computed by minimizing the average curve distance between the real Lorenz curve and the RJE Lorenz curve. In this way, we find that the RJE model gives results being very close to the real Lorenz curves (but at a price of two fit parameters ε , a as compared to the RJS case having only one parameter ε). These RJS and RJE models have growing state (asset) values E_m that depict the social stratification phenomenon broadly studied in sociology and known to be present in a society [30–32].

GDP results. The GDP Lorenz curves for years 1973, 1983, 1993, 2003, 2013, 2023, obtained from UN data [3], are shown in Fig. 1 (a zoomed version is available at Supplementary Material (SupMat) Fig. S1). In this time range of 50 years the Lorenz curve remains remarkably stable showing only small variations from year to year even if the total world GDP is changed from 5.23×10^{12} in 1973 to 1.05×10^{14} USD in 2023 and the total number of countries and territories is changed from $N = 187$ to $N = 212$ during this period (the list of all countries is available at [2, 3]). The stability of the Lorenz curve confirms an adiabatic variation of the GDP world evolution and the approximate conservation of the two integrals of motion.

In Fig. 2, we compare the GDP Lorenz curves of 1973




FIG. 1: Lorenz curves of GDP for countries from UN data [3] for 6 years between 1973 and 2023. The x -axis corresponds to the cumulated fraction of households/countries (h) and the y -axis to the cumulated fraction of wealth/GDP (w).

and 2023 with the WTH theory within the RJS and RJE models (the comparison for years 1983, 1993, 2003, 2013 is given in SupMat Fig. S2). The Lorenz curves for 1973 and 2023 years are rather close to each other and thus the parameters of RJS and RJE models are also close for these 2 years (same is valid for the other 4 years shown in SupMat Fig. S2). On a scale of 50 years the system parameters have only modest variations in the ranges: $0.871 \leq G \leq 0.904$; $0.0479 \leq \varepsilon(RJS) \leq 0.0646$; $0.0078 \leq \varepsilon(RJE) \leq 0.0157$; $3.622 \leq a \leq 4.31$. The RJS model describes well the global behavior of Lorenz curves but has visible deviations while the RJE model gives almost perfect agreement with real data.

Of course one can express a criticism saying that a fit of a monotonic curve with two parameters (as RJE case) may give a rather good agreement but only the parameter a influences the form of the spectrum E_m and the other parameter ε is simply the rescaled energy. Furthermore, in our opinion the most important point is not the almost perfect agreement of two curves of real data and the RJE model (even if it is useful to have it) but the physical origin of RJ condensation that naturally explains the appearance of the phase of high poverty and the oligarchic phase. Thus for the year 2023 60% of countries own 2% of the total GDP and 10% (1%) of countries own 82% (44%) of GDP (values obtained by linear interpolation from the raw data). These numbers are rather similar to those of wealth inequality for the whole world [5]. The physical reason of RJ condensation is a small rescaled value of total system energy $\varepsilon = E/B \sim 0.01 \ll 1$.

It is possible to decrease the fraction of poverty phase by increasing the dimensionless system energy $\varepsilon(RJE)$ as it is shown in Fig. 3 for RJE model at year 2023 (at the same time the structure of energies E_m is fixed with $a = 4.31 = \text{const}$ being independent of $\varepsilon(RJE)$). Indeed, the results of Fig. 3 show that an increase of $\varepsilon(RJE)$ gives a significant reduction of the poverty phase (shown




FIG. 2: Top panel (a): Lorenz curve for the year 1973 from UN data [3] shown by red curve with (+), Gini coefficient is $G = 0.892$; WTH theory with RJS model with $\varepsilon(RJS) = 0.0538$ at same G (green curve); RJE model results are shown with blue curve at $\varepsilon(RJE) = 0.00888$, $a = 4.301$; bottom panel (b) shows same style data for the year 2023 with $G = 0.88$, $\varepsilon(RJS) = 0.0601$ for the RJS model and $\varepsilon(RJE) = 0.01$, $a = 4.31$ for the RJE model. Parameters T , μ for RJS and RJE models for year 2023 at $N = 212$ are given in SupMat.




FIG. 3: Color plot of wealth w from Lorenz curves for the RJE model at $a = 4.31$. The x -axis corresponds to the fraction of households $h \in [0, 1]$ and the y -axis to the rescaled energy $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_C[$ where $\varepsilon_C = 0.218$ is the critical value at which the transition from $T > 0$ to $T < 0$ appears. The ticks mark integer multiples of 0.1 for h and ε . The white line corresponds to $\varepsilon(RJE) = 0.01$ obtained from the fit of the RJE model using the GDP data of 2023 shown in Fig. 2.

by blue color) however this requires a quite strong boost of this $\varepsilon(RJE)$ parameter that may not be an easy task. We note that here, as in [10] for wealth of country households, we consider only the cases with positive temperature which for the RJE model at $a = 4.31$ corresponds to $\varepsilon(RJE) < \varepsilon_C = 0.218$.

In addition to the WTH results based on UN data [3],




FIG. 4: The Lorenz curve for SE market capitalization of $N = 113$ countries, data from [33]. The Lorenz curves are shown in the same style as in Fig. 2. Here $G = 0.895$, $\varepsilon(RJS) = 0.0525$ for the RJS model, $\varepsilon(RJE) = 0.0119$, $a = 3.721$ for the RJE model.

we show the results obtained with GDP data sets from IMF (2025) and WB (2024) [2] (see SupMat Figs. S3 and S4). These IMF and WB results are very similar to those of UN.

Another wealth measure of a country can be expressed via the total SE market capitalization of all domestic companies listed in the country SE (with World Bank data available at Wikipedia [33]). In recent years 2024-2025 this list has $N = 113$ countries with the top total Market Cap $M = 62186$ billion USD for USA and minimal one $M = 0.388$ million USD for Mongolia. The ratio of Market Cap to GDP changes from country to country being about 2.1 and 0.6 for USA and China, 0.8 for UK, 1.3 for France and 0.4 for Germany. Thus the Market Cap represents a complementary country wealth measure in addition to the GDP. The Lorenz curves for Market Cap data [33] are shown in Fig. 4 in the same presentation style as in Fig. 2 for GDP. As for GDP data we find that the WTH describes well the real data: certain deviations are present for the RJS model while the RJE model describes the data almost perfectly. The parameters of RJS and RJE models are close to those of the GDP cases in Fig. 2. The poverty phase that owns 2% of total Market Cap corresponds to 68% of countries, 10% (1%) of countries own 82% (48%) of the total Market Cap. For the RJE model the variation of the poverty and oligarchic phase with $\varepsilon(RJE)$ (for $a = 3.721$, $\varepsilon_C = 0.244$) are shown in Fig. 5 which is similar to the GDP case in Fig. 3.

The WTH approach describes well the GDP distributions, wealth of country households [5], and distributions of Market Cap of SE companies presented in [10]. Thus for three types of players the WTH theory explains very well the existing inequality: for wealth of individual persons, market capitalization of companies and GDP distribution over countries. The number of persons for each




FIG. 5: As Fig. 3 with $a = 3.721$, $\varepsilon_C = 0.244$ and a white line at $\varepsilon(RJE) = 0.0119$ obtained from the fit of the RJE model using the SE Market Cap data shown in Fig. 4.

of such a player is very different. But we argue that the thermalization takes place for an individual player and not for individual persons that belong to a given player. This situation is similar to a case of gas in a 3D box composed of different atoms: even if the masses are different for different sorts of atoms still the average kinetic energies of atoms are the same being equal to $3kT/2$ where k in the Boltzmann constant and T is temperature. However, the atom square velocity is inversely proportional to atomic mass. Similarly, for the GDP per capita [34] the three top positions correspond to Monaco, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg that do not produce a significant influence on the world economy. Of course, for GDP of countries certain effects of high or small country population may play some role but we argue that this does not affect the RJ thermalization of the GDP distribution. For completeness we present also the Lorenz curve of population of countries, taken from [35], (see SupMat Fig. S5) which also has a shape similar to those of RJS and RJE models, but we do not discuss possibilities of thermalization of population of countries.

Discussion. The obtained results show that the Lorenz curve of world GDP distribution remains stable during last 50 years with only weak variations from year to year. This stresses the universal foundations being at the grounds of this distribution. We proposed here the WTH theory according to which GDP distribution is described by the RJ thermodynamic distribution that appears as a result of various interactions between countries, e.g. international trade. In this RJ approach there is a phenomenon of RJ condensation leading to concentration a huge fraction of countries at very low GDP values (e.g. 60% own only 2% of total GDP). The RJ description assumes the existence of certain thermal states with energy spectrum E_m describing levels of GDP. For the simplest case with a constant density of these states we obtain the RJS model that describes well the real Lorenz curves but deviations from them are still present. The extended RJE model, where the density of states decreases at high GDP values, gives almost perfect description of real Lorenz curves. However, for us the main argument in the favor of WTH description of GDP distribution is that the phenomenon of RJ condensation gives a fundamental explanation of the GDP inequality. Moreover, the WTH theory [10] also describes the inequality of country

households [5] and inequality of market capitalization of companies at SE of Hong Kong, London, New York [10].

We hope that the WTH description of inequality in different society groups will find further useful applications.

Acknowledgments: This work has been partially supported through the grant NANOX N° ANR-17-EURE-0009 in the framework of the Programme Investissements d’Avenir (project MTDINA).

-
- [1] Wikipedia, *Gross domestic product*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gross_domestic_product (Accessed on 25 November 2025).
 - [2] Wikipedia, *List of countries by GDP (nominal)*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_\(nominal\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_(nominal)) (Accessed on 25 November 2025).
 - [3] United Nations Statistical Division, *National Accounts*, <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/snaama/Downloads> (Accessed on 25 November 2025).
 - [4] T. Piketty, *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*, Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA (2014).
 - [5] L. Chancel, T. Piketty, E. Saez, G. Zucman *et al.* *World Inequality Report 2022*, World Inequality Lab <https://wir2022.wid.world> (Accessed on 25 November 2025).
 - [6] B. Roach, P. J. Rajkanikar, N. Goodwin, and J. Harris, *Social and Economic Inequality*, An ECI Teaching Module on Social and Environmental Issues, Economics in Context Initiative, Global Development Policy Center, Boston University (2023), <https://www.bu.edu/eci/files/2023/05/Inequality-Module-2023.pdf> (Accessed 25 november 2025).
 - [7] M.O. Lorenz, *Methods of measuring the concentration of wealth*, Quarterly Publications of the American Statistical Association, **9**, (New Series, No. 70), 209–219 (1905); <https://doi.org/10.1080/15225437.1905.10503443> (Accessed 25 November 2025).
 - [8] C. Gini, *Sulla misura della concentrazione e della variabilità dei caratteri*, Atti del Reale Istituto Veneto di Scienze, Lettere ed Arti, **73**, 1203–1248 (1914); English translation in Metron - Int. J. Statistics, **63**, 3–38 (2005); <https://www.dss.uniroma1.it/RePec/mtn/articoli/2005-1-1.pdf> (Accessed 25 November 2025).
 - [9] Wikipedia, *List of sovereign states by wealth inequality*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_sovereign_states_by_wealth_inequality (Accessed 25 November 2025).
 - [10] K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Wealth thermalization hypothesis and social networks*, arXiv:2506.17720 [cond-mat.stat-mech] (2025).
 - [11] L.D. Landau, and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical physics*, Wiley, New York (1976).
 - [12] V.E. Zakharov, V.S. L’vov, and G. Falkovich, *Kolmogorov spectra of turbulence*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).
 - [13] A. Dragulescu, and V. Yakovenko, *Statistical mechanics of money*, Eur. Phys. J. B. **17**, 723 (2000).
 - [14] V. M. Yakovenko, and J. B. Rosser, *Colloquium: Statistical mechanics of money, wealth, and income*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1703 (2009).
 - [15] D. Ludwig, and V.M. Yakovenko, *Physics-inspired analysis of the two-class income distribution in the USA in 1983–2018*, Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **380** (2224), 20210162 (2022); <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0162>.
 - [16] B. M. Boghosian, A. Devitt-Lee, M. Johnson, J. Li, J. A. Marcq, and H. Wang, *Oligarchy as a phase transition: the effect of wealth-attained advantage in a Fokker–Planck description of asset exchange*, Physica A **476**, 15 (2017).
 - [17] B. M. Boghosian, *The inescapable casino*, Sci. American, November, 71 (2019).
 - [18] S. Ispolatov, P. L. Krapivsky, and S. Redner, *Wealth distributions in asset exchange models*, Eur. Phys. J. B. **2**, 267 (1998).
 - [19] J.-P. Bouchaud, and M. Mezard, *Wealth condensation in a simple model of economy*, Physica A **282**, 536 (2000).
 - [20] B. K. Chakrabarti, A. Chakraborti, S.R. Chakravarty, and A. Chatterjee, *Econophysics of income and wealth distributions*, Cambridge University Press, N.Y. (2013).
 - [21] C. Connaughton, C. Josserand, A. Picozzi, Y. Pomeau, and S. Rica, *Condensation of classical nonlinear waves*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 263901 (2005).
 - [22] Aschieri P., Garnier J., Michel C., Doya V. and Picozzi A., *Condensation and thermalization of classical optical waves in a waveguide*, Phys. Rev. A **2011**, 83, 033838.
 - [23] L. Ermann, A.D. Chepelianskii, and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Dynamical thermalization, Rayleigh–Jeans condensate, vortexes and wave collapse in quantum chaos fibers and fluid of light*, arXiv:2506.06534[cond-mat.stat-mech] (2025).
 - [24] Krupa K., Tonello A., Barthelemy A., Shalaby B.M., Bendahmane A. Millot G. and Wabnitz S., *Observation of geometric parametric instability induced by the periodic spatial self-imaging of multimode waves*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **2016**, 116, 183901.
 - [25] K. Baudin, A. Fusaro, K. Krupa, J. Garnier, S. Rica, G. Millot, and A. Picozzi, *Classical Rayleigh–Jeans condensation of light waves: observation and thermodynamic characterization*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 244101 (2020).
 - [26] E.V. Podivilov, F. Mangini, O.S. Sidelnikov, M. Ferraro, M. Gervaziev, D.S. Kharenko, M. Zitelli, M.P. Fedoruk, S.A. Babin, and S. Wabnitz, *Thermalization of orbital angular momentum beams in multimode optical fibers*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 243901 (2022).
 - [27] H. Pourbeyram, P. Sidorenko, F.O. Wu, N. Bender, L. Wright, D.N. Christodoulides, and F. Wise, *Direct observations of thermalization to a Rayleigh–Jeans distribution in multimode optical fibres*, Nature Phys. **18**, 685 (2022).
 - [28] K. Baudi, J. Garnier, A. Fusaro, N. Berti, C. Michel, K. Krupa, G. Millot. and A. Picozzi, *Observation of light thermalization to negative-temperature Rayleigh–Jeans equilibrium states in multimode optical fibers*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 063801 (2023).
 - [29] K.M. Frahm and D.L. Shepelyansky, *Nonlinear perturbation of Random Matrix Theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 077201 (2023).
 - [30] K. Marx, *Das Kapital: Kritik der politischen Oekonomie. Vol. 1: Der Produktionsprozess des Kapitals (1 ed.)*, Verlag von Otto Meissner, Hamburg (1867); doi:10.3931/e-rara-25773

- [31] G.E. Lenski, *Power and privilege: A theory of social stratification*, Univ. North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, USA (1984).
- [32] H.R. Kerbo, *Social Stratification and Inequality: Class Conflict in Historical, Comparative, and Global Perspective*, (9th ed.), Routledge, New York (2017).
- [33] Wikipedia, *List of countries by stock market capitalization*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_stock_market_capitalization (Accessed 25 November 2025).
- [34] Wikipedia, *List of countries by GDP (nominal) per capita*, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_\(nominal\)_per_capita](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_(nominal)_per_capita) (Accessed 25 November 2025).
- [35] . Wikipedia, *List of countries and dependencies by population*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_and_dependencies_by_population (Accessed 25 November 2025).

Supplementary Material for

Thermodynamic description of world GDP distribution over countries

by K. M. Frahm and D. L. Shepelyansky

Univ Toulouse, CNRS. Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Toulouse, France

Submitted December 6, 2025 with additional figures and explanations.

Here we present additional Figures as a complement to the main part of the paper.




FIG. S1: Zoom of Fig. 1 for $h \in [0.6, 1]$.

We also give the approximate values of system parameters B, T, μ for the two cases of the RJS and the RJE model for the UN data of 2023 year in Fig. 2(b). For this year we have $N = 212$ countries and territories with the total world GDP being $E \approx 100$ trillion USD $= 10^{14}$ USD. For the RJS model at $\varepsilon(RJS) = E/B = 0.0601$ of Fig. 2b this gives $B = E/\varepsilon \approx 1670$ trillion USD with a spacing between levels $\Delta(RJS) = E_{m+1} - E_m = B/N \approx 7.9$ trillion USD. The solution of the two equations for the two conserved integrals: $\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} E_m \rho_m = E$ and $\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \rho_m = 1$ with $\rho_m = T/(E_m - \mu) = (E - \mu)/[N(E_m - \mu)]$ gives $\mu = -0.74$ trillion USD, $T = (E - \mu)/N \approx E/N \approx 0.47$ trillion USD $\ll \Delta$ and the probability of the ground state is $\rho_0 = -T/\mu \approx 0.64$ indicating a rather strong RJ condensation.

For the RJE model we have $\mu = -1.57$ trillion USD, $T \approx E/N \approx 0.47$ trillion USD and $\rho_0 = -T/\mu \approx 0.30$. Here the initial level spacing (at $m \ll N = 212$ and using $a = 4.31$) is $\Delta(RJE) = C/N = Ba/[N(e^a - 1)] \approx B(RJE)/(17N) = E/(17N\varepsilon(RJE)) \approx (6/17)\Delta(RJS) \approx 2.8$ trillion USD which is still larger than T but smaller than $\Delta(RJS)$. Despite of the smaller value of $\varepsilon(RJE) = 0.01$ the effect of RJ condensation for the RJE model in comparison to the RJS case is a bit

reduced, but still clearly present since the effect of the reduced value of ε (gives a factor 6 for Δ) is more than

compensated by the the factor $1/17$ from the exponential factor $a/(e^a - 1) \approx 1/17$ due to the RJE model.




FIG. S2: As Fig. 2 using the GDP UN data of Ref. [3] for the years 1983 (a), 1993 (b), 2003 (c) and 2013 (d).




FIG. S3: As Fig. 2 using the GDP IMF data of Ref. [2] for the year 2025.




FIG. S4: As Fig. 2 using the GDP World Bank data of Ref. [2] for the year 2025.




FIG. S5: As Fig. 2 but for the population of countries for the year 2023 using the UN data of Ref. [32]. Here the Lorenz curve is computed assuming that each country represents the same household fraction.