

Holographic Entanglement Entropy in Janus deformed AdS_3 Geometries

Ankit Anand^{}, Himanshu Chourasiya^{}, Ankur Dey^{} and Gautam Sengupta^{}

*Department of Physics,
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur,
208016, India*

E-mail: anand@iitk.ac.in, chim@iitk.ac.in, ankurd21@iitk.ac.in,
sengupta@iitk.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

We investigate the time dependent entanglement entropy for boosted single intervals in interface conformal field theories (ICFT₂s) dual to Janus deformed AdS_3 geometries. For a Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 background, we obtain the entanglement entropy and a Janus induced correction using a replica technique for the equivalent dual field theory described on a conformally flat background on corresponding AdS_2 slices on the asymptotic boundary. The holographic entanglement entropy is then computed through certain embedding relations for the bulk Janus deformed AdS_3 geometry which exactly match with the field theory results. We further extend our analysis to investigate the entanglement entropy of corresponding intervals in ICFT₂s dual to bulk Janus deformed BTZ black hole and AdS_3 black string geometries obtaining consistent results from both the field theoretic and bulk computations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Review of earlier literature	3
2.1	Janus Deformed Solution	3
2.2	Correction to entanglement entropy	5
3	Janus deformed Poincaré AdS₃ geometry	7
3.1	Entanglement Entropy	7
3.2	Holographic Entanglement Entropy	9
4	Janus deformed planar BTZ black hole geometry	10
4.1	Entanglement Entropy	12
4.2	Holographic Entanglement Entropy	13
5	Janus deformed AdS₃ black string geometry	14
5.1	Entanglement Entropy	14
5.2	Holographic Entanglement Entropy	16
6	Summary and Discussions	17

1 Introduction

A class of asymptotically AdS spacetime described as Janus deformed geometries which are solutions of Einstein gravity with a non-trivial dilaton field profile were first introduced in the context of Type IIB supergravity on $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times \mathbb{M}_4$ [1–4]. In general, the bulk geometry is described by an AdS_d sliced domain wall solution of an AdS_{d+1} geometry mediated by a massless dilaton field with dependence on the slicing coordinate. Due to distinct asymptotic values of the dilaton on opposite halves of the boundary, a sudden transition is observed in the coupling constant of the corresponding marginal operator in the deformed dual field theory. This breaks the $SO(d, 2)$ conformal symmetry down to $SO(d-1, 2)$ preserved along the interface, thus realizing an interface conformal field theory (ICFT) as the holographic dual [3, 5, 6]. An alternate effective lower dimensional description was subsequently developed in [7] to describe double sided Janus₃ black holes in the context of the island formalism and the black hole information loss paradox.

Early applications of Janus deformation within the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence focused on determining the boundary entropy contribution to the holographic entanglement entropy, which agreed with the ICFT computations using the g -theorem [4, 6, 8, 9]. Subsequently this was extended to investigate bulk Janus deformed BTZ black hole dual to ICFTs at

finite temperatures [6, 7, 10, 11] and to the time-dependent Janus deformed eternal BTZ black holes dual to two entangled thermal CFTs [12, 13]. In recent works, the Janus deformation parameter has been analytically continued to imaginary values as a toy model of traversable wormholes and time-like Janus solutions dual to global quantum quenches of the corresponding dual ICFTs [14, 15]. Further studies have explored quantum information aspects such as complexity [16–19], pseudo-entropy [20], and the emergence of islands [21–26], in the context of Janus deformed AdS geometries [7, 27, 28]. Check [29–40] for more interesting developments involving Janus deformed geometries.

Despite these advancements, existing analysis of entanglement entropy in Janus deformed AdS_3 geometries have been confined to purely spatial single intervals which are symmetric about the interface in the corresponding dual ICFTs. A natural question is whether these computations can be extended to more generic boosted single intervals which are asymmetric about the interface. Addressing this requires refinement of the usual bulk computation and the field theory techniques for Janus deformed geometries and the corresponding dual ICFTs which leads to interesting insights into the quantum information aspects of these models.

In this article, we address this interesting question and obtain the holographic entanglement entropy for boosted single intervals asymmetric about the interface in ICFTs dual to Janus deformed AdS_3 geometries. In this context we first analyze the entanglement entropy of asymmetric single intervals in ICFTs dual to Janus deformed AdS_3 Poincaré geometry and the correction due to the marginal deformation. To this end the entanglement entropy is computed through a replica technique [41] utilizing a framework where the dual field theory is described on a conformally flat background arising from an AdS_2 foliation of the bulk geometry, as an alternative to the conventional ICFT description. Subsequently from the bulk perspective, we employ an embedding space formulation to compute the length of the RT/HRT surface [42, 43] and obtain the holographic entanglement entropy with a Janus induced correction term. We observe an exact agreement between the field theory and the bulk computation results. Following this we apply our formulation to compute the modified holographic entanglement entropy for boosted single intervals in thermal ICFTs dual to bulk Janus deformed BTZ black hole and AdS_3 black string geometries respectively.

The remainder of the article is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly discuss the essentials of Janus deformation and computations of the entanglement entropy for purely spatial interval symmetric about the interface in ICFTs dual to Janus deformed AdS_3 geometries. Subsequently, in section 3, we describe the computation of the corrected entanglement entropy for generic boosted single intervals asymmetric about the interface in field theories dual to Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry. Following this in sections 4 and 5 we extend our analysis to Janus deformed BTZ black holes and AdS_3 black string geometries and their corresponding dual field theories. Finally, in section 6 we summarize our results and discuss their implications.

2 Review of earlier literature

In this section, we briefly review the construction of the three-dimensional Janus solution [3, 10] and its holographic dual described as an Interface CFT [7]. Subsequently we discuss the computation of the Janus induced correction to the entanglement entropy for a purely spatial single interval symmetric about the interface in the ICFT₂ dual to the bulk Janus deformed Poincaré AdS₃ geometry.

2.1 Janus Deformed Solution

We now discuss the construction of a three-dimensional Janus solution [3, 10], which can be embedded into a ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity on AdS₃ × S³ × M₄ described by the metric

$$ds^2 = e^{\phi/2}(ds_{S_3}^2 + ds_{\mathbb{M}_4}^2) + e^{-\phi/2}ds_{\mathbb{M}_4}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where S_3 is a 3-sphere, \mathbb{M}_4 is an internal manifold, and ϕ is a non-trivial dilaton profile along the AdS₃ directions introduced by the deformation. For non-supersymmetric Janus solutions the dilaton and the metric g_{ab} of AdS₃ geometry are independent of the coordinates of S_3 and \mathbb{M}_4 . As a result, through a dimensional reduction the relevant dynamics of ϕ and g_{ab} may be described by the action

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_3} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left(R_3 - g^{ab} \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

which couples the three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant to a scalar field. The variation of the above action with respect to the metric leads to the Einstein field equation

$$R_{ab} + 2g_{ab} = \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi, \quad (2.3)$$

while the variation with respect to ϕ results in the equation of motion of the dilaton field as

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \phi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_a \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \partial_b \phi \right) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

The above equations may be solved by adopting a metric ansatz for a Janus deformed AdS₃ geometry as

$$ds_3^2 = f(\rho) ds_{\text{AdS}_2}^2 + d\rho^2, \quad \phi = \phi(\rho), \quad (2.5)$$

where $ds_{\text{AdS}_2}^2$ denotes the AdS₂ line element and $\rho \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ is a hyperbolic angular coordinate such that the asymptotic boundary lies at $\rho \rightarrow \pm\infty$.¹ The Janus deformed AdS₃ geometry can thus be interpreted as an AdS₂ foliation of AdS₃, where each slice is warped

¹Note that this hyperbolic angle ρ is different in nature from the hyperbolic angle for an undeformed AdS₃ geometry, and are related via a finite multiplicative factor dependent on the deformation parameter γ for large hyperbolic angles.




Figure 1: This diagram representing the AdS_2 slicing of the AdS_3 Poincaré geometry. The orange lines represent the constant ρ surfaces, where the induced metric on each slice is an AdS_2 geometry with a warping factor. The constant y surfaces are represented by the blue curves. Figure modified from [27].

by a non-trivial function of ρ (and as we shall see, on the deformation parameter γ as well). In case of a Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry (depicted in fig. 1), the above metric becomes

$$ds_3^2 = f(\rho) \frac{-dt^2 + dy^2}{y^2} + d\rho^2, \quad (2.6)$$

where t is the Lorentzian time coordinate and y is a radial coordinate extending into the bulk. Solving the equations of motion in eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) using the ansatz in eq. (2.5) yields the solution

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} \cosh 2\rho \right), \\ \phi(\rho) &= \phi_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} + \sqrt{2}\gamma \tanh \rho}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} - \sqrt{2}\gamma \tanh \rho} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where the integration constant ϕ_0 is the value of the dilaton field at $\rho = 0$, while $\gamma \in [0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]$ is the Janus deformation parameter. The undeformed AdS_3 geometry corresponds to $\gamma = 0$, where the dilaton field is now a global constant ϕ_0 .

The holographic dual of the Janus deformed AdS_3 geometry is a CFT_2 deformed by a marginal operator $\mathcal{O}(w, \bar{w})$. In the conformal perturbative limit, the action of the deformed theory is described as

$$I_\gamma = I_0 + \gamma \int d^2w \, J_\pm \, \mathcal{O}(w, \bar{w}) + O(\gamma^2), \quad (2.8)$$

where w, \bar{w} represent the complex coordinate and its conjugate in the undeformed field theory on the asymptotic boundary. The couplings J_\pm are related to the asymptotic values of the dilaton field ϕ as $J_\pm = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \pm\infty} \phi(\rho)$. Given that there are two different asymptotic

values of ϕ , the resultant theory is an interface CFT_2 where different coupling constants are observed on either side of the interface. The marginal nature of the deformation preserves the central charge, and the resulting theory can be schematically represented as [7]

$$\text{ICFT} = \text{CFT}_- \times \text{QM} \times \text{CFT}_+, \quad (2.9)$$

where “QM” denotes the quantum mechanical system of the interface degrees of freedom. The presence of the interface breaks the $SO(d, 2)$ conformal symmetry of the undeformed CFT_2 . Consequently the ICFT_2 preserves a $SO(d - 1, 2)$ conformal symmetry along the interface.

2.2 Correction to entanglement entropy

We now discuss the computations of the Janus induced correction to the entanglement entropy. Considering a CFT_2 defined on a spatial manifold partitioned into two complementary regions A and B , the complete Hilbert space factorizes as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{A,B}$ correspond to the subspaces associated with A and B , respectively. The reduced density matrix for region A is obtained by tracing out the degrees of freedom in B

$$\rho_A = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_B} \rho_{AB},$$

where ρ_{AB} denotes the density matrix of the full system. The entanglement entropy of region A is then defined as

$$S_A = -\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_A} (\rho_A \log \rho_A).$$

While the computation of entanglement entropy is relatively straightforward for finite quantum systems, it becomes computationally intractable in quantum field theories (QFTs), where the reduced density matrix is infinite-dimensional. The authors in [41, 44, 45] developed a replica technique to compute the entanglement entropy in CFT_2 using the Rényi generalization of the entanglement entropy as

$$S_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} S_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1 - n} \log \text{Tr}(\rho_A)^n. \quad (2.10)$$

The field theory computation of the Janus induced correction to the entanglement entropy of a subsystem A assumed on the asymptotic boundary is described in details in [8]. Assuming the internal manifold \mathbb{M}_4 to be a 4-torus \mathbb{T}_4 , the authors state that the correction to the entanglement entropy for a purely spatial interval symmetric about the interface in an ICFT dual to a Janus deformed AdS geometry characterizes the degrees of freedom localized at the interface, and may be described by a universal term

$$\Delta S_A \propto \log \frac{\sqrt{\frac{R_+}{R_-} + \frac{R_-}{R_+}}}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Here R_{\pm} describes the compactified radius of the 4-torus (with different radii on either side of the interface) related to the asymptotic values ϕ_{as} of the dilaton field. Given that ϕ_{as} is

in turn related to the deformation parameter γ , the ratios of the two radii may be described as

$$\frac{R_+}{R_-} = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{2}\gamma}{1 - \sqrt{2}\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Finally, the correction to the entanglement entropy is then perturbatively obtained as

$$\Delta S_A = \frac{c}{6} \gamma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^4), \quad (2.13)$$

where c describes the central charge of the field theory.

In the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ framework, the holographic entanglement entropy is computed using the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) prescription [42, 46]. For a spatial interval A considered on the asymptotic boundary of a bulk AdS_3 geometry, the holographic entanglement entropy is obtained in terms of the area \mathcal{L} of the minimal surface homologous to A as

$$S_A = \frac{\mathcal{L}}{4G_3}. \quad (2.14)$$

The correction to the holographic entanglement entropy induced by Janus deformation in Poincaré AdS_3 geometries is derived in [8]. Once again, considering that A is symmetric about the interface and has endpoints at $(t, y_1, \pm\rho_c)$, the minimal surface can be parametrized in terms of only the hyperbolic coordinate ρ , which varies from $-\rho_c$ to $+\rho_c$. In the vicinity of the asymptotic boundary, the metric in eq. (2.6) becomes

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} \frac{e^{2\rho} - dt^2 + dy^2}{y^2}. \quad (2.15)$$

Evaluation of the holographic entanglement entropy [4, 8, 10] requires the computation of the regularized geodesic length near the asymptotic boundary. Given that the Janus deformed geometry is asymptotically AdS_3 , this may be achieved by equivalently expressing the asymptotic geometry as

$$ds^2 \simeq \frac{1}{z^2} (dz^2 + dx^2 - dt^2), \quad (2.16)$$

where $z = \epsilon$ is the UV regularization of the CFT at the asymptotic boundary. This equivalence further allows us to determine the hyperbolic angles ρ_c in terms of ϵ as

$$(1 - 2\gamma^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \frac{e^{\rho_c}}{2} = \frac{y_1}{\epsilon} \implies \rho_c = \log \left[\frac{2y_1}{\epsilon} (1 - 2\gamma^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right], \quad (2.17)$$

Using the above relation, the correction to the geodesic length due to the Janus deformation may be evaluated as

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} \equiv \rho_c^{(\gamma)} - \rho_c^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (2.18)$$

Using the RT formula, the correction to the holographic entanglement entropy due to Janus deformation may then be determined as

$$\Delta S_A = \frac{\Delta \mathcal{L}}{4G_3} = \frac{1}{4G_3} \gamma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^4). \quad (2.19)$$

which matches with eq. (2.13) on applying the Brown Henneaux relation $c = \frac{3}{2G_3}$ [47].




Figure 2: This diagram illustrates a boosted single interval A (depicted in orange) asymmetric about the interface in the field theory dual to the bulk Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry. The solid black lines represent the asymptotic boundary of the Janus deformed geometry, while the black dashed lines denote the asymptotic boundary for an undeformed geometry. Additionally, the green curve represents the minimal geodesic (the RT/HRT surface) between the endpoints of the subsystem A .

3 Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry

Having discussed the Janus deformed AdS_3 solutions and computation of the entanglement entropy for single spatial intervals symmetric about the interface, we now consider boosted single intervals asymmetric about the interface in the field theory dual to the Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry described by eq. (2.6). In this case, the metric on each foliation (induced on a constant ρ slice) is a Poincaré AdS_2 geometry warped by $f(\rho)$. As described previously, the asymptotic boundary lies at $\rho \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

We begin with the field theoretic computation of the entanglement entropy. The key step is the conformal mapping of the effective boundary metric induced by the bulk geometry to a conformally flat form. The entanglement entropy is then evaluated using the replica technique, where the Janus induced correction to the entanglement entropy arises from the Weyl conformal factor. From the bulk perspective, we utilize certain embedding relations to determine the length of the RT/HRT surface and obtain the holographic entanglement entropy.

3.1 Entanglement Entropy

In this subsection, we consider a boosted single interval $A = [(y_1, t_1), (y_2, t_2)]$ on the asymptotic boundary of a Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry (as illustrated in fig. 2), and compute the entanglement entropy between A and its complement using the replica trick

[41]. The metric induced at the asymptotic boundary $\rho = \rho_c$ of the bulk geometry is given

$$ds^2 = f(\rho_c) \left(\frac{-dt^2 + dy^2}{y^2} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

which may further be expressed as a conformally flat form as

$$ds^2 = \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} \left(\frac{e^{\rho_c}}{2y} \right)^2 (-dt^2 + dy^2) = \Omega^2(y) ds_{\text{flat}}^2, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Omega(y) = \frac{(1-2\gamma^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2y} e^{\rho_c}$ is the conformal factor. Utilizing the replica trick, the entanglement entropy for a subsystem A may be computed as

$$S(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \log \Omega(y)^{-\Delta_n} \langle \phi_n(y_1, t_1) \phi_n(y_2, t_2) \rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\phi_n(y_1)$ and $\phi_n(y_2)$ are the twist field operators located at the end points of the subsystem A , while $\Delta_n = \frac{c}{12} (n - \frac{1}{n})$ is the conformal dimension of the twist operators. Now using the known form of the two-point function² and subsequently taking the replica limit, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(A) &= \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{c1}} e^{\rho_{c2}} ((y_2 + y_1)^2 + (t_2 - t_1)^2)}{4y_1 y_2} \right] + \frac{c}{12} \log (1 - 2\gamma^2), \\ &= S_\gamma(A) + \frac{c}{12} \log (1 - 2\gamma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $S_\gamma(A)$ represents the Janus deformed entanglement entropy expressed in terms of the deformed hyperbolic coordinates ρ_{c1} and ρ_{c2} . Putting $\gamma = 0$ leads to the undeformed scenario, and using eq. (3.4) we have

$$S(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{\epsilon_1}} e^{\rho_{\epsilon_2}} ((y_2 + y_1)^2 + (t_2 - t_1)^2)}{4y_1 y_2} \right], \quad (3.5)$$

where ρ_{ϵ_1} and ρ_{ϵ_2} are the hyperbolic coordinates in the undeformed AdS_3 and are related to the UV cut-off as

$$\rho_{\epsilon_1} = \log \frac{2y_1}{\epsilon}, \quad \rho_{\epsilon_2} = \log \frac{2y_2}{\epsilon}. \quad (3.6)$$

The entanglement entropy in the Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 geometry may then be expressed in terms of an undeformed entanglement entropy and a correction term

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{\epsilon_1} + \rho_{\epsilon_2}} (-(t_1 - t_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2)}{4y_1 y_2} \right] - \frac{c}{12} \log (1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (3.7)$$

From the above expression, the results provided in [8, 10] may be reproduced by considering $y_1 = y_2 = L/2$ and $t_1 = t_2$, which gives us

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{c}{3} \log \left(\frac{L}{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{c}{12} \log (1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (3.8)$$

²Here we utilize the fact that the y coordinate is a radial coordinate and the subsystem A is defined about the interface, making the length of the subsystem $(y_1 + y_2)$.

3.2 Holographic Entanglement Entropy

To compute the holographic entanglement entropy, we begin with the Janus deformed Poincaré AdS₃ metric described in eq. (2.6), which near the asymptotic boundary takes the form

$$ds^2 = f(\rho) \left(\frac{-dt^2 + dy^2}{y^2} \right) + d\rho^2, \quad f(\rho) = \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} \frac{e^{2\rho}}{4}. \quad (3.9)$$

Given that Janus deformed geometries are asymptotically AdS in nature, the above metric may be systematically constructed using the embedding space formalism. In this framework, this spacetime may be embedded as a codimension-one hyperboloid in an $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$ flat geometry using the embedding relations given as

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(t, y, \rho) &= \frac{1 - t^2 + y^2}{2y} \sqrt{f(\rho)}, & T_2(t, y, \rho) &= \frac{t}{y} \sqrt{f(\rho)}, \\ X_1(t, y, \rho) &= \frac{1 + t^2 - y^2}{2y} \sqrt{f(\rho)}, & X_2(t, y, \rho) &= \sqrt{f(\rho) - 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

with an additional constraint describing a codimension-1 hyperboloid in $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$ as

$$-T_1^2 - T_2^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2 = -1. \quad (3.11)$$

The AdS metric can be recovered from the embedding relations in eq. (3.10) by taking the large- ρ limit within the embedding space formalism, wherein the ambient flat spacetime is equipped with the metric

$$ds^2 = -dT_1^2 - dT_2^2 + dX_1^2 + dX_2^2. \quad (3.12)$$

An important advantage of this formulation lies in its ability to evaluate geometric quantities, such as the lengths of extremal surfaces, without the need to explicitly solve the geodesic equations. The geodesic distance between two bulk points is then encoded in the scalar product of their position vectors in the embedding coordinates. Consequently, the length \mathcal{L} of a geodesic connecting two arbitrary points with embedding coordinates (T_1, T_2, X_1, X_2) and (T'_1, T'_2, X'_1, X'_2) is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L} = \cosh^{-1} \left(T_1 T'_1 + T_2 T'_2 - X_1 X'_1 - X_2 X'_2 \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Using eq. (3.13) and the embedding relations in eq. (3.10), the geodesics length between two arbitrary bulk points at (t_1, y_1, ρ_1) and (t_2, y_2, ρ_2) may be obtained as

$$\mathcal{L} = \cosh^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \left(-(t_1 - t_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2 \right) \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2}}{8 y_1 y_2} \right]. \quad (3.14)$$

Considering that the endpoints of the subsystem on the asymptotic boundary to be at (t_1, y_1, ρ_{c_1}) and (t_2, y_2, ρ_{c_2}) , using eq. (3.14) the holographic entanglement entropy may be determined as

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= \frac{1}{4G_3} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2}} \left(-(t_1 - t_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2 \right) \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2}}{4 y_1 y_2} \right] \\ &= S_\gamma(A) + \frac{1}{8G_3} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where we utilize the identity $\cosh^{-1} x \sim \log 2x$ for large x .

Following the discussion presented in section 3.1, the holographic entanglement entropy in the Janus deformed Poincaré AdS₃ geometry in terms of the undeformed entanglement entropy and a correction term may be expressed as

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{4G_3} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{\epsilon_1} + \rho_{\epsilon_2}} (-(t_1 - t_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2)}{4 y_1 y_2} \right] - \frac{1}{8G_3} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (3.16)$$

Additionally, the results for the Janus deformed holographic entanglement entropy for the symmetric configuration described in [8, 10] can be reproduced from eq. (3.7) by using the relations in eq. (3.6), setting $(t_2 = t_1, y_2 = y_1)$, and finally using the Brown Henneaux relation $c = \frac{3}{2G_3}$ [47].

It is important to discuss some subtle points about the field theory and bulk computations for the entanglement entropy described above. Firstly, the Janus deformed geometry described in eq. (2.5) is only asymptotically AdS for large values of the hyperbolic angle ρ (i.e. in the vicinity of the asymptotic boundary, as elaborated in sections 3.1 and 3.2). This allows us to utilize the AdS/CFT correspondence in an otherwise near-AdS spacetime, simplifying the computations of the entanglement entropy in such deformed scenarios.

Secondly, we utilize two different angular coordinates, namely ρ_c and ρ_ϵ , which describe the location of the asymptotic boundary in the Janus deformed and the undeformed spacetime, respectively. Consequently, any quantity dependent on ρ_c ($S_\gamma(A)$ for instance) is defined on the Janus deformed spacetime, while those described in terms of ρ_ϵ (for example $S(A)$) are defined on an undeformed AdS spacetime. ρ_c is intrinsically dependent on the deformation parameter γ and approaches ρ_ϵ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, as evident from eq. (3.2), the Weyl conformal factor for Janus deformed theories dependent explicitly on the deformation parameter γ . As a result, in the field theoretic framework described above, the correction to the entanglement entropy originates from this modified conformal factors.

4 Janus deformed planar BTZ black hole geometry

We now extend our analysis to Janus deformed BTZ black holes, also termed as Janus black holes. The metric for an undeformed planar BTZ black hole is given as [48]

$$ds^2 = -(r^2 - r_h^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 - r_h^2} + r^2 dx^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where r is the bulk radius coordinate, t denotes the usual time coordinate, while x is the spatial coordinate ranging from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The horizon of the black hole is located at $r = r_h$, while the asymptotic boundary is located at $r \rightarrow \infty$. Utilizing the following transformations

$$r = r_h \cosh \rho \sqrt{\frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2} - \tanh^2 \rho}, \quad \sinh r_h x = \frac{\tanh \rho}{\sqrt{\frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2} - \tanh^2 \rho}}, \quad (4.2)$$




Figure 3: This diagram representing the AdS_2 slicing of the BTZ black hole geometry. The constant ρ curves are depicted in orange, while the blue curves represent constant w curves. Figure modified from [27].

we can then express the metric in eq. (4.1) in terms of the AdS_2 foliation coordinates (t, w, ρ) as

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \cosh^2 \rho \left[-\frac{r_h^2(\omega_h^2 - \omega^2)}{\omega^2} dt^2 + \frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2(\omega_h^2 - \omega^2)} d\omega^2 \right], \quad (4.3)$$

where w is the radial coordinate increasing into the bulk and ρ is once again the hyperbolic angular coordinate defined earlier (see fig. 3). The black hole horizon in this coordinate system is now located at $\omega = \omega_h$. Recall that the asymptotic boundary is located at $\rho = -\infty \cup \infty$.

The Janus black hole may now be expressed in terms of the foliation coordinates as [7, 10]

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + f(\rho) \left[-\frac{r_h^2(\omega_h^2 - \omega^2)}{\omega^2} dt^2 + \frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2(\omega_h^2 - \omega^2)} d\omega^2 \right], \quad (4.4)$$

where the wrapping factor $f(\rho)$ is defined earlier in eq. (2.7). The dual field theory to the Janus black hole solution is an ICFT at a finite temperature.

For $\gamma = 0$, the solution reduces to the undeformed BTZ black hole [49], where the horizon lies at $r = r_h$ and $r = 0$ corresponds to an orbifold/conical singularity. Such a solution is invariant under translations in both t and x , allowing the identification $x \sim x + 2\pi$. For $\gamma \neq 0$ however, translational invariance along x is broken due the dilaton field ϕ having two distinct asymptotic values while time-translation symmetry persists, reflecting the interface nature of the dual CFT.

We first discuss the field theory computations of the entanglement entropy of a boosted single interval asymmetric about the interface on the asymptotic boundary of the Janus black hole geometry following a methodology similar to that described in section 3.1. Subsequently, we describe the bulk computations using the embedding relations formalism.

4.1 Entanglement Entropy

In this subsection, we describe the computation of the entanglement entropy between a subsystem $A = [(\omega_1, t_1), (\omega_2, t_2)]$ and its complement in the Janus deformed BTZ black hole, utilizing the replica technique. Utilizing eq. (4.4) the metric at the asymptotic boundary in this scenario is given as

$$ds^2 = f(\rho_c) \left[-\frac{r_h^2 (\omega_h^2 - \omega^2)}{\omega^2} dt^2 + \frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2 (\omega_h^2 - \omega^2)} d\omega^2 \right]. \quad (4.5)$$

The entanglement entropy between a subsystem A and its complement may be computed from the two-point twist field correlator using as

$$S(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \log \langle \phi_n(\omega_1) \phi_n(\omega_2) \rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

Since the field theory is not conformally flat, the computation of the two-point twist field correlator is not straightforward. To proceed, one first maps the theory to a flat background through a series of conformal transformations,

$$t = \frac{1}{2r_h} \log \frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta}, \quad \omega = \omega_h \left(\frac{\eta \bar{\eta} - \omega_h^2}{\eta \bar{\eta} + \omega_h^2} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

In these coordinates, the metric becomes conformally flat,

$$ds^2 = \frac{4\omega_h^2 f(\rho)}{(\omega_h^2 - \eta \bar{\eta})^2} d\eta d\bar{\eta}. \quad (4.8)$$

It is further possible to map this metric to the upper half plane through the transformations

$$\eta = \frac{\omega_h}{\nu - \frac{i}{2}} - i\omega_h, \quad \bar{\eta} = \frac{\omega_h}{\bar{\nu} + \frac{i}{2}} + i\omega_h, \quad (4.9)$$

where the metric transforms to

$$ds^2 = \frac{4f(\rho)}{(\nu - \bar{\nu})^2} d\nu d\bar{\nu}. \quad (4.10)$$

The corresponding conformal factor is given as

$$\Omega(\nu, \bar{\nu}) = \frac{2\sqrt{f(\rho)}}{(\nu - \bar{\nu})}. \quad (4.11)$$

Using this mapping, the twist field correlator eq. (4.6) may be written as flat plane twist field correlator with an appropriate conformal factor as

$$S(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \log \Omega(\nu, \bar{\nu})^{-\Delta_n} \langle \phi_n(\nu_1, \bar{\nu}_1) \phi_n(\nu_2, \bar{\nu}_2) \rangle_{\text{Flat}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Using the standard form of the two point function and the conformal factor, the entanglement entropy is given as

$$S(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[\frac{(\Omega_1 \Omega_2)^{-\Delta_n}}{(\nu_1 - \bar{\nu}_2)^{2\Delta_n}} \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

Substituting the conformal factor and subsequently taking the replica limit, the above expression may be written as

$$S(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{(\nu_1 - \bar{\nu}_2)^2 e^{\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2}}}{(\nu_1 - \bar{\nu}_1)(\nu_2 - \bar{\nu}_2)} \right] + \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (4.14)$$

Finally, transforming back the above result to the foliation coordinates using eqs. (4.7) and (4.9), we have

$$S(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{\left(\omega_1 \omega_2 + \omega_h^2 - \sqrt{(\omega_1^2 - \omega_h^2)(\omega_2^2 - \omega_h^2)} \cosh r_h(t_2 - t_1) \right)}{2e^{-(\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2})} \omega_1 \omega_2} \right] + \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (4.15)$$

As explained earlier in section 3.1, the entanglement entropy in the Janus deformed BTZ black hole geometry may be expressed in terms of undeformed entanglement entropy and a correction term as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\gamma(A) &= \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{\left(\omega_1 \omega_2 + \omega_h^2 - \sqrt{(\omega_1^2 - \omega_h^2)(\omega_2^2 - \omega_h^2)} \cos r_h(t_2 - t_1) \right)}{2e^{-(\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2})} \omega_1 \omega_2} \right] - \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2) \\ &= \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{2r_{\epsilon_1} r_{\epsilon_2} (\cosh r_h(x_2 - x_1) - \cosh r_h(t_2 - t_1))}{r_h^2} \right] - \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where in the second step we utilize eq. (4.2) to transform back to the BTZ black hole coordinates. The results in [7] may be reproduced from eq. (4.16) by assuming $x_2 = -x_1 = L/2$, $t_2 = t_1$, and $r_{\epsilon_1}, r_{\epsilon_2} \sim 1/2\epsilon$, which gives us

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{c}{3} \log \left[\frac{\sinh(r_h L/2)}{r_h \epsilon} \right] - \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (4.17)$$

4.2 Holographic Entanglement Entropy

The BTZ black hole geometry in eq. (4.4) can also be constructed using the embedding space formalism. Similar to the previous case, the BTZ geometry may be embedded as a codimension-one hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$ geometry as eq. (3.12). For the Janus black hole solution given by eq. (4.4), the following embedding relations map the asymptotic regions of the deformed geometry to a codimension-one hyperboloid in $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \sinh(r_h t) \sqrt{f(\rho) \left(\frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2} - 1 \right)}, & T_2 &= \frac{\omega_h}{\omega} \sqrt{f(\rho)}, \\ X_1 &= \cosh(r_h t) \sqrt{f(\rho) \left(\frac{\omega_h^2}{\omega^2} - 1 \right)}, & X_2 &= \sqrt{f(\rho) - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Using the embedding parametrizations given in eqs. (3.13) and (4.18), the length of the bulk geodesic connecting the boundary points $(t_1, \omega_1, \rho_{c_1})$ and $(t_2, \omega_2, \rho_{c_2})$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L} = \log \left[\frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} \left(\omega_1 \omega_2 + \omega_h^2 - \sqrt{(\omega_1^2 - \omega_h^2)(\omega_2^2 - \omega_h^2)} \cosh(r_h(t_2 - t_1)) \right)}{2e^{-(\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2})} \omega_1 \omega_2} \right], \quad (4.19)$$

and the holographic entanglement entropy may be computed using the HRT prescription as eq. (4.15). Following the same arguments as in section 3.2, we can once again obtain the entanglement entropy in the Janus deformed BTZ black hole geometry using eq. (4.2) as

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{4G_3} \log \left[\frac{2r_{\epsilon_1} r_{\epsilon_2} (\cosh r_h (x_2 - x_1) - \cosh r_h (t_2 - t_1))}{r_h^2} \right] - \frac{1}{8G_3} \log (1 - 2\gamma^2), \quad (4.20)$$

which matches exactly with the field theory results in eq. (4.16) on utilizing the Brown-Henneaux relation.

5 Janus deformed AdS₃ black string geometry

In this section we consider the Janus deformation of the AdS₃ black string geometry dual to a CFT₂ defined on an AdS₂ black hole background. The undeformed bulk AdS₃ black string geometry is described by the metric [50–52]

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \cosh^2 \rho \left[-\frac{\left(1 - \frac{u}{u_h}\right)}{u^2} dt^2 + \frac{du^2}{u^2 \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_h}\right)} \right], \quad (5.1)$$

where $\rho \in (-\rho_\infty, \rho_\infty)$. The asymptotic boundaries are located at $\rho = \pm\rho_\infty$, while u_h describes the radius of the black string horizon. Each constant- ρ slice of the bulk black string geometry corresponds to an eternal AdS₂ black hole which has two asymptotic boundaries. From the AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence, the dual field theory is then a CFT₂ defined on an AdS₂ black hole background.

The Janus deformed AdS₃ black string geometry may be described by promoting the warping factor of each AdS₂ foliation to be dependent on the deformation parameter γ . Choosing the warping factor to once again be $f(\rho)$ described in eq. (2.7), the deformed metric is given as

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + f(\rho) \left[-\frac{\left(1 - \frac{u}{u_h}\right)}{u^2} dt^2 + \frac{du^2}{u^2 \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_h}\right)} \right], \quad (5.2)$$

where $\rho \in (-\rho_c, \rho_c)$ and $\rho = -\rho_c \cup \rho_c$ is the asymptotic boundary.

In what follows, we begin with the field theory computation of the entanglement entropy for a boosted single subsystem defined on the asymptotic boundary of a Janus deformed AdS₃ black string geometry. Subsequently, we will discuss the computations of the bulk holographic entanglement entropy.

5.1 Entanglement Entropy

In this subsection, we compute the entanglement entropy for a boosted single subsystem $A = [(u_1, t_1), (u_2, t_2)]$ at the asymptotic boundary in the Janus deformed AdS₃ black string

geometry utilizing the replica technique. Using eq. (5.2) the metric at the asymptotic boundary is given as

$$ds^2 = f(\rho_c) \left[-\frac{\left(1 - \frac{u}{u_h}\right)}{u^2} dt^2 + \frac{du^2}{u^2 \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_h}\right)} \right], \quad (5.3)$$

where $f(\rho_c) = \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} \left(\frac{e^{\rho_c}}{2y}\right)^2$. The above metric corresponds to an AdS_2 black hole and we have two copies of such geometry corresponding to the two asymptotic boundaries of eq. (5.2). The CFT_2 is then located in the AdS_2 black hole background, which is not conformally flat. As argued in the previous section, determining the twist field correlator in this curved background is not straightforward. Hence is necessary to map this field theory on the curved geometry to that on a flat background through an appropriate sequence of conformal transformations

$$u = u_h - \frac{u_h^3}{\omega\bar{\omega}}, \quad t = u_h \log \frac{\bar{\omega}}{\omega}. \quad (5.4)$$

The metric in these new coordinates becomes conformally flat,

$$ds^2 = \frac{4u_h^2 f(\rho)}{(u_h^2 - \omega\bar{\omega})^2} d\omega d\bar{\omega}. \quad (5.5)$$

It is possible to further conformally map this geometry to the upper-half-plane (UHP) through the transformations

$$\omega = \frac{u_h}{v - \frac{i}{2}} - iu_h, \quad \bar{\omega} = \frac{u_h}{\bar{v} + \frac{i}{2}} + iu_h, \quad (5.6)$$

where the metric now has the form

$$ds^2 = \Omega^2(v, \bar{v}) dv d\bar{v}, \quad \Omega(v, \bar{v}) = \frac{(1 - 2\gamma^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{v - \bar{v}} e^\rho. \quad (5.7)$$

The entanglement entropy for a subsystem A at the asymptotic boundary may be computed by utilizing the following two point twist field correlator

$$S(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1 - n} \log \langle \phi_n(u_1, t_1) \phi_n(u_2, t_2) \rangle. \quad (5.8)$$

Utilizing the form of the metric and the conformal factor described in eq. (5.7), the above expression may be written as

$$S(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1 - n} \log \Omega(v, \bar{v})^{-\Delta_n} \langle \phi_n(v_1, \bar{v}_1) \phi_n(v_2, \bar{v}_2) \rangle_{\text{Flat}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Using the form of the two point function and substituting the conformal factor and taking the replica limit, we can obtain

$$S(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{(v_1 - \bar{v}_2)^2 e^{\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2}}}{(v_1 - \bar{v}_1)(v_2 - \bar{v}_2)} \right] + \frac{c}{12} \log (1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (5.10)$$

As explained in section 3.1, the above expression of the entanglement entropy may be written in terms of the undeformed entanglement entropy with a correction term as

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{(v_1 - \bar{v}_2)^2 e^{\rho_{\epsilon_1} + \rho_{\epsilon_2}}}{(v_1 - \bar{v}_1)(v_2 - \bar{v}_2)} \right] - \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (5.11)$$

By relating the UV–IR cutoffs in the CFT and the bulk, and subsequently transforming the above expression back into black string coordinates using eqs. (5.4) and (5.6), the entanglement entropy for a subsystem A may be obtained as

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{\epsilon_1} + \rho_{\epsilon_2}} \left(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - 2u_h \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2} \cosh \left(\frac{t_1 - t_2}{2u_h} \right) + u_h^2 \right)}{(u_h - \Delta_1)(u_h - \Delta_2)} \right] - \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2), \quad (5.12)$$

where $\Delta_i = u_h - u_i$. Now, considering $t_1 = t_2$, $u_1 = u_2$ and $\rho_{\epsilon_1}, \rho_{\epsilon_2} \sim 2/\epsilon$, we may obtain the entanglement entropy of a purely spatial subsystem described symmetrically about the interface as

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{c}{3} \log \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{c}{12} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (5.13)$$

5.2 Holographic Entanglement Entropy

We now compute the holographic entanglement entropy in a Janus deformed AdS_3 black string geometry, where the metric is described by eq. (5.2). Similar to the previous cases, the Janus deformed AdS_3 black string geometry is asymptotically AdS in nature, and the patch in the vicinity of the asymptotic boundary (once again corresponding to large values of the hyperbolic angle ρ) may be embedded as a codimension-one hyperboloid in a $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$ flat spacetime using the embedding relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= 2\sqrt{f(\rho) \left(\frac{u_h^2 - uu_h}{u^2} \right)} \sinh \left(\frac{t}{2u_h} \right), & T_2 &= \sqrt{f(\rho)} \frac{(2u_h - u)}{u}, \\ X_1 &= 2\sqrt{f(\rho) \left(\frac{u_h^2 - uu_h}{u^2} \right)} \cosh \left(\frac{t}{2u_h} \right), & X_2 &= \sqrt{f(\rho) - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Consequently, using eq. (3.12) we can reproduce the Janus deformed AdS_3 black string geometry in the large ρ approximation.

We can now determine the geodesic length between two arbitrary boundary points (u_1, t_1, ρ_{c_1}) and (u_2, t_2, ρ_{c_2}) using eq. (3.13) and the embedding relations in eq. (5.14) as

$$\mathcal{L} = \log \left[\frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\gamma^2} e^{\rho_{c_1} + \rho_{c_2}} \left(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - 2u_h \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2} \cosh \left(\frac{t_1 - t_2}{2u_h} \right) + u_h^2 \right)}{(u_h - \Delta_1)(u_h - \Delta_2)} \right]. \quad (5.15)$$

The holographic entanglement entropy may now be computed using the HRT prescription, and once again following the arguments in section 3.2, we may determine the holographic

entanglement entropy in the Janus deformed black string geometry in terms of the undeformed coordinates and a correction term as

$$S_\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{4G_3} \log \left[\frac{e^{\rho_{\epsilon_1} + \rho_{\epsilon_1}} \left(\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - 2u_h \sqrt{\Delta_1 \Delta_2} \cosh \left(\frac{t_1 - t_2}{2u_h} \right) + u_h^2 \right)}{(u_h - \Delta_1)(u_h - \Delta_2)} \right] - \frac{1}{8G_3} \log(1 - 2\gamma^2). \quad (5.16)$$

Applying the Brown-Henneaux relation to the above equation gives us eq. (5.12).

6 Summary and Discussions

In this article, we investigate the holographic entanglement entropy in a family of Janus deformed AdS_3 geometries dual to $\text{ICFT}_{2\text{s}}$. To this end, we begin with the Janus deformed Poincaré AdS_3 patch and consider a boosted single interval asymmetric about the interface. We compute the entanglement entropy and the Janus induced correction from both field theoretic and bulk perspectives, and find an agreement between the results. We further extend the analysis to Janus deformed BTZ black hole AdS_3 black string geometries, where we once again find an exact match between the field theory and bulk results.

For the field theory computation, we describe a conformal mapping approach involving the effective boundary metric induced by the bulk deformation, as an alternative to the conformal perturbation techniques described in the literature. This method treats the boundary theory as a two-dimensional CFT on a conformally flat background. The entanglement entropy is then evaluated using the replica technique, incorporating the correction due to the Janus deformation through the Weyl conformal factor. For the corresponding bulk computations we utilize an embedding space formalism to obtain the length of the RT/HRT surface. Through our results we demonstrate that the Janus induced correction to the entanglement entropy assumes a universal form dependent only on the deformation parameter γ , independent of the subsystem size and location.

Our analysis inspires several open questions for future investigations. It would be interesting to understand if our field theory and bulk computational methods may be utilized to examine dynamical or time-like Janus solutions [53–55], potentially shedding light on holographic quenches and causal propagation of entanglement in such geometries. Another exciting direction would be to investigate the correction to mixed state entanglement and correlation measures due to Janus deformation, a problem yet unexplored in the literature. Furthermore, applying our framework to investigate quantum information aspects of the black hole information paradox, holographic complexity, and traversable wormholes [14, 56–58] would be a natural extension of our work. We leave such interesting issues for future considerations.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to A. Karch for useful discussions and clarifications. Ankit Anand is financially supported by the Institute’s postdoctoral fellowship at Indian Institute of Technology

Kanpur. Himanshu Chourasiya is supported by the Fellowship for Academic and Research Excellence (FARE) at IIT Kanpur.

References

- [1] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and S. Hirano, “A Dilatonic deformation of AdS(5) and its field theory dual,” *JHEP* **05** (2003) 072, [arXiv:hep-th/0304129](#).
- [2] D. Z. Freedman, C. Nunez, M. Schnabl, and K. Skenderis, “Fake supergravity and domain wall stability,” *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 104027, [arXiv:hep-th/0312055](#).
- [3] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and S. Hirano, “Three dimensional Janus and time-dependent black holes,” *JHEP* **02** (2007) 068, [arXiv:hep-th/0701108](#).
- [4] M. Chiodaroli, M. Gutperle, and L.-Y. Hung, “Boundary entropy of supersymmetric Janus solutions,” *JHEP* **09** (2010) 082, [arXiv:1005.4433 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] A. B. Clark, D. Z. Freedman, A. Karch, and M. Schnabl, “Dual of the Janus solution: An interface conformal field theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 066003, [arXiv:hep-th/0407073](#).
- [6] M. Gutperle and A. Trivella, “Note on entanglement entropy and regularization in holographic interface theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 6, (2017) 066009, [arXiv:1611.07595 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] D. Bak, C. Kim, S.-H. Yi, and J. Yoon, “Unitarity of entanglement and islands in two-sided Janus black holes,” *JHEP* **01** (2021) 155, [arXiv:2006.11717 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] T. Azeyanagi, A. Karch, T. Takayanagi, and E. G. Thompson, “Holographic calculation of boundary entropy,” *JHEP* **03** (2008) 054, [arXiv:0712.1850 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] J. Estes, K. Jensen, A. O’Bannon, E. Tsatis, and T. Wrase, “On Holographic Defect Entropy,” *JHEP* **05** (2014) 084, [arXiv:1403.6475 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and R. A. Janik, “Janus Black Holes,” *JHEP* **10** (2011) 056, [arXiv:1109.2736 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] D. Bak and H. Min, “Multi-faced Black Janus and Entanglement,” *JHEP* **03** (2014) 046, [arXiv:1311.5259 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and A. Karch, “Time dependent black holes and thermal equilibration,” *JHEP* **12** (2007) 034, [arXiv:0708.3691 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] Y. Nakaguchi, N. Ogawa, and T. Ugajin, “Holographic Entanglement and Causal Shadow in Time-Dependent Janus Black Hole,” *JHEP* **07** (2015) 080, [arXiv:1412.8600 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] T. Kawamoto, R. Maeda, N. Nakamura, and T. Takayanagi, “Traversable AdS wormhole via non-local double trace or Janus deformation,” *JHEP* **04** (2025) 086, [arXiv:2502.03531 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] K. Suzuki, “Time-like Janus Solution – holographic global quantum quench –,” [arXiv:2509.01925 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] D. Stanford and L. Susskind, “Complexity and Shock Wave Geometries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90** no. 12, (2014) 126007, [arXiv:1406.2678 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] A. R. Brown, D. A. Roberts, L. Susskind, B. Swingle, and Y. Zhao, “Complexity, action, and black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (Apr, 2016) 086006. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.086006>.

- [18] R. Emparan, A. M. Frassino, M. Sasieta, and M. Tomašević, “Holographic complexity of quantum black holes,” *JHEP* **02** (2022) 204, [arXiv:2112.04860 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] S. Chapman, J. Eisert, L. Hackl, M. P. Heller, R. Jefferson, H. Marrochio, and R. C. Myers, “Complexity and entanglement for thermofield double states,” *SciPost Phys.* **6** (2019) 034. <https://scipost.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.6.3.034>.
- [20] Y. Nakata, T. Takayanagi, Y. Taki, K. Tamaoka, and Z. Wei, “New holographic generalization of entanglement entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 2, (2021) 026005, [arXiv:2005.13801 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, “The entropy of Hawking radiation,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93** no. 3, (6, 2020) 035002, [arXiv:2006.06872 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena, and Y. Zhao, “The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry,” *JHEP* **03** (2020) 149, [arXiv:1908.10996 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, and J. Maldacena, “Islands outside the horizon,” [arXiv:1910.11077 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, “Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation,” *JHEP* **05** (2020) 013, [arXiv:1911.12333 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] G. Penington, “Entanglement Wedge Reconstruction and the Information Paradox,” *JHEP* **09** (2020) 002, [arXiv:1905.08255 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] G. Penington, S. H. Shenker, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, “Replica wormholes and the black hole interior,” [arXiv:1911.11977 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] R. Auzzi, S. Baiguera, S. Bonansea, G. Nardelli, and K. Toccacelo, “Volume complexity for Janus AdS_3 geometries,” *JHEP* **08** (2021) 045, [arXiv:2105.08729 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] R. Auzzi, S. Baiguera, S. Bonansea, and G. Nardelli, “Action complexity in the presence of defects and boundaries,” *JHEP* **02** (2022) 118, [arXiv:2112.03290 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] K. Goto, L. Nagano, T. Nishioka, and T. Okuda, “Janus interface entropy and Calabi’s diastasis in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal field theories,” *JHEP* **08** no. 08, (2020) 048, [arXiv:2005.10833 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] E. Afxonidis, I. Carreño Bolla, C. Hoyos, and A. Karch, “Connecting boundary entropy and effective central charge at holographic interfaces,” [arXiv:2507.09171 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] S. A. Baig, A. Karch, and M. Wang, “Transmission coefficient of super-Janus solution,” *JHEP* **10** (2024) 235, [arXiv:2408.00059 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] M. Gutperle and C. Hultgreen-Mena, “Janus and RG interfaces in three-dimensional gauged supergravity. Part II. General α ,” *JHEP* **08** (2022) 126, [arXiv:2205.10398 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] K. Chen and M. Gutperle, “Janus solutions in three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **05** (2021) 008, [arXiv:2011.10154 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] M. Chiodaroli, J. Estes, and Y. Korovin, “Holographic two-point functions for Janus interfaces in the $D1/D5$ CFT,” *JHEP* **04** (2017) 145, [arXiv:1612.08916 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] M. Gutperle and C. Hultgreen-Mena, “Janus and RG-interfaces in minimal 3d gauged supergravity,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **40** no. 26, (2025) 2550113, [arXiv:2412.16749 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [36] M. Gutperle and C. F. Uhlemann, “Janus on the Brane,” *JHEP* **07** (2020) 243, [arXiv:2003.12080 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] M. Gutperle, J. Kaidi, and H. Raj, “Janus solutions in six-dimensional gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **12** (2017) 018, [arXiv:1709.09204 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] P. Karndumri, “Janus and RG-flow interfaces from 5D N=4 gauged supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **111** no. 12, (2025) 126020, [arXiv:2503.06704 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] P. Karndumri, “New Janus interfaces from four-dimensional $N = 3$ gauged supergravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84** no. 10, (2024) 1059, [arXiv:2408.00424 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] P. Karndumri and C. Maneerat, “Janus solutions from dyonic ISO(7) maximal gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 117, [arXiv:2108.13398 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, “Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory,” *J. Phys. A* **42** (2009) 504005, [arXiv:0905.4013 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [42] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602, [arXiv:hep-th/0603001](#).
- [43] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and T. Takayanagi, “A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal,” *JHEP* **07** (2007) 062, [arXiv:0705.0016 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, “Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory,” *J. Stat. Mech.* **0406** (2004) P06002, [arXiv:hep-th/0405152](#).
- [45] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, “Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals in conformal field theory,” *J. Stat. Mech.* **0911** (2009) P11001, [arXiv:0905.2069 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy,” *JHEP* **08** (2006) 045, [arXiv:hep-th/0605073](#).
- [47] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, “Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.
- [48] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, “The Black hole in three-dimensional space-time,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 1849–1851, [arXiv:hep-th/9204099](#).
- [49] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, “Geometry of the (2+1) black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 1506–1525, [arXiv:gr-qc/9302012](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* 88, 069902 (2013)].
- [50] H. Geng, A. Karch, C. Perez-Pardavila, S. Raju, L. Randall, M. Riojas, and S. Shashi, “Entanglement Phase Structure of a Holographic BCFT in a Black Hole Background,” [arXiv:2112.09132 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] H. Geng, L. Randall, and E. Swanson, “BCFT in a black hole background: an analytical holographic model,” *JHEP* **12** (2022) 056, [arXiv:2209.02074 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [52] D. Basu, H. Chourasiya, V. Raj, and G. Sengupta, “Reflected entropy in a BCFT on a black hole background,” *JHEP* **05** (2024) 054, [arXiv:2311.17023 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] D. Bak, M. Gutperle, and A. Karch, “Time dependent black holes and thermal equilibration,” *JHEP* **12** (2007) 034, [arXiv:0708.3691 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [54] Y. Nakaguchi, N. Ogawa, and T. Ugajin, “Holographic entanglement and causal shadow in time-dependent janus black hole,” *JHEP* **07** (2015) 080, [arXiv:1412.8600 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [55] K. Suzuki, “Time-like janus solution – holographic global quantum quench –,” [arXiv:2509.01925 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.01925). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.01925>.
- [56] D. Bak, C. Kim, S.-H. Yi, and J. Yoon, “Unitarity of entanglement and islands in two-sided janus black holes,” *JHEP* **01** (2021) 155, [arXiv:2006.11717 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.11717).
- [57] R. Auzzi, S. Baiguera, S. Bonansea, G. Nardelli, and K. Toccacelo, “Volume complexity for janus ads_3 geometries,” *JHEP* **08** (2021) 045, [arXiv:2105.08729 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08729).
- [58] R. Auzzi, S. Baiguera, S. Bonansea, and G. Nardelli, “Action complexity in the presence of defects and boundaries,” *JHEP* **02** (2022) 118, [arXiv:2112.03290 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.03290).