

A linear upper bound on the zero-sum Ramsey number of forests in \mathbb{Z}_p

Lucas Colucci*, Marco D’Emidio†

December 9, 2025

Abstract

Let m be a positive integer and let G be a graph. The zero-sum Ramsey number $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_m)$ is the least integer N (if it exists) such that for every edge-coloring $\chi : E(K_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ one can find a copy of G in K_N such that $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \chi(e) = 0$.

In this paper, we show that, for every prime p ,

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + 9p - 12$$

for every forest F in $n \geq 3p^2 - 12p + 11$ vertices with $p \mid e(F)$.

1 Introduction and main result

Let k be a positive integer and let G be a graph with $k \mid e(G)$. The *zero-sum Ramsey number* $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ is the smallest integer N such that, for every edge-coloring $\chi : E(K_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$, there exists a copy of G in K_N satisfying $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \chi(e) = 0$. We say that such a copy of G has zero-sum. It is simple to see that $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ exists if, and only if, $k \mid e(G)$.

Recall that the k -colored Ramsey number $R_k(G)$ is the minimum N such that every k -coloring of the edges of K_N has a monochromatic copy of G . In particular, $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k) \leq R_k(G)$. For a comprehensive review of zero-sum Ramsey problems, we recommend the survey of Caro [4].

The exact value of $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ is known for on a few instances of G and k . On the other hand, some general upper bounds are known for some families of graphs. For complete graphs, the following is known ([1], [3], [5]):

Theorem 1. *Let k be an integer and suppose $k \mid \binom{n}{2}$.*

*Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.
lcolucci@ime.usp.br

†Colégio Etapa, Brazil mandemidio@gmail.com

- (i) If k is an odd prime-power, and $n + k$ is at least the Ramsey number $R(K_{2k-1}, k)$, then $R(K_n, Z_k) \leq n + 2k - 2$. If, in addition, k is a prime that divides n then $R(K_n, Z_k) = n + 2k - 2$.
- (ii) If $n \geq R(K_{3k-1}, k)$ then $R(K_n, Z_k) \leq n + k(k + 1)(k + 2) \log_2 k$.

A curious feature of the zero-sum Ramsey number, in contrast to its usual (monochromatic) counterpart, is that, for a fixed k , $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ is not a monotonic function of G . That is, it is not true, in general, that if $k \mid e(G)$, $e(H)$ and H is a subgraph of G , then $R(H, \mathbb{Z}_k) \leq R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$. For instance, $R(C_4, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 4 < 5 = R(2K_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where C_4 is the cycle on 4 vertices, and $2K_2$ is the matching on two edges. In particular, Theorem 1 does not give bounds for $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ for non-complete graphs.

Some other notable results on zero-sum Ramsey numbers are the complete determination of $R(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the determination of $R(F, \mathbb{Z}_3)$ for forests, as the next two results show:

Theorem 2. ([4]) For any graph G with n vertices and an even number of edges,

$$R(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) = \begin{cases} n + 2 & \text{if } G = K_n \text{ and } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } G = K_p \cup K_q \text{ and } \binom{p}{2} + \binom{q}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \text{ or } G \text{ is odd,} \\ n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. ([2]) Let F be a forest on n vertices with $3 \mid e(F)$ and without isolated vertices. Then,

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_3) = \begin{cases} n + 2, & \text{if } F \text{ is } 1 \pmod{3} \text{ regular or a star;} \\ n + 1, & \text{if } 3 \nmid d(v) \text{ for every } v \in V(F) \text{ or } F \text{ has exactly one} \\ & \text{vertex of degree } 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and all others are } 1 \pmod{3}, \\ & \text{and } F \text{ is not } 1 \pmod{3} \text{ regular or a star;} \\ n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Following the line of research suggested by these results, in this paper we prove the following general upper bound on the zero-sum Ramsey number of forests in \mathbb{Z}_p :

Theorem 4. Let p be a prime number. Then,

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + 9p - 12$$

for every forest F in $n \geq 3p^2 - 12p + 11$ vertices with $p \mid e(F)$.

2 Definitions and notation

In this section, we give some definitions that will be used later in the proof.

For a leaf in a forest, we call its only neighbor its *parent*, and the leaf is a *child* of this vertex.

Definition 5 (Bushy forest). *A forest F is called **bushy** if it contains at least $2(p-1)$ distinct leaves. Otherwise, it is called **non-bushy**. Notice that, for $p=2$, every nonempty forest is bushy.*

Throughout the paper, p will represent a fixed prime number and K will denote the complete graph on which we are trying to embed our forest. Also, a *coloring* of a graph G always means a coloring of the edges of G whose colors are elements of \mathbb{Z}_p , i.e., a coloring is a function $\chi : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. From this point on, we will always assume the edges of K are colored by some coloring χ .

Definition 6 (b -colorful vertex). *If b is a positive integer, a vertex $v \in K$ will be called **b -colorful** if there exists a color such that v is connected to at least b but at most $|K| - b - 1$ edges of that color.*

Definition 7 (Vibrant coloring). *We call a coloring of a clique χ **vibrant** if it has $p-1$ vertices that are $(3p-5)$ -colorful. Otherwise it is called **non-vibrant**.*

Definition 8 (Switcher C_4). *A colored C_4 (cycle of size 4) in K will be called a **switcher** if its edges are, in some consecutive order, $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and*

$$\chi(a) + \chi(b) \neq \chi(c) + \chi(d).$$

Definition 9 (Switchable coloring). *A coloring χ of K is called **switchable** if it contains $p-1$ pairwise vertex-wise disjoint C_4 that are switchers. Otherwise, call it **non-switchable**.*

As usual, we will use the sum-set notation:

$$A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_n := \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \mid (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n\}.$$

We will also make extensive use of the following generalization of the classical theorem of Cauchy-Davenport in additive number theory, which can easily be derived from the original result through induction:

Theorem 10 (Generalized Cauchy-Davenport). *If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are nonempty subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p , then*

$$|A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_n| \geq \min(p, |A_1| + |A_2| + \cdots + |A_n| - n + 1).$$

3 Proof of the main result

In this section, we provide the linear upper bound for $R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. We will split the proof between two major cases concerning the number of leafs in the graph, each divided into two subcases according to the structure of the coloring χ . Also, from now on, we suppose F does not contain any vertices with degree 0, since these clearly don't interfere with the main result.

3.1 Bushy forests

In this subsection, we will deal with the case when F is bushy, meaning we can select some parents v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m and some of their leaves, each one with a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m selected leafs, respectively, in such way that we select a total of $p-1$ leaves (meaning $a_i \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m = p-1$) and no v_i is among the selected leaves.

Remark 11. *We asked for a bushy forest to have at least $2(p-1)$ leafs instead of simply $p-1$ in order to deal with the case when two leaves are both parent and children of each other (meaning a connected component that is just an edge). Thus, we would be able to select a set of $p-1$ leaves that doesn't contain their parents (which will prove useful in the future).*

We now consider the following subcase:

3.1.1 The coloring χ is vibrant

In this case, we choose m vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m among the $p-1$ vertices of K that are $(3p-5)$ -colorful. For each u_i , let x_i be the color that incides on it with at least $3p-5$ and at most $|K| - 3p + 4$ edges. Also, denote by $S_i = \mathbb{Z}_p - \{x_i\}$, notice that u_i neighbors at least $3p-5$ and at most $|K| - 3p + 4$ edges with color in S_i . Now we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 12. *There exists pairwise disjoint sets $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ of vertices of K that satisfy all of the following:*

- $|X_i| = |Y_i| = a_i$;
- The edges connecting u_i to the vertices of X_i are all colored x_i and the edges connecting u_i to the vertices of Y_i are **not** colored x_i ;
- $u_i \notin X_j, Y_k$ for all $1 \leq i, j, k, \leq m$.

Proof. We will choose each pair (X_i, Y_i) inductively:

1. For $i = 1$ just take X_1 and Y_1 as a_1 -sized subsets of the neighbors of u_1 different from u_2, \dots, u_m that are connected to it with color x_1 and with some color in S_i , respectively. Notice that this is possible since both sets have at least $(3p-5) - (m-1) \geq (3p-5) - [(p-1)-1] = 2p-3 \geq a_1$ vertices to choose from;
2. Now, suppose $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{k-1}, Y_{k-1})$ have all been chosen for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. We will show how to select (X_k, Y_k) .

Since the sets are pairwise disjoint, the union of all X_i with all Y_j for $1 \leq i, j \leq k-1$ has exactly:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |X_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |Y_i| = 2(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1})$$

Thus, the number of vertices of K that connect with u_k with color x_k that aren't in this union (this is necessary to meet the disjoint condition) nor in the set $\{u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ (this is necessary to meet the third condition) is at least:

$$3p - 5 - 2(a_1 + \dots + a_{k-1}) - (m - 1) \geq 3p - 5 - 2(p - 1 - a_k) - (p - 2) \geq a_k$$

Therefore, we can choose X_k to be an a_k -sized subset of this set. Analogously, we can select Y_k .

Through this induction, we select all the $2k$ sets satisfying the conditions. \square

Now, define $X := \bigcup_{i=1}^m X_i$ and $Y := \bigcup_{i=1}^m Y_i$ be the union of all X_i and Y_j , respectively. Notice that $|X| = |Y| = a_1 + \dots + a_m = p - 1$.

Notice that $|F| + |X| = |F| + |Y| = n + (p - 1) \leq |K|$, thus, we can embed F on K in such way that each of the v_i and u_i coincide and each of the a_i of v_i 's children we have selected lie on the set $X_i \cup Y_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Considering all such embeddings, our goal is to prove the following:

Proposition 13. *One of these embeddings of F is zero-sum.*

Proof. For each $v_i = u_i$, let A_i be the set of all the possible values of the sum of the edges joining u_i and its a_i selected children when they vary in $X_i \cup Y_i$.

If $X_i = \{p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}, \dots, p_{i,a_i}\}$ and $Y_i = \{q_{i,1}, q_{i,2}, \dots, q_{i,a_i}\}$ such that the edges $p_{i,j}u_i$ have color x_i for each j and $q_{i,j}u_i$ have color in S_i for each j .

Now, consider the a_i pairs $\mathcal{P}_{i,j} = (p_{i,j}, q_{i,j})$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, a_i$. On one hand, by selecting one of u_i 's children as one of the elements in $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$ for each j , we obtain 2^{a_i} choices of how to embed the children whose possible sums of the a_i edges connecting them to u_i lie on the set $\mathcal{P}_{i,1} + \mathcal{P}_{i,2} + \dots + \mathcal{P}_{i,a_i}$, meaning:

$$\mathcal{P}_{i,1} + \mathcal{P}_{i,2} + \dots + \mathcal{P}_{i,a_i} \subseteq A_i$$

On the other hand, since $|\mathcal{P}_{i,j}| = 2$, we can apply the generalized version of the Cauchy-Davenport (Theorem 10):

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i| &\geq |\mathcal{P}_{i,1} + \mathcal{P}_{i,2} + \dots + \mathcal{P}_{i,a_i}| \geq \min(p, |\mathcal{P}_{i,1}| + |\mathcal{P}_{i,2}| + \dots + |\mathcal{P}_{i,a_i}| - (a_i - 1)) \\ &\geq \min(p, 2 + 2 + \dots + 2 - (a_i - 1)) \\ &\geq \min(p, a_i + 1) = a_i + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the set of all the possible values of the sum of the edges in the embeddings of F we described earlier is precisely the set

$$A := A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_m.$$

Therefore, we again apply theorem 10 to bound its size by

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\geq \min(p, |A_1| + \dots + |A_m| - (m - 1)) \\ &\geq \min(p, (a_1 + 1) + \dots + (a_m + 1) - m + 1) \\ &= \min(p, a_1 + \dots + a_m) \\ &= \min(p, p) = p. \end{aligned}$$

Proving A spans over all the residues modulo p , which implies that $0 \in A$ and so there must be a embedding of T that has sum 0. \square

Remark 14. *This proof actually shows the better bound (and optimal, as shown in Section 4)*

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + p - 1,$$

whenever F is bushy and χ is vibrant, with no constraints on the number of vertices of F whatsoever.

3.1.2 The case when χ is non-vibrant

In this case F has at most $p-2$ vertices that are $(3p-5)$ -colorful. Therefore, there is an induced subgraph K' of K with $|K| - (p-2)$ vertices such that for all color $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $v \in K$ they either share at most $3p-5$ or at least $|K'| - 3p + 4$ edges. However, by pigeonhole principle, each v shares at least $\frac{|K'| - 1}{p} = \frac{|K| - (p-1)}{p} = \frac{n + 9p - 12 - (p-1)}{p} \geq \frac{3p^2 - 12p + 11 + 9p - 12 - (p-1)}{p} \geq 3p - 4$ with some color c_v . Thus, c_v must have at least $|K'| - (3p - 4)$ edges connecting to v . Call the color c_v v 's **dominant** color and let $\alpha := 3p - 4$.

Now, for $r = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, let $G_r \subseteq K'$ be the set of the vertices with dominant color r . We will prove the following lemma:

Lemma 15. $|G_0|^2 + |G_1|^2 + \dots + |G_{p-1}|^2 \geq |K'|^2 - 2\alpha|K'|$.

Proof. The idea is to count the total number of edges of K' that join some G_i and G_j for $i \neq j$. Let E be this set of edges.

One one hand, each $v \in G_r$ for some $r = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ neighbors at least $|K'| - |G_r| - \alpha$ edges colored r in E . Altogether, each component G_r will emit at least $|G_r|(|K'| - |G_r| - \alpha)$ edges in E . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |E| &\geq \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|(|K'| - |G_r| - \alpha) \\ &= (|K'| - \alpha) \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r| - \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 \\ &= (|K'| - \alpha)|K'| - \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, among all edges in K' , E only doesn't contain the ones that connect vertices among the components G_r , each with $\binom{|G_r|}{2}$ internal edges.

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
|E| &= \binom{|K'|}{2} - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{|G_r|}{2} \\
&= \frac{|K'|^2}{2} - \frac{|K'|}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r| \\
&= \frac{|K'|^2}{2} - \frac{|K'|}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |K'| \\
&= \frac{|K'|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Combining both equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|K'|^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 &= |E| \geq (|K'| - \alpha)|K'| - \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 \\
\implies \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 &\geq |K'|^2 - 2\alpha|K'|
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now let, $M := \max(|G_r|)$ be the size of the largest G_r . We now derive the following claim:

Proposition 16. $M \geq |K'| - 2\alpha = |K| - 6p + 8$

Proof. Since $M \geq |G_r|$ for all $r \in 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ we have that:

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 \leq \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} M|G_r| = M \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r| = M|K'|$$

However, because of 15 we conclude that:

$$M|K'| \geq \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} |G_r|^2 \geq |K'|^2 - 2\alpha|K'| \implies M \geq |K'| - 2\alpha = |K| - 6p + 8$$

□

Now, we focus on l such that $|G_l| = M$. We finish this case with the following proposition:

Proposition 17. G_l contains a monochromatic copy of F .

Proof. We will rely on the fact that $|G_l| = M \geq |K| - 6p + 8 \geq n + \alpha$.

We will obtain the desired monochromatic copy through the following greedy algorithm:

1. Start by selecting one of the trees T of F ;
2. Pick one of T 's leaves and place it on one of G_l 's vertices;
3. If we have already embedded a subtree T_0 of T , pick a vertex of T that was not selected already v that is connected to some vertex u in T_0 (note u must be unique for each v , else T would have a cycle);
4. By pigeonhole principle, among the $|G_l| - (|F| - 1) \geq \alpha + 1$ non-selected vertices of G_l at least one must connect to u (actually the vertex of G_l u was embedded on) with color l . Thus, we can embed v ;
5. Go back to step 3 until all of T was embedded;
6. Go back to step 1 until all of F was embedded.

□

Hence, there is a monochromatic copy of F in G_l . Since $p \mid E(F)$ this copy must also be zero-sum.

Remark 18. *In this case, we actually used all of the size conditions we imposed about $|K|$ and n .*

3.2 Non-bushy forests

In this section we deal with the case when F is non-bushy. Since for $p = 2$, F is always bushy, we will suppose from now on that $p \geq 3$. The main idea will be to focus on the vertices that have degree 2. For that, we start off with the proposition:

Proposition 19. *F has at least $n - 4p$ vertices of degree 2.*

Proof. Let n_1, n_2 and n_3 be the number of vertices of F that have degree 1, 2 and at least 3, respectively.

Notice $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n$ and, since F is non-bushy, $n_1 \leq 2p - 3$. Using the handshake lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}
2e(F) &= \sum_{v \in F} \deg v \geq n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 \\
&= n + n_2 + 2n_3 \\
&= n + n_2 + 2(n - n_2 - n_1) \\
&\geq n + n_2 + 2(n - n_2 - (2p - 3)) \\
&= 3n - n_2 - 4p + 6
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since F is a forest:

$$3n - n_2 - 4p + 6 \leq 2e(F) \leq 2(n - 1),$$

which implies $n_2 \geq n - 4p$. □

3.2.1 The coloring χ is switchable

In this subsection we discuss the case when χ is switchable, meaning there are $p - 1$ pairwise vertex-wise disjoint switcher C_4 s which we will call D_1, \dots, D_{p-1} .

Since $n - 4p \geq 3(p - 1)$, it is not hard to see we may select $p - 1$ vertices of F with degree 2 t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{p-1} , such that no two of them are or share neighbors.

Now, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$, let a_i and b_i be t_i 's neighbors. The main idea will be to embed each triple (a_i, b_i, t_i) in three of D_i 's vertices.

We may label each D_i 's vertices in consecutive order $d_{i,1}, d_{i,2}, d_{i,3}$ and $d_{i,4}$ in such way that $\chi(d_{i,4}d_{i,1}) + \chi(d_{i,1}d_{i,2}) \neq \chi(d_{i,2}d_{i,3}) + \chi(d_{i,3}d_{i,4})$.

Now, call an embedding of F in K **good** if

- $a_i = d_{i,2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$;
- $b_i = d_{i,4}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$;
- $t_i \in \{d_{i,1}, d_{i,3}\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$.

Remark 20. Notice a good embedding exists since $|K| \geq n + (p - 1)$, with the extra $+(p - 1)$ being added since each t_i varies over 2 possible vertices.

We finish this case with the following case:

Proposition 21. One of the good embeddings is zero-sum.

Proof. This will follow in a similar fashion to Proposition 13.

First of all, suppose all the other edges of F that aren't of the form $a_i t_i$ or $b_i t_i$ are fixed. Let s be their sum.

Let B_i be the set of the possible values of the sum of the edges joining t_i to its neighbors in all such good embeddings. Namely:

$$|B_i| = \{\chi(d_{i,4}d_{i,1}) + \chi(d_{i,1}d_{i,2}), \chi(d_{i,2}d_{i,3}) + \chi(d_{i,3}d_{i,4})\}$$

Notice that the possible values of the edge-sum of F in such good embeddings B is precisely the set $\{s\} + B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_{p-1}$. Therefore, using Theorem 10 we derive the bound:

$$\begin{aligned} |B| &= |\{s\} + B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_{p-1}| \geq \min(p, |\{s\}| + |B_1| + \dots + |B_{p-1}| - (p - 1)) \\ &= \min(p, 1 + 2 + \dots + 2 - (p - 1)) = \min(p, p) \\ &= p \end{aligned}$$

Therefore B must cover all p residues modulo p , implying that some of the embeddings must be zero-sum. □

Remark 22. *In the case when F is non-bushy but χ is switchable, we actually proved:*

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + (p - 1)$$

whenever $n \geq 7p - 3$.

3.2.2 The coloring χ is non-switchable

In this final case, we solve the case when χ is non-switchable, meaning the largest collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint switcher C_4 is at most $p - 2$ in size. Excluding these, we can find a subgraph K'' of K with $|K| - 4(p - 2)$ vertices that does not contain any switcher C_4 .

Therefore, for all $(a, b, c, d) \in K''^4$ we must have that:

$$\chi(ab) + \chi(ad) = \chi(cb) + \chi(cd). \quad (1)$$

We now use this property repeatedly to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 23. *K'' is monochromatic.*

Proof. Applying 1 to (a, b, c, d) and (b, c, d, a) and subtracting both equations:

$$\begin{cases} \chi(ab) + \chi(ad) = \chi(cb) + \chi(cd). \\ \chi(bc) + \chi(ba) = \chi(dc) + \chi(da). \end{cases}$$

$$\implies \chi(ad) = \chi(bc).$$

Therefore, every two disjoint edges of K'' have the same color.

Now, consider two edges xy and xz that share a vertex x and consider a edge tw disjoint to them. Because of what we just proved, xy and zw have the same color, as do zw and xz , implying xy and xz have the same coloring and proving that neighboring edges also have the same color.

Thus, every pair of edges have the same color and therefore K'' is monochromatic. \square

Hence, since $|K''| = |K| - (4p - 2) \geq n$, K'' must contain a copy of F which, by Proposition 23 is monochromatic and, therefore, zero-sum.

Remark 24. *In the case when F is non bushy and χ is non-switchable, we actually proved:*

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + 4p - 2$$

without any size constrain on n whatsoever.

4 Conclusion and open questions

In this paper, we proved that $R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + c_p$ for $c_p = 9p - 12$ and every forest F on $n \geq n_p = 3p^2 - 12p + 11$ vertices. In this section, we discuss some questions that naturally follow from our result.

We believe that for large forests the optimal constant would be $c_p = p - 1$, meaning that:

Conjecture 25. *For any prime p , there is a positive integer $n_p = n(p)$ such that for all forests F on $n \geq n_p$ vertices with $p \mid e(F)$, we have*

$$R(F, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + p - 1.$$

In fact, we can prove $c_p \geq p - 1$ with some simple constructions:

- for $p \geq 3$, take F as a $(n - 1)$ -pointed star and construct the clique K with $|K| = n + p - 2$ by first taking a $(p - 1)$ -regular graph with $n + p - 2$ vertices (its existence is proven in [1]) with all edges colored 1 and adding all the remaining edges to form the clique, all with color 0. Since the sum of any set of $n - 1$ edges emanating from any vertex in K is, by pigeonhole principle, at least 1 and at most $p - 1$, there can never be a zero-sum $(n - 1)$ -pointed star;
- for $p = 2$, see [4].

Furthermore, as pointed in remarks 14 and 22, one can see that in both the cases when F is vibrant 3.1.1 and when χ is switchable 3.2.1 the arguments used in this paper yield this optimal bound. Interestingly enough, both their counterparts, cases 3.1.2 and 3.2.2, only happen when K has a large (of size $n + \mathcal{O}(p)$) subset K' such that every $v \in K'$ has a dominant color (in 3.2.2 K' is monochromatic).

Another natural conjecture that follows from the results in this paper is the generalization of the result for any graph G , meaning:

Conjecture 26. *For any prime p there exists an integer $c_p = c(p)$ such that, for all n and graphs G on n vertices with $p \mid E(G)$*

$$R(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq n + c_p$$

Finally, we still believe that the statement holds true when working in composite moduli, meaning:

Conjecture 27. *For any positive integer k there exists an integer $c_k = c(k)$ such that, for all n and graphs G on n vertices with $k \mid E(G)$*

$$R(G, \mathbb{Z}_k) \leq n + c_k.$$

Acknowledgements

L. Colucci was supported by FAPESB (EDITAL FAPESB Nº 012/2022 - UNIVERSAL - NºAPP0044/2023). FAPESB is the Bahia Research Foundation.

References

- [1] ALON, N., AND CARO, Y. On three zero-sum Ramsey-type problems. *Journal of graph theory* 17, 2 (1993), 177–192.
- [2] ALVARADO, J. D., COLUCCI, L., AND PARENTE, R. On a problem of Caro on \mathbb{Z}_3 -Ramsey number of forests. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.01032* (2025).
- [3] CARO, Y. On zero-sum Ramsey numbers—stars. *Discrete Mathematics* 104, 1 (1992), 1–6.
- [4] CARO, Y. A complete characterization of the zero-sum (mod 2) Ramsey numbers. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 68, 1 (1994), 205–211.
- [5] CARO, Y. A linear upper bound in zero-sum Ramsey theory. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 17, 3 (1994), 609–612.