

# Photon emission from weakly magnetized neutral pions

Xinyang Wang,<sup>1,\*</sup> Fan Lin,<sup>1,†</sup> and Igor Shovkovy<sup>2,3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Center for Fundamental physics, School of Mechanics and Physics,*

*Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan, Anhui 232001, China*

<sup>2</sup>*College of Integrative Sciences and Arts, Arizona State University, Mesa, Arizona 85212, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287, USA*

(Dated: December 9, 2025)

Using a hadronic framework, we derive an explicit expression for photon production from neutral pions in a weak background magnetic field. Our calculation is built on the proton triangle diagram with an effective Yukawa  $\pi^0$ -proton coupling, offering an alternative to quark-level descriptions that is advantageous when the magnetic length greatly exceeds the proton size. Corrections to the pion decay constant are computed up to second order in the magnetic field strength, revealing that the field generally suppresses the decay rate. Quantitatively, however, the effect remains modest even for fields as strong as  $|eB| \simeq m_\pi^2$ . The differential photon emission rate exhibits anisotropy, with the strongest suppression occurring when the pion momentum is perpendicular to the magnetic field. Overall, the modification of the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  rate is parametrically small, scaling as  $|eB|^2/m_P^4$ , where  $m_P$  is the proton mass. Nevertheless, under favorable conditions, the resulting angular anisotropy could lead to observable signatures in heavy-ion collisions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The decay of neutral pions into two photons ( $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ) is one of the most fundamental processes in quantum chromodynamics (QCD). It serves as a crucial test of chiral symmetry breaking and the axial anomaly within the Standard Model. From an experimental standpoint, this decay is commonly observed in high-energy physics and provides a primary signature for identifying neutral pions in both collider experiments and astrophysical observations, such as those involving cosmic rays. The latter, originating from supernova remnants, can produce pions through interactions with the interstellar medium. Among these, neutral pions, being unstable, decay within approximately  $10^{-16}$  seconds into pairs of gamma-ray photons, each carrying roughly half of the pion's rest mass. The detection of such photons serves as a key observational signature, offering insights into the high-energy processes associated with supernova remnants [1]. It is argued that the decay of neutral pions provides the dominant component of the truly diffuse  $\gamma$ -ray emission observed in the High Energy Stereoscopic System [2].

In the context of heavy-ion collisions, photons act as unique electromagnetic probes [3, 4]. Due to the long mean free path associated with electromagnetic interactions, photons traverse the medium with minimal rescattering, arriving at the detector largely undistorted. This property allows them to carry direct information about the space-time evolution and dynamics of the medium at the moment of their production. Photons are emitted throughout all stages of a heavy-ion collision, including contributions from prompt photons, thermal radiation, jet-medium bremsstrahlung, and others [5, 6]. In experiments, direct photons are defined as all photons not originating from hadron decays. Among hadronic decay sources, neutral pion decays account for approximately 80% of the total decay photon yield [7]. Therefore, in data analysis, the total decay photon contribution is often estimated by scaling the measured pion decay photons by a factor of 10/8.

In non-central heavy-ion collisions, extremely strong magnetic fields are generated during the initial stages of the collision. These transient fields, primarily created by the motion of charged ions, can reach or exceed typical QCD energy scales  $|eB| \gtrsim m_\pi^2$  [8–12]. Theoretically, such fields are predicted to induce novel quantum phenomena, including the chiral magnetic and chiral separation effects [13–15]. More recently, studies have also shown that strong magnetic fields can influence the amplitudes of particle emission processes and contribute to anisotropies in electromagnetic observables [16–19]. For instance, the magnetic field can enhance the thermal production rates of electromagnetic probes, thereby affecting both the yield and angular distribution of direct photons [7, 20–23].

Although neutral pions themselves do not couple directly to magnetic fields, their decay processes can be influenced indirectly. In the presence of sufficiently strong magnetic fields, quantum electrodynamic effects, such as anomalous

---

\*Electronic address: wangxy@aust.edu.cn

†Electronic address: linfan@aust.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: igor.shovkovy@asu.edu




FIG. 1: Triangular one-loop Feynman diagrams contributing to the anomalous decay of the neutral pion into two photons.

decay rate modifications, may become significant. These effects arise from field-induced changes in the properties of the vacuum, including modifications to the dispersion relations of photons and vacuum birefringence. As a result, the angular distribution and attenuation of the emitted photons can also be altered. In this work, we focus specifically on the anomalous modifications to the neutral pion decay rate induced by strong magnetic fields.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the theoretical formalism for calculating the decay of neutral pions into a pair of photons in the presence of a background magnetic field. In Sec. III, we present our main numerical results. Finally, we summarize our main findings and discuss the implication of our results in Sec. IV. Various technical details and derivations are presented in several Appendixes at the end of the paper.

## II. FORMALISM

The influence of a background magnetic field on pion decay,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ , may be analyzed within two complementary frameworks: a quark or a hadronic description. In both cases, the calculation necessarily involves the triangle diagrams shown in Fig. 1, which embody the chiral anomaly. In the present work, we employ the hadronic description, following the standard textbook treatment in the absence of a magnetic field [24]. Although the quark-level formulation may offer certain advantages [25], both approaches are expected to yield quantitatively similar results. Minor differences could arise from the distinct electric charges of the quarks and their direct coupling to the magnetic field. Our choice of the hadronic description is primarily motivated by our focus on the weak-field regime. In this case, over distance scales of the order of the magnetic length,  $\ell = 1/\sqrt{|eB|}$ , the proton can be accurately treated as a point-like particle, and the details of its quark substructure are unlikely to play a significant role.

In the hadronic formulation, the fermion lines in the triangle diagrams of Fig. 1 correspond to protons, which carry electric charge. The interaction between the neutral pion and the proton is described by the Yukawa-type Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = i\lambda\pi^0 (\bar{\psi}_p \gamma^5 \psi_p), \quad (1)$$

where the coupling constant is given by  $\lambda = m_P/f_\pi$ , with  $m_P$  denoting the proton mass and  $f_\pi \approx 92$  MeV the vacuum pion decay constant.

The definition of the decay rate is given by [24]

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}(B) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{2q_0(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2k_0(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(k, q)|^2}{2}, \quad (2)$$

where the amplitude for  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay is represented by the triangle diagrams in Fig. 1. Note that  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  are the 3-momenta of photons, and  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}$ . The formal expression for the amplitude is given by

$$i\mathcal{M}(k, q) = -1(-\lambda)(-ie)^2 \epsilon_\mu^{1*} \epsilon_\nu^{2*} \sum_{i=1,2} M_i^{\mu\nu}(k, q), \quad (3)$$

where  $\epsilon_\nu^i$  are the photons polarization vectors and  $M_i^{\mu\nu}(k, q)$  are the contributions from the two diagrams in Fig. 1. By definition,

$$M_1^{\mu\nu}(k, q) = \int d^4x d^4y d^4z \int \frac{d^4r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4t}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ir \cdot (z-x) - is \cdot (y-z) - it \cdot (x-y)} e^{-ip \cdot x + ik \cdot y + iq \cdot z} \Phi(x, z) \Phi(z, y) \Phi(y, x) \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}(t) \right], \quad (4)$$

and  $M_2^{\mu\nu}(k, q) = M_1^{\nu\mu}(q, k)$ . The explicit form of the proton propagator in a constant background magnetic field is given in Appendix A. The factors  $\Phi(x, z)$ ,  $\Phi(z, y)$ , and  $\Phi(y, x)$  in Eq. (4) are the Schwinger phases defined in Eq. (A2), which formally break the translation invariance of the charged fermion propagator.

Without loss of generality, we choose the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  to point along the  $+z$  direction and adopt the Landau gauge for the vector potential,  $\mathbf{A} = (-By, 0, 0)$ , where  $B$  denotes the field strength. In this configuration, the product of the three Schwinger phases in Eq. (4) takes the form

$$\Phi(x, z)\Phi(z, y)\Phi(y, x) = e^{\frac{ieB}{2}\epsilon^{ij}(y-x)_i(x-z)_j}, \quad (5)$$

where  $e$  is the magnitude of the electron charge. Unlike the individual Schwinger phases, this product depends only on the relative spatial coordinates  $y - x$  and  $x - z$ , and is therefore consistent with translation invariance in the plane perpendicular to the field.

In the weak-field regime, the translation-invariant part of the Green's function can be expanded in powers of the magnetic field strength,  $eB$ , as

$$\hat{G}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) = \hat{G}^{(0)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) + \hat{G}^{(1)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) + \hat{G}^{(2)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) + \dots, \quad (6)$$

where the explicit forms of  $\hat{G}^{(i)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel)$  (with  $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) are provided in Appendix A. Here,  $k_\perp$  and  $k_\parallel$  denote the momentum components transverse and parallel to the magnetic field direction, respectively. Generally, throughout this work, we employ the following notation for the metric tensors:  $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ ,  $g_\perp^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(0, -1, -1, 0)$ , and  $g_\parallel^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, -1)$ . Accordingly, we define the transverse and longitudinal components of the four-momentum as  $k_\perp = (0, -k^1, -k^2, 0)$  and  $k_\parallel = (k^0, 0, 0, -k^3)$ , respectively. The corresponding invariants are  $k_\perp^2 = -\mathbf{k}_\perp^2$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$ ,  $k_\parallel^2 = k_0^2 - k_3^2$ , and  $k^2 = k_\parallel^2 + k_\perp^2$ .

The product of the Schwinger phases in Eq. (5) can likewise be expanded in powers of  $eB$ . Then, the contributions of the triangular diagrams to for pion decay amplitude can be expressed via

$$\sum_{i=1,2} M_i^{\mu\nu}(k, q) \simeq \mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} + \sum_{j=0}^3 \mathcal{F}_1^{(j)} + \sum_{j=0}^3 \mathcal{F}_2^{(j)}, \quad (7)$$

where the subscript denotes the order in  $|eB|$ , and the index  $j$  distinguishes different contributions at the same order. The definitions and explicit forms of all terms are given in Appendix B. The resulting expressions for  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(j)}$ , with  $\kappa = 0, 2$ , are

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} = 4m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \mathcal{I}^0, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} = & -\frac{m_P |eB|^2}{4(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [k_{\parallel,\alpha} q_{\parallel,\beta} \mathcal{K}_0 + k_{\parallel,\alpha} \delta_{1,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_x} + k_{\parallel,\alpha} \delta_{2,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_y} + q_{\parallel,\beta} \delta_{1,\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{k_x} \\ & + q_{\parallel,\beta} \delta_{2,\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{k_y} + \delta_{2,\alpha} \delta_{1,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y} + \delta_{1,\alpha} \delta_{2,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x}], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{F}_2^{(j)} = 16|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathcal{J}_i^1 + \mathcal{J}_i^2). \quad (10)$$

whereas all first-order terms vanish,  $\mathcal{F}_1^{(j)} = 0$ .

By making use of the expansion in powers of  $eB$ , we write the amplitude squared as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{M}(k, q)|^2}{2} = & 4\lambda^2 e^4 m_\pi^4 m_P^2 \left[ \mathcal{I}^0 + 4|eB|^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathcal{J}_i^1 + \mathcal{J}_i^2) \right]^2 + \frac{\lambda^2 m_P^2 e^4 |eB|^4}{4(2\pi)^4} \left\{ (\mathcal{K}_0)^2 [k_\parallel^2 q_\parallel^2 - (q_\parallel \cdot k_\parallel)^2] \right. \\ & \left. + [(\mathcal{K}_{q_x})^2 + (\mathcal{K}_{q_y})^2] k_\parallel^2 + [(\mathcal{K}_{k_x})^2 + (\mathcal{K}_{k_y})^2] p_\parallel^2 + (\mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y} - \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x})^2 - 2(\mathcal{K}_{q_x} \mathcal{K}_{k_x} + \mathcal{K}_{q_y} \mathcal{K}_{k_y})(k_\parallel \cdot q_\parallel) \right\} \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where all  $\mathcal{K}_s$  functions are defined in Appendix B. Given the cumbersome analytic structure of these functions, it is convenient to simplify the analysis by considering several physically relevant approximations: (i) the center-of-mass (CM) frame, defined by  $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q}$ ; (ii) the small-pion-mass (SP) approximation, corresponding to  $m_\pi \ll m_P$ ; and (iii) the combined case (CS), which incorporates both the center-of-mass frame and the small-pion-mass approximation.

### A. Center-of-mass frame with the small-pion-mass approximation (CS)

Let us start from the simplest case of the center-of-mass frame, which is obtained by setting  $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q}$ , and combine it with the small-pion-mass approximation,  $m_\pi \ll m_P$ . In this case, from Eq. (7), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1,2} M_i^{\mu\nu, \text{CS}}(k, q) = \frac{4}{m_P} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \right) + i|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left[ \frac{1}{20\pi^2 m_P^6} + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{126\pi^2 m_P^8} \right] - \frac{m_P |eB|^2}{2(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 14m_P^2}{105m_P^8} + k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\perp, \beta} \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 28m_P^2}{105m_P^8} + k_{\perp, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 28m_P^2}{105m_P^8} k_{\perp, \alpha} q_{\perp, \beta} \frac{140m_P^2 - 24\mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{315m_P^8}], \quad (12)$$

where we used the results in Eqs. (B7), (B22) and (B38) from Appendix B. Furthermore, by using the definition in Eq. (3), the corresponding amplitude becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{\text{CS}} &= i\lambda e^2 \epsilon_\mu^{1*} \epsilon_\nu^{2*} \sum_{i=1,2} M_i^{\mu\nu, \text{CS}}(k, q) \\ &= \lambda e^2 \epsilon_\mu^{1*} \epsilon_\nu^{2*} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2 m_P} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( 1 - \frac{|eB|^2}{5m_P^4} - \frac{2|eB|^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{63m_P^6} \right) - \frac{im_P |eB|^2}{2(2\pi)^2} \left[ k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 14m_P^2}{105m_P^8} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\perp, \beta} \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} + k_{\perp, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} + k_{\perp, \alpha} q_{\perp, \beta} \frac{4(35m_P^2 - 6\mathbf{q}_\perp^2)}{315m_P^8} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and its complex conjugate expression reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{*, \text{CS}} &= \lambda e^2 \epsilon_\sigma^{1*} \epsilon_\rho^{2*} \epsilon^{\sigma\rho\gamma\theta} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2 m_P} k_\gamma q_\theta \left( 1 - \frac{|eB|^2}{5m_P^4} - \frac{2|eB|^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{63m_P^6} \right) + \frac{im_P |eB|^2}{2(2\pi)^2} \left[ k_{\parallel, \gamma} q_{\parallel, \theta} \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 14m_P^2}{105m_P^8} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + k_{\parallel, \theta} q_{\perp, \gamma} \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} + k_{\perp, \theta} q_{\parallel, \gamma} \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} + k_{\perp, \theta} q_{\perp, \gamma} \frac{4(35m_P^2 - 6\mathbf{q}_\perp^2)}{315m_P^8} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Using the on-shell conditions  $k^2 = q^2 = 0$  and  $q \cdot k = m_\pi^2/2$ , and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon_\mu^{1*} \epsilon_\nu^{2*} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [A_1 k_\alpha q_\beta + i(B_1 k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} + B_2 k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\perp, \beta} + B_3 k_{\perp, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} + B_4 k_{\perp, \alpha} q_{\perp, \beta})] \\ &\times \epsilon_\sigma^{1*} \epsilon_\rho^{2*} \epsilon^{\sigma\rho\gamma\theta} [A_1 k_\gamma q_\theta - i(B_1 k_{\parallel, \gamma} q_{\parallel, \theta} + B_2 k_{\parallel, \gamma} q_{\perp, \theta} + B_3 k_{\perp, \gamma} q_{\parallel, \theta} + B_4 k_{\perp, \gamma} q_{\perp, \theta})] = 2 \left\{ \frac{m_\pi^4}{4} A_1^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - B_1^2 [k_\parallel^2 q_\parallel^2 - (q_\parallel \cdot k_\parallel)^2] - B_2^2 (k_\parallel^2 q_\perp^2) - B_3^2 (k_\perp^2 q_\parallel^2) - B_4^2 [k_\perp^2 q_\perp^2 - (q_\perp \cdot k_\perp)^2] + 2B_2 B_3 [(k_\perp \cdot q_\perp)(q_\parallel \cdot k_\parallel)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

we obtain the following expression for the squared amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{M}^{\text{CS}}|^2}{2} &= \frac{\alpha_e^2 \lambda^2 m_\pi^4}{4\pi^2 m_P^2} \left( 1 - \frac{|eB|^2}{5m_P^4} - \frac{2|eB|^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{63m_P^6} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_e^2 \lambda^2 m_P^2 |eB|^4}{4\pi^2} \left\{ m_\pi^2 q_3^2 \left( \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 14m_P^2}{105m_P^8} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 (\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + 4q_3^2) \left( \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} \right)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $\alpha_e$  is the fine structure constant. In deriving this result, we used  $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q}$  and  $k^0 = q^0 = m_\pi/2$ . Also, the following relations were employed:

$$k_\parallel^2 q_\parallel^2 - (q_\parallel \cdot k_\parallel)^2 = -(k_\parallel^3 q_0 - k_0 q_\parallel^3)^2 = -m_\pi^2 q_3^2, \quad (17)$$

$$k_\perp^2 q_\perp^2 - (k_\perp \cdot q_\perp)^2 = (k_y q_x - k_x q_y)^2 = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$B_2^2 (k_\parallel^2 q_\perp^2) + B_3^2 (k_\perp^2 q_\parallel^2) - 2B_2 B_3 [(k_\perp \cdot q_\perp)(q_\parallel \cdot k_\parallel)] = -q_\perp^2 [(-q_\perp^2 (B_2 + B_3)^2 + 4B_2 B_3 q_3^2)]. \quad (19)$$

Finally, by substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (2), we obtain

$$\Gamma^{\text{CS}}(B) \approx \Gamma(0) \left( 1 - \frac{2|eB|^2}{5m_P^4} - \frac{2|eB|^2 m_\pi^2}{189m_P^6} \right), \quad (20)$$

where  $\Gamma(0)$  is the decay rate for the zero-field case

$$\Gamma(0) = \frac{\alpha_e^2 \lambda^2 m_\pi^3}{64\pi^3 m_P^2} = \frac{\alpha_e^2 m_\pi^3}{64\pi^3 f_\pi^2}, \quad (21)$$

which agrees with the textbook result in Ref. [24].

As seen from Eq. (20), the qualitative effect of the magnetic field is to suppress the pion decay rate. Quantitatively, however, the effect remains small for realistic field strengths. For magnetic fields of order  $|eB| \simeq m_\pi^2 \approx 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$ , typical of those expected in heavy-ion collisions, the correction to the decay rate is only about 0.02%. Even for substantially stronger fields,  $|eB| \simeq 10m_\pi^2 \approx 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ , the suppression increases only modestly, reaching approximately 2%.

The reduction of the decay rate in the presence of a magnetic background is qualitatively consistent with results obtained using alternative approaches, such as those based on the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation [26–29] and chiral perturbation theory [30–32]. These studies typically focus on the magnetic-field dependence of the pion decay constant,  $f_\pi(B)$ , which increases with the field strength. Since the decay rate scales as  $\Gamma(B) \propto 1/f_\pi^2(B)$ , an enhancement of  $f_\pi(B)$  naturally leads to a suppression of  $\Gamma(B)$ .

Nevertheless, our quantitative results exhibit slight deviations from those reported in Refs. [26–28, 30–32]. Most likely, this difference originates from using a very different hadronic framework, where the magnetic field expansion is organized in powers of  $|eB|/m_P^2$ . This differs from the expansion schemes employed in the other studies relying on the expansion in powers of  $|eB|/(4\pi f_\pi)$ .

### B. Small $m_\pi$ limit and arbitrary pion momentum (SP)

Let us now consider the small  $m_\pi$  approximation but lift the restriction of the center-of-mass frame, allowing the neutral pion to have an arbitrary momentum. In this case, by using Eqs. (B7), (B21) and (B35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1,2} M_i^{\mu\nu, \text{SP}}(k, q) &\approx \frac{4}{m_P} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \right) + i|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left[ \frac{1}{20\pi^2 m_P^6} + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{q}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{126\pi^2 m_P^8} \right] - \frac{m_P |eB|^2}{2(2\pi)^2} \\ &\times \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left\{ k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} \mathcal{K}_0^{\text{SP}} + k_{\parallel, \alpha} \delta_{1, \beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{SP}} + k_{\parallel, \alpha} \delta_{2, \beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_y}^{\text{SP}} + q_{\parallel, \beta} \delta_{1, \alpha} \mathcal{K}_{k_x}^{\text{SP}} + q_{\parallel, \beta} \delta_{2, \alpha} \mathcal{K}_{k_y}^{\text{SP}} + \delta_{2, \alpha} \delta_{1, \beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y}^{\text{SP}} + \delta_{1, \alpha} \delta_{2, \beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x}^{\text{SP}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By noting that

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon_\mu^{1*} \epsilon_\nu^{2*} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [A_1 k_\alpha q_\beta + i(B_1 k_{\parallel, \alpha} q_{\parallel, \beta} + B_2 k_{\parallel, \alpha} \delta_{1, \beta} + B_3 k_{\parallel, \alpha} \delta_{2, \beta} + B_4 q_{\parallel, \beta} \delta_{1, \alpha} + B_5 q_{\parallel, \beta} \delta_{2, \alpha} + B_6 \delta_{2, \alpha} \delta_{1, \beta} + B_7 \delta_{1, \alpha} \delta_{2, \beta})] \\ &\times \epsilon_\sigma^{1*} \epsilon_\rho^{2*} \epsilon^{\sigma\rho\gamma\theta} [A_1 k_\gamma q_\theta - i(B_1 k_{\parallel, \gamma} q_{\parallel, \theta} + B_2 k_{\parallel, \gamma} \delta_{1, \theta} + B_3 k_{\parallel, \gamma} \delta_{2, \theta} + B_4 q_{\parallel, \theta} \delta_{1, \gamma} + B_5 q_{\parallel, \theta} \delta_{2, \gamma} + B_6 \delta_{2, \gamma} \delta_{1, \theta} + B_7 \delta_{1, \gamma} \delta_{2, \theta})] \\ &= 2 \left\{ \frac{A_1^2 m_\pi^2}{4} - B_1^2 [k_{\parallel}^2 q_{\parallel}^2 - (q_{\parallel} \cdot k_{\parallel})^2] - (B_2^2 + B_3^2) k_{\parallel}^2 - (B_4^2 + B_5^2) q_{\parallel}^2 - (B_6 - B_7)^2 + 2(B_2 B_4 + B_3 B_5)(k_{\parallel} \cdot q_{\parallel}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

we obtain the following result for the squared amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\mathcal{M}^{\text{SP}}|^2}{2} &= \frac{\lambda^2 e^4 m_\pi^4}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{4\pi^2 m_P} \left( 1 - \frac{|eB|^2}{5m_P^4} - \frac{2|eB|^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{q}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)}{63m_P^6} \right) \right]^2 + \frac{\lambda^2 m_P^2 e^4 |eB|^4}{4(2\pi)^4} \left\{ (\mathcal{K}_0^{\text{SP}})^2 [k_{\parallel}^2 q_{\parallel}^2 - (q_{\parallel} \cdot k_{\parallel})^2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [(\mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{SP}})^2 + (\mathcal{K}_{q_y}^{\text{SP}})^2] k_{\parallel}^2 + [(\mathcal{K}_{k_x}^{\text{SP}})^2 + (\mathcal{K}_{k_y}^{\text{SP}})^2] q_{\parallel}^2 + (\mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y}^{\text{SP}} - \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x}^{\text{SP}})^2 - 2(\mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{SP}} \mathcal{K}_{k_x}^{\text{SP}} + \mathcal{K}_{q_y}^{\text{SP}} \mathcal{K}_{k_y}^{\text{SP}})(k_{\parallel} \cdot q_{\parallel}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The corresponding expression for the decay rate is then given by

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(B) \approx \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(0) \left( 1 - \frac{2|eB|^2}{5m_P^4} - \frac{64m_\pi |eB|^2}{63\pi^2 m_P^6} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{p}} \right), \quad (25)$$

where the zero-field (vacuum) result is

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(0) = \frac{\alpha_e^2 \lambda^2 m_\pi^4}{64\pi^3 m_P^2 \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}} = \frac{\alpha_e^2 m_\pi^4}{64\pi^3 f_\pi^2 \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}}, \quad (26)$$




FIG. 2: Field-strength dependence of the absolute value of the field-induced correction to the pion decay rate in a general frame. The different curves correspond to various pion momenta, ranging from  $p_\pi = 0$  to  $p_\pi = 5$  GeV. Results are shown for two fixed angles:  $\beta = \pi/4$  (left) and  $\beta = \pi/2$  (right).

and the additional function appearing in the quadratic terms is defined through the integral

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{2m_\pi} \int \frac{d^3Q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2q_0} \int \frac{d^3K}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2k_0} (\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{q}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p - k - q). \quad (27)$$

Since the integrand depends differently on the transverse and longitudinal components of the momenta, the function  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$ , and consequently the decay rate  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}$ , is anisotropic. To quantify this directional dependence, we introduce the angle  $\beta$  between the pion momentum and the magnetic field. The dependence of the decay rate on  $\beta$ , reflecting this magnetic-field-induced anisotropy, is studied numerically in the next section.

Similar to the center-of-mass result in Eq. (20), the general-frame expression in Eq. (25) shows that the decay rate remains suppressed by the magnetic field. The main new feature is the emergence of an angular dependence through the function  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{p}}$ . As will be shown in the next section, this angular dependence leads to an enhanced suppression when the pion momentum is oriented perpendicular to the magnetic field.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we present numerical results for the pion decay rate in a general reference frame, as given by Eq. (25). In this case, the pion carries a nonzero momentum  $\mathbf{p}$ , whose orientation relative to the magnetic field is specified by the angle  $\beta$ . We analyze how the decay rate depends on both the magnitude of  $\mathbf{p}$  and its direction with respect to the field.

Because the overall decay rate exhibits only a weak suppression by the magnetic field, it is useful to isolate the field-induced contribution by subtracting the zero-field result. To this end, we introduce the following dimensionless quantity:

$$\frac{|\Delta\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(B)|}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(0)} = \frac{|\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(B) - \Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(0)|}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text{SP}}(0)}. \quad (28)$$

Its dependence on the magnetic field strength is shown in Fig. 2 for several values of the pion momentum, ranging from  $p_\pi = 0$  to  $p_\pi = 5$  GeV. The two panels correspond to fixed angles  $\beta$ . As seen in both panels, the magnitude of the field-dependent suppression increases quadratically with the magnetic field strength, becoming more pronounced for larger pion momenta and for the orientations of pion momenta closer to  $\beta = \pi/2$ . Note that for a realistic magnetic field of  $|eB| \simeq m_\pi^2 \approx 0.018$  GeV<sup>2</sup>, the correction remains extremely small, on the order of 0.02%.

The dependence of the field-induced correction to the decay rate given by Eq. (28) on the angle  $\beta$  is shown in Fig. 3. As seen from the figure, the absolute value of the field-induced correction reaches its maximum at  $\beta = \pi/2$ . This indicates that the suppression of the decay rate by the magnetic field is strongest when the pion momentum is perpendicular to the field direction. Although the quantitative effect remains small, it becomes more pronounced as the pion momentum increases.




FIG. 3: Absolute value of the field-induced correction to the pion decay rate in a general frame as a function of the angle between the pion momentum and the magnetic field. The different curves correspond to various pion momenta, ranging from  $p_\pi = 0$  to  $p_\pi = 5$  GeV. Results are shown for two fixed magnetic-field strengths:  $|eB| = m_\pi^2/2$  (left) and  $|eB| = 2m_\pi^2$  (right).

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In this work, we investigated the decay of neutral pions into two photons in the presence of a weak background magnetic field within a hadronic framework. The analysis was based on the proton triangle diagram with an effective Yukawa-type  $\pi^0$ -proton coupling, which provides a physically transparent alternative to quark-level treatments in the regime where the magnetic length is much larger than the proton size. By expanding the proton propagator and the Schwinger phases in powers of  $eB$ , we derived analytical expressions for the decay amplitude and rate up to second order in the magnetic field strength.

Our results show that the magnetic field leads to a generic suppression of the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay rate. The effect originates from field-induced modifications of the intermediate proton loop and manifests as a quadratic dependence on the magnetic field, scaling as  $|eB|^2/m_P^4$ , where  $m_P$  is the proton mass. Quantitatively, the correction is extremely small: for magnetic fields of order  $|eB| \simeq m_\pi^2 \approx 0.018 \text{ GeV}^2$ , the suppression amounts to roughly 0.02%, increasing to only a few percent for fields an order of magnitude stronger.

Although the field-induced suppression of the decay rate is qualitatively consistent with previous studies based on different theoretical frameworks [26–32], our quantitative results differ. The precise origin of these differences is not yet fully understood, but they likely arise from distinct model assumptions (e.g., the treatment of the pion as a point-like particle) and from differences in the organization of the expansion in powers of the magnetic field.

In a general reference frame, the decay rate becomes anisotropic due to the different dependence of the amplitude on the transverse and longitudinal components of the pion momentum. This anisotropy is characterized by the angle  $\beta$  between the pion momentum and the magnetic field direction. The suppression reaches its maximum at  $\beta = \pi/2$ , when the pion momentum is perpendicular to the field, while it diminishes as the momentum becomes more aligned with the field.

Overall, our study demonstrates that, although the magnetic-field-induced corrections to the total  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay rate are parametrically small, the resulting angular anisotropy may be of phenomenological relevance. In particular, such anisotropic emission could, in principle, contribute to directional photon correlations in heavy-ion collisions or influence photon spectra in magnetized astrophysical environments, such as neutron stars or magnetars. Future extensions of this work could explore the strong-field regime beyond the perturbative expansion, incorporate medium effects, or generalize the framework to other anomalous meson decay channels.

#### Acknowledgments

X.W. would thank Mei Huang, Kun Xu for early contributions. The work of X.W. was supported by YJ20240001 from Anhui University of Science and Technology. The work of I.A.S. was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. PHY-2209470 and PHY-2514933.



## Appendix A: Fermion propagator in a magnetic field

In a constant background field pointing in  $z$  direction, the Green's function of a fermion with four momentum  $k$  and mass  $m$  is given by [33]:

$$G(x, x') = \Phi(x, x') \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot (x - x')} \hat{G}(k), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where the Schwinger phase is given by

$$\Phi(x, x') = \exp \left\{ i|e| \int_{x'}^x d\xi^\mu \left[ A_\mu + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} (\xi - x')^\nu \right] \right\}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The translation invariant part is given by

$$\hat{G}(k) = ie^{-k_\perp^2 l^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n D_n(k)}{k_0^2 - k_3^2 - m^2 - 2n|eB|}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where

$$D_n(k) = 2[k^0 \gamma^0 - k^3 \gamma^3 + m][\mathcal{P}_+ L_n(2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 l^2) - \mathcal{P}_- L_{n-1}(2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 l^2)] + 4(\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_\perp) L_{n-1}^1(2k_\perp^2 l^2). \quad (\text{A4})$$

### 1. Weak-field limit of the translation invariant part of the propagator

To obtain the weak-field approximation for the translation invariant part of the propagator, it is convenient to use the following relation:

$$\frac{1}{a + 2n|b|} = - \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s(a + 2n|b|)}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where we used the shorthand notations  $a = (m^2 + k_3^2 - k_0^2)$  and  $b = eB$ . Then, we derive [34]

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= 2e^{-k_\perp^2 l^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n [\mathcal{P}_+ L_n(2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 l^2) - \mathcal{P}_- L_{n-1}(2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 l^2)]}{a + 2n|b|} \\ &= -2e^{-k_\perp^2 l^2 / |b|} \int_0^\infty ds \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-s(a + 2n|b|)} \left[ \mathcal{P}_- + \mathcal{P}_+ e^{-2s|b|} \right] L_n \left( \frac{2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{|b|} \right) \\ &= - \int_0^\infty ds e^{-sa - (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 / b) \tanh(sb)} [1 - i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \tanh(sb)] \\ &\simeq - \frac{1}{a + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2} + i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{1}{(a + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)^2} b - \frac{2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{(a + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)^4} b^2 + O(b^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= 4e^{-k_\perp^2 l^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n L_{n-1}^1(2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 l^2)}{a + 2n|b|} = 4e^{-\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 / |b|} \int_0^\infty ds \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-s(a + 2n|b| + 2|b|)} L_n^1 \left( \frac{2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{|b|} \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{\cosh^2(sb)} e^{-sa - (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 / b) \tanh(sb)} \simeq \frac{1}{a + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2} + \frac{2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{(a + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2)^4} b^2 + O(b^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

In the derivation, we utilized the definition of the generating function for the Laguerre polynomials [35],

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n L_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} \exp \left( \frac{xz}{z-1} \right), \quad (\text{A8})$$

took into account our convention  $L_{-1}^\alpha(x) = 0$ .



By combining the results in Eqs. (A6) and (A7), we obtain the following power series expression for the translation invariant part of the propagator in weak field limit:

$$\hat{G}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) = \hat{G}^{(0)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) + \hat{G}^{(1)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) + \hat{G}^{(2)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) + \dots, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where the explicit expressions for the first three terms in the expansion are

$$\hat{G}^{(0)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) = i \frac{k_0 \gamma^0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} + m}{k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2}, \quad (\text{A10a})$$

$$\hat{G}^{(1)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) = -\gamma^1 \gamma^2 eB \frac{k_0 \gamma^0 - k^3 \gamma^3 + m}{(k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)^2}, \quad (\text{A10b})$$

$$\hat{G}^{(2)}(k_\perp, k_\parallel) = 2i(eB)^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 \frac{k_0 \gamma^0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma} + m}{(k_0^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 - m^2)^4}. \quad (\text{A10c})$$

## 2. Weak-field limit of the product of the Schwinger phase factors

In the calculation of the triangle diagram, we encounter the following product of three Schwinger phases:

$$\Phi(x, z) \Phi(z, y) \Phi(y, x) = e^{\frac{i|eB|}{2} \epsilon^{ij} (y-x)_i (x-z)_j}. \quad (\text{A11})$$

By using the weak field limit, the corresponding expression can be written as power series,

$$\Phi(x, z) \Phi(z, y) \Phi(y, x) \simeq 1 + \frac{i|eB| \epsilon^{ij} (y-x)_i (x-z)_j}{2} - \frac{|eB|^2 [\epsilon^{ij} (y-x)_i (x-z)_j]^2}{4} + O(|eB|^3), \quad (\text{A12})$$

with the indices  $i, j = 1, 2$  and  $\epsilon^{ij}$  is the Levi-Civita symbol. Therefore, in the momentum space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int d^4 x d^4 y d^4 z e^{-ir \cdot (z-x)} e^{-is \cdot (y-z)} e^{-it \cdot (x-y)} e^{-ip \cdot x} e^{ik \cdot y} e^{iq \cdot z} \Phi(x, z) \Phi(z, y) \Phi(y, x) \\ &\simeq (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p - k - q) \int d^4 y d^4 z e^{iz(s+q-r) - iy(s-t-k)} \left( 1 - \frac{i|eB| \epsilon^{ij} y_i z_j}{2} - \frac{|eB|^2 (\epsilon^{ij} y_i z_j)^2}{4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

Integrating over the spatial coordinate, we finally obtain

$$I_3 = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p - k - q) (\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2), \quad (\text{A14})$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_0 = (2\pi)^8 \delta^{(4)}(s + q - r) \delta^{(4)}(s - t - k), \quad (\text{A15a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \frac{i|eB|}{2} (2\pi)^8 \delta^{(2)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel - r_\parallel) \delta^{(2)}(s_\parallel - t_\parallel - k_\parallel) [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \\ &\quad \times \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) + \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &= \frac{|eB|^2}{4} (2\pi)^8 \delta^{(2)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel - r_\parallel) \delta^{(2)}(s_\parallel - t_\parallel - k_\parallel) [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta''(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta''(s_y + q_y - r_y) \\ &\quad - \delta''(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta''(s_x + q_x - r_x) \\ &\quad + 2\delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15c})$$

## Appendix B: Evaluation of $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(j)}$ functions

By using the expansions for the translation invariant part of the propagator and the product of the Schwinger phases in Eq. (5), we derive the following expression for the amplitude for the pion decay:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^{\mu\nu} &\simeq \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} (\mathcal{I}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2) \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \left( \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) + \hat{G}^{(1)}(s) \right) \gamma^\nu \left( \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) + \hat{G}^{(1)}(r) \right) \gamma^5 \left( \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) + \hat{G}^{(1)}(t) \right) \right] \\ &\simeq \mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} + \sum_{j=0}^3 \mathcal{F}_1^{(j)} + \sum_{j=0}^9 \mathcal{F}_2^{(j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

with the functions  $\hat{G}^{(i)}(s)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) defined in Eqs. (A10) and (A15), respectively. The explicit expressions for partial contributions  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^{(j)}$  read

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2a})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(0)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_1 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2b})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(1)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(1)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2c})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(1)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2d})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(3)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(1)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2e})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_2 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right]. \quad (\text{B2f})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(1)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(2)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2g})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(2)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(2)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2h})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(3)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(2)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2i})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(1)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(1)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2j})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(5)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(1)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(1)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2k})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(6)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(1)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(1)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2l})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(7)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_1 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(1)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2m})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(8)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_1 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(1)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2n})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(9)} = \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_1 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(1)}(t) \right], \quad (\text{B2o})$$

### 1. Zeroth order contributions

The zeroth order contribution is only from  $\mathcal{F}_0^{(0)}$  term,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} &= \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right] \\ &= 4m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(s^2 - m_P^2)[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} \\ &= 4m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \right) \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{1}{m_P^2 - x(1-x)k^2 - y(1-y)q^2 - 2kqxy}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Up to here, it is clearly shown that  $\mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} = \mathcal{F}_0^{(0)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ , therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{\text{All}} = \mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} + \mathcal{F}_0^{(0)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 2\mathcal{F}_0^{(0)}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

After use the on-shell conditions, Eq. (B3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_0^{(0)} &= 4m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \right) \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{1}{m_P^2 - m_\pi^2 xy} \\ &= 4m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( \frac{-i}{16\pi^2 m_\pi^2} \right) \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi}{m_\pi - \sqrt{m_\pi^2 - 4m_P^2}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi}{m_\pi + \sqrt{m_\pi^2 - 4m_P^2}} \right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B5})$$

By using small  $m_\pi$  limit, we derive

$$\lim_{m_\pi \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m_\pi^2} \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi}{m_\pi - \sqrt{m_\pi^2 - 4m_P^2}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi}{m_\pi + \sqrt{m_\pi^2 - 4m_P^2}} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2m_P^2}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

leading to

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{\text{All}, sp} = -i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \frac{k_\alpha q_\beta}{4\pi^2 m_P}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

which agrees with the textbook result in Ref. [24].

## 2. First-order contributions

At the leading order in  $eB$ , we have four different contributions,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_1^{(0)} &= \frac{i|eB|}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s d\mathbf{r}_\perp^2 dt_\perp^2}{(2\pi)^4} [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) \\ &\quad + \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)] \\ &\quad \times \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel - k_\parallel, \mathbf{t}_\perp) \right] \\ &= \frac{|eB|}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \gamma^\mu \frac{(\not{s} + m_P)}{(s^2 - m_P^2)} \gamma^\nu \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x + q_x)[\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y - k_y)[\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y + q_y)[\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x - k_x)[\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B8})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(1)} = eB \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \gamma^\mu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{\gamma_\parallel^\sigma k_{\parallel, \sigma} + m}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{\gamma^\rho (s+q)_\rho + m_P}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^\tau (s-q)_\tau + m_P}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} \right\}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(2)} = eB \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \gamma^\mu \frac{\gamma^\sigma k_\sigma + m}{(s^2 - m_P^2)} \gamma^\nu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{\gamma_\parallel^\rho (s+q)_{\parallel, \rho} + m_P}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^\tau (s-k)_\tau + m_P}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} \right\}, \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(3)} = eB \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \gamma^\mu \frac{\gamma^\sigma k_\sigma + m}{(s^2 - m_P^2)} \gamma^\nu \frac{\gamma^\rho (s+q)_\rho + m_P}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]} \gamma^5 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{\gamma_\parallel^\tau (s-k)_{\parallel, \tau} + m_P}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\}. \quad (\text{B11})$$

In the first function, we have used

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta^{(n)}(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \delta(x) \phi^{(n)}(x) dx. \quad (\text{B12})$$

After tedious but straightforward calculations, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(0)} + \mathcal{F}_1^{(0)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 0, \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(1)} + \mathcal{F}_1^{(1)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 0, \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(2)} + \mathcal{F}_1^{(3)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 0, \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{(3)} + \mathcal{F}_1^{(2)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 0. \quad (\text{B16})$$

Consequently, all terms of first order in the magnetic field vanish and do not affect the decay rate.

### 3. Second-order contributions

For the next leading order contributions which promotional to  $(eB)^2$ , the first 3 terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2^{(1)} &= \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(2)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right] \\ &= 8|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\mathbf{s}_\perp^2}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^4 [(s+q)^2 - m_P^2] [(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B17})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2^{(2)} &= \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(2)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(t) \right] \\ &= 8|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(\mathbf{s}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp)^2}{(s^2 - m_P^2) [(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^4 [(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B18})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2^{(3)} &= \int \frac{d^4 r}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 t}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{I}_0 \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(r) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(2)}(t) \right] \\ &= 8|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(\mathbf{s}_\perp - \mathbf{k}_\perp)^2}{(s^2 - m_P^2) [(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^4 [(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^4}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B19})$$

We define  $\mathcal{F}_{2,0} = \mathcal{F}_2^{(1)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(2)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(3)}$ . Then, it is easy to show that

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,0}^{\text{All}} = \mathcal{F}_{2,0} + \mathcal{F}_{2,0}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 2\mathcal{F}_{2,0}. \quad (\text{B20})$$

By using the results in Appendix C, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,0}^{\text{All}} = 16|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathcal{J}_i^1 + \mathcal{J}_i^2), \quad (\text{B21})$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^2$  are given in Eq. (C8) and Eq.(C9). Using the results in Eqs. (C10), (C11), and (C12), valid for the special cases of small pion mass (SP), the center-of-mass frame (CM), and the combined approximation of the small pion mass in the center-of-mass frame (CS), respectively, we derive

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,0}^{\text{All,CS}} \simeq 16i|eB|^2 m_P \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta \left( \frac{1}{320\pi^2 m_P^6} + \frac{\mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{2016\pi^2 m_P^8} \right). \quad (\text{B22})$$

The other six terms at the next-leading order are given by

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} = i(eB)^2 \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \gamma^\mu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{s_0 \gamma^0 - s_z \gamma^3 + m_P}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^2} \gamma^\nu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{(s_0 + q_0) \gamma^0 - (s_z + q_z) \gamma^3 + m_P}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} \right\}, \quad (\text{B23})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(5)} = i(eB)^2 \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \gamma^\mu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{s_0 \gamma^0 - s_z \gamma^3 + m_P}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^2} \gamma^\nu \frac{\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]} \gamma^5 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{(s_0 - k_0) \gamma^0 - (s_z - k_z) \gamma^3 + m_P}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\}, \quad (\text{B24})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(6)} = i(eB)^2 \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \left\{ \gamma^\mu \frac{\not{s} + m_P}{(s^2 - m_P^2)} \gamma^\nu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{(s_0 + q_0) \gamma^0 - (s_z + q_z) \gamma^3 + m_P}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{(s_0 - k_0) \gamma^0 - (s_z - k_z) \gamma^3 + m_P}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\}, \quad (\text{B25})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{(7)} &= \frac{i|eB|}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s dr_\perp^2 dt_\perp^2}{(2\pi)^4} [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) \\
&\quad + \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)] \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(1)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel - k_\parallel, \mathbf{t}_\perp) \right] \\
&= i \frac{|eB|^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \gamma^\mu \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \frac{(s^0 \gamma^0 - s_z \gamma^3 + m_P)}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^2} \gamma^\nu \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x + q_x) [\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y - k_y) [\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y + q_y) [\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x - k_x) [\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\} \quad (\text{B26})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{(8)} &= \frac{i|eB|}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s dr_\perp^2 dt_\perp^2}{(2\pi)^4} [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) \\
&\quad + \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)] \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(1)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel - k_\parallel, \mathbf{t}_\perp) \right] \\
&= i \frac{|eB|^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \gamma^\mu \frac{(\not{s} + m_P)}{(s^2 - m_P^2)} \gamma^\nu \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x + q_x) [\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y - k_y) [\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y + q_y) [\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x - k_x) [\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\} \quad (\text{B27})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{(9)} &= \frac{i|eB|}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s dr_\perp^2 dt_\perp^2}{(2\pi)^4} [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) \\
&\quad + \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)] \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(1)}(s_\parallel - k_\parallel, \mathbf{t}_\perp) \right] \\
&= i \frac{|eB|^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \text{Tr} \gamma^\mu \frac{(\not{s} + m_P)}{(s^2 - m_P^2)} \gamma^\nu \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x + q_x) [\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y - k_y) [\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\gamma^2 [-(s+q)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_y + q_y) [\not{s} + \not{q} + m_P]}{[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \gamma^5 \frac{\gamma^1 [-(s-k)^2 + m_P^2] + 2(s_x - k_x) [\not{s} - \not{k} + m_P]}{[(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^2} \right\} \quad (\text{B28})
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that all of them vanish, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{(4)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(5)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{F}_2^{(5)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(4)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{F}_2^{(6)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(6)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{F}_2^{(7)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(8)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{F}_2^{(8)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(7)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) &= 0, \\
\mathcal{F}_2^{(9)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(9)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{B29})$$

The only remaining term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} &= \frac{|eB|^2}{4} \int \frac{d^4 s dr_\perp^2 dt_\perp^2}{(2\pi)^4} [-\delta(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta''(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_x + q_x - r_x) \delta''(s_y + q_y - r_y) \\
&- \delta''(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta''(s_x + q_x - r_x) \\
&+ 2\delta'(s_y - t_y - k_y) \delta'(s_x - t_x - k_x) \delta'(s_y + q_y - r_y) \delta'(s_x + q_x - r_x)] \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s) \gamma^\nu \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel + q_\parallel, \mathbf{r}_\perp) \gamma^5 \hat{G}^{(0)}(s_\parallel - k_\parallel, \mathbf{t}_\perp) \right] \\
&= -im_P |eB|^2 \left[ \frac{\partial^4}{\partial t_x^2 \partial r_y^2} - \frac{2\partial^4}{\partial t_y \partial r_x \partial t_x \partial r_y} + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial t_y^2 \partial r_x^2} \right] \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta}{(s^2 - m_P^2)[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]}. \tag{B30}
\end{aligned}$$

After simplification, it can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} = -\frac{m_P |eB|^2}{4(2\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{\partial^4}{\partial k_x^2 \partial q_y^2} - \frac{2\partial^4}{\partial k_y \partial q_x \partial k_x \partial q_y} + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial k_y^2 \partial q_x^2} \right] \int_0^1 dz \int_0^{1-z} dy \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} k_\alpha q_\beta}{(yq - zk)^2 - yq^2 - zk^2 + m_P^2}. \tag{B31}$$

Therefore, we obtained

$$\mathcal{F}_{0,2}^{\text{All}} = \mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} + \mathcal{F}_2^{(0)}(q \leftrightarrow k, \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = 2\mathcal{F}_2^{(0)}. \tag{B32}$$

Furthermore, after carrying out straightforward, albeit tedious, evaluations of the integrals, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^{(0)} &= -\frac{m_P |eB|^2}{4(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} [k_{\parallel,\alpha} q_{\parallel,\beta} \mathcal{K}_0 + k_{\parallel,\alpha} \delta_{1,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_x} + k_{\parallel,\alpha} \delta_{2,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_y} + q_{\parallel,\beta} \delta_{1,\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{k_x} \\
&+ q_{\parallel,\beta} \delta_{2,\alpha} \mathcal{K}_{k_y} + \delta_{2,\alpha} \delta_{1,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y} + \delta_{1,\alpha} \delta_{2,\beta} \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x}], \tag{B33}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_0 &= \frac{1}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7 (4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^{3/2}} \left\{ -8m_\pi \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} [q_y^2 (6k_x^2 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) + 30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \right. \\
&+ k_x^2 (30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + q_x (-12k_x k_y q_y (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) - 6k_x m_P^2 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2)) \\
&+ q_x^2 (6k_y^2 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) + 30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + k_y^2 (30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 6k_y m_P^2 q_y (7m_\pi^2 - 30m_P^2) \\
&- 36m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 9m_P^2 m_\pi^4] \\
&+ 48 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) [2k_x^2 m_P^2 (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + q_y^2 (4k_x^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 20m_P^6 \\
&- 12m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 2m_P^2 m_\pi^4) + q_x (-8k_x k_y q_y (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) - 4k_x m_P^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)) \\
&+ 2k_y^2 m_P^2 (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + q_x^2 (4k_y^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 20m_P^6 - 12m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 2m_P^2 m_\pi^4) \\
&- 4k_y m_P^2 q_y (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 24m_P^6 m_\pi^2 + 10m_P^4 m_\pi^4 - m_P^2 m_\pi^6] \left. \right\}, \tag{B34a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{q_x} &= \frac{1}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7 (4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^{3/2}} \left\{ -8m_\pi \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} [q_x (q_y^2 (6k_x^2 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) + 30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \right. \\
&+ k_x^2 (30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + k_y^2 (90m_P^4 - 39m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + 3m_\pi^4) + 12k_y m_P^2 q_y (7m_\pi^2 - 30m_P^2) - 72m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 18m_P^2 m_\pi^4) \\
&+ q_x^2 (k_x k_y q_y (84m_\pi^2 - 360m_P^2) + k_x (42m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - 180m_P^4)) + k_x k_y q_y (-60m_P^4 + 26m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - 2m_\pi^4) \\
&+ k_x q_y^2 (180m_P^4 - 42m_P^2 m_\pi^2) + q_x^3 (k_y^2 (180m_P^2 - 42m_\pi^2) + 30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)] \\
&+ 96 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) [q_x (k_x^2 m_P^2 (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + q_y^2 (2k_x^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \\
&+ 10m_P^6 - 6m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + m_P^2 m_\pi^4) + 3k_y^2 m_P^2 (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) - 4k_y m_P^2 q_y (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \\
&- 24m_P^6 m_\pi^2 + 10m_P^4 m_\pi^4 - m_P^2 m_\pi^6) + q_x^2 (k_x k_y q_y (-120m_P^4 + 48m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - 4m_\pi^4) + k_x (-60m_P^6 + 24m_P^4 m_\pi^2 - 2m_P^2 m_\pi^4)) \\
&+ k_x k_y q_y (-20m_P^6 + 12m_P^4 m_\pi^2 - 2m_P^2 m_\pi^4) + k_x q_y^2 (60m_P^6 - 24m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 2m_P^2 m_\pi^4) \\
&+ q_x^3 (2k_y^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 10m_P^6 - 6m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + m_P^2 m_\pi^4)] \left. \right\}, \tag{B34b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y} = & \frac{4}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7} \left\{ \frac{m_\pi}{4m_P^6 - m_P^4 m_\pi^2} [q_x (k_y (q_y^2 (-12k_x^2 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) - 2(30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)) \right. \\
& + k_x^2 (-60m_P^4 + 26m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - 2m_\pi^4) + 354m_P^4 m_\pi^2 - 90m_P^2 m_\pi^4) + q_y (-12k_x^2 m_P^2 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) \\
& - 18m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 3m_P^2 m_\pi^4) + k_y^3 (-180m_P^4 + 78m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - 6m_\pi^4) + 24k_y^2 m_P^2 q_y (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2)) \\
& + q_x^2 (24k_x k_y^2 q_y (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) + k_x k_y (720m_P^4 - 168m_P^2 m_\pi^2) + 4k_x q_y (30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)) \\
& + k_x k_y^2 q_y (120m_P^4 - 52m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + 4m_\pi^4) + k_y (k_x q_y^2 (84m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - 360m_P^4) + k_x (3m_P^2 m_\pi^4 - 18m_P^4 m_\pi^2)) \\
& + k_x q_y (18m_P^2 m_\pi^4 - 78m_P^4 m_\pi^2) + q_x^3 (-12k_y^3 (30m_P^2 - 7m_\pi^2) - 6k_y (30m_P^4 - 13m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4))] \\
& + \frac{12}{m_P^4 (4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) [q_x (k_y (2k_x^2 m_P^2 (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \\
& + q_y^2 (4k_x^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 20m_P^6 - 12m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 2m_P^2 m_\pi^4) - 110m_P^6 m_\pi^2 + 47m_P^4 m_\pi^4 - 5m_P^2 m_\pi^6) \\
& + q_y (4k_x^2 m_P^2 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + 6m_P^6 m_\pi^2 - 2m_P^4 m_\pi^4) + 6k_y^3 m_P^2 (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \\
& - 8k_y^2 m_P^2 q_y (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)) - 4k_x k_y^2 m_P^2 q_y (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \\
& + q_x^2 (-8k_x k_y^2 q_y (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + k_x k_y (-240m_P^6 + 96m_P^4 m_\pi^2 - 8m_P^2 m_\pi^4) \\
& - 4k_x m_P^2 q_y (10m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)) + k_y (k_x (6m_P^6 m_\pi^2 - 2m_P^4 m_\pi^4) \\
& + k_x q_y^2 (120m_P^6 - 48m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 4m_P^2 m_\pi^4)) + k_x q_y (34m_P^6 m_\pi^2 - 13m_P^4 m_\pi^4 + m_P^2 m_\pi^6) \\
& + q_x^3 (4k_y^3 (30m_P^4 - 12m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) + k_y (60m_P^6 - 36m_P^4 m_\pi^2 + 6m_P^2 m_\pi^4))] \\
& - 12m_\pi \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2 - \sqrt{m_\pi^4 - 4m_P^2 m_\pi^2}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2 + \sqrt{m_\pi^4 - 4m_P^2 m_\pi^2}} \right) \right] (k_x q_y + k_y q_x) \Big\}, \tag{B34c}
\end{aligned}$$

and the remaining functions are

$$\mathcal{K}_{k_x} = \mathcal{K}_{q_x} (k_x \leftrightarrow q_x, k_y \leftrightarrow q_y), \tag{B34d}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{k_y} = \mathcal{K}_{q_x} (k_x \leftrightarrow q_y, k_y \leftrightarrow q_x), \tag{B34e}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_y} = \mathcal{K}_{q_x} (k_x \leftrightarrow k_y, q_x \leftrightarrow q_y), \tag{B34f}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x} = \mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y} (k_x \leftrightarrow k_y, q_x \leftrightarrow q_y). \tag{B34g}$$

#### a. Small pion mass approximation

By employing the small pion mass approximation,  $m_\pi \ll m_P$ , the expressions for  $\mathcal{K}_s$  derived in the preceding subsection reduce to

$$\mathcal{K}_0^{\text{SP}} \simeq \frac{-14m_P^4 - 8(k_y q_x - k_x q_y)^2 + 8(\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{q}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp) m_P^2}{105m_P^{10}}, \tag{B35a}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{SP}} \simeq \frac{-28m_P^4 q_x - 8q_x (k_y q_x - k_x q_y)^2 + 8q_x (\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{q}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp) m_P^2 + (2k_y + q_y) (k_y q_x - k_x q_y) m_P^2}{105m_P^{10}}, \tag{B35b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{q_x k_x}^{\text{SP}} \simeq & \frac{1}{315m_P^{10}} \{ -24k_x^2 q_x [k_y (q_y^2 - m_P^2) + m_P^2 q_y] - 2k_x [24k_y^2 q_y (m_P^2 - q_x^2) + 3k_y m_P^2 (7m_P^2 - 8q_x^2 + 4q_y^2) - 7m_P^4 q_y \\
& + 24m_P^2 q_x^2 q_y] + 2q_x [12k_y^3 (3m_P^2 - q_x^2) + 24k_y^2 m_P^2 q_y - 119k_y m_P^4 + 12k_y m_P^2 (3q_x^2 + q_y^2) - 21m_P^4 q_y] \}. \tag{B35c}
\end{aligned}$$

#### b. Center-of-mass frame

Upon imposing the center-of-mass condition,  $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q}$ , the functions  $\mathcal{K}_i$  simplify to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_0^{\text{CM}} = & \frac{8}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7} \left\{ 2m_\pi^3 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 + m_P^2 (9m_\pi^3 - 60m_\pi \mathbf{q}_\perp^2) - \frac{6m_P^2}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right. \\
& \times [m_P^2 (6m_\pi^2 - 40\mathbf{q}_\perp^2) + 8m_\pi^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - m_\pi^4] \Big\}, \tag{B36a}
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{CM}} = & \frac{16q_x}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7} \left\{ m_P^2 [9m_\pi^3 - 30m_\pi \mathbf{q}_\perp^2] + m_\pi^3 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - \frac{6m_P^2}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times [m_P^2 (6m_\pi^2 - 20\mathbf{q}_\perp^2) - m_\pi^4 + 4m_\pi^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B36b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y}^{\text{CM}} = & \frac{8q_x q_y}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7 \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \left\{ 60m_P^2 m_\pi \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} + 48m_P^2 m_\pi^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right. \\ & - 24m_P^2 m_\pi^4 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) - 2m_\pi^3 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} - 240m_P^4 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \\ & - 39m_P^2 m_\pi^3 \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} + 132m_P^4 m_\pi^2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \\ & \left. + 12m_P^4 m_\pi \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} \left[ \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2 - \sqrt{m_\pi^4 - 4m_P^2 m_\pi^2}} \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{2m_\pi^2}{m_\pi^2 + \sqrt{m_\pi^4 - 4m_P^2 m_\pi^2}} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B36c})$$

and the remaining functions are

$$\mathcal{K}_{k_x}^{\text{CM}} = -\mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{CM}}, \quad (\text{B36d})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{k_y}^{\text{CM}} = -\mathcal{K}_{q_y}^{\text{CM}}, \quad (\text{B36e})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_y}^{\text{CM}} = \mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{CM}}(q_x \leftrightarrow q_y), \quad (\text{B36f})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y}^{\text{CM}} = \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x}^{\text{CM}}. \quad (\text{B36g})$$

### c. Small pion mass approximation in center-of-mass frame

By applying the small pion mass approximation to the results obtained in the center-of-mass frame in Sec. B3b, we derive

$$\mathcal{K}_0^{\text{CS}} \simeq \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 14m_P^2}{105m_P^8}, \quad (\text{B37a})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{CS}} = -\mathcal{K}_{k_x}^{\text{CS}} \simeq \frac{4q_x(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8}, \quad (\text{B37b})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_y}^{\text{CS}} = -\mathcal{K}_{k_y}^{\text{CS}} = \mathcal{K}_{q_x}^{\text{CS}}(q_x \leftrightarrow q_y), \quad (\text{B37c})$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{q_x k_y}^{\text{CS}} = \mathcal{K}_{q_y k_x}^{\text{CS}} \simeq \frac{4q_x q_y (35m_P^2 - 6\mathbf{q}_\perp^2)}{315m_P^8}. \quad (\text{B37d})$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{0,2}^{\text{All,CS}} \simeq & -\frac{m_P |eB|^2}{2(2\pi)^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left[ k_{\parallel,\alpha} q_{\parallel,\beta} \frac{8\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 14m_P^2}{105m_P^8} + k_{\parallel,\alpha} q_{\perp,\beta} \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} + q_{\parallel,\beta} k_{\perp,\alpha} \frac{4(2\mathbf{q}_\perp^2 - 7m_P^2)}{105m_P^8} \right. \\ & \left. + k_{\perp,\alpha} q_{\perp,\beta} \frac{4(35m_P^2 - 6\mathbf{q}_\perp^2)}{315m_P^8} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B38})$$

### Appendix C: Definition and calculations of $\mathcal{J}_i$ functions

To evaluate the functions  $\mathcal{J}_i$  (with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) appearing in Eq. (B21), we employ the standard Feynman parametrization,

$$\frac{1}{A_1^{m_1} A_2^{m_2} \dots A_n^{m_n}} = \int_0^1 dx_1 \dots dx_n \delta(\sum x_i - 1) \frac{\prod x_i^{m_i-1}}{[\sum x_i A_i]^{\sum m_i}} \frac{\Gamma(m_1 + \dots + m_n)}{\Gamma(m_1) \dots \Gamma(m_n)}. \quad (\text{C1})$$

In particular, this yields the following relations:

$$\frac{1}{(s^2 - m_P^2)[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} = \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{2}{D^3}, \quad (C2)$$

$$\frac{1}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^4[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} = \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20x^3}{D^6}, \quad (C3)$$

where we have introduced the shorthand notation

$$D = [x(s^2 - m_P^2) + y((s+q)^2 - m_P^2) + z((s-k)^2 - m_P^2)] + i\epsilon = s^2 + 2s \cdot (yq - zk) + yq^2 + zk^2 - m_P^2 + i\epsilon. \quad (C4)$$

By defining a shifted integration variable  $l = s + yq - zk$ , the denominator can be written as  $D = l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon$ , with  $\Delta = (yq - zk)^2 - yq^2 - zk^2 + m_P^2$ . Applying the on-shell conditions,  $q^2 = k^2 = 0$  and  $2q \cdot k = m_\pi^2$ , the expression for  $\Delta$  simplifies to  $\Delta = -yzm_\pi^2 + m_P^2$ . Next, performing a Wick rotation,  $l^0 \equiv il_E^0$ , we derive the following generic result:

$$\int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{(l^2 - \Delta)^m} = i \int \frac{d^4 l_E}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(-1)^m}{(l_E^2 + \Delta)^m}, \quad (C5)$$

where  $D_E = l_E^2 + \Delta$ .

By definition, the functions  $\mathcal{J}_i$  in Eq. (B21) are given by the following integral expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 &= \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\mathbf{s}_\perp^2}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^4[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} \\ &= i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20x^3[\mathbf{l}_\perp^2 + (z\mathbf{k}_\perp - y\mathbf{q}_\perp)^2]}{D_E^6}, \end{aligned} \quad (C6a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &= \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(\mathbf{s}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp)^2}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^4[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]} \\ &= i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20y^3[\mathbf{l}_\perp^2 + [z\mathbf{k}_\perp + (1-y)\mathbf{q}_\perp]^2]}{D_E^6}, \end{aligned} \quad (C6b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3 &= \int \frac{d^4 s}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(\mathbf{s}_\perp - \mathbf{k}_\perp)^2}{(s^2 - m_P^2)^4[(s+q)^2 - m_P^2][(s-k)^2 - m_P^2]^4} \\ &= i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20z^3[\mathbf{l}_\perp^2 + [(1-z)\mathbf{k}_\perp + y\mathbf{q}_\perp]^2]}{D_E^6}, \end{aligned} \quad (C6c)$$

where we have taken into account that  $\mathbf{s}_\perp = \mathbf{l}_\perp - y\mathbf{q}_\perp + z\mathbf{k}_\perp$ ,  $\mathbf{s}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp = \mathbf{l}_\perp + (1-y)\mathbf{q}_\perp + z\mathbf{k}_\perp$ ,  $\mathbf{s}_\perp - \mathbf{k}_\perp = \mathbf{l}_\perp - y\mathbf{q}_\perp - (1-z)\mathbf{k}_\perp$ .

Each  $\mathcal{J}_i$  can be conveniently separated to two parts:

$$\mathcal{J}_1^1 = i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20x^3 \mathbf{l}_\perp^2}{D_E^6} = i \int \frac{dl_E \pi^2}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20x^3 l_E^5}{D_E^6}, \quad (C7a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1^2 &= i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20x^3 (z\mathbf{k}_\perp - y\mathbf{q}_\perp)^2}{D_E^6} \\ &= i \frac{2\pi^2}{3(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{x^3 (z\mathbf{k}_\perp - y\mathbf{q}_\perp)^2}{(-yzm_\pi^2 + m_P^2)^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (C7b)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2^1 = i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20y^3 \mathbf{l}_\perp^2}{D_E^6} = i \int \frac{dl_E \pi^2}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20y^3 l_E^5}{D_E^6}, \quad (C7c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2^2 &= i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20y^3 \mathbf{q}_\perp^2 [z\mathbf{k}_\perp + (1-y)\mathbf{q}_\perp]^2}{D_E^6} \\ &= i \frac{2\pi^2}{3(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{y^3 [z\mathbf{k}_\perp + (1-y)\mathbf{q}_\perp]^2}{(-yzm_\pi^2 + m_P^2)^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (C7d)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_3^1 = i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20z^3 l_E^2}{D_E^6} = i \int \frac{dl_E \pi^2}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20z^3 l_E^5}{D_E^6}, \quad (\text{C7e})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_3^2 &= i \int \frac{dl_E^4}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{20z^3 [(1-z)\mathbf{k}_\perp + y\mathbf{q}_\perp]^2}{D_E^6} \\ &= i \frac{2\pi^2}{3(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx dy dz \delta(x+y+z-1) \frac{z^3 [(1-z)\mathbf{k}_\perp + y\mathbf{q}_\perp]^2}{(-yzm_\pi^2 + m_P^2)^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7f})$$

Next, performing the integrations and adding all separate contributions together, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^1 = \frac{i(6m_P^2 - m_\pi^2) \left[ m_\pi \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} (m_\pi^2 - 3m_P^2) + (12m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \arctan \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right]}{48\pi^2 m_P^4 m_\pi^5 (4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (\text{C8})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^2 &= i \frac{1}{216(2\pi)^2 m_P^6 m_\pi^7} \left\{ \frac{1}{(4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^2} [720m_P^8 m_\pi (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 6\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2) - 480m_P^6 m_\pi^3 (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 6\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2) \right. \\ &\quad + 4m_P^4 m_\pi^5 (23\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 156\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + 23\mathbf{q}_\perp^2) + 2m_P^2 m_\pi^7 (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + 24\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2) - m_\pi^9 (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2)] \\ &\quad + \frac{24m_P^2}{(4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) [-120m_P^8 (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 6\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2) + 100m_P^6 m_\pi^2 (\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 6\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2) \\ &\quad \left. - 4m_P^4 m_\pi^4 (7\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 45\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + 7\mathbf{q}_\perp^2) + m_P^2 m_\pi^6 (3\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 - 22\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + 3\mathbf{q}_\perp^2) + m_\pi^8 \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp] \arctan \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C9})$$

*a.  $\mathcal{J}_i$  functions in the small pion mass approximation*

In the small pion mass approximation, the general results for  $\mathcal{J}_i$  functions given Eqs. (C8) and (C9) drastically simplify. The corresponding results read

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{1,\text{SP}} \simeq \frac{i}{320\pi^2 m_P^6}, \quad (\text{C10a})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{2,\text{SP}} \simeq i \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{2016\pi^2 m_P^8}. \quad (\text{C10b})$$

*b.  $\mathcal{J}_i$  functions in the center-of-mass frame*

In center-of-mass frame, Eqs. (C8) and (C9) reduce to the following expressions:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{1,\text{CM}} = \frac{i(6m_P^2 - m_\pi^2) \left[ m_\pi \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2} (m_\pi^2 - 3m_P^2) + (12m_P^4 - 6m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4) \arctan \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right]}{48\pi^2 m_P^4 m_\pi^5 (4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad (\text{C11a})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{2,\text{CM}} = i \frac{\mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{6(2\pi)^2} \left[ \frac{180m_P^4 - 30m_P^2 m_\pi^2 - m_\pi^4}{18m_P^6 m_\pi^6} - \frac{2(60m_P^4 - 20m_P^2 m_\pi^2 + m_\pi^4)}{3m_P^4 m_\pi^7 \sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \arctan \left( \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{4m_P^2 - m_\pi^2}} \right) \right], \quad (\text{C11b})$$

*c.  $\mathcal{J}_i$  functions in the small pion mass approximation and center-of-mass frame*

From above derivations, in the center of mass frame with small pion mass limit, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{1,CS} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{1,SP}, \quad (C12a)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{J}_i^{2,CS} \simeq \frac{i\mathbf{q}_\perp^2}{2016\pi^2 m_P^8}. \quad (C12b)$$

- 
- [1] M. Ackermann et al. (Fermi-LAT), *Science* **339**, 807 (2013), arXiv:1302.3307.
- [2] F. Aharonian et al. (H.E.S.S.), *Nature* **439**, 695 (2006), astro-ph/0603021.
- [3] R. Rapp and H. van Hees, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **52**, 257 (2016), arXiv:1608.05279.
- [4] C. Gale (2025), arXiv:2502.13938.
- [5] J.-F. Paquet, C. Shen, G. S. Denicol, M. Luzum, B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, *Phys. Rev. C* **93**, 044906 (2016), arXiv:1509.06738.
- [6] C. Gale, J.-F. Paquet, B. Schenke, and C. Shen, *Phys. Rev. C* **105**, 014909 (2022), arXiv:2106.11216.
- [7] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 132301 (2010), arXiv:0804.4168.
- [8] V. Skokov, A. Y. Illarionov, and V. Toneev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 5925 (2009), arXiv:0907.1396.
- [9] V. Voronyuk, V. D. Toneev, W. Cassing, E. L. Bratkovskaya, V. P. Konchakovski, and S. A. Voloshin, *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 054911 (2011), arXiv:1103.4239.
- [10] W.-T. Deng and X.-G. Huang, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 044907 (2012), arXiv:1201.5108.
- [11] J. Błochyński, X.-G. Huang, X. Zhang, and J. Liao, *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 1529 (2013), arXiv:1209.6594.
- [12] X. Guo, J. Liao, and E. Wang, *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 2196 (2020), arXiv:1904.04704.
- [13] I. A. Shovkovy, in *Peter Suranyi 87th Birthday Festschrift: A Life in Quantum Field Theory*, edited by P. Argyres, G. Dunne, G. Semenoff, and R. Wijewardhana (World Scientific, Singapore, 2022), pp. 291–316, arXiv:2111.11416.
- [14] W. Li and G. Wang, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **70**, 293 (2020), arXiv:2002.10397.
- [15] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, and P. Tribedy, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **33**, 2430007 (2024), arXiv:2405.05427.
- [16] X. Wang and I. A. Shovkovy, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 116005 (2024), arXiv:2407.06271.
- [17] X. Wang and I. A. Shovkovy, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 056008 (2024), arXiv:2307.07557.
- [18] X. Wang and I. A. Shovkovy, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 036014 (2022), arXiv:2205.00276.
- [19] X. Wang, I. A. Shovkovy, L. Yu, and M. Huang, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 076010 (2020), arXiv:2006.16254.
- [20] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX), *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 064904 (2015), arXiv:1405.3940.
- [21] J. Adam et al. (ALICE), *Phys. Lett. B* **754**, 235 (2016), arXiv:1509.07324.
- [22] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE), *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 339 (2017), arXiv:1702.00917.
- [23] N. J. Abdulameer et al. (PHENIX), *Phys. Rev. C* **109**, 044912 (2024), arXiv:2203.17187.
- [24] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model* (Cambridge University Press, 2014), ISBN 978-1-107-03473-0, 978-1-107-03473-0.
- [25] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1996).
- [26] I. A. Shushpanov and A. V. Smilga, *Phys. Lett. B* **402**, 351 (1997), hep-ph/9703201.
- [27] N. O. Agasian and I. A. Shushpanov, *JHEP* **10**, 006 (2001), hep-ph/0107128.
- [28] Y. A. Simonov, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **79**, 455 (2016), arXiv:1503.06616.
- [29] M. Coppola, D. Gomez Dumm, and N. N. Scoccola, *Phys. Rev. D* **112**, 054043 (2025), arXiv:2507.13560.
- [30] J. O. Andersen, *JHEP* **10**, 005 (2012), arXiv:1205.6978.
- [31] J. O. Andersen, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 025020 (2012), arXiv:1202.2051.
- [32] T. Brauner and S. V. Kadam, *JHEP* **11**, 103 (2017), arXiv:1706.04514.
- [33] V. A. Miransky and I. A. Shovkovy, *Phys. Rep.* **576**, 1 (2015), arXiv:1503.00732, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2015.02.003>.
- [34] E. V. Gorbar, V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy, and X. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 025043 (2013), arXiv:1306.3245, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.025043>.
- [35] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series and products* (Academic Press, Orlando, 1980).