# Extending Integrated Assessment Model scenarios until 2150 using an emulation approach

#### Weiwei Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Katsumasa Tanaka<sup>1,2</sup>

- 1 Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), IPSL, CEA/CNRS/UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91191, France
- 2 Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, 305-8506, Japan Corresponding author: Weiwei Xiong (weiwei.xiong@lsce.ipsl.fr)

Whereas there is growing interest in exploring longer-term climate, including tipping elements, beyond 2100, most Integrated Assessment Models (IAMs) generate emissions scenarios only till 2100. Here we propose a framework to extend scenarios until 2150 using an emulator of IAMs. Our framework offers a potential interim solution for developing very long-term scenarios, such as the Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP), circumventing the challenges of fully simulating IAMs beyond 2100.

Cost-effective IAMs are widely used for projecting future climate scenarios and evaluating mitigation policies (Weyant 2017). These models produce diverse greenhouse gas (GHG) emission trajectories based on various socio-economic and technological assumptions (Riahi *et al* 2017). Such scenarios have enabled assessments of climate mitigation strategies through the end of the 21st century (O'Neill *et al* 2020). On the other hand, several climate science research communities call for scenarios beyond the 21st century (van Vuuren *et al* 2025) to explore longer-term climate dynamics, including tipping points (Ritchie *et al* 2021, Armstrong McKay *et al* 2022). Projections into the 22nd century are essential for assessing future climate risks and designing robust policy interventions (Lyon *et al* 2022, Easterling *et al* 2024).

To bridge this gap, idealized long-term scenarios beyond 2100 have been proposed and widely applied (Meinshausen *et al* 2020, van Vuuren *et al* 2025). However, these extended scenarios typically rely on simplified linear assumptions, such as holding emissions constant after 2100 or linearly converging to a specified target such as net-zero. Such assumptions do not necessarily

reflect the socio-economic and technological dynamics represented in IAMs until 2100. These limitations undermine the coherence between pre-2100 scenarios and their extensions.

Here we present a methodological framework that extends emissions scenarios until 2150 using an emulator of IAMs (emIAM) (Xiong et al 2025, thereafter, X25; see Methods). Our emulator approach captures the socio-economic and technological dynamics of the IAM and maintains them after 2100 in the extended scenarios. The extended scenarios after 2100 thus remain internally consistent with the original IAM scenarios until 2100. In situations where it is challenging to simulate IAMs beyond 2100, as observed in the Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, Phase 7 (CMIP7) (van Vuuren et al 2025), our approach offers a potential interim solution until all technical requirements are met for simulating such IAMs beyond 2100. Note that this article focuses on cost-effective IAMs typically used for developing detailed mitigation scenarios – cost-benefit IAMs can be simulated over multiple centuries but are not commonly used for such purposes.

To demonstrate how our emulator approach works for extending IAM scenarios through 2150, we initially consider a set of nine IAMs included in the open-access ENGAGE Scenario Explorer (part of the IPCC AR6 Scenario Explorer and Database). We chose the ENGAGE scenarios because scenarios simulated by these IAMs are available for a range of cumulative carbon budgets. Using those scenarios, X25 developed emIAM representing each IAM. The emIAM's core element is the time-invariant marginal abatement cost (MAC) curve, which aims to capture the relationship between carbon price (or CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent price using the 100-year Global Warming Potential (GWP100)) and emission abatement (in percentage relative to the baseline scenario) of each GHG (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O) in each IAM until 2100, which we term the price-quantity relationship here. Although SO<sub>2</sub> is also an important gas for determining future climate, we did not apply this approach to SO<sub>2</sub> because SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are substantially influenced by clean air policies assumed in IAMs, largely independent of the carbon price. Such price-quantity relationships are not necessarily timeinvariant due to capital stock, constraints on technology expansion, and the availability of new technologies. Nevertheless, X25 shows that time-invariant MAC curves adequately capture the price-quantity relationships at least for a subset of IAMs in the latter half of this century. This provides a basis for applying our emulator to selected six IAMs (AIM/CGE v2.2, GEM-E3 v2021, MESSAGEix-GLOBIOM 1.1, POLES-JRC ENGAGE, REMIND-MAgPIE 2.1-4.2, and WITCH 5.0) for extending the scenarios for another 50 years. The use of time-invariant MAC curves allows us to develop mitigation pathways beyond 2100 without needing to infer new MAC curves after 2100.

We extend three arbitrarily selected scenarios from each IAM with carbon budgets of 600, 1000, and 1400 GtCO<sub>2</sub> during 2019 – 2100. As the first step, we extend the baseline scenario (i.e., EN\_NPi2100 in ENGAGE) until 2150 for each gas from each IAM by linearly extrapolating the respective baseline emission trend from the previous 20 years (2080-2100). We then extend the three mitigation scenarios of each IAM using the following four distinct methods.

- Extending carbon price pathways by linear extrapolation to 2150 using the 2090–2100 trend (Price\_Linear): The extended carbon price pathways uniquely determine the post-2100 emission levels though respective MAC curves. To ensure data continuity, the pre-2100 carbon price pathways are slightly adjusted using scaling such that the resulting 2100 emissions implied by MAC curves match the corresponding 2100 emissions from the respective IAMs.
- 2. Extending carbon price pathways by linear convergence to the "net-zero" carbon price by 2150 (Price\_ZeroEmi): The carbon price corresponding to net-zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions can be obtained from the CO<sub>2</sub> MAC curve of each IAM. The abovementioned adjustment of carbon price pathways is also applied.
- 3. Minimizing the net present value of the total cost of abating GHG emissions for a given carbon budget target by 2150 (CumBudg\_Opt): The emission and carbon price pathways are optimized under the 2100-2150 carbon budgets (-400, -500, and -600/-550 GtCO<sub>2</sub>) (see Methods).
- 4. Minimizing the net present value of the total cost of abating GHG emissions for a given temperature target by 2150 (T2M\_Opt): The emission and carbon price pathways are optimized for achieving the temperature target of 1.5°C by 2150 with overshoot. For the temperature calculations, emIAM is directly coupled with the climate emulator, ACC2 (Tanaka and O'Neill 2018; see Methods).

In all four methods, the post-2100 emissions of GHGs and air pollutants that are not included in

emIAM are held constant at their 2100 levels. It is important to note that the third method is not technically consistent with the IAMs that do not perform inter-temporal optimizations (i.e., AIM/CGE V2.2, GEM-E3 V2021 and POLES-JRC ENGAGE). The fourth method goes beyond how the IAMs considered here operate, as the IAM and climate emulators fully interact in this optimization method, without relying on the carbon budget approach directly or indirectly used in these IAMs.

Taking REMIND-MAgPIE 2.1-4.2 as an example, we present the extended CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emission trajectories based on the four methods (Fig. 1). For CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the first and second methods using the carbon price as the basis of extension provide smooth extensions to 2150. By contrast, the third and fourth methods yield extended scenarios with more complex profiles. Deeper mitigation occurs later, as a result of inter-temporal cost minimizations for the carbon budget or temperature target. This is followed by a rise in emissions shortly before 2150, an artefact reflecting how the target is set – strong emission reductions become unnecessary shortly before the target year. For CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, all extended pathways are similar, showing a steady decline in most cases.

The first and second methods (*Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi*) are more suited given their methodological consistency than the other two (*CumBudg\_Opt* and *T2M\_Opt*). We now present the results from the first and second methods for all six IAMs (Fig. 2). Both methods provide smoothly and continuously extended scenarios in all cases. With the first method, all emission scenarios are extended to a further lower level, except for those from AIM/CGE v2.2, which exhibits an emission rebound due to the rapidly declining trend of carbon prices between 2090 and 2100.

In Fig. 2, we further show the emission pathways until 2100 that are inversely calculated from the associated MAC curves by prescribing the IAM's carbon price pathways. Deviations from the original IAM emission pathways result from the misfit of the MAC curves to the original IAM data. While our time-invariant MAC curves only approximately capture the complex price—quantity relationship in IAMs, the deviations are smaller in the second half of this century than in the first half as shown by X25, supporting the use of our approach for extending scenarios.

We demonstrate above that our scenario extension framework works well for the selected IAMs, which contributed to AR6. To apply this framework to a more recent IAM, the IAM emulator

needs to be re-calibrated, a task requiring at least six to seven scenarios from each new IAM at a range of mitigation levels. While this paper focuses on global total emissions, our framework can also be applied to sectoral and regional emissions, including different Carbon Dioxide Removal technologies (Tanaka *et al* 2025). The spatial patterns of emissions are beyond the scope of our current emulator but can be assumed to be fixed after 2100 as a first approximation.

Overall, the proposed emulator-based framework can extend diverse emission pathways to 2150, while retaining the fundamental price-quantity relationships of original IAMs. Our approach can complement existing extended scenarios based on linear emission extrapolations and may serve as an interim solution for extending scenarios until IAMs can become fully operational for longer simulations beyond 2100.




Figure 1. Multi-gas emission pathways developed by REMIND-MAgPIE 2.1-4.2 with extension till 2150 using four different methods. Each column corresponds to a gas (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O), and each row corresponds to a method (*Price\_Linear*, *Price\_ZeroEmi*, *CumBudg\_Opt*, and *T2M\_Opt*). Gray lines indicate the baseline scenario; colored curves represent mitigation scenarios with different carbon budgets. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100 and dashed lines the 2100–2150 extrapolations.




Figure 2. CO<sub>2</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* extension methods. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM scenarios through 2100; thick and thin dotted lines show the pre-2100 scenarios calculated by our emulator with and without the adjustment for carbon price pathways, respectively; dashed and dashed dotted lines are the extended scenarios until 2150 based on the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* methods, respectively.

#### Methods

## The emulator of IAMs

We employ the recently developed IAM emulator, emIAM v1.0 (X25), which builds on a marginal abatement cost (MAC) curve approach to capture the relationship between carbon price and emission abatement of each IAM. The MAC curves are represented by the function  $f(x) = ax^b + ax^b +$ 

 $cx^d$ , where f(x) denotes the carbon price relative to baseline, x is the abatement level in percentage relative to baseline, and a, b, c, d are the parameters specifically calibrated to each IAM. Furthermore, using constraints on the first- and second-derivatives of gas-specific abatement rates (also calibrated to each IAM), our emulator approximately represents technological change and socio-economic inertia (Hof *et al* 2021).

emIAM v1.0 was developed to emulate multiple IAMs in the ENGAGE Scenario Explorer (Riahi *et al* 2021, Drouet *et al* 2021). This database provides a comprehensive set of GHG emission pathways generated by various IAMs under different carbon budget constraints. The IAM emulator captures the price-quantity relationship in those IAMs using an extensive set of MAC curves at multiple levels (global, regional, sectoral), although this paper focuses on the global MAC curves for three gases, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O.

emIAM covers nine widely used IAMs—AIM/CGE v2.2, COFFEE 1.1, GEM-E3 v2021, IMAGE 3.0, MESSAGEix-GLOBIOM 1.1, POLES-JRC ENGAGE, REMIND-MAgPIE 2.1-4.2, TIAM-ECN 1.1, and WITCH 5.0—many of which serve as providers of marker scenarios for ScenarioMIP. emIAM v1.0 has been validated to reproduce the original IAMs' GHG emissions in many different ways (Chapter 4 of X25). In our scenario extension exercise, IMAGE 3.0 and TIAM-ECN 1.1 have been excluded because the projections of these IAMs were not well reproduced by our emulator (Table S1). In addition, COFFEE 1.1 has been also excluded because the underlying N<sub>2</sub>O MAC curve did not adequately capture the underlying price-quantity relationship (Fig. S10 of X25).

In addition, to extend emission pathways with temperature goals (i.e., T2M\_Opt method), we coupled the IAM emulator with the reduced-complexity climate model ACC2 (Tanaka *et al* 2007, 2021, Xiong *et al* 2022), hereafter ACC2-emIAM. ACC2 represents primarily important Earth system processes at the global-mean level through a carbon cycle box model, highly parameterized atmospheric chemistry module, and heat-diffusion climate module, covering a wide range of climate forcers (GHGs and aerosols) with key nonlinear feedback such as CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization and climate carbon-cycle feedback. ACC2-emIAM is able to produce cost-effective emission pathways that comply with specified climate targets within a single modeling framework.

#### Scenario extension methods

We consider three distinctive scenarios (i.e., scenarios with the cumulative carbon budget of 600, 1000, and 1400 GtCO<sub>2</sub>) from each IAM for scenario extension. To extend these IAM emission pathways beyond 2100, we developed the following four methods (see Fig. S1):

- 1. *Price\_Linear* method. The carbon price is linearly extrapolated to 2150 from its 2090–2100 trend. While some models (e.g., POLES-JRC ENGAGE, REMIND-MAgPIE 2.1-4.2) exhibit a nearly linear trend in the carbon price pathway, others show a price peak in 2090, followed by a decline. To ensure the carbon price extension in a representative way over a long term, we use the 2090-2100 trend as the basis for extrapolation.
- 2. *Price\_ZeroEmi* method. We set the 2150 carbon price at the level corresponding to 100% CO<sub>2</sub> abatement. We apply a linear interpolation between the carbon price in 2100 and the "zero-emission" price in 2150.

Both *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* are carbon price-based methods. Carbon pricing is one of the core drivers to determine the abatement allocation in IAMs, and cumulative emission constraints are sometimes converted to corresponding carbon price pathways, depending on the type of input required for IAMs. In these methods, carbon price acts as the primary input in our emulator; once the price pathway is set, the abatement levels of each gas are uniquely determined accordingly. Constraints on the first- and second-derivatives of abatement levels are thus not used. However, because emIAM does not fully capture the IAM's price—quantity relationships, emissions projected by these carbon price-based methods do not exactly match the original IAM emissions in 2100, a potential source of data gap. Therefore, we introduced a gas-specific scaling factor to adjust the carbon price pathway of each IAM so that it matches the original emissions in 2100.

3. CumBudg\_Opt method. This is the cumulative carbon budget-based method. Building on the IAM practice of generating scenarios under various cumulative carbon budget constraints, we apply this approach to the post-2100 period. In the absence of a benchmark for allocating budgets up to 2150, we hypothetically assign differentiated 2100-2150 targets of -600/-550 (where -550 GtCO<sub>2</sub> adopted when the -600 GtCO<sub>2</sub> run is infeasible because of the limitation of the abatement capacity), -500, and -400 GtCO<sub>2</sub>. These values are chosen to ensure clearly differentiated pathway

outcomes. Under the most ambitious target (-600 GtCO<sub>2</sub>), cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 2019 onwards in the 600 GtCO<sub>2</sub> scenario are reduced to zero by 2150.

4.  $T2M\_Opt$  method. This is the temperature-based method. We use ACC2-emIAM to compute least-cost pathways that achieve a 1.5°C target by 2150, while maintaining the same emission pathways as the original IAMs up to 2100. For this method, we imposed a constraint on temperatures to prevent further warming after 2100 and temporary emission surges. If a scenario is already projected with below 1.5°C in 2100, the subsequent temperature trajectory is unnecessary to be back to the given target.

Under the carbon price-based methods (*Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi*), the abatement levels of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are also determined by the prescribed carbon price pathways. Under the cumulative carbon budget-based method (*CumBudg\_Opt*), the emulator allocates CO<sub>2</sub> cost-effectively over 2100–2150, with net-zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 2150. The abatement levels for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are subsequently estimated based on the carbon price derived from CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation pathways. By contrast, the *T2M\_Opt* method considers trade-offs in abatement costs among CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O using the GWP100 metric. In the latter two methods, a 5% discount rate is applied for calculating the net present value of the total cost of mitigation. The different extend methods and the corresponding results for the three gases are presented in figures S2 to S13.

## References

- Armstrong McKay, D. I. et al. Exceeding 1.5°C global warming could trigger multiple climate tipping points *Science* **377** eabn7950 (2022).
- Drouet, L. et al. Net zero-emission pathways reduce the physical and economic risks of climate change *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **11** 1070–6 (2021).
- Easterling, D. R., Kunkel, K. E., Crimmins, A. R. & Wehner, M. F. Long-term planning requires climate projections beyond 2100 *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **14** 887–8 (2024).
- Hof, A. F., van der Wijst, K. I. & van Vuuren, D. P. The impact of socio-economic inertia and restrictions on net-negative emissions on cost-effective carbon price pathways *Front. Clim.* 3 785577 (2021).
- Lyon, C. et al. Climate change research and action must look beyond 2100 *Global Change Biology* **28** 349–61(2022).
- Meinshausen, M, et al. The shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500 *Geoscientific Model Development* **13** 3571–605 (2020).

- O'Neill, B. C. et al. Achievements and needs for the climate change scenario framework *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **10** 1074–84 (2020).
- Riahi, K. et al. Cost and attainability of meeting stringent climate targets without overshoot *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **11** 1063–9 (2021).
- Riahi, K. et al. The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview *Global Environmental Change* **42** 153–68 (2017).
- Ritchie, P. D. L., Clarke, J. J., Cox, P. M. & Huntingford, C. Overshooting tipping point thresholds in a changing climate *Nature* **592** 517–23 (2021).
- Tanaka, K., Boucher, O., Ciais, P., Johansson, D. J. A. & Morfeldt, J. Cost-effective implementation of the Paris Agreement using flexible greenhouse gas metrics *Sci. Adv.* 7 eabf9020 (2021).
- Tanaka, K. et al. Aggregated Carbon Cycle, Atmospheric Chemistry, and Climate Model (ACC2) description of the forward and inverse modes Online: http://hdl.handle.net/11858/00-001M-0000-0011-FB8D-1 (2007).
- Tanaka, K. & O'Neill, B. C. The Paris Agreement zero-emissions goal is not always consistent with the 1.5 °C and 2 °C temperature targets *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **8** 319–24 (2018).
- Tanaka, K., Xiong, W., Merfort, N. & Bauer, N. Emulating an Integrated Assessment Model to Project Long-Term Emissions and Carbon Dioxide Removal Pathways to 2300. 30th Annual Conference of the European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists (EAERE) (2025).
- van Vuuren, D. P. et al. The scenario model intercomparison project for CMIP7 (ScenarioMIP-CMIP7) Online: https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/2025/egusphere-2024-3765/(2025).
- Weyant, J. Some contributions of integrated assessment models of global climate change *Rev Env Econ Policy* **11** 115–37 (2017).
- Xiong, W., Tanaka, K., Ciais, P., Johansson, D. J. A. & Lehtveer, M. emIAM v1.0: An emulator for integrated assessment models using marginal abatement cost curves *Geoscientific Model Development* **18** 1575–612 (2025).
- Xiong, W., Tanaka, K., Ciais, P. & Yan, L. Evaluating China's role in achieving the 1.5 °C Target of the Paris Agreement *Energies* **15** 6002 (2022).

#### Funding

This study was supported by the RESCUE project funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program under grant number 101056939 (W.X. ang K.T.); This study was also supported by the OptimESM project funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation program under grant number 101081193 (W.X. ang K.T.).

Author contributions

W.X. and K.T. conceptualized the extension framework. W.X. and K.T. simulated and analyzed the results. W.X. drafted the original manuscript, and both authors revised it. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information for this article is available.

Data availability

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available in the Zenodo repository, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17484824.

Code availability

The underlying plotting code for this study is available in Zenodo and can be accessed via this link https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17484824. The ACC2-emIAM code for this study is not publicly available for proprietary reasons.

# Supplement for Extending Integrated Assessment Model scenarios until 2150 using an emulation approach

# Weiwei Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Katsumasa Tanaka<sup>1,2</sup>

- 1 Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), IPSL, CEA/CNRS/UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91191, France
- 2 Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, 305-8506, Japan

Table S1 Reproducibility of emIAM for the choosing scenarios in each original IAM. The table shows the consistency of three selected scenarios between the original emissions pathways from each IAMs and calculated emissions pathways using emIAM. Cells with a deeper blue color represent higher consistency. Two indicators were used here to exhibit the consistency levels: i) ordinary Pearson's correlation coefficient rP and ii) Lin's concordance coefficient rC.

	rC			rP	
Model	Gas	Time-independent With carbon price adjustment	Time-independent Without carbon price adjustment	Time-independent With carbon price adjustment	Time-independent Without carbon price adjustment
AIM	$CO_2$	0.9628	0.9728	0.9563	0.9718
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.7839	0.7852	0.7792	0.7678
	$N_2O$	0.7197	0.7255	0.6767	0.6992
COFFEE	$CO_2$	0.9926	0.9970	0.9925	0.9966
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.9580	0.9619	0.9318	0.9601
	$N_2O$	0.9789	0.9638	0.9328	0.9580
GEM	$CO_2$	0.9964	0.9970	0.9961	0.9958
	$\mathrm{CH}_4$	0.9981	0.9989	0.9956	0.9988
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.9799	0.9874	0.9070	0.9869
IMAGE	$CO_2$	0.8972	0.9020	0.8324	0.9018
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.9423	0.9129	0.9381	0.8940
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.3619	0.6805	0.1242	0.4741
MESSAGE	$CO_2$	0.9816	0.9786	0.9752	0.9729
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.9545	0.9530	0.8419	0.8437
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.9773	0.9802	0.9297	0.9551
POLES	$CO_2$	0.9636	0.9584	0.9206	0.9562
	$CH_4$	0.9710	0.9542	0.8328	0.8319
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.9469	0.9005	0.8022	0.7657
REMIND	$CO_2$	0.9229	0.9173	0.8640	0.8681
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.9868	0.9564	0.8477	0.9445
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.7987	0.8748	0.7701	0.8389
TIAM	$CO_2$	0.9970	0.9964	0.9900	0.9943
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.1108	0.2083	0.0114	0.0427
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.6597	0.5540	0.0359	0.0443
WITCH	$CO_2$	0.9856	0.9882	0.9664	0.9807
	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.9246	0.9235	0.7006	0.7510
	N <sub>2</sub> O	0.9835	0.9816	0.9740	0.9784




Figure S1. Illustrative constrained pathways derived by REMIND-MAgPIE 2.1-4.2 till 2150 using four extension methods. Panels (a) and (b) present carbon price—based methods: (a) linearly extrapolates the carbon price trend from 2090–2100 to 2150, while (b) uses linear interpolation of carbon prices to achieve net-zero CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 2150. Panel (c) displays the cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emission pathway under cumulative carbon budget constraints (CumBudg\_Opt), and (d) shows the temperature pathway consistent with the 1.5 °C target (T2M\_Opt). Both (c) and (d) are generated by ACC2-emIAM with the intertemporal least-cost optimization. Solid lines represent original IAM trajectories, and dashed lines denote the constraints applied during the extension process.




Figure S2. Cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emission pathway developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Cumbudg\_Opt* extension method. Solid lines represent original IAM trajectories, and dashed lines denote the constraints applied during the extension process.




Figure S3. CO<sub>2</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Cumbudg\_Opt* extension method. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100; dotted lines show the pre-2100 results calculated using our emulator; dashed lines are the extended scenarios until 2150.




Figure S4. CH<sub>4</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Cumbudg\_Opt* extension method. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100; dotted lines show the pre-2100 results calculated by our emulator using derived carbon price; dashed lines are the extended scenarios until 2150.




Figure S5. N<sub>2</sub>O emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Cumbudg\_Opt* extension method. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100; dotted lines show the pre-2100 results calculated by our emulator using derived carbon price; dashed lines are the extended emissions pathways until 2150.




Figure S6. Temperature pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *T2M\_Opt* extension method. Solid lines represent original IAM trajectories calculated by ACC2; dashed lines denote the constraints applied during the extension generated by ACC2-emIAM with the intertemporal least-cost optimization.




Figure S7. CO<sub>2</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *T2M\_Opt* extension method. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100, and dashed lines are the extended scenarios until 2150.




Figure S8. CH<sub>4</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *T2M\_Opt* extension method. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100, and dashed lines are the extended scenarios until 2150.




Figure S9. N<sub>2</sub>O emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *T2M\_Opt* extension method. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100, and dashed lines are the extended scenarios until 2150.




Figure S10. Carbon price pathways developed by six IAMs and extended to 2150 using the *Price-Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* extension methods. Solid lines represent original IAM trajectories; dashed and dashed-dotted lines denote the *Price-Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* extension methods, respectively.





Figure S11. CO<sub>2</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* extension methods. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100; thick and thin dotted lines show the pre-2100 results calculated by our emulator with and without the adjustment for carbon price pathways, respectively; dashed and dashed dotted lines are the extended scenarios until 2150 based on the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* methods, respectively.



**Figure S12.** CH<sub>4</sub> emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* extension methods. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100; thick and thin dotted lines show the pre-2100 results calculated by our emulator with and without the adjustment for carbon price pathways, respectively; dashed and dashed dotted lines are the extended scenarios until 2150 based on the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* methods, respectively.




Figure S13. N<sub>2</sub>O emission pathways developed by six IAMs with extension till 2150 using the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* extension methods. Colored lines represent carbon budget scenarios. Solid lines depict the original IAM results through 2100; thick and thin dotted lines show the pre-2100 results calculated by our emulator with and without the adjustment for carbon price pathways, respectively; dashed and dashed dotted lines are the extended scenarios until 2150 based on the *Price\_Linear* and *Price\_ZeroEmi* methods, respectively.