

Anomaly cancellation and one-loop finiteness of 6D half-maximal supergravities

Renata Kallosh^{1,*}

¹*Leinweber Institute for Theoretical Physics at Stanford, 382 Via Pueblo, Stanford, CA 94305, USA*

We explain why the surprising one-loop finiteness of 6D half-maximal supergravities recently discovered in [1] is the result of the cancellation of the six-dimensional gravitational and gauge anomalies in (2,0) supergravity with 21 tensor multiplets and (1,1) supergravity with 20 vector multiplets.

INTRODUCTION

A discovery of a surprising one-loop finiteness of 6D half-maximal supergravities in [1] raises a few issues.

1. How can the presence of specific matter improve the UV finiteness? In 4D in pure supergravities without matter with account of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, the relevant dimension 4 counterterms for 1-loop are given by $(R_{\mu\nu})^2$ and R^2 [2]. This makes pure gravity 1-loop UV finite on shell. However, in the presence of matter when $R_{\mu\nu}$ does not vanish on shell but depends on the energy-momentum tensor, the counterterms become equal to $(T_{\mu\nu})^2$ and T^2 , and the matter-coupled gravities generically have a 1-loop UV divergence. Analogous analysis in terms of superfields is available in supergravity. Examples of 1-loop UV divergent supergravities coupled to matter supermultiplets are known [3, 4].

In 6D in pure gravity, the linearized counterterm has dimension 6, which allows a term like $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\delta}^3$. But this one has no supersymmetric extension. Thus, in pure 6D supergravity, the 1-loop 4-particle amplitude is UV finite. Once matter multiplets are added, 1-loop UV divergences are expected, in general, so the result in [1] is indeed unexpected.

2. The (2,0) supergravity model with 21 tensor multiplets is known to have a vanishing gravitational anomaly and to follow from type IIB ten-dimensional chiral supergravity theory compactified on K_3 [5]. We now know that this theory is 1-loop UV finite. Why? Is the cancellation of anomalies the reason for it?

3. It is known that both (2,0) supergravity model with 21 tensor multiplets and (1,1) supergravity with 20 vector multiplets originate from type II string theories compactified on K_3 [6]. The low-energy limit of type IIB yields $\mathcal{N} = (2,0)$ supergravity with 21 tensor multiplets, while type IIA (or heterotic string compactified on T^4) yields $\mathcal{N} = (1,1)$ supergravity with 20 vector multiplets. These theories are related by string dualities. Also, cancellation of six-dimensional anomalies was studied in [7].

All facts about these theories are known for decades, but it was never predicted that these theories are UV finite at 1-loop. We have learned it only from the actual amplitude computations [1].

This reminds of a surprising discovery in [8] that the 3-loop UV divergence is absent in 4D maximal supergravity with

$$\frac{G}{H} = \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)} \quad (1)$$

coset space. This case suggests that the absence of anomalies in local $SU(8)$ is a condition for the absence of anomalies in local supersymmetry and U-duality symmetry $E_{7(7)}$ [9]. This duality symmetry in 4D does affect the UV properties of supergravity, see for example [10].

This means that one should pay attention to hidden symmetries of supergravities represented by their $\frac{G}{H}$ coset spaces. This expectation will be borne out: The generalization of supergravity dualities in 6D was introduced by Romans [11], where the system of p self-dual and q anti-self-dual tensor fields has a natural $SO(p, q)$ group of duality transformations. For this duality group to be valid, one must consider the anomalies of the subgroups $SO(p)$ and $SO(q)$.

We will show that when the subgroups $SO(5)$ and $SO(21)$ are anomaly-free, as in the case studied in [1], the duality group $SO(5, 21)$ explains the cancellation of infinities. This will explain the relation between the absence of gravitational and gauge anomalies in these models and 1-loop UV finiteness discovered in [1].

It was noticed in [1] that the models they studied are associated with the absence of a six-dimensional gravitational anomaly [7], but it was also implied that there is no known direct argument linking anomaly cancellation to UV finiteness. Before going into details for each of these two models, we suggest that the properties of their symmetries and $\frac{G}{H}$ coset spaces might play a role. Namely in (2,0) 6D vsupergravity with n_T tensor multiplets we have [11, 12]

$$\frac{G}{H} \Big|_{(2,0)} = \frac{SO(5, n_T)}{SO(5) \times SO(n_T)}. \quad (2)$$

In F(4) supergravity coupled to matter, a (1,1) 6D supergravity with n_V vector multiplets, there is a following coset space [14, 15]:

$$\frac{G}{H} \Big|_{(1,1)} = \frac{SO(4, n_V)}{SO(4) \times SO(n_V)}. \quad (3)$$

Here, H is a local composite symmetry of the theory, and G is a global one. The physical scalars are in the corresponding coset spaces after local H symmetry is gauge-fixed.

Both of these coset spaces in eq. (2) and eq. (3) in case $n_T = 21$ and $n_V = 20$ were also identified in [6] via compactification of string theory on K_3 .

A common feature of these models is that in the (2,0) case when $n_T = 21$, the gravitational as well as gauge symmetries $H = SO(5) \times SO(n_T)$ have no anomalies [5]. But when $n_T \neq 21$ the gauge symmetry $H = SO(5) \times$

$SO(n_T)$ is anomalous. Since these are subgroups of $G = SO(5, n_T)$, this means that at the 1-loop level, also the global symmetry $G = SO(5, n_T)$ is anomalous, unless $n_T = 21$.

In (1,1) supergravity interacting with 20 vector multiplets, the absence of gravitational and gauge anomalies according to the recent review [16], was not studied in 6D supergravity directly. It was rather based on the fact that the low-energy limit of Type IIA string theory compactified on a K_3 surface yields (1,1) supergravity with 20 vector multiplets. These type IIB and type IIA string theory constructions are related by string dualities, suggesting that (2,0) supergravity with 21 tensor multiplets and (1,1) supergravity interacting with 20 vector multiplets are both anomaly-free.

A relation among anomalies, soft-scalar limits, and UV divergences has been studied previously, for example, in refs. [10, 17, 18].

(2,0) SUPERGRAVITY WITH $n_T = 21$

6D (2,0) supergravity coupled to n tensor multiplets was described in [5, 11, 12]. The gravitational multiplet has the following fields in terms of their $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ representations: $((1, 1) + 4(1, 1/2) + 5(1, 0))$ and the tensor multiplet has $((0, 1) + 4(0, 1) + 5(0, 0))$. The fields are, in notation of [12]: the vielbein e_μ^a , a is a Lorentz index, a left-handed gravitino ψ_μ , $(n+5)$ antisymmetric tensors $B_{\mu\nu}^r$ ($r = 0, \dots, n+4$) obeying (anti)self-duality conditions, n right-handed tensorini χ^m ($m = 1, \dots, n$) and $5n$ scalars. The physical scalars parametrize the coset space $\frac{SO(5, n)}{SO(5) \times SO(n)}$, and are associated to the $SO(5, n)$ matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} v_r^i \\ x_r^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$i = 1, \dots, 5$, $m = 1, \dots, n$. For example, for $n = 21$, the number of scalars before local $SO(5) \times SO(21)$ gauge symmetries is fixed at 325, but there are only 105 physical scalars. The $SO(5, n)$ constraints on scalars are

$$v^{ir} v_r^i = \delta^{ij}, \quad x^{mr} x_r^n = -\delta^{mn}, \quad (5)$$

$$v^{ir} x_r^m = 0, \quad v_r^i v_s^i - x_r^m x_s^m = \eta_{rs} \quad (6)$$

The local $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$ symmetries have composite connections $Q_\mu^{ij} = v_r^i (\partial_\mu v_r^j)$, $S_\mu^{mn} = (\partial_\mu x_r^m) x_r^n$.

Explicit local supersymmetry transformations in [12] allow us to build the linearized on-shell superfield for each multiplet.

1. The matter multiplet starts with a scalar and has dimension 0, it is a 1/2 BPS multiplet (when the manifest $Usp(4)$ is broken down to $SU(2)$). The 4-particle 1-loop level supersymmetric local expression for the tree and 1-loop case is given in a symbolic form as

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^6 x d^8 \theta \phi^4(x, \theta) \square^{-1}, \quad \int d^6 x d^8 \theta \phi^4(x, \theta) \square \quad (7)$$

where dimension counting goes like $4 - 6 + 4 - 2 = 0$ in tree case and $-6 + 4 + 2 = 0$ in 1-loop case. Therefore, there is a 1-loop linearized candidate counterterm in the matter

sector. This can be compared with equations (4) in the tree approximation and (18)-(20) in the 1-loop case in [1], where related amplitude expressions for the tree and 1-loop case are given.

2. The gravitational multiplet starts with the tensor field strength $H_{\mu\nu\rho}^i = v_r^i H_{\mu\nu\rho}^r$, which transforms into the gravitino field strength, with the next component being a curvature, and after that the derivatives of these 3 types of fields. The superfield $H_{\mu\nu\rho}^i$ has dimension 1, as one can see from the action in eq. (2.10) in [12]. It is a 1/2 BPS multiplet when the manifest $Usp(4)$ is broken down to $SU(2)$.

The mixed tree tensor-graviton amplitude at the tree level is in a symbolic form

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^6 x d^8 \theta \phi^2(x, \theta) H^2 \square^{-2} \quad (8)$$

which compares with eq. (5) in [1].

Gravitational 4-particle 1-loop counterterm is not available since the lowest dimension superfield starts with H of dimension 1, so the best would be

$$\int d^6 x d^8 \theta H^4(x, \theta) \quad (9)$$

and $-6 + 4 + 4 \neq 0$. The tree-level bosonic expression for a 4-tensor particle symbolically is (see also eq. (4) in [1])

$$\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^6 x H^4 \square^{-1} \quad (10)$$

where the dimension count is $4 - 6 + 4 - 2 = 0$.

Let us now focus on *bosonic 4-tensor 1-loop amplitudes in the gravity sector and in the matter sector*. In both cases, we have an expected UV divergence of the form

$$\int d^6 x \sum_{a,b} (x_{ab} H_{s-grav}^a H_{matter}^b) \square \quad a + b = 4 \quad (11)$$

In fact, $x_{40} = 0$ since the supergravity sector has no UV 1-loop counterterm, but the matter counterterm exists $x_{04} \neq 0$, since it does not vanish when $n_T \neq 21$, there is a UV divergence.

UV DIVERGENCE CANCELLATION FOR $n = 21$

To explain this, we need to use some technical features of (2,0) supergravity with n tensor multiplets as given in [5, 11, 12]. It was shown in [5] that (2,0) supergravity with $n = 21$ is the unique (2,0) theory free of gravitational and gauge anomalies. This means (in terms of construction in [12] where $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$ are local composite gauge theories with connections $Q_\mu^{ij} = v_r^i (\partial_\mu v_r^j)$, $S_\mu^{mn} = (\partial_\mu x_r^m) x_r^n$ that gauge anomalies of both $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$ cancel only for $n = 21$. But once these gauge anomalies cancel, we have an invitation to use the global $SO(5, n)$ G symmetry of the theory since at least its subgroups $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$ are not anomalous.

How to use $SO(5, n)$ duality when it is not anomalous? First, we have to look at $5 + n$ antisymmetric tensors [11, 12] where their (anti) self-duality condition was

introduced. To the lowest order in the fermi fields, it is

$$G_{rs}H_{\mu\nu\rho}^s = \frac{1}{6e}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta\gamma}H_r^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad (12)$$

with $G_{rs} = v_r^i v_s^i + x_r^m x_s^m$. These conditions mean that 5 of $H^i = v_r^i H^r$ are self dual, while n of $H^m = x_r^m H^r$ are anti-self-dual. The divergence of the (anti) self-duality condition eq. (12) is $D_\mu(G_{rs}H_{\mu\nu\rho}^s) = 0$.

The $SO(5, n)$ symmetry is implemented into the action as a gauge-fixed theory Lorentz invariant action for chiral p forms [13], so that the bosonic action is

$$e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{bos} = -\frac{1}{4}R + \frac{1}{12}G_{rs}H_{\mu\nu\rho}^r H^{s\mu\nu\rho} + e^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{bos}(v, x) \quad (13)$$

The 1-loop counterterm, in addition to being supersymmetric, has to be constructed in an $SO(5, n)$ invariant way and has to depend on n anti-self-dual matter tensors H^m and 5 self-dual gravity tensors H^i but only in $SO(5, n)$ invariant combination

$$H_r = (V \cdot H)_r = v_r^i H^i + x_r^m H^m \quad (14)$$

Therefore, the absence of UV divergence for 5 self-dual gravity tensors H^i requires the absence of UV divergence for n self-dual gravity tensors H^m . However, these formal requirements are valid only if $SO(5, n)$ has no anomalies. It is easy to see that unless $n = 21$, according to [5, 11, 12], the are gauge anomalies of $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$. These are subgroups of $SO(5, n)$ and therefore the local H anomaly (or the global H anomaly in gauge-fixed supergravity) transfers into the G group anomaly.

The formula for the four-matter one-loop amplitude in [1] demonstrates it all beautifully. They have found

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{TT}^{\mathbf{X}} = \delta^{f_1 f_2} \delta^{f_3 f_4} \mathcal{F}_s^{(2,0)} + \delta^{f_1 f_4} \delta^{f_2 f_3} \mathcal{F}_t^{(2,0)} + \delta^{f_1 f_3} \delta^{f_2 f_4} \mathcal{F}_u^{(2,0)}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_s^{(2,0)} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \left[t^2 \mathcal{B}_{s,t} + u^2 \mathcal{B}_{s,u} + \frac{n_T - 21}{12} s \log\left(-\frac{s}{\mu^2}\right) \right]. \quad (16)$$

It clearly demonstrates that anomalies and UV divergences are related, as explained above. The 5 self-dual and 21 anti-self-dual tensors are partners in $SO(5, 21)$, which is valid at the quantum level. As a result, this case is 1-loop UV finite. For any other non-vanishing n , the $SO(5, n)$ on-shell symmetry, present at the classical level, is anomalous at the quantum level. One can see it via the structure of UV divergence in the equation. eq. (16).

Absence of anomalies in supersymmetry requires absence of anomalies in local $SO(5)$ and $SO(n)$. The local supersymmetry algebra for (2,0) supergravity with n_T matter multiplets was computed in [12]. It has the following structure

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2] = \delta_{gct} + \delta_{Lor} + \delta_{susy} + \delta_{SO(5)} + \delta_{SO(n)} + \text{EOM} \quad (17)$$

Therefore, in the $n_T = 21$ case, supersymmetry promotes the absence of UV divergences from tensors in gravitational and matter multiplets to the absence of UV divergences for total gravitational and matter multiplets, not just tensor fields.

(1,1) SUPERGRAVITY WITH $n_V = 20$

In (1,1) 6D supergravity interacting with n_V vector multiplets the 1-loop amplitude in [1] has the same structure as in eq. (15), with $\mathcal{F}_s^{(1,1)}$

$$\mathcal{F}_s^{(1,1)} = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \left[t^2 \mathcal{B}_{s,t} + u^2 \mathcal{B}_{s,u} + \frac{n_V - 20}{12} s \log\left(-\frac{s}{\mu^2}\right) \right], \quad (18)$$

with similar expressions for the other crossing channels. In this case, the $\log(-s/\mu^2)$ term vanishes when $n_V = 20$.

After a detailed analysis of the relation between anomalies and UV divergences in (2,0) 6D theory, we may now make a quick assessment of the presence of crucial ingredients in (1,1) 6D supergravity.

1. According to the structure of the coset space, $\frac{SO(4, n_V)}{SO(4) \times SO(n_V)}$, there is an $SO(4, n_V)$ duality symmetry which mixes 4 vectors from the gravitational supermultiplet with n_V vectors from matter multiplets.

2. It is expected that for $n_V = 20$, gravitational and $SO(4) \times SO(n_V)$ gauge anomalies are absent, and therefore, local supersymmetry has no anomalies.

2. This means that for $n_V = 20$ there is $SO(4, 20)$ duality symmetry, which has no anomaly and therefore controls quantum corrections.

3. Since 4 supergravity vectors belong to the gravitational multiplet, they have a UV finite 1-loop amplitude. $SO(4, 20)$ duality symmetry mixes 4 vectors from the gravitational supermultiplet with 20 vectors from the matter multiplets. This symmetry enforces UV finiteness of 1-loop amplitude of 20 vectors. Supersymmetry, which is also not anomalous, enforces the total matter multiplet UV divergence to vanish, and not only its 4-vector part.

DISCUSSION

In the list of “to do” things in [1], we have made some progress by explaining the underlying reason for the UV finiteness. It is based on

1) absence of 1-loop counterterm in pure (2,0) and (1,1) 6D supergravity. The reason for this is dimension and supersymmetry

2) absence of gravitational and gauge anomalies in (2,0) with $n_T = 21$ and (1,1) with $n_V = 20$.

3) absence of H-type gauge anomalies in (2,0) with $n_T = 21$ and (1,1) with $n_V = 20$ leads to an absence of G anomalies. See eq. (2) and eq. (3) where it is clear that H-symmetries form a subgroup of G-symmetries.

4) G duality symmetries $SO(5, 21)$ ($SO(4, 20)$) force matter tensors (vectors) to be partners of tensors (vectors) of gravitational multiplet. Gravitational tensors (vectors) according to 1) above are free of 1-loop divergences; therefore, matter tensors (vectors) must also be free of 1-loop divergences due to $SO(5, 21)$ ($SO(4, 20)$).

This clarifies in the 1-loop case a relation between the absence of anomalies and UV divergences.

The second issue is whether the UV finiteness in these models extends beyond one loop. As we mentioned in point 1) above, the absence of the 1-loop counterterm in pure (2,0) and (1,1) 6D supergravity is due to dimension and supersymmetry. Points 2), 3), and 4) are valid only if point 1) is valid.

It is likely that already at the 2-loop order, there is a UV infinity in pure (2,0) and (1,1) 6D supergravity. For example, in pure (2,0), the candidates could be $\kappa^2 \int d^6x d^8\theta H^2 \square H^2(x, \theta)$ and $-4 - 6 + 4 + 2 = 0$, or the ones with 4 gravitino's $\kappa^2 \int d^6x d^8\theta (\partial\psi)^4(x, \theta)$. The actual 2-loop computation will support these or rule them out. If there are UV divergences in these pure supergravities, one would expect that matter multiplets also exhibit the same UV divergences, given anomaly-free G-symmetries.

Another possibility to think about is to observe that the 3-loop UV infinity in (2,2) supergravity shows that the $SO(5,5)$ duality is anomalous [17]. If, instead, one considers the truncation to (2,0) supergravity and adds 21 tensor multiplets, one finds that the duality symmetry is enhanced to $SO(5,21)$ and is anomaly-free. It is possible that the requirement of anomaly-free local non-linear supersymmetry and anomaly-free $SO(5,21)$ duality are in conflict. The corresponding non-linear counterterms have not been constructed, as the superspace for supergravities coupled to matter multiplets is not known.

If we *assume* at this stage that there are no locally supersymmetric non-linear counterterms consistent with enhanced duality, it would suggest that UV finiteness will be discovered at higher loops in (2,0) 6D supergravity with 21 tensor multiplets and also in (1,1) supergravity with 20 vector multiplets.

Therefore, it would be highly beneficial to study UV infinities at loop orders 2 and 3 to identify the pattern. The UV divergence at $L = 2, 3$ would be consistent with our *statement* in this note that the case of 1-loop UV finiteness can be explained by an accidental dimension-dependent 1-loop finiteness of pure (2,0) and (1,1) 6D supergravities. But with this explanation, we do not predict higher loop finiteness.

On the other hand, if the computations find UV finiteness at $L = 2, 3$, it will support our *assumption* that there are no counterterms which satisfy the requirement of non-linear local supersymmetry and enhanced duality in these models. In such a case, this will remind us of the 4D $N \geq 5$ supergravities, where the prediction of UV finiteness is not based on dimension but on enhanced duality and local supersymmetry, both of which are anomaly-free and extend to any loop order [19].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Yu-tin Huang, Henrik Johansson, Andrei Linde, Congkao Wen, and Yusuke Yamada for enlightening discussions. This work is supported by Leinweber Institute for Theoretical Physics at Stanford and

by NSF Grant PHY-2310429.

* kallosh@stanford.edu

- [1] Y. t. Huang, H. Johansson, M. Santagata and C. Wen, “Surprising one-loop finiteness of 6D half-maximal supergravities,” [arXiv:2510.24558 [hep-th]].
- [2] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, “One-loop divergencies in the theory of gravitation,” *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Theor. A* **20**, no.1, 69-94 (1974)
- [3] S. Deser and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “One Loop Divergences of Quantized Einstein-Maxwell Fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 401 (1974)
- [4] M. Fischler, “Finiteness Calculations for O(4) Through O(8) Extended Supergravity and O(4) Supergravity Coupled to Selfdual O(4) Matter,” *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 396-402 (1979)
- [5] P. K. Townsend, “A New Anomaly Free Chiral Supergravity Theory From Compactification on K3,” *Phys. Lett. B* **139**, 283-287 (1984) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(84)91081-5
- [6] P. S. Aspinwall, “K3 surfaces and string duality,” [arXiv:9611137 [hep-th]] .
- [7] J. Erler, “Anomaly cancellation in six-dimensions,” *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 1819-1833 (1994) [arXiv:9304104 [hep-th]].
- [8] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson, D. A. Kosower and R. Roiban, “Three-Loop Superfiniteness of N=8 Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 161303 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.161303 [arXiv:hep-th/0702112 [hep-th]].
- [9] R. Kallosh and Y. Yamada, “Deformation of $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} \geq 5$ supergravities breaks nonlinear local supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **06**, 156 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2023)156 [arXiv:2304.10514 [hep-th]].
- [10] N. Beisert, H. Elvang, D. Z. Freedman, M. Kiermaier, A. Morales and S. Stieberger, “E7(7) constraints on counterterms in N=8 supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **694**, 265-271 (2011) [arXiv:1009.1643 [hep-th]].
- [11] L. J. Romans, “Selfduality for Interacting Fields: Covariant Field Equations for Six-dimensional Chiral Supergravities,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **276**, 71 (1986) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90016-7
- [12] F. Riccioni, “Tensor multiplets in six-dimensional (2,0) supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **422**, 126-134 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00070-7 [arXiv:hep-th/9712176 [hep-th]].
- [13] P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “On Lorentz invariant actions for chiral p forms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 6292-6298 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.6292 [arXiv:hep-th/9611100 [hep-th]].
- [14] L. J. Romans, “The F(4) Gauged Supergravity in Six-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **269**, 691 (1986) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90517-1
- [15] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria and S. Vaula, “Matter coupled F(4) gauged supergravity Lagrangian,” *JHEP* **05**, 065 (2001) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/065 [arXiv:hep-th/0104155 [hep-th]].
- [16] E. Sezgin, “Survey of supergravities,” [arXiv:2312.06754 [hep-th]].
- [17] R. Kallosh, “Soft Theorems, Anomalies and Precursors,” [arXiv:2311.10084 [hep-th]].
- [18] R. Kallosh, “Ward identities for superamplitudes,” *JHEP* **06**, 035 (2024) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2024)035 [arXiv:2402.03453 [hep-th]].
- [19] R. Kallosh, “Enhanced Duality in 4D Supergravity,” [arXiv:2405.20275 [hep-th]]. R. Kallosh, “The role of Sp(2n) duality in quantum theory,” [arXiv:2410.19216 [hep-th]].