

# INERTIAL TYPES OF ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$

JOSE CASTRO-MORENO AND NUNO FREITAS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be prime and  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension with algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$ . We denote the absolute Galois group of  $F$  by  $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  and we write  $I_F \subset G_F$  for its inertia subgroup. For the purpose of this introduction, given a representation  $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  where  $\ell \neq p$ , we will call the restriction  $\tau := \rho|_{I_F}$  an *inertial type*.

In the work [11] of the second author with Dembélé and Voight, a completely explicit description of the inertial types arising from the  $\ell$ -adic representations  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  attached to elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is given. As explained in the introduction of *loc. cit.*, the study of inertial types has many applications, including to modularity, to the classification of representations of  $G_F$ , and to Diophantine equations. Moreover, a recent application of the results in [11] appeared in the work of Bosco [3] where the  $(\varphi, \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_3))$ -modules associated to 3-adic representations of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  are explicitly computed.

In this paper, we extend the results of [11] to the unramified quadratic extension  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The case of elliptic curves with potentially multiplicative reduction is standard and we summarized it in Proposition 3.8. The cases  $p = 2, 3$  and  $E/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  having potentially good reduction is where the main challenges are. The complete statement of our classification contains many cases divided into tables which can be found either in Section 9 or online [7]. Our main results are summarized as follows (see Section 2.4 for the definition of exceptional types).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  be an elliptic curve with additive potentially good reduction and inertial type  $\tau_E$ .*

- (A) *If  $p = 3$ , then  $\tau_E$  is classified according to Table 14;*
- (B) *If  $p = 2$ , then  $\tau_E$  is exceptional if and only if the 3-torsion field of  $E$  has degree 24 over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  and, in such case,  $\tau_E$  is classified according to Tables 18–19;*
- (C) *If  $p = 2$  and  $\tau_E$  is non-exceptional, then  $\tau_E$  is classified according to Tables 15–16.*

*Moreover, we provide in [7] an elliptic curve realizing each inertial type, and also Magma code that takes an elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  and identifies its type.*

For  $p \geq 5$ , the inertial types arising from elliptic curves with potentially good reduction over any finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  are better understood. Since these types are all tame and

---

*Date:* December 5, 2025.

*Key words and phrases.* Inertial types, Galois representations, Elliptic Curves.

Castro-Moreno PhD thesis project received the support of a fellowship from the “La Caixa” Foundation (ID 100010434) The fellowship code is LCF/BQ/DR24/12080026.

Freitas was partly supported by the PID 2022-136944NB-I00 grant of the MICINN (Spain).

can be described uniformly at no additional cost, we include them in full generality in Propositions 3.7 and 4.1. Moreover, we prove related results valid over  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  for any prime  $p$ , for instance, in Theorem 3.4 we study how quadratic twist affects certain inertial types and, in Theorem 7.1, we show that, for  $E/F$  with potentially good reduction, its inertial type is completely determined by the inertial field.

The change of the base field from  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  to  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  introduces new phenomena, mostly due to the fact that the residue field has even degree as an extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The most significant differences occur for  $p = 2$ , namely, the existence of triply imprimitive supercuspidal representations and exceptional representations with inertia of order 8; this greatly increases the complexity of the computations required to describe all the supercuspidal inertial types. An important difference with respect to [11] occurs in the classification of exceptional types, where in *loc. cit.* the authors rely on the work of Bayer–Rio [1] classifying the  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ , whilst here we have to compute all the relevant  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  ourselves.

The Galois representations arising on the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module of an elliptic curve  $E$  defined over extensions  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $p \neq \ell$  have been studied by several authors, including the work of Dokchitser–Dokchitser [12] and Coppola [9, 8, 10]. These works provide a description of the representation of inertia as an abstract group together with the image of Frobenius; moreover, in most cases, they describe a recipe to compute the field fixed by their kernel, from a given Weierstrass model for  $E$ .

Our approach follows [11] and a key difference from the mentioned works is the use of the language of Weil–Deligne representations. Furthermore, working over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  allows for a fully explicit description of the inertial types. In particular, we know exactly how many inertial types there are at each conductor and what is the fixed fields by their kernels; this level of detail has applications to Diophantine equations as discussed at length in [2]. Observe also that an inertial type arising from an elliptic curve can also arise, for example, from a classical or Hilbert modular form whose field of coefficients is not  $\mathbb{Q}$  (and so not corresponding to an elliptic curves by the Eichler–Shimura correspondence). Since our description of the types is independent of elliptic curves, it has the advantage that it can be applied to study inertial types coming from other sources. In fact, our computations to determine the types  $\tau$  hold under the following assumptions:

- (i)  $\tau$  has unramified determinant;
- (ii)  $\tau$  has image isomorphic to one of the groups in Theorem 2.19;
- (iii)  $\tau$  has conductor bounded by an explicit constant (in terms of the base field  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ ).
- (iv) if  $p = 2$  and  $\tau$  is non exceptional with image isomorphic to  $Q_8$  then  $\tau$  is triply imprimitive (see Section 2.4 for the definition).
- (v) if  $p = 2$  and  $\tau$  is exceptional then it becomes triply imprimitive after a cubic base change.

It follows that any inertial type  $\tau$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  with conductor bounded by the constant and satisfying these properties must be among the types we found. An application of this can be found in the forthcoming work of Pacetti–Torcomian [17], where they use our classification to determine inertial types of Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves appearing in the study of certain Fermat-type equations. Finally, note that assumptions (i)–(iii) are well known properties of

the types  $\tau_E$  coming from elliptic curves, and (iv)-(v) follow from Theorem 6.4, hence the types we will compute include all types from elliptic curves.

**1.1. Outline.** This work is organized as follows. In Sections 2–3, we recall basic results about inertial types, elliptic curves, quadratic extensions of  $p$ -adic fields, and study how quadratic twists affect inertial types, and in Section 4, we classify inertial types of elliptic curves over any  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  for  $p \geq 5$  and, in Section 5, we compute the inertial types over  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ . In Section 6, we compute the nonexceptional types over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  and in Section 8 the exceptional types over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ . Section 7 discusses how to find elliptic curves realizing each of the inertial types previously computed and, finally, in Section 9 we summarize all the computed data.

**1.2. Computational software.** For the computations needed in this paper, we used Magma computer algebra system [4], version V2.28-20. All our code is available at [7], and the repository instructions explain how it is used.

**1.3. Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank John Jones for pointing out the algorithms in [14] which we used to find nice polynomials that describe the inertia fields.

## 2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

In this section, we recall the basic definitions and results needed for the classification of inertial types of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ ; we refer to [11, §2] for a more detailed exposition.

**2.1. Notation.** Let  $p$  be a prime. Let  $F$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_F$  its ring of integers,  $\mathfrak{p}$  its maximal ideal,  $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}$  a uniformizer and  $v : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the valuation of  $F$  normalized so that  $v(\pi) = 1$ . We denote the residue field  $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}$  by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and by  $\overline{F}$  a fixed algebraic closure of  $F$ . For any finite extension  $K/F$ , let  $K^{un} \subset \overline{F}$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $K$ ; we have  $K^{un} = K F^{un}$ . Let  $W_F$  be the Weil group of  $F$  and  $I_F$  its inertia subgroup. The group  $W_F$  is made into a topological group by declaring that  $I_F$  is open in  $W_F$  and that the subspace topology of  $I_F$  in  $W_F$  is the same as the subspace topology of  $I_F$  in  $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ . Let  $W_F^{ab}$  be the maximal abelian quotient of  $W_F$  and  $A : F^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} W_F^{ab}$  the local Artin reciprocity map normalized so that  $A(\pi) = \text{Frob}_F$  is the (class of a) geometric Frobenius automorphism, that is,  $\text{Frob}_F(x^q) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . For a topological group  $G$ , by a character of  $G$  we mean a continuous group homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and, given a morphism of topological groups  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$ , we say that  $\chi$  factors through  $\varphi$  if there exists a character  $\chi' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $\chi = \chi' \circ \varphi$ ; when  $\varphi$  is understood, for example, when  $G'$  is a quotient of  $G$  we will simply say that  $\chi$  factors through  $G'$ . A character  $\chi$  of  $W_F$  must factor through  $W_F^{ab}$  and so we can use the Artin map to identify it with a character of  $F^\times$ , denoted by  $\chi^A := \chi \circ A$ . Given a character  $\chi$  of  $W_F$ , its conductor is the biggest ideal  $\mathfrak{p}^m$  so that  $\chi^A|_{1+\mathfrak{p}^m}$  is trivial, and so  $\chi^A$  factors through  $\mathcal{O}_F^\times/(1+\mathfrak{p}^m) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}^m)^\times$ ; we will denote the exponent  $m$  as  $m(\chi)$  and the conductor as  $\text{Cond}(\chi)$ , so that  $\text{Cond}(\chi) = \mathfrak{p}^{m(\chi)}$  and  $m(\chi) = v(\text{Cond}(\chi))$ . Finally, let  $\omega : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the character of  $W_F$  corresponding via the Artin map to the norm character  $|\cdot|_v$  on  $F$ , so that  $\omega(g) = q^{-a}$  for  $g|_{F^{un}} = \text{Frob}^a$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 2.2. Weil–Deligne representations.

**Definition 2.1.** A 2-dimensional Weil–Deligne (WD) representation is a pair  $(\rho, N)$  where

- (i)  $\rho : W_F \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is a continuous representation,
- (ii)  $N \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is a nilpotent operator,
- (iii)  $\rho(g)N\rho(g)^{-1} = \omega(g)N$ .

We say that two Weil–Deligne representations  $(\rho, N), (\rho', N')$  are isomorphic if there exist  $P \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $P\rho(g)P^{-1} = \rho'(g)$  for all  $g$  and  $PNP^{-1} = N'$ .

A key concept in the study of Weil–Deligne representation is its conductor. The definition of conductor for characters (i.e dimension 1 representations) is the one given in §2.1, and for general representation we refer to [20, Chapter IV, §10]. For a WD-representation  $\rho$ , we denote its conductor and conductor exponent by  $\mathrm{Cond}(\rho)$  and  $m(\rho)$ , respectively.

Any 2-dimensional Weil–Deligne representation  $\rho$  of  $W_F$  is isomorphic to one of the following:

- (i) *Principal Series*: Take  $N = 0$  and  $\rho = PS(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2$ , where  $\theta_1, \theta_2 : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are characters such that  $\theta_1\theta_2^{-1} \neq \omega^{\pm 1}$ . We have  $m(\rho) = m(\theta_1) + m(\theta_2)$ .
- (ii) *Special or Steinberg*: Take  $N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\rho = \mathrm{St}(\theta)$ , where  $\mathrm{St}(\theta) = \theta \oplus \omega\theta$  for a character  $\theta : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Here  $m(\rho) = 2m(\theta)$  if  $\theta$  is ramified and  $m(\rho) = 1$  otherwise.
- (iii) *Supercuspidal representations*: Take  $N = 0$  and  $\rho$  an irreducible 2-dimensional representation. If the projective image of  $\rho$  is dihedral, then  $\rho$  is called *imprimitive* or *nonexceptional*, otherwise it is called *primitive* or *exceptional*, in which case its projective image is  $A_4$  or  $S_4$ . The exceptional types only occur when  $p = 2$ . The conductor formula for the imprimitive case is given in (2.3) below.

The following result will come handy when dealing with twists of representations.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(\rho, N)$  be a 2-dimensional Weil–Deligne representation of  $W_F$ , such that  $N = 0$  and let  $\theta$  be a character of  $W_F$ . If  $m(\rho) \neq 2m(\theta)$ , then  $m(\theta \otimes \rho) = \max\{m(\rho), 2m(\theta)\}$

*Proof.* For the supercuspidal case see [21, Proposition 3.4], the principal series follows from a simple computation.  $\square$

**2.3. Nonexceptional supercuspidal representations.** Let  $K/F$  be a quadratic extension and  $\psi_K : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the quadratic character associated to  $K$ , that is,  $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_K) = W_K$ . Let  $s \in W_F$  be a lift of the non-trivial element of  $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$  and, for a character  $\theta : W_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we define  $\theta^s(g) = \theta(s^{-1}gs)$ ; since  $W_K$  is normal in  $W_F$  this is independent of the choice of  $s$ . By local class field theory  $(\theta^s)^A = \theta^A \circ s$ .

Let  $\theta : W_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a character satisfying  $\theta \neq \theta^s$ . The representation  $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F}(\theta)$  is irreducible and we call  $(\rho, 0)$  the *nonexceptional supercuspidal representation induced from/by*  $\theta$ . Its conductor exponent satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad m(\rho) = \begin{cases} 2m(\chi), & \text{if } K/F \text{ is unramified;} \\ m(\chi) + m(\psi_K), & \text{if } K/F \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

For convenience we recall the following auxiliary lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\theta^s = \theta$ ,
- (ii)  $s(x)/x \in \text{Ker}(\theta^A)$  for all  $x \in K^\times$ ,
- (iii)  $\theta^A$  factors through the norm map  $\text{Nm}_{K|F} : K^\times \rightarrow F^\times$ .

*Proof.* See [11, Lemma 2.3.2] □

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $K/F$  be a quadratic extension and  $\psi_K$  the associated quadratic character. Let  $\delta$  be the determinant of the 2 dimensional representation of  $W_F$  induced by a character  $\theta : W_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\delta$  is unramified if and only if  $\theta^A|_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times} = \psi_K^A|_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times}$ . Furthermore, if  $\delta$  is unramified then  $\theta^s|_{I_K} = \theta^{-1}|_{I_K}$ .*

*Proof.* In [11, Lemma 2.3.7] the result appears as an implication but the proof shows that it is and equivalence. □

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $K/F$  be a quadratic extension,  $\theta$  a character of  $W_K$  and  $\rho := \text{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F} \theta$ . Assume that  $\det \rho$  is unramified. If  $\theta|_{I_K}$  has order  $\geq 3$ , then  $\rho$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi := \theta|_{I_K}$ . From Lemma 2.5 we have  $\chi^s = \chi^{-1}$ . Since  $\chi$  has order  $> 2$ , we have  $\chi^{-1} \neq \chi$  and hence  $\theta^s \neq \theta$ . Thus  $\rho$  is irreducible. □

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $H \leq G$  an index 2 subgroup, and consider the induction  $\rho := \text{Ind}_H^G(\theta)$  where  $\theta$  is a character of order 4 or 6. If  $\rho$  has trivial determinant and non-abelian image, then  $\rho$  has image the quaternion group  $Q_8$  if  $\theta$  has order 4 and image  $\mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$  if  $\theta$  has order 6.*

*Proof.* Since  $\rho$  has trivial determinant its image is a finite subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  whose classification is well known. There are two infinite families: the cyclic groups  $C_n$  and the binary dihedral or dicyclic groups  $\text{Dic}_n$  of order  $4n$  and three exceptional groups, of order 24, 48 and 120. Since the image is non-abelian of order 8 or 12 the only possibilities are  $\text{Dic}_2 \simeq Q_8$  and  $\text{Dic}_3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$  □

**2.4. Exceptional (supercuspidal) representations.** The supercuspidal representations that are not induced from a character are exceptional and their projective image is  $A_4$  or  $S_4$ . Since exceptional representations only occur for  $p = 2$ , we assume in this section that  $p = 2$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\rho$  be a supercuspidal representation and let  $\mathfrak{J}(\rho)$  be the group of characters  $\chi$  such that  $\rho \otimes \chi \simeq \rho$ . Then  $\#\mathfrak{J}(\rho) \in \{1, 2, 4\}$  and the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\#\mathfrak{J}(\rho) = 1 \iff \rho$  is exceptional.
- (ii)  $\#\mathfrak{J}(\rho) = 2 \iff$  There is a unique quadratic extension  $K/F$  such that  $\rho = \text{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F}(\theta)$ .
- (iii)  $\#\mathfrak{J}(\rho) = 4 \iff$  the projective image of  $\rho$  is  $D_2 \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \iff \rho = \text{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F}(\theta)$  can be induced from 3 distinct quadratic extensions  $\iff$  the character  $\theta^s/\theta$  factors through the norm map.

Moreover if  $\#\mathfrak{J}(\rho) = 4$  then  $\mathfrak{J}(\rho)$  is the group formed by the trivial character and the 3 characters  $\psi_K$  associated to the 3 quadratic extensions  $K$  of  $F$  from which  $\rho$  is induced.

*Proof.* See [5, 41.3 Corollary] and [13, 2.7 Proposition]. □

According to this proposition, we call the representations  $\rho$  with  $\#\mathfrak{I}(\rho) = 2$  *simply imprimitive* and those with  $\#\mathfrak{I}(\rho) = 4$  we call them *triply imprimitive*.

**Proposition 2.9.** *For  $L/F$  a finite extension and  $\rho$  an exceptional supercuspidal representation, we define  $\rho_L := \rho|_{W_L}$ . Then,*

- *There exist a cubic extension  $K/F$  such that  $\rho_K$  is non exceptional.*
- *If  $K/F$  is cubic and Galois, then  $\rho_K$  is triply imprimitive and the nontrivial elements of  $\mathfrak{I}(\rho_K)$  are permuted transitively by  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ .*
- *If  $K/F$  is cubic and non-Galois, let  $M/F$  be the normal closure of  $K/F$  and  $E/F$  the maximal unramified sub-extension of  $M/F$ . Then,  $\rho_K$  is simply imprimitive,  $\rho_M$  is triply imprimitive and  $\rho_E$  is primitive; moreover, the nontrivial elements of  $\mathfrak{I}(\rho_M)$  are permuted transitively by  $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ .*

*Proof.* See [5, §42.2, Theorem and Remark]. □

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $K/F$  be a cubic extension and  $M/K$  a quadratic ramified extension. Let  $\theta$  be a character of  $W_M$  such that  $\theta^A$  does not factor through the norm map. There is at most one exceptional supercuspidal representation  $\rho$  of  $W_F$  such that  $\rho_K = \text{Ind}_{W_M}^{W_K}(\theta)$ . In other words, for an exceptional representation  $\rho$ , the triple  $(K, M, \theta)$  determines  $\rho$  uniquely.*

*Proof.* See [6, Lemme 12.1.3]. □

**Remark 2.11.** The converse is not true, for instance if  $\rho_K$  happens to be triply imprimitive, there are three different triples  $(K, M_i, \theta_i)$  that describe  $\rho$ .

**2.5. Inertial types.** We now study the inertial types, that is the behavior of the above Weil–Deligne representations when restricted to the inertia subgroup.

A *Weil–Deligne inertial type* is an equivalence class  $[\rho, N]$  of WD-representations under the equivalence relation  $(\rho, N) \sim (\rho', N')$  if there exist  $P \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $P\rho(g)P^{-1} = \rho'(g)$  for all  $g \in I_F$  and  $PNP^{-1} = N'$ . Thus one can think of inertial types simply as WD-representations of  $I_F$ . Since  $N = 0$  except for Steinberg representations, that are classified in Proposition 3.8, we will denote the equivalence class  $[\rho, N]$  simply by  $\tau := \rho|_{I_K}$ .

Considering the restrictions of the different Weil–Deligne representations in §2.2, any 2-dimensional inertial type  $\tau$  is of one of the following:

- (i)  $\tau$  is the restriction of a principal series, so there are characters  $\theta_1, \theta_2 : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$\tau \simeq PS(\theta_1, \theta_2)|_{I_F} = \theta_1|_{I_F} \oplus \theta_2|_{I_F};$$

- (ii)  $\tau$  is the restriction of a Steinberg representation, so there is  $\theta : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tau = \text{St}(\theta)|_{I_K} = \theta|_{I_K} \oplus (\omega\theta)|_{I_K} = \theta|_{I_K} \oplus \theta|_{I_K};$$

- (iii)  $\tau$  is the restriction of an imprimitive supercuspidal representation induced from the unique quadratic unramified extension  $K/F$ , and so there is a character  $\theta : W_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\theta \neq \theta^s$  and

$$\tau \simeq \text{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F}(\theta)|_{I_F} = \theta|_{I_F} \oplus \theta^s|_{I_F};$$

- (iv)  $\tau$  is the restriction of a imprimitive supercuspidal representation induced from a quadratic ramified extension  $K/F$ , so there is  $\theta : W_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\theta|_{I_K} \neq \theta^s|_{I_K}$  and

$$\tau \simeq \text{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F}(\theta)|_{I_F} = \text{Ind}_{I_K}^{I_F}(\theta|_{I_K});$$

- (v)  $\tau$  is the restriction of an exceptional supercuspidal representation (only occurs for  $p = 2$ ).

To avoid writing “Let  $\tau$  be the restriction of a supercuspidal representation induced from a ramified extension” and similar long statements, we will simply call the inertial types in (i) principal series, in (ii) Steinberg, in (iii) unramified supercuspidal, in (iv) ramified supercuspidal, and in (v) exceptional. Since all the inertial types, except for the trivial one, have nontrivial image of inertia there should be no confusion between (iii) and (iv) and the common use of (un)ramified.

As this classification shows, when dealing with inertial types, most of the time we only care about  $\theta|_{I_F}$  and not about the character  $\theta$ . To avoid confusion from now on we fix the following notation: the letters  $\rho$  and  $\theta$  will be reserved for representations and characters of  $W_F$  while  $\tau$  and  $\chi$  will be used for the respective restrictions to inertia. Moreover, when there is no confusion we will abuse of notation and write just  $\theta$  for the character  $\theta^A$ .

Before continuing, we need to introduced further notation. Let  $K/F$  be a quadratic extension,  $\mathfrak{p}$  the maximal ideal of  $K$ ,  $f$  a positive integer and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^f \cap \mathcal{O}_F$ . The usual norm map  $\text{Nm} : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  descends to a map  $\overline{\text{Nm}} : (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{a})^\times$  and we define

$$U_{\mathfrak{p}^f} := \overline{\text{Nm}}((\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times) \leq (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{a})^\times.$$

Note that the inclusion  $i : \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  also descends to a map  $j : (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{a})^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times$  such that  $h_K \circ i = j \circ h_F$  where  $h_F : \mathcal{O}_F^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{a})^\times$  is the quotient map and  $h_K$  is the analogous map for  $K$ . We can use this  $j$  to see  $U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  as a subgroup of  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times$ . This is precisely what is done in the following lemma

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $K/F$  be a quadratic extension and  $\tau_E$  a supercuspidal inertial type induced by  $\chi : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of conductor  $\mathfrak{p}^f$ . Then  $\chi$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_K = \psi_K|_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times}$ . By Lemma 2.5 we know that  $\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times} = \varepsilon_K$  this is the same as saying that  $\chi \circ i = \varepsilon_K$  where  $i$  is the inclusion of  $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . By class field theory  $\varepsilon_K \circ \text{Nm}$  is trivial and so  $\chi \circ i \circ \text{Nm}$  is also trivial. If  $\chi$  has conductor  $\mathfrak{p}^f$  then there exists a character  $\overline{\chi}$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times$  such that  $\chi = \overline{\chi} \circ h_K$ . But then we have

$$\chi \circ i \circ \text{Nm} = \overline{\chi} \circ h_K \circ i \circ \text{Nm} = \overline{\chi} \circ j \circ h_F \circ \text{Nm} = \overline{\chi} \circ j \circ \overline{\text{Nm}} \circ h_K$$

and so  $\overline{\chi}$  is trivial on  $j \circ \overline{\text{Nm}} \circ h_K(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = U_{\mathfrak{p}^f} \leq (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times$ .  $\square$

**2.6. Elliptic curves.** Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic with conductor  $N_E$ . For each prime  $\ell$ , the action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  on the  $\ell^n$ -torsion points of  $E$  for all  $n > 0$  induces an action of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$  on the Tate module  $T_\ell(E) = \varprojlim E[\ell^n] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell^2$ . After tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  and choosing a basis for  $T_\ell(E)$  we get a representation  $\rho_{E,\ell} : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . When  $\ell \neq p$  one can construct from  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  a Weil–Deligne representation  $(\rho_E, N)$ , which is independent of the prime  $\ell$ . We define the inertial type of  $E$  to be  $\tau_E = \rho_E|_{I_K}$ . The determinant of  $\tau_E$  is  $\omega$  which, being trivial on inertia, imposes constraints to the shape of  $\tau_E$ . Indeed, if  $\tau_E$  is a nonexceptional inertial type then, using Lemma 2.5, we have the following cases.

- If  $\tau_E = PS(\theta_1, \theta_2)|_{I_F}$  then  $\theta_1|_{I_F} = \theta_2^{-1}|_{I_F}$  and, letting  $\chi := \theta_1|_{I_K}$ , we have

$$(2.13) \quad \tau_E = \chi \oplus \chi^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad m(\tau_E) = 2m(\chi).$$

- If  $\tau_E = \text{St}(\theta)|_{I_F}$  and  $\chi := \theta|_{I_F}$  then  $\chi = \chi^{-1}$ , thus  $\chi$  is at most quadratic,

$$(2.14) \quad \tau_E = \chi \oplus \chi \quad \text{and} \quad m(\tau_E) = 2m(\chi).$$

- If  $\tau_E = (\text{Ind}_{W_K}^{W_F} \theta)|_{I_F}$  and  $\chi := \theta|_{I_F}$  then  $\chi^s = \chi^{-1}$  and  $\theta^A|_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times} = \psi_K^A|_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times}$ . Moreover,

$$(2.15) \quad \tau_E = \chi \oplus \chi^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad m(\tau_E) = 2m(\chi) \text{ if } K/F \text{ is unramified,}$$

whilst

$$(2.16) \quad \tau_E = \text{Ind}_{I_K}^{I_F} \chi \quad \text{and} \quad m(\tau_E) = m(\chi) + m(\psi_K) \text{ if } K/F \text{ is ramified.}$$

In particular, a single character of inertia  $\chi$  is enough to determine  $\tau_E$  in all cases. This motivates the following definition:

**Definition 2.17.** We define  $\tau_{sc}(u, m, e)_j$  to be a supercuspidal type induced from  $K = F(\sqrt{u})$  by a character  $\theta$  of  $W_K$  with  $m(\theta) = m$  and restriction to  $I_K$  of order  $e$ . Similarly, we denote by  $\tau_{ps}(1, m, e)_j$  a principal series type associated to a character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$  with  $m(\theta) = m$  and restriction to  $I_F$  of order  $e$ . The label  $j$  appears when there are non isomorphic inertial types having these properties. Finally,  $\tau_{St}$  denotes the inertial type corresponding to  $[St(1), N]$ .

Since the conductor of  $\tau_E$  is equal to  $N_E$  and  $0 \leq v(N_E) \leq 2 + 3v(3) + 6v(2)$  (see [20, Ch. IV, Thm 10.4]), we have a bound for the conductor of  $\tau_E$  in terms of the ramification of  $F$ , reducing the study of inertial types over  $F$  to a finite number of cases.

We recall the following well known result.

**Theorem 2.18.** Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve with potentially good reduction. Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$  be coprime to  $p$  and set  $L := F^{un}(E[m])$ . The field  $L$  is independent of  $m$  and satisfies:

- (a)  $L$  is the minimal extension of  $F^{un}$  where  $E$  attains good reduction, and
- (b)  $L$  is the fixed field of  $\text{Ker}(\tau_E)$ .

The field  $L$  in the above theorem is called the *inertial field* of  $E$  and the order  $e_E$  of the group  $\Phi := \text{Gal}(L/F^{un})$  is the *semistability defect* of  $E$ . The following is standard (see [15]).

**Theorem 2.19.** Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve, and  $L$  its inertial field. Then exactly one of the following holds

- (i)  $\Phi$  is cyclic of order 2, 3, 4, 6,
- (ii)  $p = 3$  and  $\Phi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$  of order 12,
- (iii)  $p = 2$  and  $\Phi \simeq Q_8$  the quaternion group of order 8, or
- (iv)  $p = 2$  and  $\Phi \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  of order 24.

**2.7. Quadratic extensions.** We will now recall some basic facts about the quadratic extensions of  $F$ . For clarity of exposition we will reproduce here some known computations, see for instance [18]. Recall that  $\pi$  is a uniformizer of  $F$ . The group of units of a local field can be decomposed as (see [16] prop 5.7)

$$F^\times \simeq \langle \pi \rangle \times \mu_{q-1} \times (1 + \mathfrak{p}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n)$$

where  $n = [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$  and  $a$  is the largest integer such that  $\mu_{p^a} \subset F^\times$ . If  $p \geq 3$ , then multiplication by 2 is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and so

$$F^\times / (F^\times)^2 \simeq \langle \pi \rangle / \langle \pi^2 \rangle \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times / (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, for  $p \geq 3$  there are exactly three quadratic extensions of  $F$ , two of which are ramified and one is unramified; more precisely, we can denote them, respectively, by

$$K_1 = F(\sqrt{\pi}), \quad K_2 = F(\sqrt{u\pi}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_3 = F(\sqrt{u}),$$

where  $u \in F$  generates  $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^\times \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$ . The set of characters  $\{1, \psi_{K_1}, \psi_{K_2}, \psi_{K_3}\}$  form a group where  $\psi_{K_1} = \psi_{K_2}\psi_{K_3}$ . Since  $\psi_{K_3}$  is unramified  $\psi_{K_1}$  and  $\psi_{K_2}$  coincide on the inertia, we define this common restriction as  $\varepsilon_1 = \psi_{K_1}|_{I_F}$ . We have  $m(\varepsilon_1) = 1$ . Indeed,  $\varepsilon_1$  is trivial on the norms from  $K_1$  (by definition) and, by Hensel's lemma, all the elements in  $1 + \mathfrak{p}$  are squares in  $F$  and thus norms from  $K_1$ .

For  $p = 2$  we consider the field  $F = \mathbb{Q}_4 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ . For this field, we have  $a = 2$  and  $n = 2$ , so

$$\mathbb{Q}_4^\times = \langle 2 \rangle \times \mu_3 \times 1 + \mathfrak{p} \simeq \langle 2 \rangle \times \mu_3 \times \langle -1 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}_4^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_4^\times)^2 = \langle 2 \rangle / \langle 4 \rangle \times \frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{(1 + \mathfrak{p})^2} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4,$$

hence it is enough to determine the squares of in the multiplicative group  $1 + \mathfrak{p}$ . By the strong Hensel's lemma (see [19, Ch. II, Thm 1]), we see that all the elements in  $1 + \mathfrak{p}^3$  are squares, thus  $1 + \mathfrak{p} / (1 + \mathfrak{p})^2 \simeq \frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3} / (\frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3})^2$ . From the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}^2}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3} \rightarrow \frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3} \rightarrow \frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^2} \rightarrow 1$  and the fact that  $\frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}^2}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3} \simeq (\mathbb{F}_4, +) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ , it follows that  $\frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3}$  is either  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$ . However, the case  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  is not compatible with the above description of  $\mathbb{Q}_4^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_4^\times)^2$  thus  $\frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$ . Moreover since  $-1, 1 + 2\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5}$  is a set of generators of  $\frac{1 + \mathfrak{p}}{1 + \mathfrak{p}^3}$  we get

$$\mathbb{Q}_4^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_4^\times)^2 = \langle 2 \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle \times \langle 1 + 2\sqrt{5} \rangle \times \langle \sqrt{5} \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4.$$

**Proposition 2.20.** *Let  $b = \sqrt{5}$  and  $c = 1 + 2\sqrt{5}$ . The quadratic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  are:*

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-bc}), & K_2 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{b}), & K_3 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-1}), & K_4 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{c}), \\ K_5 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{bc}), & K_6 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-b}), & K_7 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-2}), & K_8 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{2c}), \\ K_9 &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{2bc}), & K_{10} &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-2b}), & K_{11} &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{2}), & K_{12} &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-2c}), \\ K_{13} &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{2b}), & K_{14} &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-2bc}) \text{ and } & K_{15} &= \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{-c}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the above we can choose  $R := \{(-1)^r \cdot 2^s \cdot b^t \cdot c^w \mid 0 \leq r, s, t, w \leq 1\}$  to be a system of representatives of  $\mathbb{Q}_4^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_4^\times)^2$ . Since there is a bijection between  $y_i \in R$  and quadratic extensions  $K_i = F(z_i)$  with  $z_i = \sqrt{y_i}$  the result follows.  $\square$

In the rest of this paper, we will extensively use all the notation in the previous proposition and its proof. Indeed, we will use  $z_i$  and  $y_i$  (e.g.  $y_{10} = 2bc$  and  $z_{10} = \sqrt{2bc}$ ) and also denote by  $\psi_i$  the character associated with  $K_i$ . In particular, by the discriminant–conductor formula, we have  $m(\psi_i) = 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$  and  $m(\psi_i) = 3$  for  $7 \leq i \leq 14$ . The character  $\psi_{15}$  is unramified and, for odd  $i$ , we have  $y_i = y_{i+1}y_{15}$  up to squares, which implies  $\psi_i = \psi_{i+1}\psi_{15}$ . Informally, we say that  $K_i, K_{i+1}$  differ by the unramified extension. As before, we define the common restriction to inertia to be  $\varepsilon_i = \psi_i|_{I_F}$ .

### 3. THE EFFECT OF QUADRATIC TWISTS

In this section, we explain how quadratic twists allow to reduce the case of semistability defect  $e = 6$  to the case  $e = 3$  and the cases  $e = 2$  to curves with good reduction ( $e = 1$ ); in particular, this classifies the inertial types with  $e = 2$ .

Consider the quotient map  $j : W_F \rightarrow W_F^{ab} = W_F / \overline{[W_F, W_F]}$  from  $W_F$  into its maximal abelian quotient, and set  $I_F^{ab} := j(I_F)$ . Observe that  $I_F^{ab}$  can be regarded as the quotient  $I_F / \text{Ker}(j|_{I_F})$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $I_F$  of order  $r$  that factors through  $I_F^{ab}$ . Then, there are exactly  $r$  characters of  $W_F$  having order  $r$  and restriction to inertia equal to  $\chi$ .*

*In particular, if  $\theta$  is a character of  $W_F$  that is quadratic on  $I_F$ , then there is a quadratic character  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $W_F$  such that  $\tilde{\theta}|_{I_F} = \theta|_{I_F}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall from local class field theory that  $W_F^{ab} \simeq I_F^{ab} \times \langle \text{Frob}_F \rangle$ , where  $\text{Frob}_F \in W_K$  is a lift of Frobenius. Any character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$  factors through  $W_F^{ab}$  thus it is enough to define  $\theta$  on  $I_F^{ab}$  and  $\text{Frob}_F$ . Therefore, if  $\theta$  satisfies  $\theta|_{I_F} = \chi$ , then we must have  $\theta|_{I_F^{ab}} = \chi|_{I_F^{ab}}$  and if we want  $\theta$  to have order  $r$  it must also satisfy  $\theta(\text{Frob}_F) = \zeta_r$  for some  $r$ -th root of unity. We conclude there are precisely  $r$  characters with the desired properties.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The hypothesis that  $\chi$  factors through  $I_F^{ab}$  is necessary, as there are characters of  $I_F$  that do not extend to  $W_F$ . For example, take  $F = \mathbb{Q}_2$  so that  $I_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_2}/\mathbb{Q}_2^{un})$ , and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}_2^{un}(\sqrt{1+2\sqrt{5}})$ . The quadratic character  $\chi$  of  $I_F$  fixing  $K/\mathbb{Q}_2^{un}$  cannot be extended to  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ , otherwise there should exist a quadratic extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}_2$  such that  $L \cdot \mathbb{Q}_2^{un} = K$  which is impossible. Indeed, there are seven quadratic extensions  $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{y_i})/\mathbb{Q}_2$  and one can check that  $y_i \cdot (1+2\sqrt{5})$  is not a square on  $\mathbb{Q}_2^{un}$  for all  $i$  (for instance, assuming  $\sqrt{y_i(1+2\sqrt{5})} \in \mathbb{Q}_2^{un}$  one can construct an element in  $\mathbb{Q}_2^{un}$  of valuation  $1/2$ ).

In general, a character  $\chi$  of  $I_F$  factors through  $I_F / \overline{[I_F, I_F]}$  which is larger than  $I_F^{ab}$ . However, a character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$  factors through  $W_F^{ab} = I_F^{ab} \times \langle \text{Frob}_F \rangle$ , so we cannot necessarily make  $\theta$  coincide with an arbitrary character  $\chi$  of  $I_F$  because  $\theta$  is forced to be trivial on  $I_F \cap [W_F, W_F]$  while  $\chi$  might not be.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(\rho, N)$  be a 2-dimensional Weil–Deligne representation of  $W_F$  with  $N = 0$  and let  $\tau = \rho|_{I_F}$  be the associated inertial type. If  $\det \rho$  is unramified and  $\tau(I_F) \simeq C_{2d}$  with  $d$  odd, then there exists a quadratic character  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $W_F$  such that  $(\tilde{\theta} \otimes \rho)(I_F) = C_d$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\tau(I_F) \simeq C_{2d}$  is abelian, the inertial type  $\tau$  is reducible, and the only reducible types with  $N = 0$  are principal series and unramified supercuspidal.

Assume first that  $\tau$  is principal series. Thus  $\tau = \rho|_{I_F} = \theta|_{I_F} \oplus \theta'|_{I_F}$ , where  $\theta, \theta'$  are characters of  $W_F$  such that  $\chi := \theta|_{I_F} = \theta'|_{I_F}^{-1}$ . Since  $\theta^d$  is quadratic on inertia, by Lemma 3.1, there is a quadratic character  $\tilde{\theta}$  such that  $\tilde{\theta}|_{I_F} = \theta^d|_{I_F} = \chi^d$ . We now have  $(\tilde{\theta} \otimes \rho)|_{I_F} = \chi^{d+1} \oplus \chi^{-(d+1)}$  and, since  $d$  is odd and coprime to  $d+1$ , the characters  $\chi^{\pm(d+1)}$  are inverses of order  $d$ .

Suppose now that  $\tau$  is a unramified supercuspidal, that is,  $\rho$  is induced by a character  $\theta$  of  $W_K$  where  $K$  is the quadratic unramified extension of  $F$ . Let  $\chi := \theta|_{I_K}$ . We have that  $\rho|_{I_K} \simeq \chi \oplus \chi^s$  is a principal series type satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem, hence, by the previous case, there is a quadratic character  $\tilde{\theta}$  of  $W_K$  such that  $(\tilde{\theta} \otimes \rho)(I_F) = C_d$  as  $I_K = I_F$ .

It remains to show that  $\tilde{\theta}$  can be extended to a character of  $W_F$ , that is,  $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}^s$  where  $s \in W_F$  is a lift of  $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ . Since  $K/F$  is unramified, we can take  $s \in \langle \text{Frob}_F \rangle$  and so  $s$  commutes with  $\text{Frob}_K = \text{Frob}_F^2$  thus  $\tilde{\theta}^s(\text{Frob}_K) = \tilde{\theta}(s \text{Frob}_K s^{-1}) = \tilde{\theta}(\text{Frob}_K)$ . Moreover, from the proof of the principal series case, we also know that  $\tilde{\theta}|_{I_K} = \chi^d$  is quadratic and  $\chi^s = \chi^{-1}$  (by Lemma 2.5), therefore  $\tilde{\theta}|_{I_K}^s = (\chi^d)^s = (\chi^s)^d = (\chi^{-1})^d = \chi^d = \tilde{\theta}|_{I_K}$ . Thus  $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}^s$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve with semistability defect  $e \in \{2, 6\}$ . If  $e = 2$ , then  $E$  has a quadratic twist with good reduction, and if  $e = 6$  then it has a quadratic twist with semistability defect 3.*

*Proof.* The Weil–Deligne representation  $(\rho_E, N)$  attached to an  $E$  satisfies  $N = 0$  (as  $E$  has potentially good reduction),  $\det \rho_E$  is unramified, and the image of the inertial type  $\tau_E = \rho_E|_{I_F}$  is  $C_2$  or  $C_6$  if  $e = 2$  or  $e = 6$ , respectively. Therefore, from Theorem 3.3, there exists a quadratic character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$  such that  $\theta \otimes \rho_E$  has image of inertia  $C_1$  or  $C_3$ , respectively, if  $e = 2$  or  $e = 6$ . The character  $\theta$  cuts a quadratic extension  $F(\sqrt{d})/F$  and the Weil–Deligne representation associated to  $E_d$  (the quadratic twist of  $E$  by  $d$ ) is  $\rho_{E_d} = \theta \otimes \rho_E$ . Therefore  $\tau_{E_d} = (\theta \otimes \rho_E)|_{I_F}$ , and the conclusion follows from part (b) of Theorem 2.18.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $T_e$  be the set of all inertial types of elliptic curves defined over  $F$  with potentially good reduction and semistability defect  $e$ . Let  $X_2$  be the set of all quadratic characters  $\chi$  of  $I_F$  such that  $\chi = \theta|_{I_F}$  for some character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$ . The set map*

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 \times X_2 &\longrightarrow T_6 \\ (\tau_E, \chi) &\longrightarrow \tau_E \otimes \chi \end{aligned}$$

*is bijective. Moreover, it sends principal series to principal series and supercuspidal types to supercuspidal types.*

*Proof.* The map is surjective by Theorem 3.4. To check injectivity suppose  $\tau_1 \otimes \chi_1 = \tau_2 \otimes \chi_2$ . Twisting both sides by  $\chi_1$  gives  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 \otimes \chi_2 \chi_1$  and since the  $\tau_1$  has order 3, we have that  $\tau_2 \otimes \chi_2 \chi_1$  has also order 3. Now, since  $\tau_2$  has order 3 and the order of  $\chi_1 \chi_2$  divides 2, it follows that  $\chi_1 \chi_2$  is trivial so  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$  and  $\chi_1 = \chi_2^{-1} = \chi_2$ , as desired.

The last statement is clear because the quadratic twist of an irreducible representation is irreducible, hence supercuspidal types are preserved by quadratic twist, and hence principal series as well.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** For  $p = 3$ , an inertial type  $\tau_E$  (determined by a character  $\chi$  of  $I_F$  or of  $I_K$  where  $K/F$  is quadratic unramified) of order  $e = 3$  is wild, hence  $m(\chi) \geq 2$  and  $m(\tau_E) \geq 4$  (regardless of  $\tau_E$  being a principal series or unramified supercuspidal). Since all quadratic

characters for  $p = 3$  satisfy  $m(\chi) \leq 1$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have  $m(\chi \otimes \tau_E) = m(\tau_E)$ . For  $p = 2$ , an inertial type with  $e = 3$  is tame so  $m(\tau_E) \leq 2$ ; since the quadratic characters of  $W_F$  satisfy  $m(\chi) \geq 2$  we have  $m(\chi \otimes \tau_E) = 2m(\chi)$ .

The previous proposition and remark allow us to reduce the case  $e \nmid 6$  to  $e = 3$ . In particular, once we know the inertial types with  $e = 3$ , we obtain the types with  $e = 6$  by twisting. Moreover, we know that we are not missing nor double counting any type and we can compute its conductor.

Recall that the definition of  $\varepsilon_1$  depends on the field  $F$  we are considering. In particular, in the following proposition the  $\varepsilon_1$  appearing in (ii) and (iii) are different.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve with semistability defect  $e \leq 2$ . Then,*

- (i) *If  $e = 1$ , that is,  $E$  has good reduction, then  $v(N_E) = 0$  and  $\tau_E = 1 \oplus 1$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $p \geq 3$  and  $e = 2$ , then  $v(N_E) = 2$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_1$ ;*
- (iii) *If  $F = \mathbb{Q}_4$  and  $e = 2$ , then either*
  - a)  *$N_E = 2^4$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_i \oplus \varepsilon_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ , or*
  - b)  *$N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_i \oplus \varepsilon_i$  for some  $i \in \{7, 9, 11, 13\}$ .*

*Proof.* Part (i) is just the Nerón–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion.

Suppose  $E$  have semistability defect  $e = 2$ . By Theorem 3.4, it follows that there exists a quadratic extension  $F(\sqrt{d})$  such that the quadratic twist  $E_d$  of  $E$  by  $d$  has good reduction. Let  $\psi_d$  be the quadratic character of  $W_F$  associated with  $F(\sqrt{d})$ . Since  $E_d$  has good reduction, we have  $1 = \tau_{E_d} = \tau_E \otimes \psi_d|_{I_F}$ , and twisting again by  $\psi_d|_{I_F}$  gives  $\tau_E = 1 \otimes \psi_d|_{I_F} = \psi_d|_{I_F} \oplus \psi_d|_{I_F}$  so the inertial type is a principal series and  $v(N_E) = 2m(\psi_d|_{I_F})$ . Finally, for  $p \geq 3$ , necessarily  $\psi_d|_{I_F} = \varepsilon_1$  and  $m(\varepsilon_1) = 1$ . In (iii), the possible quadratic characters are the  $\varepsilon_i$ , and the proposition follows because  $m(\varepsilon_i) = 2$  for  $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$  and  $m(\varepsilon_i) = 3$  for  $i \in \{7, 9, 11, 13\}$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $\tau_{St}$  denotes the equivalence class  $[St(1), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]$  and we will denote by  $\varepsilon_i \otimes \tau_{St}$  the equivalent class  $[St(\varepsilon_i), \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}]$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve  $v(j_E) < 0$ , that is, with potentially multiplicative reduction. Then,*

- (i) *If  $E$  has multiplicative reduction, then  $N_E = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{St}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $p \geq 3$  and  $E$  has additive potentially multiplicative reduction, then  $N_E = \mathfrak{p}^2$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_1 \otimes \tau_{St}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $F = \mathbb{Q}_4$  and  $E$  has additive potentially multiplicative reduction, then either*
  - a)  *$N_E = 2^4$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_i \otimes \tau_{St}$  for  $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ , or*
  - b)  *$N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_i \otimes \tau_{St}$  for  $i \in \{7, 9, 11, 13\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  has potentially multiplicative reduction, the image of  $\rho_E(I_K)$  is infinite, and so  $\tau_E = St(\theta)|_{I_K}$  for some character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$  at most quadratic. If  $E$  has multiplicative reduction, then  $\text{Cond}(\tau_E) = N_E = \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $m(\tau_E) = 1$  and, by (2.14), it follows that  $\theta$  is unramified and  $\tau_E = St(1) = \tau_{St}$ . If  $E$  has additive reduction, then  $v(N_E) \geq 2$  so  $m(\theta) \geq 1$  and  $\theta|_{I_F}$  is quadratic character, yielding the result.  $\square$

#### 4. INERTIAL TYPES FOR $p \geq 5$

Recall that  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a finite extension and assume  $p \geq 5$  for this section. We will classify the inertial types  $\tau_E$  of elliptic curves  $E/F$ . The cases of potentially multiplicative reduction and potentially good reduction with  $e \leq 2$  were treated in the previous section, therefore it remains to study the case of curves with potentially good reduction and  $e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ .

Let  $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  generate  $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^\times \simeq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve with potentially good reduction and semistability defect  $e \geq 3$ . We have*

$$\tau_E = \begin{cases} \tau_{ps}(1, 1, e) & \text{if } e|(q-1); \\ \tau_{sc}(u, 1, e) & \text{if } e|(q+1), \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau_{ps}$  and  $\tau_{sc}$  are given by Definition 2.17.

*Proof.* Since  $E$  has additive potentially good reduction, we have  $N_E = \mathfrak{p}^2$ . The assumption  $e \geq 3$  and Theorem 2.19 give that  $\Phi$  is cyclic of order  $e = 3, 4, 6$ . Thus  $\tau_E$  has finite image and is reducible so, by the cases in §2.5, it follows that  $\tau_E$  is either a principal series or a nonexceptional supercuspidal induced from the unramified quadratic extension  $K_3 = F(\sqrt{u})$ .

Assume first that  $\tau_E$  is a principal series. Then  $\tau_E = \theta|_{I_F} \oplus (\theta|_{I_F})^{-1}$  with  $\theta$  a character of  $W_F$ . We set  $\chi := \theta|_{I_F}$ . Since  $m(\tau_E) = 2$ , by equation (2.13), we have  $m(\chi) = 1$ , and  $\tau_E$  having order  $e$  implies that  $\chi$  has also order  $e$ . Since  $m(\chi) = 1$ ,  $\chi^A$  factors through the quotient  $(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p})^\times \simeq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$  thus  $e|(q-1)$ . Since  $u$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  then  $\chi^A(u)$  determines completely the character  $\chi$ . If  $\chi^A$  has order  $e$  then  $\chi(u) = \zeta_e^c$  where  $\gcd(c, e) = 1$  and, since  $e = 3, 4, 6$ , the only options are  $c \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{e}$ . Both  $c = 1$  and  $c = -1$  give us equivalent representations which are  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps}(1, 1, e)$ .

Assume now that  $\tau_E$  is induced from a character  $\theta : W_{K_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . From  $m(\tau_E) = 2$  and (2.15), we have  $m(\chi) = 1$ . Thus  $\chi^A$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_3}/\pi\mathcal{O}_{K_3})^\times \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ , where  $\pi$  is the uniformizer of  $F$ . By Lemma 2.5, the character  $\chi^A$  coincides with  $\psi_{K_3}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$ ; since  $K_3/F$  is unramified, the character  $\chi^A$  has to be trivial on  $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$ , and so it has to be trivial on  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times \leq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ . Let  $w \in \mathcal{O}_{K_3}$  generate  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$ . Then  $w^{q+1}$  generates  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ . This implies that  $\chi^A(w)^{q+1} = 1$ , and since the order of  $\chi^A$  is equal to the order of  $\chi^A(w)$  it follows that  $e|q+1$ . Finally, to show that  $\tau_E = \tau_{sc}(u, 1, e)$  we reason as before:  $\chi^A(w) = \zeta_e^c$  with  $\gcd(e, c) = 1$  with  $c \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{e}$  and again both choices give equivalent inertial types isomorphic to  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, e)$ ; here we know these types are indeed supercuspidal (i.e. restriction of irreducible induction) by Corollary 2.6.  $\square$

By looking at  $p$  modulo 12 and the degree  $n = [\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_p]$  of the residual field of  $F$ , we have the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve with semistability defect  $e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ .*

1) *If  $n$  is even, then  $\tau_E$  is either  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$ ,  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 3)$  or  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 6)$ .*

2) *If  $n$  is odd, then we have the following cases:*

- If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ , then  $\tau_E$  is either  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$ ,  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 3)$  or  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 6)$ ;
- If  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ , then  $\tau_E$  is either  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$ ,  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 3)$  or  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 6)$ ;
- If  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ , then  $\tau_E$  is either  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 4)$ ,  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 3)$  or  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 6)$ ;
- If  $p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ , then  $\tau_E$  is either  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 4)$ ,  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 3)$  or  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 6)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \geq 5$ , we have  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$ .

If  $n$  is odd, then  $q = p^n \equiv p \pmod{6}$  and  $q = p^n \equiv p \pmod{4}$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem,  $q \equiv p \pmod{12}$ . If  $n$  is even then  $p^n \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ . In both cases, the result follows by Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

This corollary together with Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 provide a complete classification of the inertial types of elliptic curves  $E$  defined over any finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  for  $p \geq 5$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $F$ . A quick application of [15, §1, Proposition 1] shows that the following curves have the following inertial types.

- (1) The curve  $y^2 = x^3 + \pi^2 x$  has inertial type  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 2)$ ;
- (2) The curve  $y^2 = x^3 + \pi^2$  has inertial type  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 3)$  or  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 3)$ ;
- (3) The curve  $y^2 = x^3 + \pi x$  has inertial type  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$  or  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 4)$ ;
- (4) The curve  $y^2 = x^3 + \pi$  has inertial type  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 6)$  or  $\tau_{sc}(u, 1, 6)$ ,

where in (2)–(4), the type is principal series or supercuspidal depending on  $p$  and  $n$  as prescribed by Corollary 4.2.

## 5. INERTIAL TYPES OVER $\mathbb{Q}_9$

In this section, we study the case  $p = 3$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}_9 = \mathbb{Q}_3(\sqrt{2})$ .

We take  $\pi = 3$  as a uniformizer and  $u = 1 + \sqrt{2}$  as the element generating  $\mathbb{F}_9^\times$ , so our representatives for  $\mathbb{Q}_9^\times/(\mathbb{Q}_9^\times)^2$  are  $y_1 = 3$ ,  $y_2 = 3 + 3\sqrt{2}$  and  $y_3 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ .

Recall the notations  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{y_i})$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \psi_{K_i}|_{I_F}$ .

**5.1. Principal series over  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ .** The cases of curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}_9$  with semistability defect  $e \leq 2$  is covered by Proposition 3.7, so we can assume  $e \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_9$  be the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have the following group isomorphisms*

$$(\mathcal{O}_9/3^2)^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/24 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 \simeq \langle u \rangle \times \langle 4 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{O}_9/3)^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/8 \simeq \langle u \rangle.$$

Moreover, the projection map  $h_9 : (\mathcal{O}_9/3^2)^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_9/3)^\times$  is given by  $h_9(4) = 1$  and  $h_9(u) = u$ .

*Proof.* This can be easily check by direct computation, see [18] for more details.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_9$  be an elliptic curve with  $e \geq 3$  and inertial type  $\tau_E$ . Suppose that  $\tau_E$  is a principal series. Then, exactly one of the followings holds.*

- (i)  $N_E = 3^2$ ,  $e = 4$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$ ,
- (ii)  $N_E = 3^4$ ,  $e = 3$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_j$  with  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , or
- (iii)  $N_E = 3^4$ ,  $e = 6$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_1 \otimes \tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_j$  with  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,

where the explicit description of the inertial types are in Tables 14 and 20.

*Proof.* Part (iii) follows from (ii), Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.6, so assume  $e = 3, 4$ .

Recall that, since  $\tau_E$  has trivial determinant, it is determined by a single character of inertia. Let  $\chi$  be the character of inertia associated with  $\tau_E$ . Since  $v(N_E) \leq 5$ , equation (2.13) implies that  $m(\chi) \leq 2$ , so  $\chi$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_9/3^2)^\times$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $\chi$  is determined by  $\chi(4)$  and  $\chi(u)$ . Since  $\tau_E(I_K)$  is abelian, by Theorem 2.19,  $\Phi$  is cyclic of order 3 or 4. Now we are going to find all characters of such orders and see what their conductors are.

We begin with the characters of order 4. For such characters, the image of any element must have order dividing 4, so  $\chi(4) = 1$  and  $\chi(u) = i^r$  by Lemma 5.1. But then  $\chi$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_9/3)^\times$ , since the character  $\chi' : (\mathcal{O}_9/3)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\chi'(u) = i^r$  satisfies  $\chi = \chi' \circ h_9$ . Thus  $m(\chi) = 1$ . Since  $e = 4$ , we have  $r = 1$  or  $3$ , yielding two characters are inverses, so they give the same inertial type  $\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$ , proving (i).

We now look for characters of order 3. From Lemma 5.1, we see that  $m(\chi) = 2$ ,  $\chi(u) = \zeta_3^r$  and  $\chi(4) = \zeta_3^s$ . The character with  $r, s = 0$  is trivial, the other 8 characters give us 4 inertial types  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_j$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Supercuspidal types over  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ .** Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_9$  be a quadratic extension,  $\psi_K$  the character of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_9}$  associated with it, and  $\varepsilon_K := \psi_K^A|_{\mathcal{O}_9^\times}$ . The following lemma helps to check the assumptions of Lemma 2.5. Recall from Lemma 2.12 that the relevant characters for us factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  that factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ . Then  $\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_9^\times} = \varepsilon_K$  if and only if  $\chi(u) = \varepsilon_K(u)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\chi$  factors through  $\delta_f : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ , there exists  $\bar{\chi} : (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\chi = \bar{\chi} \circ \delta_f$ . By Hensel's lemma, all the elements  $x \in 1 + (3) \subset \mathcal{O}_9^\times$  are squares in  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ , and so they are norms from any quadratic extension, thus for any  $x \in 1 + (3)$  its class in  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times$  belongs to  $U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  and so we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_9^\times & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{O}_K^\times \\ h_9 \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_f \\ (\mathcal{O}_9/3)^\times & \xrightarrow{j} & (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f} \end{array}$$

where  $h_9$  is projection and  $i$  and  $j$  are inclusions. We have  $m(\varepsilon_K) \leq 1$ , so  $\varepsilon_K$  factors through  $h_9$  thus we can write  $\varepsilon_K = \bar{\varepsilon}_K \circ h_9$ . Finally, we get

$$\chi \circ i = \varepsilon_K \iff \bar{\chi} \circ \delta_f \circ i = \bar{\varepsilon}_K \circ h_9 \iff \bar{\chi} \circ j \circ h_9 = \bar{\varepsilon}_K \circ h_9,$$

and it suffices to check equality in elements generating the image of  $h_9$ , i.e.,  $\chi(u) = \varepsilon_K(u)$ .  $\square$

The last thing we need to classify the supercuspidal types is a precise description of the groups  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ . To that end, we define the following sets of generators.

- For  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3})$ ,

$$g_1 := \sqrt{6} - 8\sqrt{3} + 5\sqrt{2} + 2, \quad g_2 := -\sqrt{6} + 3\sqrt{2} + 1, \quad g_3 := 3\sqrt{6} - 6\sqrt{3} - 5\sqrt{2} - 6;$$

- For  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3u})$ ,

$$g_1 := -\sqrt{6u} + \sqrt{3u} - 2\sqrt{2} + 1, \quad g_2 := -4\sqrt{3u} - 3\sqrt{2} - 2;$$

- For  $K_3 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{u})$ ,

$$g_1 := 28\sqrt{2u} - 21\sqrt{u} + 8, \quad g_2 := 3\sqrt{2u} + 6\sqrt{u} + 1.$$

In terms of this generators, the groups structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_i}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  is described in Table 1.

	$f$	$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$
$K_1 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3})$	4	$\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0)$
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(0, 0)$
	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	0	0
$K_2 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3u})$	4	$\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	–
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	–
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	–
	1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	1	0	–
$K_3 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{u})$	2	$\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	–
	1	$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$	1	0	–

TABLE 1. Structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  for each of the three quadratic extensions  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_9$ .

It turns out that, for the three fields  $K = K_i$  and all  $f$ , the group  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  admits a presentation of the form  $\langle \delta_f(g_1), \delta_f(g_2), \delta_f(g_3) \mid c_i \delta_f(g_i) = 0 \rangle$ , where  $\delta_f$  is the projection map  $\delta_f : \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{K_i}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  and  $c_i$  is the order of  $\delta_f(g_i)$ .

This allows us to easily check whether a character factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  for a given  $f$ . We will see below that for  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  this is not the case; see also [11, Remark 5.1.5] for a 3-adic example where the projection map is not as easy as this one.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_9$  be an elliptic curve with  $e \geq 3$  and inertial type  $\tau_E$ . Suppose that  $\tau_E$  is supersingular. Then exactly one of the followings hold.*

- (i)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3})$  and either
  - (a)  $N_E = 3^3$ ,  $e = 12$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{sc}(3, 2, 6)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  or
  - (b) or  $N_E = 3^5$ ,  $e = 12$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ .
- (ii)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_2 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3u})$  with  $N_E = 3^3$ ,  $e = 12$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{sc}(3 + 3\sqrt{2}, 2, 6)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .
- (iii)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_3 = \mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{u})$  and either
  - (a)  $N_E = 3^4$ ,  $e = 3$  then  $\tau_E = \tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  or
  - (b) or  $N_E = 3^4$ ,  $e = 6$  then  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_1 \otimes \tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

For the explicit description of the inertial types see Tables 14 and 20.

*Proof.* Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $I_{K_i} \subset W_{K_i}$  that determines  $\tau_E$ .

Suppose that  $\tau_E$  is ramified supercuspidal. Therefore,  $\tau_E$  is irreducible and so  $\tau_E(I)$  is nonabelian. By Lemma 2.19, it follows that  $\tau_E(I_F) = \mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$ , and to produce an induction with this image our character  $\chi$  must have order exactly 6. Thus, the order of  $\chi(g_i)$  must divide 6 for all  $g_i$  and, since  $N_E \mid 3^5$ , by (2.16), we have  $m(\chi) \leq 4$ .

Suppose  $\chi$  is a character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times$ . We have that  $\chi$  factors at least through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^4)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^4}$ , thus the values  $\chi(g_1)$ ,  $\chi(g_2)$  and  $\chi(g_3)$  determine it. By Table 1, we have  $\chi(g_1) = \zeta_6^r$ ,  $\chi(g_2) = \zeta_3^s$  and  $\chi(g_3) = \zeta_3^t$  for some  $r, s, t$ . By Lemma 2.5, we must have  $\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_3^\times} = \varepsilon_1$  and by Lemma 5.3 it is enough to check this on  $u$ . The image of  $u$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^4)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^4}$  is  $(9, 0, 0)$ , so we need

$$\varepsilon_1(u) = -1 = \chi(9, 0, 0) = \chi(g_1)^9 = \zeta_6^{9r} \implies 3r \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \implies r \in \{1, 3, 5\}.$$

With this restriction on  $r$  there are  $3^3 = 27$  possible characters; the choice  $r = 3, s = 0, t = 0$  gives a quadratic character, leaving 26 characters of order 6 amounting to the 13 inertia types in part (i). It only remains to check which types satisfy  $m(\chi) = 4$  and which satisfy  $m(\chi) = 2$ . By Table 1, a character  $\chi$  satisfying the above constraints factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^2)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^2}$  if and only if  $\chi(g_3) = 1$ ; moreover, a character of order 6 cannot factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^1)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^1}$  since it is a group of order 2, thus the 8 characters with  $t = 0$  have  $m(\chi) = 2$  and the four types they induce have  $m(\tau_E) = 3$ . The remaining 18 characters do not factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^3)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^3}$  and so they have  $m(\chi) = 4$ , giving the 9 types with  $m(\tau_E) = 5$ .

Suppose now  $\chi$  is a character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_2}^\times$ . An analogous argument shows that  $\chi$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_2}/\mathfrak{p}^4)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^4}$  and must have order 6. By Table 1, we have  $\chi(g_1) = \zeta_6^r$  and  $\chi(g_2) = \zeta_3^s$ , but all such characters factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_2}/\mathfrak{p}^2)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^2}$ . Finally, the image of  $u$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_2}/\mathfrak{p}^2)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^2}$  is  $(3, 0)$  so, by Lemma 5.3, we must have  $-1 = \varepsilon_1(u) = \chi(g_1)^3 = \zeta_6^3$  which again implies  $r \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ , yielding  $3^2 = 9$  characters. One of them is quadratic and the remaining 8 have order 6, giving the four types in (ii).

Finally, suppose that  $\tau_E$  is unramified supercuspidal. From (2.15) we have  $m(\chi) \leq 2$ , hence  $\chi$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_3}/\mathfrak{p}^2)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^2}$ . In this case,  $\tau_E(I)$  is abelian so, by Lemma 2.19 and the hypothesis  $e \geq 3$ , the group  $\Phi$  must be cyclic of order  $e \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ .

Part (iii) (b) follows from (iii)(a), Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.6, so assume  $e \in \{3, 4\}$ .

Now Table 1 implies  $e = 4$  is not possible, hence  $e = 3$ . Thus  $\chi(g_1) = \zeta_3^r, \chi(g_2) = \zeta_3^s$  and Table 1 shows that  $\chi$  satisfies  $m(\chi) = 2$ . The choice  $r = s = 0$  gives the trivial character and the other 8 give the four types  $\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_j$  with  $m(\tau_E) = 4$  in (iii)(a).

All the types appearing in the above arguments are indeed supercuspidal (i.e. restriction of irreducible induction) by Corollary 2.6 and have image  $\mathbb{Z}/3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$  by Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

Since for  $p = 3$  there are no exceptional types, Propositions 3.7, 5.2 and 5.4 exhaust all the possibilities for inertial types of curves with potentially good reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_9$  up to quadratic twist. We summarized these results in Table 14.

## 6. INERTIAL TYPES OVER $\mathbb{Q}_4$

In this section, we start the study of the case  $p = 2$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}_4 = \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ .

To enumerate inertial types defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ , we need results about the structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^f)^\times$  and about the characters  $\varepsilon_i := \psi_{K_i}|_{I_F}$ . Recall that  $b = \sqrt{5}$ ,  $c = 1 + 2\sqrt{5}$  and  $z_i = \sqrt{y_i}$  is the generator of  $K_i$  defined in Proposition 2.20.

Let  $u_1 := \frac{3b+11}{2}$ ,  $u_2 := 2 + b$  and  $u_3 = -1$ . For  $1 \leq f \leq 4$ , the group  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^f \mathcal{O}_4)^\times$  is generated by the classes of  $u_1, u_2, u_3$ . The group structure and the image of  $u_i$  are in Table 2. Note that here it is not possible to choose nice generators as in Table 1 since  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$  all have nontrivial image for  $f = 2$  which only has two factors, so our particular choice of generators aims to simplify some calculations later.

$f$	$(\mathcal{O}_4/2^f \mathcal{O}_4)^\times$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$
4	$\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(-3, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
3	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(-3, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
2	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(3, 1)$	$(0, 1)$
1	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	1	0	0

TABLE 2. Structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^f \mathcal{O}_4)^\times$ .

Since  $m(\varepsilon_i) \leq 3$ , the characters  $\varepsilon_i$  factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^3)^\times$ . The character  $\varepsilon_i$  is characterized by being trivial on the norms from  $K_i$ , thus computing for each  $K_i$  the image of the norms inside  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^3)^\times$ , we obtain the description of the  $\varepsilon_i$  in Table 3.

$K_i$	$\varepsilon_i(u_1)$	$\varepsilon_i(u_2)$	$\varepsilon_i(u_3)$
$K_1, K_2$	-1	1	-1
$K_3, K_4$	-1	-1	1
$K_5, K_6$	1	-1	-1
$K_7, K_8$	1	-1	1
$K_9, K_{10}$	-1	-1	-1
$K_{11}, K_{12}$	-1	1	1
$K_{13}, K_{14}$	1	1	-1
$K_{15}$	1	1	1

TABLE 3. Values of the quadratic characters  $\varepsilon_i$  on the generators of  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^3 \mathcal{O}_4)^\times$ .

As expected, for odd  $i$ , we have  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1}$  since the extension  $K_i$  and  $K_{i+1}$  differ by the unramified extension.

**Remark 6.1.** Each time **Magma** computes  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^f \mathcal{O}_4)^\times$  it uses different generators and, moreover, the generators for  $f$  and  $f - 1$  are not necessarily nicely related. Therefore, the description given in Table 2 differs from what **Magma** computes by a group automorphism for each  $f$ . Thus proving Table 2 amounts to ask **Magma** whether, for each  $f$ , the elements  $u_i$  have the desired order and satisfy the relations in the table.

### 6.1. Principal series.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve with  $e \geq 3$ . Assume that  $\tau_E$  is a principal series. Then, exactly one of the following holds.*

- (i)  $N_E = 2^2$ ,  $e = 3$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3)$ ;
- (ii)  $N_E = 2^4$ ,  $e = 6$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_i \otimes \tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3)$  for some  $i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ ;
- (iii)  $N_E = 2^6$  and either
  - (a)  $e = 4$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps,4}(1, 3, 4)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , or
  - (b)  $e = 6$  and  $\tau_E = \varepsilon_i \otimes \tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3)$  for some  $i \in \{7, 9, 11, 13\}$ ;
- (iv)  $N_E = 2^8$ ,  $e = 4$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$ .

*Proof.* Part (ii) and part (iii)(b) follows from (i), Proposition 3.5 and Remark 3.6. So we can assume  $e \in \{3, 4\}$ . Let  $\chi$  be the character of inertia determining  $\tau_E$ .

All elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  have conductor  $N_E \mid 2^8$ , hence  $m(\chi) \leq 4$  by (2.13).

Suppose first that  $\chi$  has order  $e = 4$ . From Table 2, we see that  $\chi(u_1) = i^r$ ,  $\chi(u_2) = i^s$  and  $\chi(u_3) = (-1)^t$ . This gives 32 characters including 8 of order dividing 2; the 24 characters remaining have order 4 and produce 12 different inertial types  $\tau$ . It remains to show that eight types have  $m(\tau) = 8$  and four have  $m(\tau) = 6$ . The characters with  $m(\chi) = 4$  are those for which  $\chi(0, 1, 0) = \chi(3u_1 + u_2) = \pm i$  which is equivalent to  $s \not\equiv r \pmod{2}$ , yielding 16 characters, hence 8 types of conductor  $m(\tau) = 8$ . Clearly, from Table 2 there are no characters of order 4 and  $m(\chi) \leq 2$ , then the remaining 8 characters have  $m(\chi) = 3$  and give the four types of conductor  $m(\tau) = 6$ . This gives cases (iii) (a) and (iv).

Suppose now that  $\chi$  has order  $e = 3$ . From Table 2, we see that  $\chi(u_1) = \zeta_3^r$ ,  $\chi(u_2) = 1$  and  $\chi(u_3) = 1$ . If  $r = 0$  then  $\chi = 1$ . If  $r \neq 0$ , then  $\chi$  factors through the group with  $f = 1$  and give the inertial type  $\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3)$  with  $m(\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3)) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** As a sanity check for the previous proof, one can compute all characters of order 6 of the group  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^f\mathcal{O}_4)^\times$  using Table 2 and then using Table 3 check that they are precisely the seven twists of the character with  $e = 3$ .

**6.2. Nonexceptional supercuspidal types.** Since there are 15 quadratic extensions  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_4$  from which to induce supercuspidal representations, this section contains the bulk of the effort and computations of this paper. Indeed, we will investigate the possible types induced from each  $K_i$  separately but, due to the existence of triply imprimitive supercuspidal representations, we need to match the information of each field to avoid triply counting certain types. We will use the following general result.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$  be a finite extension and  $E/F$  an elliptic curve with potentially good reduction. Let  $\rho_E$  be the Weil–Deligne representation associated with  $E$  and  $\tau = \rho_E|_{I_F}$  be the inertial type. Let  $L = F(E[3])$  be the 3-torsion field and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Suppose that  $\tau(I_F)$  is nonabelian. Let  $\mu_3$  denote the cubic roots of unity.*

(a) *If  $\mu_3 \subset F$ , then we have the following possibilities:*

- (i)  $\rho_E$  is triply imprimitive with  $\tau(I_F) \simeq Q_8$  and  $G = Q_8$ ;
- (ii)  $\rho_E$  is exceptional with  $\tau(I_F) \simeq Q_8$  and  $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , or
- (iii) or  $\rho_E$  is exceptional with  $\tau(I_F) \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and  $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

(b) *If  $\mu_3 \not\subset F$ , then we have the following possibilities:*

- (i)  $\rho_E$  is simply imprimitive with  $\tau(I_F) \simeq Q_8$  and  $G = \text{SD}_{16}$  the semidihedral group of order 16,

(ii)  $\rho_E$  is exceptional with  $\tau(I_F) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\rho := \rho_E$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{E,3}$  be the 3-torsion representation of  $E$ . The inertial types with nonabelian image of inertia are either exceptional or supercuspidal ramified types.

From [12, Lemma 1] there is an unramified twist  $\eta \otimes \rho$  that factors through  $G$  and is injective on  $G$ , thus  $\mathrm{Im}(\eta \otimes \rho) \simeq G$ . We also have  $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\rho}_{E,3}) \simeq G$  as abstract groups and, moreover, if  $G$  is non-abelian, then the projective images of  $\eta \otimes \rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{E,3}$  are both isomorphic to  $G/Z(G)$ . Since twisting does not affect the projective image, we conclude that the projective images of  $\rho$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{E,3}$  are isomorphic to  $G/Z(G)$  as abstract groups. Since  $\tau_E(I_F)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G$ , below we use the table in [12, Proposition 2] to distinguish between cases.

Assume  $\mu_3 \subset F$ . Then, the possibilities for  $G$  containing  $\tau(I_F) = Q_8$  are:  $G = Q_8$ , case (i),  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , case (ii), and the only possible  $G$  containing  $\tau(I_F) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , case (iii). To complete the proof of (a), it remains to show which inertial type correspond to each case. The projective image of  $\bar{\rho}_{E,3}$  is either  $D_2$  in case (i) or  $A_4$  in cases (ii) and (iii). By Proposition 2.8 the first case implies that  $\rho_E$  is triply imprimitive and the last two imply that  $\tau_E$  is exceptional.

Assume  $\mu_3 \not\subset F$ . Then, for  $\tau(I_F) = Q_8$  the possible  $G$  are  $SD_{16}$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ ; the former has projective image  $D_4$  so, by Proposition 2.8, it must be simply imprimitive; the latter has projective image  $S_4$  so it is exceptional. For  $\tau(I_F) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  the only option is  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

To complete the proof of (b), we need to show that if  $\tau$  is exceptional, then  $\tau(I_F) = Q_8$  is not possible. Assume that  $\rho_E$  is exceptional. Then,  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and the projective image of  $\bar{\rho}_{E,3}$  is  $S_4$ . By the reasoning in the first paragraph of [5, §42.3], projective image  $S_4$  correspond to the ‘‘Octahedral’’ case, that is, an exceptional representation that becomes imprimitive over a non Galois cubic extension  $K/F$ , and becomes triply imprimitive over  $L$ , the Galois closure of  $K/F$ . Thus  $\tau(I_L) = Q_8$  already so the only possibility to get  $\tau(I_F) = Q_8$  is that  $K/F$  is unramified and non Galois which is impossible. Therefore, if  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  necessarily the image of inertia is  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.** The hypothesis of  $\tau$  coming from an elliptic is key for the previous theorem to hold. For instance, the last bullet point of the proof of proposition 6.5.1 of [11] exhibits a triply imprimitive type with image  $Q_8$  and conductor  $2^8$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  and Remark 6.9 below a simply imprimitive type with image  $Q_8$  and conductor  $2^6$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ . By Theorem 6.4 none of this types can correspond to an elliptic curve.

Since the residue field of  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  is  $\mathbb{F}_4$ , the above theorem shows that all the supercuspidal ramified inertial types are triply imprimitive. This has the effect that, after computing which types can be induced from each  $K_i$ , we counted each type three times. Therefore, after computing the types we need to match them; this will be done in the Section 7.

Recall from Lemma 2.12 that all the relevant characters for us factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ , and that we have fixed explicit generators  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$  of  $\mathcal{O}_4^\times/(2)^4$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be a quadratic extension and  $\chi$  a character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  that factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_i}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ . Then,*

$$\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_4^\times} = \varepsilon_i \iff \chi(u_i) = \varepsilon_{K_i}(u_i) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

*Proof.* By strong Hensel's lemma, all the elements in  $1+(2)^3$  are squares and thus norms from any quadratic extension  $K_i$  so, in the same way as in Lemma 5.3, the inclusion  $i : \mathcal{O}_4^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  descends to a map  $j : (\mathcal{O}_4/2^3)^\times \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{K_i}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ , and since  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  generate  $(\mathcal{O}_4/(2)^3\mathcal{O}_4)^\times$ , the same reasoning as in Lemma 5.3 applies.  $\square$

**6.3. Inductions from fields with  $m(\varepsilon_i) = 2$ .** Among the quadratic extensions  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_4$ , the ones with conductor exponent  $m(\varepsilon_i) = 2$  correspond to  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ . We shall first describe the computations of the supercuspidal representations induced from  $K_1$  in detail and then, for the sake of brevity, we will sketch the analogous computations for the other 5 fields.

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $1 \leq i \leq 6$  and  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}_4(z_i)$  as in Proposition 2.20. Let  $\tau$  be a supercuspidal type induced by a character  $\theta$  of  $K_i$ . Then,  $\tau$  is triply imprimitive if and only if  $\theta(\frac{1+z_i}{1-z_i}) = \pm 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_3 \subset \mathbb{Q}_4$ , by Theorem 6.4, we know that  $\tau(I_{\mathbb{Q}_4}) \simeq Q_8$  and  $\tau$  is triply imprimitive, which occurs if and only if  $\theta^s/\theta$  factors through the norm (by Proposition 2.8). By Lemma 2.4, the quotient  $\theta^s/\theta$  factors through the norm if and only if  $\theta^s/\theta = (\theta^s/\theta)^s = \theta/\theta^s = (\theta^s/\theta)^{-1}$  if and only if  $\theta^s/\theta$  is quadratic. A character of  $K_i^\times$  is quadratic if and only if it is quadratic on  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  and  $(\theta^s/\theta)(\pi) = \pm 1$  where  $\pi$  is a uniformizer in  $K_i$ . The condition of  $\theta^s/\theta$  being quadratic on  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  is precisely  $\chi^s/\chi$  being quadratic but, since  $\chi^s = \chi^{-1}$  and  $\chi$  must have order 4 (to induce a  $Q_8$ ), it follows that  $\chi^s/\chi$  is always quadratic. Furthermore, since  $1-z_i$  is a uniformizer and  $\frac{s(1-z_i)}{1-z_i}$  is a unit, the condition of being quadratic on  $1-z_i$  can be expressed as  $\frac{\theta^s}{\theta}(1-z_i) = \frac{\theta \circ s}{\theta}(1-z_i) = \frac{\theta(1+z_i)}{\theta(1-z_i)} = \theta(\frac{1+z_i}{1-z_i}) = \chi(\frac{1+z_i}{1-z_i}) = \pm 1$   $\square$

We note that in all the computations that follows Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 apply, therefore all inductions that will appear are irreducible and correspond to supercuspidal types with image  $Q_8$ .

**6.3.1. Inertial types induced from  $K_1$ .** Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times$  that induces a supercuspidal inertial type. By equation (2.16) and  $N_E \mid 2^8$  it follows that  $m(\chi) \leq 6$  so  $\chi$  factors through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^6)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^6}$ . The structure of the groups  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  for  $1 \leq f \leq 6$  is gathered in Table 4, where we picked the generators

$$g_1 := z_1 + \frac{b+3}{2}, \quad g_2 := z_1 + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad g_3 := (1-b)z_1 + 1.$$

Note that here the projection map is not as simple as in Table 1, for instance, the map from  $f = 4$  to  $f = 3$  sends  $g_1$  and  $g_3$  to the same piece of the group. This imposes non-trivial relations that a character must satisfy to factor through this map.

To apply Lemmas 6.6 and 6.7 we also need the information in Table 5. By Theorem 6.4, all inertial types  $\tau$  induced from  $K_1$  have  $\tau(I_F) = Q_8$  and so  $\chi$  must be of order 4.

**Notational remark.** We will denote by  $\chi_1(r, s, t)$  the character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times$  given by

$$\chi_1(r, s, t)(g_1) = i^r, \quad \chi_1(r, s, t)(g_2) = i^s \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_1(r, s, t)(g_3) = i^t.$$

Because the inertial type we are going to compute are triply imprimitive they can also be induced from two other quadratic extensions. Therefore, labels such as  $\tau_{sc}(u, m, e)_j$  are not useful since different values of  $(u, m, e, j)$  could lead to the same type. So for now we will just

$f$	$(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$
6	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
3	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(3, 1)$	$(2, 0)$
2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(1, 1)$	$(0, 0)$
1	$\{1\}$	1	1	1

TABLE 4. Structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$ .

	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$	$\frac{1+z_1}{1-z_1}$
Image in $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^6)/U_{\mathfrak{p}^6}$	$(4, 2, 0)$	0	$(4, 2, 0)$	$(5, 3, 0)$

TABLE 5. Image of generators of  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^3\mathcal{O}_4)^\times$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^6)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^6}$ .

say which character  $\chi_1(r, s, t)$  induces the type. Later, once we know which characters induce the same type, we will give proper labels for each type (see Definition 7.5 and Table 17).

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve with  $\tau_E$  supercuspidal induced from  $K_1$ .*

- (i) *If  $N_E = 2^5$ , then  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_1(1, 1, 2)$  or  $\chi_1(1, 3, 2)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $N_E = 2^6$ , then  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_1(1, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_1(1, 3, 0)$ ;*
- (iii) *If  $N_E = 2^8$ , then  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_1(1, 1, 1), \chi_1(1, 1, 3), \chi_1(1, 3, 1)$  or  $\chi_1(1, 3, 3)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi = \chi_1(r, s, t)$  be the character of inducing  $\tau_E$ . By Lemma 6.6 and Tables 3, 5 we must have  $\chi(4, 2, 0) = i^{2s} = -1$  so  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . By Lemma 6.7,  $\chi(\frac{1+z_1}{1-z_1}) = \chi(5, 3, 0) = \pm 1$  which is equivalent to  $r \equiv s \pmod{2}$  so  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . These conditions leave us with 16 characters  $\chi_1(r, s, t)$ , that is 8 inertial types.

The 8 characters  $\chi$  with  $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  satisfy  $\chi(g_3) = \pm i$  and  $m(\chi) = 6$  by Table 4, giving the types in (iii). Since all possible  $\chi$  have order 4, none factors through  $f = 2$ , hence, among the characters with  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have  $m(\chi) = 3$  if and only if  $\chi$  factors through the groups for  $f = 3$ . This is equivalent to  $\ker \pi_{6,3} \subset \ker \chi$  where  $\pi_{6,3}$  is the projection from  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^6)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^6}$  to  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathfrak{p}^3)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^3}$ . We have  $\ker(\pi_{6,3}) = \langle g_1^4, g_2^4, g_3^2, g_1^2 g_2^2, g_1^2 g_3^{-1} \rangle$ .

Since  $\chi$  has order 4 and  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , clearly the first three generators belong to  $\ker \chi$ . Moreover,  $g_1^2 g_2^2 \in \ker \chi$  if and only if  $\chi(g_1 \cdot g_2) = \pm 1$  and  $g_1^2 g_3^{-1} \in \ker \chi$  is equivalent to  $\chi(g_3) = \chi(g_1^2)$ . The first condition is equivalent to  $r + s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  (which is automatically satisfied by all characters by the first paragraph) and the second condition is equivalent to  $t \equiv 2r \pmod{4}$ . There are four characters satisfying these congruences, yielding the two types in (i). Since there are no order four characters with  $m(\chi) \leq 2$ , the remaining four characters must give rise to two the types in (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 6.9.** The character  $\chi_1(0, 1, 0)$  has order 4 and satisfies the conditions imposed by Lemma 2.5 hence Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 apply. From the above, it follows that  $\chi_1(0, 1, 0)$  induces a supercuspidal ramified type with image  $Q_8$  and conductor  $2^6$ . However this character does not satisfies the condition imposed by Lemma 6.7 and so it does not induce

a triply imprimitive type. By Theorem 6.4 it follows that the type induced by  $\chi_1(0, 1, 0)$  does not occur as the inertial type of an elliptic curve.

6.3.2. *Inertial types induced from  $K_2, K_3, K_4, K_5, K_6$ .* The reasoning for all the extensions satisfying  $m(\varepsilon_i) = 2$  is the same as for  $K_1$ , so now we will briefly sketch the arguments for the remaining fields. In Table 6 we define the generators  $g_i$ , in Table 7 we give the structure of the groups  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  and in Table 8 the image of  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  inside these groups.

Analogously to  $K_1$ , for  $i \in \{2, 5, 6\}$ , we denote by  $\chi_i(r, s, t)$  the character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  given by

$$\chi_i(r, s, t)(g_1) = i^r, \quad \chi_i(r, s, t)(g_2) = i^s \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_i(r, s, t)(g_3) = i^t,$$

and, for  $i \in \{3, 4\}$ , we denote by  $\chi_i(r, s, t, w)$  the character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  given by

$$\chi_i(r, s, t, w)(g_1) = i^r, \quad \chi_i(r, s, t, w)(g_2) = i^s, \quad \chi_i(r, s, t, w)(g_3) = (-1)^t, \quad \chi_i(r, s, t, w)(g_4) = (-1)^w.$$

	$K_2$	$K_3$	$K_4$	$K_5$	$K_6$
$g_1$	$bz_2 + \frac{b+3}{2}$	$\frac{-3b+1}{2}z_3 - 1$	$(2b-5)z_4 - \frac{b+3}{2}$	$\frac{-b-3}{2}z_5 + b - 2$	$\frac{-3b-1}{2}z_6 - 1$
$g_2$	$z_2 + 2$	$(b-3)z_3 + b - 2$	$(b-1)z_4 - b + 2$	$\frac{b+1}{2}z_5 - b + 3$	$\frac{b+1}{2}z_6 + b - 3$
$g_3$	$(b+3)z_2 + 1$	$-4z_3 - \frac{3b+3}{2}$	$\frac{-3b+3}{2}$	$(b+3)z_5 - b - 2$	$(b+3)z_6 - b - 2$
$g_4$	$-$	$2 - 2b - \frac{b+1}{2}z_3$	$\frac{-3b+3}{2}z_4 + 4$	$-$	$-$

TABLE 6. Explicit value of the generators  $g_i$  for each  $K_j$  for  $2 \leq j \leq 6$ .

	$f$	$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$	$g_4$
$K_2$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(3, 1)$	$(2, 0)$	-
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(1, 1)$	$(0, 0)$	-
	1	$\{1\}$	1	1	1	-
$K_3$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
	5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
	4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 0)$	$(2, 0)$	$(0, 1)$
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 0)$	$(0, 0)$	$(0, 1)$
	1	$\{1\}$	1	1	1	1
$K_4$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
	5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
	4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 0, 1)$
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 0)$	$(2, 0)$	$(0, 1)$
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 0)$	$(0, 0)$	$(0, 1)$
	1	$\{1\}$	1	1	1	1
$K_5$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(3, 1)$	$(0, 0)$	-
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(1, 1)$	$(0, 0)$	-
	1	$\{1\}$	1	1	1	-
$K_6$	6	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$	-
	3	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(3, 1)$	$(2, 0)$	-
	2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(1, 1)$	$(0, 0)$	-
	1	$\{1\}$	1	1	1	-

TABLE 7. Structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_j}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq 6$

Image in $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^6)/U_{\mathfrak{p}^6}$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$	$\frac{1+z_i}{1-z_i}$
$K = K_2$	$(4, 2, 0)$	0	$(4, 2, 0)$	$(1, 1, 1)$
$K = K_3$	$(4, 2, 1, 0)$	$(4, 2, 1, 0)$	0	$(4, 0, 1, 1)$
$K = K_4$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1, 0)$	0	$(2, 1, 0, 1)$
$K = K_5$	0	$(4, 2, 0)$	$(4, 2, 0)$	$(5, 1, 0)$
$K = K_6$	0	$(4, 2, 0)$	$(4, 2, 0)$	$(3, 3, 0)$

TABLE 8. Image of generators of  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^3\mathcal{O}_4)^\times$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_j}/\mathfrak{p}^6)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^6}$  for  $2 \leq j \leq 6$

**Proposition 6.10.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve with  $\tau_E$  supercuspidal.*

- (a) *If  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_2$  then exactly one of the following holds*
- (i)  $N_E = 2^5$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_2(1, 1, 2)$  or  $\chi_2(1, 3, 2)$ ;
  - (ii)  $N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_2(1, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_2(1, 3, 0)$ ;
  - (iii)  $N_E = 2^8$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_2(0, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_2(0, 1, 3)$ ,  $\chi_2(2, 1, 1)$  or  $\chi_2(2, 1, 3)$ .
- (b) *If  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_3$  then exactly one of the following holds*
- (i)  $N_E = 2^5$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_3(1, 0, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_3(1, 0, 1, 1)$ ;
  - (ii)  $N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_3(1, 2, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_3(1, 2, 1, 1)$ ;
  - (iii)  $N_E = 2^8$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_3(0, 1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\chi_3(0, 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\chi_3(1, 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\chi_3(2, 1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\chi_3(1, 3, 0, 0)$ ,  $\chi_3(1, 3, 0, 1)$ ,  $\chi_3(2, 1, 0, 1)$  or  $\chi_3(1, 1, 0, 1)$ .
- (c) *If  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_4$  then exactly one of the following holds*
- (i)  $N_E = 2^5$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_4(1, 0, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_4(1, 0, 1, 1)$
  - (ii)  $N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_4(1, 2, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_4(1, 2, 1, 1)$
- (d) *If  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_5$  then exactly one of the following holds*
- (i)  $N_E = 2^5$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_5(1, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_5(1, 3, 0)$
  - (ii)  $N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_5(1, 1, 2)$  or  $\chi_5(1, 3, 2)$
  - (iii)  $N_E = 2^8$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_5(1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_5(1, 3, 3)$ ,  $\chi_5(1, 1, 3)$  or  $\chi_5(1, 3, 1)$
- (e) *If  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_6$  then exactly one of the following holds*
- (i)  $N_E = 2^5$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_6(1, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_6(1, 3, 0)$
  - (ii)  $N_E = 2^6$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_6(1, 1, 2)$  or  $\chi_6(1, 3, 2)$
  - (iii)  $N_E = 2^8$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_6(1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_6(1, 3, 3)$ ,  $\chi_6(1, 1, 3)$  or  $\chi_6(1, 3, 1)$

*Proof.* The reasoning is analogous to the one used for  $K_1$ , so we present it schematically:

(a)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_2$ .

- Form of the character  $\chi(g_1) = i^r, \chi(g_2) = i^s, \chi(g_3) = i^t$ ;
- Lemma 6.6  $\implies \chi(4, 2, 0) = -1 \iff s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- Lemma 6.7  $\implies \chi(1, 1, 1) = \pm 1 \iff r + t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character factor through  $f = 4 \iff t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character that factors through  $f = 4$  factor through  $f = 3$  if and only if  $[\chi(g_3) = \chi(2g_1)] \wedge [\chi(g_1 + g_2) = \pm 1] \iff [2r \equiv t \pmod{4}] \wedge [s + r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}]$ .

(b)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_3$ .

- Form of the character  $\chi(g_1) = i^r, \chi(g_2) = i^s, \chi(g_3) = (-1)^t, \chi(g_4) = (-1)^w$ ;
- Lemma 6.6  $\implies \chi(4, 2, 1, 0) = -1 \iff s + t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- Lemma 6.7  $\implies \chi(4, 0, 1, 1) = \pm 1$  this condition is trivially satisfied;
- A character factor through  $f = 4 \iff s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character that factors through  $f = 4$  factor through  $f = 3$  if and only if  $[\chi(g_3) = \chi(2g_1)] \wedge [\chi(g_2) = 1] \iff [2r \equiv t \pmod{4}] \wedge [s = 0]$ .

(c)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_4$ .

- Form of the character  $\chi(g_1) = i^r, \chi(g_2) = i^s, \chi(g_3) = (-1)^t, \chi(g_4) = (-1)^w$ ;
- Lemma 6.6  $\implies \chi(g_3) = -1 \iff t = 1$ ;
- Lemma 6.7  $\implies \chi(2, 1, 0, 1) = \pm 1 \iff s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character factor through  $f = 4 \iff s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;

- A character that factors through  $f = 4$  factor through  $f = 3$  if and only if  $[\chi(g_3) = \chi(2g_1)] \wedge [\chi(g_2) = 1] \iff [2r \equiv t \pmod{4}] \wedge [s = 0]$ .

(d)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_5$ .

- Form of the character  $\chi(g_1) = i^r, \chi(g_2) = i^s, \chi(g_3) = i^t$ ;
- Lemma 6.6  $\implies \chi(4, 2, 0) = -1 \iff s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- Lemma 6.7  $\implies \chi(5, 1, 0) = \pm 1 \iff r + s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character factor through  $f = 4 \iff t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character that factors through  $f = 4$  factor through  $f = 3$  if and only if  $[\chi(g_3) = 1] \wedge [\chi(g_1 + g_2) = \pm 1] \iff [t = 0] \wedge [s + r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}]$ .

(e)  $\tau_E$  is induced from  $K_6$ .

- Form of the character  $\chi(g_1) = i^r, \chi(g_2) = i^s, \chi(g_3) = i^t$ ;
- Lemma 6.6  $\implies \chi(4, 2, 0) = -1 \iff s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ;
- Lemma 6.7  $\implies \chi(5, 1, 0) = \pm 1 \iff r + s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character factor through  $f = 4 \iff t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ;
- A character that factors through  $f = 4$  factor through  $f = 3$  if and only if  $[\chi(g_3) = 1] \wedge [\chi(g_1 + g_2) = \pm 1] \iff [t = 0] \wedge [s + r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}]$ .

□

**Remark 6.11.** Since, for odd  $i$ , the extensions  $K_i$  and  $K_{i+1}$  differ by the unramified extension, one is tempted to think that the possible types induced from both extensions should be similar. But this is not necessarily the case as Lemma 6.7 shows that the uniformizer (i.e. the Frobenius) plays a role on which types can occur. For  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  this difference is not visible, however, it is visible for  $K_3$  and  $K_4$ ; indeed, it manifests in the fact that there are 8 types of conductor  $2^8$  induced from  $K_3$  because the condition on the uniformizer is trivial, but no types of conductor  $2^8$  induced from  $K_4$ , because the condition on the uniformizer implies directly that the characters have conductor less than  $2^8$ .

**6.4. Supercuspidal representations induced from  $K_i$  with  $7 \leq i \leq 14$ .** It turns out that the supercuspidal representations induced from fields with  $m(\varepsilon_i) = 3$  behave uniformly so we will present the results for all of them at once. The relevant information for these fields is in Tables 9, 10 and 11.

	$K_7$	$K_8$	$K_9$	$K_{10}$
$g_1$	$\frac{3b+3}{2}$	$\frac{b-1}{2}$	$\frac{b-1}{2}$	$\frac{-b+7}{2}$
$g_2$	$(b+2)z_7 - \frac{3b+1}{2}$	$z_8 + \frac{b+5}{2}$	$z_9 + \frac{3b-1}{2}$	$(b+2)z_{10} - \frac{3b+1}{2}$
$g_3$	$-z_7 + 1$	$-bz_8 + b + 2$	$z_9 + 1$	$z_{10} + 1$

TABLE 9. Explicit value of the generators  $g_i$  for each  $K_j$  for  $7 \leq j \leq 10$ .

	$K_{11}$	$K_{12}$	$K_{13}$	$K_{14}$
$g_1$	$2b + 1$	$\frac{-3b-5}{2}$	$\frac{-b-1}{2}$	$\frac{-b-1}{2}$
$g_2$	$z_{11} + \frac{3b+5}{2}$	$z_{12} + \frac{3b-1}{2}$	$bz_{13} - \frac{3b+1}{2}$	$-bz_{14} - \frac{3b+1}{2}$
$g_3$	$(b+2)z_{11} + b - 2$	$z_{12} + 1$	$z_{13} + 1$	$-z_{14} + 1$

TABLE 10. Explicit value of the generators  $g_i$  for each  $K_j$  for  $11 \leq j \leq 14$ .

$f$	$(\mathcal{O}_{K_j}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$
5	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
4	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(0, 0)$
3	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(0, 0)$
2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(0, 0)$
1	0	0	0	0

TABLE 11. Structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_j}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$  for  $7 \leq j \leq 14$ .

Image in $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^5)/U_{\mathfrak{p}^5}$	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$
$K = K_7$ and $K = K_8$	0	$g_3$	0
$K = K_9$ and $K = K_{10}$	$g_3$	$g_3$	$g_3$
$K = K_{11}$ and $K = K_{12}$	$g_3$	0	0
$K = K_{13}$ and $K = K_{14}$	0	0	$g_3$

TABLE 12. Image of generators of  $(\mathcal{O}_4/2^3\mathcal{O}_4)^\times$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_j}/\mathfrak{p}^5)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^5}$  for  $7 \leq j \leq 14$

For  $7 \leq i \leq 14$ , we define  $\chi_i(r, s, t)$  to be the character of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$  given by

$$\chi_i(r, s, t)(g_1) = i^r, \quad \chi_i(r, s, t)(g_2) = i^s \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_i(r, s, t)(g_3) = (-1)^t.$$

**Proposition 6.12.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve with  $\tau_E$  supercuspidal induced from  $K_i$  with  $7 \leq i \leq 14$ . Then  $N_E = 2^8$  and  $\tau_E$  is induced by one of the following characters  $\chi_i(0, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_i(1, 0, 1)$ ,  $\chi_i(1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_i(1, 2, 1)$ ,  $\chi_i(1, 3, 1)$  or  $\chi_i(2, 1, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $I_{K_i}$  determining  $\tau_E$ . We know that  $\chi$  has order 4, and equation (2.16) implies  $m(\chi) \leq 5$ . By Table 11, we must have  $m(\chi) = 4, 5$ , and, moreover, using Tables 3, 12 we see that  $\chi|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \varepsilon_i$  implies  $\chi(g_3) = -1$ . Thus  $\chi$  does not factor through the group of conductor exponent 4. Since we are looking for triply imprimitive types, by the same reasoning as in Lemma 6.7, the image of the uniformizer under  $\chi^s/\chi$  must be  $\pm 1$ . For  $7 \leq i \leq 14$ , the element  $z_i$  is a uniformizer in  $K_i$ , so  $(\chi^s/\chi)(z_i) = \chi(-z_i)/\chi(z_i) = \chi(-1) = \pm 1$ , hence the condition is automatically satisfied. Thus the 12 characters of order 4 of the group with  $f = 5$  in Table 11 paired by conjugates (which are inverses by Lemma 2.5) give the proposition.  $\square$

**6.5. Supercuspidal representations induced from the unramified extension  $K_{15}$ .**  
To complete the non-exceptional supercuspidal types, we consider the unramified extension  $K_{15}/\mathbb{Q}_4$ . For the fixed generators

$$g_1 := \frac{3b+4}{2}z_{15} - \frac{5b+6}{2}, \quad g_2 := \frac{3b-1}{2}z_{15} + 2b+8 \quad \text{and} \quad g_3 = \frac{-3b+5}{2}z_{15} - 2b-10,$$

the structure of the relevant groups is in Table 13.

$f$	$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$
4	$\mathbb{Z}/40\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
3	$\mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(0, 1, 0)$	$(0, 0, 1)$
2	$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(0, 1)$
1	$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$	1	0	0

TABLE 13. Structure of  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_{15}}/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_{\mathfrak{p}^f}$

By the clasification of inertial types, the image of an unramified supercuspidal type  $\tau$  is abelian and reducible. Since a supercuspidal ramified inertial type is irreducible, it follows that  $\tau$  cannot be induced from a ramified extension and, in particular, it is not triply imprimitive. (Note this implies there are no triply imprimitive types for  $p \geq 3$ , as there are only three quadratic extensions and one is unramified.)

The equation (2.16) together with the bound  $v(N_E) \leq 8$  implies  $m(\chi) \leq 4$ , so all our characters factor through  $(\mathcal{O}_{K_{15}}/2^4\mathcal{O}_{K_{15}})^\times/U_f$ . Looking at Table 13, we see that  $e = 3, 6$  is not possible so we must have  $e = 4$ . We define the character  $\chi_{15}(r, s, t)$  by

$$\chi_{15}(r, s, t)(g_1) = i^r, \quad \chi_{15}(r, s, t)(g_2) = i^s \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{15}(r, s, t)(g_3) = (-1)^t.$$

Now, using Table 13, it is straightforward to obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.13.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve with  $\tau_E$  supercuspidal induced from  $K_{15}$ . Then,  $e = 4$  and*

- (i) *if  $N_E = 2^6$ , then  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_{15}(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(1, 2, 1)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(1, 2, 0)$  or  $\chi_{15}(1, 0, 1)$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $N_E = 2^8$  then  $\tau_E$  is induced by  $\chi_{15}(0, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(1, 1, 0)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(2, 1, 1)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(1, 3, 0)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(2, 1, 0)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(1, 3, 1)$ ,  $\chi_{15}(0, 1, 0)$  or  $\chi_{15}(1, 1, 1)$ .*

## 7. EXPLICIT REALIZATION OF NON-EXCEPTIONAL TYPES OVER $\mathbb{Q}_9$ AND $\mathbb{Q}_4$

The results in previous sections give a list of possible inertial types arising on elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_p^2$ . Thanks to the explicit description we gave of the inertial types, given an elliptic curve, we can use local class field theory to determine to which of the types in our list it corresponds to. This way, in this section, we will provide explicit equations of elliptic curves having each of the types previously computed.

Given an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  we determine  $\tau_E$  as follows. If  $E$  has potentially multiplicative reduction, then either  $E$  has multiplicative reduction and hence  $\tau_E = \tau_{st}$  or  $E$  acquires multiplicative reduction a quadratic extensions  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  and  $\tau_E = \tau_{st} \otimes \varepsilon_i$  (see Proposition 3.8). In case of  $E$  having potentially good reduction, we compute  $L := \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}(E[m])$  for  $m = 3$  if  $p \neq 3$

or  $m = 4$  if  $p = 3$ ; by Theorem 2.18, the curve  $E/L^{un}$  has good reduction, hence  $E/L$  also has good reduction. Therefore,  $\tau_E|_{I_L} = 1$  and so the character  $\chi$  determining  $\tau_E$  is trivial on  $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/L)$ . By local class field theory, the kernel of  $\chi^A$  must contain the norms from  $L$  and, by checking which elements of the groups  $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^f)^\times/U_f$  are the class of norms from  $L$ , we can find which  $\chi$  (hence  $\tau_E$ ) corresponds to  $E$ . Moreover, using the curves in [11], we also determine which types of  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  are base change from  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

The method just described allows to determine the kernel of an inertial type  $\tau_E$ , and the following theorem ensures that it is enough to single out a unique inertial type of our list; we note that the following result holds not just over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  but over any  $F$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be inertial types defined over  $F$  with trivial determinant and image isomorphic to one of the groups in Theorem 2.19. Let  $L_i$  be the fixed field of  $\ker \tau_i$  then  $L_1 = L_2 \iff \tau_1 \simeq \tau_2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $L_i$  is the fixed field of  $\tau_i$  it is clear that  $\tau_1 \simeq \tau_2 \implies L_1 = L_2$ . For the converse, let  $L' = L_1 = L_2$ .

Suppose first that  $L'/F^{un}$  is abelian. Then,  $\tau_i = \chi_i \oplus \chi_i^{-1}$  where  $\chi_i$  is a character of  $I_F$  if  $\tau_i$  is a principal series or  $\chi_i$  is a character of  $I_K = I_F$  if  $\tau_i$  is unramified supercuspidal, where  $K/F$  is the quadratic unramified extension. Since  $L_i$  is the fixed field of  $\tau_i$ , the fact that  $L_1 = L_2$  implies that  $\text{Ker}(\chi_1) = \text{Ker}(\chi_2)$ , and so both  $\chi_i$  factor through  $I_F/\text{Ker}(\chi_i) \simeq \text{Im}(\chi_i) \simeq C_e$  and have image  $C_e$ ; so they are injective characters of  $\text{Gal}(L'/F^{un}) = C_e$  with  $e \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ . Thus the image of a generator  $g$  must be  $\chi_i(g) = \zeta_e^{\pm 1}$  and it follows that  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  or  $\chi_1 = \chi_2^{-1} = \chi_2^s$  giving  $\tau_1 \simeq \tau_2$  in both cases.

Suppose now that  $L'/F^{un}$  is non-abelian and  $p = 3$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(L'/F^{un}) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau_i = \text{Ind}_{I_K}^{I_F}(\chi_i)$  for  $\chi_i$  characters of  $I_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K^{un})$ , where  $K/F$  is quadratic ramified. The fixed field of  $\text{Ker}(\tau_i)$  is equal to the fixed field of  $\text{Ker}(\chi_i)$ . Since  $K^{un}$  is the unique quadratic ramified extension of  $F^{un}$ , it follows that  $\chi_i$  are injective characters of  $\text{Gal}(L'/K^{un}) \simeq C_6$  and, by the same argument as before,  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  or  $\chi_2^s$  thus  $\tau_1 \simeq \tau_2$ .

Finally, assume that  $L'/F^{un}$  is non-abelian and  $p = 2$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(L'/F^{un}) = Q_8$  or  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

Suppose first that  $\text{Gal}(L'/F^{un}) = Q_8$ . Then the types  $\tau_i$  are irreducible representations of  $\text{Gal}(L'/F^{un}) \simeq Q_8$  but there is just one equivalence class of irreducible 2-dimensional representations of  $Q_8$ , hence  $\tau_1 \simeq \tau_2$ .

Suppose now  $\text{Gal}(L'/F^{un}) \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . There is a unique normal subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  with index 3, and it is isomorphic to  $Q_8$ . Let  $M$  be the cubic extension of  $F^{un}$  determine by this subgroup. Then both  $\tau_i|_{I_M}$  have image  $Q_8$  and cut the same field; we conclude  $\tau_1|_{I_M} \simeq \tau_2|_{I_M}$  by the previous case. Since  $\tau_i$  are irreducible representations of  $I_F$  that agree on  $I_M$  with  $I_F/I_M \simeq \text{Gal}(M/F^{un})$  cyclic and cubic, it follows that  $\tau_1 \simeq \tau_2 \otimes \eta_M$  where  $\eta_M$  is a character of  $\text{Gal}(M/F^{un})$ . Since  $\tau_i$  have trivial determinant, taking determinants to the previous isomorphism implies  $\eta_M = 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.2.** *Let  $E/F$  be an elliptic curve and  $\tau$  an inertial type over  $F$  with trivial determinant and image isomorphic to one of the groups in Theorem 2.19. Let  $L = F(E[m])$  for some  $m \geq 3$  coprime to  $p$ , and let  $L'$  be the field fixed by  $\ker(\tau)$ . Then  $\tau$  is isomorphic to  $\tau_E$  if and only if  $L^{un} = L'$ .*

From Theorem 7.1, it is equivalent to provide a list of inertial types  $\tau$  or a list of extensions  $L/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  such that  $L^{un} = \ker(\tau)$ . By Corollary 7.2, once we find an elliptic curve  $E$  for each type  $\tau$ , the  $m$ -torsion field of  $E$  is an extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  with the desired properties. Having such list of fields allows to decide which of the types in our list correspond to the inertial type coming from an elliptic curve in a simple way. Indeed, instead of computing the  $m$ -torsion field of  $E$  and take norms to  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , one can directly check for the smallest field in the list over which  $E$  attains good reduction. Notably, this list can also be used to test inertial types coming from sources for which the field cut out by the type is not easily accesible, for example, Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves. An example like this can be found in the forthcoming work of Pacetti–Torcomian [17], where they use our classification to determine inertial types of the Jacobians for certain hyperelliptic curves.

To construct the list, the polynomials defining the  $m$ -torsion field of a given  $E$  that **Magma** returns are too big and do not provide a useful representation of the field. However, by using the algorithm in [14] we are able to obtain nice polynomials for each of the fields. See **FieldsQ4.m** and **FieldsQ9.m** in [7] for the polynomials defining the fields  $L$ .

**Example 7.3.** Let  $a = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_9$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{Q}_4$  a root of  $x^2 - x - 1$ .

(i) The field cut out by the inertial type of

$$3E4/\mathbb{Q}_9 : y^2 = x^3 + 3^3ax^2 + 3^3ax + 2 \cdot 3^3$$

is  $L^{un}$  where  $L/\mathbb{Q}_9$  is the totally ramified extension defined by  $x^{12} + (6a + 6)x^4 + 6a + 3$ .

(ii) The field cut out by the inertial type of

$$7E1/\mathbb{Q}_4 : y^2 = x^3 + 2^2(\phi + 1)x^2 + 2\phi x + 2^2$$

is  $L^{un}$  where  $L/\mathbb{Q}_4$  is the defined by  $x^{24} + 4x^{21} + 4x^{12} + 8\phi x^9 + 4x^6 + (8\phi + 8)x^3 + 2$ .

**7.1. Explicit realization of the types of  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ .** The explicit realization is now straightforward using the method above. The results are compiled in Table 14. The explicit definition of the characters in the table are given in Table 20 and the Weierstrass equations defining the curves realizing each type are in the files **CurvesQ9.m** and **TablesQ9.pdf** of [7].

**7.2. Explicit Realization of  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ .** Finding curves realizing each type over  $F = \mathbb{Q}_4$  is similar to  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ , but with additional challenges posed by the existence of triply imprimitive and exceptional types. We proceed by first separating these two kind of types from the rest and treating them separately:

- (1) By Theorem 6.4, given an elliptic curve  $E$ , we determine whether its type is exceptional by checking if the degree of  $\text{Gal}(F(E[3])/F)$  is 24.
- (2) After removing the exceptional inertial types, by Theorem 6.4, an elliptic curve has a triply imprimitive type if and only if  $e = 8$ . Now, given  $E$  with  $e = 8$ , to give a complete description of the three pairs of quadratic extension and characters that determine the type, we first need to find these quadratic extensions. Since  $e = 8$  implies  $\text{Gal}(F(E[3])/F) = I(F(E[3])/F) \simeq Q_8$  and this group contains precisely three normal subgroups of index 2, we have that  $F(E[3])$  contains precisely 3 quadratic extension, all of them ramified. Once we find these extension, we do the same norm computation as described above.

**Remark 7.4.** We can use the last statement in Proposition 2.8 as a sanity check for the calculations in (2). Indeed, if  $\tau_E$  is triply imprimitive induced from  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt{y_i})$ , then  $\tau_E \otimes \varepsilon_i \simeq \tau_E$ ; moreover,  $\tau_{E_{y_i}} = \tau_E \otimes \varepsilon_i$  where  $E_{y_i}$  is the twist of  $E$  by  $y_i$ . Then  $\tau_E \otimes \varepsilon_i \simeq \tau_E$  if and only if  $E_{y_i}$  has good reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_4(E[3])$  and  $E$  has good reduction over  $\mathbb{Q}_4(E_{y_i}[3])$ .

Note that due to the existence of triply imprimitive inertial types, different labels as in Definition 2.17 may define the same inertial type. Thus we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 7.5.** We denote by  $\tau_{tri}(a, b, c)_j$  a triply imprimitive inertial type that can be obtained by induction from the quadratic extensions  $K_a$ ,  $K_b$  or  $K_c$ . The subindex  $j$  is used to distinguish between non-isomorphic types satisfying the previous property.

The results obtained here are summarized in Tables 15, 16 and 17. Namely, we include the characters that induce each type and the label of an elliptic curve realizing it; the explicit definition of the characters is given in Table 20 and the Weierstrass models can be found in the files `CurvesQ4.m` and `NonExceptionalsQ4.pdf` of [7].

## 8. EXPLICIT REALIZATION OF EXCEPTIONAL TYPES

In this section, we compute the exceptional inertial types  $\tau_E$  arising from elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$ . We note that, when determining the exceptional inertial types over  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  in [11] did not need to do the lengthy computations analogous to those in the proof of Theorem 8.4 below, because the relevant extensions were already determined in the work of Bayer–Rio [1].

Recall that, for any representation  $\rho$  of  $W_F$  and a finite extension  $K/F$ , we defined  $\rho_K := \rho|_{W_K}$ . Now, for an inertial type  $\tau$  defined over  $F$ , we will write  $\tau_K := \tau|_{I_K}$  for its base change to  $K$ .

In light of Proposition 2.9, there is a cubic extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  such that the base change  $\tau_K$  of  $\tau_E$  to  $K$  is nonexceptional supercuspidal. So, a natural strategy to find the exceptional types is to find all the nonexceptional supercuspidal types for each cubic  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$ , and then check which of them descend to an exceptional type over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ . This brute force approach turns out to be impractical because there are too many cases. Instead, we will first show that exceptional inertial types give rise to a tower of fields with certain structure, then find all such towers to get the candidate types, and finally find elliptic curves realizing each tower and type. We will need a couple of auxiliary results.

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve with exceptional inertial type  $\tau_E$ . Then, exactly one of the following holds.*

- (i)  $\tau_E(I_4) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and there exists a cubic ramified Galois extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  such that the base change  $\tau_K$  is triply imprimitive;
- (ii)  $\tau_E(I_4) = Q_8$  and the base change  $\tau_K$  to the cubic unramified extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  is triply imprimitive.

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_3 \subset \mathbb{Q}_4$  and  $\tau_E$  is exceptional, we are in case (a)(ii) or (a)(iii) of Theorem 6.4. In both cases (with the same notation as in Theorem 6.4), we have  $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Note that  $Q_8$  is the unique subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  of index 3, and let  $K$  be the fixed field of  $Q_8$ . In case (ii), the inertia subgroup of  $L/\mathbb{Q}_4$  corresponds to  $Q_8$ , and so  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  is the cubic unramified extension and, in case (iii), the extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  is ramified. In both cases,

after base change to  $K$ , we have  $\tau_K(I_K) = \rho_K(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)) = Q_8$  so case (a)(i) of Theorem 6.4 implies that  $\tau_K$  is triply imprimitive.  $\square$

We introduce a final piece of notation.

**Definition 8.2.** We denote by  $\tau_{ex}(m, e)_j$  an exceptional inertial type of conductor exponent  $m$  and image of inertia of size  $e$ . As usual, the label  $j$  is used to distinguish between non-isomorphic types satisfying this property.

**Proposition 8.3.** Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  be an elliptic curve defined with an exceptional type  $\tau_E := \rho_E|_{I_4}$ . Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}_4(E[3])$  and  $K$  be the cubic extension over which  $\tau_E$  becomes triply imprimitive given by Proposition 8.1. Let  $M_i$  be the quadratic extensions of  $K$  associated to the three nontrivial characters in  $\mathfrak{J}(\rho_K) = \{1, \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}$ , and let  $M$  be their compositum.

Then  $\text{Gal}(M/K) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ ,  $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq A_4$  and  $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_2$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.9, the group  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq C_3$  acts transitively on  $\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}$  or, equivalently,  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_4)$  acts transitively on the classes  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in K^\times/(K^\times)^2$  corresponding to the extensions  $M_i$ . Thus  $M = M_1 M_2 M_3$  is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ . Since  $\mathfrak{J}(\rho_K)$  is a group, also the classes  $y_i$  form a group, thus  $y_1 \cdot y_2 = y_3$  in  $K^\times/(K^\times)^2$  so  $M = M_1 M_2$  which implies  $\text{Gal}(M/K) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ . Since  $\tau_E$  is exceptional, we have  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , so the Galois subextension  $M/\mathbb{Q}_4$  of degree 12 corresponds to the unique normal subgroup of index 2 in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , thus  $\text{Gal}(L/M) \simeq C_2$  and  $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq A_4$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.4.** There are exactly 192 non-isomorphic fields  $L$  sitting on a tower  $L/M/K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  satisfying the properties of Proposition 8.3. They give rise to 96 different fields  $L^{un}$ . Moreover, there are 96 exceptional types of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_3 \subset \mathbb{Q}_4$ , by Kummer theory, the cubic extensions  $K/\mathbb{Q}_4$  are parameterized by order 3 subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q}_4^\times/(\mathbb{Q}_4^\times)^3 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ , so we have four possibilities for  $K$ . We denote them by  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  and  $K_4$  where  $K_4$  is the unique cubic unramified extension of  $F$ .

For each  $K_i$ , we compute the group  $K_i^\times/(K_i^\times)^2$  that parameterizes quadratic extensions of  $K_i$  and we compute also the orbits of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_4)$  acting on it. The extension  $M/\mathbb{Q}_4$ , where  $M$  is the compositum of the extensions  $M_i$  in an orbit, is Galois and, checking whether  $M = M_1 M_2$  guarantees that  $\text{Gal}(M/K_i) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ <sup>1</sup> (see proof of Proposition 8.3). We conclude that, for each  $K_i$ , there are 5 orbits satisfying this condition.

Let  $M_{ij}/K_i$  with  $1 \leq j \leq 5$  be the compositum of the three quadratic extension in  $j$ -th orbit. The fields  $L$  we are looking for must be quadratic extensions of some  $M_{ij}$  such that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . Therefore, we need to compute the nontrivial fixed points of the action of  $\text{Gal}(M_{ij}/\mathbb{Q}_4)$  on  $M_{ij}^\times/(M_{ij}^\times)^2$ . The group  $M_{ij}^\times/(M_{ij}^\times)^2 \simeq C_2^{26}$  can be seen as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space of dimension 26 in which the action of  $\text{Gal}(M_{ij}/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq A_4$  is linear, and the elements we are looking for are eigenvectors of eigenvalue 1 for this action. We compute the eigenspaces of eigenvalue 1 for each of a set of generators of  $A_4$  and find its intersection  $V_{ij}$ , for each  $M_{ij}$ . We obtain that the  $V_{ij}$  have dimension 4 or 5. With these dimensions it is possible to check, for each element  $x$  in  $V_{ij}$ , whether  $L = M_{ij}(\sqrt{x})$  satisfies  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_4) \simeq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . This

<sup>1</sup>When the characters of the  $M_i$  in an orbit do not form a group, the extension  $M/\mathbb{Q}_4$  is still Galois but  $M/K_i$  has degree 8.

computation leads to 192 different fields  $L$ . But only 96 different  $L^{un}$  because in all cases the element of  $M_{ij}^\times/(M_{ij}^\times)^2$  corresponding to the unramified extension is fixed by  $\text{Gal}(M_{ij}/\mathbb{Q}_4)$ , thus half of the extensions  $L/M_{ij}$  differ by the unramified extension and give the same  $L^{un}$ , leaving 96 different fields  $L^{un}$ .

To prove the last statement, for each of the 96 fields  $L^{un}$ , we will find  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}_4^{un}(E[3]) = L^{un}$ . Recall from Theorem 6.4 that  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  has an exceptional type if and only if  $[\mathbb{Q}_4(E[3]) : \mathbb{Q}_4] = 24$ . We pick  $E/\mathbb{Q}_4$  at random and test whether  $[\mathbb{Q}_4(E[3]) : \mathbb{Q}_4] = 24$ . If this is the case, then we find the semistability defect  $e(E) \in \{8, 24\}$  and check for which fields  $L$  satisfying  $[L^{un}/\mathbb{Q}_4^{un}] = e$  (among the 192 previously computed) the curve  $E/L$  has good reduction. We find that there are always exactly 2 fields  $L$  over which  $E$  attains good reduction. Therefore, for either of these two  $L$ , the field  $L^{un}$  is the fixed field of  $\tau_E$ . We repeat this process until we find an elliptic curve for each  $L$ . See [7, ExceptionalsQ4.m] for the Magma code.  $\square$

The Weierstrass models of curves realizing each of the exceptional types can be found in the files `CurvesQ4.m` and `ExceptionalsQ4.pdf` of [7].

By Corollary 7.2, the field  $L$  as in the previous proof, suffices to check what is the type of a given elliptic curve. However, we want to have the exceptional types describe explicitly in terms of a character as in the nonexceptional case. To obtain such description, by Proposition 2.10, we need to give a triple  $(K, M, \chi)$  where  $K/F$  is cubic,  $M/K$  is quadratic and  $\chi$  is a character of  $I_M$ . We already know (by the computations done above), for each type  $\tau$ , the cubic extension  $K/F$ , and the three quadratic extensions  $M_i/K$  that can be in a triple  $(K, M_i, \chi_i)$  that describes  $\tau$ . This information is compiled in [7]. With this information in hand, since we also know the field  $L$  cut out by  $\tau$ , we can proceed as for nonexceptional types by taking norms from  $L$  to  $M_i$  to determine the character  $\chi_i$ . Since there are 96 different exceptional inertial types we will make just one example in detail, and we provide the code to obtain an explicit description of all the other cases.

**8.1. Example: the type of 7E1.** Consider the curve  $7E1/\mathbb{Q}_4$  from Example 7.3 given by

$$y^2 = x^3 + 2^2(\phi + 1)x^2 + 2\phi x + 2^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi^2 - \phi - 1 = 0.$$

Its inertial type  $\tau := \tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1$  becomes triply imprimitive over  $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}_4(\sqrt[3]{2})$ . Let  $\alpha \in K_1$  be a root of  $x^3 + x^2 + x + 2$ . The base change of  $E/K_1$  has conductor exponent  $m(\tau_{K_1}) = 17$ . The type  $\tau_{K_1}$  is the induction of a character  $\chi$  of any of the three quadratic extensions  $M_{57}$ ,  $M_{83}$  or  $M_{110}$  of  $K_1$ . Since  $m(\tau_{K_1}) = 17$  and, for  $i = 57, 83, 110$ , the quadratic character defining  $M_i$  has  $m(\psi_{M_i}) = 6$ , it follows that  $m(\chi) = 11$ , therefore  $\chi$  factors through the group  $(\mathcal{O}_{M_i}/\mathfrak{q}_i^{11})^\times$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is the maximal ideal of  $M_i$ , and it suffices to describe  $\chi$  in this quotient.

- **Extension  $M_{57}$ :**

We have  $M_{57} = K_1(\beta)$  where  $\beta^2 + \alpha^3\phi\beta + ((\phi + 1)\alpha^2 - 2\phi\alpha + 1)\alpha = 0$ ,

$$(\mathcal{O}_{M_{57}}/\mathfrak{q}^{11})^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^4 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$$

and the character inducing  $\tau_{K_1}$  is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\phi + 2 &:= g_1 \mapsto 1, \\
(\phi\alpha^2 + \phi\alpha - 1)\beta - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 1 &:= g_2 \mapsto -1, \\
((\phi + 1)\alpha^2 + (-\phi - 1)\alpha - 1)\beta - \phi\alpha^2 + (-\phi + 2)\alpha - 1 &:= g_3 \mapsto i, \\
(\phi\alpha^2 - \alpha + 2\phi)\beta + 2\phi\alpha^2 + (2\phi + 2)\alpha - 1 &:= g_4 \mapsto -1, \\
(\phi\alpha^2 + \phi\alpha + 2)\beta + \phi\alpha^2 + 2\phi\alpha - 1 &:= g_5 \mapsto 1, \\
(\alpha^2 + 2\phi\alpha + 2)\beta + 2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 &:= g_6 \mapsto i, \\
(\phi\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2\phi)\beta + 2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2\phi + 1 &:= g_7 \mapsto -1, \\
(2\phi\alpha + 2)\beta + 2\alpha^2 + 1 &:= g_8 \mapsto 1, \\
((2\phi + 2)\alpha + 2)\beta + 2\phi\alpha^2 + 2\phi\alpha + 1 &:= g_9 \mapsto 1, \\
2\alpha\beta + 1 &:= g_{10} \mapsto 1, \\
2\phi\alpha\beta + 2\phi\alpha^2 + 1 &:= g_{11} \mapsto 1.
\end{aligned}$$

• **Extension  $M_{83}$ :**

We have  $M_{83} = K_1(\beta)$  where  $\beta^2 + \alpha^3\beta + \alpha^3 - 2\alpha^2 + \alpha = 0$ ,

$$(\mathcal{O}_{M_{83}}/\mathfrak{q}^{11})^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^4 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$$

and the character inducing  $\tau_{K_1}$  is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\phi + 2 &:= g_1 \mapsto 1, \\
(2\phi + 1)\beta + 2\alpha^2 - \alpha + 2\phi - 1 &:= g_2 \mapsto 1, \\
(-\alpha - \phi)\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha^2 + (\phi + 1)\alpha + 2\phi + 1 &:= g_3 \mapsto i, \\
(\alpha^2 + (2\phi + 1)\alpha + 2)\beta + (2\phi + 1)\alpha^2 + (2\phi + 2)\alpha - 1 &:= g_4 \mapsto -1, \\
((\phi + 1)\alpha^2 - \phi\alpha + 2\phi + 2)\beta + (-\phi + 1)\alpha^2 + (2\phi + 2)\alpha + 2\phi - 1 &:= g_5 \mapsto -i, \\
(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha)\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha^2 - 1 &:= g_6 \mapsto -1, \\
(\phi\alpha^2 + 2\phi\alpha + 2)\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha^2 + 2\phi - 1 &:= g_7 \mapsto i, \\
(2\alpha + 2)\beta + 2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 &:= g_8 \mapsto -1, \\
((2\phi + 2)\alpha + 2\phi)\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha^2 + (2\phi + 2)\alpha + 1 &:= g_9 \mapsto -1, \\
2\alpha\beta + 2\alpha^2 + 1 &:= g_{10} \mapsto 1, \\
2\phi\alpha\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha^2 + 1 &:= g_{11} \mapsto 1.
\end{aligned}$$

• **Extension  $M_{110}$ :**

We have  $M_{110} = K_1(\beta)$  where  $\beta^2 + (\phi + 1)\alpha^3\beta + (\phi - 2)\alpha^3 + (-2\phi - 2)\alpha^2 + \alpha = 0$ ,

$$(\mathcal{O}_{M_{110}}/\mathfrak{q}^{11})^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^4 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$$

and the character inducing  $\tau_{K_1}$  is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\phi + 2 &:= g_1 \mapsto 1, \\
\beta + 1 &:= g_2 \mapsto i, \\
((\phi + 1)\alpha^2 - \alpha - 1)\beta + 2\phi\alpha^2 + (-\phi - 1)\alpha - 1 &:= g_3 \mapsto i, \\
(-\alpha + 2\phi)\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha + 1 &:= g_4 \mapsto -1, \\
(\phi\alpha^2 + (-\phi + 2)\alpha + 2\phi)\beta - \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1 &:= g_5 \mapsto i, \\
\alpha^2\beta + 1 &:= g_6 \mapsto i, \\
((\phi + 1)\alpha^2 + 2\phi\alpha + (2\phi + 2))\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha^2 + 2\phi + 1 &:= g_7 \mapsto -1 \\
2\beta + 1 &:= g_8 \mapsto -1, \\
(2\alpha + 2)\beta + (2\phi + 2)\alpha + 1 &:= g_9 \mapsto -1, \\
2\alpha\beta + 1 &:= g_{10} \mapsto 1, \\
2\phi\alpha\beta + 2\alpha^2 + 1 &:= g_{11} \mapsto 1.
\end{aligned}$$

## 9. TABLES

In this section, we provide an extended description of the classification results obtained in this work. We include the complete list of types with their labels in the tables below but the explicit models of curves realizing each type and the polynomials defining their kernels (which determine the type by Corollary 7.2) are given in the files `FieldsQ4.m` and `FieldsQ9.m` of `citeMAGMAFiles` in `Magma` format for ease of use.

We recall the relevant notation needed to understand the tables.

For an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  with potentially good reduction we denote its conductor by  $N_E$ , its semistability defect by  $e$  and by  $\tau_E$  its inertial type.

We denote by  $\tau_{ps}(1, m, e)_j$  a principal series type associated to a character  $\theta$  of  $W_F$  with conductor exponent  $m$  and restriction to  $I_F$  of order  $e$ . Here, and in the next definitions, the label  $j$  is used to distinguish between non isomorphic inertial types having these properties. Similarly, we denote by  $\tau_{sc}(u, m, e)_j$  a simply imprimitive supercuspidal type induced from  $K = F(\sqrt{u})$  by a character  $\theta$  of  $W_K$  with conductor exponent  $m$  and restriction to  $I_K$  of order  $e$ . We denote by  $\tau_{tri}(a, b, c)_j$  a supercuspidal triply imprimitive type induced from the extensions  $K_a$ ,  $K_b$  and  $K_c$  where the numbering of extensions is explained in Section 2.7. Finally we denote by  $\tau_{ex}(m, e)_j$  an exceptional inertial type of conductor exponent  $m$  and image of inertia of size  $e$ . The character  $\varepsilon_i$  is the restriction to inertia of the quadratic character of  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}$  cutting out  $K_i$ . The inertial types that are base change of types over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  computed in [11] are identified also using the notation in *loc. cit.* with the difference that we have rename their characters  $\varepsilon_d$  to  $\eta_d$  to avoid confusion with our  $\varepsilon_i$ .

The subindex  $i$  used in the character  $\chi_i$  appearing on the last column of the tables means that  $\chi_i$  is a character of the extension  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ . If a character has no subindex, then it is a character of  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ . For each extension  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  the explicit description of generators  $g_j$  appearing on Table 20 are given in the section devoted to compute the inductions from  $K_i$ .

For  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ , we have  $g_1 := 1 + \sqrt{2}$  and  $g_2 := 4$ .

$p$	$e$	$\nu(N_E)$	$\tau_E$	Base change of	Curve	Description	Character
3	2	2	$\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_1$	$\eta_3 \oplus \eta_3$	2E1	Principal series	$\chi(2)$
	3	4	$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_1$	$\tau_{ps,3}(1, 2, 3)$	4E5	Principal series	$\eta(0, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_2$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-1, 2, 3)$	4E2		$\eta(2, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_3$		4E3		$\eta(2, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_4$		4E4		$\eta(2, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_1$		4E8	Supercuspidal $\mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}})$	$\chi_3(2, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_2$		4E7		$\chi_3(2, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_3$		4E1		$\chi_3(0, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_4$		4E6		$\chi_3(2, 0)$
	4	2	$\tau_{ps}(1, 1, 4)$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-1, 1, 4)$	2E2	Principal series	$\chi(1)$
	6	4	$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1$	$\tau_{ps,3}(1, 2, 3) \otimes \eta_3$	4E13	Principal series	$\eta(3, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-1, 2, 3) \otimes \eta_3$	4E10		$\eta(5, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E11		$\eta(5, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps}(1, 2, 3)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E12		$\eta(5, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E16	Supercuspidal $\mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}})$	$\chi_3(3, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E15		$\chi_3(5, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E9		$\chi_3(5, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(1 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 3)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E14		$\chi_3(5, 2)$
	12	3	$\tau_{sc}(3, 2, 6)_1$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-3, 2, 6)$	3E5	Supercuspidal $\mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3})$	$\chi_1(1, 0, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 2, 6)_2$		3E3		$\chi_1(1, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 2, 6)_3$		3E8		$\chi_1(1, 2, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 2, 6)_4$	$\tau_{sc,3}(3, 2, 6)$	3E6		$\chi_1(3, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3 + 3\sqrt{2}, 2, 6)_1$		3E4	Supercuspidal $\mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3 + 3\sqrt{2}})$	$\chi_2(1, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3 + 3\sqrt{2}, 2, 6)_2$		3E2		$\chi_2(1, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3 + 3\sqrt{2}, 2, 6)_3$		3E1		$\chi_2(1, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3 + 3\sqrt{2}, 2, 6)_4$		3E7		$\chi_2(3, 1)$
		5	$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_1$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-3, 4, 6)_3$	5E2	Supercuspidal $\mathbb{Q}_9(\sqrt{3})$	$\chi_1(3, 0, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_2$		5E8		$\chi_1(1, 0, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_3$		5E1		$\chi_1(1, 0, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_4$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-3, 4, 6)_1$	5E5		$\chi_1(1, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_5$		5E3		$\chi_1(1, 1, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_6$	$\tau_{sc,3}(-3, 4, 6)_2$	5E4		$\chi_1(1, 2, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_7$		5E9		$\chi_1(1, 2, 2)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_8$		5E7		$\chi_1(3, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(3, 4, 6)_9$		5E6		$\chi_1(3, 1, 2)$

TABLE 14. Inertial types of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_9$  with additive potentially good reduction; the Weierstrass models for the curves are given in [7] and the characters defined in Table 20.

$p$	$e$	$v(N_E)$	$\tau_E$	Base change of	Curve	Description	Character
2	2	4	$\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_1$	$\eta_{-1} \oplus \eta_{-1}$	4E1	Principal Series	$\varepsilon_1$
			$\varepsilon_3 \oplus \varepsilon_3$		4E2		$\varepsilon_3$
			$\varepsilon_5 \oplus \varepsilon_5$		4E3		$\varepsilon_5$
		6	$\varepsilon_7 \oplus \varepsilon_7$	$\eta_{-2} \oplus \eta_{-2}$	6E12	Principal Series	$\varepsilon_7$
			$\varepsilon_9 \oplus \varepsilon_9$		6E16		$\varepsilon_9$
			$\varepsilon_{11} \oplus \varepsilon_{11}$		6E7		$\varepsilon_{11}$
	3	2	$\varepsilon_{13} \oplus \varepsilon_{13}$	$\eta_2 \oplus \eta_2$	6E9	Principal Series	$\varepsilon_{13}$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3)$		$\tau_{sc,2}(5, 1, 3)$		$\eta(2, 0, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 3, 4)_1$		6E4	Principal Series	$\chi(1, 3, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 3, 4)_2$		6E8		$\chi(1, 3, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 3, 4)_3$		6E14		$\chi(1, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 3, 4)_4$		6E15		$\chi(1, 1, 0)$
		6	$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 3, 4)_1$		6E1	Supercuspidal	$\chi_{15}(1, 0, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 3, 4)_2$		6E2		$\chi_{15}(1, 2, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 3, 4)_3$		6E3		$\chi_{15}(1, 2, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 3, 4)_4$		6E6		$\chi_{15}(1, 0, 1)$
	4	6	$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_1$	$\tau_{sc,2}(5, 4, 4) \otimes \eta_{-1}$ $\tau_{ps,2}(1, 4, 4) \otimes \eta_{-1}$	8E5	Principal Series	$\chi(2, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_2$		8E10		$\chi(1, 2, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_3$		8E18		$\chi(1, 0, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_4$		8E21		$\chi(2, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_5$		8E31		$\chi(0, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_6$		8E32		$\chi(1, 2, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_7$		8E37		$\chi(0, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 4, 4)_8$		8E40		$\chi(1, 0, 0)$
		8	$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_1$	$\tau_{sc,2}(5, 4, 4)$ $\tau_{ps,2}(1, 4, 4)$	8E3	Supercuspidal	$\chi_{15}(1, 3, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_2$		8E15		$\chi_{15}(0, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_3$		8E17		$\chi_{15}(1, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_4$		8E20		$\chi_{15}(2, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_5$		8E25		$\chi_{15}(1, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_6$		8E34		$\chi_{15}(2, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_7$		8E38		$\chi_{15}(1, 3, 0)$
			$\tau_{sc}(y_{15}, 4, 4)_8$		8E39		$\chi_{15}(0, 1, 1)$
	6	4	$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_1$	$\tau_{sc,2}(5, 1, 3) \otimes \eta_{-1}$	4E4	Principal Series	$\eta(2, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_3$		4E5		$\eta(5, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_5$		4E6		$\eta(5, 0, 1)$
		6	$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_7$	$\tau_{sc,2}(5, 1, 3) \otimes \eta_{-2}$	6E17		$\eta(2, 1, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E18		$\eta(5, 1, 1)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E19		$\eta(5, 0, 0)$
			$\tau_{ps,4}(1, 1, 3) \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E20		$\eta(2, 0, 1)$

TABLE 15. Principal series and unramified supercuspidal types of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  with additive potentially good reduction; the Weierstrass models for the curves are given in [7] and the characters defined in Table 20.

$p$	$e$	$v(N_E)$	$\tau_E$	Base change of	Curve	Description	Characters
8	8	5	$\tau_{tri}(1, 3, 5)_1$	$\tau_{sc,2}(-20, 3, 4)$	5E3	Triply Imprimitve	Table 17
			$\tau_{tri}(1, 4, 5)_1$	$\tau_{sc,2}(-4, 3, 4)$	5E4		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 3, 6)_1$		5E2		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 4, 5)_1$		5E1		
		6	$\tau_{tri}(1, 3, 5)_2$	$\tau_{sc,2}(-20, 3, 4) \otimes \eta_2$	6E10		
			$\tau_{tri}(1, 4, 5)_2$	$\tau_{sc,2}(-4, 3, 4) \otimes \eta_2$	6E13		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 3, 6)_2$		6E11		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 4, 5)_2$		6E5		
		8	$\tau_{tri}(5, 8, 13)_1$	$\tau_{sc}(-4, 6, 4) \otimes \eta_2$	8E1		
			$\tau_{tri}(1, 8, 10)_1$		8E2		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 12, 14)_1$		8E4		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 8, 12)_1$		8E6		
			$\tau_{tri}(1, 7, 9)_1$		8E7		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 12, 14)_2$		8E8		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 7, 11)_1$		8E9		
			$\tau_{tri}(5, 7, 14)_1$		8E11		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 11, 13)_1$		8E12		
			$\tau_{tri}(6, 10, 11)_1$		8E13		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 9, 14)_1$		8E14		
			$\tau_{tri}(1, 8, 10)_2$		8E16		
			$\tau_{tri}(2, 11, 13)_2$		8E19		
			$\tau_{tri}(5, 8, 13)_2$		8E22		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 8, 12)_2$		8E23		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 9, 14)_2$		8E24		
			$\tau_{tri}(5, 7, 14)_2$		8E26		
			$\tau_{tri}(6, 10, 11)_2$		8E27		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 10, 13)_1$		8E28		
			$\tau_{tri}(1, 7, 9)_2$		8E29		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 7, 11)_2$		8E30		
			$\tau_{tri}(3, 10, 13)_2$		8E33		
			$\tau_{tri}(6, 9, 12)_1$		8E35		
			$\tau_{tri}(6, 9, 12)_2$		8E36		

TABLE 16. Triply imprimitive inertial types of elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  with additive potentially good reduction; the Weierstrass models for the curves are given in [7], the labels of the three characters that define each type are in Table 17 and the explicit description of each character in Table 20.

$N_E$	$\tau_E$	Character 1	Character 2	Character 3	Curve
$2^5$	$\tau_{tri}(1, 3, 5)_1$	$\chi_1(1, 1, 2)$	$\chi_3(1, 0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_5(1, 1, 0)$	$5E3$
	$\tau_{tri}(1, 4, 6)_1$	$\chi_1(1, 3, 2)$	$\chi_4(1, 0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_6(1, 3, 0)$	$5E4$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 3, 6)_1$	$\chi_2(1, 3, 2)$	$\chi_3(1, 0, 1, 0)$	$\chi_6(1, 1, 0)$	$5E2$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 4, 5)_1$	$\chi_2(1, 1, 2)$	$\chi_4(1, 0, 1, 0)$	$\chi_5(1, 3, 0)$	$5E1$
$2^6$	$\tau_{tri}(1, 3, 5)_2$	$\chi_1(1, 1, 0)$	$\chi_3(1, 2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_5(1, 1, 2)$	$6E10$
	$\tau_{tri}(1, 4, 6)_2$	$\chi_1(1, 3, 0)$	$\chi_4(1, 2, 1, 0)$	$\chi_6(1, 3, 2)$	$6E13$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 3, 6)_2$	$\chi_2(1, 1, 0)$	$\chi_3(1, 2, 1, 0)$	$\chi_6(1, 1, 2)$	$6E11$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 4, 5)_2$	$\chi_2(1, 3, 0)$	$\chi_4(1, 2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_5(1, 3, 2)$	$6E5$
$2^8$	$\tau_{tri}(5, 8, 13)_1$	$\chi_5(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_8(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{13}(1, 2, 1)$	$8E1$
	$\tau_{tri}(1, 8, 10)_1$	$\chi_1(1, 1, 3)$	$\chi_8(1, 2, 1)$	$\chi_{10}(1, 3, 1)$	$8E2$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 12, 14)_1$	$\chi_2(0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{12}(1, 2, 1)$	$\chi_{14}(1, 1, 1)$	$8E4$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 8, 12)_1$	$\chi_3(0, 1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_8(0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{12}(0, 1, 1)$	$8E6$
	$\tau_{tri}(1, 7, 9)_1$	$\chi_1(1, 3, 3)$	$\chi_7(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_9(1, 0, 1)$	$8E7$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 12, 14)_2$	$\chi_2(2, 1, 3)$	$\chi_{12}(1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_{14}(1, 3, 1)$	$8E8$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 7, 11)_1$	$\chi_3(0, 1, 0, 0)$	$\chi_7(0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{11}(2, 1, 1)$	$8E9$
	$\tau_{tri}(5, 7, 14)_1$	$\chi_5(1, 3, 3)$	$\chi_7(1, 2, 1)$	$\chi_{14}(1, 0, 1)$	$8E11$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 11, 13)_1$	$\chi_2(2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{11}(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{13}(1, 1, 1)$	$8E12$
	$\tau_{tri}(6, 10, 11)_1$	$\chi_6(1, 3, 3)$	$\chi_{10}(1, 2, 1)$	$\chi_{11}(1, 2, 1)$	$8E13$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 9, 14)_1$	$\chi_3(1, 1, 0, 0)$	$\chi_9(2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{14}(2, 1, 1)$	$8E14$
	$\tau_{tri}(1, 8, 10)_2$	$\chi_1(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_8(1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_{10}(1, 1, 1)$	$8E16$
	$\tau_{tri}(2, 11, 13)_2$	$\chi_2(0, 1, 3)$	$\chi_{11}(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_{13}(1, 3, 1)$	$8E19$
	$\tau_{tri}(5, 8, 13)_2$	$\chi_5(1, 1, 3)$	$\chi_8(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_{13}(1, 0, 1)$	$8E22$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 8, 12)_2$	$\chi_3(2, 1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_8(2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{12}(2, 1, 1)$	$8E23$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 9, 14)_2$	$\chi_3(1, 3, 0, 0)$	$\chi_9(0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{14}(0, 1, 1)$	$8E24$
	$\tau_{tri}(5, 7, 14)_2$	$\chi_5(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_7(1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_{14}(1, 2, 1)$	$8E26$
	$\tau_{tri}(6, 10, 11)_2$	$\chi_6(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_{10}(1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_{11}(1, 0, 1)$	$8E27$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 10, 13)_1$	$\chi_3(1, 3, 0, 1)$	$\chi_{10}(2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{13}(2, 1, 1)$	$8E28$
	$\tau_{tri}(1, 7, 9)_2$	$\chi_1(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_7(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_9(1, 2, 1)$	$8E29$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 7, 11)_2$	$\chi_3(2, 1, 0, 0)$	$\chi_7(2, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{11}(0, 1, 1)$	$8E30$
	$\tau_{tri}(3, 10, 13)_2$	$\chi_3(1, 1, 0, 1)$	$\chi_{10}(0, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{13}(0, 1, 1)$	$8E33$
	$\tau_{tri}(6, 9, 12)_1$	$\chi_6(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_9(1, 1, 1)$	$\chi_{12}(1, 1, 1)$	$8E35$
	$\tau_{tri}(6, 9, 12)_2$	$\chi_6(1, 1, 3)$	$\chi_9(1, 3, 1)$	$\chi_{12}(1, 3, 1)$	$8E36$

TABLE 17. Triply imprimitive inertial types of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  with additive potentially good reduction; the Weierstrass models for the curves are given in [7].

$p$	$e$	$\nu(N_E)$	Type	Base change of	Curve	Relevant extensions
2	8	5	$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1$		5E1	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2$		5E2	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		5E3	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		5E4	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		5E5	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		5E6	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		5E7	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		5E8	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
		6	$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1$		6E1	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		6E2	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		6E3	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		6E4	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		6E5	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E6	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E7	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(6, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E8	$[K_4 M_{69}, M_{113}, M_{115}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		6E30	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E31	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E32	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E33	$[K_4 M_3, M_{31}, M_{35}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		6E34	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E35	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E36	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(5, 8)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E37	$[K_4 M_1, M_{15}, M_{17}]$
	24	3	$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1$	$\tau_{ex,1,1}$	3E1	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2$		3E2	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3$		3E3	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
		4	$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_3$	$\tau_{ex,1,1} \otimes \eta_{-1}$	4E1	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		4E2	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		4E3	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		4E4	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E5	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E6	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		4E7	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		4E8	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		4E9	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
		6	$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_7$	$\tau_{ex,1,1} \otimes \eta_{-2}$	6E18	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E19	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E20	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E21	$[K_2 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		6E22	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E23	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E24	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E25	$[K_1 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		6E26	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		6E27	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		6E28	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$
			$\tau_{ex}(3, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		6E29	$[K_3 M_1, M_3, M_5]$

TABLE 18. Exceptional inertial types of elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  with additive potentially good reduction and  $\nu(N_E) \leq 6$ ; the explicit definition of the curves and fields is given in [7].

$p$	$e$	$\nu(N_E)$	Type	Base change of	Curve	Relevant extensions
2	24	7	$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1$	$\tau_{ex,2,2} \otimes \eta_2$	7E1	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2$		7E2	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		7E3	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3$		7E4	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		7E5	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4$		7E6	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		7E7	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		7E8	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_1$	$\tau_{ex,2,2} \otimes \eta_{-2}$	7E9	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		7E10	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		7E11	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		7E12	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		7E13	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5$	$\tau_{ex,2,2}$	7E14	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6$		7E15	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		7E16	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		7E17	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		7E18	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		7E19	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		7E20	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		7E21	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		7E22	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		7E23	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		7E24	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		7E25	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		7E26	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		7E27	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		7E28	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		7E29	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		7E30	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		7E31	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_1$		7E32	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		7E33	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		7E34	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		7E35	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		7E36	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		7E37	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		7E38	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_5$	$\tau_{ex,2,2} \otimes \eta_{-1}$	7E39	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		7E40	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_5 \otimes \varepsilon_{11}$		7E41	$[K_3 M_{48}, M_{72}, M_{120}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		7E42	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_2 \otimes \varepsilon_3$		7E43	$[K_1 M_{55}, M_{79}, M_{104}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_3 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		7E44	$[K_2 M_{39}, M_{88}, M_{112}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_5$		7E45	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_6 \otimes \varepsilon_{13}$		7E46	$[K_3 M_{54}, M_{74}, M_{124}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_1 \otimes \varepsilon_7$		7E47	$[K_1 M_{57}, M_{83}, M_{110}]$
			$\tau_{ex}(7, 24)_4 \otimes \varepsilon_9$		7E48	$[K_2 M_{43}, M_{94}, M_{114}]$

TABLE 19. Exceptional inertial types of elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_4$  with additive potentially good reduction and  $\nu(N_E) = 7$ . Explicit definition of the curves and fields can be found in [7].

$p$	Label of character $\chi$	$\chi(g_1)$	$\chi(g_2)$	$\chi(g_3)$	$\chi(g_4)$
3	$\chi(r)$	$i^r$	1	-	-
	$\eta(r, s)$	$\zeta_6^r$	$\zeta_3^s$	-	-
	$\chi_1(r, s, t)$	$\zeta_6^r$	$\zeta_3^s$	$\zeta_3^t$	-
	$\chi_2(r, s)$	$\zeta_6^r$	$\zeta_3^s$	-	-
	$\chi_3(r, s)$	$\zeta_6^r$	$\zeta_3^s$	-	-
2	$\chi(r, s, t)$	$i^r$	$i^s$	$(-1)^t$	-
	$\eta(r, s, t)$	$\zeta_6^r$	$(-1)^s$	$(-1)^t$	-
	$\chi_i(r, s, t)$ with $i \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$	$i^r$	$i^s$	$i^t$	-
	$\chi_i(r, s, t, w)$ with $i \in \{3, 4\}$	$i^r$	$i^s$	$(-1)^t$	$(-1)^w$
	$\chi_i(r, s, t)$ with $7 \leq i \leq 14$	$i^r$	$i^s$	$(-1)^t$	-
	$\chi_{15}$	$i^r$	$i^s$	$(-1)^t$	-

TABLE 20. Definition of the characters appearing Tables 14, 15, 16 and 17. The character  $\chi_i$  is a character of the extension  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  defined in §2.7; if a character has no subindex, then it is a character of  $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ . For each extension  $K_i/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$  the explicit description of  $g_i$  is given in the corresponding section devoted to inductions from  $K_i$ ; for  $\mathbb{Q}_9$ , we take  $g_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2}$  and  $g_2 = 4$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Pilar Bayer and Anna Rio, *Dyadic exercises for octahedral extensions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **517** (1999), 1–17. [1](#), [8](#)
- [2] N. Billerey, I. Chen, L. V. Dieulefait, and N. Freitas. *A multi-Frey approach to Fermat equations of signature  $(r, r, p)$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 371(4):8651–8677, 2019. [1](#)
- [3] G. Bosco. *The 3-adic representations arising from elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  with potentially good reduction*. On [arXiv](#), 2023. [1](#)
- [4] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. the user language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). [1.2](#)
- [5] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. *The Local Langlands Conjecture for  $GL(2)$* . Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 335, Springer, Berlin, 2006. [2.4](#), [2.4](#), [6.2](#)
- [6] H. Carayol. *Sur les représentations  $l$ -adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 19(3):409–468, 1986. [2.4](#)
- [7] J. Castro-Moreno and N. Freitas. *Supporting Magma files for this paper*. Available at: [GitHub](#). [1](#), [1.1](#), [1.2](#), [7](#), [7.1](#), [7.2](#), [8](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [8] N. Coppola. *Wild Galois representations: elliptic curves over a 3-adic field*. Acta Arith., 195(3):289–303, 2020. [1](#)
- [9] N. Coppola. *Wild Galois representations: elliptic curves over a 2-adic field with non-abelian inertia action*. Int. J. Number Theory, 16(6):1199–1208, 2020. [1](#)
- [10] N. Coppola. *Wild Galois representations: elliptic curves with wild cyclic reduction*. On [arXiv](#), 2025. [1](#)
- [11] L. Dembélé, N. Freitas, and J. Voight. *On Galois inertial types of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$* . Math. Comp., to appear. [1](#), [1](#), [2](#), [2.3](#), [2.3](#), [5.2](#), [6.5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [12] T. Dokchitser and V. Dokchitser. *Root numbers of elliptic curves in residue characteristic 2*. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 40(3):516–524, 2008. [1](#), [6.2](#)
- [13] P. Gérardin and P. Kutzko. *Facteurs locaux pour  $GL(2)$* . Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 13(3):349–384, 1980. [2.4](#)
- [14] J. Guardia, J. Jones, K. Keating, S. Pauli, D. Roberts and D. Roe. *Families of  $p$ -adic fields*. On [arXiv](#), 2025. [1.3](#), [7](#)
- [15] A. Kraus. *Sur le défaut de semi-stabilité des courbes elliptiques à réduction additive*. Manuscripta Math., 69(3):353–385, 1990. [2.6](#), [4.3](#)
- [16] J. Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 322, Springer, Berlin, 1999. [2.7](#)
- [17] A. Pacetti and L. Torcomian. *On the generalized Fermat equation of signature  $(5, p, 3)$* . In preparation, 2025. [1](#), [7](#)
- [18] A. Ranum. *The Group of Classes of Congruent Quadratic Integers with Respect to a Composite Ideal Modulus*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 11, No. 2 (Apr., 1910), pp. 172–198 (27 pages). [2.7](#), [5.1](#)
- [19] J.-P. Serre. *A Course in Arithmetic*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 7, Springer, New York, 1973. [2.7](#)
- [20] J. H. Silverman. *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer, New York, 1994. [2.2](#), [2.6](#)
- [21] J. B. Tunnell. *On the local Langlands conjecture for  $GL(2)$* . Invent. Math., 46(2):179–200, 1978. [2.2](#)

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (ICMAT), NICOLÁS CABRERA 13-15 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: [joseantonio.castro@icmat.es](mailto:joseantonio.castro@icmat.es)

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (ICMAT), NICOLÁS CABRERA 13-15 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: [nuno.freitas@icmat.es](mailto:nuno.freitas@icmat.es)