# A NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FOR THE PERIODS OF ELLIPTIC SURFACES

#### N.I. SHEPHERD-BARRON

#### Abstract

Suppose that  $f:X\to C$  is a general Jacobian elliptic surface over  $\mathbb C$ . Then the primitive cohomology  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  has, up to a sign, a natural orthonormal basis  $(\eta_i)_{i\in[1,N]}$  given by certain meromorphic 2-forms  $\eta_i$  of the second kind, one for each ramification point of the classifying morphism  $\phi$  from C to the stack  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  of generalized elliptic curves. (Here N is both  $h^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  and the number of moduli of X.) A choice of local co-ordinate on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  provides, via the branch locus of  $\phi$ , an étale local co-ordinate system  $(t_i)_{i\in[1,N]}$  on the stack  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$  of Jacobian elliptic surfaces.

The main result here is that truncation of the Gauss–Manin connexion yields the system

$$\{\partial_i H = (\partial_i \eta_i \wedge \eta_i) H\}_{i \in [1,N]}$$

of non-linear pde satisfied by  $H = [\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_N]$ , where  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial t_i$  and the skew tensor  $\partial_i \eta_i \wedge \eta_i$  of rank 2 is the ecliptic of  $\eta_i$  (the plane in which the particle  $\eta_i$  is instantaneously moving with respect to  $t_i$ ). Moreover, after rigidification of the integral cohomology, H can be interpreted as providing a period map for these surfaces with values in the complex orthogonal group  $O_N(\mathbb{C})$ , and we prove a generic infinitesimal Torelli theorem for this map. For rational elliptic surfaces this can be calculated explicitly.

AMS classification: 14C34, 32G20.

# 1 Review of results on elliptic surfaces

Suppose that  $f: X \to C$  is an elliptic surface over  $\mathbb{C}$  that is Jacobian (that is, there is a given section  $C_0$  of f). Assume that all its singular fibres are irreducible nodal curves; then f is determined by the corresponding classifying morphism  $\phi: C \to \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$ . This morphism is dominant and is unramified over the locus  $j = \infty$ . Let  $Z = \operatorname{Ram}_{\phi} = \sum (e_P - 1)P$  denote the ramification divisor, where  $e_P$  is the ramification index of  $\phi$  at  $P \in C$ . For any point  $P \in C$ , put  $f^{-1}(P) = E_P$ .

Put

$$h = p_g(X) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = h^{2,0}(X), \ q = q(X) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) = h^{1,0}(X).$$

So  $K_X \sim f^*(K_C + \phi^*M)$ , where M is the bundle of weight one modular forms on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$ , and  $\deg \phi^*M = h - q + 1 = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$ , so that  $h \geq q$ . In particular

 $|K_X| = f^*|K_C + \phi^*M|$  and, by Riemann–Roch on C, has no base points if h > q. If h = q then Bs  $|K_C + \phi^*M|$  is either empty or consists of a single point Q and  $\phi^*M \sim Q$ .

In [SB2] we showed that the stack  $\mathcal{JE}$  of Jacobian elliptic surfaces is smooth of the expected dimension N = 10h + 8(1 - q), provided that 10h > 12(q - 1), where h is the geometric genus of X and q its irregularity, and the tangent bundle  $T_{\mathcal{JE}}$  is naturally a line bundle on the universal ramification divisor  $\mathcal{Z}$ . That is, the tangent space  $T_{\mathcal{JE}}(X)$  is given by  $T_{\mathcal{JE}}(X) = \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{Z}} L_P$  where  $L_P$  is a skyscraper sheaf supported at P and its length is  $e_P - 1$ .

In fact the assumption that 10h > 12(q-1) is unnecessary for the smoothness of  $\mathcal{JE}$ , although it does imply that the morphism  $\mathcal{JE} \to \mathcal{M}_q$  is smooth.

**Theorem 1.1**  $\mathcal{JE}$  is smooth of dimension N.

PROOF: The exact sequence

$$0 \to T_C \to \phi^* T_{\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}} \to \mathcal{L}_Z \to 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_Z$  is a line bundle on Z, shows that  $T_{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}}(X) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}_Z)$ , which is of dimension N. On the other hand, for each point  $a \in Z$  of ramification index  $e_a = e$  we constructed in [SB2] a variation of X over an (e-1)-dimensional polydisc  $\Delta^{e-1}$  whose tangent space is the part of  $\mathcal{L}$  that is supported at a. The product of these polydiscs is then the base of an N-dimensional variation of X whose tangent space is  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}_Z)$ .

We also showed in [SB2] that, if  $|K_X|$  has no base points<sup>1</sup> then the derivative of the period map defines a linear map  $L_P: H^{2,0}(X) \to H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  whose image is an  $(e_P-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $V_P$  generated by certain explicit meromorphic 2-forms of the second kind that lie in  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(e_P E_P))$ . Moreover,  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  is the orthogonal direct sum of the  $V_P$ . In particular, if also Z is reduced then there is an explicit meromorphic 2-form  $\eta_P \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2E_P))_{2^{nd} \ kind}$  that defines a class  $[\eta_P]$  in the primitive cohomology  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  and the classes  $[\eta_P]$  form an orthogonal basis of  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$ . This basis can then be rescaled to be orthonormal, up to a single choice of sign. The proof of orthogonality was of a variational nature and is only valid when  $|K_X|$  has no base points; we shall show below that this orthogonality holds generally. We shall also give a description of the derivative of the period map even when there are base points.

## 2 Some formulae

The notation will be the same as above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In *loc. cit.* we stated this under the weaker assumption that h > 0. The argument depended on the truth of the infinitesimal Torelli theorem, which holds if Bs  $|K_X|$  is empty [S], [I], [Kl]. So the results of [SB2] hold if h > q. However, if there are base points then things are more subtle; we shall discuss this below.

**Proposition 2.1** Suppose that  $P \in Z$  and that  $z_P$  is a co-ordinate on C at P such that  $z_P^{e_P}$  is a co-ordinate  $\zeta_P$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$ . Assume also that  $v_P$  is a fibre co-ordinate on X along  $E_P$  that pulls back from a fibre co-ordinate on the universal elliptic curve and that vanishes along the zero section  $C_0$  of  $f: X \to C$ . Suppose that  $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(\sum_P e_P E_P))$  and consider the local expansion

$$\eta = \sum_{j>-e_P} a_{P,j} z_P^{j-1} dz_P \wedge dv_P$$

at P. Then  $\eta$  is of the second kind if and only if  $a_{P,0} = 0$  for every  $P \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

PROOF: Drop the subscript P, so that  $v_P = v$ ,  $z_P = z$  and  $e_P = e$ . Then there is a neighbourhood  $\Delta$  of P in C such that, if  $X_{\Delta} = f^{-1}(\Delta)$ , the morphism  $X_{\Delta} \to \Delta$  is defined by

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_4x - g_6$$

where  $g_4, g_6$  are functions of  $z^e$  and  $x = \wp(v)$ ,  $y = \wp'(v)$ . Therefore there is an action of the group  $\mu_e$  of e'th roots of unity on  $X_{\Delta}$  defined in terms of the local co-ordinates (z, v) by  $\chi^*(z, v) = (\chi z, v)$  for the generator  $\chi = \exp(2\pi i/e)$  of  $\mu_e$ .

Suppose that  $\eta$  is of the second kind and let  $\eta_{\Delta}$  denote its restriction to  $X_{\Delta}$ . Then  $\gamma^*\eta_{\Delta}$  is of the second kind for all  $\gamma \in \mu_e$ . Take  $\gamma = \chi$ ; then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{e-1} \gamma^{r*} \eta_{\Delta} = e \sum_{k \ge 0} a_{ke} z^{ke} \frac{dz}{z} \wedge dv,$$

so that  $\sum_{r=0}^{e-1} \gamma^{r*} \eta_{\Delta}$  is of the second kind and has a simple pole. Therefore it is holomorphic, so that  $a_0 = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(eE))$  and that  $\operatorname{Res}_E \eta \neq 0$ . Since  $\mu_e$  acts trivially on E, and so on  $H^1(E, \mathbb{C})$ , it follows that

$$\operatorname{Res}_E(\gamma^*\eta_\Delta) = \gamma^* \operatorname{Res}_E \eta = \operatorname{Res}_E \eta,$$

so that  $\operatorname{Res}_E(\sum_r \gamma^{r*} \eta_{\Delta}) = e \operatorname{Res}_E \eta \neq 0$ . But

$$\sum_{r} \gamma^{r*} \eta_{\Delta} = e \sum_{k>0} a_{ke} z^{ke} \frac{dz}{z} \wedge dv$$

and so has a simple pole; since its residue along E is non-zero, it follows that  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

We let the subscript 2nd kind stand for "of the second kind".

**Proposition 2.2** For every  $P \in Z$  we have

$$\dim H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}^{2}(e_{P}P))_{2nd \ kind}/H^{0}(X, \Omega_{X}^{2}) = e_{P} - 1.$$

PROOF: Recall first that we can identify the linear system  $|K_X|$  with  $|K_C + \phi^* M|$  via  $f^*$ .

The cohomology of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C(K_C + \phi^*M) \to \mathcal{O}_C(K_C + \phi^*M + e_P P) \to \mathcal{O}_{e_P P} \to 0$$

of sheaves on C shows that

$$\dim H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(K_C + \phi^*M + e_P P))/H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(K_C + \phi^*M)) = e_P.$$

By the previous proposition there is exactly one linear condition on a member of  $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X(e_P P))$  to be of the second kind.

So we can write down a basis of  $H^{1,1}(X)_{prim}$  that is represented by 2-forms of the second kind. After rescaling we can take this basis to be orthonormal.

**Theorem 2.3** If  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  are distinct points in Z and  $\eta_i \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(e_{P_i}P_i))_{2nd \ kind}$  for i = 1, 2 then  $(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$ .

PROOF: After pulling back by some finite cover  $D \to C$  that is unramified over Z we get a surface  $Y \to D$  defined by  $\phi_1 : D \to \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  such that  $\deg \phi_1^*M > 1$ . Then  $|K_Y|$  has no base points so that, by the results of [SB2], the pull backs of the classes  $\eta_i$  are orthogonal on Y. Then the  $\eta_i$  are orthogonal on X.

# 3 The differential equation

**Definition 3.1** A surface is general if the ramification divisor Z is reduced and disjoint from the locus  $j = \infty$ . We denote the corresponding open substack of  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$  by  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{gen}$ .

Any choice of local co-ordinate on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  gives an étale local co-ordinate system  $(t_1,\ldots,t_N)$  on  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{gen}$  via the étale morphism  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{gen}\to\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}^{(N)}$ , the N-fold symmetric product of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$ , that maps the surface  $X\to C$  to the branch locus in  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  of the classifying morphism  $\phi:C\to\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$ .

Define  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}} \to \mathcal{JE}^{gen}$  to be the  $\mathfrak{S}_N$  cover induced by  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}^N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}^{(N)}$ . Then  $(t_1, \ldots, t_N)$  is a local co-ordinate system on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$ . (We shall see below that if h is not too small compared to q then  $\mathcal{JE}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  are irreducible.)

Moreover the rank N vector bundle  $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{prim}$  on  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}^{gen}$  has a non-degenerate inner product and [SB2] the pull back of  $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}_{prim}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}}$  is equipped with an orthonormal basis  $(\eta_i)$  indexed by the ramification divisor. The line  $\mathbb{C}\eta_i$  is the image of  $H^0(X,\Omega_X^2)$  under  $\partial_i = \partial/\partial t_i$ , provided that  $|K_X|$  has no base points (which is always the case if h > q) and the kernel of  $\partial_i$  is  $H^0(X,\Omega_X^2(-E_i))$ . Write

$$H=[\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_N].$$

We need some further notation: if x, y are elements of an inner product space then  $x \wedge y$  acts on a third element z by

$$(x \wedge y).z = (y, z)x - (x, z)y,$$

the contraction of  $x \wedge y$  against z. We extend this to an action of  $x \wedge y$  on a matrix H column by column. We can truncate the implicit linear system of pde on  $H^2_{prim}$  that is given by the Gauss–Manin connexion to get an explicit non-linear system of pde that constrains  $H^{1,1}_{prim}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** H satisfies the system

$$\{\partial_i H = (\partial_i \eta_i \wedge \eta_i) H\}_{i \in [1,N]}$$

of non-linear pde, where there is no summation over the repeated index.

PROOF: We prove this column by column.

We showed in [SB2] that  $\partial_i \eta_j$  is proportional to  $\eta_i$  when  $i \neq j$ . That is,

$$\partial_i \eta_j = \psi_{ij} \eta_i$$

for some function  $\psi_{ij}$ . Taking inner products shows that  $(\partial_i \eta_j, \eta_i) = \psi_{ij}$ , so that

$$\partial_i \eta_j = (\partial_i \eta_j, \eta_i) \eta_i.$$

On the other hand

$$(\partial_i \eta_i \wedge \eta_i) \cdot \eta_i = (\eta_i, \eta_i) \partial_i \eta_i - (\partial_i \eta_i, \eta_i) \eta_i = -(\partial_i \eta_i, \eta_i) \eta_i.$$

But  $(\eta_i, \eta_j) = 0$ , which differentiates to

$$(\partial_i \eta_i, \eta_i) + (\eta_i, \partial_i \eta_i) = 0,$$

and then

$$(\partial_i \eta_i \wedge \eta_i).\eta_i = (\eta_i, \partial_i \eta_i)\eta_i = \partial_i \eta_i.$$

So the equation holds when  $i \neq j$ .

When i=j the situation might appear to be more complex, because  $\partial_i \eta_i$  no longer lies in Fil<sup>1</sup> of the Hodge filtration. However, in this case the equation merely states that  $\partial_i \eta_i = \partial_i \eta_i$ , so that it holds in full.

We shall refer to this as the ecliptic pde.

Assume for the rest of this section that  $4(1+h-q) \geq 2q+1$ .

**Lemma 3.3**  $\mathcal{JE}$  dominates  $\mathcal{M}_q$  and is irreducible.

PROOF: Suppose  $C \in \mathcal{M}_q$  and  $L \in \operatorname{Pic}_C^{1+h-q}$ . Then 4L and 6L are very ample, so that a general member of  $H^0(C, 4L) \times H^0(C, 6L)$  defines a surface in  $\mathcal{JE}_{h,q}$ . Since the universal  $\operatorname{Pic}^{1+h-q}$  over  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is irreducible the lemma is proved.

**Theorem 3.4** *H* is generically immersive.

PROOF: We need two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5** Suppose that  $N \geq 8$  and that G is a transitive subgroup of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_N$  which contains a transposition and a copy of the alternating group  $\mathfrak{A}_r$  for some r > N/2.

Then  $G = \mathfrak{S}_N$ .

PROOF: Consideration of the group generated by the transpositions in G shows that there are integers n, k such that  $n \geq 2$ , nk = N and G is a wreath product  $\mathfrak{S}_n \wr K$  for some transitive subgroup K of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ . Since  $r > N/2 \geq k$  the resulting homomorphism  $\mathfrak{A}_r \to K$  is trivial. Then there is an embedding  $\mathfrak{A}_r \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n$ , which is impossible unless k = 1 and n = N.

**Lemma 3.6** The Galois group G of the universal ramification divisor  $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_N$ .

PROOF: According to Cor. 10.3 of [SB2]  $\mathcal{Z}$  is irreducible, because it is dominated by the universal  $|4L| \times |6L|$ , so that G is a transitive subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_N$ .

To see that G contains a transposition, take a surface in  $\mathcal{JE}_{h,q}$  defined by  $\phi: C \to \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  that has a point of triple ramification. The corresponding 2-dimensional variation of X that is constructed in [SB2] yields a point where  $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$  is simply ramified, and so G contains a transposition.

Since |6L| is very ample, the Galois group of |6L| is  $\mathfrak{S}_r$ , where r = 6(h+1-q)-q. Then there is an injective homomorphism  $\mathfrak{S}_r \to G$ . Since r > N/2, from our assumption, we conclude by the previous lemma.

Corollary 3.7  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  is irreducible.

PROOF:  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}} \to \mathcal{JE}^{gen}$  is the Galois closure of  $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{JE}$ .

The Galois group  $G = \mathfrak{S}_N$  simultaneously permutes the co-ordinate frame  $\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_N$  on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  and the vectors  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_N$ . Therefore the kernel of  $H_*$  at the generic point of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  is preserved by G, so that if  $H_*$  is not injective at the generic point then there is a function f on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  such that either ker  $H_*$  contains  $\sum_1^N f_i \partial_i$  or it contains  $f_i \partial_i - f_j \partial_j$  for every i, j, where  $f_i$  is the pull back of f to  $\mathcal{Z}$  under the i'th projection  $\mathcal{Z} \to \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$ .

Suppose first that  $\sum f_i \partial_i \in \ker H_*$ . There is an action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  (it is the integral of the vector field  $\sum \partial_i$ ) and so on  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$ . If X is given by the equation

$$Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_4X - g_6$$

where  $g_n \in H^0(C, \phi^*M^{\otimes n})$  then the closure of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -orbit through the point X of  $\mathcal{JE}$  is the Gauss-Eisenstein pencil

$$(Y^2 = 4X^3 - \lambda g_4 X - \mu g_6)_{(\lambda,\mu) \in \mathbb{P}^1};$$

at  $\lambda = 0$  and at  $\mu = 0$  the surface has constant *j*-invariant and complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_6)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4)$ , respectively. Moreover, since this  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the corresponding vector field on  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$  is invariant under the monodromy group  $\mathfrak{S}_N$  and so is proportional to  $\sum f_i \partial_i$ . Then H is constant on each

Gauss–Eisenstein pencil while the ramification divisor Z is constant. Therefore, in each such pencil, there is no monodromy on the classes  $[\eta_i]$ . However, suppose that Z meets the locus  $(j = \infty)$  in the point  $P_i$ , so that the surface acquires a node. Let  $\delta \in H^{1,1}(X)_{prim}$  be the corresponding vanishing cycle. Then  $\eta_i$  and  $\delta$  are both orthogonal to  $\eta_j$  for every  $j \neq i$ , so that  $\eta_i$  is proportional to  $\delta$ . So  $\eta_i$  is not invariant under monodromy.

Suppose next that every  $f_i\partial_i - f_j\partial_j \in \ker H_*$ . Say  $Z = \{P_1, \ldots, P_N\}$ ,  $E_i = f^{-1}(P_i)$  and  $\eta_i \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2E_i))_{2^{nd} \ kind}$ . Suppose  $j \geq 3$ . Then the variational formulae proved above give

$$\partial_i(\eta_i) = \eta_i(P_i)\eta_i$$

for i = 1, 2. Since  $f_1\partial_1(\eta_j) = f_2\partial_2(\eta_j)$  it follows that  $\eta_j$  vanishes at both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Therefore  $\eta_j$  vanishes at  $P_k$  for every  $k \neq j$ . However,  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2E_j - \sum_{k \neq j} E_k)) = 0$ , and the result is proved.

## 4 Period matrices

By regarding the  $\eta_i$  as periods and H as a period matrix we get a multi-valued holomorphic map  $H: \mathcal{JE} \to O_N(\mathbb{C})$ , the complex orthogonal group.

The primitive cohomology  $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})_{prim}$  of a surface X in  $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{E}$  is even, unimodular and of signature (2h, N), and so is isometric to  $\widetilde{\Lambda} := U^h \perp E_8(-1)^{1+h-q}$ , where U is the hyperbolic plane and  $E_8$  is the usual root lattice.

Say that a sublattice L of  $\Lambda$  is good for X if it is isotropic of rank h and there is no line  $\ell = \mathbb{C}\omega \subseteq H^{2,0}(X)$  such that  $L \subseteq \ell^{\perp}$ .

**Lemma 4.1** There exists a sublattice L of  $\widetilde{\Lambda}$  that is good for X.

PROOF: Suppose not. The  $O_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of L is Zariski-dense in the Grassmannian Gr of isotropic h-planes in  $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and so for every  $V \in Gr$  there is a line  $\ell \subset H^{2,0}(X)$  such that  $V \subseteq \ell^{\perp}$ . An elementary argument using the incidence variety of such pairs  $(V, \ell)$  gives a contradiction.

Write  $\widetilde{\Lambda} = U_1 \perp \ldots \perp U_h \perp \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda = U^h \perp E_8(-1)^{1+h-q}$ , and pick a standard basis  $(a_i,b_i)$  of  $U_i$  for  $i \in [1,h]$ . Put  $L = \oplus \mathbb{Z} a_i$ , an isotropic sublattice of  $\widetilde{\Lambda}$  of rank h. Recall that any two such sublattices are equivalent under  $O_{\widetilde{\Lambda}}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\mathbb{JE} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  be the stack of pairs  $(X,\phi)$  where X is a surface in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  and  $\phi: \widetilde{\Lambda} \to H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})_{prim}$  is an isometry and let  $\mathbb{JE}^0$  be the substack of  $\mathbb{JE}$  defined by the condition that  $\phi(L)$  is good for X; the map  $\mathbb{JE}^0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{JE}}$  is surjective, by Lemma 4.1. If  $(X,\phi) \in \mathbb{JE}$  then there is a normalized basis  $(\omega_i)_{i \in [1,h]}$  of  $H^{2,0}(X)$  defined by  $\int_{a_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$  and then the orthonormal basis  $(\eta_i)_{i \in [1,N]}$  of Fil<sup>1</sup> / Fil<sup>2</sup> can be further normalized by the requirement that  $\int_{a_i} \eta_j = 0$  for all i,j. This gives a holomorphic splitting of the projection Fil<sup>1</sup>  $\to$  Fil<sup>1</sup> / Fil<sup>2</sup> of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{JE}^0$ .

If we write  $\eta_j = \sum \alpha_{ji} a_i + \sum \beta_{ij} b_i + \lambda_j$  with  $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$  then each  $\beta_{ij} = 0$  and then  $(\eta_i.\eta_j) = (\lambda_i.\lambda_j)$ . Moreover,  $\lambda_j$  is the column vector

$$t \Big[ \int_{c_1} \eta_j, \dots, \int_{c_N} \eta_j \Big]$$

where  $(c_i)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda$ ; that is,  $\lambda_j$  is a vector of periods and we can regard the matrix  $H = [\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_N]$  as a period matrix, obtained by integrating the vectors  $\eta_j$  over cycles dual to a basis of  $\Lambda$ . Now H is an  $N \times N$  matrix with orthonormal columns; that is, H is a holomorphic morphism  $\mathbb{JE}^0 \to O_N(\mathbb{C})$  that satisfies the ecliptic pde.

#### Remark:

- (1) The assumptions of Theorem 3.4 are enough to ensure that the forgetful morphism  $\mathcal{JE}_{h,q}^{gen} \to \mathcal{M}_q$  is smooth and surjective. However,  $\mathcal{M}_q$  does not appear to have an algebraic local co-ordinate system like that on  $\mathcal{JE}^{gen}$ ; instead, the algebraic curve on  $\mathcal{JE}^{gen}$  defined by setting all but one co-ordinate to be constant projects to an algebraic curve on  $\mathcal{M}_q$  at every point of which the derivative of the period map is constant (as is seen from the nature of the variation that we used), so that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is covered by such curves. Neither does there seem to be an analogous differential equation on  $\mathcal{M}_q$ .
- (2) (Torelli) Is H injective? Or generically injective?
- (3) (Schottky) Can the ecliptic equation be integrated to describe the image of H? That is, can the co-ordinates  $t_i$  be eliminated to give equations that define the image of H?

# 5 Infinitesimal Torelli with base points

As already mentioned, Saito [S] (see also [Kl]) proved infinitesimal Torelli when Bs  $|K_X|$ , which we identify with Bs  $|K_C + \phi^* M|$ , is empty. Since deg  $\phi^* M > 0$ , if Bs  $|K_X|$  is not empty then it consists of a single point  $Q \in C$ , where  $\phi^* M = \mathcal{O}_C(Q)$ , and also h = q.

**Theorem 5.1** Assume that Bs  $|K_X| = \{Q\}$ . Then infinitesimal Torelli holds for X if and only if  $Q \notin Z$ . If  $Q \in Z$  then the derivative of the period map is of corank 1.

PROOF: Suppose that  $Q \in Z$  and put  $e_Q = e$ . Then consider the 1-parameter variation of X constructed from the glueing

$$z^e = w^e + etw^{e-2};$$

this is a sub-variation of the (e-1)-parameter variation  $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta^{e-1}$  detailed in [SB2]. Observe that

$$w = z(1 - tz^{-2})$$

modulo  $t^2$ . Consider differentials  $\omega^{(j)}(t)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_t$  normalized by the requirement that  $\int_{A_i} \omega^{(j)}(t) = \delta_i^j$  for appropriate 2-cycles  $A_i$  on X supported away from the fibre  $E_Q$ . Expand  $\omega^{(j)}(t)$  as

$$\omega^{(j)}(t) = \sum b_{pq}^{(j)} w^p t^q dw \wedge dv$$

for some fibre co-ordinate v. Substituting  $w = z(1 - tz^{-2})$  gives

$$\omega^{(j)}(t) = \left(\sum b_{pq}^{(j)} z^p t^q - \sum b_{pq}^{(j)} (p-1) z^{p-2} t^{q+1}\right) dz \wedge dv$$

modulo  $t^2$ . Write  $\omega^{(j)}(t) = \omega^{(j)} + t\eta^{(j)}$ ; then  $\omega^{(j)} = \sum b_{p0}^{(j)} z^p dz \wedge dv$  and  $\eta^{(j)} = b_{00}^{(j)} z^{-2} dz \wedge dv$  modulo  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ . Now  $b_{00}^{(j)}$  is the constant coefficient of  $\omega^{(j)}$  and so vanishes  $(Q \text{ is the base point of } |K_X|)$ ; it follows that the class  $[\eta^{(j)}]$  in  $H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  also vanishes for every j, so that  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial t}: H^{2,0}(X) \to H^{1,1}_{prim}(X)$  is the zero map and indeed infinitesimal Torelli fails.

For each  $a \in Z$  there is [SB2] a 1-parameter variation of X, with parameter  $t_a$ , such that the kernel of  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial t_a}$  equals  $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X(-E_a))$ . So if a = Q then  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial t_a} = 0$  and infinitesimal Torelli fails. If  $Q \notin Z$  then the image of  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial t_a}$  is the line  $\mathbb{C}.\eta_a$  and it follows that no linear combination of the  $\nabla_{\partial/\partial t_a}$  can vanish.

# 6 Intersecting forms with curves

We give a formula for the cup product  $[\eta] \cup [D]$  when  $\eta$  is a 2-form of the second kind and D is a curve in X that is unramified over C at the points of the ramification divisor Z.

Recall that X is provided with a zero section  $C_0$  as part of its data.

**Theorem 6.1** Suppose that  $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(\sum_{P \in Z} e_P E_P))_{2^{nd} \ kind}$  and D is as above. Assume that we have chosen local co-ordinates as in Proposition 2.1. Assume further that D is defined locally at each point Q of  $D \cap E_P$  by

$$v_P = v_P(z_P) = \sum_{m>0} c_{Q,m} z_P^m$$

and that the corresponding local expansion of  $\eta$  is

$$\eta = \sum_{0 \neq n > -e_P} a_{P,n} z_P^{n-1} dz_P \wedge dv_P$$

for every  $P \in Z$ . Then

$$[\eta] \cup_{dR} [D] = -\sum_{P \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{e_P - 1} a_{P,-m} \sum_{Q \in D \cap E_P} c_{P,m}.$$

PROOF: Without loss of generality we can assume that the polar divisor  $(\eta)_{\infty}$  is supported on a single fibre  $E_P$ .

Choose small open discs  $P \in \Delta' \subsetneq \Delta \subsetneq C$  and a small tubular neighbourhood  $X^0$  of D in X. Set  $R = \overline{\Delta} - \Delta'$ , a closed annulus, and let  $\gamma = \partial \overline{\Delta}, \gamma' = \partial \overline{\Delta}'$ . Then there is a  $C^{\infty}$  (1,0)-form  $\Psi$  on  $X^0$  such that

(1) 
$$\Psi = 0$$
 on  $f^{-1}(C - \Delta) \cap X^0$  and

(2) 
$$\Psi = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n dv$$
 on  $f^{-1}(\Delta') \cap X^0$ , so that  $d\Psi = \eta$  there.

Put  $\widetilde{\xi} = \eta - d\Psi$ , a  $C^{\infty}$  2-form on  $X^{0}$ . Then  $[\eta]|_{X^{0}} = [\widetilde{\xi}]$ ,  $\widetilde{\xi}$  is zero on  $f^{-1}(\Delta') \cap X^{0}$  and  $\widetilde{\xi}$  is holomorphic on  $f^{-1}(C - \Delta) \cap X^{0}$ . So

$$[\eta] \cup_{dR} [D] = [\eta]|_{X^0} \cup_{dR} [D] = [\widetilde{\xi}] \cup_{dR} [D] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \widetilde{\xi}|_D = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(R)} \widetilde{\xi}|_D,$$

where the last equality follows from the facts that  $\tilde{\xi}|_D$  is zero on  $D \cap f^{-1}(\Delta')$  and is a holomorphic 2-form on  $D \cap f^{-1}(C - \Delta)$ , so is zero there. So

$$2\pi i \ [\eta] \cup_{dR} [D] = \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(R)} (\eta - d\Psi)|_{D}$$

$$= \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(R)} \eta|_{D} - \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(R)} d\Psi|_{D}$$

$$= 0 - \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(R)} d\Psi|_{D}$$

$$= \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(\gamma')} \Psi|_{D} - \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(\gamma)} \Psi|_{D} \text{ (Stokes)}$$

$$= \int_{D \cap f^{-1}(\gamma')} \Psi|_{D} \text{ since } \Psi = 0 \text{ on } f^{-1}(C - \Delta)$$

$$= 2\pi i \sum_{Q \in D \cap E_{P}} \text{Res}_{Q} \Psi|_{D} \text{ (Cauchy)}.$$

Now

$$\Psi = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n dv = \sum_{n \neq 0, m > 0} \frac{m}{n} c_{Q,m} a_n z^{m+n-1} dz,$$

so that

$$[\eta] \cup_{dR} [D] = \sum_{Q} \operatorname{Res}_{Q} \Psi = \sum_{Q} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{m}{-m} c_{Q,m} a_{-m} = -\sum_{m=1}^{e_{P}-1} a_{-m} \sum_{Q} c_{Q,m}.$$

Corollary 6.2 If  $f: X \to C$  is written in Weierstrass form as

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_4x - g_6,$$

if  $\phi: C \to \overline{\mathcal{E}\ell\ell}$  is simply ramified and if every  $v_P$  is chosen so that  $dv_P = dx/y$  then

$$[\eta] \cup_{dR} [D] = -\sum_{P \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{P,-1} \frac{dx}{dz_P} (P) \sum_{Q \in D \cap E_P} \frac{1}{y(Q)}.$$

PROOF: Put  $z_P = z$  and  $v_P = v$ . Then, in terms of a period  $\tau = \tau(z)$  of  $E_z$  we have

$$x = \wp(v, \tau), \ y = \frac{\partial \wp(v, \tau)}{\partial v},$$

so that

$$\frac{dx}{dz} = y\frac{dv}{dz} + \frac{\partial \wp}{\partial \tau}\frac{d\tau}{dz}.$$

Now  $\frac{d\tau}{dz}|_{z=0} = 0$ , since  $\phi$  is ramified at P, so that

$$c_{Q,1} = \frac{dv}{dz}(Q) = \frac{1}{y(Q)}\frac{dx}{dz}(P).$$

**Remark**: (1) If the ramification is not simple then we can calculate the coefficients  $c_{P,n}$  in a similar way via Faà di Bruno's formula. We omit the details, however.

(2) In particular,  $[\eta] \cup [C_0] = 0$ , where  $C_0$  is the zero section. Since also  $[\eta] \cup [f] = 0$  for a fibre f, it follows that  $[\eta]$  lies in  $H^2_{prim}(X,\mathbb{C})$ , and so in  $\mathrm{Fil}^1 H^2_{prim}(X,\mathbb{C})$ .

# 7 Rational surfaces

Here we illustrate our results in the context of rational surfaces.

Suppose that  $f: X \to C = \mathbb{P}^1$  is a generic Jacobian elliptic surface which is rational, that  $C_0$  is the given zero section and that  $\phi$  is a fibre. Then there are eight further sections  $C_1, \ldots, C_8$ , disjoint from each other and from  $C_0$ , and the curves  $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_8$  are the exceptional curves of a birational contraction  $\pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ . The lattice  $H^2_{prim}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to the root lattice  $E_8(-1)$  and if h is the class of a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8$  are defined by

$$\alpha_1 = C_1 - C_2$$
,  $\alpha_2 = 2h - C_1 - C_2 - C_3$  while  $\alpha_j = C_{j-1} - C_j$  for  $j \ge 3$ 

then  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_8)$  is a root basis of  $H^2_{prim}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , which is isomorphic to the root lattice  $E_8(-1)$ . Note that  $\phi = 3h - \sum_{i=0}^{8} C_i$ .

We can calculate each intersection number  $[\eta_i] \cup_{dR} [C_j]$ , and therefore each number  $[\eta_i] \cup_{dR} [\alpha_j]$ . Then the period matrix H(X) is the  $8 \times 8$  matrix

$$H(X) = ([\eta_i] \cup_{dR} [\alpha_i])$$

and we can calculate it as follows.

- (1) Take a field K of characteristic zero and take eight points  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_8 \in \mathbb{P}^2(K)$ . Let h denote the class of a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .
- (2) Check that these points are in general position in the usual sense: they are distinct; no three are collinear; no six lie on a conic; there is no cubic through all of them that is singular at one of them.
- (3) Calculate a basis  $(U_1, U_2)$  of  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_K, \mathcal{O}(3h \sum Q_i))$ .
- (4) Construct a basis  $(U_1^2, U_1U_2, U_2^2, V)$  of  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_K, \mathcal{O}(6h-2\sum Q_i))$ .
- (5) Construct a basis  $(U_1^3, U_1^2U_2, \dots, VU_2, W)$  of  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2_K, \mathcal{O}(9h-3\sum Q_i))$ .
- (6) The forms  $(U_1, U_2, V, W)$  define a rational map

$$\rho: \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3) = \operatorname{Proj} K[U_1, U_2, V, W]$$

whose image is a surface  $\Sigma$ . This is the anticanonical model of the smooth del Pezzo surface  $\mathrm{Bl}_{Q)1,\ldots,Q_8} \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree 1 and is embedded as a sextic surface in  $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2,3)$ .

- (7) After calculating the images  $\rho(x)$  for sufficiently many K-points  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  (or we could calculate  $\rho(\eta)$  where  $\eta$  is the generic point) we can interpolate through those points to find the defining sextic equation F = 0 of  $\Sigma$ .
- (8) Make an explicit change of co-ordinates so that  $F = W^2 (4V^3 g_4V g_6)$  where  $g_n = g_n(U_1, U_2)$  is homogeneous of degree n.
- (9) Let  $Q_9$  denote the ninth base point of the pencil  $|3h \sum_{1}^{8} Q_i|$  and put  $X = \operatorname{Bl}_{Q_9} \Sigma$ . Let  $C_0$  denote the exceptional curve of the blow-up; then  $C_0$  is a section of the elliptic fibration  $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  defined by  $f(Q) = (U_1(Q), U_2(Q))$ .
- (10) If  $j \neq k$  then the line  $D_{jk} = h Q_j Q_k$  is a section of f. The isomorphism  $D_{ij} \to \mathbb{P}^1$  induced by f can be calculated.
- (11) The ramification locus Z in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is defined by the vanishing of the Jacobian covariant

$$J = \det \begin{bmatrix} \partial g_4/\partial U_1 & \partial g_4/\partial U_2 \\ \partial g_6/\partial U_1 & \partial g_6/\partial U_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (12) Check the genericity of the surface  $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^1$  by calculating the discriminant  $D = g_4^3 27g_6^2$  and then checking that the discriminants of D and J and the resultant of D and J are all non-zero. (Of course, this could be carried out by reduction modulo p.)
- (13) Now K(Z) is a separable octic extension of K and  $e_P = 2$  for all geometric points  $P_i \in Z$ . Calculate each form  $\eta_i = \eta_{P_i}$ , up to a scalar  $\mu_i$ , by writing down sections of the two-dimensional vector space  $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2P_i))$  and using Proposition 2.1.
- (14) Calculate each intersection number  $[\eta_i] \cup_{dR} [D_{jk}]$  via Corollary 6.2 and then calculate each  $[\eta_i] \cup \alpha_l$  from the description of  $\alpha_l$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of the  $D_{jk}$ .
- (15) Calculate each  $[\eta_i]$  as a linear combination of the  $\alpha_l$  from the  $8 \times 8$  matrix  $([\eta_i] \cup \alpha_l)$ .
- (16) We know that the  $\eta_i$  are orthogonal; we can now make them orthonormal. That is, we can determine the scalars  $\mu_i$ .
- (17) We have now calculated H(X) up to a single sign if K(Z) is a field.

## References

- [EGW] P. Engel, F. Greer and A. Ward, Periods of elliptic surfaces with  $p_g = q = 1$ , arXiv:2308.04563
- [I] A. Ikeda, Bielliptic curves of genus three and the Torelli problem for certain elliptic surfaces, Adv. Math. **349** (2019), 125–161.
- [Kl] R. Kloosterman, *Infinitesimal Torelli for elliptic surfaces revisited*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **226** (2022).
- [S] Masa-Hiko Saito, On the infinitesimal Torelli problem of elliptic surfaces, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 23 (1983), 441–460.
- [SB1] N.I. Shepherd-Barron, Asymptotic period relations for Jacobian elliptic surfaces, arXiv:1904.13344, Proc. LMS., to appear.
- [SB2] \_\_\_\_\_, Generic Torelli and local Schottky theorems for Jacobian elliptic surfaces, arXiv:2009.03633, Compositio Math., to appear.

KING'S COLLEGE, STRAND, LONDON WC2R 2LS, U.K.  $E\text{-}mail\ address$ : Nicholas.Shepherd-Barron@kcl.ac.uk