

# Characterization of Erdős matrices by their zero entries

Priyanka Karmakar, Hariram Krishna, Souvik Pal and G. Krishna Teja

December 5, 2025

## Abstract

An Erdős matrix  $E$  is a bistochastic matrix whose sum of squares of entries (Frobenius norm squared) equals its maxtrace (maximum of all the  $\sigma$ -traces for permutations  $\sigma$ 's). We characterize all Erdős  $E$  by the patterns of their zero entries; showing that each such skeleton has at most one  $E$ . We present an algorithm to find all  $n \times n$  Erdős matrices, which finds them up to  $n \leq 5$  quickly and also size  $n = 6$ . We further show some presently known RCDS matrices to be Erdős.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 15A15, 15A45, 15B36, 15B51

**Keywords:** Bistochastic matrix, Frobenius norm, skeleton, inner and outer (permutations and) traces, RCDS matrix, Erdős matrix

## 1 Introduction

An  $n \times n$  *bistochastic* matrix has all its entries in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , with each of its row sums and column sums equal to 1. These matrices form the *Birkhoff polytope*  $\Omega_n$  – classically known as the *assignment polytope* – and can be written as a convex combination of the set of permutation matrices  $P_n$  [Bir46].

We recall the *Frobenius norm* of a matrix, which is the square root of the sum of squares of all its entries. For a square matrix  $M$ , this can also be written as  $\|M\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} M_{i,j}^2 = \text{tr}(^t M M)$ . Next, we define the trace of  $M$  taken along a given permutation matrix  $\sigma \in P_n$  as

$$\text{tr}_\sigma(M) := \text{tr}(^t \sigma M) = \text{tr}(^t M \sigma). \quad (1)$$

This is also called the  $\sigma$ -th diagonal sum in  $M$  in the literature. Now the *maxtrace* of  $M$  is defined as

$$\text{maxtrace}(M) := \max_{\sigma \in P_n} \text{tr}_\sigma(M). \quad (2)$$

An observation of Marcus and Ree [MR59] states that for any  $M \in \Omega_n$ , the  $\text{maxtrace}(M) \geq \|M\|_F^2$ . Erdős asked for which bistochastic matrices  $E \in \Omega_n$ , the equality is attained. So, such  $E$ 's were called Erdős matrices; throughout, we reserve the symbol  $E$  for them.

The easiest examples of Erdős matrices are all the permutation matrices. The next series of examples due to [MR59, Corollary 2] are  $J_n = (1/n) \mathbb{1}_{n \times n}$  (where  $\mathbb{1}_{l \times k}$  is an  $l \times k$  matrix with all entries 1), which are also the only Erdős matrices with all entries positive. Moreover,  $J_n$  solves the Van der Waerden's Conjecture [Ero81]. The permanental minimizers inside some faces were explored in [Hwa85, BS94], and such minimizers in general are not Erdős. Recall, faces are in bijection with zero-entry-patterns, and our study of Erdős matrices proceeds in the same theme again.

One noteworthy property is that transposing a matrix or permuting its rows (or columns) preserves its maxtrace as well as its Frobenius norm and hence its Erdős-ness. In this paper, we are interested in the problem of finding all Erdős matrices up to the equivalences  $E \sim LER \sim L^t E R$  for  $L, R \in P_n$ .

The only such classes of  $2 \times 2$  Erdős matrices are the identity matrix  $I_2$  and  $J_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}$ . Recently, [BMMG24] found all  $3 \times 3$  Erdős matrices and showed that there are only 6 classes of them. Tripathi [Tri25] established the following: 1) there are only finitely many Erdős matrices  $E$  for each given dimension  $n$ , and 2) all the entries of such  $E$ 's are rational. (Also see our discussion in Subsection 3.3.) More recently, [KT25] characterized all  $4 \times 4$  Erdős matrices, and also recorded an “infinite Erdős array”.

We will make some handy definitions before we explore further.

**Definition 1.1.** (a) **Skeleton:** For an  $n \times m$  matrix  $M$ , we define its skeleton  $\text{Skel}(M)$  as the binary  $n \times m$  matrix such that,

$$\text{Skel}(M)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M_{i,j} \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } M_{i,j} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

(b) **Skeleton-poset:** The space of all  $n \times m$  skeletons can be naturally endowed with a partial order. We say two skeletons  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are comparable as  $S_1 \leq S_2$ , if  $S_2 - S_1$  is also a skeleton.

(c) **Inner permutations:** For a given skeleton  $S$ , we define the set of inner permutations  $P_n(S)$  which are the permutations all whose 1's are also present in  $S$ .

$$P_n(S) = \{ \sigma \in P_n \mid S \geq \sigma \}. \quad (4)$$

We shall call the traces along the inner permutations as inner traces. We shall call the complementary set of permutations as outer permutations (and correspondingly outer traces). These notions can be extended to any matrix  $M$  via  $S = \text{Skel}(M)$  in the natural way. We shall use  $P_n(M) = P_n(\text{Skel}(M))$ .

**Example 1.2.** For  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0.6 & 0 \\ 0.6 & 0 & 0.4 \\ 0 & 0.4 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\text{Skel}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $P_3(M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .

Two matrices have the same skeleton if their zero positions are the same. We call a skeleton admissible if there exists a bistochastic matrix with that skeleton. Clearly, not every skeleton is admissible; e.g.  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

From a contemporary study by Brualdi and Dahl [BD21] (and our Theorem 2.7), we can see that the Erdős matrices are a special type of *Restricted Common Diagonal Sum* (RCDS) matrices. The defining property of an RCDS matrix  $M$  (suggestive of the terminology) is: the diagonal sums  $\text{tr}_\sigma(M)$  remain constant across all  $\sigma$  that avoid all 0's in  $M$ . Or in the terminology of this paper, all inner traces are equal. We note that not every admissible skeleton supports an RCDS matrix, and therefore not an Erdős matrix; see [BD21, Example 1.5] which was due to [Ach78]. Classically, [Ach78, Bal78] focused on the properties of such  $M$ 's, including the stratification by the positions of their zeros. However, the RCDS property of Erdős matrices was not explored in recent works [Tri25, KT25, BMMG24] that initiated the study of Erdős matrices. Our analysis builds from proving this property (Theorem 2.7). Essentially, an RCDS matrix is also Erdős if and only if its maxtrace is equal to the common inner traces. As a consequence of the curious result [BD21, Theorem 2.6], every RCDS bistochastic matrix  $E$  can be expressed as the following Hadamard product using its skeleton:

$$E = [(u_i + v_j) \times \text{Skel}(E)_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \quad (5)$$

for some vectors  $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ . Here is a criterion for determining the Erdős-ness of  $E$  using this:

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $E = [(u_i + v_j) \times \text{Skel}(E)_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  be an RCDS matrix bistochastic matrix. Then  $E$  is Erdős if and only if for all outer permutations  $\sigma \in P_n \setminus P_n(E)$ , the sum  $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i,j \leq n \\ \sigma_{i,j}=1 \text{ \& } E_{i,\sigma(i)}=0}} (u_i + v_j) \geq 0$ .

Hence,  $\min(\bar{u}) + \min(\bar{v}) \geq 0$  is a sufficient condition for  $E$  to be Erdős. Our first result generates more families of Erdős matrices  $E$ , following [BD21]. Brualdi and Dahl show RCDS-property of the following three sets of matrices, notably in arbitrary dimensions  $n$ .

1.  $X^{(r,s,n)} := \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{1}_{r \times s} & \frac{r-s}{r(n-s)} \mathbb{1}_{r \times (n-s)} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{(n-r) \times s} & \frac{1}{n-s} \mathbb{1}_{(n-r) \times (n-s)} \end{array} \right)_{n \times n}$ , for every positive integer triad  $0 < s < r < n$ .
  2. *Zig-zag* RCDS patterns: Fix any positive integers  $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$  and  $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{k+1})$ , satisfying the “dominance ordering” and interlacing conditions:  $\sum_{i=1}^t s_i < \sum_{i=1}^t r_i < \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} s_i \forall 1 \leq t < k$ .
- $$X^{(\bar{r}, \bar{s})} := \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} \alpha_{1,1} \mathbb{1}_{r_1 \times s_1} & \alpha_{1,2} \mathbb{1}_{r_1 \times s_2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \alpha_{2,2} \mathbb{1}_{r_2 \times s_2} & \alpha_{2,3} \mathbb{1}_{r_2 \times s_3} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline & & \dots & \dots & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \alpha_{k,k} \mathbb{1}_{r_k \times s_k} & \alpha_{k,k+1} \mathbb{1}_{r_k \times s_{k+1}} \end{array} \right)_{n \times n}. \quad (6)$$

Above  $\alpha_{i,j} > 0$  (see Remark 4.1). We shall allow  $s_{k+1} = 0$  in  $X$ , i.e.  $(k, k+1)$ -th block to be void.

3. Let us first define  $\mathcal{A}_{k,n}$  to be the subset of all matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  with exactly  $k$  ones in each row and column. Next fix any  $n = 2p$  and 4-tuple  $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4) \in \{1, \dots, p\}^4$  satisfying  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_4 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ , and  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_i, p}$ ,  $\alpha_i \leq p$ . We define  $X^{\bar{\alpha}} := \frac{1}{\alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3} \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \alpha_4 A_1 & \alpha_3 A_2 \\ \hline \alpha_2 A_3 & \alpha_1 A_4 \end{array} \right)_{n \times n}$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *The following matrices (defined in 1.–3. above) are Erdős:  $X^{(\bar{r}, \bar{s})}$  for  $k \leq 2$ , and  $X^{\bar{\alpha}}$ .*

The family in point 2 includes the family in 1. See also Theorem 4.1 in Section 4 on the construction of matrix family in point 2 from [BD21]. Note that the theorem for that family is not true for higher values of  $k$ . For a counter example see Theorem 4.3.

To head to our second result, we resume our discussion on [Tri25, Algorithm 1] which produces a possibly Erdős matrix  $E$  for any linearly independent subset of permutations in  $P_n$ ; indeed, their output-matrices land in the RCDS matrix-class. Our paper improves upon these, notably mapping an Erdős matrix  $E$  into classically well-studied *binary matrices with total support* [SK67] (the count of which is smaller than that of linearly independent subsets of  $P_n$ , see Remark 3.1). In our next results Theorem 1.5b, we show that there is at most one Erdős matrix with a given skeleton. Equivalently, there is at most one Erdős matrix in each *proper face* of the polytope  $\Omega_n$ . Thus, one might be able to study and characterize Erdős matrices more closely with the abundance of literature available on binary/ $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices and faces of  $\Omega_n$  [SK67] and [BG77a, BG77b, BG77c, BG76] among several others.

Now we are ready to state our main result which will be proved in Section 2.1. Notably, this strengthens [Ach78, Theorem 2] as it shows the strictness in the inequality.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Given two Erdős matrices  $E_1, E_2$ ,*

- a) *if  $\text{Skel}(E_1) < \text{Skel}(E_2)$ , then  $\text{maxtrace}(E_1) > \text{maxtrace}(E_2)$ .*
- b) *if  $\text{Skel}(E_1) = \text{Skel}(E_2)$ , then  $E_1 = E_2$ .*

*Remark 1.6.* The number of Erdős matrices of size  $n \times n$  is thus bounded by  $2^{n^2}$ .

We prescribe an algorithm to find all such matrices in section 3 utilizing these insights. We have found all Erdős matrices of size  $6 \times 6$  using this. Given that there can be up to  $2(n!)^2$  elements in an equivalence

$n$	Count	Total	Count / $\frac{2^{n^2}}{2(n!)^2}$
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	1
3	6	6	0.84
4	32	33	0.56
5	469	534	0.40
6	23851	32174	0.36

Table 1: Count of  $n \times n$  Erdős matrices up to transposition and permutations, compared to total number of admissible binary matrices up to the same equivalences.

class and the upper bound from Theorem 1.6, a reasonable estimate for the count of equivalence classes of Erdős matrices is  $\frac{2^{n^2}}{2(n!)^2}$ . This visibly follows in table 1. The integer sequence [OEI25b] of the number of  $n \times n$  binary matrices with total support (which are binary matrices that are skeletons of some bistochastic matrix) or more relevant here [OEI25a]: the same number up to equivalence by permutations of rows and columns, gives us an upper bound on the number of equivalence classes of Erdős matrices.

## Acknowledgements

The authors deeply thank R. Tripathi and A. Kushwaha for their discussions and feedbacks on our work on Erdős matrices; and also for suggesting us some references. We also thank A. Iyyer for sharing with us some projects on estimating equivalence classes of binary matrices; and K. Manjunath for some

fruitful discussions. P. Karmakar thanks ICTS for the excellent research facilities that made this work possible. G. Teja acknowledges his National Board of Higher Mathematics Postdoc. fellowship (Ref. No. 0204/16(8)/2022/R&D-II/11979).

## 2 Uniqueness of Erdős matrices by their zeros

We recall the Birkhoff-von Neuman Theorem here-

**Theorem 2.1.** *[[Bir46]] Given any  $M \in \Omega_n$  the space of  $n \times n$  bistochastic matrices, we can express it as a convex sum of the permutation matrices.*

$$M = \sum_{\sigma_i \in P_n} a_i \sigma_i; \quad \sum a_i = 1, \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq 1. \quad (7)$$

We wish to recast this result in the framework of skeletons. This will be more useful for the methods of this paper. Let us denote the vector subspace in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  spanned by all the matrices of  $P_n(M)$  by  $\mathbb{R}P_n(M)$ ; it might suffice to work just over the field of rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Observation 2.2.**  $M \in \mathbb{R}P_n(M)$  by Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Given  $M \in \Omega_n$  and  $\text{Skel}(M) = S$ , there exist  $a_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  such that*

$$M = \sum_{\sigma_i \in P_n(M)} a_i \sigma_i; \quad \sum a_i = 1, \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

The Birkoff's algorithm expresses  $M \in \Omega_n$  as a convex sum of linearly independent permutation matrices in  $P_n(M)$ ; so  $\sigma_i$  in (7) or (8) with nonzero coefficients  $a_i$  can be assumed to be linearly independent.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Given any bistochastic matrix  $M \in \Omega_n$ , and any permutation  $\sigma_0 \in \text{Skel}(M)$ , there exists a collection  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l\} \subseteq P_n(M) \setminus \{\sigma_0\}$  and  $a_0, \dots, a_l \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $a_0 > 0$  and  $M = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq l} a_i \sigma_i$ .*

In other words, a bistochastic matrix always has a convex-sum expansion that involves any permutation of our choice contained within its skeleton.

*Proof.* We will first create a matrix  $G := (1 + \epsilon)M - \epsilon\sigma_0$  with a small value of  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $M$  is nonzero at every position where  $\sigma_0$  is nonzero we can choose an  $\epsilon$  such that  $G$  is a bistochastic matrix. In particular, any value  $0 < \epsilon \leq \min_j M_{j, \sigma_0(j)}$  will do. Now by Theorem 2.3,  $G$  can be expressed as

$$G = \sum_{\sigma_i \in P_n(M)} a_i \sigma_i; \quad M = \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon} \left( \epsilon \sigma_0 + \sum_{\sigma_i \in P_n(M)} a_i \sigma_i \right). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.5.** *More generally, every  $M \in \Omega_n$  admits a convex-sum expression over all permutations in  $P_n(M)$  appearing simultaneously. To see this, iteratively apply Theorem 2.4 to every  $\sigma \in P_n(E)$  and take the average over all the expressions.*

Next, we would like to know along which permutations, the maxtrace for an Erdős matrix is attained. For this, we will recall a lemma from [MR59]. In the case of Erdős matrices, for every permutation that is involved in an expansion in (7), the maxtrace will be attained along that permutation.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $E$  be an Erdős matrix. Suppose  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l\} \subseteq P_n(E)$  be such that we can express  $E = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} a_i \sigma_i$ ;  $\sum a_i = 1$ ,  $0 < a_i \leq 1$ , then*

$$\text{maxtrace}(E) = \text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E) \quad \forall i. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* We can express

$$\text{maxtrace}(E) = \|E\|_F^2 = \text{tr}(^t E E) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \text{tr}(a_i ^t \sigma_i E) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} a_i \text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E). \quad (10)$$

Here, each  $\text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E) \leq \text{maxtrace}(E)$  and  $\sum a_i = 1$ . So, the final expression in (10) is at most  $\text{maxtrace}(E)$ . This maximum is attained only if  $\text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E) = \text{maxtrace}(E)$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *For an Erdős  $E$ , we have  $\text{maxtrace}(E) = \text{tr}_{\sigma_0}(E)$  for all permutations  $\sigma_0 \in P_n(E)$ .*

Or simply put, all the inner traces in an Erdős matrix are equal and equal to its maxtrace. This is proved by directly applying Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.4. Note that any outer permutation may or may not still attain the maxtrace. This also shows that every Erdős matrix is also an RCDS matrix. Conversely, for any RCDS bistochastic matrix  $M$ , the inner traces are equal to  $\|M\|_F^2$ .

## 2.1 Proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.3

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Part a): Let  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\} \subseteq P_n(E_1)$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}P_n(E_1)$  and by basis extension theorem, let  $\{\sigma_{k+1}, \dots, \sigma_m\} \subseteq P_n(E_2) \setminus P_n(E_1)$  be a set of permutations such that  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}P_n(E_2)$ . By Theorem 2.3, let  $E_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \sigma_i$  and  $E_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \sigma_j$  for some  $c_i$  and  $d_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . First note that  $\text{tr}_{\sigma_j}(E_1) \leq \text{maxtrace}(E_1) = \|E_1\|_F^2$  for  $k < j \leq m$ . Now using this and Theorem 2.7

$$\|E_1\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E_1) \geq \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \text{tr}_{\sigma_j}(E_1) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m c_i d_j \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle_F = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m d_i d_j \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle_F = \|E_2\|_F^2.$$

To show strict inequality, suppose on the contrary that  $\text{maxtrace}(E_1) = \text{maxtrace}(E_2)$ . Then  $\text{tr}_{\sigma}(E_2) = \text{maxtrace}(E_2) = \text{maxtrace}(E_1) \forall \sigma \in P_n(E_2)$  by Proposition 2.7. We turn to computations using the Gram matrix  $G = G(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) = [\langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle_F]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ , which is non-singular. Now solving for the  $m \times 1$  vector  $\bar{x}$  in the equation  $G\bar{x} = \text{maxtrace}(E) \mathbb{1}_{m \times 1}$  leads to the two solutions  $\bar{x} = {}^t(d_1, \dots, d_m)$  and  $\bar{x} = {}^t(c_1, \dots, c_k, 0, \dots, 0)$  (see [Tri25, Algorithm 1]). The non-equality of these two solutions follows from the non-equality of the skeletons of  $E_1, E_2$ , which contradicts the non-singularity of  $G(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ .

Part b): Let  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\} \subseteq P_n(E_1)$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}P_n(E_1) = \mathbb{R}P_n(E_2)$ ,  $E_1 = \sum c_i \sigma_i$ ,  $E_2 = \sum d_i \sigma_i$  and  $G = [\langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle_F]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ . By repeated use of Theorem 2.7, we see that

$$\|E_1\|_F^2 = \text{tr}({}^t E_1 E_1) = \sum c_i \text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E_1) = \sum d_i \text{tr}_{\sigma_i}(E_1) = \text{tr}({}^t E_2 E_1) = \text{tr}({}^t E_1 E_2) = \|E_2\|_F^2.$$

Again we observe that both  $\bar{x} = {}^t(d_1, \dots, d_m)$  and  $\bar{x} = {}^t(c_1, \dots, c_m)$  are solutions to  $G\bar{x} = \|E_1\|_F^2 \mathbb{1}_{m \times 1}$ . The Gram matrix is non-singular because  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$  is a linearly independent set. From this we conclude that  $(c_1, \dots, c_m) = (d_1, \dots, d_m)$  and hence  $E_1 = E_2$ .  $\square$

The above also works for RCDS matrices and can be an alternative proof for [BD21, Corollary 1.3].

*Remark 2.8.* The maxtrace map (also the squared Frobenius norm) on the subset of Erdős matrices in  $\Omega_n$  attains its minimum of 1 only at  $J_n$ , and similarly, its maximum of  $n$  only at every  $\sigma \in P_n$ . In particular, if Erdős  $E$  has at least one zero entry, i.e.  $\text{Skel}(E) < \mathbb{1}_{n \times n}$ , then there exists an outer permutation  $\sigma$  with  $\text{tr}_{\sigma}(E) < \text{maxtrace}(E)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Recalling  $E = [(u_i + v_j) \times \text{Skel}(E)_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , observe that for any inner  $\sigma \in P_n(E)$ ,  $\text{tr}_{\sigma}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i + v_i)$ . Now for any outer  $\tau \in P_n \setminus P_n(E)$ ,  $\text{tr}_{\tau}(E) = \sum \{u_i + v_j \mid \tau(i) = j, E_{i,j} > 0\} = \text{tr}_{\sigma}(E) - \sum \{u_i + v_j \mid \tau(i) = j, E_{i,j} = 0\}$ . If this sum is non negative for all possible  $\tau$ , then  $\text{tr}_{\tau}(E) \leq \text{tr}_{\sigma}(E) = \text{maxtrace}[E]$  thus completing the proof.  $\square$

## 3 An algorithm to find all Erdős matrices

In this section we would develop a skeleton-based algorithm to find all  $n \times n$  Erdős matrices, generalizing [Tri25, Algorithm 1]. Due to Theorem 1.5b, there can be at most one Erdős matrix with a given skeleton. Since we are only interested in the equivalence classes up to transpositions and left/right multiplication by permutations, we start by finding the representative skeletons as follows:

### 3.1 Algorithm 1

**Step 1:** Prepare a list of all binary  $n \times n$  matrices called  $B_n$ . There are  $2^{n^2}$  of them. We will sieve through it and prepare a shorter list  $S_{repr}$ . For each matrix  $B$  from the list  $B_n$ , we first append it to  $S_{repr}$ . Then compute all the matrices  $LBR$  and  $L^tBR$  for  $L, R \in P_n$  and remove them from  $B_n$ . Repeat this process until we have exhausted all the entries in  $B_n$  and completely populated  $S_{repr}$ .

**Step 2:** Next, we shall prune the list  $S_{repr}$  for admissible skeletons (i.e. there is at least one bistochastic matrix with that exact skeleton). For example, there are no bistochastic matrices with row completely zero. Or for a matrix like  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , no permutation in the skeleton can fill the  $(1, 2)^{\text{th}}$  position. To avoid all such unproductive skeletons, we construct the set  $S_{admissible}$  as follows:

$$S_{admissible} := \left\{ S \in S_{repr} \setminus (0)_{n \times n} \mid \text{Skel} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in P_n(S)} \sigma \right) = S \right\}. \quad (11)$$

**Step 3:** Now for a given skeleton  $S \in S_{admissible}$ , within the set of permutation matrices  $P_n(S)$ , we find a maximal linearly independent subset of permutations  $B_S$  for  $S$ . This basis spans a linear space, but we are interested only in sums  $\sum_{\sigma_i \in B_S} c_i \sigma_i$  for  $\sum c_i = 1$ . Note that we shall allow individual  $c_i < 0$ .

The next step will be akin to Algorithm 1 in [KT25]

**Step 4:** For our basis set  $B_S = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l\}$ , we compute the gram matrix  $M$  given by  $M_{ij} = \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle_F$ . We then solve for the  $l \times 1$  column vector  $\mathbf{y}$  satisfying  $M\mathbf{y} = \mathbb{1}_{l \times 1}$ . We normalize this to  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} / \sum y_i$ . Now we compute  $E_S = \sum x_i \sigma_i$ .

**Step 5:** We check for the Erdős-ness of  $E_S$  obtained in the previous step. Namely, whether:

- $E_S$  has no negative entries.
- $\text{maxtrace}(E_S) = \|E_S\|_F^2$ .

The simplest way to find the maxtrace is to compute all the  $n!$  traces. It might be interesting to explore better methods for maxtraces of bistochastic matrices. Note that Step 4 ensures all inner traces of  $E_S$  are the same and equal to the squared Frobenius norm  $\|E_S\|_F^2$ . Finally, we gather all those  $E_S$  that have the above properties into a list, but we discard the cases where  $\text{Skel}(E_S) \neq S$ ; to avoid duplication.

### 3.2 Some observations on Algorithm 1

*Remark 3.1.* Theoretically, in dimensions  $n$ , Tripathi's [Tri25, Algorithm 1] iterates through all subsets of  $P_n$  of size at most  $(n-1)^2 + 1$ . Their count is equal to  $\sum_{2 \leq j \leq (n-1)^2 + 1} \binom{n!}{j}$ . We improve on this because

our algorithm runs over a smaller set consisting of binary strings of length  $n^2$  (the  $n \times n$  skeletons).

*Remark 3.2.* We can fix a chosen permutation matrix  $\sigma_0$  and we know that for any Erdős matrix  $E$ , at least one of the equivalent representatives of  $E$  contains  $\sigma_0$  in its skeleton, i.e., there exists  $L, R \in P_n$  such that  $\sigma_0 \in P_n(LER) \cup P_n(L^tER)$ . We can use this fact to speed up Step 1 in the above algorithm by starting with the list of binary matrices that contain  $\sigma_0$ . As we are fixing  $n$  positions to be 1, the list now has its size  $= 2^{n^2-n}$  instead.

*Remark 3.3.* In contrast to [KT25], in Step 4 we do not discard the cases where  $\mathbf{y}$  has negative entries. This is because the resultant matrix  $E_S$  can still be bistochastic. We only discard the cases when some entry of  $E_S$  becomes negative in the subsequent step.

*Remark 3.4.* We note that any choice of a basis in Step 3 would do. If there existed an Erdős matrix  $E_S$  for a given skeleton  $S$ , then it is necessarily a sum  $E_S = \sum c_i \sigma_i$  over the basis  $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l\} = B_S$  fixed in Step 3. The column vector  $\mathbf{x} = (c_i)$  is a solution for  $M\mathbf{x} = m\mathbb{1}_{l \times k}$  in Step 4 for some quantity  $m > 0$ .

*Remark 3.5.* The methods of [BD21] might be more efficient than the one in [Tri25] that we have used here in Step 4. However, for its proper implementation, we need to break down decomposable matrices into indecomposable blocks and combine them again later. We follow [Tri25] for the sake of simplicity.


Some statistics in dimension  $n = 5$ : Step 1 evaluates 3014 equivalence classes of binary matrices (or 1764 in view of theorem 3.2). This gets pruned down to 534 admissible skeletons in Step 2. From these only 469 distinct Erdős matrices are obtained.

In 7 classes, the matrix  $E_S$  obtained in Step 4 has a smaller skeleton than  $S$ . This happens whenever an outer trace is equal to the inner traces and square of the Frobenius norm. Then the skeleton created by including those outer permutations should yield the same result as the smaller skeleton.



In 33 classes, the matrix  $E_S$  has a negative entry and hence it is not bistochastic. In 31 classes, maxtrace is attained along an outer permutation which exceeds all the inner traces, and hence it is more than the squared-Frobenius norm of  $E_S$ . In the intersection, we see 6 classes of matrices  $E_S$  with negative entries as well as their maxtraces exceeding the inner traces. We summarize the corresponding numbers for  $6 \times 6$  matrices in the pie-chart.

We also notice a big chunk of these non-examples in simplicial faces of  $\Omega_n$  (i.e. when all inner permutations are linearly independent). Out of the 65 non-examples 33 are simplicial. In comparison, only 83 of the 534 admissible skeletons are simplicial. The interested reader may see [BG77a] for a thoroughly study and characterization of skeletons of simplicial faces, and [BD21] studies the RCDS matrices in these simplices. Following these complete characterizations, it might be interesting to investigate in higher dimensions the afore-stated phenomenon (and any possible explanations, even speculative).



### 3.3 Erdős matrices with maximum number of distinct entries

In this section, we investigate the Erdős matrices  $E$  all of whose nonzero entries are distinct. None of such  $E$ 's occur in dimensions  $n \leq 4$ . However, there are several  $5 \times 5$   $E$ 's with distinct entries. Among them, we focus on those  $E$  which have the least number of zeros (and so, maximum number of distinct entries overall). We expect such matrices to have very little symmetry, and it is perhaps reasonable to expect the equivalence class under transposition and permutations to take the full size of  $2(n!)^2$ . If we select for such classes of permutations and also minimize the number of zeros and all other entries distinct, we find

$$E_5^D = \frac{1}{10226} \begin{pmatrix} 1028 & 1947 & 3087 & 2832 & 1332 \\ 0 & 2204 & 3344 & 3089 & 1589 \\ 1736 & 2655 & 3795 & 0 & 2040 \\ 2501 & 3420 & 0 & 4305 & 0 \\ 4961 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5265 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{6,1}^D = \frac{1}{1499473} \begin{pmatrix} 280460 & 227012 & 194276 & 321380 & 298700 & 177645 \\ 0 & 345696 & 0 & 440064 & 417384 & 296329 \\ 315989 & 262541 & 229805 & 356909 & 334229 & 0 \\ 340200 & 286752 & 254016 & 381120 & 0 & 237385 \\ 0 & 377472 & 344736 & 0 & 449160 & 328105 \\ 562824 & 0 & 476640 & 0 & 0 & 460009 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Above,  $E_5^D$  and  $E_{6,1}^D$  have 18 and 27 distinct nonzero entries respectively. They also happen to have the largest denominator among their size Erdős matrices when expressed in simplest terms. There are actually 6 classes of  $6 \times 6$  Erdős matrices with 27 distinct nonzero entries. Further, they require the most number of permutations in their convex expression (as in (8)).

We have so far:  $E_2^D = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $E_3^D = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $E_4^D = \frac{1}{43} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 & 15 & 19 \\ 7 & 12 & 0 & 24 \\ 15 & 0 & 28 & 0 \\ 19 & 24 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $E_5^D$ ,  $E_{6,1}^D$  as in (12).

And the denominators: 2, 5, 43, 10266, 1499473, ....

**Question 3.6.** *In view of the observations above, it might be natural to ask for given  $n$ :*

- 1) *Does the matrix with largest denominator occur among those with maximum number of all distinct nonzero entries?*
- 2) *How does the above denominator-sequence grow?*

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.4: Erdős-ness of RCDS-families in [BD21]

Recall the definitions of the RCDS matrix classes in points 1.–3. in the [Introduction](#). We begin with

*Remark 4.1.* [BD21] specifies the number of rows in each of the blocks in  $X^{(\bar{s}, \bar{r})}$  containing  $\alpha_{j,j}$  and  $\alpha_{j,j+1}$  to be  $r_{2j-1}$  and resp.  $r_{2j}$ , and does not touch up on  $r_{2j-1} \stackrel{?}{=} r_{2j}$ ; the same question arises along the columns as well. Indeed, if  $r_{2j-1} \neq r_{2j}$  then the block structure of  $X$  is perhaps unclear. Further, even if one fixes  $r_{2j-1} = r_{2j}$ ,  $s_{2j} = s_{2j+1} \forall j$ , the inequalities in the hypothesis [BD21, (eq. 20)] do not guarantee the positivity of  $\alpha_{i,j}$ 's. (and those values were not even computed in that paper). We have made these clear in (6) (and believe this to be the actual intention of [BD21]) and the formulas for  $\alpha_{i,j}$ 's

are as follows. Observe  $\alpha_{1,1} = \frac{1}{r_1}$ ,  $\alpha_{1,2} = \frac{r_1 - s_1}{r_1 s_2}, \dots$

$$\alpha_{i,i} = \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_i - r_1 - \dots - r_{i-1}}{r_i s_i}, \quad \alpha_{i,i+1} = \frac{r_1 + \dots + r_i - s_1 - \dots - s_i}{r_i s_{i+1}}, \dots \quad (13)$$

*Remark 4.2.* The matrix can also be expressed like in (5). We first note that by symmetry, all the  $u_i$ 's (and  $v_j$ 's) for a particular block will be the same. So we create the vectors  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  by contracting the indices to refer to all the rows (and columns) of a corresponding block. With this  $\alpha_{i,j} = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{v}_j \forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq i+1 \leq k+1$ . Solving this for other values of  $i, j$ , yields  $\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{v}_j = \sum_{t=i}^{j-2} (\alpha_{t,t+1} - \alpha_{t+1,t+1}) + \alpha_{j-1,j}$  if  $j > i+1$  and  $\mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{v}_j = \sum_{t=j}^{i-1} (\alpha_{t,t} - \alpha_{t,t+1}) + \alpha_{i,i}$  if  $j < i$ .

We are now ready to present the proof.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* We know that  $X$  in the three families in the theorem have RCDS property. Thus by Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show that  $X$  when expressed like in (5), the value  $u_i + v_j \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ .

For  $X = X^{((r_1, r_2), (s_1, s_2, s_3))}$ : So  $X = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} \frac{1}{r_1} \mathbb{1}_{r_1 \times s_1} & \frac{r_1 - s_1}{r_1 s_2} \mathbb{1}_{r_1 \times s_2} & \mathbf{0}_{r_1 \times s_3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{r_2 \times s_1} & \frac{s_1 + s_2 - r_1}{s_2 r_2} \mathbb{1}_{r_2 \times s_2} & \frac{1}{r_2} \mathbb{1}_{r_2 \times s_3} \end{array} \right)_{n \times n}$  with  $s_1 < r_1 < s_1 + s_2 \leq r_1 + r_2 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = n$ . Next, we can find the vectors  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$  and  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$  as discussed in Theorem 4.2. We only need to verify that  $\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{v}_1$  are non-negative and the rest follows from Theorem 1.3. Indeed, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3 &= \alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{2,3} = n(r_1 - s_1)/(r_1 r_2 s_2) > 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{v}_1 &= \alpha_{1,1} - \alpha_{1,2} + \alpha_{2,2} = n(s_1 + s_2 - r_1)/(r_1 r_2 s_2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $X = X^{(r,s,n)}$ : This case follows from the previous case when we set  $s_3 = 0$ .

For  $X = X^\alpha$ : The following values for the vectors  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  can be used for (5) type expansion of  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \frac{1}{8(\alpha_1 \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3)} \times \begin{cases} -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 & : 1 \leq i \leq p \\ 3\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 & : p < i \leq 2p \end{cases}; \\ v_j &= \frac{1}{8(\alpha_1 \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3)} \times \begin{cases} -\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 & : 1 \leq j \leq p \\ 3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 & : p < j \leq 2p \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Any  $u_i + v_j = \alpha_l / (\alpha_1 \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3) > 0$  for some  $l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . The proof then follows from Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* The RCDS family  $X^{(\bar{s}, \bar{r})}$  contains non-Erdős matrices for value of  $k \geq 3$ . As a counter example, consider  $X^{((4,2,4), (3,2,5))}$  with  $\bar{\alpha} = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{5})$ . This matrix is RCDS with inner traces equal to 81/40, but the maxtrace is 82/40 and so it is not Erdős.

## References

- [Ach78] E. Achilles, *Doubly stochastic matrices with some equal diagonal sums*, Linear Algebra Appl. **22** (1978), 293–296.
- [Bal78] K. Balasubramanian, *On equality of some elements in matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **22** (1978), 135–138.
- [BD21] Richard A Brualdi and G Dahl, *Diagonal sums of doubly stochastic matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra (2021), no. 70, 4946–4972.
- [BG76] Richard A Brualdi and Peter M Gibson, *Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices–IV*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications **15** (1976), no. 2, 153–172.
- [BG77a] ———, *Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices. I. Applications of the permanent function*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **22** (1977), no. 2, 194–230.
- [BG77b] ———, *Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices: II. Graph of  $\omega n$* , Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **22** (1977), no. 2, 175–198.



- [BG77c] ———, *Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices III. Affine and combinatorial properties of  $\omega_n$* , Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **22** (1977), no. 3, 338–351.
- [Bir46] Garrett Birkhoff, *Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal*, Univ. Nac. Tucumán. Revista A. **5** (1946), 147–151. MR 20547
- [BMMG24] Ludovick Bouthat, Javad Mashreghi, and Frédéric Morneau-Guérin, *On a question of Erdős on doubly stochastic matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **72** (2024), no. 17, 2823–2844. MR 4823250
- [BS94] Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader, *Minimum permanents on special faces of the polytope of doubly stochastic matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **201** (1994), 103–111.
- [Ero81] G.P. Erorychev, *Proof of the van der waerden conjecture for permanents.*, Sib. Math. J. **22** (1981), 854–859.
- [Hwa85] S. G. Hwang, *Minimum permanent on faces of staircase type of the polytope of doubly stochastic matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **4** (1985), 271–306.
- [KT25] Aman Kushwaha and Raghavendra Tripathi, *A note on Erdős matrices and Marcus–Ree inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl. **725** (2025), 223–247. MR 4933788
- [MR59] M. Marcus and R. Ree, *Diagonals of doubly stochastic matrices*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **10** (1959), 296–302. MR 117243
- [OEI25a] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2025), *Number of inequivalent  $n \times n$  binary matrices with total support, where equivalence means permutations of rows or columns*, 2025, Entry A326343 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org/A326343>.
- [OEI25b] ———, *Number of  $n \times n$  binary matrices with total support*, 2025, Entry A326342 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org/A326342>.
- [SK67] R. Sinkhorn. and P. Knopp, *Concerning nonnegative matrices and doubly stochastic matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **2** (1967), no. 21, 343–348.
- [Tri25] Raghavendra Tripathi, *Some observations on Erdős matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **708** (2025), 236–251. MR 4840450

(Priyanka Karmakar) ICTS-TIFR, BANGALORE 560 089, INDIA.

*Email:* [priyankakarmakar.2196@gmail.com](mailto:priyankakarmakar.2196@gmail.com), [priyanka.karmakar@icts.res.in](mailto:priyanka.karmakar@icts.res.in).

(Hariram Krishna) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IISC, BANGALORE 560 012, INDIA.

*Email:* [hariramk@iisc.ac.in](mailto:hariramk@iisc.ac.in), [harirarn@gmail.com](mailto:harirarn@gmail.com).

(Souvik Pal) DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES, CHRIST UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE 560 074, INDIA. *Email:* [pal.souvik90@gmail.com](mailto:pal.souvik90@gmail.com), [souvik.pal@christuniversity.in](mailto:souvik.pal@christuniversity.in).

(G. Krishna Teja) STAT. MATH. UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE BANGALORE CENTER, BANGALORE, 560059, INDIA. *Email:* [tejag@alum.iisc.ac.in](mailto:tejag@alum.iisc.ac.in), [tejag.pd@isibang.ac.in](mailto:tejag.pd@isibang.ac.in).