

On a fuzzy Landau Equation: Part III. The grazing collision limit

Manh Hong Duong Boris Golubkov Zihui He

December 5, 2025

Abstract

In this paper, we study the grazing limit from the non-cutoff fuzzy Boltzmann equations to the fuzzy Landau equation, where particles interact through delocalised collisions. We show the grazing limit through variational formulations that correspond to the GENERIC (General Equations for Non-Equilibrium Reversible-Irreversible Coupling) structure of the respective equations. We show that the variational formulation associated with a non-quadratic dual dissipation pair for the fuzzy Boltzmann equations converges to a variational formulation of the fuzzy Landau equation corresponding to a quadratic dissipation pair.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	GENERIC structure	5
1.2	Variational formulations	6
2	The equations and main results	9
2.1	Fuzzy Landau equations	9
2.1.1	A variational characterisation	11
2.2	Fuzzy Boltzmann equation	12
2.2.1	Dual dissipation potentials	14
2.3	Main results	20
3	Preliminaries	27
3.1	Spherical coordinate	27
3.2	Limits of the fuzzy Boltzmann gradient	29

Key words and phrases. Boltzmann equation, Landau equation, delocalised collision, GENERIC system, grazing limit.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q20, 35B25, 37L65.

4	Compactness results	34
4.1	Proof of Lemma 4.3	36
4.1.1	Hard and Maxwellian cases	39
4.1.2	Soft potential cases	40
4.2	Proof of Lemma 4.4	43
5	Convergence of dissipation	50
5.1	Extension of notations	51
5.2	Proof of Theorem 5.1	53
6	Convergence of curve action	59

1 Introduction

In this article, we study the grazing collision limit from the *fuzzy* Boltzmann equations to the *fuzzy* Landau equation. The *fuzzy* Boltzmann equation can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_B(f, f) \\ Q_B(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa(x - x_*) B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) (f' f'_* - f f_*) d\sigma dx_* dv_* \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The unknown $f_t(x, v) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $d \geq 2$ corresponds to the density of particles at time $t \in [0, T]$ and position $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The linear transport term $v \cdot \nabla_x f$ describes the advection of the density by the velocity of the particles. The collision term $Q_B(f, f)$ describes the *delocalised* elastic collision of the particles with the pre-collision status (x, v) and (x_*, v_*) . The post-collision velocities v' and v'_* are given by

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in S^{d-1}.$$

We use the notations

$$f = f(x, v), \quad f_* = f(x_*, v_*), \quad f' = f(x, v'), \quad f'_* = f(x_*, v'_*).$$

The collision kernel $B : \mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by

$$B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) = A_0(|v - v_*|) b(\theta),$$

where the kinetic kernel takes the form of

$$A_0(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^\gamma, \quad \gamma \in [-2, 1]. \quad (1.2)$$

When $d = 2$, we consider $\gamma \in (-2, 1]$. In the hard and Maxwellian potential cases, A_0 can also take the form $A_0(|v - v_*|) \sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma$, $\gamma \in (-\infty, 1]$. The deviation angle $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ is given by

$$\theta = \arccos \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma.$$

The *delocalised* collision is characterised by a spatial interaction kernel κ . For example, one choice of κ could be a renormalised Gaussian kernel proportional to $\exp(-\langle x \rangle)$, where $\langle z \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |z|^2}$ denotes the Japanese bracket. The fuzzy equation (1.1) is introduced in [EH25a] as an approximation of the classical inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_{\text{class}}(f, f) \\ Q_{\text{class}}(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) \times \\ \quad (f(x, v')f(x, v'_*) - f(x, v)f(x, v_*)) \, d\sigma \, dv_*. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

One major difficulty in studying the classical Boltzmann equations is that the natural a priori bound—the conservation of mass, given by the L^1 -norm of f , is fundamentally incompatible with the L^2 -integrability in the spatial variable x required by the quadratic collision term $Q_{\text{class}}(f, f)$. The so-called renormalised solutions are studied in, for example, [DL89; AV02]. In [EH25b], Erbar and the third author showed that as $\kappa(x - x_*) \rightarrow \delta_0(x - x_*)$ a Dirac measure, the fuzzy equation (1.1) indeed converges to the classical equation (1.3). Here, we do not take the singular limit in κ , further assumptions of κ can be found in Assumption 2.14.

We consider the singular angle function $\beta(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sin \theta^{d-2} b(\theta)$ such that $\text{supp}(\beta) \subset [0, \pi/2]$,

$$\beta(\theta) = \sin \theta^{d-2} b(\theta) \gtrsim \theta^{-1-\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\pi/2} \beta(\theta) \theta^2 \, d\theta < +\infty, \quad (1.4)$$

for some $\nu \in (0, 2)$. Further assumptions of B and β can be found in Section 2.3. We take the following scaling for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\beta^\varepsilon(\theta) = \pi^3 / \varepsilon^3 \beta\left(\frac{\pi\theta}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (1.5)$$

The scaling induces a new collision operator Q_{B}^ε corresponding to the collision kernel $B^\varepsilon = A_0(|v - v_*|)b^\varepsilon(\theta)$ and formally the following *grazing collision limit*

$$\partial_t f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon = Q_{\text{B}}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_{\text{L}}(f, f), \quad (1.6)$$

that the *fuzzy* Boltzmann equation converges to the *fuzzy* Landau equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_{\text{L}}(f, f) \\ Q_{\text{L}}(f, f) = \nabla_v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \kappa(x - x_*) A(|v - v_*|) \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (f_* \nabla_v f - f \nabla_{v_*} f_*) \, dx_* \, dv_*, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where the kinetic kernel $A(|v - v_*|) = A_0(|v - v_*|)|v - v_*|^2$, and the orthogonal projection $\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp}$ is given by

$$\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} = \text{Id} - \frac{(v - v_*) \otimes (v - v_*)}{|v - v_*|^2}.$$

Similar to the difficulty encountered in studying the classical inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation (1.3), the L^2 -integrability in x is incompatible with mass conservation when analysing the classical inhomogeneous Landau equation, which corresponds to the fuzzy equation (1.7) with κ taken as a Dirac measure. The fuzzy Landau equation (1.7) was introduced in [DH25b] as an approximation to the classical inhomogeneous Landau equations and also has been studied further in [DH25c; GGPTZ25]. The grazing limit (1.6) of the classical inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation was shown on the formal level by Desvillettes [Des92]. Simplified models of the inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation (1.3) and the Landau equation are the spatial *homogeneous* equations obtained by removing the spatial variable x . For $f = f(v)$, the homogeneous Boltzmann and Landau equations write as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) (f(v')f(v'_*) - f(v)f(v_*)) \, d\sigma \, dv_*, \\ \partial_t f(v) &= \nabla_v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A(|v - v_*|) \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (f(v_*) \nabla f(v) - f(v) \nabla_* f(v_*)) \, dv_*.\end{aligned}\tag{1.8}$$

As a consequence, the linear transport term disappears, and the quadratic dependence on x also vanishes in the homogeneous collision operators. Concerning the rigorous proof of the grazing limit in the *homogeneous* case, the hard potential cases were studied in [AB90], the soft potential cases were shown in [Vil98b], where the framework of \mathcal{H} -solutions was introduced. The weak convergence was improved in [ADVW00] by taking advantage of the angular singularity. Combining with the so-called velocity average techniques in [GLPS88; DLM91], the grazing limit in the *inhomogeneous* case was shown in [AV02]. Recently, the grazing limit for the quantum Boltzmann operator was studied in [GPTW25], and for wave kinetic equations in [DH25a].

The spatially homogeneous Boltzmann and Landau equations (1.8) can be formulated as gradient flows, or steepest descents, of the dissipative Boltzmann entropy $\mathcal{H}(f) = \int f \log f$. A rigorous variational characterisation of these gradient-flow structures was established in [Erb23] and [CDDW24], respectively. Using these gradient flow structures and the Γ -convergence framework for gradient flows developed in [Ser11], Carrillo, Delgadino, and Wu [CDW22] showed the grazing limit in the homogeneous case (1.8).

The *fuzzy* Boltzmann equation (1.1) and the Landau equations (1.7) have been rigorously cast into the GENERIC (General Equations for Non-Equilibrium Reversible Irreversible Coupling) framework, which is a combination of both conservative and dissipative dynamics, in [EH25a; DH25b] using the variational formulation for a general GENERIC system formally proposed in [DPZ13]. Motivated by the aforementioned results on the grazing limit of the classical Boltzmann equations, in this paper, by extending the method in [CDW22] for the *homogeneous* equations to the *fuzzy* models and combining with velocity-averaging techniques to obtain the necessary compactness, we rigorously establish the grazing limit in the fuzzy case using a variational framework that captures the GENERIC structures of the fuzzy equations developed in [EH25a;

[DH25b](#)]. In particular, we show that the grazing limit of the fuzzy Boltzmann equations—whose dynamics are governed by a pair of non-quadratic (cosh)-dissipation potentials, converges to the fuzzy Landau equations, which admit a pair of quadratic dissipation potentials.

1.1 GENERIC structure

The GENERIC framework provides a systematic method to derive thermodynamically consistent evolution equations describing complex systems consisting of both Hamiltonian (reversible) dynamics and gradient (irreversible) flows. It was first introduced in the context of complex fluids [[GÖ97a](#); [GÖ97b](#)]. A GENERIC system describes the evolution of an unknown \mathbf{z} in a state space \mathbf{Z} via the equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{L} \, d\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{M} \, d\mathbf{S}. \quad (1.9)$$

The building block $\{\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- The functionals $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are interpreted as, energy and entropy functionals respectively; and $d\mathbf{E}, d\mathbf{S}$ are their differentials.
- $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}$ are antisymmetric operators mapping cotangent to tangent vectors and satisfying the Jacobi identity.
- $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}$ is a symmetric and positive semi-definite operator.
- The following degeneracy conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{z}) \, d\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{z}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{z}) \, d\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{z}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{z}.$$

The conditions satisfied by the building blocks $\{\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}\}$ ensure that in any solution to (1.9), the energy \mathbf{E} is conserved and the entropy \mathbf{S} is non-decreasing

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{z}_t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{z}_t) \geq 0.$$

Thus, the first and second laws of thermodynamics are automatically satisfied for GENERIC systems. The first author, Peletier and Zimmer [[DPZ13](#)] propose a variational characterisation: a curve $\mathbf{z} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a solution to (1.9) if and only if $J(\mathbf{z}) = 0$, where

$$J(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{z}_0) - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{z}_T) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\partial_t \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{L} \, d\mathbf{E}\|_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}^2 \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|d\mathbf{S}\|_{\mathbf{M}}^2 \, dt, \quad (1.10)$$

where the weighted (pseudo) norms are associated with the weighted L^2 -inner products

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbf{M}^{\pm 1}} = \int \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{M}^{\pm 1} \mathbf{w}.$$

When $E = 0$, the system (1.9) reduces to a gradient flow and the variational characterisation (1.10) corresponds to De Giorgi's characterisation of gradient flows as curves of maximal slope, see for example [AGS08].

The above (quadratic) framework can be generalised by inducing a pair of dual dissipation potentials $R : Z \times TZ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $R^* : Z \times T^*Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on (co-)tangential spaces that are convex conjugate

$$J(z) = S(z_0) - S(z_T) + \int_0^T R(\partial_t z - L dE) dt + \int_0^T R^*(dS) dt. \quad (1.11)$$

Similar to the quadratic case, $J = 0$ leads to the generalised GENERIC system [Mie11]

$$\partial_t z = L dE + \partial R^*(dS), \quad (1.12)$$

where the irreversible part $M dS$ in (1.9) is replaced by

$$\partial_v R^*(v) \big|_{v=dS}.$$

The positivity of J is ensured by Fenchel's inequality

$$x \cdot v \leq R(x) + R^*(v) \quad \text{with equality iff } x = \partial R^*(v). \quad (1.13)$$

1.2 Variational formulations

The fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1) and the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7) are associated with, at least formally, the conservative energy and the dissipative Boltzmann entropy

$$E(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |v|^2 f dx dv \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f \log f dx dv. \quad (1.14)$$

The dissipation of the entropy is characterised by the entropy inequalities

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}(f) dt \leq 0. \quad (1.15)$$

The Boltzmann and Landau entropy dissipations are given by

$$\mathcal{D}_B(f) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B(f'_* f'_* - f f_*) \bar{\nabla} \log f dx_* dv_* dx dv d\sigma \geq 0, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa f f_* |\tilde{\nabla} \log f|^2 dx_* dv_* dx dv \geq 0, \quad (1.17)$$

where the Boltzmann and Landau gradients are given by $\bar{\nabla} f = f'_* + f' - f_* - f$ and $\tilde{\nabla} f = \sqrt{A} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (\nabla_v f - (\nabla_v f)_*)$. The non-negativity of \mathcal{D}_B follows from the elementary inequality $(\log x - \log y)(x - y) \geq 0$.

The fuzzy Boltzmann equations can be cast as a generalised quadratic GENERIC system with building blocks $\{E, S, L, \partial R^*\}$, where E is given by (1.14) and $S = -\mathcal{H}$, and the operator L is given by

$$L(f)g = -\nabla \cdot (f J \nabla g), \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id}_d \\ -\text{id}_d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.18)$$

for all $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, where $\nabla = (\nabla_x, \nabla_v)^T$. The dissipation potential pair \mathbf{R}^*, \mathbf{R} is determined by a pair of even, lower semi-continuous convex conjugated functions $\Psi, \Psi^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and a 1-homogeneous concave function $\Theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying Assumption 2.8, in particular, the following compatibility condition holds

$$(\Psi^*)'(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t) = s - t, \quad \forall s, t > 0.$$

Notice that $dS = -\log f$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(U) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi\left(\frac{U}{\Theta(f)B\kappa}\right) \Theta(f)B\kappa, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{R}^*(-\log f) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(-\bar{\nabla} \log f) \Theta(f)B\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we write $\Theta(f) = \Theta(f'f'_*, ff_*)$. The corresponding variational functional (1.11) writes

$$\mathbf{J}_B(f) := \mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) dt + \int_0^T \mathbf{R}(\partial_t f - v \cdot \nabla_x f) dt. \quad (1.19)$$

In particular, one can take the important class of hyperbolic cosine functions

$$\Psi^*(r) = 4(\cosh(r/2) - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(s, t) = \sqrt{st}. \quad (1.20)$$

The rigorous proof of the generalised GENERIC structure characterised by the variational formulation (1.19) was shown in [EH25a] that $\mathbf{J}_B = 0$ if and only if f solves the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1), where the cut-off (bounded angular kernel) hard potential case that $A_0 \sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma$, $\gamma \in (-\infty, 1]$ were considered. Although we lack the rigorous proof of the GENERIC structures in the non-cutoff and soft potential cases, the variational inequality $\mathbf{J}_B \leq 0$ is ensured by the entropy inequality (1.15) and the duality condition (1.13).

The fuzzy Landau equation (1.7) can be cast as a GENERIC system with the building block $\{\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{L}, \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\}$, where \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{L} are given by (1.14) and (1.18), $\mathbf{S} = -\mathcal{H}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(f)g = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\kappa f f_* \tilde{\nabla} g),$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot$ is the L^2 -dual of $\tilde{\nabla}$ given by (2.3). In [DH25b], the first and third authors rigorously showed this GENERIC structure via a variational characterisation corresponding to (1.10) under appropriate curve assumptions.

Taking the scaling (1.5), inspired by the homogeneous argument in [CDW22], to show the grazing limit (1.6), we show that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the fuzzy Boltzmann variational inequality

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T^\varepsilon) - \mathcal{H}(f_0^\varepsilon) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) dt + \int_0^T \mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(\partial_t f^\varepsilon - v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon) dt \leq 0 \quad (1.21)$$

leads to the fuzzy Landau variational inequality

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_L(f_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\partial_t f - v \cdot \nabla_x f\|_{M^{-1}}^2 dt \leq 0. \quad (1.22)$$

We show that the grazing limit of the fuzzy Boltzmann equations—whose dynamics are governed by a pair of non-quadratic dissipation potentials, converges to the fuzzy Landau equations, which admit a pair of quadratic dissipation potentials.

The grazing limit for the homogenous case in [CDW22] only deals with the quadratic admissible pair in the Boltzmann equation, which corresponds to $\Psi^*(r) = \Psi(r) = \frac{r^2}{2}$ and $\Theta(s, t) = \frac{s-t}{\log s - \log t}$ (the logarithm mean). Our results also apply to the homogeneous cases, where one can take non-quadratic pairs in the variational inequality corresponding to the gradient flows of homogeneous Boltzmann equations. In particular, in (1.21), we can take the admissible cosh-pair given in (1.20). The (generalised) GENERIC formulation (1.12) using the cosh-pair dissipation functionals (1.20) for the Boltzmann equation was shown formally in [Grm93; Grm02; Grm10; Grm18]. This specific non-quadratic variational formulation for dissipative systems has recently received considerable attention in the PDE and probability communities due to its intimate connections to large deviation principle of stochastic processes [MPR14; MPPM17; PRST22; PS23; KLMP20; DO23]. We do not derive a large deviation principle for the fuzzy Boltzmann and Landau equations in this paper, which would be interesting topics for future research, but refer the reader to the papers [Léo95; Rez98; Bou20; BGSS23; FF24; FB21; BGSS23] for relevant discussions on the classical models.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we present the fuzzy Landau and fuzzy Boltzmann equations in detail and state the main result, Theorem 2.17. In Section 3, we introduce a polar-coordinate representation of the Boltzmann collision operator and provide the necessary pointwise limits and uniform bounds. In Section 4, we establish the compactness of solutions to the fuzzy Boltzmann variational inequality, f^ε , in (1.21). Finally, in Section 5 and Section 6, we pass to the limit in the variational inequalities (1.21).

This article is the third in a series of works on the fuzzy Landau equation. We refer to the first two papers on the variational characterisation and the solvability results of the fuzzy Landau equations in [DH25b] and [DH25c] respectively.

Acknowledgements

M. H. D is funded by an EPSRC Standard Grant EP/Y008561/1. Z. H. is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 317210226 – SFB 1283.

The authors are grateful to Matthias Erbar and Jeremy Wu for their helpful discussions and comments.

2 The equations and main results

Throughout this work, we consider the kernels $A_0 = A_0(|v - v_*|)$ taking the following forms

$$A_0(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^\gamma, \quad \gamma \in [-2, 1]. \quad (2.1)$$

When $d = 2$, we consider $\gamma \in (-2, 1]$. In the hard and Maxwellian potential case, the kernel A_0 can also take the following form

$$0 < C^{-1} \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \leq A_0(|v - v_*|) \leq C \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma, \quad \gamma \in (-\infty, 1]. \quad (2.2)$$

In this article, the domain can be taken \mathbb{R}^{2d} or $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We take $T > 0$ arbitrarily fixed.

In this section, we present the fuzzy Landau and fuzzy Boltzmann equations in Section 2.1 and Section 2.2, respectively, where the GENERIC formulation and variational characterisation are also discussed. In particular, Section 2.2.1 addresses the entropy inequality of fuzzy Boltzmann equations associated with non-quadratic dissipation potential pairs. Finally, in Section 2.3, we present the main Theorem 2.17 and the strategy of the proof, where the grazing-collision limit of the fuzzy Boltzmann equations corresponding to a non-quadratic entropy–dissipation pair converges to the fuzzy Landau equation characterised by a quadratic entropy–dissipation pair.

2.1 Fuzzy Landau equations

We recall the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_L(f, f) \\ Q_L(f, f) = \nabla_v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \kappa(x - x_*) A(|v - v_*|) \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (f_* \nabla_v f - f \nabla_{v_*} f_*) dx_* dv_*, \end{cases}$$

and the kernel $A(v - v_*) = A_0(|v - v_*|)|v - v_*|^2$. We define the Landau gradient $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows

$$\tilde{\nabla} f = \sqrt{A} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (\nabla_v f - (\nabla_v f)_*).$$

For $G : \mathbb{R}^{4d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, the divergence $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot$ is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla} \cdot G = \nabla_v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \sqrt{A} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (G - G_*) dx_* dv_* \quad (2.3)$$

via the integration by parts formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} G \cdot \tilde{\nabla} f d\eta = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot G f dx dv,$$

where we use the shortened notation $d\eta = dx_* dv_* dx dv$ to denote the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{4d} .

Thus, the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7) can be written as

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\kappa f f_* \tilde{\nabla} \log f).$$

The following mass, momentum and energy conservation laws at least formally hold

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1, v, |v|^2) f_t \, dx \, dv = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1, v, |v|^2) f_0 \, dx \, dv \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.4)$$

The Boltzmann entropy of the fuzzy Landau equation is non-increasing over time, and the following inequality holds, at least formally,

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) = - \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_L(f_t) \, dt \leq 0,$$

where the entropy dissipation is given by (1.17).

We follow the homogeneous cases in [Vil98a] to define \mathcal{H} -solutions to the fuzzy Landau equations. The existence of the \mathcal{H} -solutions, the conservation laws and entropy inequalities have been discussed in [DH25c]. Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ denote the space of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^{2d} .

Definition 2.1 (\mathcal{H} -solution). *Let $\gamma \in [-2, 1]$. Let $(f_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be weakly continuous in time. Let $f \in L^1([0, T]; L_{2, 2+\gamma_+}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})) \cap L^\infty([0, T]; L \log L(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$. We say f is an \mathcal{H} -solution of (1.7) if the following entropy dissipation is time-integrable*

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{D}_L(f_t) \, dt < +\infty,$$

and the following weak formulation holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_0 \varphi(0) \, dx \, dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f (\partial_t \varphi + v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi) \, dx \, dv \, dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa f f_* \tilde{\nabla} \varphi \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \log f \, d\eta \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

In the above, we use the notation $\gamma_+ = \max(\gamma, 0)$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $L_{a,b}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ denotes the functional space

$$L_{a,b}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \mid \|f\|_{L_{a,b}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} < +\infty\},$$

with the norms

$$\|f\|_{L_{a,b}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\langle x \rangle^a + \langle v \rangle^b) f(x, v) \, dx \, dv.$$

2.1.1 A variational characterisation

In [DH25b], the first and third authors show a variational characterisation that recasts the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7) within the framework of GENERIC systems with the building blocks $\{\tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{S}\}$, where the energy and entropy are given by

$$\mathbf{E}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{|v|^2}{2} f \, dx \, dv \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}(f) = -\mathcal{H}(f), \quad (2.6)$$

and the operators \mathbf{L} and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ at $f \in \mathbf{Z}$ are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(f)g = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\kappa f f_* \tilde{\nabla} g) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{L}(f)g = -\nabla \cdot (f \mathbf{J} \nabla g) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $g \in \mathbf{Z}$ and \mathbf{J} is given in (1.18).

To characterise the speed of curves along the characteristic line $\|\partial_t f(x + tv)\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{M}}^{-1}}^2$ in (1.22), we define the transport grazing rate equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla} \cdot U_{\mathbf{L}} = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where $U_{\mathbf{L}} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the grazing rate. Notice that, when $U_{\mathbf{L}} = -\kappa f f_* \tilde{\nabla} \log f$ equation (2.8) recovers the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7). We define an admissible pair for (2.8).

Definition 2.2. *We say (f_t, U_t) is a pair of solutions to the transport grazing rate equation (2.8) if*

1. $f_t : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is weakly continuous;
2. $(U_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a family of Borel measures in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$;
3. $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |U_t| \, d\eta \, dt < +\infty$;
4. For all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ the following equality holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varphi f \, dx \, dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} v \cdot \nabla \varphi f \, dx \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \tilde{\nabla} \varphi \cdot U \, d\eta.$$

We define the set of such pairs (f_t, U_t) by \mathcal{TGRE}_T .

We define the following curve action associated to (2.8)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{L}}(f, U_{\mathbf{L}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \frac{|U_{\mathbf{L}}|^2}{f f_* \kappa} \, d\eta.$$

We have the following variational characterisation of the \mathcal{H} -solutions to the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7).

Theorem 2.3 ([DH25b]). *Let $\gamma \in [-2, 1]$. Let $(f, U) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$ such that $\mathcal{H}(f_0) < +\infty$. We have*

$$\mathcal{J}_B(f, U) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_L(f_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f_t, U_t) dt \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, we have $\mathcal{J}_B(f, U) = 0$ if and only if f is an \mathcal{H} -solution for the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7).

Remark 2.4. In [DH25b], Theorem 2.3 was proved only for the kernel A_0 of the form (2.1), namely $A_0(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^\gamma$ and the curves f satisfying certain L^p -bounds. Here, we do not specify the kernel and curve assumptions in the statement of the theorem. On the one hand, such assumptions are unnecessary for showing the grazing limit in the main Theorem 2.17. On the other hand, we expect that the kernel and curve restrictions in [DH25b] can be removed in future work.

2.2 Fuzzy Boltzmann equation

We recall the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q_B(f, f) \\ Q_B(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} (f' f'_* - f f_*) B(v - v_*, \sigma) \kappa(x - x_*) d\sigma dx_* dv_*, \end{cases}$$

and the collision kernel

$$B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) = A_0(|v - v_*|) b(\theta). \quad (2.10)$$

In this article, we do not distinguish the notations of $B(|v - v_*|, \sigma)$ and $B(|v - v_*|, \theta)$, $b(\theta)$ and $b(\sigma)$. The deviation angle is given by $\theta = \arccos \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma \in [0, \pi/2]$. We note that one can restrict the deviation angle on $[0, \pi/2]$ by symmetrising the collision angle

$$B = [B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) + B(|v - v_*|, -\sigma)] \mathbb{1}_{\theta \in [0, \pi/2]}.$$

We define the Boltzmann gradient corresponding to $\sigma \in S^{d-1}$ by

$$\bar{\nabla} f = f' + f'_* - f - f_*. \quad (2.11)$$

For $G : \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the divergence $\bar{\nabla} \cdot$ is given by

$$\bar{\nabla} \cdot G = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} (G + G_* - G' - G'_*) d\sigma dx_* dv_*$$

via the integration by parts formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} f \cdot G d\eta d\sigma = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f \bar{\nabla} \cdot G dx dv. \quad (2.12)$$

In the above, we write

$$G_* = G(x_*, x, v_*, v), \quad G' = G(x, x_*, v', v_*), \quad G'_* = G(x_*, x, v', v'_*).$$

The fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1) can be written as

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\kappa B \Lambda(f) \bar{\nabla} \log f).$$

In the above, we define $\Lambda(f) = \Lambda(f' f'_*, f f_*)$ and for all $s, t > 0$

$$\Lambda(s, t) := (s - t)/(\log s - \log t) \quad \text{denotes the logarithmic mean.} \quad (2.13)$$

Similar to the fuzzy Landau equations, at least on the formal level, we have the mass, momentum and energy conservation laws (2.4), and the following entropy identity

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) = - \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_B(f_t) dt \leq 0, \quad (2.14)$$

where \mathcal{D}_B is given by (1.16). We define an \mathcal{H} -solution for the fuzzy Boltzmann equations analogues to Definition 2.1 with the weak formulation (2.5) replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_0 \varphi(0) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f (\partial_t \varphi + v \cdot \nabla_x \varphi) dx dv dt \\ &= - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B \Lambda(f) \bar{\nabla} \varphi \cdot \bar{\nabla} \log f d\eta d\sigma dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Based on the existence results of the fuzzy Boltzmann in the cut-off hard potential case in [EH25a], we have the following existence results.

Theorem 2.5 (Existence of \mathcal{H} -solutions). *Let $f_0 \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap L^1_{2,2+\gamma_+}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $\mathcal{H}(f_0) < +\infty$. There exists at least one \mathcal{H} -solution to the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1) such that*

$$\|f_t\|_{L^1_{2,2+\gamma_+}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C(T, \|f_0\|_{L^1_{2,2+\gamma_+}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

and the following entropy inequality holds

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_B(f_t) dt \leq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof. We combine the existence results in [Vil98b] and [EH25a], and sketch the argument below.

We approximate the collision kernel B by a sequence of bounded, monotone increasing kernels $B^n \geq 0$, and consider the corresponding approximation equation of (1.1) by replacing B with B^n

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f^n + v \cdot \nabla_x f^n = Q_B^n(f^n, f^n) \\ f^n|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

The existence of \mathcal{H} -solutions to (2.17) in the Maxwellian potential and bounded angular kernel setting was obtained in [EH25a, Theorem 3.2]. Moreover, the following uniform bounds hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_t^n\|_{L_{0,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &\leq \|f_0\|_{L_{0,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}, \quad \|f_t^n\|_{L_{2,0}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C(T)\|f_0\|_{L_{2,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \\ \mathcal{H}(f_T^n) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{B}}^n(f_t^n) dt &\leq 0, \\ \text{and } \|f_t^n\|_{L_{0,2+\gamma_+}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &\leq C(T, \|f_0\|_{L_{0,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})})\|f_0\|_{L_{0,2+\gamma_+}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Here, the constants $C \geq 0$ are independent of n . The last inequality follows from the Povzner inequality [Elm83, Theorem 4],

$$\overline{\nabla}|v|^s \lesssim \cos \theta (|v|^{s-1}|v_*| + |v||v_*|^{s-1}), \quad s > 0,$$

and the assumption (1.4) $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta)\theta^2 d\theta < +\infty$.

The uniform estimates (2.18) also imply a uniform bound $\|f^n \log f^n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C$, see, for example [EH25b]. For any $t \in [0, T]$, the sequence $\{f_t^n\}$ is therefore precompact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ by the Dunford–Pettis theorem. Using a diagonal extraction argument in time, we obtain (up to a subsequence) $f^n \rightharpoonup f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Finally, the entropy inequality (2.16) holds for the limit since $\mathcal{H}(f)$ and $\mathcal{D}(f)$ are lower semicontinuous in f , and by the monotonicity of B^n .

The weak formulation holds in the soft-potential case $\gamma \in [-2, 0)$, following the ideas of \mathcal{H} -solutions:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{B}}(f, f) \phi dx dv \right| \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\overline{\nabla} \phi|^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} b(\sigma) d\eta d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{B}}(f)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is bounded as a consequence of the polar coordinate representation in Section 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 that $|\overline{\nabla} \phi| \lesssim |\phi - \phi'| \lesssim \theta |v - v_*|$. For the same reason as in Remark 2.18, we cannot treat $f - f_*$ as $|v - v_*|$, as is done in the homogeneous case in [Vil98a]. Consequently, this existence result cannot hold for the very soft-potential regime $\gamma \in (-4, -2)$. \square

2.2.1 Dual dissipation potentials

In this subsection, we discuss the (formal) non-quadratic GENERIC formulation and the corresponding entropy inequalities for the fuzzy Boltzmann equations (1.1).

For all V and $U : \mathbb{R}^{4d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |VU| &\leq \left| V \frac{U}{\Lambda(f)B\kappa} \right| \Lambda(f)B\kappa \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |V|^2 \Lambda(f)B\kappa + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{U}{\Lambda(f)B\kappa} \right|^2 \Lambda(f)B\kappa, \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality holds if and only if $U = V\Lambda(f)B\kappa$. Let

$$U_{\mathbb{B}} = -\Lambda(f)B\kappa \overline{\nabla} \log f. \quad (2.19)$$

Applying the above argument to the entropy inequality (2.16), the following quadratic entropy inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |-\bar{\nabla} \log f|^2 \Lambda(f) B\kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma \, dt \\ + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \left| \frac{U_B}{\Lambda(f) B\kappa} \right|^2 \Lambda(f) B\kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma \, dt \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

The quadratic pair in (2.20) can be generalised to non-quadratic pairs. Let Ψ and $\Psi^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a dual pair of functions, and $\Theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a symmetric function satisfying Assumption 2.8 below. For V and $U : \mathbb{R}^{4d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the positive dual pair

$$\begin{aligned} R(U) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi\left(\frac{U}{\Theta(f) B\kappa}\right) \Theta(f) B\kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma, \\ R^*(V) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla} V) \Theta(f) B\kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we write $\Theta(f) = \Theta(f'f'_*, ff_*)$. To clarify the dependence of R and R^* of f , in the following, we also write $R(f, U)$ and $R^*(f, V)$.

Correspondingly, we define the dissipation associated with Ψ^*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R^*(-\log f) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(-\bar{\nabla} \log f) \Theta(f) B\kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma. \quad (2.21)$$

We replace the quadratic pair in (2.20) by non-quadratic pairs.

Proposition 2.6 (Non-quadratic entropy dissipation inequality). *Let (Ψ^*, Θ) satisfy Assumption 2.8. Let f be an \mathcal{H} -solution to the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1) such that the entropy inequality (2.16) holds. The following non-quadratic entropy dissipation inequality holds*

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f_t) \, dt + \int_0^T R(f_t, U_B) \, dt \leq 0, \quad (2.22)$$

where $U_B = -B\kappa(f'f'_* - ff_*)$.

Proof. The entropy inequality (2.22) holds as a direct consequence of Assumption 2.8 and the optimality condition, such that the duality inequality holds as equality $|ab| = \Psi(a) + \Psi^*(b)$, as stated in Remark 2.9 below. \square

The entropy inequality (2.22) corresponds to the (generalised) GENERIC formulation of the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1) with the building block $\{L, E, S, \partial R^*\}$ in the sense of [Mie11] that

$$\partial_t f = L \, dE + \partial R^*(f, dS), \quad (2.23)$$

where L, E, S are given as in (2.6) and (2.7), and the irreversible part is given by

$$\partial_V R^*(f, V) \Big|_{V=dS}.$$

The GENERIC formulation of the fuzzy Boltzmann equations (1.1) with kernels of the form (2.2) in the angular cut-off case was rigorously derived in [EH25a] via a variational characterisation. From (1.11), it follows that the solution curves of (2.23) satisfy the variational inequality

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}^*(f, dS) dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}(f, \partial_t f - L dE) dt \leq 0. \quad (2.24)$$

To characterise the dual dissipation potential $\mathcal{R}(f, \partial_t f - L dE) = \mathcal{R}(f, \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f)$, we define the transport collision rate equation as follows

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \frac{1}{4} \overline{\nabla} \cdot U_B = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

where $U_B : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the collision rate. Notice that, when $U_B = -B\kappa(f'f'_* - ff_*)$ equation (2.25) recovers the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1). The set of admissible pairs $(f, U) \in \mathcal{TCRE}_T$ is defined analogously to Definition 2.2 as those satisfying the transport collision rate equation (2.25) in the weak sense.

Theorem 2.7 ([EH25a]). *Let $B(|v - v_*|) \sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma$ and $\gamma \in (-\infty, 1]$. Let $(f, U) \in \mathcal{TCRE}_T$ such that $\int (\langle x \rangle^2 + \langle v \rangle^{2+\gamma_+}) f < +\infty$ and $\mathcal{H}(f_0) < +\infty$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{J}_B(f, U) := \mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f_t) dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}(f_t, U_t) dt \geq 0, \quad (2.26)$$

Moreover, we have $\mathcal{J}_B(f, U) = 0$ if and only if f is an \mathcal{H} -solution for the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (1.1).

The proof of Theorem 2.7 does not directly apply to the kernels of the form $B(|v - v_*|, \theta) = |v - v_*|^\gamma b(\theta)$ and non-cutoff $b(\theta)$, due to technical difficulties. Nevertheless, we expect that a variational characterisation of the fuzzy Boltzmann equations can also be established for other types of kernels.

In the following, we state the assumptions on Ψ^* and Θ .

Assumption 2.8. (1) *Let $\Psi^* \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ be a convex, superlinear and even function such that $\Psi^*(0) = 0$ and $(\Psi^*)''(0) = 1$. There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $\Psi^*(r) \leq C_1 \exp(C_2 |r|)$.*

(2) *Let $\Theta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}_+)$ be a joint concave function satisfying:*

- *symmetry $\Theta(s, t) = \Theta(t, s)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$;*
- *positivity and normalisation $\Theta(s, t) > 0$ if $s \neq t$ and $\Theta(1, 1) = 1$;*
- *positive 1-homogeneity $\Theta(\lambda s, \lambda t) = \lambda \Theta(s, t)$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda \geq 0$;*
- *monotonicity $\Theta(r, s) \leq \Theta(r, t)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $0 \leq s \leq t$;*
- *behaviour at 0, $\Theta(0, t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$;*

(3) Let (Ψ^*, Θ) satisfy the compatibility conditions:

- For all $s, t > 0$, we have

$$(\Psi^*)'(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t) = s - t; \quad (2.27)$$

- The function

$$G_{\Psi^*}(s, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{4}\Psi^*(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t) \quad \forall s, t > 0$$

is convex and lower semi-continuous, and $G_{\Psi^*}(s, t) = 0$ if and only if $s = t$.

Let Ψ be the convex conjugate of Ψ^* , and Ψ is strictly convex, strictly increasing, superlinear, even and $\Psi(0) = 0$. As a direct consequence of convexity, we have

$$r \mapsto \frac{\Psi(r)}{r} \quad \text{is non-decreasing.}$$

Remark 2.9 (The dissipation $\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f)$). • We recall the definition (2.21) that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(-\bar{\nabla} \log f) \Theta(f) B \kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma.$$

The convexity of Ψ^* and $\Psi^*(0) = 0$ imply that, for all $s > t > 0$,

$$0 \leq \Psi^*(\log s - \log t) \leq (\Psi^*)'(\log s - \log t)(\log s - \log t).$$

Combining with the compatibility condition (2.27), we have

$$\Psi^*(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t) \leq (s - t)(\log s - \log t).$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla} \log f|^2 \Lambda(f) B \kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{B}}(f). \quad (2.28)$$

- By using the convex duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} |(\log s - \log t)U| &= \frac{1}{4} \left| (\log s - \log t) \frac{U}{\Theta(s, t)} \right| \Theta(s, t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \Psi\left(\frac{U}{\Theta(s, t)}\right) \Theta(s, t) + \frac{1}{4} \Psi^*(\log s - \log t) \Theta(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the compatibility condition (2.27), the equality holds if and only if $U = (\Psi^*)'(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t) = s - t$. As a direct consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{B}}(f) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} | -U_{\mathbb{B}} \bar{\nabla} \log f | \, d\eta \, d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \left[\Psi\left(\frac{U_{\mathbb{B}}}{\Theta(f)B\kappa}\right) + \Psi^*(-\bar{\nabla} \log f) \right] \Theta(f) B \kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma \\ &= \mathcal{R}(f, U_{\mathbb{B}}) + \mathcal{R}^*(f, -\log f), \end{aligned}$$

where the optimal $U_{\mathbf{B}}$ is given by (2.19)

$$U_{\mathbf{B}} = (\Psi^*)'(-\bar{\nabla} \log f) \Theta(f) B \kappa = -(f f_* - f' f'_*) B \kappa.$$

Hence, the entropy inequality (2.22) holds.

Example 2.10. We have the following examples of the typical choice of Ψ, Ψ^* and Θ :

- (Quadratic pair). We have

$$\Psi(r) = \Psi^*(r) = \frac{1}{2}|r|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(s, t) = \Lambda(s, t). \quad (2.29)$$

In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{B}}(f), \quad \mathbf{R}(f, U) = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \frac{|U|^2}{\Lambda(f) B \kappa} d\eta d\sigma.$$

In this case, the entropy inequality (2.22) is coincident with (2.20).

- (Cosh pair). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^*(r) &= 4(\cosh(r/2) - 1), \quad \Theta(s, t) = \sqrt{st}, \\ \Psi(r) &= 2r \log\left(\frac{r + \sqrt{r^2 + 4}}{2}\right) - \sqrt{r^2 + 4} + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\sqrt{f' f'_*} - \sqrt{f f_*}|^2 B \kappa d\eta d\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{B}}(f), \quad (2.31)$$

where we use the elementary inequality

$$|\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(s - t)(\log s - \log t), \quad s, t > 0. \quad (2.32)$$

For many jump processes, the cosh-pair arises naturally from the study of large deviation principle for the associated empirical measure where the variational functional $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{B}}(f, U)$ is (rescaling) of the rate functional, see for instance [MPR14; MPPM17; PRST22; PS23; KLMP20; DO23] and [Léo95; Rez98; Bou20; BBBO21; BGSS23; FF24; FB21; BGSS23] for the classical Boltzmann equation. In particular, in [FB21] the authors formally derive the grazing limit of the Boltzmann equation to the Landau equation by passing to the limit in the corresponding rate functionals.

We refer the reader to [PS23] for further properties of the cosh-pair.

In the following, we show an upper bound of $\Theta(s, t)$ that is used in Section

Lemma 2.11. *For all Ψ^* and Θ satisfying Assumption 2.8, there exists a constant $C_{\Psi^*} > 0$ such that*

$$\Theta(s, t) \leq C_{\Psi^*}(s + t) \quad \forall s, t > 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Proof. In the case of $s = t$, the positivity and normalisation of Θ implies that $\Theta(s, t) = \frac{s+t}{2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $s > t > 0$. By Compatibility condition (2.27), to show (2.33), we only need to show that

$$(\Psi^*)'(r) \geq C_{\Psi^*}^{-1} \tanh(r/2) \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

By assumption $(\Psi^*)''(0) = 1$, there exists $\bar{r} > 0$ such that

$$(\Psi^*)'(r) \geq r/2 \geq \tanh(r/2) \quad \forall r \in [0, \bar{r}].$$

Since Ψ^* is an even function, $(\Psi^*)'$ is an odd function, which implies that $(\Psi^*)'(0) = 0$. Together with the convexity of Ψ^* we deduce that $(\Psi^*)'(r) \geq 0$ and monotonically increasing. combining with $0 \leq \tanh(r) \leq 1$, we have

$$(\Psi^*)'(r) \geq (\Psi^*)'(\bar{r}) \tanh(r/2) \quad \forall r \in (\bar{r}, \infty).$$

Hence, (2.33) holds by taking $C_{\Psi^*} = \min(1/2, (\Psi^*)'(\bar{r}))$. □

Remark 2.12 (Θ is determined by Ψ^*). *In Assumption 2.8 we list both the assumptions on the Ψ^* and Θ for highlighting the required conditions; however, most of the assumptions of Θ actually follow from the compatibility condition (2.27) and assumptions on Ψ^* .*

In fact, from (2.27), Θ can be found from Ψ^ by*

$$\Theta(s, t) = \frac{s - t}{(\Psi^*)'(\log(s/t))}.$$

From this formula, we can deduce

- *The symmetry of Θ is ensured since Ψ^* is even and $(\Psi^*)'$ is odd*

$$\Theta(t, s) = \frac{t - s}{(\Psi^*)'(\log(t/s))} = -\frac{s - t}{(\Psi^*)'(-\log(s/t))} = \Theta(s, t).$$

- *The positivity of $\Theta(s, t)$ is ensured since Ψ^* is convex and even. Indeed, for all $s > t > 0$, we have*

$$(\Psi^*)'(\log(s/t)) > (\Psi^*)'(0) = 0, \quad \text{thus,} \quad \Theta(s, t) > 0.$$

In addition, by letting $r = \log s - \log t$, $\Theta(s, t)$ can be written as

$$\Theta(s, t) = \frac{t(s/t - 1)}{(\Psi^*)'(\log(s/t))} = t \frac{(e^r - 1)}{(\Psi^*)'(r)}. \quad (2.34)$$

By assumption $(\Psi^*)''(0) = 1$, as $s \rightarrow t$, we have $x \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\Theta(t, t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \Theta(s, t) = t \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^x - 1}{(\Psi^*)'(x)} = t \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^x}{(\Psi^*)''(x)} = t.$$

In particular, we have $\Theta(1, 1) = 1$.

- The homogeneity of Θ is given by

$$\Theta(\lambda s, \lambda t) = \frac{\lambda s - \lambda t}{(\Psi^*)'(\log(\lambda s / \lambda t))} = \frac{\lambda(s - t)}{(\Psi^*)'(\log(s/t))} = \lambda \Theta(s, t).$$

- The vanishing of $\Theta(s, t)$ at 0 is ensured by the super-linear property of Ψ^* that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} (\Psi^*)'(r) = +\infty$. Indeed, we have

$$\Theta(s, 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Theta(s, t) = \frac{s}{\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\Psi^*)'(\log(s/t))} = 0.$$

By using the representation (2.34), the monotonicity of $\Theta(s, t)$ is ensured if, in addition, we assume that

$$\frac{e^r - 1}{(\Psi^*)'(r)} \quad \text{non-decreasing for all } r > 0.$$

2.3 Main results

We recall the Boltzmann collision kernel B and the angle function β

$$B = A_0(|v - v_*|)b(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\theta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sin \theta^{d-2} b(\theta).$$

We consider β satisfies the following assumption.

Assumption 2.13 (Angular kernels). *Let $\beta : [0, \pi/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.*

- For all $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{\theta \in [\delta, \pi/2]} \beta(\theta) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(\beta) \subset [0, \pi/2].$$

- There exists $\nu \in (0, 2)$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$C_0 \theta^{-1-\nu} \leq \beta(\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, \pi/2]. \quad (2.35)$$

- The angular momentum is finite

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \theta^2 \beta(\theta) d\theta = 8(d-1)/|S^{d-2}|,$$

where the constant on the right-hand side is chosen to normalise β .

For $d = 2$, we take $|S^0| = 2$.

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we take the scaling

$$\beta^\varepsilon(\theta) = \pi^3/\varepsilon^3 \beta\left(\frac{\pi\theta}{\varepsilon}\right). \quad (2.36)$$

Notice that $\beta^\varepsilon(\theta)$ satisfies

$$\text{supp}(\beta^\varepsilon) \subset [0, \varepsilon/2] \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\pi/2} \theta^2 \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) d\theta = 8(d-1)/|S^{d-2}|. \quad (2.37)$$

We consider the kernels B^ε and κ^ε satisfying the following assumptions.

Assumption 2.14 (Collision and spatial kernels). *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.*

- *Let*

$$B^\varepsilon = A_0(|v - v_*|)b^\varepsilon(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) = \sin \theta^{d-2} b^\varepsilon(\theta), \quad (2.38)$$

where β^ε is defined as in (2.36) and β satisfy Assumption 2.13. In hard and Maxwellian potential cases, A_0 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(|v - v_*|) &= |v - v_*|^\gamma \quad \text{with } \gamma \in [0, 1] \quad \text{or} \\ A_0(|v - v_*|) &\sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma \quad \text{with } \gamma \in (-\infty, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

In the case of $A_0 \sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma$, A_0 also satisfying the cross-section assumption (4.7) below. In soft potential cases, A_0 is given by

$$A_0(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^\gamma \quad \text{with } \gamma \in [-2, 0). \quad (2.40)$$

When $d = 2$, we take $\gamma \in (-2, 0)$.

- *Let the spatial kernels*

$$0 \leq \kappa^\varepsilon \leq C_\kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^\varepsilon \rightarrow \kappa \quad \text{pointwisely}$$

for some constant $C_\kappa > 0$ which is independent of ε . Moreover, for any $B_x^R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$0 < C_0 \leq \kappa^\varepsilon, \quad (2.41)$$

where $C_0 = C_0(R)$ is independent of ε .

In principle, we regard κ as a fixed kernel while allowing small perturbations. For example, we may consider a sequence $\kappa^\varepsilon \sim \exp(-C\langle x \rangle)$ or $\kappa^\varepsilon \sim \langle x \rangle^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$. In particular, the restriction (2.41) prevents κ^ε from converging to a Dirac measure.

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we define the scaling fuzzy Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f^\varepsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon = Q_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon), \\ f^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = f_0^\varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (2.42)$$

where Q_B^ε is defined as

$$Q_B^\varepsilon(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon (f' f'_* - f f_*) dx_* dv_* d\sigma.$$

The corresponding entropy dissipation of (2.42) is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) |\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon|^2 d\eta d\sigma.$$

We consider the initial value f_0^ε of (2.42) satisfies the following assumptions.

Assumption 2.15 (Initial value assumption). *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let $\{f_0^\varepsilon\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a sequence of probability measures converging to $f_0 \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in the weak*-topology, i.e., in the sense of duality with continuous functions vanishing at infinity, such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}(f_0^\varepsilon) = \mathcal{H}(f_0) < +\infty. \quad (2.43)$$

We assume the following uniform bound

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \|f_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_{2, 2+\gamma_+}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C \quad (2.44)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ε .

Remark 2.16 (Uniform bounds on solution curves). *Let $(f_t^\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be \mathcal{H} -solutions of (2.42) with initial values f_0^ε satisfying Assumption 2.15, as provided by Theorem 2.5. We recall the following entropy inequality*

$$\mathcal{H}(f_t^\varepsilon) - \mathcal{H}(f_0^\varepsilon) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f_s^\varepsilon) ds \leq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.45)$$

By Proposition 2.6, the entropy inequality (2.45) implies that

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T^\varepsilon) - \mathcal{H}(f_0^\varepsilon) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}^\varepsilon(f_t^\varepsilon) dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon(f_t^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon) dt \leq 0,$$

where $U_B^\varepsilon = -\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}^\varepsilon$ and \mathcal{R}^ε are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, U) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi\left(\frac{U}{\Theta(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon}\right) \Theta(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon d\eta d\sigma, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(-\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon) \Theta(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon d\eta d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.5 and Assumption 2.15, the following uniform bounds hold

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_{2, 2+\gamma_+}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} &\leq C, \\ \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathcal{H}(f_t^\varepsilon)| &\leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f_t^\varepsilon) dt \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

The uniform bound on the entropy dissipation follows from (2.45) together with the uniform lower bound $\mathcal{H}(f_T^\varepsilon) \geq -C$, which in turn is ensured by the uniform bound of $\|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$, see, for example [JKO98].

We show the following main theorem by showing the variational formulation associated with a non-quadratic dual dissipation pair for the fuzzy Boltzmann equations converges to a variational formulation of the fuzzy Landau equation corresponding to a quadratic dissipation pair.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let B^ε and κ^ε satisfy Assumption 2.14. Let f^ε be \mathcal{H} -solutions of the scaling fuzzy Boltzmann equation (2.42) with initial values satisfying Assumption 2.15. Then, up to a subsequence, for any $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \rightarrow \sqrt{f} \quad \text{in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}). \quad (2.47)$$

Moreover, f is an \mathcal{H} -solution to the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7) with initial value f_0 .

Strategy of the proof: We use the variational characterisation in Theorem 2.3 to verify that the grazing limit of the fuzzy Boltzmann equation is indeed the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7).

Let (Ψ^*, Θ) be a pair satisfying Assumption 2.8. In addition, for technical reasons (see Remark 2.19 below), we take the pair (Ψ^*, Θ) satisfying also Assumption 2.20. By Lemma 2.6, the following entropy inequality for the fuzzy Boltzmann equations holds

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T^\varepsilon) - \mathcal{H}(f_0^\varepsilon) + \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}^\varepsilon(f_t^\varepsilon) dt + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon(f_t^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon) dt \leq 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (2.48)$$

In Section 4, we show the convergence (2.47) that up to a subsequence, $\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \rightarrow \sqrt{f}$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Our goal is to search for appropriate U_L such that $(f, U_L) \in \mathcal{TGR}\mathcal{E}_T$ and the variational inequality (2.9) holds as (in)equality

$$\mathcal{H}(f_T) - \mathcal{H}(f_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_L(f_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f_t, \mathcal{U}_L) dt \leq 0. \quad (2.49)$$

Then Theorem 2.3 ensures that f is an \mathcal{H} -solution to the fuzzy Landau equation (1.7).

The variational inequality (2.49) holds, since

- the assumption (2.43) and the lower semi-continuity of the Boltzmann entropy ensure that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}(f_0^\varepsilon) = \mathcal{H}(f_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}(f) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}(f^\varepsilon).$$

- in Section 5, we show that

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon). \quad (2.50)$$

- in Section 6, we show the existence of U_L such that $(f, U_L) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$ and

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_L(U) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon). \quad (2.51)$$

In the following remarks, we provide further discussion on the main result.

Remark 2.18 (Compare with homogeneous case). *Compared with the homogeneous case, due to the independent spatial variables x and x_* , we cannot treat $f - f_*$ as vanishing like $|v - v_*|$ to handle the kinetic singularity of the collision kernels in the (very) soft potential regime. See also Remark 3.5 below. Technically, we always treat f and f_* separately. See also Remark 5.4 below.*

Remark 2.19. (i) (A non-quadratic form converges to a quadratic form). *The entropy dissipation \mathcal{D}_L and curve action \mathcal{A}_L in the Landau variational inequality (2.49) are given by*

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa f f_* |\widetilde{\nabla} \log f|^2 d\eta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_L(f, U) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \frac{|U|^2}{\kappa f f_*} d\eta,$$

which can be seen as induced by a quadratic pair (2.29) with

$$\Psi(r) = \Psi^*(r) = \frac{r^2}{2}.$$

In comparison, the entropy dissipation \mathcal{D}_{Ψ^*} and curve action R in the Boltzmann variational inequality (2.48) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(-\overline{\nabla} \log f) \Theta(f) B \kappa d\eta d\sigma, \\ R(f, U) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi\left(\frac{U}{\Theta(f) B \kappa}\right) \Theta(f) B \kappa d\eta d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

where Ψ^* satisfying the Assumption 2.8 is not necessarily of a quadratic form. In particular, one can take the cosh-pair as (2.30) that

$$\Psi^*(r) = 4(\cosh(r/2) - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(s, t) = \sqrt{st}.$$

In other words, a fuzzy Boltzmann equation governed by a non-quadratic pair converges to a fuzzy Landau equation characterised by a quadratic pair in a small angle limit. This can be explained intuitively in terms of the entropy dissipation, and the argument for the curve action follows analogously by a duality argument (affine representation as in Remark 5.3). The compatibility condition (2.27) of (Ψ^*, Θ) ensures that

$$\Psi^*(-\overline{\nabla} \log f) \Theta(f) = \frac{\Psi^*(-\overline{\nabla} \log f)}{(\Psi^*)'(-\overline{\nabla} \log f)} (f' f'_* - f f_*).$$

On the one hand, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(f^\varepsilon)'(f^\varepsilon)'_*, f^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon_* \rightarrow f f_* \quad \text{and} \quad -\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand, the Assumption 2.8 that $\Psi^*(0) = (\Psi^*)'(0) = 0$ and $(\Psi^*)''(0) = 1$ imply that

$$\frac{\Psi^*(r)}{(\Psi^*)'(r)} = \frac{r}{2} + o(r^2). \quad (2.52)$$

Hence, at least on the formal level, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^*(-\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon) \Theta(f^\varepsilon) &\sim -\frac{\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon}{2} ((f^\varepsilon)'(f^\varepsilon)'_* - f^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon_*) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} |\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon|^2 \Lambda(f^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda(f)$ denotes the logarithm mean as in (2.13). Notice that the right-hand side is exactly given by the quadratic pair (2.29) that

$$\Psi^*(r) = \frac{r^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(s, t) = \Lambda(s, t).$$

In contrast, we refer the reader to [LMPR17; PS23] for examples where a quadratic gradient flow system converges to a non-quadratic one.

- (ii) (Homogeneous cases). Carrillo, Delgadino, and Wu [CDW22] showed Theorem 2.17 for homogeneous equations by taking the quadratic form that $\Psi^*(r) = \frac{r^2}{2}$ in the variational inequality (2.48). In the homogeneous case, one can also consider a non-quadratic pair (for instance, the cosh-pair) in (2.48), following the argument in the fuzzy case analogously.
- (iii) (A technical difficulty). Concerning the dissipation inequality (2.50), if we want to directly treat all the non-quadratic pairs as if they were a quadratic pair and use the homogeneous strategy described in (2.53), i.e., use (2.52) ($\Psi^*(r) = \frac{r^2}{2} + o(r^2)$), we need the uniform boundedness of $|\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon|$, or at least the uniform vanishing of $\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f^\varepsilon)$ on the set $S_n := \{|\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon| \geq n\}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. The vanishing of $|S_n|$ is ensured by the convergence of f^ε and the cancellation Lemma 4.8. However, we do not have the equi-integrability of the integrand of $\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f^\varepsilon)$.

For the homogeneous case, Carrillo, Delgadino, and Wu [CDW22] proved (2.50) for a quadratic pair by using the elementary inequality $(\log s - \log t)(s - t) \geq 4|\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}|^2$ for all $s, t > 0$, and by showing that

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int |\sqrt{(f^\varepsilon)'(f^\varepsilon)'_*} - \sqrt{f^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon_*}|^2 B^\varepsilon. \quad (2.53)$$

Notice that the right-hand side of (2.53) is exactly the cosh-dissipation (2.31)

$$\mathcal{D}_{\cosh}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\sqrt{f' f'_*} - \sqrt{f f_*}|^2 B \kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma.$$

In Section 5, we follow [CDW22] to prove that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{\cosh}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon).$$

Notice that (2.50) automatically holds for all Ψ^* such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f) \geq \mathcal{D}_{\cosh}(f), \quad (2.54)$$

for which we make Assumption 2.20 below.

The same type of technical difficulty does not appear when showing the curve action inequality (2.51). Roughly speaking, we use a duality argument (affine representation as in Remark 5.3), and we only need to control $\Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi)$, where $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a test function. The boundedness of $|\bar{\nabla}\phi|$ allows us to treat $\Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) \sim \frac{|\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2}{2}$. More details can be found in Section 6.

For technical reasons stated in Remark 2.19, we consider (Ψ^*, Θ) satisfying the following assumption such that (2.54) holds, which will be used in Section 5.

Assumption 2.20. Let Ψ^* satisfy the Assumption 2.8 and for all $r > 0$

$$(\log \Psi^*)'(r) \leq \frac{\coth(r/4)}{2}. \quad (2.55)$$

Remark 2.21. • The Assumption 2.20 holds for the quadratic and cosh-paris in Example 2.10. In the quadratic case, we have, for all $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \coth(r/4) - (\log \Psi^*)'(r) = \frac{2}{r} \left(\frac{e^{r/2} + 1}{2} \frac{r/2 - 0}{e^{r/2} - 1} - 1 \right) \geq 0,$$

where we use the inequality $\Lambda(s, t) \leq \frac{s+t}{2}$ with $s = e^{r/2}$ and $t = 1$. In the cosh-case, (2.55) holds with equality.

- The assumption (2.55) implies the dissipation inequality (2.54). More precisely, we have, for all $s > t > 0$,

$$2|\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}|^2 \leq \Psi^*(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t). \quad (2.56)$$

Indeed, we take $r = \log s - \log t$ in (2.55) to derive

$$\Psi^*(\log s - \log t) \geq 2(\Psi^*)'(\log s - \log t) \frac{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}}.$$

Then the inequality (2.56) holds, since, by compatibility condition (2.27), we have

$$|\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}|^2 = (s - t) \frac{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}} = (\Psi^*)'(\log s - \log t)\Theta(s, t) \frac{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}}.$$

3 Preliminaries

The spherical representation of the sphere S^{d-1} is presented in Section 3.1, while the pointwise limits and bounds in the grazing limit are discussed in Section 3.2.

Throughout this work, $C > 0$ denotes a universal constant, which may vary from line to line.

We state the dominated convergence theorem here, which will be frequently used.

Theorem 3.1 (Dominated convergence theorem). *If a pointwise convergence sequence $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$, for a.e. $x \in X$ is dominated by an integrable sequence $\{g_n\}$ in the sense that $|f_n(x)| \leq |g_n(x)|$, for all $x \in X$ and $n \geq 0$. If $g_n \rightarrow g$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n = \int_X g$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n = \int_X f$.*

3.1 Spherical coordinate

For any fixed $v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the unit vector

$$k = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \in S^{d-1}.$$

The deviation angle is given by $\theta = \arccos k \cdot \sigma$. For $d \geq 2$ and a given $k \in S^{d-1}$, we define the sphere

$$S_{k^\perp}^{d-2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p \in S^{d-1} \mid p \cdot k = 0\}.$$

For $d = 2$ and $k = (k_1, k_2) \in S^1$, the sphere $S_{k^\perp}^0$ is defined as

$$S_{k^\perp}^0 = \{p \mid p = (k_2, -k_1), (-k_2, k_1)\},$$

and the integration is given by

$$\int_{S_{k^\perp}^0} f \, dp = f(k_2, -k_1) + f(-k_2, k_1).$$

Notice that $\sigma \in S^{d-1}$ can be parametrised by $(\theta, p) \in [0, \pi/2] \times S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}$ that

$$\sigma = k \cos \theta + p \sin \theta \quad \text{and} \quad p = \Pi_{k^\perp} \sigma.$$

Concerning the Boltzmann collision kernel $B = A_0(|v - v_*|)b(\sigma)$, $b(\sigma)$ can be written in spherical coordinate as

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} b(\sigma) \, d\sigma = \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} b(\theta) \sin^{d-2} \theta \, dp \, d\theta.$$

In this article, we do not distinguish $b(\sigma)$ and $b(\theta)$.

We refer the reader to the presentation and figures in [CDW22, Section 3.2], where the spherical coordinates in three dimensions are discussed in detail.

By changing of variables, the weak Boltzmann collision term can be written in spherical coordinates as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{B}}^{\varepsilon}(f, f) \phi \, dx \, dv \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa f f_* \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \phi B^{\varepsilon} \, d\sigma \right) d\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa A_0 f f_* \int_0^{\varepsilon/2} \beta^{\varepsilon}(\theta) \left(\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \, dp \right) d\theta \, d\eta
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

In the following, we present two propositions of the spherical representation, which are used to establish the bounds and limits in Section 3.2.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $d \geq 2$. The following identity holds*

$$\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p \otimes p \, dp = \frac{|S^{d-2}|}{d-1} \Pi_{k^{\perp}}, \quad \Pi_{k^{\perp}} = \text{Id} - k \otimes k.$$

Proof. For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, v can be written as the sum of projections $v = \Pi_{k^{\perp}} v + (v \cdot k)k$. By definition, we have

$$\left(\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p \otimes p \, dp \right) v = \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p(p \cdot v) \, dp = \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p(p \cdot \Pi_{k^{\perp}} v) \, dp.$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{d-1}$ denotes the orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}_{k^{\perp}}^{d-1}$. We write $\Pi_{k^{\perp}} v = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} v_i e_i$ and $p = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} p_i e_i$. Thus, we have

$$\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} (p \cdot \Pi_{k^{\perp}} v) p \, dp = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} v_i \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} (p \cdot e_i) p \, dp.$$

For all $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, we have

$$\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} (p \cdot e_i) p \, dp = \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p_i p \, dp = e_i \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p_i^2 \, dp,$$

where, by symmetry, we have

$$\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} p_i^2 \, dp = \frac{1}{d-1} \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} 1 \, dp = \frac{|S^{d-2}|}{d-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1.$$

□

Proposition 3.3 (Size estimates). *The vector $\sigma - k$ can be written as*

$$\sigma - k = k(\cos \theta - 1) + p \sin \theta,$$

where, for $\theta \sim 0$, we have

$$\cos \theta - 1 = -\frac{\theta^2}{2} + o(\theta^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \theta = \theta + o(\theta).$$

For all $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, we have

$$|\sigma - k| \leq 2\theta \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma - k|^2 = 2(1 - \cos \theta) \leq \theta^2. \quad (3.2)$$

3.2 Limits of the fuzzy Boltzmann gradient

In this subsection, we first follow the classical results in [Vil98a] to discuss the pointwise grazing limit in the weak formulation, i.e. for fixed f and κ , one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{B}}^{\varepsilon}(f, f) \phi \, dx \, dv \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{L}}(f, f) \phi \, dx \, dv \quad \forall \phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathbb{R}) \quad (3.3)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We show upper bounds and pointwise limit of fuzzy Boltzmann gradient $\bar{\nabla}$ in Lemma 3.4, which are used to show the grazing limit rigorously in Section 5 and 6. Similar estimates have been derived for the homogeneous Landau equation in [CDW22, Section 3]. There is a significant difference between the homogeneous and the fuzzy cases, which is discussed in Remark 3.5 below.

We first show (3.3) on a form level. By (3.1), the left-hand side of (3.3) can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{B}}^{\varepsilon}(f, f) \phi \, dx \, dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa A_0 f f_* \int_0^{\varepsilon/2} \beta^{\varepsilon}(\theta) \left(\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \, dp \right) d\theta \, d\eta.$$

By integration by parts of $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot$, the right-hand side of (3.3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{L}}(f, f) \phi \, dx \, dv &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa f f_* \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa A_0 f f_* (\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot (|v - v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^{\perp}} (\nabla_v \phi - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_*)) \, d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to show (3.3), we only need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\varepsilon/2} \beta^{\varepsilon}(\theta) \left(\int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \, dp \right) d\theta \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot (|v - v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^{\perp}} (\nabla_v \phi - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_*)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In Lemma 3.4 below, we show the pointwise limit

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{S_{k^{\perp}}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \, dp \\ &= \frac{|S^{d-2}|}{8(d-1)} (\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot |v - v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^{\perp}} (\nabla_v \phi - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_*). \end{aligned}$$

Combining that with the finite angular momentum assumption (2.37), we obtain the desired convergence (3.4).

In the following, we adapt the homogeneous arguments in [CDW22, Section 3] to establish the estimates and limits of $\bar{\nabla}$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. In Section 5 and 6, we apply the dominate convergence Theorem 3.1 to pass to the limit by letting $\theta \rightarrow 0$. The upper bounds in Lemma 3.4 take the form of a product of $|v - v_*|$ and θ , where the velocity difference is employed to control the singular kinetic kernel $A_0 = |v - v_*|^\gamma$ in the soft potential cases $\gamma \in [-2, 0)$, and the deviation angle θ is used to establish the finite angular momentum form (2.37) $\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta^2 \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) d\theta < +\infty$. In Remark 3.5, we highlight a key distinction in the bounds (3.5) and (3.6) between homogeneous and fuzzy cases, which directly implies that, under this approach, the very soft potential case ($A_0 = |v - v_*|^\gamma$, $\gamma \in (-4, -2)$) cannot be treated in the fuzzy setting.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. For all $(x, x_*, v, v_*, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}$:*

- *the following pointwise bounds hold*

$$|\bar{\nabla}\phi| \leq C_1 \theta |v - v_*|, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{|S^{d-2}|} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\phi dp \right| \leq C_2 \theta^2 (|v - v_*| + |v - v_*|^2), \quad (3.6)$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\|\nabla_v \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}) > 0$ and $C_2 = C_2(\|\nabla_v \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}, \|D_v^2 \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}) > 0$.

- *the following pointwise limits hold*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \bar{\nabla}\phi = \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} p \cdot (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\phi dp \\ &= \frac{|S^{d-2}|}{8(d-1)} (\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot \left(|v - v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. The proof follows the homogeneous case in [CDW22, Lemma 3.4–3.6]. The key difference from the homogeneous case is that here the quantities associated with f and f_* are strictly treated as separate quantities, since they depend on the independent spatial variables x and x_* .

We recall the definition

$$v_1 = \frac{v + v_*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \frac{v - v_*}{2},$$

so that

$$v = v_1 + |v_2|k, \quad v_* = v_1 - |v_2|k, \quad v' = v_1 + |v_2|\sigma, \quad v'_* = v_1 - |v_2|\sigma.$$

By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\nabla} \phi(x, v) \\
&= \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|\sigma) + \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|\sigma) - \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|k) - \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|k) \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)) + \frac{d}{dt} \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)) dt \\
&= |v_2|(\sigma - k) \cdot \int_0^1 \left(\nabla_v \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \nabla_{v_*} \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)) \right) dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_v \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)) \\
&= \nabla_v \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|k) \\
&\quad + t|v_2|(\sigma - k) \cdot \int_0^1 D_v^2 \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|(s(t\sigma + (1-t)k) + (1-s)k)) ds, \\
& \nabla_{v_*} \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)) \\
&= \nabla_{v_*} \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|k) \\
&\quad - t|v_2|(\sigma - k) \cdot \int_0^1 D_{v_*}^2 \phi(x, v_1 - |v_2|(s(t\sigma + (1-t)k) + (1-s)k)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\bar{\nabla} \phi$ can be written as

$$\bar{\nabla} \phi = I_1 + I_2, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$I_1 := |v_2|(\sigma - k) \cdot (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*), \quad I_2 := |v_2|^2(\sigma - k) \otimes (\sigma - k) : T,$$

and T is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= \int_0^1 t \int_0^1 \left(D_v^2 \phi(x, v_1 + |v_2|(s(t\sigma + (1-t)k) + (1-s)k)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + D_{v_*}^2 \phi(x_*, v_1 - |v_2|(s(t\sigma + (1-t)k) + (1-s)k)) \right) ds dt.
\end{aligned}$$

- **Bounds (3.5) and (3.6).** We recall the size estimate in Proposition 3.3 that

$$|\sigma - k| \leq 2\theta \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma - k|^2 \leq \theta^2.$$

Then (3.9) implies the pointwise bound (3.5) that

$$|\bar{\nabla} \phi| \leq 2|v_2||\sigma - k| \|\nabla_v \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \lesssim C_1 \theta |v - v_*|.$$

We use the representation (3.10) to show the bound (3.6). Concerning the I_2 term, we have

$$\left| \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} I_2 \right| \leq |S^{d-2}| |I_2| \lesssim \|D_v^2 \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} |v_2|^2 |\sigma - k|^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Concerning the I_1 term, by definition $\sigma - k = k(\cos \theta - 1) + p \sin \theta$, we have

$$(\sigma - k) \cdot (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) = N_k(\cos \theta - 1) + N_p \sin \theta,$$

where N_k and N_p denote the projections

$$N_k = (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) \cdot k, \quad N_p = (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) \cdot p.$$

Notice that N_k is independent of p and

$$\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} N_p \, dp = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} I_1 \, dp &= |v_2| \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} ((\cos \theta - 1) N_k + \sin \theta N_p) \, dp \\ &= |S^{d-2}| |v_2| N_k (\cos \theta - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The identity $|\sigma - k|^2 = 2(1 - \cos \theta)$ implies that

$$\left| \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} I_1 \right| \lesssim |v_2| |\sigma - k|^2 \|\nabla_v \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

Thus, exploiting (3.2), we obtain the second bound (3.6).

- **Limits (3.7) and (3.8).** We recall Proposition 3.3 that

$$\cos \theta - 1 \sim -\frac{\theta^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \theta \sim \theta. \quad (3.13)$$

Then the representation (3.10) and the upper bound of I_2 (3.11) imply the limit (3.7) that

$$\overline{\nabla} \phi = \frac{\theta |v - v_*|}{2} p \cdot (\nabla_v \psi - (\nabla_v \psi)_*) + o(\theta^2).$$

We show (3.8). Notice that $\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} I_1 \, dp$ is given by (3.12) and the size estimate (3.13) that

$$\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} I_1 \, dp = -\frac{\theta^2}{2} |v_2| |S^{d-2}| N_k + o(\theta^2). \quad (3.14)$$

We left to compute the I_2 term. By the dominated convergence theorem and the upper bound of I_2 (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} I_2 \, dp &= \frac{\theta^2 |v_2|^2}{2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} p \otimes p \, dp : (D_v^2 \phi + (D_v^2 \phi)_*) \\ &= \frac{\theta^2 |v_2|^2 |S^{d-2}|}{2(d-1)} \Pi_{k^\perp} : (D_v^2 \phi + (D_v^2 \phi)_*), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where we use Lemma 3.2 to show the last equality.

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we use the identities $|v_2|k = v_2$ and $\Pi_{k^\perp} = \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp}$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \, dp &= \frac{\theta^2}{2} |S^{d-2}| \left(-\frac{v-v_*}{2} \cdot (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|v-v_*|^2}{4(d-1)} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} : (D_v^2 \phi + (D_v^2 \phi)_*) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using of the identity

$$(\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot (|v-v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp}) = -2(d-1)(v-v_*),$$

we obtain (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \, dp &= |v-v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} : (D_v^2 \phi + (D_v^2 \phi)_*) \\ &+ \frac{\theta^2 |S^{d-2}|}{8(d-1)} \left((\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot (|v-v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp}) \cdot (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) \right. \\ &= \frac{\theta^2 |S^{d-2}|}{8(d-1)} (\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*}) \cdot \left(|v-v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} (\nabla_v \phi - (\nabla_v \phi)_*) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.5 (Better bounds in the homogeneous cases). *The similar bounds in Lemma 3.4 for spatial homogeneous functions have been shown in [CDW22]. The main difference is that in the homogeneous case, one has a quadratic bound of the velocity difference*

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\nabla} \phi_1| &\lesssim \|\nabla_v \phi_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \theta |v-v_*| \quad \text{fuzzy case,} \\ |\bar{\nabla} \phi_2| &\lesssim \|D_v^2 \phi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \theta |v-v_*|^2 \quad \text{homogeneous case,} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $\phi_1 = \phi_1(x, v)$ and $\phi_2 = \phi_2(v)$. We recall (3.9) that

$$\bar{\nabla} \phi(x, v) = |v_2|(\sigma - k) \cdot \int_0^1 \nabla_v \phi(x, \bar{v}) - \nabla_{v_*} \phi(x_*, \bar{v}_*) \, dt,$$

where $\bar{v} = v_1 + |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)$ and $\bar{v}_* = v_1 - |v_2|(t\sigma + (1-t)k)$. In the homogeneous case $\phi_2 = \phi_2(v)$, we have

$$|\nabla_v \phi_2(\bar{v}) - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_2(\bar{v}_*)| \leq \|D_v^2 \phi_2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} |v - v_*|, \quad (3.17)$$

which leads to the quadratic bounds $|v - v_*|^2$ in (3.16). However, (3.17) does not hold for the fuzzy case due to the appearance of the different spatial variables x and x_*

$$|\nabla_v \phi_1(x, \bar{v}) - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_1(x_*, \bar{v}_*)| \leq \|\phi_1\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} (|v - v_*| + |x - x_*|). \quad (3.18)$$

Intuitively speaking, following the idea of \mathcal{H} -solutions, one has

$$\int Q_B(f, f) \phi \leq \mathcal{D}_B(f)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \int_{S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla} \phi|^2 B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The vanishing of $|v - v_*|$ around $v \sim v_*$ cancels the singularity of the kinetic kernel $|v - v_*|^\gamma$ in the soft potential cases. The improved bound (3.16) in the homogeneous setting allows one to handle the very soft potential case $\gamma \in (-4, -2)$

$$|v - v_*|^\gamma |\bar{\nabla} \phi_2|^2 \lesssim |v - v_*|^{\gamma+4}.$$

In contrast, in the fuzzy setting, we restrict our analysis to the hard and moderately soft potential cases $\gamma \in [-2, 1]$, where

$$|v - v_*|^\gamma |\bar{\nabla} \phi_1|^2 \lesssim |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2}. \quad (3.19)$$

The bound (3.19) is, for example, used in the proof of Lemma 6.6.

We note that another difference has been observed between the homogeneous and fuzzy Landau equations. The solvability of the homogeneous Landau equations in the very soft potential cases was established in [Vil98a] by using (3.17) to cancel the kinetic singularity

$$|\tilde{\nabla} \phi_2(v)|^2 \lesssim |v - v_*|^{\gamma+4}, \quad \gamma \in (-4, -2). \quad (3.20)$$

However, (3.20) does not hold in the fuzzy case, since $|\tilde{\nabla} \phi|$ may depend on $|x - x_*|$ as shown in (3.18). More details can be found in [DH25c, Remark 3.4].

4 Compactness results

In this section, we show the L^1 -weak precompactness of $\{f^\varepsilon\}$ and the L^2 -precompactness of $\{\sqrt{f^\varepsilon}\}$ in Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, respectively.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let B^ε and κ^ε satisfy Assumption 2.14. Let f^ε be \mathcal{H} -solutions of (2.42) with initial values satisfying Assumption 2.15. For any fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we have, up to a sequence,*

$$f^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup f \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, f is weakly continuous in time.

Proof. By (2.46), the uniform bounds of $\|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$ and $\|f^\varepsilon \log f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$, and the Dunford–Pettis theorem imply the L^1 -weak compactness of $\{f^\varepsilon\}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. By the weak formulation (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (f_t^\varepsilon - f_s^\varepsilon) \phi \, dx \, dv \right| \\ & \leq \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f^\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x \phi| \, dx \, dv \, d\tau + \frac{1}{4} \left| \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, d\eta \, d\tau \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The uniformly bounded energy ensures that

$$\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |f^\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x \phi| \, dx \, dv \, d\tau \leq |s - t| \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_{0,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C|s - t|$$

for some constant $C > 0$. By Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \phi \bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, d\eta \, d\tau \right| \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon |\bar{\nabla} \phi|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\eta \, d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The uniform bound of the entropy dissipation term is guaranteed by (2.46). By polar coordinates and the bound of $|\bar{\nabla} \phi|$ in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon |\bar{\nabla} \phi|^2 \, d\sigma \, d\eta \, d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon A_0 |v - v_*|^2 \kappa^\varepsilon \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta^2 \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) \, d\theta \right) \, d\eta \, d\tau \\ & \leq C \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1_{0,2+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2 |s - t| \leq C|s - t|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the weak continuity of f in time, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (f_t - f_s) \phi \, dx \, dv \right| & \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (f_t^\varepsilon - f_s^\varepsilon) \phi \, dx \, dv \right| \\ & \leq C(|s - t| + |s - t|^{\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

The rest of this section is devoted to showing the following strong convergence of $\sqrt{f^\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let f^ε be given as in Lemma 4.1. For any fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we have, up to a sequence,*

$$\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \rightarrow \sqrt{f} \quad \text{in } L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

In this section, we fix $R > 0$ arbitrarily. We define the ball $B_x^R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |x| \leq R\}$, and B_v^R is defined analogously.

Strategy of the proof: We follow the compactness argument for spatial homogeneous and classical Boltzmann equations in [AV02; ADVW00] to show Lemma 4.2 with appropriate modification for the fuzzy case.

Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho = 1$. For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we define $\rho^\delta = \delta^{-d} \rho(\cdot/\delta)$. We define

$$g_\delta^\varepsilon = \sqrt{f^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sqrt{f^\varepsilon}(x, w) \rho^\delta(v - w) dw \in L^2 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}). \quad (4.1)$$

By definition, for any fixed ε , we have the convergence $g_\delta^\varepsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{f^\varepsilon}$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

We prove Lemma 4.2 in the following two steps:

Step 1: For any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we use the so-called velocity averaging lemma to show the compactness of g_δ^ε . We show the following result:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let f^ε be given as in Lemma 4.1. For any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\{g_\delta^\varepsilon\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^2([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R).$$

Step 2: The angular singularity (2.35), $\beta(\theta) \gtrsim \theta^{-1-\nu}$ for some $\nu \in (0, 2)$, allows us to treat the collision term $Q(f, f)$ as fractional diffusion operator in v . We show that $\sqrt{f^\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $L_x^2 H_v^{\frac{\nu}{2}}$, which leads to the convergence of g_δ^ε uniformly in ε . We show the following result:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let f^ε be given as in Lemma 4.1. For any fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$g_\delta^\varepsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(B_x^R \times B_v^R) \\ \text{uniformly in } \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 imply the compactness of $\sqrt{f^\varepsilon}$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Combining with Lemma 4.1, the uniqueness of weak and strong limits implies that

$$\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \rightarrow \sqrt{f} \quad \text{in } L^2(B_x^R \times B_v^R).$$

In the rest of this section, we show Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 in Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, respectively.

4.1 Proof of Lemma 4.3

We define $\alpha(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $(f_t^\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be solution curves to the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (2.42). Then the following renormalised equation holds in the distribution sense

$$\partial_t \alpha(f) + v \cdot \nabla_x \alpha(f) = \alpha'(f) Q_B^\varepsilon(f, f). \quad (4.2)$$

We recall the definition (4.1) that

$$g_\delta^\varepsilon = \alpha(f^\varepsilon) *_v \rho^\delta.$$

We apply the following velocity averaging Lemma 4.5 to the renormalised equation (4.2) to show that, for any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\{g_\delta^\varepsilon\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^2([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R). \quad (4.3)$$

Theorem 4.5 (Velocity averaging lemma, [DLM91; DL88]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Let $\{h^n\}$ be bounded in $L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for some $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Let h^n be a weak solution of*

$$\partial_t h^n + v \cdot \nabla_x h^n = H^n + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{v_i} H_i^n + \sum_{i=1, j}^d \partial_{v_i v_j} H_{ij}^n,$$

where $\{H^n\}$, $\{H_i^n\}$ and $\{H_{ij}^n\}$ are bounded $L^1([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R)$. Then for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\{h^n *_v \varphi\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R).$$

To apply the above velocity averaging Lemma to the renormalised equation, the key point is to justify the integrability of the $Q_B^\varepsilon(f, f)$. We split the collision operator as

$$Q_B(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} B \kappa f(f'_* - f_*) \, d\sigma \, d\eta + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} B \kappa f_*(f' - f) \, d\sigma \, d\eta. \quad (4.4)$$

We first summarise the results in [AV02]: The definition of the cross-section in Definition 4.6 and the cancellation Lemma 4.8. The cancellation lemma allows us to treat $Q_B^\varepsilon(f, f)$ as the sum of two singular integrals. By using the cancellation Lemma 4.8, we show (4.3) for the Maxwellian and hard potential cases (2.39) in Section 4.1.1. Concerning the soft potential cases $\gamma \in [-2, 0)$, due to the singularity of the kinetic kernel, instead of directly treating the renormalised equation (4.2), we consider a sequence of parametrised renormalised equations. This case will be discussed in Section 4.1.2 in parallel.

We review the definition of cross-section.

Definition 4.6 ([AV02]). *Let $B = B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) \geq 0$. We define the cross-section for momentum transfer*

$$\Lambda(|v - v_*|) = \int_{S^{d-1}} B(|v - v_*|, \theta) (1 - k \cdot \sigma) \, d\sigma, \quad k = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|}. \quad (4.5)$$

We define the following quantities concerning the regularities in v

$$B'(|v - v_*|, \sigma) = \sup_{1 < \lambda \leq \sqrt{2}} \frac{|B(\lambda|v - v_*|, \sigma) - B(|v - v_*|, \sigma)|}{(\lambda - 1)|v - v_*|}$$

and $\Lambda'(|v - v_*|) = \int_{S^{d-1}} B'(|v - v_*|, \sigma) (1 - k \cdot \sigma) \, d\sigma.$

Remark 4.7. • Notice that $k \cdot \sigma = \cos \theta$ and $1 - \cos \theta \lesssim \theta^2$. The finite angular momentum assumption (2.37) implies that

$$\Lambda(|v - v_*|) = |S^{d-2}| A_0(|v - v_*|) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\theta)(1 - \cos \theta) d\theta \lesssim A_0(|v - v_*|).$$

- For all $(v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, we have

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} B(|v - v_*|, \sigma)(v - v') d\sigma = \frac{v - v_*}{2} \Lambda(|v - v_*|). \quad (4.6)$$

Indeed, by definition, we have

$$v - v' = \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}(k - \sigma).$$

We repeat the argument for showing (3.12). Notice that σ can be written as the sum of projections $\sigma = k(k \cdot \sigma) + p(p \cdot \sigma)$, where, by symmetry, we have $\int_{S^{d-2}} p(p \cdot \sigma) dp = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} (k - \sigma) dp = k \int_{S^{d-1}} (1 - k \cdot \sigma) dp.$$

Since k is independent of σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{d-1}} B(|v - v_*|, \sigma)(v - v') d\sigma \\ &= \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} k \int_{S^{d-1}} B(v - v_*, \sigma)(1 - k \cdot \sigma) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{v - v_*}{2} \Lambda(|v - v_*|). \end{aligned}$$

- In the case of $A_0(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^\gamma$ with $\gamma \in [-2, 1]$, we have

$$\Lambda(|v - v_*|) + |v - v_*| \Lambda'(|v - v_*|) \lesssim |v - v_*|^\gamma. \quad (4.7)$$

In the case of $A_0(|v - v_*|) \sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma$ with $\gamma \in (-\infty, 1]$, we assume

$$\Lambda(|v - v_*|) + |v - v_*| \Lambda'(|v - v_*|) \lesssim \langle v - v_* \rangle. \quad (4.8)$$

The assumption (4.8) and the cancellation Lemma 4.8 ensure the integrability of $Q_B(f, f)$ in this case.

The following cancellation lemma ensures that (4.4) is well-defined.

Lemma 4.8 ([AV02], Proposition 3.1). Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Let $B = B(|v - v_*|, \sigma) \geq 0$. For almost all $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, we have

$$[f *_v S](x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} B(v - v_*, \sigma)(f(x, v'_*) - f(x, v_*)) dv_* d\sigma,$$

where S is given by

$$S(|z|) = |S^{d-2}| \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\frac{B(|z|/\cos(\theta/2), \theta)}{\cos^d(\theta/2)} - B(|z|, \theta) \right) \sin^{d-2} \theta \, d\theta.$$

And the following estimates hold

$$|S(|z|)| \leq 2^{\frac{d-4}{2}} \cos^{-2}(\pi/8) (d\Lambda(z) + |z||\Lambda'(z)|).$$

4.1.1 Hard and Maxwellian cases

In the hard and Maxwellian case (2.39), we show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Let f^ε be given as in Lemma 4.1. Let $\alpha(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for $t \geq 0$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\alpha'(f^\varepsilon) Q_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon) \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Then we apply the velocity averaging Lemma 4.5 to the renormalised equation (4.2) and $\rho^\delta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$ to finish the proof of Lemma 4.3 that $\{\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta\}$ is relatively compact in L_{loc}^2 .

Proof of Lemma 4.9. For the notation simplicity, we drop the parameter ε . Following (4.4), we write

$$\alpha'(f) Q_B(f, f) = I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} B\kappa f(f'_* - f_*) \, d\sigma \, dx_* \, dv_*, \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} B\kappa f'_*(f' - f) \, d\sigma \, dx_* \, dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.8, I_1 can be written as

$$I_1 = \frac{\sqrt{f}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa[f_* *_v S](x_*, v) \, dx_*.$$

Moreover, the cross-section bound (4.7) and (4.8) ensures

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_* *_v S](x_*, v) \, dx_* \right|^2 \, dx \, dv \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_* \langle v - v_* \rangle \, dx_* \, dv_* \right|^2 \, dx \, dv. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $t \mapsto t^2$ is convex, Jensen's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} f \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_* \langle v - v_* \rangle \, dx_* \, dv_* \right|^2 \, dx \, dv \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} f f_* \langle v - v_* \rangle^2 \, d\eta \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{0,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

by (2.46). The proof for the I_2 term follows analogously. \square

4.1.2 Soft potential cases

We recall the cross-section bound (4.7) in the soft potential case

$$\Lambda(|v - v_*|) + |v - v_*| \Lambda'(|v - v_*|) \lesssim |v - v_*|^\gamma, \quad \gamma \in [-2, 0).$$

The proof for the hard and Maxwellian potential cases does not directly apply, since we lack of the bound boundedness of (4.9), i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} f f_* |v - v_*|^{2\gamma} d\eta.$$

Thus, we approximate $\alpha(t) = \sqrt{t}$ by a sequence of bounded functions

$$\alpha^r(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{1 + r\sqrt{t}} \leq \alpha(t), \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Notice that for any fixed $r \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha^r(t)$ is concave and $\alpha^r(t) \leq r^{-1}$ is bounded. Let f^ε be \mathcal{H} -solutions to the fuzzy Boltzmann equation (2.42). The following renormalised equation holds in the distribution sense

$$(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) \alpha^r(f^\varepsilon) = (\alpha^r)'(f^\varepsilon) Q_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon). \quad (4.10)$$

A similar sequence of renormalised solutions was introduced and studied for the spatial-inhomogeneous Boltzmann equations to relax the quadratic form in x that appeared in the inhomogeneous collision operators, see for example [DL89; AV02].

We show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *Let f^ε be given as in Lemma 4.1. For any fixed $r \in (0, 1)$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$(\alpha^r)' Q(f^\varepsilon, f^\varepsilon) \in L^1([0, T]; L^1(B_x^R \times B_v^R)) + L^\infty([0, T]; L^1(B_x^R; W^{-2,1}(B_v^R))).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We recall the mollified $\rho^\delta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}_+)$. For any fixed $r, \delta \in (0, 1)$, Lemma 4.10 allows us to apply the velocity averaging theorem 4.5 to the renormalised equations (4.10) to derive

$$\{\alpha^r(f^\varepsilon) *_v \rho^\delta\} \text{ is relatively compact in } L^1([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R).$$

We pass the limit by letting $r \rightarrow 0$. To show the relative compactness of $g_\delta^\varepsilon = \alpha(f^\varepsilon) *_v \rho^\delta$, we only need to show that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t, \varepsilon} \|\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} - \alpha^r(f^\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

We follow a standard argument to show (4.11), see for example [DL89]. Since $t \mapsto \frac{t}{1+rt}$ is concave, for any $R \geq 0$, we have $0 \leq t - \frac{t}{1+rt} \leq C_r(R) + t \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq R\}}$

for some constant $C_r(R) = R - \frac{R}{1+rR} \geq 0$. Notice that, for any fixed $R \geq 0$, we have $C_r(R) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t,\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |\alpha^r(f^\varepsilon) - \sqrt{f^\varepsilon}|^2 dx dv &\leq C_r(R)^2 + \sup_{t,\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f^\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{\{\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \geq R\}} dx dv \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and } R \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the uniform equi-integrability of f^ε ensures that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t,\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f^\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{\{\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \geq R\}} dx dv = 0.$$

We conclude that, for any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\{g_\delta^\varepsilon\}$ is relatively compact in $L^1([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R)$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.10. The proof follows [AV02] with appropriate adaptation to the fuzzy cases. For the notation simplicity, we drop the parameter ε . We write

$$(\alpha^r)'(f)Q_B(f, f) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= (f(\alpha^r)'(f) - \alpha^r(f)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B(f'_* - f_*) d\sigma dx_* dv_*, \\ I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B(f'_* \alpha^r(f') - f_* \alpha^r(f)) d\sigma dx_* dv_*, \\ I_3 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B f' \Gamma(f, f') d\sigma dx_* dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, Γ is defined as

$$\Gamma(f, f') = \alpha^r(f') - \alpha^r(f) - (\alpha^r)'(f)(f' - f).$$

We show that

$$I_1, I_3 \in L^1([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R) \text{ and } I_2 \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^1(B_x^R; W^{-2,1}(B_v^R))). \quad (4.12)$$

Notice that we only need to show (4.12) for I_1 and I_2 . Indeed, if (4.12) holds for I_1 and I_2 , then the weak formulation of (4.10) implies that

$$0 \leq - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varphi I_3 dx dv dt < +\infty, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathbb{R}_+).$$

Notice that the concavity of α^r implies $\Gamma(f, f') \leq 0$ and hence, $I_3 \leq 0$. Hence, we have $I_3 \in L^1([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R)$.

We show that (4.12) holds for I_1 and I_2 :

- **I_1 term:** By cancellation Lemma 4.8, I_1 can be written as

$$I_1 = (f(\alpha^r)'(f) - \alpha^r(f)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f_* *_{v_*} S](x_*, v) dx_*.$$

The definition of α^r ensures that $|f(\alpha^r)'(f) - \alpha^r(f)| \lesssim 1$. Hence, to show the integrability of I_1 , we only need to show $[f_* *_{v_*} S] \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$. By Lemma 4.8, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B_v^R} [f_* *_{v_*} S] dx_* dv \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times B_v^R} f(x_*, v_*) |v - v_*|^\gamma dx_* dv_* dv.$$

We change of the variable by letting $z = v - v_*$. For any fixed $M \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times B_v^R} f_* |v - v_*|^\gamma dx_* dv_* dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \{|z+v_*| \leq R\}} f_* |z|^\gamma dx_* dv_* dz \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B_{v_*}^M \times \{|z| \leq R+M\}} f_* |z|^\gamma dz dx_* dv_* \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (B_{v_*}^M)^c \times \{|z+v_*| \leq R\}} f_* |z|^\gamma dz dx_* dv_* \\ & := A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

The integrability of $|z|^\gamma \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\gamma \in [-2, 0)$ when $d \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (-2, 0)$ in $d = 2$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 & \lesssim (R+M)^{\gamma+d} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}, \\ A_2 & \lesssim_R \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (B_{v_*}^M)^c} f_* |v_*|^\gamma dx_* dv_* \leq M^\gamma \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $I_1 \in L^\infty([0, T] \times B_x^R \times B_v^R)$.

- **I_2 term:** We use the duality argument. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_v^d)$ be fixed. By changing of variables, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} I_2 \varphi dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} B f_* \alpha^r(f) (\varphi' - \varphi) dx_* dv_* dx d\sigma.$$

By Taylor's expansion as in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\varphi - \varphi' = (v - v') \cdot \nabla_v \varphi(x, v) + O(\|D_v^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty} |v - v'|^2).$$

By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$|v - v'|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |v - v_*|^2 (1 - \sigma \cdot k).$$

The cross-section identity (4.6) and the definition (4.5) imply that, for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} B(\varphi' - \varphi) d\sigma \right| \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla_v \varphi\|_{L^\infty} |v - v_*| \Lambda(|v - v_*|) + \|D_v^2 \varphi\|_{L^\infty} |v - v_*|^2 \Lambda(|v - v_*|) \\ & \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_v^d)} \max(|v - v_*|, |v - v_*|^2) \Lambda(|v - v_*|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{W^{-2,1}(B_v^R)} &= \sup_{\varphi \in W^{2,\infty}(B_v^R)} \left\{ \|\varphi\|_{W^{2,\infty}}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} I_2 \varphi dv \right\} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B_v^R} f_* \alpha^r(f) \max(|v - v_*|, |v - v_*|^2) \Lambda(|v - v_*|) dv_* dv \\ &\leq \|\alpha^r\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B_v^R} f_* \max(|v - v_*|^{\gamma+1}, |v - v_*|^{\gamma+2}) dv_* dv, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the cross-section bound (4.7) to derive the last inequality. We integrate $\|R_2\|_{W^{-2,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$ over $x \in B_x^R$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{L^1(B_x^R; W^{-2,1}(B_v^R))} &\lesssim_r \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times B_v^R} f_* \max(|v - v_*|^{\gamma+1}, 1) dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim_R \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we repeat the argument as in (4.13) to derive the last inequality.

Hence, we have $I_2 \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^1(B_x^R; W^{-2,1}(B_v^R)))$.

□

4.2 Proof of Lemma 4.4

In this subsection, our goal is to show

$$\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta \rightarrow \sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } L^2(B_x^R \times B_v^R) \quad (4.14)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

We take $\chi_R \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, 1])$ such that $\chi_R = 1$ on $B_v^R \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\text{supp}(\chi_R) \subset B_v^{R+1}$. We define

$$f_R^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon(x, v) \chi_R(v).$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta - \sqrt{f^\varepsilon}) \chi_R \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \left\| (\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta) \chi_R - \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta - \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in (0, 1)$. Since $\text{supp}(\rho^\delta) \subset B_\delta(0)$ and $\|\rho^\delta\|_{L^1} = 1$ for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\sqrt{f^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta) \chi_R - \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta \right\|_{L^2(B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}_v^d)} \\ & \leq \underbrace{\int_{B_a(0)} \rho^\delta}_{\leq 1} \sup_{|h| \leq a} \left\| \sqrt{f^\varepsilon} (\chi_R(\cdot + h) - \chi_R(\cdot)) \right\|_{L^2(B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}_v^d)} \\ & \quad + C_R \underbrace{\sup_{|v| \geq a} \rho^\delta(v)}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0} \left\| \sqrt{f^\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, where $C_R > 0$ is a constant independent of ε and δ . Indeed, the equi-integrability of f^ε and $\sup_{|h| \leq a} |\text{supp}(\chi_R(v+h) - \chi_R(v))| \rightarrow 0$ ensures the uniform convergence of the first term on the right-hand side, and the uniform bound of $\sqrt{f^\varepsilon}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ensures the uniform convergence of the second term.

Hence, to show (4.14), we only need to show

$$\sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta \rightarrow \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } L^2(B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}_v^d)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

We define the Fourier transform in $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\mathcal{F}_v f(x, \xi) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x, v) e^{-iv \cdot \xi} dv.$$

By the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\left\| \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} *_v \rho^\delta - \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon} \right\|_{L^2(B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}_v^d)}^2 = \int_{B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_v \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon}(x, \xi) (1 - \mathcal{F}_v \rho^\delta)|^2 d\xi dx. \quad (4.15)$$

We recall $\rho^\delta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\|\rho^\delta\|_{L^1} = 1$, for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Thus, to show the uniform convergence of (4.15), we only need to show that

$$\int_{B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_v \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon}(x, \xi)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi| \geq M\}} d\xi dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $M \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly in ε . More precisely, we show that

$$\int_{B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_v \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon}(x, \xi)|^2 \min(|\xi|^2, |\xi|^\nu) d\xi dx \leq C_R (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon)). \quad (4.16)$$

The constant $C_R > 0$ is independent of ε . The constant $\nu \in (0, 2)$ is given in Assumption 2.13, which characterises the angular singularity that $\beta(\theta) \gtrsim \theta^{-1-\nu}$.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to showing (4.16).

Proof of (4.16): For the spatial-homogeneous cases, the estimate (4.16) has been shown in [CDW22, Appendix B] and [ADVW00, Section 4-5] by using the

idea of truncation and the Fourier representation (in v). In the following, we follow the proof of the homogeneous case to outline the main ideas of the proof. When the spatial variables x and x_* can be regarded as parameters, we directly apply the technical results established for the spatial-homogeneous equations. We provide detailed proofs only when the spatial variables play a nontrivial role.

We remove the kinetic singularity of the collision kernel B^ε by defining

$$\bar{A}_0(|z|) := \min(\bar{C}, A_0(|z|)), \quad \bar{B}^\varepsilon(z, \sigma) = \bar{A}_0(|z|)b^\varepsilon(\theta) \quad (4.17)$$

for some $\bar{C} > 0$. In the following subsection, we replace B^ε by \bar{B}^ε in the entropy dissipation $\mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f)$.

We define

$$\mathbb{F}_*^\varepsilon = \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v_*) = f^\varepsilon *_x \kappa^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa^\varepsilon(x - x_*) f^\varepsilon(x_*, v_*) dx_*.$$

By Assumption 2.14, we have

$$\|\mathbb{F}^\varepsilon\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^1} \leq \|\kappa^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C_\kappa \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

Our goal is to search for an appropriate lower bound of $\mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon)$. Notice that $\mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f_R^\varepsilon)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f_R^\varepsilon) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{B}^\varepsilon((f_R^\varepsilon)'(\mathbb{F}_R^\varepsilon)'_* - f_R^\varepsilon(\mathbb{F}_R^\varepsilon)_*) \log f_R^\varepsilon d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{B}^\varepsilon f_R^\varepsilon(\mathbb{F}_R^\varepsilon)_* \log \frac{f_R^\varepsilon}{(f_R^\varepsilon)'} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{B}^\varepsilon(\mathbb{F}_R^\varepsilon)_* (f_R^\varepsilon - (f_R^\varepsilon)') dx dv dv_* d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{B}^\varepsilon(\mathbb{F}_R^\varepsilon)_* \left(f_R^\varepsilon \log \frac{f_R^\varepsilon}{(f_R^\varepsilon)'} - f_R^\varepsilon + (f_R^\varepsilon)' \right) dx dv dv_* d\sigma \\ &:= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

In the rest of this subsection, we drop ε and R of f_R^ε for notational simplicity. By cancellation Lemma 4.8, I_1 is bounded by

$$|I_1| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathbb{F}_*[f *_v S](x, v_*) dx dv_* \right| \lesssim \|S\|_{L^\infty} \|\kappa^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2 =: C_1,$$

where the boundedness of \bar{B}^ε implies

$$|S(|z|)| \lesssim \bar{A}_0(|z|) \leq \bar{C}.$$

In the rest of this subsection, we use the notation of constants

$$C_i = C_i(d, R, E, H) > 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}_+,$$

where $E = \sup_{\varepsilon} \|f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} < +\infty$ and $H = \sup_{\varepsilon} \|f^{\varepsilon} \log f^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} < +\infty$ by (2.46). In particular, C_i is independent of ε .

We define $g = \sqrt{f}$. By using the elementary inequality $a \log \frac{a}{b} - a + b \geq |\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}|^2$ for all $a, b > 0$, we have

$$I_2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{B}^{\varepsilon} \mathbb{f}_* |g - g'|^2 dv_* dx dv d\sigma.$$

Substituting the estimates of I_1 and I_2 to (4.18), we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_B^{\varepsilon}(f) + C_1 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{A}_0 b^{\varepsilon}(\theta) \mathbb{f}_* |g - g'|^2 dv_* dx dv d\sigma. \quad (4.19)$$

We show an appropriate lower bound of the right-hand side of (4.19).

Notice that, in the hard potential cases $A_0(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^{\gamma}$, $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, the kinetic kernel $\bar{A}_0(|v - v_*|)$ vanishes when $v \sim v_*$. We follow [ADW00] to treat this case. For any $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $v_j \in B_v^R$, we define A_j and B_j as follows

$$A_j = \{v \in B_v^R \mid |v - v_j| < r_0/4\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = \{v \in B_v^R \mid |v - v_j| > r_0\}.$$

Then there exist r_0 and finite $\{v_j\}_{j=1, \dots, N_j}$ such that

$$B_v^{R+1} \subset \cup_{j=1}^{N_j} A_j.$$

Let $\chi_{A_j} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\chi_{A_j} = 1$ for $v \in A_j$, $0 \leq \chi_{A_j} \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(\chi_{A_j}) \subset \{|v - v_j| < 3r_0/8\}$ and $\text{Lip}(\chi_{A_j}) \leq C$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N_j$. Similarly, we define $\chi_{B_j} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $B_v^R \cap \{|v - v_j| > 7r_0/8\} \subset \text{supp}(\chi_{B_j})$, $0 \leq \chi_{B_j} \leq 1$ and $\text{Lip}(\chi_{B_j}) \leq C$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N_j$. Notice that $\text{dist}(A_j, B_j) \geq \frac{r_0}{2}$.

We note that, in the soft potential case and the hard potential with $A_0(|v - v_*|) \sim \langle v - v_* \rangle^{\gamma}$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, the kinetic kernel $A_0(|v - v_*|)$ has a locally positive lower bound

$$A_0(|v - v_*|) \mathbb{1}_{B_v^{R+1} \times B_{v_*}^{R+1}} \geq C_0 > 0.$$

In this case, we can simply take $A_j = B_j = B_v^{R+1}$ and $N_j = 1$.

For each $j = 1, \dots, N_j$, we will follow the truncation argument [ADW00, Lemma 2] to show a lower bound of the right-hand side of (4.19).

Lemma 4.11. *For any $j = 1, \dots, N_j$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{A}_0(|v - v_*|) b(\theta) \mathbb{f}_* |g - g'|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma + C_2 \\ & \geq \min_{r_0/2 \leq |z| \leq 2\sqrt{2}R} \bar{A}_0(|z|) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} b(\theta) (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* |g_{A_j} - g'_{A_j}|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Then by directly applying the Fourier representation results in [ADVW00, Proposition 1 and Corollary 3] and integrating over $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} b(\theta) (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* |g_{A_j} - g'_{A_j}|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma &\geq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |\mathcal{F}_v g_{A_j}(x, \xi)|^2 \\ &\times \left\{ \int_{S^{d-1}} b(\theta_\xi) (\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{f}_{B_j}(x, 0) - |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{f}_{B_j}(x, \xi^-)|) d\sigma \right\} d\xi dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where $\xi^- := \frac{\xi - |\xi|\sigma}{2}$ and $\theta_\xi := \arccos(\sigma \cdot \xi/|\xi|)$.

We shortly bring ε back in the following lemma. Following [ADVW00, Lemma 3], the equi-integrability of f^ε and the uniform lower bound of κ^ε imply the following pointwise lower bounds.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let f^ε be given as in Lemma 4.1 and $\mathbb{f}^\varepsilon = f *_{x, \kappa}^\varepsilon$. For almost all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in B_x^R$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{f}^\varepsilon(x, 0) - |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{f}^\varepsilon(x, \xi^-)| \geq C_3 \min(1, |\xi^-|^2). \quad (4.22)$$

Then the identity $|\xi^-|^2 = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(1 - \sigma \cdot \xi/|\xi|)$ and the angular assumption (2.35) that $\beta(\theta) \gtrsim \theta^{-1-\nu}$ for some $\nu \in (0, 2)$, we have the pointwise lower bound

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} b^\varepsilon(\theta) \min(1, |\xi^-|^2) d\sigma \geq C_4 \min(|\xi|^2, |\xi|^\nu) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \quad (4.23)$$

The detailed proof of (4.23) can be found in [ADVW00, Lemma 4] and [CDW22, Lemma B.4].

Now we combine the estimates (4.20), (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23). For any $j = 1, \dots, N_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{B}^\varepsilon(|v - v_*|) b(\theta) \mathbb{f}_* |g - g'|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma + C_2 \\ &\geq C_5 \int_{B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_v g_{A_j}(x, \xi)|^2 \min(|\xi|^2, |\xi|^\nu) d\xi dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Notice that, one can take the sum over $j = 1, \dots, N_j$ in (4.24) by using

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_j} |\mathcal{F}_v g_{A_j}(x, \xi)|^2 \gtrsim \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \mathcal{F}_v g_{A_j}(x, \xi) \right|^2 \geq |\mathcal{F}_v g(x, \xi)|^2.$$

We recall the definition $g = \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon}$. Substituting the sum of (4.24) to (4.19), we obtain (4.16)

$$\int_{B_x^R \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_v \sqrt{f_R^\varepsilon}(x, \xi)|^2 \min(|\xi|^2, |\xi|^\nu) dx d\xi \leq C_R (1 + \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon)).$$

We prove Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12.

Proof of Lemma 4.11. We follow [ADVW00, Lemma 2] with appropriate modification for the fuzzy case. By the definition of χ_{A_j} and χ_{B_j} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{f}_*|g - g'|^2 &\geq \mathbb{f}_*|g - g'|^2(\chi_{B_j})_*\chi_{A_j}^2 = (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_*|g - g'|^2\chi_{A_j}^2 \\ &\geq (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* (|g_{A_j} - g'_{A_j}|^2 - |g'(\chi_{A_j} - \chi'_{A_j})|^2),\end{aligned}$$

where we use

$$\begin{aligned}|g_{A_j} - g'_{A_j}|^2 &= |g\chi_{A_j} - g'\chi_{A_j} + g'\chi_{A_j} - g'\chi'_{A_j}|^2 \\ &\leq |g - g'|^2\chi_{A_j}^2 + |g'(\chi_{A_j} - \chi'_{A_j})|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, N_j\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{A}_0 b(\theta) \mathbb{f}_* |g - g'|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma \\ &\geq \min_{r_0/2 \leq |z| \leq 2\sqrt{2}R} \bar{A}_0(|z|) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} b(\theta) (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* |g_{A_j} - g'_{A_j}|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\bar{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} b(\theta) (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* f' |\chi_{A_j} - \chi'_{A_j}|^2 dx dv_* dv d\sigma}_{=: I_3},\end{aligned}$$

where we have $|\bar{A}_0| \leq \bar{C}$ by definition (4.17).

We are left to show the upper bound of $|I_3|$. By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$|\chi_{A_j} - \chi'_{A_j}|^2 \lesssim \text{Lip}(\chi_{A_j}) \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2.$$

By definition $v' = \frac{v+v_*}{2} + \frac{|v-v_*|}{2}\sigma$, we have $\left|\frac{dv}{dv'}\right| \lesssim 1$, since

$$\left|\frac{dv'}{dv}\right| = \frac{1}{2}|I_d + k \otimes \sigma| = \frac{1 + k \cdot \sigma}{2^d} \geq 2^{-d}.$$

Then by changing of variable $v \mapsto v'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I_3| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \theta^2 b^\varepsilon(\theta) (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* f' |v - v_*|^2 dv' dv_* dx d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d} \times S^{d-1}} \theta^2 b^\varepsilon(\theta) (\mathbb{f}_{B_j})_* f |v' - v_*|^2 d\theta dv dv_* dx d\sigma \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta^2 \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) d\theta \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} f_* f |v - v_*|^2 d\eta \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{0,2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},\end{aligned}$$

where we use the finite angular momentum assumption (2.37) and the bound $|v - v'|^2 \leq |v - v_*|^2$ in the last inequality. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.12. We follow [ADVW00, Lemma 3] with appropriate modification for the fuzzy case. It is sufficient to show that

$$|\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, 0) - |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, \xi^-)| \geq C_3 \min(1, |\xi^-|^2) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } x \in B_x^R.$$

Let $\tau \in [0, 2\pi)$ denote the angle between the real and imaginary parts of $\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, \xi)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, \xi)| &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) e^{-iv \cdot \xi} dv \right| \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) (\cos(\xi \cdot v) \cos \tau - \sin(\xi \cdot v) \sin \tau) dv \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) \cos(\xi \cdot v + \tau) dv, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, 0) - |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, \xi)| = 2(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\xi \cdot v + \tau}{2} \right) dv.$$

For some $\delta > 0$ small to be determined later, we define

$$A_{\xi, \delta} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |v \cdot \xi + \tau - 2n\pi| \geq 2\delta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

For some $L > 0$ large to be determined later, we have

$$\mathbb{R}^d = (B_v^L)^c \cup (B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta})) \cup (B_v^L \cap A_{\xi, \delta}).$$

Using this, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, 0) - |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, \xi)| \\ &\geq 2(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sin^2 \delta \int_{A_{\xi, \delta}} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) dv \\ &\geq 2(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sin^2 \delta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta}))} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) dv - \int_{(B_v^L)^c} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) dv \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Notice that $v \in B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta})$ if and only if there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\left| v \cdot \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} + \frac{\tau}{|\xi|} - 2\pi \frac{n_0}{|\xi|} \right| \leq \frac{2\delta}{|\xi|}. \tag{4.26}$$

In the case of $|\xi| \geq 1$, (4.26) is bounded by 2δ , and we have

$$|B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta})| \leq (2L)^{d-1} \frac{4\delta}{|\xi|} (1 + L|\xi|/\pi) \lesssim \delta.$$

The uniform bounds (2.46) ensures that f^ε is equi-integrable. We choose L large enough and δ small enough such that

$$\int_{B_x^L \times B_v^L} f^\varepsilon dx dv \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_x^L \times (B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta}))} f^\varepsilon dx dv \leq \frac{1}{8}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and hence,

$$\int_{B_x^L \times (B_v^L \cap A_{\xi, \delta})} f^\varepsilon \, dx \, dv \geq \frac{3}{8}.$$

Combining with the assumption (2.41) that $\kappa^\varepsilon(x - x_*) \geq C_0 > 0$ for all $x \in B_x^R$ and $x_* \in B_x^L$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta}))} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, v) \, dv \geq \int_{B_x^L \times (B_v^L \cap A_{\xi, \delta})} \kappa^\varepsilon(x - x_*) f^\varepsilon(x_*, v) \, dx_* \, dv \geq \frac{3C_0}{8}$$

for all $x \in B_x^R$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since $\| |v|^2 f^\varepsilon \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}$ is uniformly bounded, we choose L large enough such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\| |v|^2 \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \|_{L_v^1}}{L^2} = \frac{\| |v|^2 f^\varepsilon \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}}{L^2} \leq \frac{C_0}{8}.$$

Hence, the inequality (4.25) has the following lower bound

$$\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, 0) - |\mathcal{F}_v \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon(x, \xi)| \geq \frac{C_0}{2(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sin^2 \delta \quad (4.27)$$

and (4.22) holds by choosing $C_4 = \frac{C_0}{2(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sin^2 \delta$.

In the case of $|\xi| < 1$, we choose $\delta = \delta_0 |\xi|$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$ small. Then (4.26) implies that

$$|B_v^L \cap (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus A_{\xi, \delta})| \leq 4\delta_0 (2L)^{d-1} (1 + L/\pi) \lesssim \delta_0.$$

We repeat the arguments in the case of $|\xi| \geq 1$ by choosing $\delta_0 > 0$ small to arrive at (4.27). We note that

$$\sin^2 \delta \geq \delta_0^2 \inf_{|\xi| \leq 1} \left| \frac{\sin(\delta_0 |\xi|)}{\delta_0 |\xi|} \right|^2 \geq \sin^2 \delta_0.$$

We conclude that the inequality (4.22) holds by choosing $C_4 = \frac{C_0}{2(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \sin^2 \delta_0$. \square

5 Convergence of dissipation

We recall the definition of the cosh-dissipation (2.31)

$$\mathcal{D}_{\cosh}^\varepsilon(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\sqrt{f' f'_*} - \sqrt{f f_*}|^2 B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma.$$

In this section, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let B^ε and κ^ε satisfy Assumption 2.14. Let f^ε be \mathcal{H} -solutions of (2.42) with initial values satisfying Assumption 2.15. Let f be the weak limit of f^ε given in Lemma 4.1. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{\cosh}^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon). \quad (5.1)$$

As a direct consequence of Remark 2.21, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let (Ψ^*, Θ) satisfy Assumption 2.8 and 2.20. Let f^ε and f be given as in Theorem 5.1. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^*}(f^\varepsilon).$$

Remark 5.3 (Affine representation). *In Section 5 and Section 6, we show the limit of entropy dissipation and curve action by using the idea of affine representations. Let $\Psi, \Psi^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth convex dual pair. Then we have*

$$\int \Psi^*(\xi) = \sup_{\phi \in C_c^\infty} \left\{ \int \xi \cdot \phi - \int \Psi(\phi) \right\}.$$

We follow the affine-representation approach developed for the homogeneous case [CDW22], adapting it appropriately to incorporate the spatial variable. The use of affine representations based on Legendre transformations has appeared previously in the literature, for example, in [Léo95; Ott01].

First, we extend the test functions from $\phi = \phi(x, v)$ to $\Phi = \Phi(x, x_*, v, v_*)$ in Section 5.1. Then, Section 5.2 contains the detailed proof of Theorem 5.1

5.1 Extension of notations

To simplify the proof of Theorem 5.1, we follow [CDW22, Section 3] with appropriate modifications concerning the spatial variables x . More precisely, we extend the notation of $\tilde{\nabla}$ to functions $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{4d}$, for which the elliptic equation $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \Phi$ is solved in Lemma 5.7.

In the rest of this section, we write

$$F = ff_*, \quad F' = f'f'_*.$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\sqrt{F^\varepsilon} \rightarrow \sqrt{F} \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We recall that for a fixed $\sigma \in S^{d-1}$ the fuzzy Boltzmann gradient is defined via

$$\bar{\nabla} \phi(x, v) = \phi(x, v') + \phi(x_*, v'_*) - \phi(x, v) - \phi(x_*, v_*).$$

We recall the weak formulation of the fuzzy Boltzmann collision operator

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q_{\mathbb{B}}(f, f) \phi \, dx \, dv = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B(f' f'_* - f f_*) \bar{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta \, d\sigma$$

for all test functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Notice that, one can extend the weak formulation to all test functions

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{4d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by redefining $\bar{\nabla}_\sigma$ as

$$\bar{\nabla}_\sigma \Phi = \Phi'_* + \Phi' - \Phi_* - \Phi,$$

where we define

$$\Phi' = \Phi(x, x_*, v', v'_*), \quad \Phi'_* = \Phi(x_*, x, v'_*, v') \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_* = \Phi(x_*, x, v_*, v).$$

For simplification, we write $\bar{\nabla}_\sigma = \bar{\nabla}$. We abuse the notation of $\langle Q(f, f), \Phi \rangle$. By changing of variables, the weak Boltzmann collision operators can be written as

$$\langle Q_{\mathbb{B}}(f, f), \Phi \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \kappa B(F' - F) \bar{\nabla} \Phi \, d\eta \, d\sigma.$$

Similarly, we can extend the definition of the fuzzy Landau gradient as follows

$$\tilde{\nabla} \Phi = \frac{\sqrt{A}}{2} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0 (\Phi + \Phi_*), \quad (5.2)$$

where we define $\nabla_0 = (\nabla_v - \nabla_{v_*})$, and $\Phi_* = \Phi(x_*, x, v_*, v)$. Correspondingly, we can define $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot$ via integration by parts. Notice that

$$\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \Phi = \frac{A}{2} \nabla_0 \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0 (\Phi + \Phi_*)).$$

By the extended definition, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla} F = \sqrt{A} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0 F.$$

We abuse the notation of $\langle Q(f, f), \Phi \rangle$. The weak Landau collision operators can be written as

$$\langle Q_{\mathbb{L}}(f, f), \Phi \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa \tilde{\nabla} F \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \Phi \, d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa F \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \Phi \, d\eta.$$

Remark 5.4 (Comparison with the homogeneous Landau gradient). *Compared with the homogeneous case, due to the independent spatial variables x and x_* , we always treat f and f_* independently. The Landau gradient in the homogeneous case is defined as (see also (5.13) below)*

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \Phi = \sqrt{A} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0 \Phi.$$

In comparison, in the fuzzy case we define

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{fuzz}}\Phi = \sqrt{A} \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0 \frac{\Phi + \Phi^*}{2}.$$

Notice that in the symmetric case ($\Phi = \Phi_*$), for instance when $\Phi = f f_*$, we have

$$\Phi = \frac{\Phi + \Phi_*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi = \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{fuzzy}}\Phi.$$

As a direct consequence of Lemma 3.4, the following holds.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R})$. The following pointwise bounds hold*

$$|\bar{\nabla}\Phi| \leq C_1 \theta |v - v_*|, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{|S^{d-2}|} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \, dp \right| \leq C_2 \theta^2 (|v - v_*| + |v - v_*|^2),$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\|\nabla_0 \phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d})})$ and $C_2 = C_2(\|\nabla_0 \Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d})}, \|D_0^2 \Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d})})$ are positive constants independent of θ . We have the following pointwise limits

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta} \bar{\nabla}\Phi = \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} p \cdot \nabla_0 (\Phi + \Phi_*), \quad (5.4)$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\theta^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \, dp = \frac{|S^{d-2}|}{8(d-1)} \nabla_0 \cdot (|v - v_*|^2 \Pi_{k^\perp} \nabla_0 (\Phi + \Phi_*)). \quad (5.5)$$

5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.1

Strategy of the proof: We only consider the case of $\liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{\text{cosh}}(f^\varepsilon) < +\infty$, otherwise there is nothing to show. We show (5.1) in the following three steps:

Step 1: We show the affine representation inequality of the Landau dissipation

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \sup_{\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty} \left\{ -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\Phi \, d\eta - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\tilde{\nabla}\Phi|^2 \, d\eta \right\}.$$

The functional space \mathbf{DS}_c^∞ is defined as in (5.10) below.

Step 2: We show the affine representation inequality of the reduced Boltzmann dissipation

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{cosh}}(f^\varepsilon) \geq \sup_{\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty} \left\{ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa^\varepsilon F^\varepsilon} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \right) d\eta - \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, d\eta \right\}.$$

Step 3: We conclude (5.1) by showing

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa^\varepsilon F^\varepsilon} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \right) d\eta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\Phi \, d\eta \\ & \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 B^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\tilde{\nabla}\Phi|^2 \, d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: Affine representation of the fuzzy Landau dissipation

The following result extends [CDW22, Proposition 6.1] to the fuzzy setting.

Proposition 5.6. *We have the following preliminary expression for the fuzzy Landau dissipation*

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) = \sup_{\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\xi|^2 d\eta - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa A F} \nabla_0 \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \xi) d\eta \right\}. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Let us denote the right-hand side of (5.6) by \mathcal{I}_L and notice that

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\sqrt{\kappa} \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{F}|^2 d\eta$$

We want to show equality $\mathcal{D}_L(f) = \mathcal{I}_L$.

- $\mathcal{I}_L \leq \mathcal{D}_L$: We only need to consider the case of $\mathcal{D}_L < +\infty$. For any fixed $\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa A F} \nabla_0 \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \xi) d\eta - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\xi|^2 d\eta \\ &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} (\sqrt{\kappa} \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{F}) \cdot \xi d\eta - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\xi|^2 d\eta \\ &\leq 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\sqrt{\kappa} \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{F}|^2 d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\xi|^2 d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\xi|^2 d\eta \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\sqrt{\kappa} \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{F}|^2 d\eta = \mathcal{D}_L(f). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

In the first line, the integration by parts of $\sqrt{A} \nabla_0 \cdot \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp}$ onto \sqrt{F} is justified, since finite dissipation implies $\sqrt{\kappa} \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{F} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$. Moreover, we used the fact that $\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0 \sqrt{A} = 0$. The last lines follow from the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequalities.

- $\mathcal{D}_L \leq \mathcal{I}_L$: We only need to consider the case of $\mathcal{I}_L < +\infty$. We define the following linear functional

$$T(\xi) = -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa A F} \nabla_0 \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \xi) d\eta, \quad \forall \xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d). \quad (5.8)$$

The boundedness of \mathcal{I}_L implies

$$\sup_{\xi \in C_c^\infty, \|\xi\|_{L^2} = 1} T(\xi) \leq \mathcal{I}_L(f) + 2 < +\infty.$$

Therefore, one can uniquely extend F to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$T(\xi) = 4\langle u, \xi \rangle, \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the L^2 -inner product. Since $\tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{\kappa F} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, the integration by parts of the right-hand side of (5.8) implies that $u = \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{\kappa F}$. Moreover, by (5.7), we have

$$T(\xi) - 2\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \leq \mathcal{D}_L(f) = T(u) - 2\langle u, u \rangle, \quad \forall \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since C_c^∞ is dense in L^2 , we have

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) = \sup_{\xi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)} \{T(\xi) - 2\langle \xi, \xi \rangle\} = \mathcal{I}_L(f).$$

□

By Proposition 5.6, the optimal ξ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\mathcal{I}_L(f) = T(\xi) - 2\langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ is given by $u = \tilde{\nabla} \sqrt{\kappa F}$. Since u is anti-symmetric, so that $u = -u_*$, and $u \perp (v - v_*)$, we can characterise $\mathcal{D}_L(f)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) = \sup_{V \in \mathbf{AS}_c^\infty} \left\{ -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V|^2 d\eta - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V) d\eta \right\}. \quad (5.9)$$

In the above, the space \mathbf{AS}_c^∞ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{AS} &= \{V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d) \mid V = -V_* \text{ a.e.}\}, \\ \mathbf{AS}_c^\infty &= \{V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d) \mid V \in \mathbf{AS}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(\mathbf{AS}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2})$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and \mathbf{AS}_c^∞ is dense in \mathbf{AS} with respect to L^2 -norm.

We define the functional spaces

$$\mathbf{DS}_c^\infty = \{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}) \mid \Phi = \Phi_*, \exists \delta_\Phi > 0 \text{ s.t. } \Phi = 0 \forall |v - v_*| \leq \delta_\Phi\}. \quad (5.10)$$

The vector field $V \in \mathbf{AS}_c^\infty$ in (5.9) can be replaced by $\tilde{\nabla} \Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty$ by directly applying the following solvability lemma of the Landau elliptic equation.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $V \in \mathbf{AS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique solution $\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R})$ to the following equation*

$$\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \Phi = \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V). \quad (5.11)$$

Moreover, Φ satisfies

$$\|\tilde{\nabla} \Phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d})}^2 \leq \|\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{4d})}^2. \quad (5.12)$$

Proof. We define the homogeneous Landau gradient $\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}$ as follows

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi = \sqrt{A}\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp}\nabla_0\Phi. \quad (5.13)$$

We fix x and x_* as parameters, by directly applying the solvability of the homogeneous (Landau)-elliptic equation given in [CDW22, Lemma 6.5], there exist unique Φ_1 and $\Phi_2 \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathbb{R})$ that solve the following equations

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi_1 = \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V), \quad (5.14)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi_2 = \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V_*) \quad (5.15)$$

for any fixed $(x, x_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. We comment that the solvability result in [CDW22] works for both A_0 taking the form (2.1) and (2.2). Moreover, we have the following L^2 -decomposition equalities for all $(x, x_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V\|_{L^2_{v,v_*}}^2 &= \|\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi_1\|_{L^2_{v,v_*}}^2 + \|\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi_1\|_{L^2_{v,v_*}}^2, \\ \|\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V_*\|_{L^2_{v,v_*}}^2 &= \|\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi_2\|_{L^2_{v,v_*}}^2 + \|\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V_* - \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}\Phi_2\|_{L^2_{v,v_*}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Notice that (5.15) holds if and only if

$$-\tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}}(\Phi_2)_* = \tilde{\nabla}_{\text{homo}} \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} V).$$

The uniqueness of the homogeneous elliptic equations ensures that

$$\Phi_1 = -(\Phi_2)_* \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, x_*, v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{4d}.$$

Let $\Phi = 2\Phi_1$. We have $\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$: The compacted support of Φ in x and x_* is ensured by the uniqueness of (5.14) compact support of $V \in \mathbf{AS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$; We have the smoothness in x and x_* by taking ∇_x and ∇_{x_*} of the both sides of (5.14). Thus, Φ is a desired solution of (5.11). The L^2 -inequality (5.12) holds by integrating (5.16) over $(x, x_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

The uniqueness of (5.11) is ensured by the uniqueness of the homogeneous equation (5.14), since

$$\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\Phi = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \nabla_0 \cdot (\Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0\Phi) = 0.$$

□

Let $\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of (5.11). We substitute $V = \tilde{\nabla}\Phi \in \mathbf{AS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to (5.9), the L^2 -inequality (5.12) implies that

$$\mathcal{D}_L(f) \leq \sup_{\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty} \left\{ -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\tilde{\nabla}\Phi|^2 d\eta - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\Phi d\eta \right\}. \quad (5.17)$$

Step 2: Affine representation of the fuzzy Boltzmann dissipation

The following result extends [CDW22, Proposition 6.6] to the fuzzy setting.

Proposition 5.8. *We have the following preliminary expression for the reduced fuzzy Boltzmann dissipation*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\cosh}(f) = \sup_{\xi \in L^2(B \, d\sigma \, d\eta)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{F'} - \sqrt{F}) \xi B \, d\sigma \, d\eta - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\xi|^2 B \, d\sigma \, d\eta \right\}. \quad (5.18)$$

Proof. For any fixed $\xi \in L^2(B \, d\sigma \, d\eta)$, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{F'} - \sqrt{F}) \xi B \, d\sigma \, d\eta - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\xi|^2 B \, d\sigma \, d\eta \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{F'} - \sqrt{F})|^2 B \, d\sigma \, d\eta = \mathcal{D}_{\cosh}(f). \end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side attains its maximum value, equal to $\mathcal{D}_{\cosh}(f)$, when $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nabla} \sqrt{\kappa F} \in L^2(B \, d\sigma \, d\eta)$. \square

In comparison to (5.17), we want to replace $\xi \in L^2(B \, d\sigma \, d\eta)$ in (5.18) by $\bar{\nabla} \Phi$ for $\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$. By Corollary 5.5, we have

$$|\bar{\nabla} \Phi|^2 \lesssim \mathbb{1}_{\text{supp}(\bar{\nabla} \Phi)} \theta^2 |v - v_*|^2.$$

The integrability of $\theta^2 \beta(\theta)$ (2.37) ensures that

$$\|\bar{\nabla} \Phi\|_{L^2(B \, d\eta \, d\sigma)}^2 \lesssim |\text{supp}(\bar{\nabla} \Phi)| \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta^2 \beta(\theta) \, d\theta < +\infty.$$

Moreover, by changing of variables, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \sqrt{\kappa}(\sqrt{F'} - \sqrt{F}) \bar{\nabla} \Phi B \, d\sigma \, d\eta = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \bar{\nabla} \Phi B \, d\sigma \, d\eta.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\cosh}(f) & \geq \sup_{\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty} \left\{ -\frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla} \Phi|^2 B \, d\sigma \, d\eta \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \Phi B \, d\sigma \right) d\eta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Proof of Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\Phi \in \mathbf{DS}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$. Let B^ε and κ^ε satisfy Assumption 2.14. Then, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa^\varepsilon F^\varepsilon} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \Phi B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \right) d\eta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \sqrt{\kappa F} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \Phi \, d\eta, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla} \Phi|^2 B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, d\eta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\tilde{\nabla} \Phi|^2 \, d\eta. \quad (5.20)$$

Proof. We follow [CDW22, Section 6.3] with appropriate modifications to the fuzzy cases. Without loss of generality, we assume $|\bar{\nabla}\Phi| \leq 1$ and $\text{supp}(\bar{\nabla}\Phi) \subset \Omega \times S^{d-1}$, where $\Omega := B_1(0) \setminus (\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \{|v - v_*| \leq \delta\})$ for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, where $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{4d}$ denotes the unit ball. Notice that removing the region of $|v - v_*| \leq \delta$ leads to the boundedness of $A_0(|v - v_*|)\mathbb{1}_\Omega \leq C$. Let $\theta = \varepsilon\chi/\pi$. We recall the finite angular momentum assumption (2.37).

We first show (5.19) by the dominated convergence theorem. The right-hand side of (5.19) can be written as

$$I_1 := \int_{\Omega} A_0 \sqrt{\kappa^\varepsilon F^\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \, dp \, d\chi \right) d\eta.$$

By using the upper bound of $\left| \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \, dp \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \chi^2 |v - v_*|$ in Corollary 5.5, the integrand of I_1 is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & A_0 \sqrt{\kappa^\varepsilon F^\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \, dp \, d\chi \right) \\ & \lesssim_{\Phi, C_\kappa} \sqrt{F^\varepsilon} \mathbb{1}_\Omega \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \chi^2 \beta(\chi) \, d\chi \lesssim \sqrt{F^\varepsilon} \mathbb{1}_\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly integrable since $\sqrt{F^\varepsilon} \rightarrow \sqrt{F}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ by Lemma 4.2.

Then we are left to show the pointwise limit

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} 2\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\Phi,$$

which is a direct consequence of the pointwise limit (5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi B^\varepsilon \, d\sigma = A_0(|v - v_*|) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \, dp \, d\chi \\ & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} A_0 \frac{|S^{d-2}|}{8(d-1)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \chi^2 \beta(\chi) \, d\chi \nabla_0 \cdot (|v - v_*|^2 \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*)) \\ & = 2\tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{\nabla}\Phi \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, x_*, v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{4d}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain the limit (5.19) by the dominated convergence theorem.

We show (5.20) similarly. The right-hand side of (5.20) can be written as

$$I_2 := \int_{\Omega} A_0 \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 \, dp \, d\chi \right) d\eta,$$

and the integrated of I_2 is dominated by

$$A_0 \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 \, dp \, d\chi \right) \lesssim_{\Phi, C_\kappa} \mathbb{1}_\Omega.$$

On the other hand, the bound and limit of $\bar{\nabla}\Phi$ given by (5.3) and (5.4)

$$|\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \chi^2 |v - v_*|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \bar{\nabla}\Phi = \frac{\chi}{\pi} \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} p \cdot \nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*)$$

yield the pointwise limit for a.e. $(x, x_*, v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{4d}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 B^\varepsilon d\sigma = 8|\tilde{\nabla}\Phi|^2. \quad (5.21)$$

Indeed, by the identity $|p \cdot z|^2 = z^T (p \otimes p) z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{d-1}} |\bar{\nabla}\Phi|^2 B^\varepsilon d\sigma &= A_0 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\chi) \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \left| \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} \bar{\nabla}\Phi \right|^2 dp d\chi \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{A_0}{4} |v - v_*|^2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\chi) \chi^2 d\chi \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} |p \cdot \nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*)|^2 dp \\ &= \frac{2(d-1)A}{|S^{d-2}|} (\nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*))^T \left(\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} p \otimes p dp \right) \nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*) \\ &= 2A (\nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*))^T \Pi_{(v-v_*)^\perp} \nabla_0(\Phi + \Phi_*) = 8|\tilde{\nabla}\Phi|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation $A(|v - v_*|) = A_0(|v - v_*|)|v - v_*|^2$, and the second last equality is given by Lemma 3.2.

Hence, the limit (5.20) holds by the dominated convergence theorem. \square

6 Convergence of curve action

We recall the definition of R and \mathcal{A}_L

$$\begin{aligned} R(f, U) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi\left(\frac{U}{\Theta(f)B\kappa}\right) \Theta(f) B\kappa d\eta d\sigma, \\ \text{and } \mathcal{A}_L(f, U) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \frac{|U|^2}{\kappa f f_*} d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

In this Section, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let B^ε and κ^ε satisfy Assumption 2.14. Let f^ε be \mathcal{H} -solutions of (2.42) with initial values satisfying Assumption 2.15. Let f be the weak limit of f^ε given in Lemma 4.1. Let (Ψ^*, Θ) be an admissible pair satisfying Assumption 2.8 and Assumption 2.20. Let*

$$U_B^\varepsilon = \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'(f_*^\varepsilon)' - f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon).$$

There exists $U : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$(f, U) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_L(f, U) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R(f^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon).$$

We show Theorem 6.1 in three steps:

Step 1: Let $\delta > 0$ be a constant defined as in Lemma 6.2 below. We show the weak precompactness of

$$\{U_\delta^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}, \quad U_\delta^\varepsilon := |v - v_*|(\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^\delta \theta U_B^\varepsilon \quad (6.2)$$

in $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1})$. We denote (up to a subsequence) U_B^δ the weak limit of U_δ^ε . Correspondingly, we define

$$U_L^\delta = \frac{1}{4} |v - v_*|^{-1} A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{-\delta} \int_{S^{d-1}} U_B^\delta p \, d\sigma. \quad (6.3)$$

Step 2: We verify that $(f, U_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGR}\mathcal{E}_T$ and $\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta) \, dt < +\infty$. Then we search for \tilde{U}_L^δ such that

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, \tilde{U}_L^\delta) \, dt = \min_{\tilde{\nabla} \cdot W = 0} \left\{ \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta + W) \, dt \right\}.$$

Moreover, we show $(f, \tilde{U}_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGR}\mathcal{E}_T$.

Step 3: We show that $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_L(f, \tilde{U}_L^\delta) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{R}(f^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon)$.

Step 1: The compactness of U_δ^ε .

Lemma 6.2. *In the hard and Maxwellian potential case (2.39), we take $\delta \in [-1 - |\gamma|/2, 0)$. In the soft potential case (2.40), we take $\delta \in [0, |\gamma|/2)$. Let U_δ^ε be defined as in (6.2)*

$$U_\delta^\varepsilon = |v - v_*|(\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^\delta \theta U_B^\varepsilon.$$

Under the same assumptions as Theorem 6.1, we have the weak precompactness of

$$\{U_\delta^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \quad \text{in} \quad L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}).$$

Proof. We first show the uniform bounds of U_δ^ε . For any $\phi \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$, by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \phi U_\delta^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma \, dt \right|^2 \leq \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{D}_B(f^\varepsilon) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} \theta^2 \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) \phi^2 \, d\eta \, d\sigma \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using of the inequality $\Lambda(s, t) \leq \frac{s+t}{2}$ for all $s, t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} \theta^2 \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) \phi^2 \, d\eta \, d\sigma \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} A_0 \theta^2 \kappa^\varepsilon \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) \phi^2 \frac{f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon + (f^\varepsilon)' (f_*^\varepsilon)'}{2} \, dp \, d\theta \, d\eta \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} A_0 \theta^2 \kappa^\varepsilon \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) (\phi^2 + (\phi')^2) f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon \, dp \, d\theta \, d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where we change the variable $(v, v_*) \mapsto (v', v'_*)$ and use the energy conservation law $|v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2$ in the last step. The finite angular momentum assumption (2.36) and uniform bound of κ^ε imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} A_0 \kappa^\varepsilon \theta^2 \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) (\phi^2 + (\phi')^2) f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon dp d\theta d\eta \\ & \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} A_0 f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

In the hard potential case, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |v - v_*|^2 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} A_0 f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon d\eta \lesssim \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L_{0,s_1}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2,$$

where $s_1 = 2 + \gamma_+ + 2\delta \in [0, 2 + \gamma_+)$. By uniform bounds (2.46), the right-hand side is uniformly bounded. Moreover, the weak compactness of $\{f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon\}$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$ and $s_1 < 2 + \gamma_+$ ensure the weak compactness of $\{(\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{s_1} f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon\}$ and U_δ^ε by Dunford–Petitts theorem.

Similarly, in the case of $\gamma \in [-2, 0)$, we have the uniform boundedness and compactness of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |v - v_*|^2 A_0 (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{2\delta} f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon d\eta \lesssim \|f^\varepsilon\|_{L_{0,s_2}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2,$$

where $s_2 = 2 + \gamma + 2\delta \in [0, 2)$. \square

Step 2: The verification of $(f, \tilde{U}_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$.

In the following, we fix a δ given in Lemma 6.2. We denote (up to a subsequence) U_B^δ the weak limit of U_δ^ε in $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1})$. We recall the definition (6.3)

$$U_L^\delta = \frac{1}{4} |v - v_*|^{-1} A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{-\delta} \int_{S^{d-1}} U_B^\delta p d\sigma, \quad (6.4)$$

where $p \in S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}$.

We first verify that $(f, U_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$. The weak continuity in time of f is given by Lemma 4.1. We show that (f, U_L^δ) is a weak solution of the transport grazing rate equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla} \cdot U_L = 0. \quad (6.5)$$

We recall the weak formulation for the fuzzy Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) \phi f^\varepsilon dx dv dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi_0 f_0 dx dv \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \tilde{\nabla} \phi U_B^\varepsilon d\eta d\sigma dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the transport grazing rate equation for the fuzzy Landau equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) \phi f^\varepsilon \, dx \, dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi_0 f_0 \, dx \, dv \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \tilde{\nabla} \phi \cdot U_L \, d\eta \, dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Since in Lemma 4.1 we showed that $f^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup f$ in $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$, to show (f, U_L^δ) is a weak solution of (6.5), we only need to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \phi U_B^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma \, dt = 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \tilde{\nabla} \phi \cdot U_L^\delta \, d\eta \, dt. \quad (6.6)$$

By definition (6.2), we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \phi U_B^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \frac{\bar{\nabla} \phi}{\theta |v - v_*| (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^\delta} U_\delta^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma.$$

On the one hand, Lemma 6.2 ensures $U_\delta^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup U_B^\delta$ in $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1})$. On the other hand, we have $\frac{\bar{\nabla} \phi}{\theta |v - v_*| (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^\delta}$ is uniformly bounded and convergent in measure as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, we have $(\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{-\delta} \leq C$ for all $v, v_* \in \text{supp}(\bar{\nabla} \phi)$. Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$\frac{|\bar{\nabla} \phi|}{\theta |v - v_*|} \lesssim \text{Lip}(\phi),$$

and the following pointwise convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{\nabla} \phi}{\theta |v - v_*|} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} p \cdot (\nabla_v \phi - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_*) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} |v - v_*|^{-1} A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} p \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \phi \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we use the definition of $k = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|}$, $p \in S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}$ and $\tilde{\nabla} \phi = |v - v_*| A_0 (|v - v_*|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Pi_{k^\perp} (\nabla_v \phi - \nabla_{v_*} \phi_*)$.

Then by weak-strong convergence, we obtain (6.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \bar{\nabla} \phi U_B^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma \\ & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \tilde{\nabla} \phi \cdot |v - v_*|^{-1} (\langle v \rangle + \langle v_* \rangle)^{-\delta} A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} U_B^\delta p \, d\sigma \, d\eta \\ & = 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \tilde{\nabla} \phi \cdot U_L^\delta \, d\eta \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the pair (f, U_L^δ) is a weak solution of (6.5).

To show $(f, U_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$, we left to show $U_L^\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$. We will first show

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta) dt < +\infty. \quad (6.7)$$

To this end, we use the elementary result that for functions $a_n \rightharpoonup a$ and $b_n \rightharpoonup b$ in L^1 , we have

$$\int \frac{|a|^2}{b} \leq \sup_n \int \frac{|a_n|^2}{b_n}. \quad (6.8)$$

Indeed, by affine representation $\frac{|a|^2}{b} = \sup_\phi \{2a\phi - b\phi^2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{|a|^2}{b} &= \sup_{\phi \in C_c^\infty} \left\{ 2 \int a\phi - \int b\phi^2 \right\} = \sup_\phi \lim_n \left\{ 2 \int a_n\phi - \int b_n\phi^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{n, \phi} \left\{ 2 \int a_n\phi - \int b_n\phi^2 \right\} \leq \sup_n \int \frac{|a_n|^2}{b_n}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of U_L^δ in (6.4), the weak convergence of U_δ^ε in Lemma 6.2 and the convergence $\kappa^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon \rightarrow \kappa f f_*$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$, we apply (6.8) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta) dt &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \frac{|U_L^\delta|^2}{f f_* \kappa} d\eta dt \\ &\lesssim \sup_\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \frac{|\int_{S^{d-1}} \theta U_B^\varepsilon|^2}{A_0 \kappa^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon} d\eta dt. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy—Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \theta U_B^\varepsilon d\sigma \right|^2 \\ &\leq |S^{d-2}| \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta^2 \beta^\varepsilon(\theta) d\theta \right) \int_{S^{d-1}} A_0 B^\varepsilon |\kappa^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' - f_*^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon)|^2 d\sigma \\ &\lesssim A_0 \int_{S^{d-1}} B^\varepsilon |\kappa^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' - f_*^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon)|^2 d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the angular momentum assumption (2.37) for the last step. We aim to show the uniform bound of

$$\sup_\varepsilon \int_0^T D^\varepsilon, \quad D^\varepsilon := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \frac{B^\varepsilon |\kappa^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' - f_*^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon)|^2}{\kappa^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon} d\sigma d\eta.$$

By affine representation, we have

$$D^\varepsilon = \sup_\xi \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} 2\sqrt{B^\varepsilon} \kappa^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' - f_*^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon) \xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\xi|^2 \kappa^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon \right\},$$

where we take $\xi \in L^2(\kappa^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon d\eta d\sigma) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1})$ such that $\|\xi\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Since in Section 4 we showed that $\frac{(f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' + f_*^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon}{2} \rightarrow f f_*$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1})$, we

have the following uniform bound

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\xi|^2 \kappa^\varepsilon \left| f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon - \frac{(f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' + f_*^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon}{2} \right| \leq C$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ε . By using the bound of the logarithm mean $\Lambda(s, t) \leq \frac{s+t}{2}$ for $s, t > 0$, we have

$$D^\varepsilon - C \leq \sup_{\xi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} 2\sqrt{B^\varepsilon} \kappa^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' - f_*^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon) \xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} |\xi|^2 \kappa^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon) \right\}.$$

Since $L^2(\kappa^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon) = L^2(\kappa^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon))$, the right-hand side of the above inequality can be seen as the affine representation of

$$\mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \frac{B^\varepsilon |\kappa^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'_* (f^\varepsilon)' - f_*^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon)|^2}{\kappa^\varepsilon \Lambda(f^\varepsilon)} d\sigma d\eta.$$

The uniform bound of dissipation (2.46) implies that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \int_0^T D^\varepsilon \leq \int_0^T \mathcal{D}_B^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon) + CT < +\infty.$$

Thus, (6.7) holds. We show $U_L^\delta \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d})$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |U| &\leq \sqrt{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} f f_* \kappa} \sqrt{\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{T \|\kappa\|_{L^\infty}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \sqrt{\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U)} < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

We conclude that $(f, U_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGR}\mathcal{E}_T$ and $\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta) dt < +\infty$.

For any $W \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\tilde{\nabla} \cdot W = 0$ in the weak sense, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \tilde{\nabla} \phi \cdot W d\eta = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}), \quad (6.10)$$

we have $(f, U_L^\delta + W) \in \mathcal{TGR}\mathcal{E}_T$ if $\mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta + W) \in L^1([0, T])$. To verify that $(f, U_L^\delta + W)$ is a weak solution of (6.5), we use

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} U_L^\delta \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \phi d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} (U_L^\delta + W) \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \phi d\eta.$$

The rest of Step 2 is devoted to searching for the unique \tilde{U}_L^δ such that

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, \tilde{U}_L^\delta) dt = \min_{\tilde{\nabla} \cdot W = 0} \left\{ \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta + W) dt \right\}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(f, U) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$ such that $\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U) dt < +\infty$. Let $W \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying (6.10). We have (up to a subsequence)*

$$U + W_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{U} \quad \text{in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$$

such that

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, \tilde{U}) dt = \min_{W \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U + W) dt \mid \tilde{\nabla} \cdot W = 0 \text{ weakly} \right\}. \quad (6.11)$$

Moreover, \tilde{U} is unique.

Proof. We define $\mathcal{A}_0 = \min \{ \|\mathcal{A}_L(f, U + W)\|_{L^1([0, T])} \}$. There exists a sequence of divergence-free $\{W_n\} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U + W_n) dt \leq \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U) dt$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U + W_n) dt = \mathcal{A}_0.$$

The sequence $\{U + W_n\}$ is weakly compact in $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d})$, for which we use the uniform bound (2.46) and (6.9) that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |U + W_n| d\eta dt \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The lower semi-continuity and convexity of \mathcal{A}_L ensures that

$$\mathcal{A}_L(f, \tilde{U}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_L(f, U + W_n) = \mathcal{A}_0,$$

and the uniqueness of \tilde{U} . \square

We have the following lemma to characterise the optimal \tilde{U} .

Lemma 6.4. *Let $(f, U) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$ such that $\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U^\delta) dt < +\infty$. Let \tilde{U} satisfy (6.11). There exists $M \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

$$\tilde{U} = \tilde{M} f f_* \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{M} \in \overline{\{\tilde{\nabla} \phi \mid \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\}}^{L^2(f f_* \kappa d\eta)} =: T_f.$$

Proof. We follow [Erb23, Proposition A.11] with appropriate adaptations concerning the fuzzy Landau gradient. By [DH25b, Lemma 3.3], there exists $\tilde{M} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$ such that

$$\tilde{U} = f f_* \kappa \tilde{M}.$$

By definition of \tilde{U} , we have, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\tilde{M}\|_{L^2(f f_* \kappa d\eta)} \leq \|\tilde{M} + s \tilde{N}\|_{L^2(f f_* \kappa d\eta)}, \quad \forall \tilde{N} \in N_f.$$

The space N_f is defined as

$$N_f = \left\{ \widetilde{N} \in L^2(ff_*\kappa \, d\eta) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \widetilde{\nabla} \phi f f_* \kappa \widetilde{N} \, d\eta = 0, \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \right\}.$$

Hence, N_f is the orthogonal complement of T_f in $L^2(ff_*\kappa \, d\eta)$, and we have $\widetilde{M} \in T_f$. \square

We recall that $(f, U_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$, where U_L^δ is defined as in (6.4). By (6.7), we have $\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta) \, dt < +\infty$. By Lemma 6.3, we define \widetilde{U}_L^δ such that

$$\int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, \widetilde{U}_L^\delta) \, dt = \min_{\widetilde{\nabla} \cdot W = 0} \left\{ \int_0^T \mathcal{A}_L(f, U_L^\delta + W) \, dt \right\}. \quad (6.12)$$

Notice that we have $(f, \widetilde{U}_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$. By definition of \widetilde{U} in Lemma 6.3, there exists $\{W_n\} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widetilde{\nabla} \cdot W_n = 0$ and

$$U_L^\delta + W_n \rightharpoonup \widetilde{U}_L^\delta \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

The weak formulation (6.5) holds, since, for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} U_L^\delta \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} (U_L^\delta + W_n) \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \widetilde{U}_L^\delta \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta. \quad (6.13)$$

Hence, we have $(f, \widetilde{U}_L^\delta) \in \mathcal{TGRE}_T$.

Step 3: Verification of the curve action limit.

In Step 3, we show

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_L(f, \widetilde{U}_L^\delta) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R(f^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon). \quad (6.14)$$

Similar to Proposition 5.6, we have the following affine representation of R and \mathcal{A}_L .

Proposition 6.5 (Affine representation). *Let $U_B^\varepsilon = \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon((f^\varepsilon)'(f_*^\varepsilon)' - f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon)$. Let \widetilde{U}_L^δ satisfy (6.12). Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 6.1, we have the following affine representation for the Boltzmann and Landau curve actions*

$$R^\varepsilon(f^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \sup_{\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} U_B^\varepsilon \overline{\nabla} \phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\overline{\nabla} \phi) B^\varepsilon \Theta(f^\varepsilon) \kappa^\varepsilon \right\}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_L(f, \widetilde{U}_L^\delta) = \sup_{\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \widetilde{U}_L^\delta \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\widetilde{\nabla} \phi|^2 f f_* \kappa \right\}. \quad (6.16)$$

Proof. By convex duality, we have

$$R(f^\varepsilon, U_B^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{4} \sup_{\xi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} U_B^\varepsilon \xi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\xi) B^\varepsilon \Theta(f^\varepsilon) \kappa^\varepsilon \right\},$$

where $\xi \in L^2(\Theta B \kappa \, d\eta \, d\sigma; \mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, since $U_B^\varepsilon = \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon (\Psi^*)'(\bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon) \Theta(f^\varepsilon) = \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'(f^\varepsilon)'_* - f^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon_*)$, the duality inequality becomes an equality, i.e.

$$\Psi\left(\frac{U_B^\varepsilon}{\Theta(f^\varepsilon) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon}\right) \Theta(f) B \kappa = U_B^\varepsilon \xi - \Psi^*(\xi) B^\varepsilon \Theta(f^\varepsilon) \kappa^\varepsilon, \quad \xi = \bar{\nabla} \log f^\varepsilon.$$

The entropy dissipation bound (2.28) ensures the affine representation (6.15) holds.

Concerning the Landau affine representation, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_L(f, \widetilde{U}_L^\delta) = \sup_{\zeta \in L^2(ff_* \kappa \, d\eta; \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \widetilde{U}_L^\delta \cdot \zeta - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \frac{1}{2} |\zeta|^2 f f_* \kappa \right\}.$$

By Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\widetilde{U}_L^\delta = M f f_* \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad M \in \overline{\{\widetilde{\nabla} \phi \mid \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\}}^{L^2(ff_* \kappa \, d\eta)}.$$

Hence, there exists $\{\phi_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, such that

$$\widetilde{\nabla} \phi_n f f_* \kappa \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi_n - \frac{1}{2} |\widetilde{\nabla} \phi_n|^2 f f_* \kappa \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\widetilde{U}_L^\delta|^2}{f f_* \kappa} \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d}).$$

Hence, we have the Landau affine representation (6.16). \square

To show (6.14), we show the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Let $U_B^\varepsilon = \kappa^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon ((f^\varepsilon)'(f^\varepsilon)'_* - f^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon_*)$. Let \widetilde{U}_L^δ satisfy (6.12). Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 6.1, we have*

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} U_B^\varepsilon \bar{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta \, d\sigma \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \widetilde{U}_L^\delta \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla} \phi) B^\varepsilon \Theta(f^\varepsilon) \kappa^\varepsilon \, d\eta \, d\sigma \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\widetilde{\nabla} \phi|^2 f f_* \kappa \, d\eta \quad (6.18)$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The limit (6.17) holds as a consequence of (6.6) and (6.13)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} U_B^\varepsilon \bar{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta \, d\sigma \rightarrow 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} U_L^\delta \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \widetilde{U}_L^\delta \cdot \widetilde{\nabla} \phi \, d\eta.$$

We use the notations $F^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon f_*^\varepsilon$ and $\Theta(F^\varepsilon) = \Theta(F^\varepsilon, (F^\varepsilon)')$. To show (6.18), we only need to show, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$I_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon \Theta(F^\varepsilon) d\eta d\sigma \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon F^\varepsilon d\eta d\sigma, \quad (6.19)$$

$$I_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d} \times S^{d-1}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) F^\varepsilon B^\varepsilon \kappa^\varepsilon d\eta d\sigma \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} |\tilde{\nabla}\phi|^2 F \kappa d\eta. \quad (6.20)$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $|\bar{\nabla}\phi| \leq 1$ and $\text{supp}(\bar{\nabla}\phi) \subset B_1(0) \times S^{d-1}$, where $B_1(0)$ denotes the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{4d} .

We show the limit of I_i , $i = 1, 2$.

- **(The limit of I_1).** We use the dominated convergence theorem. Let $\theta = \varepsilon\chi/\pi$. We write

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa^\varepsilon A_0(|v - v_*|) \Theta(F^\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\chi) \left(\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) dp \right) d\chi \right) d\eta.$$

By Lemma 2.11, the following pointwise bounds hold

$$\Theta(F^\varepsilon) \leq C_{\Psi^*} ((F^\varepsilon)' + F^\varepsilon), \quad C_{\Psi^*} > 0.$$

Concerning the majorant of $\Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi)$, Ψ^* satisfies $\Psi^*(0) = (\Psi^*)'(0) = 0$ and $(\Psi^*)''(0) = 1$ by Assumption 2.8, and, without loss of generality, we assume $|\bar{\nabla}\phi| \leq 1$. By Taylor expansion, we have

$$\Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) \leq \frac{\|(\Psi^*)''\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])}}{2} |\bar{\nabla}\phi|^2. \quad (6.21)$$

By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$|\bar{\nabla}\phi| \lesssim \|\phi\|_{W^{1,\infty}} |v - v_*| \varepsilon^2 \chi^2. \quad (6.22)$$

Since $\text{supp}(\bar{\nabla}\phi) \subset B_1(0) \times S^{d-1}$ and $\Psi^*(0) = 0$, up to a constant independent of ε , the integrand of I_1 is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^\varepsilon A_0(|v - v_*|) \Theta(F^\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon^2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \beta(\chi) \left(\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla}\phi) dp \right) d\chi \right) \\ & \lesssim |v - v_*|^2 A_0 \chi^2 \beta(\chi) ((F^\varepsilon)' + F^\varepsilon) \mathbb{1}_{B_1(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

By changing of variables $(v', v'_*) \mapsto (v, v_*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_1(0) \times S^{d-1}} |v - v_*|^2 A_0 \chi^2 \beta(\chi) ((F^\varepsilon)' + F^\varepsilon) d\eta d\sigma \\ & = 2|S^{d-2}| \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \chi^2 \beta(\chi) d\chi \right) \int_{B_1(0)} |v - v_*|^2 A_0(|v - v_*|) F^\varepsilon d\eta \quad (6.23) \\ & \lesssim \int_{B_1(0)} |v - v_*|^2 A_0(|v - v_*|) F^\varepsilon d\eta \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} F^\varepsilon d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we use $|v - v_*|^2 A_0(|v - v_*|) \lesssim |v - v_*|^{2+\gamma} \leq C$, where the bounded region of integration ensures the boundedness of $|v - v_*|$, and in the soft potential case, the singularity of A_0 near 0 is controlled. By Lemma 4.1, F^ε is weakly precompact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{4d})$.

On the other hand, Lemma 4.2 implies the pointwise convergence

$$(F_\varepsilon)', F_\varepsilon \rightarrow F \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining with the homogeneity assumption of Θ in Assumption 2.8, we have the pointwise convergence

$$\Theta(F_\varepsilon) \rightarrow F_* \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

By dominated convergence, the limit (6.19) holds.

- **(The limit of I_2).** Similar to the I_1 term, we use the dominated convergence theorem. I_2 can be written as

$$I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} \kappa^\varepsilon A_0(|v - v_*|) F^\varepsilon \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \left(\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla} \phi) dp \right) d\chi \right) d\eta.$$

By using of (6.21) and (6.22), similar to the I_1 term, the integrand of I_2 is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^\varepsilon A_0(|v - v_*|) F^\varepsilon \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\beta(\chi)}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \left(\int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla} \phi) dp \right) d\chi \right) \\ & \lesssim |v - v_*|^2 A_0 F^\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{B_1(0)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \chi^2 \beta(\chi) d\chi \lesssim |v - v_*|^2 A_0 F^\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{B_1(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly integrable by a similar argument as (6.23).

We are left to show the pointwise limit the pointwise limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^1} B^\varepsilon \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla} \phi) d\sigma = 2|\tilde{\nabla} \phi|^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } (x, x_*, v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{4d}. \quad (6.24)$$

By Taylor expansion, we have the pointwise limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Psi^*(r)}{r^2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Combing the pointwise limit of bound (6.22) of $\bar{\nabla} \phi$ in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \Psi^*(\bar{\nabla} \phi) dp = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_{k^\perp}^{d-2}} \frac{|\bar{\nabla} \phi|^2}{2\varepsilon^2} dp.$$

for almost every $(x, x_*, v, v_*) \in \mathbb{R}^{4d}$. By repeating the arguments as in (5.21), we have the pointwise limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^1} B^\varepsilon |\bar{\nabla} \phi|^2 d\sigma = 2|\tilde{\nabla} \phi|^2.$$

Hence, the limit (6.24) holds. Combining the pointwise limit of F^ε , the dominated convergence theorem implies the limit (6.20). \square

References

- [AB90] A. A. Arsen'ev and O. E. Buryak. “On a connection between the solution of the Boltzmann equation and the solution of the Landau-Fokker-Planck equation”. In: *Mat. Sb.* 181.4 (1990), pp. 435–446.
- [ADVW00] R. Alexandre, L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, and B. Wennberg. “Entropy dissipation and long-range interactions”. In: *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 152.4 (2000), pp. 327–355. ISSN: 0003-9527.
- [AGS08] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. 2nd ed. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2008.
- [AV02] R. Alexandre and C. Villani. “On the Boltzmann equation for long-range interactions”. In: *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 55.1 (2002), pp. 30–70. ISSN: 0010-3640. DOI: [10.1002/cpa.10012](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.10012).
- [BBBO21] G. Basile, D. Benedetto, L. Bertini, and C. Orrieri. “Large deviations for Kac-like walks”. English. In: *J. Stat. Phys.* 184.1 (2021). Id/No 10, p. 27. ISSN: 0022-4715. DOI: [10.1007/s10955-021-02794-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-021-02794-2).
- [BGSS23] T. Bodineau, I. Gallagher, L. Saint-Raymond, and S. Sergio. “Statistical dynamics of a hard sphere gas: fluctuating Boltzmann equation and large deviations”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 198.3 (2023), pp. 1047–1201.
- [Bou20] F. Bouchet. “Is the Boltzmann equation reversible? A large deviation perspective on the irreversibility paradox”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 181.2 (2020), pp. 515–550.
- [CDDW24] J. A. Carrillo, M. G. Delgadino, L. Desvillettes, and J. S.-H. Wu. “The Landau equation as a gradient flow”. In: *Analysis & PDE* 17.4 (2024), pp. 1331–1375.
- [CDW22] J. A. Carrillo, M. G. Delgadino, and J. Wu. “Boltzmann to Landau from the gradient flow perspective”. In: *Nonlinear Analysis* 219 (2022), p. 112824.
- [Des92] L. Desvillettes. “On asymptotics of the Boltzmann equation when the collisions become grazing”. In: *Transport Theory and Statistical Physics* 21 (1992), pp. 259–276.
- [DH25a] M. H. Duong and Z. He. *GENERIC formulation and small-angle limit for Kinetic wave equations*. 2025. arXiv: [2508.13871](https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.13871) [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.13871>.
- [DH25b] M. H. Duong and Z. He. *On a fuzzy Landau Equation: Part I. A variational approach*. 2025. arXiv: [2504.07666](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.07666) [math.AP].
- [DH25c] M. H. Duong and Z. He. *On a fuzzy Landau Equation: Part II. Solvability results*. Preprint, arXiv:2507.10288 [math.AP] (2025). 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.10288>.

- [DL88] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. “Global weak solutions of kinetic equations”. In: *Rend. Semin. Mat., Torino* 46.3 (1988), pp. 259–288. ISSN: 0373-1243.
- [DL89] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. “On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 130.2 (1989), pp. 321–366.
- [DLM91] R. J. DiPerna, P. L. Lions, and Y. Meyer. “ L^p regularity of velocity averages”. In: *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* 8.3-4 (1991), pp. 271–287. ISSN: 0294-1449.
- [DO23] M. H. Duong and M. Ottobre. “Non-reversible processes: GENERIC, hypocoercivity and fluctuations”. In: *Nonlinearity* 36.3 (2023), p. 1617.
- [DPZ13] M. H. Duong, M. A. Peletier, and J. Zimmer. “GENERIC formalism of a Vlasov–Fokker–Planck equation and connection to large-deviation principles”. In: *Nonlinearity* 26.11 (2013), p. 2951.
- [EH25a] M. Erbar and Z. He. “A variational approach to a fuzzy Boltzmann equation”. In: *Nonlinearity* 38.5 (2025), p. 055019. DOI: [10.1088/1361-6544/adcb7e](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/adcb7e). URL: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/adcb7e>.
- [EH25b] M. Erbar and Z. He. *Passing to the limit in fuzzy Boltzmann equations*. 2025. arXiv: [2505.05838](https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.05838) [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.05838>.
- [Elm83] T. Elmroth. “Global boundedness of moments of solutions of the Boltzmann equation for forces of infinite range”. English. In: *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 82 (1983), pp. 1–12. ISSN: 0003-9527. DOI: [10.1007/BF00251722](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00251722).
- [Erb23] M. Erbar. “A gradient flow approach to the Boltzmann equation”. In: *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* (2023).
- [FB21] O. Feliachi and F. Bouchet. “Dynamical large deviations for plasmas below the Debye length and the Landau equation”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 183.3 (2021), p. 42.
- [FF24] O. Feliachi and J.-B. Fouvry. “Dynamical large deviations for long-range interacting inhomogeneous systems without collective effects”. In: *Physical Review E* 110.2 (2024), p. 024108.
- [GGPTZ25] M. P. Gualdani, N. Guillen, N. Pavlović, M. Tasković, and N. Zamponi. “The fuzzy Landau equation: global well-posedness and Fisher information”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.08689* (2025).
- [GLPS88] F. Golse, P.-L. Lions, B. Perthame, and R. Sentis. “Regularity of the moments of the solution of a Transport Equation”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 76 (1988), pp. 110–125.

- [GÖ97a] M. Grmela and H. C. Öttinger. “Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. I. Development of a general formalism”. In: *Phys. Rev. E* 56 (6 1997), pp. 6620–6632.
- [GÖ97b] M. Grmela and H. C. Öttinger. “Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. II. Illustrations of a general formalism”. In: *Phys. Rev. E* 56 (6 1997), pp. 6633–6655.
- [GPTW25] M. P. Gualdani, N. Pavlović, J. Toyota, and D. Wynter. *From the quantum Boltzmann operator to the quantum Landau operator*. 2025. arXiv: [2511.19823](https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.19823) [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.19823>.
- [Grm02] M. Grmela. “Reciprocity relations in thermodynamics”. In: *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 309.3-4 (2002), pp. 304–328.
- [Grm10] M. Grmela. “Multiscale equilibrium and nonequilibrium thermodynamics in chemical engineering”. In: *Advances in Chemical Engineering*. Vol. 39. Elsevier, 2010, pp. 75–129.
- [Grm18] M. Grmela. “GENERIC guide to the multiscale dynamics and thermodynamics”. In: *Journal of Physics Communications* 2.3 (2018), p. 032001.
- [Grm93] M. Grmela. “Weakly nonlocal hydrodynamics”. In: *Physical Review E* 47.1 (1993), p. 351.
- [JKO98] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer, and F. Otto. “The variational formulation of the Fokker-Planck equation”. In: *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 29.1 (1998), pp. 1–17. ISSN: 0036-1410.
- [KLMP20] R. C. Kraaij, A. Lazarescu, C. Maes, and M. Peletier. “Fluctuation symmetry leads to GENERIC equations with non-quadratic dissipation”. In: *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 130.1 (2020), pp. 139–170.
- [Léo95] C. Léonard. “On large deviations for particle systems associated with spatially homogeneous Boltzmann type equations”. In: *Probability theory and related fields* 101.1 (1995), pp. 1–44.
- [LMPR17] M. Liero, A. Mielke, M. A. Peletier, and D. M. Renger. “On microscopic origins of generalized gradient structures”. In: *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-S* 10.1 (2017), pp. 1–35.
- [Mie11] A. Mielke. “Formulation of thermoelastic dissipative material behavior using GENERIC”. In: *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics* 23.3 (2011), pp. 233–256.
- [MPPM17] A. Mielke, R. I. Patterson, M. A. Peletier, and D. Michiel Renger. “Non-equilibrium thermodynamical principles for chemical reactions with mass-action kinetics”. In: *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics* 77.4 (2017), pp. 1562–1585.

- [MPR14] A. Mielke, M. A. Peletier, and D. M. Renger. “On the relation between gradient flows and the large-deviation principle, with applications to Markov chains and diffusion”. In: *Potential Analysis* 41.4 (2014), pp. 1293–1327.
- [Ott01] F. Otto. “The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: The porous medium equation”. In: *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations* 26.1-2 (2001), pp. 101–174. ISSN: 0360-5302.
- [PRST22] M. A. Peletier, R. Rossi, G. Savaré, and O. Tse. “Jump processes as generalized gradient flows”. In: *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 61.1 (2022), p. 33.
- [PS23] M. A. Peletier and A. Schlichting. “Cosh gradient systems and tilting”. In: *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications* 231 (2023), p. 113094.
- [Rez98] F. Rezakhanlou. “Large deviations from a kinetic limit”. In: *Annals of probability* (1998), pp. 1259–1340.
- [Ser11] S. Serfaty. “Gamma-convergence of gradient flows on Hilbert and metric spaces and applications”. In: *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 31 (2011), pp. 1427–1451.
- [Vil98a] C. Villani. “On the spatially homogeneous Landau equation for Maxwellian molecules”. In: *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* 8.6 (1998), pp. 957–983. ISSN: 0218-2025.
- [Vil98b] C. Villani. “On a new class of weak solutions to the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann and Landau equations”. In: *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 143.3 (1998), pp. 273–307. ISSN: 0003-9527.

H. Duong, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM, UK
E-mail: h.duong@bham.ac.uk

B. Golubkov, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131,
 33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
E-mail: boris.golubkov@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Z. He, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, POSTFACH 100131, 33501
 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
E-mail: zihui.he@uni-bielefeld.de