

OCTONIONIC PARA-LINEAR SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS AND SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION

QINGHAI HUO, GUANGBIN REN, AND IRENE SABADINI

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a groundbreaking advancement in the theory of operators defined on octonionic Hilbert spaces, successfully resolving a fundamental challenge that has persisted for over six decades. Due to the intrinsic non-associative nature of octonions, conventional linear operator theory encounters profound structural difficulties. We make use of an original conceptual framework termed “para-linearity”, an innovative generalization of linearity that naturally accommodates the octonionic algebraic structure.

Within this newly established paradigm, we systematically develop an appropriate algebraic setting by defining a carefully designed operator algebra and an adjoint operation which, together, recapture essential analytic properties previously inaccessible in this context. We identify a geometric structure—the “slice cone”—as the fundamental object encoding spectral properties typically derived through sesquilinear forms.

We obtain a rigorous characterization of self-adjointness which indicates how to introduce a new notion of strong eigenvalues. For every compact, para-linear, self-adjoint operator with strong eigenvalues, we can establish the spectral decomposition theorem and functional calculi.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Algebraic Foundations of Para-Linear Operators	4
3. Regular Composition: Resolving Non-Associative Obstructions	9
4. Adjoint Operators via Regular Composition	11
5. The Involution Banach Algebra of Para-Linear Operators	13
6. Octonionic Polarization Identity and the Slice Cone	18
7. Para-Linear Self-Adjoint Operators	24
8. Strong Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors	27
9. Projection Operators in Octonionic Hilbert Spaces	30
10. Spectral Decomposition of Compact Self-Adjoint Operators	32

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 17A35 46S10; Secondary 47B37, 47A70.

Key words and phrases. Octonionic Hilbert space; para-linear operator; self-adjoint operator; spectral decomposition; non-associative functional analysis.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12571090, 12301097) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. JZ2025HG TB0171). The third author is partially supported by PRIN 2022 *Real and Complex Manifolds: Geometry and Holomorphic Dynamics* and is member of GNASAGA of INdAM.

11. Functional Calculus for Compact Self-Adjoint Operators	35
12. Concluding Remarks	39
References	40

1. INTRODUCTION

The octonion algebra \mathbb{O} , as the largest normed division algebra, occupies a singular position at the crossroad of algebra, geometry, and theoretical physics. Its non-associative structure underpins very important phenomena across diverse domains: geometric analysis, quantum mechanics, and mathematical physics [8, 29, 2, 4, 6, 16, 17]. Despite this ubiquity, a coherent spectral theory for operators on octonionic Hilbert spaces has remained an open problem since 1964, when Goldstine and Horwitz’s published their pioneering work [20], due to the fundamental incompatibility of associative functional-analytic tools with octonionic non-associativity.

1.1. Motivations from Physics: Algebraic formulations of quantum theory are fundamentally rooted in C^* -algebras and their representations, see e.g. [32]. Standard quantum mechanics assumes a complex Hilbert space, whose algebraic structure reflects specific physical symmetries. To address questions beyond the Standard Model—such as quantum gravity or true unification—physicists increasingly explore broader normed division algebras. The octonions \mathbb{O} naturally arise:

“Since the heart of quantum theory is a C^* -algebra and its representations, it is natural to ask what new physics might be hidden in other normed division algebras. The octonions, being the largest and most exceptional, may encode symmetries lying beyond the Standard Model” [32].

Physically, non-associativity mirrors the non-locality expected in quantum gravity, while \mathbb{O} ’s exceptional symmetries ($\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O}) = G_2 \subset \text{SO}(7)$) may be a natural framework for Grand Unified Theories. Key manifestations include:

- *10D superstring backgrounds:* Spacetime factorizes as $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times \mathbb{O}$, with octonionic directions describing six compactified dimensions [16].
- *Exceptional Lie algebras:* E_8 is constructed from the Jordan algebra $J_3(\mathbb{O})$, suggesting \mathbb{O} as the natural arena for maximal unified gauge symmetries [4].
- *Standard Model extensions:* Octonionic structures accommodate exactly 72 fermion states—matching the three generations of the Standard Model [17].

1.2. Mathematical Obstacles: Extending quantum mechanics to octonionic Hilbert spaces faces three core obstructions:

- (1) *Spectral theory:* The lack of associativity prevents projection-valued measures, obstructing the spectral theorem.
- (2) *Probability interpretation:* Gleason’s theorem fails as non-associativity undermines additive probability measures on the projection lattice.

- (3) *Canonical commutation relations:* Operator ordering ambiguities render consistent formulations of the classical commutation relation $[x, p] = i\hbar$ between the position operator x and the momentum operator p highly nontrivial.

Subsequent workarounds—Jordan algebras [5], twisted tensor models [15], geometric entanglement [21]—either restricted to associative subalgebras or embedded \mathbb{O} into larger structures. A unified spectral framework remained open.

1.3. Para-Linearity: A Geometric Resolution. We resolve these challenges through *para-linearity*, a geometrically motivated non-associative analog of linearity. In the theory of octonionic Hilbert spaces, para-linear rather than octonionic-linear operators are the natural objects, as demonstrated by the octonionic Riesz representation theorem [22]. For a map $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ between \mathbb{O} -modules, this is characterized by:

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(T(x)p - T(xp)) = 0, \quad \forall x \in H_1, p \in \mathbb{O}.$$

This condition preserves the geometric essence of linearity while respecting octonionic non-associativity. Here Re denotes the real part in an \mathbb{O} -module [25]. This condition retains the geometric core of linearity while accommodating octonionic non-associativity. Notably, when the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} is replaced by the quaternion algebra \mathbb{H} , para-linearity reduces to standard quaternionic linearity [22]. Within this framework, we recover several cornerstone results:

- Riesz representation theorem [22],
- Parseval theorem [23],
- Hahn-Banach theorem [26].

The concept of para-linearity also finds applications in octonionic function theory, as demonstrated in works such as [11, 28, 30, 31].

1.4. Principal Contributions. Within this framework, in this paper we establish the first complete spectral theory for self-adjoint operators in octonionic Hilbert spaces. Our main results are:

- (1) *Involutive operator algebra.* The space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ of bounded para-linear operators on an octonionic bimodule H is an octonionic involutive Banach algebra with respect to the regular composition \odot defined in Definition 3.1. The involution is given by the corrected adjoint $T \mapsto T^*$, characterized by

$$\langle x, T^*y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle + [y, T, x],$$

for all $x, y \in H$. These properties are established in Theorems 5.6, 5.7, and 5.9.

- (2) *Geometric self-adjointness:* A para-linear operator T is self-adjoint if and only if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all x in the *slice cone* $\mathbb{C}(H) := \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} (\operatorname{Re} H + J \operatorname{Re} H)$, where $\mathbb{S} = \{J \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} : |J| = 1\}$ (Theorem 7.6). This is established via a sharp octonionic polarization identity (Theorem 6.2).

- (3) *Spectral decomposition*: Every compact self-adjoint para-linear operator with *standard strong eigenvalues* admits a complete spectral decomposition:

$$(1.2) \quad T = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k P_{z_k},$$

where $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_k \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ are eigenvectors, and $P_{z_k}(x) = z_k \langle z_k, x \rangle$ are para-linear projections (Theorem 10.2). Eigenvalues are real (Corollary 8.4), and eigenvectors are orthogonal with $B_p(z_i, z_j) = 0$ (Theorem 8.7).

- (4) *Functional calculus*: For real-analytic functions f , we define decomposition-independent functional calculi:

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_k P_{z_k} \odot f(\lambda_k), \quad \Psi(f) = \sum_k f(\lambda_k) \odot P_{z_k},$$

satisfying $\Phi(q^k) = T^{\odot k}$, $\text{Re } \Phi(fg) = \text{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot \Phi(g))$ for $f \in \text{Re } \mathcal{R}$, and $\|\Phi(f)\| \leq 8\|f\|_{\infty}$ (Theorems 11.2, 11.3).

1.5. Impact and Applications. This work transforms non-associativity from an obstruction into a structured feature:

- It resolves problems open since Goldstine–Horwitz (1964) and provides foundations for non-associative functional analysis.
- The slice cone $\mathbb{C}(H)$ offers a universal geometric domain for spectral analysis across non-associative settings.
- Applications include G_2 -manifold analysis, string compactifications, and non-associative quantum mechanics.

In summary, para-linearity establishes the definitive operator theory for octonionic Hilbert spaces, enabling spectral analysis where associative methods fail and opening pathways in non-associative functional analysis.

2. ALGEBRAIC FOUNDATIONS OF PARA-LINEAR OPERATORS

This section establishes the algebraic framework for para-linear operators in octonionic Hilbert spaces. We begin by recalling essential properties of the octonion algebra, then define module structures and para-linearity, and finally Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and the Riesz representation theorem.


2.1. Octonionic algebra. The octonions \mathbb{O} form an 8-dimensional normed division algebra over \mathbb{R} with basis $\{e_0 = 1, e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ satisfying the following

Fano Plane Multiplication: Basis elements multiply via cyclic rules encoded in the Fano plane:

$$e_i e_j = \epsilon_{ijk} e_k - \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j, k \geq 1,$$

where $\epsilon_{ijk} = 1$ for oriented triples (123), (145), (176), (246), (257), (347), (365).

Fig.1 Fano mnemonic graph



Key properties include:

- (1) *Conjugation and Norm*: For $x = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^7 x_i e_i$,

$$\bar{x} := x_0 - \sum_{i=1}^7 x_i e_i, \quad |x| := \sqrt{x\bar{x}}.$$

- (2) *Non-Associative Structure*: The associator $[x, y, z] := (xy)z - x(yz)$ is fully anti-symmetric. The commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$ measures non-commutativity.

- (3) *Moufang Identities*: For all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{O}$:

$$(xyx)z = x(y(xz)), \quad z(xy) = (zx)y, \quad x(yz)x = (xy)(zx).$$

- (4) *Five-Terms Identity*: For all $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{O}$:

$$(2.1) \quad x[y, z, w] + [x, y, z]w = [xy, z, w] - [x, yz, w] + [x, y, zw].$$

2.2. Octonionic Module Theory. We now define a module structure compatible with octonionic non-associativity.

Definition 2.1. An \mathbb{R} -vector space M is a right \mathbb{O} -module if it is equipped with a right scalar multiplication satisfying the alternativity of right associators:

$$[x, p, q] := (xp)q - x(pq) = -[x, q, p], \quad \forall x \in M, p, q \in \mathbb{O}.$$

Similar definition for a **left \mathbb{O} -module**.

Definition 2.2. A right \mathbb{O} -module M is an \mathbb{O} -bimodule if there is a left multiplication satisfying

$$[p, q, x] = [q, x, p] = [x, p, q] = -[q, p, x], \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{O}, x \in M.$$

Here the left associators and middle associators are defined canonically.

The regular bimodule $\text{Reg } \mathbb{O}$, which as a set is just the \mathbb{O} , and scalar multiplications wherein are just defined by the product in \mathbb{O} , is the unique irreducible \mathbb{O} -bimodule [33]. This enables the definition of the real part of an \mathbb{O} -bimodule [25]:

Proposition 2.3 (Real Part Operator). For an \mathbb{O} -bimodule M , the **real part** $\text{Re } M$ is defined as

$$\text{Re } M := \{m \in M : [p, q, m] = 0, \forall p, q \in \mathbb{O}\}.$$

The projection $\text{Re} : M \rightarrow \text{Re } M$ satisfies:

- (1) $\text{Re } M = \mathcal{Z}(M) := \{m \in M : pm = mp, \forall p \in \mathbb{O}\}.$
- (2) $M \cong \text{Re } M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{O}$ as \mathbb{O} -bimodules.
- (3) Re is given explicitly by

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Re } m = \frac{5}{12}m - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i m e_i, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

2.3. Para-Linear Maps. Para-linearity generalizes linearity to the non-associative setting by constraining the associator's real part. The notion of para-linearity is first introduced in [22] and then extended into a more general setting in [25].

Definition 2.4 (Right Para-Linear Map). *Let M be a right \mathbb{O} -module and M' an \mathbb{O} -bimodule. A map $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, M')$ is **right para-linear** if*

$$\text{Re } B_p(f, x) = 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{O}, x \in M,$$

where the **second right associator** B_p is defined by

$$B_p(f, x) := f(x)p - f(xp),$$

and $\text{Re} : M' \rightarrow \text{Re } M'$ is the real part operator. The set of right para-linear maps is denoted by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{RO}}(M, M')$. Left para-linearity is defined analogously.

For next result we refer to Theorem 3.10 in [25].

Theorem 2.5 (Characterization of para-linearity). *Let M be a right \mathbb{O} -module and M' an \mathbb{O} -bimodule. For $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, M')$, let its unique decomposition with respect to the standard basis $\{1, e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathbb{O} be*

$$f(x) = f_{\mathbb{R}}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^7 f_i(x) e_i,$$

where $f_{\mathbb{R}}(x), f_i(x) \in \text{Re } M'$ for all $x \in M$ and $i = 1, \dots, 7$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{RO}}(M, M')$, i.e., f is right para-linear.
- (2) For each $i = 1, \dots, 7$ and all $x \in M$,

$$f_i(x) = -f_{\mathbb{R}}(x e_i).$$

- (3) For all $p \in \mathbb{O}$ and $x \in M$,

$$B_p(f, x) = \sum_{i=1}^7 f_{\mathbb{R}}([x, p, e_i]) e_i.$$

Lemma 2.6 (Uniqueness Lemma [25]). *Let M be a right \mathbb{O} -module, M' be an \mathbb{O} -bimodule and let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{RO}}(M, M')$. Then:*

- (1) $f_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.
- (2) If M is an \mathbb{O} -bimodule, then $f|_{\text{Re } M} = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.

Theorem 2.7 (\mathbb{O} -Bimodule Structure [25]). *Let M, M' be two \mathbb{O} -bimodules. The space $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{RO}}(M, M')$ is an \mathbb{O} -bimodule under the left and the right multiplication by a scalar defined by:*

$$(p \odot f)(x) := pf(x) + B_p(f, x), \quad (f \odot p)(x) := f(px) - B_p(f, x).$$

2.4. Hilbert \mathbb{O} -Bimodules. We now introduce Hilbert structures compatible with para-linearity. For more details see [22, 23].

Definition 2.8 (Pre-Hilbert Right \mathbb{O} -Module [22]). *A right \mathbb{O} -module H is a pre-Hilbert right \mathbb{O} -module if equipped with an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$ (a right \mathbb{O} -inner product) satisfying:*

- (1) (Para-linearity) *For each $u \in H$, the map $\langle u, \cdot \rangle$ is right \mathbb{O} -para-linear.*
- (2) (\mathbb{O} -Hermiticity) $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$ for all $u, v \in H$.
- (3) (Positivity) $\langle u, u \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$.

The norm is $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$. Completeness with respect to this norm defines a Hilbert right \mathbb{O} -module. An \mathbb{O} -bimodule with a right \mathbb{O} -inner product is a pre-Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule.

For $u, v \in H$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$, the second (right) associator is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad B_p(u, v) := B_p(\langle u, \cdot \rangle, v) = \langle u, v \rangle p - \langle u, vp \rangle.$$

This notation differs from that in [22, 23] in order to avoid confusion with the composition associator (see Definition 3.2). In particular, one has $B_p(u, u) = 0$ for all $u \in H$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$ (see (2.5)), which shows that one axiom in the definition of Hilbert spaces given by Goldstine–Horwitz in 1964 is redundant [20].

We set

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} := \text{Re} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Reasoning as in [22] one may prove:

Lemma 2.9 (Inner Product Identities). *Let H be a pre-Hilbert right \mathbb{O} -module. For $u, v \in H$, $p, q \in \mathbb{O}$ we have:*

$$(1) \quad B_p(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \langle u, [v, e_i, p] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ and}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \langle [u, p, q], v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = -\langle u, [v, p, q] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$(2.5) \quad B_p(u, v) = -B_p(v, u).$$

$$(2) \quad \langle up, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle u, v\bar{p} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$$(3) \quad \langle up, v \rangle = \bar{p} \langle u, v \rangle - B_p(u, v) \text{ and } \langle u, vp \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle p - B_p(u, v).$$

$$(4) \quad \text{If } u \in \text{Re } H \text{ or } v \in \text{Re } H, \text{ then } B_p(u, v) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.10 (Riesz Representation Theorem [22]). *Let H be a Hilbert right \mathbb{O} -module. For every bounded right para-linear functional $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$, there exists a unique $z \in H$ such that*

$$f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Theorem 2.11 (Tensor Decomposition [23]). *Let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule. Then*

$$H \cong \operatorname{Re} H \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{O},$$

with the natural Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule structure. Explicitly:

- (1) *For $u, v \in \operatorname{Re} H$, $\langle u, v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$.*
- (2) *For $x = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i x_i$, $y = \sum_{j=0}^7 e_j y_j$ with $x_i, y_j \in \operatorname{Re} H$,*

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i,j=0}^7 \langle x_i, y_j \rangle \bar{e}_i e_j.$$

2.5. Properties of Left Multiplications and Real Part. So far we have established properties of the right multiplication by an octonion, however in the sequel we shall make use of properties of the left multiplications which are studied in the next results.

Lemma 2.12 (Adjoint of Left Multiplication). *Let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule. For all $u, v \in H$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$ the following properties hold:*

- (1) *Real symmetry: $\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle pu, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$*
- (2) *Full correction: $\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle = \langle pu, v \rangle + B_p(u, v)$.*

Proof. Decompose $u = \sum_i u_i e_i$, $v = \sum_j v_j e_j$ with $u_i, v_j \in \operatorname{Re} H$.

Point (1): Using Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i,j} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle \operatorname{Re}(\bar{e}_i(\bar{p}e_j)).$$

Using $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{e}_i \bar{p}e_j) = \operatorname{Re}((\bar{p}\bar{e}_i)e_j)$ and reality of $\langle u_i, v_j \rangle$ by Theorem 2.11:

$$\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i,j} \langle u_i, v_j \rangle \operatorname{Re}(\bar{p}\bar{e}_i e_j) = \langle pu, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Point (2): From (1), $\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle - \langle pu, v \rangle$ is purely imaginary. Express:

$$\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle - \langle pu, v \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^7 \lambda_k e_k, \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The coefficients satisfy:

$$-\lambda_k = \operatorname{Re}((\langle u, \bar{p}v \rangle - \langle pu, v \rangle) e_k) = \langle u, [\bar{p}, v, e_k] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = -\langle u, [v, e_k, p] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

by associator antisymmetry. Thus $\lambda_k = \langle u, [v, e_k, p] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$, yielding the result. \square

Lemma 2.13 (Real Part Operator Properties). *The operator Re satisfies*

- (1) *Self-adjointness: $\langle \operatorname{Re} x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, \operatorname{Re} y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $x, y \in H$;*
- (2) *Determination: $x = 0$ if and only if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \operatorname{Re} H$.*

Proof. (1): Using equality (2.2) Lemma 2.9(2) and Lemma 2.12(1):

$$\langle \operatorname{Re} x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{5}{12} \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^7 \langle e_i x e_i, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{5}{12} \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^7 \langle x, \bar{e}_i y \bar{e}_i \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, \operatorname{Re} y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

(2): If $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \text{Re } H$, decompose arbitrary $u \in H$ as $u = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i u_i$ with $u_i \in \text{Re } H$. Then:

$$\langle u, x \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \langle u_i, x \rangle = 0,$$

so $x = 0$. The converse is immediate. \square

This algebraic framework provides the foundation for the operator theory developed in the subsequent sections.

3. REGULAR COMPOSITION: RESOLVING NON-ASSOCIATIVE OBSTRUCTIONS

This section introduces the *regular composition* \odot to overcome the failure of the classical composition of operators while working in octonionic Hilbert spaces. Moreover, non-associativity prevents a standard definition of adjoint, as $\langle T \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ may not be para-linear. The regular composition provides an associative-like product compatible with octonionic structures.

Let H_1, H_2 be Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded real-linear operator. The map $\phi_{T,y}(x) := \langle Tx, y \rangle$ denoted, for short, $\phi_y(x)$ is *not* necessarily para-linear. Consequently, there may be no $z \in H_1$ satisfying

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle \quad \forall x \in H_1,$$

thus making the classical adjoint definition $T^*y = z$ invalid.

Indeed, for $p \in \mathbb{O}$, non-associativity implies in general that

$$\text{Re } \phi_y(xp) = \text{Re } \langle T(xp), y \rangle \neq \text{Re } \langle Tx \cdot p, y \rangle = \text{Re } \langle Tx, y \rangle p = \text{Re } \phi_y(x)p,$$

since T is not \mathbb{O} -linear. That is, ϕ_y fails to be right para-linear. Counterexamples exist for left multiplication operators L_q with $q \notin \mathbb{R}$.

To generalize the concept of adjoint operators in octonionic Hilbert spaces, we have to introduce the notion of regular composition.

Definition 3.1 (Regular Composition). *Let M, M' be right \mathbb{O} -modules and M'' be an \mathbb{O} -bimodule and let $f : M' \rightarrow M''$ para-linear and $g : M \rightarrow M'$ real-linear. The regular composition $f \odot g : M \rightarrow M''$ is the unique para-linear map (determined by Lemma 2.6) satisfying:*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Re } ((f \odot g)(x)) = \text{Re } (f(g(x))), \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Equivalently (by Theorem 2.5):

$$(f \odot g)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \text{Re } (f(g(x\bar{e}_i))).$$

Definition 3.2 (Composition Associator). *The associator for regular composition is defined by:*

$$[f, g, x]_{\odot} := (f \odot g)(x) - f(g(x)).$$

If there are no confusions, we shall omit the subscript \odot .

Lemma 3.3 (Composition associator formula). *Let M be a right \mathbb{O} -module and M' an \mathbb{O} -bimodule. Fix the standard basis $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ of \mathbb{O} with $e_0 = 1$. For $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M, M')$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M', M')$, the composition associator $[f, g, x]$ (see Definition 3.2) satisfies, for every $x \in M$,*

$$[f, g, x] = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \text{Re}(f(B_{e_i}(g, x))).$$

Proof. From Definition 3.1 and Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \odot g)(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \text{Re}((f \odot g)(x\bar{e}_i)) \\ f(g(x)) &= \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \text{Re}(f(g(x)\bar{e}_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$[f, g, x] = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \text{Re}(f(g(x\bar{e}_i)) - f(g(x)\bar{e}_i)) = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i \text{Re}(f(B_{e_i}(g, x))).$$

□

When the associator vanishes, the regular composition is just the ordinary composition.

Remark 3.4. *The space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ is closed under \odot ; this fact is the algebraic foundation for Section 5.*

We remark that the definition of regular composition of para-linear mappings has first been given in [25]. The definition in [25] only requires the mappings to be real linear. Nevertheless Definition 3.1 is a more straightforward way to understand the notion of regular composition in the case that the map f is para-linear. The above lemma shows that these two definitions coincide when the map f is para-linear. As a consequence, the results derived in [25] can be directly applicable in this case.

We point out that the symbol of associators defined in Definition 3.2 is different from that in [25] for right para-linear mappings. We change the symbol to preserve the order so that in form we have

$$[a, b, c] = (ab)c - a(bc)$$

for the notation of associators.

Lemma 3.5 (Vanishing of Composition Associator). *Let all the modules in Definition 3.1 be \mathbb{O} -bimodules and let f, g be para-linear maps. The associator $[f, g, x] = 0$ and $(f \odot g)(x) = f(g(x))$ under any of the following conditions:*

- (1) g is \mathbb{O} -linear, i.e., $g(xp) = g(x)p$ for any $p \in \mathbb{O}$;
- (2) $x \in \text{Re } M$;
- (3) f is \mathbb{O} -linear.

Proof. In case (1) holds, $B_p(g, x) = 0$, so Lemma 3.3 gives $[f, g, x] = 0$. Case (2) follows from part (3) of Theorem 2.5. Finally, if f is \mathbb{O} -linear, then by Remark 3.2 in [24], one has

$$f(px) = pf(x), \quad f(xp) = f(x)p$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{O}$. In view of identity (2.2) f commutes with the real part operator Re and hence

$$\text{Re } f(B_{e_i}(g, x)) = f(\text{Re } B_{e_i}(g, x)) = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $[f, g, x] = 0$ and this concludes the proof. \square

We now collect some properties of the left multiplication operators in an \mathbb{O} -bimodule M

$$\begin{aligned} L_p : M &\rightarrow M \\ x &\mapsto px. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that L_p is a right para-linear operator. With arguments similar to those in [25, Lemmas 3.31 and 3.32], one can prove the properties in the following lemma in which we use the notation \odot defined in (5.2).

Lemma 3.6 ([25]). *For all $p \in \mathbb{O}$, $x \in M$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{RO}}(M, M)$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad [L_p, f, x] = B_p(f, x);$$

$$(3.3) \quad L_p \odot f = p \odot f;$$

$$(3.4) \quad [f, L_p, x] = -B_p(f, x);$$

$$(3.5) \quad f \odot L_p = p \odot f.$$

4. ADJOINT OPERATORS VIA REGULAR COMPOSITION

This section resolves the central challenge of defining adjoint operators in octonionic Hilbert spaces. As we already pointed out, the traditional approaches fail due to the fact that non-associativity prevents $\langle T \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from being para-linear. We overcome this through the *regular composition* \odot and then establishing the properties of the adjoint.

4.1. Adjoint Operator Definition. In this subsection, let H_1, H_2 be Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_1, H_2)$ be a real linear operator.

For $y \in H_2$, define the para-linear functional

$$f_y(x) := (\langle \cdot, y \rangle \odot T)(x)$$

where, for simplicity, in the notation we omit the dependence on T . By the Riesz Representation Theorem (Theorem 2.10), there exists a unique $\hat{y} \in H_1$ such that $f_y(x) = \langle x, \hat{y} \rangle$.

Definition 4.1 (Adjoint Operator). *The adjoint of T is $T^* : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ mapping $y \mapsto \hat{y}$, characterized by*

$$(4.1) \quad \langle x, T^* y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle + [y, T, x],$$

where the triple associator is

$$(4.2) \quad [y, T, x] := [\langle \cdot, y \rangle, T, x] = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \langle y, B_{e_i}(T, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Remark 4.2. From (4.1) and (4.2), it can be seen that the adjoint T^* coincides with the real adjoint $T^{*\mathbb{R}}$ (namely the adjoint of T in the real Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$) under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\langle x, T^* y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle Tx, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \forall x \in H_1, y \in H_2.$$

Thus

- (1) $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$;
- (2) $T^{**} = T$;
- (3) $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$.

In view of the definition of the associator (4.2), we offer a reformulation of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 4.3 (Vanishing Associator). *If $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$, then $[y, T, x] = 0$ when:*

- (1) T is \mathbb{O} -linear;
- (2) $x \in \text{Re } H_1$;
- (3) $y \in \text{Re } H_2$.

4.2. Core Properties. To further understand the nature of the adjoint operator T^* , it is crucial to understand the relation between the associators related to T and T^* .

Lemma 4.4 (Associator Symmetry). *Let H_1, H_2 be two Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}(H_1, H_2)$. For any $x \in H_1, y \in H_2$ and any $p \in \mathbb{O}$, the following relations hold:*

$$(4.3) \quad [y, T, x] = [x, T^*, y];$$

$$(4.4) \quad \langle y, B_p(T, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, B_p(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Proof. We first prove (4.3). Using (4.1), (4.2), and Remark 4.2, for all $x, y \in H$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [y, T, x] &= \langle x, T^* y \rangle - \langle Tx, y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, T^* y \rangle - \langle T^{**} x, y \rangle \\ &= -\overline{\langle y, T^{**} x \rangle - \langle T^* y, x \rangle} \\ &= -\overline{[x, T^*, y]} \\ &= [x, T^*, y], \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we use that associators have vanishing real part, hence $-\overline{[x, T^*, y]} = [x, T^*, y]$. The second equality relies on the boundedness of T , which ensures that the double adjoint T^{**} coincides with T .

We next prove (4.4). By synthesizing (4.3) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \langle y, B_{e_i}(T, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \langle x, B_{e_i}(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

This leads us to conclude

$$\langle y, B_{e_i}(T, x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, B_{e_i}(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, 7$. As a result, we get (4.4). \square

As an immediate consequence, we show that T^* is also para-linear when T is para-linear.

Theorem 4.5 (Para-Linearity of Adjoint). *If $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ is a right para-linear operator, then $T^* \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_2, H_1)$ is also right para-linear.*

Proof. By assumption, T^* is a bounded real linear operator. It suffices to show that T^* is para-linear. Fix arbitrarily $y \in H_2$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$. For any $x \in H_1$, we employ both Lemma 2.13 and relation (4.4) to get

$$\langle x, \operatorname{Re} B_p(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \operatorname{Re} x, B_p(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle B_p(T^*, \operatorname{Re} x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0.$$

This forces that $\operatorname{Re} B_p(T^*, y) = 0$, i.e., T^* is a para-linear operator as desired. \square

5. THE INVOLUTIVE BANACH ALGEBRA OF PARA-LINEAR OPERATORS

This section establishes that bounded para-linear operators on a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule H form an octonionic involutive Banach algebra under regular composition and the adjoint operation, overcoming the issues due to non-associativity.

5.1. Banach \mathbb{O} -Bimodule Structure. Let H_1, H_2 be two Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$. The left and right scalar multiplication is defined by (see Theorem 2.7)

$$(5.1) \quad (T \odot r)(x) : = T(rx) - B_r(T, x);$$

$$(5.2) \quad (r \odot T)(x) : = rT(x) + B_r(T, x)$$

for any $x \in H_1$ and $r \in \mathbb{O}$. As proved in [25, Theorem 3.22], $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ is an \mathbb{O} -bimodule with respect to the octonionic scalar multiplications (5.1) and (5.2). The real part of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ is exactly the set of all bounded octonionic linear operators $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{O}}(H_1, H_2)$ ([25, Theorem 3.23]), i.e.,

$$(5.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{O}}(H_1, H_2).$$

We shall prove that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ is a Banach \mathbb{O} -bimodule. To this end, we follow Lemma 5.1 in [22], and we further generalize the Moufang identity for para-linear operators. Reasoning as for the following identities for associators, obtained in [25, Propositions 3.6, 3.15, 3.19] in the case of left para-linear operators:

$$A_r(x, T) = -A_{\bar{r}}(x, T), \quad A_r(\bar{r}x, T) = rA_r(x, T) = A_r(x, T)\bar{r}, \quad rA_r(x, T) = A_r(xr, T),$$

where T is a **left para-linear map**, r is an arbitrary octonion, $A_r(x, T) := T(rx) - rT(x)$ is the **second left associator**, one can establish the following identities for the **second right associators**:

$$(5.4) \quad B_r(T, x) = -B_{\bar{r}}(T, x),$$

$$(5.5) \quad B_r(T, xr) = B_r(T, x)\bar{r} = rB_r(T, x),$$

$$(5.6) \quad B_r(T, x)r = B_r(T, rx).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let H_1, H_2 be two Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$. Then for any octonion $r \neq 0$, we have*

$$(5.7) \quad (r \odot T)(x) = rT(xr^{-1})r;$$

$$(5.8) \quad (T \odot r)(x) = T(rxr)r^{-1}$$

for all $x \in H$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $|r| = 1$ and hence $r^{-1} = \bar{r}$. Let $x \in H_1$. It follows from identities (5.4) and (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{-1}(r \odot T)(x) &= r^{-1}(r(Tx) + B_r(T, x)) \\
 &= Tx + \bar{r}B_r(T, x) \\
 &= Tx - \bar{r}B_{\bar{r}}(T, x) \\
 &= Tx - B_{\bar{r}}(T, x\bar{r}) \\
 &= Tx + B_r(T, x\bar{r}) \\
 &= T(xr^{-1})r.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies (5.7).

We conclude from identities (5.4) and (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (T \odot r)(x) &= T(rx) - B_r(T, x) \\
 &= T(rx) + B_{\bar{r}}(T, \bar{r}(rx)) \\
 &= T(rx) + B_{\bar{r}}(T, (rx))\bar{r} \\
 &= T(rx) - B_r(T, (rx))\bar{r} \\
 &= T(rx) - (T(rx)r)\bar{r} + T(rxr)\bar{r} \\
 &= T(rxr)r^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.8). \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let H_1, H_2 be Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules and let $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ be right para-linear operators such that $T_n \rightarrow T$ in operator norm. Then T is right para-linear, i.e.*

$$\operatorname{Re} B_p(T, x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in H_1, \forall p \in \mathbb{O},$$

where $B_p(T, x) := T(xp) - T(x)p$ is the second (right) associator.

Proof. Fix $x \in H_1$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$. Since $T_n \rightarrow T$ in operator norm and the real-part projection $\operatorname{Re} : H_2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} H_2$ is a bounded real-linear map by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Re} B_p(T, x) &= \operatorname{Re}(T(xp) - T(x)p) \\
 &= \operatorname{Re}((T - T_n)(xp)) + \operatorname{Re}(T_n(xp) - T_n(x)p) + \operatorname{Re}((T_n - T)(x)p).
 \end{aligned}$$

Because each T_n is para-linear, $\operatorname{Re}(T_n(xp) - T_n(x)p) = \operatorname{Re} B_p(T_n, x) = 0$. For the remaining two terms, using the boundedness of Re , we obtain

$$\|\operatorname{Re}((T - T_n)(xp))\| \leq C \|T - T_n\| \|xp\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\operatorname{Re}((T_n - T)(x)p)\| \leq C' \|T_n - T\| \|x\| |p|.$$

Hence both terms tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\operatorname{Re} B_p(T, x) = 0$ for all x and p , i.e. T is right para-linear. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let H_1, H_2 be two Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodules. Then, endowed with the octonionic scalar multiplications (5.1) and (5.2) and the usual operator norm, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ is a Banach \mathbb{O} -bimodule.*

Proof. The completeness of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ follows from Theorem 5.2. It suffices to prove

$$\|r \odot T\| = |r|\|T\| = \|T \odot r\|$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H_1, H_2)$ and any $r \in \mathbb{O}$. Without loss of generality we can assume $r \neq 0$. By identity (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.9) \quad \|T \odot r\| &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|(T \odot r)(x)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|T(rxr)r^{-1}\| \\ &\leq |r^{-1}| \|T\| \|rxr\| \\ &= |r|\|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since r and T are arbitrarily fixed, we can replace r with r^{-1} and T with $T \odot r$ to get

$$\|(T \odot r) \odot r^{-1}\| \leq |r^{-1}|\|T \odot r\|.$$

Utilizing (5.9) again, we get

$$\|T\| = \|(T \odot r) \odot r^{-1}\| \leq |r^{-1}|\|T \odot r\| \leq |r^{-1}||r|\|T\| = \|T\|.$$

This forces

$$\|T \odot r\| = |r|\|T\|$$

as desired. The proof of equality $|r|\|T\| = \|r \odot T\|$ is similar. \square

5.2. \mathbb{O} -Algebra Structure.

Definition 5.4. An \mathbb{O} -bimodule \mathcal{U} with multiplication $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is an \mathbb{O} -algebra if:

- (1) The multiplication is para-bilinear, i.e., the left (right) multiplication operator is right (left) para-linear;
- (2) There exists an element $e \in \text{Re}\mathcal{U}$, called the **unit** of \mathcal{U} , such that

$$(pe)x = px, \quad x(pe) = xp$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$.

Remark 5.5. There is an algebraic embedding of \mathbb{O} into an \mathbb{O} -algebra \mathcal{U} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{O} &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}, \\ p &\mapsto pe. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, since $e \in \text{Re}\mathcal{U}$, we have $(pq)e = p(qe)$ for any $p, q \in \mathbb{O}$. Then by part (2) of Definition 5.4, we obtain $(pq)e = (pe)(qe)$ as desired.

Our next goal is to prove that $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H), \odot)$ is an \mathbb{O} -algebra. To show this, we recall the notation introduced in [25]

$$(5.10) \quad [f, g, h] := (f \odot g) \odot h - f \odot (g \odot h)$$

for para-linear mappings f, g, h . By [25, Lemma 3.30], we have

$$(5.11) \quad \text{Re}[f, g, h] = 0.$$

Note that the real part operator Re here is defined for a para-linear map, and $(\text{Re } f)(x) \neq \text{Re}(f(x))$. In fact, $\text{Re } f$ is an octonionic linear map and by (2.2),

$$\text{Re } f = \frac{5}{12}f - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \odot f \odot e_i.$$

We now prove the following interesting result:

Theorem 5.6. *The space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$, endowed with the regular composition \odot from Definition 3.1, is an \mathbb{O} -algebra.*

Proof. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$, we first prove that the right algebraic multiplication

$$L_T : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H), \quad S \mapsto T \odot S$$

is right para-linear. By definition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_p(L_T, S) &= L_T(S) \odot p - L_T(S \odot p) \\ &= (T \odot S) \odot p - T \odot (S \odot p). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 3.6, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} B_p(L_T, S) &= (T \odot S) \odot L_p - T \odot (S \odot L_p) \\ &= [T, S, L_p]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.11) that

$$\text{Re } B_p(L_T, S) = 0.$$

Hence L_T is right para-linear as desired. The fact that the right algebraic multiplication

$$R_T : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H), \quad S \mapsto S \odot T$$

is left para-linear can be proved in a similar manner.

We next show that the identity operator $I \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{O}}(H) = \text{Re } \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ satisfy axiom (2) in Definition 5.4. In view of Lemma 3.6, we have

$$(p \odot I) \odot T = L_p \odot T = p \odot T$$

and similarly,

$$T \odot (p \odot I) = T \odot L_p = T \odot p.$$

This proves that $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H), \odot)$ is an \mathbb{O} -algebra. □

Under a modified norm, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ is an octonionic Banach algebra.

Theorem 5.7. *For $S, T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$:*

- (1) $\|S \odot T\| \leq 8\|S\|\|T\|$
- (2) *Under $\|T\|' := 8\|T\|$, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ is an octonionic Banach algebra.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i x_i$ with $x_i \in \text{Re } H$. Then

$$\|(S \odot T)x\| = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^7 S(Tx_i) e_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^7 \|S(Tx_i)\| \leq \|S\| \|T\| \sum_{i=0}^7 \|x_i\|.$$

By (2.2) the real-part projection is contractive, hence $\|x_i\| \leq \|x\|$ for each i , and therefore

$$\|(S \odot T)x\| \leq 8 \|S\| \|T\| \|x\|.$$

It follows that $\|S \odot T\| \leq 8 \|S\| \|T\|$. In particular, for the rescaled operator norm $\|\cdot\|'$ (so that $\|S \odot T\|' \leq \|S\|' \|T\|'$), the multiplication is submultiplicative. The claim then follows from Theorems 5.3 and 5.6. \square

5.3. Involution and \mathbb{O} -Involutive Algebra.

Definition 5.8. An \mathbb{O} -algebra \mathcal{U} is involutive if it can be equipped with a conjugate \mathbb{O} -linear map $*$: $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ satisfying:

- (1) $(rx)^* = x^* \bar{r}$, $(xr)^* = \bar{r} x^*$
- (2) $x^{**} = x$
- (3) $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$ and any $r \in \mathbb{O}$.

Theorem 5.9. $(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H), \odot, *)$ is an \mathbb{O} -involutive algebra.

Proof. We first prove that for any $r \in \mathbb{O}$,

$$(r \odot T)^* = T^* \odot \bar{r}.$$

By definition, for all $x, y \in H$,

$$\text{Re} \langle x, (r \odot T)^* y \rangle = \langle (r \odot T)x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle rT(x) + B_r(T, x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2.12 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \langle x, (T^* \odot \bar{r})y \rangle &= \text{Re} \langle x, T^*(\bar{r}y) - B_{\bar{r}}(T^*, y) \rangle \\ &= \langle Tx, \bar{r}y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \langle x, B_r(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \\ &= \langle rTx, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \langle x, B_r(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from identity (4.4) that

$$\text{Re} (\langle x, (r \odot T)^* y \rangle - \langle x, (T^* \odot \bar{r})y \rangle) = \langle B_r(T, x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} - \langle x, B_r(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0.$$

Thus we obtain $(r \odot T)^* = T^* \odot \bar{r}$. By the same method, we can also prove $(T \odot r)^* = \bar{r} \odot T^*$.

Next, we prove the involution applied to composition. For any $S, T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$, by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, (T \odot S)^* y \rangle &= \langle (T \odot S)x, y \rangle + [y, T \odot S, x] \\ &= \langle T(Sx), y \rangle + \langle [T, S, x], y \rangle + [y, T \odot S, x]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle x, (S^* \odot T^*)y \rangle = \langle T(Sx), y \rangle + \langle x, [S^*, T^*, y] \rangle + [y, T, Sx] + [T^* y, S, x].$$

Applying Lemmas 3.5 and 4.3, we conclude that the difference of the two expressions vanishes for all $x, y \in \operatorname{Re} H$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x, (S^* \odot T^*)y \rangle - \langle x, (T \odot S)^*y \rangle \\ &= [y, T, Sx] + [T^*y, S, x] + \langle x, [S^*, T^*, y] \rangle - (\langle [T, S, x], y \rangle + [y, T \odot S, x]) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using the second assertion of Lemma 2.13, we can infer that

$$(S^* \odot T^*)y = (T \odot S)^*y$$

for all $y \in \operatorname{Re} H$. Note that $(S^* \odot T^*)$ is para-linear and $(T \odot S)^*$ is also para-linear by Theorem 4.5. Then it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $S^* \odot T^* = (T \odot S)^*$. This completes the proof. \square

As a corollary, we obtain a general relation of associators.

Corollary 5.10 (Associator-Adjoint Duality). *For $S, T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ and $x, y \in H$:*

$$(5.12) \quad \langle [S, T, x], y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, [T^*, S^*, y] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

Proof. From Theorem 5.9 we deduce the following chain of equalities:

$$\langle [S, T, x], y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle (S \odot T - S \circ T)(x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, (S \odot T - S \circ T)^*y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, [T^*, S^*, y] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

which prove the assertion. \square

Remark 5.11. *So far, we introduced various types of associators and we deduced some relations among which (5.12) is the most general one. In fact, from (5.12), we can derive both (4.4) and (2.4).*

Let $S = L_p$, where $p \in \mathbb{O}$. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$, $x, y \in H$ it is evident from (5.12) that

$$(5.13) \quad \langle [L_p, T, x], y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, [T^*, L_p^*, y] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Combining with Lemma 3.6, we obtain that

$$\langle B_p(T, x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, -B_{\overline{p}}(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, B_p(T^*, y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

namely (4.4). Letting $T = L_q$ for any $q \in \mathbb{O}$ in (4.4), we deduce

$$\langle [x, p, q], y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle [q, x, p], y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle B_p(x, L_q), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle x, B_p(y, L_{\overline{q}}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = -\langle x, [y, p, q] \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

This establishes (2.4).

6. OCTONIONIC POLARIZATION IDENTITY AND THE SLICE CONE

This section establishes an octonionic analog of the polarization identity, a cornerstone of spectral theory in associative settings. The non-associative nature of octonions necessitates restricting quadratic forms to geometrically significant subsets—the *slice cones*—to allow well-defined sesquilinear forms. We define these structures and derive the polarization identity, which underpins our characterization of self-adjoint operators (Theorem 6.2).

6.1. Slice Decomposition and Sesquilinear Forms. Let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule and T be a right para-linear operator on H . Let us set $\phi_T(x, y) := \langle Tx, y \rangle$ and let us denote, for short:

$$\phi(x, y) := \langle Tx, y \rangle$$

for any $x, y \in H$. Let $Q(x) := \langle Tx, x \rangle$. We aim to express ϕ in terms of Q .

Firstly, we point out that ϕ is determined by its value on $\text{Re } H \times \text{Re } H$. Indeed, for any $x, y \in H$, we have the decompositions

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i e_i, \quad y = \sum_{i=0}^7 y_i e_i$$

with $x_i, y_i \in \text{Re } H$ for $i = 0, \dots, 7$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad \phi(x, y) &= \phi \left(\sum_{i=0}^7 x_i e_i, \sum_{j=0}^7 y_j e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^7 (\bar{e}_i \phi(x_i, y_j)) e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ϕ is uniquely specified by its values $\phi(x_i, y_j)$ for $x_i, y_j \in \text{Re } H$.

The key insight is that the quadratic form $Q(x)$ on the *slice cone* determines ϕ . This notion is adapted from slice analysis [19].

Definition 6.1 (Slice Cone). *The **slice cone** of H is the set*

$$\mathbb{C}(H) := \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} (\text{Re } H + J \text{Re } H),$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \{J \in \text{Im } \mathbb{O} : |J| = 1\}$ is the sphere of unit imaginary octonions. Elements $z = u + Jv \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ with $u, v \in \text{Re } H$ are called *slice paravectors*.

6.2. Octonionic Polarization Identity. To express $\phi(x, y)$ for $x, y \in \text{Re } H$ in terms of Q , let us define the auxiliary function:

$$m(x, y) := \frac{1}{2} (Q(x + y) - Q(x - y)) = \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle.$$

For $i, j = 1, \dots, 7$ and $x, y \in \text{Re } H$, define:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij}(x, y) &:= m(x, y) + e_i m(x, y \bar{e}_i) + m(x, y \bar{e}_j) e_j, \\ B_{ij}(x, y) &:= [e_i m(x, y (\bar{e}_i \bar{e}_j))] e_j, \\ C_{ij}(x, y) &:= e_i [m(x, y (\bar{e}_i \bar{e}_j))] e_j. \end{aligned}$$

The polarization identity is then given by

Theorem 6.2 (Octonionic Polarization Identity). *For $x, y \in \text{Re } H$,*

$$(6.2) \quad \langle Tx, y \rangle = \frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^7 (2A_{ij} + B_{ij} + C_{ij})(x, y) - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij}(x, y) - \frac{1}{2}m(x, y).$$

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $A_{ij}(x, y) = A_{ij}$ and similar notations for B_{ij}, C_{ij} . Recall that $x, y \in \text{Re } H$. With Lemma 2.9 in mind, for all $i, j = 1 \dots, 7$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3) \quad A_{ij} &= m(x, y) + e_i m(x, y \bar{e}_i) + m(x, y \bar{e}_j) e_j \\ &= \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle + e_i (\langle Tx, y \bar{e}_i \rangle + \langle T(y \bar{e}_i), x \rangle) + (\langle Tx, y \bar{e}_j \rangle + \langle T(y \bar{e}_j), x \rangle) e_j \\ &= \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle + e_i (\langle Tx, y \rangle \bar{e}_i + e_i \langle Ty, x \rangle) + (\langle Tx, y \rangle \bar{e}_j + e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle) e_j \\ &= \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle + e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle \bar{e}_i - \langle Ty, x \rangle + \langle Tx, y \rangle + e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j \\ &= 2 \langle Tx, y \rangle + e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle \bar{e}_i + e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j. \end{aligned}$$

We next compute B_{ij} for $i \neq j$. By direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad B_{ij} &= [e_i m(x, y \overline{(e_i e_j)})] e_j \\ &= \left[e_i \left(\langle Tx, y \overline{(e_i e_j)} \rangle + \langle T(y \overline{(e_i e_j)}), x \rangle \right) \right] e_j \\ &= \left(e_i [\langle Tx, y \rangle \overline{(e_i e_j)}] + e_i [(e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] \right) e_j. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from $i \neq j$ that

$$\overline{e_i e_j} = -e_i e_j.$$

We conclude from the Moufang identity

$$x(a(xy)) = (xax)y$$

that the first term $\left(e_i [\langle Tx, y \rangle \overline{(e_i e_j)}] \right) e_j$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (6.5) \quad \left(e_i [\langle Tx, y \rangle \overline{(e_i e_j)}] \right) e_j &= \left(-e_i [\langle Tx, y \rangle (e_i e_j)] \right) e_j \\ &= \left(-[e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle e_i] e_j \right) e_j \\ &= e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we conclude from the Moufang identity

$$y(xax) = ((yx)a)x$$

that the second term $\left(e_i [(e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] \right) e_j$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (6.6) \quad \left(e_i [(e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] \right) e_j &= e_i \left([(e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] e_j \right) + [e_i, (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] e_j \\ &= e_i \left(e_i [e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j] \right) - [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] \\ &= -e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j - [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (6.5) and (6.6) into (6.4), we obtain for $i \neq j$,

$$(6.7) \quad B_{ij} = e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle e_i - e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j - [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle].$$

Similarly, for $i \neq j$, we have

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{ij} &= e_i [m(x, y \overline{(e_i e_j)}) e_j] \\ &= e_i \left((\langle Tx, y \overline{(e_i e_j)} \rangle + \langle T(y \overline{(e_i e_j)}), x \rangle) e_j \right) \\ &= e_i \left((\langle Tx, y \rangle \overline{(e_i e_j)} + (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle) e_j \right) \\ &= -e_i \left((\langle Tx, y \rangle (e_i e_j)) e_j \right) + e_i \left(((e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle) e_j \right) \\ &= -e_i \left(\langle Tx, y \rangle ((e_i e_j) e_j) + [\langle Tx, y \rangle, e_i e_j, e_j] \right) + e_i \left(e_i (e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j) \right) \\ &= e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle e_i - e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j - e_i [\langle Tx, y \rangle, e_i e_j, e_j]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Five-Terms identity (2.1), we have

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Tx, y \rangle] &= e_i [e_j, e_i e_j, \langle Tx, y \rangle] + [e_i, e_j, e_i e_j] \langle Tx, y \rangle - [e_i e_j, e_i e_j, \langle Tx, y \rangle] + [e_i, e_j (e_i e_j), \langle Tx, y \rangle] \\ &= e_i [e_j, e_i e_j, \langle Tx, y \rangle] \\ &= -e_i [\langle Tx, y \rangle, e_i e_j, e_j] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (6.9) into (6.8), we obtain

$$(6.10) \quad C_{ij} = e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle e_i - e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j + [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Tx, y \rangle].$$

We next compute $\sum A_{ij}$, $\sum_{i \neq j} (A_{ij} + B_{ij})$ and $\sum_{i \neq j} (A_{ij} + C_{ij})$ for $x, y \in \text{Re } H$. We denote

$$\alpha := \langle Tx, y \rangle, \beta := \langle Ty, x \rangle$$

for simplicity. By identity (2.3), it is easy to obtain

$$12\text{Re } x - 5x = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i x \overline{e_i}.$$

It follows that

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij} &= 98 \langle Tx, y \rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^7 e_i \langle Tx, y \rangle \overline{e_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^7 e_j \langle Ty, x \rangle e_j \\ &= 98 \langle Tx, y \rangle + 7(12\text{Re } \langle Tx, y \rangle - 5 \langle Tx, y \rangle) - 7(12\text{Re } \langle Ty, x \rangle - 5 \langle Ty, x \rangle) \\ &= 63 \langle Tx, y \rangle + 35 \langle Ty, x \rangle + 84(\text{Re } \langle Tx, y \rangle - \text{Re } \langle Ty, x \rangle) \\ &= 63\alpha + 35\beta + 84(\text{Re } \alpha - \text{Re } \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the identity (identity (3.4) in [24]):

$$(6.12) \quad \text{Im } p = -\frac{1}{48} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) p]$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{O}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (A_{ij} + B_{ij}) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 2 \langle Tx, y \rangle - \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] \\
 &= 84 \langle Tx, y \rangle - \sum_{i,j=1}^7 [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Ty, x \rangle] \\
 &= 84 \langle Tx, y \rangle + 48 \operatorname{Im} \langle Ty, x \rangle \\
 &= 84\alpha + 48 \operatorname{Im} \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (A_{ij} + C_{ij}) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 2 \langle Tx, y \rangle + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Tx, y \rangle] \\
 &= 84 \langle Tx, y \rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^7 [e_i, e_j, (e_i e_j) \langle Tx, y \rangle] \\
 &= 84 \langle Tx, y \rangle - 48 \operatorname{Im} \langle Tx, y \rangle \\
 &= 84\alpha - 48 \operatorname{Im} \alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.15) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij} - \frac{84}{48} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (A_{ij} + B_{ij}) - \frac{84}{48} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (A_{ij} + C_{ij}) \\
 &= 63\alpha + 35\beta + 84(\operatorname{Re} \alpha - \operatorname{Re} \beta) - \frac{84}{48} \cdot 84\alpha - 84 \operatorname{Im} \beta - \frac{84}{48} \cdot 84\alpha + 84 \operatorname{Im} \alpha \\
 &= -147\alpha - 49\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with

$$(6.16) \quad m(x, y) = \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle = \alpha + \beta,$$

we have

$$(6.17) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij} - \frac{84}{48} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (A_{ij} + B_{ij}) - \frac{84}{48} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (A_{ij} + C_{ij}) + 49m(x, y) = -98\alpha.$$

Solving for α gives (6.2). □

Example 6.3 (Identity Operator). *For $T = I$ and $x, y \in \operatorname{Re} H$, let $\alpha = \langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:*

- $m(x, y) = 2\alpha$
- $A_{ij} = 2\alpha$ (since $m(x, y\bar{e}_i) = 0$ by Lemma 2.9)
- $B_{ij} = C_{ij} = 0$ (by associator antisymmetry).

Substituting in (6.2) we obtain:

$$\langle Ix, y \rangle = \frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 4\alpha - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 2\alpha - \alpha = \frac{168\alpha}{56} - \frac{98\alpha}{98} - \alpha = \alpha,$$

confirming Theorem 6.2 for the identity operator.

Remark 6.4. The quaternionic case admits a significantly simpler polarization identity due to associativity [34]. For a quaternionic Hilbert space (considered in the left-module setting) and operators acting on it, the polarization identity reduces to:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (m(x, y) + e_1 m(x, e_1 y) + m(x, e_2 y) e_2 + e_1 m(x, e_3 y) e_2),$$

where $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a standard basis for the quaternions \mathbb{H} with $e_1 e_2 = e_3$. In contrast, the octonionic version (Theorem 6.2) requires:

- Summation over all index pairs (i, j) ,
- Correction terms B_{ij} and C_{ij} ,
- Additional coefficients accounting for non-associative deviations.

This structural complexity reflects the fundamental role of non-associativity in octonionic functional analysis, necessitating more intricate formulas to recover sesquilinear forms from quadratic restrictions.

6.3. General Sesquilinear Reconstruction. For arbitrary elements $x, y \in H$, we use the real decomposition

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i x_i, \quad y = \sum_{j=0}^7 e_j y_j \quad (x_i, y_j \in \text{Re } H)$$

and the component-wise polarization identity (Theorem 6.2) to reconstruct the full sesquilinear form. Substituting into the expansion (6.1) yields:

Corollary 6.5 (General Polarization Identity). *Let H be an Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule and let T be a right para-linear operator. The sesquilinear form $\langle Tx, y \rangle$ is completely determined by the quadratic form on the slice cone, i.e.:*

(1) For general $x = \sum_{i=0}^7 e_i x_i$, $y = \sum_{j=0}^7 e_j y_j$ ($x_i, y_j \in \text{Re } H$):

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \sum_{\ell, k=0}^7 \bar{e}_\ell \left(\frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^7 (2A_{ij}(x_\ell, y_k) + B_{ij}(x_\ell, y_k) + C_{ij}(x_\ell, y_k)) - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij}(x_\ell, y_k) - \frac{1}{2} m(x_\ell, y_k) \right) e_k.$$

(2) If $\langle Tx, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}(H)$, then $T = 0$

This foundational result establishes $\mathbb{C}(H)$ as the definitive geometric domain for spectral analysis in octonionic Hilbert spaces. The restriction of the quadratic form to the slice cone completely determines para-linear operators, a property that underpins our characterization of self-adjointness (Theorem 7.6) and spectral decomposition (Theorem 10.2).

7. PARA-LINEAR SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

Building on the algebraic and geometric foundations developed in the previous sections, this section turns to a central object in operator theory, namely para-linear self-adjoint operators. In classical Hilbert spaces, self-adjointness plays a pivotal role in spectral theory, but its extension to octonionic settings has long been obstructed by non-associativity. Within the framework of para-linearity and the slice cone geometry, we introduce and rigorously characterize self-adjoint para-linear operators. By using the octonionic polarization identity, we establish that self-adjointness corresponds precisely to real-valued quadratic forms on the slice cone. This geometric criterion forms the cornerstone for the spectral decomposition results that follows.

Throughout this section, let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule equipped with an \mathbb{O} -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Definition 7.1. *A para-linear operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ is self-adjoint if $T = T^*$, where T^* is the adjoint defined in (4.1).*

Remark 7.2. *The self-adjointness condition $T = T^*$ coincides with the real-linear adjoint since $T^* = T^{*\mathbb{R}}$ when viewed in the real Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

We first characterize self-adjointness for \mathbb{O} -linear operators, where associativity simplifies the analysis:

Lemma 7.3. *Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be (right) \mathbb{O} -linear, i.e., $T(xp) = (Tx)p$ for all $x \in H$ and $p \in \mathbb{O}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *T is self-adjoint,*
- (b) *$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$,*
- (c) *$\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in H$.*

Proof. Since T is \mathbb{O} -linear, it follows from (4.2) that $[y, T, x] = 0$ for all $x, y \in H$. Thus $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$. The equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) and (b) \Rightarrow (c) follow classically. For (c) \Rightarrow (b), see the sufficient part of the proof of Theorem 7.6 below which is independent from Lemma 7.3. \square

For general para-linear operators, the characterization requires careful handling of non-associativity.

Lemma 7.4. *Let T be para-linear and self-adjoint. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$;*
- (b) *$\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in H$;*
- (c) *T is \mathbb{O} -linear.*

Proof. (c) \Rightarrow (a) follows from Lemma 7.3, and (a) \Rightarrow (b) is immediate. We prove (b) \Rightarrow (c). Since T is self-adjoint,

$$\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle + [x, T, x] = \langle x, Tx \rangle + [x, T, x].$$

Condition (b) implies $\text{Im}(\langle Tx, x \rangle) = 0$, so $[x, T, x] = 0$. For arbitrary $x, y \in H$, the identity $[x + y, T, x + y] = 0$ yields

$$[y, T, x] = -[x, T, y] = -[y, T^*, x] = -[y, T, x],$$

where we used Lemma 4.4. Thus $[y, T, x] = 0$ for all $x, y \in H$. By (4.2), $\langle B_{e_j}(T, x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$ for all j and y , so $B_{e_j}(T, x) = 0$. Hence T is \mathbb{O} -linear. \square

Remark 7.5. A consequence of the previous result is that if a para-linear, self-adjoint T is not \mathbb{O} -linear, there exists $x \in H$ such that $\langle Tx, x \rangle \notin \mathbb{R}$.

The central result of this section provides a characterization of self-adjointness through the slice cone $\mathbb{C}(H) := \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} (\text{Re } H + J \text{Re } H)$.

Theorem 7.6. A para-linear operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ is self-adjoint if and only if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}(H)$.

To prove this result, we need to establish the following lemma at first.

Lemma 7.7. Let T be a para-linear operator on H . Let us set

$$Q(x) := \langle Tx, x \rangle, \quad 2m(x, y) := Q(x + y) - Q(x - y).$$

Then for all $x, y \in \text{Re } H$ and all $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad m(x, yJ) + m(y, xJ) = [m(x, y), J].$$

Proof. Note that $x, y \in \text{Re } H$. In view of Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, yJ) + m(y, xJ) &= \langle Tx, yJ \rangle + \langle T(yJ), x \rangle + \langle Ty, xJ \rangle + \langle T(xJ), y \rangle \\ &= (\langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle)J + \overline{J}(\langle Ty, x \rangle + \langle Tx, y \rangle) \\ &= [m(x, y), J]. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 7.6. We first prove the sufficiency. Assume that T is self-adjoint. Then for all $x \in \text{Re } H$, we have

$$\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle = \langle x, Tx \rangle = \overline{\langle Tx, x \rangle}$$

and hence $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $z = x + yJ \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ with $x, y \in \text{Re } H$ and $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we conclude from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tz, z \rangle &= \langle Tx, x \rangle + \langle T(yJ), x \rangle + \langle Tx, yJ \rangle + \langle T(yJ), yJ \rangle \\ &= \langle Tx, x \rangle + |J|^2 \langle Ty, y \rangle + 2\text{Re}(\langle Tx, y \rangle J) \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove the necessity. Fix $x, y \in \text{Re } H$ arbitrarily. Let

$$A_{ij} = m(x, y) + e_i m(x, y\overline{e_i}) + m(x, y\overline{e_j})e_j,$$

and

$$B_{ij} = [e_i m(x, y \overline{e_i e_j})] e_j, \quad C_{ij} = e_i [m(x, y \overline{e_i e_j})] e_j$$

as usual. Since $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}(H)$, it follows that

$$2m(x, ye_i) := Q(x + ye_i) - Q(x - ye_i) \in \mathbb{R}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, 7$. Hence

$$(7.2) \quad B_{ij}(x, y) = C_{ij}(x, y).$$

Noticing that $x, y \in \operatorname{Re} H$, it follows that $\langle x, T^* y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle$. In view of Theorem 6.2, we conclude from (7.2) that

$$(7.3) \quad \langle x, T^* y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = \frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (2A_{ij} + 2B_{ij})(x, y) - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij}(x, y) - \frac{1}{2} m(x, y).$$

We now show that

$$\langle x, T^* y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle.$$

To this end, we compute $\overline{A_{ij}(y, x)}$. Since $m(x, ye_i) \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $i = 0, \dots, 7$, it follows from (7.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{A_{ij}(y, x)} &= m(y, x) - e_i m(y, x \overline{e_i}) - e_j m(y, x \overline{e_j}) \\ &= m(y, x) + e_i m(x, y \overline{e_i}) + e_j m(x, y \overline{e_j}). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$m(x, y) = m(y, x),$$

we conclude that

$$(7.4) \quad \overline{A_{ij}(y, x)} = m(x, y) + e_i m(x, y \overline{e_i}) + e_j m(x, y \overline{e_j}) = A_{ij}(x, y).$$

Similarly, we also have

$$(7.5) \quad \overline{B_{ij}(y, x)} = B_{ij}(x, y).$$

Utilizing (7.4) and (7.5), we conclude from Theorem 6.2 again that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, Ty \rangle &= \overline{\langle Ty, x \rangle} \\ &= \frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 2\overline{A_{ij}(y, x)} + 2\overline{B_{ij}(y, x)} - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 \overline{A_{ij}(y, x)} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{m(y, x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1, \\ i \neq j}}^7 (2A_{ij} + 2B_{ij})(x, y) - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij}(x, y) - \frac{1}{2} m(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Combing this with (7.3), we obtain that

$$\langle x, T^* y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$$

for arbitrarily fixed $x, y \in \operatorname{Re} H$. This yields $Ty = T^* y$ for arbitrarily fixed $y \in \operatorname{Re} H$. Note that T^* is also para-linear by Theorem 4.5. Thus we get from Lemma 2.6 that $T = T^*$. \square

Remark 7.8. *Theorem 7.6 holds analogously for quaternionic Hilbert bimodules, emphasizing the universality of the slice cone characterization.*

8. STRONG EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

The non-associativity of octonions entails a refined approach to spectral theory, particularly regarding the eigenvalue problem. The classical eigenvalue equation $Tz = \lambda z$ fails to maintain coherence within the framework of para-linear operators, since the left multiplication by λ does not align with right para-linearity. Thus, we focus on slice paravectors, elements contained entirely within a single complex slice, and introduce the spectral parameter acting on the right. This naturally motivates the concept of a *strong eigenpair*.

In this section, H denotes a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule, while $\mathbb{C}(H)$ denotes the slice cone.

8.1. Definition and Fundamental Properties.

Definition 8.1 (Strong eigenpair). *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$. A nonzero slice paravector $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ is called a **strong eigenvector** associated to a **strong eigenvalue** $\lambda \in \mathbb{O}$ if*

$$Tz = z\lambda.$$

Remark 8.2. *The choice $Tz = z\lambda$ is consistent with right para-linearity, preserving slice coherence. Restricting z to $\mathbb{C}(H)$ ensures compatibility with the octonionic geometric structure, thus establishing a meaningful spectral theory.*

In this paper we have been considering the notion of right para-linearity. Although the notions of left and right para-linearity are different, but somewhat equivalent from a mathematical point of view, for physical applications the side on which linearity (and so para-linearity) is considered is crucial. This is relevant in quaternionic quantum mechanics, see the well-known Adler's book [1], in which it is shown that right linearity and right eigenvalues are the relevant concepts while the left versions seem less useful. This is the ground for our choice of the side of para-linearity.

We note that quaternionic spectral theory is well established since the definition of the S -spectrum in 2006 (see the Introduction of [10] for some historical remarks). Since then, there has been an extensive development of the theory, including new functional calculi and spectral decompositions tailored specifically to quaternionic linear operators, see e.g. [13, 12, 18, 3, 7], the books [14, 10, 9] and the references therein. This theory makes use of the appropriate notion of spectrum for quaternionic operators and allows an associative-based spectral decomposition approach.

Example 8.3. *Consider the octonionic Hermitian matrix*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} t & p \\ \bar{p} & s \end{pmatrix}, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}, p \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Selecting $J \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $p \in \mathbb{C}_J$, the restriction of M to $\mathbb{C}_J^2 \subset \mathbb{C}(H)$ with $H = \mathbb{O}^2$ yields a classical complex Hermitian operator, whose eigenvalues are strong eigenvalues, each admitting strong eigenvectors within \mathbb{C}_J^2 .

8.2. Reality of Strong Eigenvalues for Self-adjoint Operators. Theorem 8.6 shows that self-adjoint operators are characterized by the fact that the quadratic form $\langle Tx, x \rangle$ is real-valued, for all $x \in \mathbb{C}(H)$. The following result is an immediate consequence:

Corollary 8.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ and $T = T^*$ and suppose $Tz = z\lambda$, where $z \neq 0$ is a strong eigenvector. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.6, $\langle Tz, z \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Using self-adjointness and Lemma 2.9(3), we get

$$\langle Tz, z \rangle = \langle z\lambda, z \rangle = \bar{\lambda}\langle z, z \rangle.$$

Since $\langle z, z \rangle > 0$, it follows that $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

8.3. Orthogonality and Weak Associative Bases. The properties of para-linear projections necessitate a refined notion of orthogonality to ensure that spectral decompositions behave as in the classical case within slices.

We begin with the notion of weak associative orthogonality [23].

Definition 8.5. *A family $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \subset H$ is said to be:*

- **orthonormal** if $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$;
- **weak associative orthonormal** if it is orthonormal and satisfies $B_p(x_\alpha, x_\beta) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{O}$;
- **a weak associative orthonormal basis** if it is maximal with respect to these properties.

This formulation ensures the validity of Parseval's identity, as in the classical Hilbert space theory.

Theorem 8.6 (Parseval Identity [23, Thm. 5.7]). *Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a weak associative orthonormal basis of H . For every $x \in H$,*

$$x = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} \langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle, \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} |\langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle|^2.$$

Moreover, eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal and weakly associative, in fact we have the following:

Theorem 8.7 (Orthogonality of distinct eigenvectors). *Suppose $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$, $T = T^*$ and $Tz_k = z_k\lambda_k$ for $z_k \in \mathbb{C}(H) \setminus \{0\}$, with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. Then:*

- (1) $[z_2, T, z_1] = 0$;
- (2) $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = 0$;
- (3) $\{z_1, z_2\}$ is weak associative orthonormal.

Proof. Write $z_k = x_k + J_k y_k$ for $x_k, y_k \in \text{Re } H$ and $J_k \in \mathbb{S}$ ($k = 1, 2$). By Corollary 8.4, we know that $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us prove point (1). From (4.1) and self-adjointness of T we have

$$(8.1) \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = \langle Tz_1, z_2 \rangle - \langle z_1, Tz_2 \rangle = -[z_2, T, z_1].$$

By Lemma 4.3 and (4.2) we deduce

$$(8.2) \quad [z_2, T, z_1] = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \langle z_2, B_{e_i}(T, J_1 y_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \operatorname{Re}(\langle T y_1, y_2 \rangle [e_i, J_2, J_1]).$$

- *Case $J_1 = \pm J_2$:* The associator $[e_i, J_2, J_1]$ vanishes by antisymmetry, so the right-hand side is 0.
- *Case $J_1 \neq \pm J_2$:* Define $J'_2 := J_2 - c J_1$ with $c = \langle J_2, J_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $J_1 \perp_{\mathbb{R}} J'_2$, where $\perp_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes orthogonality in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{O} \cong \mathbb{R}^8$. In particular,

$$[e_i, J_2, J_1] = [e_i, J'_2, J_1] \quad (i = 0, \dots, 7).$$

By a suitable scaling and change of basis, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$J_1 = e_1, \quad J'_2 = e_2.$$

Let \mathbb{H}_{e_1, e_2} be the quaternionic subalgebra generated by e_1 and e_2 , and let $\mathbb{H}_{e_1, e_2}^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}}$ denote its Euclidean orthogonal complement in \mathbb{O} . A direct computation shows that, for any $z_1, z_2 \in H$,

$$[z_2, T, z_1] = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \operatorname{Re}(\langle T y_1, y_2 \rangle [e_i, e_2, e_1]) \in \mathbb{H}_{e_1, e_2}^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}},$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ is the associator on \mathbb{O} . On the other hand, by direct calculations we deduce

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{H}_{e_1, e_2},$$

and hence (8.1) forces

$$[z_2, T, z_1] \in \mathbb{H}_{e_1, e_2} \cap \mathbb{H}_{e_1, e_2}^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}} = \{0\}.$$

Therefore $[z_2, T, z_1] = 0$.

Let us prove point (2). Point (1) and (8.1) imply $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = 0$.

Let us prove point (3). To show $B_p(z_1, z_2) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{O}$:

$$B_p(z_1, z_2) = \langle J_1 y_1, J_2 y_2 \rangle p - \langle J_1 y_1, (J_2 y_2) p \rangle = [\overline{J_1}, J_2, p] \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle.$$

- *Case $J_1 = \pm J_2$:* The associator vanishes identically.
- *Case $J_1 \neq \pm J_2$:* Expand $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = 0$:

$$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + \overline{J_1} \langle y_1, x_2 \rangle + \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle J_2 + \overline{J_1} J_2 \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\{1, J_1, J_2, J_1 J_2\}$ is linearly independent in the real vector space \mathbb{O} , it follows that $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle = 0$. Thus $B_p(z_1, z_2) = 0$.

This completes the proof. \square

The framework of strong eigenpairs isolates the slice-compatible part of the spectrum and underpins a rigorous spectral decomposition. The following key facts will be used (note that items (1)–(2) have already been established):

- (1) Strong eigenvalues of self-adjoint para-linear operators are real.

- (2) Strong eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues form orthogonal, weakly associative systems.
- (3) For $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$, the slice projections $P_z : x \mapsto z\langle z, x \rangle$ are para-linear, self-adjoint, and idempotent, enabling spectral diagonalization (see Section 9).

9. PROJECTION OPERATORS IN OCTONIONIC HILBERT SPACES

This section develops the theory of projection operators associated with slice paravectors in octonionic Hilbert spaces. These operators are fundamental for the spectral decomposition of para-linear self-adjoint operators that will be established in Section 10.

9.1. Slice Projection Operators. Let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule. For any *slice paravector* $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$, we define the associated *slice projection operator* $P_z : H \rightarrow H$ by

$$P_z(x) := z\langle z, x \rangle, \quad x \in H.$$

The requirement $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ is essential for the following fundamental properties.

Theorem 9.1 (Properties of Slice Projections). *For any $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ with $\|z\| = 1$, the operator P_z satisfies:*

- (1) *Para-linearity:* $P_z \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$,
- (2) *Self-adjointness:* $P_z^* = P_z$,
- (3) *Idempotence:* $P_z \odot P_z = P_z$,
- (4) *Range and kernel:* $\ker P_z = z^\perp$ and $\text{Ran } P_z = z\mathbb{O}$.

Proof. Write $z = u + Jv$ with $u, v \in \text{Re } H$ and $J \in \mathbb{S}$.

(1) *Para-linearity:* For $p \in \mathbb{O}$ and $x \in H$, consider the second associator

$$B_p(P_z, x) = P_z(x)p - P_z(xp) = (z\langle z, x \rangle)p - z\langle z, xp \rangle.$$

Using the real part operator and Lemma 2.9(3), we deduce

$$\text{Re } B_p(P_z, x) = \text{Re } ([z, \langle z, x \rangle, p] + zB_p(z, x)) = \text{Re } (zB_p(z, x)).$$

For $z = u + Jv \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ with $u, v \in \text{Re } H$, we compute from (2.5) and Lemma 2.9(4) that:

$$\begin{aligned} B_p(z, x) &= B_p(u + Jv, x) \\ &= B_p(Jv, x) \\ &= -B_p(x, Jv). \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } (zB_p(z, x)) &= -\text{Re } ((u + Jv)B_p(x, Jv)) \\ &= -\text{Re } (uB_p(x, Jv)) - \text{Re } (JvB_p(x, Jv)) \\ &= -v \text{Re } (JB_p(x, Jv)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using identity (5.5) we get:

$$-v \text{Re } (JB_p(x, Jv)) = -v \text{Re } (JB_p(\langle x, \cdot \rangle, vJ)) = 0$$

This completes the proof that $\operatorname{Re} B_p(P_z, x) = 0$. Thus P_z is para-linear.

(2) *Self-adjointness*: By Theorem 4.5, P_z^* is para-linear. For $x, y \in H$, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$\langle P_z(x), y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{\langle z, x \rangle} \langle z, y \rangle \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\overline{\langle z, y \rangle} \langle z, x \rangle \right) = \langle P_z(y), x \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

In view of Remark 4.2, we have $P_z^* = P_z$.

(3) *Idempotence*: By (2.5) and $\|z\| = 1$:

$$P_z \odot P_z(x) = z \langle z, z \langle z, x \rangle \rangle = z (\langle z, z \rangle \langle z, x \rangle) = z \langle z, x \rangle = P_z(x).$$

(4) *Range and kernel*: Immediate from the definition and $\langle z, z \rangle = 1$. \square

Remark 9.2. *The slice condition $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ is necessary for para-linearity. For counterexamples such as $z = (e_1, e_2) \in \mathbb{O}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{O}^2)$, the map $x \mapsto z \langle z, x \rangle$ fails to be para-linear.*

9.2. Interplay with Spectral Theory. Projection operators commute with para-linear operators when restricted to eigenspaces, as proved in the next result.

Theorem 9.3 (Eigenprojection Commutation). *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ be para-linear and self-adjoint, and $z \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ a strong eigenvector with real eigenvalue λ (Corollary 8.4). Then*

$$T \odot P_z = P_z \odot \lambda.$$

Proof. For $x \in \operatorname{Re} H$:

$$(T \odot P_z)(x) = T(P_z(x)) = T(z \langle z, x \rangle).$$

Noting that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Tz = z\lambda$, it follows that

$$T(z \langle z, x \rangle) = (Tz) \langle z, x \rangle - B_{\langle z, x \rangle}(T, z) = \lambda z \langle z, x \rangle - B_{\langle z, x \rangle}(T, z).$$

Since $z = u + Jv$ with $u, v \in \operatorname{Re} H$, by part (3) of Theorem 2.5 and part (1) of Theorem 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\langle z, x \rangle}(T, z) &= \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \operatorname{Re} T([z, \langle z, x \rangle], e_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \operatorname{Re} T([a + Jb, \langle a + Jb, x \rangle], e_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^7 \langle b, x \rangle e_i \operatorname{Re} T(b[J, \bar{J}], e_i) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by para-linearity and agreement on $\operatorname{Re} H$. \square

The commutation relation proved above is pivotal for the spectral decomposition in Section 10, where self-adjoint operators are diagonalized via slice projections.

10. SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION OF COMPACT SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

This section establishes the spectral decomposition for compact self-adjoint para-linear operators on octonionic Hilbert spaces, resolving another fundamental challenge in non-associative functional analysis. The absence of associativity necessitates a geometrically constrained spectral theory, culminating in a Hilbert-Schmidt-type theorem that generalizes classical results, while respecting the octonionic structure.

10.1. Strong Eigenvalues and Standard Spectral Data. Let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule and $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ be a compact self-adjoint para-linear operator. For simplicity, we confine ourselves to the point spectrum case. The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ consists of $\lambda \in \mathbb{O}$ where the eigenspace

$$E_\lambda(T) := \{x \in H : Tx = x\lambda\}$$

is non-trivial. To ensure spectral significance, we restrict to strong eigenvalues compatible with slice geometry.

By Corollary 8.4, strong eigenvalues are real. For the spectral decomposition, we require:

Definition 10.1 (Standard Strong Eigenvalue). *A strong eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is called a **standard strong eigenvalue** if there exists an orthonormal system $\{z_i\}_{i \in \Lambda} \subset \mathbb{C}(H)$ of strong eigenvectors such that*

- (1) $Tz_i = z_i\lambda$ for all $i \in \Lambda$;
- (2) $E_\lambda(T) \subset \text{span}_{\mathbb{O}}\{z_i\}_{i \in \Lambda} := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N z_{i_k} p_k : N \in \mathbb{N}, i_k \in \Lambda, p_k \in \mathbb{O} \right\}$.

This ensures that eigenspaces are generated by slice-orthogonal systems in $\mathbb{C}(H)$.

10.2. Main Spectral Theorem. The following represents a fundamental result in octonionic spectral theory:

Theorem 10.2 (Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem). *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ be compact, self-adjoint, and para-linear with all eigenvalues standard strong. Then there exists a weak associative orthonormal basis $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \cup \{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that:*

- (1) $Tz_i = \lambda_i z_i$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ for $i \in \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is finite or countable. If \mathcal{N} is infinite, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 0$;
- (2) $Tz_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda$;
- (3) For any $x \in H$,

$$Tx = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i P_{z_i} x$$

with norm convergence, where $P_{z_i}(x) = z_i \langle z_i, x \rangle$ is the para-linear projection.

Proof. Since each eigenvalue of T is a standard strong eigenvalue and T is an \mathbb{R} -linear compact operator, its real spectrum $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(T)$ coincides with $\sigma(T)$. By classical theory for real linear compact operators, $\sigma(T)$ is at most countable with 0 as the only possible accumulation point. Thus, \mathcal{N} is at most countable and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 0$.

By Definition 10.1, for each $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, there exists an orthonormal system $\{z_i\}_{i \in \Pi}$ of strong eigenvectors such that

$$E_\lambda(T) \subset \text{span}_{\mathbb{O}}\{z_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}.$$

For any z_i, z_j with $i \neq j \in \Lambda$, if there exists $J \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $z_i, z_j \in \mathbb{C}_J(H)$, then

$$B_p(z_i, z_j) = [p, \bar{J}, J] \langle y_i, y_j \rangle = 0,$$

where $y_i = \text{Re}(z_i \bar{J})$ and y_j is defined similarly.

Now suppose $z_i \in \mathbb{C}_I(H)$, $z_j \in \mathbb{C}_J(H)$ with $I \neq \pm J$. From $\langle z_i, z_j \rangle = 0$ and Theorem 8.7(3), we obtain $B_p(z_i, z_j) = 0$. By Theorem 8.7, the set $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \cup \{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ forms a weak associative orthonormal set.

Viewing T as a real linear compact operator, we have

$$H = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{N}} E_{\lambda_i}(T) \oplus \ker T \subset \text{span}_{\mathbb{O}}(\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \cup \{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}) \subset H.$$

Thus, $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \cup \{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a weak associative orthonormal basis for H .

Next, we show that $Tx = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i P_{z_i}(x)$ for all $x \in H$. Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 0$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\lambda_i| \leq \epsilon$ for $i > N$. By the Parseval Theorem (Theorem 8.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i P_{z_i}(x) \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i z_i \langle z_i, x \rangle \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N |\lambda_i \langle z_i, x \rangle|^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i \langle z_i, x \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N |\lambda_i \langle z_i, x \rangle|^2 + \epsilon^2 \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves norm convergence, so the operator $Px = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i P_{z_i}(x)$ is para-linear. To show $T = P$, by Lemma 2.6(2), it suffices to verify $Tx = Px$ for $x \in \text{Re } H$. The Parseval Theorem gives

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} P_{z_i}(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{z_\alpha}(x).$$

Since $x \in \text{Re } H$ and T is para-linear and continuous, Lemma 9.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= T \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} P_{z_i}(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{z_\alpha}(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (T \odot P_{z_i})(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (T \odot P_{z_\alpha})(x) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} (P_{z_i} \odot \lambda_i)(x) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i P_{z_i}(x). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because $T \odot P_{z_\alpha} = 0$ for $z_\alpha \in \ker T$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 10.3. If T can be decomposed into a sum of projections

$$T = \sum_i \lambda_i P_{z_i}$$

with $\langle z_i, z_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, then by the requirement of para-linearity, we must have $z_i \in \mathbb{C}(H)$ and

$$Tz_i = \lambda_i z_i.$$

This implies each λ_i is a standard eigenvalue of T . That is, to decompose a para-linear operator T into a sum of projections, it is natural to require the eigenvalues to be standard strong.

10.3. Finite Rank Operators. The finite rank case admits a particularly elegant decomposition.

Definition 10.4 (Finite Rank Operator). $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ has **rank** n if $\text{Ran } T$ is an \mathbb{O} -submodule and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{O}}(\text{Ran } T) := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Re}(\text{Ran } T) = n.$$

Theorem 10.5 (Finite Rank Spectral Decomposition). Let the self-adjoint, para-linear T have rank n , with n pairwise orthogonal strong eigenvectors $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \mathbb{C}(H)$ and eigenvalues $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k P_{z_k}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 10.2, $\{z_k\}$ is weak associative orthonormal. Let $M = \text{Ran } T$. If there exists $w \in M$ with $\|w\| = 1$ and $\langle w, z_k \rangle = 0$ for any k , then

$$\mathcal{S} = \{w\} \cup \{z_k e_i : 1 \leq k \leq n, 0 \leq i \leq 7\}$$

is orthonormal in $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}})$. But $|\mathcal{S}| = 1 + 8n > \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 8n$, contradiction. Thus $\{z_k\}$ \mathbb{O} -spans M , and decomposition follows from Theorem 10.2. \square

Corollary 10.6. If T has rank n , is self-adjoint and para-linear, and has n distinct strong eigenvalues, then $T = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k P_{z_k}$.

10.4. Geometric Interpretation. The spectral decomposition reveals how octonionic non-associativity shapes diagonalization:

- **Slice-Constrained Eigenvectors:** Eigenvectors in $\mathbb{C}(H)$ ensure para-linearity of projections P_z (Theorem 9.1).
- **Weak Associativity:** Weak associative orthogonality $B_p(z_i, z_j) = 0$ enables convergent projection sums and

$$(10.1) \quad P_{z_j} P_{z_i} = \delta_{ij} P_{z_i} \quad \forall i, j.$$

- **Real Spectrum:** Strong eigenvalues $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ reflect self-adjointness (Corollary 8.4).

This framework transforms non-associativity from an obstruction into a structured feature, enabling rigorous spectral analysis in octonionic Hilbert spaces.

11. FUNCTIONAL CALCULUS FOR COMPACT SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

This section develops a functional calculus for compact, self-adjoint, para-linear operators with standard strong eigenvalues on octonionic Hilbert spaces. The non-associative nature of \mathbb{O} requires a specific approach, where the *real part* of operators and functions plays a central role. We establish left and right functional calculi Φ and Ψ , prove some core properties, and demonstrate independence from spectral decompositions.

11.1. Preliminaries and Function Space. Let H be a Hilbert \mathbb{O} -bimodule and $T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ a compact self-adjoint para-linear operator whose eigenvalues are *standard strong eigenvalues* (Definition 10.1). By Theorem 10.2, there is a weak associative orthonormal basis consisting of strong eigenvectors $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \cup \{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that

$$Tz_i = \lambda_i z_i, i \in \mathcal{N} \quad Tz_\alpha = 0, \alpha \in \Lambda,$$

and T admits a spectral decomposition:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i P_{z_i}.$$

The *spectrum* $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_i\} \cup \{0\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} .

Define \mathcal{R} as the algebra of \mathbb{O} -valued functions f on $\sigma(T)$ such that

$$\sup_{q \in \sigma(T)} |f(q)| < +\infty.$$

Equip \mathcal{R} with:

- **Octonionic multiplication:**

$$(pf)(q) := pf(q), \quad (fp)(q) := f(q)p, \quad p \in \mathbb{O}.$$

- **Product:** For $g \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$(fg)(q) := f(q)g(q).$$

- **Involution:**

$$f^*(q) := \overline{f(q)}.$$

• **Norm:**

$$\|f\| := \sup_{q \in \sigma(T)} |f(q)|.$$

Then \mathcal{R} is an \mathbb{O} -involutive Banach algebra. The *real part* $\text{Re } \mathcal{R}$ consists of real-valued functions.

11.2. Right and Left Functional Calculi. The non-associativity of \mathbb{O} necessitates distinct left and right functional calculi, defined via the spectral decomposition. Note that $\{z_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \cup \{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a weak associative orthonormal basis. This enables us to define

$$P_0 := \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{z_\alpha}.$$

Definition 11.1 (Functional Calculi). *The right functional calculus $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ is defined by*

$$\Phi(f)(x) := (P_0 \odot f(0))(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (P_{z_i} \odot f(\lambda_i))(x),$$

where \odot is the octonionic scalar multiplication for operators (see Theorem 2.7). The series converge uniformly in norm by Parseval's Theorem (Theorem 8.6). For simplicity, we can write

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N} \cup \Lambda} P_{z_i} \odot f(\lambda_i).$$

The left functional calculus $\Psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ is defined by

$$\Psi(f)(x) := (f(0) \odot P_0)(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f(\lambda_i) \odot P_{z_i})(x).$$

11.3. Key Properties. The functional calculi satisfy the following properties, generalizing the spectral theorem to octonionic spaces.

Theorem 11.2 (Properties of the functional calculi). *The map Φ satisfies:*

(1) **Power Preservation:** For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi(q^k) = T^{\odot k},$$

where $T^{\odot k}$ is the unique para-linear operator satisfying $T^{\odot k}(x) = T^k(x)$ for $x \in \text{Re } H$.

(2) **Right Para-Linearity:** For $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{O}$,

$$\Phi(f + g) = \Phi(f) + \Phi(g), \quad \text{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot p - \Phi(fp)) = 0.$$

(3) **Real-part Multiplicative Property:** For $f \in \text{Re } \mathcal{R}$, $g \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\text{Re } \Phi(fg) = \text{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot \Phi(g)).$$

Note that here Re represents the real part operator on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$.

(4) **Involution:**

$$\Phi(f^*) = \Psi(f)^*.$$

(5) **Norm Bounds:**

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(f)\| &\leq \|f\| && \text{for } f \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}; \\ \|\Phi(f)\| &\leq 8\|f\| && \text{for } f \in \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous results hold for Ψ .

Proof. (1) Proceed by induction. For $k = 0, 1$, $\Phi(1) = \operatorname{Id}$ by Theorem 8.6, and $\Phi(q) = T$ by Theorem 10.2. Assume $\Phi(q^k) = T^{\odot k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. For $x \in \operatorname{Re} H$, by the definition of $T^{\odot k}$ and the induction hypothesis,

$$T^{\odot(k+1)}(x) = T(T^k(x)) = T(T^{\odot k}(x)) = T\left(\Phi(q^k)(x)\right) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^k P_{z_i}(x)\right).$$

In view of (10.1), we have

$$T\left(\sum_i \lambda_i^k P_{z_i}(x)\right) = \sum_i \lambda_i^k T(P_{z_i}(x)) = \sum_i \lambda_i^k (T \odot P_{z_i})(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i^{k+1} P_{z_i}(x) = \Phi(q^{k+1})(x),$$

where we used Theorem 9.3 to deduce $T \odot P_{z_i} = \lambda_i P_{z_i}$. Since both sides are para-linear and agree on $\operatorname{Re} H$, they are equal by Lemma 2.6(2).

(2) Additivity is immediate from linearity of the series. For scalar homogeneity,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(fp) &= P_0 \odot (f(0)p) + \sum_i P_{z_i} \odot (f(\lambda_i)p) \\ &= (P_0 \odot f(0)) \odot p - [P_0, f(0), p]_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)} + \sum_i (P_{z_i} \odot f(\lambda_i)) \odot p - [P_{z_i}, f(\lambda_i), p]_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)} \\ &= \Phi(f) \odot p - [P_0, f(0), p]_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)} - \sum_i [P_{z_i}, f(\lambda_i), p]_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the continuity of the real part operator on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot p - \Phi(fp)) = \sum_i \operatorname{Re}[P_{z_i}, f(\lambda_i), p]_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)} = 0.$$

Here we use the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ is an \mathbb{O} -bimodule (Theorem 2.7) which yields the real part of the associators vanish.

(3) Let $f \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}$ and $g \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $f(\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all i . Assume first that $f(0) = g(0) = 0$. Using Lemma 3.6 and the associator identity (5.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot \Phi(g)) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i,j} f(\lambda_i) \left(P_{z_i} \odot (P_{z_j} \odot g(\lambda_j))\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} f(\lambda_i) \operatorname{Re}\left(P_{z_i} \odot (P_{z_j} \odot L_{g(\lambda_j)})\right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} f(\lambda_i) \operatorname{Re}\left((P_{z_i} \odot P_{z_j}) \odot L_{g(\lambda_j)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (10.1) and Lemma 3.6 yields

$$\operatorname{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot \Phi(g)) = \sum_i f(\lambda_i) \operatorname{Re}(P_{z_i} \odot L_{g(\lambda_i)}) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_i P_{z_i} \odot (f(\lambda_i)g(\lambda_i))\right) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi(fg).$$

For general f, g , write $f = a + f_1$ and $g = b + g_1$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{O}$, and $f_1(0) = g_1(0) = 0$. Using the right para-linearity of Φ (Theorem 11.2 (2)) and the equality $\Phi(p) = \operatorname{Id} \odot p$ for $p \in \mathbb{O}$, we reduce to the previous case and conclude

$$\operatorname{Re} \Phi(fg) = \operatorname{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot \Phi(g)).$$

(4) By Theorem 5.9 and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, we deduce

$$\Phi(f^*) = P_0 \odot \overline{f(0)} + \sum_i P_{z_i} \odot \overline{f(\lambda_i)} = (f(0) \odot P_0)^* + \sum_i (f(\lambda_i) \odot P_{z_i})^* = \Psi(f)^*.$$

(5) For $f \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}$, Parseval's Theorem (Theorem 8.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(f)(x)\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} z_\alpha \langle z_\alpha, x \rangle f(0) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} z_i \langle z_i, x \rangle f(\lambda_i) \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} |\langle z_\alpha, x \rangle|^2 |f(0)|^2 + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} |\langle z_i, x \rangle|^2 |f(\lambda_i)|^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|^2 \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\Phi(f)\| \leq \|f\|$.

For general f , decompose $f = \sum_{k=0}^7 f_{(k)} e_k$ with $f_{(k)} \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}$. By Theorem 5.3,

$$\|\Phi(f)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^7 \|\Phi(f_{(k)}) \odot e_k\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^7 |e_k| \|\Phi(f_{(k)})\| \leq 8\|f\|.$$

□

11.4. Independence of Spectral Decomposition. The functional calculus is independent of the choice of spectral decomposition.

Theorem 11.3. $\Phi(f)$ is independent of the orthonormal basis $\{z_i\}$ in the spectral decomposition of T .

Proof. For $f \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}$, approximate uniformly by polynomials $f_n(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^k a_k$ with $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 11.2(1), $\Phi(f_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^{\odot k}$ depends only on T , not the basis. Since Φ is continuous ($\|\Phi(f) - \Phi(g)\| \leq 8\|f - g\|$),

$$\Phi(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(f_n)$$

is independent of the basis.

For general $f \in \mathcal{R}$, decompose $f = \sum_{k=0}^7 f_{(k)} e_k$ with $f_{(k)} \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}$. By right para-linearity,

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{k=0}^7 \Phi(f_{(k)}) \odot e_k,$$

and each $\Phi(f_{(k)})$ is independent of the basis. \square

11.5. Remark. The functional calculi Φ and Ψ exhibit intrinsic non-associative features, in particular:

- **Homomorphism limitation:** Multiplicativity holds only for the real part (Theorem 11.2(3));
- **Left-right distinction:** $\Phi \neq \Psi$ but $\Phi(f^*) = \Psi(f)^*$ (Theorem 11.2(4));
- **Norm deviation:** The factor 8 in $\|\Phi(f)\|$ quantifies octonionic non-associativity.

This framework provides a consistent spectral-based functional calculus, laying foundations for extensions to broader operator classes in non-associative functional analysis.

12. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this section we summarize the main contributions of this paper. This work resolves fundamental challenges in non-associative functional analysis by establishing the first complete spectral theory for self-adjoint operators on octonionic Hilbert spaces, a problem which has been open since Goldstine and Horwitz's foundational 1964 work. The core difficulty stemmed from the incompatibility of associative operator theory with non-associativity of octonions, which obstructed spectral decomposition, adjoint operators, and a consistent definition of a functional calculus. Our approach is based on *para-linearity*, a geometrically motivated algebraic framework that respects octonionic structure while enabling robust operator theory. The principal contributions are:

Key Innovations.

- (1) *Para-linear formalism.* We introduce para-linearity (Definition 2.4) as the definitive non-associative analog of linearity, characterized by the vanishing real part of the second associator:

$$\operatorname{Re}(B_p(T, x)) = 0, \quad B_p(T, x) := T(x)p - T(xp).$$

This recovers the essential analytic results, among which Riesz representation (Theorem 2.10), Parseval's theorem (Theorem 8.6), and Hahn-Banach extension Theorem (see [26]).

- (2) *Involutive operator algebra.* We construct the first octonionic involutive Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{RO}}(H)$ of bounded para-linear operators (Theorems 5.7 and 5.9) via the following tools:

- *Regular composition* \odot (Definition 3.1), defined by

$$\operatorname{Re}((f \odot g)(x)) = \operatorname{Re}(f(g(x))),$$

which resolves associativity failures.

- A corrected adjoint T^* (Definition 4.1) satisfying

$$\langle x, T^*y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle + [x, y, T],$$

where the associator $[x, y, T]$ quantifies non-associative deviation.

The algebra has norm $\|T\|' = 8\|T\|$ and scalar multiplications $p \odot T$, $T \odot p$ compatible with octonionic bimodule structure.

- (3) *Geometric spectral theory.* We prove an *octonionic polarization identity* (Theorem 6.2):

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \frac{1}{56} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^7 (2A_{ij} + B_{ij} + C_{ij})(x, y) - \frac{1}{98} \sum_{i,j=1}^7 A_{ij}(x, y) - \frac{1}{2}m(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in \operatorname{Re} H$, demonstrating that sesquilinear forms are determined by quadratic forms restricted to the *slice cone*:

$$\mathbb{C}(H) = \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} (\operatorname{Re} H + J \operatorname{Re} H), \quad \mathbb{S} = \{J \in \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{O} : |J| = 1\}.$$

This yields a geometric characterization of self-adjointness (Theorem 7.6): $T = T^*$ if and only if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}(H)$.

- (4) *Spectral decomposition.* For compact, self-adjoint, para-linear operators with *standard strong eigenvalues* (Definition 10.1), we establish a spectral theorem (Theorem 10.2):

$$T = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_i P_{z_i}, \quad P_{z_i}(x) = z_i \langle z_i, x \rangle,$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are eigenvalues, $\{z_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}(H)$ are orthonormal strong eigenvectors, and convergence is norm-topological. Eigenvectors exhibit *weak associativity*: $B_p(z_i, z_j) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{O}$ (Theorem 8.7).

- (5) *Functional calculus.* We develop left and right functional calculi Ψ, Φ (Theorem 11.2) for octonion-valued functions $f \in \mathcal{R}$:

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N} \cup \Lambda} P_{z_i} \odot f(\lambda_i), \quad \Psi(f) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N} \cup \Lambda} f(\lambda_i) \odot P_{z_i},$$

satisfying

- Power preservation: $\Phi(q^k) = T^{\odot k}$.
- Para-linearity: $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(fp) - \Phi(f) \odot p = 0$.
- Real-multiplicativity: $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(fg) = \operatorname{Re}(\Phi(f) \odot \Phi(g))$ for $f \in \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{R}$.
- Norm bound: $\|\Phi(f)\| \leq 8\|f\|_\infty$.

The calculi are decomposition-independent (Theorem 11.3).

In the forthcoming article [27], we will apply our para-linear operator framework to the spectral theory of the Albert algebra, the 27-dimensional exceptional simple Jordan algebra, opening new avenues in exceptional geometry, representation theory, and Jordan-based approaches to quantum physics.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. L. Adler. *Quaternionic quantum mechanics and quantum fields*, volume 88 of *International Series of Monographs on Physics*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [2] S. Alesker and P. V. Gordon. Octonionic Calabi-Yau theorem. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 34(9):Paper No. 293, 65, 2024.
- [3] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, and D. P. Kimsey. The spectral theorem for quaternionic unbounded normal operators based on the S -spectrum. *J. Math. Phys.*, 57(2):023503, 27, 2016.

- [4] J. C. Baez. The octonions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 39(2):145–205, 2002.
- [5] J. C. Baez. Division algebras and quantum theory. *Found. Phys.*, 42(7):819–855, 2012.
- [6] R. L. Bryant. Some remarks on G_2 -structures. In *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005*, pages 75–109. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2006.
- [7] P. Cerejeiras, F. Colombo, U. Kähler, and I. Sabadini. Perturbation of normal quaternionic operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(5):3257–3281, 2019.
- [8] B. Collier and J. Toulisse. Holomorphic curves in the 6-pseudosphere and cyclic surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 377(9):6465–6514, 2024.
- [9] F. Colombo and J. Gantner. *Quaternionic closed operators, fractional powers and fractional diffusion processes*, volume 274 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [10] F. Colombo, J. Gantner, and D. P. Kimsey. *Spectral theory on the S -spectrum for quaternionic operators*, volume 270 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [11] F. Colombo, R. S. Kraußhar, and I. Sabadini. Octonionic monogenic and slice monogenic Hardy and Bergman spaces. *Forum Math.*, 36(4):1031–1052, 2024.
- [12] F. Colombo and I. Sabadini. The quaternionic evolution operator. *Adv. Math.*, 227(5):1772–1805, 2011.
- [13] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. A new functional calculus for noncommuting operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 254(8):2255–2274, 2008.
- [14] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. *Noncommutative functional calculus*, volume 289 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions.
- [15] G. M. Dixon. *Division algebras: octonions, quaternions, complex numbers and the algebraic design of physics*, volume 290 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [16] M. J. Duff, editor. *The world in eleven dimensions: supergravity, supermembranes and M-theory*. Studies in High Energy Physics Cosmology and Gravitation. IOP Publishing, Bristol, 1999.
- [17] N. Furey and M. J. Hughes. One generation of standard model Weyl representations as a single copy of $R \otimes C \otimes H \otimes O$. *Phys. Lett. B*, 827:Paper No. 136959, 2022.
- [18] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti, and A. Perotti. Spectral properties of compact normal quaternionic operators. In *Hypercomplex analysis: new perspectives and applications*, Trends Math., pages 133–143. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [19] R. Ghiloni and A. Perotti. Slice regular functions on real alternative algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1662–1691, 2011.
- [20] H. H. Goldstine and L. P. Horwitz. Hilbert space with non-associative scalars. I. *Math. Ann.*, 154:1–27, 1964.
- [21] M. Günaydin and D. Minic. Nonassociativity, Malcev algebras and string theory. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 61(10):873–892, 2013.
- [22] Q. Huo and G. Ren. Para-linearity as the nonassociative counterpart of linearity. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(12):Paper No. 304, 30, 2022.
- [23] Q. Huo and G. Ren. Structure of octonionic Hilbert spaces with applications in the Parseval equality and Cayley–Dickson algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 63(4):Paper No. 042101, 24, 2022.
- [24] Q. Huo and G. Ren. On octonionic submodules generated by one element. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.*, 34(5):Paper No. 46, 12, 2024.
- [25] Q. Huo and G. Ren. Non-associative Categories of Octonionic Bimodules. *Commun. Math. Stat.*, 13(2):303–369, 2025.
- [26] Q. Huo and G. Ren. Octonionic Hahn-Banach theorem for para-linear functionals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 57(2):472–489, 2025.
- [27] Q. Huo, G. Ren, and I. Sabadini. Algebra meets analysis in the spectral theory of the Albert algebra. *preprint*.

- [28] Q. Huo, G. Ren, and I. Sabadini. Octonionic Hilbert spaces and para-linear operators. In *Hypercomplex analysis and its applications*, Trends Math., pages 93–103. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2025].
- [29] D. Jang. Circle actions on oriented manifolds with 3 fixed points. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):11371–11385, 2024.
- [30] R. S. Kraußhar, A. Legatiuk, and D. Legatiuk. Towards discrete octonionic analysis. In *Differential equations, mathematical modeling and computational algorithms*, volume 423 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 51–63. Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [31] R. S. Kraußhar and D. Legatiuk. Weyl calculus perspective on the discrete stokes’ formula in octonions. In *Computer Graphics International Conference*, 2023.
- [32] V. Moretti. *Fundamental mathematical structures of quantum theory*. Springer, Cham, 2019. Spectral theory, foundational issues, symmetries, algebraic formulation.
- [33] T. A. Springer and F. D. Veldkamp. *Octonions, Jordan algebras and exceptional groups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [34] P. Šemrl. On quadratic and sesquilinear functionals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(1):184–190, 1986.
Email address, Q. Huo: `hqh86@mail.ustc.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HEFEI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, HEFEI 230009, CHINA

Email address, G. Ren: `rengb@ustc.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, CHINA

Email address, I. Sabadini: `irene.sabadini@polimi.it`

POLITECNICO DI MILANO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA E. BONARDI 9, 20133 MILANO, ITALY