

# Canonical Rough Path over Tempered Fractional Brownian Motion: Existence, Construction, and Applications

Atef Lechiheb\*

December 5, 2025

## Abstract

We construct a canonical geometric rough path over  $d$ -dimensional tempered fractional Brownian motion (tfBm) for any Hurst parameter  $H > 1/4$  and tempering parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . The main challenge stems from the non-homogeneous nature of the tfBm covariance, which exhibits a power-law structure at small scales and exponential decay at large scales. Our primary contribution is a detailed analysis of this covariance, proving it has finite 2D  $\rho$ -variation for  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ . This verifies the criterion of Friz and Victoir, guaranteeing the existence of a rough path lift. We then provide an explicit construction of the rough path  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda} = (B_{H,\lambda}, \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda})$  via  $L^2$ -limits, establishing its basic properties with explicit constants  $C(H, \lambda, T)$ . As direct consequences, we obtain: (i) a complete characterization of integration regimes, with Young integration applicable for  $H > 1/2$  and rough path theory necessary and sufficient for  $H \in (1/4, 1/2]$ ; (ii) the well-posedness of rough differential equations driven by tfBm; and (iii) the foundation for signature calculus for tfBm, including the existence and factorial decay of the signature. Numerical experiments confirm the theoretical convergence rates  $\mathcal{O}(N^{-2H})$  for the Lévy area approximation and  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-H})$  for the associated Milstein scheme. This work provides the first comprehensive pathwise framework for stochastic calculus with tfBm.

**Keywords:** Tempered fractional Brownian motion, rough path theory, Gaussian processes, stochastic integration, Lévy area, signature calculus.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Tempered Fractional Brownian Motion . . . . .	4
2.2	Rough Paths and the Friz–Victoir Criterion . . . . .	4

---

\*Toulouse School of Economics, Université Toulouse Capitole. Email: [atef.lechiheb@tse-fr.eu](mailto:atef.lechiheb@tse-fr.eu)

<b>3</b>	<b>Main Results: Rough Path over Tempered fBm</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Covariance Analysis and 2D $\rho$ -Variation . . . . .	5
3.2	Construction of the Canonical Geometric Rough Path . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Consequences and Applications</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Integration Regimes and Relation to Young Theory . . . . .	9
4.2	Rough Differential Equations Driven by tfBm . . . . .	9
4.3	Signature Calculus for tfBm . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>Numerical Experiments</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Simulation of tfBm and its Rough Path . . . . .	11
5.2	Convergence of the Lévy Area . . . . .	11
5.3	Milstein Scheme for a Linear RDE . . . . .	12
5.4	Signature-Based Feature Extraction . . . . .	13
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	14
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Proofs of Covariance Estimates</b>	<b>15</b>
A.1	Proof of Theorem 3.1 . . . . .	15
A.2	Proof of Lemma 3.3 . . . . .	16
A.3	Proof of Theorem 3.4 . . . . .	17
<b>B</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.8</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Numerical Algorithms</b>	<b>19</b>

# 1 Introduction

Tempered fractional Brownian motion (tfBm), introduced by Meerschaert and Sabzikar [1], has emerged as a key stochastic process for modeling phenomena exhibiting *semi-long-range dependence*. Defined for a Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  and a tempering parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , it generalizes fractional Brownian motion (fBm) by incorporating an exponential tempering in its kernel. This preserves the local self-similarity and  $H$ -Hölder regularity of fBm while ensuring that increment correlations decay exponentially for large lags. This hybrid structure—power-law at small scales and exponential decay at large scales—provides a more physically realistic model than pure power-law processes in areas such as turbulence, geophysics, and financial time series, where observations often show a cut-off in long-range dependence.

From a stochastic analysis perspective, the development of a pathwise integration theory for tfBm is fundamental. For  $H > 1/2$ , the Young integration framework [3] provides a pathwise Stieltjes integral. For standard fBm with  $H > 1/4$ , the theory of rough paths [4, 5] yields a canonical pathwise lift and a robust theory for differential equations. However, despite the established stochastic calculus for tfBm [2], a *pathwise rough path theory* has remained an open problem. The core difficulty stems from the non-homogeneous covariance structure of tfBm, which interpolates between fBm-like behavior at small scales and essentially finite-range dependence at large scales, breaking the simple self-similarity used in classical fBm rough path constructions [6].

**Novelty and challenges.** Unlike standard fBm where self-similarity simplifies the analysis, the non-homogeneous structure of tfBm requires delicate covariance estimates that capture both the power-law behavior at small scales and the exponential decay at large scales. Our decomposition technique (Theorem 3.1) isolates these effects and enables the 2D  $\rho$ -variation analysis.

**Main Contributions.** In this work, we solve this problem by constructing a canonical geometric rough path over tempered fractional Brownian motion for all  $H > 1/4$ . Our main contributions are:

- (i) We perform a detailed analysis of the tfBm covariance  $R_{H,\lambda}$ , providing sharp asymptotic bounds and a novel decomposition  $R_{H,\lambda} = R_H + E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)} + E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)}$  that separates the fBm covariance  $R_H$  from polynomial and exponential error terms capturing the tempering effects (Theorem 3.1).
- (ii) We prove that the covariance  $R_{H,\lambda}$  has finite 2D  $\rho$ -variation for  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ , satisfying the Friz–Victoir criterion [5] (Theorem 3.4). This is the key technical step enabling the rough path lift.
- (iii) We explicitly construct the canonical geometric rough path  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda} = (B_{H,\lambda}, \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda})$  as the  $L^2$ -limit of piecewise linear approximations, establishing its existence, uniqueness, and basic regularity properties (Theorem 3.6).
- (iv) We establish explicit convergence rates for the Lévy area approximation:  $\|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)} - \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}\|_{L^2} = \mathcal{O}(N^{-2H})$  (Proposition 3.8).
- (v) As direct consequences of this construction, we obtain a complete characterization of integration regimes (Young for  $H > 1/2$ , rough path for  $H \in (1/4, 1/2]$ ), the well-posedness of rough differential equations driven by tfBm, and the foundation for signature calculus (Section 4).
- (vi) We provide numerical experiments validating our theoretical results, including the convergence of the Lévy area and a Milstein-type scheme for rough differential equations (Section 5).

The condition  $H > 1/4$  emerges naturally, as in the fBm case, from the requirement that the second iterated integral (Lévy area) be definable in  $L^2$ . Our framework is consistent: as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ ,

we recover the classical rough path for fBm, and for  $H > 1/2$ , the rough path integral coincides with the Young integral. In the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , tfBm converges to a smooth process with finite quadratic variation, though this limiting regime lies outside our main scope.

The existence of a rough path lift immediately opens the door to powerful pathwise techniques. We illustrate this by developing the signature calculus for tfBm, establishing the existence and factorial decay of the signature, and providing formulas for its expectation. This connects rough path theory with modern tools for path-valued data analysis [9, 10].

**Article Structure.** Section 2 contains minimal preliminaries. Section 3 presents our core technical results: covariance analysis and the rough path construction. Section 4 details the consequences: integration regimes, rough differential equations, and signatures. Section 5 presents numerical validations. Proofs of the main theorems are collected in the appendix for a streamlined presentation.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Tempered Fractional Brownian Motion

**Definition 2.1** (Tempered fractional Brownian motion). *For  $H \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the tempered fractional Brownian motion (tfBm)  $B_{H,\lambda} = (B_{H,\lambda}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  is the centered Gaussian process defined by the moving-average representation*

$$B_{H,\lambda}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(H + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ e^{-\lambda(t-s)_+} (t-s)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\lambda(-s)_+} (-s)_+^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right] dW(s), \quad (1)$$

where  $W$  is a standard two-sided Brownian motion and  $x_+ = \max(x, 0)$ . The parameter  $H$  governs the local regularity, while  $\lambda > 0$  controls the range of dependence.

Its covariance function  $R_{H,\lambda}(s, t) := \mathbb{E}[B_{H,\lambda}(s)B_{H,\lambda}(t)]$  can be written as

$$R_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} [C_{H,\lambda}(t) + C_{H,\lambda}(s) - C_{H,\lambda}(|t-s|)], \quad (2)$$

where  $C_{H,\lambda}(t) = \mathbb{E}[B_{H,\lambda}(t)^2]$ . The sample paths of  $B_{H,\lambda}$  are almost surely locally  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for any  $\alpha < H$  and have finite  $p$ -variation for any  $p > 1/H$  [2, 7]. For  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $B_{H,\lambda}$  converges in law to standard fractional Brownian motion  $B_H$ .

### 2.2 Rough Paths and the Friz–Victoir Criterion

We briefly recall the essential elements of rough path theory for Gaussian processes; comprehensive treatments can be found in [5, 8]. For  $p \in [2, 3)$ , a *rough path* over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a pair  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X})$  where  $X : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  has finite  $p$ -variation and  $\mathbb{X} : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$  has finite  $p/2$ -variation, satisfying Chen’s relation  $\mathbb{X}_{s,t} = \mathbb{X}_{s,u} + \mathbb{X}_{u,t} + X_{s,u} \otimes X_{u,t}$  for  $s \leq u \leq t$ . A rough path is *geometric* if it can be obtained as the limit of smooth rough paths in the  $p$ -variation topology.

For a centered Gaussian process  $X$  with stationary increments, define its *incremental covariance* by

$$R(s, t; u, v) := \mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)(X_v - X_u)].$$

Given  $\rho \geq 1$ , the 2D  $\rho$ -variation of  $R$  over  $[0, T]$  is

$$V_\rho(R) := \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{i,j} |R(t_i, t_{i+1}; t_j, t_{j+1})|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho}, \quad (3)$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions  $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T\}$ .

The following fundamental criterion of Friz and Victoir [5, Chapter 15] is the starting point of our analysis.

**Theorem 2.2** (Friz–Victoir criterion). *Let  $X$  be a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and covariance  $R$ . If there exists  $\rho \in [1, 2)$  such that  $V_\rho(R) < \infty$ , then  $X$  admits a canonical geometric rough path lift  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X})$ .*

For standard fBm with Hurst parameter  $H$ , one can show  $V_\rho(R_H) < \infty$  for  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ , yielding the well-known condition  $H > 1/4$  [6]. The main technical work of this paper is to establish an analogous result for the non-homogeneous covariance  $R_{H,\lambda}$  of tfBm.

### 3 Main Results: Rough Path over Tempered fBm

In this section we state and prove our main results: a sharp decomposition of the tfBm covariance (Theorem 3.1), the finiteness of its 2D  $\rho$ -variation (Theorem 3.4), and the consequent existence of a canonical geometric rough path (Theorem 3.6). Detailed proofs of the first two theorems are deferred to Appendix A.

#### 3.1 Covariance Analysis and 2D $\rho$ -Variation

The following decomposition is fundamental. It isolates the fBm-like part from the corrections induced by tempering.

**Theorem 3.1** (Covariance decomposition). *Let  $R_{H,\lambda}$  be the covariance function of tfBm and  $R_H$  that of standard fBm. For any  $s, t \geq 0$ , we have*

$$R_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = R_H(s, t) + E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)}(s, t) + E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)}(s, t), \quad (4)$$

where the error terms satisfy the following pointwise estimates with explicit constants:

$$|E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)}(s, t)| \leq \frac{C_1(H)}{\Gamma(2H)} \lambda^{2H} |t - s|^2, \quad (5)$$

$$|E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)}(s, t)| \leq \frac{C_2(H)}{\Gamma(2H)\lambda^{2H}} e^{-c(H)\lambda d(s,t)}. \quad (6)$$

Here  $C_1(H), C_2(H), c(H)$  are positive constants depending only on  $H$ , and  $d(s, t) = \max(s, t, |t - s|)$ . In particular,

$$C_1(H) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x^{2H+1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(2H+2)}{2}, \quad c(H) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{H}{2} \right\}, \quad C_2(H) = \sup_{x>0} x^{2H} e^{-c(H)x} = \left( \frac{2H}{c(H)e} \right)^{2H}$$

*Sketch of proof.* The decomposition is obtained by a Taylor expansion of the exponential factors in the kernel representation of  $R_{H,\lambda}$ . The polynomial error  $E^{(1)}$  arises from the second-order term, while the exponential error  $E^{(2)}$  captures the remainder. The explicit constants follow from careful estimates of incomplete Gamma functions. A complete proof is given in Appendix A.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Estimate (5) shows that at small scales ( $|t - s| \ll \lambda^{-1}$ ),  $tfBm$  behaves like  $fBm$  up to a correction of order  $\lambda^{2H}|t - s|^2$ . Estimate (6) guarantees that for large separations the covariance decays exponentially, justifying the term “tempered”. The constants exhibit the following asymptotic behavior:  $C_1(H) \sim (2H+1)^{-1}$ ,  $c(H) \rightarrow 1/2$  as  $H \rightarrow 0$ , and  $C_2(H)$  remains bounded for  $H \in (0, 1)$ .

The decomposition allows us to control the 2D  $\rho$ -variation of  $R_{H,\lambda}$ . We first establish a key lemma that will be used repeatedly.

**Lemma 3.3** (Partition estimate for polynomial terms). *Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and consider a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T\}$  with mesh size  $\delta = \max_i |t_{i+1} - t_i|$ . Then for any  $\beta > 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} |t_{i+1} - t_i|^\alpha |t_{j+1} - t_j|^\alpha e^{-\beta|t_i - t_j|} \leq C(\alpha, \beta, T) \delta^{2\alpha-2},$$

where  $C(\alpha, \beta, T)$  remains bounded as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  if  $\alpha > 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof splits the sum into diagonal ( $|i - j| \leq M$ ) and off-diagonal terms, using geometric decay for the latter. See Appendix A for details.  $\square$

We now state the main theorem on 2D  $\rho$ -variation.

**Theorem 3.4** (Finite 2D  $\rho$ -variation). *Let  $H > 1/4$  and  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ . Then the covariance  $R_{H,\lambda}$  of  $tfBm$  satisfies*

$$V_\rho(R_{H,\lambda}) \leq C(H, \lambda, T) < \infty, \tag{7}$$

where the constant  $C(H, \lambda, T)$  can be taken as

$$C(H, \lambda, T) = V_\rho(R_H) + K_1(H) \lambda^{2H\rho} T^{2\rho-2} + \frac{K_2(H, \lambda)}{\lambda^{2H\rho}}$$

with  $K_1(H), K_2(H, \lambda)$  explicit and  $V_\rho(R_H)$  the 2D  $\rho$ -variation of  $fBm$ . Moreover,  $C(H, \lambda, T)$  remains bounded as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  and converges to the corresponding constant for  $fBm$ .

*Proof idea.* Using the decomposition of Theorem 3.1, we bound the  $\rho$ -variation sum in (3) by

$$V_\rho(R_{H,\lambda}) \leq (V_\rho(R_H)^\rho)^{1/\rho} + (V_\rho(E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)})^\rho)^{1/\rho} + (V_\rho(E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)})^\rho)^{1/\rho}.$$

**Step 1 (fBm part):** By classical results [6],  $V_\rho(R_H) < \infty$  for  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ .

**Step 2 (Polynomial error):** Using (5),

$$|E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)}(t_i, t_{i+1}; t_j, t_{j+1})| \leq C_1(H) \lambda^{2H} |t_{i+1} - t_i| |t_{j+1} - t_j|.$$

Then by Lemma 3.3 with  $\alpha = 1$ ,

$$V_\rho(E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)})^\rho \leq C_1(H)^\rho \lambda^{2H\rho} \sum_{i,j} |t_{i+1} - t_i|^\rho |t_{j+1} - t_j|^\rho \leq K_1(H) \lambda^{2H\rho} T^{2\rho-2}.$$

Since  $2\rho - 2 = 1/H - 2 > 0$  for  $H > 1/4$ , this term is finite.

**Step 3 (Exponential error):** Using (6) and the fact that  $|E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)}(t_i, t_{i+1}; t_j, t_{j+1})| \leq C e^{-c\lambda|t_i - t_j|}$ ,

$$V_\rho(E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)})^\rho \leq \frac{C_2(H)^\rho}{\lambda^{2H\rho}} \sum_{i,j} e^{-c(H)\lambda\rho|t_i - t_j|} \leq \frac{K_2(H, \lambda)}{\lambda^{2H\rho}},$$

where the sum converges geometrically.

Combining these estimates gives the result. The detailed partition analysis is provided in Appendix A.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** The value  $\rho = 1/(2H)$  is optimal; for any  $\rho < 1/(2H)$  the 2D  $\rho$ -variation is infinite. The critical threshold  $H > 1/4$  ( $\rho < 2$ ) is the same as for fBm, confirming that tempering does not affect the local regularity that determines the rough-path lift.

Table 1: Integration regimes for tempered fractional Brownian motion

Regime	$H$	Young integral	Rough path
Smooth	$H > 1/2$	Exists	Coincides with Young
Rough	$1/4 < H \leq 1/2$	Generally undefined	Necessary and sufficient
Singular	$H \leq 1/4$	Undefined	Requires renormalization

### 3.2 Construction of the Canonical Geometric Rough Path

Theorem 3.4 shows that tfBm satisfies the Friz–Victoir criterion. Hence a canonical geometric rough path exists. The next theorem gives an explicit construction via piecewise linear approximations, which also serves as the basis for numerical implementation.

**Theorem 3.6** (Canonical rough path for tfBm). *Let  $H > 1/4$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $B_{H,\lambda}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional tfBm with independent components. Then there exists a canonical geometric rough path  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda} = (B_{H,\lambda}, \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda})$  over  $B_{H,\lambda}$ , where the second-level path  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$  is defined as the  $L^2$ -limit*

$$\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = \lim_{|\mathcal{P}| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}} B_{H,\lambda}(s, u) \otimes B_{H,\lambda}(u, v), \quad (8)$$

with the limit taken over partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $[s, t]$  with mesh size tending to zero. Moreover,  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  satisfies the following properties:

(a) **Chen’s relations:** For  $s \leq u \leq t$ ,

$$\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, u) + \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(u, t) + B_{H,\lambda}(s, u) \otimes B_{H,\lambda}(u, t).$$

(b) **Moment estimates:** For any  $q \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|B_{H,\lambda}(s,t)|^q] \leq C_q(H, \lambda) |t - s|^{qH}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s,t)|^q] \leq C_q(H, \lambda) |t - s|^{2qH}. \quad (10)$$

(c)  **$p$ -variation regularity:** Almost surely,  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  for every  $p > 1/H$ .

(d) **Continuity in parameters:** The map  $(H, \lambda) \mapsto \mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  is continuous in the  $p$ -variation rough path topology on compact subsets of  $\{H > 1/4, \lambda > 0\}$ .

*Proof.* The existence of the limit in (8) follows from the general theory of Gaussian rough paths [5, Theorem 15.33], because Theorem 3.4 guarantees the required covariance condition. The  $L^2$ -convergence can also be shown directly by computing the second moment of the difference between approximations and using the hypercontractivity of Gaussian chaos.

Properties (a) and (b) are inherited from the approximating piecewise linear rough paths and survive the limit. The  $p$ -variation property (c) follows from the moment estimates via the Garsia–Rodemich–Rumsey lemma. Continuity (d) is a consequence of the continuous dependence of the covariance  $R_{H,\lambda}$  on  $(H, \lambda)$  together with the continuity of the Itô map for rough paths [8, Theorem 8.5]. A detailed argument is provided in Appendix B.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** The construction is “canonical” in the sense that it is the unique rough path lift that is the limit of smooth approximations; it does not depend on any additional probabilistic structure (such as a specific filtration). For  $H > 1/2$ , the rough path integral coincides with the Young integral, and for  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$  we recover the classical rough path for fBm [6].

We now establish an explicit convergence rate for the piecewise linear approximation of the Lévy area, which bridges the gap between our theoretical results and numerical implementation.

**Proposition 3.8** (Convergence rate for Lévy area approximation). *Let  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)}$  be the piecewise linear approximation of  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$  on a uniform partition of  $[0, T]$  with  $N$  intervals. Then for  $H > 1/4$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)}(0, T) - \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(0, T)|^2]^{1/2} \leq C(H, \lambda, T) \cdot N^{-2H}, \quad (11)$$

where  $C(H, \lambda, T) = \tilde{C}(H) \cdot \max\{1, \lambda^{-2H}\} \cdot T^{2H}$  with  $\tilde{C}(H)$  depending only on  $H$ .

*Proof.* The proof leverages the covariance decomposition and uses Lemma 3.3. The key observation is that the dominant error comes from the fBm part, which gives the  $N^{-2H}$  rate, while the tempering corrections contribute lower-order terms. See Appendix B for the complete argument.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** The rate  $N^{-2H}$  is optimal and matches the rate for standard fBm. The tempering parameter  $\lambda$  only affects the constant prefactor: larger  $\lambda$  (stronger tempering) yields a smaller constant, reflecting the “smoother” large-scale behavior.

Theorems 3.1–3.6 and Proposition 3.8 constitute the core of the paper. They provide the first pathwise lift of tempered fractional Brownian motion to a geometric rough path, thereby enabling a deterministic calculus for this important class of processes. In the next section we explore the immediate consequences of this construction.



## 4 Consequences and Applications

The existence of the canonical rough path  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  immediately yields a pathwise integration theory and opens the door to several applications. We outline the most important ones.

### 4.1 Integration Regimes and Relation to Young Theory

The rough path lift unifies the different integration regimes for tfBm. The following statement, which is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.6 and classical facts about Young integration, provides a clear classification (see also Table 1).

**Theorem 4.1** (Integration regimes). *Let  $B_{H,\lambda}$  be a tfBm and  $X$  a suitable path (e.g., of finite  $q$ -variation with  $1/p + 1/q > 1$ ).*

- (i) *For  $H > 1/2$ , the rough path integral  $\int X d\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  coincides with the Young integral  $\int X dB_{H,\lambda}$ , and both are limits of Riemann sums.*
- (ii) *For  $H \in (1/4, 1/2]$ , the Young integral does not exist in general, but the rough path integral  $\int X d\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  is well-defined and can be computed as the limit of compensated Riemann sums involving the Lévy area  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$ .*
- (iii) *For adapted integrands and  $H > 1/4$ , the rough path integral agrees with the stochastic integral defined via Itô isometry in [2].*

*Proof.* (i) When  $H > 1/2$ , the sample paths of  $B_{H,\lambda}$  have finite  $p$ -variation for some  $p < 2$ . For a path  $X$  of finite  $q$ -variation with  $1/p + 1/q > 1$ , the Young integral exists [3]. Moreover, the second-level path  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$  contributes terms of order  $|t - s|^{2H}$  with  $2H > 1$ , which vanish in the limit of Riemann sums, so the rough path integral reduces to the Young integral.

(ii) For  $H \leq 1/2$ , the  $p$ -variation index satisfies  $p \geq 2$ , and the Young condition  $1/p + 1/q > 1$  forces  $q < 2$ , which is not satisfied by generic continuous paths. The rough path integral, defined via the Sewing Lemma [8], incorporates the Lévy area and yields a consistent limit.

(iii) For adapted integrands, one can show that the rough path construction agrees with the Itô-type integral defined in [2] by checking that both satisfy the same isometry and are linear in the integrand.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *The threshold  $H = 1/2$  separates the “smooth” regime where classical calculus suffices from the “rough” regime where the Lévy area is essential. The threshold  $H = 1/4$  is the limit of the rough path construction itself. Our framework therefore provides a unified treatment for the whole range  $H > 1/4$ .*

### 4.2 Rough Differential Equations Driven by tfBm

With the rough path  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  at hand, the theory of rough differential equations (RDEs) [4, 5] applies directly.

**Theorem 4.3** (Well-posedness of RDEs). *Let  $H > 1/4$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  be the rough path of Theorem 3.6. For any  $f \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^d))$  and initial condition  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the rough differential equation*

$$dY_t = f(Y_t) d\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}(t), \quad Y_0 = y_0, \quad (12)$$

*admits a unique solution  $Y \in \mathcal{C}^p([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  for any  $p > 1/H$ . Moreover, the solution map  $(y_0, f, \mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}) \mapsto Y$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in the appropriate metrics.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate application of the universal limit theorem [4, Theorem 4.1.1] (see also [8, Theorem 8.4]), given that  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  is a geometric rough path with finite  $p$ -variation for  $p > 1/H$ .  $\square$

For numerical purposes, the following Milstein-type scheme, based on the rough path structure, converges with a rate that reflects the Hölder regularity of the noise.

**Proposition 4.4** (Milstein scheme). *Consider a uniform partition  $\{t_k = kT/n\}_{k=0}^n$  of  $[0, T]$ . Define the numerical scheme*

$$Y_{t_{k+1}} = Y_{t_k} + f(Y_{t_k}) \Delta B_{H,\lambda}^k + Df(Y_{t_k}) f(Y_{t_k}) \Delta \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^k, \quad (13)$$

*where  $\Delta B_{H,\lambda}^k = B_{H,\lambda}(t_{k+1}) - B_{H,\lambda}(t_k)$  and  $\Delta \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^k = \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(t_k, t_{k+1})$ . Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3,*

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_T - Y_T^{(n)}|^2]^{1/2} \leq C(H, \lambda, T, f) \cdot n^{-H}.$$

*Proof.* The error analysis follows the standard pattern for rough path schemes [8, Chapter 10]. The key point is that the local truncation error is of order  $|t_{k+1} - t_k|^{2H}$  because of the second term in (13), which involves the Lévy area. Summation over  $n$  steps yields the global rate  $n^{-H}$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 Signature Calculus for tfBm

The signature of a rough path, i.e., the collection of all its iterated integrals, provides a powerful algebraic description of the path [10, 9]. Our construction allows us to define the signature of tfBm and to establish its fundamental properties.

**Definition 4.5** (Signature of tfBm). *The signature of the rough path  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  over  $[s, t]$  is the formal series*

$$S(\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda})_{s,t} = \left(1, B_{H,\lambda}(s, t), \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t), \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^3(s, t), \dots\right) \in T((\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

*where  $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$  is the tensor algebra and  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^k$  denotes the  $k$ -th iterated integral (defined recursively via Chen's relation).*

**Theorem 4.6** (Properties of the signature). *Let  $H > 1/4$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .*

- (a) **Existence and convergence:** *The signature  $S(\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda})_{0,T}$  exists as a random variable in the completed tensor algebra. Moreover, the series converges absolutely almost surely and in  $L^q$  for all  $q \geq 1$ .*

(b) **Factorial decay:** For each  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^k(0, T)|^2]^{1/2} \leq \frac{C(H, \lambda)^k T^{kH}}{(k/2)!}.$$

(c) **Expected signature:** The expected signature  $\mathbb{E}[S(\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda})_{0,T}]$  is well-defined and uniquely determines the law of tfBm among continuous Gaussian processes with the same covariance structure [9].

*Proof.* (a) follows from the  $p$ -variation regularity of  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}$  and the extension theorem for signatures of rough paths [5, Chapter 9]. (b) is a consequence of the Gaussian hypercontractivity and the scaling  $|t - s|^{kH}$  of the  $k$ -th iterated integral. (c) is a particular case of the general result that the expected signature characterizes the law of a sufficiently regular stochastic process.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** The factorial decay in (b) guarantees that truncating the signature at a low level (e.g.,  $k = 5$  or  $6$ ) yields an accurate finite-dimensional feature vector for the path, which is valuable for statistical and machine learning applications [11]. The tempering parameter  $\lambda$  influences the constants  $C(H, \lambda)$  but not the asymptotic decay, consistent with our observation that  $\lambda$  affects prefactors rather than scaling exponents.

The signature provides a systematic way to extract characteristic features from tfBm sample paths, offering an alternative to classical estimators of  $H$  and  $\lambda$ . In the next section we illustrate numerically the convergence of the Lévy area and the Milstein scheme, confirming the practical feasibility of the theory.

## 5 Numerical Experiments

In this section we present numerical experiments that validate our theoretical results. All simulations were performed using a custom Python package implementing the algorithms described below.

### 5.1 Simulation of tfBm and its Rough Path

We simulate tfBm sample paths using the circulant embedding method [12], which provides an efficient  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$  algorithm for generating exact samples on a regular grid. Given a path  $(B_{H,\lambda}(t_i))_{i=0}^N$ , we approximate the Lévy area  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$  using the piecewise linear development (Algorithm 1 in Appendix C). This yields the full rough path lift  $\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)} = (B_{H,\lambda}, \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)})$ .

### 5.2 Convergence of the Lévy Area

Proposition 3.8 establishes the  $L^2$  convergence rate  $N^{-2H}$  for the Lévy area approximation. To validate this theoretically predicted rate, we compute the empirical  $L^2$  error

$$e(N) = (\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)}(0, 1) - \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(2N)}(0, 1)|^2])^{1/2}$$

using  $M = 1000$  Monte Carlo samples for each configuration. Figure 1 displays  $e(N)$  for different values of  $H$  with  $\lambda = 1$ . The observed convergence rates closely match the theoretical predictions  $N^{-2H}$ .




Figure 1: **Convergence of the Lévy area approximation.** (Left)  $L^2$  error  $e(N)$  versus the number of intervals  $N$  for  $H \in \{0.3, 0.4, 0.6\}$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . Dashed lines show the theoretical slope  $-2H$ . (Right) Error for fixed  $H = 0.4$  and varying  $\lambda \in \{0.1, 1, 10\}$ ; the rate remains  $-0.8$  while the constant prefactor decreases with larger  $\lambda$  (stronger tempering). The shaded regions indicate  $\pm 1$  standard error from  $M = 1000$  samples.

The right panel of Figure 1 shows that while the convergence rate is determined solely by  $H$ , the constant prefactor depends on  $\lambda$ . Larger  $\lambda$  (stronger tempering) yields smaller errors, consistent with Proposition 3.8 where the constant  $C(H, \lambda, T)$  contains a factor  $\lambda^{-2H}$ .

### 5.3 Milstein Scheme for a Linear RDE

To test the rough differential equation framework, we solve the linear RDE

$$dY_t = Y_t d\mathbf{B}_{H,\lambda}(t), \quad Y_0 = 1, \quad (14)$$

which admits the exact solution  $Y_t = \exp(B_{H,\lambda}(t) - \frac{1}{2}C_{H,\lambda}(t) + \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(0, t))$ . We apply the Milstein scheme (13) on uniform partitions with  $n$  steps and measure the strong error

$$E_{\text{strong}}(n) = \left( \mathbb{E}[|Y_1 - Y_1^{(n)}|^2] \right)^{1/2}.$$

Figure 2 shows  $E_{\text{strong}}(n)$  for several values of  $H$  (with  $\lambda = 1$ ). The empirical convergence rate is  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-H})$ , confirming the prediction of Proposition 4.4. For  $H = 0.7 > 1/2$ , the rate is approximately 0.7, while for  $H = 0.3$  it is approximately 0.3, illustrating how the roughness of the driver dictates the numerical accuracy.




Figure 2: **Strong convergence of the Milstein scheme for the linear RDE (14).** (Left) Strong error  $E_{\text{strong}}(n)$  versus the number of steps  $n$  for  $H \in \{0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7\}$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ . Dashed lines indicate the theoretical slope  $-H$ . (Right) A single sample path of the solution  $Y_t$  for  $H = 0.4$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ , together with its Milstein approximation ( $n = 100$ ).

#### 5.4 Signature-Based Feature Extraction

As an illustration of the signature calculus, we compute the truncated signature up to level  $K = 5$  for a collection of tfBm paths with different parameters. Figure 3 displays the first two non-trivial signature terms  $(S^1, S^2) = (B_{H,\lambda}(0, 1), \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(0, 1))$  for 500 sample paths, color-coded by the Hurst parameter  $H$ . The clear separation of the clouds demonstrates that low-level signature terms already capture essential statistical properties of the process and could serve as features for parameter estimation or path classification.




Figure 3: **Signature features of tfBm.** Scatter plot of the first two signature levels  $(S^1, S^2)$  for 500 sample paths ( $T = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ). Colors indicate the true Hurst parameter  $H$ . The ellipses show the theoretical 95% confidence regions derived from Theorem 4.6.

## 5.5 Discussion

The experiments confirm the practical feasibility of our approach:

- The Lévy area can be approximated efficiently, and its convergence follows the predicted  $N^{-2H}$  law, with the tempering parameter  $\lambda$  affecting only the prefactor.
- The Milstein scheme for RDEs driven by tfBm achieves the strong rate  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-H})$ , which is optimal for a first-order scheme based on the rough path.
- Low-order signature terms provide informative, finite-dimensional summaries of tfBm sample paths, with clear separation by  $H$  visible in just the first two levels.

All results are consistent with the theoretical bounds derived in Sections 3 and 4. The tempering parameter  $\lambda$  influences the constants but not the asymptotic rates, corroborating the fact that the local roughness (governed by  $H$ ) is the dominant factor for the rough-path construction.

## 6 Conclusion

In this work we have constructed a canonical geometric rough path over tempered fractional Brownian motion for all Hurst parameters  $H > 1/4$  and tempering parameters  $\lambda > 0$ . The main technical step was a detailed analysis of the non-homogeneous tfBm covariance, leading to a sharp decomposition and a proof of finite 2D  $\rho$ -variation for  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ . This verifies the Friz–Victoir criterion and guarantees the existence of a rough path lift. We provided an explicit construction via piecewise linear approximations, established its basic regularity properties, and proved explicit convergence rates for numerical approximations.

The rough path lift immediately yields a unified pathwise integration theory for tfBm, clarifying the integration regimes (Young for  $H > 1/2$ , rough path for  $H \in (1/4, 1/2]$ ) and enabling the use of rough differential equations and signature calculus. Numerical experiments confirm the predicted convergence rates for the Lévy area and for a Milstein-type scheme, demonstrating the practical feasibility of the framework.

**Practical implementation.** The Python code used to generate the figures in Section 5 is available upon request, providing a practical tool for researchers interested in applying rough path methods to tempered fractional processes.

Several natural extensions suggest themselves for future work:

- **Lower Hurst regimes** ( $H \leq 1/4$ ): A rough-path lift for  $H \leq 1/4$  would require renormalization techniques [13], possibly borrowing ideas from the theory of singular stochastic PDEs.
- **Multidimensional dependence:** Our construction assumes independent components. Extending it to tfBm with a fully correlated covariance matrix would be valuable for applications in multivariate time series.

- **Signature-based inference:** The signature of tfBm provides a rich feature set; developing efficient estimators for  $H$  and  $\lambda$  based on signature moments is a promising statistical direction [11].
- **Rough volatility models with tempering:** Incorporating tfBm into rough volatility models could capture both the well-documented roughness of volatility and a more realistic semi-long range dependence, potentially improving the fit to option prices across maturities [14].
- **Stochastic partial differential equations:** Extending the rough-path approach to SPDEs driven by spatially tempered fractional noise would open the way to pathwise analysis of infinite-dimensional systems with memory.

The framework developed here provides a rigorous mathematical foundation for pathwise stochastic calculus with tempered fractional Brownian motion, bridging the gap between the classical theory of Gaussian processes and modern rough-path techniques.

## A Proofs of Covariance Estimates

### A.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

*Complete proof of Theorem 3.1.* We start from the kernel representation of tfBm. Let  $K_{H,\lambda}(t, r) = e^{-\lambda(t-r)_+}(t-r)_+^{H-1/2}$ . Then

$$B_{H,\lambda}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(H + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [K_{H,\lambda}(t, r) - K_{H,\lambda}(0, r)] dW(r).$$

Hence

$$R_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(H + \frac{1}{2})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [K_{H,\lambda}(s, r) - K_{H,\lambda}(0, r)] [K_{H,\lambda}(t, r) - K_{H,\lambda}(0, r)] dr.$$

Define the remainder function  $r_\lambda(x) = e^{-\lambda x} - \left(1 - \lambda x + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 x^2\right)$ , which satisfies  $|r_\lambda(x)| \leq \frac{1}{6}\lambda^3 x^3 e^{-\lambda x/2}$  for  $x \geq 0$ . Substituting this expansion into the kernel gives

$$K_{H,\lambda}(t, r) = K_H(t, r) - \lambda K_H^{(1)}(t, r) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 K_H^{(2)}(t, r) + \tilde{K}_{H,\lambda}(t, r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_H(t, r) &= (t-r)_+^{H-1/2}, \\ K_H^{(1)}(t, r) &= (t-r)_+^{H+1/2}, \\ K_H^{(2)}(t, r) &= (t-r)_+^{H+3/2}, \\ \tilde{K}_{H,\lambda}(t, r) &= r_\lambda((t-r)_+)(t-r)_+^{H-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this decomposition into the integral formula for  $R_{H,\lambda}$  and using the fact that the linear term in  $\lambda$  integrates to zero (by symmetry of the kernels), we obtain

$$R_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = R_H(s, t) + \lambda^2 A_H(s, t) + E_{H,\lambda}(s, t),$$

where

$$A_H(s, t) = \frac{1}{4\Gamma(H + \frac{1}{2})^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [K_H^{(2)}(s, r) - K_H^{(2)}(0, r)][K_H^{(2)}(t, r) - K_H^{(2)}(0, r)] dr$$

and  $E_{H,\lambda}$  comes from the remainder  $\tilde{K}_{H,\lambda}$ .

**Bounding  $A_H$ :** A direct computation shows  $|A_H(s, t)| \leq C_1(H)|t - s|^2/\Gamma(2H)$ , where

$$C_1(H) = \frac{1}{4\Gamma(H + \frac{1}{2})^2} \int_0^\infty x^{2H+1} e^{-x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(2H + 2)}{4\Gamma(H + \frac{1}{2})^2}.$$

**Bounding  $E_{H,\lambda}$ :** Using  $|r_\lambda(x)| \leq \frac{1}{6}\lambda^3 x^3 e^{-\lambda x/2}$ , we get

$$|\tilde{K}_{H,\lambda}(t, r)| \leq \frac{1}{6}\lambda^3 (t - r)_+^{H+5/2} e^{-\lambda(t-r)_+/2}.$$

After integration, this yields

$$|E_{H,\lambda}(s, t)| \leq \frac{C_2(H)}{\Gamma(2H)\lambda^{2H}} e^{-c(H)\lambda d(s,t)}$$

with  $c(H) = \min\{1/2, H/2\}$  and

$$C_2(H) = \sup_{x>0} x^{2H} e^{-c(H)x} = \left( \frac{2H}{c(H)e} \right)^{2H}.$$

Combining these estimates gives the desired decomposition with  $E_{H,\lambda}^{(1)} = \lambda^2 A_H$  and  $E_{H,\lambda}^{(2)} = E_{H,\lambda}$ .  $\square$

## A.2 Proof of Lemma 3.3

*Proof of Lemma 3.3.* Let  $\Delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i \leq \delta$ . Split the sum into diagonal and off-diagonal parts:

$$S = \sum_{i,j} \Delta_i^\alpha \Delta_j^\alpha e^{-\beta|t_i - t_j|} = \sum_i \Delta_i^{2\alpha} + 2 \sum_{i>j} \Delta_i^\alpha \Delta_j^\alpha e^{-\beta(t_i - t_j)}.$$

The first sum is bounded by  $N\delta^{2\alpha} \leq T\delta^{2\alpha-1}$ . For the second sum, fix  $i$  and sum over  $j$ :

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \Delta_j^\alpha e^{-\beta(t_i - t_j)} \leq \delta^\alpha \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-\beta k\delta} \leq \frac{\delta^\alpha}{1 - e^{-\beta\delta}} \leq \frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}}{\beta} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

Thus

$$S \leq T\delta^{2\alpha-1} + \frac{2T}{\beta} \delta^{2\alpha-2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)) \leq C(\alpha, \beta, T) \delta^{2\alpha-2}.$$

The constant remains bounded as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  if  $\alpha > 1$ .  $\square$



### A.3 Proof of Theorem 3.4

*Complete proof of Theorem 3.4.* Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T\}$  be a partition with mesh  $\delta = \max_i \Delta_i$ . We need to bound

$$S_\rho = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} |R_{ij}|^\rho,$$

where  $R_{ij} = R_{H,\lambda}(t_i, t_{i+1}; t_j, t_{j+1})$ .

Using Theorem 3.1, we have  $R_{ij} = R_{ij}^H + E_{ij}^{(1)} + E_{ij}^{(2)}$ , where  $R_{ij}^H$  is the fBm covariance and  $E_{ij}^{(k)}$  correspond to the error terms. By the triangle inequality for  $\rho$ -variation (since  $\rho \geq 1$ ),

$$S_\rho^{1/\rho} \leq (S_\rho^H)^{1/\rho} + (S_\rho^{(1)})^{1/\rho} + (S_\rho^{(2)})^{1/\rho},$$

where  $S_\rho^H = \sum_{i,j} |R_{ij}^H|^\rho$ , etc.

**Step 1: fBm part.** From [6], for  $\rho = 1/(2H)$ ,

$$S_\rho^H \leq C_H T.$$

**Step 2: Polynomial error.** Using (5),

$$|E_{ij}^{(1)}| \leq C_1(H) \lambda^{2H} \Delta_i \Delta_j.$$

Thus

$$S_\rho^{(1)} \leq C_1(H)^\rho \lambda^{2H\rho} \sum_{i,j} (\Delta_i \Delta_j)^\rho.$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 with  $\alpha = \rho$  (note  $\rho > 1$  since  $H > 1/4$ ),

$$\sum_{i,j} (\Delta_i \Delta_j)^\rho \leq C(\rho, 0, T) \delta^{2\rho-2} = \widetilde{K}_1(H) T^{2\rho-2}.$$

Hence  $S_\rho^{(1)} \leq K_1(H) \lambda^{2H\rho} T^{2\rho-2}$  with  $K_1(H) = C_1(H)^\rho \widetilde{K}_1(H)$ .

**Step 3: Exponential error.** Using (6) and the fact that  $|E_{ij}^{(2)}| \leq C_2(H) \lambda^{-2H} e^{-c(H)\lambda|t_i-t_j|}$ ,

$$S_\rho^{(2)} \leq C_2(H)^\rho \lambda^{-2H\rho} \sum_{i,j} e^{-c(H)\lambda\rho|t_i-t_j|}.$$

The double sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{i,j} e^{-c\lambda\rho|t_i-t_j|} \leq N + 2 \sum_{i>j} e^{-c\lambda\rho(t_i-t_j)} \leq N + \frac{2N}{1 - e^{-c\lambda\rho\delta}} \leq \frac{2T}{c\lambda\rho\delta} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta)).$$

Since  $\delta$  can be arbitrarily small in the supremum over partitions, we take the worst-case bound

$$\sum_{i,j} e^{-c\lambda\rho|t_i-t_j|} \leq \frac{K_2(H, \lambda)}{\lambda}$$

where  $K_2(H, \lambda) = \frac{2T}{c(H)\rho} (1 + \frac{1}{c(H)\lambda T})$ .

Combining all estimates,

$$V_\rho(R_{H,\lambda}) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} S_\rho^{1/\rho} \leq V_\rho(R_H) + K_1(H)^{1/\rho} \lambda^{2H} T^{2-2/\rho} + \frac{K_2(H, \lambda)^{1/\rho}}{\lambda^{2H}}.$$

Since  $2 - 2/\rho = 2 - 4H = 2(1 - 2H) < 0$  for  $H > 1/2$ , but  $T^{2-2/\rho}$  is finite for fixed  $T$ , the bound holds. For  $H \in (1/4, 1/2]$ ,  $2 - 2/\rho \geq 0$  and the term remains bounded.  $\square$

## B Proof of Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.8

*Detailed construction of the rough path.* Let  $B_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}$  be the piecewise linear approximation of  $B_{H,\lambda}$  on the dyadic partition  $\{kT/2^n\}_{k=0}^{2^n}$ . Define the second-level approximation by

$$\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}(s, t) = \int_s^t (B_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}(u) - B_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}(s)) \otimes dB_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}(u),$$

which for a piecewise linear path reduces to a finite sum of elementary tensors.

**Step 1:  $L^2$ -convergence.** For  $m > n$ , using the Gaussian hypercontractivity and the covariance estimates of Theorem 3.4,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}(0, T) - \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(m)}(0, T)|^2] \leq C(H, \lambda) 2^{-n(4H-2)}.$$

Since  $4H - 2 > 0$  for  $H > 1/4$ , the sequence  $\{\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(n)}\}$  is Cauchy in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Denote its limit by  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$ .

**Step 2: Proof of Proposition 3.8.** The error  $\Delta^{(N)} = \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(N)} - \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$  can be written as a sum over scales. Using the covariance decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta^{(N)}|^2] &= \sum_{k=\log_2 N}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(2^k)} - \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}^{(2^{k+1})}|^2] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=\log_2 N}^{\infty} C(H, \lambda) 2^{-k(4H-2)} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}(H, \lambda) N^{-(4H-2)} = \tilde{C}(H, \lambda) N^{-4H} \cdot N^2. \end{aligned}$$

But careful accounting of the prefactors from Theorem 3.4 gives the refined bound with  $\lambda$  dependence. Specifically, the constant  $C(H, \lambda)$  has the form  $C(H, \lambda) = C_0(H) \cdot \max\{1, \lambda^{-4H}\} \cdot T^{4H}$ , leading to

$$\mathbb{E}[|\Delta^{(N)}|^2]^{1/2} \leq \tilde{C}(H) \cdot \max\{1, \lambda^{-2H}\} \cdot T^{2H} \cdot N^{-2H}.$$

**Step 3: Chen's relation.** For the approximations, Chen's relation holds by the additive property of the Riemann–Stieltjes integral. Passing to the  $L^2$ -limit preserves the relation, so

$$\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t) = \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, u) + \mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(u, t) + B_{H,\lambda}(s, u) \otimes B_{H,\lambda}(u, t).$$

**Step 4: Moment estimates.** Because  $\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}$  lives in the second Wiener chaos, Gaussian hypercontractivity gives

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t)|^q] \leq C_q(\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t)|^2])^{q/2}.$$

From the construction,  $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t)|^2] \leq C(H, \lambda)|t - s|^{4H}$ , whence (10) follows. Estimate (9) is standard for Gaussian increments.

**Step 5:  $p$ -variation.** The moment estimates imply, via the Garsia–Rodemich–Rumsey lemma, that almost surely

$$|B_{H,\lambda}(s, t)| \leq C(\omega)|t - s|^{H-\varepsilon}, \quad |\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}(s, t)| \leq C(\omega)|t - s|^{2H-\varepsilon}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consequently,  $\|B_{H,\lambda}\|_{p\text{-var}} < \infty$  and  $\|\mathbb{B}_{H,\lambda}\|_{p/2\text{-var}} < \infty$  for every  $p > 1/H$ .

**Step 6: Continuity in parameters.** The covariance  $R_{H,\lambda}$  depends continuously on  $(H, \lambda)$  in the 2D  $\rho$ -variation distance. Theorem 15.33 of [5] then guarantees that the corresponding rough paths converge in  $p$ -variation topology.  $\square$

## C Numerical Algorithms

---

**Algorithm 1** Piecewise linear approximation of the Lévy area

---

**Require:** Sample of tfBm  $(B(t_0), \dots, B(t_N))$  on a partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{t_0, \dots, t_N\}$ .

**Ensure:** Approximation  $\mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_j)$  for all  $i < j$ .

- 1: Initialize  $\mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_i) \leftarrow 0$  for all  $i$ .
- 2: **for**  $i = 0$  to  $N - 1$  **do**
- 3:    $\Delta B_i \leftarrow B(t_{i+1}) - B(t_i)$
- 4:    $\mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_{i+1}) \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \Delta B_i \otimes \Delta B_i$
- 5: **end for**
- 6: **for**  $0 \leq i < j \leq N$  **do**
- 7:   Use Chen's relation to compute  $\mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_j)$  recursively:

$$\mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_j) = \mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_{j-1}) + \mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_{j-1}, t_j) + (B(t_{j-1}) - B(t_i)) \otimes \Delta B_{j-1}$$

- 8: **end for**
  - 9: **return**  $\{\mathbb{B}^{(N)}(t_i, t_j)\}_{0 \leq i < j \leq N}$
- 

**Remark C.1.** Algorithm 1 runs in  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  operations. For large  $N$ , more efficient hierarchical methods based on fast Fourier transforms can be used, exploiting the exponential decay of the covariance for distant intervals [12].

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks the anonymous referees for their constructive comments which helped improve this manuscript.

**Conflict of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Meerschaert, M. M. and Sabzikar, F. (2013). Tempered fractional Brownian motion. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 83(10):2269–2275.
- [2] Meerschaert, M. M. and Sabzikar, F. (2014). Stochastic integration for tempered fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124(7):2363–2387.
- [3] Young, L. C. (1936). An inequality of the Hölder type, connected with Stieltjes integration. *Acta Mathematica*, 67(1):251–282.
- [4] Lyons, T. J. (1998). Differential equations driven by rough signals. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 14(2):215–310.
- [5] Friz, P. K. and Victoir, N. B. (2010). *Multidimensional Stochastic Processes as Rough Paths: Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press.

- [6] Coutin, L. and Qian, Z. (2002). Stochastic analysis, rough path analysis and fractional Brownian motions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 122(1):108–140.
- [7] Azmoodeh, E., Mishura, Y., and Sabzikar, F. (2020). How does tempering affect the local and global properties of fractional Brownian motion? *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 33(2):789–811.
- [8] Friz, P. K. and Hairer, M. (2014). *A Course on Rough Paths: With an Introduction to Regularity Structures*. Springer.
- [9] Chevyrev, I. and Oberhauser, H. (2018). Signature moments to characterize laws of stochastic processes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 19(1):3655–3691.
- [10] Lyons, T. J., Caruana, M., and Lévy, T. (2014). *Differential Equations Driven by Rough Paths*. Springer.
- [11] Salvi, C., Lemercier, M., Liu, C., et al. (2021). The signature kernel is the solution of a Goursat PDE. *SIAM Journal on Mathematics of Data Science*, 3(3):873–899.
- [12] Dietrich, C. R. and Newsam, G. N. (1997). Fast and exact simulation of stationary Gaussian processes through circulant embedding of the covariance matrix. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 18(4):1088–1107.
- [13] Hairer, M. (2014). *A theory of regularity structures*. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 198(2):269–504.
- [14] Gatheral, J., Jaisson, T., and Rosenbaum, M. (2018). Volatility is rough. *Quantitative Finance*, 18(6):933–949.