

On the classicality theorem and its applications to the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in some $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ cases

Kojiro Matsumoto

Abstract

In this paper, we study locally analytic vectors in the “partially” completed cohomology of Shimura varieties associated with some rank 2 unitary groups over a totally real field F^+ such that $F_v^+ = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ for some p -adic places v and prove a certain classicality theorem. This is a partial generalization and modification of Lue Pan’s work in the modular curve case by using the works of Caraiani-Scholze, Koshikawa and Zou on mod l cohomology of Shimura varieties. As applications, we prove the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in some $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ cases. We will assume a technical regularity condition on Serre weights of residual representations, but we don’t assume any technical condition on the properties of liftings of residual representations at p -adic places except Hodge-Tate regularity. It should be noted that previously, such results were known only when we assumed that F_v^+ is equal to \mathbb{Q}_p for any p -adic place v of F^+ so that we can use the p -adic Langlands correspondence of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Moreover, we propose a conjectural strategy to prove such results in some $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^f})$ cases.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Main results	3
1.3	Strategy of proof	7
1.4	Organization of this paper	18
1.5	Notations	18
1.6	Acknowledgement	19
2	Preliminaries on locally analytic representations	19
3	Preliminaries on rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties	23
3.1	Rank 2 unitary groups and its automorphic representations	23
3.2	Cohomologies of rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties	27
3.3	Hodge-de Rham structure of universal abelian varieties	32
3.4	Perfectoid Shimura varieties and geometric Sen theory	38
3.5	Hodge-Tate structure of completed cohomology	45
4	Geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes	49
4.1	Construction of geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes	49
4.2	Construction of geometric locally analytic anti-de Rham complexes	52
4.3	Integral models and Newton stratifications	54
4.4	μ -ordinary locus.	57
4.5	Basic locus	64
4.6	Classicality of geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes	72

5	Arithmetic locally analytic de Rham complexes	74
5.1	Arithmetic and geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes	74
5.2	Fontaine operators and arithmetic locally analytic de Rham complexes	77
5.2.1	Preliminaries on the Fontaine operator and period sheaves	77
5.2.2	Parallel weight case	81
5.2.3	Non-parallel weight case	89
6	Comparison of completed cohomologies of different unitary Shimura varieties	98
6.1	Algebraic automorphic forms and completed cohomologies	98
6.2	Proof of the classicality theorem	102
7	Applications	103
7.1	Liftings of Galois representations with prescribed local properties	103
7.2	Big $R = T$ and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension	113
7.3	Applications to the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture	121

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields.

By [SW99; KW09b; KW09a; Kis09; Eme11; HT15; Pan22a], there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between the following two objects. ($G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{Q} and k is a positive integer. This is the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$.)

Galois side : irreducible continuous odd¹ 2-dimensional representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ which are unramified at almost all finite places and de Rham of Hodge-Tate weight $(0, k)$ at p .

Automorphic side : normalized new cuspidal eigenforms of weight $k + 1$.

Moreover, there are already many results which partially generalize the above correspondence to higher-dimensional cases and more general number fields. In particular, the map from the automorphic side to the Galois side was constructed in many cases (cf. [Kot92a], [HT01], [Shi14], [HLTT16], [Sch15] and [Mok14]) and the correspondence of deformations of both sides, i.e., the automorphy lifting theorem was known in many cases if we assume that the Galois representations have a nice property at p -adic places such as ordinarity or Fontaine-Laffaille at p .² (cf. [CHT08], [Tay08a], [Ger19], [BGGT14], [ACC+23], [CN25] and [BCGP21].) However, there are many remaining problems and in particular, there are few results about the following problem in general.³

¹The oddness of a Galois representation r means that the determinant character $\det r$ of r satisfies $\det r(c) = -1$ for a complex conjugation c .

²Technically, we also have nice automorphy lifting theorems for Galois representations which are potentially diagonalizable at any p -adic place (cf. [BGGT14]).

³The correspondence of residual representations is also widely open, but we don't study this problem in this paper.

(*) Automorphy lifting of Galois representations which are neither ordinary nor Fontaine-Laffaille at p .

In the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ case, the problem (*) was solved in [Kis09], [Eme11], [HT15] and [Pan22a] by crucially using the p -adic Langlands correspondence of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which is still not known beyond the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case.

Recently, [Pan22b] introduced another approach to the problem (*) in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ case by using certain geometric properties of perfectoid modular curves. In this paper, by modifying Pan's method, we study the problem (*) in the conjugate self-dual ⁴ $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ case, where F is a CM field such that $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ or \mathbb{Q}_p for any p -adic places v of F .

Remark 1.1. Note that many arguments of this paper work without any assumptions on F_v for $v \mid p$. The author hopes that the method of this paper will be generalized at least if we assume appropriate conditions on the residual representation. In this paper, we crucially use the assumption that F_v/\mathbb{Q}_p is unramified in § 4 and the assumption $[F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$ for any $v \mid p$ to prove Conjectures 4.50 (and 5.3 in the non-parallel weight case).

1.2 Main results

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields, F be a CM field or totally real field such that $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ or \mathbb{Q}_p for any p -adic place v and F^+ be the maximal totally real subfield of F . (Thus $F = F^+$ if F is a totally real field.) Let c denote the complex conjugation on F (thus c is trivial if F is a totally real field) and Ψ be the set of p -adic places of F such that $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$. The main theorems of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.2. (*Automorphy lifting theorem, Theorem 7.45*)

Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be an irreducible continuous representation. We assume the following conditions.

- 1 There exist a continuous character $\chi : G_{F^+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ satisfying $\chi(c_v) = \chi(c_w)$ for any complex conjugations c_v, c_w at $v, w \mid \infty$ and a perfect G_F -equivariant symmetric pairing $\rho \times \rho^c \rightarrow \chi|_{G_F}$.
- 2 ρ is unramified at almost all finite places.
- 3 ρ is de Rham and Hodge-Tate regular⁵ at all p -adic places.
- 4 The restriction $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ of the residual representation $\bar{\rho}$ of ρ is absolutely irreducible, where ζ_p denotes a primitive p -th root of unity.
- 5 There exists an essentially conjugate self-dual⁶ cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{\rho} \cong r_\iota(\sigma)$. ($r_\iota(\sigma)$ denotes the Galois representation corresponding to σ . See Theorem 3.7.)
- 6 For any $v \in \Psi$ and for any element $k = (k_{\tau,1}, k_{\tau,2})_\tau$ of the set of the Serre weights $W_{F_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$ of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$, we have $2 \leq k_{\tau,1} - k_{\tau,2} \leq p - 5$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_v, \overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$. (See definition 7.32 for the definition of $W_{F_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$. We write \mathbb{F}_v for the residue field at v .)

⁴The reason why we assume the conjugate self-duality is that we can use unitary groups in such cases.

⁵We say that $\rho : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is Hodge-Tate regular if $\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho \otimes_{\tau, F_v} \widehat{\overline{F}_v})^{G_{F_v}}$ is 0 or 1 for any $v \mid p$ and $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over \mathbb{Q}_p , where $\widehat{\overline{F}_v}$ denotes the completion of the algebraic closure \overline{F}_v of F_v .

⁶We say that σ is essentially conjugate self-dual if there exists a continuous character $\psi : \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\times / F^{+, \times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\psi(c_w) = \psi(c_v)$ for any $v, w \mid \infty$ and $\sigma^c \cong \sigma^\vee \otimes \psi \circ N_{F/F^+}$.

Then there exists an essentially conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\rho \cong r_\iota(\pi)$.

Remark 1.3. (1) Note that in the above theorem, we don't assume that $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is ordinary or Fontaine-Laffaille for any $v \mid p$. Previously, such results were known only when $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for any p -adic place v so that we can use the p -adic Langlands correspondence of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

(2) Note that if F is an imaginary quadratic field, the above condition 4 of the above theorem is always satisfied by [KW09b]. (See Proposition 7.46.)

(3) If F is totally real, then the above condition 1 follows from the oddness condition $\det \rho(c_v) = -1$ for any $v \mid \infty$.

(4) The above condition 6 is needed to use a modification of the works [HW22] and [BHH+23] about big $R = T$ theorems. (See Theorem 7.28.)

From the above theorem, we can deduce the following theorem by the potential automorphy of residual representations [BGHT11] and [BGGT14].

Theorem 1.4. (*Potential automorphy theorem, Theorem 7.47*)

Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be an irreducible continuous representation. We assume that ρ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2 except the condition 5.

Then there exists a finite Galois extension E/F of CM fields and an essentially conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $\rho|_{G_E} \cong r_\iota(\pi)$.

By the works of [Kis09], [GK14] and [EG14], it is known that the automorphy lifting theorem is equivalent to the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. Actually in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case, the Breuil-Mézard conjecture was already known by [Kis09], [HT15], [Tun21b] and [Tun21a] and thus the automorphy lifting theorem was already known. Therefore, Theorem 1.2 follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. (*Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$, Theorem 7.43*)

Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ be a continuous representation such that for any $k = (k_{\gamma,1}, k_{\gamma,2})_\gamma \in W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}(\bar{\rho})$, we have $2 \leq k_{\gamma,1} - k_{\gamma,2} \leq p - 5$ for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$. Then for any weight λ and any inertia type τ , the Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $\bar{\rho}$, λ and τ holds. (See Conjecture 7.35 for the precise statement of the Breuil-Mézard conjecture.)

Remark 1.6. Beyond the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case, the Breuil-Mézard conjecture was previously known in the following cases.

1 The two dimensional trivial weight case. (See [GK14].)

2 When the Galois representation (including higher dimensional cases) is potentially crystalline at p with a “generic” degeneration and a relatively small weight with respect to p . (See [LLLM23] and [FH24].)

Note that by the work [EG23], in order to prove Theorem 1.5, we may assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is “sufficiently generic”. In fact, [EG23] constructed a certain moduli stack of p -adic Galois representations, which is called Emerton-Gee stack and can be regarded as a globalization of p -adic lifting rings. As explained in [EG23, Remark 8.3.7], we have the following implications.

Breuil-Mézard conjecture of p -adic lifting rings.

\Leftarrow Breuil-Mézard conjecture of the Emerton-Gee stack.

\Leftarrow Breuil-Mézard conjecture of p -adic lifting rings of “sufficiently generic” residual representations.

This implications are essential to use the work [HW22], which is used to prove our main theorem 1.5. (See § 7.2 and the proof of Corollary 7.43.)

On the other hand, there exists another approach to the problem (*) in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ case by [Eme11] other than using the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. Roughly speaking, that is “big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem”. A big $R = T$ theorem roughly means that every Galois deformation of a residual representation (not necessarily being de Rham) corresponds to an eigensystem appearing in a certain completed cohomology which is a deformation of the corresponding residual eigensystem. A classicality theorem roughly means that an eigensystem appearing in a certain completed cohomology corresponding to a de Rham representation is actually equal to a classical eigensystems, i.e., an eigensystem coming from a usual automorphic representation. By combining these two results, we can deduce the automorphy lifting theorem.

Big $R = T$ theorems are known in relatively many cases (cf. [All19] and [HMS22], but actually for some technical reasons, we will use a modification of the works [BHH+23] and [HW22]. See arguments before Theorem 1.12.) On the other hand, in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ case, Emerton crucially used the p -adic Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to prove the classicality theorem and since the p -adic Langlands correspondence is still not known beyond the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case, this method was not generalized so far. Recently, again in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ case, [Pan22b] introduced another approach to prove the classicality theorem by using perfectoid modular curves and as stated in [Pan22b, Remark 1.1.3], this may be generalized by replacing modular curves with Shimura varieties.

In this paper, we will study locally analytic vectors only in a “partially” completed cohomology of some rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties after localizing at a non-Eisenstein ideal corresponding to a “very nice” residual representation for some technical reasons. (We will give more detailed explanations later. See arguments after Theorem 1.11, Theorem 7.28, Proposition 3.18 and Proposition 6.6.) Note that the Breuil-Mézard conjecture is a purely local conjecture. Therefore by using a certain globalization argument, we will prove Theorem 1.2 by combining the two approaches in the following way. (We fix a local residual representation $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.)

Big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem for some special global representation \bar{r} such that $\bar{r}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}} \cong \bar{\rho}$.

\Rightarrow Automorphy lifting theorem for such \bar{r} .

\Leftrightarrow Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $\bar{\rho}$.

\Leftrightarrow Automorphy lifting theorem for all global representations \bar{r} satisfying mild conditions such that $\bar{r}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}} \cong \bar{\rho}$.

Note that “big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem” a priori implies usual $R = T$ theorems after inverting p . Thus the combination of these results doesn’t suffice to prove the Breuil-Mézard conjecture because this is a conjecture about special fibers of p -adic lifting

rings. In this paper, we will deduce the Breuil-Mézard conjecture indirectly from “big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem” by using the work [FKP21]. (See § 7.1 and the proof of Theorem 7.42.)

In order to state our precise classicality result, we fix some notations.

- F_0 is an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits.
- F^+/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois totally real field (for simplicity).
- $F := F_0 F^+$.
- $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism of fields and by using this, we identify $\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$.
- w be the p -adic place of F and v be the p -adic place of F_0 induced by ι . We also write w for the place of F^+ lying below w . We assume that $F_w = \mathbb{Q}_p$ or \mathbb{Q}_{p^2} .
- $\Phi := \text{Gal}(F/F_0)$.
- $\Psi := \text{Gal}(F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset \Phi$.
- $d := [F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.
- $S(B)$ is a non-empty finite set of non- p -adic finite places of F such that any prime lying below a place in $S(B)$ splits in F_0 , $S(B) = S(B)^c$ and $\frac{1}{2}|S(B)| + d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.
- B is the central division algebra over F satisfying the following conditions : $\dim_F B = 4$, $\text{inv}_{F_{w'}}(B_{w'}) = \text{inv}_{F_{w'^c}}(B_{w'^c}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for any $w' \in S(B)$ and $\text{inv}_{F_{w'}}(B_{w'}) = 0$ for any $w' \notin S(B)$.

By the assumption $\frac{1}{2}|S(B)| + d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, there exists a positive involution of second kind $*$: $B \rightarrow B$ and an alternating non-degenerate pairing $\psi : B \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the following conditions. (See Proposition 3.1.)

- The corresponding unitary group U over F^+ satisfies $U \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(1, 1)$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$.
- $U \times_{F, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(0, 2)$ for any $\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi$.
- $U_{F_v^+}$ is quasi-split for any finite place v not lying below a place of $S(B)$ of F^+ .

Let GU/\mathbb{Q} be the unitary similitude group defined by U and S_K be the corresponding Shimura variety, which can be regarded as a proper algebraic variety over F of dimension d . We fix a sufficiently large finite subextension E of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and a weight $\lambda := (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}) := (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi}, (0, -\lambda_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Psi}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi}$. Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of E and $\lambda^w = (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi}, (0, 0)_{\tau \in \Psi})$, $\lambda_w = (0, (0, 0)_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi}, (0, -\lambda_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Psi}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi}$. Let \mathcal{V}_{λ^w} be an integral local system of \mathcal{O} -modules on S_K defined by λ^w . (We will give more detailed explanations about this in § 3.3.)

Let $K = \prod_l K_l$ be a sufficiently small open compact subgroup of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ and S be a finite subset of primes containing p and all primes lying below a place in $S(B)$ such that K_l is hyperspecial for any $l \notin S$. Let \mathbb{T}^S denote the subalgebra of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}), K)_{\mathcal{O}}$

generated over \mathcal{O} by $\mathcal{H}(GU(\mathbb{Q}_l), K_l)_{\mathcal{O}}$ for all $l \notin S$ splitting in F_0 . Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal (see definition 3.11) of the Hecke algebra \mathbb{T}^S . We assume the following technical conditions.

- $(\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\tau})$ is irreducible and $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_F)$ is not solvable.
- There exists a prime l splitting completely in F not lying below any place in $S(B)$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is irreducible and generic for any $w' \mid l$, i.e., $H^0(G_{F_{w'}}, \text{ad} \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}(1)) = 0$.

We assume that we have a decomposition $K_p = K_0 \times \prod_{w' \mid v} K_{w'} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \times \prod_{w' \mid v} \text{GL}_2(F_{w'}) = GU(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Let

$$\mathbb{T}^S(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}} := \text{Im}(\mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n}(H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^w K_w, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}))$$

and

$$\mathbb{T}^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_{K_w, n} \mathbb{T}^S(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

and we consider the following $\mathbb{T}^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} \times \text{GL}_2(F_w)$ -modules

$$\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_w} H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^w K_w, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

and $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} = \hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Our main classicality theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.7. (*Theorem 6.11*)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that the corresponding Galois representation ρ_{φ} satisfies the condition that $\rho_{\varphi}|_{G_{F_{w'}}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ is de Rham of the p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_{w'} := (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{w'}, E)}$. (See the remark before Theorem 3.19 and around the middle of Notations for the definitions of ρ_{φ} and the p -adic Hodge type.) If $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then φ is a classical eigensystem of weight λ , i.e., an eigensystem of an eigenvector in $\varinjlim_K H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Remark 1.8. (1) Recently, a similar result in the unitary Shimura curve case was proved by [QS25].

(2) The author hopes that we can prove certain classicality theorems under more natural assumptions. In this paper, we use the technical assumptions on $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to prove Proposition 3.18 and Proposition 6.6.

1.3 Strategy of proof

First, we recall some results and methods of [Pan22b] to explain the strategy for proving the classicality theorem of this paper.

Let p be a prime, $K = \prod_l K_l$ be a sufficiently small open compact subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$, $X_{K^p K_p}/\mathbb{Q}$ be the compact modular curve of level K and E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We consider the completed cohomology of modular curves $\hat{H}^1(K^p, E) := (\varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{K^p K_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E$.

E . This carries a natural action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$.⁷ The main theorem of [Pan22b] is the following.

Theorem 1.9. [Pan22b, Theorem 1.1.2]

Let $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ be a continuous absolutely irreducible representation and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We assume the following conditions.

1 There exists a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -equivariant injection $\rho \hookrightarrow \widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)$.

2 $\rho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is de Rham of Hodge-Tate weight $(0, k)$.

Then there exists a cuspidal eigenform f of weight $k+1$ such that $\rho \cong \rho_f$, where ρ_f denotes the Galois representation corresponding to f .

Note that $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)$ is an admissible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by [Eme06a, Theorem 0.1]. Thus the ρ -isotypic subspace $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)[\rho]$ of $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)$ is also admissible and the locally analytic part $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)^{\mathrm{la}}[\rho]$ is nonzero by [ST03, Theorem 7.1]. (See Theorem 2.9.) Pan studied $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)^{\mathrm{la}}$ to prove Theorem 1.9.

One of the most fundamental ingredients to study $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)^{\mathrm{la}}$ is geometric Sen theory, which was initiated by Pan [Pan22c] and was generalized to general smooth rigid spaces by [Cam23]. We recall applications of geometric Sen theory used in [Pan22c].

Let $\mathcal{X}_{K, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the adic space over \mathbb{Q}_p corresponding to the scheme $X_{K, \mathbb{Q}_p} := X_K \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$, $C := \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\mathcal{X}_K := \mathcal{X}_{K, \mathbb{Q}_p} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C$. Then by [Sch15], there exists a unique perfectoid space \mathcal{X}_{K^p} over C such that $\mathcal{X}_{K^p} \sim \varprojlim_{K^p} \mathcal{X}_{K^p K^p}$. (See Theorem 3.25 for the precise definition of \sim .) Moreover, by using the relative Hodge-Tate filtration $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p}}^{-1}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^{\oplus 2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p}} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the Hodge-Tate period map $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}} : \mathcal{X}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell := \mathbb{P}_C^{1, \mathrm{ad}}$ ⁸, which was proved to be “affine” in [Sch15]. (See Theorem 3.26 for the precise meaning.) Let $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} := \pi_{\mathrm{HT}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p}}$, $\omega_{K^p} := \pi_{\mathrm{HT}*} \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p}}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}}$ denote the subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_{K^p} defined by $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}}(U) := \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{\mathrm{la}}$ for any quasicompact open subset U of $\mathcal{F}\ell$. Here, $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{\mathrm{la}}$ denotes the subspace of locally analytic vectors in $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)$ with respect to the action of an open compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ stabilizing U .

As a consequence of geometric Sen theory, we get the following result.

Theorem 1.10. [Pan22b, Theorem 4.4.6, 4.2.7]

1 There exists a canonical $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -equivariant isomorphism $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathrm{la}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C \cong H^1(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}})$.

2 Let \mathfrak{n}^0 be the $\mathrm{GL}_{2, C}$ -equivariant line bundle on $\mathcal{F}\ell$ defined by $\mathfrak{n} := \{(a_{i,j})_{i,j=1,2} \mid a_{i,j} \in C, a_{1,1} = a_{2,2} = a_{2,1} = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_2(C)$. (See definition 3.32 for the precise definition of \mathfrak{n}^0) Then the action of \mathfrak{n}^0 on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}}$ induced by the embedding $\mathfrak{n}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$ is trivial.

The property 1 of the above theorem implies that we can understand $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathrm{la}}$ by studying the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}}$. Moreover, the property 2 of the above theorem implies that the locally analytic functions $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}}$ on \mathcal{X}_{K^p} satisfies a certain differential equation⁹ and actually by using this, we can get a very explicit description of $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\mathrm{la}}$. We recall that in the following.

⁷Here, \mathbb{T}^S denotes an appropriate Hecke algebra. In [Pan22b, Theorem 1.1.2], he considered open modular curves and didn't consider compact modular curves. However, our $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)$ is equal to $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, E)$ of [Pan22b, Theorem 1.1.2] by [Pan22c, § 4.4.1].

⁸ $\mathbb{P}_C^{1, \mathrm{ad}}$ denotes the adic space over C corresponding to \mathbb{P}_C^1 .

⁹A generator of \mathfrak{n}^0 is called a geometric Sen operator.

Let U be a rational open subset of $\{(x, 1) \mid \|x\| \leq 1\} \subset \mathcal{F}\ell$. Then $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U)$ is an affinoid perfectoid open of \mathcal{X}_{K^p} , which is equal to $\pi_{K_p}^{-1}(V_{K_p})$ for some K_p and affinoid open V_{K_p} of $\mathcal{X}_{K^p K_p}$, where $\pi_{K_p} : \mathcal{X}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{K^p K_p}$ denotes the canonical projection. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)$ be the coordinate function on U , e_1 denote the image of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ via the map $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \omega_{K^p}(U)$ induced by the Hodge-Tate filtration and $t : \mathcal{X}_{K^p} \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ be the similitude factor. Note that e_1 is a generator of $\omega_{K^p}(U)$ and we regard t as an element of $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p})^\times$ by fixing an isomorphism $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Assume $K_p = G_m := I_2 + p^m M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $m \geq 2$. Take an increasing sequence of integers $r(m+1) < r(m+2) < \dots < r(n) < \dots$ and $x_n, t_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$, $e_{1,n} \in \omega_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$ satisfying $\|x - x_n\|, \|\frac{e_{1,n}}{e_1} - 1\|, \|t - t_n\| \leq p^{-n-1}$. Moreover, we may assume $\|x - x_n\| = \|x - x_n\|_{G_{r(n)}}, \|\frac{e_{1,n}}{e_1} - 1\| = \|\frac{e_{1,n}}{e_1} - 1\|_{G_{r(n)}}, \|t - t_n\| = \|t - t_n\|_{G_{r(n)}}$ by Lemma 2.4. Let V_n be the pullback of $V_{G_m} = V_{K_p}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{K^p G_n}$.

Theorem 1.11. [Pan22b, Theorem 4.3.9]

For any m , there exists a positive integer N such that for any $n \geq N$, any G_m -analytic function f on U (i.e., an element of $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_m-\text{an}}$) can be uniquely expressed as $f = \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} (x - x_n)^i (\log(\frac{e_1}{e_{1,n}}))^j (\log(\frac{t}{t_n}))^k$, where $a_{i,j,k}$ are elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p G_n}}(V_{G_n})$ and satisfy $\sup_{i,j,k} \|a_{i,j,k}\| p^{-(i+j+k)n} < \infty$.

We briefly recall the proof of this. Actually, this follows from the following simple principle: if the action $\mathfrak{b} := \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ on $f \in \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_m-\text{an}}$ is trivial, then $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)f = 0$ because $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)$ is generated by $\begin{pmatrix} x & x^2 \\ -1 & -x \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)f = 0$ by 2 of Theorem 1.10. Thus we obtain $f \in \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_m} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p G_m}}(V_{G_m})$. From this, we only need to consider the action of \mathfrak{b} and we obtain the above expansion formula in the following way. (Let $u^+ := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, z := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and note that $u^+x = 1, u^+\log(\frac{e_1}{e_{1,n}}), u^+\log(\frac{t}{t_n}) = 0, h \log(\frac{e_1}{e_{1,n}}) = 1, h \log(\frac{t}{t_n}) = 0$ and $z \log(\frac{t}{t_n}) = 2$, see [Pan22c, proof of § 4.3.4 ~ Theorem 4.3.9] for more precise explanations and N is a sufficiently large integer determined by the norm of u^+, h, z on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_m-\text{an}}$.)

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i (x - x_n)^i \text{ for some } a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_n-\text{an}, u^+=0} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} (\log(\frac{e_1}{e_{1,n}}))^j (x - x_n)^i \text{ for some } a_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_n-\text{an}, u^+=0, h=0} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k} (\log(\frac{t}{t_n}))^k (\log(\frac{e_1}{e_{1,n}}))^j (x - x_n)^i \text{ for some } a_{i,j,k} \in \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(U)^{G_n-\text{an}, \mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{K^p G_n}}(V_{G_n}). \end{aligned}$$

This description is very useful and in [Pan22b], this explicit description is frequently used to study $\hat{H}^1(K^p, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\text{la}}$. Therefore, in order to generalize Pan's work to more general Shimura varieties, we should consider whether a similar description still holds or not. For general Shimura varieties, a generalization of Theorem 1.10 was proved by [Cam24, Theorem 6.2.6]

and \mathfrak{n} is replaced by the nilpotent subalgebra defined by the minuscule. However, the above construction gives a similar description only for Shimura varieties such that the Levi subgroup defined by the minuscule is a torus. Therefore, Shimura varieties associated with unitary (similitude) groups of rank $n \geq 3$ and Shimura curves over a totally real field $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$ don't satisfy this property. On the other hand, Shimura varieties associated with unitary similitude groups GU defined by unitary groups U over a totally real field F^+ satisfying $U \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(1, 1)$ for any $\tau : F^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (we will write $GU(1, 1)$, $U(1, 1)$ for such GU , U) and Hilbert modular varieties satisfy this property. In this paper, we mainly study $GU(1, 1)$ cases. (Actually, we need technical modifications later.) Note that automorphic representations of rank 2 unitary groups basically correspond to conjugate self-dual automorphic representations of GL_2 over a CM extension of F^+ .

In the $GU(1, 1)$ case, we have the following difficulty.

Let F_0 be an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits, F^+/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois totally real field of degree d , $F := F^+F_0$ and $\Phi := \text{Hom}_{F_0}(F, \mathbb{C})$. We consider a unitary group $U(1, 1)/F^+$ constructed from a central division algebra over F for simplicity and the Shimura variety S_K/\mathbb{Q} associated with $G := GU(1, 1)/\mathbb{Q}$, which is proper of dimension d . Let σ be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose base change $\chi \boxtimes \pi$ to $\mathbb{A}_E^\times \times GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is cuspidal. (See Definition 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 for more detailed explanations.) Then by the Kottwitz conjecture for S_K , we have a G_F -equivariant isomorphism

$$\left(\varinjlim_K H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \bar{F}}, V_{\iota\lambda})\right)[\sigma^\infty]^{\text{ss}} \cong ((r_\iota(\chi)|_{G_F} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Phi} r_\iota(\pi)^\tau)^\vee(-d))^{\oplus m}, \quad (1)$$

where m is some positive integer and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$ denotes the weight of σ , $V_{\iota\lambda}$ is the p -adic local system on $(S_K)_{\text{ét}}$ defined by a weight $\iota\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\iota\Phi}$, $r_\iota(\pi)$ denotes the Galois representation corresponding to π and ss denotes the semisimplification as a representation of G_F . (See § 3.2 and 3.3 for more detailed explanations.) Actually, we can regard both sides as representations of G_{F_0} , but even though we do that, the representation $\otimes_{\tau \in \Phi} r_\iota(\pi)^\tau$ is not irreducible in general¹⁰. Therefore, the relation between the Galois module structure of a Hecke eigenspace in the completed cohomology of S_K and the Galois representation corresponding to that eigensystem is not clear in general. In order to overcome this difficulty, we use the equivalence between the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. (cf. [Kis09], [GK14] and [EG14].) Note that the Breuil-Mézard conjecture is a purely local conjecture. Therefore, we can use the following strategy. (In the following, we fix a local residual representation $\bar{\rho}_v$, a weight λ_v and an inertia type τ_v for any $v \mid p$ and $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda, \tau}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\lambda, \tau}$) denotes an appropriate deformation ring (resp. Hecke algebra) defined by a global residual representation $\bar{\rho}$ (resp. non-Eisenstein ideal \mathfrak{m}), $\lambda = (\lambda_v)_{v \mid p}$ and $\tau = (\tau_v)_{v \mid p}$. See § 7.3 for details.)

Automorphy lifting theorem $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda, \tau, \text{red}} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\lambda, \tau}$ for global Galois representations \bar{r} such that $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}} = \bar{\rho}_v$ for any $v \mid p$ and \bar{r} has very nice global properties such as the irreducibility of $\otimes_{\tau \in \Phi} \bar{r}^\tau$.

\Leftrightarrow Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $R_{\bar{\rho}_v}^{\lambda_v, \tau_v}$ for any $v \mid p$.

¹⁰For example, when F^+ is a real quadratic, $r_\iota(\pi)$ has a big image and extends to a representation of G_{F_0} .

\Leftrightarrow Automorphy lifting theorem $R_{\bar{\tau}}^{\lambda, \tau, \text{red}} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\lambda, \tau}$ for all global Galois representations $\bar{\tau}$ satisfying mild conditions such that $\bar{\tau}|_{G_{F_v}} = \bar{\rho}_v$.

Note that Emerton's method “big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem” only implies $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda, \tau, \text{red}}[\frac{1}{p}] \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\lambda, \tau}[\frac{1}{p}]$, but we can deduce the Breuil-Mézard conjecture by combining Emerton's method and a modification of [FKP21], [KLR05] and [Ram99]. (See § 7.1 and 7.3.) Note also that it is not known how to construct globalizations $\bar{\tau}$ of a local residual representation $\bar{\rho} : G_{F_w} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ having the irreducibility of $\otimes_{\tau \in \Phi} \bar{\tau}^{\tau}$. By using the method of [Cal12], the author only knows the method of constructing a globalization $\bar{\tau}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ having the irreducibility of $\otimes_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \bar{\tau}^{\tau}$ for some fixed $w \mid p$. (Here, we regard $\Psi := \text{Gal}(F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as a subset of Φ .)

Therefore, we assume that p is unramified in F and basically consider the following situation and problem. (Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of E , \mathbb{F} be the residue field of \mathcal{O} and ϖ be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} . We fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Psi}$, put $d := [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and forget the previous d .)

- Unitary group U/F^+ such that $U \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(1, 1)$ for $\tau \in \Psi$ and $U(0, 2)$ for $\tau \notin \Psi$ and $U(F_w) = \text{GL}_2(F_w)$.

- Partially completed cohomology of the Shimura variety S_K/F associated with GU/\mathbb{Q} with weight $\lambda^w \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi \setminus \Psi}$ over \mathcal{O} .

$$\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}) := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K^w} H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^w K_w, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}/\varpi^n)$$

- Conjugate self-dual Galois representation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\rho|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_w \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Psi}$, $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is Fontaine-Laffaille of weight λ_v for any p -adic places $v \neq w$, $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \bar{\rho}^{\tau}$ is irreducible and the non-Eisenstein ideal \mathfrak{m} corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$ is decomposed generic and satisfies $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^v}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^v})_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. (See definition 3.11 for the definition of decomposed genericity.)

Problem: Prove that there exists a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\rho \cong r_{\iota}(\pi)$.

As we have seen before, solving this problem for any ρ as above implies the Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}}^{\lambda_w, \tau_w}$ for any inertia type τ_w . Note that we can use the results of geometric Sen theory for the above “partially” completed cohomology $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})$. In fact, an integral model of $S_{K^w K_w}$ is étale over that of $S_{K'^w K_w}$ for any $K^w \subset K'^w$ because the universal p -divisible groups at $v \neq w$ on an integral model S_K are ind-étale by the moduli interpretation. Thus the perfectoidness of S_{K^p} implies the perfectoidness of $S_{K^w} := \varprojlim_{K^w} S_{K^w K_w}$. (Actually, we will use more technical results in § 3. See Theorem 3.29 and Remark 3.30.) Note also that by the decomposed genericity of \mathfrak{m} and the works [CS17] and [Kos21], we obtain the vanishing

$$H^i(S_K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}/\varpi)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0 \tag{2}$$

for any $i \neq d$. Thus $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the p -adic completion of $\varinjlim_{K^w} H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^w K_w, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

In the following, we discuss how to solve this problem by using the method “big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem”. First, we should note that usual big $R = T$ theorems such as [All19] and [HMS22] don't suffice for our purpose because usual big $R = T$ theorems only imply that there exists a ring morphism from an appropriate Hecke algebra to \mathcal{O} corresponding to the given Galois representation and don't imply the existence of an eigenvector of the eigensystem in the completed cohomology. Emerton used the p -adic Langlands correspondence of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to prove this existence.¹¹ (See [Eme11, Remark 6.4.3]. See also [Pan22a, after Lemma 3.5.13]

¹¹Note that as we have seen in Background, Emerton crucially used the p -adic Langlands correspondence of

for a similar argument.)

Recently, [GN22] introduced a new approach to big $R = T$ theorems such that we can simultaneously prove the existence of a non-zero eigenvector for any Hecke eigensystem assuming that the considered local deformation rings are formally smooth and the mod p cohomology has a “correct” Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Moreover, the computation of the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension was done by [BHH+23] and [HW22] in some Shimura curve cases and Shimura set over totally real field cases under the assumption that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is “sufficiently generic”. By using exactly the same strategy as theirs, we can obtain the “correct” Gelfand-Kirillov dimension in our situation under the same assumptions. (For some technical reasons, we use a variant $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, V_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}$.)

Theorem 1.12. (*Big $R = T$ theorem, Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, Theorem 7.28*)

Under appropriate assumptions, we have the following results. (See § 7.2 for the precise notations.)

- 1 $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
- 2 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, V_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ for any \mathcal{O} -morphism $\varphi : R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.

In the following, we assume that there exists an eigensystem $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ corresponding to ρ and we have $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. We will discuss how to prove the classicality¹² of φ from the de Rhamness of $\rho|_{G_{F_w}}$. In [Pan22b], the notion “Fontaine operator” was used. Let’s recall a property of the Fontaine operator. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , W be a finite-dimensional representation of G_L over \mathbb{Q}_p which is Hodge-Tate of weights $0, k$ for some $k > 0$. Let $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C = W_0 \oplus W_k$ be the Hodge-Tate decomposition of W . Then by using the work of [Fon04], we can construct a natural C -linear map $N_W : W_0 \rightarrow W_k(k)$ such that $N_W = 0$ is equivalent to the condition that W is de Rham.

In the following, we assume that the given weight λ is trivial for simplicity. In order to use a Fontaine operator, first we need to understand “the Hodge-Tate structure of $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, F_w)^{\text{la}} \hat{\otimes}_{F_w} C \cong H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}})$ ”. Here we use similar notations as in the modular curve case. Thus $\mathcal{F}\ell := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_C^{\text{la}, \text{ad}}$. This was already studied in more general situations by [DPS20] and [Cam24]. By [DPS20], we obtain $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, F_w)_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \subset \hat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, F_w)^{\chi}$, where $\chi : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow F_w$ denotes the infinitesimal character of the trivial representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}$ over F_w and $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ denotes the center of the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . (See Theorem 3.19.) Moreover, by using [Cam24], which is a partial generalization of [Pan22c], we obtain a certain action $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $\mathfrak{h} := \prod_{\tau} \mathfrak{h}_{\tau}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}}$, which is called the horizontal action, commutes with the action of $\text{GL}_2(F_w)$ and encodes the information of the Sen operator and the action of $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ on $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}}$. (Here \mathfrak{h}_{τ} denotes the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_2(C)_{\tau}$ consisting of diagonal matrices.) More precisely, the Sen operator on $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}}$ is equal to $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ and we can obtain the Hodge-Tate decomposition $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, \chi} = \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)_{\tau \in I}, (0, 0)_{\tau \notin I}}$ and $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\chi} = H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, \chi})_{\mathfrak{m}} = \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)_{\tau \in I}, (0, 0)_{\tau \notin I}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where (a_{τ}, b_{τ}) denotes the character of \mathfrak{h}_{τ} defined by $\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a_{\tau}c + b_{\tau}d$. Note that this Hodge-Tate decomposition is compatible

$\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to prove the classicality theorem.

¹²Here, we say that φ is classical if φ is equal to an eigensystem associated with a conjugate self-dual cohomological automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

with that of Galois representations $r_\iota(\chi)|_{G_F} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} r_\iota(\pi)^\tau)$ appearing at finite levels. (See the above isomorphism (1) or Proposition 3.51.)

In the following, we discuss how to prove the classicality of φ by using the Fontaine operator. By using a generalization [Pan22b] of [Fon04] to Galois representations on Banach spaces and LB spaces, we can construct “the Fontaine operator” $N_0 : \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \rightarrow \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(1,-1)_\tau,(0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1)$ which is a generalization of the map coming from the Fontaine operator of $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \rho^\tau$ for a 2-dimensional Hodge-Tate of weight $(0,1)$ representation ρ . The de Rhamness of ρ implies that $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_0)$ is trivial on $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)})[\varphi]$ and thus it suffices to prove the following. (See Theorem 5.7 for detailed argument.)

Conjecture 1.13. $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_0)_m$ has a generalized eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems.

One possible strategy to calculate $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_0)_m$ is the following.

By the description (1) of Galois representations in the cohomology at finite levels, the author expects that the following sequence of maps induced by the Fontaine operator is a complex. $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \xrightarrow{N_0} \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(1,-1)_\tau,(0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(1,-1)}(d)$.

(This is a generalization of the complex $\otimes_\tau ((\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C)_0 \xrightarrow{N_\tau} (\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C)_1(1))$ for a 2-dimensional Hodge-Tate of weight $(0,1)_\tau$ representation ρ of G_{F_w} over \mathbb{Q}_p with the Hodge-Tate decomposition $\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C = (\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C)_0 \oplus (\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C)_1$ and the Fontaine operator $N_\tau : (\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C)_0 \rightarrow (\rho^\tau \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C)_1(1)$.)

In the following, we assume that the above sequence is a complex. Actually, we don’t need such a result. (See the statement after Remark 1.15.)

The key step in the calculation is comparing this complex with the following “geometric locally analytic de Rham complex” GDR_0^{la} , which is a certain locally analytic extension of the usual de Rham complex at finite levels.¹³ (Let $\Omega_{K^w}^{1,\text{sm}}$ denote the pushforward via π_{HT} of the pullback to S_{K^w} of the sheaf of differential forms at finite levels. See § 4.1 for the precise construction.)

$$GDR_0^{\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \rightarrow^d \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^w}^{1,\text{sm}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^w}^{d,\text{sm}}.$$

Note that by using a similar description of $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}}$ as in the modular curve case (see Propositions 3.34 and 3.46 for the precise results.), we have $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_C \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$. Roughly speaking, GDR_0^{la} is the tensor product of the usual de Rham complex coming from finite levels and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$. Note also that by the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $\Omega_{K^w}^{1,\text{sm}} \cong \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau,K^w}^{2,\text{sm}}$, we can identify GDR_0^{la} as the following complex. (Here, $\omega_{\tau,K^w}^{\text{sm}}$ denotes the line bundle defined by the τ -component of the dual of the Lie algebra of the universal abelian scheme.)

$$GDR_0^{\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} \rightarrow^{\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} d_\tau} \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau,K^w}^{2,\text{la},(0,0)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau,K^w}^{2,\text{la},(0,0)}.$$

Then we have the following diagram.

¹³This observation implicitly appeared in [Pan22b].

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la},(0,0)} & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau, K^w}^{2, \text{la}, (0,0)} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \bigotimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau, K^w}^{2, \text{la}, (0,0)} \\
& \searrow N_0 & \downarrow \bigoplus_{\tau} \bar{d}_{\tau} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \text{id}_{\omega_{\tau, K^w}^{2, \text{sm}}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{d}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \text{id}_{\omega_{\tau_1, K^w}^{2, \text{sm}}} \\
& & \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)_{\tau}, (0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{d}_{\tau_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \text{id}_{\omega_{\tau_2, K^w}^{2, \text{sm}}} \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \vdots \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{d}_{\tau_d} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \text{id}_{\omega_{\tau_d, K^w}^{2, \text{sm}}} \\
& & & & & & \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)}(d)
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

In the above, we fix a numbering τ_1, \dots, τ_d of $\Psi = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ and \bar{d}_{τ} denotes an $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}}$ -linear surjective extension $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (0,0)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_C \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_C \Omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^1 = \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (0,0)} \otimes_C \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{-2} \twoheadrightarrow \omega_{\tau, K^w}^{-2, \text{la}, (1, -1)_{\tau}, (0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1)$ of the derivation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}, \tau}^1$ along τ on $\mathcal{F} = \prod_{\tau} \mathbb{P}_C^{1, \text{ad}}$. (See § 4.1 for more detailed explanations.)

Then one can expect that the diagram (3) is commutative. In this paper, we will partially prove this by using a similar method as [Pan22b].

Theorem 1.14. (*Theorem 5.18*)

There exists $(c_{\tau})_{\tau \in \Psi} \in (F_w^{\times})^{\Psi}$ such that $N_0 = (\bigoplus_{\tau} c_{\tau} \bar{d}_{\tau} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{sm}} \text{id}_{\omega_{\tau, K^w}^{2, \text{sm}}}) \circ d$.

Remark 1.15. Note that in the non-parallel weight case, the existence of the N_0 doesn't formally follow from the work of [Fon04]. Actually, we will prove this only when $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] = 2$ cases. (See § 5.2.3.)

Theorem 1.14 suffices for calculating $\text{Ker} H^0(\mathcal{F}, N_0)$ and by the above surjections \bar{d}_{τ} 's, there uniquely exists a complex ADR_0^{la} having the following form such that \bar{d}_{τ} 's induce a surjective map of complexes $GDR_0^{\text{la}} \twoheadrightarrow ADR_0^{\text{la}}$. (See Lemma 5.2 for the proof. We call ADR_0^{la} an “arithmetic locally analytic de Rham complex”.)

$$ADR_0^{\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (0,0)} \rightarrow N'_0 \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)_{\tau}, (0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)}(d).$$

Note that by the result of Caraiani-Scholze [CS17] as we have seen in the above (2), we can deduce the vanishing of $H^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})_{\tau}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any $i \neq d$ and any $(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})_{\tau} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow C$. Thus we obtain $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}, N_0)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}, N'_0)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong H^d(\mathcal{F}, ADR_0^{\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the degeneration of the following spectral sequence.

$$E_1^{p,q} := \bigoplus_{I \subset \Phi, |I|=p} H^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}, (1, -1)_{\tau \in I}, (0,0)_{\tau \notin I}}(p))_{\mathfrak{m}} \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}, ADR_0^{\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

By putting $\text{Ker} := \text{Ker}(GDR_0^{\text{la}} \twoheadrightarrow ADR_0^{\text{la}})$, we have an exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{F}, GDR_0^{\text{la}}) \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{F}, ADR_0^{\text{la}}) \rightarrow H^{d+1}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Ker}).$$

Therefore, in order to prove Conjecture 1.13, it suffices to prove that $H^d(\mathcal{F}, GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ and $H^{d+1}(\mathcal{F}, \text{Ker})$ have generalized Hecke eigenspace decompositions by classical eigensystems.

First, we consider $H^d(\mathcal{F}, GDR_0^{\text{la}})$. Inspired by [Pan22b, § 5], we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.16. $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems.

By [CS17, Corollary 3.5.9], $\mathcal{F}\ell$ has a “Newton stratification” $\mathcal{F}\ell = \sqcup_{b \in B(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu^{-1})} \mathcal{F}\ell^b$, which is compatible with the usual Newton stratification on S_{K^w} via π_{HT} , where $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \text{Res}_{F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2, F_w}$ and $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the minuscule induced by the minuscule of S_K . (See § 4.3 for more detailed explanations.) By using excision sequences, we can reduce Conjecture 1.16 to the following more precise conjecture. (Let $j_b : \mathcal{F}\ell^b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ be the natural immersion.)

Conjecture 1.17. For any $b \in B(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu^{-1})$, the cohomology group $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems.

Roughly, the author hopes that $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ is equal to the $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant part of (rigid cohomology of the Igusa variety $\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b$) \otimes (geometric locally analytic de Rham complex of the Rapoport-Zink space $\mathcal{M}_{(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, b, \mu)}$), which can be regarded as a locally analytic de Rham analogue of the Mantovan formula for l -adic étale cohomology [Man05, Theorem 22]. Then Conjecture 1.17 follows from the classicality of the rigid cohomology $H_{\text{rig}}^i(\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b)$ of $\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b$, which is still an open problem. Note that we can prove the classicality of the alternating sum of $H_{\text{rig}}^i(\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b)$ in the Grothendieck group by using a trace formula in many cases, but that doesn’t suffice to prove the classicality of individual $H_{\text{rig}}^i(\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b)$ because there are some cancellations in the alternating sum. In some $\dim \text{Igs}_{K^w}^b \leq 1$ cases, we can deduce the classicality of $H_{\text{rig}}^i(\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b)$ by directly calculating $H_{\text{rig}}^0(\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b)$. (cf. [Pan22b, Lemma 4.4.13].) In this paper, we will prove the following.

Theorem 1.18. (Theorem 4.55)

Conjecture 1.17 is true if $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$.

When $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$, the Newton strata consist of 2 loci : the μ -ordinary locus and the basic locus. The method of computation of GDR_0^{la} on these loci was already given in [Pan22b, § 5]. On the basic locus, the Igusa variety Igs_K^b can be regarded as the Shimura set of an inner form GI of GU such that $GI(\mathbb{R})$ is compact modulo center and $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ has a Hecke eigenspace decomposition by eigensystems appearing in the space of algebraic automorphic forms $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^w)$ on GI , which is classical by definition. (See Theorem 4.52.) On the other hand, on the μ -ordinary locus, $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ is equal to the locally analytic induction of the de Rham cohomology of some dagger space and the author conjectures that this de Rham cohomology is equal to the rigid cohomology of the Igusa variety $\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b$ of the μ -ordinary locus. Note that even if we can prove that conjecture, in the $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] = 2$ case, $\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b$ has dimension 2. Thus the classicality of $H_{\text{rig}}^i(\text{Igs}_{K^w}^b)$ doesn’t follow from the method explained above. In this paper, we will use the recent work [DC24] to prove the classicality of $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_0^{\text{la}})$. (See Proposition 4.53.)

Even if we can prove Conjecture 1.16, we have one more problem, which didn’t appear in the modular curve case. That is the computation of $H^{d+1}(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{Ker})$. In the modular curve case, Ker is equal to $\Omega_{K^w}^{1, \text{sm}}(\mathcal{C})[-1]$, which comes from finite levels. (\mathcal{C} denotes the cusp of the modular curve and actually, we have $H^2(\mathcal{F}\ell, \Omega_{K^w}^{1, \text{sm}}(\mathcal{C})[-1]) = 0$.) However, this property doesn’t hold in our situation if $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] \geq 2$ and the computation of the complex Ker is very complicated. Note that by the definition of Ker , the component of the complex Ker is

generated by elements on which $\mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau$ acts trivially for some $\tau \in \Psi$. (See Lemma 5.6.) In order to overcome this difficulty, we use the following inductive argument.

We assume that Conjecture 1.16 holds and the considered eigensystem φ is not a classical eigensystem. Then the localization $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_0^{\text{la}})_\varphi$ of $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_0^{\text{la}})$ by φ is zero. Thus we obtain the following inclusion of nonzero spaces. (Note that by the property of the Fontaine operator, we can regard $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_0)[\varphi]$ as the Hodge-Tate weight 0 subspace of $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^v}, F_w)[\varphi]^{\text{la}} \widehat{\otimes}_{F_w} C$.)

$$H^{d+1}(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{Ker})[\varphi] \supset H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, ADR_0^{\text{la}})[\varphi] = \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_0)[\varphi] \subset \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^v}, F_w)[\varphi]^{\text{la}} \widehat{\otimes}_{F_w} C.$$

Since all the components of Ker are generated by its $\mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau$ -invariant parts ($\tau \in \Psi$), we obtain $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, F_w)[\varphi]^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau} \neq 0$ for some $\tau \in \Psi$. Here, one method to prove the classicality is computing $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^v}, F_w)[\varphi]^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau}$ by a similar method as [Pan22b]. However, a variant of Theorem 1.10

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, F_w)^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_{F_w} C \cong \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^w}}^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau})$$

is basically not true. (A variant of this property $\widehat{H}^1(K^p, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\text{sm}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C \cong H^1(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}})$ in the modular curve case is clearly not true because this contradicts the usual Hodge-Tate decomposition.) Moreover, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{S_{K^w}}^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_\tau}$ forgets the symmetry of the original locally analytic sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{K^w}^{\text{la}}$.

In this paper, by using the following comparison theorem of the completed cohomologies, we replace the unitary Shimura variety S_K/F by another unitary Shimura variety of dimension $d-1$. Let $l \neq p$ be a prime splitting completely in F and we assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is irreducible and generic and $U(F_{w'}^+) = \text{GL}_2(F_{w'}^+)$ for any $w' \mid l$. We fix $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and $w_0 \mid l$ (resp. $v_0 \mid l$) denotes the induced place of F (resp. F_0). Let U'/F^+ be the unitary group such that $U' \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(1, 1)$ for any $\tau \in \Psi \setminus \{\tau\}$, $U' \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(0, 2)$ for any $\tau' \notin \Psi$ or $\tau' = \tau$, $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, l}) = U'(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^{\infty, l})$, $U'(F_{w'}^+) = \text{GL}_2(F_{w'}^+)$ for any l -adic place $w \neq w_0$ and $U'(F_{w_0}^+) = D_{w_0}^\times$, where D_{w_0} be the central division algebra over F_{w_0} of dimension 4. Let GU'/\mathbb{Q} be the unitary similitude group defined by U' and T_K/F be the Shimura varieties associated with GU'/\mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 1.19. (Corollary 6.6)

For any sufficiently small K_l, K'_l , there exist positive integers $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a $\text{GL}_2(F_w) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -equivariant isomorphism $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K_l K'_l, w}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus m} \cong \widehat{H}^{d-1}(T_{K'_l K^l, w}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus n}$.

We give an outline of the proof of theorem 1.19. In the proof, we use the torsion Mantovan formula [Kos21, Theorem 7.1], which is a torsion analogue of the usual Mantovan formula. Now we consider the irreducible parameter at every $w' \mid l$. Thus the considered cohomology groups are equal to the contributions of the basic strata :

$$H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^l K'_l, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b, \mu), K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)[d],$$

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{d-1}(T_{K^l K'_l, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_{b'}(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b', \mu'), K'_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)[d-1].$$

(See § 6.1 for details. $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ denotes the space of algebraic automorphic forms on an inner form GI satisfying that $GI(\mathbb{R})$ is compact modulo center and $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b, \mu), K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ and $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b', \mu'), K'_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ are the cohomology of basic Rapoport-Zink spaces. In fact,

we have $J_b = J_{b'}$) The important property is that in the above formulas, the only difference between the above two groups is the contribution of the torsion cohomology of Rapoport-Zink spaces. Moreover, by using the tensor product representation $\pi_l^{\text{univ}} := (\otimes_{w'|v_0} \pi_{w'}^{\text{univ}}) \otimes_{\otimes_{w'|v_0} R_{w'}^{\text{univ}}} R_l^{\text{univ}}$ over $R_l^{\text{univ}} := \widehat{\otimes}_{w|v_0} R_w^{\text{univ}}$ of the admissible representation π_w^{univ} over R_w^{univ} corresponding to the universal deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}$, we obtain $\text{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l} \pi_l^{\text{univ}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^l K_l, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ & \cong (\text{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l} \pi_l^{\text{univ}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b, \mu), K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)[d] \\ & = (\text{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_l^{\text{univ}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b, \mu), K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)[d] \\ & = \text{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l}^{\mathbb{L}} (\pi_l^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b, \mu), K_l}, \mathcal{O}))[d]), \\ & H^{d-1}(T_{K^l K_l', \bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \\ & \cong \text{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l}^{\mathbb{L}} (\pi_l^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b', \mu'), K_l'}, \mathcal{O}))[d]) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 1.19 by taking the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ if we can prove that $\pi_l^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, b', \mu'), K_l'}, \mathcal{O})$ is concentrated at d and is a nonzero finite free module over R_l . These assumptions follow from a part of the torsion Kottwitz conjecture of the Rapoport-Zink space by [Zou25, Theorem 8.10].

As a consequence of Theorem 1.19, we obtain $\widehat{H}^{d-1}(T_{K^w}, F_w)[\varphi]^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}} \neq 0$. For the Shimura variety T_K , we can prove the following.

Theorem 1.20. (*Theorems 3.29 and 3.37*)

$$\widehat{H}^{d-1}(T_{K^w}, F_w)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}} \widehat{\otimes}_{F_w} C \cong \widehat{H}^{d-1}(T_{K^w}, \mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}}^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}})_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

We give an outline of the proof of theorem 1.20. This theorem can be proved by a similar method of [Pan22c, Theorem 4.4.6]. In fact, as mentioned in [Pan22c, Theorem 3.6.1] in the locally analytic case, the essential property to prove Theorem 1.20 is that the Faltings extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}}(1) \rightarrow \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, T_{K^w}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}} \rightarrow 0$ on T_{K^w} remains exact after taking the locally analytic $\mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}$ -invariant part. In fact, this can be proved by the following comparison between the Faltings extension and the Hodge-Tate filtration as [Pan22c, Corollary 4.2.3]. (See Proposition 3.24. We put $F^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F_w = \oplus_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}(F, F_w)} V_{\sigma}$. The right vertical map is the minus of the Kodaira-Spencer map. Note that the action of $\mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}$ on $\oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi \setminus \{\tau\}} V_{\sigma}$ is trivial.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi \setminus \{\tau\}} \mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi \setminus \{\tau\}} V_{\sigma}(1) \otimes_{F_w} \omega_{T_{K^w}, \tau} & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi \setminus \{\tau\}} \omega_{T_{K^w}, \sigma}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \sum_{\sigma} \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow -\text{KS} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, T_{K^w}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}}}^{\text{sm}} \Omega_{T_{K^w}}^{1, \text{sm}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Moreover, we can obtain a very explicit description for $\mathcal{O}_{T_{K^w}}^{\text{la}, \mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}}$ as in the modular curve case because the action of $\mathfrak{gl}_2(F_w)_{\tau}$ is trivial and we have $U \times_{F^+, \sigma} \mathbb{R} = U(1, 1)$ for $\tau \neq \sigma \in \Psi$. Again, if the counterpart of the classicality conjecture 1.16 holds for T_{K^w} , then we can replace T_K with another unitary Shimura variety of dimension $d - 2$ and by repeating this argument, we can reduce to a unitary Shimura curve case, in which case everything is exactly the same as in the modular curve case.

1.4 Organization of this paper

In section 2, we recall basic properties of locally analytic representations. In section 3, we recall and prove basic properties of automorphic representations of rank 2 unitary groups, cohomologies of rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties and elementary results on the locally analytic functions on the perfectoid unitary Shimura varieties. One of the key observations is a modification of geometric Sen theory (see Theorem 3.37) as we have seen before (cf. Theorem 1.20). In section 4, we construct geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes, which are certain locally analytic extensions of de Rham complexes at finite levels and are expected to be classical (cf. Conjecture 1.16). Moreover, we will prove this in the case $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$ in § 4.4 (cf. Theorem 1.18). In section 5, we will prove the compatibility of the complex obtained by the Fontaine operator and the geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes in the parallel weight case and the $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$ case (cf. Theorem 1.14). In section 6, we will prove a certain comparison theorem of completed cohomologies of unitary Shimura varieties and complete the proof of our classicality theorem (cf. Theorem 1.19). In section 7, we will give applications to the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. In § 7.1, we will prove a certain theorem on liftings of residual representations, which is needed to prove the Breuil-Mézard conjecture from “big $R = T$ theorem + classicality theorem”. (See the discussion after Theorem 1.5.) In § 7.2, we will prove a big $R = T$ theorem, which is a slight modification of [HW22]. (See Theorem 1.12.) In § 7.3, we will prove the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in some $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ cases by using theorems proved in the previous sections.

1.5 Notations

For a positive integer n , we write \mathfrak{S}_n for the symmetric group of degree n . For a finite set X , we write $|X|$ for the order of X . We put $\mathbb{Z}_+^n := \{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n\}$. For a field K , we write \overline{K} for an algebraic closure of K and K^{sep} for a separable closure of K . We put $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$. For schemes X and $\mathrm{Spec} B$ over $\mathrm{Spec} A$, we put $X_B := X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} A} \mathrm{Spec} B$. We will use similar notations for rings and adic spaces. For a representation V of a group G over a ring A , we put $V^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, A)$ with an action of G defined by $g \cdot f(v) := f(g^{-1}v)$.

Let p be a prime and G be a profinite group. For a continuous representation $r : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$, we write \bar{r} for the composition $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$. For a continuous representation $r : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, we write \bar{r} for the semisimplification of $\overline{grg^{-1}} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ for some $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(grg^{-1}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$. The isomorphism class of \bar{r} is independent of the choice of g .

Let l be a prime and D be a central division algebra over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_l of dimension 4. We write \mathcal{O}_D for the ring of integers of D , \mathbb{F}_D for the residue field of \mathcal{O}_D and $q_D := |\mathbb{F}_D|$.

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_l . When $l \neq p$, we say that a continuous representation $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ is generic if $H^0(K, \mathrm{ad}\bar{\rho}(1)) = 0$. We assume $p = l$. For a Hodge-Tate representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, we put $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho) := [\dots, \underbrace{i-1, \dots, i-1}_{\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho \otimes_{\tau, K} \widehat{K}(i-1))^{G_K}}, \underbrace{i, \dots, i}_{\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho \otimes_{\tau, K} \widehat{K}(i))^{G_K}}, \dots] \in (\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathfrak{S}_n)$. For example, the p -adic cyclo-

tomic character ε_p satisfies $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\varepsilon_p) = -1$ for any τ . For $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$, we say that a

continuous representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ if ρ is de Rham and $\mathrm{HT}_\tau(\rho) = \{\lambda_{\tau,1} + 1, \lambda_{\tau,2}\}$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$.

For a global field K and for a finite place v of K , let K_v be the completion of K at v , \mathbb{F}_v be the residue field at v and $q_v := q_{K_v}$.

Let K be a complete nonarchimedean extension field of \mathbb{Q}_p . For Banach spaces V, W over K , we write $V \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ for the Banach space $(\varprojlim_n (V^o \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o)/p^n)[\frac{1}{p}]$ with a unit ball $(\varprojlim_n (V^o \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o)/p^n)$, where V^o and W^o denote the unit balls of V and W . For a profinite group G and a Banach space W , let $C(G, W)$ denote the set of continuous functions from G to W . This is a Banach representation of G with a unit ball $C(G, W^o)$ by the action $(gf)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $x, g \in G$ and $f \in C(G, W)$. We say that a locally convex space V over K is a LB space if $V \cong \varinjlim_n V_n$ for an inductive system of Banach spaces $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ over K with the locally convex topology. Note that if V is Hausdorff, we may assume that all transition maps $V_n \rightarrow V_m$ are injective by [Pan22c, § 2.1.4]. Thus in the following, unless we specify, when we write $V = \varinjlim_n V_n$ for a Hausdorff LB space V , we always assume that all transition maps $V_n \rightarrow V_m$ are injective. For Hausdorff LB spaces $V = \varinjlim_n V_n, W = \varinjlim_n W_n$ over K , we define $V \widehat{\otimes}_K W = \varinjlim_n V_n \widehat{\otimes}_K W_n$. This is independent of the expressions $V = \varinjlim_n V_n$ and $W = \varinjlim_n W_n$.

1.6 Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to his advisor Takeshi Saito for careful reading of an earlier version of this paper and for his constant support and encouragement. This work was supported by the WINGS-FMSP program at the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo and JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 24KJ0812.

2 Preliminaries on locally analytic representations

In this section, we recall some properties of Banach representations and locally analytic representations.

Proposition 2.1. *Let W be a Hausdorff LB space over \mathbb{Q}_p and let $\{W_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ be a family of Banach spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p with continuous injections $u_i : W_i \hookrightarrow W$ over \mathbb{Q}_p for all i such that $W = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} u_i(W_i)$. Then for any Banach space V over \mathbb{Q}_p and any continuous map $g : V \rightarrow W$, there exist i and a continuous map $g_i : V \rightarrow W_i$ over \mathbb{Q}_p such that $V \xrightarrow{g_i} W_i \xrightarrow{u_i} W$ is equal to g .*

Proof. See [Sch13a, Corollary 8.9]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $V = \varinjlim_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} V_i$ be a Hausdorff LB space over a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p and let W be a Banach space over K . Then $V \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ is also a Hausdorff LB space over K .*

Proof. By using [Sch13a, Lemma 4.6], it suffices to prove that for any $0 \neq v \in V_j \widehat{\otimes}_K W$, there exists an open lattice L of $V \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ such that $v \notin L$. We may assume that $v \in V_j^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o \setminus pV_j^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o$. Then we can write $v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \otimes w_i + pu$ such that $u_i \in V_j^o, w_i \in W^o, u \in V_j^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o$ and $\sum_i u_i \otimes w_i \notin pV_j^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o$. We replace u_i 's with a basis of $V_j^o \cap \langle u_i \rangle_K$.

By using the Hahn-Banach theorem [Sch13a, Proposition 9.2], we have a continuous K -linear map $f : W \rightarrow K$ such that $f(W^o) = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $f(w_i) \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus p\mathcal{O}_K$ for some i . This induces the map $1 \otimes f : V \widehat{\otimes}_K W \rightarrow V$ satisfying $1 \otimes f(v) \neq 0$. Since V is Hausdorff, we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^d$ be a bounded complex of Hausdorff LB spaces over a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p with strict maps having closed images and let W be a Banach space over K . Then $C^0 \widehat{\otimes}_K W \rightarrow C^1 \widehat{\otimes}_K W \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^d \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ satisfies the same properties as C^\bullet and $H^i(C^\bullet) \widehat{\otimes}_K W = H^i(C^\bullet \widehat{\otimes}_K W)$.*

Proof. Note that if we can prove $H^i(C^\bullet) \widehat{\otimes}_K W = H^i(C^\bullet \widehat{\otimes}_K W)$, the maps of the complex $C^\bullet \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ have closed images by Lemma 2.2. By using the open mapping theorem [Sch13a, Proposition 8.8] and decomposing $C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^d$ by short exact sequences, it suffices to prove that for any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ of Hausdorff LB spaces over K , the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \widehat{\otimes}_K W \rightarrow M_2 \widehat{\otimes}_K W \rightarrow M_3 \widehat{\otimes}_K W \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Again by using the open mapping theorem [Sch13a, Proposition 8.8], we may assume that M_1, M_2 and M_3 are Banach spaces and all maps are strict. Thus we may assume that the image of M_2^o in M_3 and $M_2^o \cap M_1$ are unit balls of M_3 and M_1 respectively. Since M_3^o is p -torsion free, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1^o \rightarrow M_2^o \rightarrow M_3^o \rightarrow 0$ induces the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o \rightarrow M_2^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o \rightarrow M_3^o \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} W^o \rightarrow 0$, which implies the result. \square

For a p -adic Lie group G and a Banach representation V of G over \mathbb{Q}_p , we can consider the subspace V^{la} of locally analytic vectors in V . We recall the definition of V^{la} only in the case $G = \prod_{v \in T} \text{GL}_{n_v}(D_v)$, where T is a finite non-empty index set, $n_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and D_v is a central division algebra over a finite extension F_v of \mathbb{Q}_p . We only use such p -adic Lie groups in this paper. (Precisely, we also consider $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, but such groups can be regarded as an open subgroup of our groups by $\exp(p^2 \cdot) : \mathbb{Z}_p^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (1 + p^2 \mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ or in that case, the definition V^{la} is clear.) See [Pan22c, Section 2] and [Sch11] for details of general cases. We consider a subgroup $G_0 = \prod_{v \in T} (I_{n_v} + p^n M_{n_v}(\mathcal{O}_{D_v}))$ for $n > 1$. Then the usual exponential map induces a homeomorphism $\exp : p^n \prod_{v \in T} M_{n_v}(\mathcal{O}_{D_v}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0$. (This is called a coordinate of the first kind.) We have $G_k := G_0^{p^k} = \prod_{v \in T} (I_{n_v} + p^{n+k} M_{n_v}(\mathcal{O}_{D_v}))$ for $k \geq 0$. We fix an identification $p^n \prod_{v \in T} M_{n_v}(\mathcal{O}_{D_v}) = \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ over \mathbb{Z}_p for some m . We obtain a homeomorphism $\exp : p^k \mathbb{Z}_p^m \xrightarrow{\sim} G_k$. For a Banach space W over \mathbb{Q}_p , let $C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)$ be the subspace $C(G_k, W)$ consisting of analytic functions f on G_k , i.e., $f \circ \exp(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m} a_i x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}$

for any $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in p^k \mathbb{Z}_p^m$, where $a_i \in W$ satisfies $a_i p^{k(i_1 + \dots + i_m)} \rightarrow 0$ as $i_1 + \dots + i_m \rightarrow \infty$. This definition is independent of the choice of the identification $\mathbb{Z}_p^m = p^n \prod_{v \in T} M_{n_v}(\mathcal{O}_{D_v})$. This is a Banach space by the norm $\|f\|_{G_k} = \sup_i \|a_i\| p^{-k(i_1 + \dots + i_m)}$. For $f \in C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G) = \prod_{v \in T} M_{n_v}(D_v) = \mathbb{Q}_p^m$, we define $Xf(g) := \frac{d}{dt} f(g(\exp(tX)))|_{t=0}$. This is also independent of the choice of the identification $\mathbb{Z}_p^m = p^n \prod_{v \in T} M_{n_v}(\mathcal{O}_{D_v})$ and this defines an action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \mathbb{Q}_p on $C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)$.

Assume that W is a Banach representation of G_k . Then we have an action of G_k on $C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)$ by $(g \cdot f)(x) = g(f(g^{-1}x))$ for $g, x \in G_k$ and $f \in C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)$. Then the evaluation map $C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W) \rightarrow W$ at the unit element e is an injection on the invariant part of this action $f : C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)^{G_k} \hookrightarrow W$. Note that f is equivariant if we consider the right translation action

of G_k on $C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)^{G_k}$. We put $W^{G_k-\text{an}} := \text{Im} f$ and call this the space of G_k -analytic vectors. Note that $W^{G_k-\text{an}}$ is a Banach space by $C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)^{G_k} \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{G_k-\text{an}}$ and by using this isomorphism, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} acts on $W^{G_k-\text{an}}$. Let $W^{\text{la}} := \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} W^{G_{k'}-\text{an}}$. Note that W^{la} is a Hausdorff LB space because we have a continuous injection $W^{\text{la}} \hookrightarrow W$ of W^{la} into the Hausdorff space W . Note that W^{la} is equipped with the natural action of \mathfrak{g} and G_k . Moreover, if the action of G_k on W extends to G , then W^{la} is G -stable by the construction of W^{la} . We recall some basic properties of W^{la} .

Lemma 2.4. *Let W be a Banach representation of G_k over \mathbb{Q}_p and $x \in W^{G_k-\text{an}}$. Then the following holds.*

- 1 $\|x\|_{G_{k+1}} \leq \|x\|_{G_k}$.
- 2 $\|x\|$ is equal to $\|x\|_{G_{k'}}$ for sufficiently large k' .

Proof. This is clear from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{G_k}$. □

Proposition 2.5. *For any $D \in \mathfrak{g}$ and k , there exists a constant $C_{D,k} > 0$ such that $\|D(x)\|_{G_k} \leq C_{D,k} \|x\|_{G_k}$ for any $x \in W^{G_k-\text{an}}$.*

Proof. See [BC16, Lemma 2.6]. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be a Banach representation of G_k over \mathbb{Q}_p .*

Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- 1 $V = V^{\text{la}}$.
- 2 $V = V^{G_{k'}-\text{an}}$ for some $k' \geq k$.
- 3 For any positive integer l , there exist $k' \geq k$ and a $G_{k'}$ -stable lattice V^+ of V such that the action of $G_{k'}$ is trivial on $V^+ / p^l V^+$ for some $k' \geq k$.

Proof. See [Cam23, Lemma 2.1.4]. □

Example 2.7. 1 Any finite-dimensional representation of G_k over \mathbb{Q}_p satisfies the condition 3 and hence all conditions of Proposition 2.6.

2 Any complete p -adic ring A which is topologically of finite type over a complete nonarchimedean extension field K of \mathbb{Q}_p with a continuous action of G_k over K also satisfies the condition 3 and hence all conditions of Proposition 2.6.

3 The $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ -perfectoid cover $C\langle T^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle := \widehat{\cup_k \mathcal{O}_C\langle T^{\pm \frac{1}{p^k}} \rangle [\frac{1}{p}]}$ of $C\langle T^{\pm 1} \rangle$, which can be regarded as a representation of $\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ doesn't satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.6. Actually, we can prove $C\langle T^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle^{\text{la}} = \cup_k C\langle T^{\pm \frac{1}{p^k}} \rangle$ by using the Tate normalized trace. (cf. [Pan22c, example 3.1.6].)

Definition 2.8. Let V be a Banach representation of G_k over \mathbb{Q}_p . We say that V is an admissible representation if there exists $k' \geq k$ and a positive integer m such that there exists a closed $G_{k'}$ -equivariant embedding of V into $C(G_{k'}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\oplus m}$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let V be an admissible Banach representation of G_k over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then V^{la} is a dense subspace of V .*

Proof. See [ST03, Theorem 7.1]. □

In this paper, we will use a variant of the locally analytic vector. Let L be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p such that for $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, \bar{L}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, L)$ for any $v \in T$ and $D_v \otimes_{F_v, \tau} L = M_{m_v}(L)$ for any $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, L)$ and some $m_v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\Sigma := \sqcup_{v \in T} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, L)$. Then we have a natural decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_L := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\tau$, where $\mathfrak{g}_\tau = M_{n_v m_v}(L)$ if $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, L)$. Let Ψ be a subset of Σ .

For a Banach representation W of G_k over L , we consider the G_k -analytic $\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau$ -trivial part: $W^{G_k - \Psi - \text{an}} := W^{G_k - \text{an}, \bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} = (C^{\text{an}}(G_k, W)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau})^{G_k} = (C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W)^{G_k}$. Note that we consider \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic functions and don't consider L -analytic functions. This is a closed subspace of $W^{G_k - \text{an}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\Psi := \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau$ continuously acts on this by Proposition 2.5. We also consider $W^{\Psi - \text{la}} := W^{\text{la}, \bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} = \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} (C^{\text{an}}(G_{k'}, W)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau})^{G_{k'}}$.

If $\Psi = \Phi$, then $W^{\Psi - \text{la}} = W^{\text{la}}$ and if Ψ is empty, then $W^{\Psi - \text{la}} = W^{\text{sm}}$. For subsets $\Psi' \subset \Psi$, we have $M^{\Psi' - G_n - \text{an}} \subset M^{\Psi - G_n - \text{an}}$.

We introduce the “derived” functor $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W) := \varinjlim_k H^i(G_k, C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W)$ of the functor $W \mapsto W^{\Psi - \text{la}}$, which is a variant of $R^i \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}$ introduced in [Pan22c, § 2.2]. Note that the category of Banach representations is not abelian. The author doesn't know any appropriate universal property which characterizes $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}$. However, by the definition of $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}$, we can obtain the following, which are very similar to results in [Pan22c, § 2.2].

Lemma 2.10. 1 Let W be a Banach representation of G_k over L . Then $W^{\Psi - \text{la}} = R^0 \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W)$.

2 Let $0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow W_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of Banach representations of G_k over L . Then we have a long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_1^{\Psi - \text{la}} \rightarrow W_2^{\Psi - \text{la}} \rightarrow W_3^{\Psi - \text{la}} \rightarrow R^1 \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W_1) \rightarrow R^1 \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W_2) \rightarrow \dots$.

3 Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow W^0 \rightarrow W^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow W^s \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of Banach representations of G_k over L with strict maps such that $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W^j) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$. Then we have $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(M) \cong H^i((W^\cdot)^{\Psi - \text{la}})$ for any $i \geq 0$.

4 Let $0 \rightarrow W^0 \rightarrow W^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow W^s \rightarrow 0$ be a bounded complex of Banach representations of G_k over L with strict maps such that $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W^j) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and any j . Then we have a spectral sequence $E_2^{u,t} := R^u \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(H^t(W^\cdot)) \Rightarrow H^{u+t}((W^\cdot)^{\Psi - \text{la}})$.

Proof. The property 1 is clear. The property 2 follows from the exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W_1 \rightarrow C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W_2 \rightarrow C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

The statement 3 is clear. The spectral sequence of 4 is induced by the following bicomplex $C^{\cdot, \cdot}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} C(G_{k'}^2, C^{\text{an}}(G_{k'}, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W^0) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} C(G_{k'}^2, C^{\text{an}}(G_{k'}, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W^s) \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} C(G_{k'}, C^{\text{an}}(G_{k'}, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W^0) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} C(G_{k'}, C^{\text{an}}(G_{k'}, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W^s) \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\ \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W^0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_{k' \geq k} C^{\text{an}}(G_k, L)^{\bigoplus_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L W^s \end{array}$$

Note that $(W^\cdot)^{\Psi-\text{la}} \rightarrow C^{\text{tot}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism by the assumption $R^i\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(W^j) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and any j . \square

Finally, we state a certain formal expansion principle, which was used in [Pan22c, proofs of Theorems 3.6.1 and 4.3.9].

Let B be a commutative Banach algebra over L with a continuous action of G_k over L and let \mathfrak{b} be a solvable Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_Ψ . We fix a sequence of subalgebras $0 = \mathfrak{b}_0 \subset \mathfrak{b}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{b}_s$ such that \mathfrak{b}_{i-1} is an ideal of \mathfrak{b}_i and $\mathfrak{b}_i/\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$ has dimension 1 and for any i , fix a representative $X_i \in \mathfrak{b}_i$ of a generator of $\mathfrak{b}_i/\mathfrak{b}_{i-1}$. Assume that B^{sm} is dense in B and for any i , there exist $v_1, \dots, v_s \in B^{\Psi-G_k-\text{la}}$ such that $X_i(v_i) = 1$ and $X_j(v_i) = 0$ for any $i > j$.

Take an increasing sequence of integers $k \leq r(1) < r(2) < \cdots < r(n)^{14} < \cdots$ and take $v_{i,n} \in B^{G_{r(n)}}$ such that $\|v_i - v_{i,n}\| \leq p^{-n-1}$. Moreover, after replacing $r(n)$ if necessary, we may assume $\|v_i - v_{i,n}\|_{G_{r(n)}} = \|v_i - v_{i,n}\|$ by Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.11. *In the above situation, we obtain the following statements.*

1 *There exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$ and any $f \in B^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}}$, we have*

$$f = \sum_{j:=(j_1, \dots, j_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} a_j \prod_i (v_i - v_{i,n})^{j_i}$$

in $B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}}$ for some $a_j \in B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, \mathfrak{b}}$ satisfying $\sup_j \|a_j\|_{G_{r(n)}} p^{-n(j_1+\dots+j_s)} \leq \|f\|_{G_k}$.

Moreover, a_j 's are uniquely determined by f .

2 *The subspace $B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, \mathfrak{b}}\{v_1 - v_{1,n}, \dots, v_s - v_{s,n}\} := \{f = \sum_{j:=(j_1, \dots, j_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^s} a_j \prod_i (v_i - v_{i,n})^{j_i} \mid a_j \in B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, \mathfrak{b}}, \sup_j \|a_j\|_{G_{r(n)}} p^{-n(j_1+\dots+j_s)} < \infty\}$ of $B^{\Psi-G_{r(n)}-\text{an}}$ is a Banach space by the norm $\|f\|_v := \sup_j \|a_j\|_{G_{r(n)}} p^{-(n+1)(j_1+\dots+j_s)}$ and by this Banach space structure, the natural inclusion $B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, \mathfrak{b}}\{v_1 - v_{1,n}, \dots, v_s - v_{s,n}\} \hookrightarrow B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}}$ is continuous and the natural injection $B^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}} \hookrightarrow B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}}$ factors through a continuous injection $B^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}} \hookrightarrow B^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, \mathfrak{b}}\{v_1 - v_{1,n}, \dots, v_s - v_{s,n}\}$.*

Proof. See [Pan22c, § 4.3.5 ~ Theorem 4.3.9]. \square

3 Preliminaries on rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties

In this section, we prepare and prove some fundamental results on unitary groups which will be used later.

3.1 Rank 2 unitary groups and its automorphic representations

From here to § 6, we consider the following situation.

- F_0 is an imaginary quadratic field.
- F^+ is a totally real field.
- $F := F_0 F^+$.

¹⁴We forget the previous n .

- $\Phi := \text{Hom}_{F_0}(F, \mathbb{C})$.
- Ψ is a subset of Φ .
- $d := |\Psi|$.
- $S(B)$ is a finite set of finite places of F such that any prime lying below a place of $S(B)$ splits in F_0 , $S(B) = S(B)^c$ and $\frac{1}{2}|S(B)| + d = 0 \pmod{2}$.
- B is a central simple algebra over F defined by $\dim_F B = 4$, $\text{inv}_{F_v}(B_{F_v}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for any $v \in S(B)$ and $\text{inv}_{F_v}(B_{F_v}) = 0$ for any $v \notin S(B)$. (Thus if $S(B)$ is empty, we have $B = M_2(F)$.)
- $V := B$.
- If $S(B)$ is empty, we assume that $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\Psi \neq \Phi$. These assumptions are needed to use Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.10.

By the assumption $\frac{1}{2}|S(B)| + d = 0 \pmod{2}$, we have the following. (Let c be the complex conjugation on F .)

Proposition 3.1. *1 There exist an anti-involution $*$: $B \rightarrow B$ and an invertible element $\beta \in B^{*-1}$ such that $*|_F = c$, $\text{tr}_{B/\mathbb{Q}}(b\beta^*) > 0$ for any $0 \neq b \in B$ and the unitary group U over F^+ defined by the following formula having the properties that $U \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(1, 1)$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$, $U \times_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = U(0, 2)$ for any $\tau \notin \Psi$ and $U_{F_v^+}$ is quasi-split for any finite place $v \notin S(B)$.*

$U(R) := \{g \in (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{F^+} R)^\times \mid \psi(gv, gw) = \psi(v, w) \text{ for any } v, w \in V \otimes_{F^+} R\}$ for any F^+ -algebra R , where $\psi(v, w) := \text{tr}_{B/F}(v\beta w^)$. (Note that we regard V as a B^{op} -module by the right multiplication. Actually, we have $B^{\text{op}} \cong B$ because $B_{F_v}^{\text{op}} \cong B_{F_v}$ for any finite place v .)*

2 Let $'$ and β' also satisfy the above conditions and let U' be the unitary group defined by $*'$ and β' . Then there exists $b \in B^\times$ such that $\beta'g^*\beta'^{-1} = b\beta b^*g^*(b^*)^{-1}\beta^{-1}b^{-1}$ for any $g \in B$. In particular, we have an isomorphism $U' \xrightarrow{\sim} U, g \mapsto b^{-1}gb$.*

Remark 3.2. If $S(B)$ is empty, we can define $g^* := {}^t g^c$ for any $g \in B = M_2(F)$.

Proof. See [HT01, proof of Lemma I.7.1] and [Tho24, proof of Theorem 5.4]. \square

Definition 3.3. Let G be a connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q} , B be a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, T be a maximal torus of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, K_∞ be a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{Lie}(G(\mathbb{R}))$ be the Lie algebra of the Lie group $G(\mathbb{R})$, λ be a dominant weight of T , V_λ be an irreducible algebraic representation of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to λ and π be an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

1 We say that π is cohomological of weight λ if $H^i(\text{Lie}(G(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes V_\lambda) \neq 0$ for some i .

2 We simply say that π is cohomological if there exists a dominant weight λ such that π is cohomological of weight λ .

For any connected reductive group G over a number field L , we define the notion of being cohomological for automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_L)$ by the identification $G(\mathbb{A}_L) = (\text{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}} G)(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Note that since U is anisotropic modulo center, all discrete automorphic representations of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ are always cuspidal. Note also that for any finite place v of F^+ splitting $v = ww^c$ in F , we have a natural identification $U(F_v^+) = B_{F_w}^{\text{op}, \times}$. By taking a Borel subgroup of $U(F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as the set of upper triangular matrices, we identify the set of dominant weight with $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi}$.

Theorem 3.4. *1 For any cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$, there exists a unique conjugate self-dual¹⁵ cohomological isobaric automorphic representation $BC(\sigma) := \pi$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\pi_w = \sigma_v$ for any prime l splitting in F_0 not lying below a place in $S(B)$ and any place $v \mid l$ of F^+ and any place $w \mid v$ of F .*

2 For π and σ as in the statement 1, if π is cuspidal, then we obtain the following properties. (Let $\lambda = (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi}$ be the weight of σ .)

(a) The weight $\mu = ((\mu_{\tau,1}, \mu_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}, (\mu'_{\tau,1}, \mu'_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{c\Phi}$ of π is equal to

$$((\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}, (-\lambda_{\tau,2}, -\lambda_{\tau,1})_{\tau \in \Phi}).$$

(b) Let $v = ww^c$ be a place of F^+ splitting in F such that $w \notin S(B)$. Then we have $\sigma_w = \pi_v$.

(c) For any place v of F^+ which is inert in F , if σ_v is unramified, then π_v is unramified. (Here, we also regard v as the place of F .)

(d) For any place v of F^+ and any $w \mid v$ satisfying $w \in S(B)$, we have $\text{JL}_{F_v^+}(\sigma_v) = \pi_w$, where $\text{JL}_{F_v^+}$ denotes the Jacquet-Langlands transfer from $U(F_v^+) = B_{F_w}^{\text{op}, \times}$ to $\text{GL}_2(F_w)$.

(e) If F/F^+ is unramified at any finite place and σ_v is unramified for any place v of F^+ which is inert in F , the multiplicity $m(\sigma)$ of σ is 1. Moreover, such a σ is uniquely determined by π up to isomorphism.

3 Conversely, for any conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that π_w is discrete series for any $w \in S(B)$, there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ such that $\pi = BC(\sigma)$ and for any finite place v of F^+ which is inert in F , if π_v is unramified, then σ_v is unramified.

Proof. Statement 1 follows from [Shi14, Theorem 1.1] as in [ACC+23, Theorem 2.3.3] in the case that $S(B)$ is empty and from [CL99, Theorem A.5.2] in the case that $S(B)$ is non-empty.

The statements 2 and 3 were proved by [Lab11, Theorems 5.3 and 5.4] in the case that $S(B)$ is empty. (Note that in order to use [Lab11], we need to assume $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and in [Lab11, Theorem 5.3 and 5.4], he assumed a certain technical condition (*), but under our assumption that π is cuspidal, we can check that (*) always holds as in [KS23, Corollary 8.4] by using Theorem 3.10 later and [CS24, Lemma 2.1.1].) In the case that $S(B)$ is non-empty, we can also prove statements 2 and 3 as in [HT01, Theorem VI.2.1 and 2.9] by using [CL99, Theorem A.3.1] and [Bad08, Theorem (a) and (b)] except for (e) of 2. ([HT01, Theorem VI.2.1 and 2.9] states the result for a certain unitary group, but the same argument works in our case.) (e) is shown by the same argument as [Lab11, Theorem 5.4] and using [CL99, Theorem A.3.1] instead of [Lab11, Theorem 5.1]. \square

Let GU/\mathbb{Q} be the unitary similitude group defined by U/F^+ , i.e., $GU(R) = \{(\lambda, g) \in R^{\times} \times (B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R)^{\times} \mid \psi(gv, gw) = \lambda\psi(v, w) \text{ for any } v, w \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R\}$ for any \mathbb{Q} -algebra R and we put $\nu : GU \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, $(\lambda, g) \mapsto \lambda$ and then $\text{Ker} \nu = \text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}} U$.

¹⁵ π is conjugate self-dual if and only if $\pi^c \cong \pi^{\vee}$.

For a finite place v of F_0 splitting over \mathbb{Q} lying above l , we have an identification $GU(\mathbb{Q}_l) \cong \mathbb{Q}_l \times \prod_{w|v} B_{F_w}^{\text{op}, \times} = F_{0,v^c} \times \prod_{w|v} B_{F_w}^{\text{op}, \times}$. Moreover, Φ induces the identification $GU(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. By taking a Borel subgroup of $G(\mathbb{C})$ as the set of upper triangular matrices of $GU(\mathbb{C})$, we identify the set of dominant weights with $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$.

Theorem 3.5. 1 For any cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, there exists a unique cohomological isobaric automorphic representation $BC(\sigma) := \chi \boxtimes \pi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ satisfying that $\pi^c \cong \pi^\vee$, $\omega_\pi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times} = \chi^c/\chi$ and for any place $v \mid l$ of F_0 splitting over \mathbb{Q} not lying below places of $S(B)$, the representation $\sigma_l = \psi_l \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v} \sigma_w)$, $(\chi \boxtimes \pi)_v = \chi_v \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v} \pi_w)$ and $(\chi \boxtimes \pi)_{v^c} = \chi_{v^c} \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v^c} \pi_w)$ satisfy $\psi_l = \chi_{v^c}$ and $\sigma_w = \pi_w$ for any $w \mid v$.

2 For σ , χ and π as in the statement 1, if π is cuspidal, then we have the following properties. (Let $\lambda = (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$ be the weight of σ .)

(a) The weight $\mu = ((\mu, \mu'), (\mu_{\tau,1}, \mu_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}, (\mu'_{\tau,1}, \mu'_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in c\Phi}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{id}} \times \mathbb{Z}^c \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{c\Phi}$ of $\chi \boxtimes \pi_\infty$ is equal to $((\sum_\tau (\lambda_{\tau,1} + \lambda_{\tau,2}) + \lambda_0, \lambda_0), (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}, (-\lambda_{\tau,2}, -\lambda_{\tau,1})_{\tau \in \Phi})$.

(b) For any prime l which is inert in F_0 and unramified in F , if σ_l is unramified, then π_l is unramified.

(c) For any place $v \mid l$ of F_0 splitting over \mathbb{Q} , the representation $\sigma_l = \psi_l \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v} \sigma_w)$, $(\chi \boxtimes \pi)_v = \chi_v \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v} \pi_w)$ and $(\chi \boxtimes \pi)_{v^c} = \chi_{v^c} \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v^c} \pi_w)$ satisfy $\psi_l = \chi_{v^c}$, $\sigma_w = \pi_w$ for any $w \mid v$ if $w \notin S(B)$ and $\text{JL}_{F_w}(\sigma_w) = \pi_w$ if $w \in S(B)$.

(d) Let $v \mid l$ be a place of F_0 not splitting over \mathbb{Q} such that l splits $l = v_1 v_1^c$ in an imaginary quadratic field F_1 contained in F . Then the representations $\sigma_l = \psi_l \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{w|v_1} \sigma_w)$, χ_v and π_w for $w \mid v_1$ satisfy $\chi_v = (\psi_l \circ N_{F_{0,v}/\mathbb{Q}_l})(\prod_{w|v_1} \omega_{\pi_w}|_{F_{0,v}^\times})$ and $\sigma_w = \pi_w$.

3 Conversely, for any conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that π_w is discrete series for any $w \in S(B)$ and any algebraic Hecke character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times/F_0^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying $\omega_\pi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times} = \chi^c/\chi$, there exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $BC(\sigma) = \pi$ and for any prime l which is inert in F_0 and unramified in F , if π_l is unramified, then σ_l is unramified.

Remark 3.6. In the above statement 2, the author doesn't know what the multiplicity of σ is. (cf. See [CHL11, Theorem 1.2.4] for the proof of the multiplicity one in the odd unitary similitude group case.)

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.4 as in [HT01, Theorems VI.2.1 and VI.2.9]. \square

Theorem 3.7. Let π be a conjugate self-dual¹⁶ cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ and $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields. Then there exists an irreducible continuous representation $r_\iota(\pi) : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ unique up to isomorphism satisfying the following conditions.

1 There exists a G_F -equivariant perfect symmetric pairing $r_\iota(\pi) \times r_\iota(\pi)^c \rightarrow \varepsilon_p^{-1}$.

2 For any $v \mid p$, the representation $r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{F_v}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_v := (\lambda_{\iota\tau})_\tau \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$.

3 For any finite places v , we have $\iota \text{WD}(r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{F_v}})^{F-ss} \cong \text{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v | \det|_v^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and these representations are pure. (See [TY07, around Lemma 1.4] or [Mat24, definition 2.21] for the definition of purity.)

¹⁶i.e., $\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c$.

Proof. Except for the irreducibility of $r_\iota(\pi)$, this was proved by many people including higher dimensional cases. See [BGGT14, Theorem 2.1.1] and [Car14, Theorem 1.1] for the results and see [BGGT14, Theorem 2.1.1] for appropriate references. Note that in our case, we can easily prove the irreducibility of $r_\iota(\pi)$ because if $r_\iota(\pi)$ is a direct sum of characters ψ_1, ψ_2 , then ψ_1 and ψ_2 are de Rham. Therefore, we have algebraic Hecke characters χ_1 and χ_2 such that $\psi_i = r_\iota(\chi_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\pi_1 = \chi_1 || \frac{1}{2} \boxplus \chi_2 || \frac{1}{2}$ and this contradicts the cuspidality of π . (See [ACC+23, Lemma 7.1.1] and [BGGT14, proof of Theorem 5.5.2] for more general results.) \square

3.2 Cohomologies of rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties

We use the notations of the previous subsection. In the following, we assume that Ψ is not empty. For any $\tau \in \Phi$, we fix an identification $B \otimes_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that the involution $*$ induces c on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. By the Morita equivalence, the $B \otimes_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R}$ -module $V \otimes_{F^+, \tau} \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to the \mathbb{C} -vector space \mathbb{C}^2 and ψ induces a c -Hermitian non-degenerate bilinear form on \mathbb{C}^2 , for which we write ψ_τ . By the assumption on ψ , by replacing a basis of \mathbb{C}^2 if necessary, we obtain $\psi_\tau((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \sqrt{-1}(-x_1 \bar{y}_1 + x_2 \bar{y}_2)$ if $\tau \in \Psi$ and $\psi_\tau((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \sqrt{-1}(-x_1 \bar{y}_1 - x_2 \bar{y}_2)$ if $\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi$. Let $h : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{End}_B(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} = \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $z \mapsto \left(\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}_{\tau \in \Psi}, \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi} \right)$. Then $\text{tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \circ \psi(\cdot, h(\sqrt{-1}) \cdot)$ is positive definite. Thus $(B, *, V, \text{tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \circ \psi, h)$ is a PEL datum. (See [Kot92b] for details of PEL data.) Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GU(\mathbb{C})$ be the minuscule corresponding to h .

Thus we obtain the Shimura variety S_K for any neat open compact subgroup K of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty)$, which is a proper smooth variety of dimension d over its reflex field E_0 , which is smaller than the Galois closure \tilde{F} of F/\mathbb{Q} . (See [Lan13, definition 1.4.1.8] for the definition of neatness.) We have $S_K(\mathbb{C}) = GU(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})/KK_\infty$, where $K_\infty = \mathbb{R}_{>0}(\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (U(1) \times U(1)) \times \prod_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi} U(0, 2))$. (Calculations of the dimension and the reflex field of S_K are easy. The properness follows from the fact that GU/\mathbb{Q} is anisotropic modulo center and [Pau04, Lemma 3.1.5].) We fix a weight $\lambda = (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$. Then V_λ defines a local system of \mathbb{C} -modules on S_K . (See [Mil90, Proposition 3.3].) We will see the moduli interpretation of S_K and V_λ in § 3.3.

Theorem 3.8. (Matsushima formula)

We have the following decomposition as $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty)$ -modules.

$\varinjlim_K H^*(S_{K, \mathbb{C}}, V_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\sigma} (\sigma_f \otimes H^*(\text{Lie}(GU(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, K_\infty; \sigma_\infty \otimes V_\lambda))^{m(\sigma)}$, where σ runs through cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations of weight λ of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $m(\sigma)$ denotes the multiplicity of σ .

Remark 3.9. This also holds when Ψ is empty if we put $S_{K, \mathbb{C}} := GU(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty)/K$. This is a finite set, which is called a Shimura set of GU of level K . Then $H^0(S_K, V_\lambda)$ can be regarded as the space of algebraic automorphic forms $\mathcal{A}_{GU}(K, V_\lambda)$ of weight λ . (See [CHT08, proof of Proposition 3.3.2] for a more explicit description.)

Proof. See [Art89, § 2] for example. \square

Let p be a prime which splits in F_0 and doesn't lie below any place in $S(B)$ and $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism of fields. By using ι , we regard Ψ and Φ as subsets of $\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. By using ι , we can regard V_λ as a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -local system on S_K .

Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be a continuous representation. This can be regarded as a continuous morphism $\rho : G_{F_0} \rightarrow \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \rtimes G_{F_0}$ satisfying that the composition of this with the second projection is equal to id by [PR94, Proposition 1.7]. Let $\psi : G_{F_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ be a continuous character.

On the other hand, μ can be regarded as a dominant character of a maximal torus of the dual group $\widehat{GU}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ of $GU_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. This induces the irreducible algebraic representation $\widehat{GU}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) := \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times \times \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_\mu}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with a highest weight μ and this is uniquely extended to $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times \times \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})) \rtimes G_{F_0 E_0} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_\mu}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ such that the action of $G_{F_0 E_0}$ is trivial on the highest weight space. Thus we obtain the representation $r_\mu \circ (\psi \boxtimes \rho)|_{G_{E_0 F_0}} : G_{E_0 F_0} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_\mu}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. The restriction $r_\mu \circ (\psi \boxtimes \rho)|_{G_{\tilde{F}}}$ to the Galois closure \tilde{F} of F is equal to $\psi|_{G_{\tilde{F}}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\rho|_{G_{\tilde{F}}})^\tau)$, where $(\rho|_{G_{\tilde{F}}})^\tau$ is the representation $G_{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ defined by $(\rho|_{G_{\tilde{F}}})^\tau(g) := \rho(\tilde{\tau}^{-1} g \tilde{\tau})$ for $g \in G_{\tilde{F}}$ where $\tilde{\tau} \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a lift τ . Note that the isomorphism class of $(\rho|_{G_{\tilde{F}}})^\tau$ is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\tau}$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let π be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an algebraic Hecke character such that $\omega_\pi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times} = \chi^c/\chi$. Then we have the following isomorphism of $G_{F_0 E_0}$ -modules up to semisimplification.*

$\oplus_{\sigma^\infty} (\varinjlim_K H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \overline{F_0 E_0}}, V_\lambda)[\sigma^\infty]) \cong (r_\mu \circ (r_\iota(\chi)^c \boxtimes r_\iota(\pi)))^\vee(-d)^{\oplus m}$, where m is a certain positive integer, σ^∞ runs through the irreducible admissible representations of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty)$ such that $\sigma := \sigma^\infty \otimes \sigma_\infty$ is a cohomological automorphic representation of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $BC(\sigma) = \chi \boxtimes \pi$ for some σ_∞ .

Proof. See [Kot92a, Theorem 1] and [SS13, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 10.6]. Note that in order to use [SS13], we need to assume that if $S(B)$ is empty, then $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\Psi \neq \Phi$. \square

Let E be a finite subextension of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $\text{Hom}(F, E) = \text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of E , ϖ be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} and \mathbb{F} be the residue field of \mathcal{O} . Then V_λ is defined over E , for which we also write V_λ and has a model \mathcal{V}_λ over \mathcal{O} . Let S be a finite set of primes containing p , all primes lying below places in $S(B)$ or all primes ramified in F .

Let $K = \prod_l K_l$ be a neat open compact subgroup of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty)$ such that K_l is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $GU(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ for any prime $l \notin S$. We may assume $K_l = \mathcal{O}_{F_0, v}^\times \times \prod_{w|v} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})$ for any $v \mid l$ splitting over \mathbb{Q} not contained in S . Let \mathbb{T}^S denote the subalgebra of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty), K)_\mathcal{O}$ generated over \mathcal{O} by $\mathcal{H}(GU(\mathbb{Q}_l), K_l)_\mathcal{O}$ for any $l \notin S$ splitting in F_0 .

Let $v \mid l$ be a place of F_0 splitting over \mathbb{Q} not lying above S . For a finite place $w \mid v$ of F , we define $P_w(X) := X^2 - T_{w,1}X + q_v T_{w,2} \in \mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_2(F_w), \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}))_{\mathbb{Z}}[X] \subset \mathbb{T}^S[X]$, where

$$T_{w,1} := [\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_w & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})], T_{w,2} := [\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_w & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi_w \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})].$$

Note that for any unramified representation π_w of $\text{GL}_2(F_w)$ over \mathbb{C} with an eigensystem $\varphi_{\pi_w} : \mathcal{H}(\text{GL}_2(F_w), \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}))_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have $\det(X - \text{rec}_{F_w}(\pi_w | \det|_w^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\text{Frob}_w)) = \varphi_{\pi_w} \circ$

$P_w(X)$. (See [CHT08, Corollary 3.1.2].) Let $T_v := [\mathcal{O}_{F_0,v}^\times \varpi_v \mathcal{O}_{F_0,v}^\times] \in \mathbb{T}^S$ for a finite place v of F_0 splitting over \mathbb{Q} .

Definition 3.11. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}^S such that $\mathbb{T}^S/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite field.

1 We say that \mathfrak{m} is decomposed generic if there exists a prime $l \notin S$ splitting completely in F such that for any finite place $w \mid l$ of F , the eigenvalues α_w, β_w of $P_w(X) \bmod \mathfrak{m}$ satisfies $\alpha_w/\beta_w \neq l^{\pm 1}$.

2 We say that \mathfrak{m} is non-Eisenstein if there exists an irreducible continuous representation $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^S/\mathfrak{m})$ such that for almost all $l \notin S$ splitting in F_0 and any $w \mid l$, the restriction $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is unramified and $\det(X - \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Frob}_w)) = P_w(X)$. (Precisely, we need to write $P_w(X) \bmod \mathfrak{m}$, but we also omit such notations in the following.)

For decomposed generic maximal ideals, we have the following very nice vanishing theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}^S . Then we have the following.*

- 1 $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K,\bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $i \neq d$.
- 2 $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^d(S_{K,\bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finite free \mathcal{O} -module.
- 3 $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^d(S_{K,\bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi^n \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^d(S_{K,\bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof. See [CS17, Theorem 6.3.1] and [Kos21, Theorem 1.4] for the statement 1. The statements 2 and 3 are formal consequences of 1. \square

We consider $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{O}) := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K^p K_p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$. This has an action of $G_F \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where $G := GU_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. More generally, we consider $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K^p K_p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n)$. We put $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_\lambda) := \hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)[\frac{1}{p}]$.

Proposition 3.13. *1 Let n be a positive integer. Then we have*

$$H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K^p K_p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n) = H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K^p K_p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n} \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n$$

for any K_p acting trivially on $\mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n$.

$$2 \quad \hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) = \hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{V}_\lambda.$$

3 $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$ has bounded p -torsions and $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_\lambda)$ is an admissible Banach representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ whose unit ball is the maximal p -torsion free quotient $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)/(p - \mathrm{tor})$ of $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$.

Proof. The statement 1 follows from the fact that $\mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n$ is a constant sheaf of finite free \mathcal{O}/ϖ^n -modules on $S_{K^p K_p}$ if K_p acts trivially on $\mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n$.

$$2 \quad \hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K^p K_p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n) = \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(S_{K^p K_p}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{V}_\lambda.$$

See [Eme06b, Theorem 0.1] and [Eme17, Proposition 6.2.6] for the statement 3. \square

Let us fix an open compact subgroup $K_{p,0}$ of $GU(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and for a normal open compact subgroup $K_p \subset K_{p,0}$, let

$$\mathbb{T}^S(K_p K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n) := \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{D(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n[K_{0,p}/K_p])}(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(S_{K_p K^p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda/\varpi^n)))$$

and $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) := \varprojlim_{K_p, n} \mathbb{T}^S(K_p K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)$. Note that $\mathbb{T}^S(K_p K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)$ a priori depends on the choice of $K_{p,0}$, but a natural map $\mathbb{T}^S(K_p K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n) \rightarrow \text{End}_{D(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)}(R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(S_{K_p K^p, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n))$ has a nilpotent kernel annihilated by $(d+1)$ power. (See [ACC+23, Lemma 2.2.4].) Note also that by 2 of Proposition 3.13, we have $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) = \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})$.

Proposition 3.14. *1 If K_p is pro- p , then the ring morphism $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K^p K_p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi)$ induces a bijection between the sets of maximal ideals. Therefore, the set of maximal ideals of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$ is a finite set $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r\}$.*

2 Let $J := \cap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{m}_i$. Then $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$ is J -adically complete. Thus we have a finite direct product decomposition $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ such that $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ is \mathfrak{m}_i -adically complete for any i and a finite direct sum decomposition $\widehat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \widehat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$.

3 Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$. (Precisely, the pullback of \mathfrak{m} to \mathbb{T}^S is a decomposed generic maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}^S . In the following, we also use such conventions.) Then $\widehat{H}^i(S_K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $i \neq d$ and $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the p -adic completion of the torsion free \mathcal{O} -module $\varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^p K_p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof. See [GN22, proof of Lemma 2.1.14] for the statements 1 and 2. The statement 3 follows from Theorem 3.12. \square

Proposition 3.15. *For any decomposed generic maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of \mathbb{T}^S , we have*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^p K'_p, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{K_p} = H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^p K_p, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for any integer n and any open normal subgroup K'_p of K_p and $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{K_p} = H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K^p K_p, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 3.12 and the second statement follows from the first statement. \square

In the rest of this subsection, we fix a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$. We put

$$\mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}} := \text{Im}(\mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n}(H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}))$$

and $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_{K_p, n} \mathbb{T}^S(K^p K_p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda / \varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This is an abuse of notations because this has a surjection from the localization of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$ at \mathfrak{m} with a nilpotent kernel annihilated by $(d+1)$ -power but this may not be an isomorphism. In the following, we will not consider the localization of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)$ at \mathfrak{m} . Thus there are no risks of confusion. We put $\mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} := \text{Im}(\mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}))$. By Proposition 3.14, we have $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \varprojlim_{K_p} \mathbb{T}^S(K^p K_p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Theorem 3.16. *1 There exists a continuous representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}})$ unique up to isomorphism such that $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^c \cong \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee} \varepsilon_p^{-1}$ and $\det(T - \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_w)) = P_w(T)$ for any $l \notin S$ splitting in F_0 and any places $w \mid l$.*

2 There exists a unique continuous character $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{F_0, S} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}^\times$ such that $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}^c / \chi_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Art}_{F_0} = \varepsilon_p \det \rho_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Art}_F|_{\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\infty}$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_v) = T_v$ for any $l \notin S$ splitting in F_0 and any place $v \mid l$ of F_0 .

Remark 3.17. Let σ be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\sigma^{\infty, K} \neq 0$, $\chi \boxtimes \pi := BC(\sigma)$ and $\varphi_{\sigma, \iota} : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be the eigensystem corresponding to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes_{\iota^{-1}, \mathbb{C}} \sigma^{\infty, K}$. Then we have $r_{\iota}(\pi) = \varphi_{\sigma, \iota*} \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $r_{\iota}(\chi) = \varphi_{\sigma, \iota*} \chi_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\varphi_{\sigma, \iota*} \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (resp. $\varphi_{\sigma, \iota*} \chi_{\mathfrak{m}}$) denotes the composition of the following map $G_{F, S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{GL}_2(\varphi_{\sigma, \iota})} \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ (resp. $G_{F, S} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\sigma, \iota}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$).

Proof. 1 By using Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7, we can construct a continuous representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K_p} : G_{F, S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^S(K^p K_p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}})$ satisfying $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K_p}^c \cong \rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K_p}^{\vee} \varepsilon_p^{-1}$, $\det(T - \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Frob}_w)) = P_w(T)$ for any $l \notin S$ splitting in F_0 and any places $w \mid l$ and that for any $K'_p \subset K_p$, the pushforward of $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K'_p}$ to $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p K_p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is equal to $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K_p}$ compatible with isomorphisms $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K_p}^c \cong \rho_{\mathfrak{m}, K_p}^{\vee} \varepsilon_p^{-1}$. See [CHT08, proof of Proposition 3.4.4] for details. Thus by taking \varprojlim_{K_p} , we obtain the result. The proof of 2 is easier. \square

Proposition 3.18. We put $s_{\mathfrak{m}} := \chi_{\mathfrak{m}}^c|_{G_{\bar{F}, S}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{\bar{F}, S}})^{\tau})$ and assume the following conditions.

- (1) $\bar{s}_{\mathfrak{m}} := s_{\mathfrak{m}} \bmod \mathfrak{m}$ is absolutely irreducible.
- (2) $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_F)$ is not solvable.

Then the evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}} : s_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}[G_{\bar{F}}]}(s_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d), \hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}) \rightarrow \hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We may assume that the residue field of \mathfrak{m} is equal to \mathbb{F} . We write $H_{\mathrm{et}}^d(S_{K^p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}/\varpi)$ for $\varprojlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{et}}^d(S_{K^p K_p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}/\varpi)$.

First, we have the injectivity of a map

$$\bar{s}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[G_{\bar{F}}]}(\bar{s}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d), H^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}/\varpi)_{\mathfrak{m}}[\mathfrak{m}]) \rightarrow H^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}/\varpi)_{\mathfrak{m}}[\mathfrak{m}]$$

by the assumption that $\bar{s}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is absolutely irreducible. Thus we obtain the injectivity of

$$(s_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}[G_{\bar{F}}]}(s_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d), \hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}))/\varpi[\mathfrak{m}] \rightarrow H^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}/\varpi)_{\mathfrak{m}}[\mathfrak{m}].$$

This implies the injectivity of $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{F}$ because any element of

$$(s_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d) \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}[G_{\bar{F}}]}(s_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\vee}(-d), \hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}))/\varpi$$

is annihilated by a power of \mathfrak{m} . Therefore, $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}}$ is injective and the cokernel is torsion free over \mathcal{O} . Thus, $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ has a closed image.

By Theorem 3.10, if we can prove the semisimplicity of the action of $G_{\bar{F}}$ on $H_{\mathrm{et}}^d(S_{K^p K_p, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any K_p , then we obtain the density of $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}})[\frac{1}{p}]$ in $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, which implies the result. Therefore, it suffices to prove that for any cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with weight λ such that $\chi \boxtimes \pi := BC(\sigma)$ satisfies $r_{\iota}(\pi) = \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, the representation $\varprojlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{et}}^d(S_{K, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda})[\sigma^{\infty}]$ is semisimple. This follows from [FN19, Theorem 2.20, (2.12) and (2.13)]. Note that our assumption on $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ implies that $r_{\iota}(\pi)$ is strongly irreducible by [ACC+23, Lemma 7.1.2] and thus the assumption of [FN19, Theorem 2.20] is satisfied. \square

Let $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ and $v \mid p$ be the finite place of F_0 induced by $F_0 \hookrightarrow F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\iota^{-1}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then we have $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \prod_{w \mid v} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)$ and after enlarging E , the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is identified with $E \times \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(E)_\tau$. (Precisely, we put $\mathfrak{gl}_2(E)_\tau := \mathfrak{gl}_2(E)$. We use this notation for expressing the considered index.) For an \mathcal{O} -morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{V}_\lambda)_\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, we put $\rho_\varphi := \varphi_* \rho_\mathfrak{m}$ and $\chi_\varphi := \varphi_* \chi_\mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 3.19. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_v}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_w := (\lambda_{\iota\tau,1}, \lambda_{\iota\tau,2}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, E)}$ for any $w \mid v$ and $\chi_\varphi|_{G_{F_{v^c}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_0 and let $\chi_{\lambda^\vee} : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow E$ be the infinitesimal character of V_{λ^\vee} . Here, we regard V_λ as the irreducible algebraic representation $\mathrm{GL}_{2,E}$ with dominant weight λ and $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ denotes the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} .*

Then we have $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, E)_\mathfrak{m}^{\mathrm{la}}[\varphi] \subset \hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, E)_\mathfrak{m}^{\mathrm{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\vee}}$.

Proof. See [DPS20, § 9.10]. □

3.3 Hodge-de Rham structure of universal abelian varieties

Let L be an extension field of \tilde{F} contained in \mathbb{C} such that $B \otimes_F L = M_2(L)$. Thus the minuscule μ is defined over L and we have a decomposition $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L = V_1 \oplus V_0$, where $\mu(z) = z$ on V_1 and $\mu(z) = 1$ on V_0 . For simplicity, we only recall the moduli interpretation of S_K over L . (See [Kot92b, § 5] or [Lan13, § 1.4.2] for more details. Note that we have $\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, GU) = 1$ in our situation by [Kot92b, § 7] and we replace the usual Kottwitz determinant condition by the following condition 4 by using [Lan13, Lemma 1.2.5.13].)

Let T be a connected locally noetherian scheme over L with a geometric point t . Then $S_{K,L}(T)$ parametrizes the equivalence classes of tuples $(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta})$, where

- 1 A is an abelian scheme over T .
- 2 $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ is a polarization.
- 3 $i : B \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a ring morphism such that $i(b^*)^\vee \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ i(b)$.
- 4 Zariski locally on T , the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie} A$ of A is isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_T$ as $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_T$ -modules.
- 5 $\bar{\eta}$ is a K -level structure, i.e., a $\pi_1(T, t)$ -stable K -orbit of isomorphisms of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty$ -modules $\eta : V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} VA_t$ which is compatible with the pairings under some identification $g : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty(1)$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty$ (note that g is uniquely determined by η), where $VA_t := (\varprojlim_n A_t[n](k(t))) \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We say that $(A_1, \lambda_1, i_1, \bar{\eta}_1)$ and $(A_2, \lambda_2, i_2, \bar{\eta}_2)$ are equivalent if there exist an isogeny $\alpha : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\alpha \lambda_1 = r \lambda_2 \alpha$, $\alpha \circ i_1(b) = i_2(b) \circ \alpha$ for any $b \in B$ and $\eta_2^{-1} \circ V(\alpha) \circ \eta_1$ is contained in the K -orbit of id and $g_2^{-1} \circ g_1$ is contained in the $\nu(K)$ -orbit of r , where η_1 (resp. η_2) is any representative of $\bar{\eta}_1$ (resp. $\bar{\eta}_2$) and g_1 (resp. g_2) is an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^\infty(1)$ defined by η_1 (resp. η_2) as in the above condition 5.

We fix a representative $f : A_{K,L} \rightarrow S_{K,L}$ of the universal isogeny class of abelian schemes over $S_{K,L}$. Actually, we can see that the following construction is independent of this choice. The decomposition $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L = \prod_{\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(F, L)} M_2(L)$ induces the decompositions $(R^1 f_* \Omega_{A_{K,L}/S_{K,L}}^\bullet)^\vee =$

$\oplus_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, L)} D'_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$ and $f_* \Omega_{A_{K, L}/S_{K, L}}^1 = \oplus_{\tau} \omega'_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$. Moreover, by the Morita equivalence, $D'_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$ and $\omega'_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$ induce a rank 2 filtered vector bundle $D_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$ on $S_{K, L}$ with an integrable connection satisfying Griffiths transversality and a vector bundle $\omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$ on $S_{K, L}$ satisfying the following by the above conditions 4 and 5.

- For any $\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi$, we have $\omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}} = 0$ and $D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} = \text{Fil}^0 D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} \supset \text{Fil}^1 D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} = 0$.
- For any $\tau \in \Psi$, the vector bundle $\omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}}$ is a line bundle and we have

$$\text{gr}^i D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \geq 1. \\ \omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K, L}}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} & \text{if } i = 0. \\ \omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}}^{-1} & \text{if } i = -1. \\ 0 & \text{if } i \leq -2. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.20. (*Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism*)

For any $\tau \in \Psi$, the composition of maps

$$\omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K, L}}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} \hookrightarrow D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} \xrightarrow{\nabla} D_{\tau, S_{K, L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K, L}}} \Omega_{S_{K, L}}^1 \twoheadrightarrow \omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K, L}}} \Omega_{S_{K, L}}^1$$

is an injection of $\mathcal{O}_{S_{K, L}}$ -modules and the induced map

$$\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K, L}}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K, L}}) \rightarrow \Omega_{S_{K, L}}^1 \quad (4)$$

is an isomorphism. (Here, we put $\Omega_{S_{K, L}}^1 := \Omega_{S_{K, L}/L}^1$ for simplicity. In the following, we will use this notation.)

Proof. We may assume that $L = \mathbb{C}$. We put $S := GU(\mathbb{R})/K_{\infty}$ and thus we have $S_K(\mathbb{C}) = GU(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus S \times GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})/K$. Note that the flag variety Fl/\mathbb{C} corresponding to $(GU_{\mathbb{C}}, \mu)$ is regarded as $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and classifies 1-dimensional quotients of $V_{\tau} := \mathbb{C}^2$ for all $\tau \in \Psi$. Let $\omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}$ be the dual of the universal 1-dimensional subsheaf of $V_{\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Fl}}$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$. Thus we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}^{-1} \rightarrow V_{\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Fl}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}} \otimes \wedge^2 V_{\tau} \rightarrow 0$. Note that we have a trivialization of the pullback of $D_{\tau, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}}$ to S and the map from S to Fl induced by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K, \mathbb{C}}}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}} \rightarrow D_{\tau, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ is an open immersion. (See [Mil90, chapter III, Proposition 1.1].) Thus, it suffice to prove the counterpart of the result on $U := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \subset \text{Fl}$. Here, we regard $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(x_{\tau}, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Thus the image $e_{1, \tau}$ of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\tau}$ via the map $V_{\tau} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau}(U)$ is a generator of $\omega_{\tau}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_{\tau}$.

We have the following exact sequences. (We fix a numbering τ_1, \dots, τ_d of $\Psi = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}^{-1}(U) & \longrightarrow & V_{\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Fl}}(U) & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_{\tau} \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \nabla & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^d \omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}^{-1}(U) dx_{\tau_i} & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^d (V_{\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Fl}}(U) dx_{\tau_i}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{i=1}^d (\omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_{\tau} dx_{\tau_i}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Note that the subspace $\omega_{\tau, \text{Fl}}^{-1}(U)$ of $V_{\tau} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Fl}}(U)$ is generated by $x_{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\tau}$ and for $f(x_{\tau_1}, \dots, x_{\tau_d}) \in \mathbb{C}[x_{\tau_1}, \dots, x_{\tau_d}]$ the image of $f(x_{\tau_1}, \dots, x_{\tau_d})(x_{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{\tau}) \in \omega_{\text{Fl}, \tau}^{-1}(U)$

in $\oplus_{i=1}^d (\omega_{\text{FL},\tau}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau dx_{\tau_i})$ is equal to $(0, \dots, 0, f(x_{\tau_1}, \dots, x_{\tau_d}) e_{1,\tau} dx_\tau, 0, \dots, 0)$. Thus we obtain the result. \square

For $\lambda = (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$, we have a filtered $\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}$ -module with an integrable connection

$$D_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} := D_{0, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_0} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Phi} ((\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{\lambda_{\tau,2}} \otimes \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau,1} - \lambda_{\tau,2}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})), \quad (5)$$

where $D_{0, S_{K,L}}$ is the filtered $\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}$ -module with an integrable connection corresponding to the similitude representation (see [Mil90, Proposition 3.3]). Thus this is a line bundle and we have $\text{Fil}^{-1} D_{0, S_{K,L}} = D_{0, S_{K,L}} \supset \text{Fil}^0 D_{0, S_{K,L}} = 0$.¹⁷

We regard $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi \setminus \Psi}$ (resp. $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Psi$) as a subset of $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$ by $(\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi})$ (resp. $(\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Psi}$) $\mapsto (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Psi}, (0, 0)_{\tau \in \Psi})$ (resp. $(0, (0, 0)_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi}, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Psi})$). Moreover, for $\lambda^\Psi = (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi \setminus \Psi}$ and $\lambda_\Psi = (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Psi} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Psi$, we put $\lambda^\Psi \lambda_\Psi := (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \in \Phi}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$. In the following, we fix $\lambda^\Psi = (\lambda_0, (\lambda_{\tau,1}, \lambda_{\tau,2})_{\tau \notin \Psi}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\Phi \setminus \Psi}$, $\lambda_\Psi = (0, -\lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in \Psi} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Psi$ and $\lambda := \lambda^\Psi \lambda_\Psi$.

In the following, we fix a numbering τ_1, \dots, τ_n of $\Psi = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$. If we change this numbering, some maps f in the following construction and the constructions § 4.1 and 4.2 later are changed to $-f$.

By [Lan13, Corollary 4.30], the de Rham complex $(D_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$ of weight λ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex having the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\ & \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes \omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\sigma + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \neq \sigma} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\ & \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=2} D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\ & \dots \rightarrow \\ & D_{S_{K,L}, \lambda^\Psi} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

However, we need more explicit construction of $GDR_{\lambda, S_{K,L}}$ in § 4.2. In order to give a construction, first we see that the above quasi-isomorphism can be easily constructed over \mathbb{C} by using the holomorphic Borel embedding and reducing the construction to that on the flag variety $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$.

Construction over \mathbb{C}

In the following, we use the same notations as in the proof of Proposition 3.20. Let $\text{pr}_\tau : \text{Fl} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ be the projection and $\text{pr}_\tau^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ be the inverse image of a sheaf \mathcal{F} via pr_τ . $(D_{\lambda, S_{K,\mathbb{C}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,\mathbb{C}}}} \Omega_{S_{K,\mathbb{C}}}^\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$ can be identified with the pullback of the complex

$$V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{pr}_\tau^{-1}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}) \rightarrow \text{pr}_\tau^{-1}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^1)))$$

on Fl . Moreover, $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^1$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Fil}^1 \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1} / \text{Fil}^{\lambda_\tau}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^1$ by the same proof as in Proposition 3.20 when $\lambda_\tau = 1$ case and by induction on λ_τ in general. This induces a splitting

¹⁷We use the notation $\mathcal{L}^n := \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ for a line bundle \mathcal{L} on a scheme.

$\omega_{\tau, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^{\lambda_{\tau}} \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} V_{\tau}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}$ giving a quasi-isomorphism $(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} V_{\tau}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} V_{\tau}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^1) \cong (\omega_{\tau, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^{\lambda_{\tau}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^{-\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-\lambda_{\tau}+1})$ by the same proof as in Proposition 3.20 when $\lambda_{\tau} = 1$ case and by induction on λ_{τ} in general. This induces the above description (6) of $(D_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^{\bullet}, \nabla_{\bullet})$ over \mathbb{C} .

In the following, we give a direct (but subtle) construction of $GDR_{\lambda, S_{K,L}}$ over general L . Note that by the result over \mathbb{C} , it suffices to construct the maps realizing the above maps over \mathbb{C} .

By Proposition 3.20, the numbering τ_1, \dots, τ_d induces the natural identification $\Omega_{S_{K,L}}^n = \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=n} (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})))$.

In the de Rham complex $(D_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^{\bullet}, \nabla_{\bullet})$ of weight λ , we have a map

$$\nabla_n : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^n \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^{n+1}.$$

Thus for $I, J \subset \Psi$ such that $|I| = n$ and $|J| = n+1$, by using the natural inclusion from the I -component $i_I : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^n$ and the natural projection to the J -component $j_J : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^{n+1} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in J} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})))$, we obtain a map $\nabla_{n,I,J} := j_J \circ \nabla_n \circ i_I : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in J} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})))$.

Note that we have a subquotient $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}})) \leftarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})))$. In the following, we will construct a section s_I of this quotient map for any I whose base change to \mathbb{C} is equal to the section previously constructed above (Note that this implies that we obtain $\text{Im}(\nabla_{n,I,J} \circ s_I) \subset \text{Im}(s_J)$ and s_I 's induce the complex having a form as above (6)).

Lemma 3.21. *Let I and J as above. Then we have the following.*

(1) $\nabla_{n,I,J}$ is zero unless $J = I \cup \{\sigma\}$ for some $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$.

In the following, we also assume that $J = I \cup \{\sigma\}$ for some $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$.

(2) $\nabla_{n,I,J}$ sends the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee})$ to the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}))$.

In the following, we fix $M \subset \Psi \setminus J$. Actually, we have the following stronger result.

(3) $\nabla_{n,I,J}$ sends the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \text{Fil}^1(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}))$ to the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \text{Fil}^1(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee})) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}))$.

(4) $\nabla'_{n,I,J,M} : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}))$ induced from (3) induces an isomorphism $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes \text{Fil}^1(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma}} D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\vee}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma}} D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^{\vee} / \text{Fil}^{\lambda_{\sigma}}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma}} D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^{\vee})) \otimes$

$(\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}))$. More strongly, the map $\nabla'_{n,I,J,M}$ induces the $\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}$ -linear isomorphisms of the following graded pieces spaces for any $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_\sigma$.

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes \text{gr}^i(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes \text{gr}^{i-1}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}})).$$

Proof. We may assume $L = \mathbb{C}$ and by using the holomorphic Borel embedding, it suffices to prove the corresponding results on $\text{Fl} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. (1) is trivial because $\nabla_{n,I,J}$ is simply the derivation along σ on $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and $\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})) \subset \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^n$ corresponds to the subspace generated by $\wedge_{\tau \in I} dx_\tau$. Here we use the coordinate x_τ of τ -component $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ of Fl as in the proof of Proposition 3.20. (2) and (3) follow from the same argument. (4) follows from the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.20 when $\lambda_\sigma = 1$ and by induction on λ_σ in general. \square

We put $\Psi \setminus I = \{\tau_{i_1}, \dots, \tau_{i_k}\}$ and $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Let $\nabla'_{n,I,I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}, \emptyset} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}))$ induced from (3) of Lemma 3.21. Then by (4) of Lemma 3.21, the quotient map

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \twoheadrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}) \quad (7)$$

induces the isomorphism $\nabla'^{-1}_{n,I,I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}, \emptyset} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes \text{Fil}^{\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}))) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}})$. This induces the section $s_{I,1} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee)$ of the above quotient map (7).

Again, (3) of Lemma 3.21 induces the map $\nabla'_{n,I,I \cup \{\tau_{i_2}\}, \{\tau_{i_1}\}} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_2}, S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_2}, S_{K,L}}))$. Again by (4) of Lemma 3.21, we obtain the section $s_{I,2} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{i=1}^2 \omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}})$ of the quotient map. Thus we obtain the section $s_{I,1} \circ s_{I,2} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup \{\tau_{i_1}\}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\otimes_{i=1}^2 \omega_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_{i_1}, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_{\tau_{i_1}}}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee)$. By considering $\nabla'_{n,I,I \cup \{\tau_i\}, \{\tau_{i_1}, \dots, \tau_{i-1}\}}$ repeatedly, we obtain the section $s_I : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee) \otimes (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^\vee)$.

By construction, the base change of s_I to \mathbb{C} coincides with the section constructed previously. Thus the sections s_I satisfy $\text{Im}(\nabla_{n,I,J} \circ s_I) \subset \text{Im}(s_J)$ and s_I 's induce the following complex.

$$\begin{aligned}
& GDR_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes \omega_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\sigma + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, S_{K,L}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \neq \sigma} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=2} D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K,L}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\
& \dots \rightarrow \\
& D_{S_{K,L}, \lambda^\Psi} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{\lambda_\tau + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}))).
\end{aligned}$$

By construction, we have a natural map from $GDR_{\lambda, S_{K,L}}$ to $(D_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$ which is a quasi-isomorphism after taking the base change to \mathbb{C} . Thus we have the following.

Proposition 3.22. *(Dual BGG resolution)*

The above complex $GDR_{\lambda, S_{K,L}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the de Rham complex $(D_{\lambda, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^\bullet, \nabla_\bullet)$ of weight λ .

By using $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$, we regard L as a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and we assume $L = E$, where E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p appeared in § 3.2. Let $S_{K,L}$ be the adic space over L corresponding to $S_{K,L}$. Then we have vector bundles $D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}$ and $\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}$ on $S_{K,L}$ corresponding to $D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}$ and $\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}$. We also write $D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}$ and $\omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}$ on $S_{K,L}$ for the corresponding vector bundles on $(S_{K,L})_{\text{proét}}$. Let $f : \mathcal{A}_{K,L} \rightarrow S_{K,L}$ be the adic space over L corresponding to the previous abelian scheme $A_{K,L} \rightarrow S_{K,L}$.

Again, $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, L)} M_2(L)$ induces the decomposition $(R^1 f_{\text{proét},*} \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L)^\vee = \oplus_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, L)} \mathbb{L}'_\tau$ and by the Morita equivalence, \mathbb{L}'_τ induces a rank 2 smooth L -sheaf \mathbb{L}_τ on $(S_{K,L})_{\text{proét}}$. Let \mathbb{L}_0 be the rank 1 smooth L -sheaf on $(S_{K,L})_{\text{proét}}$ corresponding to the similitude representation (see [Mil90, Proposition 3.3]). Then the previous smooth L -sheaf $V_{\lambda'}$ in § 3.2 is equal to $\mathbb{L}_0^{\lambda'_0} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Phi} (\wedge^2 \mathbb{L}_\tau)^{\lambda'_{\tau,2}} \otimes \text{Sym}^{\lambda'_{\tau,1} - \lambda'_{\tau,2}} \mathbb{L}_\tau)$ for $\lambda' = (\lambda'_0, (\lambda'_{\tau,1}, \lambda'_{\tau,2})) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$, \mathbb{B}_{dR}^+ , \mathbb{B}_{dR} , $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$ be the sheaves on $(S_{K,L})_{\text{proét}}$ defined in [Sch13b, § 6].

Proposition 3.23. 1 For any $\tau \in \Phi$, we have $\mathbb{L}_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} \cong D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$.

2 For any $\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi$, we have $D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \cong V_\tau \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$.

3 For any $\tau \in \Psi$, we have $\mathbb{L}_\tau \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \supset (D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1) \supset \mathbb{L}_\tau(1) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ = (\text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+))^{\nabla=0}(1)$. Moreover, we have $\mathbb{L}_\tau \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / (D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1) = \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$ and $(D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1) / \mathbb{L}_\tau(1) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ = \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}(1)$.

Therefore, we obtain the following exact sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_\tau \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}} \rightarrow 0.$$

4 For any $\tau \in \Psi$, we have $\wedge^2 \mathbb{L}_\tau \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \cong \wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K,L}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$.

5 $\mathbb{L}_0 \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \cong D_{0, S_{K,L}}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$.

Proof. See [Sch13b, Proposition 7.9 and Theorem 8.8] and [DLLZ23, Proposition 5.2.10.]. Note that we consider the trivial Hodge structure along $\tau \in \Phi \setminus \Psi$. \square

Proposition 3.24. *For any $\tau \in \Psi$, we have the following canonical commutative diagram of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$ -modules with exact rows, where $-\text{KS}$ denotes the -1 -time of the Kodaira-Spencer map in Proposition 3.20, $\widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} := \omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$ and the below sequence is the Faltings extension [Sch13b, Corollary 6.14].*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\tau} \otimes_L \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow -\text{KS} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \Omega_{S_{K,L}}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from exactly the same argument as [Pan22c, Theorem 4.2.2] by using 3 of Proposition 3.23. In § 5, we will use the construction of this map. Thus we recall the proof. By 3 of Proposition 3.23, we have a map $\mathbb{L}_{\tau} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)$. By taking the graded 0, we obtain the map $\mathbb{L}_{\tau} \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \rightarrow \text{gr}^0(D_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+) = \omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}}) \rightarrow \omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$. This is (the middle map of this proposition) $\otimes \text{id}_{\omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1}}$. Note that we get the quotient map of the Hodge-Tate filtration

by $\mathbb{L}_{\tau} \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}})$.

By the above construction, the compatibility of the subspaces is clear. The compatibility of the quotient spaces follows from the fact $\text{Im}(\mathbb{L}_{\tau} \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}) \subset (\omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}})^{\nabla=0}$. In fact, if $x \in V_{\tau} \otimes_L \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}}$ is mapped to $(y, z) \in (\omega_{\tau,S_{K,L}}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \widehat{\omega}_{\tau,S_{K,L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,S_{K,L}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K,L}}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S_{K,L}})^{\nabla=0}$, then we obtain $\nabla(y) = -\nabla(z) = -\text{KS}(z)$. \square

3.4 Perfectoid Shimura varieties and geometric Sen theory

In this subsection, we recall fundamental results on perfectoid Shimura varieties and geometric Sen theory. We put $C := \widehat{L}$ and $\mathcal{S}_K := \mathcal{S}_{K,C} := \mathcal{S}_{K,L} \times_L C$. Again $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L = \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F,L)} M_2(L)$ induces the decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Phi} V_{\tau}'$ and by the Morita equivalence, V_{τ}' induces a 2-dimensional L -vector space V_{τ} . We fix an identification $V_{\tau} = L^{\oplus 2}$.

Theorem 3.25. *There exists a unique perfectoid space \mathcal{S}_{K^p} over C up to isomorphism such that $\mathcal{S}_{K^p} \sim \varprojlim_{K_p} \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$. More precisely, there exist compatible maps $\pi_{K_p} : \mathcal{S}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ over C for any K_p satisfying the following conditions.*

- 1 π_{K_p} 's induce the homeomorphism of base spaces $|\mathcal{S}_{K^p}| \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{K_p} |\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}|$.
- 2 There exist K_p and an affinoid open covering $\{V_{i,K_p}\}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ such that for any i , the inverse image $V_{i,\infty}$ of V_{i,K_p} in \mathcal{S}_{K^p} is an affinoid perfectoid and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^+(V_{i,\infty})$ is the p -adic completion of $\varinjlim_{K_p' \subset K_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p'}}^+(V_{i,K_p'})$, where $V_{i,K_p'}$ denotes the inverse image of V_{i,K_p} in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p'}$.

Proof. See [Sch15, Theorem 4.1.1]. \square

We can regard \mathcal{S}_{K^p} as an object of $(\mathcal{S}_{K,L})_{\text{proét}}$. Then we have a trivialization $V_\tau = \mathbb{L}_\tau$ on \mathcal{S}_{K^p} . Since \mathcal{S}_{K^p} is perfectoid, we have the following relative Hodge-Tate filtration on \mathcal{S}_{K^p} for any $\tau \in \Psi$ by restricting the exact sequence 3 of Proposition 3.23 to \mathcal{S}_{K^p} . ($\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}$ denotes the pullback of $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K,L}}$ to \mathcal{S}_{K^p} , $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}} := \varinjlim_{K_p} \pi_{K_p}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}}$ and we use a similar notation such as $D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}}$ and $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}}$.)

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{-1}(1) \rightarrow V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}}} \wedge^2 D_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}, \tau}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces a map $\pi_{\text{HT}} : \mathcal{S}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ of adic spaces over C . (Precisely, we need to replace $\mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ by the adic space corresponding to $\mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$, but we also use this notation in the following.) Note that we have a natural identification $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(-1) \cong \pi_{\text{HT}}^* \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$, where $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}$ denotes the dual of the universal rank 1 subsheaf of $V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Note that we use a normalization that $[(x_\tau, y_\tau)] \in \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ denotes the subspace $x_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau - y_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_\tau$. Note also that in order to obtain the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariance of π_{HT} , we should consider an action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \prod_{w|v} \text{GL}_2(F_w)$ on $\mathcal{F} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ as in the following. The first factor \mathbb{Q}_p^\times acts trivially and for $w \mid v$ and $\tau \in \Psi$, the action $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(F_w)$ sends $[(x_\tau, y_\tau)] \in \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ to

$$\begin{cases} [(x_\tau, y_\tau)] & \text{if } \tau \notin \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, L) \\ [(\tau(d)x_\tau + \tau(b)y_\tau, \tau(c)x_\tau + \tau(a)y_\tau)] & \text{if } \tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, L). \end{cases}$$

The Hodge-Tate period map π_{HT} has the following affineness property.

Theorem 3.26. *There exists an open base \mathcal{B}_0 of \mathcal{F} consisting of affinoid open subsets of \mathcal{F} satisfying the following properties.*

- 1 For any $U \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and any rational open V of U , we have $V \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- 2 For any $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_0$, we have $U \cap V \in \mathcal{B}_0$.
- 3 For any $U \in \mathcal{B}_0$, the inverse image $V_\infty := \pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U)$ is an affinoid perfectoid space and equal to $\pi_{K_p}^{-1}(V_{K_p})$ for some K_p and an affinoid open V_{K_p} of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^+(V_\infty)$ is the p -adic completion of $\varinjlim_{K'_p \subset K_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p K'_p}}^+(V_{K'_p})$, where $V_{K'_p}$ denotes the inverse image of V_{K_p} in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K'_p}$.

Proof. See [Sch15, Theorem 4.1.1]. □

We put $U_{1, \tau} := \{[(x_\tau, 1)] \in \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1 \mid \|x_\tau\| \leq 1\}$, $U_{2, \tau} := \{[(1, y_\tau)] \in \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1 \mid \|y_\tau\| \leq 1\}$ and $U_1 := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} U_{1, \tau} \subset \mathcal{F} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$.

Lemma 3.27. *Let \mathcal{B} be the subset of \mathcal{B}_0 consisting of $U \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that there exists $g \in GU(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $U \subset U_1 g$. Then \mathcal{B} is an open base of \mathcal{F} , stable under taking rational open subsets and intersections.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that \mathcal{B} is an open base of \mathcal{F} . Note that for $\gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have $U_{1, \tau} \gamma^{-n} := \{[(x_\tau, 1)] \in \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1 \mid \|x_\tau\| \leq p^n\}$ and for any rank 1 point $z_\tau = [(x_\tau, y_\tau)] \in \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ satisfying $y_\tau \neq 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $z_\tau \in U_{1, \tau} \gamma^{-n}$. On the other hand, for any rank 1 point $z = (z_\tau)_\tau = [(x_\tau, y_\tau)] \in \mathcal{F} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$, the elements $b \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$

satisfying that $z_\tau \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix} = [(x_\tau, x_\tau b + y_\tau)]$ has the property $x_\tau b + y_\tau = 0$ for some $\tau \in \Psi$, form a finite set. Thus for any rank 1 point $z \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $\gamma \in GU(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $z \in U_1 \gamma$. This implies the result because any point $w \in \mathcal{F}$ has a unique rank 1 point $z \in \mathcal{F}$ which specialize w . By the above argument, there exists $g \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $wg \in U_1$. Thus zg is contained in the closure $\overline{U_1}$ of U_1 , which is contained in $U_1 \gamma^{-1}$. \square

Definition 3.28. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be the set of affinoid open subsets U of \mathcal{F} such that $Ug \in \mathcal{B}$ for some $g \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ may not be stable under taking intersections but all elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ satisfy the property 3 of Theorem 3.26.

For any quasicompact open V of \mathcal{S}_{K^p} , there exists a sufficiently small open subgroup $K_p = (1 + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \prod_{w|v} (1 + p^k M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \prod_{w|v} \mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ acting on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(V)$. Thus we can consider $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(V)^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$. (See the definition after Theorem 2.9 for the definition of $\Psi - \mathrm{la}$.) Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ be a sheaf on \mathcal{S}_{K^p} defined by $V \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V)^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ for any quasicompact open subset V of \mathcal{S}_{K^p} , which is smaller than $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\mathrm{la}}$ studied in [Pan22c], [Pan22b] and [Cam23]. Actually, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ has a very concrete description and has a very nice property as in [Pan22c] and [Pan22b] (see Theorem 3.29 and Proposition 3.34) while we don't have such a concrete description for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\mathrm{la}}$ in general and studying $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ suffices for our applications.

We say that an affinoid open V of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ is small if there exists an étale map $V \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ which is a composition of rational open immersions and finite étale maps, where

$$\mathbb{T}^d := \mathrm{Spa}(C\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_C\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle).$$

Let $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, let V_{K_p} be an affinoid open of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ such that $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U) = \pi_{K_p}^{-1}(V_{K_p})$, let V be a rational open of V_{K_p} and let $V_\infty = \mathrm{Spa}(B, B^+)$ be the inverse image of V in \mathcal{S}_{K^p} .

Theorem 3.29. Assume that V is small. Then we have $R^i \Psi \mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(B) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

Proof. We consider a similar situation as [Pan22c, § 3.6] and [Cam23, § 3].

By the smallness of V , we have an étale map $V \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ which is a composition of rational open immersions and finite étale maps and consider a usual perfectoid pro-finite $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -Galois étale cover $\mathbb{T}_\infty^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$, where $\mathcal{O}_C\langle T_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, T_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle$ denotes the p -adic completion of $\cup_{k>0} \mathcal{O}_C\langle T_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^k}}, \dots, T_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^k}} \rangle$ and

$$\mathbb{T}_\infty^d := \mathrm{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_C\langle T_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, T_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle[\frac{1}{p}], \mathcal{O}_C\langle T_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, T_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle).$$

We put $V_\infty \times_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathbb{T}_\infty^d =: \mathrm{Spa}(B_\infty, B_\infty^+)$. Here, we regard this as an object of $(\mathbb{T}^d)_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$. This is a perfectoid pro-finite $K_p \times \Gamma$ -Galois étale cover of V . Note that we have $H^i(K_p, B_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_L C^{\mathrm{an}}(K_p, L)^{\oplus_{\tau \notin \Psi \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau}}) = H^i(K_p \times \Gamma, B_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_L C^{\mathrm{an}}(K_p, L)^{\oplus_{\tau \notin \Psi \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau}})$ because we have $H^i(\Gamma, B_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_L C^{\mathrm{an}}(K_p, L)^{\oplus_{\tau \notin \Psi \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ by the almost purity theorem [Sch12, Proposition 7.9 (iii)]. On the other hand, [Cam23, Corollary 2.5.1 and Proposition 3.2.3] and our assumption of the smallness imply

$$H^i(K_p \times \Gamma, B_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_L C^{\mathrm{an}}(K_p, L)^{\oplus_{\tau \notin \Psi \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau}}) = H^i(\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma, B_\infty^{K_p - \Psi - \mathrm{an}, \Gamma - \mathrm{la}})^\Gamma.$$

Consequently, we obtain $H^i(K_p, B_\infty \widehat{\otimes}_L C^{\mathrm{an}}(K_p, L)^{\Pi_{\tau \notin \Psi \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau}}) = H^i(\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma, B_\infty^{K_p - \Psi - \mathrm{an}, \Gamma - \mathrm{la}})^\Gamma$ and thus $R^i \Psi \mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(B) = H^i(\mathrm{Lie} \Gamma, B_\infty^{\Psi - \mathrm{la}, \Gamma - \mathrm{la}})^\Gamma$.

By Proposition 3.24, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, S_{K^p}}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^2 \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}})^{-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \Sigma_\tau \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr -\text{KS} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{S_{K^p}}^{1, \text{sm}} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Since

$$\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(V_\infty) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}})^{-1})(V_\infty) = (\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, S_{K^p}}(V_\infty) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}})^{-1})(V_\infty))^{\Psi-\text{la}}$$

and the map

$$\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(V_\infty) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}})^{-1})(V_\infty) \rightarrow \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{2, \Psi-\text{la}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}})^{-1})(V_\infty)$$

is surjective, the map $\text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}}(V_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{S_{K^p}}^{1, \text{sm}}(V_\infty)$ is surjective.

By [Sch13b, Proposition 6.10], the Faltings extension on V_∞ can be constructed by the following way. Let $W := \mathbb{Q}_p^{\oplus(d+1)}$ and e_0, \dots, e_d be the standard basis. We fix a basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$ of Γ and we define the action of Γ on W by $\gamma_i e_j = e_j + \delta_{i,j} e_0$, where $\delta_{i,j} := 0$ if $i \neq j$ and 1 if $i = j$. Then we have a non-split extension of Γ -representation $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p e_0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow W' \rightarrow 0$, where Γ acts on W' trivially. By taking $\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_\infty$ and Γ -invariant part, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B e_0 \rightarrow (W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_\infty)^\Gamma \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W' \rightarrow 0$, which is exact by the almost purity Theorem [Sch12, Proposition 7.9 (iii)] and this can be regarded as the Faltings extension on V_∞ .

Therefore, by the surjectivity of $\text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}}(V_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{S_{K^p}}^{1, \text{sm}}(V_\infty)$, after shrinking K_p if necessary, we obtain $x_1, \dots, x_d \in B_\infty^{K_p - \Psi - \text{an}, \Gamma - \text{an}}$ such that $e_j + x_j e_0 \in (W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_\infty)^\Gamma$ for any $j = 1, \dots, d$. Thus we obtain $\gamma_j x_i = x_i - \delta_{i,j}$ and thus $(\log \gamma_j) x_i = -\delta_{i,j}$, where $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$.

By Lemma 2.11, for any $n > 0$, there exist $K'_p \subset K_p$, $m \geq n$ and $x_{i,n} \in B_\infty^{K'_p, p^n \Gamma}$ such that $\|x_i - x_{i,n}\| = \|x_i - x_{i,n}\|_{K'_p \times p^m \Gamma} \leq p^{-n-1}$ and $B_\infty^{K_p - \Psi - \text{an}, p^n \Gamma - \text{an}} \subset \{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d} a_k \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i - x_{i,n})^{k_i} \mid a_k \in B_\infty^{K'_p - \Psi - \text{an}, p^m \Gamma} \text{ s.t. } \sup_k \|a_k\|_{K'_p \times p^m \Gamma} p^{-n(k_1 + \dots + k_d)} < \infty\} \subset B_\infty^{K'_p - \Psi - \text{an}, p^m \Gamma - \text{an}}$. (Precisely, we need to take K'_p having a form $(1 + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \prod_{w|v} (1 + p^k M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}))$ for some k . In the following, we only consider open compact subgroups K'_p of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ having forms like this when we take K'_p - Ψ analytic vectors.) This implies the surjectivity of $\log \gamma_i : B_\infty^{\Psi-\text{la}, \Gamma-\text{la}, \log \gamma_1 = \dots = \log \gamma_{i-1} = 0} \rightarrow B_\infty^{\Psi-\text{la}, \Gamma-\text{la}, \log \gamma_1 = \dots = \log \gamma_{i-1} = 0}$ for any i . Therefore, we obtain the vanishing of $H^i(\text{Lie} \Gamma, B_\infty^{\Psi-\text{la}, \Gamma-\text{la}})$ for any $i > 0$ by using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. (Or we can prove this vanishing by using more direct computation as in the proof of Poincare lemma.) \square

Remark 3.30. 1 Let

$$S_0 := \{w \mid w \text{ divides } v \text{ and the } w \text{ component } \mu_w \text{ of the minuscule } \mu \text{ is trivial.}\}.$$

Then we can prove that $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K_0} := \varprojlim_{K_{S_0}} \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K^{S_0} K_0}$ is perfectoid or more precisely there exists a perfectoid space $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K_0}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K_0} \sim \varprojlim_{K^{S_0}} \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K^{S_0} K_0}$ for any open compact subgroups $K_{S_0} K_0$ of $\prod_{w \in S_0} \text{GL}_2(F_w) \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. Thus if $\Psi = \sqcup_{w \notin S_0} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, we can prove the above theorem as a corollary of [Cam23, Theorem 3.4.5]. This follows from

the following commutative diagram. (Precisely, we can only consider the following diagram locally on $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^o}$. We put $K_p^o := \prod_{w|v} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0}^o K_0^o} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{S}_{K^p} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^o} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^{S_0, o}}. \end{array}$$

Note that by using the moduli interpretation, $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^{S_0, o} K_{S_0} K_0}$ has a proper flat formal model $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^{S_0, o} K_{S_0} K_0}$ over \mathcal{O}_C for any $K_T K_0$ and the transition map $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^{S_0, o} K_{S_0} K_0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^{S_0, o} K_{S_0}' K_0'}$ is finite étale for any $K_{S_0} K_0 \subset K_{S_0}' K_0'$. (See § 4.3 for more details.) By the definition of perfectoidness, we obtain the perfectoidness of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K_0}$ from that of \mathcal{S}_{K^p} . (Roughly speaking, \mathcal{S}_{K^p} is integrally profinite Galois étale over $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_{S_0} K_0}$.)

2 We have a variant of the above result. Actually, we can prove that taking \mathfrak{n} -invariant part of $B^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ has no higher cohomology if $V_\infty \subset \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U_1)$ by the same argument. (See the comment before Definition 3.32 for the definition of \mathfrak{n} . This can also be proved by using an explicit structure of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$. See Theorem 3.34.) The author thinks that this also can be proved by using the result [Sch15, Proposition 3.2.34], which says that the $\Gamma_1(p^\infty)$ -level (Siegel) Shimura variety is perfectoid around a strict neighborhood of the anticanonical tower.

Corollary 3.31. *Assume that V is small. Then we have $R^i \Psi \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.*

Proof. Note that $D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty) = D_{\lambda^\Psi}(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V)} \mathcal{O}(V_\infty)$ and $D_{\lambda^\Psi}(V)$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{O}(V)$ -module. Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.29. \square

Let $P := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} B_{2,C} \times \prod_{\tau \notin \Psi} \mathrm{GL}_{2,C} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,C}$, $\mathfrak{n} := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{n}_C$ and $\mathfrak{b} := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{b}_C$, where \mathfrak{n}_C (resp. \mathfrak{b}_C) denotes the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_{2,C}$ consisting of the upper triangle nilpotent (resp. upper triangle) matrices.

Definition 3.32. \mathfrak{n}^0 (resp. \mathfrak{b}^0) denotes the G_C -equivariant vector bundle on $\mathcal{F}\ell$ associated with the algebraic representation \mathfrak{n} (resp. \mathfrak{b}) of P .

Note that for any affinoid open subset U of $\mathcal{F}\ell$, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow V_\tau \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau \rightarrow 0$. By using this, we obtain more explicit formulas $\mathfrak{n}^0(U) = \{f = (f_\tau)_\tau \in \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)}(V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)) = \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(L) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U) \mid f_\tau(\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-1}(U)) = 0, f(V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)) \subset \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-1}(U) \text{ for any } \tau \in \Psi\}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^0(U) = \{f = (f_\tau)_\tau \in \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)}(V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)) \mid f(\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-1}(U)) \subset \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-1}(U) \text{ for any } \tau \in \Psi\}$.

Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ by 2 of Example 2.7 and thus we have a natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ and this induces actions of $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)$, $\mathfrak{b}^0(U)$ and $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(L) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}(V_\infty)$.

Proposition 3.33. $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}(V_\infty)$.

Proof. This follows from [Cam23, Corollary 6.1.12] or we can prove this by using the same method as [Pan22c, Theorem 4.2.7]. \square

After shrinking K_p if necessary, we may assume $G_0 := K_p = (1 + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \prod_{w|v} (1 + p^k M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}))$ for some $k \geq 2$. Let $G_m := (1 + p^{k+m} \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \prod_{w|v} (1 + p^{k+m} M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}))$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and V_{G_m} be the inverse image of V in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_m}$. Assume that $U \subset U_1$. Let x_τ be the coordinate function $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(U)$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$, $e_{1,\tau} \in \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{H}}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau$ be the image of the canonical basis $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau$ via $V_\tau \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{H}}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau$ and f_τ denote a basis of $\wedge^2 V_\tau(-1)$. We can regard f_τ as a generator of $\wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U))$ via $\wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}} \cong \wedge^2 V_\tau(-1) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}$. Note that $e_{1,\tau}$ is a generator of $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{H}}(U) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau$.

By using the property 3 of Theorem 3.26, take an increasing sequence of positive integers $r(1) < r(2) < \dots < r(n) < \dots$ and take $x_{\tau,n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$, $e_{\tau,1,n} \in \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$ and $f_{\tau,n} \in \wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$ satisfying $\|x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\|, \|\frac{e_{\tau,1,n}}{e_{\tau,1}} - 1\|, \|\frac{f_{\tau,n}}{f_\tau} - 1\| \leq p^{-n-1}$. Moreover, after replacing $r(n)$, we may assume $\|x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\| = \|x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\|_{G_{r(n)}}, \|\frac{e_{\tau,1,n}}{e_{\tau,1}} - 1\| = \|\frac{e_{\tau,1,n}}{e_{\tau,1}} - 1\|_{G_{r(n)}}$ and $\|\frac{f_{\tau,n}}{f_\tau} - 1\| = \|\frac{f_{\tau,n}}{f_\tau} - 1\|_{G_{r(n)}}$ by Lemma 2.4. Then $e_{\tau,1,n}$ is a generator of $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau$. Note that $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)$ is generated by $\begin{pmatrix} x_\tau & x_\tau^2 \\ -1 & -x_\tau \end{pmatrix}$ ($\tau \in \Psi$). Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau := \{f = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi)^3} a_{i,j,k} \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}})^{i_\tau} (\log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}})^{j_\tau} (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{k_\tau} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}} \mid a_{i,j,k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}}) \text{ s.t. } \sup_{i,j,k} \|a_{i,j,k}\| p^{-n(\sum_\tau (i_\tau + j_\tau + k_\tau))} < \infty\}$.

This is a Banach space by the norm $\|f\|_{x_\tau, e_{1,\tau}, f_\tau} := \sup_{i,j,k} \|a_{i,j,k}\| p^{-(n+1)(\sum_\tau (i_\tau + j_\tau + k_\tau))}$ and by this Banach space structure, the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}}$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.34. *There exists an integer m such that for any $n \geq m$, the natural inclusion*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}}$$

factors through a continuous injection

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau.$$

Proof. Note that $\|\log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}\| = \|\frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}} - 1\|$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_m - \text{an}, \mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_m}}(V_{G_m})$ by Proposition 3.33. Thus the result follows from Lemma 2.11. \square

We define $D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau$ similarly.

Corollary 3.35. *There exists an integer m such that for any $n \geq m$, the natural inclusion*

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}} \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}}$$

factors through a continuous injection

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}} \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} := \pi_{\text{HT}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}}$ and we use similar notations such as D_{τ, K^p} and ω_{τ, K^p} . In the following, we assume $V = V_{K_p}$.

Proposition 3.36. *We have $R^i\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}(U)) = H^i(U, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.*

Proof. The equality $R^i\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}(U)) = \check{H}^i(U, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ follows from Corollary 3.31 and 3 of Lemma 2.10. We may assume that $U \subset U_1$ by Lemma 3.27. In the following, we use the previous notations.

The sheaf

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(\cdot) \{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau : W \mapsto D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(W) \{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau$$

on $V_{G_{r(n)}}$, where W is a rational open of $V_{G_{r(n)}}$ can be regarded as $W \mapsto (\prod_{i,j,k} D_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}, \lambda^\Psi}(W)^o)[\frac{1}{p}]$.

We have the vanishing of $\check{H}^i(V_{G_{r(n)}}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(\cdot) \{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau)$ by using the fact that $V_{G_{r(n)}}$ is affinoid and the open mapping theorem. This implies the vanishing of $\check{H}^i(U, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ for any $i > 0$ by Corollary 3.35. \square

Theorem 3.37. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}^S .*

1 *We have a canonical isomorphism $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \hat{\otimes}_L C \cong H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of $G_L \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -modules and these can be computed by the Čech complex $C(\mathcal{B}', D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any finite covering $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{F}\ell$.*

2 *For any $i \neq d$, we have $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$.*

3 *For any $i > 0$, we have $R^i\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$.*

Proof. By the primitive comparison [Sch13b, Theorem 1.3] and [Pan22c, proof of Theorem 4.4.3], we obtain a canonical isomorphism $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C \cong H^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}})$. By taking $\otimes_L V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0)$, we obtain $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0)) \hat{\otimes}_L C \cong H^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p}})$. This is equal to $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})$ by Proposition 3.23 and the affineness of π_{HT} . Thus we have $\hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \hat{\otimes}_L C \cong H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ and $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $i \neq d$ by Proposition 3.14. Note that $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})$ can be computed by the Čech complex $C(\mathcal{B}', D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})$.

By Proposition 3.36, we have $H^i(C(\mathcal{B}', D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})) = H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})$. By Lemma 2.10 and Proposition 3.36, we have a \mathbb{T}^S -equivariant spectral sequence

$$E_2^{u,t} := R^u\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(H^t(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})) \Rightarrow H^{u+t}(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}).$$

Note that since $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}) \cong \hat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \hat{\otimes}_L C$ has a finite direct sum decomposition by maximal ideals of \mathbb{T}^S (see Proposition 3.14), we have $R^u\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(H^t(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}))_{\mathfrak{m}} = R^u\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(H^t(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Thus by localizing the above spectral sequence at \mathfrak{m} , we obtain $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $i < d$, we have $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \cong H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $R^i\Psi\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p})_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong H^{i+d}(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $i > 0$. \square

Remark 3.38. In the previous theorem, we used the complex $C(\mathcal{B}', D_{\lambda^\Psi,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$, whose components may not be LB spaces. We need not use this complex if we can prove that π_{HT} is well-behaved as in 3 of Theorem 3.26 for an affinoid open $\prod_{j\tau} U_{j\tau,\tau}$ ($j\tau = 1, 2$) of $\mathcal{F}\ell$ and use the same method as [Sch15, Theorem IV 3.1].

3.5 Hodge-Tate structure of completed cohomology

In the following, we assume that $\zeta_{p^2} \in L$ and L is Galois over \mathbb{Q}_p for simplicity. We put $L_{\text{cyc}} := \cup_n L(\zeta_{p^n})$, $H_L := \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L_{\text{cyc}})$ and $\Gamma_L := \text{Gal}(L_{\text{cyc}}/L)$. Then we have an open and closed immersion $\Gamma_L \hookrightarrow 1 + p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$ via ε_p . Thus Γ_L is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p . First, we recall basic definitions and properties.

Definition 3.39. Let W be a Banach space over C with a semilinear continuous action of G_L and we put $W^L := W^{H_L, \Gamma_L - \text{an}}$.

1 We say that W is decomposable if there exists a finite extension L'/L such that the canonical map $W^{L'} \widehat{\otimes}_{L'} C \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism.

2 If W is decomposable, then the Sen operator θ_{Sen} means the operator $1 \widehat{\otimes}_{L'} \text{id}_C \in \text{End}_C(W)$ defined by the C -linear extension of an operator $1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Lie} \Gamma_{L'}$ on $W^{L'}$. Note that this is independent of the choice of L' .

3 We say that W is Hodge-Tate of weight $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if W is decomposable and θ_{Sen} is semisimple with eigenvalues $-w_1, \dots, -w_k$.

4 We say that W is Hodge-Tate if W is Hodge-Tate of some integers w_1, \dots, w_k .

Lemma 3.40. Let W be a Banach space over C with a semilinear continuous action of G_L .

1 If $\dim_C W < \infty$, then W is always decomposable.

2 Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of G_L over \mathbb{Q}_p such that $W = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C$. Then V is Hodge-Tate in the usual sense if and only if W is Hodge-Tate in the sense of Definition 3.39.

3 Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of G_L over L . Then V is Hodge-Tate in the usual sense if and only if $V^\sigma \otimes_L C$ is Hodge-Tate in the above sense for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

4 Let M be a Banach representation of G_L over L such that $M = M^L$. If $W = M \widehat{\otimes}_L C$, then $W^L = M$ and W is decomposable.

5 If W is Hodge-Tate of weight w_1, \dots, w_k , then $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k W(w_i)^{G_L} \widehat{\otimes}_L C(-w_i)$.

6 For a finite extension L'/L , W is Hodge-Tate if and only if $W|_{G_{L'}}$ is Hodge-Tate.

7 If W is Hodge-Tate, then the natural map $W^L \widehat{\otimes}_L C \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism.

8 If W is decomposable, then $H^i(H_L, W) = 0$ and $R^i \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{A}(W^{H_L}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$. (Here, we regard W^{H_L} as a Banach representation of Γ_L over \mathbb{Q}_p .)

9 Let $0 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow W_3$ be an exact sequence of Banach spaces over C with semilinear continuous actions of G_L . If W_2 and W_3 are Hodge-Tate (resp. decomposable), then W_1 is Hodge-Tate (resp. decomposable). On the other hand, if $W_2 \rightarrow W_3$ is surjective and W_1 and W_2 are Hodge-Tate (resp. decomposable), then W_3 is Hodge-Tate (resp. decomposable).

Proof. See [Sen81, Theorem 3 and Corollary of Theorem 6] for proofs of 1 and 2.

By 2, V is Hodge-Tate in the usual sense if and only if $V \otimes_{L, \sigma} C$ is Hodge-Tate in the above sense for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus the statement 3 follows from an isomorphism $(V \otimes_{L, \sigma} C)^\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\sigma \otimes_L C$, $v \otimes x \rightarrow v \otimes \sigma^{-1}(x)$.

4 follows from [Pan22b, Proposition 3.3.3]. 5 and 6 follow from [Pan22b, Remark 3.3.7]. 7 follows from 5. 8 follows from [Cam23, Theorem 2.4.3]. 9 follows from [Pan22b, Corollary 3.3.4]. \square

For $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, we take $G_0 = K_p$, G_m and $V_{K_p} = V$ as in the previous section. (See the discussions after Proposition 3.33.) After extending L if necessary, we have a natural action of G_L on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}}(U)$.

Lemma 3.41. *Let W be a Banach space over C with a continuous action of G_0 over C and a continuous semilinear action of G_L . Assume that the two actions of G_0 and G_L are commutative and we have a sequence of continuous injections of Banach spaces of $W_1 \hookrightarrow W_2 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow W^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ such that for any n , there exists $k > 0$ and a finite extension M of L such that W_n has a continuous action of G_k and G_M compatible with the action on W such that $W_n^M \widehat{\otimes}_M C \rightarrow W_n$ is an isomorphism and $\varinjlim_n W_n = W^{\Psi-\text{la}}$. Then $W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}}$ is decomposable for any k .*

Proof. Let k be a nonnegative integer. By Proposition 2.1, there exists $n, k' \geq k$ and a finite extension M of L such that W_n is G_M -stable, $W_n^M \widehat{\otimes}_M C \xrightarrow{\sim} W_n$ is an isomorphism and we obtain the following diagram with commutative maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}, M} \widehat{\otimes}_M C & \longrightarrow & W_n^M \widehat{\otimes}_M C & \longrightarrow & W^{G_{k'}-\Psi-\text{an}, M} \widehat{\otimes}_M C & \longrightarrow & W^{\Psi-\text{la}, M} \widehat{\otimes}_M C \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}} & \hookrightarrow & W_n & \hookrightarrow & W^{G_{k'}-\Psi-\text{an}} & \hookrightarrow & W^{\Psi-\text{la}} \end{array}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}, M} \widehat{\otimes}_M C & \longrightarrow & W^{G_{k'}-\Psi-\text{an}, M} \widehat{\otimes}_M C \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}} & \hookrightarrow & W^{G_{k'}-\Psi-\text{an}} \end{array}$$

By taking the G_k - Ψ -analytic parts, we obtain $W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}, M} \widehat{\otimes}_M C \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}}$. \square

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{h}_\tau$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(C)$ consisting of diagonal matrices. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \cong H^0(\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{b}^0/\mathfrak{n}^0)$ by using a filtration $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{H}}^{-1} \rightarrow V_\tau \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{H}} \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau \rightarrow 0$ and this acts on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ by Corollary 3.33. We call this action the horizontal action $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of \mathfrak{h} on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$, which commutes with the action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that if $U \subset U_1$, then $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} c_\tau & 0 \\ 0 & d_\tau \end{pmatrix}_\tau\right) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} d_\tau & x_\tau(d_\tau - c_\tau) \\ 0 & c_\tau \end{pmatrix}_\tau\right) \bmod \mathfrak{n}^o(U) \in \mathfrak{b}^o(U)/\mathfrak{n}^o(U)$. Thus \mathfrak{h} acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ trivially.

Proposition 3.42. *For any k , $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}}$ is decomposable and the Sen operator θ_{Sen} is equal to $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau\right)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $U \subset U_1$. By Corollary 3.35 and Lemma 3.41, it suffices to prove that $D_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}, \lambda^\Psi}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}, \log \frac{e_{1, \tau}}{e_{1, \tau, n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau, n}}\}_\tau$ is decomposable and the Sen operator θ_{Sen} is equal to $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau\right)$.

After extending L , we may assume that $x_{\tau, n}, e_{1, \tau, n}$ and $f_{\tau, n}$ are defined over L . Thus we have the equality between $D_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}, \lambda^\Psi}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}, \log \frac{e_{1, \tau}}{e_{1, \tau, n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau, n}}\}_\tau$, $D_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}, \lambda^\Psi}(V_{G_{r(n)}})^{G_L}\{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}, \log \frac{e_{1, \tau}}{e_{1, \tau, n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau, n}}\}_\tau \widehat{\otimes}_L C$ and $D_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}, \lambda^\Psi}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}, \log \frac{e_{1, \tau}}{e_{1, \tau, n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau, n}}\}_\tau^L \widehat{\otimes}_L C$. Thus we obtain the result. \square

Corollary 3.43. For any k , $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C \cong H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}}$ is decomposable and the Sen operator θ_{Sen} is equal to $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau)$.

Proof. The same argument as [Pan22c, Remark 5.1.16] implies that

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C$$

is decomposable. The formula of the Sen operator follows from Proposition 3.42. \square

For $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\Psi$, we have a character $\mathfrak{h} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{h}_\tau \rightarrow C$, $\begin{pmatrix} c_\tau & 0 \\ 0 & d_\tau \end{pmatrix}_\tau \mapsto \sum_\tau (a_\tau c_\tau + b_\tau d_\tau)$. We also write (a_τ, b_τ) for this character.

Proposition 3.44. Let $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\Psi$.

- 1 $H^i(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(U)(-(a_\tau, b_\tau))) = \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{h}}^i((a_\tau, b_\tau), D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(U)) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.
- 2 We have $H^i(U, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

Proof. We may assume $U \subset U_1$. The statement 1 can be proved by using explicit formula Corollary 3.35 and the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence as in [Pan22c, Lemma 5.1.2]. Note that we have $R\Gamma(U, R\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(-(a_\tau, b_\tau)))) = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, R\Gamma(U, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(-(a_\tau, b_\tau))))$. Thus the statement 2 follows from the statement 1 and Proposition 3.36. \square

Proposition 3.45. Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}^S and $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\Psi$.

- 1 We have $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} = H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and these can be computed by the Čech complex $C(\mathcal{B}', D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any finite covering $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{F}\ell$.
- 2 $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{G_k-\Psi-\text{an}}$ is Hodge-Tate of weight $\sum_\tau a_\tau$ for any k .
- 3 For any $i \neq d$, we have $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.44 and Theorem 3.37, we have $R\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}[-d](-a_\tau, -b_\tau)) = R\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, R\Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}})_{\mathfrak{m}}(-a_\tau, -b_\tau)) = R\Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, R\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(-a_\tau, -b_\tau))_{\mathfrak{m}}) = R\Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)})_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This implies 1 and 3. The statement 2 follows from 1 and Corollary 3.43 \square

Assume $U \subset U_1$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\otimes_\tau (\omega_{\tau, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}^{a_\tau - b_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})^{-b_\tau})) \otimes D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})(V_{G_{r(n)}}) \{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}\}_\tau (\prod_\tau f_\tau^{a_\tau} e_{1, \tau}^{b_\tau - a_\tau}) \\ & := \{f = (\prod_\tau f_\tau^{a_\tau} e_{1, \tau}^{b_\tau - a_\tau}) \sum_{i=(i_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi} c_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (x_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau} \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)} \\ & \mid c_i \in ((\otimes_\tau (\omega_{\tau, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}^{a_\tau - b_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})^{-b_\tau})) \otimes D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})(V_{G_{r(n)}}) \text{ s. t. } \sup_i \|c_i\| p^{-n(\sum_\tau i_\tau)} < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a Banach space by the norm $\|f\|_{x_\tau} := \sup_i \|c_i\| p^{-(n+1)(\sum_\tau i_\tau)}$. Then the natural inclusion $((\otimes_\tau (\omega_{\tau, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}^{a_\tau - b_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})^{-b_\tau})) \otimes D_{\lambda^\Psi, S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})(V_{G_{r(n)}}) \{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}\}_\tau (\prod_\tau f_\tau^{a_\tau} e_{1, \tau}^{b_\tau - a_\tau}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_{r(n)}-\Psi-\text{an}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)}$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.46. *There exists a positive integer m such that for any $n \geq m$, the natural inclusion $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)} \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)}$ factors through a continuous injection $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)} \hookrightarrow ((\otimes_\tau (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}^{a_\tau - b_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})^{-b_\tau})) \otimes D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}\}_\tau (\prod_\tau f_\tau^{a_\tau} e_{1, \tau}^{b_\tau - a_\tau})$.*

Remark 3.47. Exactly the same proof gives a similar explicit description for $D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}(W_\infty)$ for the inverse image W_∞ in \mathcal{S}_{K^p} of a rational open W of V , i.e., locally on \mathcal{S}_{K^p} not locally on \mathcal{F} . This will be used in § 4.5.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.35. \square

For convenience, we record a simpler form of the above result in the case $\lambda_\Psi = (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau$, which we will assume from the next section.

Corollary 3.48. *There exists a positive integer m such that for any $n \geq m$, the natural inclusion $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}, (0, \lambda_\tau)} \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}, (0, \lambda_\tau)}$ factors through a continuous injection $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}(U)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}, (0, \lambda_\tau)} \hookrightarrow ((\otimes_\tau (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \otimes D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_{r(n)}}})(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau, n}\}_\tau (\prod_\tau e_{1, \tau}^{\lambda_\tau})$.*

Lemma 3.49. *For any $(m_\tau, n_\tau)_\tau \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\Psi$, we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)_\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{n_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{m_\tau})) \cong \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (a_\tau + m_\tau - n_\tau, b_\tau + m_\tau)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{n_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{m_\tau})) (\sum_\tau (m_\tau - n_\tau))$.*

Proof. By the definition of π_{HT}^* , we have $\pi_{\text{HT}}^* \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}} = \omega_{\tau, K^p}(-1)$. Thus we have $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{n_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{m_\tau})) \cong \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{n_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{m_\tau})) (\sum_\tau (m_\tau - n_\tau))$ by Proposition 3.23. We claim that this induces the isomorphism of the subsheaves $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)_\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{n_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{m_\tau})) \cong \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (a_\tau + m_\tau - n_\tau, b_\tau + m_\tau)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{n_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{m_\tau})) (\sum_\tau (m_\tau - n_\tau))$. To see this, the left hand side is contained in the right hand side because the right hand side is the $(a_\tau + m_\tau - n_\tau, b_\tau + m_\tau)_\tau$ -isotropic part of the whole sheaf. The converse also follows from the same reasoning by twisting $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{-n_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-m_\tau}))$. \square

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\Psi := \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau$. Let $W := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau \rangle \subset \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{GL}_2(L)$, which can be naturally regarded as a Weyl group of $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{GL}_2(L)$, let $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau \in W$ be the longest element and $\text{Sym} \mathfrak{h}$ be the symmetric algebra of \mathfrak{h} . We have the dot action on \mathfrak{h}^\vee of W , i.e., for any $w = (w_\tau) \in W$ and $h = (c_\tau, d_\tau)_\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee$, we put $w \cdot h := w(h + \rho)w^{-1} - \rho$, where $\rho := (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})_\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^\vee$. This induces an action of W on the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym} \mathfrak{h}$ of \mathfrak{h} by identification $\mathfrak{h}^\vee = \text{Hom}_{C - \text{alg}}(\text{Sym} \mathfrak{h}, C)$. We have the unnormalized Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\text{HC} : Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi))_C \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Sym} \mathfrak{h})^W$, where $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi))$ denotes the center of the universal enveloped algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi)$ of \mathfrak{g}_Ψ .

Lemma 3.50. *The natural action of the center of the universal enveloped algebra $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi))_C$ of \mathfrak{g}_Ψ on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}}$ is equal to $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}}(w_0 \text{HC}(\cdot)w_0^{-1})$.*

Proof. Same as [Pan22c, proof of Corollary 4.2.8]. \square

Proposition 3.51. (*Hodge-Tate decomposition of locally analytic completed cohomology*) Let $\chi_{\lambda_\Psi} : Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi)) \rightarrow L$ be the infinitesimal character of $V_{\lambda_\Psi}^\vee$.

$$1 \quad D_{\lambda_\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}} = \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi} D_{\lambda_\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \notin I}}.$$

2 Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic maximal ideal of \mathbb{T}^S .

Then $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda_\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{K^p, \lambda_\Psi}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \notin I}})_{\mathfrak{m}}.$

Remark 3.52. Note that this structure is compatible with the Galois structure at finite levels $r_\iota(\chi)|_{G_{\bar{F}}} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (r_\iota(\pi)|_{G_{\bar{F}}})^\tau)$ appeared in Theorem 3.10.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.50 and Proposition 3.45. In fact, $(a_\tau, b_\tau)_\tau : \text{Sym} \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow C$ induces the same character on $\text{Im}(\text{HC})$ as $(\lambda_\tau, 0)_\tau$ if and only if $(a_\tau, b_\tau)_\tau$ is contained in the W -orbit of $(\lambda_\tau, 0)_\tau$, i.e., $\{((\lambda_\tau, 0)_{\tau \in I}, (-1, 1 + \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}) \mid I \subset \Psi\}$. \square

4 Geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes

The purpose of this section is to construct a certain locally analytic extension of the de Rham complexes at finite levels and to calculate that complex on the μ -ordinary locus and the basic locus. In particular, we prove that the cohomology groups of that complex have generalized eigenspace decompositions by eigensystems associated to classical automorphic representations in some cases. (See §4.4.)

4.1 Construction of geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a unique continuous, $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}$ -linear derivation $d^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{1, \text{sm}}$ satisfying that $d^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}}$ is equal to the usual derivation d^{sm} on finite levels.*

Proof. The uniqueness of $d^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ is clear from the explicit description in Corollary 3.48. In the following, we use the notations of § 3.3.

For any element U of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that U is a rational subset of U_1 , we have a continuous derivation $d^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\}_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\}_\tau \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V_{G_{r(n)}})} \Omega^1(V_{G_{r(n)}}), \sum_{i=(i_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi} c_i \prod_\tau (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{i_\tau} \mapsto$

$$\sum_{i=(i_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi} (d^{\text{sm}}(c_i) \prod_\tau (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{i_\tau} - \sum_\sigma i_\sigma d^{\text{sm}}(x_{\sigma,n}) c_i (x_\sigma - x_{\sigma,n})^{i_\sigma-1} \prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{i_\tau}),$$

where $c_i \in \mathcal{O}_{S_{K^p G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$ satisfies $\sup_i \|c_i\| p^{-n(\sum_\tau i_\tau)} < \infty$. By Corollary 3.48, this induces a continuous derivation $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{1, \text{sm}}(U)$ characterized by

$$\sum_{i=(i_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi} c_i \prod_\tau x_\tau^{i_\tau} \mapsto \sum_{i=(i_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi} d^{\text{sm}}(c_i) \prod_\tau x_\tau^{i_\tau}$$

on the dense subspace $\{\sum_{i=(i_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi} c_i \prod_\tau x_\tau^{i_\tau} \mid c_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}(U) \text{ s.t. } c_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)}(U)$. Note that this is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U)$ -linear because this is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}(U_1)$ -linear and U is a rational subset of U_1 .

Moreover, from the above characterization and the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariance of d^{sm} , the above map is uniquely extended to a $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -linear map $d^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{1,\text{sm}}$ satisfying that $d^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} = d^{\text{sm}}$. \square

By Lemma 4.1, we can define a locally analytic extension $(D_{\lambda,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{\bullet,\text{sm}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ of the usual de Rham complex $(D_{\lambda,K^p}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{\bullet,\text{sm}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\text{sm}})$ of finite levels by the formula $\nabla_n^{\Psi-\text{la}} : D_{\lambda,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{n,\text{sm}} = \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} D_{\lambda,K^p}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{n,\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} D_{\lambda,K^p}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{n+1,\text{sm}} = D_{\lambda,K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{n+1,\text{sm}}$, $f \otimes v \otimes w \mapsto f \otimes v \otimes d(w) + (-1)^n (d^{\Psi-\text{la}}(f) \otimes v + f \otimes \nabla^{\text{sm}}(v)) \wedge w$. This is actually shown to be a complex by considering a dense subspace as in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Moreover, we have the following variant of Lemma 3.21.

For $I, J \subset \Psi$ such that $|I| = n$ and $|J| = n + 1$, by using the natural inclusion from the I -component $i_I : D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{n,\text{sm}}$ and the natural projection to the J -component $j_J : D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{n+1,\text{sm}} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} (\otimes_{\tau \in J} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})))$, we obtain a map $\nabla_{n,I,J}^{\Psi-\text{la}} := j_J \circ \nabla_n \circ i_I : D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} (\otimes_{\tau \in J} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})))$.

Note that we have a subquotient $D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{-\lambda_{\tau},\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}})) \leftarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})))$. In the following, we will construct a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -linear section $s_I^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ of this quotient map for any I which is an extension of s_I .

Lemma 4.2. *Let I and J as above. Then we have the following.*

(1) $\nabla_{n,I,J}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ is zero unless $J = I \cup \{\sigma\}$ for some $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$.

In the following, we also assume that $J = I \cup \{\sigma\}$ for some $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$.

(2) $\nabla_{n,I,J}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ sends the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}})$ to the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))$.

In the following, we fix $M \subset \Psi \setminus J$. Actually, we have the following stronger result.

(3) $\nabla_{n,I,J}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ sends the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \text{Fil}^1(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}))$ to the subspace $D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \text{Fil}^1(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}})) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))$.

(4) $\nabla_{n,I,J,M}^{\Psi-\text{la}'} : D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau,K^p}^{-\lambda_{\tau},\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau,K^p}^{-\lambda_{\tau},\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))$ induced from (3) induces an isomorphism $D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes \text{Fil}^1(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma}} D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau,K^p}^{-\lambda_{\tau},\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\lambda^{\Psi},K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,K^p}^{\lambda_{\tau}+2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma}} D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}} / \text{Fil}^{\lambda_{\sigma}}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\sigma}} D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau}} D_{\tau,K^p}^{\vee,\text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau,K^p}^{-\lambda_{\tau},\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau,K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma,K^p}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma,K^p}^{\text{sm}}))$. More strongly, the map $\nabla_{n,I,J,M}^{\Psi-\text{la}'}$ induces the \mathcal{O}_{K^p} -linear isomorphisms of the following graded spaces for any $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_{\sigma}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes \text{gr}^i(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes \\
& (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes \text{gr}^{i-1}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes \\
& (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. These results are consequences of Lemma 3.21. In fact, by using the dense subspaces as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 and using (1), (2) and (3) of Lemma 3.21, the results (1), (2) and (3) follow from the following general fact : for a continuous morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of Hausdorff topological groups, if f is trivial on a dense subspace of X , then f is trivial. In order to prove (4), first note that the given map induces the map of the following filtrations for any $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_\sigma$: $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes \text{Fil}^i(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\text{Fil}^{i-1}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) / \text{Fil}^{\lambda_\sigma}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin J \cup M} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in M} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))$. In fact, (4) of Lemma 3.21 and the same reasoning as in the proof of (1), (2) and (3) of this lemma imply this. Thus, we obtain the induced map on graded pieces $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes \text{gr}^i \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}} \otimes (\otimes_{\gamma \in I} (\omega_{\gamma, K^p}^{\lambda_\gamma+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\gamma, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\gamma \notin J} (\omega_{\gamma, K^p}^{-\lambda_\gamma, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\gamma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\gamma, \text{sm}})) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\text{gr}^{i-1} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\sigma} D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}} \otimes (\otimes_{\gamma \in I} (\omega_{\gamma, K^p}^{\lambda_\gamma+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\gamma, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\gamma \notin J} (\omega_{\gamma, K^p}^{-\lambda_\gamma, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\gamma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\gamma, \text{sm}})) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))$, which is equal to (the second map of (4) of Lemma 3.21) $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau}$. Thus this is an isomorphism. \square

As after Lemma 3.21, by using Lemma 4.2, we obtain the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -linear section $s_I^{\Psi-\text{la}} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}))) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} D_{\tau, K^p}^{\vee, \text{sm}})$ which is an extension of s_I . Note that such a section is shown to be unique by considering the dense subspace as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Thus, we have $\text{Im}(\nabla_{n, I, J}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \circ s_I^{\Psi-\text{la}}) \subset \text{Im}(s_J^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ by considering the dense subspace as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 and $s_I^{\Psi-\text{la}}$'s induce the following complex, whose maps are $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -linear.¹⁸

$$\begin{aligned}
& GDR_{\lambda}^{\Psi-\text{la}'} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes \omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_\sigma+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\sigma+1} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=2} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\sigma \in I} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_\sigma+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\sigma+1})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})) \rightarrow \\
& \dots \rightarrow \\
& D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\tau+1})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})$ and using Lemma 3.49, we obtain the following complex.

¹⁸The author thinks that the complex $GDR_{\lambda}^{\Psi-\text{la}'}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(D_{\lambda, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{K^p}^{\bullet, \text{sm}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\Psi-\text{la}})$. But we don't need such a result. Thus we don't pursue this problem in this paper.

$$\begin{aligned}
& GDR_{\lambda}^{\Psi-\text{la}} : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_{\tau})_{\tau}} \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_{\tau})_{\tau}} \otimes \omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_{\sigma}+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_{\sigma}+1} \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=2} D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_{\tau})_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\sigma \in I} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_{\sigma}+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_{\sigma}+1})) \rightarrow \\
& \dots \rightarrow \\
& D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_{\tau})_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2\lambda_{\tau}+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_{\tau}+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. If we consider locally on \mathcal{S}_{K^p} not on $\mathcal{F}\ell$, exactly the same construction gives us a complex $GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ such that $GDR_{\lambda}^{\Psi-\text{la}} = \pi_{\text{HT}*} GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$. This will be used in § 4.5.

4.2 Construction of geometric locally analytic anti-de Rham complexes

On the other hand, by using the same method as [Pan22b, § 4.2], we also obtain a certain $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}$ -linear extension of the de Rham complex on $\mathcal{F}\ell$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any $I \subset \Psi$ and $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$, there exists a unique continuous, $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant, $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}$ -linear map $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I} : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1})) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1})) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\sigma})^{-1})$ such that for any $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $U \subset U_1$, we have the following formula on U . $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I}(\sum_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\Psi}} a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (x_{\tau} - x_{\tau, n})^{i_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} dx_{\tau})) = (\sum_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\Psi}} i_{\sigma} a_i (x_{\sigma} - x_{\sigma, n})^{i_{\sigma}-1} \prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} (x_{\tau} - x_{\tau, n})^{i_{\tau}}) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} dx_{\tau}) \otimes dx_{\sigma}$. (Here, we use the description of Corollary 3.48. Thus $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}}(V_{K_p})$ for some K_p and affinoid open V_{K_p} of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ such that $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U) = \pi_{K_p}^{-1}(V_{K_p})$. Note that by the same proof as Proposition 3.20, we have $\Omega_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}\ell}^1 \cong \omega_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\sigma})^{-1}$ for the pullback $\Omega_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}\ell}^1$ of the sheaf of differential forms on \mathbb{P}_C^1 via the projection map $\mathcal{F}\ell = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_C^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ to the σ -component.)*

Proof. The uniqueness follows from the above formula and the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariance. For any $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $U \subset U_1$, let $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I, U} : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1}))|_U \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1})) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\sigma})^{-1})|_U$ be the map defined by the above formula. In order to extend $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I, U}$ to the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant map $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I}$ on $\mathcal{F}\ell$, it suffices to prove that for any $g \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $Ug \subset U_1$, we have $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I, U} \circ g^* = g^* \circ \bar{d}_{\sigma, I, Ug}$. Let w be the p -adic place of F lying above v satisfying $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, L)$ and $g_w = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(F_w)$ be the w -component of g . We may assume that I is empty because we have $\bar{d}_{\sigma, I, U}(f \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} dx_{\tau})) = \bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, U}(f) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} dx_{\tau})$. By the definition of $\bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, U}$, it suffices to prove $\bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, U} \circ g^*(x_{\tau}) = g^* \circ \bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, Ug}(x_{\tau})$. The left hand side is $\bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, U} \circ g^*(x_{\tau}) = \bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, U}(\frac{dx_{\tau}+b}{cx_{\tau}+a}) = \frac{\det g}{(cx_{\tau}+a)^2} \otimes dx_{\tau}$. On the other hand, the right-hand side is $g^* \circ \bar{d}_{\sigma, \emptyset, Ug}(x_{\tau}) = 1 \otimes d(\frac{dx_{\tau}+b}{cx_{\tau}+a}) = \frac{\det g}{(cx_{\tau}+a)^2} \otimes dx_{\tau}$. Thus we obtain the result. \square

We recall that we fixed a numbering τ_1, \dots, τ_d of $\Psi = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$.

For $I, J \subset \Psi$ such that $|J| = |I| + 1$, we define a map $\bar{d}_{I, J} : D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1})) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_{\tau}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in J} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1}))$ by the following.

$$\bar{d}_{I,J} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{unless } J = I \cup \{\sigma\} \text{ for some } \sigma \in \Psi \setminus I. \\ (-1)^{|\{\tau_i \in I \mid i < j\}|} \bar{d}_{I,\sigma} & \text{if } J = I \cup \{\tau_j\} \text{ for some } \tau_j \in \Psi \setminus I. \end{cases}$$

By using these maps, we obtain the following sequence of maps, which is a complex by the explicit formula of $\bar{d}_{\sigma,I}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{GDR}_0^{\Psi-\text{la}} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} &\rightarrow \\ \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)} \otimes \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1} &\rightarrow \\ \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=2} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1})) &\rightarrow \\ \dots &\rightarrow \\ D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1})) &\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\chi_{\lambda^\Psi} : Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi)) \rightarrow L$ be the infinitesimal character of $V_{\lambda^\Psi}^\vee$ and let $I \subset \Psi$. Then $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1}))$ has a generalized eigenspace decomposition with respect to the action of $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi))$ and the χ_{λ^Ψ} -generalized eigenspace is identified with $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-\lambda_\tau-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1})) = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-2\lambda_\tau-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-\lambda_\tau-1})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau}))$.*

Proof. Note that $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1}))$ has a filtration whose graded pieces are given by $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{\lambda_\tau-2i_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau-i_\tau})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{\lambda_\tau-2i_\tau-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau-i_\tau-1}))$. This is equal to $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (i_\tau, \lambda_\tau-i_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}, (i_\tau+1, \lambda_\tau-i_\tau-1)_{\tau \in I}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau-2i_\tau, \text{sm}}(-\lambda_\tau+2i_\tau) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}(1))^{\lambda_\tau-i_\tau, \text{sm}})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau-2i_\tau-2, \text{sm}}(-\lambda_\tau+2i_\tau+2) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}(1))^{\lambda_\tau-i_\tau-1}))$ for $0 \leq i_\tau \leq \lambda_\tau$ by Lemma 3.49. By Lemma 3.50, $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (i_\tau, \lambda_\tau-i_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}, (i_\tau+1, \lambda_\tau-i_\tau-1)_{\tau \in I}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau-2i_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}(1))^{\lambda_\tau-i_\tau, \text{sm}})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau-2i_\tau-2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}(1))^{\lambda_\tau-i_\tau-1}))$ has an infinitesimal character and the characters (a_τ, b_τ) and (c_τ, d_τ) of $\text{Sym} \mathfrak{h}_\tau$ give the same infinitesimal character if and only if $(a_\tau, b_\tau) = (c_\tau, d_\tau)$ or $(a_\tau, b_\tau) = (d_\tau + 1, c_\tau - 1)$. Thus the multiplicity of the infinitesimal characters given by $((0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I}, (\lambda_\tau + 1, -1)_{\tau \in I})$ is one. \square

By taking $\otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau)$, the χ_{λ^Ψ} -isotypic parts and $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})$, the above complex $\overline{GDR}_0^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ induces the following complex, whose maps are continuous, $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant and $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}$ -linear: ¹⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau} &\rightarrow \\ \oplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \neq \sigma}, (1+\lambda_\sigma, -1)} \otimes \omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\sigma-2, \text{sm}}(\lambda_\sigma + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\sigma-1} &\rightarrow \\ \oplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=2} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \in I}} \otimes (\otimes_{\sigma \in I} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\sigma-2, \text{sm}}(\lambda_\sigma + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\sigma-1})) &\rightarrow \\ \dots \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\tau-2, \text{sm}}(\lambda_\tau + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau-1})). &\end{aligned}$$

¹⁹Note that we use the identification Lemma 3.49.

Proposition 4.6. $\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}$
 $\overline{GDR}_0^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ and $H^i(\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ and $H^0(\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\text{sm}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau \otimes_L$
 $\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-\lambda_\tau, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau})$.

Proof. By the explicit formula of $\bar{d}_{I, \sigma}$ and the Poincare lemma (cf. [Har75, Proposition 7.1]), we have $H^i(\overline{GDR}_0^{\Psi-\text{la}'}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ and $H^0(\overline{GDR}_0^{\Psi-\text{la}'}) = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\text{sm}}$. This implies the result by the construction of $\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$. (We can also prove this by using the following formula.) \square

Lemma 4.7. Let $I, J \subset \Psi$ and $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$ such that $J = I \cup \{\sigma\}$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \in I}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\tau-2, \text{sm}} (\lambda_\tau + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau-1})) \rightarrow$
 $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \in J}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \notin J}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in J} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\tau-2, \text{sm}} (\lambda_\tau + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau-1}))$ in the complex $\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$
is equal to the following map on $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that $U \subset U_1$. (Here, we use the description of Proposition 3.46. Thus $a_i \in (\otimes_{\tau \notin I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}}^{-\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}})^{-\lambda_\tau}) (V_{K^p K_p})) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}}^{\lambda_\tau+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}})) (V_{K^p K_p}))$ for some K_p and affinoid open $V_{K^p K_p}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$.)
 $(\prod_{\tau \in I} e_{1, \tau}^{\lambda_\tau}) (\prod_{\tau \in I} f_\tau^{1+\lambda_\tau} e_\tau^{-2-\lambda_\tau}) \sum_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^\Psi} a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (x_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} dx_\tau^{\lambda_\tau+1})$
 $\mapsto c (\prod_{\tau \in I} e_{1, \tau}^{\lambda_\tau}) (\prod_{\tau \in I} f_\tau^{1+\lambda_\tau} e_\tau^{-2-\lambda_\tau}) \sum_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^\Psi} (\prod_{j=0}^{\lambda_\sigma+1} (i_\sigma - j)) a_i (x_\sigma - x_{\sigma, n})^{i_\sigma - \lambda_\sigma - 1} \prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} (x_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in I} dx_\tau^{\lambda_\tau+1})$.

Proof. Direct calculation as in the modular curve case [Pan22b, Theorem 4.2.7]. \square

Remark 4.8. If we consider locally on \mathcal{S}_{K^p} not on \mathcal{S} , exactly the same construction gives us a complex $\overline{GDR}_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ such that $\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}} = \pi_{\text{HT}*} \overline{GDR}_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$. This will be used in § 4.5.

4.3 Integral models and Newton stratifications

In the rest of § 4, we assume that p is unramified in F . We need this assumption to obtain Theorem 4.20. We recall that v is the p -adic place of F_0 induced by $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$.

As in [Pan22b, § 5], we will calculate $\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ by using the Newton stratification on S_K . Let $S_0 := \{w \mid w \text{ divides } v \text{ and the } w\text{-component } \mu_w \text{ of } \mu \text{ is trivial}\}$, $K_p^o := \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \prod_{w|v} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \supset \Gamma_p((n_w)_{w \in S_0}) := \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \prod_{w \notin S_0} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \times \text{Ker}(\prod_{w \in S_0} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in S_0} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}/\varpi_w^{n_w}))$. Let \mathcal{O}_B be a $*$ -stable order of B containing \mathcal{O}_F such that $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathcal{O}_{F_w} = M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})$ for $w \mid v$ of F . Let V_p^o be a $\mathcal{O}_{B_p} := \mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ -stable self-dual lattice of $V_p = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and V_0^o and V_1^o be $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_L$ -stable lattices of V_0 and V_1 such that $V_p^o \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_L = V_0^o \oplus V_1^o$. (See § 3.3 for the definitions of V_0 and V_1 .)

First, we recall the definition of integral models of $S_{K, L}$.

Let $S_{K^p \Gamma_p((n_w)_{w \in S_0}), \mathcal{O}_L}$ be the smooth projective integral model over \mathcal{O}_L of $S_{K^p \Gamma_p((n_w)_{w \in S_0}), L}$ defined in [Kot92a, § 5]. (See also [HT01, Lemma 4.1] and [Sch13c, Theorems 5.2 and 5.8] for details. Note that for any $w \in S_0$, we consider the trivial Hodge structure. Thus we obtain a projective smooth model without assuming $n_w = 0$ for any $w \in S_0$.) This has the following moduli interpretation. For a connected noetherian scheme T over \mathcal{O}_L with a geometric point t , $S_{K^p \Gamma_p((n_w)_{w \in S_0}), \mathcal{O}_L}(T)$ parametrizes the equivalence classes $[(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^p, (\eta_{n_w})_{w \in S_0})]$ of tuples $(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^p, (\eta_{n_w})_{w \in S_0})$, where

- 1 A is an abelian scheme over T .

2 $\lambda : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ is a prime-to- p polarization.

3 $i : \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a ring morphism such that $i(b^*)^\vee \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ i(b)$.

4 Zariski locally on T , the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}A$ of A is isomorphic to $V_1^o \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathcal{O}_T$ as $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T$ -modules.

5 $\bar{\eta}^p$ is a K^p -level structure, i.e., a $\pi_1(T, t)$ -stable K^p -orbit of isomorphisms of $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty}$ -modules $\eta : V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^p A_t$ compatible with the pairings under some identification $g : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty}(1)$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty}$ -module (note that g is determined by f), where $V^p A_t := (\varprojlim_{n \nmid p} A_t[n](k(t))) \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^p} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty}$.

6 For any $w \in S_0$, $\eta_{n_w} : V_w^0 / \varpi_w^{n_w} \xrightarrow{\sim} A[\varpi_w^{n_w}]$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{B_{F_w}}$ -equivariant isomorphism for any $w \mid v$.

We say that $(A_1, \lambda_1, i_1, \bar{\eta}_1^p, (\eta_{n_w, 1})_{w \in S_0})$ and $(A_2, \lambda_2, i_2, \bar{\eta}_2^p, (\eta_{n_w, 2})_{w \in S_0})$ are equivalent if there exist a prime-to- p isogeny $\alpha : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p), > 0}$ such that $\alpha \lambda_1 = r \lambda_2 \alpha$, $\alpha \circ i_1(b) = i_2(b) \circ \alpha$ for any $b \in B$, $\alpha \circ \eta_{n_w, 1} = \eta_{n_w, 2}$ for any $w \in S_0$, $\eta_2^{p, -1} \circ V(\alpha) \circ \eta_1^p$ is contained in the K^p -orbit of id and $g_2^{-1} \circ g_1$ is contained in the $\nu(K^p)$ -orbit of r , where η_1^p (resp. η_2^p) is any representative of $\bar{\eta}_1^p$ (resp. $\bar{\eta}_2^p$) and g_1 (resp. g_2) is an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p, \infty}(1)$ defined by η_1^p (resp. η_2^p) as in the above condition 5.

Remark 4.9. Let L' be a perfectoid field containing $L(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ and fix an isomorphism $\mu_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$ over L' . Then $S_{K^p \Gamma_p((n_w)_{w \in S_0}), \mathcal{O}_{L'}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} (\mathbb{Z}_p / p^{n_0})^\times$ is an integral model of $S_{K^p \Gamma_p(n_0, (n_w)_{w \in S_0}), \mathcal{O}_{L'}}$, where $\Gamma_p(n_0, (n_w)_{w \in S_0}) := \text{Ker}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_p / p^{n_0})^\times) \times \prod_{w \notin S_0} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \times \text{Ker}(\prod_{w \in S_0} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in S_0} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w} / \varpi_w^{n_w}))$. Here, we regard $(\mathbb{Z}_p / p^{n_0})^\times$ as a constant scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$.

We recall the definition of the Newton stratification on Shimura varieties.

Definition 4.10. Let M be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , H be a connected reductive group over M , \check{M} be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of M and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\check{M}/M)$ be the (lift of) arithmetic Frobenius.

1 We say that elements $g_1, g_2 \in H(\check{M})$ are σ -conjugate if there exists $h \in H(\check{M})$ such that $g_1 = h g_2 \sigma(h)^{-1}$.

2 Let $B(H)$ be the set of σ -conjugacy classes of elements in $H(\check{M})$.

3 For $b \in H(\check{M})$, let J_b be the algebraic group over M defined by $R \mapsto \{g \in H(R \otimes_M \check{M}) \mid g b \sigma(g)^{-1} = b\}$.

4 For a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{M}} \rightarrow H_{\overline{M}}$, let $B(H, \mu)$ be the subset of $B(H)$ defined in [Kot97, § 6]. (See also [CS17, § 3.1].)

Remark 4.11. J_b is an inner form of a Levi subgroup of H by [RR96, § 1.11] and its isomorphism class depends only on its class in $B(H)$.

Lemma 4.12. Let M be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , H be a connected reductive group over M and put $G := \text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} H$. Then the map $H(\check{M}) \rightarrow G(\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = \prod_{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M_0, \check{M})} H(\check{M})$, $g \mapsto (g, 1, \dots, 1)$ induce a bijection $B(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(G)$, where M_0 denotes the maximal unramified subextension of M/\mathbb{Q}_p and the first component corresponds to the natural inclusion $M_0 \hookrightarrow \check{M}$.

Proof. See [Kot97, § 1.6]. □

By [RR96, Theorem 3.6], we have a Newton stratification $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L} = \sqcup_{b \in B(G)} S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^b$, where $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^b$ is a locally closed subset of $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}$ satisfying $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^b(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_L) = \{x \in S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_L) \mid D(A_x[p^\infty]) \cong V_b\}$ for $b \in B(G)$. Here, we use the following notations: V_b denotes the G -isocrystal²⁰ corresponding to b and $D(A_x[p^\infty])$ denotes the rational covariant G -Dieudonne module of the G - p -divisible group $A_x[p^\infty]$ corresponding to x . Note that we use the normalization of [CS17] on the Dieudonne modules. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^0, \mathcal{O}_L}$ be the p -adic completion of $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathcal{O}_L}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^0, \mathcal{O}_L}^b$ be the formal subscheme of $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^0, \mathcal{O}_L}$ defined by $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^b$ and $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^0, L}^b$ be the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^0, \mathcal{O}_L}^b$. For any $K_p \subset K_p^o$, let $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p, L}^b$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}^b$, $\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^b$) be the inverse image of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^0, L}^b$ in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p, L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$, \mathcal{S}_{K^p}). By [RR96, Theorem 4.2], if $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^b$ is not empty, then we have $b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$.

On the other hand, by [CS17, Theorem 1.11], we also have a Newton stratification $\mathcal{F}^b := \sqcup_{b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})} \mathcal{F}^b$. Note that by [SW13, Theorem B], for any complete algebraically closed nonarchimedean extension field C_1 of C , $\mathcal{F}^b(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$ parametrizes the equivalence classes of G - p -divisible groups \mathcal{G} over \mathcal{O}_{C_1} with $\alpha : V_p \xrightarrow{\sim} V_p \mathcal{G}$ (resp. $\alpha : V_p^o \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p \mathcal{G}$) such that $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_1}} C_1$ is isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes_L C_1$ as $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} C_1$ -module by sending (\mathcal{G}, α) to the subspace $\alpha^{-1}(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_1}} C_1)$ of $V_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C_1$. Here, we say that $(\mathcal{G}_1, \alpha_1)$ and $(\mathcal{G}_2, \alpha_2)$ are equivalent if there exists a quasi-isogeny $\beta : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ of G - p -divisible groups such that $\alpha_2 = V_p(\beta) \circ \alpha_1$ (resp. isomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{G}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_2$ of G - p -divisible groups such that $\alpha_2 = T_p(\beta) \circ \alpha_1$). Moreover, $\mathcal{F}^b(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$ consists of the elements $[(\mathcal{G}, \alpha)] \in \mathcal{F}(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$ satisfying $D(\mathcal{G}_{k_1}) \cong V_b \otimes_L W(k_1)[\frac{1}{p}]$, where k_1 denotes the residue field of \mathcal{O}_{C_1} . Thus all rank 1 points of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^b$ are sent to \mathcal{F}^b via π_{HT} for any $b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$.

We have a certain closure relation for $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^b$ corresponding to the order of $B(G, \mu^{-1})$. In particular, $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^{b_0}$ is open for the μ -ordinary element $b_0 \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$ and $S_{K^p K_p^0, \mathbb{F}_L}^{b_1}$ is closed for the basic element $b_1 \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$. (See Proposition 4.13 for explicit descriptions of basic and μ -ordinary elements.) On the other hand, \mathcal{F}^{b_0} is closed and \mathcal{F}^{b_1} is open.

We will calculate $B(G, \mu^{-1})$. It suffices to calculate $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$ for a finite extension M of \mathbb{Q}_p and a minuscule μ because G has a form $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Q}_p} \times \prod_i \text{Res}_{M_i/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M_i}$. Let ϖ be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O}_M and let T be the maximal torus of $\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}$ consisting of diagonal matrices. In this paper, it suffices to consider a minuscules μ whose conjugacy class corresponds to an element $((1, 0)_{i \in I}, (0, 0)_{i \notin I}) \in X_*(T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) = \prod_{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)} \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ for some $I \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Let $m := |I|$. In the following, by using Lemma 4.12, we regard $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1}) \subset B(\text{GL}_{2,M})$. Note that by [RR96, example 1.10], every element of $B(\text{GL}_{2,M})$ is represented by $\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-s} & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi^{-n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ such that $s \geq t$.

²⁰See [CS17, definition 3.1.1] for the definition of G -isocrystal. For example, for a finite extension M of \mathbb{Q}_p and $H := \text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{n,M}$, an H -isocrystal V over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is naturally regarded as a finite free $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M$ -module of rank n with a σ -semilinear M -linear isomorphism $\varphi : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V$. Similarly, a H - p -divisible group \mathcal{G} over a p -complete ring R means that \mathcal{G} is a p -divisible group with an action of \mathcal{O}_M such that $\mathcal{G}[\varpi_M^n]$ has rank q_M^n for any n for a uniformizer ϖ_M of \mathcal{O}_M . (See [HT01, § II.1] for details.) As our G is a product $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k$ of H_i 's as above H , we say that \mathcal{G} is a G - p -divisible group if \mathcal{G} is a product $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{G}_k$ such that \mathcal{G}_i is an H_i - p -divisible group for any i . A G -Dieudonne modules mean a G -isocrystal which comes from a G - p -divisible group.

Proposition 4.13. 1 Complete representatives of nonbasic elements of $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$ are given by the following elements. ($s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $s > t$ and $s + t = m$.)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-s} & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-m} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the μ -ordinary element of $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$.

For any nonbasic $b \in B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$, we have $J_b = T$.

2 A representative of the unique basic element of $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$ is given by the following elements.

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-\frac{m}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-\frac{m}{2}} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi^{-m} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For the basic element $b \in B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$, we have

$$J_b = \begin{cases} \text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} D^\times & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The calculation of J_b is easy.

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s \geq t$. By the definition of $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$, the condition that $\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{-s} & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$ is contained in $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$ is equivalent to the following two relations : $\nu(b) \leq \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ and $k(b) = \mu^{-1,b}$. (See [CS17, § 3.1] for the definitions of these notations.) This is equivalent to $(0, -m) - (-t, -s) = r(1, -1)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $-s - t = -m$. This is also equivalent to $t \geq 0$ and $s + t = m$. If $s = t$, this is a basic element and $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and if $s \neq t$, this is a non-basic element.

Let $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi^{-n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be a basic element of $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}, \mu^{-1})$, where $n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. Then the relation $\nu(b) \leq \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ and $k(b) = \mu^{-1,b}$ is equivalent to $(0, -m) - (-\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2}) = r(1, -1)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $-n = -m$. This is equivalent to $n \geq 0$ and $n = m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. \square

Corollary 4.14. Let $w \mid v$ be a place of F and assume $\Psi \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$. Then we have $|B(G, \mu^{-1})| = \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$. Thus if $d \leq 2$, then $B(G, \mu^{-1})$ consists of only the basic element and the μ -ordinary element.

In the following, we will calculate $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ only on the μ -ordinary locus and on the basic locus by using the same strategy as [Pan22b, § 5].

4.4 μ -ordinary locus.

First, we consider a local situation and prove some fundamental results. Let M be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Lemma 4.15. *Let S be a scheme on which p is Zariski locally nilpotent and \mathcal{G} be a p -divisible group over S with an action of \mathcal{O}_M such that $|\mathcal{G}[p](k(s))|$ is independent of the choice of a geometric point s of S . Then we have a unique exact sequence of p -divisible groups over S with actions of \mathcal{O}_M : $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$, where \mathcal{G}^0 is a formal p -divisible group over S with an action of \mathcal{O}_M and $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}}$ is an ind-étale p -divisible group over S with an action of \mathcal{O}_M .*

Proof. See [Mes72, Proposition 4.9]. \square

Let $H := \text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{GL}_{2,M}$, $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{m,\overline{M}} \rightarrow H_{\overline{M}}$ be the minuscule given by $((1,0)_I, (0,0)_{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M,\overline{M}) \setminus I}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M,\overline{M})}$ as in the previous subsection, $m := |I|$ and $b \in B(H, \mu^{-1})$ be a μ -ordinary element. Assume $m \neq 0$. Let \mathbb{X}_b be a G - p -divisible group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ corresponding to b . Note that by proposition 4.13, we may assume that we have a decomposition $\mathbb{X}_b = \mathbb{Y}_b \oplus M/\mathcal{O}_M$, where \mathbb{Y}_b is the p -divisible group with an action of \mathcal{O}_M given by the element of $B(\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\text{GL}_{1,M}))$ represented by $\varpi^{-m} \in \text{GL}_1(M)$.

Lemma 4.16. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and \mathcal{G} be an H - p -divisible group over k . Then $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathbb{X}_{b_0} \times_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} k$ if and only if $D(\mathcal{G}) \cong V_b \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p} W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]$.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$ be the exact sequence given by Lemma 4.15. Note that we have a section of $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}}$ by taking the k -valued points. Thus the result follows from the fact that any two $\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\text{GL}_{1,M})$ - p -divisible groups which are isogenous are isomorphic by Dieudonné theory. \square

Let C_1 be a completed algebraic closure of M and $\mathbb{Y}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}}$ be a $\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\text{GL}_{1,M})$ - p -divisible group over \mathcal{O}_{C_1} which is a lift of \mathbb{Y}_b . This can be obtained from a character of G_M of Hodge-Tate weight $((-1)_{i \in I}, (0)_{i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(M,\overline{M}) \setminus I})$. We fix an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_M -modules $\mathcal{O}_M \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p \mathbb{Y}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}}$ and thus $\alpha_0 : \mathcal{O}_M^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_p \mathbb{Y}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_M$. The H - p -divisible group $\mathbb{X}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}} := \mathbb{Y}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}} \oplus M/\mathcal{O}_M$ is the lift of \mathbb{X}_b over \mathcal{O}_{C_1} . This defines a point $\infty = [(\mathbb{X}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}}, \alpha_0)] \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{(H,\mu)}^b(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})^{21}$ by the moduli interpretation of $\mathcal{F}\ell_{(H,\mu)}$ as we have seen in § 4.3, where $\mathcal{F}\ell_{(H,\mu)} = \prod_{\tau \in I} \mathbb{P}_{C_1}^1$ denotes the flag variety corresponding to (H, μ) . Let B_H denote the Borel subgroup of H consisting of the upper triangular matrices.

Lemma 4.17. *The right action of $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\mathcal{F}\ell^b$ is transitive and the stabilizer group at ∞ is equal to $B_H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Moreover, the map $B_H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell^b$, $B_H(\mathbb{Q}_p)g \rightarrow \infty g$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. In order to prove the first property, it suffices to prove that for any algebraically closed complete non-archimedean field extension C'_1 of C_1 , the orbit map $H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell^{b_0}(C'_1, \mathcal{O}_{C'_1})$, $g \mapsto \infty g$ induces a bijection $B_H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}\ell^b(C'_1, \mathcal{O}_{C'_1})$. In fact, $\mathcal{F}\ell^b$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}\ell$, dimension zero and thus doesn't contain higher rank points by [CS17, Corollary 3.5.9 and Proposition 4.2.23]. We may assume $C_1 = C'_1$. Let k_1 be the residue field of \mathcal{O}_{C_1} .

Let $[(\mathcal{G}, \alpha)] \in \mathcal{F}\ell_{(H,\mu)}^b(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$. Then by the moduli interpretation of $\mathcal{F}\ell_{(H,\mu)}^b$, we have $D(\mathcal{G}_{k_1}) \cong V_b \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p} W(k_1)[\frac{1}{p}]$. Thus we have $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} \cong \mathbb{X}_{b_0} \times_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} k_1$ by Lemma 4.16. By Lemma 4.15, we have a filtration $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$. This induces an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V_p \mathcal{G}^0 \rightarrow V_p \mathcal{G} \rightarrow V_p \mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\text{Lie} \mathcal{G}^0 = \text{Lie} \mathcal{G}$ and \mathcal{G}^0 and $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ét}}$ are $\text{Res}_{M/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\text{GL}_{1,M})$ - p -divisible

²¹ $[(\mathbb{X}_{b,\mathcal{O}_{C_1}}, \alpha_0)]$ denotes the equivalence class of (\mathbb{X}_b, α_0) .

groups by $\mathcal{G}_{k_1} \cong \mathbb{X}_{b_0} \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_1$. Since $V_p \mathcal{G}^0$ (resp. $V_p \mathbb{Y}_{b, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}}$) is a one dimensional subspace of the 2-dimensional M -vector space $V_p \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} M^{\oplus 2}$ (resp. $V_p \mathbb{X}_{b, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}} \xrightarrow[\alpha_0]{} M^{\oplus 2}$), there exists $g \in H(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathrm{GL}_2(M)$ such that $g\alpha^{-1}(V_p \mathcal{G}^0) = \alpha_0^{-1}(V_p \mathbb{Y}_{b, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}})$. Thus we obtain $(\mathcal{G}, \alpha \circ g) = \infty$. The statement about the stabilizer of ∞ is trivial. The second statement is clear because $B_H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is compact and $\mathcal{F}^b \subset \mathcal{F}(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$ is Hausdorff. \square

Now, we come back to our global situation. Let $b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$ be the μ -ordinary element. Let $S_{K^p K_p^o, \mathbb{F}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}, \mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^o, L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p, L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ be $S_{K^p K_p^o, \mathbb{F}_L}^b, \mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^b, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^o, L}^b, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p, L}^b, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}^b$ and $\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^b$ respectively.

Note that we have a natural identification $B(G, \mu^{-1}) = \prod_{w|v, w \notin S_0} B(\mathrm{Res}_{F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{GL}_{2, F_w}, \mu_w^{-1})$. For any $w \mid v$ such that $w \notin S_0$, let $\mathbb{Y}_w, \mathbb{Y}_{w, \mathcal{O}_C}$ and α_w as above $\mathbb{Y}_b, \mathbb{Y}_{b, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}}$ and α_0 . This defines the point $\infty \in \mathcal{F}(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$. The μ -ordinary locus $S_{K^p K_p^o, \mathbb{F}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ coincides with the ordinary locus of $S_{K^p K_p^o, \mathbb{F}_L}$ if and only if $\mathbb{Y}_w \cong \mu_{p^\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{F_w}$ for any $w \mid v$ and $w \notin S_0$.

We will construct an analogue of the canonical locus in the Siegel modular variety. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ be the universal abelian scheme over $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$. Then the decomposition $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \prod_{w|p} M_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})$ induces a decomposition $\mathfrak{A}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}[p^\infty] = \bigoplus_{w|p} \mathfrak{A}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}[\varpi_w^\infty]$. By Morita equivalence, for any $w \mid p$, we get a p -divisible group $\mathfrak{G}[\varpi_w^\infty]$ with an action of \mathcal{O}_{F_w} of height $2[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ over $\mathfrak{S}_{K^p K_p^o, \mathcal{O}_L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ with an isomorphism $\mathfrak{G}[\varpi_w^\infty]^\vee \cong \mathfrak{G}[\varpi_w^c]$ under the identification $c : F_w \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{w^c}$. By Lemma 4.15 and Lemma 4.16, we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}[\varpi_w^\infty]^o \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}[\varpi_w^\infty] \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}[\varpi_w^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$. We write $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}[\varpi_w^\infty]^o \rightarrow \mathcal{G}[\varpi_w^\infty] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}[\varpi_w^\infty]^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow 0$ for its generic fibers. Let $\Gamma_0(p^m) := \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \prod_{w|v, w \notin S_0} \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^m}\} \times \prod_{w \in S_0} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})$ and $\Gamma(p^m) := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \prod_{w|v} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^m)^\times \times \prod_{w|v} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}/p^m))$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Then we have a map $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p^o, L}^{\mu\text{-ord}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma_0(p^m), L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}, [A] \mapsto [(A, (\mathcal{G}[\varpi_w^m]^o)_{w \in S_0})]$. (Precisely, we also need to consider extra structures.) Note that this is an open and closed immersion because the transition map $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma_0(p^m), L}^{\mu\text{-ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma_0(p^o), L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ is finite étale and the composition of these two maps is the identity. Let $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma_0(p^m), L}^{\mu\text{-can}}$ denote the image of the above map in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma_0(p^m), L}^{\mu\text{-ord}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^m), L}^{\mu\text{-can}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^m)}^{\mu\text{-can}}$) be the inverse image of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma_0(p^m), L}^{\mu\text{-can}}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^m), L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^m)}$).

Lemma 4.18. $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\infty)$ is equal to the closure $\overline{\varprojlim_n \mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu\text{-can}}}$ of $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu\text{-can}}$ in \mathcal{S}_{K^p} .

Proof. Since $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\infty)$ is closed, it suffices to prove that for any algebraically closed complete nonarchimedean extension C_1 of C , we have $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\infty)(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}) = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu\text{-can}}(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$. This follows from the moduli interpretations. In fact, both sides parametrize the object $[(A, \lambda, i, \bar{\eta}^p, \eta_p)] \in \mathcal{S}_{K^p}(C_1, \mathcal{O}_{C_1})$ such that the subspace of $V_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} C_1 = \prod_\tau V_\tau \otimes_L C_1$ generated by $e_{1, \tau}$ ($\tau \in \Psi$) corresponds LieA to via $\eta_p : V_p \xrightarrow{\sim} V_p A$, where λ denotes the polarization, i denotes the action of B , $\bar{\eta}^p$ denotes the K^p -level structure outside p and η_p denotes the level structure at p . \square

Corollary 4.19. 1 For any affinoid open U of \mathcal{F} containing ∞ , there exist n and a quasi-compact open V_n of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}$ satisfying $V_n \supset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu\text{-can}}}$ such that $\pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n) \subset \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(U)$.

2 Conversely, for any n and open V_n satisfying $V_n \supset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}}$, there exists an affinoid open U of \mathcal{F} containing ∞ such that $\pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n) \supset \pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U)$.

Proof. This formally follows from Lemma 4.18 as in [Sch15, Lemma 3.3.8 etc]. By Lemma 4.18, we have $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\infty) = \cap_{\infty \in U} \pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U) = \varprojlim_n \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}} = \cap_{n, V_n \supset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{ord}}}} \pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n)$. Thus for any U containing ∞ , we obtain $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U) \supset \cap_{n, V_n \supset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{ord}}}} \pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n)$. For any quasicompact open V_n , $\pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n)$ is a quasicompact open. Thus $\mathcal{S}_{K^p} \setminus \pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n)$ is open for the constructible topology. This implies that there exist n and a quasi-compact open $V_n \supset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{ord}}}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}$ such that $\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(U) \supset \pi_{\Gamma(p^n)}^{-1}(V_n)$. We can obtain the converse by exactly the same argument. \square

Proposition 4.20. $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}$ is affinoid.

Proof. By [DvHKZ24, Proposition 9.21] (see also [CS24, Proposition 1.9]) and Lemma 4.16, we obtain that $\mathcal{S}_{K^pK^0,L}^{\mu-\text{ord}}$ is affinoid. This implies the result. \square

Here, we recall some foundational results about dagger spaces. Let M be a complete nonarchimedean rank 1 valuation field. By taking the completion of dagger algebras, we obtain a natural faithful functor $(\cdot)'$ from the category of dagger spaces over M to the category of rigid spaces over M . (See [Gro00, Theorem 2.19] for details.) Note that the category of quasiseparated rigid spaces over M is equivalent to the category of quasiseparated adic space locally topologically of finite type over M and for a quasiseparated rigid space X over M , admissible quasicompact open subsets of X are identified with quasicompact open subsets of X^{ad} .²² (See [Hub96, (1.1.11)].) We use these identifications in the following.

Lemma 4.21. 1 For any dagger space X over M , we have a natural map $X' \rightarrow X$ as ringed sites which induces an isomorphism as sites.

2 The functor induces an equivalence between the category of proper dagger spaces over M and the category of proper rigid spaces over M . Moreover, for a proper rigid space over M and the corresponding proper dagger space X^\dagger , the categories of coherent sheaves on both sides are naturally equivalent.

3 For any affinoid smooth rigid space X over M , there exists an affinoid smooth dagger space X^\dagger over M such that $(X^\dagger)' = X$.

Moreover, if X is an open of a proper adic space Y , then we can take X^\dagger as an admissible open of Y^\dagger , where Y^\dagger denotes the dagger space corresponding to Y given by the statement 2.

In the following, we fix a proper rigid space Y over M and a quasicompact open U of Y^\dagger .

4 For a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y , we have $H^i(U, \mathcal{F}^\dagger) = \varinjlim_{V \supset \overline{U}} H^i(V, \mathcal{F})$. Here, \mathcal{F}^\dagger denotes the coherent sheaf on Y^\dagger corresponding to \mathcal{F} given by the statement 2 and V runs through quasicompact open subsets of Y containing the closure \overline{U} of U .

5 $H_{\text{dR}}^i(U/M) = \varinjlim_{V \supset \overline{U}} H_{\text{dR}}^i(V/M)$, where V runs through quasicompact open subsets of Y containing the closure \overline{U} of U . The left hand side is the de Rham cohomology of the dagger variety U over M and the right hand side is the de Rham cohomology of the rigid space V over M .

²² X^{ad} denotes the adic space over M corresponding to X .

Proof. 1 is [Gro00, (3) of Theorem 2.19].

2 is a special case of [Gro00, Theorems 2.26 and 2.27].

3 follows from [Gro00, (4) of Theorem 2.19] and [Elk73, Theorem 7].

4 We have a natural map $\varinjlim_{V \supset U} H^i(V, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{F}^\dagger)$ by [Gro00, proof of Theorems 2.26 and 2.27]. This is an isomorphism by [Gro00, (2.23) and proof of Theorem 2.27] if U is an affinoid open of Y and there exists an affinoid open V of Y such that $U \subset^\dagger V$, i.e., U is a rational subset of V having the following form: $U = (\text{Spa} M\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle / I) (\frac{X_1^s, \dots, X_k^s}{\varpi})^{23}$ and $V = \text{Spa} M\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle / I$ for some pseudo-uniformizer ϖ of \mathcal{O}_M , $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and some ideal I of $M\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle$. Note that if W is a rational open of V contained in U as above, then there exists a rational subset W' of V such that $W \subset^\dagger W'$ by using explicit descriptions of rational open subsets. Since Y is proper, we have admissible affinoid open coverings $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of Y such that $U_i \subset^\dagger V_i$. (See [Hub96, Remark 1.3.19] for equivalent conditions of the properness.) [Sch13b, proof of Lemma 5.3] implies that there exists a covering $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^s$ of U such that W_j is a rational subset of V_i for some i contained in U_i and the intersection $W_j \cap W_k$ is a rational subset of W_j for any j, k . Note that we have $\overline{W_j} \cap \overline{W_k} = \overline{W_j \cap W_k}$ because the sets of rank 1 points of both sides are equal to the set A of rank 1 points in $W_j \cap W_k$ and both sets are equal to the closure of A . Thus the result follows from the Čech spectral sequence.

5 follows from 4 by the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequences. \square

By 3 of Lemma 4.21 and Proposition 4.20, there exists an open affinoid dagger subspace $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{ord}, \dagger}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^\dagger$ corresponding to $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{ord}}$. Moreover, by 4 of Lemma 4.21 and [Gro00, Proposition 3.1], we obtain $H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}}^\dagger) = H^i(\Gamma(\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}}^\dagger \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}}^\bullet)) = \varinjlim_{V \supset \overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}}} H^i(\Gamma(V, GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}}))$ for any i , where V runs through quasicompact open subsets of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}$ containing $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}}$. (See §3.3. for the definition of $GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}} \cdot$)

Proposition 4.22. $H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}}^\dagger)$ is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space for any n .

Proof. Let m be a nonnegative integer, let V be a quasicompact open of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}$ containing $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}^{\mu-\text{can}}}$, let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}$ be as after 3.22 and $f : \mathcal{A}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}$ be the m -times self-fiber product of \mathcal{A} over $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}$.

We define a decreasing filtration $\{F^p \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet\}_p$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet$ by $F^p \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet := \text{Im}(f^* \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}}^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}^m}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^{\bullet-p} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet)$. Thus we have $\text{gr}^p \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet = f^* \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}}^p \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^{\bullet-p}$ and a spectral sequence $E_1^{p,q} := H^{p+q}(f^{-1}(V), \text{gr}^p \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(f^{-1}(V), \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet)$. We have equalities $E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(f^{-1}(V), \text{gr}^p \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet) = H^q(f^{-1}(V), f^* \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L/L}^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}^m}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^{\bullet-p}) = H^q(V, Rf_*(f^* \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L/L}^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}^m}} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^{\bullet-p})) = H^q(V, \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L/L}^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}}} Rf_* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^{\bullet-p})$.

The same argument as [HT01, § III.2] and [Car14, § 2.1] shows that there exist a nonnegative integer m and $e \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}^m / \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which is independent of the choice of V such that e is an idempotent on $Rf_* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m / \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}}$ and $H^n(f^{-1}(V), \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet)$ and $e Rf_* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m / \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}} = D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n), L}}[-m]$. (Here, we ignore the Hodge filtrations and Hecke actions on both sides.)

²³This denotes the rational subset of $\text{Spa} M\langle X_1, \dots, X_k \rangle / I$ defined by $|X_i^s \bmod I| \leq |\varpi|$ for any i .

By taking $\varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}} e$, we obtain the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := \varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}} H^{q-m}(V, \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)/L}}^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}} D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}) \Rightarrow \varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}} e H^{p+q}(f^{-1}(V), \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet).$$

Since $\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}$ is affinoid by Proposition 4.20, we obtain $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for any $q \neq m$ by [Gro00, Proposition 3.1]. This implies

$$\varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}} H^p(\Gamma(V, \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)/L}}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}} D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}})) \cong e \varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}} H^{p+m}(f^{-1}(V), \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet).$$

By [Gro02, Theorem A] and 5 of Lemma 4.21, the cohomology

$$H_{\text{dR}}^{p+m}(\mathcal{A}^{m, \mu-\text{can}, \dagger}) := \varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}} H^{p+m}(f^{-1}(V), \Omega_{\mathcal{A}^m/L}^\bullet)$$

is finite-dimensional over L . Consequently,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^p(\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{ord}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}^\dagger) := \varinjlim_{V \supset \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}}} H^p(\Gamma(V, \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)/L}}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}} D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}))$$

is finite-dimensional over L . Thus by Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n)}}^\dagger) = H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K^p\Gamma(p^n),L}}^\dagger) \otimes_L C$$

are finite-dimensional over C . □

Let $P_{S_0} := \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \prod_{w|v, w \notin S_0} B_2(F_w) \times \prod_{w|v, w \in S_0} \text{GL}_2(F_w) \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where B_2 denotes the Borel subgroup of GL_2 consisting of upper triangular matrices. For $\tau \in \Psi$, let χ_τ be a one dimensional C -vector space with the trivial Hecke action, the trivial Galois action and an action of P_{S_0} defined by $(g_0, (g_w)_{w|v}) \rightarrow \tau(d_{w_\tau})$. Here, w_τ denotes the unique place of F satisfying $w_\tau \mid v$ and $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{w_\tau}, L)$ and we put $g_{w_\tau} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{w_\tau} & b_{w_\tau} \\ 0 & d_{w_\tau} \end{pmatrix}$. Let $i : \mathcal{F}^b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the natural closed immersion.

By Lemma 4.17, we have a natural identification $P_{S_0} \setminus G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}^b$, $P_{S_0}g \mapsto \infty g$. Let $\pi : G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow P_{S_0} \setminus G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathcal{F}^b$ be the natural projection.

For a locally analytic Hausdorff LB representation M of P_{S_0} , let \mathcal{F}_M be the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaf on \mathcal{F}^b (with respect to the discrete topology on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) defined by $U \mapsto \{\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow M \text{ locally analytic} \mid \phi(p \cdot) = p\phi(\cdot) \text{ for any } p \in P_{S_0}\}$. (See [Pan22b, § 2.1.2 ~ 2.1.6] for the definition of the locally analytic representation on LB spaces and locally analytic functions with values in LB spaces.) Then $\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{F}^b)$ is equal to the locally analytic induction $\text{Ind}_{P_{S_0}}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(M)$ of M from P_{S_0} to $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. (See [Eme07, § 2] for the definition of the locally analytic induction.) Note that \mathcal{F}_M is a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathcal{F}^b}$ -module.

Lemma 4.23. *We have a natural $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\mathcal{F}^b}$ -linear isomorphism $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})|_{\mathcal{F}^b} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}}$ of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaf.*

Proof. First note that we have the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell, \infty}$ -linear isomorphism $f_\infty : \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell, \infty}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}, \infty}$ defined by $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} e_{2, \tau}^{\lambda_\tau} \mapsto [((a_0, \begin{pmatrix} a_w & b_w \\ c_w & d_w \end{pmatrix})_{w|v}) \mapsto \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \tau(d_{w_\tau})^{\lambda_\tau}]$, where $[\]$ denotes the equivalence class. By using this, we obtain a map $f : \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}}$ defined by $f(U)(w)(g) = f_\infty(g^* w_g)(\infty)$ for any $U \subset \mathcal{F}\ell^b$ and any $w \in \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b}(U)$. Here, w_g denotes the image of w in the stalk at ∞g . Note that by using the above same formula, f_∞ can be extended to any open $U \subset \mathcal{F}\ell^b \cap U_2$, which is equivariant with respect to the action of an open compact of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus $f(U)(w)$ is a locally analytic function. f is a map of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaves, which is an isomorphism at ∞ . This implies that f is an isomorphism. \square

For a smooth representation M of P_{S_0} , let $\mathcal{F}_M^{\text{sm}}$ be the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaf on $\mathcal{F}\ell^b$ defined by $U \mapsto \{\phi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow M \text{ smooth} \mid \phi(p \cdot) = p\phi(\cdot) \text{ for any } p \in P_{S_0}\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_M^{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{F}\ell^b)$ is equal to the smooth induction $\text{Ind}_{P_{S_0}}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(M)^{\text{sm}}$ of M from P_{S_0} to $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Note that \mathcal{F}_M is a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b}$ -module.

We put $H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) := \varinjlim_n H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, S_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}}^\dagger)$. This has a canonical LB space structure because $H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda, S_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}}^\dagger)$ is finite dimensional by Proposition 4.22.

Lemma 4.24. 1 $\mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\text{sm}})|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b} \cong \mathcal{F}_{H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger)}^{\text{sm}}$, where \mathcal{H}^m denotes the m -th cohomology sheaf.

2 $H^m(\mathcal{F}\ell^b, GDR_\lambda^{\text{sm}}) \cong \text{Ind}_{P_{S_0}}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger))^{\text{sm}}$.

3 Then the natural map $\mathcal{F}_{H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger)}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_C \mathcal{F}_{\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau})}$ is an isomorphism of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaves.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{F}\ell^b$ is a profinite space. This implies $H^m(\mathcal{F}\ell^b, GDR_\lambda^{\text{sm}}) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell^b, \mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\text{sm}}))$.

Thus 2 follows from 1. By the same way as Lemma 4.23, we obtain 1. By definition, we obtain $\mathcal{F}_{H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger), \infty}^{\text{sm}} = \varinjlim_{K_p} \text{Ind}_{K_p \cap P_{S_0}}^{K_p} (H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger))^{\text{sm}} = H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger)$ and

$\mathcal{F}_{H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}), \infty} = \varinjlim_{K_p} \text{Ind}_{K_p \cap P_{S_0}}^{K_p} (H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau})) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes_C \varinjlim_{K_p} \text{Ind}_{K_p \cap P_{S_0}}^{K_p} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau})$. Note that the second equality comes from the fact that $H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger)$ is a countable filtered colimit of finite dimensional subspaces. This implies the result. \square

Theorem 4.25. We have the following isomorphisms as $G_L \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -modules.

1 $\mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\infty \cong H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau}))_\infty$ for any m .

2 $H^m(\mathcal{F}\ell, i_* i^* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) \cong \text{Ind}_{P_{S_0}}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}))$ for any m .

Proof. 1 By Corollary 4.19 and the construction of $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$, we obtain $\mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\infty = \varinjlim_{\infty \in U} H^m(GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}(U)) = \varinjlim_{\infty \in U, n, V_n \supset \overline{S_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}}} H^i(GDR_{\lambda, S_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}}(V_n) \widehat{\otimes}_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})(U))$.

Note that $(\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau}))$ is defined over L and the complex $\varinjlim_{V_n \supset \overline{S_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}}} GDR_{\lambda, S_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}}(V_n)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 by Proposition 4.22. Thus we obtain the property 1.

2 Since $\mathcal{F}\ell^b$ is a profinite space, we have $H^m(\mathcal{F}\ell, i_* i^* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = H^m(\mathcal{F}\ell^b, i_* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}\ell^b, \mathcal{H}^m(i_* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}))$. Therefore, it suffices to construct $\mathcal{H}^m(i_* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) \cong \mathcal{F}_M$, where $M := H_{\text{dR}}^m(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu-\text{can}, \dagger}, D_\lambda^\dagger) \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau})$. Let $N := \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \chi_\tau^{\lambda_\tau}$. First we have a canonical map $\mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\text{sm}})|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b} \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau}))|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})|_{\mathcal{F}\ell^b}$ of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant

sheaves, which is an isomorphism because this is isomorphism at ∞ by 1. By Lemmas 4.23 and 4.24, $\mathcal{H}^m(GDR_\lambda^{\text{sm}})|_{\mathcal{F}^b} \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}}^{\lambda_\tau} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau}))|_{\mathcal{F}^b} \cong \mathcal{F}_M$. Thus we obtain the result. \square

Remark 4.26. It seems that $\mathcal{S}_{Kp\Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu-\text{can}}$ is closely related to the Igusa varieties. However, we don't need such facts. Thus we don't pursue this problem in this paper.

4.5 Basic locus

Let $b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$ be the basic element and $j : \mathcal{F}^b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the natural open immersion. Then we can essentially regard \mathcal{S}_{Kp}^b as the basic Rapoport-Zink space of infinite level associated with (G, b, μ) by the basic uniformization (Theorem 4.33) and we can calculate $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\mathcal{F}^b}$ by the duality theorem of basic Rapoport-Zink spaces (Theorem 4.28).

Let \mathbb{X}_b be the G - p -divisible group over \mathbb{F}_L corresponding to b and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{\check{L}}}$ be the basic Rapoport-Zink space over \check{L} defined by $(\mathcal{O}_{B_p}, V_p^o, \mathbb{X}_b, \mu)$. (See [SW13, § 6.5] for precise definitions and note that this can be regarded as an EL-type.) Let $\mathcal{M}_{K_p, \check{L}}$ denote the finite étale cover of the generic fiber $\mathcal{M}_{\check{L}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{\check{L}}}$ defined by $K_p \subset K_p^o$ and $\mathcal{M}_{K_p} = \mathcal{M}_{K_p, \check{L}} \times_{\check{L}} C$. These have natural actions of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has a form $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \prod_i \text{GL}_2(F_i) \times \prod_j D_j^\times$ by Proposition 4.13, where F_j are finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p and D_j are central division algebras over finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p of dimension 4.

The decomposition $B_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \check{L} = \prod_{\tau \in \Phi} M_2(\check{L})$ induces a decomposition of the G -Dieudonné module corresponding to the universal G - p -divisible group on \mathcal{M}_{K_p} : $D(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_K} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Phi} D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau \otimes_{\check{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_K}$. Note that, we have an identification $D(\mathbb{X}_b) = V_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \check{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ as $B_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \check{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -modules and $D(\mathbb{X}_b)$ has a natural action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. By Morita equivalence, $D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau'$ induces a 2-dimensional \check{L} -vector space $D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau$ with a natural action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the Grothendieck-Messing filtration induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{M}_{K_p}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau) \rightarrow D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau \otimes_{\check{L}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{K_p}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{M}_{K_p}}^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

for any $\tau \in \Psi$, where $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{M}_{K_p}}$ denotes the τ -component of the dual of the Lie algebra of universal G - p -divisible group similarly as in the global situation. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}$ be the flag variety which parametrizes 1-dimensional quotients of $D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau \otimes_{\check{L}} C$ for all $\tau \in \Psi$. Thus we obtain the map $\pi_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_{K_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}$, which is étale by the Grothendieck-Messing theory and is $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant. (See [SW20, Proposition 23.3.3] for details.)

Theorem 4.27. ([SW13, Theorem 6.5.4].)

There exists a perfectoid space \mathcal{M}_∞ over C unique up to isomorphism such that $\mathcal{M}_\infty \sim \varprojlim_{K_p} \mathcal{M}_{K_p}$.

On the other hand, the Hodge-Tate filtration on \mathcal{M}_∞ induces the filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{-1}(1) \rightarrow V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes (\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau) \rightarrow 0 \quad (9)$$

for any $\tau \in \Psi$. (See [SW13, Proposition 7.1.1].) Let $\mathcal{F}_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}$ be the flag variety which parametrizes 1-dimensional quotients of $V_\tau \otimes_L C$ for all $\tau \in \Psi$. Let $\pi_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}$ be the induced map.

Let $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p, \check{L}}$ be the Rapoport-Zink space of EL-type of level \check{K}_p over \check{L} given by the dual EL datum $(\check{G}, \check{b}, \check{\mu})$ of (G, b, μ) . (See [SW13, § 7.2] for more details.) Let \check{G} and $J_{\check{b}}$ be the reductive groups associated with $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p, \check{L}}$ as in the case \mathcal{M} . Then we have canonical identifications $\check{G} = J_{\check{b}}$ and $G = J_{\check{b}}$. Note that for $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p, \check{L}}$, Theorem 4.27 is also true i.e., there exists a perfectoid space $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}$ over C such that $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty} \sim \varprojlim_{\check{K}_p} \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p}$, where $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p} := \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p, \check{L}} \times_{\check{L}} C$. Moreover, we also have the Grothendieck-Messing filtration and the Hodge-Tate filtration, which again give filtrations on rank 2 vector bundles by rank 1 bundles for any $\tau \in \Psi$. Moreover we have natural identifications $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \check{\mathcal{M}}} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$ and $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \check{\mathcal{M}}} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_{C, \tau}^1$, which is compatible with actions of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by [SW13, Proposition 7.2.2].

Theorem 4.28. (*Duality of basic Rapoport-Zink spaces, [SW13, Theorem 7.2.3]*)

There exists a canonical $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \cong \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}$ inducing identifications $\pi_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}} = \pi_{\text{HT}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$ and $\pi_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}} = \pi_{\text{GM}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$ under the above identifications $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$ and $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$.

In the following, we will prove variants of results in § 3.4 and 3.5, 4.1 and 4.2 for \mathcal{M}_{∞} and $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}$. Actually, we can give purely local proofs by the same way as in the global case, but for simplicity and completeness of proofs, we will use global results proved in § 3.4 and 3.5. Note that by the following lemma, the dual Rapoport-Zink space $\check{\mathcal{M}}_{\check{K}_p}$ also can be obtained from a global unitary Shimura datum and its basic element. Thus we can also use global unitary Shimura variety to study $\check{\mathcal{M}}$.

Lemma 4.29. *There exists a set of finite places $S(\check{B})$ of F (maybe containing p -adic places) satisfying the following.*

- 1 *Every prime lying below $S(\check{B})$ splits in F_0 .*
- 2 *$S(\check{B})^c = S(\check{B})$.*
- 3 *$\frac{1}{2}|S(\check{B})| + d = 0 \pmod{2}$.*
- 4 *Let GU'/\mathbb{Q} be the unitary similitude group given by the data $S(\check{B})$ and Ψ via Proposition 3.1, let $\mu' : \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \rightarrow GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the minuscule induced from the minuscule of GU' and ι and let $b' \in B(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu')$ be the basic element. Then we have an identification $(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, b', \mu') = (\check{G}, \check{b}, \check{\mu})$.*

Remark 4.30. Note that the above unitary group might not be studied in § 3.4 and 3.5 because a factor of $\check{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ may be the unit group of a central division algebra over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of dimension 4. However, almost all results holds in § 3.4 and 3.5 for such a unitary group. The main difference is that we may not have Lemma 3.27 for such a unitary group. However, we can actually prove almost all results holds in § 3.4 and 3.5 for such a unitary group without Lemma 3.27 by using $g_{1, \tau}$ and $g_{2, \tau}$ appearing after Proposition 4.36 instead of $e_{1, \tau}$ and $e_{2, \tau}$. We will see how to modify results in the following.

Proof. Take $S(\check{B})$ satisfying 1, 2 and 3 such that $S(\check{B}) \cap \{w \mid v\}$ is equal to the set of p -adic places w of F dividing v such that the w -factor of $\check{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the unit group of a central division algebra over F_w of dimension 4. Then we obtain the property 4 because the basic element is unique in $B(GU'_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu')$ and the minuscule $\check{\mu}$ is given by ι and the minuscule of GU . \square

We recall relations between basic Rapoport-Zink spaces and Shimura varieties.

By Proposition 3.1, we have a unitary group I/F^+ such that $GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty, p}) \cong GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty, p})$ and $GI(\mathbb{Q}_p) = J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $GI(\mathbb{R}) = G(\prod_{\tau \in \Phi} U(0, 2))$ and such a group is unique up to isomorphism. Let GI/\mathbb{Q} be the unitary similitude groups induced from I .

Lemma 4.31. 1 The left action of $GI(\mathbb{Q})$ on $\mathcal{M} \times GI(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})/K^p$ has the following property.

For any quasicompact open U of \mathcal{M} and any $x \in GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty,p})$, there exists an open compact K_1^p of K^p such that for any $1 \neq g \in GI(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $g(U \times \{xK_1^p\}) \cap (U \times \{xK_1^p\}) = \emptyset$.

2 The right action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\mathcal{M} \times [GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})/K^p]$ has the following property.

For any quasicompact open U of \mathcal{M} and any $x \in GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$, there exists an open compact K_1^p of K^p such that for any $1 \neq g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have $(U \times \{GI(\mathbb{Q})xK_1^p\})g \cap (U \times \{GI(\mathbb{Q})xK_1^p\}) = \emptyset$.

Remark 4.32. The author doesn't know whether this property is true or not without taking K_1^p .

Proof. See [RZ96, proofs of Theorem 6.23 and Proposition 2.37]. \square

We consider the perfectoid space $\mathcal{S} := \varprojlim_{K_1^p} \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}$. We put $\pi_{\text{HT},\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$.

Theorem 4.33. (Basic uniformization, [RZ96, Theorem 6.30])

1 There exist natural identifications $\pi_{\text{HT},\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}\ell^b) = GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \varprojlim_{K_1^p} [\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{p,\infty})/K_1^p] = [\varprojlim_{K_1^p} \mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times [GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})/K_1^p]]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Here, we consider the quotients in the naive sense by using Lemma 4.31.

2 More precisely, for any quasicompact open U of \mathcal{M} and for any $x \in GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$, there exists an open compact subgroup K_1^p of K^p and a quasicompact open V of $\mathcal{S}_{K_1^p K_p^p}$ such that for any K_p , the above isomorphism descends to an isomorphism $V_{K_p} \cong [U_{K_p} \times \{GI(\mathbb{Q})xK_1^p\}]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong U_{K_p}$, where V_{K_p} (resp. U_{K_p}) denotes the inverse images of V (resp. U) in $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$ (resp. \mathcal{M}_{K_p}).

Remark 4.34. By the above result 2, the local structure of \mathcal{M}_{∞} is identified with that of $\mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}$ for some K_1^p , which was already studied.

In the following to Proposition 4.41, we always consider the action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and don't consider the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let U be a sufficiently small affinoid open of $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}$ such that for any $\tau \in \Psi$, there exists a basis $g_{1,\tau}, g_{2,\tau}$ of $H^0(\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}, \omega_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}} \otimes \wedge^2 V_{\tau})$ such that $g_{1,\tau}|_U$ is a generator of $(\omega_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}} \otimes \wedge^2 V_{\tau})|_U$. (Precisely, the sufficiently smallness means that U is an element of \mathcal{B} as in § 3.4 for some unitary Shimura variety related to \mathcal{M} in the sense of Theorem 4.33.) Let V be a small affinoid open of \mathcal{M}_{K_p} such that the inverse image $V_{\infty} = \text{Spa}(B, B^+)$ of V in \mathcal{M}_{∞} is an affinoid perfectoid open of \mathcal{M}_{∞} and $V \subset \pi_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(U)$.

The following results are variants of results in § 3.3. and 3.4.

Proposition 4.35. We have $R^i \Psi \mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}(B) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.33 and Theorem 3.29. We can also give a purely local proof by the same argument as Theorem 3.29. \square

Let \mathfrak{n}^0 (resp. \mathfrak{b}^0) be the G_C -equivariant vector bundle on $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}$ defined by the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n} := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{n}_C$ and $\mathfrak{b} := \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{b}_C$, where \mathfrak{n}_C (resp. \mathfrak{b}_C) denotes the Lie subalgebra of $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_{2,C}$ consisting of the upper triangle nilpotent (resp. upper triangle) matrices. (See Definition 3.32 for details.) Note that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ by 2 of Example 2.7. Thus we have a natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(V_{\infty})$ and this induces actions of $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)$, $\mathfrak{b}^0(U)$ and $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(L) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}(U)$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(V_{\infty})$.

Proposition 4.36. The action of $\mathfrak{n}^0(U)$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(V_{\infty})$ is trivial.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.33 and Theorem 3.33. Again, we can also give a purely local proof. \square

After shrinking K_p if necessary, we may assume that $G_0 := K_p$ is sufficiently small as after Lemma 2.3. Let $G_m := (G_0)^{p^m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and V_{G_m} be the inverse image of V in \mathcal{M}_{G_m} . (Note that G_m is an open subgroup of G_0 . See the discussions after Lemma 2.3.) Let $x_\tau := g_{2,\tau}/g_{1,\tau} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}(U)$ and f_τ denote a basis of $\wedge^2 V_\tau(-1)$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$. We can regard f_τ as a generator of $\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}$ via $\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \cong \wedge^2 V_\tau(-1) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}$ coming from the above (9).

Take an increasing sequence of positive integers $r(1) < r(2) < \dots < r(n) < \dots$ and take $x_{\tau,n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$, $g_{\tau,1,n} \in \omega_{\tau,\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}} \otimes \wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$ and $f_{\tau,n} \in \wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_\tau \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$ satisfying $\|x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\|, \|\frac{g_{\tau,1,n}}{g_{\tau,1}} - 1\|, \|\frac{f_{\tau,n}}{f_\tau} - 1\| \leq p^{-n-1}$. Moreover, after replacing $r(n)$, we may assume $\|x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\| = \|x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\|_{G_{r(n)}}, \|\frac{g_{\tau,1,n}}{g_{\tau,1}} - 1\| = \|\frac{g_{\tau,1,n}}{g_{\tau,1}} - 1\|_{G_{r(n)}}$ and $\|\frac{f_{\tau,n}}{f_\tau} - 1\| = \|\frac{f_{\tau,n}}{f_\tau} - 1\|_{G_{r(n)}}$ by Lemma 2.4. Then $g_{\tau,1,n}$ is a generator of $\omega_{\tau,\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty) \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{g_{1,\tau}}{g_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau := \{f = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3)} a_{i,j,k} \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\log \frac{g_{1,\tau}}{g_{1,\tau,n}})^{i_\tau} (\log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}})^{j_\tau} (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{k_\tau} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}} \mid a_{i,j,k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}}) \text{ s.t. } \sup_{i,j,k} \|a_{i,j,k}\| p^{-n(\sum_\tau (i_\tau + j_\tau + k_\tau))} < \infty\}$.

This is a Banach space by the norm $\|f\|_{x_\tau, g_{1,\tau}, f_\tau} := \sup_{i,j,k} \|a_{i,j,k}\| p^{-(n+1)(\sum_\tau (i_\tau + j_\tau + k_\tau))}$ and by this Banach space structure, the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{g_{1,\tau}}{g_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}}$ is continuous.

Proposition 4.37. *There exists an integer m such that for any $n \geq m$, the natural inclusion*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}}$$

factors through a continuous injection

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}, \log \frac{g_{1,\tau}}{g_{1,\tau,n}}, \log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}}\}_\tau.$$

Proof. The same proof as Proposition 3.34 works by Proposition 4.36. \square

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{h}_\tau$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(C)$ consisting of diagonal matrices. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \cong H^0(\mathcal{H}_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}, \mathfrak{b}^0/\mathfrak{n}^0)$ by using a filtration $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau,\mathcal{H}_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^{-1} \rightarrow V_\tau \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau,\mathcal{H}_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}} \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau \rightarrow 0$ and this acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ by Proposition 4.36. We write this action for $\theta_{\mathfrak{h},\mathcal{M}}$. As in the global situation, every element $(a_\tau, b_\tau)_\tau \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\Psi$ gives a character $(a_\tau, b_\tau)_\tau : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow C$. Some of the following results hold in the general weight case, but we only need the trivial weight case.

Proposition 4.38. *There exists an integer m such that for any $n \geq m$, the natural inclusion*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}, (0,0)_\tau} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_{r(n)} - \Psi - \text{an}, (0,0)_\tau}$$

factors through a continuous injection

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}(V_\infty)^{G_0 - \Psi - \text{an}, (0,0)_\tau} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})\{x_\tau - x_{\tau,n}\}_\tau.$$

Here, we use the same notation as Proposition 3.46.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.37. \square

The following results are local variants of results in § 4.1 and 4.2. In the following, we also use the same notation \mathcal{F} for the inverse image via $\pi_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}$ (resp. $\pi_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}$) of a sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}$).

Proposition 4.39. *There exists a unique continuous $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}$ -linear derivation $d_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{1,\text{sm}}$ such that $d^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}}$ is equal to the usual derivation of finite levels.*

Proof. The same proof as Lemma 4.1 works in our local situation by using Proposition 4.38. \square

As after Lemma 4.1, by Proposition 4.39, we obtain the locally analytic extension $GDR_{0,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\bullet,\text{sm}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ of the usual de Rham complex $GDR_{0,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}} := (\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\bullet,\text{sm}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\text{sm}})$ of finite levels by the formula $\nabla_n^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{n,\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{n+1,\text{sm}}$, $f \otimes w \mapsto f \otimes d(w) + (-1)^n d_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}(f) \wedge w$. This is actually shown to be a complex by considering a dense subspace as in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

By using the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{1,\text{sm}} \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes \wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau})$ and the identification $\omega_{\tau,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{2,\text{sm}} \otimes \wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}}} \omega_{\tau,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau})^{-1}$ coming from (8), the complex $GDR_{0,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ can be written as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & GDR_{0,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)} \rightarrow \\ & \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}}} \omega_{\tau,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau})^{-1} \rightarrow \\ & \dots \rightarrow \\ & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}}} (\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau})^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.40. *For any $I \subset \Psi$ and $\sigma \in \Psi \setminus I$, there exists a unique continuous, $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}$ -linear map $\bar{d}_{\sigma,I} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la},(0,0)\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-1})) \otimes (\omega_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\sigma})^{-1})$ such that for any U, V and x_{τ} as before Proposition 4.37, we have the following formula : $\bar{d}_{\sigma,I}(\sum_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\Psi}} a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (x_{\tau} - x_{\tau,n})^{i_{\tau}} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} dx_{\tau})) = (\sum_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\Psi}} i_{\sigma} a_i (x_{\sigma} - x_{\sigma,n})^{i_{\sigma}-1} \prod_{\tau \neq \sigma} (x_{\tau} - x_{\tau,n})^{i_{\tau}} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} dx_{\tau})) \otimes dx_{\sigma}$. (Here, we use the explicit description Proposition 4.38. Note that by the same proof as Proposition 3.20, we have $\Omega_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^1 \cong \omega_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\sigma})^{-1}$ for the pullback $\Omega_{\sigma,\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}}}^1$ of the sheaf of differential forms on \mathbb{P}_C^1 via the projection map $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT},\mathcal{M}} = \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathbb{P}_C^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1$ to the σ -component.)*

Proof. The uniqueness is clear. For the existence, it suffices to prove that the map defined by the formula in the statement of this lemma is independent of the choice of the basis $g_{1,\tau}$ and $g_{2,\tau}$. This is shown by the same calculation as Lemma 4.4. \square

By using Proposition 4.40, we obtain the complex $\overline{GDR}_{0,\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ having the following form as after Lemma 4.4. (Note that we now consider the trivial weight case.)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{GDR}_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)} \rightarrow \\
& \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)}_\tau \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}}} \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1} \rightarrow \\
& \dots \rightarrow \\
& \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)}_\tau \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}}^{-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

As in the global case, we have the following.

Proposition 4.41. $H^i(\overline{GDR}_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ and $H^0(\overline{GDR}_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\text{sm}}$.

Proof. As in the global case, this follows from the explicit formula in Proposition 4.40 and Poincare lemma. \square

We give a certain comparison theorem between \mathcal{M}_∞ and $\check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty$. We recall that we have the identification $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty$ by Theorem 4.28. In the following, we use the notation $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}$ (resp. $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}$) instead of $\Psi - \text{la}$ when we consider the action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (resp. $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$).

Proposition 4.42. 1 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}} = \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}}$.

2 $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{M}} = \theta_{\mathfrak{h}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$.

Proof. 1 By Proposition 4.37, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}}$ as in [Pan22b, Corollary 5.2.10 and 5.3.9]. The converse is shown by the same way.

2 Note that $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{M}}$ and $\theta_{\mathfrak{h}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$ are derivations. Thus it suffices to check the equality for all elements in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$, x_τ , $g_{1, \tau}$ and f_τ appearing in Proposition 4.37. Note that since $\pi_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}$ is étale, it suffices to consider all elements in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}}$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{G_{r(n)}}}(V_{G_{r(n)}})$. Thus it suffices to check the equality for f_τ 's and all elements in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}}$, $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}}$ and $\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}}$. The calculations are the same as [Pan22b, Corollary 5.3.13]. \square

Proposition 4.43. We have a canonical identification $GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}} = \overline{GDR}_{0, \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}}$.

Proof. Note that we have a canonical identification of the components of $GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}}$ and $\overline{GDR}_{0, \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \Psi - \text{la}}$ by Proposition 4.42 and the identification $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$. Thus it suffices to prove that all maps are equal. Since $\pi_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}}$ and $\pi_{\text{GM}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$ are étale, as we used in the proof of Proposition 4.42, the result follows from the fact that all maps are $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}}$ -linear derivations which are equal to usual derivation on $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{GM}, \mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{F}\ell_{\text{HT}, \check{\mathcal{M}}}$. \square

Now, we come back to our global situation. Note that the underlying topological space of $[\varprojlim_{K^p} \mathcal{M}_\infty \times [GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GI(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\infty)/K^p]]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is not changed if we consider the profinite topology on $[GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GI(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\infty)/K^p]$ because we divide the space by the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. In the rest of this subsection, we always consider the profinite topology on $[GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GI(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^\infty)/K^p]$ and we only consider the underlying topological space.

For a topological space Y on which the locally profinite group $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts continuously, let $\text{Shv}_{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Y)$ denote the category of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaves on Y . (See [Sch98, § 1] for details). If $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts trivially on Y , then let $R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), \)$ denote the right derived

functor of the functor $\mathrm{Shv}_{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(Y)$, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$. Let $X_K := GI(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})/K$, $X := \varprojlim_K X_K$ and $s : \mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^b)$ and $\mathrm{pr}_1 : \mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$ be the natural projections.

Lemma 4.44. *The category $\mathrm{Shv}_{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X)$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Shv}([\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto (s_* \mathcal{F})^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ and $\mathcal{G} \mapsto s^{-1} \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. We will prove that the natural maps $s^*(s_* \mathcal{F})^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (s_*(s^* \mathcal{G}))^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ are isomorphisms. Thus it suffices to check these locally on $[\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. By Theorem 4.33, any point of $[\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X]/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has a neighborhood having a form like $(\sqcup_{\gamma \in K_p \setminus J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} [U \times gK]\gamma)/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ for some K and a K_p -stable quasicompact open U of \mathcal{M}_{∞} . We have natural identifications $(\sqcup_{\gamma \in K_p \setminus J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} [U \times gK]\gamma)/J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong [U \times gK]/K_p$ and $\mathrm{Shv}_{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}((\sqcup_{\gamma \in K_p \setminus J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} [U \times gK]\gamma)) \cong \mathrm{Shv}_{K_p}([U \times gK])$. Thus it suffices to prove $\mathrm{Shv}_{K_p}([U \times gK]) \cong \mathrm{Shv}([U \times gK]/K_p)$. This follows from the definition of K_p -equivariant sheaves and the identification $[U \times gK] = \varprojlim_{K'_p} [U \times gK]/K'_p$. \square

Let $GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}} := \varinjlim_{K_1^p} \pi_{K_1^p}^{-1} GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$, where $\pi_{K_1^p} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}$ denotes the canonical projection. (See Remark 4.3 for the definition of $GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$.) Note that we have $GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}} = (\pi_{K_1^p}^* GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})^{K_p}$.

Let $D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) := D_0(\mathbb{X}_b)^{\lambda_0} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} ((\wedge^2 D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau})^{\lambda_{\tau, 2}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau, 1} - \lambda_{\tau, 2}} D(\mathbb{X}_b)_{\tau}))$, where $D_0(\mathbb{X}_b)$ denotes the similitude representation of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with the trivial action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaf on $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X$ defined by $U \times V \mapsto \varinjlim_{K' \subset K} \mathrm{Map}_{K'}(V, D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes_{\check{L}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}}^{-\lambda_{\tau}, J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \mathrm{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}))) = \varinjlim_{K' \subset K} \mathrm{Map}_{K'}(V, D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b)) \otimes_{\check{L}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \check{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}}^{-\lambda_{\tau}, J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) - \mathrm{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{-\lambda_{\tau}}))$ for any K , any K_p -stable open subset $U \subset \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$ and any K -stable subset $V \subset X$. Here $\mathrm{Map}_{K'}$ denotes the set of K' -equivariant maps.

Proposition 4.45. *$GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}|_{\pi_{\mathrm{HT}, \mathcal{S}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^b)}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $s_*(\mathcal{F})^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.44, it suffices to prove that $s^{-1} GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}|_{\pi_{\mathrm{HT}, \mathcal{S}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^b)}$ is quasi-isomorphic to \mathcal{F} as $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaves. By the identification of de Rham cohomologies of abelian schemes and Dieudonne modules of p -divisible group obtained by abelian schemes (see [BBM82, (2.5.6) and (3.3.7)]), the pullback to $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X$ of the vector bundle with the integrable connection $\varinjlim_{K_1^p} (D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \nabla)$ is equal to the pullback of $(D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{sm}}, \mathrm{id} \otimes d_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\mathrm{sm}}})$ via $\mathrm{pr}_1 : \mathcal{M}_{\infty} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\infty}$. Thus the pullback of $\varinjlim_{K_1^p} \pi_{K_1^p}^{-1} (D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}, (0,0)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\bullet, \mathrm{sm}}, \nabla^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})$ is identified with the pullback of $D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$. (See § 4.1 for the definition of $(D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}, (0,0)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\bullet, \mathrm{sm}}, \nabla^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})$.) In § 4.1, we took certain sections to $(D_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}, (0,0)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\bullet, \mathrm{sm}}, \nabla^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})$ from certain subquotients and took $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}^b}} (\otimes_{\tau} (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}^b}^{\lambda_{\tau}} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_{\tau})^{\lambda_{\tau}}))$ to get $GDR_{\lambda, \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$. However, on \mathcal{M}_{∞} , the corresponding sections of the components of $D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ are given by taking the $\chi_{\lambda_{\Psi}}$ -isotypic part of the components with respect to the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by the same calculation as in the proof of Lemma 4.5.²⁴ Here, we identify the Lie algebra of G over L and the Lie algebra of the inner form J_b of G over L . Thus the result follows from the quasi-isomorphism

²⁴Roughly speaking, the constructions of § 4.1 and § 4.2 are equal under the duality isomorphism.

$GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \cong \overline{GDR}_{0, \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\text{sm}}$, which is deduced from Proposition 4.43 and Proposition 4.41. \square

Note that the space $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(V_\lambda)_C := \varinjlim_K \mathcal{A}_{GI}(K, V_\lambda)_C$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^p, V_\lambda)_C := \varinjlim_{K^p} \mathcal{A}_{GI}(K^p K_p, V_\lambda)_C$) of algebraic automorphic forms of weight λ on $GI(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$ can be regarded as $\varinjlim_K \text{Map}_K(X, D_\lambda(\mathbb{X}_b)) \otimes_{\check{L}} C$ (resp. $\varinjlim_{K^p} \text{Map}_{K^p}(X_{K^p}, D_\lambda(\mathbb{X}_b)) \otimes_{\check{L}} C$).

Theorem 4.46. *We have the following natural quasi-isomorphism.*

$$GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\mathcal{A}^b} \cong R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), R\pi_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^p, V_\lambda)_C \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \check{\mathcal{M}}}^{-\lambda_\tau, J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)-\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-\lambda_\tau}))).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.44, we have $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}} = (R\pi_{\text{HT}, S*} GDR_{\lambda, S}^{\Psi-\text{la}})^{K^p}$. By Proposition 4.45 and Lemma 4.44, we have $GDR_{\lambda, S}^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^b)} = (s_* \mathcal{F})^{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), Rs_* \mathcal{F})$.

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\mathcal{A}^b} \\ &= (R(\pi_{\text{HT}, S}|_{\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^b)})_*(GDR_{\lambda, S_{K^p}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}|_{\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^b)}))^{K^p} \\ &= (R(\pi_{\text{HT}, S}|_{\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^b)})_*(R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), Rs_* \mathcal{F})))^{K^p} \\ &= R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), R(\pi_{\text{HT}, S}|_{\pi_{\text{HT}}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}^b)})_* Rs_* \mathcal{F})^{K^p} \\ &= R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), R\pi_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}*} R\text{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{F}))^{K^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R\text{pr}_{1*} = \text{pr}_{1*}$. In fact, by using the fact that X is a profinite space, we see that every surjection $\mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ of sheaves on $\mathcal{M}_\infty \times X$ gives a surjection $\text{pr}_{1*} \mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow \text{pr}_{1*} \mathcal{G}'$.

Thus for any K and any K_p -stable quasicompact open subset U of \mathcal{M}_∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R\text{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{F})(U) &= \text{pr}_{1*}(\mathcal{F})(U) \\ &= \varinjlim_{K_1 \subset K} \text{Map}_{K_1}(X, D_\lambda(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes_{\check{L}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \check{\mathcal{M}}}^{-\lambda_\tau, J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)-\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-\lambda_\tau}))(U)) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(V_\lambda)_C \otimes_C (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, \check{\mathcal{M}}}^{-\lambda_\tau, J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)-\text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-\lambda_\tau}))(U). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the result because taking K^p -invariant part is exact for any smooth representations of K^p . \square

The following result is useful later. Let $GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\text{sm}}$ (resp. $GDR_{0, \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{\text{sm}}$) be the colimit of the pullbacks to \mathcal{M}_∞ (resp. $\check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty$) of the de Rham complexes of finite levels.

Theorem 4.47. *([DC24, Theorem 5.2.2.])*

We have a canonical $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant isomorphism $GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\text{sm}} \cong GDR_{0, \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{\text{sm}}$. In particular, the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $H^i(GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_\infty}^{\text{sm}})$ (resp. $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $H^i(GDR_{0, \check{\mathcal{M}}_\infty}^{\text{sm}})$) is smooth.

Remark 4.48. [CDN20, Theorem 0.6] first proved a similar result in the Lubin-Tate curve and Drinfeld curve case and recently, an elementary proof of a similar result was given by [Su25, Theorem 0.4.11] in the Lubin-Tate space and Drinfeld space case. The author think that the same argument also works in our situation.

4.6 Classicality of geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes

In the following, we use various unitary groups. In order to give identifications of local components of different unitary groups, we use the following convention.

Convention

We consider $(S(B_1), \Psi_1)$ and $(S(B_2), \Psi_2)$ as $(S(B), \Psi)$ in § 3.1. These data induce central division algebras B_1 and B_2 over F of dimension 4 and unitary similitude groups GU_1/\mathbb{Q} and GU_2/\mathbb{Q} via Proposition 3.1. For any prime r splitting uu^c in F_0 not lying below a place in $S(B_1) \cup S(B_2)$, we fix an identification between $GU(\mathbb{Q}_r) = \mathbb{Q}_r^\times \times \prod_{w|u} B_{1,w}^{\text{op},\times}$ and $GU(\mathbb{Q}_r) = \mathbb{Q}_r^\times \times \prod_{w|u} B_{2,w}^{\text{op},\times}$ by $B_{1,w} \cong M_2(F_w) \cong B_{2,w}$. This identification is unique up to inner automorphisms and thus isomorphism classes of representations are independent of the choice of this identification.

Definition 4.49. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow C$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism.

We say that φ is a classical eigensystem of weight λ if after replacing S if necessary, φ is an eigensystem associated with a cohomological automorphic representation $\chi \boxtimes \pi$ of $\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\times \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is a base change of a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of weight λ of a unitary similitude group GU'/\mathbb{Q} defined in § 3.1 for some $S'(B)$ and Ψ' . Note that we fix data F^+ , F_0 and ι .

Inspired by [Pan22b, § 5], we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.50. *For any $b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$, $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ , where $j_b : \mathcal{F}\ell^b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ denotes the natural immersion.*

Consequently, $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .

The author roughly expects that $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ can be written by the $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant part of (the rigid cohomology of the Igusa variety) \otimes (geometric locally analytic de Rham complex of the infinite level of the Rapoport-Zink space), which is an analogue of the Mantovan formula for the l -adic étale cohomology of Shimura varieties (see [Man05, Theorem 22]) and the classicality follows from the classicality of the rigid cohomology of the Igusa variety, which is still open in general (see [Pan22b, proof of Theorem 5.5.7] in the modular curve case).

We only prove the above conjecture on the basic locus in general and on the μ -ordinary locus in some cases.

Proposition 4.51. $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^p, V_\lambda)_C$ has a Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.8. □

Corollary 4.52. *For the basic element $b \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$, $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b,!} j_b^* GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ has a Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.46 and Proposition 4.51. □

Proposition 4.53. *Let $w \mid v$ be a place of F and assume $\Psi \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ and $|\Psi| \leq 2$. Then for any i , the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p}^{\mu\text{-can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda}^{\dagger})$ defined after Lemma 4.21 has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .*

Proof. Note that under our assumption, we have $\mathcal{F}\ell = \mathcal{F}\ell^{b_0} \sqcup \mathcal{F}\ell^{b_1}$ by Corollary 4.14, where b_0 (resp. b_1) denotes the μ -ordinary element (resp. the basic element) of $B(G, \mu^{-1})$. Let $i : \mathcal{F}\ell^{b_0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ (resp. $j : \mathcal{F}\ell^{b_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$) be the natural immersion.

Thus we have a distinguished triangle $j_! j^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow i_* i^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow$ and an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_! j^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, i_* i^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}}) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (10)$$

In the following, we calculate these cohomology groups.

First, $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}}) = \varinjlim_{K^p} H^i(S_{K^p K_p, C}, GDR_{\lambda, S_{K^p K_p, C}})$ and by using the usual de Rham comparison over \mathbb{C} , Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.22, this has a Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .

By using Theorem 4.33, we obtain $j^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}} = (R\Gamma^{\text{sm}}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), R\pi_{\text{HT}, \mathcal{M}*}(\mathcal{G})))^{K^p}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.46, where \mathcal{G} denotes the complex of sheaves defined by

$$U \mapsto \varinjlim_{K' \subset K} \text{Map}_{K'}(X_{K'^p}, D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}(U))$$

for any K and any K_p -stable quasicompact open U of \mathcal{M}_{∞} . Theorem 4.47 implies the following quasi-isomorphisms.

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim_{K' \subset K} \text{Map}_{K'}(X_{K'^p}, D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}) &= \varinjlim_{K' \subset K} \text{Map}_{K'}(X_{K'^p}, D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b) \otimes GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}) \\ &= \varinjlim_{K' \subset K} \text{Map}_{K'}(X_{K'^p}, D_{\lambda}(\mathbb{X}_b)) \otimes GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}} \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(V_{\lambda})_C \otimes_C GDR_{0, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}}^{\text{sm}}. \end{aligned}$$

This also has a Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ by Proposition 4.51. Thus $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_! j^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}})$ also has a Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .

By 2 of Lemma 4.24, we obtain

$$H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, i_* i^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\text{sm}}) = \text{Ind}_{P_{S_0}}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} (\varinjlim_n H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathcal{S}_{K^p \Gamma(p^n)}^{\mu\text{-can}, \dagger}, D_{\lambda}^{\dagger}))^{\text{sm}}.$$

Thus we obtain the result by the above exact sequence (10). \square

Corollary 4.54. *Under the same assumption as Proposition 4.53, for the μ -ordinary element $b_0 \in B(G, \mu^{-1})$, $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, j_{b_0, !} j_{b_0}^* GDR_{\lambda}^{\Psi\text{-la}})$ has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.53 and Theorem 4.25. \square

Corollary 4.55. *Under the same assumption as Proposition 4.53, for any i , the cohomology group $H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_{\lambda}^{\Psi\text{-la}})$ has a generalized Hecke eigenspace decomposition by classical eigensystems of weight λ .*

Proof. This follows from Corollaries 4.54 and 4.52 because under our assumption, we only have the basic locus and the μ -ordinary locus on $\mathcal{F}\ell$ by Corollary 4.14. \square

5 Arithmetic locally analytic de Rham complexes

In this section, we construct a complex $ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$, which is closely related to $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ and is called the arithmetic locally analytic de Rham complex of weight λ in § 5.1. Moreover, in some cases, we prove that all de Rham representations of G_F of p -adic Hodge type λ appearing in the Ψ -locally analytic completed cohomology contribute to the cohomology of $ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ in § 5.2.

5.1 Arithmetic and geometric locally analytic de Rham complexes

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $D_k := (GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_k = \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=k} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\tau+1}))$ and $C_k := \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=k} D_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \in I}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}} ((\sum_{\tau \in I} (\lambda_\tau + 1)))$.

Note that for any $\tau \in \Psi$, we have the following $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}$ -linear $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant surjection. (The first map is $(\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_0 \rightarrow (\overline{GDR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_1$ and the second map is the projection to the τ -component. The surjectivity follows from the explicit description of the first map in Lemma 4.7.)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{\tau, 1}^\lambda : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\sigma, -1), (0, \lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \neq \sigma}} \otimes \omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\sigma-2, \text{sm}} (\lambda_\sigma + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\sigma-1} \rightarrow \\ D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1), (0, \lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \neq \tau}} &\otimes \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\tau-2, \text{sm}} (\lambda_\tau + 1) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By using these maps, for any $I = \{\tau_{k_1}, \dots, \tau_{k_s}\} \subset \Psi$ with $k_1 < \dots < k_s$, we obtain a surjection $(\bar{d}_{\tau_{k_1}, 1}^\lambda \otimes \text{id}) \circ \dots \circ \bar{d}_{\tau_{k_s}, 1}^\lambda : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \in I}, (0, \lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \notin I}} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-2\lambda_\tau-2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-\lambda_\tau-1})) (\sum_{\tau \in I} (\lambda_\tau + 1))$. Thus by taking $\bigotimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\tau+1}))$, we obtain

$$\bar{d}_I^\lambda : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\tau+1})) \rightarrow D_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \in I}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \notin I}} ((\sum_{\tau \in I} (\lambda_\tau + 1))) \quad (11)$$

and consequently $D_k \rightarrow C_k$.

Lemma 5.1. $\text{Ker} \bar{d}_I^\lambda = \langle \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau \otimes \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}) \otimes (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, 0)_\tau, (0, \lambda_\sigma)_{\sigma \neq \tau}})^{\text{gl}_2(L)_\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \neq \sigma \in I} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_\sigma+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\sigma+1})) \mid \tau \in I \rangle_C$.

Proof. The universal quotient map $V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} \wedge^2 V_\tau$ induces a morphism $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau \otimes_L C \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}, (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} \wedge^2 V_\tau)^{\lambda_\tau})$. This induces a map $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau \otimes \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}) \otimes (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, 0)_\tau, (0, \lambda_\sigma)_{\sigma \neq \tau}})^{\text{gl}_2(L)_\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \neq \sigma \in I} \omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_\sigma+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\sigma+1}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in I} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2\lambda_\tau+2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\tau+1})$. It suffices to check the injectivity of this map and the equality in the statement on $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ satisfying $U \subset U_1$ because all considered sheaves are $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant sheaves. By the explicit formula Lemma 4.7, we obtain the result because $\bar{d}_{\tau_i, 1}^{\lambda'}$ is equal to $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\tau_i}})^{\lambda_{\tau_i}} \otimes dx_{\tau_i}^{\lambda_{\tau_i}}$ up to a scalar of \mathbb{Q}_p^\times . \square

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a unique family of morphisms $(N_k : C_k \rightarrow C_{k+1})_{0 \leq k \leq d-1}$ such that $AGR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}} := (C_k, N_k)$ is a complex and the above map $D_k \rightarrow C_k$ (11) induces the surjective morphism $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}} \twoheadrightarrow ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ of complexes.*

Proof. The uniqueness follows from the surjectivity. For the existence, it suffices to prove that $\text{Ker}(D_k \rightarrow C_k)$ is sent to $\text{Ker}(D_{k+1} \rightarrow C_{k+1})$ via the map $D_k \rightarrow D_{k+1}$ and this follows from the explicit description of \bar{d}_I^λ . (See the proof of Lemma 5.1.) \square

The author hopes that this complex is equal to the complex obtained by the “Fontaine operator”. In the following, we will explain the precise meaning of this. We assume that F^+/\mathbb{Q} is Galois, p is inert in F^+ and $\lambda = 0$ for a moment for simplicity. The vanishing of the “Fontaine operator” characterizes the de Rham representations among the Hodge-Tate representations. (We will see details about the Fontaine operator in § 5.2.) More precisely, for a Hodge-Tate representation $\rho : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(F_v)$ of τ -Hodge-Tate weight $(0, 1)$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$ with a Hodge-Tate decomposition $\rho^\tau \otimes_{F_v} C = W_0^\tau \oplus W_1^\tau$, we can construct natural C -linear maps $N_\tau : W_0^\tau \rightarrow W_1^\tau(1)$ such that $N_\tau = 0$ for any τ is equivalent to the condition that ρ is de Rham. By using this operator, we obtain the following complex

$$\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (W_0^\tau \xrightarrow{N_\tau} W_1^\tau(1)). \quad (12)$$

By developing the theory of the Fontaine operator to Banach representations and LB representations, we can purely Galois-theoretically construct a sequence of maps $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(0,0)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(1,-1)_{\tau},(0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(1,-1)}(d)$ such that the sequence of maps

$$H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(0,0)})[\varphi] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(1,-1)_{\tau},(0,0)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}(1))[\varphi] \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(1,-1)}(d))[\varphi]$$

is equal to the complex obtained by the above construction (12) when ρ is associated with an eigensystem $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ for a certain nice maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . (We recall that we have the Hodge-Tate decomposition $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)^{\chi(0,0)\tau} \widehat{\otimes}_L C = \bigotimes_{I \subset \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(1,-1)_{\tau \in I},(0,0)_{\tau \notin I}})$ and we have the concrete Galois structure of the completed cohomology $\widehat{H}^d(K^p, L)[\varphi] = \chi_\varphi^c|_{G_F} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Phi} \rho_\tau^\tau) \otimes_L M$ for some smooth character χ_φ and some vector space M over L with the trivial Galois action. See Theorem 3.18 and Proposition 3.51 for details.) The author hopes that this is a complex and equal to $ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$. - (*)

Let $N : (ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})_0 \rightarrow (ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})_1$ be the first map in the complex $ADR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$. Thus this is equal to $N = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \bar{d}_\tau^\lambda \circ d_\tau^\lambda$, where the map $\bar{d}_\tau^\lambda := \bar{d}_{\{\tau\}}^\lambda$ is defined before Lemma 5.1 and d_τ^λ denotes the composite of the first map $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(0,\lambda_\tau)\gamma} = (GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})_0 \rightarrow (GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}})_1 = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(0,\lambda_\tau)\gamma} \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2, \mathrm{sm}} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p})$ of $GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}$ and the projection $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(0,\lambda_\tau)\gamma} \otimes (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2, \mathrm{sm}} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la},(0,\lambda_\tau)\gamma} \otimes (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2, \mathrm{sm}} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p})$. In rest of this subsection, we fix a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal \mathfrak{m} of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})$ such that $\bar{\rho}_\mathfrak{m}(G_F)$ is not solvable and $\bigotimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\bar{\rho}_\mathfrak{m}|_{G_{\bar{F}}})^\tau$ is irreducible. For any \mathcal{O} -morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, we put $\rho_\varphi := \varphi_* \rho_\mathfrak{m}$ and $\chi_\varphi := \varphi_* \chi_\mathfrak{m}$. Inspired by the above hope (*), we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.3. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an eigensystem such that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w for any $w \mid v$ and $\chi_\varphi|_{G_{F_{0,v^c}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_0 . If $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] \neq 0$.*

Remark 5.4. Actually, as in [Che20], by using [BC08, Theorem B], we can prove that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is always de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w for any $w \mid v$ not contained in S_0 . Thus we can replace the above assumption on ρ_φ by the assumption that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w for any $w \mid v$ not contained in S_0 . However, in our application, we will not mind such a technical difference. Thus the author decided to state the above weaker conjecture.

In § 5.2, we will prove this conjecture when one of the following conditions holds by using a similar method as [Pan22b, § 6].

- λ_Ψ is parallel.
- $|\Psi| = 2$.

In the following of this subsection, we will see a consequence of the above conjecture.

Proposition 5.5. $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m} = H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. We have the following spectral sequence.

$$E_1^{p,q} := \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi, |I|=p} H^q(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \notin I}} (\sum_{\tau \in I} (\lambda_\tau + 1)))_\mathfrak{m} \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\mathfrak{m}$$

By Theorem 3.37, we have $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for any $q \neq d$. Therefore, we obtain $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\mathfrak{m} = E_2^{0,d} = \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}$. \square

Let $\text{Ker} := \text{Ker}(GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})$. Let $\{\text{Fil}^i \text{Ker}\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$ be the exhaustive increasing filtration on Ker defined by $(\text{Fil}^i \text{Ker})_k := \langle \text{Sym}^{\lambda_\tau} V_\tau \otimes \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\lambda_\tau + 2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}) \otimes (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)_\tau, (0, \lambda_\sigma)_{\sigma \neq \tau}})^{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau} \otimes (\bigotimes_{\tau \neq \sigma \in I} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{2\lambda_\sigma + 2, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\sigma, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_\sigma + 1})) \mid |I| = k, \tau \in I \cap \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_i\} \rangle_C$. (See Lemma 5.1. This is actually a subcomplex by using the explicit formula Lemma 4.7.) By definition, we have the following.

Lemma 5.6. For any i , we have $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_i}} V_{\tau_i} \otimes_L \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_{\tau_i}}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_i}} V_{\tau_i}, \text{gr}^i \text{Ker}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}^i \text{Ker}$.

Theorem 5.7. Assume Conjectures 4.50 and 5.3. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism. Assume that φ is not a classical eigensystem of weight λ , $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w for any $w \mid v$, $\chi_\varphi|_{G_{F_{0,vc}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_0 and $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi})_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. Then there exists $\tau \in \Psi$ such that $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau\}}})_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau\}-\text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$.

Remark 5.8. In § 6, we will prove a certain comparison theorem on the completed cohomologies under a certain technical assumption on \mathfrak{m} . (See Theorem 6.6.) This implies that we may replace S_K by a unitary Shimura variety T_K of dimension $d - 1$ associated with $\Psi \setminus \{\tau\}$. By applying Theorem 5.7 for T_K again and repeating this argument, we can reduce the proof of the classicality of φ to the unitary Shimura curve case and deduce the classicality of φ .

Proof. By Proposition 5.5, we have $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] = \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] \neq 0$ because we assume Conjecture 5.3. We have an exact sequence $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) \rightarrow H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}}) \rightarrow H^{d+1}(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{Ker})$.

The localization $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\varphi$ of $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, GDR_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})$ at the prime ideal corresponding to φ vanishes since we assume Conjecture 4.50. Therefore, we obtain $H^{d+1}(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{Ker})_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] \supset H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{ADR}_\lambda^{\Psi-\text{la}})_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] = \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi]$. The filtration $\{\text{Fil}^i \text{Ker}\}_{0 \leq i \leq d}$ on Ker induces the increasing exhaustive filtration $\{\text{Fil}^i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d}$ on $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi]$ such that

$$\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_i}} V_{\tau_i} \otimes_L \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_{\tau_i}}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_i}} V_{\tau_i}, \text{gr}^i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}^i$$

for any i . Let i_0 be the minimum i such that $\text{gr}^i \neq 0$. Thus $\text{gr}^{i_0} = \text{Fil}^{i_0}$. Then we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_{\tau_{i_0}}}(\text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_{i_0}}} V_{\tau_{i_0}}, \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi]) = (\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] \otimes \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_{i_0}}} V_{\tau_{i_0}}^\vee)^{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_{\tau_{i_0}}} \neq 0.$$

Note that we have $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C \cong \bigoplus_{I \subset \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{K^p, \lambda^\Psi}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I}, (1+\lambda_\tau, -1)_{\tau \notin I}})_\mathfrak{m}$ by Proposition 3.51. Thus $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] \subset \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C$. In particular, we obtain $(\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi})_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi] \otimes \text{Sym}^{\lambda_{\tau_{i_0}}} V_{\tau_{i_0}}^\vee)^{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_{\tau_{i_0}}} = \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau_{i_0}\}}})_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau_{i_0}\}-\text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. \square

5.2 Fontaine operators and arithmetic locally analytic de Rham complexes

The purpose of this subsection is to prove Conjecture 5.3 in the parallel weight case and when $d \leq 2$.

5.2.1 Preliminaries on the Fontaine operator and period sheaves

Let m and k be positive integers. We put $\varepsilon := (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots) \in \mathcal{O}_C^\flat := \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_C/p$, $t := \log([\varepsilon])$ and $B_{\text{dR},m}^+ := B_{\text{dR}}^+/t^m$. Let W be a Banach space over \mathbb{Q}_p with a continuous action $B_{\text{dR},m}^+ \times W \rightarrow W$. We put $\text{Fil}^i W := t^i W$ and $\text{gr}^i W := t^i W / t^{i+1} W$.

We assume that $t : \text{gr}^i W(1) \rightarrow \text{gr}^{i+1} W$ is an isomorphism for any $i = 0, \dots, m-2$ and $\text{gr}^0 W$ is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[0, k]$, i.e., $\text{gr}^0 W$ is Hodge-Tate of some integers $w_1, \dots, w_r \in [0, k]$. Note that $t^i W$ is a closed subspace of W by the above first assumption.

We recall the notations $H_L := \text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L^{\text{cycl}})$, $\Gamma_L := \text{Gal}(L^{\text{cycl}}/L)$ and $W^L := W^{H_L, \Gamma_L\text{-an}}$ defined in § 3.5. Note that by (8) of Lemma 3.40, we have $\text{gr}^i(W^L) = (\text{gr}^i W)^L$.

Let $\theta_W : W^L \rightarrow W^L$ be the Sen operator, i.e., the action of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Lie} \Gamma_L$. Let $E_0(W^L) := W^{L, \theta_W\text{-nilp}}$ be the subspace of W^L consisting of elements x such that $\theta_W^s(x) = 0$ for some integer $s > 0$ and $N_W := \theta_W|_{E_0(W^L)}$. Note that t^i induces $(\text{gr}^0 W(i))^{G_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}^i E_0(W^L)$ and N_W stabilizes the filtration on $E_0(W^L)$ induced from the t -adic filtration on W .

Proposition 5.9. *Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of G_L over \mathbb{Q}_p which is Hodge-Tate with weights in $[0, k]$. We put $W := V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR},m}^+$.*

If V is de Rham, then $N_W = 0$.

Conversely, if $m > k$ and $N_W = 0$, then V is de Rham.

Proof. We may assume $m > k$. Since we assume that V is Hodge-Tate, we have $\dim_L E_0(W^L) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ by the above identification $(\text{gr}^0 W(i))^{G_L} = \text{gr}^i E_0(W^L)$. Thus V is de Rham if and only if $\dim_L E_0(W^L) = \dim_L D_{\text{dR}}(V)$. Note that $D_{\text{dR}}(V) = E_0(W^L)^{N_W=0}$ and thus the above condition is equivalent to $E_0(W^L) = E_0(W^L)^{N_W=0}$ and this means $N_W = 0$. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of G_L over L which is Hodge-Tate of weights in $[0, k]$. We put $W_\sigma := V^\sigma \otimes_L B_{\text{dR},m}^+$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. (V^σ denotes the conjugate representation of V by σ . See the comments before Theorem 3.10.)*

If V is de Rham, then $N_{W^\sigma} = 0$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Conversely, if $m > k$ and $N_{W^\sigma} = 0$ for any σ , then V is de Rham.

Proof. We have a decomposition $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)} V \otimes_{L,\sigma} L$. Thus the result follows from $(V \otimes_{L,\sigma} B_{\text{dR},m})^\sigma \cong V^\sigma \otimes_L B_{\text{dR},m}$. \square

We recall that we have sheaves \mathbb{B}_{dR}^+ and $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ on $(\mathcal{S}_{K,L})_{\text{proét}}$. (See [Sch13b, § 6].) We also use the same notations for the restrictions to \mathcal{S}_{K^p} . Let $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},m}^+ := \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / \text{Fil}^m \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$. We also write $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},m}^+$ for the pushforward of $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},m}^+$ via π_{HT} . Let $\varinjlim_{L'' \supset L} E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi\text{-la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L''})$ be the sheaf on $\mathcal{F}\ell$ defined by

$$U \mapsto \varinjlim_{L'' \supset L'} E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR},m}^+(U))^{\Psi\text{-la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L''})$$

for any quasicompact open U of $\mathcal{F}\ell$ and any sufficiently large finite extension L' of L such that $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+(U)$ has a natural $G_{L'}$ -action and L'' runs through finite extensions of L' . Let N_λ be the Fontaine operator on $\varinjlim_{L'' \supset L} E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}, L''})$

Lemma 5.11. 1 $\widehat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}} \widehat{\otimes}_L B_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+ \cong H^i(\mathcal{F}\ell, (V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and these vanish for any $i \neq d$. Moreover, the latter cohomology group can be computed by the Čech complex $C(\mathcal{B}', (V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for any finite covering $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$.

2 We have a natural map

$$H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \varinjlim_{L'' \supset L} E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}, L''}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{L'' \supset L} E_0((\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}} \widehat{\otimes}_L B_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{L''})$$

which is compatible with the Fontaine operator on $\varinjlim_{L'' \supset L} (\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}} \widehat{\otimes}_L B_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{L''}$ and $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_\lambda)$ on $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \varinjlim_{L'' \supset L} E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}, L''}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Remark 5.12. The author doesn't know whether the map in 2 is an isomorphism or not, but we don't need such a result.

Proof. 1 follows from the primitive comparison [Sch13b, Theorem 1.3], Proposition 3.51 and taking graded pieces. 2 follows from the fact that the given map is induced from the Galois equivariant continuous map $Z^i(\mathcal{B}', (V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}}) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^i(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}} \widehat{\otimes}_L B_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+$ given in 1, where $Z^i(\mathcal{B}', (V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}})$ denotes the kernel of $C^i(\mathcal{B}', (V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}}) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(\mathcal{B}', (V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},m}^+)^{\Psi^{-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}})$. \square

Note the following lemma, which is useful later.

Lemma 5.13. Let K be a complete discrete valuation field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with a perfect residue field and X be a smooth rigid analytic space over K . We put $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ := \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / \mathrm{Fil}^k \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ := \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ / \mathrm{Fil}^k \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. (Precisely, we should write $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},X}^+$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},X}^+$ to distinguish the previous $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ on $(\mathcal{S}_{K,L})_{\mathrm{pro\acute{e}t}}$.)

Then we have the following results.

1 There exists a canonical isomorphism of filtered $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},1}^+$ -modules $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1$. Here, we consider the filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^j\}_{j=0}^{k-1}$ on the right hand side given by $\mathrm{Fil}^j = \bigoplus_{i=j}^{k-1} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1$.

2 The induced connection $\nabla : \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1$ respects the natural graded pieces of both sides and is given by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1 \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1} \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$, $f \otimes x_1 \cdots x_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^i f \otimes x_1 \cdots \check{x}_j \cdots x_i \otimes x_j$ and the zero map on $i = 0$. Here, \check{x}_j means that we omit x_j and we define the degree of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1$ to be i and the degree of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1$ to be $i + 1$.

Remark 5.14. Note that the \mathcal{O}_X -module structure on $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ induced by $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+$ is not equal to the \mathcal{O}_X -module structure induced by the $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},1}^+$ -module structure.

Proof. We will prove this by induction on k . This is trivial if $k = 1$. Assume that $k \geq 2$ and the above statement holds for $k - 1$.

First, we recall a pro tale local description of $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$. We take a small affinoid open U of X and an  tale map $U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_K^d := \mathrm{Spa}(K\langle X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_d^{\pm 1} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_K\langle X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_d^{\pm 1} \rangle)$, which is a composition of finite  tale maps and rational open immersions. Let

$$\mathbb{T}_{\widehat{K}, \infty}^d := \mathrm{Spa}(\widehat{K}\langle X_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, X_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}}\langle X_1^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}}, \dots, X_d^{\pm \frac{1}{p^\infty}} \rangle)$$

be the usual affinoid perfectoid cover of \mathbb{T}_K^d , where \widehat{K} denotes the completed algebraic closure of K . Let $U_\infty := U \times_{\mathbb{T}_K^d} \mathbb{T}_{\widehat{K}, \infty}^d$ be the fiber product in $(\mathbb{T}_K^d)_{\mathrm{pro t}}$, which is an affinoid perfectoid space. Then the $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+|_{U_\infty}$ -algebra map $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+|_{U_\infty}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_d]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+|_{U_\infty}$ defined by $Y_i \mapsto X_i - [X_i^\flat]$ is a filtered isomorphism by [Sch13b, Proposition 6.10], where $X_i^\flat := (X_i, X_i^{\frac{1}{p}}, X_i^{\frac{1}{p^2}}, \dots)$ and $[X_i^\flat]$ denotes the Teichmuller lift of X_i^\flat . Here, on the right hand side, we put $\mathrm{Fil}^i \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+|_{U_\infty}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_d]] := (t, Y_1, \dots, Y_d)^i$. Note that we have $\nabla(Y_i) = dX_i$. Thus the result is clearly true on U_∞ by identifying Y_i with dX_i . In the following, we will give a canonical construction of this identification.

The Faltings extension $0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow 0$ induces the exhaustive increasing filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^s\}_{s=0}^i$ on $\mathrm{gr}^i \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ = \mathrm{Sym}^i \mathrm{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ such that $\mathrm{gr}^s = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^s \Omega_X^1(i-s)$.

By the above local description of $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$, the map $\mathrm{gr}^{k-1} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ factor through $\mathrm{gr}^{k-1} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^{k-1} \Omega_X^1$ and induces the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^{k-1} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0$. By our induction hypothesis, we have $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \oplus_{i=0}^{k-2} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1$. Moreover, the image of the map $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} (\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 = \oplus_{i=0}^{k-2} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^{k-2} \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1$ is isomorphic to the subsheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^{k-1} \Omega_X^1$ of $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ by restricting this map to this subsheaf, which is shown by using the above local description. This fact induces the splitting of the above exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathrm{Sym}^{k-1} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR},k-1}^+} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X \rightarrow 0$, which is shown to be the desired splitting by using the above local description. \square

Let $U \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$, K_p , V_{K_p} and G_m be as after Proposition 3.33. After enlarging L if necessary, we can take an L -structure V_0 of V_{K_p} . In the following, for the simplicity of notations, we use notations like $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}, (0, \lambda)_\tau, G_L}$ and \mathcal{O}_{V_0} instead of $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}, (-\lambda, 0)_\tau}(U)^{G_L}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{V_0}(V_0)$. Let χ_{λ^Ψ} be the infinitesimal character of $V_{\lambda^\Psi}^\vee$.

Lemma 5.15. *We have $\mathrm{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))}^i(\chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, D_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.50 and 1 of Proposition 3.44, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))}^i(\chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, D_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}) = \oplus_{I \subset \Psi} H^i(\mathfrak{h}, D_{K^p}^{\Psi-\mathrm{la}}((0, -\lambda_\tau)_{\tau \in I}, (-\lambda_\tau - 1, 1)_{\tau \in \Psi \setminus I})) = 0.$$

\square

We also need variants of 1 of Proposition 3.44 and Lemma 5.15.

For any $\tau \in \Psi$, we write \mathfrak{g}_τ for the τ -factor of $\mathfrak{g}_\Psi = \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau$ and \mathfrak{h}_τ for the maximal torus of \mathfrak{g}_τ consisting of the diagonal matrices. Let $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and we regard this as a character $(a_\tau, b_\tau) : \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}_\tau) \rightarrow C$ and let $\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} : Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\tau)) \xrightarrow{\text{HC}_\tau} \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}_\tau) \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(w_0)} \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}_\tau) \xrightarrow{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} L$, where HC_τ denotes the unnormalized Harish-Chandra morphism. For a \mathfrak{h}_τ -module (resp. $Z(\mathfrak{g}_\tau)_C$ -module) M , we put $M^{(a_\tau, b_\tau)\text{-nilp}} := \{m \in M \mid \forall h \in \text{Ker}(a_\tau, b_\tau), \exists k > 0, \text{s.t. } h^k m = 0\} = \{m \in M \mid \exists k > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall h \in \text{Ker}(a_\tau, b_\tau), h^k m = 0\}$ (resp. $M^{\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{-nilp}} := \{m \in M \mid \forall g \in \text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}, \exists k > 0, \text{s.t. } g^k m = 0\} = \{m \in M \mid \exists k > 0, \text{s.t. } \forall g \in \text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}, g^k m = 0\}$). Let $R\Gamma((a_\tau, b_\tau) - \text{nilp}, \) : D^+(\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{h}_\tau)) \rightarrow D^+(\text{Mod}(C))$ (resp. $R\Gamma(\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} - \text{nilp}, \) : D^+(\text{Mod}(Z(\mathfrak{g}_\tau)_C)) \rightarrow D^+(\text{Mod}(C))$) be the right derived functor.

Lemma 5.16. *Let M be a \mathfrak{h}_τ -module.*

- 1 $R\Gamma((a_\tau, b_\tau) - \text{nilp}, M) = R\Gamma(\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} - \text{nilp}, M)$ if $(a_\tau, b_\tau) = (b_\tau + 1, a_\tau - 1)$.
- 2 $R\Gamma((a_\tau, b_\tau) - \text{nilp}, M) \oplus R\Gamma((b_\tau + 1, a_\tau - 1) - \text{nilp}, M) = R\Gamma(\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} - \text{nilp}, M)$ if $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \neq (b_\tau + 1, a_\tau - 1)$.

Proof. 1 follows from the facts that $\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}/\text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}$ is a local ring whose maximal ideal is $\text{Ker}(a_\tau, b_\tau)/\text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}$ and $(\text{Ker}(a_\tau, b_\tau)/\text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h})^2 = 0$.

2 follows from the fact that $\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}/\text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}$ is $C \times C$, whose maximal ideals are $\text{Ker}(a_\tau, b_\tau)/\text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}$ and $\text{Ker}(b_\tau + 1, a_\tau - 1)/\text{Ker}\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{Sym}\mathfrak{h}$. \square

Lemma 5.17. (1) $H^i((a_\tau, b_\tau) - \text{nilp}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

(2) $H^i(\mathfrak{h}_\tau, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)\text{-nilp}}(-a_\tau, -b_\tau)) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

(3) $H^i(\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)} - \text{nilp}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

(4) If $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \neq (b_\tau + 1, a_\tau - 1)$, we have $\text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\tau))}^i(\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, (a_\tau, b_\tau)\text{-nilp}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

(5) If $(a_\tau, b_\tau) \neq (b_\tau + 1, a_\tau - 1)$, we have $\text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\tau))}^i(\chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, \chi_{(a_\tau, b_\tau)}\text{-nilp}}) = 0$ for any $i > 0$.

Proof. We may assume $U \subset U_1$. In the following, we will use the notations after Proposition 3.33.

(1) and (2) By using the isomorphism $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}}(-a_\tau, -b_\tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}}$ defined by $f \mapsto (\frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}})^{a_\tau - b_\tau} (\frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}})^{-a_\tau} f$, we may assume that $(a_\tau, b_\tau) = (0, 0)$. We claim

$$R\Gamma((0, 0) - \text{nilp}, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}}) = \varinjlim_n R\Gamma(s_\tau^n, R\Gamma(h_\tau^n, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}})) = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, (0,0)\text{-nilp}}$$

and

$$R\Gamma(\mathfrak{h}_\tau, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, (0,0)\text{-nilp}}) = R\Gamma(s_\tau, R\Gamma(h_\tau, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, (0,0)\text{-nilp}})) = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi\text{-la}, (0,0)},$$

where $s_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $h_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. In fact, by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathfrak{h}}(s_\tau) & \left(\sum_{(i,j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi)^3} a_{i,j,k} \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \left(\log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}} \right)^{i_\tau} \left(\log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}} \right)^{j_\tau} (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{k_\tau} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(i,j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Psi)^3} j_\tau a_{i,j,k} \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \left(\log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}} \right)^{i_\tau} \left(\log \frac{f_\tau}{f_{\tau,n}} \right)^{j_\tau - 1} (x_\tau - x_{\tau,n})^{k_\tau} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathfrak{h}}(h_{\tau}) & \left(\sum_{(i,j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Psi})^3} a_{i,j,k} \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \left(\log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}} \right)^{i_{\tau}} \left(\log \frac{f_{\tau}}{f_{\tau,n}} \right)^{j_{\tau}} (x_{\tau} - x_{\tau,n})^{k_{\tau}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Psi})^3} i_{\tau} a_{i,j,k} \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} \left(\log \frac{e_{1,\tau}}{e_{1,\tau,n}} \right)^{i_{\tau}-1} \left(\log \frac{f_{\tau}}{f_{\tau,n}} \right)^{j_{\tau}} (x_{\tau} - x_{\tau,n})^{k_{\tau}}, \end{aligned}$$

we can see that h_{τ}^n (resp. s_{τ}^n , h_{τ} and s_{τ}) is a surjection on $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ (resp. $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, h_{\tau}^n}$, $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)-\text{nilp}}$ and $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)-\text{nilp}, h_{\tau}}$)²⁵. (Here, we used the explicit description Corollary 3.35. Thus, $a_{i,j,k} \in D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, \mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}}(V_{K_p})$ for some K_p and affinoid open V_{K_p} of $\mathcal{S}_{K^p K_p}$. The explicit formula of the action θ is given before Proposition 3.42.)

(3) follows from (1) and Lemma 5.16.

(4) By 2 of Lemma 5.16, $\text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}))}^i(\chi_{(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})-\text{nilp}})$ is equal to

$$H^i(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})-\text{nilp}}(-a_{\tau}, -b_{\tau})) \oplus H^i(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})-\text{nilp}}(-b_{\tau}-1, -a_{\tau}+1)).$$

By (2) of this lemma, we have $H^i(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})-\text{nilp}}(-a_{\tau}, -b_{\tau})) = 0$. We claim that

$$H^i(\mathfrak{h}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (b_{\tau}+1, a_{\tau}-1)-\text{nilp}}(-a_{\tau}, -b_{\tau}))$$

also vanishes. In fact, the identity map on this space is nilpotent because $\text{Ker}(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau}) + \text{Ker}(b_{\tau}+1, a_{\tau}-1) = \text{Sym} \mathfrak{h}$, $\text{Ker}(b_{\tau}+1, a_{\tau}-1)$ acts nilpotently on this and $\text{Ker}(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})$ acts trivially on this.

(5) We have

$$\text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}))}^i(\chi_{(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})}-\text{nilp}}) = \text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}))}^i(\chi_{(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})-\text{nilp}} \oplus D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (b_{\tau}+1, a_{\tau}-1)-\text{nilp}}).$$

By (4) of this lemma, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}))}^i(\chi_{(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})-\text{nilp}}) = 0$$

for $i > 0$. By the same proof as (4), we have

$$\text{Ext}_{Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}))}^i(\chi_{(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})}, D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (b_{\tau}+1, a_{\tau}-1)-\text{nilp}}) = 0$$

for any $i > 0$. □

5.2.2 Parallel weight case

In this subsection, we assume $\lambda_{\tau} = \lambda_{\tau'}$ for any $\tau, \tau' \in \Psi$. Let k be this integer.

In this case, we have

$$E_0((V_{\lambda^{\Psi}}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^+)^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^{\Psi}, L}}) = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_{\tau}} (k+1)^{G_L}.$$

²⁵Roughly speaking, $D_{\lambda^{\Psi}, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0)-\text{nilp}}$ consists of the polynomial part with respect to τ .

Thus we have the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L} \rightarrow E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^+)^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\tau, G_L} \rightarrow 0$. Since the image of the Fontaine operator N_λ on $E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^+)^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ is contained in $\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L}$ and the kernel of N_λ contains $\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L}$, N_λ induces

$$N_\lambda^0 : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\tau, G_L} \rightarrow \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L}$$

and thus

$$N_{\lambda, C}^0 := N_\lambda^0 \widehat{\otimes}_L C : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\tau} \rightarrow \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)$$

by 7 of Lemma 3.40.

On the cohomology side, we have the Hodge-Tate decomposition

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C \cong \oplus_{I \subset \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\tau \in I}, (1+k, -1)_{\tau \notin I}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

by Proposition 3.51.

Our purpose of this subsection is to prove the following.

Theorem 5.18. *There exists $(c_\tau)_\tau \in (L^\times)^\Psi$ such that $N_\lambda^0 = \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} c_\tau \bar{d}_\tau^\lambda \circ d_\tau^\lambda$. (See the comments before Conjecture 5.3 for the definition of \bar{d}_τ^λ and d_τ^λ .)*

Before proving the above theorem, we prove Conjecture 5.3 by using the above theorem.

Corollary 5.19. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})$ such that $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_F)$ is not solvable and $s_{\mathfrak{m}} := \chi_{\mathfrak{m}}^c|_{G_{\bar{F}}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{\bar{F}}})^\tau)$ satisfies the condition that $\bar{s}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is absolutely irreducible. (We recall that \bar{F} denotes the Galois closure of F over \mathbb{Q} .) Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w for any $w \mid v$ and $\chi_\varphi|_{G_{F_{0,v^c}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_0 . If $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, where $N = \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} \bar{d}_\tau^\lambda \circ d_\tau^\lambda$.*

Proof. Let $s_\varphi := \varphi_* s_{\mathfrak{m}}$. By Proposition 3.18, the evaluation map

$$s_\varphi^\vee(-d-\lambda_0) \otimes_L \text{Hom}_{L[G_{\bar{F}}]}(s_\varphi^\vee(-d-\lambda_0), \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi]$$

is an isomorphism. We claim that $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi] \subset \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}$. Actually, by Theorem 3.19, we have $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}}[\varphi] = \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}}[\varphi] \otimes_L V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) \subset \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}} \otimes_L V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0) = (\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}} \otimes_L V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))^{\chi_{\lambda^\Psi}}$. This implies the claim.

We have the Hodge-Tate decomposition

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C \cong \oplus_{I \subset \Psi} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{K^p, \lambda^\Psi}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\tau \in I}, (1+k, -1)_{\tau \notin I}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

by Proposition 3.51. By Corollary 3.43, we have

$$(\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}} \widehat{\otimes}_L C)^{\theta_{\text{Sen}}=0} = H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{K^p, \lambda^\Psi}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\tau})_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where θ_{Sen} denotes the Sen operator. This space contains $(s_\varphi^\vee(-d-\lambda_0) \otimes_L C)^{\theta_{\text{Sen}}=0} \widehat{\otimes}_L \text{Hom}_{L[G_{\bar{F}}]}(s_\varphi^\vee(-d-\lambda_0), \widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi]) = (\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda^\Psi}(-\lambda_0))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi-\text{la}}[\varphi] \widehat{\otimes} C)^{\theta_{\text{Sen}}=0}$. By our assumption,

we have $(s_\varphi^\vee(-d - \lambda_0) \otimes_L C)^{\theta_{\text{Sen}}=0} \neq 0$. Thus $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau})[\varphi] \neq 0$. By Corollary 5.10, $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_{\lambda, C}^0)_m$ is zero on $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau})[\varphi]$ since s_φ is de Rham. Consequently, we obtain $0 \neq H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau})[\varphi] \subset \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_{\lambda, C}^0)_m[\varphi]$. By Theorem 5.18, we have $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N_{\lambda, C}^0)_m = \text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_m$. This implies the result. \square

We will prove Theorem 5.18 by using a similar method as [Pan22b, § 6]. We may assume $U \subset U_1$. We have the following variant of the key diagram of [Pan22b, § 6.3.11], whose second and third rows and the left column are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & \swarrow & & & \\
E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^+)^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
\oplus_\tau D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\sigma \neq \tau, (1+k, -1)\tau} (k+1)^{G_L} & \hookrightarrow & D_{k^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \\
& \uparrow & & & \uparrow & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Lemma 5.20. 1 We have $E_0(t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) = 0$.

2 $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$.

3 $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$.

4 The images of $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ and $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ via ∇ are $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k+1} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1$ and $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{k+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ respectively. Note that these are regarded as subspaces of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^k D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ and $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ as in Lemma 5.13.

Proof. 1 is clear. 2, 3 and 4 follow from Lemma 5.13. \square

By Lemma 5.20, we obtain the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & \swarrow & & & \\
E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^+)^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \bigoplus_{i=0}^k D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
\oplus_\tau D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\sigma \neq \tau, (1+k, -1)\tau} (k+1)^{G_L} & \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \\
& \uparrow & & & \uparrow & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Since $\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\sigma, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1}) \subset \nabla(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}))$ by Lemma 5.20, the Sen operator θ_{Sen} on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ induces a map

$$N'_\lambda : \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\sigma, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1}) \rightarrow \oplus_{\tau} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L}$$

as in the case N_λ^0 . Concretely, $N'_\lambda(x) = \theta_{\text{Sen}}(y)$ for a lift y of x in $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$.

Later, we will construct a map $s_k : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\tau, G_L} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, G_L}$ which is a section of the canonical surjection $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \twoheadrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\tau, G_L}$.

In order to prove Theorem 5.18, it suffices to prove the following results.

Proposition 5.21. $\nabla \circ s_k = (-1)^k \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} d_\tau^\lambda$.

Proposition 5.22. *There exists $(c_\tau)_\tau \in (L^\times)^\Psi$ such that $N'_\lambda = \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} c_\tau \bar{d}_\tau$.*

Actually, by using Proposition 5.21, we have $\text{Im}(\nabla \circ s_k) \subset \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1})$. Thus Propositions 5.21 and 5.22 implies Theorem 5.18 by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.23. *Assume $\text{Im}(\nabla \circ s_k) \subset \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1})$. Then we have $N_\lambda^0 = -N'_\lambda \circ (\nabla \circ s_k)$.*

Proof. Let θ_{Sen} denote the Sen operator. Let $x \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k)_\tau, G_L}$ and we take a lift $y \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ of $\nabla(s_k(x))$. Then $s_k(x) - y$ is contained in $E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$. Thus $N_\lambda^0(x) = \theta_{\text{Sen}}(s_k(x) - y)$ by the definition of N_λ^0 . Since $s_k(x)$ is G_L -invariant, we have $\theta_{\text{Sen}}(s_k(x) - y) = -\theta_{\text{Sen}}(y)$. On the other hand, we have $\theta_{\text{Sen}}(y) = N'_\lambda(\nabla(s_k(x)))$ by the definitions of y and N'_λ . \square

Proof of Proposition 5.21.

$k = 0$ case. By Proposition 3.23, we have $V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+[\frac{1}{t}] \cong D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+[\frac{1}{t}]$. More precisely, we have $V_\tau(1) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \subset (D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1) \subset V_\tau \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$, $(D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1)/(V_\tau(1) \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+) = \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-1}(1)$ and $V_\tau \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / (D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1) = \omega_{\tau, K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}}^{\text{sm}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}$.

Thus we obtain a map $l_0 : D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L V_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, G_L}$ compatible with filtrations and connections. Thus the composite of this map with the projection $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}$ factors through $D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L V_\tau \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{-1} \otimes_L V_\tau \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0}) = \mathcal{O}_{K^p}$, where $V_\tau \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})$ is the map coming from the Hodge-Tate filtration.

Let $f_\tau \in D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee$ be a lift of a generator of $\omega_{\tau, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{-1}$. Then $l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau)$ is a unit element of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ because $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ is complete with respect to $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p})$ -adic topology and the image of $l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau)$ via the map $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}$ is invertible.

Note that we now assume $U \subset U_1$. Let $\tilde{x}_\tau := \frac{l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})}{l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$, which is a lift of x_τ .

By using this, we define a section $s_0 : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), G_L} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_0, G_L}$ of the canonical quotient map for any $m > 0$ by the following way. By Corollary 3.48, any element of $f \in \varinjlim_{L' \supset L: \text{finite extension}} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), G_{L'}}$ is equal to $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (x_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau}$ for some $a_i \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, \mathcal{S}_{K^p G_m}}(V_{G_m})^{G_{L'}}$ and $x_{\tau, m} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}_{K^p G_m}}(V_{G_m})^{G_{L'}}$ satisfying $\|x_\tau - x_{\tau, m}\| \leq p^{-m}$ and $\sup_i \|a_i\| p^{-m(\sum_\tau i_\tau)} < \infty$. Note that $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\tilde{x}_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau}$ converges in $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ because the image of $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\tilde{x}_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau}$ (precisely, we regard this as not an element but a sequence of elements) via the map $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}$ converges and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ is complete with respect to $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p})$ -adic topology. Note that $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\tilde{x}_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau}$ is actually an element of the subspace $D_{V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_0, G_{L'}}$. In fact, $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\tilde{x}_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau} \in D_{V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, G_{L'}}$ is clear from the definition and $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\Psi))$ acts on $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\tilde{x}_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau}$ via χ_0 because \mathfrak{g}_Ψ acts on \tilde{x}_τ in the same way as x_τ . (See [Pan22b, § 6.4.4] for precise formulas.) By sending f to $\sum_i a_i \prod_{\tau \in \Psi} (\tilde{x}_\tau - x_{\tau, n})^{i_\tau}$, we obtain s_0 .

By the definition of s_0 , in order to prove Proposition 5.21, it suffices to prove $\nabla(\tilde{x}_\tau) = \frac{(l_0 \otimes \text{id})(\nabla(f_\tau) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})_{\tau} l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})_{\tau} - l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})_{\tau} (l_0 \otimes \text{id})(\nabla(f_\tau) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})_{\tau}}{l_0(f_\tau \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})_{\tau}^2} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), \tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$. This follows from the following.

Proposition 5.24. *For $f_1, f_2 \in D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V_\tau$, we have $l_0(f_1 \otimes v_1)l_0(f_2 \otimes v_2) - l_0(f_1 \otimes v_2)l_0(f_2 \otimes v_1) \in t\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$.*

Proof. We have the pairing $(\wedge^2 D_\tau)^\vee \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$, $(f_1 \wedge f_2, v_1 \wedge v_2) \mapsto l_0(f_1 \otimes v_1)l_0(f_2 \otimes v_2) - l_0(f_1 \otimes v_2)l_0(f_2 \otimes v_1)$. Thus it suffices to prove $\wedge^2 V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \subset t \wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$. This follows from the fact that $V_\tau \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ / (D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1) = \omega_{\tau, K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}$ is a rank 1 direct summand of $D_{\tau, K^p} = (D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0} / (D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1)$. \square

$k > 0$ case. We also have $\text{Sym}^k V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+[\frac{1}{t}] \cong \text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+[\frac{1}{t}]$ and a map $l_k : \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, G_L}$. Thus we have $l'_k := s_0 \otimes l_k : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), \tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, G_L}$. By using the Hodge-Tate filtration and Hodge-de Rham filtration, we have quotient maps $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), \tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) \twoheadrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), \tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, V_0}^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{-k} \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) \twoheadrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k), \tau, G_L}$. As we have seen in the construction of d_τ^λ and \bar{d}_τ^λ , we have natural sections $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,k), \tau, G_L} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), \tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, V_0}^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{-k} \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0,0), \tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau))$ of the above map fitting in the following commutative diagram.

(ψ_1 is constructed in Lemma 4.5 and ψ_2 is (the section constructed after Lemma 4.2) $\otimes_L (\otimes_{\sigma \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^k V_\sigma)$). In the following commutative diagram, the first right vertical map is the map induced by ψ_1 and the Kodaira Spencer map, the middle horizontal map is (the map

constructed in after Lemma 4.2) $\otimes_L (\otimes_{\sigma \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^k V_\sigma)$ and the second right vertical arrow is the natural inclusion. The commutativity of the following diagram follows from the constructions of d_τ^λ and ψ_1 .)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,k)\tau, G_L} & \xrightarrow{\oplus_\tau d_\tau^\lambda} & \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1}) \\
\downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau, V_0}^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{-k} \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) & \longrightarrow & (\oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0}))) \otimes_L (\otimes_{\sigma \in \Psi} \text{Sym}^k V_\sigma) \\
\downarrow \psi_2 & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1.
\end{array}$$

We put $s_k := l'_k \circ \psi_2 \circ \psi_1$. By the property $\nabla(\tilde{x}_\tau) \in t\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$ (see Proposition 5.24), we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,k)\tau, G_L} & \xrightarrow{\oplus_\tau d_\tau^\lambda} & \oplus_{\tau \in \Psi} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,k)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1}) \\
\downarrow \psi_2 \circ \psi_1 & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \\
\downarrow l'_k & & \downarrow l'_k \otimes \text{id}_{\Omega_{V_0}^1} \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+2}^+, \Psi-1a/t & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+1}^+, \Psi-1a/t \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} \Omega_{V_0}^1
\end{array}$$

We claim that the composition m of the right vertical arrow is equal to the composition of the inclusion given by Lemma 5.13 and $(-1)^k(KS)^{k+1}$, which implies the result. (KS denotes the Kodaira-Spencer map.) Our claim follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.25. *1 Let m' be a map $(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^k \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \hookrightarrow (D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+1}^+/t = D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\oplus_{i=0}^k (\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1))$. Here, on the right hand side, we consider the \mathcal{O}_{K^p} -module structure induced by the identification $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/t$.*

Then m is the restriction of the composition $(m' \otimes \text{id}_{\Omega_{V_0}^1}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes KS)$.

2 m' factors through $(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^k \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \twoheadrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p})^k$ and the map $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p})^k \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\oplus_{i=0}^k (\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1))$ is equal to $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p})^k \xrightarrow{(-KS)^k} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\oplus_{i=0}^k (\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1))$.

Proof. We may assume $\lambda^\Psi = 0$.

1 Note that the image of the map $\omega_{\tau, V_0}^k \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+1}^+/t = \oplus_{i=0}^k (\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1)$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1$ because the map $\text{Sym}^k D_{\tau, V_0}^\vee \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+1}^+/t = \oplus_{i=0}^k (\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1)$ preserves the filtrations on both sides. Note that the $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L}$ -module structure on $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1$ induced by s_0 is equal to that induced by the identification $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} = \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}/t$ because s_0 is a section of the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, k+1}^+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi} G_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0,0)\tau, G_L}$. This implies 1.

2 As in the proof of 1 of this lemma, m' is equal to $(\omega_{\tau, V_0}^k \otimes_L \text{Sym}^k V_\tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow \oplus_{i=0}^k (\mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1)$. Thus the result follows from Proposition 3.24. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.22. We fix $\tau \in \Psi$. By Proposition 3.24, we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(1) & \longrightarrow & V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p} & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\tau, K^p}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow -\text{KS} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{K^p}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By taking Sym^{k+1} , we obtain a map $\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$. Let $\chi_{\lambda, \tau} : Z(U(\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)_\tau)) \rightarrow L$ be the infinitesimal character of $\text{Sym}^k V_\tau$. We also consider the character $(0, -1) : \mathfrak{h}_\tau \rightarrow C$. Note that the horizontal action induces an action of $\prod_{\sigma \neq \tau} \mathfrak{h}_\sigma$ on $\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1}$. Thus we can consider the following map

$$E_\lambda := E_0((\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, -1)-\text{nilp}})_{\chi_{\lambda, \tau}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, L}) \rightarrow E_0(\text{gr}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda, \tau}, L}) =: E'_\lambda.$$

Note that the above commutative diagram induces the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L} & \longrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_\lambda & \longrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\gamma, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \oplus_\sigma D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\gamma \neq \sigma}, (1+k, -1)_\sigma} (k+1)^{G_L} & \longrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_\lambda & \longrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\gamma, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^k \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \end{array}$$

The only non-trivial part is the exactness of the upper sequence. This follows from the fact that $\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, -1)-\text{nilp}}$ has an increasing filtration whose graded pieces are $(\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}^\ell}^{k+1-2i} \otimes_L (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{k+1-i}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}^\ell}} \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, -1)-\text{nilp}} = \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2k+2-2i, \Psi-\text{la}, (i, k-i)-\text{nilp}}(i) \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{k+1-i}$ for $i = 0, \dots, k+1$.

Thus to prove Proposition 5.22, it suffices to study the upper exact sequence instead of the lower exact sequence. Let

$$N'_{\lambda, \tau} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_\sigma, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau} (k+1)^{G_L}$$

be the map induced by the Sen operator on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_\lambda$ and the upper exact sequence. Let

$$z_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_\tau) \text{ and } \Omega_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^2 \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\tau))$$

be the Casimir operator. We put $h_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\text{HC}(\Omega_\tau) = \frac{1}{2} h_\tau^2 + h_\tau$. We have $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_\tau)) = L[z_\tau, \Omega_\tau]$ and this is isomorphic to a two-variable polynomial ring over L . (See [Kna02, example after Theorem 5.44] for details.)

Lemma 5.26. $(2\theta_{\text{Sen}} + z_\tau + 1)^2 - 1 = 2\Omega_\tau$ on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.42, we have $\theta_{\text{Sen}} = \theta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma \in \Psi})$ on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$. By Lemma 3.50, the

result follows from the relation $(2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau + z_\tau + 1)^2 - 1 = h_\tau^2 - 2h_\tau = \text{Ad}(w_0)(h_\tau^2 + 2h_\tau)$ in $\text{Sym} \mathfrak{h}_\tau$. \square

Note that the Hodge-Tate filtration $0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{-1, \Psi-\text{la}}(1) \rightarrow V_\tau \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0} \rightarrow 0$ has a $G_L \times \prod_{\sigma \neq \tau} \mathfrak{h}_\sigma \times Z(\mathfrak{g}_\tau)$ -equivariant $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}}$ -linear splitting given by sending $e_{1, \tau}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_\tau$. Let $\psi_{i, \tau} : Z(\mathfrak{g}_\tau) \rightarrow L$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto i$.

Then $(\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, \psi_{k, \tau}}})^{G_L}$ has an increasing filtration $\{\text{Fil}_i\}_{i=0}^{k+1}$ such that $\text{gr}_i = \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2i, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, \psi_{k, \tau}}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^i (k+1-i)^{G_L} = \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{2i, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, (k+1-i, i-1)}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^i (k+1-i)^{G_L}$. Here, we used the relation $\theta_{\text{Sen}} = \theta_{\mathfrak{h}}(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\sigma \in \Psi})$ to deduce the above equality. See

Proposition 3.42. Let E'_0 be the χ_{λ_Ψ} -generalized eigenspace of $(\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1, \Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, \psi_{k, \tau}}})^{G_L}$. Then we have the following exact sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, (1+k, -1)_\tau}} (k+1)^{G_L} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_0 \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma, G_L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (13)$$

Thus the action of $(\Omega_\tau - \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}(\Omega_\tau))$ on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_0$ induces a map

$$N''_{\lambda, \tau} : D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma, G_L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, (1+k, -1)_\tau}} (k+1)^{G_L}.$$

Proposition 5.27. $N'_{\lambda, \tau} = \frac{1}{2(k+1)} N''_{\lambda, \tau}$.

Proof. For $f \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma, G_L}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1}$, we take lifts $f_1 \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_\lambda$ and $f_2 \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_0$ of f . Then $N'_{\lambda, \tau}(f) = \theta_{\text{Sen}}(f_1)$, $N''_{\lambda, \tau}(f) = (\Omega_\tau - \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}(\Omega_\tau))(f_2)$ and $f_1 - f_2 \in D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau, \psi_{k, \tau}}} (k+1)$. By Lemma 5.26, we obtain

$$((2\theta_{\text{Sen}} - k - 1)^2 - 1)(f_1 - f_2) = 2\Omega_\tau(f_1 - f_2) \quad (14)$$

. The left hand side is $((2\theta_{\text{Sen}} - k - 1)^2 - 1)(f_1 - f_2) = (4\theta_{\text{Sen}}^2 - 4\theta_{\text{Sen}}(k+1) + (k+1)^2 - 1)(f_1 - f_2) = (-4\theta_{\text{Sen}}(k+1) + k^2 + 2k)(f_1 - f_2)$ because $\theta_{\text{Sen}}(f_2) = 0$ and $\theta_{\text{Sen}}^2(f_1) = 0$. Thus the equality (14) is equivalent to $-2(k+1)\theta_{\text{Sen}}(f_1 - f_2) = (\Omega_\tau - (\frac{1}{2}k^2 + k))(f_1 - f_2)$. Since $\chi_{\lambda_\Psi}(\Omega_\tau) = \frac{1}{2}k^2 + k$, we obtain the result. \square

Thus Proposition 5.22 follows from the following.

Proposition 5.28. *There exists $c_\tau \in L^\times$ such that $N''_{\lambda, \tau} = c_\tau \bar{d}_\tau^\lambda$.*

Proof. It suffices to compare the C -linear extensions of the above maps. In the following, we ignore Tate twists because we don't use Galois actions.

Let

$$W_{(k, 0)}^\vee := \{e_{1, \tau}^k \sum_{i_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} a_{i_\tau} x_\tau^{i_\tau} \in (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell} \otimes \wedge^2 V_\tau)^k(U) \mid a_{i_\tau} \in L, a_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\},$$

$$W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee := \{f_\tau^{k+1} e_{1, \tau}^{-k-2} \sum_{i_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} a_{i_\tau} x_\tau^{i_\tau} \in (\omega_{\tau, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-k-2} \otimes (\wedge^2 V_\tau)^{-1})(U) \mid a_{i_\tau} \in L, a_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\},$$

$$V' := \{(\prod_{\sigma \neq \tau} e_{1, \sigma}^k) \sum_{i=(i_\sigma)_{\sigma \neq \tau} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau\}}} a_i (\prod_{\sigma \neq \tau} x_\sigma^{i_\sigma}) \in (\otimes_{\sigma \neq \tau} (\omega_{\sigma, \mathcal{F}_\ell} \otimes \wedge^2 V_\sigma)^k)(U) \mid a_i \in L, a_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\}$$

and

$$V := D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\text{sm}} \otimes (\otimes_{\sigma \neq \tau} (\omega_{\sigma, K^p}^{-k, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{-k})) \otimes (\omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+2, \text{sm}} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau, K^p}^{\text{sm}}).$$

(See the discussions before Proposition 3.34 for the definitions of $e_{1, \tau}$ and f_τ .)

Then by the explicit description Proposition 3.46, $V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L W_{(k, 0)}^\vee$ (resp. $V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee$) is the dense subspace of $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (0, k)_\sigma, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}^{2k+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau, V_0})^{k+1}$ (resp. $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (1+k, -1)_\tau}$) consisting of \mathfrak{n} -nilpotent vectors²⁶. See the comments before Definition 3.32 for the definition of \mathfrak{n} .

By the continuity of the given maps, it suffices to check the equality on the above dense subspaces. We claim that the considered maps are given by taking $V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L$ of certain $\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)$ -equivariant maps $W_{(k, 0)}^\vee \rightarrow W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee$. By Lemma 4.6 and the construction of \vec{d}_τ^λ , under the identification $dx_\tau = e_{1, \tau}^{-2} f_\tau$, the map \vec{d}_τ^λ is equal to $\text{id}_V \otimes (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\tau})^k \otimes (dx_\tau)^k$ up to a scalar of \mathbb{Q}_p^\times . On the other hand, by taking the \mathfrak{n} -nilpotent vectors of the exact sequence of $(13) \widehat{\otimes}_L C$, we obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L W_{(k, 0)}^\vee \rightarrow ((D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_0) \widehat{\otimes}_L C)^{\mathfrak{n}\text{-nilp}} \rightarrow V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ by the same calculation as Lemma 5.17. By construction, the space $(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_0) \widehat{\otimes}_L C$ is equal to the χ_{λ^Ψ} -generalized eigenspace of $\text{Sym}^{k+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \omega_{\tau, K^p}^{k+1, \Psi - \text{la}, (0, k)_{\sigma \neq \tau}, (0, -1)_\tau}$. Thus $((D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E'_0) \widehat{\otimes}_L C)^{\mathfrak{n}\text{-nilp}}$ is equal to $V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L E_\tau$, where E_τ is the χ_{λ^Ψ} -generalized eigenspace of $\text{Sym}^k V_\tau \otimes_L \{e_{1, \tau} \sum_{i_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} a_{i_\tau} x_\tau^{i_\tau} \mid a_\tau \in L, a_\tau = 0 \text{ for almost all } i_\tau\}$. By the construction of $N_{\lambda, \tau}''$, $N_{\lambda, \tau}''$ is obtained by taking $V \otimes_L V' \otimes_L$ of the map induced from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_{(k, 0)}^\vee \rightarrow E_\tau \rightarrow W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ and the action of $(\Omega_\tau - \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}(\Omega_\tau))$ on E_τ .

By [Hum08, § 1.5], $W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee$ is irreducible and $W_{(k, 0)}^\vee$ has a finite-dimensional irreducible subrepresentation and the quotient is isomorphic to $W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee$. Thus we have

$$\dim_L \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2(L)}(W_{(k, 0)}^\vee, W_{(-1, k+1)}^\vee) = 1.$$

Therefore we obtain the result if we prove that the given maps are non-zero. Clearly, we have $\vec{d}_\tau^\lambda \neq 0$. By [Pan22b, proof of Lemma 6.5.12] or [Hum08, § 3.12], we also have $N_{\lambda, \tau}'' \neq 0$. \square

5.2.3 Non-parallel weight case

In this subsection, we study non-parallel weight cases under the assumption $|\Psi| = 2$.

We put $\Psi = \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$, $\lambda_i := \lambda_{\tau_i}$ and assume $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Again, we consider the following diagram, whose second and third rows and the left column are exact.

²⁶We say that v is a \mathfrak{n} -nilpotent vector if for any $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $X^n v = 0$.

²⁷ \mathfrak{n} -nilp denotes the subspace consisting of \mathfrak{n} -nilpotent vectors.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-la, (0, \lambda_i)_i, G_L} & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & \swarrow & & & \\
E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^+)^{\Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \nabla \quad} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
& \uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id} & \\
E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{B}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^+)^{\Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \nabla \quad} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
& \uparrow & & & & \\
& 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Let $\chi_{\lambda_i} : Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau_i})) \rightarrow L$ be the infinitesimal character of $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_i} V_{\tau_i}$.

In the following, we will modify the above commutative diagram so that we obtain a similar diagram as in the parallel weight case.

By 3 of Proposition 3.23, we have a map $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+)$. By composing this with the quotient map $\text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+) \rightarrow \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+)/\omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+ = \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+$ and taking $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau, V_0}$, we obtain $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \rightarrow \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+$. By taking Sym^{λ_1+1} and $\otimes D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0}$, we obtain $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+$ and $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{dR}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^+$. We will study the induced map

$$\phi : D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2-\lambda_1+1}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1) - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \quad (15)$$

Let $M := \text{Im}(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2-\lambda_1+1}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1) - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}))$ and $N := \nabla(M) + D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(t \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$.

Then we have the induced map $\psi : D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1) - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{dR, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})/M$. Note that we have the following exact sequence by the same calculation as the first part of the proof of Proposition 5.22.

Lemma 5.29. *We have the following natural exact sequence.*

$$0 \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-la, (1+\lambda_1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1)_1 - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-la, (0, \lambda_i)_i, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{2\lambda_1+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0})^{\lambda_1+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\lambda_1+1, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1)_1 - \text{nilp}}$ has an increasing filtration whose graded pieces are

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{F}^\ell}^{\lambda_1+1-2i} \otimes_L (\wedge^2 V_{\tau_1})^{\lambda_1+1-i}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}^\ell}} \omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\lambda_1+1, \Psi-la, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1)_1 - \text{nilp}} \\
& = \oplus_{(a_2, b_2) = (0, \lambda_2), (\lambda_2+1, -1)} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-la, (a_2, b_2), (i, \lambda_1-i)_1 - \text{nilp}}(i) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{k+1-2i, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_1+1-i}) \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1 + 1$. Note that we can replace $\chi(0, -1) - \text{nilp}$ by $(0, -1) - \text{nilp}$. (See 1 of Lemma 5.16.) Thus we obtain the desired exact sequence. \square

We will prove the following by studying graded pieces of the considered sheaves.

Theorem 5.30. 1 The restriction of $\nabla \circ \phi$ to

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0, -1)} - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L})$$

is injective. In particular, we have $E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 2}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})^{\Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \cap M = 0$.

2 $N \cap \text{Im}(\nabla) = \nabla(M)$, i.e., $(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(t\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1) \cap \text{Im}(\nabla) \subset \nabla(M)$.

3 The restriction to the subspace $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (0, \lambda_2), (1 + \lambda_1, -1)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L}$ of the map $\nabla \circ \psi$ is zero.

Before proving this theorem, we see its consequences.

Corollary 5.31. (1) We have the following commutative diagram with exact columns, where the middle horizontal map is ψ and the left column is given in Lemma 5.29.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (\lambda_1 + 1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 2}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})^{\Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (0, -1)\tau_1 - \text{nilp}, (0, \lambda_2)\tau_2})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 2}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) / M \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (0, \lambda_i), G_L} \otimes \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{2\lambda_1 + 2} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0})^{\lambda_1 + 1} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 / N \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Moreover, the upper map is a section the quotient map $E_0((V_{\lambda^\Psi} \otimes_L \text{Fil}^1 \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 2}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})^{\Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \twoheadrightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (1 + \lambda_1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L}$.

(2) The quotient $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 / N$ is naturally a quotient module of $\oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (0, \lambda_\tau)\tau, G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1)$.

Proof. The exactness of the right column in the statement (1) follows from 1 and 2 of Theorem 5.30. Thus we have the above commutative diagram by 3 of Theorem 5.30. By the proof of Proposition 3.24 and the construction of the map ψ , the upper map in the commutative diagram is a section of the natural quotient map. The statement (2) follows from $N \supset D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(t\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$. \square

By the above corollary, we obtain the following commutative diagram, which is very similar to the diagram in the parallel weight case and whose second and third rows and the left column are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & D_{\lambda\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0, \lambda_i)_i, G_L} & & & & \\
& & \uparrow & \swarrow & & & \\
& & E_0((V_{\lambda\Psi} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^+)^{\Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L}) & \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L})/M & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1/N \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\oplus_{i=1,2} D_{\lambda\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-1a, (0, \lambda_j), (1+\lambda_i, -1)} (\lambda_i+1)^{G_L} & \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L})/M & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1/N \\
& & \uparrow & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

Let N_λ^0 be the map induced by the exact sequence of the left column in the above commutative diagram and the Sen operator on $E_0((V_{\lambda\Psi} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^+)^{\Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L})$. By this commutative diagram, an almost same argument as in the parallel weight case works and we can prove the following.

Corollary 5.32. *There exists $c_1, c_2 \in L^\times$ such that $N_\lambda^0 = \oplus_{i=1,2} c_i d_{\tau_i}^\lambda \circ \bar{d}_{\tau_i}^\lambda$.*

Proof. Clearly, the counterpart of Proposition 5.23 holds in our situation. Thus we can reduce the proof of this corollary to the counterparts of Propositions 5.21 and 5.22. The proof of Proposition 5.21 works in our situation without any change except when we used the relation $\nabla(\tilde{x}_\tau) \in t\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}} \otimes \Omega_{V_0}^1$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$. (See the discussions before Proposition 5.24 and before Lemma 5.25.) In our situation, we have the property (2) of Corollary 5.31. Thus the same argument works on $D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1/N$. We recall that we proved Proposition 5.22 by fixing $\tau \in \Psi$. For the embedding τ_2 , the proof of Proposition 5.22 works in our situation without any change. For the embedding τ_1 , by using the commutative diagram (1) of Corollary 5.31 instead of the commutative diagram appeared in the first part of the proof of Proposition 5.22, exactly the same argument works as in the parallel weight case. \square

By using Corollary 5.32, we can also deduce the following as in the proof of Corollary 5.19.

Corollary 5.33. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal of $\mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})$ such that $\bar{\rho}_\mathfrak{m}(G_F)$ is not solvable and $s_\mathfrak{m} := \chi_\mathfrak{m}^c|_{G_{\bar{F}}} \otimes (\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\rho_\mathfrak{m}|_{G_{\bar{F}}})^\tau)$ satisfies the condition that $\bar{s}_\mathfrak{m}$ is absolutely irreducible. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w for any $w \mid v$ and $\chi_\varphi|_{G_{F_{0,v^c}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_0 . If $\hat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, V_{\lambda\Psi})_\mathfrak{m}^{\Psi-1a}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker} H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, N)_\mathfrak{m}[\varphi] \neq 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.30.

We recall that we have constructed the map

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi : D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2-\lambda_1+1}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1)-\text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \\
\rightarrow D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-1a, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L}) - (15)
\end{aligned}$$

before Lemma 5.29.

In the following, we study graded pieces of both sides of (15).

First, we study the right hand side of (15). We have a natural filtration on $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ whose graded pieces are $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1$, where $i = 1, \dots, \lambda_2 + 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq i$. Note that for these i, j , when $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \neq 0$, we have $j = 0, \lambda_1 + 1$ or $\lambda_2 + 1$ and $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}(j)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ is equal to $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_i), L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1$, $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-\lambda_1-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ or $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (\lambda_2+1, -1)} (\lambda_2 + 1)^{G_L}$.

Thus we have the following exact sequences.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 &\rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{i+\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \\ &\rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i+\lambda_1+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 &\rightarrow \\ D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{\lambda_2+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) / (D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (\lambda_2+1, -1)} (\lambda_2 + 1)^{G_L}) &\rightarrow \\ D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_2+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We also have the equality

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) = D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \quad (19)$$

when $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_1$.

Moreover by taking $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$, we obtain the following from (17).

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 &\rightarrow \\ D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{i+\lambda_1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 &\rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i+\lambda_1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$.

Note that the map $\nabla : D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ induces the following commutative diagram for any $0 \leq i \leq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 - 1$ whose upper maps and lower maps are induced from $\text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^{i-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$, $x_1 \cdots x_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^i x_1 \cdots \check{x}_j \cdots x_i \otimes x_j$. (We put $\text{Sym}^{-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 = \mathcal{O}_{V_0}$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1+1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1+1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{i+\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{i+\lambda_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i+\lambda_1+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i+\lambda_1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram. (We put $X := D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{\lambda_2+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$ and $Y := D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (\lambda_2+1, -1)} (\lambda_2+1)^{G_L}$.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1+1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1+1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X/Y \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{\lambda_2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_2+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow & D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_2} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Next, we study the left hand side of (15). We have a natural filtration on

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2-\lambda_1+1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_1}, \chi_{(0, -1)} - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})$$

whose graded pieces are $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L (\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0, -1)} - \text{nilp}}(j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}))$, where $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ and $0 \leq j \leq i$. Moreover,

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L (\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0, -1)} - \text{nilp}}(j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}))$$

has a natural filtration whose graded pieces are

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}} (\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{F}\ell}^{\lambda_1+1-k} \otimes_L (\wedge^2 V_{\tau_1})^{\lambda_1+1-k})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}\ell}} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0, -1)} - \text{nilp}}(j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \\
&= \oplus_{(a_2, b_2)} D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (k, \lambda_1-k) - \text{nilp}, (a_2, b_2)}(j+k) \otimes (\omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\lambda_1+1-2k, \text{sm}} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_1+1-k}) \otimes \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1,
\end{aligned}$$

where (a_2, b_2) runs through $(0, \lambda_2), (\lambda_2+1, -1)$ and $k = 0, \dots, \lambda_1+1$. Note that by 1 of Lemma 5.16, we can replace $\chi_{(0, -1)} - \text{nilp}$ by $(0, -1) - \text{nilp}$.

Thus the χ_{λ_1} -generalized eigenspace of

$$((\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{-k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_\ell}} (\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{F}_\ell}^{\lambda_1+1-k} \otimes_L (\wedge^2 V_{\tau_1})^{\lambda_1+1-k})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}_\ell}} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}}(j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}))$$

is nonzero if and only if $k = 0, \lambda_1 + 1$ and in these cases, this is equal to

$$\oplus_{(a_2, b_2)} (\omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\lambda_1+1, \text{sm}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\text{sm}})^{\lambda_1+1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (a_2, b_2)}(j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1)$$

or

$$\oplus_{(a_2, b_2)} (\omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{-\lambda_1-1, \text{sm}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\text{sm}}} (D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (a_2, b_2)}(j + \lambda_1 + 1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1).$$

Thus for i, j as above, when

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}}(j) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^{i-j} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L})$$

is nonzero, we have $j = 0$ and thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1} \\ &= \oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the following exact sequence. (i.e. We only have the contribution of the term $(a_2, b_2) = (0, \lambda_2)$ in the above calculation.)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (1+\lambda_1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \rightarrow \\ &D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow \\ &D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi-\text{la}, (0, \lambda_1), (0, \lambda_2), G_L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{2\lambda_1+2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0})^{\lambda_1+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We recall that we have constructed the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \\ \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 2}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) - (15) \end{aligned}$$

. By taking graded pieces, we obtain a map

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{\lambda_1+i+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \quad (22)$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$. Note that this is a map between the middle terms of the exact sequences of (17), (18) and (21). (Precisely, the middle term of (18) is a quotient of $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{\lambda_2+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L})$.)

By taking the composition of this map with $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 2}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2 + 1}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$, we obtain a map

$$D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi_{(0,-1)}-\text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{i+\lambda_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^{+, \Psi-\text{la}, \chi_{\lambda^\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \quad (23)$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$.

Thus in order to prove Theorem 5.30, it suffices to prove the followings.

Proposition 5.34.

For any $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$, the map $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_\tau \otimes_L \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_2}, \chi(0, -1) - \text{nilp}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{gr}^{\lambda_1+i} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1 - (23)$ gives the natural inclusion of the subspaces in the exact sequences (18) and (21) induced by $\text{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^{i-1} \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$, $x_1 \cdots x_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^i x_1 \cdots \check{x}_j \cdots x_i \otimes x_j$ and the injection of the quotient spaces induced by $(-KS)^{\lambda_1+1}$.

Proposition 5.35. The restriction to the subspace $D_{\lambda^\Psi, K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, (\lambda_1+1, -1), (0, \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1 + 1)^{G_L}$ of the map $D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0((\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}^{\Psi - \text{la}, \chi(0, -1) - \text{nilp}, \chi_{\lambda_2}})^{\chi_{\lambda_1}, L}) \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+2}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}, L})/M \rightarrow D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(\mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1/N$ is zero.

In fact, Proposition 5.35 implies that 3 of Theorem 5.30. Moreover, 1 and 2 of Theorem 5.30 follow from Proposition 5.34 because Proposition 5.34 says that the graded maps of 1 is injective and thus the map of 1 is injective. Moreover, Proposition 5.34 implies $(D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(t \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}, \lambda_2+1}^{+, \Psi - \text{la}, \chi_{\lambda_\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1) \cap \text{Im}(\nabla) \subset \nabla(M)$ because the map (22) induces the isomorphism of the subspaces in the exact sequences (17), (18) and (21).

In order to prove the above results, we may assume $\lambda^\Psi = 0$ because the given maps are equal to $\text{id}_{D_{\lambda^\Psi, V_0}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}}$ (the maps when $\lambda^\Psi = 0$). Proposition 5.34 follows from the following proposition, which can be regarded as a version of the above Proposition 5.34 before taking many operations and a generalization of Proposition 3.24.

Proposition 5.36. For any $i \geq 1$, the map $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} \omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\lambda_1+1} \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\lambda_1+1+i} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ induces the identity of the subspaces $\text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ (\lambda_1 + 1) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ (\lambda_1 + 1)$ and the map of the quotient spaces $(\omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, K^p})^{\lambda_1+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} \text{Sym}^i \Omega_{K^p}^1 \xrightarrow{(-KS)^{\lambda_1+1}} \text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+i+1} \Omega_{K^p}^1$.

Proof. We recall the construction of the given map. By 3 of Proposition 3.23, we have a map $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+) = D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ + \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)/\omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ = \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$. Note that we can get the quotient map of the Hodge-Tate filtration by $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ + \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}$. By taking Sym^{λ_1+1} , we obtain $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-\lambda_1-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ and $\text{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \text{gr}^i \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-\lambda_1-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{gr}^{\lambda_1+i+1} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\nabla} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-\lambda_1-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{gr}^{\lambda_1+i} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$.

First, we prove the statement about the subspaces. Let $M := \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0}(1)$. Then $V_{\tau_1}(1) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \subset M \subset V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$, $M/V_{\tau_1}(1) \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ = \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1}(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}}$ and $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+/M = \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K^p}$ by Proposition 3.23. Thus the statement about the subspace follows from $M = \text{Fil}^0(D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} t \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\nabla=0} \subset D_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+$ and the above construction of the map.

About the statement on the quotient spaces, first note that the composition of the following maps $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\nabla} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ is equal to $V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \xrightarrow{-KS} \omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ because $\text{Im}(V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p}) \subset (\omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \text{gr}^1 \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}}^+ \oplus \omega_{\tau_1, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p})^{\nabla=0}$. (Actually, this was used in the proof of

Proposition 3.24.) Thus the map $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-\lambda_1-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ obtained by the quotient of the map $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}}^+ \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-\lambda_1-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}}^+$ is equal to $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \rightarrow \omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{\lambda_1+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} (\wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, V_0})^{\lambda_1+1} \xrightarrow{(-\mathrm{KS})^{\lambda_1+1}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{-\lambda_1-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} \Omega_{V_0}^1$. By taking $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1$, we obtain the result because we consider the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}}^+$ -linear map $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}}^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K^p}} \omega_{\tau_1, K^p}^{-\lambda_1-1}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 5.35

We consider the map $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \lambda_2-\lambda_1+1}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{\lambda_1+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \lambda_2+2}}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \lambda_2+2}}^+$ before (15). By compositing $\nabla : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \lambda_2+2}}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \lambda_2+1}}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ and taking $\otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}}^+ \mathcal{O}_{K^p}$, we obtain the map $\oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$. Here, we use Lemma 5.13. By using the Hodge-Tate filtration, we have the $\mathcal{O}_{K^p} = \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^1$ -submodule $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(\lambda_1 + 1)$ of $(\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \subset \oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1})$. Thus Proposition 5.35 holds if the restriction to $\mathcal{O}_{K^p}(\lambda_1 + 1)$ of the above map $\oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ is zero because $N \supset D_{\lambda\Psi, V_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} E_0(t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}, \lambda_2+1}}^{+, \Psi-\mathrm{la}, \chi_{\lambda\Psi}, L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$. This assumption follows from the following proposition. Let $\mathcal{S} := \varprojlim_{K_1^p} \mathcal{S}_{K_1^p}$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}, \mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{K^p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\ell$ be the canonical map. We also write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}}$ and $D_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}}$ for the pushforward via $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}, \mathcal{S}}$ of the pullback $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}}$ and $D_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{S_{K_1^p}}$, $\omega_{\tau_1, S_{K_1^p}}$ and $D_{\tau_1, S_{K_1^p}}$.

Proposition 5.37. *Let $(i_{\tau})_{\tau} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\Psi}$ such that $i_{\sigma} > 0$ for some σ .*

Then any $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}$ -linear map of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ -equivariant sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}})^{i_{\tau}}$ is zero.

Remark 5.38. The author expects that we can prove Proposition 5.35 without using this proposition by using purely p -adic geometric methods. In fact, if we can prove that the above map $\oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1+1} V_{\tau_1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \omega_{\tau_1, V_0}^{\lambda_1+1}) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=0}^{\lambda_2} \mathcal{O}_{K^p} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \mathrm{Sym}^i \Omega_{V_0}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{V_0}} \Omega_{V_0}^1$ respects the graded pieces of both sides, then the above assumption follows from Proposition 3.24.

Proof. The result says that $H^0(\mathcal{F}\ell, \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, \mathcal{S}})^{i_{\tau}})^{GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})} = (\varinjlim_K H^0(\mathcal{S}_K, \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau_1, S_K}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, S_K})^{i_{\tau}}))^{GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})} = (\varinjlim_K H^0(S_{K, \mathbb{C}}, \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau_1, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}})^{i_{\tau}}))^{GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}, \iota^{-1}} \mathbb{C} = 0$. This follows from the fact that $H^0(S_{K, \mathbb{C}}, \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau_1, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}})^{i_{\tau}})$ is decomposed by automorphic representations with a non-trivial central character determined by $(i_{\tau})_{\tau}$. (See [Har90, Proposition 3.6, Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 4.3.2]. Here, we used the assumption $i_{\sigma} > 0$ for some σ .) In fact, the weak approximation theorem (see [PR94, Theorem 7.7]) implies that any automorphic representation contributing to $(\varinjlim_K H^0(S_{K, \mathbb{C}}, \otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\omega_{\tau_1, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}}^2 \otimes \wedge^2 D_{\tau_1, S_{K, \mathbb{C}}})^{i_{\tau}}))^{GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})}$ has the trivial central character. \square

6 Comparison of completed cohomologies of different unitary Shimura varieties

Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal. For a moment, we assume that p is inert in F^+ and $\Psi = \text{Hom}_{F_0}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ for simplicity. Our purpose is to prove the classicality theorem, i.e., if $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ satisfies the condition that ρ_{φ} and χ_{φ} are de Rham with p -adic Hodge type 0 (for simplicity) and $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then φ is actually a classical eigensystem. Note that we have $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ by Theorem 2.9. As we have seen in Theorem 5.7, under appropriate technical assumptions on \mathfrak{m} and assuming certain conjectures, we can prove that there exists $\tau_1 \in \Psi$ such that $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau_1\} - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. If we believe that the cohomologies of Shimura varieties realize the Langlands correspondence, then the property $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau_1\} - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ should be essentially independent of the choice of rank 2 unitary Shimura varieties. If this is actually true, by replacing S_K by a rank 2 unitary Shimura variety T_K associated with $\Psi \setminus \{\tau_1\}$ in the sense of § 3.1, we obtain $\tau_2 \in \Psi \setminus \{\tau_1\}$ such that $\widehat{H}^{d-1}(T_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi \setminus \{\tau_1, \tau_2\} - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ by using Theorem 5.7 again. By repeating this argument, we can get $\widehat{H}^1(T'_{K^p}, L)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{sm}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ for some unitary Shimura curve T'_K and the classicality of φ . In this section, under technical assumptions on \mathfrak{m} , by using the works of [CS17], [Kos21] and [Zou25] on mod l cohomology of Shimura varieties, we will see that the above strategy actually works and complete the proof of our classicality theorem.

6.1 Algebraic automorphic forms and completed cohomologies

Note that the results of this subsection can be easily generalized to higher-rank unitary Shimura varieties.

We consider the situations in § 3.1 and 3.2. Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal of \mathbb{T}^S . Let $l \neq p$ be a prime splitting completely in F , $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be an isomorphism of fields and $v_0 \mid l$ be a place of F_0 induced by this isomorphism. Let \mathcal{M}_{K_l} be the Rapoport-Zink space corresponding to the basic element b of $B(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1})$ of level K_l over \check{M} for a sufficiently large finite subextension M of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l/\mathbb{Q}_l$, where K_l is a pro- l open compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_l)$. We have a natural product decomposition $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l) = F_{0, v_0}^{\times} \times \prod_{w \mid v_0} J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w$ such that $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w$ is $\text{GL}_2(F_w)$ or D_w^{\times} for some central division algebra D_w over F_w of dimension 4. We assume that $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is irreducible and generic for any $w \mid l$ and if $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w = D_w^{\times}$, then the w -component of the minuscule μ is trivial.

Proposition 6.1. *We have the following natural isomorphism in $D(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m[K \backslash GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})/K])$.*

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(S_{K, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}[-d] \cong \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m),$$

where GI is a unitary similitude group satisfying $GI(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty, l}) \cong GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty, l})$, $GI(\mathbb{Q}_l) = \mathbb{Q}_l^{\times} \times J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $GI(\mathbb{R}) = G(\prod_{\tau \in \Phi} U(0, 2))$. We recall that $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m) := \varinjlim_{K'_l} \mathcal{A}_{GI}(K^l K'_l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$. (See the comment after Proposition 4.45.)

Proof. The first isomorphism is obtained by [CS17, Theorem 6.3.1] or [Kos21, Theorem 1.4]. We will show the second isomorphism.

By [Kos21, Theorem 7.1], the Newton stratification induces a natural filtration on $R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(S_{K,\overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ indexed by $b' \in B(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1})$, whose graded pieces are given by $R\Gamma_c(\text{Igs}_{K^l}^{b'}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_{b'}(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$. Here, $\text{Igs}_{K^l}^{b'}$ denotes the Igusa variety corresponding to b' and $\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}$ denotes the Rapoport-Zink space corresponding to (G, b', μ) of level K_l . See [CS17, § 4] for details. Note that [Kos21, Theorem 7.1] assumed that the considering PEL Shimura varieties are unramified and our Shimura variety may not satisfy this assumption because $GU(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ may have a factor D_w^\times , where D_w is a central division algebra over \mathbb{Q}_l . However we now assume that if $J_{b'}(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w = D_w^\times$, then the w -component of the minuscule μ is trivial. Thus the integral model as in [Kot92b, § 5] is smooth and the same proof as [Kos21, Theorem 7.1] works in our situation. We have $R\Gamma_c(\text{Igs}_{K^l}^b, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m) = \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ for the basic element b by [Zha23, Proposition 11.27].

By localizing at \mathfrak{m} , we obtain the filtration on $H^d(S_{K,\overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}[-d]$ indexed by $b' \in B(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1})$, whose graded pieces are given by

$$R\Gamma_c(\text{Igs}_{K^l}^{b'}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_{b'}(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m).$$

Let $Z^{\text{spec}}((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mathcal{O}) = \prod_{w|v_0} Z^{\text{spec}}(\text{GL}_{2,F_w}, \mathcal{O})$ be the spectral Bernstein center defined in [FS24, Definition I.9.2] and let \mathfrak{n} be the maximal ideal of this corresponding to $\prod_{w|v_0} \overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_w}}$.

Note that by the local-global compatibility Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.12, the cohomology group $H^d(S_{K,\overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is equal to its localization at \mathfrak{n} . - (*)

By localizing the above filtration at \mathfrak{n} , we obtain the filtration on $H^d(S_{K,\overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}[-d]$ indexed by $b' \in B((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1})$, whose graded pieces are given by $R\Gamma_c(\text{Igs}_{K^l}^{b'}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_{b'}(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{n}}$. By [FS24, proof of Theorem IX.3.1], we have $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m) = i^{b'*}T_{\mu}(j_!^1(c - \text{Ind}_{K_l}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m))$. (See [FS24, proof of Theorem IX.3.1] for the definitions of these notations.) By [FS24, Theorems IX.5.2 and IX.7.2], the action of $Z^{\text{spec}}((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mathcal{O})$ on $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ factors through $Z^{\text{spec}}((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{spec}}(J_{b'}, \mathcal{O})$. Since $J_{b'}$ is an inner form of a proper Levi subgroup of G for non-basic $b' \in B((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1}) = B(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1})$ and $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is irreducible for any $w | v_0$, the maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} is not contained in the image of $\text{Spec} Z^{\text{spec}}(J_{b'}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Spec} Z^{\text{spec}}((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mathcal{O})$. Thus $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{(G,b',\mu),K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0$ for non-basic $b' \in B(GU_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mu^{-1})$. This implies

$$H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K,\overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}[-d] \cong \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l K_{l,0}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

This is equal to

$$\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l K_{l,0}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$$

because we have the isomorphism $Z^{\text{spec}}((\text{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}}U)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z^{\text{spec}}(J_b, \mathcal{O})$ compatible with the actions on $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m) = i^{b*}T_{\mu}(j_!^1(c - \text{Ind}_{K_l}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m))$ for the basic element b as we have seen above. (See [FS24, Definition I.9.2] and [FS24, Theorems IX.5.2 and IX.7.2] again.) This is also equal to $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ because we have $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}} = \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the same reason as above (*). \square

For $w | v_0$, let $\overline{\pi}_w$ be the irreducible supercuspidal representation of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w$ over \mathbb{F} corresponding to $\overline{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{F_w}}$ via the mod l Langlands correspondence (see [Vig01, Theorem 1.6])

and [Dat12, Theorem (1.2.4)] for details). We can take an admissible lift of π_w over \mathcal{O} of $\bar{\pi}_w$ by [MS14, Theorem 3.27 and Remark 3.28]. Let R_w be the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}$ over \mathcal{O} and $\chi_w^{\text{univ}} : G_{F_w} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[[T]]^\times$ be the unramified character defined by $\text{Frob}_w \mapsto 1 + T$. Note that we can consider the deformation ring by the irreducibility of $\bar{\rho}_m$. Note that $\text{rec}_{F_w}(\pi_w|\det|_w^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ can be defined over \mathcal{O} (again see [Vig01, Theorem 1.6] and [Dat12, Theorem (1.2.4)] or [FS24, Theorem I.9.6] and [HKW22, Theorem 1.0.3]) and this uniquely extends to a continuous representation $G_{F_w} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$, which we also write for $\text{rec}_{F_w}(\pi_w|\det|_w^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

Lemma 6.2. 1 *The map $R_w \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[[T]]$ over \mathcal{O} corresponding to the deformation $\chi_w^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{rec}_{F_w}(\pi_w|\det|_w^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ of $\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}$ is an isomorphism.*

2 *Let R_0^{univ} denote the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\chi}_m|_{G_{F_0, v_0^c}}$ over \mathcal{O} . Then R_0^{univ} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ over \mathcal{O} .*

Proof. 1 By the genericity assumption $H^2(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m) = H^0(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m(1))^\vee = 0$ and the local Euler-Poincare characteristic formula, the natural inclusion $H_{\text{ur}}^1(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}})$ is an isomorphism. By the irreducibility of $\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{\text{ur}}^1(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(G_{F_w}/I_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m^{I_{F_w}}|_{G_{F_w}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}) = 1$. We claim that these result implies that R_w is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}[[T]]$ by the given map. Actually, for any surjection $\psi : R \twoheadrightarrow R'$ of Artin local rings over \mathcal{O} with the kernel I satisfying $I\mathfrak{m}_R = 0$ and any local map $\varphi : R_w \rightarrow R$ over \mathcal{O} , the deformations of $\psi \circ \varphi \circ (\chi_w^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{rec}_{F_w}(\pi_w|\det|_w^{-\frac{1}{2}}))$ to R are parametrized by elements of $H^1(G_{F_w}, \text{ad}\bar{\rho}_m|_{G_{F_w}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I \cong I$, which implies that any deformations to R of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is equal to an unramified twist of $\varphi \circ (\chi_w^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{rec}_{F_w}(\pi_w|\det|_w^{-\frac{1}{2}}))$.

2 This follows from the same (or easier) calculation as 1. \square

Let $\chi_0^{\text{univ}} : G_{F_0, v_0^c} \rightarrow R_0^{\text{univ}, \times}$ be the universal character, $R_l := R_0^{\text{univ}} \widehat{\otimes} (\widehat{\otimes}_{w|v_0} R_w)$, $\chi_l^{\text{univ}} := \chi_0^{\text{univ}} \circ \text{Art}_{F_{v_0^c}} \times \prod_{w|v_0} \chi_w^{\text{univ}} \circ \text{Art}_{F_w} : F_{v_0^c}^\times \times \prod_{w|v_0} F_w^\times \rightarrow R_l^\times$ and $\pi_l := \boxtimes_{w|l} \pi_w$ and $\pi_l^{\text{univ}} := \pi_l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \chi_l^{\text{univ}}$. Let $\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} := \text{Im}(\mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \bar{E}}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}))$ and $\mathbb{T}_{GI}^S(K^l K'_l, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} := \text{Im}(\mathbb{T}^S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{A}_{GI}(K^l K'_l, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}))$.

Note that by constructing the Hecke algebra valued Galois representations as in Theorem 3.16, we have natural maps $R_l \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{T}_{GI}^S(K^l K'_l, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus R_l naturally acts on $H_{\text{ét}}^d(S_{K, \bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\Lambda := \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m, \mathcal{O}$ or E .*

The evaluation map $\text{ev}_{\Lambda} : \text{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l} \pi_l^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, \Lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. This can be proved in the same way as Proposition 3.18. By the irreducibility of $\bar{\pi}_l^{\text{univ}}$, we obtain the injectivity of $\text{ev}_{\mathbb{F}}$ on the \mathfrak{m} -annihilated subspaces. Thus we obtain the injectivity of $\text{ev}_{\mathbb{F}}$ because \mathfrak{m} acts on $\text{Ker}(\text{ev}_{\mathbb{F}})$ nilpotently. We claim that $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}$ are injective and the cokernel of $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is torsion free over \mathcal{O} . We can check this claim by taking the fixed part for any pro- l open compact subgroup K_l . Note that the admissibility of both spaces implies the p -completeness of the fixed parts. Thus the injectivity of $\text{ev}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and p -torsion freeness of both spaces imply the claim. By using the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\text{sm}}(K^l, E)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by automorphic representations (see Theorem 3.8) and the compatibility (see Theorem 3.7), we obtain the surjectivity of ev_E and thus the surjectivity of $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m}$. \square

By Proposition 6.1 and Lemma 6.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^d(S_{K,\overline{F}}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}} \\
& \cong (\mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\mathrm{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l} \pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}/\varpi^m}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)[d] \\
& = (\mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\mathrm{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}/\varpi^m}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)[d] \\
& = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \mathcal{A}_{GI}^{\mathrm{sm}}(K^l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l}^{\mathbb{L}} (\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O})[d])
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Theorem 6.4. $\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O})[d]$ is concentrated at 0 and a finite free R_l -module. Moreover, this is nonzero for sufficiently small K_l .

Proof. By [FS24, Theorem IX.3.1] and [Tho24, Proposition 2.9], the complex $\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O})[d]$ is a bounded above complex of finite free R_l -modules. The torsion Kottwitz conjecture [Zou25, Theorem 8.10] implies that $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{R_l}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O})[d] = \overline{\pi}_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathbb{F})[d]$ is concentrated at degree 0 and if K_l is sufficiently small, then this is nonzero. Note that [Zou25, Theorem 8.10] state the Torsion Kottwitz conjecture only for $\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{GL}_{n,L}$, where L is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . However, we now assume that if $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w = D_w^\times$, then the w -component of the minuscule μ is trivial. Note this implies that $G(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w = D_w^\times$. Thus the torsion Kottwitz conjecture is trivial in this case because the corresponding Rapoport-Zink space of level K_w is simply a set D_w^\times/K_w with a trivial Weil descent datum, canonical action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w = D_w^\times$ and a canonical Hecke action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_l)_w = D_w^\times$. This implies the result. \square

$$\text{Let } \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{GI}(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_m \varinjlim_{K_p} \mathcal{A}_{GI}(K^p K_p, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Theorem 6.5. We have the following isomorphism of $GU(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -modules.

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \varinjlim_{K'_l} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{GI}(K^{p,l} K'_l, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l} (\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O})[d]).$$

Consequently, for sufficiently small K_l , there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \varinjlim_{K'_l} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{GI}(K^{p,l} K'_l, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}})^{\oplus k}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.4, (24) and taking the limit $K_p \rightarrow 1$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \varprojlim_m \varinjlim_{K'_l} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{GI}(K^{p,l} K'_l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes_{R_l} (\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l))_{\mathcal{O}}}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{M}_{K_l}, \mathcal{O})[d]).$$

Since the action of $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ on π_l^{univ} is smooth, we obtain $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \varinjlim_{K'_l} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{GI}(K^{p,l} K'_l, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_l[J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)]}(\pi_l^{\mathrm{univ}}, \varprojlim_m \varinjlim_{K'_l} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{GI}(K^{p,l} K'_l, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)_{\mathfrak{m}})$. Theorem 6.4 implies the second statement. \square

We fix $\tau \in \Psi$ and let $w_0 \mid v_0$ be a place F induced by τ . Assume that $\Psi \neq \{\tau\}$ and $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_l)_{w_0} = D_{w_0}^\times$. Let U'/F^+ be a unitary group defined by the data $\Psi \setminus \{\tau\}$ and $S(B) \sqcup \{w_0, w_0^c\}$ as in § 3.1. Thus the w_0 -component of $GU'(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ is equal to $D_{w_0}^\times$. Let T_K be the Shimura variety

over F defined by GU' as in § 3.2. Let $\mu' : \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \rightarrow GU'_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}$ be the minuscule defined by GU' and b' be the basic element of $B(GU'_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}, \mu'^{-1})$. Then we have $J_b = J_{b'}$ by Lemma 4.13. Let GI' be a unitary similitude group satisfying $GI'(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\infty, l}) = GU'(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\infty, l})$, $GI'(\mathbb{Q}_l) = J_{b'}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $GI(\mathbb{R}) = G(\prod_{\tau \in \Phi} U(0, 2))$. By Proposition 3.1, GI and GI' are identified by first taking an identification of division algebras and then taking an identification of involutions. Thus by Theorem 6.5, we obtain the following comparison result.

Corollary 6.6. *For any sufficiently small open compact subgroups K_l and K'_l of $GU(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $GU'(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ respectively, there exist positive integers $t, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a $GU(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p, lK_l}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus t} \cong \widehat{H}^{d-1}(T_{K^p, lK'_l}, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus u}.$$

6.2 Proof of the classicality theorem

We come back to the situation of § 5.1. We recall that v is the p -adic place of F_0 induced by the isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. We fix $w \mid v$ and we assume $\Psi = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Let \mathfrak{m} be a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal of \mathbb{T}^S satisfying the following conditions.

- There exists a prime $l \neq p$ splitting completely in F , not lying below any place in $S(B)$ and $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{Fw}}$ is irreducible and generic for any $w \mid l$.
- $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_F)$ is not solvable.
- $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{\bar{F}}})^{\tau}$ is absolutely irreducible. (We recall that \tilde{F} denotes the Galois closure of F over \mathbb{Q} . See comments before Theorem 3.10 for the definition of $(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{\bar{F}}})^{\tau}$.)

We fix $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Let $v_0 \mid l$ be a place of F_0 induced by this and we have a natural injection $\Psi \hookrightarrow \{w' : \text{place of } F \mid w \text{ divides } v_0\}$, $\tau \mapsto w_{\tau}$ by the assumption that l splits completely in F . For any non-empty subset $\Psi' \subset \Psi$, let $S(B_{\Psi'}) = S(B) \sqcup \{w_{\tau_i}, w_{\tau_i}^c \mid \tau_i \in \Psi \setminus \Psi'\}$. This induces a PEL datum $(B_{\Psi'}, *_{\Psi'}, V_{\Psi'}, \psi_{\Psi'}, h_{\Psi'})$ and thus a Shimura variety $S_{\Psi', K}$ defined by the PEL data $(B_{\Psi'}, *_{\Psi'}, V_{\Psi'}, \psi_{\Psi'}, h_{\Psi'})$ as in § 3.1 and 3.2. We put $d_{\Psi'} := |\Psi'|$.

Proposition 6.7. *Let Ψ' be a subset of Ψ such that $d_{\Psi'} \geq 2$.*

Assume Conjectures 4.50 and 5.3 for $S_{\Psi', K}$, \mathfrak{m} and λ . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_{\varphi}|_{G_{Fw'}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge-Tate type $\lambda_{w'}$ for any $w' \mid v$ and $\chi_{\varphi}|_{G_{F_0, v^c}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge-Tate type λ_0 . If we assume that $\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi'}}(S_{\Psi', K^p}, V_{\lambda_{\Psi'}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi' - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ and φ is not a classical eigensystem of weight λ , then there exist $\tau \in \Psi'$ and K'_l such that we obtain $\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}}(S_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}, K'_l K^l, p}, V_{\lambda_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\} - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.7, there exists $\tau \in \Psi'$ such that $\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi'}}(S_{\Psi', K^p}, V_{\lambda_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\} - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. By Corollary 6.6, there exist positive integers n, m and sufficiently small open compact subgroups K_l, K'_l such that there exists a $GU(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \mathbb{T}^S$ -isomorphism $\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi'}}(S_{\Psi', K_l K^l, p}, E)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus n} \cong \widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}}(S_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}, K'_l K^l, p}, E)_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\oplus m}$. In particular,

$$(\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi'}}(S_{\Psi', K_l K^l, p}, V_{\lambda_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\} - \text{la}})^{\oplus n} \cong (\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}}(S_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}, K'_l K^l, p}, V_{\lambda_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\} - \text{la}})^{\oplus m}$$

and thus $\widehat{H}^{d_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}}(S_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}, K'_l K^l, p}, V_{\lambda_{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\}}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi' \setminus \{\tau\} - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. □

We consider the following “partially” completed cohomologies.

$$\widehat{H}^i(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}) := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K^w} H_{\text{ét}}^i(S_{K^w K_w, \overline{F}}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w} / \varpi^n) \text{ and } \widehat{H}^i(S_{K^w}, V_{\lambda^w}) := \widehat{H}^i(S_{K^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})[\frac{1}{p}].$$

Proposition 6.8. $\widehat{H}^i(S_{K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an admissible representation of $\text{GL}_2(F_w)$.

Proof. We can directly prove this without localizing at \mathfrak{m} by using the same argument as [Eme06b, proof of Theorem 0.1]. We can also deduce this from Proposition 3.15 by taking the K_p^w -invariant part of $\widehat{H}^d(S_{K^p}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}$. \square

Theorem 6.9. Assume Conjectures 4.50 and 5.3 for $S_{\Psi', K}$, \mathfrak{m} and λ for any $\Psi' \subset \Psi$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_{\varphi}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge-Tate type $\lambda_{w'}$ for any $w' \mid v$ and $\chi_{\varphi}|_{G_{F_0, v^c}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge-Tate type λ_0 . If $\widehat{H}^d(S_{\Psi, K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then φ is a classical eigensystem of weight λ .

Proof. Assume that φ is not a classical eigensystem of weight λ . By Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 6.8, we have $\widehat{H}^d(S_{\Psi, K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. In particular, we obtain $\widehat{H}^d(S_{\Psi, K^p}, V_{\lambda^{\Psi}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\Psi - \text{la}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ by using Proposition 3.15. By using Proposition 6.7 repeatedly and using Theorem 5.7, we can find $\tau \in \Psi$ and K'_l such that $\widehat{H}^1(S_{\{\tau\}, K^{p, l} K'_l}, V_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{sm}}[\varphi] = \varinjlim_{K_p} H^1(S_{\{\tau\}, K^{p, l} K'_l K_p}, V_{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$. This implies that φ is a classical eigensystem of weight λ and this is a contradiction. \square

Remark 6.10. Note that unlike [Pan22b, Theorems 7.3.2 and 7.3.7], our method doesn't give a nice description of $\widehat{H}^d(S_{\Psi, K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{la}}[\varphi]$ because we don't know the vanishing of $H^d(\mathcal{F}\ell, \text{Ker})$. (See § 5.1 for the definition of Ker .) By using our method, we only know the description of $\widehat{H}^1(S_{\{\tau\}, K^p}, V_{\lambda^{\tau}})_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\{\tau\} - \text{la}}[\varphi]$ for any $\tau \in \Psi$, which is very similar to [Pan22b, Theorems 7.3.2 and 7.3.7].

Theorem 6.11. We assume that $[F_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2$ and p is unramified in F .

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}^S(K^p, \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_{\varphi}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge-Tate type $\lambda_{w'}$ for any $w' \mid v$ and $\chi_{\varphi}|_{G_{F_0, v^c}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge-Tate type λ_0 . If we assume $\widehat{H}^d(S_{\Psi, K^w}, V_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$, then φ is a classical eigensystem of weight λ .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.9 because under our assumption, Conjectures 4.50 and 5.3 are known by Corollary 4.55, Corollary 5.19 and Corollary 5.33. \square

7 Applications

In this section, we give applications of the classicality theorem proved in the previous sections to the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture.

7.1 Liftings of Galois representations with prescribed local properties

Most parts of results in this subsection are contained in [FKP21], but we need to modify their result slightly for our purpose. Note that we can easily generalize the result of this section to higher-dimensional cases.

We consider the following objects.

- p is an odd prime.
- F^+ is a totally real field.
- F_0 is an imaginary quadratic field.
- $F := F^+ F_0$. We assume that F is linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ over \mathbb{Q} and assume that F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places.
- $\Phi := \text{Hom}_{F_0}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$.
- E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , \mathcal{O} is the ring of integers, \mathbb{F} is the residue field and ϖ is a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} such that $\varpi^2 \mid p$.
- S is a finite set of finite places of F^+ and splitting in F containing all p -adic places.
- For any $v \in S$, we fix a lift \tilde{v} to F of v and let $\tilde{S} := \{\tilde{v} \mid v \in S\}$.
- $\chi : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ is a de Rham character such that $\chi(c_w) = -1$ for any $w \mid \infty$.
- $\psi : G_{F, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ is a de Rham character such that $\psi\psi^c = \chi^2|_{G_{F, S}}$.
- $\bar{\rho} : G_{F, S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a continuous representation such that there exists a perfect $G_{F, S}$ -equivariant symmetric pairing $\bar{\rho} \times \bar{\rho}^c \rightarrow \bar{\chi}|_{G_F}$ and $\det \bar{\rho} = \bar{\psi}$.

First, we recall and modify some basic results of [CHT08].

Let \mathcal{G}_2 be the group scheme over \mathbb{Z} defined in [CHT08, § 2]. This is $\mathcal{G}_2 = (\text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_1) \rtimes \{1, j\}$ defined by $j(g, \mu)j = (\mu^t g^{-1}, \mu)$. Let $\nu : \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the morphism of group schemes defined by $(g, \mu) \mapsto \mu, j \mapsto -1$ and $\mathcal{G}^0 := \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_1$. Let $\text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the category of complete Noetherian local rings whose residue field is \mathbb{F} with local morphisms from \mathcal{O} . We have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *For $R \in \text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$, we have the following bijection between the following two objects.*

1 *Continuous morphism $r : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R)$ such that $\nu \circ r = \chi$, $r(c) \notin \mathcal{G}_2^0(R)$ and $r(G_{F, S}) \subset \mathcal{G}_2^0(R)$.*

2 *Continuous morphism $\rho : G_{F, S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$ with a perfect symmetric pairing $(\ , \) : \rho \times \rho^c \rightarrow \chi|_{G_{F, S}}$.*

Explicitly, if we write $(x, y) = {}^t x A^{-1} y$, then $(\rho, (\ , \))$ corresponds to r such that $r(g) = (\rho(g), \chi(g))$ for any $g \in G_{F, S}$ and $r(c) = (A, -\chi(c))j$.

Proof. See [CHT08, Lemma 2.1]. □

In the following, we identify $r|_{G_{F, S}}$ with $G_{F, S} \xrightarrow{r|_{G_{F, S}}} \mathcal{G}_2^0(R) = \text{GL}_2(R) \times \text{GL}_1(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$. Moreover, unless otherwise stated, we consider only continuous morphisms $r : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R)$ satisfying the above condition 1 and $\det r|_{G_{F, S}} = \psi$. Let \bar{r} be the continuous morphism corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$ with the pairing $\bar{\rho} \times \bar{\rho}^c \rightarrow \bar{\chi}$. Let $\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$ denote the trace zero subrepresentation of $\text{ad} \bar{r}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $R, R' \in \text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be Artin local rings, $R' \twoheadrightarrow R$ be a local \mathcal{O} -morphism whose kernel I satisfies $\mathfrak{m}_{R'}I = 0$ and $r : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R)$ (resp. $\tilde{r} : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R')$) be a lifting of \bar{r} (resp. r) to R (resp. R'). (As said above, we always assume $\det \tilde{r}|_{G_{F,S}} = \psi$.)*

Then the map $H^1(G_{F^+,S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I \rightarrow \{\text{deformations } s \text{ of } r \text{ to } R' \text{ such that } \det s|_{G_F} = \psi\}$, $\varphi \mapsto (I_2 + \varphi)\tilde{r}$ is bijective.

Proof. Same as [CHT08, proof of Proposition 2.2.9]. \square

Lemma 7.3. *Let $R, R' \in \text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be Artin local rings over \mathcal{O} , $R' \twoheadrightarrow R$ be a local \mathcal{O} -morphism whose kernel I satisfies $\mathfrak{m}_{R'}I = 0$ and $r : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R)$ be a lifting of \bar{r} .*

1 We can take a continuous map $\tilde{r} : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R')$ (not necessarily group morphism) which is a lift of r such that $\det \tilde{r}|_{G_{F,S}} = \psi$, $\nu \circ \tilde{r} = \chi$ and $\tilde{r}(\gamma c) = \tilde{r}(\gamma)\tilde{r}(c)$ for any $\gamma \in G_{F,S}$.

2 Let $\tilde{r} : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R')$ be a continuous map as in the above 1 and we put $\phi(\gamma, \delta) := \tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1} - I_2$.

Then ϕ is a continuous 2-cocycle $G_{F^+,S} \times G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \text{ad}^0 \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I$ and the cohomology class $\text{obs}(r, R')$ of ϕ is independent of the choice of \tilde{r} . Moreover, $\text{obs}(r, R') = 0$ is equivalent to the existence of a lifting $r' : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R)$ of r such that $\det r'|_{G_{F,S}} = \psi$ and $\nu \circ r' = \chi^{-1}$.

Remark 7.4. We use the assumption $\psi\psi^c = \chi^2|_{G_{F,S}}$ only in this proof and after Corollary 7.18.

Proof. 1 By the smoothness of $\det : \text{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, there exists a continuous map $\tilde{r}' : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R')$ (not necessarily group morphism) which is a lift of $r|_{G_{F,S}}$ such that $\det \tilde{r}'|_{G_{F,S}} = \psi$. By choosing a lift $\tilde{r}(c)$ of $r(c)$, we obtain the desired \tilde{r} .

2 Note that $\det(\tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1}) = \det(I_2 + \phi(\gamma, \delta)) = 1 + \text{tr}\phi(\gamma, \delta)$.

Therefore, the condition $\text{Im}\phi \in \text{ad}^0 \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I$ is equivalent to $\det(\tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1}) = 1$ for any $\gamma, \delta \in G_{F^+,S}$. In the following, we will check this. We put $\tilde{r}(c) = (A, -\chi(c))j$.

(1) If $\gamma, \delta \in G_{F,S}$, this follows from $\det \tilde{r}(\delta) = \psi(\delta)$.

(2) Assume $\gamma = \gamma'c$ and $\gamma', \delta \in G_{F,S}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(\tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\tilde{r}(\gamma'c\delta c)\tilde{r}(c)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(c)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma')^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\tilde{r}(\gamma'c\delta c)A^t\tilde{r}(\delta)\chi(\delta)^{-1}A^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma')^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\tilde{r}(c\delta c)^t\tilde{r}(\delta)\chi(\delta)^{-1}) \\ &= \psi^c(\delta)\chi(\delta)^{-2}\psi(\delta) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Assume $\delta = \delta'c$ and $\gamma, \delta' \in G_{F,S}$.

$$\det(\tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1}) = \det \tilde{r}(\gamma\delta')\det \tilde{r}(\delta')^{-1}\det \tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1} = 1.$$

(4) Assume $\delta = \delta'c$, $\gamma = \gamma'c$ and $\gamma', \delta' \in G_{F,S}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(\tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1}) = \det(\tilde{r}(\gamma'c\delta'c)\tilde{r}(c)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\delta')^{-1}\tilde{r}(c)^{-1}\tilde{r}(\gamma')^{-1}) \\ &= \det(\tilde{r}(\gamma'c\delta'c)^t A \chi(\delta')^{-1} \tilde{r}(\delta') A^{-1} \tilde{r}(\gamma')^{-1}) = \psi^c(\delta')\psi(\delta')\chi(\delta')^{-2} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In order to prove that ϕ is a 2-cocycle, it suffice to prove that $\phi : G_{F^+,S} \times G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \text{ad}^0 \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I \hookrightarrow \text{ad} \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I$ is a 2-cocycle and this is [CHT08, Lemma 2.2.11].

Another lift can be written as $\tilde{r}' = (I_2 + c)\tilde{r}$ for some continuous map $c : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \text{ad}^0 \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I$. Let ϕ' be the 2-cocycle associated with \tilde{r}' . We obtain $\phi'(\gamma, \delta) = (I_2 + c(\gamma\delta))\tilde{r}(\gamma\delta)\tilde{r}(\delta)^{-1}(1 - c(\delta))\tilde{r}(\gamma)^{-1}(I_2 - c(\gamma)) - I_2 = \phi(\gamma, \delta) + c(\gamma\delta) - c(\gamma) - \text{ad}r(\gamma)c(\delta)$. Therefore, the cohomology class of ϕ is independent of the choice of \tilde{r} . Moreover, the same calculation says that any 2-cocycle $\psi : G_{F^+, S} \times G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \text{ad}^0 \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} I$ which is equivalent to ϕ comes from some lifting of \tilde{r}'' as in 1. This implies the final property of $\text{obs}(r, R')$. \square

Lemma 7.5. (Greenberg-Wiles formula) *Let M be a finite $\mathbb{F}[G_{F^+, S}]$ -module and $(\mathcal{L}_v)_{v \in S}$ be a local condition of M , i.e., \mathcal{L}_v is a subspace of $H^1(F_v^+, M)$. Then we have the following formula. (We put $\mathcal{L}^\perp := (\mathcal{L}_v^\perp)_{v \in S}$ and $\mathcal{L}_v^\perp \subset H^1(F_v^+, M^\vee(1)) \cong H^1(F_v^+, M)^\vee$ denotes the annihilator of \mathcal{L}_v .)*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_{F^+, S}, M) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_{F^+, S}, M^\vee(1)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(G_{F^+, S}, M) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(G_{F^+, S}, M(1)) + \sum_{v \in S} (\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(F_v^+, M)) - \sum_{v | \infty} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(F_v^+, M).$$

Proof. See [NT23, proof of Lemma 2.19]. \square

Corollary 7.6. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible. For a local condition $(\mathcal{L}_v)_{v \in S}$ of $\text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}$, we have the following formula.*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_{F^+, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_{F^+, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) = \sum_{v \in S} (\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})) - [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Proof. By the irreducibility, we have $H^0(G_{F, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = H^0(G_{F, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = 0$. The same proof as [CHT08, Lemma 2.1.3] shows $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = 1$ for any $v | \infty$ by using the symmetry of the pairing $\bar{\rho} \times \bar{\rho}^c \rightarrow \bar{\chi}|_{G_F}$, which corresponds to the “oddness” of \bar{r} . \square

For a finite $\mathbb{F}[G_{F^+, S}]$ -module M , let $\text{III}_S^i(M) := \text{Ker}(H^i(G_{F^+, S}, M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^i(G_{F_v^+}, M))$.

Proposition 7.7. (Poitou-Tate exact sequence) *For a local condition $(\mathcal{L}_v)_{v \in S}$ of $\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$, we have the following exact sequences.*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \quad & 0 \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_{F^+, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F^+, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \rightarrow \oplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}}) / \mathcal{L}_v \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_{F^+, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))^\vee \rightarrow \\ & \text{III}_S^1(\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))^\vee \rightarrow 0. \\ 2 \quad & 0 \rightarrow \text{III}_S^1(\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))^\vee \rightarrow H^2(G_{F^+, S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \rightarrow \oplus_{v \in S} H^2(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [CHT08, proof of Lemma 2.3.4]. \square

Definition 7.8. Let $r : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ be a lift of \bar{r} and $v \notin S$ be a finite place of F^+ splitting $v = uu^c$ in F . We say that v is r -nice if the ramification index and the inertia degree of F at v are 1, $q_v \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and $r(\text{Frob}_u)$ has eigenvalues $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)^\times$ such that $\alpha/\beta = q_v$.

Remark 7.9. In this section, we don't need the property that the ramification index and the inertia degree of F at v are 1. In § 7.3, we will use this property.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $l \neq p$ be a prime, L/\mathbb{Q}_l be a finite extension such that $q_L \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\bar{\rho}_L : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be an unramified representation such that the Frobenius eigenvalues α, β of $\bar{\rho}$ satisfy $\alpha/\beta = q_L$. Let $\phi : G_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ be an unramified character which is a lift of $\det \bar{\rho}_L$. Then the following results hold.*

1 The Steinberg lifting ring $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}, \phi}$ with the determinant ϕ over \mathcal{O} is formally smooth over \mathcal{O} of dimension 4. (See [Tay08b, Proposition 3.1] for the definition of the Steinberg deformation ring R^{st} and see [FKP21, § 2] for how to fix the determinant.)

2 Let $\mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}}$ be the subspace of $H^1(L, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ consisting of unramified deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ and $\mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}}$ be the subspace of $H^1(L, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ consisting of Steinberg deformations of $\bar{\rho}$.

Then we have the following properties.

- (a) $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}} = 1$.
- (b) $H^1(L, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}}$.
- (c) $H^1(L, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1)) = \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp} \oplus \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp}$, where $\mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp}$) denotes the subspace of $H^1(L, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}(1))$ annihilated by $\mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}}$) via the local duality.

Proof. By [CHT08, Lemma 2.4.27], we have a formally smooth quotient of dimension 5 $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}} \twoheadrightarrow R'_{\bar{\rho}_L}$ inducing a bijection between E' -valued points of both sides for any finite extension E' of E . Since $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}}$ is p -torsion free and reduced by [Tay08b, Lemma 3.3] and $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is a Jacobson ring and the residue field of any maximal ideal is a finite extension by [Tay08b, Lemma 2.6], we obtain $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R'_{\bar{\rho}_L}$ and thus the formal smoothness $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}}$. This implies that $R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{st}, \phi}$ is formally smooth over \mathcal{O} of dimension 4. By the assumption $q_L \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ and the local Euler-Poincaré characteristic formula, we have $H^1(L, \text{ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) = H^1(L, \mathbb{F}) \oplus H^1(L, \bar{\varepsilon}_p) = \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}} = 1$. Thus we obtain (a) and (b). (c) follows from (b) and the local duality. \square

Lemma 7.11. *Let $r_m : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ be a lift of \bar{r} , $v \notin S$ be an r_m -nice place and $\rho_{m+1, v} : G_{F_v^+, S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{m+1})$ be a lifting of $r_m|_{G_{F_v^+}}$. Fix a geometric Frobenius lift $\phi_v \in G_{F_v^+}$ and a lift $\gamma_v \in I_{F_v^+}$ of a topological generator $I_{F_v^+}/P_{F_v^+}$. Then we have the following results.*

- 1 $G_{F_v^+}/\text{Ker} \rho_{m+1, v}$ is generated by ϕ_v and γ_v .
- 2 After replacing $\rho_{m+1, v}$ by an equivalent representation if necessary, there exists $\alpha \in (\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{m+1})^\times$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\rho_{m+1, v}(\phi_v) = \begin{pmatrix} q_v^{-1}\alpha + \varpi^m A & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha - \varpi^m A \end{pmatrix}$ and $\rho_{m+1, v}(\gamma_v) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi^m B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- 3 Let A, B be as in 2. Then $\rho_{m+1, v}$ is liftable to \mathcal{O} if and only if $B = 0$ or $A = 0$.
- 4 Fix $f \in H^1(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}})$ such that the image $f_{\mathbb{F}}$ of f via the map $H^1(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \rightarrow H^1(F_v^+, \mathbb{F})$ satisfies $f_{\mathbb{F}} \neq 0$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $(1 + \alpha \varpi^m f) \rho_{m+1, v}$ has a lifting to a point of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\text{st}}(\mathcal{O})$.

Proof. Since $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is unramified, $\rho_{m+1, v}$ is tamely ramified. This implies 1. 2 follows from (b) of 2 of Lemma 7.10. About 3, “if” is clear. If B is nonzero and $\rho_{m+1, v}$ is liftable to \mathcal{O} , then $q_v^{-1}\alpha + \varpi^m A = q_v^{-1}(\alpha - \varpi^m A)$ and thus $\varpi^m(1 + q_v^{-1})A = 0$. Thus we have $A = 0$. 4 follows from 3. \square

We consider the following objects.

- For any place $w \mid p$ of F^+ , let $\lambda_w \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{\bar{w}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$ and $\tau_w : I_{F_{\bar{w}}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ be an inertia type such that $\text{WD}(\psi|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}})|_{I_{F_{\bar{w}}}} = \det \tau_w$ and $\psi|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}$ has p -adic Hodge type $(\lambda_{\tau, 1} + \lambda_{\tau, 2} + 1)_{\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{\bar{w}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$. (See definition 7.23 later for the definition of inertia types.)

- For any $v \mid p$ of F^+ , let \mathcal{C}_v be an irreducible component of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}^+}}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_v, \tau_v, \psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{E'}$ is irreducible for any finite extension E'/E . ($R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}^+}}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_v, \tau_v, \psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}}$ is the potentially semistable lifting ring over \mathcal{O} of weight λ_v , inertia type τ_v and determinant $\psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}$. This is equal to $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}^+}}^{\square, \psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}, \tau_v, \lambda_v}$ of [FKP21, Theorem A].)
- For any $v \nmid p$ such that $v \in S$, let \mathcal{C}_v be an irreducible component of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}^+}}^{\psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{E'}$ is irreducible for any finite extension E'/E . ($R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}^+}}^{\psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}}$ is the universal lifting ring of determinant $\psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}$. This is equal to $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}^+}}^{\square, \psi|_{G_{F_v}^+}}$ of [FKP21, Theorem A].)
- For any $v \in S$, let $\rho_v : G_{F_v} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ be a generic representation which is contained in \mathcal{C}_v . (The genericity of ρ_v means $H^0(W_{F_v}, \text{adWD}(\rho_v)(1)) = 0$.)

The following is the main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 7.12. *We assume that $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is surjective.*

Then for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there exist a finite set Q of \bar{r} -nice finite places of F^+ and a lifting $r : G_{F^+, \text{SU}Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O})$ of \bar{r} satisfying the following.

- 1 *For any $v \in Q$, we have $r|_{G_{F_v^+}} \in \text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v^+}}}^{\text{st}, \psi|_{G_{F_v^+}}}(\mathcal{O})$.*
- 2 *For any $v \in S$, the representation $r|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is contained in \mathcal{C}_v , generic and $r|_{G_{F_v^+}} \bmod \varpi^t$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi^t$.*

Remark 7.13. A very similar result was proved by [FKP21] in very general situations, but their $v \in Q$ doesn't satisfy the condition that the Steinberg deformation ring of $\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is formally smooth over \mathcal{O} . In § 7.2 and 7.3, we will use a certain big $R = T$ theorem and in order to use that, we need the property that the given local lifting rings at all non- p -adic places are formally smooth over \mathcal{O} . (See § 7.2.)

Our strategy is exactly the same as [FKP21]. Roughly speaking, that is a combination of the following two works. (It should be noted that they introduced many technical improvements to prove their results in very general situations.)

(1) [Ram99]: He proved the above result under the assumption that every local condition \mathcal{L}_v has the expected dimension. Concretely,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \nmid p \\ [F_v^+ : \mathbb{Q}_p] & \text{if } v \mid p. \end{cases}.$$

In this case, the given local lifting ring is formally smooth, but we want to give applications to the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in § 7.3 and thus we want to study a non-formally smooth lifting ring $R_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}}}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_v, \tau_v}$ at p -adic places. Therefore, this method doesn't suit our purpose.

(2) [KLR05]: They constructed a lifting $r_m : G_{F^+, \text{SU}Q_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ for any m inductively such that $Q_m \subset Q_{m+1}$ and $r_m|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi^m$ for any $v \in S$. However, the

representation $\varprojlim_m r_m$ may be ramified at infinitely many places. Thus, this method also doesn't work to prove our main theorem.

As stated above, each method by itself is inadequate for our main theorem. However, we can prove our main theorem by combining these two methods by using the following result, which was proved in [FKP21].

Theorem 7.14. *For any $v \in S$, there exist an open subset Y_v of $\mathcal{C}_v(\mathcal{O})$ with respect to the p -adic topology containing ρ_v , a positive integer n_v and a subspace \mathcal{L}_v of $H^1(F_{\tilde{v}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ satisfying the following conditions. (In the following, $Y_{v,n}$ is the image of Y_v in $\mathcal{C}_v(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ and Z_v is the inverse image of \mathcal{L}_v in $Z^1(F_{\tilde{v}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$.)*

1 For any $n \geq n_v$, $Y_{v,n+1} \rightarrow Y_{v,n}$ is a principal homogeneous space of Z_v .

2 $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(F_{\tilde{v}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \nmid p. \\ [F_{\tilde{v}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] & \text{if } v \mid p. \end{cases}$

3 Any $\rho'_v \in Y_v$ is generic.

Proof. See [FKP21, Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 4.9]. \square

The above result Theorem 7.14 roughly says that the above method (1) works for a lifting $r_m : G_{F^+,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ of \bar{r} for a sufficiently large m and thus we can prove our main theorem by constructing a lifting $r_m : G_{F^+,S \cup Q_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^m)$ of \bar{r} for a sufficiently large m by using the above method (2).

From now on, we start the proof of Theorem 7.12.

Proposition 7.15. *Let f_1, \dots, f_r (resp. g_1, \dots, g_s) be a basis of $H^1(G_{F^+,S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ (resp. $H^1(G_{F^+,S}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))$). Let K be the fixed field of $\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}|_{G_{F^+(\zeta_p)}}$, K_{f_i} be the fixed field of $f_i|_{G_K}$ and K_{g_i} be the fixed field of $g_i|_{G_K}$. Then the following statements hold.*

1 $f_i|_{G_K}$ (resp. $g_i|_{G_K}$) induces $\text{Gal}(K_{f_i}/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$ (resp. $\text{Gal}(K_{g_i}/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$) of $\text{Gal}(K/F^+)$ -modules.

2 For any i , K_{f_i} (resp. K_{g_i} , $K(\zeta_{p^\infty})$) is linearly disjoint from $K_{f_1} \cdots \check{K}_{f_i} \cdots K_{f_r} K_{g_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ (resp. $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{f_r} K_{g_1} \cdots \check{K}_{g_i} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$, $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{f_r} K_{g_1} \cdots K_{g_s}$) over K .

Proof. Same as [KLR05, Fact 5]. \square

In the following, we will fix a basis $f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_s$ as above Proposition 7.15.

Lemma 7.16. *There exists a finite set Q of \bar{r} -nice places of F^+ such that $\text{III}_{S \cup Q}^1(\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) = 0$.*

Proof. Same as [KLR05, Lemma 6]. \square

Let Q be a finite set of \bar{r} -nice places of F^+ as in Lemma 7.16. For any $v \in Q$, we fix a lift \tilde{v} to F and put $\tilde{Q} := \{\tilde{v} \mid v \in Q\}$.

Note that for $v \in Q$, the Steinberg lifting ring $R_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}^{\text{st}, \psi|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}$ is formally smooth of dimension 4 by 1 of Lemma 7.10 and thus the properties of Theorem 7.14 holds for $Y_v := \text{Spec} R_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}^{\text{st}, \psi|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}$, $\mathcal{L}_v = \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}}$ and $n_v := 1$ except the property 3. We take an \mathcal{O} -rational point ρ_v of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}^{\text{st}, \psi|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}$

for every $v \in Q$. We also take n_v and \mathcal{L}_v as in Theorem 7.14 and take $n_0 \geq \max_{v \in S} \{n_v, 2\}$. For a moment, we assume the following.

- (*) There exists a lifting $r_{n_0} : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0})$ satisfying the following conditions.
 - The fixed field P_{n_0} of $\text{ad} r_{n_0}|_{G_{K(\zeta_p)}}$ is linearly disjoint from $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ over K , where f_1, \dots, g_s are fixed basis appeared in Proposition 7.15.
 - $r_{n_0}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \pmod{\varpi^{n_0}}$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$.
 - $\text{ad}(r_{n_0}|_{G_{F,S}}) : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0})$ is surjective.

For a finite set Q' of r_{n_0} -nice places of F^+ (note that by the definition of nice primes, we assume $Q' \cap (Q \cup S) = \emptyset$), let $\mathcal{L}_{Q'} := ((\mathcal{L}_{Q'})_v)_{v \in S \cup Q \cup Q'}$ be a local condition for $\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_{Q'})_v := \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_v & \text{if } v \in S \\ \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}} & \text{if } v \in Q \cup Q' \end{cases}.$$

If Q' is empty, we simply write \mathcal{L} for $\mathcal{L}_{Q'}$. Note that we have $\dim H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q'}}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) = \dim H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q'}}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))$ by Corollary 7.6 and 2 of Lemma 7.10.

Lemma 7.17. *Under the above assumption (*), there exists a finite set Q' of r_{n_0} -nice places of F^+ such that $H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q'}}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) = 0$ and $H_{\mathcal{L}_{Q'}}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) = 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that $H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \neq 0$. It suffices to prove that there exists a r_{n_0} -nice place v such that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) < \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)).$$

More strongly, we will prove the following. (0_v denotes the zero subspace of $H^1(F_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))$.)

$$H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) =_1 H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, 0_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \subsetneq_2 H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) - (**).$$

By Corollary 7.6 and 2 of Lemma 7.10, we obtain the following formula. ($\mathcal{L}_v := H^1(F_v, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$.)

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, 0_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) + 1 &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \\ \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $0 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, 0_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}, \perp} = 1$ and $0 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, 0_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \leq 1$. Thus 1 (resp. 2) of (**) is equivalent to 1' (resp. 2') in the following.

$$H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \subsetneq_{1'} H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) =_{2'} H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) - (***)$$

By Proposition 7.15 and our assumption that P_{n_0} is linearly disjoint from $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ over K , there exist a r_{n_0} -nice prime v and $f \in H_{\mathcal{L}}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) = H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ and $g \in H_{\mathcal{L}^\perp}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) = H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))$ such that $f(\text{Frob}_v) \neq 0$ and $g(\text{Frob}_v) \neq 0$. $g(\text{Frob}_v) \neq 0$ implies

$$H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, 0_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \subsetneq H_{(\mathcal{L}^\perp, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}, \perp})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)).$$

On the other hand, $f(\text{Frob}_v) \neq 0$ implies $H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}})}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \subsetneq H_{(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_v)}^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup \{v\}}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ by $\mathcal{L}_v = \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{ur}} \oplus \mathcal{L}_v^{\text{st}}$. (See 2 of Lemma 7.10.) This implies the result. \square

We take Q' as in the above Lemma 7.17 and we may replace Q with $Q \cup Q'$.

Corollary 7.18. *Under the above assumption (*), there exists a lifting $r : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O})$ of r_{n_0} satisfying the conditions of Theorem 7.12.*

Proof. Since $\text{III}_{S \cup Q}^1(\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) = 0$, the vanishing of $\text{obs}(r_{n_0}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1})$ follows from the vanishing of $\text{obs}(r_{n_0}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1})$ at every $v \in S \cup Q$ by the exact sequence in 2 of Proposition 7.7. For any $v \in S \cup Q$, we have a lifting ρ_v to \mathcal{O} of $r_{n_0}|_{G_{F_v^-}}$. This implies that the vanishing of $\text{obs}(r_{n_0}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1})$ at every $v \in S \cup Q$ by a variant of 2 of Lemma 7.3 for the determinant fixed deformation with values in GL_2 of $G_{F_v^-}$. (See [CHT08, p18] for details.) Thus we have $\text{obs}(r_{n_0}, \mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1}) = 0$. Consequently, we obtain a lifting $r'_{n_0+1} : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1})$ of r_{n_0} . By Lemma 7.2, the set of equivalence classes of liftings $G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1})$ of r_{n_0} is a $H^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ -torsor. By the exact sequence in 1 of Proposition 7.7 and Lemma 7.17, we can take a lifting $r_{n_0+1} : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n_0+1})$ of r_{n_0} such that $r_{n_0+1}|_{G_{F_v^+}} \in Y_{v, n_0+1}$. (See Theorem 7.14 for the definition of Y_{v, n_0+1} .) By repeating this argument, we obtain a lifting $r : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O})$ of r_{n_0} satisfying the conditions of Theorem 7.12. \square

By Corollary 7.18, it suffices to prove the existence r_{n_0} as above (*).

Since we assume $\varpi^2 \mid p$, by using $i : \mathbb{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}/\varpi^2$, we can take a lifting $r_2'' := i \circ \bar{r} : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ of \bar{r} , but we may not have $\nu \circ r_2'' = \chi$ and $\det r_2''|_{G_{F, S}} = \psi$. By considering $r_2''|_{G_{F, S}} \otimes (i(\bar{\psi}^{-1})\psi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the correspondence of Lemma 7.1, we obtain a lifting $r_2' : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ of \bar{r} satisfying $\nu \circ r_2' = \chi$, $\det r_2'|_{G_{F, S}} = \psi$. (Note that we used the relation $\chi^2|_{G_{F, S}} = \psi\psi^c$.) Moreover, we have the following.

- (1) $r_2'|_{G_{F_v^-}} \bmod \varpi$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$.
- (2) The fixed field P_2 of $\text{ad} r_2'|_{G_K} : G_K \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ is linearly disjoint from $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ over K by $P_2 = K$.
- (3) The image of $\text{ad} r_2'|_{G_{F, S}} : G_{F, S} \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ contains $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

We may assume that There exists $T \subset S$ satisfying the following properties.

- (A) ρ_v is unramified for any $v \in T$ and $\langle \text{ad} \rho_v(\text{Frob}_{\bar{v}}) \bmod \varpi^2 \mid v \in T \rangle = \text{Ker}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$.
- (B) There exists $v \in T$ such that $\text{ad} \rho_v(\text{Frob}_{\bar{v}}) \bmod \varpi^2$ is nontrivial and $\text{Frob}_{\bar{v}}|_{K_{f_i}}$ and $\text{Frob}_{\bar{v}}|_{K_{g_i}}$ are trivial for any f_i and g_i .

We claim that in order to construct r_{n_0} satisfying the conditions in (*), it suffices to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 7.19. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. We assume that there exists a lifting $r'_n : G_{F^+, S \cup Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ of \bar{r} satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) $r'_n|_{G_{F_v^+}} \bmod \varpi^{n-1}$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi^{n-1}$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$.
- (2) The fixed field P_n of $\text{adr}'_n|_{G_K}$ is linearly disjoint from $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ over K .
- (3) $\text{adr}'_n|_{G_{F,S}} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ is surjective if $n \geq 3$ and the image of $\text{adr}'_2|_{G_{F,S}} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ contains $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

Then there exists a finite set Q' of r'_n -nice primes of F^+ and $r_n : G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (a) $r_n \bmod \varpi^{n-1}$ and $r'_n \bmod \varpi^{n-1}$ are equivalent.
- (b) $r_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi^n$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$.
- (c) For any $v \in Q'$, $r_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ has a lifting to a point of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}}}^{\text{st}, \psi|_{G_{F_v^+}}}(\mathcal{O})$.

Proposition 7.19 \Rightarrow Construction of r_n as in (*)

We will construct r_n as in (*) by induction on $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$, we already constructed r'_2 satisfying the conditions (1) \sim (3) in Proposition 7.19. Thus by Proposition 7.19, we obtain Q' and $r_2 : G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ satisfying the conditions (a) \sim (c) in Proposition 7.19.

By taking a lifting of $r_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ to a point of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{r}|_{G_{F_v^+}}}^{\text{st}, \psi|_{G_{F_v^+}}}(\mathcal{O})$ and replacing $Q \cup Q'$ with Q , r_2 satisfies the conditions in (*). If we have r_n as in (*) for $n \geq 2$, then we can take a lifting $r'_{n+1} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^{n+1})$ of r_n by the liftability of $r_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$ as used in the proof of Corollary 7.18. We claim that this r'_{n+1} satisfies the conditions (1) \sim (3) of Proposition 7.19. (1) is clear. By the property (A), $\text{adr}_n|_{G_{F,S \cup Q}} \bmod \varpi^2 : G_{F,S \cup Q} \rightarrow \text{PGL}(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2)$ is surjective. Thus $\text{adr}_n|_{G_{F,S \cup Q}}$ is also surjective by [Sai13, Lemma 7.13] and $[\text{Ker}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})) : \text{Ker}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}))] = \text{Ker}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2))$. (This is used in [FKP21, p.3561]. Here, $[\ :]$ denotes the commutator group.)

Note that we have $\text{adr}'_{n+1}|_{G_K} : G_K/(\text{Ker} \text{adr}'_{n+1}|_{G_K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}))$. In particular, we have $\text{adr}'_{n+1}|_{G_K} \bmod \varpi^2 : G_K/(\text{Ker}(\text{adr}'_{n+1}|_{G_K} \bmod \varpi^2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^2) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})) \cong \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$ because we assume $p \neq 2$. Note also that $\text{ad}^0 \bar{r}$ is a simple $G_{F^+, S}$ -module and $\text{Gal}(K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\prod_{i=1}^r \text{Gal}(K_{f_i}/K)) \times (\prod_{j=1}^s \text{Gal}(K_{g_j}/K)) \times \text{Gal}(K(\zeta_{p^\infty})/K) \cong (\prod_{i=1}^r \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \times (\prod_{j=1}^s \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \times \text{Gal}(K(\zeta_{p^\infty})/K)$ as $G_{F^+, S}$ -modules. Thus if the fixed field P_{n+1} of $\text{adr}'_{n+1}|_{G_K}$ was not linearly disjoint from $K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty})$ over K (i.e., $P_{n+1} \cap K_{f_1} \cdots K_{g_s}(\zeta_{p^\infty}) \neq K$), the fixed field P'_2 of $\text{adr}'_{n+1}|_{G_K} \bmod \varpi^2$ would be equal to K_{f_i} or K_{g_i} over K for some i . This contradicts to the condition (B). \square

In the following, we will prove Proposition 7.19. By the same method of [KLR05], we can prove the following.

Proposition 7.20. 1 *There exists a finite set $Q' = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ of r'_n -nice places such that we have $H^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_{v \in S \cup Q} H^1(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ and $H^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_{v \in Q'} H^1(F_v^+, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r}(1))$.*

2 *Let Q' be as in 1. Then for any $1 \leq k \leq m$, there exists a finite set T_k of r'_n -nice places and $f_k \in H^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q' \cup T_k}, \text{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) $|T_k| = 1$ or 2 .

- (2) $(f_k|_{G_{F_w^+}})_{\mathbb{F}} = 0$ for any $w \in T_k$ and $(f_k|_{G_{F_{v_k}^+}})_{\mathbb{F}} \neq 0$. (See Lemma 7.11 for the definition of $(f_k|_{G_{F_w^+}})_{\mathbb{F}}$.)
- (3) For any $j < k$ and $t \in T_j$, $(f_k|_{G_{F_t^+}})_{\mathbb{F}} = 0$.
- (4) $f_k|_{G_{F_v^+}} = 0$ for any $v \in S \cup Q \cup Q' \setminus \{v_k\}$.

Proof. Same proof as [KLR05, Lemma 9, Proposition 10 and Proposition 11]. \square

Corollary 7.21. *There exist a finite set Q' of r'_n -nice places and a lifting $r_n : G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ of r_{n-1} satisfying the following.*

- (a) $r_n \bmod \varpi^{n-1}$ and $r'_n \bmod \varpi^{n-1}$ are equivalent.
- (b) $r_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi^n$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$.
- (c) $r_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ has a lifting to a point of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v^+}}}^{\mathrm{st}, \psi}(\mathcal{O})$ for any $v \in Q'$.

Proof. By 1 of Proposition 7.20, we obtain $Q_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ and $g \in H^1(G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q_1}, \mathrm{ad}^0 \bar{r})$ such that $(1 + \varpi^{n-1}g)r'_n|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_v \bmod \varpi^n$ for any $v \in S \cup Q$. Moreover, by using 2 of Proposition 7.20 and 4 of Lemma 7.11, we can take $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $(1 + \varpi^{n-1}(g + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k f_k))r'_n : G_{F^+, S \cup Q \cup Q_1 \cup (\cup_{k=1}^m T_k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^n)$ satisfies the desired conditions by putting $Q' := Q_1 \cup (\cup_{k=1}^m T_k)$. \square

We complete the proof of Proposition 7.19 and thus we obtain our main theorem 7.12.

7.2 Big $R = T$ and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension

The result of this subsection is essentially contained in [BHH+23] and [HW22]. But they consider cohomologies of Shimura curves or Shimura sets coming from division algebras over totally real fields. Thus we need to slightly modify their results.

We first recall some local results. Let p be an odd prime and L/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite unramified extension, let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p contained in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, E)$. Let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of E and \mathbb{F} be the residue field of \mathcal{O} . Let $f := [L : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and we fix an embedding $L \hookrightarrow E$ over \mathbb{Q}_p and let $w_f : I_L \rightarrow I_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}/L} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rec}_L^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_L^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_L^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ be the Serre's fundamental character of level f , where $I_{L^{\mathrm{ab}}/L}$ denotes the inertia group of the maximal abelian extension L^{ab} of L over L .

Definition 7.22. Let $\bar{\rho}_L : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation.

1 If $\bar{\rho}_L$ is reducible, we say that $\bar{\rho}_L$ is generic if $\bar{\rho}_L|_{I_L}$ can be written as in the following up to twist. ($0 \leq r_i \leq p-3$ and $(r_0, \dots, r_{f-1}) \neq (0, \dots, 0), (p-3, \dots, p-3)$). See [BP12, Definition 11.7] for the definition of genericity of $\bar{\rho}_L$ when $\bar{\rho}_L$ is irreducible.)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_f^{(r_0+1)+(r_1+1)p+\dots+(r_{f-1}+1)p^{f-1}} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2 We say that $\bar{\rho}_L$ is reducible non-split sufficiently generic if $\bar{\rho}_L|_{I_L}$ can be written as in the following up to twist. ($2 \leq r_i \leq p-5$ and $* \neq 0$. This notion was introduced in [HW22].)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_f^{(r_0+1)+(r_1+1)p+\dots+(r_{f-1}+1)p^{f-1}} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 7.23. Let $\tau : I_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ be a smooth representation. We say that τ is an inertia type if τ extends to a representation of W_L .

Theorem 7.24. (1) For any inertia type $\tau : I_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, there exist irreducible representations $\sigma^{\mathrm{crys}}(\tau)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\tau)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ unique up to isomorphism satisfying the following conditions.

(a) For any irreducible smooth representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)]}(\sigma^{\mathrm{crys}}(\tau), \pi) \leq 1$$

and

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)]}(\sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\tau), \pi) \leq 1.$$

(b) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)]}(\sigma^{\mathrm{crys}}(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{rec}_L(\pi)|_{I_L} = \tau$.

(c) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)]}(\sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{rec}_L(\pi)^{\mathrm{ss}}|_{I_L} = \tau$ and π is generic.

(2) Let D be a central division algebra over L with $\dim_L D = 4$.

For any inertia type $\tau : I_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ which is equal to $\mathrm{rec}_L(\gamma)|_{I_L}$ for some discrete series representation γ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, there exists an irreducible representation $\sigma_D(\tau)$ of \mathcal{O}_D^\times over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ satisfying the following conditions.

For any irreducible smooth representation π of D^\times over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, we have

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\mathcal{O}_D^\times]}(\sigma_D(\tau), \pi) \leq 1$$

and this is the equality if and only if $\mathrm{rec}_L(\mathrm{JL}_D(\pi))|_{I_L} = \tau$, where JL_D denotes the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

Remark 7.25. 1 Note that $\sigma_D(\tau)$ is not unique up to isomorphism in general because \mathcal{O}_D^\times is a normal subgroup of D^\times and the conjugation of $\sigma_D(\tau)$ by a generator of $D^\times/\mathcal{O}_D^\times$ satisfies the same condition, but is not isomorphic to $\sigma_D(\tau)$ in general.

2 This also holds if we replace $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Proof. See [EG23, Theorem 8.2.1] for 1. 2 is easy because $L^\times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ is a normal subgroup of D^\times of finite index. See [Dot25, comments before Lemma 3.10] for details. \square

Now we come back to a global situation. We consider the following objects.

- F^+ is a totally real field.
- F_0 is an imaginary quadratic field.
- $F := F^+ F_0$ and assume that F/F^+ is unramified at all finite places. (Then we have $2 \mid [F^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$.)
- $\Phi := \mathrm{Hom}_{F_0}(F, \mathbb{C})$.
- p is an odd prime which is unramified in F .
- $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$.

- E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p contained in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = \text{Hom}(F, E)$.
- \mathcal{O} is the ring of integers of E and \mathbb{F} is the residue field of \mathcal{O} .
- S is a finite set of finite places of F^+ containing all p -adic places such that every place in S splits in F and every place of F ramified over \mathbb{Q} splits over F^+ .
- S_p is the set of p -adic places in F^+ .
- $T_1, T_2, S(B)_1$ and $S(B)_2$ be subsets of S such that $S = S_p \sqcup T_1 \sqcup T_2 \sqcup S(B)_1 \sqcup S(B)_2$ and $\frac{1}{2}|S(B)| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, where $S(B)$ is the set of places of F lying above a place in $S(B)_1 \sqcup S(B)_2$.

Let U/F^+ be the unitary group defined by $S(B)$ and $\Psi := \emptyset$ as in § 3.1. Then $U(F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \cong \prod_{v|\infty} U(0, 2)$. We fix a p -adic place w of F . We also write w for the place of F^+ lying below w . Moreover, for any $v \in S$, we fix a lifting \tilde{v} to F of v and we put $\tilde{S} := \{\tilde{v} \mid v \in S\}$. We assume the following conditions.

- There exists a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\pi^c \cong \pi^\vee$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible. (We put $\bar{\rho} := r_\iota(\pi)$.)
- $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified outside S .
- T_1 contains two places v_1, v_2 such that $\text{char} \mathbb{F}_{v_1} \neq \text{char} \mathbb{F}_{v_2}$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ is unramified and generic with distinct Frobenius eigenvalues for any $v \in T_1$.
- For any $v \in T_2 \sqcup S(B)_2$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ is irreducible and generic.
- Every $v \in S(B)_1$ is $\bar{\rho}$ -nice.
- For any p -adic place $v \neq w$, the restriction $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ is generic.
- $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is reducible non-split sufficiently generic.

After extending E , we may assume that all eigenvalues of $\bar{\rho}$ are contained in \mathbb{F} . For any p -adic place $v \neq w$, there exists a Fontaine-Laffaille lift of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ by the definition of the genericity [BP12, Definition 11.7] and let λ_v be its p -adic Hodge type. Let $\mathcal{D} = (F/F^+, S, \tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}, \bar{\rho}, \varepsilon_p^{-1}, \{\mathcal{D}_v\}_{v \in S})$ be the global deformation problem defined by the following conditions. (See [BGGT14, § 1.5] or [Mat24, Definition 4.2] for details about global deformation problems.)

- For any $v \in T_1 \sqcup T_2 \sqcup S(B)_2$, the local deformation problem \mathcal{D}_v consists of all deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$.
- For any $v \in S(B)_1$, the local deformation problem \mathcal{D}_v consists of the Steinberg deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$, i.e., defined by $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}}^{\text{st}}$.
- For any p -adic place $v \neq w$, the local deformation problem \mathcal{D}_v consists of the Fontaine-Laffaille deformations of p -adic Hodge type λ_v of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$.

- \mathcal{D}_w consists of all deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}$.

Note that for any $v \in S$, the local lifting ring $R_{\mathcal{D}_v}$ is formally smooth over \mathcal{O} . (See Lemma 7.14 and [CHT08, Lemmas 2.4.1 and 2.4.9].) Let $K = \prod_v K_v$ be a neat open compact subgroup of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$ defined by the following.

- For any $v \notin S$ which is ramified over \mathbb{Q} , let $K_v = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\tilde{v}}})$, where \tilde{v} is a lift of v to F .
- For any $v \notin S$ which is unramified over \mathbb{Q} , let K_v is a hyperspecial open compact subgroup.
- For any $v \in T_2$, let $K_v = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\tilde{v}}})$.
- For any $v \in T_1$, let $K_v = \mathrm{Iw}_{\tilde{v},1}$, i.e., pro- l Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\tilde{v}})$. (We put $l := \mathrm{char} \mathbb{F}_{v \cdot}$.)
- For any $v \in S(B)_1 \sqcup S(B)_2$, let $K_v = \mathcal{O}_{B_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}^\times$.
- For any p -adic place $v \neq w$, let $K_v = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\tilde{v}}})$.
- K_w be an arbitrary open compact.

Let \mathbb{T}_U^S be the subalgebra of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty), K)_\mathcal{O}$ generated over \mathcal{O} by $\mathcal{H}(U(F_{w'}^+), K_{w'})_\mathcal{O}$ for any prime r splitting in F_0 not lying below S and any $w' \mid r$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the non-Eisenstein ideal of \mathbb{T}_U^S corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$. (We define the notions of “decomposed generic” and “non-Eisenstein” by the same way as definition 3.11.)

For any $v \in T_2 \cup S(B)_2$, we can take a lifting ρ_v of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ to \mathcal{O} unique up to unramified twists by the assumption that $\bar{\rho}_\mathfrak{m}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{v}}}}$ is generic and Lemma 6.2. For any $v \in T_2$, let $\sigma_v := \sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\rho_v|_{I_{F_{\tilde{v}}}})$ be the representation given by Theorem 7.24. For $v \in S(B)_2$, we choose a representation $\sigma_v := \sigma_{B_{F_{\tilde{v}}}^{\mathrm{op}, \times}}(\rho_v|_{I_{F_{\tilde{v}}}})$ given by Theorem 7.24. After extending E if necessary, we may assume that σ_v is defined over E and we take a K_v -stable lattice of σ_v over \mathcal{O} and we also write σ_v for this. Let $\sigma := \otimes_{v \in T_2 \sqcup S(B)_2} \sigma_v$. Similary, for any $v \mid p$, we take a K_v -stable lattice \mathcal{V}_{λ_v} over \mathcal{O} of the irreducible algebraic representation of weight λ_v of $\mathrm{GL}_{2, F_{\tilde{v}}^+}$ and we put $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w} := \otimes_{w \neq v \in S_p} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_v}$. Then we have a $\mathcal{O}[K_S]$ -module $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma) := \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w} \otimes \sigma$ and thus we can consider $\mathcal{A}_U(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))$. (This is the degree zero cohomology of the locally symmetric space of U of level $K_w K^w$ with the coefficient $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma)$. See [CHT08, § 3.3] for more explicit descriptions.)

Let

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_\mathfrak{m} := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_w} \mathcal{A}_U(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma)/\varpi^n)_\mathfrak{m},$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_\mathfrak{m} := \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_\mathfrak{m} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right],$$

$$\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_\mathfrak{m} := \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{T}_U^S \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_U(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma)))_\mathfrak{m})$$

and

$$\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_\mathfrak{m} := \varprojlim_{K_w} \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_\mathfrak{m}.$$

As in Theorem 3.16, by using the correspondence of Lemma 7.1, we have a continuous map $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}})$ such that $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(c) \notin \mathcal{G}^0(\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}})$, $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_{F, S}) \subset \mathcal{G}^0(\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}})$, $\det(T - \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_w)) = P_w(T)$ for any prime r not lying below any place in S and any finite place $w \mid r$ of F and $\nu \circ \rho_{\mathfrak{m}} = \varepsilon_p^{-1}$. (We recall that $c \in G_F$ denotes a complex conjugation.) Let $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}}$ denote the universal deformation ring defined by the global deformation problem \mathcal{D} and $\rho^{\text{univ}} : G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}})$ be the universal deformation. (See [BGGT14, § 1.5] for details about $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}}$.)

Proposition 7.26. *There exists a surjection of \mathcal{O} -algebras $f : R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $f_* \rho^{\text{univ}}$ is equivalent to $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} = \varprojlim_{K_w} \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$, it suffices to prove that for any $v \in S$ and any K_w , the restriction to G_{F_v} of the representation $G_{F^+, S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is contained in \mathcal{D}_v . (Note that the surjectivity follows from the equation $\det(T - \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_w)) = P_w(T)$ for any prime r not lying below any place in S and any finite place $w \mid r$ of F .) If $v \in T_1 \cup T_2 \cup S(B)_2 \cup \{w\}$, this property is clear because \mathcal{D}_v consists of all deformations. If $v \neq w$ is a p -adic place, this property follows from Theorems 3.4 and 3.7. If $v \in S(B)_1$, this property follows from the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence (see [HT01, before Lemma I.3.2]), again Theorems 3.4 and 3.7. \square

Proposition 7.27. *For sufficiently small K_w , we have $\mathcal{A}_U(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.37 later, we may assume that π has weight $(0, 0)_{\tau} \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$. Thus by [BGGT14, Theorem 4.4.1] and the base change result Theorem 3.4 between automorphic representations of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$ and $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, in order to prove $\mathcal{A}_U(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ for sufficiently small K_w , it suffices to prove that $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}, \mathcal{D}_v}(\mathcal{O})$ is non-empty for any $v \in S$, $v \neq w$. This follows from the formality smoothness of our local lifting rings $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}, \mathcal{D}_v}$. \square

By using the same method as [HW22], we can prove the following.

Theorem 7.28. 1 $f : R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

2 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\varphi] \neq 0$ for any \mathcal{O} -morphism $\varphi : R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.

Remark 7.29. The author expects that we can also prove similar results for locally symmetric spaces of unitary (similitude) groups over F^+ by almost the same argument.

Proof. This can be proved by exactly the same argument as [HW22, Corollary 8.17], which proved the same result for the completed cohomology of Shimura sets and Shimura curves over totally real fields. Our global situation is bit different from theirs and thus we need to check that the same proof also works in our situation.

For $v \in T_1$, let α_v be an eigenvalue of $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Frob}_{\bar{v}})$, let $U_v := [\text{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_{\bar{v}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1}]$, where $\varpi_{\bar{v}}$ denotes a uniformizer. Let \mathfrak{m}' be the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}^S[U_v]_{v \in T_1}$ defined by the inverse image of $(\mathfrak{m}, U_v - \alpha_v)$ in $\mathbb{T}^S / \varpi[U_v]_{v \in T_1}$. Let γ be the localization of $(\varprojlim_{K_w} \mathcal{A}_U(K^w K_w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma)))[\mathfrak{m}]$ at \mathfrak{m}' . This is also nonzero as in [EGS15, § 6.5].

Since our local lifting rings are formally smooth, in order to prove this theorem, it suffices to prove that the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\dim_{\text{GL}_2(F_w)} \gamma$ of γ is d by the work of [GN22].

(See [HW22, Theorem 8.15 and Corollary 8.17] for details.) [HW22, Theorem 4.21] gave certain sufficient conditions (a), (b) and (c) for a mod p representation γ' of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)$ to get $\dim_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)} \gamma' = d$. We will check that γ satisfies these conditions (a), (b) and (c).

First, we will prove that γ satisfies the condition (a) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21] by the same method as [HW22]. They used [HW22, (i) of Proposition 8.9] to check the condition (a). [HW22, (i) of Proposition 8.9] follows from the strong multiplicity one theorem, the automorphy lifting theorem in the Fontaine-Laffaille case and the weight part of the Serre conjecture, which is also known in our case by (e) of Theorem 3.4, [GK14, Lemma 4.3.10 and (2) of Lemma 4.4.2] and [GLS15]. Note that in their situation, T_2 and $S(B)$ are empty, but exactly the same proof works. Thus we obtain the condition (a) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21] for γ .

Second, we will prove that γ satisfies the condition (b) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21] by the same method as [HW22]. In order to prove the condition (b) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21], they used [HW22, (i) of Proposition 8.9] and the fact that the patched module M_∞ is a finite projective $S_\infty[[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})]]$ -module as in the proof of [HW22, Corollary 8.17], which still holds in our situation by [CHT08, Lemma 3.3.1] for example. (See [HW22, § 8.1] for the definitions of the patched module M_∞ and S_∞ .) Thus we obtain the condition (b) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21] for γ .

Finally, we will prove that γ satisfies the condition (c) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21] by the same method as [HW22]. They used [HW22, Proposition 8.6, (ii) of Proposition 8.9 and Proposition 8.10] to check the condition (c) of [HW22, Theorem 4.21]. In the following, by recalling the proofs of [HW22, Proposition 8.6, (ii) of Proposition 8.9 and Proposition 8.10], we will check that the same proof works in our situation.

[HW22, Proposition 8.6] is a property about local deformation rings at w and thus this also holds in our situation. Precisely, they fixed the determinant character, but exactly the same proof works.

To prove [HW22, (ii) of Proposition 8.9], they used [Le19, Theorem 5.1], which follows from [Le19, Theorem 4.9]. We recall the proof of [Le19, Theorem 4.9]. He used [Le19, Propositions 4.6 and 4.7 and Lemma 4.8] to prove [Le19, Theorem 4.9]. Note that he also used results in [Le19, § 2 and 3] in the proof, but they are purely local results on representations of G_{F_w} and $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)$. Thus we can use them in our situation. [Le19, Lemma 4.8] is a purely algebraic lemma and thus we can use this result. [Le19, Proposition 4.7] follows from [EGS15, proof of Theorem 9.1.1], which also hold in our situation by [EGS15, Remark 9.1.2]. [Le19, Proposition 4.6] follows from [Le19, Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5]. [Le19, Lemma 4.3] follows from [EGS15, proof of Theorem 10.1.1], which also hold in our situation by [EGS15, Remark 10.1.2]. [Le19, Proposition 4.4] follows from the results in [Le19, § 2 and 3], which can be used in our situation as we have seen above. [Le19, Lemma 4.5] is a purely algebraic lemma and thus we can use this result.

To prove [HW22, Proposition 8.10], they used [HW22, Proposition 7.4 and (i) of Proposition 8.9] (and purely local results [HW22, Proposition 5.16] on representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_w)$, which can be used in our situation). We already gave some explanations about [HW22, (i) of Proposition 8.9]. [HW22, Proposition 7.4] follows from [BD20, Corollary 7.40], which was also stated to hold in our situation. Note that in [BD20, Corollary 7.40], they assumed $F_w = \mathbb{Q}_p$, but this assumption is not needed as explained in [HW22, proof of Proposition 7.4]. \square

In the following, we come back to the situation of § 6.2. In addition to the previous

assumptions, we assume the following conditions.

- Every prime r ramified in F splits in an imaginary quadratic field in F .
- There exists a prime $l \neq p$ splitting completely in F such that all l -adic places v of F are contained in $S(B)_2$.
- $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_F)$ is not solvable.
- $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is decomposed generic.
- $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} (\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{\tilde{F}}})^{\tau}$ is absolutely irreducible, where \tilde{F} is the Galois closure of F over \mathbb{Q} . See the comment before Theorem 3.10 for the definition of $(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{G_{\tilde{F}}})^{\tau}$.

We will compare the completed cohomology of GU and U . Let $K_{GU} = \prod_r K_{GU,r}$ be an open compact subgroup of $GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty})$ defined by the following.

- For any prime r not lying below S and unramified in F , let $K_{GU,r}$ be a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup containing K_r .
- For any prime r not lying below S and ramified in F , let $K_{GU,r} := \mathcal{O}_{F_1,u}^{\times} \times \prod_{w|u} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})$, where F_1 is a imaginary quadratic field in which r splits, u denotes a place of F_1 lying above r .
- For any prime r lying below S , let $K_{GU,r} = (1 + r^2 \mathbb{Z}_r) \times \prod_{u|r} K_u \subset \mathbb{Q}_r^{\times} \times \mathrm{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}} U(\mathbb{Q}_r)$.

Note that we can regard $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma)$ as an $\mathcal{O}[K_{GU}]$ -module. Note that \mathbb{T}_U^S naturally acts on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))$ and we have a natural \mathbb{T}_U^S -equivariant injection $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_U(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma)) \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))$ as in [CS24, Lemma 2.1.1].

Let

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_{GU,w}} \mathcal{A}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w K_{GU,w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma) / \varpi^n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} := \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \left[\frac{1}{p} \right].$$

Let $\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_{K_{GU,w}} \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{A}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w K_{GU,w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}))$ and $\mathbb{T}_U^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} := \varprojlim_{K_{GU,w}} \mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{T}_U^S \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{A}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w K_{GU,w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}))$, where \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S is the subalgebra of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(GU(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\infty}), K_{GU})_{\mathcal{O}}$ generated over \mathcal{O} by $\mathcal{H}(GU(\mathbb{Q}_r), K_{GU,r})_{\mathcal{O}}$ for all primes r splitting in F_0 and not lying below a place in S . Then we have the following canonical maps $\mathbb{T}_U^S(K_U^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \leftarrow \mathbb{T}_U^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Note that we also have the universal representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_U^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}})$ and the universal character $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{F_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\times}$ as in Theorem 3.16. We put $\rho_{\varphi} := \varphi * \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\chi_{\varphi} := \varphi * \chi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for an \mathcal{O} -morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. Let $v \mid p$ be the place of F_0 induced by $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and we fix a weight $\lambda_w \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, E)}$.

Lemma 7.30. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an \mathcal{O} -morphism such that $\rho_\varphi|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_{w'}$ for any $w' \mid v$ and let $\mathfrak{p} := \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. We regard \mathfrak{p} as a prime ideal of $\mathbb{T}_U^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))$.*

1 *Then $\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finite \mathcal{O} -algebra and $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} := \chi_{\mathfrak{m}} \bmod \mathfrak{p} : G_F \rightarrow (\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}})^\times$ satisfies $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_{v^c}) = 1$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_v) = \text{Art}_{F_v}^{-1}(g_v)^{\sum_{\tau \in \Phi}(\lambda_{\tau,1} + \lambda_{\tau,2})}$ for any $g_v \in U_v$ and $g_{v^c} \in U_{v^c}$, where U_v and U_{v^c} are some open subgroups of I_{F_v} and $I_{F_{v^c}}$.*

2 *After enlarging E if necessary, there exists an E -morphism*

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow E$$

satisfying $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\tilde{\varphi}] \neq 0$

Proof. 1 The finiteness property in 1 follows from the description of $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in 1. In fact, by [BGGT14, Lemma A.2.5], after enlarging E if necessary, we can construct a de Rham character $\psi : G_{F_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\psi|_{U_v} = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{U_v}$ and $\psi|_{U_{v^c}} = \chi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{U_{v^c}}$. In particular, $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}\psi^{-1}$ has a finite ramification at v and v^c . By our assumption on S and Theorem 3.5, the character $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a finite ramification at any non- p -adic place. Thus $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}\psi^{-1}$ has a finite image by global class field theory. Note that $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ induces a surjection $\mathcal{O}[[G_{F_0,S}]] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{T}^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and the image of this map is invariant if we replace $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}\psi^{-1}$. This implies the finiteness property in 1.

Let us prove the description of $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in 1. By the construction of $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}} : G_{F_0,S} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}^\times$, we have $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}(g_{v^c}) = 1$ for any $g_{v^c} \in U_{v^c}$, where U_{v^c} is an open subgroup of $I_{F_{v^c}}$. By the relation $\chi_{\mathfrak{m}}^c/\chi_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Art}_{F_0} = \varepsilon_p \det \rho_{\mathfrak{m}} \circ \text{Art}_F|_{\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^\infty}$, we obtain an open subgroup U_v of $I_{F_{0,v}}$ such that $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_v) = \text{Art}_{F_v}^{-1}(g_v)^{\sum_{\tau \in \Phi}(\lambda_{\tau,1} + \lambda_{\tau,2})}$ for any $g_v \in U_v$.

2 We have $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))[\varphi] \neq 0$ by Theorem 7.28. Thus the result follows from the finiteness result 1. \square

By lemma 7.30, we can take a decomposed generic non-Eisenstein ideal \mathfrak{m}' of \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S lying above \mathfrak{m} . We consider the situation § 6.2. Let $\Psi := \text{Gal}(F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We fix $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$, where $l \neq p$ denotes a prime splitting completely in F such that all l -adic places v of F are contained in $S(B)_2$. Let $v_0 \mid l$ (resp. $w_0 \mid l$) be the place F_0 (resp. F) induced by this isomorphism and we have a natural injection $\Psi \hookrightarrow \{w' : \text{place of } F \mid w' \text{ divides } v_0\}$, $\tau \mapsto w_0^\tau$. For any non-empty subset $\Psi' \subset \Psi$, let $S(B_{\Psi'}) = S(B) \setminus \{w_0^\tau, w_0^{c\tau} \mid \tau \in \Psi'\}$. Then we have a PEL datum $(B_{\Psi'}, *_{\Psi'}, V_{\Psi'}, \psi_{\Psi'}, h_{\Psi'})$ and thus a Shimura variety $S_{\Psi',K}$ defined by the PEL data $(B_{\Psi'}, *_{\Psi'}, V_{\Psi'}, \psi_{\Psi'}, h_{\Psi'})$ as in § 3.1 and 3.2. Let $\mu_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for any $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) = \Psi$ and let λ be the element $(\lambda_\tau)_\tau$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$ defined by $\lambda_\tau = (\lambda_{w'})_\tau$ for any $w' \mid v$, $w' \neq w$ and any $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{w'}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and $\lambda_\tau = (0, -\mu_\tau)$ for any $\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_w, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

Corollary 7.31. *Assume Conjectures 4.50 and 5.3 for $S_{\Psi',K}$, \mathfrak{m}' and λ for any $\Psi' \subset \Psi$. Let $\rho : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ lifting of $\bar{\rho}$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) *There exists a perfect symmetric G_F -equivalent pairing $\rho \times \rho^c \rightarrow \varepsilon_p^{-1}$.*
- (2) *$\rho|_{G_{F_{\tilde{w}'}}}$ defines a point of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\tilde{w}'}}}^{\text{st}}}(\mathcal{O})$ for $w' \in S(B)_1$.*
- (3) *$\rho|_{G_{F_{\tilde{w}'}}}$ is Fontaine-Laffaille of p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_{w'}$ for any $w' \mid v$, $w' \neq w$.*
- (4) *$\rho|_{G_{F_w}}$ is de Rham of p -adic Hodge type λ_w .*

Then there exists a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\rho \cong r_\iota(\pi)$.

Proof. By Theorem 7.28, we obtain an \mathcal{O} -morphism $\varphi : R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}} = \mathbb{T}_U^S(K^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ corresponding to ρ . Then after extending E if necessary, there exists an extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{T}_{GU}^S(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ of φ such that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\tilde{\varphi}] \neq 0$ by Lemma 7.30. By shrinking the level $K_{S(B)_2 \cup T_2}$, we have $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w}(\sigma))_{\mathfrak{m}} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{GU}(K_{GU}^w, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \sigma$. Thus $\hat{H}^{[F_w: \mathbb{Q}_p]}(S_{\Psi, K_1^w}, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda^w})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\tilde{\varphi}] \neq 0$ for some level K_1^w by Theorem 6.5. The result follows from Theorem 6.9. \square

7.3 Applications to the automorphy lifting theorem and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture

First, we recall the definition of Serre weights and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture. Let p be an odd prime and L/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension and E, \mathcal{O} and \mathbb{F} as in the previous subsection such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, E)$.

Let W_L be the subset of $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)}$ consisting of $k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)}$ satisfying that $k_{\gamma, 2}$ and $k_{\gamma, 1} - k_{\gamma, 2}$ are contained in $[0, p-1]$ for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)$ and $k_{\gamma, 2} \neq p-1$ for some $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)$. Note that W_L has a bijection to the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_L)$ via $k = (k_{\gamma, 1}, k_{\gamma, 2}) \mapsto \sigma_k := \otimes_{\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)} ((\wedge^2 \mathbb{F}_E^{\oplus 2})^{\otimes k_{\gamma, 2}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{k_{\gamma, 1} - k_{\gamma, 2}} \mathbb{F}_E^{\oplus 2})$ by [Her09, Appendix]. Here, we regard $(\wedge^2 \mathbb{F}_E^{\oplus 2})^{\otimes k_{\gamma, 2}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{k_{\gamma, 1} - k_{\gamma, 2}} \mathbb{F}_E^{\oplus 2}$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_L)$ by $\tau : \mathbb{F}_L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_E$.

For any inertia type τ , after extending E if necessary, we can take an I_L -stable lattice of τ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)$ -stable lattices of $\sigma^{\mathrm{crys}}(\tau)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\tau)$ over \mathcal{O} and we also write $\tau, \sigma^{\mathrm{crys}}(\tau)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\tau)$ for them. For $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$, we put $\overline{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma^{\mathrm{crys}}(\tau)}^{\mathrm{ss}} = \oplus_{k \in W_L} \sigma_k^{n_k^{\mathrm{crys}}(\lambda, \tau)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{V}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\tau)}^{\mathrm{ss}} = \oplus_{k \in W_L} \sigma_k^{n_k^{\mathrm{ss}}(\lambda, \tau)}$. Here, \mathcal{V}_{λ} is as before, i.e., a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)$ -stable lattice over \mathcal{O} of the irreducible algebraic representation of $\mathrm{Res}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{GL}_{2, L}(E)$ defined by λ . In the above formula, ss denotes the semisimplification as $\mathbb{F}_E[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)]$ -modules. Note that every irreducible continuous representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)$ over \mathbb{F}_E comes from an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_L)$ over \mathbb{F}_E by [Ser77, Theorem 16].

For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)$, we take a lift $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, E)$ and let $W_L \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, E)}$, $k \mapsto \tilde{k}$ be the embedding defined by for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)$, $\tilde{k}_{\tilde{\gamma}} := k_{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{k}_{\gamma'} := (0, 0)$ if $\tilde{\gamma} \neq \gamma'$ and γ' is a lift of γ .

Let $\bar{\rho}_L : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation.

Definition 7.32. Let $W_L(\bar{\rho}_L)$ be the subset of W_L consisting of $k \in W_L$ such that there exists a crystalline lift $\rho : G_L \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ of $\bar{\rho}_L$ of p -adic Hodge type \tilde{k} .

Remark 7.33. Actually, $W_L(\bar{\rho}_L)$ doesn't depend on the choice of $\tilde{\gamma}$ by the following theorem.

Theorem 7.34. 1 *There exists a unique element $(\mu_k(\bar{\rho}_L))_k$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{W_L}$ such that $e(R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\mathrm{crys}, 0, \tau} / \varpi) = \sum_{k \in W_L} n_k^{\mathrm{crys}}(0, \tau) \mu_k(\bar{\rho}_L)$ for any inertia type τ . Here, e denotes the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity. (See [Kis09, § 1.3] for the definition.)*

2 *We have $W_L(\bar{\rho}_L) = \{k \in W_L \mid \mu_k(\bar{\rho}_L) \neq 0\}$.*

3 *If L/\mathbb{Q}_p is unramified and $k \in W_L$ satisfies $0 \leq k_{\gamma, 1} - k_{\gamma, 2} \leq p-3$ for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_L, \mathbb{F}_E)$, then $\mu_k(\bar{\rho}_L) = 0$ or 1.*

Proof. See [GK14, Theorem A] and [GLS15, Theorem 6.1.8]. \square

The following is the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in the two-dimensional case.

Conjecture 7.35. (*Breuil-Mézard conjecture*)

For any weight $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, E)}$ and any inertia type τ , we have the following.

- 1 $e(R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{crys}, \lambda, \tau} / \varpi) = \sum_{k \in W_L} n_k^{\text{crys}}(\lambda, \tau) \mu_k(\bar{\rho}_L).$
- 2 $e(R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{ss}, \lambda, \tau} / \varpi) = \sum_{k \in W_L} n_k^{\text{ss}}(\lambda, \tau) \mu_k(\bar{\rho}_L).$

Theorem 7.36. 1 For any $k \in W_L$ satisfying $k_{\gamma,1} - k_{\gamma,2} \neq p - 1$ for some γ , there exists a continuous representation $\bar{\rho}_k : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ such that $W_L(\bar{\rho}_k) = \{k\}$ and $\bar{\rho}_k$ gives a smooth point of \mathcal{X}_2^k , where \mathcal{X}_2^k is the irreducible component of the reduced Emerton-Gee stack labeled by k defined in [EG23, Theorem 6.5.1]. Moreover, if we have $2 \leq k_{\gamma,1} - k_{\gamma,2} \leq p - 5$ for any γ , we may assume that $\bar{\rho}_k$ is non-split reducible sufficiently generic.

2 Let $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, E)}$ be a weight and let τ be a inertia type. Assume that for any $k \in W_L(\bar{\rho}_L)$, we have $k_{\gamma,1} - k_{\gamma,2} \neq p - 1$ for some γ and there exists $\bar{\rho}_k$ as in 1 such that the Breuil-Mézard conjecture holds for $\bar{\rho}_k$, λ and τ . Then the Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $\bar{\rho}_L$, λ and τ .

Proof. The first statement of 1 follows from the relation between irreducible components of the Emerton-Gee stack and Serre weights proved in [EG23, Theorem 8.6.2]. The second statement of 1 follows from the description of \mathcal{X}^k given in [EG23, 2 of Theorem 5.5.12]. 2 follows from exactly the same argument as [EG23, § 8.3]. \square

Roughly speaking, [Kis09] and [EG14] showed that the Breuil-Mézard conjecture is equivalent to the automorphy lifting theorem. In this subsection, we slightly modify their formulation.

We consider the following objects and assumptions.

- $p \geq 3$.
- F_0 is an imaginary quadratic field in which p splits.
- F^+/\mathbb{Q} is a totally real field such that $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$.
- $F := F_0 F^+$ and assume that F/F^+ is unramified at any finite place and $\zeta_p \notin F$.
- $\Phi := \text{Hom}_{F_0}(F, \mathbb{C})$.
- S is a finite set of finite places of F^+ splitting in F .
- S_p is the set of p -adic places of F^+ .
- S_p^{ss} and S_p^{crys} are subsets of S_p such that $S_p = S_p^{\text{ss}} \sqcup S_p^{\text{crys}}$.
- R is a subset of S such that $S_p \cap R$ is empty.
- T_1 and T_2 are subsets of S such that $S \setminus S_p \cup R = T_1 \sqcup T_2$.
- For any $v \in S$, we fix a lift \tilde{v} to F of v and we put $\tilde{S} := \{\tilde{v} \mid v \in S\}$.
- For any $v \mid p$, we fix $\lambda_v \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{\tilde{v}}, E)}$ and an inertia type $\tau_v : I_{F_{\tilde{v}}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$.

- $\bar{\rho} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a continuous representation such that there exists a perfect $G_{F,S}$ -equivariant symmetric pairing $\bar{\rho} \times \bar{\rho}^c \rightarrow \varepsilon_p^{-1}$.
- $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is irreducible.
- T_1 contains two places v_1, v_2 such that $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{F}_{v_1} \neq \mathrm{char} \mathbb{F}_{v_2}$ and for any $v \in T_1$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ is generic unramified.
- For any $v \in T_2$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ is generic irreducible.
- For any $v \in R$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ is trivial.
- There exists a conjugate self-dual cohomological automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{\rho} \cong \overline{r_\iota(\pi)}$.

Let $V := S_p^{\mathrm{crys}} \sqcup R$. Let $\mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V} = (F/F^+, S, \tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}, \bar{\rho}, \varepsilon_p^{-1}, \{\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}\}_{v \in S})$ be the global deformation problem defined by the following conditions. (Precisely, in [Mat24], he assumed that every local deformation problem $\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}$ is non-empty. In the following, we admit that $\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}$ may be empty and then we define the local lifting ring at v and the global deformation ring to be the zero ring.)

- For any $v \in S \setminus (S_p \cup R)$, the local deformation problem $\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}$ consists of all deformations of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$.
- For any $v \in S_p^{\mathrm{crys}}$, the local deformation problem $\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}$ is defined by $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{crys}, \lambda_v, \tau_v}$.
- For any $v \in S_p^{\mathrm{ss}}$, the local deformation problem $\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}$ is defined by $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{ss}, \lambda_v, \tau_v}$.
- For any $v \in R$, the local deformation problem $\mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}$ is defined by $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{unip}, \mathrm{red}}$, where $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{unip}}$ is the unipotently ramified lifting ring and $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{unip}, \mathrm{red}}$ is the reduction of $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{unip}}$. ($R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{unip}}$ coincides with $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\mathrm{loc}} / \mathcal{I}^{(1, \dots, 1)}$ of [Tay08b, § 3].)

Let U/F^+ be a unitary group defined by the data $S(B) := \emptyset$ and $\Psi := \emptyset$ as in § 3.1. Then $U(F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \cong \prod_{v|\infty} U(0, 2)$. Let $K = \prod_v K_v$ be an open compact subgroup of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\infty)$ defined by the following.

- For any $v \in T_1$, let $K_v = \mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1}$.
- For any $v \notin T_1$, let K_v be a hyperspecial.

Let \mathfrak{m} be a non-Eisenstein ideal of \mathbb{T}^S corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$. For any $v \in T_2$, let $\sigma_v := \sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\rho_v|_{I_{F_{\bar{v}}}})$ for a lift $\rho_v \in \mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v}(\mathcal{O})$. Note that such a ρ_v exists unique up to unramified twists by Lemma 6.2. For $v \in R$, let $\sigma_v := \sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathbf{1})$ for the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}$.

We assume that for any $v \in S_p \cup R$, any irreducible component \mathcal{C}_v of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v}$ and any finite extension E'/E , the base change $\mathcal{C}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{E'}$ is irreducible. Moreover, for any $v \in T_2 \cup R$, we assume that σ_v is defined over E and we take a K_v -stable lattice of σ_v over \mathcal{O} and we

also write σ_v for this. We also assume that we can take a \mathcal{O} -lattice $\sigma^{\text{ss}}(\tau_v)$ for any $v \in S_p^{\text{ss}}$, $\sigma^{\text{crys}}(\tau_v)$ for any $v \in S_p^{\text{crys}}$ and \mathcal{V}_λ as before. (Of course, these assumptions are satisfied if we can extend the field E . Later, we will need to be careful when we extend the field E because we will use Theorem 7.21.)

Let $\sigma_V := (\otimes_{v \in R \cup T_2} \sigma_v) \otimes (\otimes_{v \in S_p^{\text{ss}}} \sigma^{\text{ss}}(\tau_v)) \otimes (\otimes_{v \in S_p^{\text{crys}}} \sigma^{\text{crys}}(\tau_v))$. Then we can consider $\mathcal{A}_U(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as in § 7.2. Moreover, as in Proposition 7.26, we have a natural surjection $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}^{\text{red}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by using the property of σ_v 's. (See Theorem 7.24.)

Proposition 7.37. *There exists a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π_1 of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight $(0, 0)_\tau \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ such that $r_\iota(\pi) \cong \overline{r_\iota(\pi_1)}$.*

Remark 7.38. Note that $r_\iota(\pi_1)|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ is potentially diagonalizable if $r_\iota(\pi_1)|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ is potentially crystalline by [GK14, Lemma 4.4.1] and ι -ordinary otherwise by [Ger19, Lemma 5.6] for any $v \in S_p$. (See [BGGT14, comments before Lemma 1.4.1] for the definition of potentially diagonalizable.)

Proof. The existence of π implies that $\mathcal{A}_U(K', \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'})_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ for some K' and some weight λ' by using the base change Theorem 3.4 and the decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_U(K', \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ by automorphic representations. Thus we obtain $\mathcal{A}_U(K', \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}/\varpi)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{A}_U(K', \mathbb{F})_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}/\varpi \neq 0$ after replacing K' by a sufficiently small open compact such that K' acts on $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}/\varpi$ trivially. This implies $\mathcal{A}_U(K', \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$ and we can take a cohomological cuspidal representation σ of $U(\mathbb{A}_{F+})$ contributing to $\mathcal{A}_U(K', \mathcal{O})_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Note that $\pi_1 := \text{BC}(\sigma)$ is cuspidal since \mathfrak{m} is a non-Eisenstein ideal. \square

Proposition 7.39. *We consider the following conditions.*

- 1 $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}^{\text{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
- 2 For any irreducible component $\mathcal{C} = \widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} \mathcal{C}_v$ of $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}})$ such that there exists a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation α of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r_\iota(\alpha) \cong \bar{\rho}$, $r_\iota(\alpha)|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}} \in \mathcal{C}_v$ for any $v \in S_p \cup R$ and α is unramified outside S . Here, \mathcal{C}_v is an irreducible component of $\text{Spec}(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}})$ and $\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} \mathcal{C}_v$ denotes $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} \Gamma(\mathcal{C}_v, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_v}))$. Note that this is irreducible and any irreducible component of $\text{Spec}(\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}})$ has a form like this by [BGHT11, Lemma 3.3] and our assumption that $\mathcal{C}_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{E'}} \mathcal{O}_{E'}$ is irreducible for any finite extension E'/E .

- 3 $e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\text{ss}, \lambda_v, \tau_v}}/\varpi) = \sum_{k_v \in W_{F_v}} n_{k_v}^{\text{ss}}(\lambda_v, \tau_v) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}})$ for any $v \in S_p^{\text{ss}}$ and $e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\text{crys}, \lambda_v, \tau_v}}/\varpi) = \sum_{k_v \in W_{F_v}} n_{k_v}^{\text{crys}}(\lambda_v, \tau_v) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}})$ for any $v \in S_p^{\text{crys}}$.

About the above conditions, we obtain the relations : $1 \Leftrightarrow 2 \Leftarrow 3$.

Moreover, we fix $w \mid p$ and assume the following condition.

• S_p^{ss} is $\{w\}$ or empty. Moreover, for any p -adic place $v \neq w$, we have the following conditions.

- (a) F_v^+/\mathbb{Q}_p is unramified.
- (b) τ_v is the trivial representation $\mathbf{1}$.
- (c) $\lambda_{\gamma, 1} - \lambda_{\gamma, 2} \leq p - 3$ for any $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_v, E)$.
- (d) $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\text{crys}, \lambda, \mathbf{1}}}$ is nonzero.

Then the above conditions 1, 2 implies the following condition 3'.

$$3' \quad e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_w, \tau_w} / \varpi) = \sum_{k_w \in W_{F_w}} n_{k_w}^{\text{ss}}(\lambda_w, \tau_w) \mu_{k_w}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}) \text{ if } w \in S_p^{\text{ss}} \text{ and } e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}}^{\text{crys}, \lambda_w, \tau_w} / \varpi) = \sum_{k_w \in W_{F_w}} n_{k_w}^{\text{crys}}(\lambda_w, \tau_w) \mu_{k_w}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}) \text{ if } w \in S_p^{\text{crys}}.$$

Remark 7.40. Note that under the above assumption \bullet , $e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_w, \tau_w} / \varpi) = \sum_{k_w \in W_w} n_{k_w}^{\text{ss}}(\lambda_w, \tau_w) \mu_{k_w}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}})$ implies $e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}}}^{\text{crys}, \lambda_w, \tau_w} / \varpi) = \sum_{k_w \in W_w} n_{k_w}^{\text{crys}}(\lambda_w, \tau_w) \mu_{k_w}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{w}}}})$ because the condition 2 in the case $S_p^{\text{ss}} = \{w\}$ is stronger than the condition 2 in the case $S_p^{\text{crys}} = \{w\}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as [GK14, Lemma 4.3.9] or [EG14, Lemma 5.5.1], but our situation and statement are bit different from theirs. Thus we recall the proof.

We fix a representative of the universal deformation over $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ and get a map $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}} \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$. By the usual patching argument (see [EG14, § 5] for details), we obtain a complete regular local ring S_∞ over \mathcal{O} with a prime ideal \mathfrak{a} such that $\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_\infty / \mathfrak{a}$, a surjection of complete Noetherian local S_∞ -algebras $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and a finite faithful $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module $M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V}$ which is a finite free S_∞ -module satisfying the following.

(1) We have surjections $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}} \twoheadrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of S_∞ -algebras fitting in the following commutative diagram. Here, we regard \mathcal{O} -algebras as S_∞ -algebras via $S_\infty \twoheadrightarrow S_\infty / \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}} & \longrightarrow & R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \end{array}$$

(2) We have an isomorphism $M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V} \otimes_{S_\infty} \mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{A}_U(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ which is compatible with $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

(3) $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ is equal to $(\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}})[[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]]$ and this is equidimensional of dimension $\dim S_\infty$. Moreover, the map $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}} \twoheadrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ is a morphism of $\widehat{\otimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ -algebras.

We claim that the image of $\text{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow \text{Spec} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ is a union of irreducible components. - (*) To see this, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_U(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}} &\geq \dim \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq \inf_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_{\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V})} \dim \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathfrak{p} \\ &\geq \text{depth}_{\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V}) \geq \text{depth}_{S_\infty}(M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V}) = \dim S_\infty = \dim R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

This implies our claim because $M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V}$ is a faithful $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module.

In the following, we will prove that the conditions 1 and 2 are equivalent to the following condition.

4 The closed immersion $\text{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow \text{Spec} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ is a homeomorphism.

(2 \Rightarrow 4) The condition 2 implies the homeomorphism $\text{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ because we have the above property (*), any automorphic Galois representation $r_\iota(\gamma)$ satisfying the condition 2 defines a regular point of $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ by [All16, (2) of Proposition 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.7] and contributes to $\text{Spec} \mathbb{T}(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the local-global compatibility Theorem 3.7 and the base change Theorem 3.4.

(4 \Rightarrow 1) Assume that the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}$ is a homeomorphism. Then $\mathrm{Ann}_{R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}} M_{\infty, \lambda, \tau, V}$ is nilpotent. This implies that $\mathrm{Ann}_{R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}} \mathcal{A}_U(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is nilpotent and thus we have $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, V}}^{\mathrm{red}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_\lambda(\sigma_V))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

(1 \Rightarrow 2) We assume the condition 1. Let $\mathcal{C} = \widehat{\bigotimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} \mathcal{C}_v$ be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\bigotimes}_{v \in S_p \cup R} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}})$. Note that [BGGT14, (2) of Proposition 1.5.1] says that the quotient ring $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ of $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda, \tau, V}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ defined by the ideal generated by the images of the minimal ideals of $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v}$ corresponding to \mathcal{C}_v has dimension ≥ 1 . Thus the condition 1 implies that $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ is finite over \mathcal{O} and has dimension 1. Thus there exists a global representation $\rho \in \mathrm{Spec} R_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O})$ after extending \mathcal{O} . Then, by using the condition 1 again, we get α corresponding to ρ as in the condition 2.

In the following, we will prove 4 \Rightarrow 3' under the assumption \bullet and 3 \Rightarrow 4. (We recall that the conditions 1 and 2 are equivalent to the condition 4.) First note that by the usual patching argument (see [EG14, § 5] for details), for any weight λ' , inertia type τ' , $S_p^{\mathrm{crys}'}$ and $S_p^{\mathrm{ss}'}$, we can also construct the objects $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}}$, $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}$ as above. Moreover, for any $k = (k_v)_v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}$, there exist an S_∞/ϖ -algebra $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\infty, k, R}$ and a faithful $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\infty, k, R}$ -module $\overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}$ which is a finite free S_∞/ϖ -module and doesn't depend on λ' , τ' , $S_p^{\mathrm{crys}'}$ and $S_p^{\mathrm{ss}'}$ satisfying the following.

(4) For any λ' , τ' , $S_p^{\mathrm{crys}'}$ and $S_p^{\mathrm{ss}'}$, we have an S_∞ -algebra surjection $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\infty, k, R}$ and we have a $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -stable exhaustive filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^t\}_{t=1}^m$ on $M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi$ such that $\bigoplus_{t=1}^m \mathrm{gr}^i \cong \bigoplus_{k=(k_v)_v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}} \overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}^{\bigoplus((\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{crys}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v))(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{ss}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{ss}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v)))}$ as $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -modules.

We claim that if $\mathcal{A}_U(K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$, the rank of the finite free $\mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ -module $\mathcal{A}_U(K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is $2^{|T_1|}$. By using the decomposition by automorphic representations Theorem 3.8, Theorem 7.24 and (e) of Theorem 3.4, it suffices to prove that for $v \in T_1$ and unramified characters $\chi_1, \chi_2 : F_{\bar{v}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{n}\text{-Ind}_{B_2(F_{\bar{v}})}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\bar{v}})} \chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2)^{\mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1}} = 2$. (Here, B_2 denotes the Borel subgroup of GL_2 consisting of upper triangular matrices. Note that we assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ is generic unramified for any $v \in T_1$. Thus every lifting of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}$ to \mathcal{O} is generic unramified by $H_f^1(G_{F_{\bar{v}}}, \mathrm{ad} \bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_{F_{\bar{v}}}, \mathrm{ad} \bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}})$.) By Iwasawa decomposition $\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\bar{v}}) = B_2(F_{\bar{v}})\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}})$, we have $\mathrm{n}\text{-Ind}_{B_2(F_{\bar{v}})}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_{\bar{v}})} \chi_1 \boxtimes \chi_2 \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{B_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}})}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}})} \chi_1|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}}^\times} \boxtimes \chi_2|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}}^\times}$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}})$. By Iwahori decomposition $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}}) = \mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}} \sqcup \mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}} = B_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}})\mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1} \sqcup B_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\bar{v}}}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1}$, the dimension of $(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})} \chi_1|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_w}^\times} \boxtimes \chi_2|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_w}^\times})^{\mathrm{Iw}_{\bar{v}, 1}}$ is equal to 2. Thus we obtain the claim.

For any irreducible component X of $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$, a natural finite map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} S_\infty[\frac{1}{p}]$ is a surjection by counting the dimensions. Note that the fiber of \mathfrak{a}_∞ in $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$. By taking a fiber at \mathfrak{a}_∞ , we have

$$X \cap \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}] \neq \emptyset.$$

By [All16, (2) of Proposition 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.7] again, every point of $X \cap \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}^S(K, \mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}]$

defines a regular point of $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}[\frac{1}{p}] \subset \mathrm{Spec} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}}$. Thus $M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}$ is a generically finite free $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module of rank $2^{|T_1|}$.

By the same calculation as above (26), we see that the image of $\mathrm{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\infty, k, R} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi$ is a union of the irreducible components.

By [Kis09, Corollary 1.3.5] and the fact that $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is ϖ -torsion free, we obtain

$$e(M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}, \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi) = 2^{|T_1|} e(\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi).$$

Moreover, by [Kis09, Proposition 1.3.2] and the definition of e , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e(M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi M_{\infty, \lambda', \tau', V'}, \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi) \\ &= \sum_{k=(k_v)_{v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}}} \left(\left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{crys}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \right) \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{ss}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{ss}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \right) \right) e(\overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}, \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi) \\ &= \sum_{k=(k_v)_{v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}}} \left(\left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{crys}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \right) \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{ss}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{ss}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \right) \right) e(\overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}, \overline{\mathbb{T}_{\infty, k, R}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$e(\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi) = \frac{1}{2^{|T_1|}} \sum_{k=(k_v)_{v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}}} \left(\left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{crys}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \right) \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{ss}}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{ss}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \right) \right) e(\overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}, \overline{\mathbb{T}_{\infty, k, R}}). \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, by [Kis09, Proposition 1.3.7], we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi) &= \prod_{v \in S} e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \mathcal{D}_v^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{crys}}} e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \lambda'_v, \tau'_v/\varpi}) \right) \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\mathrm{ss}}} e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, \lambda'_v, \tau'_v/\varpi}) \right) N, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where N is a positive integer not depending on λ' , τ' , $S_p^{\mathrm{crys}'}$ and $S_p^{\mathrm{ss}'}$.

Note that by the definition of e , the above property (*) and the ϖ -torsion freeness of $\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have $e(\mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi) \leq e(R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi)$ and this is an equality if and only if the surjection $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an isomorphism. (Note that $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi}$ is reduced by [BGHT11, Lemma 3.3]. Thus the isomorphism of $R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is equivalent to the homeomorphism of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\infty, \mathcal{D}^{\lambda', \tau', V'}/\varpi} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{T}_\infty(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$.)

Then by Proposition 7.37 and [BGGT14, Theorem 4.4.1], we obtain the condition 2 under the assumption that S_p^{crys} is equal to S_p and λ is trivial. Thus (27) and (28) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2^{|T_1|}} \sum_{k=(k_v)_{v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}}} \left(\prod_{v \in S_p} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(0, \tau'_v) \right) e(\overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}, \overline{\mathbb{T}_{\infty, k, R}}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{v \in S_p} e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}, 0, \tau'_v/\varpi}) \right) N = \left(\prod_{v \in S_p} \left(\sum_{k_v \in W_{F_v}} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(0, \tau'_v) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}) \right) \right) N \\ &= \sum_{k=(k_v)_{v \in \prod_{v|p} W_{F_v}}} \left(\prod_{v \in S_p} n_{k_v}^{\mathrm{crys}}(0, \tau'_v) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}) \right) N \end{aligned}$$

by 1 of Theorem 7.34. By using [Ser77, Theorem 33] as in [GK14, proof of Lemma 4.5.2], we obtain $\frac{1}{2|T_1|} e(\overline{M}_{\infty, k, R}, \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{\infty, k, R}) = N \prod_{v|p} \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}})$.

Thus (27) implies

$$e(\mathbb{T}_{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda'}(\sigma_{V'}))_{\mathfrak{m}}/\varpi) = \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\text{ss}}} \left(\sum_{k_v \in W_{F_v}} n_{k_v}^{\text{ss}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}) \right) \right) \left(\prod_{v \in S_p^{\text{crys}}} \left(\sum_{k_v \in W_{F_v}} n_{k_v}^{\text{crys}}(\lambda'_v, \tau'_v) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}) \right) \right) N.$$

Thus 3 implies 4. We assume the condition \bullet . Then by the Fontaine-Laffaille theory (see [CHT08, Lemma 2.4.1]), for any p -adic place $v \neq w$, we have that $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\text{crys}, \lambda_v, \mathbf{1}}$ is a formal power series ring over \mathcal{O} . Thus $e(R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}}^{\text{crys}, \lambda_v, \mathbf{1}}/\varpi) = 1$. On the other hand, we have $\sum_{k \in W_{F_v}} n_{k_v}^{\text{crys}}(\lambda_v, \mathbf{1}) \mu_{k_v}(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{\bar{v}}}}) = 1$ by the definition of $n_{k_v}^{\text{crys}}(\lambda_v, \mathbf{1})$ and 2 and 3 of Theorem 7.34. Thus we obtain the implication $4 \Rightarrow 3'$. \square

In the following, we will prove the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in some 2-dimensional cases by using the above proposition under some conjectures.

We consider the following objects.

- L is an unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p .
- $d := [L, \mathbb{Q}_p]$.
- E, \mathcal{O} and \mathbb{F} are as in the beginning of this subsection.
- $\bar{\rho}_L : G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a sufficiently generic reducible non-split representation.
- $\lambda_L := (0, -\lambda_{\tau}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(L, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$.
- $\tau_L : I_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ is an inertia type.

After extending E , we may assume the following conditions.

- Every irreducible component \mathcal{C} of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_L, \tau_L}$ satisfies the condition that $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{E'}$ is irreducible for any finite extension E'/E .
- For any irreducible component \mathcal{C} of $\text{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{ss}, \lambda_L, \tau_L}$, there exists a generic lifting $G_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ of $\bar{\rho}_L$ contained in \mathcal{C} .
- $\tau_L, \sigma^{\text{ss}}(\tau_L)$ and $\sigma^{\text{crys}}(\tau_L)$ are defined over \mathcal{O} .

We fix pairwise different odd primes r_1 and r_2 such that $r_i \neq p$ and $r_i^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for any $i = 1, 2$. By using the following lemma, after extending E , we obtain an irreducible generic representation $\bar{\rho}_1 : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{r_1}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

Lemma 7.41. *Let l be a prime satisfying that $l \neq p$ and $l^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then there exists an irreducible generic representation $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_l} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$.*

Proof. We fix a Frobenius lift $\phi \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$. Let $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}^\times$ be a character $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}}^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{l^2}^\times \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_2} \mathbb{Z}_{l^2}^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{l^2}^\times \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}$, where the second isomorphism is induced by ϕ^2 and the last embedding is one arbitrary embedding $\mathbb{F}_{l^2}^\times \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $\bar{\rho} := \text{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \psi$ is irreducible. Moreover, we have $\text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_l}]}(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho}(1)) = \text{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}}]}(\psi, \psi(1) \oplus \psi^\phi(1))$ by the Frobenius reciprocity, where $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}/\mathbb{Q}_l)$ denotes the nontrivial element. This is zero by the assumption $l^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod p$. Thus $\bar{\rho}$ is generic. \square

We fix a Fontaine-Laffaille lift ρ_L of $\bar{\rho}_L$ over \mathcal{O} , which exists by our genericity assumption on $\bar{\rho}_L$ and let $\lambda'_L \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}(L, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})}$ denotes its p -adic Hodge type. By [EG14, proofs of A.1 \sim A.7], after extending E if necessary, we obtain the following globalization data of L and $\bar{\rho}_L$.

- F_0 is an imaginary quadratic field in which p, r_1 and r_2 split.
- F^+/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois totally real field such that $F^+ \neq \mathbb{Q}$ such that $F_u^+ \cong L$ over \mathbb{Q}_p for any $u \mid p$ and r_i splits completely in F^+ for any $i = 1, 2$. We fix $F_u^+ \cong L$ over \mathbb{Q}_p for any $u \mid p$ in the following.
- $F := F_0 F^+$ satisfies the condition that every ramified prime in F splits in an imaginary quadratic field in F . (We use [Mat24, Lemma 4.44] to obtain this property.)
- $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, we also fix $\iota_i : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{r_i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ for any $i = 1, 2$.
- $\Phi := \text{Gal}(F/F_0)$.
- w (resp. v) is a p -adic place of F (resp. F_0) induced by ι .
- For $i = 1, 2$, $w_i \mid r_i$ (resp. $v_i \mid r_i$) is the place of F (resp. F_0) induced by ι_i .
- Let S be the set of finite places of F^+ which lies above p, r_1 and r_2 .
- $\Psi := \text{Gal}(F_w/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We have $\Phi \neq \Psi$. We regard Ψ as a subset of Φ .
- λ is an element of $(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^\Phi$ defined by $\lambda_w = \lambda_L$ under the identification $L = F_w$ and $\lambda_{w'} = \lambda'_L$ for any $w' \mid v, w' \neq w$. We put $\tau_w := \tau_L$.
- $\psi : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $\psi\psi^c = \varepsilon_p^{-2}$ is a de Rham character and for any place $w \neq w' \mid p$ of F^+ , $\psi|_{G_{F_w}}$ is crystalline, $\text{WD}(\psi|_{G_{F_w}})|_{I_{F_w}} = \det \tau_w$ and $\psi|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ has p -adic Hodge type $(\lambda_{\tau,1} + \lambda_{\tau,2} + 1)_{\tau \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F_{w'}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})}$ for any place $w \neq w' \mid p$ of F^+ . (We use [BGGT14, Lemma A.2.5] to obtain this character and we need to extend E only for this. Note that we may not have $\bar{\psi} = \det \bar{\rho}$ for the following $\bar{\rho}$.)
- $\bar{\rho} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is a continuous surjection such that there exists a perfect $G_{F,S}$ -equivariant symmetric pairing $\bar{\rho} \times \bar{\rho}^c \rightarrow \varepsilon_p^{-1}$, which is unramified outside finite places lying above p, r_1 and r_2 .
- For any $w' \mid v$, we have $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{w'}} \cong \bar{\rho}_L$.
- For any $w' \mid v_1$, we have $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{w'}}} \cong \bar{\rho}_1$.

- $\otimes_{\tau \in \Psi} \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\tau}$ is absolutely irreducible. (We obtain this property if there exists a prime l splitting completely in F such that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is irreducible for one $v \mid l$ and for any $1 \neq \tau \in \Psi$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v^{\tau}}}$ is trivial. Note that by replacing F^+ by an appropriate solvable extension of F^+ , we may assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is unramified outside finite places lying above p, r_1 and r_2 .)²⁸
- For one $w' \mid v_2$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is a generic unramified representation with distinct Frobenius eigenvalues. Note that this implies that if $\bar{\rho} \cong \bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for some non-Eisenstein ideal \mathfrak{m} , then \mathfrak{m} is decomposed generic.
- There exists a conjugate self-dual cohomological automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{\rho} \cong r_{\iota}(\pi)$.

Let $i : \mathbb{F}^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ be the Teichmüller map. By replacing ψ by $\psi i(\bar{\psi}^{-1} \det \bar{\rho})$, we may assume that $\bar{\psi} = \det \bar{\rho}$. By Theorem 7.21, for any irreducible component \mathcal{C}_w of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}}^{\mathrm{ss}, \lambda_w, \tau_w}$, we can take a finite set $T_{\mathcal{C}_w, 3}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ -nice places of F^+ and a lifting $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_w} : G_{F, S \cup T_{\mathcal{C}_w, 3}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ of $\bar{\rho}$ satisfying the following.

- There exists a perfect symmetric pairing $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_w} \times \rho_{\mathcal{C}_w}^c \rightarrow \varepsilon_p^{-1}$.
- $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_w}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is contained in \mathcal{C}_w .
- For any $w' \mid v$, $w' \neq w$, $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_w}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ is Fontaine-Laffaille lift of $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_{w'}}}$ of p -adic Hodge type $\lambda_{w'}$.
- $\rho_{\mathcal{C}_w}|_{G_{F_v^+}}$ defines a point of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v^+}}}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathcal{O})$ for any $v \in T_{\mathcal{C}_w, 3}$.

We consider a similar situation as § 7.2. Let $S(B) := \{w' \text{ place of } F \mid w' \text{ divides } r_1\}$. We have a natural injection $\Psi \hookrightarrow \{w' : \text{place of } F \mid w' \text{ divides } v_1\}$, $\tau \mapsto w_1^{\tau}$. For any non-empty subset $\Psi' \subset \Psi$, let $S(B_{\Psi'}) = S(B) \setminus \{w_1^{\tau}, w_1^{c\tau} \mid \tau \in \Psi'\}$. From the data $\Psi' \subset \Psi$ and $S(B_{\Psi'})$, we have a unitary Shimura variety $S_{\Psi', K}$ as in § 3.1 and 3.2. Moreover, for any irreducible component \mathcal{C}_w of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}}^{\mathrm{ss}, \lambda_w, \tau_w}$, we take a subset $S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w})' \subset S(B) \setminus \{w_1^{\tau}, w_1^{c\tau} \mid \tau \in \Psi\}$ satisfying $S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w})' = S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w})'^c$ and $\frac{1}{2}|\tilde{T}_{3, \mathcal{C}_w} \sqcup S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w})'| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, where $\tilde{T}_{3, \mathcal{C}_w}$ denotes the set of places of F lying above a place in T_{3, \mathcal{C}_w} . We put $S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w, \Psi'}) := \tilde{T}_{3, \mathcal{C}_w} \sqcup S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w})' \sqcup S(B_{\Psi'})$. From data Ψ' and $S(B_{\mathcal{C}_w, \Psi'})$, we also obtain a unitary Shimura variety $S_{\mathcal{C}_w, \Psi', K}$.

Proposition 7.42. *Assume that for any $\Psi' \subset \Psi$ and \mathcal{C}_w , Conjectures 4.50 and 5.3 hold for λ , $S_{\Psi', K}$, $S_{\Psi', \mathcal{C}_w, K}$ and \mathfrak{m} corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$.*

Then the Breuil-Mézard conjecture holds for $\bar{\rho}_L$, λ_L and τ_L .

Proof. We apply Proposition 7.39 to our situation by putting $S_p^{\mathrm{ss}} := \{w\}$, $T_1 := \{w' \mid r_3\}$, $T_2 := \{w' \mid r_1\}$ and $R := \emptyset$. Note that the condition • holds in our situation by putting $\tau_{w'} = \mathbf{1}$ for any $w' \mid p$, $w' \neq w$. Thus for any irreducible component \mathcal{C}_w of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_w}}}^{\mathrm{ss}, \lambda_w, \tau_w}$, it suffices to construct a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi'_{\mathcal{C}_w}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r_{\iota}(\pi'_{\mathcal{C}_w}) \in \mathcal{C}_w$ and $\pi'_{\mathcal{C}_w}$ is unramified outside S .

²⁸The author doesn't know whether we can take $\bar{\rho}$ satisfying that for any $1 \neq \tau \in \Phi$, $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v^{\tau}}}$ is trivial because we used the Moret-Bailly theorem in the proof of [Cal12, Proposition 3.2], which was used in the proof of [EG14, Proposition A.2].

We fix \mathcal{C}_w . By Theorem 7.31, we obtain a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi_{\mathcal{C}_w}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r_\iota(\pi_{\mathcal{C}_w}) \cong \rho_{\mathcal{C}_w}$. By using [CEG22, Theorem A]²⁹, there exists a lifting $\rho'_{\mathcal{C}_w} \in \mathrm{Spec} R_{\mathcal{D}^{\lambda, \tau, \emptyset}}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\rho'_{\mathcal{C}_w}|_{G_{F_w}}$ is contained in \mathcal{C}_w after extending \mathcal{O} if necessary. Again by using Theorem 7.31, we obtain a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi'_{\mathcal{C}_w}$ such that $r_\iota(\pi'_{\mathcal{C}_w}) \cong \rho'_{\mathcal{C}_w}$. This implies the result. \square

Theorem 7.43. (*Breuil-Mézard conjecture*)

Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a continuous representation such that any $k \in W_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}}(\bar{\rho})$ satisfies $2 \leq k_{\gamma,1} - k_{\gamma,2} \leq p - 5$ for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Then for any weight λ and any inertia type τ , the Breuil-Mézard conjecture for $\bar{\rho}$, λ , τ holds.

Remark 7.44. By the same method, we can prove the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in some $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ cases. However in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case, the Breuil-Mézard conjecture was already completely solved by [Kis09], [HT15], [Tun21b] and [Tun21a].

Proof. After twisting by some character, we may assume that $\lambda = (0, -\lambda_\tau)_\tau \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)}$ for some $\lambda_\tau \geq 0$. By Theorem 7.36, we may assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is sufficiently generic reducible non-split. Then the result follows from Proposition 7.42 because for the field \mathbb{Q}_{p^2} , Conjecture 4.50 follows from Corollary 4.55 and Conjecture 5.3 follows from Corollary 5.19 and Corollary 5.33. \square

Let F be a CM field such that $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ or \mathbb{Q}_p and Ψ be the set of p -adic places v such that $F_v = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$.

Theorem 7.45. (*Automorphy lifting theorem*)

Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be an irreducible continuous representation. We assume the following conditions.

1 There exist a continuous character $\chi : G_{F^+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ satisfying $\chi(c_v) = \chi(c_w)$ for any complex conjugations c_v, c_w at $v, w \mid \infty$ and a perfect G_F -equivariant symmetric pairing $\rho \times \rho^c \rightarrow \chi|_{G_F}$.

2 ρ is unramified at almost all finite places.

3 ρ is de Rham and Hodge-Tate regular at all p -adic places.

4 $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is absolutely irreducible.

5 There exists an essentially conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\bar{\rho} \cong \overline{r_\iota(\sigma)}$.

6 For any $v \in \Psi$ and for any $k \in W(\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}})$, we have $2 \leq k_{\tau,1} - k_{\tau,2} \leq p - 5$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_v, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

Then there exists an essentially conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r \cong r_\iota(\pi)$.

Proof. By using the solvable base change, we may assume all conditions after Theorem 7.36 as in [Kis09, proof of theorem 2.2.18] or [Tay08b, proof of Theorem 5.2]. Thus this theorem follows from Proposition 7.39, Theorem 7.43 and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture in the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case. (See [Kis09], [HT15], [Tun21b] and [Tun21a].) \square

²⁹In this situation, we can prove this more easily by using the same method as [BGGT14, Proposition 4.1.1 and Theorem 4.2.1].

If F is an imaginary quadratic, the assumption 5 follows from the assumption 1 by the following proposition and [KW09b].

Proposition 7.46. *Let F be a CM field and $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be an irreducible continuous representation. Assume that there exists a continuous character $\bar{\chi} : G_{F^+} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ such that $\bar{\chi}(c_v) = \bar{\chi}(c_w)$ for any $v, w \mid \infty$ and there exists a perfect symmetric G_F -equivariant pairing $\bar{\rho} \times \bar{\rho}^c \rightarrow \bar{\chi}|_{G_F}$. Then there exists a continuous representation $\bar{r} : G_{F^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\bar{r}|_{G_F} = \bar{\rho}$ and $\det \bar{r}(c_v) = -1$ for any $v \mid \infty$.*

Proof. See [Tho15, proof of Theorem 8.1]. □

Theorem 7.47. *(Potential automorphy theorem)*

Let $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a continuous representation. We assume that ρ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.45 except 5.

Then there exist a finite Galois CM extension of E/F and a conjugate self-dual cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $\rho|_{G_E} \cong r_i(\pi)$.

Proof. By [EG14, Proposition A.6], there exists a finite Galois CM extension E/F such that $\rho|_{G_E}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.45. Thus we obtain the result. □

References

- [All16] P. B. Allen, “Deformations of polarized automorphic Galois representations and adjoint Selmer groups,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 165, no. 13, pp. 2407–2460, 2016, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-3477342 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-3477342>
- [All19] P. B. Allen, “On automorphic points in polarized deformation rings,” *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 119–167, 2019, ISSN: 0002-9327,1080-6377. DOI: 10.1353/ajm.2019.0003 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2019.0003>
- [ACC+23] P. B. Allen, F. Calegari, A. Caraiani, T. Gee, D. Helm, B. V. Le Hung, J. Newton, P. Scholze, R. Taylor, and J. A. Thorne, “Potential automorphy over CM fields,” *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 197, no. 3, pp. 897–1113, 2023, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2023.197.3.2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2023.197.3.2>
- [Art89] J. Arthur, “The L^2 -Lefschetz numbers of Hecke operators,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 257–290, 1989, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/BF01389042 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389042>
- [Bad08] A. I. Badulescu, “Global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, multiplicity one and classification of automorphic representations,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 172, no. 2, pp. 383–438, 2008, With an appendix by Neven Grbac, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-007-0104-8 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-007-0104-8>
- [BGGT14] T. Barnet-Lamb, T. Gee, D. Geraghty, and R. Taylor, “Potential automorphy and change of weight,” *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 179, no. 2, pp. 501–609, 2014, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2014.179.2.3 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2014.179.2.3>

- [BGHT11] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, “A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II,” *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 29–98, 2011, ISSN: 0034-5318,1663-4926. DOI: 10.2977/PRIMS/31 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2977/PRIMS/31>
- [BC08] L. Berger and P. Colmez, “Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p -adique,” in 319, Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ) -modules, 2008, pp. 303–337, ISBN: 978-2-85629-256-3.
- [BC16] L. Berger and P. Colmez, “Théorie de Sen et vecteurs localement analytiques,” *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 947–970, 2016, ISSN: 0012-9593,1873-2151. DOI: 10.24033/asens.2300 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2300>
- [BBM82] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. II* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, vol. 930, pp. x+261, ISBN: 3-540-11556-0. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0093025 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0093025>
- [BCGP21] G. Boxer, F. Calegari, T. Gee, and V. Pilloni, “Abelian surfaces over totally real fields are potentially modular,” *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, vol. 134, pp. 153–501, 2021, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-021-00128-2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-021-00128-2>
- [BD20] C. Breuil and Y. Ding, “Higher *mathcal{L}*-invariants for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and local-global compatibility,” *Camb. J. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 775–951, 2020, ISSN: 2168-0930,2168-0949. DOI: 10.4310/CJM.2020.v8.n4.a2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4310/CJM.2020.v8.n4.a2>
- [BHH+23] C. Breuil, F. Herzig, Y. Hu, S. Morra, and B. Schraen, “Gelfand-Kirillov dimension and mod p cohomology for GL_2 ,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 234, no. 1, pp. 1–128, 2023, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-023-01202-8 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-023-01202-8>
- [BP12] C. Breuil and V. Paškūnas, “Towards a modulo p Langlands correspondence for GL_2 ,” *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 216, no. 1016, pp. vi+114, 2012, ISSN: 0065-9266,1947-6221. DOI: 10.1090/S0065-9266-2011-00623-4 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0065-9266-2011-00623-4>
- [Cal12] F. Calegari, “Even Galois representations and the Fontaine–Mazur conjecture. II,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 533–554, 2012, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2011-00721-2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-2011-00721-2>
- [CEG22] F. Calegari, M. Emerton, and T. Gee, “Globally realizable components of local deformation rings,” *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 533–602, 2022, ISSN: 1474-7480,1475-3030. DOI: 10.1017/S1474748020000195 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748020000195>

- [Cam23] J. E. R. Camargo, *Geometric sen theory over rigid analytic spaces*, 2023. arXiv: 2205.02016 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.02016>
- [Cam24] J. E. R. Camargo, *Locally analytic completed cohomology*, 2024. arXiv: 2209.01057 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.01057>
- [Car14] A. Caraiani, “Monodromy and local-global compatibility for $l = p$,” *Algebra Number Theory*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 1597–1646, 2014, ISSN: 1937-0652,1944-7833. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2014.8.1597 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2014.8.1597>
- [CN25] A. Caraiani and J. Newton, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields*, 2025. arXiv: 2301.10509 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10509>
- [CS17] A. Caraiani and P. Scholze, “On the generic part of the cohomology of compact unitary Shimura varieties,” *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 186, no. 3, pp. 649–766, 2017, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2017.186.3.1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2017.186.3.1>
- [CS24] A. Caraiani and P. Scholze, “On the generic part of the cohomology of non-compact unitary Shimura varieties,” *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 199, no. 2, pp. 483–590, 2024, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2024.199.2.1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2024.199.2.1>
- [Che20] G. Chenevier, “Une application des variétés de Hecke des groupes unitaires,” in *Shimura varieties*, ser. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Vol. 457, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2020, pp. 266–296, ISBN: 978-1-108-70486-1.
- [CHL11] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and J.-P. Labesse, “Construction of automorphic Galois representations, I,” in *On the stabilization of the trace formula*, ser. Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl. Vol. 1, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 497–527, ISBN: 978-1-57146-227-5.
- [CHT08] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, “Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations,” *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, no. 108, pp. 1–181, 2008, With Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vignéras, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-008-0016-1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-008-0016-1>
- [CL99] L. Clozel and J.-P. Labesse, “Appendix a of Cohomologie, stabilisation et changement de base,” *Astérisque*, no. 257, pp. vi+161, 1999, ISSN: 0303-1179,2492-5926.
- [CDN20] P. Colmez, G. Dospinescu, and W. Nizioł, “Cohomologie p -adique de la tour de Drinfeld: Le cas de la dimension 1,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 311–362, 2020, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/jams/935 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/jams/935>
- [DvHKZ24] P. Daniels, P. van Hoften, D. Kim, and M. Zhang, *Igusa stacks and the cohomology of shimura varieties*, 2024. arXiv: 2408.01348 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.01348>

- [Dat12] J.-F. Dat, “Un cas simple de correspondance de Jacquet-Langlands modulo ℓ ,” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 690–727, 2012, With an appendix by M.-F. Vignéras, ISSN: 0024-6115,1460-244X. DOI: 10.1112/plms/pdr043 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdr043>
- [DLLZ23] H. Diao, K.-W. Lan, R. Liu, and X. Zhu, “Logarithmic Riemann-Hilbert correspondences for rigid varieties,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 483–562, 2023, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/jams/1002 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/jams/1002>
- [DC24] G. Dospinescu and J. E. R. Camargo, *A jacquet-langlands functor for p-adic locally analytic representations*, 2024. arXiv: 2411.17082 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.17082>
- [DPS20] G. Dospinescu, V. Paškūnas, and B. Schraen, *Infinitesimal characters in arithmetic families*, 2020. arXiv: 2012.01041 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.01041>
- [Dot25] A. Dotto, “Breuil-Mézard conjectures for central division algebras,” *Algebra Number Theory*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 213–246, 2025, ISSN: 1937-0652,1944-7833. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2025.19.213 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2025.19.213>
- [Elk73] R. Elkik, “Solutions d’équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien,” *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, vol. 6, pp. 553–603, 1973, ISSN: 0012-9593. [Online]. Available: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1973_4_6_4_553_0
- [Eme07] M. Emerton, *Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p-adic reductive groups ii. the relation to parabolic induction*, 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://www.math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/preprints.html>
- [Eme06a] M. Emerton, “On the interpolation of systems of eigenvalues attached to automorphic Hecke eigenforms,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 164, no. 1, pp. 1–84, 2006, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-005-0448-x [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-005-0448-x>
- [Eme06b] M. Emerton, “On the interpolation of systems of eigenvalues attached to automorphic Hecke eigenforms,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 164, no. 1, pp. 1–84, 2006, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-005-0448-x [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-005-0448-x>
- [Eme11] M. Emerton, “Local-global compatibility in the p-adic langlands programme for GL_2/\mathbb{Q} ,” *Preprint*, 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/pd%EF%AC%83les/lg.pdf>
- [Eme17] M. Emerton, “Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally p-adic analytic groups,” *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 248, no. 1175, pp. iv+158, 2017, ISSN: 0065-9266,1947-6221. DOI: 10.1090/memo/1175 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1175>

- [EG14] M. Emerton and T. Gee, “A geometric perspective on the Breuil–Mézard conjecture,” *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 183–223, 2014, ISSN: 1474-7480,1475-3030. DOI: 10.1017/S147474801300011X [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S147474801300011X>
- [EG23] M. Emerton and T. Gee, *Moduli stacks of étale (φ, Γ) -modules and the existence of crystalline lifts* (Annals of Mathematics Studies). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, [2023] ©2023, vol. 215, pp. ix+298, ISBN: 978-0-691-24134-0; 978-0-691-24135-7; 978-0-691-24136-4. DOI: 10.1515/9780691241364 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780691241364>
- [EGS15] M. Emerton, T. Gee, and D. Savitt, “Lattices in the cohomology of Shimura curves,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 1–96, 2015, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-014-0517-0 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-014-0517-0>
- [FKP21] N. Fakhruddin, C. Khare, and S. Patrikis, “Relative deformation theory, relative Selmer groups, and lifting irreducible Galois representations,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 170, no. 16, pp. 3505–3599, 2021, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-2021-0003 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2021-0003>
- [FS24] L. Fargues and P. Scholze, *Geometrization of the local langlands correspondence*, 2024. arXiv: 2102.13459 [math.RT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.13459>
- [FN19] K. Fayad and J. Nekovář, “Semisimplicity of certain Galois representations occurring in étale cohomology of unitary Shimura varieties,” *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 141, no. 2, pp. 503–530, 2019, ISSN: 0002-9327,1080-6377. DOI: 10.1353/ajm.2019.0012 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2019.0012>
- [FH24] T. Feng and B. L. Hung, *Mirror symmetry and the breuil-mézard conjecture*, 2024. arXiv: 2310.07006 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.07006>
- [Fon04] J.-M. Fontaine, “Arithmétique des représentations galoisiennes p -adiques,” in 295, Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III, 2004, pp. xi, 1–115.
- [GK14] T. Gee and M. Kisin, “The Breuil–Mézard conjecture for potentially Barsotti–Tate representations,” *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 2, e1, 56, 2014, ISSN: 2050-5086. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2014.1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2014.1>
- [GLS15] T. Gee, T. Liu, and D. Savitt, “The weight part of Serre’s conjecture for $GL(2)$,” *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 3, e2, 52, 2015, ISSN: 2050-5086. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2015.1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2015.1>
- [GN22] T. Gee and J. Newton, “Patching and the completed homology of locally symmetric spaces,” *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 395–458, 2022, ISSN: 1474-7480,1475-3030. DOI: 10.1017/S1474748020000158 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748020000158>

- [Ger19] D. Geraghty, “Modularity lifting theorems for ordinary Galois representations,” *Math. Ann.*, vol. 373, no. 3-4, pp. 1341–1427, 2019, ISSN: 0025-5831,1432-1807. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-018-1742-4 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-018-1742-4>
- [Gro00] E. Grosse-Klönne, “Rigid analytic spaces with overconvergent structure sheaf,” *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, vol. 519, pp. 73–95, 2000, ISSN: 0075-4102,1435-5345. DOI: 10.1515/crll.2000.018 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2000.018>
- [Gro02] E. Grosse-Klönne, “Finiteness of de Rham cohomology in rigid analysis,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 57–91, 2002, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-02-11312-X [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-02-11312-X>
- [HKW22] D. Hansen, T. Kaletha, and J. Weinstein, “On the Kottwitz conjecture for local shtuka spaces,” *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 10, Paper No. e13, 79, 2022, ISSN: 2050-5086. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2022.7 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2022.7>
- [Har90] M. Harris, “Automorphic forms of $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology type as coherent cohomology classes,” *J. Differential Geom.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1–63, 1990, ISSN: 0022-040X,1945-743X. [Online]. Available: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214445036>
- [HLTT16] M. Harris, K.-W. Lan, R. Taylor, and J. Thorne, “On the rigid cohomology of certain Shimura varieties,” *Res. Math. Sci.*, vol. 3, Paper No. 37, 308, 2016, ISSN: 2522-0144,2197-9847. DOI: 10.1186/s40687-016-0078-5 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40687-016-0078-5>
- [HT01] M. Harris and R. Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties* (Annals of Mathematics Studies). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001, vol. 151, pp. viii+276, With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich, ISBN: 0-691-09090-4.
- [Har75] R. Hartshorne, “On the De Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties,” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, no. 45, pp. 5–99, 1975, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. [Online]. Available: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1975__45__5_0
- [HMS22] E. Hellmann, C. M. Margerin, and B. Schraen, “Density of automorphic points in deformation rings of polarized global Galois representations,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 171, no. 13, pp. 2699–2752, 2022, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-2021-0080 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2021-0080>
- [Her09] F. Herzig, “The weight in a Serre-type conjecture for tame n -dimensional Galois representations,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 149, no. 1, pp. 37–116, 2009, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-2009-036 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2009-036>

- [HT15] Y. Hu and F. Tan, “The Breuil-Mézard conjecture for non-scalar split residual representations,” *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1383–1421, 2015, ISSN: 0012-9593,1873-2151. DOI: 10.24033/asens.2272 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2272>
- [HW22] Y. Hu and H. Wang, “On the mod p cohomology for GL_2 : The non-semisimple case,” *Camb. J. Math.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 261–431, 2022, ISSN: 2168-0930,2168-0949. DOI: 10.4310/cjm.2022.v10.n2.a1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4310/cjm.2022.v10.n2.a1>
- [Hub96] R. Huber, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces* (Aspects of Mathematics). Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996, vol. E30, pp. x+450, ISBN: 3-528-06794-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-663-09991-8 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-663-09991-8>
- [Hum08] J. E. Humphreys, *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category \mathcal{O}* (Graduate Studies in Mathematics). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008, vol. 94, pp. xvi+289, ISBN: 978-0-8218-4678-0. DOI: 10.1090/gsm/094 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/094>
- [KLR05] C. Khare, M. Larsen, and R. Ramakrishna, “Constructing semisimple p -adic Galois representations with prescribed properties,” *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 127, no. 4, pp. 709–734, 2005, ISSN: 0002-9327,1080-6377. [Online]. Available: http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/american_journal_of_mathematics/v127/127.4khare.pdf
- [KW09a] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, “Serre’s modularity conjecture. I,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 178, no. 3, pp. 485–504, 2009, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-009-0205-7 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-009-0205-7>
- [KW09b] C. Khare and J.-P. Wintenberger, “Serre’s modularity conjecture. II,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 178, no. 3, pp. 505–586, 2009, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-009-0206-6 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-009-0206-6>
- [Kis09] M. Kisin, “The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for GL_2 ,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 641–690, 2009, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00628-6 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00628-6>
- [Kna02] A. W. Knap, *Lie groups beyond an introduction* (Progress in Mathematics), Second. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002, vol. 140, pp. xviii+812, ISBN: 0-8176-4259-5.
- [Kos21] T. Koshikawa, “On the generic part of the cohomology of local and global Shimura varieties,” 2021. arXiv: 2106.10602 [math.NT].
- [Kot92a] R. E. Kottwitz, “On the λ -adic representations associated to some simple Shimura varieties,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 108, no. 3, pp. 653–665, 1992, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/BF02100620 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02100620>

- [Kot92b] R. E. Kottwitz, “Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 373–444, 1992, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.2307/2152772 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2152772>
- [Kot97] R. E. Kottwitz, “Isocrystals with additional structure. II,” *Compositio Math.*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 255–339, 1997, ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846. DOI: 10.1023/A:1000102604688 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1000102604688>
- [KS23] A. Kret and S. W. Shin, “Galois representations for general symplectic groups,” *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 75–152, 2023, ISSN: 1435-9855,1435-9863. DOI: 10.4171/jems/1179 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1179>
- [Lab11] J.-P. Labesse, “Changement de base CM et séries discrètes,” in *On the stabilization of the trace formula*, ser. Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl. Vol. 1, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 429–470, ISBN: 978-1-57146-227-5.
- [Lan13] K.-W. Lan, *Arithmetic compactifications of PEL-type Shimura varieties* (London Mathematical Society Monographs Series). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013, vol. 36, pp. xxvi+561, ISBN: 978-0-691-15654-5. DOI: 10.1515/9781400846016 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400846016>
- [Le19] D. Le, “Multiplicity one for wildly ramified representations,” *Algebra Number Theory*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1807–1827, 2019, ISSN: 1937-0652,1944-7833. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2019.13.1807 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2019.13.1807>
- [LLLM23] D. Le, B. V. Le Hung, B. Levin, and S. Morra, “Local models for Galois deformation rings and applications,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 231, no. 3, pp. 1277–1488, 2023, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-022-01163-4 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-022-01163-4>
- [Man05] E. Mantovan, “On the cohomology of certain PEL-type Shimura varieties,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 129, no. 3, pp. 573–610, 2005, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-05-12935-0 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-05-12935-0>
- [Mat24] K. Matsumoto, *On the potential automorphy and the local-global compatibility for the monodromy operators at $p \neq l$ over cm fields*, 2024. arXiv: 2312.01551 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.01551>
- [Mes72] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics). Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, vol. Vol. 264, pp. iii+190.
- [Mil90] J. S. Milne, “Canonical models of (mixed) Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles,” in *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988)*, ser. Perspect. Math. Vol. 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 283–414, ISBN: 0-12-176651-9.

- [MS14] A. Mínguez and V. Sécherre, “Types modulo ℓ pour les formes intérieures de GL_n sur un corps local non archimédien,” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. 109, no. 4, pp. 823–891, 2014, With an appendix by Vincent Sécherre et Shaun Stevens., ISSN: 0024-6115,1460-244X. DOI: 10.1112/plms/pdu020 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdu020>
- [Mok14] C. P. Mok, “Galois representations attached to automorphic forms on GL_2 over CM fields,” *Compos. Math.*, vol. 150, no. 4, pp. 523–567, 2014, ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846. DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X13007665 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X13007665>
- [NT23] J. Newton and J. A. Thorne, “Adjoint Selmer groups of automorphic Galois representations of unitary type,” *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1919–1967, 2023, ISSN: 1435-9855,1435-9863. DOI: 10.4171/jems/1228 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1228>
- [Pan22a] L. Pan, “On locally analytic vectors of the completed cohomology of modular curves,” *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 10, Paper No. e7, 82, 2022, ISSN: 2050-5086. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2022.1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2022.1>
- [Pan22b] L. Pan, *On locally analytic vectors of the completed cohomology of modular curves ii*, 2022. arXiv: 2209.06366 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.06366>
- [Pan22c] L. Pan, “The Fontaine-Mazur conjecture in the residually reducible case,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1031–1169, 2022, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/jams/991 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/jams/991>
- [Pau04] F. Paugam, “Galois representations, Mumford-Tate groups and good reduction of abelian varieties,” *Math. Ann.*, vol. 329, no. 1, pp. 119–160, 2004, ISSN: 0025-5831,1432-1807. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-004-0514-5 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-004-0514-5>
- [PR94] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory* (Pure and Applied Mathematics). Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994, vol. 139, pp. xii+614, Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen, ISBN: 0-12-558180-7.
- [QS25] T. Qiu and B. Su, *Locally analytic vectors in the completed cohomology of unitary shimura curves*, 2025. arXiv: 2505.10290 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.10290>
- [Ram99] R. Ramakrishna, “Lifting Galois representations,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 138, no. 3, pp. 537–562, 1999, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s002220050352 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220050352>
- [RR96] M. Rapoport and M. Richartz, “On the classification and specialization of F -isocrystals with additional structure,” *Compositio Math.*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 153–181, 1996, ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846. [Online]. Available: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1996__103_2_153_0

- [RZ96] M. Rapoport and T. Zink, *Period spaces for p -divisible groups* (Annals of Mathematics Studies). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996, vol. 141, pp. xxii+324, ISBN: 0-691-02782-X; 0-691-02781-1. DOI: 10.1515/9781400882601 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882601>
- [Sai13] T. Saito, *Fermat's last theorem* (Translations of Mathematical Monographs). American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013, vol. 243, pp. xiv+200, Basic tools, Translated from the Japanese original by Masato Kuwata, ISBN: 978-0-8218-9848-2. DOI: 10.1090/mmono/243 [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.utokyo.idm.oclc.org/10.1090/mmono/243>
- [Sch13a] P. Schneider, *Nonarchimedean Functional Analysis* (Springer Monographs in Mathematics). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, ISBN: 9783662047286. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.co.jp/books?id=6KrwCAAQBAJ>
- [Sch98] P. Schneider, “Equivariant homology for totally disconnected groups,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 203, no. 1, pp. 50–68, 1998, ISSN: 0021-8693,1090-266X. DOI: 10.1006/jabr.1997.7309 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1997.7309>
- [Sch11] P. Schneider, *p -adic Lie groups* (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]). Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, vol. 344, pp. xii+254, ISBN: 978-3-642-21146-1. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-21147-8 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-21147-8>
- [ST03] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, “Algebras of p -adic distributions and admissible representations,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 153, no. 1, pp. 145–196, 2003, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-002-0284-1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-002-0284-1>
- [Sch12] P. Scholze, “Perfectoid spaces,” *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, vol. 116, pp. 245–313, 2012, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-012-0042-x [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-012-0042-x>
- [Sch13b] P. Scholze, “ p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties,” *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 1, e1, 77, 2013, ISSN: 2050-5086. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2013.1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2013.1>
- [Sch13c] P. Scholze, “The Langlands-Kottwitz method and deformation spaces of p -divisible groups,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 227–259, 2013, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2012-00753-X [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-2012-00753-X>
- [Sch15] P. Scholze, “On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties,” *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 182, no. 3, pp. 945–1066, 2015, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2015.182.3.3 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2015.182.3.3>
- [SS13] P. Scholze and S. W. Shin, “On the cohomology of compact unitary group Shimura varieties at ramified split places,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 261–294, 2013, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2012-00752-8 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-2012-00752-8>

- [SW13] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, “Moduli of p -divisible groups,” *Camb. J. Math.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 145–237, 2013, ISSN: 2168-0930,2168-0949. DOI: 10.4310/CJM.2013.v1.n2.a1 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4310/CJM.2013.v1.n2.a1>
- [SW20] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, *Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry* (Annals of Mathematics Studies). Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020, vol. 207, pp. x+250, ISBN: 978-0-691-20209-9; 978-0-691-20208-2; 978-0-691-20215-0.
- [Sen81] S. Sen, “Continuous cohomology and p -adic Galois representations,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 89–116, 1980/81, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/BF01391665 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01391665>
- [Ser77] J.-P. Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics), French. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, vol. Vol. 42, pp. x+170, ISBN: 0-387-90190-6.
- [Shi14] S. W. Shin, “Appendix to Galois representations associated to holomorphic limits of discrete series by Wushi Goldring,” *Compositio Mathematica*, vol. 150, no. 2, pp. 191–228, 2014. DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X13007355
- [SW99] C. M. Skinner and A. J. Wiles, “Residually reducible representations and modular forms,” *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, no. 89, pp. 5–126, 1999, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. [Online]. Available: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1999__89__5_0
- [Su25] B. Su, *De rham cohomology of lubin-tate spaces and drinfeld spaces*, 2025. arXiv: 2503.09352 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.09352>
- [Tay08a] R. Taylor, “Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations. II,” *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, no. 108, pp. 183–239, 2008, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-008-0015-2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-008-0015-2>
- [Tay08b] R. Taylor, “Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations. II,” *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, no. 108, pp. 183–239, 2008, ISSN: 0073-8301,1618-1913. DOI: 10.1007/s10240-008-0015-2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10240-008-0015-2>
- [TY07] R. Taylor and T. Yoshida, “Compatibility of local and global Langlands correspondences,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 467–493, 2007, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-06-00542-X [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-06-00542-X>
- [Tho15] J. A. Thorne, “Automorphy lifting for residually reducible l -adic Galois representations,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 785–870, 2015, ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00812-2 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00812-2>

- [Tho24] J. A. Thorne, “A p -adic approach to the existence of level-raising congruences,” *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. 128, no. 2, Paper No. e12584, 56, 2024, ISSN: 0024-6115,1460-244X. DOI: 10.1112/plms.12584 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12584>
- [Tun21a] S.-N. Tung, “On the automorphy of 2-dimensional potentially semistable deformation rings of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$,” *Algebra Number Theory*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 2173–2194, 2021, ISSN: 1937-0652,1944-7833. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2021.15.2173 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2140/ant.2021.15.2173>
- [Tun21b] S.-N. Tung, “On the modularity of 2-adic potentially semi-stable deformation rings,” *Math. Z.*, vol. 298, no. 1-2, pp. 107–159, 2021, ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-020-02588-4 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-020-02588-4>
- [Vig01] M.-F. Vignéras, “Correspondance de Langlands semi-simple pour $GL(n, F)$ modulo $l \neq p$,” *Invent. Math.*, vol. 144, no. 1, pp. 177–223, 2001, ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/s002220100134 [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220100134>
- [Zha23] M. Zhang, *A pel -type igusa stack and the p -adic geometry of shimura varieties*, 2023. arXiv: 2309.05152 [math.NT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.05152>
- [Zou25] K. Zou, *Categorical local langlands for GL_n for parameters of langlands-shahidi type with integral coefficients*, 2025. arXiv: 2504.06499 [math.RT]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.06499>